

# Generalized spectrum of second order differential operators: 3D problems

Ivana Pultarová<sup>1</sup>

**Abstract.** Generalized spectra of differential operators can be related to spectra of preconditioned discretized operators. Obtaining (estimates of) the eigenvalues of the preconditioned discretized operators may lead to better estimating of the quality of preconditioners. In this short paper, we answer the open question posted in the recent paper Generalized spectrum of second order differential operators, authored by Gergelits, Nielsen, and Strakoš. The proof we present allows us to fully extend characterizing the generalized spectra of  $\nabla \cdot K \nabla u = \lambda \Delta u$  to problems of dimension three or higher.

**AMS subject classification.** 65F08, 65F15.

## 1 Introduction

Efficiency of numerical solution methods for differential equations with symmetric operators usually depends on spectral properties of the underlying matrices. Different preconditioning techniques transform the solved systems to systems with better spectral characteristics. Recent progress in estimating the spectrum of preconditioned systems was achieved in [1] and then in [3, 5], where new methods for obtaining two sided-bounds on all eigenvalues of the preconditioned matrices were introduced. These results, however, were motivated by infinite-dimensional problems. In [4] it was proved that eigenvalues of preconditioned operators with scalar coefficients defined in infinite-dimensional spaces correspond to the coefficient throughout the domain. In [2], problems with tensorial data were considered and spectra of preconditioned operators were fully characterized. The proof, however, was presented only for problems of two space variables. In this paper, we prove the main statement of [2] for three-dimensional (3D) cases, which can be easily generalized for problems of higher dimensions.

In the subsequent section, we first recall the notation and the main result of [2]. Then in the second subsection, we introduce the proof of characterizing the spectra of preconditioned operators in 3D. The main result is formulated in Theorem 2.1, the proof of which is based on Lemma 2.2, Lemma 2.3, Lemma 2.4, and Lemma 2.5. A brief discussion concludes the paper.

---

<sup>1</sup>Address: Faculty of Civil Engineering, Czech Technical University in Prague, Thákurova 7, Praha 6; e-mail: ivana.pultarova@cvut.cz

## 2 Characterization of the spectrum

### 2.1 Notation

We study the generalized eigenvalue problem

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla \cdot K(\mathbf{x})\nabla u(\mathbf{x}) &= \lambda \Delta u(\mathbf{x}) \quad \text{for } \mathbf{x} \in \Omega, \\ u(\mathbf{x}) &= 0 \quad \text{for } \mathbf{x} \in \partial\Omega, \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $d = 1, 2, 3$ , is a bounded domain with Lipschitz boundary  $\partial\Omega$  and  $K : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$  is the coefficient matrix which is assumed to be diagonal,

$$K(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{bmatrix} \kappa_1(\mathbf{x}) & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \kappa_d(\mathbf{x}) \end{bmatrix}.$$

Let us define the operators  $\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{A} : H_0^1(\Omega) \rightarrow H^{-1}(\Omega)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathcal{L}u, v \rangle &= \int_{\Omega} \nabla v \cdot \nabla u, \quad u, v \in H_0^1(\Omega), \\ \langle \mathcal{A}u, v \rangle &= \int_{\Omega} \nabla v \cdot K \nabla u, \quad u, v \in H_0^1(\Omega), \end{aligned}$$

and the induced norm  $\|u\|_{\mathcal{L}}^2 = \langle \mathcal{L}u, u \rangle$ . The spectrum of the preconditioned operator  $\mathcal{L}^{-1}\mathcal{A} : H_0^1(\Omega) \rightarrow H_0^1(\Omega)$  is defined as

$$\text{sp}(\mathcal{L}^{-1}\mathcal{A}) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}; \lambda \mathcal{I} - \mathcal{L}^{-1}\mathcal{A} \text{ does not have a bounded inverse}\}.$$

Thus the weak form of problem (1) can be viewed as finding the spectrum of the preconditioned operator  $\mathcal{L}^{-1}\mathcal{A}$ .

We assume that  $K$  is Lebesgue integrable and essentially bounded in  $\Omega$ . Instead of the diagonal shape of  $K$ , we can also deal with general symmetric matrices  $K$ . In such cases, the main results, spectral estimates, remain valid. To see this, an orthogonal decomposition of  $K$  can be applied and the approach of [2, Section 4] can be followed.

