

POSITIVITY OF TROPICAL MULTIDEGREES

XIANG HE

ABSTRACT. Let Γ be a tropical variety in $\mathbb{R}^m = \mathbb{R}^{m_1} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{R}^{m_k}$. We show that, under a certain condition, the positivity of the stable intersection of Γ with certain tropical varieties pulled back from each \mathbb{R}^{m_i} is governed by the dimensions of the images of Γ under all possible projections from \mathbb{R}^m . As an application, we give a tropical proof of the criterion of the positivity of the multidegrees of a closed subscheme of a multi-projective space, carried out in the paper [5] by Castillo et al.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\mathbb{P} = \mathbb{P}^{m_1} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{P}^{m_k}$ be a multiprojective space over an algebraically closed field, and $X \subset \mathbb{P}$ a irreducible closed subscheme. For each tuple $\mathbf{n} = (n_1, \dots, n_k) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^k$ such that $n_i \leq m_i$ and $n_1 + \cdots + n_k = \dim X$, the *multidegree* $\deg_{\mathbb{P}}^{\mathbf{n}}(X)$ of X of *type* \mathbf{n} is defined as the intersection number of X with the pullback of a linear subspace of codimension n_i in each \mathbb{P}^{m_i} . The multidegrees are fundamental invariants of X that describe the class of X in the Chow ring of \mathbb{P} . The study of multidegrees dates back to the works on Hilbert functions of van der Waerden [23] and Bhattacharya [3]; and the positivity of the multidegrees was considered, for instance, in [8, 10, 12, 15, 22], in terms of mixed multiplicities.

For each subset I of $[k]$ (Notation 1.10), denote $n_I = \sum_{i \in I} n_i$. Recently, Castillo, Cid-Ruiz, Li, Montaña, and Zhang gave a criterion for the positivity of $\deg_{\mathbb{P}}^{\mathbf{n}}(X)$ in terms of the dimensions of the images of X under the projection maps $p_I: \mathbb{P} \rightarrow \prod_{i \in I} \mathbb{P}^{m_i}$:

Theorem 1.1. ([5, Theorem A]) *We have $\deg_{\mathbb{P}}^{\mathbf{n}}(X) > 0$ if and only if $\dim p_I(X) \geq n_I$ for all $I \subset [k]$.*

In characteristic 0, this follows from the results of Kaveh and Khovanskii [14] (see also [5, Remark 3.15]), and is later extended to the Kähler setting by Hu and Xiao [9]. In this paper, we consider a tropical version of the problem.

The main objects we study in this paper are *translation-admissible* tropical varieties in $\mathcal{R} := \mathbb{R}^{m_1} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{R}^{m_k}$, see Definition 2.8. These are just tropical varieties that remain tropical varieties after taking the Minkowski sum with any rational linear subspace of \mathcal{R} . This property should be regarded as an analogue of the irreducibility of schemes. Moreover, the tropicalization of an irreducible variety is translation-admissible (Lemma 2.13), but the opposite is not necessarily true (Remark 2.14).

Let $\Gamma \subset \mathcal{R}$ be a translation-admissible tropical variety, and let $\Lambda_i \subset \mathbb{R}^{m_i}$ be a fixed positive tropical divisor (as an analogue of ample divisors in algebraic geometry, see Definition 2.7). Let $\mathbf{n} = (n_1, \dots, n_k)$ be as above and assume $n_1 + \cdots + n_k = \dim \Gamma$. We can similarly define the *multidegree* $\deg_{\mathcal{R}}^{\mathbf{n}}(\Gamma)$ of Γ of *type* \mathbf{n} and with respect to $\{\Lambda_i\}_i$ as the intersection number of Γ with the pullback of n_i copies of Λ_i for each i . Similarly as before, let $\pi_I: \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \prod_{i \in I} \mathbb{R}^{m_i}$ be the projection map. Then

The author is supported by the National Key R&D Program of China 2022YFA1007100 and the NSFC grant 12301057.

Theorem 1.2. *We have $\deg_{\mathcal{R}}^{\mathbf{n}}(\Gamma) > 0$ if and only if $\dim \pi_I(\Gamma) \geq n_I$ for all $I \subset [k]$.*

See Theorem 3.2 for a more detailed statement. Plainly, the positivity of $\deg_{\mathcal{R}}^{\mathbf{n}}(\Gamma)$ is independent of the choice of Λ_i . The main technique of our proof is the (bounded) rational equivalence relation between tropical varieties, developed by Allerman and Rau [1], which is compatible with the intersection product (also known as stable intersection) between tropical varieties. This enables us to replace the intersection number in $\deg_{\mathcal{R}}^{\mathbf{n}}(\Gamma)$ with the intersection number of some simpler tropical varieties, such as unions of linear subspaces of \mathcal{R} . Combining the property of being translation-admissible, the conclusion follows from an induction on the codimension of Γ , conducted by replacing Γ with its Minkowski sum with a certain rational line in \mathcal{R} .

As an application, utilizing the lifting property of tropical intersections developed by Osserman and Payne [19], we recover Theorem 1.1 for algebraically closed fields. Moreover, we state the result in such a way that the ambient space can be any product of smooth projective varieties. See Corollary 3.5. It should be mentioned that, via the tropical lifting property, one can potentially provide more specific estimations than the positivity of the multidegrees of subschemes of \mathbb{P} by studying the tropical side. An example would be the log-concavity of the multidegrees discussed in [10] for $k = 2$. On the other hand, as translation-admissible tropical varieties are not necessarily realizable as the tropicalization of algebraic varieties (Remark 2.14), it is not clear if one can recover Theorem 1.2 from Theorem 1.1.

Finally, we would like to discuss a couple of further directions. First of all, we would like to investigate the connection between translation-admissible tropical varieties and tropical varieties connected through codimension 1 ([17, Definition 3.3.4]). Note that in Example 3.3 we found a tropical variety, which is connected through codimension 1 but not translation-admissible, that violates Theorem 1.2. Secondly, for a given irreducible subscheme X of \mathbb{P} , Castillo et al. proved that the dimension $\dim p_I(X)$ is a *submodular* function on $2^{[k]}$, in other words, we have

$$\dim p_{I \cap J}(X) + \dim p_{I \cup J}(X) \leq \dim p_I(X) + \dim p_J(X)$$

for any $I, J \subset [k]$. It then follows that the support

$$\text{MSupp}(X) = \{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^k \mid \deg_{\mathbb{P}}^{\mathbf{n}}(X) > 0\}$$

is a *polymatroid* ([5, § 2.3]). We prove a similar result for translation-admissible tropical varieties in Proposition 3.4. It would be interesting to study the connection between the polymatroids coming from translation-admissible tropical varieties and the linear polymatroids, as well as the algebraic polymatroids. See [5, Theorem C] for parallel results of $\text{MSupp}(X)$.

