

A LINEAR ALGORITHM FOR OBTAINING THE LAPLACIAN EIGENVALUES OF A COGRAPH

GUANTAO CHEN AND FERNANDO C. TURA

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we give an $O(n)$ time and space algorithm for obtaining the Laplacian eigenvalues of a cograph. This approach is more efficient as there is no need to directly compute the eigenvalues of Laplacian matrix related to this class of graph. As an application, we use this algorithm as a tool for obtaining a closed formula for the number of spanning trees of a cograph.

keywords: cograph, Laplacian eigenvalues, spanning trees.

AMS subject classification: 15A18, 05C50, 05C85.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $G = (V, E)$ be an undirected graph with vertex set V and edge set E , without loops or multiple edges. For $v \in V$, $N(v)$ denotes the open neighborhood of v , that is, $\{w \mid \{v, w\} \in E\}$. The closed neighborhood $N[v] = N(v) \cup \{v\}$. Two vertices $u, v \in V$ are *duplicate* if $N(u) = N(v)$ and *coduplicate* if $N[u] = N[v]$. If $|V| = n$, the adjacency matrix $A = (a_{ij})$, is the $n \times n$ matrix of zeros and ones such that $a_{ij} = 1$ if there is an edge between v_i and v_j , and 0 otherwise.

The degree sequence of a graph G of order n is the sequence $\delta(v_1), \dots, \delta(v_n)$ where $\delta(v_i)$ is the degree of vertex v_i . Let $\delta(G)$ be the diagonal matrix of vertex degrees of G . The Laplacian matrix of G is defined as $L(G) = \delta(G) - A(G)$. The Laplacian eigenvalues of G are the eigenvalues of its Laplacian matrix. The Laplacian spectrum of G consists of eigenvalues of $L(G)$ and we write $Spect_L(G) = \{\mu_n, \mu_{n-1}, \dots, \mu_2, \mu_1 = 0\}$.

Cographs are defined as P_4 -free graphs, that is, they do not contain no path on four vertices as an induced subgraph. They are also known as *complement reducible graphs* [9]. Linear time recognition algorithms for cographs are given in [7, 10, 13]. Two important subclasses of cographs are known as thresholds graphs and weakly quasi-threshold graphs [1, 2, 5, 18, 19, 20, 24] for which there are several linear time recognition algorithms [8, 11, 22].

It is well known that cographs can be represented by rooted trees, and that a lot of structural and spectral properties about a cograph may be obtained from the tree that produces it (see, for example [3, 4, 6, 14, 15, 17, 21, 23]). Some of these works are worth noting, as we describe below.

First, although the paper [14] present a linear algorithm for locating the eigenvalues of a cograph, however in practice for finding a specific value, we must call the algorithm more than one time and then use a divide-and-conquer algorithm for getting an efficient approximation to it. Second, the paper [15] explores symmetries present in the tree of a cograph for reducing the complexity of computing its eigenvalues, but it is still necessary to compute the remaining eigenvalues of matrix produced by it. Finally, the paper [23] uses the tree of a cograph to exhibit an algorithmic procedure for obtaining the number of spanning trees of a cograph.

The purpose of this paper is to present a linear algorithm for obtaining the Laplacian eigenvalues of a cograph directly from its tree representation. This approach is based on the previous algorithms, more specifically, it uses some ideas of paper Jones et al. [15] and the Diagonalization algorithm (see Section 2). Furthermore, it is more efficient than method presented previously, since there is no need to directly compute the eigenvalues of Laplacian matrix related to this class of graph. Our paper is similar in spirit, but using different proprieties of the class of cographs and the associated trees, to the paper of Bapat [5] which uses a kind of tree for determining the integers Laplacian eigenvalues of a weakly quasi-threshold graphs. As an application, we use the algorithm proposed here as a tool for obtaining a closed formula for the number of spanning trees of a cograph.

Here is an outline of this paper. In Section 2, we provide definitions and known results needed for the development of our paper. In Section 3, we present a linear algorithm for obtaining the Laplacian eigenvalues of a cograph directly from its tree representation. In Section 4, we present a closed formula for obtaining the number of spanning trees of a cograph.

