

# A problem involving competing and intrinsic operators

ALDO H. S. MEDEIROS <sup>\*</sup> DUMITRU MOTREANU <sup>†</sup>

## Abstract

The main result establishes the existence of a solution in a generalized sense for a nonlinear Dirichlet problem driven by a competing operator and exhibiting a convection term composed with an intrinsic operator. A finite dimensional approximation is constructed. Applications regarding nonhomogeneous Dirichlet problems and equations with convolution are given by choosing an adequate intrinsic operator.

2010 **Mathematics Subject Classification:** 35J92 47F10 35B45

**Keywords:**  $p$ -Laplacian, competing operator, convection, generalized solution, approximation, nonhomogeneous boundary condition, convolution

## 1 Introduction and statement of main result

Let  $T : W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \rightarrow W^{1,p}(\Omega)$  be a given continuous map that we call intrinsic operator. For  $1 < q < p < +\infty$  and  $p < N$ , we state the Dirichlet problem

$$(1) \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta_p u + \Delta_q u = f(x, T(u), \nabla T(u)) & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases}$$

on a bounded domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  with a Lipschitz boundary  $\partial\Omega$ . In the case where the operator  $T$  is the identity of  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$  we recover the problem stated in [3].

In order to simplify the notation we make the convention that corresponding to any  $r \in (1, +\infty)$  we denote  $r' = r/(r-1)$  (the Hölder conjugate of  $r$ ). In (1) we have the negative  $p$ -Laplacian  $-\Delta_p : W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \rightarrow W^{-1,p'}(\Omega)$  given by

$$\langle -\Delta_p u, v \rangle = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u(x)|^{p-2} \nabla u(x) \cdot \nabla v(x) dx, \quad \forall u, v \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega),$$

---

<sup>\*</sup>Departamento de Matemática, Universidade Federal de Viçosa, 36570-900 - Viçosa - MG, Brazil. E-mail: aldo.medeiros@ufv.br

<sup>†</sup>Department of Mathematics, University of Perpignan, 66000 Perpignan, France. E-mail: mtreanu@univ-perp.fr

and the positive  $q$ -Laplacian  $\Delta_q : W_0^{1,q}(\Omega) \rightarrow W^{-1,q'}(\Omega)$  defined by

$$\langle \Delta_q u, v \rangle = - \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u(x)|^{q-2} \nabla u(x) \cdot \nabla v(x) dx, \quad \forall u, v \in W_0^{1,q}(\Omega).$$

Since  $p > q$ , there is the continuous embedding  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow W_0^{1,q}(\Omega)$ , so the sum of operators  $-\Delta_p + \Delta_q : W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \rightarrow W^{-1,p'}(\Omega)$  is well defined. The case  $q > p$  in problem (1) is obtained multiplying the equation by  $-1$ . The case  $N \leq p$  is simpler and can be handled along the same lines.

In view of the Sobolev and Rellich-Kondrachev embedding theorems, the Sobolev space  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$  is compactly embedded into  $L^r(\Omega)$  for every  $1 \leq r < p^*$  and continuously embedded for  $r = p^*$ , where  $p^*$  stands for the Sobolev critical exponent  $p^* = \frac{Np}{N-p}$  if  $N > p$ . Hence, for every  $1 \leq r \leq p^*$  there exists a positive constant  $S_r$  such that

$$(2) \quad \|u\|_r \leq S_r \|u\|, \quad \forall u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega),$$

where  $\|u\|_r$  denotes the norm on  $L^r(\Omega)$  and

$$\|u\| := \|\nabla u\|_p = \left( \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

is the norm on  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ . The best constant in (2) for  $r = p$  is  $S_p = \lambda_{1,p}^{-1/p}$ , where  $\lambda_{1,p}$  is the first eigenvalue of  $-\Delta_p$ , that is,

$$\lambda_{1,p} = \inf_{u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega), u \neq 0} \frac{\|\nabla u\|_p^p}{\|u\|_p^p}.$$

