

SYMMETRIES OF EQUIVARIANT KHOVANOV–ROZANSKY HOMOLOGY

YOU QI, LOUIS-HADRIEN ROBERT, JOSHUA SUSSAN,
AND EMMANUEL WAGNER

ABSTRACT. We construct an \mathfrak{sl}_2 -action on equivariant \mathfrak{gl}_N -link homologies. As a consequence we obtain an action of \mathfrak{sl}_2 on these homologies as well as a p -DG structures for p a prime number. We explore topological applications of these structures.

CONTENTS

1.	Introduction	1
2.	Homological background	4
3.	\mathfrak{sl}_2 -action on foams	10
4.	Link homology, definition and invariance	22
5.	Functoriality	37
6.	Specializations	41
7.	Examples	46
	References	49

1. INTRODUCTION

Symmetries on the homology of a link have been constructed in various contexts. A starting point for this work was an action of a subalgebra of the graded Witt algebra on HOMFLYPT homology given by Khovanov and Rozansky [KR16]. They were partially motivated by work of Lipschitz and Sarkar [LS14a, LS14b] who constructed an action of the Steenrod algebra on Khovanov homology, as well observations by Gorsky, Oblomkov, and Rasmussen [GOR13] who conjectured that some colored link homologies have graded dimensions equal to characters of representations of affine Lie algebras. Another symmetry of HOMFLYPT homology was studied by Gorsky, Hogancamp, and Mellit [GHM21]. They found an \mathfrak{sl}_2 -action which seems distinct from the symmetries studied in [KR16]. In particular, the \mathfrak{sl}_2 -action in [GHM21] acts in the homological direction as opposed to the Khovanov–Rozansky action which preserves homological degree. This was applied in [CG22] to study some structural properties of \mathfrak{gl}_N -homology. In another direction, Grigsby, Licata, and Wehrli [GLW18] constructed an \mathfrak{sl}_2 -action

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 57K18, 57K16, 17B10, 18N25, 18G35.

on annular Khovanov homology. In this work, we construct an action of a graded version of \mathfrak{sl}_2 on (equivariant) Khovanov–Rozansky \mathfrak{gl}_N -homologies. It would be interesting to find an analogous \mathfrak{sl}_2 -action in the annular case developed by Akhmechet and Khovanov [AK21] and compare it to the construction of [GLW18].

The construction in [KR16] has been utilized and extended in various directions. Over a field of characteristic p , the action of the degree-2 Witt generator L_1 has been used to define a p -DG structure on HOMFLYPT homology [KR08b, Kho07] and \mathfrak{gl}_{-2} -homology [Cau17], [QRS18], [RW20b], leading to categorifications of the Jones and colored Jones polynomial at a root of unity [QS22, QRSW21]. Work of Wang [Wan21] uses the degree- (-2) nilpotent part of the \mathfrak{sl}_2 -action (that we extend here) to deduce various structural properties of Khovanov–Rozansky \mathfrak{gl}_N -link homologies [KR08a]. Actions of \mathfrak{sl}_2 have been discovered by Elias and one of the authors on various categorical structures [EQ21]. It was earlier observed by Khovanov that there is a Witt-type action on KLR algebras [Kho12].

The first connection between foams and link homology was Khovanov’s categorification of the \mathfrak{sl}_3 -link invariant [Kho04]. This was extended to the \mathfrak{gl}_N case by Mackaay, Stošić, and Vaz [MSV09] who used the Kapustin–Li formula [KL03, KR07] to evaluate closed foams. Categorified quantum groups play a role in link homology via categorified skew Howe duality and was first explored by Cautis, Kamnitzer, and Licata [CKL10] and further developed in several papers such as [Cau15]. Lauda, Queffelec, and Rose showed that these constructions factor through a foam category [LQR15, QR16] extending earlier work of Mackaay, Pan, and Tubbenhauer [Mac09, MPT14]. The relations on the foam category imposed in [QR16] are shown to be a consequence of the foam evaluation formula defined in [RW20a].

We now summarize the main results of this work.

- Theorem.**
- *The equivariant Khovanov–Rozansky \mathfrak{gl}_N -homology of a link L is a graded \mathfrak{sl}_2 -module.*
 - *This action is functorial in the sense that there is a functor from the category of links and cobordisms to a foam category enriched in graded \mathfrak{sl}_2 -modules.*
 - *The Rasmussen invariant is equal to the highest weight of a certain quotient representation.*
 - *If C is a ribbon concordance from L_0 to L_1 , then the equivariant homology of L_0 is a direct summand of the equivariant homology of L_1 as \mathfrak{sl}_2 -modules.*
 - *Over a field of characteristic p , certain homogeneous nilpotent generators of \mathfrak{sl}_2 give rise to p -DG structures on link homology.*

While we only consider the uncolored case (links are colored only by the natural representation), we expect that this construction extends to the setting where link components are colored by exterior powers of the fundamental representation. Furthermore, we anticipate that the \mathfrak{sl}_2 -action really

comes from the Witt-type action on foams constructed in [QRSW22]. Note that in [QRSW22], we introduced a smaller class of foams, called *spherical foams* for which the Witt- and \mathfrak{sl}_2 -actions have a bit more flexibility. We expect this flexibility to remain if working with spherical foams only, which could be achieved if one only works with braid closures instead of arbitrary link diagrams.

1.1. Outline. We begin in Section 2 with some background material on the homological algebra needed later in the paper.

Section 3 contains a review of the \mathfrak{sl}_2 -action on foams constructed in [QRSW22]. This action is shown to extend to homology of links in Section 4.

The compatibility of the \mathfrak{sl}_2 -action with functoriality of link homology is explained in Section 5. Our argument is a direct application of functoriality proved by Ehrig, Tubbenhauer, and Wedrich [ETW18].

Section 6 contains various specializations of the \mathfrak{sl}_2 -action on link homology. Applying the Zuckerman functor (a certain Lie theoretic construction), we obtain a locally finite submodule of equivariant link homology which has the potential of being finite-dimensional. In another direction, over a field of characteristic p , we obtain a p -DG structure on link homology. In fact, we have two different such constructions: one coming from the action of \mathfrak{f} and one coming from the action of \mathfrak{e} . Using the \mathfrak{f} action, we must use symmetric polynomials as the ground ring in order to have a non-trivial action. We are not able to make any statements about the Euler characteristic. However, using the \mathfrak{e} action, we may take the ground ring just to be a field of characteristic p and we categorify the \mathfrak{gl}_N -link invariant at a root of unity. We also relate our construction to the Rasmussen invariant and to recent work by Wang [Wan21].

1.2. Conventions. Let \mathbb{N} stand for the set of non-negative integers and set $\mathbb{N}_{-1} = \{k \in \mathbb{Z}, k \geq -1\}$.

For a ring with unity \mathbb{k} , and for $x \in \mathbb{k}$, we set $\bar{x} = 1 - x$.

Throughout most of the paper, we will fix a natural number N . The algebras $\mathbb{Z}_N = \mathbb{Z}[X_1, \dots, X_N]^{S_N}$ and $\mathbb{k}_N = \mathbb{k}[X_1, \dots, X_N]^{S_N}$ of symmetric polynomials will play central roles in this paper. They are non-negatively graded by imposing that $\deg(X_i) = 2$. The i th elementary, complete homogeneous, and power sum symmetric polynomials in X_1, \dots, X_N are denoted by E_i , H_i and P_i respectively, so that

$$\mathbb{Z}_N = \mathbb{Z}[E_1, \dots, E_N] \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{k}_N = \mathbb{k}[E_1, \dots, E_N].$$

Throughout most of this paper we invert 2 in the ground ring.

For $a \in \mathbb{N}$, Sym_a denotes the ring of symmetric polynomials in a variables with \mathbb{Z} coefficients, in particular $\mathbb{Z}_N = \text{Sym}_N$. When working in such a ring, we will let e_i, h_i and p_i be the i th elementary, complete homogeneous, and power sum symmetric polynomials respectively without reference to the

variables. The ring Sym_a is graded by imposing that e_i is homogeneous of degree $2i$. With this setting, we have:

$$\deg(e_i) = \deg(h_i) = \deg(p_i) = 2i.$$

For $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $[n] = \frac{q^n - q^{-n}}{q - q^{-1}}$, for $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we let $[k]! = \prod_{j=1}^k [j]$. Finally, for $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $a \in \mathbb{N}$, define:

$$\begin{bmatrix} m \\ a \end{bmatrix} = \prod_{i=1}^a \frac{[m+1-i]}{[i]}.$$

Note that if m is non-negative, one has $\begin{bmatrix} m \\ a \end{bmatrix} = \frac{[m]!}{[a]![m-a]!}$.

For a \mathbb{Z} -graded vector space V , let V_i denote the subspace in degree i . Let $q^n V$ denote the \mathbb{Z} -graded vector space where $(q^n V)_i = V_{i-n}$.

Complexes will be taken to be cohomologically graded. For a complex C , we let $t^i C$ denote the shifted complex whose piece in cohomological degree $i+j$ is the piece of C in cohomological degree j , in other words, $(t^j C)_i = C_{i-j}$.

Foams are read from bottom to top.

1.3. Acknowledgments. We would like to thank Rostislav Akhmechet, Ben Elias, Lev Rozansky, Pedro Vaz, and Joshua Wang for interesting and enlightening conversations. Let us thank Joshua Wang again for spotting a mistake in a previous version of this paper. We especially would like to thank Mikhail Khovanov who encouraged us to search for algebra actions on foams and link homologies. We are grateful to Felix Roz for helpful comments on an earlier draft of the paper.

Various ideas motivating this paper partially arose during the hybrid workshop ‘‘Foam Evaluation’’ held at ICERM. The Universit  Paris Cit  and its Programme d’invitations internationales scientifiques further supported the collaboration of the authors. We thank the institutions for their hospitality.

Some figures are recycled from papers of various subsets of the authors with or without other collaborators.

Y.Q. is partially supported by the Simons Foundation Collaboration Grants for Mathematicians. J.S. is partially supported by the NSF grant DMS-1807161 and PSC CUNY Award 64012-00 52. L.H.R. was supported by the Luxembourg National Research Fund PRIDE17/1224660/GPS. E.W. is partially supported by the ANR projects AlMaRe (ANR-19-CE40-0001-01), AHA (JCJC ANR-18-CE40-0001) and CHARMES (ANR-19-CE40-0017).

2. HOMOLOGICAL BACKGROUND

Throughout this work, we will study H -modules, where H is some commutative Hopf algebra. We will freely make use of Sweedler’s notation $\Delta(h) = \sum_h h_1 \otimes h_2$ and $(\Delta \otimes \text{Id}_H) \circ \Delta(h) = \sum_h h_1 \otimes h_2 \otimes h_3$, etc. In the main

results of this paper, the Hopf algebra H will be the universal enveloping algebra of \mathfrak{sl}_2 .

Let A be an H -module algebra. This means that A is an algebra object in the module category of the Hopf algebra H . In particular, for h in H and a and b in A , one has:

$$(1) \quad h \cdot (ab) = \sum_h (h_1 \cdot a)(h_2 \cdot b).$$

We may then form the *smash product algebra* $A\#H$. As an abelian group, $A\#H$ is isomorphic to $A \otimes H$. The multiplicative structure is determined by

$$(2) \quad (a \otimes h)(b \otimes k) = \sum_h a(h_1 \cdot b) \otimes h_2 k,$$

for any $a, b \in A$ and $h, k \in H$. Thus, for an H -module algebra A , $A \otimes 1$ and $1 \otimes H$ sit in $A\#H$ as subalgebras by construction. We will often refer to modules and morphisms in $A\#H$ -mod as *H -equivariant A -modules* and morphisms.

There is an exact forgetful functor between the usual homotopy categories of chain complexes of graded modules

$$(3) \quad \text{For} : \mathcal{C}(A\#H) \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}(A).$$

An object K_\bullet in $\mathcal{C}(A\#H)$ is annihilated by the forgetful functor if and only if, when forgetting the H -module structure on each term of K_\bullet , the complex of graded A -modules $\text{For}(K_\bullet)$ is null-homotopic. The null-homotopy map on $\text{For}(K_\bullet)$ though, is not required to intertwine H -actions. We will refer to such complexes as *relatively null-homotopic*. Also, for ease of notation, we will usually just abbreviate the complex notation K_\bullet as K when no confusion can be caused.

Since H is a cocommutative Hopf algebra, if B is an H -module algebra, then so is its opposite algebra B^{op} . Thus given two H -module algebras A and B , then their tensor product $A \otimes B^{\text{op}}$ is an H -module algebra with its natural H -module structure. Following the definition given above, the multiplication on $A \otimes B^{\text{op}}$ is given by:

$$(a_1 \otimes b_1 \otimes h)(a_2 \otimes b_2 \otimes k) = \sum_h a_1(h_1 \cdot a_2) \otimes (h_2 \cdot b_1)b_2 \otimes h_3 k,$$

for any $a_1, a_2 \in A$, $b_1, b_2 \in B$ and $h, k \in H$. A complex in $\mathcal{C}((A \otimes B^{\text{op}})\#H)$ will also be referred to as a complex of H -equivariant (A, B) -bimodules, while morphisms between such complexes as H -equivariant bimodules homomorphisms.

Definition 2.1. Given an H -module algebra A , the *relative homotopy category* is the Verdier quotient

$$\mathcal{C}^H(A) := \frac{\mathcal{C}(A\#H)}{\text{Ker}(\text{For})}.$$

The superscript H in the definition is there to remind the reader of the H -module structures on the objects.

The usual homology functor factors through the kernel of For , and induces well-defined homology functors on the relative homotopy category. The homology of objects in $\mathcal{C}^H(A)$ carries natural actions by the smash product algebra $A\#H$.

When $A = \mathbb{k}$ is a field, then the functor $\text{For} : \mathcal{C}(H) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{k})$ agrees with the usual total homology functor, since a complex of H -modules is acyclic if and only if it is null-homotopic over the ground field. It follows that, in this case $\mathcal{C}^H(\mathbb{k}) = \mathcal{D}(H)$, the usual derived category of H -modules. In this paper, we will consider ground rings that are more general than fields.

The category $\mathcal{C}^H(A)$ is triangulated. By construction, there is a factorization of the forgetful functor

$$(4) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{C}(A\#H) & \xrightarrow{\text{For}} & \mathcal{C}(A) \\ & \searrow & \nearrow \\ & \mathcal{C}^H(A) & \end{array} .$$

Let us briefly comment on the triangulated structure of the relative homotopy category $\mathcal{C}^H(A)$. By construction, the homological shift functors, denoted t^i for $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, are inherited from the shift functors on $\mathcal{C}(A\#H)$, which shift complexes one step to the left or right.

For the usual homotopy category $\mathcal{C}(A)$ of an algebra, standard distinguished triangles arise from short exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{f} N \xrightarrow{g} L \rightarrow 0$$

of A -modules that are termwise split exact. The class of distinguished triangles in $\mathcal{C}(A)$ are declared to be those that are isomorphic to standard ones. For distinguished triangles in the relative homotopy category, similarly, we have the following construction.

Lemma 2.2. [QRSW21, Lemma 2.3] *A short exact sequence of chain complexes of $A\#H$ -modules*

$$0 \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{f} N \xrightarrow{g} L \rightarrow 0$$

that is termwise A -split exact gives rise to a distinguished triangle in $\mathcal{C}^H(A)$. Conversely, any distinguished triangle in $\mathcal{C}^H(A)$ is isomorphic to one that arises in this form.

A morphism of $A\#H$ -modules $f : M \rightarrow N$ becomes an isomorphism in $\mathcal{C}^H(A)$ if and only if its cone $C(f)$ in $\mathcal{C}(A\#H)$ is relatively null-homotopic. To see this, replace N by the usual mapping cylinder $\text{Cyl}(f) = N \oplus M \oplus tM$, which is homotopic to N in $\mathcal{C}(A\#H)$. The inclusion of M into $\text{Cyl}(f)$ is a homotopy equivalence. Furthermore, we have a short exact sequence of

$A\#H$ -modules

$$(5) \quad 0 \longrightarrow M \xrightarrow{\iota} \text{Cyl}(f) \longrightarrow \text{C}(f) \longrightarrow 0,$$

where $\iota : M \longrightarrow \text{Cyl}(f)$ is the map $m \mapsto (f(m), m, 0)$. The sequence is termwise A -split (even $A\#H$ -split), and thus gives rise to a distinguished triangle in $\mathcal{C}^H(A)$. Thus $\iota : M \longrightarrow \text{Cyl}(f)$ descends to an isomorphism in $\mathcal{C}^H(A)$ if and only if $\text{C}(f)$ is annihilated under For , which is equivalent to saying that it is relatively null-homotopic.

Our main goal in this section is to describe an enriched structure on the relative homotopy category of an H -module algebra. The enriched hom spaces will naturally carry structures of H -representations. As usual, the enriched hom will be naturally right adjoint to tensor product of chain complexes.