2. BACKGROUND RESULTS

2.1. Cographs and cotrees. In what follows, G denotes a graph with n vertices, while that \overline{G} its complement. As usual, K_n and \overline{K}_n represent the complete graph and the edgeless graph of order n , respectively. Now, we recall the definitions of some operations with graphs that will be used. For this, let $G_1 = (V_1, E_1)$ and $G_2 = (V_2, E_2)$ be vertex disjoint graphs:

- The *union* of graphs G_1 and G_2 is the graph $G_1 \cup G_2$ whose vertex set is $V_1 \cup V_2$ and whose edge set is $E_1 \cup E_2$.
- The *join* of graphs G_1 and G_2 is the graph $G_1 \otimes G_2$ obtained from $G_1 \cup G_2$ by joining every vertex of G_1 with every vertex of G_2 .

We note that for any graph G on n vertices with $Spect_L(G) = \{\mu_n, \dots, \mu_2, \mu_1 = 0\}$, its largest Laplacian eigenvalue $\mu_n(G)$, satisfies $\mu_n(G) \leq n$, with equality holding if and only if G is a join of two graphs. Finally, if $\mu_i(G)$ is a Laplacian eigenvalue of G on n vertices then $n - \mu_i(G)$ is a Laplacian eigenvalue of \overline{G} .

The class of cographs can be constructed from a single vertex by joining another cograph and by taking complements which is equivalent to say they are closed under the operations of join and disjoint union. This characterization allows us to represent a cograph by an unique tree, called a *cotree* [9].

A cotree T_G of a cograph G is a rooted tree in which any interior vertex w_i is either of \cup -type (corresponds to union) or \otimes -type (corresponds to join). The leaves are typeless and represent the vertices of the cograph G . An interior vertex is said to be terminal, if it has no interior vertex as successor. We say that *depth* of the cotree is the number of edges of the longest path from the root to a leaf. To build a cotree for a connected cograph, we simply place a \otimes at the cotree's root, placing \cup on interior vertices with odd depth, and placing \otimes on interior vertices with even depth.

For any interior vertex $w_i \in T_G$, we denote by s_i and t_i , respectively, the non negative integers which represent the number of the immediate successors and leaves of w_i . The Figure 1 shows a cograph G and its cotree T_G with depth equals to 3.



FIGURE 1. A cograph $G = ((v_1 \otimes v_2) \cup (v_3 \otimes v_4)) \otimes ((v_5 \otimes v_6) \cup v_7)$ and its cotree T_G .

We note for the complementary cograph \overline{G} its cotree $T_{\overline{G}}$ can be obtained from T_G by changing the type of each respective interior vertex and keeping their leaves. The coduplicate (respect. duplicate) vertices, e.g. with the same neighbors and adjacent (respect. not adjacent) have a common parent of \otimes -type (respect. \cup -type). Moreover, two vertices $v_i, v_j \in G$ are adjacent if and only if their least common ancestor in T_G is \otimes -type. We define $\text{lca}(w_i, w_j) = \otimes$, where w_i and w_j are the respective parents of v_i and v_j in T_G . From this observation, it is easy to obtain the degree of every vertex of G , just by inspecting its cotree T_G , according to [15].

Proposition 2.1. *Let G be a cograph with cotree T_G having r interior vertices $\{w_1, \dots, w_r\}$.*

For a fixed $j \in \{1, \dots, r\}$ having $t_j \geq 1$ leaves, the degree $\delta(v_j)$ of vertices v_j that represent the leaves t_j of w_j is

$$\delta(v_j) = \begin{cases} (t_j - 1) + \sum_i t_i, & \text{if } w_j = \otimes, \text{ sum being over } i\text{'s with } \text{lca}(w_i, w_j) = \otimes, \\ \sum_i t_i, & \text{if } w_j = \cup, \text{ sum being over } i\text{'s with } \text{lca}(w_i, w_j) = \otimes. \end{cases}$$

2.2. Diagonalization Algorithm. An important tool presented in [17] was an algorithm for constructing a *diagonal* matrix congruent to $L(G) + xI_n$, where $L(G)$ is the Laplacian matrix of a cograph G , and x is an arbitrary scalar, using $O(n)$ time and space.