Roadmap. In Section 2 we introduce necessary backgrounds in tropical geometry, especially tropical intersection theory, and prove some technical lemmata. In Section 3 we prove our main results.

Acknowledgement. I would like to thank Naizhen Zhang for helpful conversations. I would also like to thank the anonymous referee for many insightful comments that improved the presentation.

Notation and Conventions.

Convention 1.3. Throughout this paper, all algebraic varieties are assumed irreducible and defined over an algebraically closed field K with a non-trivial valuation $\text{val}: K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\mathbb{Q} \subset \text{val}(K)$. Suppose such a field K is fixed, we use \mathbb{G}_m to denote the multiplicative group of non-zero elements in K , i.e., the algebraic torus over K with dimension 1.

Convention 1.4. Let Y, Z be two subvarieties of a smooth algebraic variety X . We say that Y and Z *intersect properly* if $Y \cap Z$ has codimension $\text{codim}Y + \text{codim}Z$ in X . In this case, let $Y \cdot Z$

denote the refined intersection cycle. In addition, if $\text{codim}Y + \text{codim}Z = \dim X$, we denote by $\deg(Y \cdot Z)$ the sum of the intersection multiplicities of Y and Z along all points of $Y \cap Z$.

Convention 1.5. A real space \mathbb{R}^m is always assumed to be equipped with an underlying lattice \mathbb{Z}^m . By a linear line (resp. linear hyperplane) in \mathbb{R}^m we mean a line (resp. hyperplane) that is also a linear subspace of \mathbb{R}^m (i.e., containing the origin).

Convention 1.6. The projective space \mathbb{P}^m will be regarded as the toric variety associated to the polytope in $(\mathbb{R}^m)^*$ with vertices $0, \vec{e}_1, \dots, \vec{e}_m$, where $\{\vec{e}_i\}_i$ is dual to the stand basis of \mathbb{Z}^m .

Convention 1.7. All polyhedral complexes Γ in \mathbb{R}^m are assumed rational and pure-dimensional, unless otherwise specified. The maximal faces of Γ are called *facets*.

Notation 1.8. For two subsets A, B of \mathbb{R}^m , we denote their Minkowski sum by $A + B$.

Notation 1.9. Let $P \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ be a polyhedron. We denote by $\text{Lin}(P)$ the linear subspace of \mathbb{R}^m parallel to P and has dimension equal to P . Denote by $\text{Rec}(P)$ the *recession cone* of P :

$$\text{Rec}(P) = \{\vec{v} \in \mathbb{R}^m \mid x + \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}\vec{v} \subset P \text{ for all } x \in P.\}$$

Notation 1.10. Let k be a positive integer. Denote $[k] = \{1, \dots, k\}$.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Tropical intersection theory. In this subsection, we briefly recall some basic terminology from tropical intersection theory. The main reference is the paper [1] of Allermann, Hampe, and Rau, developed for the ambient space \mathbb{R}^m . See also [7, 18] for related works on compactified \mathbb{R}^m . The \mathbb{R}^m case is enough for our later applications.

We start with the notion of tropical cycles. Let $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ be a rational, finite, and pure-dimensional polyhedral complex. Let ω be a *weight* on Γ which assigns an integer to each facet of Γ .

Definition 2.1. ([1, § 2.1]) We say that Γ is a *tropical polyhedral complex* if for each codimension 1 face Q of Γ , the following *balancing condition* at Q is satisfied:

$$\sum_{Q \subset P} \omega(P) \vec{v}_{P/Q} = 0. \tag{1}$$

Here, P runs over all facets of Γ containing Q ; and $\vec{v}_{P/Q}$ is the primitive integer generator of the ray obtained from projecting P to $\mathbb{R}^m / \text{Lin}(Q)$.

A *tropical cycle* is an equivalent class of tropical polyhedral complexes, defined up to refinement. The *support* of a tropical cycle is the union of facets with non-zero weights.

Convention 2.2. In the sequel, for simplicity, we will only consider tropical cycles with non-negative weights. Following the conventions in [2], we call such tropical cycles *tropical varieties*.

To reduce notation, we will often denote a tropical variety also by Γ and assume that a tropical polyhedral complex structure (with non-negative weights) is chosen. We will also use Γ to represent its support, if there is no confusion. A tropical variety of codimension 1 in \mathbb{R}^m will be called a *tropical divisor*; similarly, a dimension-1 tropical variety will be called a *tropical curve*. If $\dim \Gamma = 0$, we call the sum of all weights of the points in Γ the *degree* of Γ and denote by $\deg \Gamma$. In this case, we will simply write $\Gamma > 0$ if $\deg \Gamma > 0$, in other words, if Γ is non-empty.

Definition 2.3. ([1, Definition 5.1]) Let Γ be a tropical variety, and denote

$$\text{Rec}(\Gamma) = \{\text{Rec}(P) \mid P \text{ is a face of } \Gamma\}.$$

Then, up to a suitable refinement, $\text{Rec}(\Gamma)$ becomes a tropical variety (with induced weight from Γ) which is a fan. This is called the *recession cycle* of Γ .

Similar to algebro-geometric intersection theory, a *bounded rational equivalence* relation between tropical varieties was developed in [1, §3]. It was denoted by “ $\overset{b}{\sim}$.” We will not need the exact construction of bounded rational equivalence; however, the following proposition is essential:

Proposition 2.4. *Let $\Gamma, \Gamma' \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ be tropical varieties. Then we have:*

- (1) ([1, Proposition 3.2 (v)]) *If $\Gamma \overset{b}{\sim} \Gamma'$ and $\dim \Gamma = \dim \Gamma' = 0$, then $\deg \Gamma = \deg \Gamma'$.*
- (2) ([1, Proposition 3.3]) *For any $\vec{v} \in \mathbb{R}^m$, the translation $\Gamma + \vec{v}$ is also a tropical variety and $\Gamma \overset{b}{\sim} \Gamma + \vec{v}$.*
- (3) ([1, Theorem 5.3]) *We have $\Gamma \overset{b}{\sim} \text{Rec}(\Gamma)$.*

For two tropical varieties Γ and Γ' in \mathbb{R}^m , one can perform the *stable intersection* $\Gamma \cdot \Gamma'$, which is an analogue of the intersection product in algebraic geometry. It is a tropical variety with codimension equal to $\text{codim} \Gamma + \text{codim} \Gamma'$, and supported on the union of intersections of faces P and P' of Γ and Γ' , respectively, such that $\dim(P + P') = m$. We refer the readers to [17, § 3.6] for a concrete construction of the stable intersection (including the weights of its facets). The following proposition says that stable intersection is compatible with bounded rational equivalence.