The main result of [2] formulated for 3D case ( $d = 3$ ) reads (see [2, Theorem 1.1])

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $K$  be continuous in  $\overline{\Omega}$ . Then the spectrum of the operator  $\mathcal{L}^{-1}\mathcal{A}$  equals*

$$\text{sp}(\mathcal{L}^{-1}\mathcal{A}) = \text{Conv}(\cup_{i=1}^3 \kappa_i(\overline{\Omega})).$$

*Proof.* The proof of the first inclusion consists of Lemmas 2.2, 2.3, and 2.4 which are presented in the subsequent section. The inverse inclusion follows from Lemma 2.5.  $\square$

### 2.2 Proof of the 3D case

To prove Theorem 2.1 we first introduce or recall four auxiliary lemmas.

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$  and let  $\kappa_i$  be continuous in  $\mathbf{x}^0 \in \Omega$ . Then  $\kappa_i(\mathbf{x}^0) \in \text{sp}(\mathcal{L}^{-1}\mathcal{A})$ .*

*Proof.* Without any loss of generality, let  $i = 1$  and let  $\kappa_1$  be continuous in  $\mathbf{x}^0 \in \Omega$ . Denote  $\kappa_1(\mathbf{x}^0) = \lambda$ . We shall construct parametrized functions  $v_r \in H^1(\Omega)$  such that

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \|v_r\|_{\mathcal{L}} \neq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \|(\lambda \mathcal{I} - \mathcal{L}^{-1}\mathcal{A})v_r\|_{\mathcal{L}} = 0. \quad (2)$$

For  $r \in (0, 1)$  define a two-dimensional disc  $D_r$ , its neighborhood  $R_r$ , and a cylinder  $C_r$

$$\begin{aligned} D_r &= \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^3; x_1 = x_1^0, d([x_2, x_3], [x_2^0, x_3^0]) \leq r\} \\ R_r &= \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^3; d(\mathbf{x}, D_r) \leq r^2\} \\ C_r &= \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^3; x_1 \in (x_1^0 - r^2, x_1^0 + r^2), d([x_2, x_3], [x_2^0, x_3^0]) \leq r\}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $d(A, B)$  is Euclidean distance between objects  $A$  and  $B$ . Note that  $D_r \subset C_r \subset R_r$ . Let us choose some  $r^0 \in (0, 1)$  such that  $R_{r^0} \subset \Omega$ . For every  $r \in (0, r^0)$  define a function  $v_r$  by

$$\begin{aligned} v_r(\mathbf{x}) &= 0, & \mathbf{x} \in \Omega \setminus R_r \\ &= 1, & \mathbf{x} \in D_r \\ &= 1 - \frac{d(\mathbf{x}, D_r)}{r^2} \in (0, 1), & \mathbf{x} \in R_r \setminus D_r. \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $v_r$  is continuous in  $\Omega$  and

$$\nabla v_r(\mathbf{x}) = \left( \frac{\pm 1}{r^2}, 0, 0 \right), \quad \mathbf{x} \in C_r \setminus D_r.$$

The part  $R_r \setminus C_r$  is a part of a torus. Equipotential surfaces of  $v_r$  defined in  $R_r \setminus C_r$  are of the shape of toroidal surfaces again. Thus the gradient of  $v_r$  in  $\mathbf{x} \in R_r \setminus C_r$  directs to the nearest point  $\mathbf{x}_D$  of  $D_r$  to  $\mathbf{x}$ . Due to the equidistant distribution of the equipotential surfaces the gradients have the same norms for any  $\mathbf{x}$  of the same equipotential surface. Then

$$\left| \frac{\partial v_r}{\partial x_i} \right| \leq \frac{1}{r^2}, \quad i = 1, 2, 3, \quad \mathbf{x} \in R_r \setminus C_r.$$

Volume of  $R_r$  is less than  $2\pi r^2(r + r^2)^2$ , volume of  $C_r$  is  $2\pi r^4$ , thus volume of  $R_r \setminus C_r$  is less than  $2\pi r^5(2 + r)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{C_r} \left( \frac{\partial v_r}{\partial x_1} \right)^2 &= 2\pi \\ \int_{C_r} \left( \frac{\partial v_r}{\partial x_i} \right)^2 &= 0, \quad i = 2, 3 \\ \int_{R_r \setminus C_r} \left( \frac{\partial v_r}{\partial x_i} \right)^2 &\leq 2\pi r(2 + r), \quad i = 1, 2, 3, \end{aligned}$$

which yields

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \|v_r\|_{\mathcal{L}} = \sqrt{2\pi}.$$