Let A be an H -module algebra, and M, N be two (bounded) complexes of left $A\#H$ -modules. We set $\text{HOM}_A(M, N)$ to be the usual space of all A -linear homomorphisms

$$(6) \quad \text{HOM}_A(M, N) = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Hom}_A(M, t^i N),$$

equipped with the natural differential

$$(7) \quad (df)(m) = d(f(m)) - (-1)^i f(dm)$$

for any $f \in \text{Hom}_A(M, t^i N)$. Then the Hopf algebra H acts on this morphism space by

$$(8) \quad (h \cdot f)(m) := \sum_h h_1 \cdot (f(S(h_2) \cdot m))$$

for any $h \in H$, $f \in \text{HOM}_A(M, N)$ and $m \in M$. This action preserves A -linearity since, for any $a \in A$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} (h \cdot f)(am) &= \sum_h h_1 \cdot f(S(h_2) \cdot (am)) \\ &= \sum_h h_1 \cdot f((S(h_3) \cdot a)(S(h_2) \cdot m)) \\ &= \sum_h h_1 \cdot (S(h_4) \cdot a)(h_2 \cdot f(S(h_3) \cdot m)) \\ &= \sum_h h_1 \cdot (S(h_2) \cdot a)(h_3 \cdot f(S(h_4) \cdot m)) \\ &= \sum_h ah_1 \cdot f(S(h_2) \cdot m) = a(h \cdot f)(m). \end{aligned}$$

Here, in the fourth equality, we have used that H is cocommutative, so that

$$\sum_h h_1 \otimes h_2 \otimes h_3 \otimes h_4 = \sum_h h_1 \otimes h_4 \otimes h_2 \otimes h_3.$$

One can also show, as in [Qi14, Lemma 5.2], that, under this H -action, the space of H -invariants is equal to

$$(9) \quad \mathrm{HOM}_A(M, N)^H \cong \mathrm{HOM}_{A\#H}(M, N).$$

Notice that we restrict the objects inserted into the bifunctor $\mathrm{HOM}_A(-, -)$ to those in $\mathcal{C}(A\#H)$, i.e., those chain complexes of A -modules that are H -equivariant. When M is an H -equivariant complex of (A, B) -bimodules, where B is a second H -module algebra, we obtain a functor on the usual homotopy categories:

$$(10) \quad \mathrm{HOM}_A(M, -) : \mathcal{C}(A\#H) \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}(B\#H).$$

On the other hand, if M is an H -equivariant complex of (A, B) -bimodules and K is a complex of H -equivariant B -modules, the natural tensor product

$$(11) \quad M \otimes_B K = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} (M \otimes_B K)_i, \quad (M \otimes_B K)_i := \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} M_k \otimes_B K_{i-k}.$$

gives one an H -equivariant complex of A -modules. Here, the differential acts by, for any $m \in M_i$ and $k \in K_j$,

$$(12) \quad d(m \otimes k) = d(m) \otimes k + (-1)^i m \otimes d(k);$$

while the Hopf algebra H acts by

$$(13) \quad h \cdot (m \otimes k) = \sum_h (h_1 \cdot m) \otimes (h_2 \otimes k).$$

In this way, tensor product with M over B defines a functor

$$(14) \quad M \otimes_B (-) : \mathcal{C}(B\#H) \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}(A\#H)$$

It is then an easy exercise to check that the usual tensor-hom adjunction preserves the action by the Hopf algebra H (see, for instance, [Qi14, Lemma 8.5]):

$$(15) \quad \mathrm{HOM}_A(M \otimes_B K, N) \cong \mathrm{HOM}_B(K, \mathrm{HOM}_A(M, N)).$$

Taking H -invariants on both sides gives an isomorphism of the graded hom spaces in complexes of $B\#H$ -modules and $A\#H$ -modules (equation (9)). Then taking the zeroth cohomology with respect to the differentials gives us the adjunction in the usual homotopy category:

$$(16) \quad \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}(A\#H)}(M \otimes_B K, N) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}(B\#H)}(K, \mathrm{HOM}_A(M, N)).$$

Our first result is to show that tensor and hom descend to the relative homotopy categories.

Lemma 2.3. *The tensor and hom bifunctors in equation (16) preserve the class of relatively null-homotopic objects.*

Proof. We show this for the tensor case, and leave the similar hom case to the reader. To do so, suppose M is a complex of $A\#H$ -modules. Let $K \in \mathcal{C}(A^{\mathrm{op}}\#H)$ be a relatively null-homotopic complex. Since K is relatively null-homotopic, there is an A -linear map $h : K \rightarrow tK$ such that $\mathrm{Id}_K =$

$dh + hd$. Then $K \otimes_A M$ is also null-homotopic over \mathbb{k} , with a null-homotopy given by $h \otimes_A \text{Id}_M$. \square

Lemma 2.4. *The tensor and hom bifunctors in equation (16) descend to exact bifunctors on relative homotopy categories.*

Proof. We only deal with the hom functor, and leave the tensor functor case as an exercise. To do so, we need to show that $\text{HOM}_A(-, -)$ preserve the triangulated category structure on $\mathcal{C}^H(A)$. By definition, it commutes with homological shifts. It suffices to show that, the functor

$$\text{HOM}_A(M, -) : \mathcal{C}(A\#H) \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}(B\#H)$$

sends distinguished triangles to distinguished triangles. By Lemma 2.2, triangles in $\mathcal{C}^H(A)$ arises from short exact sequence of $A\#H$ -modules

$$0 \longrightarrow K \xrightarrow{f} L \xrightarrow{g} N \longrightarrow 0$$

that are split exact when forgetting the H -actions. Therefore, $\text{HOM}_A(M, -)$ applied to such an exact sequence results in a short exact sequence with induced maps from f and g :

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{HOM}_A(M, K) \xrightarrow{f_*} \text{HOM}_A(M, L) \xrightarrow{g_*} \text{HOM}_A(M, N) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Under the action of (8), it is clear that f_* and g_* are H -intertwining. Furthermore, the sequence splits termwise as B -modules when forgetting the H -actions. By Lemma 2.2 again, this short exact sequence descends to a triangle in the relative homotopy category $\mathcal{C}^H(B)$.

The argument for $\text{HOM}_A(-, N)$ is similar. The result follows. \square

Theorem 2.5. *Let M be a complex of H -equivariant (A, B) -bimodules. Then there is an isomorphism*

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}^H(A)}(M \otimes_B K, N) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}^H(B)}(K, \text{HOM}_A(M, N))$$

functorial in K and N .

Proof. Suppose that \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D} are triangulated categories, and $\mathcal{L} : \mathcal{C} \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is an exact functor admitting a right adjoint \mathcal{R} . Let $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C})$ and $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{D})$ be classes of objects that are idempotent complete and satisfy $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C})) \subset \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{D})$ and $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{D})) \subset \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C})$. Then it is immediate from the universal property of Verdier localization that \mathcal{L} and \mathcal{R} induce a pair of adjoint functors between the Verdier quotient categories $\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C})$ and $\mathcal{D}/\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{D})$.

Now, in our situation, take $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}(A\#H)$ and $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{C}(B\#H)$, and set $\mathcal{L} = M \otimes_B (-)$ and $\mathcal{R} = \text{HOM}_A(M, -)$ respectively. By Lemma 2.3, these functors preserve relatively null-homotopic objects, and the general construction follows. \square

Corollary 2.6. *Let M be a complex of H -equivariant B -modules. Then*

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}^H(\mathbb{k})}(\mathbb{k}, \text{HOM}_A(M, N)) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}^H(A)}(M, N).$$




FIGURE 1. Example of a web in \mathbb{R}^2 .

Proof. Taking $B = \mathbb{k}$ to be the ground field, and K to be the one-term complex \mathbb{k} equipped with the trivial H -action sitting in homological degree zero, the result is a special case of Theorem 2.5. \square

Again, when \mathbb{k} is a field, the left-hand side of Corollary 2.6 gives us a way of computing morphisms spaces in the relative homotopy category:

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}H(A)}(M, N) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}(H)}(\mathbb{k}, \mathrm{HOM}_A(M, N)).$$

The latter space is the zeroth (hyper)cohomology of the complex of H -modules $\mathrm{HOM}_A(M, N)$.

3. \mathfrak{sl}_2 -ACTION ON FOAMS

In this section we review a family of actions of \mathfrak{sl}_2 on foams constructed in [QRSW22, Sections 4.2 and 4.4].

3.1. Webs and foams.

Definition 3.1. Let Σ be a surface. A *closed web* or simply a *web* is a finite, oriented, trivalent graph $\Gamma = (V(\Gamma), E(\Gamma))$ embedded in the interior of Σ and endowed with a *thickness function* $\ell: E(\Gamma) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ satisfying a flow condition: vertices and thicknesses of their adjacent edges must be one of these two types:

$$(17) \quad \begin{array}{c} a \quad b \\ \swarrow \quad \searrow \\ \downarrow \\ a + b \end{array} \quad \text{or} \quad \begin{array}{c} a + b \\ \uparrow \\ \swarrow \quad \searrow \\ a \quad b \end{array} .$$

The first type is called a *split* vertex, the second a *merge* vertex. In each of these types, there is one *thick* edge and two *thin* edges. Oriented circles with non-negative thickness are regarded as edges without vertices and can be part of a web. The embedding of Γ in Σ is smooth outside its vertices, and at the vertices should fit with the local models in (17).

Definition 3.2. Let M be an oriented smooth 3-manifold with a collared boundary. A *foam* $F \subset M$ is a collection of *facets*, that are compact oriented surfaces labeled with non-negative integers and glued together along their boundary points, such that every point p of F has a closed neighborhood homeomorphic to one of the following:

- (1) a disk, when p belongs to a unique facet,
- (2) $Y \times [0, 1]$, where Y is the neighborhood of a merge or split vertex of a web, when p belongs to three facets,
- (3) the cone over the 1-skeleton of a tetrahedron with p as the vertex of the cone (so that it belongs to six facets).

See Figure 2 for a pictorial representation of these three cases. The set of points of the second type is a collection of curves called *bindings* and the points of the third type are called *singular vertices*. The *boundary* ∂F of F is the closure of the set of boundary points of facets that do not belong to a binding. It is understood that F coincides with $\partial F \times [0, 1]$ on the collar of ∂M . For each facet f of F , we denote by $\ell(f)$ its label, called the *thickness* of f . A foam F is *decorated* if each facet f of F is assigned a symmetric polynomial $P_f \in \text{Sym}_{\ell(f)}$. In the second local model (2) of Definition 3.2, it is implicitly understood that thicknesses of the three facets are given by that of the edges in Y . In particular, it satisfies a flow condition and locally one has a thick facet and two thin ones. We also require that orientations of bindings are induced by that of the thin facets and by the opposite of the thick facet. Foams are regarded up to ambient isotopy relative to boundary. Foams without boundary are said to be *closed*.

Remark 3.3. Diagrammatically, decorations on facets are depicted by dots placed on facets adorned with symmetric polynomials in the correct number of variables (the thickness of the facet they sit on). The decoration of a given facet is the product of all adornments of dots sitting on that facet.




FIGURE 2. The three local models of a foam. Taking into account the thicknesses, the model in the middle is denoted $Y^{(a,b)}$, and the model on the right is denoted $T^{(a,b,c)}$.

Notation 3.4. For a foam F , we write:

- F^2 for the collection of facets of F ,

- F^1 for the collection of bindings,
- F^0 for the set of singular vertices of F .

We partition F^1 as follows: $F^1 = F^1_{\circ} \sqcup F^1_{-}$, where F^1_{\circ} is the collection of circular bindings and F^1_{-} is the collection of bindings diffeomorphic to intervals. If $s \in F^1_{-}$, any of its points has a neighborhood diffeomorphic to $Y^{(a,b)}$ for a given a and b , and we set:

$$(18) \quad \deg_N(s) = ab + (a + b)(N - a - b).$$

If $v \in F^0$, it has a neighborhood diffeomorphic to $T^{(a,b,c)}$ and we set:

$$(19) \quad \deg_N(v) = ab + bc + ac + (a + b + c)(N - a - b - c).$$

Definition 3.5. Let F be a decorated foam and suppose that all decorations are homogeneous. For all N in \mathbb{N} , the N -degree of F is the integer $\deg_N(F) \in \mathbb{Z}$ given by the following formula:

$$(20) \quad \deg_N(F) := \sum_{f \in F^2} \left(\deg(P_f) - \ell(f)(N - \ell(f))\chi(f) \right) \\ + \sum_{s \in F^1_{-}} \deg_N(s) - \sum_{v \in F^0} \deg_N(v).$$

The boundary of a foam $F \subset M$ is a web in ∂M . In the case $M = \Sigma \times [0, 1]$ is a thickened surface, a generic section $F_t := F \cap (\Sigma \times \{t\})$ is a web. The bottom and top webs F_0 and F_1 are called the *input* and *output* of F respectively.

If Σ is a surface, Foam_{Σ} is the category which has webs in Σ as objects and

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{Foam}_{\Sigma}}(\Gamma_0, \Gamma_1) = \{\text{decorated foams } F \text{ in } \Sigma \times [0, 1] \text{ with } F_i = \Gamma_i \text{ for } i \in \{0, 1\}\}.$$

Composition is given by stacking foams on one another and rescaling. Decorations behave multiplicatively. The identity of Γ is $\Gamma \times [0, 1]$ decorated by the constant polynomial 1 on every facet. The N -degree of foams is additive under composition. If Γ is a web in a surface Σ and $h : \Sigma \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \Sigma$ is a smooth isotopy¹ of Σ , one can define the foam $F(h)$ to be the trace of $h(\Gamma)$ in $\Sigma \times [0, 1]$: for all $t \in [0, 1]$, $F(h)_t = h_t(\Gamma)$. Such foams are called *traces of isotopies*. They have degree 0.

Definition 3.6. A foam in a surface $\Sigma \times [0, 1]$ is *basic* if it is a trace of isotopy or if it is equal to $\Gamma \times [0, 1]$ outside a cylinder $B \times [0, 1]$, and where it is given inside by one of the local models given in Figure 3.

A foam in $\Sigma \times [0, 1]$ is *in good position* if it is a composition of basic foams.

If Γ is a web in \mathbb{R}^2 , we denote by $V(\Gamma)$ the free \mathbb{k} -module generated by foams in good position in $\mathbb{R}^2 \times [0, 1]$ with \emptyset as input and Γ as output.

Remark 3.7. Every foam in $\Sigma \times [0, 1]$ is isotopic to a foam in good position.

¹For the sake of satisfying the collared condition, one should assume that $h_t = \text{Id}_{\Sigma}$ for $t \in [0, \epsilon \cup]1 - \epsilon, 1]$ for an $\epsilon \in]0, 1]$.




FIGURE 3. The degree of a basic foam is given below the name of each of the local models.

In [RW20a], a \mathfrak{gl}_N -evaluation of a foam F was defined. This evaluation $\langle F \rangle_N$ is an element of the ring $\mathbb{Z}[E_1, \dots, E_N]$. Its exact formula is not important for this paper and we refer to [RW20a] for details of the construction.

Decorations of foams that we consider will often be power sums, and so we introduce the following notation:

$$(21) \quad \boxed{\spadesuit_i} = \boxed{p_i}.$$

Note that in particular, on a facet of thickness a , $\spadesuit_0 = a$. We will also extend the decorations allowed and let $\widehat{\spadesuit}_i$ denote the i th power sum in the variables which are not in the facet. In other words,

$$(22) \quad \boxed{\widehat{\spadesuit}_i} = P_i \cdot \boxed{} - \boxed{\spadesuit_i}.$$

3.2. \mathfrak{sl}_2 -action.