Proposition 2.5. ([1, Proposition 3.2 (iv)]) *Let $\Gamma, \Gamma', \Gamma''$ be tropical varieties in \mathbb{R}^m . If $\Gamma' \overset{b}{\sim} \Gamma''$, then $\Gamma \cdot \Gamma' \overset{b}{\sim} \Gamma \cdot \Gamma''$.*

We end this subsection with the notion of the transverse intersection of tropical varieties.

Definition 2.6. ([17, Definition 3.4.9]) Let Γ, Γ' be tropical varieties in \mathbb{R}^m and $x \in \Gamma \cap \Gamma'$. We say that Γ and Γ' *intersect transversely* at x if there are polyhedral complex structures on Γ and Γ' such that, if P (resp. P') is the unique open face of Γ (resp. Γ') containing x , then $\text{Lin}(P) + \text{Lin}(P') = \mathbb{R}^m$. We say that Γ and Γ' *intersect transversely* if it is so at all points of $\Gamma \cap \Gamma'$.

Clearly, if Γ intersects Γ' transversely, then $\Gamma \cdot \Gamma'$ is supported on $\Gamma \cap \Gamma'$.

2.2. Positive and translation-admissible tropical varieties. In this subsection, we introduce the main properties of a tropical variety that we want to study.

Definition 2.7. A tropical divisor $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ is *positive* if for any non-empty tropical curve Γ' we have $\Gamma \cdot \Gamma' > 0$.

Definition 2.8. A tropical variety $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ is *translation-admissible* if for any rational linear subspace V of \mathbb{R}^m , the Minkowski sum $\Gamma + V$ is supported on a tropical variety.

Note that the Minkowski sum $\Gamma + V$ is the image of $\Gamma \times V$ under the linear projection given by $(x, y) \mapsto x + y$, and $\Gamma \times V$ is naturally a tropical variety. According to [11, Lemma 2.2], $\Gamma + V$ is supported on a tropical variety if and only if it has pure dimension. Hence Γ is translation-admissible if and only if $\Gamma + V$ is pure dimensional for any rational linear subspace V of \mathbb{R}^m . Clearly, any linear subspace of \mathbb{R}^m is translation-admissible. The tropicalization of any subvariety of an algebraic torus is also translation-admissible (Lemma 2.13). On the other hand, translation-admissible tropical varieties do not have to be connected. For example, the union of two parallel lines is translation-admissible.

The property of being translation-admissible enables us to do induction on the codimension of a tropical variety in the proof of our main result. See Theorem 3.2 for details. We next prove some technical lemmata for future use.

Lemma 2.9. *Let Γ, Γ' be tropical varieties in \mathbb{R}^m with complementary codimensions. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) $\Gamma \cdot \Gamma' > 0$;
- (2) *there is a facet P' of Γ' such that $\Gamma \cdot \text{Lin}(P') > 0$;*
- (3) *there are facets P and P' of Γ and Γ' respectively, such that $\text{Lin}(P) + \text{Lin}(P') = \mathbb{R}^m$.*

Proof. Up to translation, we may assume that Γ intersects Γ' transversely. In this case, the stable intersection $\Gamma \cdot \Gamma'$ is supported on $\Gamma \cap \Gamma'$. Hence $\Gamma \cdot \Gamma' > 0$ if and only if $\Gamma \cap \Gamma'$ is nonempty. This shows (1) \Rightarrow (3). For (3) \Rightarrow (2), we again translate Γ such that $P \cap P'$ is nonempty and transverse. Then $\Gamma \cdot \text{Lin}(P')$ contains $P \cap P'$. Hence $\Gamma \cdot \text{Lin}(P') > 0$. For (2) \Rightarrow (1), we translate Γ so that it intersects P' transversely at some point. Hence $\Gamma \cdot \Gamma' > 0$. \square

Remark 2.10. According to Lemma 2.9, a tropical divisor $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ is positive if and only if for every linear line L in \mathbb{R}^m , there is a facet P of Γ such that L is not contained in $\text{Lin}(P)$. In particular, if $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ be a union of linear hyperplanes, then Γ is positive if and only if there are hyperplanes $\{H_i\}_{i \in [m]}$ in Γ such that $\cap_{i \in [m]} H_i = \{0\}$.

Lemma 2.11. *Suppose $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ is a translation-admissible tropical variety. Suppose we have a decomposition $\mathbb{R}^m = \mathbb{R}^{m_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{m_2}$. Then the image of Γ in \mathbb{R}^{m_i} under projection is a translation-admissible tropical variety in \mathbb{R}^{m_i} .*

Proof. By symmetry, we only prove the conclusion for \mathbb{R}^{m_1} . Let π be the projection from \mathbb{R}^m to \mathbb{R}^{m_1} . Let $\Gamma' = \Gamma + \mathbb{R}^{m_2}$. Then Γ' is also a translation-admissible tropical variety, and $\pi(\Gamma) = \pi(\Gamma')$. Since $\Gamma' = \pi(\Gamma') \times \mathbb{R}^{m_2}$ and Γ' is translation-admissible, $\pi(\Gamma')$ is a translation-admissible tropical variety in \mathbb{R}^{m_1} . This proves the lemma. \square

Lemma 2.12. *Let Γ be a tropical variety in \mathbb{R}^m and $\vec{v} \in \mathbb{Q}^m$ a vector. Then $\Gamma + \mathbb{R}\vec{v} = \Gamma$ if and only if $\vec{v} \in \text{Lin}(P)$ for any facet P of Γ . In particular, if $\Gamma \subsetneq \Gamma + \mathbb{R}\vec{v}$ then $\dim(\Gamma + \mathbb{R}\vec{v}) = \dim \Gamma + 1$; if furthermore that Γ is translation-admissible, then $\Gamma + \mathbb{R}\vec{v}$ has pure dimension $\dim \Gamma + 1$.*

Proof. If $\Gamma + \mathbb{R}\vec{v} = \Gamma$, then for every facet P of Γ we have $\dim(P + \mathbb{R}\vec{v}) \leq \dim(\Gamma + \mathbb{R}\vec{v}) = \dim \Gamma = \dim P$. Hence $\vec{v} \in \text{Lin}(P)$.

Now suppose $\vec{v} \in \text{Lin}(P)$ for any facet P of Γ . We want to show that $\Gamma = \Gamma + \mathbb{R}\vec{v}$. Let Λ be the union of all codimension-1 faces Q of Γ such that $\vec{v} \in \text{Lin}(Q)$. Then $\dim(\Lambda + \mathbb{R}\vec{v}) = \dim \Lambda = \dim \Gamma - 1$. It suffices to show that for each $x \in \Gamma \setminus (\Lambda + \mathbb{R}\vec{v})$ we have $x + \mathbb{R}\vec{v} \subset \Gamma$.