The rest of the proof is analogous to the last part of the proof of [2, Lemma 2.1]. Denoting  $u_r = (\lambda\mathcal{I} - \mathcal{L}^{-1}\mathcal{A})v_r$ , we get

$$\|u_r\|_{\mathcal{L}}^2 = \langle \mathcal{L}u_r, u_r \rangle = \langle (\lambda\mathcal{L} - \mathcal{A})v_r, u_r \rangle = \int_{\Omega} \nabla u_r \cdot (\lambda I - K) \nabla v_r \leq \left( \int_{\Omega} \|(\lambda I - K) \nabla v_r\|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|u_r\|_{\mathcal{L}}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_r\|_{\mathcal{L}}^2 &\leq \int_{\Omega} (\lambda - \kappa_1)^2 \left( \frac{\partial v_r}{\partial x_1} \right)^2 + \sum_{i=2}^3 \int_{\Omega} (\lambda - \kappa_i)^2 \left( \frac{\partial v_r}{\partial x_i} \right)^2 \\ &\leq (2\pi + 2\pi r(2+r)) \sup_{\mathbf{x} \in R_r} |\kappa_1(\mathbf{x}^0) - \kappa_1(\mathbf{x})|^2 + 2\pi r(2+r) \sum_{i=2}^3 \sup_{\mathbf{x} \in R_r} |\kappa_1(\mathbf{x}^0) - \kappa_i(\mathbf{x})|^2. \end{aligned}$$

From continuity of  $K$  in  $\mathbf{x}^0$  we get

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \|u_r\|_{\mathcal{L}} = 0,$$

which concludes the proof of (2).  $\square$

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $\kappa_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3$ , be constant on an open subdomain  $S \subset \Omega$ . Assume*

$$\sup_{\mathbf{x} \in \Omega} \kappa_j(\mathbf{x}) < \inf_{\mathbf{x} \in \Omega} \kappa_m(\mathbf{x}),$$

for some pair  $j, m \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ ,  $j \neq m$ . Then

$$[\sup_{\mathbf{x} \in \Omega} \kappa_j(\mathbf{x}), \inf_{\mathbf{x} \in \Omega} \kappa_m(\mathbf{x})] \subset \text{sp}(\mathcal{L}^{-1}\mathcal{A}).$$

*Proof.* Let  $j = 1$  and  $m = 2$  without any loss of generality. Denote  $k_1 = \kappa_1(\mathbf{x})$  and  $k_2 = \kappa_2(\mathbf{x})$ ,  $\mathbf{x} \in S$ , the values of  $\kappa_1$  and  $\kappa_2$  in  $S$ . Note that

$$[\sup_{\mathbf{x} \in \Omega} \kappa_1(\mathbf{x}), \inf_{\mathbf{x} \in \Omega} \kappa_2(\mathbf{x})] \subset [k_1, k_2].$$

Let  $\lambda \in (k_1, k_2)$  and choose some point  $\mathbf{x}^0 \in S$ . Denote

$$S_h = (x_1^0, x_1^0 + h\sqrt{\lambda - k_1}) \times (x_2^0, x_2^0 + h\sqrt{k_2 - \lambda}) \times (x_3^0, x_3^0 + h)$$

where  $h \in (0, 1)$  is sufficiently small that  $S_h \subset S$ . Then for any  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  the tensor product function

$$\phi(\mathbf{x}) = \sin\left(\frac{n\pi(x_1 - x_1^0)}{h\sqrt{\lambda - k_1}}\right) \sin\left(\frac{n\pi(x_2 - x_2^0)}{h\sqrt{k_2 - \lambda}}\right)$$

fulfills

$$(\lambda - k_1) \frac{\partial^2 \phi(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_1^2} = -\frac{n^2 \pi^2}{h^2} \phi(\mathbf{x}), \quad (k_2 - \lambda) \frac{\partial^2 \phi(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_2^2} = -\frac{n^2 \pi^2}{h^2} \phi(\mathbf{x}), \quad (\lambda - k_3) \frac{\partial^2 \phi(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_3^2} = 0$$