Definition 3.8. Let \mathfrak{sl}_2 be the Lie algebra over \mathbb{Z} generated by $\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{h}$ with relations

$$[\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{e}] = 2\mathbf{e}, \quad [\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{f}] = -2\mathbf{f}, \quad [\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{f}] = \mathbf{h}.$$

We fix parameters t_1, t_2 and define operators \mathbf{e}, \mathbf{f} and \mathbf{h} below on basic foams and extend the action to satisfy the Leibniz rule with respect to composition of foams. They map traces of isotopies to 0. The operator \mathbf{e} acts via $-\sum_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$ on polynomials and by 0 on any other basic foam. The operators \mathbf{h} and \mathbf{f} are defined as follows.

$$(23) \quad \mathbf{h} \left(\begin{array}{c} \square \\ \bullet \\ R \end{array} \right) = -\deg(R) \cdot \begin{array}{c} \square \\ \bullet \\ R \end{array}$$

$$(24) \quad \mathbf{h} \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{Foam} \\ a \quad b \quad c \quad a+b+c \end{array} \right) = \mathbf{h} \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{Foam} \\ a+b+c \quad a \quad b \quad c \end{array} \right) = 0$$

$$(25) \quad \mathbf{h} \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{Foam} \\ a \quad b \quad a+b \end{array} \right) = ab(t_1 + t_2) \cdot \begin{array}{c} \text{Foam} \\ a \quad b \quad a+b \end{array}$$

$$(26) \quad \mathbf{h} \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{Foam} \\ a \quad b \quad a+b \end{array} \right) = ab(\bar{t}_1 + \bar{t}_2) \cdot \begin{array}{c} \text{Foam} \\ a \quad b \quad a+b \end{array}$$

$$(27) \quad \mathbf{h} \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{Foam} \\ a+b \quad a \quad b \end{array} \right) = -ab(\bar{t}_1 + \bar{t}_2) \cdot \begin{array}{c} \text{Foam} \\ a+b \quad a \quad b \end{array}$$

$$(28) \quad \mathbf{h} \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{Foam} \\ a \quad b \quad a+b \end{array} \right) = -ab(t_1 + t_2) \cdot \begin{array}{c} \text{Foam} \\ a \quad b \quad a+b \end{array}$$

$$(29) \quad \mathbf{h} \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{Foam} \\ a \end{array} \right) = a(N - a) \cdot \begin{array}{c} \text{Foam} \\ a \end{array}$$

$$(30) \quad \mathbf{h} \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{Foam} \\ a \end{array} \right) = a(N - a) \cdot \begin{array}{c} \text{Foam} \\ a \end{array}$$

$$(31) \quad \mathbf{h} \left(\begin{array}{c} a \\ \text{[Diagram: two sheets with a crossing]} \end{array} \right) = -a(N-a) \cdot \begin{array}{c} a \\ \text{[Diagram: two sheets with a crossing]} \end{array}$$

$$(32) \quad \mathbf{f} \left(\begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ R \end{array} \right) = \sum_i x_i^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (R)$$

$$(33) \quad \mathbf{f} \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{[Diagram: box with crossings]} \\ a \quad c \\ b \quad a+b+c \end{array} \right) = \mathbf{f} \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{[Diagram: box with crossings]} \\ a+b+c \quad a \\ c \quad b \end{array} \right) = 0$$

$$(34) \quad \mathbf{f} \left(\begin{array}{c} a \\ \text{[Diagram: box with a loop]} \\ b \quad a+b \end{array} \right) = -t_1 \cdot \begin{array}{c} a \\ \text{[Diagram: box with a loop and blue dots]} \\ b \quad a+b \end{array} - t_2 \cdot \begin{array}{c} a \\ \text{[Diagram: box with a loop and blue dots]} \\ b \quad a+b \end{array}$$

$$(35) \quad \mathbf{f} \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{[Diagram: box with a loop]} \\ a \quad a+b \\ b \end{array} \right) = -\bar{t}_1 \cdot \begin{array}{c} \text{[Diagram: box with a loop and blue dots]} \\ a \quad a+b \\ b \end{array} - \bar{t}_2 \cdot \begin{array}{c} \text{[Diagram: box with a loop and blue dots]} \\ a \quad a+b \\ b \end{array}$$

$$(36) \quad \mathbf{f} \left(\begin{array}{c} a+b \\ \text{[Diagram: box with a loop]} \\ a \quad b \end{array} \right) = \bar{t}_1 \cdot \begin{array}{c} a+b \\ \text{[Diagram: box with a loop and blue dots]} \\ a \quad b \end{array} + \bar{t}_2 \cdot \begin{array}{c} a+b \\ \text{[Diagram: box with a loop and blue dots]} \\ a \quad b \end{array}$$

$$(37) \quad \mathbf{f} \left(\begin{array}{c} a \\ \text{[Diagram: box with a loop]} \\ b \quad a+b \end{array} \right) = t_1 \cdot \begin{array}{c} a \\ \text{[Diagram: box with a loop and blue dots]} \\ b \quad a+b \end{array} + t_2 \cdot \begin{array}{c} a \\ \text{[Diagram: box with a loop and blue dots]} \\ b \quad a+b \end{array}$$

$$(38) \quad \mathbf{f} \left(\begin{array}{c} a \\ \text{[Diagram: bowl]} \end{array} \right) = -\frac{1}{2} \cdot \begin{array}{c} a \\ \text{[Diagram: bowl with blue dots]} \end{array} - \frac{1}{2} \cdot \begin{array}{c} a \\ \text{[Diagram: bowl with blue dots]} \end{array}$$

$$(39) \quad \mathbf{f} \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{[Diagram: bowl]} \\ a \end{array} \right) = -\frac{1}{2} \cdot \begin{array}{c} \text{[Diagram: bowl with blue dots]} \\ a \end{array} - \frac{1}{2} \cdot \begin{array}{c} \text{[Diagram: bowl with blue dots]} \\ a \end{array}$$

$$(40) \quad \mathbf{f} \left(\begin{array}{c} a \\ \text{[Diagram: two sheets with a crossing]} \end{array} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \begin{array}{c} a \\ \text{[Diagram: two sheets with a crossing and blue dots]} \end{array} + \frac{1}{2} \cdot \begin{array}{c} a \\ \text{[Diagram: two sheets with a crossing and blue dots]} \end{array}$$

We now record the fact that the formulas above do indeed give rise to an \mathfrak{sl}_2 -action.

Lemma 3.9. [QRSW22, Lemma 4.6] *Mapping \mathbf{e} to \mathbf{e} , \mathbf{h} to \mathbf{h} and \mathbf{f} to \mathbf{f} defines an action of \mathfrak{sl}_2 on the \mathbb{k} -module generated by foams in good position.*

The actions of $\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{h}$ defined above descend to an action on symmetric polynomials. The next fact is a direct consequence of [QRSW22, Proposition 4.3] and says that the action of \mathfrak{sl}_2 on the space of foams is compatible with the \mathfrak{sl}_2 -action on symmetric polynomials via foam evaluation.

Proposition 3.10. *Let F be a closed foam in good position, then for $\mathbf{x} \in \mathfrak{sl}_2$:*

$$(41) \quad \langle \mathbf{x} \cdot F \rangle_N = \mathbf{x} \cdot \langle F \rangle_N.$$

3.3. Green dotted webs and state spaces. Using foam evaluation (as in [Kho04, RW20a, QRSW22]), one can associate an \mathbb{Z}_N -module, called a \mathfrak{gl}_N -state space $\mathcal{F}_N(\Gamma)$ to each web Γ . To prevent overloaded diagrams, we will omit $\mathcal{F}_N(\cdot)$ when dealing with actual webs or foams.

The \mathfrak{sl}_2 -action on foams gives rise to an \mathfrak{sl}_2 -action on the state space $\mathcal{F}_N(\Gamma)$. Proposition 3.10 guarantees that this action is well-defined.

For each edge e with label a in a web Γ , the algebra

$$(42) \quad D_e = \mathbb{k}[x_1, \dots, x_a, y_1, \dots, y_{N-a}]^{S_a \times S_{N-a}}$$

acts on the \mathfrak{gl}_N -state space $\mathcal{F}_N(\Gamma)$ associated to Γ by adding a decoration on the facet bounding to the edge e . Consequently the algebra

$$(43) \quad D_\Gamma := \bigotimes_{e \in E(\Gamma)} D_e$$


acts on $\mathcal{F}_N(\Gamma)$. For each edge e , the algebra D_e is endowed with a natural \mathfrak{sl}_2 -module structure and is an \mathfrak{sl}_2 -module algebra and thus so is D_Γ .

It is possible to twist the \mathfrak{sl}_2 -action on $\mathcal{F}_N(\Gamma)$. This idea goes back to [KR16] where the Witt-type action was twisted in order to make differentials occurring in link homology equivariant with respect to the Witt action. Similar ideas were then used in [QRSW21, QS22] in order to categorify the colored Jones polynomial in the context of a p -DG structure. The twisting of the Witt-type action on foams was described in [QRSW22]. Here we review this twisting in the simplified setting of an \mathfrak{sl}_2 -action.

Let $F: \Gamma_1 \rightarrow \Gamma_2$ be a foam. Then $\mathcal{F}_N(F)$ induces a \mathbb{Z}_N -linear map from $\mathcal{F}_N(\Gamma_1)$ to $\mathcal{F}_N(\Gamma_2)$. Due to the Leibniz rule, this map intertwines the action of \mathfrak{sl}_2 if and only if $\mathcal{F}_N(g \cdot F) = 0$ for all $g \in \mathfrak{sl}_2$. This prevents many foams from being morphisms in categories taking into account the \mathfrak{sl}_2 -action. Having in mind the construction of a link homology with an \mathfrak{sl}_2 -action, we must circumvent this problem. We introduce twists on state spaces. These twists are very similar to that in [QRSW21]. However the more refined structures we are considering here forces us to introduce several types of twists. We follow the diagrammatic formalism of [QRSW21] and extend the definition of green-dotted webs.

Definition 3.11. A *green-dotted web* is a web Γ endowed with a finite collection D of *green dots*, that are marked points with multiplicities (in \mathbb{k}) located in the interior of edges of Γ . These green dots are of two types \circ and \bullet . If a given edge carries several green dots of the same type, they may be replaced by one green dot of that type on this edge with the sum of all multiplicities.

Example 3.12. The following green-dotted web will come up later when proving invariance of link homology under a Reidemeister II move.



Let (Γ, D) be a green-dotted web. For each green dot d of multiplicity $\lambda \in \mathbb{k}$, define Γ_d to be the foam $\Gamma \times [0, 1]$ with a twisted action of \mathfrak{sl}_2 . Each green dot lives on an edge, hence each foam bounding Γ has a neighborhood of that green dots homeomorphic to $]0, 1[\times]0, 1[$. The twists induced by green dots is local and we depict the modified \mathfrak{sl}_2 -action on these neighborhoods. Recall that to act on a concrete foam, one uses the Leibniz rule, so that the twists induced by various green-dot on a given web add up.

$$(44) \quad e \left(\begin{array}{|c|} \hline \circ \\ \hline \lambda \\ \hline \end{array} \right) = 0$$

$$(45) \quad e \left(\begin{array}{|c|} \hline \bullet \\ \hline \lambda \\ \hline \end{array} \right) = 0$$

$$(46) \quad h \left(\begin{array}{|c|} \hline \circ \\ \hline \lambda \\ \hline \end{array} \right) = -\lambda \cdot \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \circ \\ \hline \lambda \\ \hline \spadesuit_0 \\ \hline \end{array}$$

$$(47) \quad h \left(\begin{array}{|c|} \hline \bullet \\ \hline \lambda \\ \hline \end{array} \right) = -\lambda \cdot \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \bullet \\ \hline \lambda \\ \hline \widehat{\spadesuit}_0 \\ \hline \end{array}$$

$$(48) \quad f \left(\begin{array}{|c|} \hline \circ \\ \hline \lambda \\ \hline \end{array} \right) = \lambda \cdot \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \circ \\ \hline \lambda \\ \hline \spadesuit_1 \\ \hline \end{array}$$

$$(49) \quad f \left(\begin{array}{|c|} \hline \bullet \\ \hline \lambda \\ \hline \end{array} \right) = \lambda \cdot \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \bullet \\ \hline \lambda \\ \hline \widehat{\spadesuit}_1 \\ \hline \end{array}.$$

It is convenient to introduce floating green dots on the plane: one can view them as being on edges of thickness 0. A floating hollow green dot \circ does not alter the Lie algebra action since in this context $\spadesuit_0 = \spadesuit_1 = 0$. However a solid green dot \bullet twists the action of f by E_1 and the action of h by $-N$ (because $\widehat{\spadesuit}_0 = N$ and $\widehat{\spadesuit}_1 = E_1$)

With this new convention, one has the following local relation:

$$\text{---} \overset{r}{\bullet} \text{---} \overset{r}{\circ} \text{---} \rightarrow a = \text{---} \overset{r}{\bullet} \text{---} \rightarrow a \cdot$$

3.4. About twists. The \mathfrak{gl}_N -state spaces are endowed with an \mathfrak{sl}_2 -action and a D_Γ -action. These two actions intertwine nicely. This allows us to twist the first one by the second as we shall see. In order to show what structures are at play, we will formulate this in a slightly more general context.

Let \mathfrak{g} be a Lie algebra, A a commutative \mathfrak{g} -module algebra and M an $A\#\mathfrak{g}$ -module², that a \mathfrak{g} -module with an A -module structure, which satisfies the following identities for all g in \mathfrak{g} , a in A and m in M :

$$g \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} (a \cdot_A m) = (g \cdot a) \cdot_A m + a \cdot_A (g \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} m).$$

In our context, $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_2$, M is a \mathfrak{gl}_N -state space of a web Γ and A is D_Γ , the polynomial algebra of decorations of Γ .

A linear map $\tau : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow A$ is *flat* if for all g_1, g_2 in \mathfrak{g} , one has:

$$(50) \quad \tau([g_1, g_2]) = g_1 \cdot \tau(g_2) - g_2 \cdot \tau(g_1).$$

If τ is flat, one can check that there is a new $A\#\mathfrak{g}$ -module structure on the rank-one free module A itself defined by

$$(51) \quad g \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}^\tau} a := g \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} a + \tau(g)a.$$

More generally, the following formula defines a new \mathfrak{g} -action on any $A\#\mathfrak{g}$ -module M via:

$$(52) \quad g \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}^\tau} m := g \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} m + \tau(g) \cdot_A m.$$

One also checks that the twisted action $\cdot_{\mathfrak{g}^\tau}$, similar as $\cdot_{\mathfrak{g}}$, is compatible with the A -action. In other words, this gives M a new $A\#\mathfrak{g}$ -module structure. If τ is flat, we denote by M^τ the module endowed with the action $\cdot_{\mathfrak{g}^\tau}$. One can readily see that, as $A\#\mathfrak{g}$ -modules,

$$(53) \quad M^\tau = A^\tau \otimes_A M.$$

This means in particular that if $\tau, \sigma : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow A$ are flat, then $(M^\tau)^\sigma \cong M^{\tau+\sigma}$.

Proposition 3.13. *For any green-dotted web (Γ, D) , twisting the actions of \mathbf{e}, \mathbf{f} , and \mathbf{h} as above endows $\mathcal{F}_N(\Gamma)$ with an \mathfrak{sl}_2 -module structure.*

Proof. From the earlier discussion, we know that if there are no green dots, then the state space carries an \mathfrak{sl}_2 -action.

By additivity of twists, it is enough to prove the proposition for the case that a single edge e of thickness a contains a hollow dot labeled α and a solid dot labeled β . Let $\tau : \mathfrak{sl}_2 \rightarrow D_e \subseteq D_\Gamma$ be the map encoded by these two green dots:

$$(54) \quad \tau(\mathbf{e}) = 0, \quad \tau(\mathbf{h}) = -a\alpha - (N - a)\beta, \quad \tau(\mathbf{f}) = \alpha \spadesuit_1 + \beta \widehat{\spadesuit}_1.$$

²In the notation of Section 2, it should really be $A\#\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$, where $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ denotes the universal enveloping algebra of \mathfrak{g} . However, we will write this smash product algebra as $A\#\mathfrak{g}$ for simplification.

Checking flatness of τ is a straightforward computation:

$$\begin{aligned}\tau([\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{e}]) - \mathbf{h} \cdot \tau(\mathbf{e}) + \mathbf{e} \cdot \tau(\mathbf{h}) &= 2\tau(\mathbf{e}) - 0 + \mathbf{e} \cdot (-a\alpha - (N - a)\beta) \\ &= 0.\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\tau([\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{f}]) - \mathbf{h} \cdot \tau(\mathbf{f}) + \mathbf{f} \cdot \tau(\mathbf{h}) &= -2\tau(\mathbf{f}) - \mathbf{h} \cdot (\alpha\spadesuit_1 + \beta\widehat{\spadesuit}_1) + \mathbf{f} \cdot (-a\alpha - (N - a)\beta) \\ &= -2(\alpha\spadesuit_1 + \beta\widehat{\spadesuit}_1) + 2(\alpha\spadesuit_1 + \beta\widehat{\spadesuit}_1) + 0 \\ &= 0.\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\tau([\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{f}]) - \mathbf{e} \cdot \tau(\mathbf{f}) + \mathbf{f} \cdot \tau(\mathbf{e}) &= \tau(\mathbf{h}) - \mathbf{e} \cdot (\alpha\spadesuit_1 + \beta\widehat{\spadesuit}_1) \\ &= -a\alpha - (N - a)\beta + (\alpha\spadesuit_0 + \beta\widehat{\spadesuit}_0) \\ &= 0.\end{aligned}$$

The result follows. \square

Alternatively, if e is an edge of thickness a in a web Γ , the algebra

$$D_e = \mathbb{k}[x_1, \dots, x_a, y_1, \dots, y_{N-a}]^{S_a \times S_{N-a}}$$

is an \mathfrak{sl}_2 -module algebra with \mathbf{e} , \mathbf{f} and \mathbf{h} acting by differential operators

$$(55) \quad \mathbf{e} = -\sum_{i=1}^a \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} - \sum_{j=1}^{N-a} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j}, \quad \mathbf{f} = x_i^2 \sum_{i=1}^a \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} + y_j^2 \sum_{j=1}^{N-a} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j},$$

$$(56) \quad \mathbf{h} = -2x_i \sum_{i=1}^a \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} - 2y_j \sum_{j=1}^{N-a} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j}.$$

Proposition 3.13 shows that there is a 2-parameter \mathfrak{sl}_2 -equivariant D_e -bimodule structure on the rank-one module $D_e \cdot v_{\alpha, \beta}$, for some $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{k}$. Here \mathbf{e} , \mathbf{f} and \mathbf{h} act on the module generator $v_{\alpha, \beta}$ by

$$(57) \quad \mathbf{e}(v_{\alpha, \beta}) = 0, \quad \mathbf{h}(v_{\alpha, \beta}) = -(a\alpha + (N - a)\beta)v_{\alpha, \beta}, \quad \mathbf{f}(v_{\alpha, \beta}) = (\alpha\spadesuit_1 + \beta\widehat{\spadesuit}_1)v_{\alpha, \beta}.$$


This equivariant bimodule gives rise to the twist endo-functor on $D_e \# \mathfrak{sl}_2$ -modules as the tensor product $(D_e \cdot v_{\alpha, \beta}) \otimes_{D_e} (-)$.