Suppose there is an $x \in \Gamma \setminus (\Lambda + \mathbb{R}\vec{v})$ such that $x + \mathbb{R}\vec{v} \not\subset \Gamma$. Since $\Gamma \cap (x + \mathbb{R}\vec{v})$ is a union of closed intervals, there is a $y \in (x + \mathbb{R}\vec{v}) \cap \Gamma$ and a positive real number ϵ such that $y + a\vec{v} \notin \Gamma$ either for any $0 < a < \epsilon$ or for any $-\epsilon < a < 0$. Suppose the latter situation happens. By the assumption on x , the point y is contained in a codimension 1 face Q of Γ such that $\vec{v} \notin \text{Lin}(Q)$. Then for each facet P of Γ containing Q , the vector $\vec{v}_{P/Q}$ is represented by a positive multiple of \vec{v} . This contradicts with the balancing condition (1) of Γ at Q . \square

2.3. The tropicalization map. Let $T = (K^*)^m$ be the algebraic torus of dimension m . The tropicalization map $\text{Trop}: T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ is defined as

$$x = (x_1, \dots, x_m) \mapsto \text{Trop}(x) = (\text{val}x_1, \dots, \text{val}x_m).$$

For a (irreducible) subvariety X of T , we denote by $\text{Trop}(X)$ the closure of the set $\{\text{Trop}(x) | x \in X\}$. If X is closed in T , then $\text{Trop}(X)$ admits a tropical variety structure inherited from the scheme

structure of X and $\dim(\text{Trop}(X)) = \dim X$. See [17, § 3] for details. If X is not necessarily closed, by construction, $\text{Trop}(X)$ and $\text{Trop}(\overline{X})$ have the same support.

Lemma 2.13. *Let X be a closed subvariety of T . Then $\text{Trop}(X)$ is a translation-admissible tropical variety.*

Proof. Let $\vec{v} = (a_1, \dots, a_m) \in \mathbb{Z}^m$ be an integral vector. We claim that $\text{Trop}(X) + \mathbb{R}\vec{v}$ is also the tropicalization of a closed subvariety of T , hence a tropical variety. Since any rational linear subspace of \mathbb{R}^m is generated by finitely many integral vectors, by induction, we are done.

Indeed, let $\mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow T$ be the cocharacter of T given by $t \mapsto (t^{a_1}, \dots, t^{a_m})$. By Chevalley's Theorem, $X' := \mathbb{G}_m \cdot X$ is a constructible and irreducible subset of T . Hence $\overline{X'}$ is a closed subvariety of T and $\text{Trop}(\overline{X'}) = \text{Trop}(X') = \text{Trop}(X) + \mathbb{R}\vec{v}$. This proves the claim. \square

Remark 2.14. According to [17, Theorem 3.5.1], $\text{Trop}(X)$ is connected through codimension 1. However, not all translation-admissible tropical varieties (even the ones connected through codimension 1) come from subvarieties of T . For example, all connected tropical curves in \mathbb{R}^2 are translation-admissible and connected through codimension 1; however, only the “well-spaced” ones are realizable. See [13, 21].

Whether the tropicalization map commutes with the intersection of two subvarieties X, X' of T is an important question in tropical geometry. A positive answer was provided by Bogart et al. [4] when $\text{Trop}(X)$ and $\text{Trop}(X')$ intersect transversely. Later, Osserman and Payne [19] generalized the result to the proper-intersection case, meaning that, as in the algebro-geometric case, the intersection $\text{Trop}(X) \cap \text{Trop}(X')$ has codimension as expected everywhere. Plainly, transverse intersection implies proper intersection. Moreover, they also proved a lifting theorem for the intersection multiplicities. We recall part of their results for our later use.

Theorem 2.15. ([19, Corollary 5.1.2]) *Let $X, X' \subset T$ be closed subvarieties. Suppose $\text{Trop}(X)$ and $\text{Trop}(X')$ meet properly. Then X intersects X' properly and*

$$\text{Trop}(X \cdot X') = \text{Trop}(X) \cdot \text{Trop}(X')$$

as tropical varieties. In particular, if $\text{codim} X + \text{codim} X' = m$, then

$$\deg(X \cdot X') = \deg(\text{Trop}(X) \cdot \text{Trop}(X')).$$

Here $X \cdot X'$ represents the refined intersection cycle. See also [7, 20] for similar results regarding the tropicalization of (partially) compactified tori, and [6] for the Berkovich-analytic setting. We end this section with a corollary about the positivity of tropicalizations of hyperplanes.

Corollary 2.16. *Suppose H is a hyperplane in \mathbb{P}^m intersecting transversely with all torus orbits. Let $T \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ be the maximal torus and $H^\circ = H \cap T$. Then $\text{Trop}(H^\circ)$ is positive in \mathbb{R}^m .*

Proof. According to Remark 2.10, it suffices to show that for every rational line in \mathbb{R}^m , there is a facet of $\text{Trop}(H^\circ)$ not parallel to it. Suppose $T = \text{Spec} K[x_1^\pm, \dots, x_m^\pm]$. Then H° is given by an equation $\lambda_0 + \lambda_1 x_1 + \dots + \lambda_m x_m = 0$ such that $\lambda_i \neq 0$ for all i . It follows that $\text{Trop}(H^\circ)$ is the set of $(a_1, \dots, a_m) \in \mathbb{R}^m$ such that the minimum

$$\min\{\text{val}(\lambda_0), \text{val}(\lambda_1) + a_1, \dots, \text{val}(\lambda_m) + a_m\}$$

is obtained at least twice. It is then easy to check that $\text{Trop}(H^\circ)$ contains a facet parallel to each coordinate hyperplane of \mathbb{R}^m , hence it is positive. \square

3. PROOF OF MAIN RESULTS

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.2, which is re-interpreted in Theorem 3.2, and discuss a couple of applications.

Let m, n, k be positive integers such that $m > n$. Fix a tuple of positive integers (m_1, \dots, m_k) and a tuple of non-negative integers (n_1, \dots, n_k) such that $m_i \geq n_i$. Furthermore, suppose that $\sum_{i \in [k]} m_i = m$ and $\sum_{i \in [k]} n_i = n$. For each subset I of $[k]$, denote $n_I = \sum_{i \in I} n_i$.