The algorithm's input is the cotree T_G and x . Each leaf v_i , $i = 1, \dots, n$ have a value d_i that represents the diagonal element of $L(G) + xI_n$. It initializes all entries with $\delta(v_i) + x$, where $\delta(v_i)$ denotes the degree of vertex v_i . At each iteration, a pair $\{v_k, v_l\}$ of duplicate or coduplicate vertices with maximum depth is selected. Then they are

processed, that is, assignments are given to d_k and d_l , such that either one or both rows (columns) are diagonalized. When the k th row (column) corresponding to vertex v_k has been diagonalized then v_k is removed from the T_G , it means that d_k has a permanent final value. Then the algorithm moves to the cotree $T_G - v_k$. The algorithm is shown in Figure 2.

INPUT: cotree T_G , scalar x
OUTPUT: diagonal matrix $D = [d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n]$ congruent to $L(G) + xI_n$

```

Algorithm Diagonal ( $T_G, x$ )
  initialize  $d_i := \delta(v_i) + x$ , for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ 
  while  $T_G$  has  $\geq 2$  leaves
    select a pair  $(v_k, v_l)$  (co)duplicate of maximum depth with parent  $w$ 
     $\alpha \leftarrow d_k$   $\beta \leftarrow d_l$ 
    if  $w = \otimes$ 
      if  $\alpha + \beta \neq -2$  //subcase 1a
         $d_l \leftarrow \frac{\alpha\beta-1}{\alpha+\beta+2}$ ;  $d_k \leftarrow \alpha + \beta + 2$ ;  $T_G = T_G - v_k$ 
      else if  $\beta = -1$  //subcase 1b
         $d_l \leftarrow -1$   $d_k \leftarrow 0$ ;  $T_G = T_G - v_k$ 
      else //subcase 1c
         $d_l \leftarrow -1$   $d_k \leftarrow (1 + \beta)^2$ ;  $T_G = T_G - v_k$ ;  $T_G = T_G - v_l$ 
    else if  $w = \cup$ 
      if  $\alpha + \beta \neq 0$  //subcase 2a
         $d_l \leftarrow \frac{\alpha\beta}{\alpha+\beta}$ ;  $d_k \leftarrow \alpha + \beta$ ;  $T_G = T_G - v_k$ 
      else if  $\beta = 0$  //subcase 2b
         $d_l \leftarrow 0$ ;  $d_k \leftarrow 0$ ;  $T_G = T_G - v_k$ 
      else //subcase 2c
         $d_l \leftarrow \beta$ ;  $v_k \leftarrow -\beta$ ;  $T_G = T_G - v_k$ ;  $T_G = T_G - v_l$ 
  end loop

```

FIGURE 2. Diagonalization algorithm

The next result from [17] will be used throughout the paper.

Theorem 2.1. *For a cograph G of order n with cotree T_G , let $D = [d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n]$ be the values produced by the Diagonalization Algorithm $(T_G, -x)$. Then the diagonal matrix D is congruente to $L(G) + xI_n$, hence the number of (positive | negative | zero) entries in D is equal to the number of Laplacian eigenvalues of $L(G)$ that are (greater than x | smaller than x | equal to x).*

Example 2.1. We will apply Diagonalization to G with $x = -7$, having cotree T_G represented in Figure 3. In our figures, diagonal values d_i appear under the vertex v_i in the cotree while vertices selected appear in red. After initialization, we have T_G with values $d_i = \delta(v_i) + x$, represented in Figure 3.




FIGURE 3. Initial T_G with $d_i = \delta(v_i) + x$.

In the first iteration of the algorithm, duplicate vertices with maximum depth are chosen, represented in Figure 4.




FIGURE 4. First iteration




FIGURE 5. After subcase 2b applied

Since $\beta = 0$ the **subcase 2b** is executed, it means, two vertices are removed from cotree with a permanent null value and two remaining vertices with value 0 are relocated under its parent's, represented in Figure 5.

In the next step, coduplicate vertices with maximum depth are selected, represented in Figure 5. Since $\alpha + \beta = 0 + 0 \neq -2$, the **subcase 1a** is executed. Then one vertex

is removed from cotree with a value 2 while another is relocated under its parent's with value $-\frac{1}{2}$, represented in Figure 6.




FIGURE 6. After **subcase 1a** applied




FIGURE 7. After **subcase 2a** applied

For the duplicate vertices on the left of cotree, we apply successive times the **subcase 2a**. The removed vertices that have been processed from right to left have assignments equal to $-4, -3$ and $-\frac{7}{6}$, while the vertex relocated under its parent's has assignment $-\frac{2}{7}$, represented in Figure 7.