The right-hand side of the equation in problem (1) is composed of a Carathéodory function  $f : \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  (i.e.,  $f(x, s, \xi)$  is measurable in  $x \in \Omega$  and continuous in  $(s, \xi) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^N$ ) and the continuous map  $T : W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \rightarrow W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ . We assume that the following conditions are satisfied:

(H1) There exist constants  $a_1 \geq 0$ ,  $a_2 \geq 0$ ,  $\alpha \in (0, p^* - 1)$ ,  $\beta \in \left(0, \frac{p}{(p^*)'}\right)$ , and a function  $\sigma \in L^{r'}(\Omega)$  with  $r \in [1, p^*)$  such that

$$|f(x, s, \xi)| \leq \sigma_1(x) + a_1 |s|^\alpha + a_2 |\xi|^\beta$$

for almost all  $x \in \Omega$ , all  $s \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^N$ .

(H2) There exist constants  $K_1, K_2, K_3 \geq 0$  with

$$a_1 K_1 S_{\frac{p^*}{p^* - \alpha}} + a_2 K_2 S_{\frac{p}{p - \beta}} < 1$$

such that

$$\|T(u)\|_{p^*}^\alpha \leq K_1 \|\nabla u\|_p^{p-1} + K_3 \quad \text{and} \quad \|\nabla T(u)\|_p^\beta \leq K_2 \|\nabla u\|_p^{p-1} + K_3$$

for all  $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ .

The solutions to problem (1) are understood in the following sense consistent with [3].

**Definition 1** A function  $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$  is said to be a generalized solution to problem (1) if there exists a sequence  $\{u_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$  such that

- (a)  $u_n \rightharpoonup u$  in  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ;
- (b)  $-\Delta_p u_n + \Delta_q u_n - f(\cdot, T(u_n)(\cdot), \nabla T(u_n)(\cdot)) \rightharpoonup 0$  in  $W^{-1,p'}(\Omega)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ;
- (c)  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[ \langle -\Delta_p u_n + \Delta_q u_n, u_n - u \rangle - \int_{\Omega} f(x, T(u_n), \nabla T(u_n))(u_n - u) dx \right] = 0$ .

If in place of (c) it holds

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle -\Delta_p u_n + \Delta_q u_n, u_n - u \rangle = 0,$$

we say that  $u$  is a strong generalized solution of problem (1).

Hypothesis (H1) ensures that Definition 1 is correctly formulated, in particular the existence of the integral in (c) is assured. This can be seen from the lemma below.

**Lemma 1** *Under assumption (H1) one has the estimate*

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} f(x, T(u), \nabla T(u)) v dx \right| \leq \|\sigma_1\|_{r'} \|v\|_r + a_1 \|T(u)\|_{p^*}^{\alpha} \|v\|_{\frac{p^*}{p^*-\alpha}} + a_2 \|\nabla T(u)\|_p^{\beta} \|v\|_{\frac{p}{p-\beta}}.$$

for all  $u, v \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ .

*Proof.* Assumption (H1) and Hölder's inequality entail

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\Omega} f(x, T(u), \nabla T(u)) v dx \right| &\leq \int_{\Omega} |\sigma| |v| dx + a_1 \int_{\Omega} |T(u)|^{\alpha} |v| dx + a_2 \int_{\Omega} |\nabla T(u)|^{\beta} |v| dx \\ &\leq \|\sigma\|_{r'} \|v\|_r + a_1 \|T(u)\|_{p^*}^{\alpha} \|v\|_{\frac{p^*}{p^*-\alpha}} + a_2 \|\nabla T(u)\|_p^{\beta} \|v\|_{\frac{p}{p-\beta}}, \quad \forall u, v \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega), \end{aligned}$$

which is the desired conclusion.  $\square$

Our main result provides the existence of a strong generalized solution to problem (1).

**Theorem 1** *Assume that conditions (H1) – (H2) hold. Then there exists a strong generalized solution  $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$  to problem (1).*

The arguments developed in Sections 2 and 3 to prove Theorem 1 permit to establish a slightly more general version of Theorem 1. Since the reasoning is basically the same, we omit the proof.