Let $\mathcal{R} = \mathbb{R}^m = \prod_{i \in [k]} \mathbb{R}^{m_i}$. For $I \subset [k]$, denote similarly $\mathcal{R}_I = \prod_{i \in I} \mathbb{R}^{m_i}$. Let $\pi_I: \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_I$ be the projection map. To reduce notation, for each $i \in [k]$, we write $\pi_{\{i\}} = \pi_i$. We also consider \mathcal{R}_I as a subspace of \mathcal{R} by setting the coordinates irrelevant to I to be 0.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\Gamma \subset \mathcal{R}$ be a translation-admissible tropical variety with dimension n . Suppose for any $I \subset [k]$, we have $\dim \pi_I(\Gamma) \geq n_I$. Then there is a facet Q of Γ such that $\dim \pi_I(Q) \geq n_I$ for any $I \subset [k]$.*

Proof. We proceed by induction on the codimension of Γ . The base case, where $\dim \Gamma = m$, is trivial. We may then assume $n < m$. Without loss of generality, suppose $n_k < m_k$. For any $I \subset [k]$ and any facet P of $\pi_I(\Gamma)$ such that $\mathbb{R}^{m_k} \not\subset \text{Lin}(P)$, the intersection $\mathbb{R}^{m_k} \cap \text{Lin}(P)$ has codimension at least one in \mathbb{R}^{m_k} . We pick a vector $\vec{u} \in \mathbb{R}^{m_k}$ not contained in any such intersection.

If $\mathbb{R}^{m_k} \subset \text{Lin}(P)$ for every facet P of Γ , then $\Gamma + \mathbb{R}\vec{v} = \Gamma$ for all $\vec{v} \in \mathbb{R}^{m_k}$ by Lemma 2.12. Hence $\Gamma = \Gamma' \times \mathbb{R}^{m_k}$ for some tropical variety Γ' in $\mathcal{R}_{[k-1]}$. In this case, $\dim \pi_{[k-1]}(\Gamma) = \dim \Gamma' = n - m_k < n_{[k-1]}$, which contradicts the assumption. Therefore, for some facet P of Γ we have $\mathbb{R}^{m_k} \not\subset \text{Lin}(P)$. Thus $\vec{u} \notin \text{Lin}(P)$ by construction. Let $\Gamma_{\vec{u}} = \Gamma + \mathbb{R}\vec{u}$. Since Γ is translation-admissible, so is $\Gamma_{\vec{u}}$. Again, by Lemma 2.12, $\dim \Gamma_{\vec{u}} = \dim \Gamma + 1$. We will utilize the inductive assumption on $\Gamma_{\vec{u}}$.

First of all, let I be a subset of $[k-1]$. We then have $\dim \pi_I(\Gamma_{\vec{u}}) = \dim \pi_I(\Gamma) \geq n_I$. Secondly, let $J = I \cup \{k\}$ be a subset of $[k]$ containing k . Then $\pi_J(\Gamma_{\vec{u}}) = \pi_J(\Gamma) + \mathbb{R}\vec{u}$. By the construction of \vec{u} , either

- (i) $\mathbb{R}^{m_k} \subset \text{Lin}(P)$ for any facet P of $\pi_J(\Gamma)$; or
- (ii) for some facet P of $\pi_J(\Gamma)$ we have $\vec{u} \notin \text{Lin}(P)$.

Note that $\pi_J(\Gamma)$ is also a tropical variety by Lemma 2.11. In case (i), we have $\pi_J(\Gamma) = \Gamma' \times \mathbb{R}^{m_k}$ for some tropical variety $\Gamma' \subset \mathcal{R}_I$ such that $\dim \Gamma' = \dim \pi_I \pi_J(\Gamma) = \dim \pi_I(\Gamma) \geq n_I$. Hence

$$\dim \pi_J(\Gamma_{\vec{u}}) \geq \dim \pi_J(\Gamma) = \dim \Gamma' + m_k \geq n_I + m_k \geq n_I + (n_k + 1).$$

In case (ii), by Lemma 2.12 we still have

$$\dim \pi_J(\Gamma_{\vec{u}}) = \dim \pi_J(\Gamma) + 1 \geq n_J + 1 = n_I + (n_k + 1).$$

To sum up, let $n'_i = n_i$ for $i \in [k-1]$ and $n'_k = n_k + 1$. Let $n'_I = \sum_{i \in I} n'_i$ as usual. Then $\sum_{i \in [k]} n'_i = n + 1 = \dim \Gamma_{\vec{u}}$, and $\dim \pi_I(\Gamma_{\vec{u}}) \geq n'_I$ for any $I \subset [k]$.

By induction, there is a facet $Q' = Q + \mathbb{R}\vec{u}$ of $\Gamma_{\vec{u}}$, where Q is a facet of Γ , such that $\dim \pi_I(Q') \geq n'_I$ for any $I \subset [k]$. Now if $k \notin I$, then $\dim \pi_I(Q) = \dim \pi_I(Q') \geq n'_I = n_I$; if $k \in I$, then $\dim \pi_I(Q) \geq \dim \pi_I(Q') - 1 \geq n'_I - 1 = n_I$. Hence Q is the desired face of Γ . \square

Fix positive tropical divisors $\Lambda_i \subset \mathbb{R}^{m_i}$. Let $\Lambda_i^{n_i}$ be the stable intersection of n_i copies of Λ_i in \mathbb{R}^{m_i} and $\prod_{i \in [k]} \Lambda_i^{n_i}$ be their direct product in \mathcal{R} . Note that there is a natural tropical variety structure on each $\pi_i^{-1}(\Lambda_i)$ induced from Λ_i , and $\prod_{i \in [k]} \Lambda_i^{n_i}$ is just the stable intersection of n_i copies of $\pi_i^{-1}(\Lambda_i)$ for each $i \in [k]$. Let $\Gamma \subset \mathcal{R}$ be a translation-admissible tropical variety of dimension n . Recall that $\deg_{\mathcal{R}}^{\mathbf{n}}(\Gamma)$ denotes the degree of $\Gamma \cdot \prod_{i \in [k]} \Lambda_i^{n_i}$, where $\mathbf{n} = (n_1, \dots, n_k)$. We re-state Theorem 1.2 in the following form:

Theorem 3.2. *Let $\Gamma \subset \mathcal{R}$ be a translation-admissible tropical variety of dimension n . Then $\Gamma \cdot \prod_{i \in [k]} \Lambda_i^{n_i} > 0$ if and only if $\dim \pi_I(\Gamma) \geq n_I$ for all $I \subset [k]$.*

Example 3.3. We provide a couple of examples of tropical varieties that are not translation-admissible and fail Theorem 3.2. Note that the second one is connected through codimension 1, while the first one is not.