Basic properties of symmetric functions allow green dots to migrate along a web in the following ways, which we record for later use. See also [QRSW21, Lemma 3.11]. These manipulation of green dots, will be referred to as *green dot migration*.

$$(58) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} \begin{array}{c} a+b \\ \uparrow \\ \begin{array}{ccc} r \circlearrowleft & & \circlearrowright r \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ a & & b \end{array} \end{array} & = & \begin{array}{c} a+b \\ \uparrow \\ \begin{array}{c} \circlearrowleft r \\ \downarrow \\ a & b \end{array} \end{array}, & \begin{array}{c} a & b \\ \swarrow & \searrow \\ r \circlearrowleft & & \circlearrowright r \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ a+b & & a+b \end{array} & = & \begin{array}{c} a & b \\ \swarrow & \searrow \\ r \circlearrowleft & & \circlearrowright r \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ a+b & & a+b \end{array}, & \begin{array}{c} a+b \\ \uparrow \\ \begin{array}{ccc} r \circlearrowleft & & \circlearrowright r \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ a & & b \end{array} \end{array} & = & \begin{array}{c} a+b \\ \uparrow \\ \begin{array}{c} \circlearrowleft r \\ \downarrow \\ a & b \end{array} \end{array}, & \begin{array}{c} a & b \\ \swarrow & \searrow \\ r \circlearrowleft & & \circlearrowright r \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ a+b & & a+b \end{array} & = & \begin{array}{c} a & b \\ \swarrow & \searrow \\ r \circlearrowleft & & \circlearrowright r \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ a+b & & a+b \end{array}. \end{array}$$

3.5. Useful morphisms. The next few lemmas are foam versions of \mathfrak{sl}_2 -equivariant maps of Soergel bimodules in [QRSW22, Section 2.5] or [QRSW21, Lemma 3.21] where $t_1 = t_2 = 0$ and we omit proofs of these basic facts. These morphisms play an important role in the link homology to be introduced in the next section.

Lemma 3.14. *The two different orientations of the foam*




induce isomorphisms

$$\alpha: \begin{array}{c} a \quad b \quad c \\ \swarrow \quad \downarrow \quad \searrow \\ a+b \quad \quad \quad \\ \downarrow \\ a+b+c \end{array} \rightarrow \begin{array}{c} a \quad b \quad c \\ \swarrow \quad \downarrow \quad \searrow \\ a+b \quad \quad \quad \\ \downarrow \\ a+b+c \end{array} \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha: \begin{array}{c} a+b+c \\ \swarrow \quad \downarrow \quad \searrow \\ a+b \quad \quad \quad \\ \downarrow \\ a+b+c \end{array} \rightarrow \begin{array}{c} a+b+c \\ \swarrow \quad \downarrow \quad \searrow \\ a \quad \quad \quad \\ \downarrow \\ a+b+c \end{array}$$

of \mathfrak{sl}_2 -equivariant \mathfrak{gl}_N -state spaces associated with webs. Their inverses are also denoted by α .

Lemma 3.15. *The foams*




induce morphisms

$$v: \begin{array}{c} a+b \\ \uparrow \\ a+b \end{array} \rightarrow \begin{array}{c} a+b \\ \swarrow \quad \downarrow \quad \searrow \\ a \quad \quad \quad \\ \downarrow \\ a+b \end{array} \quad \text{and} \quad \zeta: \begin{array}{c} a+b \\ \swarrow \quad \downarrow \quad \searrow \\ a \quad \quad \quad \\ \downarrow \\ a+b \end{array} \rightarrow \begin{array}{c} a+b \\ \uparrow \\ a+b \end{array}$$

of \mathfrak{sl}_2 -equivariant \mathfrak{gl}_N -state spaces associated with webs.

Lemma 3.16. *The foams*



induce morphisms


$$\eta: \begin{array}{c} a+c \quad b \\ \swarrow \quad \downarrow \quad \searrow \\ a+b+c \quad \quad \quad \\ \downarrow \\ a \quad \quad \quad \\ \downarrow \\ a+b+c \end{array} \rightarrow \begin{array}{c} a+c \quad b \\ \swarrow \quad \downarrow \quad \searrow \\ a \quad \quad \quad \\ \downarrow \\ a+b+c \end{array}$$

and

$$\eta: \begin{array}{c} a \quad b+c \\ \nearrow \quad \searrow \\ a+b+c \\ \nwarrow \quad \nearrow \\ a+c \quad b \end{array} \begin{array}{c} bt_1 \\ \circ \\ at_2 \\ \circ \end{array} \rightarrow \begin{array}{c} a \quad b+c \\ \uparrow \quad \uparrow \\ a+c \quad b \\ \nearrow \quad \nwarrow \\ a+c \quad b \end{array}$$

of \mathfrak{sl}_2 -equivariant \mathfrak{gl}_N -state spaces associated with webs.

Lemma 3.17. *The foams*



induce morphisms


$$\chi: \begin{array}{c} a+c \quad b \\ \uparrow \quad \uparrow \\ a+c \quad b \\ \nearrow \quad \nwarrow \\ a \quad b+c \end{array} \rightarrow \begin{array}{c} a+c \quad b \\ \nwarrow \quad \nearrow \\ a+b+c \\ \nwarrow \quad \nearrow \\ a \quad b+c \end{array} \begin{array}{c} -a\bar{t}_2 \\ \circ \\ -b\bar{t}_1 \\ \circ \end{array}$$

and

$$\chi: \begin{array}{c} a \quad b+c \\ \uparrow \quad \uparrow \\ a+c \quad b \\ \nearrow \quad \nwarrow \\ a+c \quad b \end{array} \rightarrow \begin{array}{c} a \quad b+c \\ \nwarrow \quad \nearrow \\ a+b+c \\ \nwarrow \quad \nearrow \\ a+c \quad b \end{array} \begin{array}{c} -b\bar{t}_1 \\ \circ \\ -a\bar{t}_2 \\ \circ \end{array}$$

of \mathfrak{sl}_2 -equivariant \mathfrak{gl}_N -state spaces associated with webs.

Lemma 3.18. *The foams*



induce morphisms


$$\kappa: \emptyset \rightarrow \begin{array}{c} \frac{a}{2} \\ \bullet \\ \frac{N-a}{2} \\ \circ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \circlearrowleft \\ a \end{array}$$

and

$$\kappa: \begin{array}{c} \frac{-a}{2} \\ \bullet \\ \frac{a-N}{2} \\ \circ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \circlearrowleft \\ a \end{array} \rightarrow \emptyset$$

of \mathfrak{sl}_2 -equivariant \mathfrak{gl}_N -state spaces associated with webs.

Lemma 3.19. *The foam*



induces a morphism

$$\sigma: \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{a} \\ \xleftarrow{a} \end{array} \rightarrow \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{a} \\ \xleftarrow{\frac{a-N}{2}} \circ \xrightarrow{\frac{-a}{2}} \end{array}$$

of \mathfrak{sl}_2 -equivariant webs.

These morphisms can be composed in order to construct more sophisticated morphisms between \mathfrak{sl}_2 -equivariant \mathfrak{gl}_N -state spaces associated with webs.

Example 3.20. The composition of elementary foams

$$(59) \quad \begin{array}{c} 2 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \quad 3 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ 2 \quad 1 \\ \quad t_2 \circ \end{array} \xrightarrow{\nu} \begin{array}{c} 2 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \quad t_1 \circ \quad t_2 \circ \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ 2 \quad 1 \\ \quad t_2 \circ \end{array} \xrightarrow{\alpha} \begin{array}{c} 2 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \quad t_2 \circ \quad t_1 \circ \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ 2 \quad 1 \\ \quad t_2 \circ \end{array} \xrightarrow{\eta} \begin{array}{c} 2 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \quad t_2 \circ \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ 2 \quad 1 \end{array}$$

induces a morphism of \mathfrak{sl}_2 -equivariant \mathfrak{gl}_N -state spaces associated with webs. Adding a green dot with multiplicity $-t_2$ on the bottom right leg, we end up with a morphism

$$(60) \quad \begin{array}{c} 2 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \quad 3 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ 2 \quad 1 \end{array} \longrightarrow \begin{array}{c} 2 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \quad t_2 \circ \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ 2 \quad 1 \\ \quad -t_2 \circ \end{array}$$

of \mathfrak{sl}_2 -equivariant \mathfrak{gl}_N -state spaces associated with webs.

4. LINK HOMOLOGY, DEFINITION AND INVARIANCE

In the previous section, we associated a \mathbb{Z}_N -module to any closed web. This construction categorifies the MOY calculus which describes the category of $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{gl}_N)$ representations generated by exterior powers of the fundamental representation in a diagrammatic fashion. This construction leads to a definition of Khovanov–Rozansky \mathfrak{gl}_N -link homology. For more details, see [RW20a].

We also saw in the previous section how \mathfrak{sl}_2 acts on the state space associated to a web. In this section we show how the \mathfrak{sl}_2 -action extends to Khovanov–Rozansky homology.

Convention 4.1. In web diagrams, for space purposes, we will often not write the thicknesses of the edges when they have thickness 1 or 2. A “normal” edge (—) means thickness 1, a double edge (=) means thickness 2. Edges of higher thickness (only thickness 3 will appear in the proof of

Reidemeister III move) will be thicker (—) and their thicknesses will be displayed.

4.1. **Link homology.** As usual for Khovanov-like link homology theories, we associate a hypercube shaped complex to any link diagram by specifying locally a length 2 complex to any crossing. We define the following cohomologically graded braiding complexes:

$$(61) \quad T = \begin{array}{c} \nearrow \\ \searrow \\ \nearrow \\ \searrow \end{array} := \begin{array}{c} t_1 \circlearrowleft \quad t_2 \circlearrowright \\ \downarrow \\ \downarrow \\ \downarrow \end{array} \xrightarrow{\text{[Diagram]}} q^{-1} \begin{array}{c} \uparrow \\ \uparrow \\ \uparrow \end{array}$$

$$(62) \quad T' = \begin{array}{c} \nearrow \\ \searrow \\ \nearrow \\ \searrow \end{array} := q \begin{array}{c} \uparrow \\ \uparrow \\ \uparrow \end{array} \xrightarrow{\text{[Diagram]}} \begin{array}{c} -\bar{t}_1 \circlearrowleft \quad -\bar{t}_2 \circlearrowright \\ \downarrow \\ \downarrow \\ \downarrow \end{array}$$

where in both complexes we assume (as in [QRSW21]) that the terms



sit in cohomological degree 0. In these diagrams $\mathcal{F}_N(\cdot)$ has been omitted to maintain readability.

For a link L , define $\text{KR}_N^{\mathfrak{sl}_2}(L; R) := \text{KR}_{N;t_1,t_2}^{\mathfrak{sl}_2}(L; R)$ to be the Khovanov–Rozansky \mathfrak{gl}_N -homology of L with coefficients in a ring R , equipped with the action of the Hopf algebra $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$. When the coefficient ring R is clear from context we will also write $\text{KR}_N^{\mathfrak{sl}_2}(L)$ for simplicity.

In what follows, we will simply draw (pieces of) link diagrams (with green dots) to represent the \mathfrak{sl}_2 -equivariant Khovanov–Rozansky \mathfrak{gl}_N -homology of these diagrams. In other words, we will mostly drop $\text{KR}_N^{\mathfrak{sl}_2}(\cdot; R)$ around diagrams to prevent overloaded figures.

Remark 4.2. As indicated above, the definition of the link invariant depends upon the parameters t_1 and t_2 . It is not clear if the link homology is actually independent of these parameters, but for ease of reading, we often remove t_1 and t_2 from the notation.

The rest of the section will be devoted to proving that this link homology is invariant under Reidemeister moves.

Theorem 4.3. *The homology $\text{KR}_{N;t_1,t_2}^{\mathfrak{sl}_2}(L; \mathbb{Z}_N)$ is an invariant of framed oriented links.*

For a homology class α and an element $g \in \mathfrak{sl}_2$, we denote this action by $g \cdot \alpha$.

4.2. Green dots can slide through crossings. We consider link diagrams with green dots. These green dots should appear on any resolutions used to compute their homology. As we shall see, the homology of a link diagram with green dots does not depend on the precise location of the green dots on a given component. Hence the green dot data can be thought as a framing (and will be shown to have a non-trivial interaction with the framing, see section 4.3).

We next show that we could slide green dots through crossings in the relative homotopy category. These ideas originate from [KR16]. See also [QRSW21] for an adaptation of these ideas to the p -DG setting.

Lemma 4.4. *Let $c, d \in \mathbb{k}$. Then there are isomorphisms of complexes in the relative homotopy category*

$$(63) \quad \begin{array}{c} \nearrow \\ \circlearrowleft c \\ \searrow \\ \circlearrowright d \end{array} \cong \begin{array}{c} \circlearrowleft d \\ \nearrow \\ \circlearrowright c \\ \searrow \end{array} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{array}{c} \nearrow \\ \circlearrowleft c \\ \searrow \\ \circlearrowright d \end{array} \cong \begin{array}{c} \circlearrowleft d \\ \nearrow \\ \circlearrowright c \\ \searrow \end{array},$$

$$(64) \quad \begin{array}{c} \nearrow \\ \bullet c \\ \searrow \\ \bullet d \end{array} \cong \begin{array}{c} \bullet d \\ \nearrow \\ \bullet c \\ \searrow \end{array} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{array}{c} \nearrow \\ \bullet c \\ \searrow \\ \bullet d \end{array} \cong \begin{array}{c} \bullet d \\ \nearrow \\ \bullet c \\ \searrow \end{array}.$$

Proof. We only prove

$$(65) \quad {}_x C := \begin{array}{c} \nearrow \\ \circlearrowleft 1 \\ \searrow \end{array} \cong \begin{array}{c} \circlearrowright 1 \\ \nearrow \\ \searrow \end{array} := C^y.$$

The general case of the first isomorphism in (63) follows similarly. The other isomorphism in (63) could be proved in a similar fashion. Alternatively, it follows from the first isomorphism and Reidemeister II (to be proved later). The isomorphisms in (64) follow from the isomorphisms in (63) using the fact that a solid green dot and a hollow green dot together form a symmetric function in the ground ring.

In order to prove (65), we begin by enlarging the ground ring from $\mathbb{k}_N = \mathbb{k}[E_1, \dots, E_N]$ to $\mathbb{k}_N[z] = \mathbb{k}[E_1, \dots, E_N][z]$. The \mathfrak{sl}_2 -action on \mathbb{k}_N extends to $\mathbb{k}_N[z]$ in the obvious way:

$$(66) \quad \mathbf{e}(z) = -1, \quad \mathbf{h}(z) = -2z, \quad \mathbf{f}(z) = z^2.$$

Let $\lambda, \mu, \gamma \in \mathbb{k}$. We will use the following abbreviations of state spaces of webs and their twistings.


$$\lambda_x B^{\mu y} = \begin{array}{c} t_1 \circlearrowleft t_2 \circlearrowright \mu \\ \circlearrowleft \lambda \\ \searrow \end{array}, \quad \lambda_x A^{\mu y} = \begin{array}{c} \uparrow \mu \circlearrowright \\ \circlearrowleft \lambda \end{array}.$$

Let $B[z] := B \otimes \mathbb{k}[z]$ and $A[z] := A \otimes \mathbb{k}[z]$ with the \mathfrak{sl}_2 -actions coming from (66).


The action of \mathfrak{sl}_2 on state spaces ${}_{\lambda x}B^{\mu y}[z]^{\gamma z}$ and ${}_{\lambda x}A^{\mu y}[z]^{\gamma z}$ are determined by the actions on ${}_{\lambda x}B^{\mu y}$ and ${}_{\lambda x}A^{\mu y}$ respectively and

$$(67) \quad e(z^n) = -(n + \gamma)z^{n-1}, \quad h(z^n) = -2(n + \gamma)z^n, \quad f(z^n) = (n + \gamma)z^{n+1}.$$

Recall that we have unzip and zip foams respectively:



In what follows below we will need foams of the form $\lambda\chi$ and $\lambda z\chi$ which just mean the foam χ with coefficients λ or λz in the ground ring. We will also need foams



Identity maps from B to B or A to A are given by foams which are the webs times an interval. For these foams, we will let x_1 or y_2 be shorthand for these foams with decorations \spadesuit_1 on the lower left and upper right facets respectively.