**Corollary 1** *Under hypotheses (H1) – (H2), the Dirichlet problem*

$$(3) \quad \begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}|\nabla(w + u_0)|^{p-2}\nabla(w + u_0) + \operatorname{div}|\nabla(w + u_0)|^{q-2}\nabla(w + u_0) = f(x, T(w), \nabla T(w)) & \text{in } \Omega \\ w = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases},$$

for some  $u_0 \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ , admits a strong generalized solution  $w \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$  in the following sense: there exists a sequence  $\{w_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$  such that

$$(\tilde{a}) \quad w_n \rightharpoonup w \text{ in } W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty;$$

$$(\tilde{b}) \quad -\operatorname{div}|\nabla(w_n + u_0)|^{p-2}\nabla(w_n + u_0) + \operatorname{div}|\nabla(w_n + u_0)|^{q-2}\nabla(w_n + u_0) - f(\cdot, T(w_n)(\cdot), \nabla T(w_n)(\cdot)) \rightarrow 0 \text{ in } W^{-1,p'}(\Omega) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty;$$

$$(\tilde{c}) \quad \langle -\operatorname{div}(|\nabla(w_n + u_0)|^{p-2}\nabla(w_n + u_0) + |\nabla(w_n + u_0)|^{q-2}\nabla(w_n + u_0)), w_n - w \rangle \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

If  $u_0 = 0$ , Theorem 1 is recovered.

The proof of Theorem 1 is given in Section 3. Applications of Theorem 1 are presented in Section 4.

The present paper aims to address two major difficulties that until now been regarded separately. The first one concerns the competing operator  $-\Delta_p + \Delta_q$  which destroys the ellipticity of problem (1) and has a totally different behavior than the negative  $(p, q)$ -Laplacian  $-\Delta_p - \Delta_q$ . The second one refers to the convection term  $f(\cdot, u(\cdot), \nabla u(\cdot))$  (i.e., it depends on the solution  $u$  and its gradient  $\nabla u$ ) composed with an additional map  $T : W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \rightarrow W^{1,p}(\Omega)$  called intrinsic operator, which can cover a multitude of local and nonlocally relevant phenomena. It is for the first time when problems driven by the competing operator  $-\Delta_p + \Delta_q$  and incorporating an intrinsic operator  $T : W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \rightarrow W^{1,p}(\Omega)$  are studied.

We briefly outline related literature. The study of problems involving the competing operator  $-\Delta_p + \Delta_q$  started with [2] in a variational setting. Non-variational problems exhibiting competing operators and convection terms were investigated in [3]. The problems with intrinsic operator have been introduced in [4] where the driving operator is the ordinary  $(p, q)$ -Laplacian. Equations with convolution in the convection term were first considered in [5] and subsequently studied in [6] and [7]. In this paper, all the described elements are involved in the same problem statement.

Our main result, stated as Theorem 1, provides the existence of solutions to problem (1) in the sense of Definition 1. The proof relies on an approximation approach based on resolving finite-dimensional equations via a consequence of Brouwer's fixed point theorem. An essential feature of our arguments is to appropriately match the growth of the intrinsic operator and the growth of the convection in the a priori estimates. The abstract result is applied to Dirichlet problems with nonhomogeneous boundary condition, and to equations with convolution incorporated in the convection term.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows: Section 2 is devoted to finding finite dimensional approximations. Section 2 contains the proof of our main result. Section 3 sets forth two applications of the main result.

## 2 Approximate solutions on finite dimensional subspaces

A consequence of Brouwer's fixed point theorem will be an essential tool in the proof of Theorem 1. The proof of this consequence is usually done on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  endowed with the standard Euclidean norm (see, e.g., [8, p. 37]). For the sake of precision, we prove it on an arbitrary finite dimensional space  $V$  as will be needed in the sequel without claiming that it directly follows from the identification of  $V$  with  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

**Lemma 2** *Let  $V$  be a finite dimensional space endowed with the norm  $\|\cdot\|_V$  and let  $F : V \rightarrow V^*$  be a continuous map. Assume that there is a constant  $R > 0$  such that*

$$(4) \quad \langle F(v), v \rangle \geq 0 \text{ for all } v \in V \text{ with } \|v\|_V = R.$$