Consider firstly the case $k = 2$, $m_1 = m_2 = 2$, and $n_1 = n_2 = 1$. Let \vec{e}_1, \vec{e}_2 be a basis of \mathbb{R}^{m_1} and \vec{e}_3, \vec{e}_4 be a basis of \mathbb{R}^{m_2} . Let Γ be the union of two planes P_1 spanned by \vec{e}_1, \vec{e}_2 and P_2 by \vec{e}_3, \vec{e}_4 . Then Γ is not translation-admissible as $\Gamma + \mathbb{R}\vec{e}_4$ is not pure-dimensional. Since $P_1 \cap P_2$ is the origin, Γ is not connected through codimension 1, either. Let $\Lambda_i \subset \mathbb{R}^{m_i}$ be an arbitrary positive tropical divisor. Clearly, $\dim \Gamma = 2 = n_1 + n_2$ and $\dim \pi_i(\Gamma) = 2 > n_i$ for each $i = 1, 2$. On the other hand, since $\pi_2(P_1)$ is a single point, P_1 is disjoint with a general translation of $\pi_2^{-1}(\Lambda_2)$. Therefore, $P_1 \cdot (\Lambda_1 \times \Lambda_2) = 0$. Similarly, $P_2 \cdot (\Lambda_1 \times \Lambda_2) = 0$. Hence $\Gamma \cdot (\Lambda_1 \times \Lambda_2) = 0$. Therefore, Γ violates Theorem 3.2.

Now consider $k = 4$, $m_1 = 2, m_2 = m_3 = 1$, and $n_1 = n_3 = 1, n_2 = 0$. Pick a basis \vec{e}_1, \vec{e}_2 of \mathbb{R}^{m_1} , and \vec{e}_3, \vec{e}_4 of \mathbb{R}^{m_2} and \mathbb{R}^{m_3} , respectively. Let $Q_1 \subset \mathbb{R}^3 = \mathbb{R}^{m_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{m_2}$ be the standard tropical plane. In other words, Q_1 is the union of the following 6 facets:

$$\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}\vec{e}_1 + \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}\vec{e}_2, \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}\vec{e}_2 + \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}\vec{e}_3, \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}\vec{e}_1 + \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}\vec{e}_3,$$

and

$$\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}\vec{e}_i + \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}(-\vec{e}_1 - \vec{e}_2 - \vec{e}_3), \text{ where } 1 \leq i \leq 3.$$

Let $Q_2 = \mathbb{R}\vec{e}_3 + \mathbb{R}\vec{e}_4$. Then $Q_1 \cap Q_2 = \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}\vec{e}_3$, and hence the tropical variety $\Gamma := Q_1 \cup Q_2$ is connected through codimension 1. However, Γ is not translation-admissible, as $\Gamma + \mathbb{R}\vec{e}_3$ is not pure-dimensional. Let $\Lambda_i \subset \mathbb{R}^{m_i}$ be an arbitrary positive tropical divisor, where $i = 1, 3$. By construction, we have $\dim \pi_1(\Gamma) = 2 > n_1$, $\dim \pi_3(\Gamma) = 1 = n_3$ and $\dim \pi_{\{1,3\}}(\Gamma) = 2 = n_1 + n_3$. We claim that $\Gamma \cdot (\Lambda_1 \times \mathbb{R}^{m_2} \times \Lambda_3) = 0$, hence Γ fails Theorem 3.2. Indeed, since $\dim \Lambda_3 = 0$, the tropical variety $\Lambda_1 \times \mathbb{R}^{m_2} \times \Lambda_3$ is parallel to $\mathbb{R}^{m_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{m_2}$, hence has trivial intersection number with Q_1 . On the other hand, note that $\pi_1(Q_2)$ is the origin in \mathbb{R}^{m_1} . Hence Q_2 is disjoint with a general translation of $\pi_1^{-1}(\Lambda_1)$, and therefore has trivial intersection number with $\Lambda_1 \times \mathbb{R}^{m_2} \times \Lambda_3$. This proves the claim.

Proof of Theorem 3.2. Suppose $\dim \pi_I(\Gamma) < n_I$ for some $I \subset [k]$. Then for a general $\vec{v} \in \mathcal{R}_I$, the tropical variety $\pi_I(\Gamma) + \vec{v}$ is disjoint with $\prod_{i \in I} \Lambda_i^{n_i}$. Hence $\Gamma + \vec{v}$ is disjoint with $\prod_{i \in [k]} \Lambda_i^{n_i}$ and we have

$$\Gamma \cdot \prod_{i \in [k]} \Lambda_i^{n_i} = (\Gamma + \vec{v}) \cdot \prod_{i \in [k]} \Lambda_i^{n_i} = 0.$$

We now assume that $\dim \pi_I(\Gamma) \geq n_I$ for all $I \subset [k]$ and prove that the stable intersection is positive. By Lemma 3.1, there is a facet P_Γ of Γ such that $\dim \pi_I(P_\Gamma) \geq n_I$ for all $I \subset [k]$. According to Lemma 2.9, we may replace Γ with $\text{Lin}(P_\Gamma)$ and thus assume that Γ is a linear subspace of \mathcal{R} . On the other hand, after replacing each Λ_i with its recession cycle if necessary, we may assume that Λ_i is a fan for each i . Moreover, by Lemma 2.9, we may assume that for each face P of Λ_i , we have $\text{Lin}(P) \subset \Lambda_i$. In other words, Λ_i is a union of linear hyperplanes in \mathbb{R}^{m_i} . Lastly, by Remark 2.10, we may assume that Λ_i is a union of m_i linear hyperplanes in \mathbb{R}^{m_i} whose intersection is trivial.

As in the proof of Lemma 3.1, we proceed by induction on the codimension $m - n$ of Γ . If $n = m$, then $n_i = m_i$ for each i . Since $\Lambda_i^{m_i} > 0$, we have $\Gamma \cdot \prod_{i \in [k]} \Lambda_i^{n_i} = \prod_{i \in [k]} \Lambda_i^{m_i} > 0$.