We can fit ${}_x C$ in a short exact sequence of \mathfrak{sl}_2 -equivariant state spaces: (68)

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & \boxed{{}_x B \xrightarrow{\eta} {}_x A} & \cdots & \boxed{{}_x C} \\
 & & \begin{array}{c} (z \mapsto x_1 \ 0) \uparrow \quad \downarrow z \mapsto x_1 \\ \boxed{{}_{1-\lambda} B^\lambda[z]^{2z} \xrightarrow{\eta} B[z]^z \oplus {}_{1-\lambda} A^\lambda[z]^{2z} \xrightarrow{(\eta \ x_1 - z)} A[z]^z} \\ \uparrow \quad \downarrow \\ \boxed{{}_{1-\lambda} B^\lambda[z]^{2z} \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -\eta \end{pmatrix}} {}_x B[z]^{2z} \oplus {}_{1-\lambda} A^\lambda[z]^{2z} \xrightarrow{(\eta \ 1)} {}_x A[z]^{2z}} \\ \uparrow \quad \downarrow \\ -\text{Id} \quad \begin{pmatrix} x_1 - z & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad x_1 - z \end{array} \\
 & & \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\lambda(z-x_1)\chi} \\ \xrightarrow{-\lambda\chi} \end{array} & \cdots & \begin{array}{c} \boxed{{}_x C'(\lambda)} \\ \uparrow \\ \boxed{{}_x C''(\lambda)} \\ \uparrow \\ 0 \end{array}
 \end{array}$$

where the green arrows indicate that the actions of f get further twisted by the foams on the labels of the arrow. The actions of e and h are not twisted any further. We let the reader check that all maps are indeed \mathfrak{sl}_2 -equivariant. The complex ${}_x C''(\lambda)$ is null-homotopic and this sequence splits when one forgets the \mathfrak{sl}_2 -module structures. Hence ${}_x C$ and ${}_x C'(\lambda)$ are isomorphic in the relative homotopy category.

Similarly, for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{k}$, one has an isomorphism $C^y \cong (C^y)'(\lambda)$ in the relative homotopy category with:

$$(C^y)'(\lambda) := \left(\begin{array}{ccc} {}_{-\lambda x}B^{(\lambda+1)y}[z]^{2z} & \xrightarrow{\left(\begin{smallmatrix} z-y_2 \\ \eta \end{smallmatrix}\right)} & B[z]^z \oplus {}_{-\lambda x}A^{(\lambda+1)y}[z]^{2z} \xrightarrow{(\eta y_2 - z)} A[z]^z \\ & \xleftarrow{\lambda(z-y_2)\chi} & \end{array} \right).$$

The complexes ${}_xC'(0)$ and $(C^y)'(-1)$ are isomorphic as \mathfrak{sl}_2 -equivariant complexes of state spaces. An isomorphism is given below:

(69)

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \boxed{{}_xB[z]^{2z} \xrightarrow{\left(\begin{smallmatrix} z-x_1 \\ \eta \end{smallmatrix}\right)} B[z]^z \oplus {}_xA[z]^{2z} \xrightarrow{(\eta x_1 - z)} A[z]^z} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & {}_xC'(0) \\ \uparrow \text{Id} & \begin{array}{c} \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & \chi \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right) \\ \uparrow \end{array} & \uparrow \\ \boxed{{}_xB[z]^{2z} \xrightarrow{\left(\begin{smallmatrix} z-y_2 \\ \eta \end{smallmatrix}\right)} B[z]^z \oplus {}_xA[z]^{2z} \xrightarrow{(\eta y_2 - z)} A[z]^z} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & (C^y)'(-1) \\ & \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{(y_2 - z)\chi} \\ \uparrow \end{array} & \end{array}$$

This proves that ${}_xC \cong C^y$. □

4.3. Framed Reidemeister I.

Proposition 4.5. *There are isomorphisms in the relative homotopy category*

$$\begin{array}{c} \uparrow \\ \text{Curl} \end{array} \cong tq^{-N} \begin{array}{c} \uparrow \\ \bullet \\ -\frac{1}{2} \\ \downarrow \\ \circ \\ -\frac{1}{2}(N-1) \end{array}, \quad \begin{array}{c} \uparrow \\ \text{Curl} \end{array} \cong t^{-1}q^N \begin{array}{c} \uparrow \\ \bullet \\ \frac{1}{2} \\ \downarrow \\ \circ \\ \frac{1}{2}(N-1) \end{array}.$$


Proof. Both isomorphisms are similar and we will only prove the first one. Using Lemma 4.4, the desired isomorphism is equivalent to

(70)
$$\begin{array}{c} \uparrow \\ \text{Curl} \end{array} \cong tq^{-N} \begin{array}{c} \uparrow \\ \bullet \\ \frac{1}{2} \\ \downarrow \\ \circ \\ \frac{1}{2}(N-1) \end{array},$$

which we will show. By definition, the green-dotted curl is given by the total complex

$$\begin{array}{c} \uparrow \\ \text{Curl} \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} t_1 \uparrow \quad t_2 \uparrow \\ \text{Curl} \end{array} \xrightarrow{\eta} q^{-1} \begin{array}{c} \uparrow \\ \bullet \\ \frac{1}{2} \\ \downarrow \\ \circ \\ \frac{1}{2}(N-1) \end{array}.$$

We then embed the above complex into a short exact sequence of \mathfrak{sl}_2 -equivariant complexes that splits when forgetting the \mathfrak{sl}_2 -actions as follows:



Here we have used Lemma 3.18 repeatedly.

The objects in the bottom row are copies of the identity web with some unspecified twisted \mathfrak{sl}_2 -structure. But the crucial point is that the bottom map is the identity map. Thus the bottom complex is contractible.

Consequently, taking the inverse twisting of equation (70) gives us the desired

$$\begin{array}{c} \uparrow \\ \text{web} \\ \downarrow \end{array} \cong tq^{-N} \begin{array}{c} -\frac{1}{2} \uparrow \\ \bullet \\ -\frac{1}{2}(N-1) \circ \end{array}$$

The proposition follows. □

4.4. Reidemeister II. The next lemma is analogous to [KR16, Lemma 4.3] and [QS22, Lemma 3.5].

Lemma 4.6. *There is a short exact sequence of webs which splits when forgetting the action of \mathfrak{sl}_2 :*

(71)

where the splitting maps are given by

$$\zeta^X - X\zeta = \left[\text{web with } \clubsuit_0 \text{ and } \clubsuit_1 \text{ on top} \right] - \left[\text{web with } \clubsuit_1 \text{ and } \clubsuit_0 \text{ on top} \right]$$

$$v^X - Xv = \left[\text{web with } \clubsuit_0 \text{ and } \clubsuit_1 \text{ on bottom} \right] - \left[\text{web with } \clubsuit_1 \text{ and } \clubsuit_0 \text{ on bottom} \right].$$

Proof. This is a straightforward calculation and analogous to [QS22, Lemma 3.5]. We leave it as an exercise for the reader. \square

The next result is a foam version of [KR16, Theorem 4.2] and [QS22, Proposition 3.7], whose proof is included for the sake of completeness.

Proposition 4.7. *There are isomorphisms in the relative homotopy category*

$$\begin{array}{c} \curvearrowright \cong \uparrow \\ \curvearrowleft \cong \uparrow \end{array}.$$

Proof. By definition, the complex for the leftmost term is given by:

(72)

Using Lemma 4.6, this complex is isomorphic to

$$(73) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & \uparrow & \uparrow \\ & \eta & \chi \\ q \begin{array}{c} \hat{t}_1 \hat{t}_2 \hat{\sigma} \\ \text{---} \\ \text{---} \end{array} & & q^{-1} \begin{array}{c} -\bar{t}_1 \hat{\sigma} -\bar{t}_2 \\ \text{---} \\ \text{---} \end{array} \\ \left(\begin{array}{c} \frac{1}{2}({}^X \text{Id} - \text{Id}^X) \\ \frac{1}{2} \text{Id} \end{array} \right) & & (\text{Id} \quad \text{Id}_X - {}_X \text{Id}) \\ & \searrow & \nearrow \\ q \begin{array}{c} -\bar{t}_1 \hat{\sigma} -\bar{t}_2 \\ \text{---} \\ \text{---} \end{array} \oplus q^{-1} \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \\ -\bar{t}_1 + 1 \hat{\sigma} -\bar{t}_2 + 1 \\ \text{---} \\ \text{---} \\ 1 \quad 1 \end{array} \end{array} .$$

Recalling that $t_1 + \bar{t}_1 = t_2 + \bar{t}_2 = 1$, we contract out the terms

$$(74) \quad q \begin{array}{c} \hat{t}_1 \hat{t}_2 \hat{\sigma} \\ \text{---} \\ \text{---} \end{array}$$

above, yielding $\begin{array}{c} \nearrow \\ \searrow \end{array}$ is isomorphic to

$$(75) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & \uparrow & \uparrow \\ & & \chi \\ & & q^{-1} \begin{array}{c} -\bar{t}_1 \hat{\sigma} -\bar{t}_2 \\ \text{---} \\ \text{---} \end{array} \\ q^{-1} \begin{array}{c} -\bar{t}_1 \hat{\sigma} -\bar{t}_2 \\ \text{---} \\ \text{---} \end{array} & \xrightarrow{\text{Id}} & \end{array} .$$

Finally, contracting out the terms

$$(76) \quad q^{-1} \begin{array}{c} -\bar{t}_1 \hat{\sigma} -\bar{t}_2 \\ \text{---} \\ \text{---} \end{array} ,$$


we are left with $\begin{array}{c} \nearrow \\ \searrow \end{array} \cong \uparrow \uparrow$. The proof of the other isomorphism is similar. \square

We now prove the other Reidemeister II relation.


Proposition 4.8. *There are isomorphisms in the relative homotopy category*

$$\begin{array}{c} \nearrow \\ \searrow \end{array} \cong \begin{array}{c} \nearrow \\ \searrow \end{array} .$$


Proof. First note that in the relative homotopy category that green dots slide through crossings (Lemma 4.4), so we have

(77) 


Using this isomorphism and the definitions of the crossings, we have

(78) 

There is a morphism of complexes
(79)



where the first component of the vertical map $\kappa \circ \sigma$ is a composition of a saddle and a cup creation, and the second component $\sigma \circ \chi\chi \circ \kappa\kappa$ is a composition of two cup creations, followed by two merges, followed by a saddle on a thickness two facet. Note that the twists coming from κ and σ in the first component cancel each other, while the twists for the second component require a more detailed calculation. Since this map is a homotopy equivalence when forgetting about the \mathfrak{sl}_2 -action (see [Kho04, Proposition 9] or [MSV09, Theorem 7.1]), in the relative homotopy category we have that the double crossing is isomorphic to



This finishes the proof of the proposition. □

4.5. **Reidemeister III.** We will follow the proof of Reidemeister III given by Khovanov and Rozansky [KR16] and its reformulation in [QS22, Proposition 3.9].

Proposition 4.9. *For $i = 1, \dots, n - 1$, there are isomorphisms*




in the relative homotopy category.


Proof. Throughout the proof we omit writing down explicitly the differentials for space purposes. We also drop q -shifts, since they could easily be

reincorporated. One could follow the analogous proof in [QS22, Proposition 3.9] for such details. By definition, the complex on the left-hand side of (80) is given as the total complex

(81)




There are short exact sequences of complexes (82), where the morphisms are given by foams. When forgetting about the \mathfrak{sl}_2 -action, these maps split.




Since the bottom complex in (82) is acyclic, in the relative homotopy category, $\begin{array}{c} \curvearrowright \\ \curvearrowleft \end{array}$ is isomorphic to the top complex in (82).
 There is an isomorphism of complexes

(83)

$$\begin{pmatrix} \text{Id} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \text{Id} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \text{Id} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \text{Id} & 0 & \text{Id} \\ 0 & \text{Id} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \text{Id} \end{pmatrix} \text{Id} .$$

Therefore we now get that in the relative homotopy category that  is isomorphic to the bottom complex in (83). There is a sequence of complexes (84) which splits when forgetting the action of \mathfrak{sl}_2 .

(84)



The diagram (84) illustrates a sequence of complexes. At the top, a box contains a map from a complex with a crossing to another complex with a crossing, labeled "Id". Below this, two vertical maps labeled with matrices $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \text{Id} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ connect to two identical complexes. These complexes are connected by a horizontal map labeled "Id". Below this, two more vertical maps labeled with matrices $\begin{pmatrix} \text{Id} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \text{Id} \end{pmatrix}$ connect to two more identical complexes. These are also connected by a horizontal map labeled "Id". The complexes consist of various diagrams with crossings and strands, some labeled with t_1 , t_2 , and σ .

Thus $\left\langle \begin{array}{c} \curvearrowright \\ \curvearrowleft \end{array} \right\rangle$ is isomorphic to

(85)

This is left-right symmetric and hence isomorphic to $\left\langle \begin{array}{c} \curvearrowleft \\ \curvearrowright \end{array} \right\rangle$. □

4.6. Unframed invariance. The result of the previous subsection shows that the \mathfrak{sl}_2 -enriched link homology is naturally a framed invariant of oriented links. However, one can introduce framing corrections in order to force the usual Reidemeister I move to hold. We will adopt the following framing number convention, which is locally determined by the rules

(86)
$$\mathbf{f} \left(\begin{array}{c} \curvearrowright \\ \curvearrowleft \end{array} \right) = 1, \quad \mathbf{f} \left(\begin{array}{c} \curvearrowleft \\ \curvearrowright \end{array} \right) = -1.$$

Definition 4.10. Let L be an r -component oriented link. Label the components of L by 1 through r , and denote by $\mathbf{f}_i(L)$ the framing number of the i th component of L , $i = 1, \dots, r$. Set

$$D_L := \bigotimes_{i=1}^r \mathbb{Z}_N[x_i, y_{i_1}, \dots, y_{i_{N-1}}]^{S_1 \times S_{N-1}}.$$

The *framing bimodule* over D_L is the tensor product of polynomial modules

$$F_L := \bigotimes_{i=1}^r (\mathbb{Z}_N[x_i, y_{i_1}, \dots, y_{i_{N-1}}]^{S_1 \times S_{N-1}} \cdot v_i),$$

equipped with the \mathfrak{sl}_2 action given by (c.f. equation (57)):

(87)
$$\mathbf{e}(v_i) = 0, \quad \mathbf{h}(v_i) = \frac{\mathbf{f}_i N}{2} v_i, \quad \mathbf{f}(v_i) = \mathbf{f}_i \left(\frac{1}{2} \spadesuit_1 + \frac{(N-1)}{2} \widehat{\spadesuit}_1 \right) v_i.$$

Now, for an oriented link diagram L with r components, choose for each component of in L a base point. We designate, for the i th chosen base point, the polynomial ring $\mathbb{Z}_N[x_i, y_{i_1}, \dots, y_{i_{N-1}}]^{S_1 \times S_{N-1}}$. The polynomial ring D_L naturally acts on the \mathfrak{gl}_N -chain complex of L obtained by applying the functor $\mathcal{F}_N(\cdot)$ to the cube of resolutions of L , and thus on the homology group $\mathrm{KR}_{N; t_1, t_2}^{\mathfrak{sl}_2}(L; \mathbb{Z}_N)$.

Definition 4.11. The *unframed* (\mathfrak{sl}_2 -equivariant) *Khovanov–Rozansky* \mathfrak{gl}_N -homology is the homology

$$\widehat{\mathrm{KR}}_N^{\mathfrak{sl}_2}(L; \mathbb{k}) := t^{-\mathfrak{f}(L)} q^{N\mathfrak{f}(L)} \mathrm{KR}_{N; t_1, t_2}^{\mathfrak{sl}_2}(L; \mathbb{k}) \otimes_{D_L} F_L.$$

This definition is equivalent to first making framing corrections on the chain complex level and then taking homology. This is because, as a left module over D_L , the framing bimodule F_L is free of rank one.

Corollary 4.12. *The unframed Khovanov–Rozansky \mathfrak{gl}_N -homology $\widehat{\mathrm{KR}}_N^{\mathfrak{sl}_2}(L; \mathbb{k})$ is an invariant of unframed oriented links.*

Proof. The functor $(\cdot) \otimes_{D_L} F_L$ is introduced to cancel the twisting in \mathfrak{sl}_2 -module structures appearing in the framed RI move (Proposition 4.5). \square

Remark 4.13. We make a final remark on some special cases. Suppose we are in either of the following situations:

- (i) $N = 2$ and \mathbb{k} is a general base ring in which 2 is invertible.
- (ii) $N = p\ell + 2$ for some $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ and \mathbb{k} is a ring of prime characteristic $p > 2$.