*Then there exists  $u \in V$  with  $\|u\|_V \leq R$  satisfying  $F(u) = 0$ .*

*Proof.* Arguing by contradiction, suppose that  $F(v) \neq 0$  for all  $\|v\|_V \leq R$ . Fix a basis  $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$  of  $V$ , where  $n$  is the dimension of  $V$ , and define the map  $G : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  by

$$G(x) = (\langle F(x), v_1 \rangle, \dots, \langle F(x), v_n \rangle) \quad \text{for all } x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

On the standard product  $G(x) \cdot x$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  we infer by (4) that

$$G(x) \cdot x = \left\langle F \left( \sum_{i=1}^n x_i v_i \right), \sum_{i=1}^n x_i v_i \right\rangle \geq 0$$

for all  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$  with  $|x|_1 := \|\sum_{i=1}^n x_i v_i\|_V = R$ . Notice that  $|\cdot|_1$  is a norm on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and consider the corresponding closed ball  $B_R = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x|_1 \leq R\}$ . In view of our assumption in arguing by contradiction, the map  $g : B_R \rightarrow B_R$  given by

$$g(x) = -\frac{R}{|G(x)|_1} G(x) \quad \text{for all } x \in B_R$$

is well defined and continuous. Consequently, Brouwer's fixed point theorem ensures the existence of some  $x_0 \in B_R$  satisfying  $g(x_0) = x_0$ . Since  $|x_0|_1 = |g(x_0)|_1 = R$ , we have  $x_0 \neq 0$ . On the other hand, denoting by  $|\cdot|_2$  the Euclidean norm on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , there exists a constant  $c > 0$  such that  $|x|_1 \leq c|x|_2$  whenever  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . This yields the contradiction

$$0 < R^2 = |x_0|_1^2 \leq c^2 |x_0|_2^2 = c^2 x_0 \cdot x_0 = c^2 x_0 \cdot g(x_0) = -\frac{Rc^2}{|G(x_0)|_1} G(x_0) \cdot x_0 \leq 0,$$

which proves the result. □

The construction of a sequence as required in Definition 1 relies on the usage of a Galerkin basis of the Sobolev space  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ . We recall that a Galerkin basis of  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$  means a sequence  $\{X_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of vector subspaces of  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$  satisfying

- (i)  $\dim(X_n) < \infty, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ ;
- (ii)  $X_n \subset X_{n+1}, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ ;
- (iii)  $\overline{\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} X_n} = W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ .

The existence of a Galerkin basis is guaranteed because the Banach space  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$  with  $1 < p < +\infty$  is separable. Fix a Galerkin basis  $\{X_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ . Lemma 2 will be applied on each finite dimensional subspace  $X_n$ .

**Proposition 1** *Assume that conditions (H1)–(H2) are fulfilled. Then for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  there exists  $u_n \in X_n$  such that*

$$(5) \quad \langle -\Delta_p u_n + \Delta_q u_n, v \rangle = \int_{\Omega} f(x, T(u_n), \nabla T(u_n)) v dx \quad \text{for all } v \in X_n.$$

*Proof.* For each  $n \geq 1$  we introduce the map  $A_n : X_n \rightarrow X_n^*$  by

$$\langle A_n(u), v \rangle = \langle -\Delta_p u + \Delta_q u, v \rangle - \int_{\Omega} f(x, T(u), \nabla T(u)) v dx \text{ for all } u, v \in X_n.$$