We now assume $n < m$. Without loss of generality, suppose $n_k < m_k$. A similar argument as in Lemma 3.1, combined with the inductive hypothesis, shows that there is a vector $\vec{u} \in \mathbb{R}^{m_k}$ such that $\Gamma_{\vec{u}} := \Gamma + \mathbb{R}\vec{u}$ has dimension $n + 1$, and

$$\Gamma_{\vec{u}} \cdot \left(\Lambda_k^{n_k+1} \times \prod_{i \in [k-1]} \Lambda_i^{n_i} \right) > 0.$$

By Lemma 2.9, there are facets P_i of $\Lambda_i^{n_i}$ for $i \in [k-1]$ and P_k of $\Lambda_k^{n_k+1}$ such that

$$\Gamma + \mathbb{R}\vec{u} + \sum_{i \in [k]} \text{Lin}(P_i) = \Gamma_{\vec{u}} + \sum_{i \in [k]} \text{Lin}(P_i) = \mathcal{R}.$$

Here $\sum_{i \in [k]} \text{Lin}(P_i)$ is just the linear subspace of \mathcal{R} parallel to the facet $\prod_{i \in [k]} P_i$ of $\Lambda_k^{n_k+1} \times \prod_{i \in [k-1]} \Lambda_i^{n_i}$. Similarly, let P be a facet of $\Lambda_k^{n_k}$. Then $P \times \prod_{i \in [k-1]} P_i$ is a facet of $\prod_{i \in [k]} \Lambda_i^{n_i}$, and it is parallel to $\sum_{i \in [k-1]} \text{Lin}(P_i) + \text{Lin}(P)$. Let us write

$$L(P) := \Gamma + \sum_{i \in [k-1]} \text{Lin}(P_i) + \text{Lin}(P)$$

for all facet P of $\Lambda_k^{n_k}$. By Lemma 2.9, it suffices to show that there is a such P with $L(P) = \mathcal{R}$.

By assumption, Λ_k is the union of m_k linear hyperplanes $\{H_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq m_k}$ in \mathbb{R}^{m_k} which intersect trivially. Then there are $n_k + 1$ such hyperplanes, say H_1, \dots, H_{n_k+1} , such that P_k is a facet of $\bigcap_{1 \leq i \leq n_k+1} H_i$. On the other hand, there are $n_k + 1$ facets Q_1, \dots, Q_{n_k+1} of $\Lambda_k^{n_k}$ containing P_k , where each Q_j is a facet of the intersection of n_k hyperplanes in H_1, \dots, H_{n_k+1} . We claim that there must be a Q_j such that $L(Q_j) = \mathcal{R}$. Note that $L(Q_j)$ contains $\Gamma + \sum_{i \in [k]} \text{Lin}(P_i)$, which has dimension $m - 1$. If $L(Q_j) \neq \mathcal{R}$ for each j , then $L(Q_j) = \Gamma + \sum_{i \in [k]} \text{Lin}(P_i)$. Hence

$$\Gamma + \sum_{i \in [k]} \text{Lin}(P_i) + \sum_{j \in [n_k+1]} \text{Lin}(Q_j) = \Gamma + \sum_{i \in [k]} \text{Lin}(P_i) \subsetneq \mathcal{R}.$$

On the other hand, it is easy to check that $\sum_{j \in [n_k+1]} \text{Lin}(Q_j) = \mathbb{R}^{m_k}$, which contains \vec{u} . Hence the left hand of the formula contains $\Gamma + \mathbb{R}\vec{u} + \sum_{i \in [k]} \text{Lin}(P_i) = \mathcal{R}$. This is a contradiction, and we are done. \square

Let $\text{MSupp}(\Gamma) := \{\mathbf{n} = (n_1, \dots, n_k) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^k \mid \deg_{\mathcal{R}}^{\mathbf{n}}(\Gamma) > 0\}$. By Theorem 3.2,

$$\text{MSupp}(\Gamma) = \{(n_1, \dots, n_k) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^k \mid \sum_{i \in [k]} n_i = \dim \Gamma \text{ and } \sum_{i \in I} n_i \leq \dim \pi_I(\Gamma) \text{ for all } I \subset [k]\}.$$

The following proposition then shows that $\text{MSupp}(\Gamma)$ is a polymatroid ([5, Definition 2.14]).

Proposition 3.4. *Let $\Gamma \subset \mathcal{R}$ be a translation-admissible tropical variety of dimension n . For any $I, J \subset [k]$, we have*

$$\dim \pi_{I \cap J}(\Gamma) + \dim \pi_{I \cup J}(\Gamma) \leq \dim \pi_I(\Gamma) + \dim \pi_J(\Gamma).$$

Proof. By Lemma 2.11, $\pi_{I \cup J}(\Gamma)$ is still translation-admissible. Therefore, we may assume $I \cup J = [k]$ and hence $\pi_{I \cup J}(\Gamma) = \Gamma$. The inequality then becomes

$$\dim \pi_{I \cap J}(\Gamma) + n \leq \dim \pi_I(\Gamma) + \dim \pi_J(\Gamma). \quad (2)$$

Since $I \cup J = [k]$, we have $\ker \pi_I \cap \ker \pi_J = \{0\}$. Let P be any facet of Γ . Then

$$\ker \pi_I|_{\text{Lin}(P)} \oplus \ker \pi_J|_{\text{Lin}(P)} \subset \ker \pi_{I \cap J}|_{\text{Lin}(P)}.$$

It follows that

$$\dim \pi_{I \cap J}(\text{Lin}(P)) + n \leq \dim \pi_I(\text{Lin}(P)) + \dim \pi_J(\text{Lin}(P)).$$

Since $\dim \pi_I(P) = \dim \pi_I(\text{Lin}(P))$ for each $I \subset [k]$, we get

$$\dim \pi_{I \cap J}(P) + n \leq \dim \pi_I(P) + \dim \pi_J(P).$$

Taking maximum among all facets of Γ , we conclude inequality (2). \square

Proposition 3.4 also implies that every translation-admissible tropical variety Γ in \mathbb{R}^k gives a usual matroid on $[k]$ with the rank function given by the dimensions of projections of Γ .

To end the paper, we apply Theorem 3.2 to give a tropical proof of Theorem 1.1. Let $Y = \prod_{i \in [k]} Y_i$ be a product of smooth projective varieties. Suppose each Y_i is embedded into \mathbb{P}^{m_i} and let $H_i \subset Y_i$ be the hyperplane class on Y_i . For each $I \subset [k]$, let $p_I: \prod_{i \in [k]} \mathbb{P}^{m_i} \rightarrow \prod_{i \in I} \mathbb{P}^{m_i}$ be the projection map. Write $p_i = p_{\{i\}}$ for $i \in [k]$ for simplicity. Then Theorem 1.1 is a special case of the following corollary.

Corollary 3.5. *Let Y be as above and X an (irreducible) closed subvariety of Y of dimension n . Then*

$$X \cdot (p_1^* H_1)^{n_1} \cdots (p_k^* H_k)^{n_k} > 0$$

if and only if $\dim p_I(X) \geq n_I$ for any $I \subset [k]$.

Proof. Let H'_i be the hyperplane class in \mathbb{P}^{m_i} . Since the degree of the intersection product in the statement is the same as the degree of the intersection product of X with each $(p_i^* H'_i)^{n_i}$ inside $\prod_{i \in [k]} \mathbb{P}^{m_i}$, we may just assume that $Y = \prod_{i \in [k]} \mathbb{P}^{m_i}$ and $H_i = H'_i$.