Under these assumptions, the RI moves in Proposition 4.5 becomes

$$(88) \quad \left. \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 1} \\ \cong tq^{-N} \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \uparrow \end{array} \end{array} \right|, \quad \left. \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 2} \\ \cong t^{-1}q^N \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \uparrow \end{array} \end{array} \right|.$$

In this case, the unframed \mathfrak{gl}_N -homology is obtained from the framed one by:

- Shifting the cohomological degree by $-\mathfrak{w}(L)$, where $\mathfrak{w}(L)$ stands for the usual writhe number of L (the number of positive crossings minus the number of negative crossings).
- Shifting the q -degree by $N\mathfrak{w}(L)$.
- Twisting by the overall factor $\frac{\mathfrak{w}(L)}{2} \bullet$.

5. FUNCTORIALITY

Full functoriality of Khovanov–Rozansky \mathfrak{gl}_N -link homologies was proved by Ehrig, Tubbenhauer, and Wedrich [ETW18]. This extends earlier work of functoriality for the \mathfrak{sl}_2 case in [BHPW19], [Bla10], [Cap08], [CMW09], [EST16], and [Vog20]. Functoriality for \mathfrak{sl}_2 case first established by Jacobson [Jac04] and Khovanov [Kho06a] who proved it up to a sign.

In this section we will slightly extend the work of [ETW18] and show that the target of their functor \mathcal{F}_N lands in the relative homotopy category of foams, with inner hom spaces carrying $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ -module structures. See Section 2 for the general framework. We remind the reader that this means that inner morphism sets are objects in the monoidal category and composition of morphisms corresponds to tensor product of objects.

5.1. Foam category. We begin by establishing some preparatory definitions and lemmas.

Let \mathbf{gFoam} be the category whose objects are green-dotted webs and whose morphisms are (linear combination of) foams up to isotopy and equivalence relations induced by foam evaluation [RW20a].

The *graded hom space* in \mathbf{gFoam} , between two green-dotted webs Γ_1 and Γ_2 is given by foams whose incoming boundary is Γ_1 and outgoing boundary is Γ_2 . We denote this hom space by $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{gFoam}}(\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2)$. For an element $F \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{gFoam}}(\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2)$ in this category, and $g \in \mathfrak{sl}_2$,

$$(89) \quad g * F = g(F) - g(\Gamma_1)F + g(\Gamma_2)F,$$

where $g(\Gamma_j)F$, for $j = 1, 2$, is the foam F with extra decorations coming from $g(\Gamma_j)$ (given by formula (44)–(49)).

The flatness of the twists associated with green dots implies that formula (89) defines an \mathfrak{sl}_2 -action on the hom spaces of \mathbf{gFoam} . From the definition of $*$, one in turn obtains that \mathbf{gFoam} is enriched in the monoidal category of $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$. Note also that a morphism F is \mathfrak{sl}_2 -equivariant if and only if $g * F = 0$ for all $g \in \mathfrak{sl}_2$. Using this action, we may identify the state space $\mathcal{F}_N(\Gamma)$ associated with a web Γ as the graded hom space $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{gFoam}}(\emptyset, \Gamma)$, with the induced \mathfrak{sl}_2 -action $*$. Thus $\mathcal{F}_N(\Gamma)$ is a graded equivariant module over the commutative \mathfrak{sl}_2 -module algebra D_Γ (see Section 3.3).

Define $\mathbf{gFoam}\#\mathfrak{sl}_2$ to be the category that has the same objects of \mathbf{gFoam} , but with morphisms that commute with the \mathfrak{sl}_2 -action. It is equipped with an enriched structure (c.f. equation (8)) on its *inner hom space*, which is equal to $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{gFoam}}(\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2)$ for any green dotted webs. By equation (9),

$$(90) \quad \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{gFoam}\#\mathfrak{sl}_2}(\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{gFoam}}(\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2)^{\mathfrak{sl}_2}.$$

Also denote by $\mathrm{Com}(\mathbf{gFoam}\#\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ the abelian category of complexes in $\mathbf{gFoam}\#\mathfrak{sl}_2$. The inner hom space extends naturally: given two complexes of green-dotted webs C and D

$$(91) \quad \mathrm{HOM}_{\mathbf{gFoam}}(C, D) = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{gFoam}}(C, t^i D).$$

Let $\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{gFoam}\#\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ be the corresponding homotopy category, with the enriched structure.

Let $\mathrm{Com}(\mathbf{gFoam})$ denote the usual abelian category of graded foams, $\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{gFoam})$ be its homotopy category. As in Section 4, we define $\mathcal{C}^{\mathfrak{sl}_2}(\mathbf{gFoam})$ to be the corresponding relative homotopy category, obtained as the Verdier localization of $\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{gFoam}\#\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ along the exact forgetful functor

$$(92) \quad \mathrm{For} : \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{gFoam}\#\mathfrak{sl}_2) \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{gFoam}).$$

Translating the general construction of Section 2 into our context, we have the following.


Proposition 5.1. *The category $\mathcal{C}^{\mathfrak{sl}_2}(\mathbf{gFoam})$ is enriched in the category of $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ -modules, with the inner hom space between two green-dotted webs acted on by \mathfrak{sl}_2 according to equation (89). \square*

5.2. Link cobordisms.

Definition 5.2. Let *Links* be the category whose objects are oriented framed links in \mathbb{R}^3 and whose morphisms are two-dimensional oriented framed cobordisms between oriented links in $\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}$.

A presentation of this category was found by Beliakova and Wehrli [BW08] building upon work of Carter and Saito [CS98] in the unframed case.

Following the notation of [ETW18, Figure 9], we denote some of the generating movie moves by MGH, MGS, MG2, and MG3 when reading the movies from left to right. Reading the movies in the reverse direction, we denote the generating moves by MGH', MGS', MG2', and MG3' respectively. We omit writing down the other oriented variations of these generators.



These generators satisfy certain relations described in [BW08, CS98] and other references (such as [ETW18, Section 4]).

For each movie generator $G: X \rightarrow Y$, there is an associated foam or morphism of complexes of green-dotted webs $\mathcal{F}_N(G): \mathcal{F}_N(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_N(Y)$. If $G = \text{MG1}, \text{MG2}, \text{MG3}$, the morphism $\mathcal{F}_N(G)$ is a relative homotopy equivalence. That is, if $G: X \rightarrow Y$, then one could write $\mathcal{F}_N(G) = (\alpha'_{n-1})^{-1} \circ \alpha_{n-1} \circ \dots \circ (\alpha'_0)^{-1} \circ \alpha_0$ as a sequence of “roofs”

$$(93) \quad \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{F}_N(X) = X_0 \quad X_1 \\ \alpha_0 \searrow \quad \swarrow \alpha'_0 \\ X'_0 \end{array} \quad \dots \quad \begin{array}{c} X_{n-1} \quad X_n = \mathcal{F}_N(Y) \\ \swarrow \alpha_{n-1} \quad \searrow \alpha'_{n-1} \\ X'_{n-1} \end{array} ,$$

where the α_i and α'_i are relative homotopy equivalences such that $g * \alpha_i = 0$ for $g \in \mathfrak{sl}_2$ and for all i . For degree reasons (see [ETW18, Lemma 4.6]), if $G = \text{MG1}, \text{MG2}, \text{MG3}$, the map $\mathcal{F}_N(G)$ must agree with the map in [ETW18] up to a scalar. We choose the α_i and α'_i so that $\mathcal{F}_N(G)$ precisely agrees with the map in [ETW18]. We now extend the functoriality result of \mathfrak{gl}_N -link homology [ETW18, Theorem 4.5] to our setting.

Theorem 5.3. *There is a functor $\text{KR}_N^{\mathfrak{sl}_2}: \text{Links} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{\mathfrak{sl}_2}(\text{gFoam})$ in which the target category of the functor is enriched in the category of \mathfrak{sl}_2 -modules.*

Furthermore, the \mathfrak{sl}_2 -structure on the morphism space is an invariant of the framed links.

Proof. The functor $\mathcal{F}_N: \text{Links} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{g}\text{Foam})$ is constructed in [ETW18, Theorem 4.5]. That is, to every movie generator M , there is an associated map of complexes $\mathcal{F}_N(M)$ of (green-dotted) webs. If there is a movie relation $M_1 = M_2$, then the maps $\mathcal{F}_N(M_1)$ and $\mathcal{F}_N(M_2)$ are homotopic.

Let $\alpha, \beta: \mathcal{F}_N(L_1) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_N(L_2)$ be two relative homotopic maps. Thus in the homotopy category $\mathcal{C}^{\mathfrak{sl}_2}(\mathfrak{g}\text{Foam})$, their classes $[\alpha]$ and $[\beta]$ are equal. We will show that for any $g \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$, that we have an equality in the relative homotopy category $g * [\alpha] = g * [\beta]$. Since $[\alpha] = [\beta]$, there is a homotopy H , when forgetting the \mathfrak{sl}_2 -actions, such that

$$(94) \quad \alpha - \beta = Hd + dH.$$

Acting by g on both sides of (94), using the Leibniz rule and noting that \mathfrak{sl}_2 -actions commute with differentials, we obtain

$$g * \alpha - g * \beta = (g * H)d + d(g * H).$$

Thus we obtain $g * [\alpha] = g * [\beta]$.

The last part follows from Theorem 4.3 and Proposition 5.1. \square

Let $\text{Links}_{\text{Con}}$ be the category whose objects are framed links and whose morphisms are connected link concordances. Recall that a link concordance is a link cobordism which is an embedding of cylinders inside $\mathbb{R}^3 \times [0, 1]$ with boundary components the given links. The Euler characteristic of a link concordance is always zero.

Corollary 5.4. *The functor \mathcal{F}_N from Theorem 5.3 restricts to a functor*

$$\mathcal{F}_N: \text{Links}_{\text{Con}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}^{\mathfrak{sl}_2}(\mathbb{k}_N).$$

Proof. Since the Euler characteristic of the concordance is zero, the number of saddles is equal to the sum of the number of cups and caps. Using the formulas from Section 3.2 (more specifically, the action of \mathfrak{sl}_2 generators in which e acts trivially on naked foams, the h -action is determined locally by equations (29)–(31), and the f -action is determined locally by equations (38)–(40)), and the fact that the concordance is connected, the \mathfrak{sl}_2 -action on the concordance is actually zero. Thus the induced map on \mathfrak{sl}_2 -representations intertwines the \mathfrak{sl}_2 -actions. \square

Remark 5.5. We chose to present functoriality for the category of framed links. However, using the renormalization tricks from Section 4.6, this can also be formulated in the category of unframed links.

A concordance C is *ribbon* if the projection to the $[0, 1]$ factor restricts to a Morse function on C with only index 0 and 1 critical points. Levine and Zemke established the following statement (where Kh denotes Khovanov):

Theorem 5.6 ([LZ19, Theorem 1]). *If C is a ribbon concordance from L_0 to L_1 , the induced map*

$$\mathrm{Kh}(C): \mathrm{Kh}(L_0) \rightarrow \mathrm{Kh}(L_1)$$

is injective, with left inverse given by $\mathrm{Kh}(\overline{C})$, where \overline{C} denotes the mirror image of C .

The proof relies on a neat topological argument by Zemke [Zem19], and therefore extends to Khovanov–Rozansky’s setup (see [CGL⁺21]). Incorporating the the \mathfrak{sl}_2 -action, one immediately obtains:

Corollary 5.7. *If C is a ribbon concordance from L_0 to L_1 , then $\mathrm{KR}_N^{\mathfrak{sl}_2}(L_0; R)$ is a direct summand of $\mathrm{KR}_N^{\mathfrak{sl}_2}(L_1; R)$ as \mathfrak{sl}_2 -modules.*

6. SPECIALIZATIONS

6.1. $\mathfrak{gl}_{p\ell}$ -homology in characteristic p . In this subsection, we consider the setup where the base ring is $R = \mathbb{k}$, a field of characteristic $p > 2$ and look at \mathfrak{gl}_N -link homology when $N = p\ell$ is a multiple of the characteristic of \mathbb{k} .

Shumakovitch [Shu14] and Wang [Wan21] used an operator denoted ν or ∇ to prove that reduced \mathfrak{gl}_p -homology in characteristic p is independent of the choice of the basepoint of the link and that non-reduced homology is isomorphic to reduced homology tensored with $\mathbb{k}[x]/(x^p)$. It turns out that ∇ acts like \mathbf{e} in a non-equivariant setting.

Shumakovitch and Wang’s operator fits into our general construction (and was a partial motivation of our work), because the ideal I of $\mathbb{k}[E_1, \dots, E_p]$ generated by E_1, \dots, E_p is preserved by the action of \mathfrak{sl}_2 . As we shall see, working in characteristic p is crucial.

Shumakovitch’s results were for $p = 2$ and Wang extended this for any prime p . Since we consider the full \mathfrak{sl}_2 -action which forces us to invert 2 and therefore we suppose that $p > 2$.

Lemma 6.1. *The homology $\mathrm{KR}_{p\ell; t_1, t_2}^{\mathfrak{sl}_2}(L; R)$ is an invariant of framed oriented links.*

Proof. One only needs to show that the \mathfrak{sl}_2 -action is well-defined on state spaces. Recall that these state spaces are defined using the universal construction. In the equivariant setting, the \mathfrak{sl}_2 -structure on state spaces follows from the identity stated in Proposition 3.10. We will prove the same identity in the non-equivariant setting. In this setting, the evaluation of a foam F is given by:

$$\langle F \rangle_{p\ell}^{\mathbb{k}} = \mathrm{ev}(\langle F \rangle_{p\ell}).$$

where the map

$$\mathrm{ev} : \mathbb{k}[E_1, \dots, E_{p\ell}] \longrightarrow \mathbb{k}$$

maps $E_1, \dots, E_{p\ell}$ to 0. This is an \mathfrak{sl}_2 -map (the field \mathbb{k} being endowed with the trivial \mathfrak{sl}_2 -action). Indeed, the only non-trivial fact is that $\mathrm{ev}(\mathbf{e} \cdot E_1) = \mathbf{e} \cdot \mathrm{ev}(E_1) = 0$. This is the case since $\mathbf{e} \cdot E_1 = p\ell = 0 \in \mathbb{k}$.

Hence if F is a closed foam in good position, then for $x \in \{\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{h}\}$

$$(95) \quad \langle x \cdot F \rangle_{p\ell}^{\mathbb{k}} = x \cdot \text{ev}(\langle x \cdot F \rangle_{p\ell}) = \text{ev}(x \cdot \langle F \rangle_{p\ell}) = x \cdot \text{ev}(\langle F \rangle_{p\ell}) = x \cdot \langle F \rangle_{p\ell}^{\mathbb{k}}.$$

Invariance of the \mathfrak{sl}_2 -action under framed isotopies follows from that in the general setting. \square

6.2. The Zuckerman functor and some representation theory. For this section let $\mathbb{k} = \mathbb{C}$ and $R = \mathbb{C}[E_1, \dots, E_N]$. We set up some representation theoretic machinery used in computing examples in Section 7. We also introduce the Zuckerman functor as a speculative tool to extract something finite-dimensional from equivariant link homology. An \mathfrak{sl}_2 -module is *locally finite* if any of its elements generates a finite-dimensional \mathfrak{sl}_2 -module.

The Zuckerman functor $\Gamma: \mathfrak{sl}_2\text{-mod} \rightarrow \mathfrak{sl}_2\text{-mod}$ is the functor which takes an \mathfrak{sl}_2 -module M and returns its maximally locally finite submodule ΓM under the action of \mathfrak{sl}_2 .

The Bernstein functor (sometimes known as the dual Zuckerman functor)

$$\mathcal{Z}: \mathfrak{sl}_2\text{-mod} \rightarrow \mathfrak{sl}_2\text{-mod}$$

is the functor which takes an \mathfrak{sl}_2 -module M and returns its maximally locally finite quotient $\mathcal{Z}M$ under the action of \mathfrak{sl}_2 .

For an integer $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}$, there is an \mathfrak{sl}_2 -module $M(\lambda)$ which is isomorphic to $\mathbb{k}[\mathfrak{f}]$ as a $\mathbb{k}[\mathfrak{f}]$ -module with $\mathfrak{e} \cdot 1 = 0$, and $\mathfrak{h} \cdot 1 = \lambda$. This object is known as a Verma module with highest weight λ .

For $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}$, we let $L(\lambda)$ denote the irreducible \mathfrak{sl}_2 -module whose highest weight is λ . If $\lambda \geq 0$, $L(\lambda)$ is finite-dimensional. Let $P(\lambda)$ and $P(-\lambda - 2)$ be the indecomposable projective covers of $L(\lambda)$ and $L(-\lambda - 2)$ respectively. The Verma module $M(\lambda)$ is isomorphic to $P(\lambda)$ and $P(-\lambda - 2)$ can be described as an extension of Verma modules

$$(96) \quad 0 \rightarrow M(\lambda) \rightarrow P(-\lambda - 2) \rightarrow M(-\lambda - 2) \rightarrow 0.$$

We will also use the short exact sequence

$$(97) \quad 0 \rightarrow L(-\lambda - 2) \rightarrow M(\lambda) \rightarrow L(\lambda) \rightarrow 0.$$

Taking the dual of (97) yields the short exact sequence

$$(98) \quad 0 \rightarrow L(\lambda) \rightarrow M^*(\lambda) \rightarrow L(-\lambda - 2) \rightarrow 0$$

since the simple objects are preserved by the contravariant duality functor $*$. Sequences (97) and (98) describe the unique extensions between simples.