in  $S_h$  and the boundary condition  $\phi(\mathbf{x}) = 0$  on  $\partial S_h$ . Thus the function  $v \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  defined as

$$\begin{aligned} v(\mathbf{x}) &= \phi(\mathbf{x}), \quad \mathbf{x} \in S_h \\ &= 0, \quad \mathbf{x} \notin S_h \end{aligned}$$

solves the weak form of the generalized eigenvalue problem (1).  $\square$

**Lemma 2.4.** *Assume that  $K$  is continuous at least at a single point in  $\Omega$ . Let*

$$\sup_{\mathbf{x} \in \Omega} \kappa_j(\mathbf{x}) < \inf_{\mathbf{x} \in \Omega} \kappa_m(\mathbf{x}),$$

for some pair  $j, m \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ ,  $j \neq m$ . Then

$$[\sup_{\mathbf{x} \in \Omega} \kappa_j(\mathbf{x}), \inf_{\mathbf{x} \in \Omega} \kappa_m(\mathbf{x})] \subset \text{sp}(\mathcal{L}^{-1}\mathcal{A}).$$

*Proof.* The proof is analogous to the proof of [2, Lemm 2.3]. □

**Lemma 2.5.** *Assume that  $K$  is continuous in the closure  $\overline{\Omega}$ . Then*

$$\text{sp}(\mathcal{L}^{-1}\mathcal{A}) \subset \text{Conv}(\cup_{i=1}^3 \kappa_i(\overline{\Omega})).$$

*Proof.* The statement trivially follows from

$$\min_{i=1,2,3} \inf_{\mathbf{x} \in \overline{\Omega}} \kappa_i(\mathbf{x}) \int_{\Omega} \nabla \phi \cdot \nabla \phi \leq \int_{\Omega} \nabla \phi \cdot K \nabla \phi \leq \max_{i=1,2,3} \sup_{\mathbf{x} \in \overline{\Omega}} \kappa_i(\mathbf{x}) \int_{\Omega} \nabla \phi \cdot \nabla \phi$$

for  $\phi \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ . Full details can be found in the proof of [2, Lemm 2.4]. □

### 3 Discussion

We introduce the proof of the main results of [2] for 3D problems. The main contribution is Lemma 2.2, where a certain construction of a set of functions  $v_r$  is presented. The construction can be naturally generalized to higher dimensions. The methodology can also help to derive estimates of eigenvalues of discretized operators, and thus provide a link between preconditioned differential operators and associated numerical linear algebra problems. Especially, the functions  $v_r$  can serve as approximations of eigenfunctions of the discretized preconditioned operators.

Some questions remain open: What is the distribution of eigenvalues of the associated preconditioned discretized operator? or What can we say about the spectral estimates of preconditioned operators if  $K$  is piecewise constant? and How these spectra depend on a discretization basis?

**Acknowledgment.** The author thanks Tomáš Gergelits and Zdeněk Strakoš for fruitful discussions and the Center of Advanced Applied Sciences, the European Regional Development Fund (project No. CZ.02.1.01/0.0/0.0/16\_019/0000778) for a partial support.

### References

- [1] T. Gergelits, K.-A. Mardal, B. F. Nielsen, Z. Strakoš, Laplacian preconditioning of elliptic PDEs: Localization of the eigenvalues of the discretized operator. *SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis*, 57, 2019, pp. 1369–1394.

- [2] T. Gergelits, B. F. Nielsen, Z. Strakoš, Generalized spectrum of second order differential operators. *SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis*, 58, 2020, pp. 2193–2211.
- [3] M. Ladecký, I. Pultarová, J. Zeman, Guaranteed two-sided bounds on all eigenvalues of preconditioned diffusion and elasticity problems solved by the finite element method. *Applications of Mathematics*, 66(1), 2020, pp. 21–42.
- [4] B. F. Nielsen, A. Tveito, W. Hackbusch, Preconditioning by inverting the Laplacian. *IMA Journal of Numerical Analysis*, 29, 2009, pp. 24–42.
- [5] I. Pultarová, M. Ladecký, Two-sided guaranteed bounds to individual eigenvalues of preconditioned finite element and finite difference problems. *Numerical Linear Algebra with Applications*, 28(5), 2021, e2382.