FIGURE 8. Last iteration

For the last duplicate vertices since $\beta = 0$ the **subcase 2a** is executed again. The removed vertices from cotree have a permanent null value while the remaining vertex has value 0 is relocated under its parent's, represented in Figure 8.

Finally, for the last iteration, we have coduplicate vertices with assignments $-\frac{2}{7}$ and 0. Since $\alpha + \beta \neq -2$ the **subcase 1a** is executed and the assignments $-\frac{7}{12}$ and $\frac{12}{7}$ are given. Therefore, the final diagonal is $D = [0, 0, 2, -4, -3, -\frac{7}{6}, 0, 0, 0, 0, -\frac{7}{12}, \frac{12}{7}]$.

According to Theorem 2.1, there are two Laplacian eigenvalues greater than 7, four Laplacian eigenvalues less than 7 and 7 is a Laplacian eigenvalue with multiplicity 6. In fact, we have that $\text{Spect}_L(G) = \{12, 9, 7^6, 5^3, 0\}$.

Lemma 2.1. *Let G be a cograph with cotree T_G . Let $t_i \geq 2$ be the leaves of an interior vertex w_i of T_G . Initializing the Diagonalization Algorithm with $x = -\delta(v_i)$ (respect. $x = -\delta(v_i) - 1$) for duplicate (respect. coduplicate) vertices v_i then $\delta(v_i)$ (respect. $\delta(v_i) + 1$) is a Laplacian eigenvalue with multiplicity $t_i - 1$, if $w_i = \cup$ -type (respect. $w_i = \otimes$ -type).*

Proof. Let $t_i \geq 2$ be the leaves with degree $\delta(v_i)$ of an interior vertex w_i of T_G . Initializing the Diagonalization algorithm with $x = -\delta(v_i)$ (respect. $x = -\delta(v_i) - 1$) for duplicate (respect. coduplicate) vertices v_i and since $\beta = 0$ (respect. $\beta = -1$) the algorithm enters to the **subcase 2b** (respect. **subcase 1b**). Therefore, it assigns $t_i - 1$ permanent values zero. \square

Lemma 2.2. *Let G be a connected cograph with cotree T_G . The Diagonalization ($T_G, x = 0$) assigns a permanent null value in the last iteration by **subcase 1a**.*

Proof. Let G be a connected cograph with cotree T_G . Let $x = 0$ be a Laplacian eigenvalue of G and consider the execution of Diagonalization ($T_G, x = 0$). According Theorem 2.1, we must have a zero on the final diagonal. So, the permanent null value is given by either situations: **subcase 1b**, **subcase 2b**, or **subcase 1a** in the last iteration.

We assume that **subcase 1b** or **subcase 2b** are executed in an intermediate step of Diagonalization ($T_G, x = 0$). Let's denote by T_{G_i} the subcotree which has assigned this permanent zero. Let H be a connected cograph with a cotree T_H obtained from T_G by attaching a copy of T_{G_i} and consider the Diagonalization ($T_H, x = 0$). Since we will have two permanent null values, this is a contradiction. Therefore, follows that permanent null value is assigned by **subcase 1a** in the last iteration. \square

3. A LINEAR ALGORITHM FOR OBTAINING $Spect_L(G)$

In this section, we present an $O(n)$ time and space algorithm for computing the Laplacian eigenvalues of a cograph G . Our approach uses the cotree T_G of cograph G to perform this procedure.

The algorithm's input is a cotree T_G with vertices (including the interior vertices) having a weight. It initializes with all leaves having a weight $p_i = 1$ and the interior vertices w_i with degree $\delta(w_i)$ (see Definition 3.1). At each iteration, a set $\{v_1, \dots, v_m\}$ of duplicate or coduplicate vertices with maximum depth is selected. Then they are processed, that is, assignments are given to them. The assignments given to v_1, \dots, v_{m-1} corresponds to a Laplacian eigenvalue of G while the vertex v_m receives the value $\sum_i p_i$. Then v_1, \dots, v_{m-1} are removed from the T_G , it means that v_1, \dots, v_{m-1} have a permanent final value. The vertex v_m is relocated under its parent's and then the algorithm moves to the cotree $T_G - \{v_1, \dots, v_{m-1}\}$. This process is repeated until we obtain a cotree with only one leaf. We consider the following definition.