On the basis of Lemma 1, assumption (H2), (2), and Hölder's inequality, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle A_n(v), v \rangle &= \int_{\Omega} \left( |\nabla v|^p - |\nabla v|^q - f(x, T(v), \nabla T(v)) v \right) dx \\ &\geq \|\nabla v\|_p^p - \|\nabla v\|_q^q - \|\sigma\|_{r'} \|v\|_r - a_1 \|T(v)\|_{p^*}^{\alpha} \|v\|_{\frac{p^*}{p^*-\alpha}} - a_2 \|\nabla T(v)\|_p^{\beta} \|v\|_{\frac{p}{p-\beta}} \\ &\geq \|\nabla v\|_p^p - \|\nabla v\|_q^q - S_r \|\sigma\|_{r'} \|\nabla v\|_p - a_1 (K_1 \|v\|^{p-1} + K_3) \|v\|_{\frac{p^*}{p^*-\alpha}} - a_2 (K_2 \|v\|^{p-1} + K_3) \|v\|_{\frac{p}{p-\beta}} \\ &\geq \left( 1 - a_1 K_1 S_{\frac{p^*}{p^*-\alpha}} - a_2 K_2 S_{\frac{p}{p-\beta}} \right) \|\nabla v\|_p^p - |\Omega|^{\frac{p-q}{p}} \|\nabla v\|_p^q - C_1 \|\nabla v\|_p, \quad \forall v \in X_n, \end{aligned}$$

where  $C_1 > 0$  is a constant and  $|\Omega|$  denotes the Lebesgue measure of  $\Omega$ . Using that  $p > q > 1$  and  $1 - a_1 K_1 S_{\frac{p^*}{p^*-\alpha}} - a_2 K_2 S_{\frac{p}{p-\beta}} > 0$  as known from (H2), we have

$$\langle A_n(v), v \rangle \geq 0, \text{ for all } v \in X_n \text{ with } \|v\| = R$$

provided  $R > 0$  is sufficiently large. Lemma 2 can thus be applied with  $V = X_n$  and  $F = A_n$ . Consequently, there exists  $u_n \in X_n$  solving the equation  $A_n(u_n) = 0$ , so (5) holds true. The proof is complete.  $\square$

The element  $u_n \in X_n$  appearing in Proposition 1 can be interpreted as a finite dimensional approximate solution to problem (1). The next corollary establishes an important property of the sequence  $\{u_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ .

**Corollary 2** *Assume that conditions (H1) – (H2) are fulfilled. Then the sequence  $\{u_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  constructed in Proposition 1 is bounded in  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ .*

*Proof.* Let us insert  $v = u_n$  in (5). Through Hölder's inequality, Lemma 1, (2), and assumption (H2), we derive as before

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla u_n\|_p^p &= \|\nabla u_n\|_q^q + \int_{\Omega} f(x, T(u_n), \nabla T(u_n)) u_n dx \\ &\leq |\Omega|^{\frac{p-q}{p}} \|\nabla u_n\|_p^q + \|\sigma\|_{r'} \|u_n\|_r + a_1 \|T(u_n)\|_{p^*}^{\alpha} \|u_n\|_{\frac{p^*}{p^*-\alpha}} + a_2 \|\nabla T(u_n)\|_p^{\beta} \|u_n\|_{\frac{p}{p-\beta}} \\ &\leq |\Omega|^{\frac{p-q}{p}} \|\nabla u_n\|_p^q + S_r \|\sigma\|_{r'} \|\nabla u_n\|_p + \left( a_1 K_1 S_{\frac{p^*}{p^*-\alpha}} + a_2 K_2 S_{\frac{p}{p-\beta}} \right) \|\nabla u_n\|_p^p + C_1 \|\nabla u_n\|_p, \end{aligned}$$

with a constant  $C_1 > 0$ . Since  $a_1 K_1 S_{\frac{p^*}{p^*-\alpha}} + a_2 K_2 S_{\frac{p}{p-\beta}} < 1$ , it results the desired conclusion.  $\square$

### 3 Proof of Theorem 1

Corollary 2 asserts that the sequence  $\{u_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  constructed in Proposition 1 is bounded in  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ . Since the space  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$  is reflexive, along a relabeled subsequence we have  $u_n \rightharpoonup u$  in  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$  with some  $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ . Condition (a) in Definition 1 is thus verified.