We record the following well-known result about the Zuckerman functor and Verma modules.

Proposition 6.2. *The Zuckerman functor Γ annihilates Verma modules. For the Bernstein functor we have*

$$\mathcal{Z}(M(\lambda)) \cong \begin{cases} L(\lambda) & \text{if } \lambda \geq 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } \lambda < 0. \end{cases}$$

Proof. If $\lambda < 0$, then $M(\lambda)$ is irreducible and infinite-dimensional so its maximal locally finite quotient is zero.

If $\lambda \geq 0$, then $M(-\lambda - 2)$ is an irreducible submodule of $M(\lambda)$ whose quotient is the finite-dimensional irreducible representation $L(\lambda)$. \square

Let \mathfrak{h} be the Cartan subalgebra of diagonal matrices of \mathfrak{sl}_2 . It is spanned by \mathfrak{h} . For \mathfrak{sl}_2 , category \mathcal{O} is the full subcategory of \mathfrak{sl}_2 -modules consisting of objects M such that:

- \mathfrak{h} acts diagonally on M , in other words, M is a weight module;
- M is finitely generated as a $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ -module;
- for all $v \in M$, the $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{b})$ -submodule of M generated by v is finite-dimensional, where \mathfrak{b} is the subalgebra of upper-triangular matrices.

Category \mathcal{O} decomposes into a direct sum of categories called blocks

$$\mathcal{O} = \bigoplus_{\lambda} \mathcal{O}_{\lambda}$$

where $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*/S_2$ is the image of a weight under the action of the Weyl group, (the symmetric group S_2), on \mathfrak{h}^* , and \mathcal{O}_{λ} consists of all modules with generalized central character corresponding to λ under the Harish-Chandra isomorphism.

For generic λ , the block \mathcal{O}_{λ} is semisimple. If λ is an integral dominant weight, that is $\lambda(\mathfrak{h}) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, then there are non-trivial extensions between simple modules. For an integral dominant weight λ , the simple objects of \mathcal{O}_{λ} are $L(\lambda)$ and $L(-\lambda - 2)$.

Since $\mathrm{KR}_N^{\mathfrak{sl}_2}(L; R)$ is an \mathfrak{sl}_2 -module, we have that the spaces $\Gamma\mathrm{KR}_N^{\mathfrak{sl}_2}(L; R)$ and $\mathcal{Z}\mathrm{KR}_N^{\mathfrak{sl}_2}(L; R)$ are link invariants. In the examples computed in Section 7 we see that for the unknot and the Hopf link that these representations are finite-dimensional. Since the homology $\mathrm{KR}_N^{\mathfrak{sl}_2}(L; R)$ is in general infinitely generated, it is not clear whether or not in general applying Γ or \mathcal{Z} produces something that is finite-dimensional.

We further note that composing Theorem 5.3 with the Zuckerman or Bernstein functor yields a functor whose target category is the category of locally-finite \mathfrak{sl}_2 -modules.

The Zuckerman functor is only left exact and is often useful in Lie theory to consider its derived functors. In fact, the Bernstein functor is related to the Zuckerman functor by taking certain cohomology of the derived functor. We do not pursue that direction here, but it would be interesting to investigate if we obtain any new information from the higher derived functors which potentially leads to another grading on link homology.

6.3. The Rasmussen invariant. In this section we assume the link L is a knot and we restrict ourselves to the case $N = 2$ so we set $R = \mathbb{Q}[E_1, E_2]$. We follow the exposition of [Kho06b] in defining the Rasmussen invariant [Ras10].

Fixing a base point p on L , we endow the homology $\mathrm{KR}_2^{\mathfrak{sl}_2}(L; R)$ with the action of the homology of the unknot

$$A = \mathbb{Q}[E_1, E_2][x]/(x^2 - E_1x + E_2)$$

by placing an unknot near the base point of L and then merging the unknot to the link by the base point. The homology of L then acquires an action of $\mathbb{Q}[x]$.

For a knot L , denote by $\mathrm{KR}_N^{\mathfrak{sl}_2, \mathrm{tor}}(L; R)$ the torsion elements of its homology with respect to the $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ -action. Let $\mathrm{KR}_N^{\mathfrak{sl}_2, \mathrm{free}}(L; R)$ be the free part with respect to this action.

The next few results justify using \mathfrak{sl}_2 in the notation above.

Proposition 6.3. *The space $\mathrm{KR}_2^{\mathfrak{sl}_2, \mathrm{tor}}(L; R)$ is an \mathfrak{sl}_2 -subrepresentation.*

Proof. Suppose α is a torsion element with respect to the $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ -action. Then for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $x^m \alpha = 0$. We need to check that $g\alpha$ is torsion where $g \in \{\mathfrak{e}, \mathfrak{f}, \mathfrak{h}\}$.

We compute

$$0 = \mathfrak{f} \cdot (x^m \alpha) = m x^{m+1} \alpha + x^m \mathfrak{f} \cdot \alpha = x^m \mathfrak{f} \cdot \alpha$$

since $x^m \alpha = 0$. Thus $\mathfrak{f} \cdot \alpha$ is also a torsion element.

Similarly one could compute $\mathfrak{h} \cdot \alpha$ is torsion.

In order to prove that $\mathfrak{e} \cdot \alpha$ is torsion, we note

$$0 = \mathfrak{e} \cdot (x^{m+1} \alpha) = -(m+1)x^m \alpha + x^{m+1} \mathfrak{e} \cdot \alpha = x^{m+1} \mathfrak{e} \cdot \alpha.$$

Thus $\mathfrak{e} \cdot \alpha$ is torsion as well. \square

This immediately implies the following result.

Corollary 6.4. *The subspace $\mathrm{KR}_2^{\mathfrak{sl}_2, \mathrm{free}}(L; R)$ is an \mathfrak{sl}_2 -quotient representation of the entire homology.*

The next proposition is a reinterpretation by Khovanov of a fundamental fact first established by Lee [Lee05] and developed by Rasmussen [Ras10].

Proposition 6.5. [Kho06b, Proposition 8] *The free $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ -module $\mathrm{KR}_2^{\mathfrak{sl}_2, \mathrm{free}}(L; R)$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Q}[x]\{-1 - s(L)\}$ where $s(L)$ is the Rasmussen invariant of L .*

We are now able to record the relationship between the Rasmussen invariant of a link and \mathfrak{sl}_2 -structure on its equivariant Khovanov homology.

Corollary 6.6. *Let L be a knot and let $\mu(L)$ be the highest weight of $\mathrm{KR}_2^{\mathfrak{sl}_2, \mathrm{free}}(L; R)$. If $t_1 + t_2 = 1$, then $s(L) = (\mu(L) - 1)$.*

Proof. This follows from Corollary 6.4 and Proposition 6.5 since the weight of an element under the action of $\mathfrak{h} \in \mathfrak{sl}_2$ corresponds to negative of its internal q -degree. \square

6.4. p -DG structure.

6.4.1. *General background.* Let $H = \mathbb{F}_p[\partial]/(\partial^p)$ where the degree of ∂ is two. If M is an object in $H\text{-gmod}$, then its image in the the stable category of H -modules $\underline{H\text{-gmod}}$ is denoted by $/M$.

Khovanov proved the following important result about the stable category of H -modules.

Proposition 6.7. [Kho16, Proposition 5] *The Grothendieck ring of the category of stable H -modules may be identified with the cyclotomic ring:*

$$K_0(\underline{H_p\text{-gmod}}) \cong \mathbb{Z}[q]/(q^{2p-2} + q^{2p-4} + \dots + 1).$$

6.4.2. *Link homology coming from \mathfrak{f} .* In this subsection we assume the ground ring is $R = \mathbb{F}_p[E_1, \dots, E_N]$, and $H_{\mathfrak{f}} = \mathbb{F}_p[\mathfrak{f}]/(\mathfrak{f}^p)$. We denote the resulting link homology equipped with the $H_{\mathfrak{f}}$ -action by $\text{KR}_N^{H_{\mathfrak{f}}}(\cdot; \mathbb{F}_p[E_1, \dots, E_N], \cdot)$.

Theorem 6.8. *In the stable category, $/\text{KR}_N^{H_{\mathfrak{f}}}(\cdot; \mathbb{F}_p[E_1, \dots, E_N], \cdot)$ is a link invariant.*

Proof. The fact that the link invariant comes equipped with the action of the Hopf algebra $H'_{\mathfrak{f}} = \mathbb{F}_p[\mathfrak{f}]$ is a direct consequence of the fact that this Hopf algebra is a subalgebra of \mathfrak{sl}_2 . Since we work over a field of characteristic p , one could check that the ideal generated by (\mathfrak{f}^p) acts trivially, so the $H'_{\mathfrak{f}}$ -action descends to an action of $H_{\mathfrak{f}} = \mathbb{F}_p[\mathfrak{f}]/(\mathfrak{f}^p)$. \square

Remark 6.9. It is not clear what the image of the invariant in Theorem 6.8 is in the Grothendieck group. A computation for the unknot for $N = 2$ below shows that it is not simply the Jones polynomial evaluated at a $2p$ th root of unity.

While we do not understand the decategorification of the link invariant, we do expect that the invariant could be extended on the categorical level to a colored invariant. There are a few possibilities of how to do this. One may try to apply techniques of Khovanov’s categorification of the colored Jones polynomial [Kho05]. Alternatively, one may try to construct categorical Jones–Wenzl projectors [Cau15, CK12, Roz14] compatible with the differential.

An even more ambitious problem would be to assemble these colored homological invariants into a 3-manifold invariant. The details will appear in subsequent works by the authors.

Example 6.10. Suppose $N = 2$ and let U be the unknot. Then

$$/\text{KR}_2^{H_{\mathfrak{f}}}(U; \mathbb{F}_p[E_1, E_2]) = \mathbb{F}_p[x]/(x^2 - E_1x + E_2)$$

where the action of \mathfrak{f} is twisted by

$$\mathfrak{f}(1) = -\frac{1}{2}E_1.$$

Note that $\mathbb{F}_p[E_1, E_2]$ is a p -DG submodule of $\mathbb{F}_p[x]/(x^2 - E_1x + E_2)$.

If $p = 3$, then $\mathfrak{f}(1) = E_1$. By [EQ16, Corollary 2.10], $\mathbb{F}_p[E_1, E_2]$ is acyclic. After quotienting $\mathbb{F}_p[x]/(x^2 - E_1x + E_2)$ by this acyclic submodule, one

calculates that $f(x) = 2E_1x$. Again by [EQ16, Corollary 2.10], this quotient module is also acyclic. Therefore, for $p = 3$, the homology of the unknot is zero. However, the Jones polynomial, (up to a power of q), is $1 + q^2$. At a 6th root of unity, this is not zero.

Thus the link invariant does not categorify the Jones polynomial at a root of unity.

6.4.3. *Link homology coming from \mathfrak{e} .* In this section we assume N is a prime p , and the ground ring is $R = \mathbb{F}_p$, and let $H_{\mathfrak{e}} = \mathbb{F}_p[\mathfrak{e}]/(\mathfrak{e}^p)$. We recall that this is the operator considered in [Shu14, Wan21]. We denote the resulting link homology by $\mathrm{KR}_N^{H_{\mathfrak{e}}}(\cdot; \mathbb{F}_p)$. We will only mention the following simple corollary, and leave further applications to a follow-up work.

Theorem 6.11. *In the stable category, $\mathrm{KR}_p^{H_{\mathfrak{e}}}(\cdot; \mathbb{F}_p)$ is a link invariant whose image in the Grothendieck group is the \mathfrak{sl}_p -link invariant evaluated at a $2p$ th root of unity.*

Proof. As in the proof of Theorem 6.8, this link homology comes equipped with an action of the Hopf algebra $H_{\mathfrak{e}}$.

The graded Euler characteristic of $\mathrm{KR}_p^{H_{\mathfrak{e}}}(\cdot; \mathbb{F}_p)$ is the \mathfrak{sl}_N -link invariant and so taking its slash homology specializes q to be a $2p$ th root of unity. \square

Remark 6.12. In Theorem 6.8, the coefficient ring is $\mathbb{F}_p[E_1, \dots, E_N]$ which complicates statements about the graded Euler characteristic of the link homology. This is in contrast to the above theorem where the coefficient ring is the field \mathbb{F}_p allowing us to deduce the conclusion of the graded Euler characteristic of the link homology.

7. EXAMPLES

We restrict ourselves to $N = 2$ Khovanov–Rozansky homology in this section only for presentation purposes. The general case is similar to what is explained below. For a good exposition on computation of homology using foams in this case, see [KW21].

7.1. **Unknot.** We begin with the \mathfrak{sl}_2 homology of the unknot. As a vector space, it is isomorphic to $A = q^{-1}\mathbb{k}[E_1, E_2, x]/(x^2 - E_1x + E_2)$. The f -action is twisted by $-\frac{1}{2}E_1$. The \mathfrak{e} -action is not twisted at all. As noted earlier, its graded dimension is $\frac{q^{-1}}{(1-q^2)^2}$. Let A_{λ} denote the λ -weight space. We will show that as an \mathfrak{sl}_2 -module, this homology is isomorphic to

$$(99) \quad P(-3) \oplus M(-1) \oplus \bigoplus_{r=3}^{\infty} M(1-2r)$$

where we recall that $M(\lambda)$ is a Verma module with highest weight λ and $P(\lambda)$ is an indecomposable projective cover of $L(\lambda)$. The q -grading could be read off from the weight space decomposition where an element in the weight space $M_{\mu}(\lambda)$ has q -degree $-\mu$.

The graded dimension of the homology implies that in degree $2n - 1$, (which corresponds to the weight space $1 - 2n$), is $n + 1$. Since the operator e is a map of degree 2 between weight spaces, we know that there is an element in the kernel of e , and thus a highest weight vector in every weight space.

Consider the submodule S_1 generated by $v_1 = 1$. It is easy to see that $S_1 \cong M(1)$.

Now consider the submodule generated by $v_2 = E_1 - 2x$. This submodule is isomorphic to $M(-1)$. Since there are no extensions between $M(1)$ and $M(-1)$, the submodule S_2 generated by v_1, v_2 is isomorphic to $M(1) \oplus M(-1)$.

Next consider the element $v_3 = E_2$. Since $e(E_2) = -E_1$, we see that the submodule generated by v_3 intersects S_1 . After quotienting by S_1 , v_3 generates a submodule isomorphic to $M(-3)$. Thus for the submodule $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)\langle v_1, v_3 \rangle$, there is a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow M(1) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)\langle v_1, v_3 \rangle \rightarrow M(-3) \rightarrow 0 .$$

Thus $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)\langle v_1, v_3 \rangle \cong P(-3)$. Since there are no extensions between $P(-3)$ and $M(-1)$, the submodule S_3 generated by v_1, v_2, v_3 is isomorphic to $P(-3) \oplus M(-1)$.


Note that A_{-5} is 4-dimensional and A_{-3} is 3-dimensional. It is not difficult to show that $e: A_{-5} \rightarrow A_{-3}$ has a 1-dimensional kernel. Let v_4 denote an element in this kernel. It generates a submodule isomorphic to $M(-5)$. Since there are no non-trivial extensions between $M(-5)$ and $P(-3) \oplus M(-1)$, we get that the submodule S_4 generated by v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4 is isomorphic to $P(-3) \oplus M(-1) \oplus M(-5)$.

Continuing in this way we get (99) for the homology of the unknot.

Note that all of the Verma modules appearing in (99) are simple and so the dual Zuckerman functor applied to them is zero. Applying the dual Zuckerman functor to the short exact sequence (96) shows that $\mathcal{Z}P(-3) = 0$. Thus for the unknot, the dual Zuckerman functor annihilates all homology. A similar analysis gives that the Zuckerman functor also annihilates all homology.

7.2. Hopf link. We now compute the homology of the Hopf link L with the associated \mathfrak{sl}_2 -action.

$$(100) \quad L = \left[\text{Diagram of Hopf link} \right] .$$



The complex is given by

(101)

Let α_1, α_2 be elements in the state space in the leftmost homological degree and let β_1, β_2 be elements in the state space in the rightmost homological degree.