Definition 3.1. *Let G be a cograph with cotree T_G having r interior vertices $\{w_1, \dots, w_r\}$. We assume that leaves of an interior vertex w_i have vertices v_i with a weight p_i . For a fixed $j \in \{1, \dots, r\}$, the degree of an interior vertex w_j , denoted by $\delta(w_j)$, is given by*

$$\delta(w_j) = \sum_i p_i$$

sum being over i 's with $lca(w_i, w_j) = \otimes$.

Proposition 3.1. *Let G be a cograph of order n with cotree T_G having r interior vertices $\{w_1, \dots, w_r\}$. We assume that leaves $t_i \geq 1$ of an interior vertex w_i have vertices v_i with a weight $p_i = 1$. For any interior vertex w_j , $j \in \{1, \dots, r\}$, we have*

$$\delta(w_j) = \begin{cases} t_j + \sum_i t_i, & \text{if } w_j = \otimes, \text{ sum being over } i\text{'s with } lca(w_i, w_j) = \otimes, \\ \sum_i t_i, & \text{if } w_j = \cup, \text{ sum being over } i\text{'s with } lca(w_i, w_j) = \otimes. \end{cases}$$

Furthermore, for $j \in \{1, \dots, r\}$, holds

$$n = \delta(w_j) + \delta(\overline{w_j}),$$

where $\overline{w_j}$ is the respective interior vertex of w_j with opposite type in the cotree T_G .

Proof. Let G be a cograph of order n with cotree T_G having r interior vertices $\{w_1, \dots, w_r\}$. We assume that leaves $t_i \geq 1$ of an interior vertex w_i have vertices v_i with a weight $p_i = 1$. Let w_j be an interior vertex with $\delta(w_j)$. By definition, we have that $\delta(w_j) = \sum_i p_i$ sum being over i 's with $\text{lca}(w_i, w_j) = \otimes$. So, if $w_j = \cup$ then $\delta(w_j)$ is equivalent to sum the t_i , which have with w_j a least common ancestor of \otimes -type, since the leaves of cotree have weight $p_i = 1$. Otherwise, if $w_j = \otimes$ and it has $t_j \geq 1$ leaves with a weight $p_j = 1$ then $\sum_j t_j$ must be included in $\delta(w_j)$ since the $\text{lca}(w_j, w_j) = w_j$.

In relation to the second statement, we first note that $\delta(w_j) \leq n$, for any interior vertex $w_j \in T_G$. Let $p \geq 0$ be an integer such that $n = p + \delta(w_j)$. Since p represents the number of leaves t_i of $w_i \in T_G$ with $\text{lca}(w_i, w_j) = \cup$, including the t_j leaves of w_j , if $w_j = \cup$ -type, then $p = \delta(\overline{w_j})$ where $\overline{w_j}$ is the respective interior vertex of w_j with opposite type in the cotree $T_{\overline{G}}$ and therefore, follows the result. \square

Lemma 3.1. *Let G be a cograph of order n with cotree T_G . We assume that the leaves of T_G have initialized with weight $p_i = 1$ and the interior vertices w_i with degree $\delta(w_i)$. If w_j is an interior vertex with maximum depth in T_G with $t_j \geq 2$ leaves having vertices with weight p_j then $\delta(w_j)$ is a Laplacian eigenvalue of G with multiplicity $t_j - 1$.*

Proof. Let G be a cograph of order n with cotree T_G . We assume that leaves of T_G have initialized with weight $p_i = 1$ and the interior vertices with degree $\delta(w_i)$. Let w_j be an interior vertex with maximum depth in T_G with $t_j \geq 2$ leaves having vertices with weight p_j . We claim that $\delta(w_j)$ is a Laplacian eigenvalue of G with multiplicity $t_j - 1$. For getting the result it suffices to show that Diagonalization $(T_G, x = -\delta(w_j))$ assigns $t_j - 1$ permanent zeros after processing the t_j leaves. We consider the following cases:
Case 1. If $w_j = \cup$. If w_j is a terminal vertex of T_G , in this case, we have that $\delta(w_j)$ coincides with the degree of vertices in the leaves t_j according to Proposition 3.1 and hence the result follows according to Lemma 2.1. If w_j is not a terminal vertex of T_G , we have that some leaves have been processed previously. Since duplicate vertices assign a permanent value zero if and only if the **subcase 2b** occurs then duplicate vertices must received the value zero from coduplicate vertices during their last iteration. Let us