Due to hypothesis (H1), the Nemytskii operator  $\mathcal{N}_f : W^{1,p}(\Omega) \rightarrow L^{(p^*)'}(\Omega)$  associated with the function  $f$ , that is

$$\mathcal{N}_f(u) = f(x, u, \nabla u), \quad \forall u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega),$$

is well defined, bounded (in the sense that it maps bounded sets into bounded sets) and continuous as shown in Lemma 1. Hence, by hypothesis (H2) and the continuity of the embedding  $L^{(p^*)'}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow W^{-1,p'}(\Omega)$ , the operator  $\mathcal{N}_f \circ T : W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \rightarrow W^{-1,p'}(\Omega)$  is continuous and bounded. Taking into account that  $1 < q < p$ , one has the continuous embedding  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow W_0^{1,q}(\Omega)$ , so the operator  $-\Delta_p + \Delta_q : W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \rightarrow W^{-1,p'}(\Omega)$  is continuous and bounded. Consequently, the operator  $-\Delta_p + \Delta_q - \mathcal{N}_f \circ T$  is bounded, which enables us to conclude that the sequence  $\{-\Delta_p u_n + \Delta_q u_n - \mathcal{N}_f \circ T(u_n)\}_{n \geq 1}$  is bounded in  $W^{-1,p'}(\Omega)$ . The reflexivity of  $W^{-1,p'}(\Omega)$  allows us to pass to a relabeled subsequence of  $\{u_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  for which

$$-\Delta_p u_n + \Delta_q u_n - \mathcal{N}_f \circ T(u_n) \rightharpoonup \eta \text{ in } W^{-1,p'}(\Omega)$$

with some  $\eta \in W^{-1,p'}(\Omega)$ .

Let  $v \in \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} X_n$  and choose an integer  $m \geq 1$  such that  $v \in X_m$ . Proposition 1 entails that equality (5) holds true for all  $n \geq m$  because  $X_k \subset X_{k+1}$  for all  $k$ . Letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$  in (5) we obtain  $\langle \eta, v \rangle = 0$ . Then the density of  $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} X_n$  in  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$  implies  $\eta = 0$ . Consequently, property (b) in Definition 1 is proven.

Inserting  $v = u_n$  in (5) gives

$$(6) \quad \|\nabla u_n\|_p^p = \|\nabla u_n\|_q^q + \int_{\Omega} f(x, T(u_n), \nabla T(u_n)) u_n dx, \quad \forall n \geq 1,$$

while by property (b) in Definition 1 we get

$$(7) \quad \langle -\Delta_p u_n + \Delta_q u_n - \mathcal{N}_f \circ T(u_n), u \rangle \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

From (6) and (7) it turns out

$$(8) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle -\Delta_p u_n + \Delta_q u_n - \mathcal{N}_f \circ T(u_n), u_n - u \rangle = 0.$$

Due to assumption (H2) and the boundedness of  $\{u_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  there exists a constant  $c_1 > 0$  such that  $\|T(u_n)\|_{p^*} \leq c_1$  and  $\|\nabla T(u_n)\|_p \leq c_1$  for all  $n$ . Then Lemma 1 leads to the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle \mathcal{N}_f \circ T(u_n), u_n - u \rangle| &= \left| \int_{\Omega} f(x, T(u_n), \nabla T(u_n)) (u_n - u) dx \right| \\ &\leq \|\sigma_1\|_{r'} \|u_n - u\|_r + a_1 \|T(u_n)\|_{p^*}^\alpha \|u_n - u\|_{\frac{p^*}{p^* - \alpha}} + a_2 \|\nabla T(u_n)\|_p^\beta \|u_n - u\|_{\frac{p}{p - \beta}} \\ &\leq \|\sigma_1\|_{r'} \|u_n - u\|_r + a_1 c_1^\alpha \|u_n - u\|_{\frac{p^*}{p^* - \alpha}} + a_2 c_1^\beta \|u_n - u\|_{\frac{p}{p - \beta}} \end{aligned}$$

for every  $n$ . In view of (H1), the space  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$  is compactly embedded in  $L^r(\Omega)$ ,  $L^{p^*/(p^* - \alpha)}(\Omega)$ , and  $L^{p/(p - \beta)}(\Omega)$ . Letting  $n \rightarrow +\infty$  we arrive at

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle \mathcal{N}_f \circ T(u_n), u_n - u \rangle = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} f(x, T(u_n), \nabla T(u_n)) u_n dx = 0.$$

Then (8) yields

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle -\Delta_p u_n + \Delta_q u_n, u_n - u \rangle = 0.$$