Let T_i be the maximal torus of each \mathbb{P}^{m_i} , and T the maximal torus of Y , which is the product of all T_i . We choose a suitable representative of each H_i that intersects transversely with the torus orbits of \mathbb{P}^{m_i} . Let $H_i^\circ = H_i \cap T_i$. By Corollary 2.16, $\text{Trop}(H_i^\circ)$ is positive in \mathbb{R}^{m_i} .

Let $t_{i,j} \in T_i$ be general points such that $\text{Trop}(t_{i,j})$ is general in \mathbb{R}^{m_i} , where $j \in [n_i]$. This is possible since the points in \mathbb{G}_m with fixed valuation are Zariski dense. Since H_i intersects transversely with the torus orbits of \mathbb{P}^{m_i} , by the transversality of a general translate ([16, Corollary 4]), the intersection of all $t_{i,j} H_i$ s is proper and hence transverse. As a result, the intersection cycle $(p_1^* H_1)^{n_1} \cdots (p_k^* H_k)^{n_k}$ is represented by

$$Z := \bigcap_{i \in [k]} \bigcap_{j \in [n_i]} p_i^{-1}(t_{i,j} H_i).$$

Moreover, the intersection of X with Z is proper and disjoint with the toric boundary of Y . Similarly, the tropicalizations

$$\text{Trop}(t_{i,j} H_i^\circ) = \text{Trop}(t_{i,j}) + \text{Trop}(H_i^\circ)$$

also intersect transversely.

Let $Z^\circ = Z \cap T$ and $X^\circ = X \cap T$. By the transversality of the tropical intersections discussed above, $\text{Trop}(Z^\circ)$ is just the stable intersection of all $\pi_i^{-1}(\text{Trop}(t_{i,j} H_i^\circ))$ according to Theorem 2.15, which is bounded rationally equivalent to the direct product $\prod_{i \in [k]} \text{Trop}(H_i^\circ)^{n_i}$. By the same theorem, we have

$$\deg(X \cdot Z) = \deg(X^\circ \cdot Z^\circ) = \deg(\text{Trop}(X^\circ) \cdot \text{Trop}(Z^\circ)) = \deg(\text{Trop}(X^\circ) \cdot \prod_{i \in [k]} \text{Trop}(H_i^\circ)^{n_i}).$$

By Theorem 3.2, this is positive if and only if $\dim \pi_I(\text{Trop}(X^\circ)) \geq n_I$ for each $I \subset [k]$, which is equivalent to $\dim p_I(X) = \dim p_I(X^\circ) \geq n_I$ for each $I \subset [k]$. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] L. Allermann, S. Hampe, and J. Rau. On rational equivalence in tropical geometry. *Canadian Journal of Mathematics*, 68(2):241–257, 2016. [2](#), [3](#), [4](#)
- [2] L. Allermann and J. Rau. First steps in tropical intersection theory. *Mathematische Zeitschrift*, 264(3):633–670, 2010. [3](#)
- [3] P. B. Bhattacharya. The Hilbert function of two ideals. In *Mathematical Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society*, volume 53, pages 568–575, 1957. [1](#)
- [4] T. Bogart, A. N. Jensen, D. Speyer, B. Sturmfels, and R. R. Thomas. Computing tropical varieties. *Journal of Symbolic Computation*, 42(1):54–73, 2007. [6](#)
- [5] F. Castillo, Y. Cid-Ruiz, B. Li, J. Montaña, and N. Zhang. When are multidegrees positive? *Advances in Mathematics*, 374:107382, 2020. [1](#), [2](#), [9](#)
- [6] X. He. Lifting divisors with imposed ramifications on a generic chain of loops. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 146(11):4591–4604, 2018. [6](#)
- [7] X. He. A generalization of lifting non-proper tropical intersections. *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra*, 223(2):794–817, 2019. [3](#), [6](#)
- [8] M. Herrmann, E. Hyry, J. Ribbe, and Z. Tang. Reduction numbers and multiplicities of multigraded structures. *Journal of Algebra*, 197(2):311–341, 1997. [1](#)
- [9] J. Hu and J. Xiao. Hard Lefschetz properties, complete intersections and numerical dimensions. *arXiv:2212.13548*, 2022. [1](#)
- [10] J. Huh. Milnor numbers of projective hypersurfaces and the chromatic polynomial of graphs. *Journal of the American Mathematical Society*, 25(3):907–927, 2012. [1](#), [2](#)
- [11] A. Jensen and J. Yu. Stable intersections of tropical varieties. *Journal of Algebraic Combinatorics*, 43:101–128, 2016. [4](#)
- [12] D. Katz, S. Mandal, and J. Verma. Hilbert functions of bigraded algebras. In *Commutative Algebra*, pages 291–302. World Scientific, 1994. [1](#)
- [13] E. Katz. Lifting tropical curves in space and linear systems on graphs. *Advances in Mathematics*, 230(3):853–875, 2012. [6](#)
- [14] K. Kaveh and A. G. Khovanskii. Complete intersections in spherical varieties. *Selecta Mathematica*, 22:2099–2141, 2016. [1](#)
- [15] D. Kirby and D. Rees. Multiplicities in graded rings. I. The general theory. *Contemporary Mathematics*, 159:209–267, 1994. [1](#)
- [16] S. L. Kleiman. The transversality of a general translate. *Compositio Mathematica*, 28(3):287–297, 1974. [10](#)
- [17] D. Maclagan and B. Sturmfels. *Introduction to tropical geometry*, volume 161. American Mathematical Society, 2015. [2](#), [4](#), [6](#)
- [18] H. Meyer. *Intersection theory on tropical toric varieties and compactifications of tropical parameter spaces*. PhD thesis, TU Kaiserslautern, 2011. [3](#)
- [19] B. Osserman and S. Payne. Lifting tropical intersections. *Documenta Mathematica*, 18:121–175, 2013. [2](#), [6](#)
- [20] B. Osserman and J. Rabinoff. Lifting non-proper tropical intersections. *Tropical and Non-Archimedean Geometry*, 605:15–44, 2011. [6](#)
- [21] D. Speyer. Parameterizing tropical curves I: Curves of genus zero and one. *Algebra & Number Theory*, 8(4):963–998, 2014. [6](#)
- [22] N. Trung. Positivity of mixed multiplicities. *Mathematische Annalen*, 319:33–63, 2001. [1](#)
- [23] B. L. Van der Waerden. On Hilbert’s function, series of composition of ideals and a generalization of the theorem of Bezout. *Proceedings of the Royal Academy of Sciences at Amsterdam*, 31:749–770, 1929. [1](#)

(Xiang He) YAU MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES CENTER, TSINGHUA UNIVERSITY, HAIDIAN DISTRICT, BEIJING, CHINA, 100084

Email address: xianghe@mail.tsinghua.edu.cn