(102) $\alpha_1 =$

(103) $\alpha_2 =$

(104) $\beta_1 =$

(105) $\beta_2 =$

As a vector space, the homology of the Hopf link is

$$\mathbb{k}[E_1, E_2]\langle \alpha_1, \alpha_2 \rangle \oplus \mathbb{k}[E_1, E_2]\langle \beta_1, \beta_2 \rangle.$$

On generating elements $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2$, the \mathfrak{sl}_2 -action is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{f} \cdot \alpha_1 &= E_1 \alpha_1, & \mathfrak{f} \cdot \alpha_2 &= E_2 \alpha_1 + 2E_1 \alpha_2, & \mathfrak{f} \cdot \beta_1 &= -E_1 \beta_1, & \mathfrak{f} \cdot \beta_2 &= -2E_2 \beta_1, \\ \mathfrak{e} \cdot \alpha_1 &= 0, & \mathfrak{e} \cdot \alpha_2 &= \alpha_1, & \mathfrak{e} \cdot \beta_1 &= 0, & \mathfrak{e} \cdot \beta_2 &= -2\beta_1, \\ \mathfrak{h} \cdot \alpha_1 &= -2\alpha_1, & \mathfrak{h} \cdot \alpha_2 &= -4\alpha_2, & \mathfrak{h} \cdot \beta_1 &= 2\beta_1, & \mathfrak{h} \cdot \beta_2 &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

For the action of \mathfrak{f} , note that there was some twisting in addition to the twisting coming from the complex and the natural action on dots. The foam representing α_1 (without the dots) is comprised of a digon cup, a zip, as well as two cups. Thus the action of \mathfrak{f} gets twisted using (34), (36), and (38). These twistings cancel out with the twisting coming from the complex so one just computes the natural action of \mathfrak{f} on dots yielding the formula for $\mathfrak{f} \cdot \alpha_1$.

Similar to the earlier analysis for the homology of the unknot, in order to determine the \mathfrak{sl}_2 -structure for the homology of the Hopf link, one looks for highest weight vectors and also uses sequence (98). Details will appear in [Roz23]. As a graded $\mathbb{k}[E_1, E_2] \# \mathfrak{sl}_2$ -module, the homology of the Hopf link is isomorphic to

$$(106) \quad q^{-4} t^2 \left(\frac{\mathbb{k}[E_1, E_2][x]}{(x^2 - E_1 x + E_2)} \right)^{-E_1} \oplus \left(\frac{\mathbb{k}[E_1, E_2][x]}{(x^2 - E_1 x + E_2)} \right)^{E_1}$$

When forgetting about the q -degrees, the \mathfrak{sl}_2 -module one obtains is

$$(107) \quad t^2 M^*(0) \oplus t^2 M^*(2) \oplus \bigoplus_{r=1}^{\infty} t^2 M(-2r) \oplus \bigoplus_{r=1}^{\infty} M(-2r).$$

Note that $\bigoplus_{r=1}^{\infty} M(-2r)$ is a direct sum of irreducible Verma modules and the Zuckerman and dual Zuckerman functors annihilate these objects. The dual Zuckerman functor also sends $M^*(0)$ and $M^*(2)$ to zero.

However, the Zuckerman functor Γ sends $M^*(0)$ and $M^*(2)$ to $L(0)$ and $L(2)$ respectively. Thus the Zuckerman functor applied to the homology is isomorphic to $t^2 L(0) \oplus t^2 L(2)$.

REFERENCES

- [AK21] Rostislav Akhmechet and Mikhail Khovanov. Anchored foams and annular homology, 2021. [arXiv:2105.00921](#).
- [BHPW19] Anna Beliakova, Matthew Hogancamp, Krzysztof Putyra, and Stephan Wehrli. On the functoriality of $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ tangle homology, 2019. [arXiv:1903.12194](#).
- [Bla10] Christian Blanchet. An oriented model for Khovanov homology. *J. Knot Theory Ramifications*, 19:291–312, 2010. [arXiv:1405.7246](#), [doi:10.1142/S0218216510007863](#).
- [BW08] Anna Beliakova and Stephan Wehrli. Categorification of the colored Jones polynomial and Rasmussen invariant of links. *The Canadian Journal of Mathematics*, 60:1240–1266, 2008. [arXiv:math/0510382](#), [doi:10.4153/CJM-2008-053-1](#).
- [Cap08] Carmen Caprau. An $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ tangle homology and seamed cobordisms. *Alg. Geom. Topol.*, 8(2):729–756, 2008. [arXiv:math/0707.3051](#), [doi:10.2140/agt.2008.8.729](#).

- [Cau15] Sabin Cautis. Clasp technology to knot homology via the affine Grassmannian. *Math. Ann.*, 363(3-4):1053–1115, 2015. [arXiv:1207.2074](#), [doi:10.1007/s00208-015-1196-x](#).
- [Cau17] Sabin Cautis. Remarks on coloured triply graded link invariants. *Algebr. Geom. Topol.*, 17(6):3811–3836, 2017. [arXiv:1611.09924](#), [doi:10.2140/agt.2017.17.3811](#).
- [CG22] Alex Chandler and Eugene Gorsky. Structures in HOMFLY-PT homology, 2022. [arXiv:2209.13058](#).
- [CGL⁺21] Carmen Caprau, Nicolle González, Christine Ruey Shan Lee, Adam M. Lowrance, Radmila Sazdanović, and Melissa Zhang. *On Khovanov Homology and Related Invariants*, chapter 6, pages 273–292. Springer International Publishing, Cham, 2021. [arXiv:2002.05247](#), [doi:10.1007/978-3-030-80979-9_6](#).
- [CK12] Benjamin Cooper and Vyacheslav S. Krushkal. Categorification of the Jones–Wenzl projectors. *Quantum Topol.*, 3(2):139–180, 2012. [arXiv:1005.5117](#), [doi:10.4171/QT/27](#).
- [CKL10] Sabin Cautis, Joel Kamnitzer, and Anthony Licata. Categorical geometric skew Howe duality. *Invent. Math.*, 180(1):111–159, 2010. [arXiv:0902.1795](#), [doi:10.1007/s00029-017-0362-2](#).
- [CMW09] David Clark, Scott Morrison, and Kevin Walker. Fixing the functoriality of Khovanov homology. *Geom. Topol.*, 13(3):1499–1582, 2009. [arXiv:math/0701339](#), [doi:10.1142/S0218216521500747](#).
- [CS98] Scott Carter and Masahico Saito. *Knotted Surfaces and Their Diagrams*, volume 55 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Cambridge, 1998. [doi:10.1112/S0024609300267374](#).
- [EQ16] Ben Elias and You Qi. A categorification of quantum $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ at prime roots of unity. *Adv. Math.*, 299:863–930, 2016. [arXiv:1503.05114](#), [doi:10.1016/j.aim.2016.06.002](#).
- [EQ21] Ben Elias and You Qi. Actions of \mathfrak{sl}_2 on algebras appearing in categorification, 2021. [arXiv:2103.00048](#).
- [EST16] Michael Ehrig, Catharina Stroppel, and Daniel Tubbenhauer. Generic \mathfrak{gl}_2 -foams, web and arc algebras, 2016. [arXiv:1601.08010](#).
- [ETW18] Michael Ehrig, Daniel Tubbenhauer, and Paul Wedrich. Functoriality of coloured link homologies. *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3)*, 117(5):996–1040, 2018. [arXiv:1703.06691](#), [doi:10.1112/plms.12154](#).
- [GHM21] Eugene Gorsky, Matthew Hogancamp, and Anton Mellit. Tautological classes and symmetry in Khovanov–Rozansky homology, 2021. [arXiv:2103.01212](#).
- [GLW18] Julia Elisenda Grigsby, Anthony Licata, and Stephan Wehrli. Annular Khovanov homology and knotted Schur–Weyl representations. *Comp. Math.*, 154:459–502, 2018. [arXiv:1505.04386](#), [doi:10.1112/S0010437X17007540](#).
- [GOR13] Eugene Gorsky, Alexei Oblomkov, and Jacob Rasmussen. On stable Khovanov homology of torus knots. *Exp. Math.*, 22(3):265–281, 2013. [arXiv:1206.2226](#), [doi:10.1080/10586458.2013.798553](#).
- [Jac04] Magnus Jacobsson. An invariant of link cobordisms from Khovanov homology. *Algebr. Geom. Topol.*, 4(2):1211–1251, 2004. [arXiv:math/0206303](#), [doi:10.2140/agt.2004.4.1211](#).
- [Kho04] Mikhail Khovanov. $\mathfrak{sl}(3)$ link homology. *Algebr. Geom. Topol.*, 4:1045–1081, 2004. [arXiv:QA/0304375](#), [doi:10.2140/agt.2004.4.1045](#).
- [Kho05] Mikhail Khovanov. Categorifications of the colored Jones polynomial. *J. Knot theory and its Ramifications*, 14:111–130, 2005. [arXiv:math.QA/0302060](#), [doi:10.1142/S0218216505003750](#).

- [Kho06a] Mikhail Khovanov. An invariant of tangle cobordisms. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 358:315–327, 2006. [arXiv:QA/0207264](#), [doi:10.1090/S0002-9947-05-03665-2](#).
- [Kho06b] Mikhail Khovanov. Link homology and Frobenius extensions. *Fund. Math.*, 190:179–190, 2006. [arXiv:math.QA/0411447](#), [doi:10.4064/FM190-0-6](#).
- [Kho07] Mikhail Khovanov. Triply-graded link homology and Hochschild homology of Soergel bimodules. *Internat. J. Math.*, 18(8):869–885, 2007. [arXiv:math/0510265](#), [doi:10.1142/S0129167X07004400](#).
- [Kho12] Mikhail Khovanov. One-half of the Witt algebra in categorification of quantum groups, 2012. Unpublished notes.
- [Kho16] Mikhail Khovanov. Hopfological algebra and categorification at a root of unity: The first steps. *J. Knot Theory Ramifications*, 25(3):359–426, 2016. [arXiv:math/0509083](#), [doi:10.1142/S021821651640006X](#).
- [KL03] Anton Kapustin and Yi Li. Topological correlators in Landau–Ginzburg models with boundaries. *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.*, 7:727–749, 2003. [arXiv:hep-th/0305136](#), [doi:10.4310/ATMP.2003.v7.n4.a5](#).
- [KR07] Mikhail Khovanov and Lev Rozansky. Topological Landau–Ginzburg models on a world-sheet foam. *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.*, 11(2):233–259, 2007. [arXiv:hep-th/0404189](#), [doi:10.4310/ATMP.2007.v11.n2.a2](#).
- [KR08a] Mikhail Khovanov and Lev Rozansky. Matrix factorizations and link homology. *Fund. Math.*, 199(1):1–91, 2008. [arXiv:math/0401268](#), [doi:10.4064/fm199-1-1](#).
- [KR08b] Mikhail Khovanov and Lev Rozansky. Matrix factorizations and link homology. II. *Geom. Topol.*, 12(3):1387–1425, 2008. [arXiv:math/0505056](#), [doi:10.2140/gt.2008.12.1387](#).
- [KR16] Mikhail Khovanov and Lev Rozansky. Positive half of the Witt algebra acts on triply graded link homology. *Quantum Topol.*, 7(4):737–795, 2016. [arXiv:1305.1642](#), [doi:10.4171/QT/84](#).
- [KW21] Vyacheslav Krushkal and Paul Wedrich. $\mathfrak{gl}(2)$ foams and the Khovanov homotopy type, 2021. [arXiv:2101.05785](#).
- [Lee05] Eun Soo Lee. An endomorphism of the Khovanov invariant. *Adv. Math.*, 197(2):544–586, 2005. [arXiv:math/0210213](#), [doi:10.1016/j.aim.2004.10.015](#).
- [LQR15] Aaron Lauda, Hoel Queffelec, and David E. V. Rose. Khovanov homology is a skew Howe 2-representation of categorified quantum \mathfrak{sl}_m . *Algebr. Geom. Topol.*, 15(5):2517–2608, 2015. [arXiv:1212.6076](#), [doi:10.2140/agt.2015.15.2517](#).
- [LS14a] Robert Lipschitz and Sucharit Sarkar. A Khovanov stable homotopy type. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 27:983–1042, 2014. [arXiv:1112.3932](#), [doi:10.1090/S0894-0347-2014-00785-2](#).
- [LS14b] Robert Lipschitz and Sucharit Sarkar. A Steenrod square on Khovanov homology. *J. Topol.*, 7(3):817–841, 2014. [arXiv:1204.5776](#), [doi:10.1112/jtopol/jtu005](#).
- [LZ19] Adam Simon Levine and Ian Zemke. Khovanov homology and ribbon concordances. *Bulletin of the London Mathematical Society*, 51(6):1099–1103, 2019. [arXiv:1903.01546](#), [doi:10.1112/blms.12303](#).
- [Mac09] Marco Mackaay. $\mathfrak{sl}(3)$ -foams and the Khovanov–Lauda categorification of quantum $\mathfrak{sl}(k)$, 2009. [arXiv:0905.2059](#).
- [MPT14] Marco Mackaay, WeiWei Pan, and Daniel Tubbenhauer. The $\mathfrak{sl}(3)$ web algebra. *Math. Z.*, 277(1-2):401–479, 2014. [arXiv:1206.2118](#), [doi:10.1007/s00209-013-1262-6](#).

- [MSV09] Marco Mackaay, Marko Stošić, and Pedro Vaz. $\mathfrak{sl}(n)$ -link homology ($n \geq 4$) using foams and the Kapustin–Li formula. *Geom. Topol.*, 13(2):1075–1128, 2009. [arXiv:0708.2228](#), [doi:10.2140/gt.2009.13.1075](#).
- [Qi14] You Qi. Hopfological algebra. *Compos. Math.*, 150(01):1–45, 2014. [arXiv:1205.1814](#), [doi:10.1112/S0010437X13007380](#).
- [QR16] Hoel Queffelec and David E. V. Rose. The \mathfrak{sl}_n foam 2-category: A combinatorial formulation of Khovanov–Rozansky homology via categorical skew Howe duality. *Adv. Math.*, 302:1251–1339, 2016. [arXiv:1405.5920](#), [doi:10.1016/j.aim.2016.07.027](#).
- [QRS18] Hoel Queffelec, David E. V. Rose, and Antonio Sartori. Annular evaluation and link homology, 2018. [arXiv:1802.04131](#).
- [QRSW21] You Qi, Louis-Hadrien Robert, Joshua Sussan, and Emmanuel Wagner. A categorification of the colored Jones polynomial at a root of unity, 2021. [arXiv:2111.13195](#).
- [QRSW22] You Qi, Louis-Hadrien Robert, Joshua Sussan, and Emmanuel Wagner. Symmetries of \mathfrak{gl}_N -foams, 2022. [arXiv:2212.10106](#).
- [QS22] You Qi and Joshua Sussan. On some p-differential graded link homologies. *Forum Math. Pi*, 10:e26, 2022. [arXiv:2009.06498](#), [doi:10.1017/fmp.2022.19](#).
- [Ras10] Jacob Rasmussen. Khovanov homology and the slice genus. *Invent. Math.*, 182:419–447, 2010. [arXiv:math/0402131](#), [doi:10.1007/s00222-010-0275-6](#).
- [Roz14] Lev Rozansky. An infinite torus braid yields a categorified Jones–Wenzl projector. *Fund. Math.*, 225(1):305–326, 2014. [arXiv:1005.3266](#), [doi:10.4064/fm225-1-14](#).
- [Roz23] Felix Roz. \mathfrak{sl}_2 action on link homology of $T(2, n)$ torus links, 2023.
- [RW20a] Louis-Hadrien Robert and Emmanuel Wagner. A closed formula for the evaluation of foams. *Quantum Topol.*, 11(3):411–487, 2020. [arXiv:1702.04140](#), [doi:10.4171/qt/139](#).
- [RW20b] Louis-Hadrien Robert and Emmanuel Wagner. Symmetric Khovanov–Rozansky link homologies. *J. Éc. polytech. Math.*, 7:573–651, 2020. [arXiv:1801.02244](#), [doi:10.5802/jep.124](#).
- [Shu14] Alexander Shumakovitch. Torsion of Khovanov homology. *Fund. Math.*, 225(1):343–364, 2014. [arXiv:math/0405474](#), [doi:10.48550](#).
- [Vog20] Pierre Vogel. Functoriality of Khovanov homology. *J. Knot Theory Ramifications*, 29(4), 2020. [arXiv:1505.04545](#), [doi:10.1142/S0218216520500200](#).
- [Wan21] Joshua Wang. On $\mathfrak{sl}(N)$ homology with mod N coefficients, 2021. [arXiv:2111.02287](#).
- [Zem19] Ian Zemke. Knot Floer homology obstructs ribbon concordance. *Annals of Mathematics*, 190(3):931 – 947, 2019. [arXiv:1902.04050](#), [doi:10.4007/annals.2019.190.3.5](#).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF VIRGINIA, CHARLOTTESVILLE, VA 22904, USA

Email address: yq2dw@virginia.edu

UNIVERSITÉ CLERMONT AUVERGNE, LMBP, CAMPUS DES CÉZEAUX, 3 PLACE VASARELY, TSA 60026, CS 60026, 63178 AUBIÈRE CEDEX, FRANCE

Email address: louis_hadrien.robert@uca.fr

MATHEMATICS PROGRAM, THE GRADUATE CENTER, CUNY, NEW YORK, NY 10016, USA

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, CUNY MEDGAR EVERS, BROOKLYN, NY, 11225,
USA

Email address: jsussan@mec.cuny.edu

UNIV PARIS CITÉ, IMJ-PRG, UNIV PARIS SORBONNE, UMR 7586 CNRS, F-75013,
PARIS, FRANCE

Email address: emmanuel.wagner@imj-prg.fr