Therefore according to Definition 1,  $u$  is a strong generalized solution of the problem (1), which completes the proof of Theorem 1.  $\square$

## 4 Applications

Our first application refers to the nonhomogenous Dirichlet problem involving competing operators and convection

$$(9) \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta_p u + \Delta_q u = f(x, u, \nabla u) & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = u_0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

with  $u_0 \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ . The data  $\Omega$ ,  $\Delta_p$ ,  $\Delta_q$ , and  $f(x, s, \xi)$  have the same significance as in the statement of problem (1). The equality  $u = u_0$  on the boundary  $\partial\Omega$  is in the sense of traces meaning that  $u - u_0 \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ . If  $u_0 = 0$  we retrieve problem (1).

By a strong generalized solution to problem (9) we mean any  $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$  such that  $u - u_0 \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$  and there exists a sequence  $\{w_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$  satisfying the requirements  $(\tilde{a})$  and  $(\tilde{c})$  with  $w = u - u_0$  and  $(\tilde{b})$  with  $T(w_n) = w_n + u_0$ . If  $u_0 = 0$ , Definition 1 is recovered.

**Theorem 2** *Assume that condition (H1) is satisfied with  $\alpha = \beta = p - 1$  and*

$$\max\{2^{p-2}, 1\} \left( a_1 S_{p^*}^{p-1} S_{\frac{p^*}{p^*-p+1}}^{p^*} + a_2 S_1 \right) < 1.$$

*Then there exists a strong generalized solution  $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$  to problem (9) in the sense mentioned above.*

*Proof.* We observe that  $p - 1 < p^* - 1$  and  $p - 1 < \frac{p}{(p^*)'}$ , thus  $\alpha = \beta = p - 1$  is admissible for condition (H1). The substitution  $w = u - u_0$  reduces problem (9) to finding  $w \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$  that resolves problem (3) with  $T(w) = w + u_0$ . We note that the map  $T : W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \rightarrow W^{1,p}(\Omega)$  given by  $T(w) = w + u_0$  for all  $w \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$  is continuous and satisfies hypothesis (H2) with  $\alpha = \beta = p - 1$  since

$$\|w + u_0\|_{p^*}^{p-1} \leq \max\{2^{p-2}, 1\} (S_{p^*}^{p-1} \|\nabla w\|_p^{p-1} + \|u_0\|_{p^*}^{p-1})$$

and

$$\|\nabla(w + u_0)\|_p^{p-1} \leq \max\{2^{p-2}, 1\} (\|\nabla w\|_p^{p-1} + \|\nabla u_0\|_p^{p-1}).$$

Then Corollary 1 yields the existence of a sequence  $\{w_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$  and of a function  $w \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$  such that conditions  $(\tilde{a})$ - $(\tilde{c})$  hold. The conclusion of Theorem 2 is thus achieved.  $\square$

Our second application deals with the following Dirichlet problem involving competing operators, convection and convolution with  $\rho \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ :

$$(10) \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta_p u + \Delta_q u = f(x, \rho * u, \nabla(\rho * u)) & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = u_0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$



The data  $\Omega$ ,  $-\Delta_p$ ,  $-\Delta_q$ , and  $f(x, s, \xi)$  are as in the statement of problem (1). In (10) we have the convolution

$$\rho * u(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \rho(x-y)u(y)dy \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^N,$$

of  $\rho \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$  and a  $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$  regarded as belonging to  $W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  by identifying  $u$  with its extension by 0 outside  $\Omega$ .

The Sobolev-Galiardo-Nirenberg theorem (see [1, Theorem 9.9]) provides the existence of a constant  $S = S(p, N)$  such that

$$(11) \quad \|v\|_{L^{p^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq S \|\nabla v\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}, \quad \forall v \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

Our result on problem (10) reads as follows.

**Theorem 3** *Assume that condition (H1) is satisfied with  $\alpha = \beta = p - 1$  and*

$$N^{p-1} \|\rho\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{p-1} \left( a_1 S^{p-1} S_{\frac{p^*}{p^*-p+1}} + a_2 S_1 \right) < 1.$$

*Then there exists a strong generalized solution  $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$  to problem (9) in the sense of Definition 1 corresponding to the operator  $T : W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \rightarrow W^{1,p}(\Omega)$  given by  $Tv = \rho * v$  for all  $v \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ .*

*Proof.* As already pointed out in the proof of Theorem 2, it is permitted to take  $\alpha = \beta = p - 1$  in condition (H1). Using Young's theorem for convolution

$$\|\rho * v\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq \|\rho\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)} \|v\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}$$

and the formula

$$(12) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}(\rho * v) = \rho * \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_i}$$

for all  $v \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$  and  $i = 1, \dots, N$  (see [1, Theorem 4.15]), it turns out that the linear operator  $Tv = \rho * v$  is well defined and continuous from  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$  into  $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ .

By the convexity of the function  $t \mapsto t^p$  on  $(0, +\infty)$  and (12), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla(\rho * u)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla(\rho * u)|^p dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left( \sum_{i=1}^N \left( \rho * \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right)^2 \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} dx \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left( \sum_{i=1}^N \left| \rho * \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right| \right)^p dx \leq N^p \|\rho\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p \|\nabla u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p = N^p \|\rho\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p \|\nabla u\|_p^p. \end{aligned}$$

Then by (11) we derive

$$\|\rho * u\|_{L^{p^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{p-1} \leq \|\rho * u\|_{L^{p^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{p-1} \leq S^{p-1} \|\nabla(\rho * u)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{p-1} \leq S^{p-1} N^{p-1} \|\rho\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{p-1} \|\nabla u\|_p^{p-1}$$

and

$$\|\nabla(\rho * u)\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^{p-1} \leq \|\nabla(\rho * u)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{p-1} \leq N^{p-1} \|\rho\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{p-1} \|\nabla u\|_p^{p-1}$$

for all  $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ . Hypothesis  $(H_2)$  is thus verified with  $K_1 = S^{p-1}N^{p-1}\|\rho\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{p-1}$ ,  $K_2 = N^{p-1}\|\rho\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{p-1}$ ,  $K_3 = 0$ . Hence Theorem 1 can be invoked with the choice of  $T$  described above through which we reach the stated conclusion.  $\square$

**Acknowledgements:** The authors thank Luiz Fernando Faria and Anderson Luiz de Albuquerque Araujo for useful comments.

**Disclosure statement:** On behalf of all authors, the corresponding author states that there is no conflict of interest.

**Funding:** The authors take part in the project Special Visiting Researcher – FAPEMIG CEX APQ 04528/22.

## References

- [1] H. Brézis, *Functional analysis, Sobolev spaces and partial differential equations*, Universitext, Springer, New York, 2011.
- [2] Z. Liu, R. Livrea, D. Motreanu, and S. Zeng, *Variational differential inclusions without ellipticity condition*, Electron. J. Qual. Theory Differ. Equ. 43 (2020), 17 pp.
- [3] D. Motreanu, *Quasilinear Dirichlet problems with competing operators and convection*, Open Math. 18 (2020), 1510–1517.
- [4] D. Motreanu and V.V. Motreanu,  *$(p, q)$ -Laplacian equations with convection term and an intrinsic operator*, Differential and integral inequalities, 589–601, Springer Optim. Appl., 151, Springer, Cham, 2019.
- [5] D. Motreanu and V.V. Motreanu, *Non Variational elliptic equations involving  $(p, q)$ -Laplacian, convection, and convolution*, Pure Appl. Funct. Anal. 5 (2020), no. 5, 1205–1215.
- [6] D. Motreanu and V.V. Motreanu, *Nonstandard Dirichlet problems with competing  $(p, q)$ -Laplacian, convection, and convolution*, Stud. Univ. Babeş-Bolyai Math. 66 (2021), no. 1, 95–103.
- [7] D. Motreanu, C. Vetro, and F. Vetro, *The effects of convolution and gradient dependence on a parametric Dirichlet problem*, Partial Differ. Equ. Appl. 1 (2020), no. 1, Paper No. 3, 15 pp.
- [8] R.E. Showalter, *Monotone Operators in Banach Space and Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 49, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1997.