INPUT: cotree T_G with values p_i and $\delta(w_i)$
 OUTPUT: L -eigenvalues of G

```

Algorithm L-eigenvalues
  initialize  $p_i = 1$ 
  while  $T_G$  has depth  $\geq 2$ 
    select a set  $(v_1, \dots, v_m)$  (co)duplicate of maximum depth with parent  $w$ 
    having  $\delta(w)$ 
    if  $w = \{\otimes, \cup\}$ 
       $v_1, \dots, v_{m-1} \leftarrow \delta(w)$ ;  $v_m \leftarrow \sum_i p_i$ ;  $T_G = T_G - (v_1, \dots, v_{m-1})$ 
    if  $T_G$  has depth 1
      if  $w = \{\otimes, \cup\}$ 
         $v_1, \dots, v_{m-1} \leftarrow \delta(w)$ ;  $v_m \leftarrow 0$ ;  $T_G = T_G - (v_1, \dots, v_{m-1})$ 
  end loop
    
```

FIGURE 9. Algorithm L -eigenvalues

denote T_{H_i} the subtrees of T_G with w_i has been an immediate successor of w_j having t_i leaves, such that, after to process their leaves t_i has a pendant vertex with assignment zero. Taking into account that leaves of T_{H_i} have initialized with $\delta(t_i) + \delta(w_j) - \delta(w_j)$, where $\delta(t_i)$ is the degree of leaves only in the subtree T_{H_i} and this is equivalent to apply the Diagonalization ($T_{H_i}, x = 0$). So, by Lemma 2.2, we will have t_j duplicate vertices with assignment equal to zero. Therefore, $t_j - 1$ zeros will be assigned, as desired.

Case 2. If $w_j = \otimes$. Consider the complement graph \overline{G} and its cotree $T_{\overline{G}}$. Let $\overline{w_j}$ be the respective vertex of w_j with opposite type in $T_{\overline{G}}$ having t_j leaves. By previous case, $\delta(\overline{w_j})$ is a Laplacian eigenvalue of \overline{G} with multiplicity $t_j - 1$. By Proposition 3.1, we have $n - \delta(\overline{w_j}) = \delta(w_j)$, and therefore, $\delta(w_j)$ is a Laplacian eigenvalue of G with multiplicity $t_j - 1$, as desired. \square

The next result claims that the Algorithm L -eigenvalues computes the Laplacian eigenvalues of cograph G directly from T_G in $O(n)$ time and space.

Given a weighted cotree T_G where the leaves have initialized with value $p_i = 1$ and the interior vertices with their degrees $\delta(w_i)$. The Algorithm L -eigenvalues performs directly on the leaves of T_G which makes the procedure linear in the number of leaves. Furthermore, in each iteration it records changes of the values on it such that the removed vertices (v_1, \dots, v_{m-1}) represent a Laplacian eigenvalue of G with multiplicity

$m - 1$ while that remaining vertex v_m with value $\sum_i p_i$ which preserves the degrees of remaining interior vertices in $T_G - (v_1, \dots, v_m)$, allowing only $O(n)$ space.

Theorem 3.1. *Let G be a cograph of order n with cotree T_G having depth $l \geq 1$. We assume that leaves t_i of T_G have initialized with weight $p_i = 1$ and the interior vertices with degree $\delta(w_i)$. The Laplacian eigenvalues of G can be computed in $O(n)$ by the Algorithm L -eigenvalues, in the Figure 9, and they are given by*

- (i) $\delta(w_j)$ with multiplicity $t_j - 1$, if $l \geq 2$, $w_j = \{\otimes, \cup\}$ and $t_j \geq 2$.
- (ii) $\delta(w_j)$ and 0 with multiplicities $t_j - 1$ and 1, if $l = 1$, $w_j = \{\otimes, \cup\}$ and $t_j \geq 2$.

Proof. Let G be a cograph of order n with cotree T_G having depth $l \geq 1$. We assume that leaves t_i of T_G have initialized with weight $p_i = 1$ and the interior vertices with degree $\delta(w_i)$. We prove by induction on depth $l \geq 1$.

For $l = 1$, we have to consider two cases. If $w_j = \cup$ with $n = t_j$ leaves having vertices with weight $p_j = 1$. Since $\delta(w_j) = 0$, we have that 0 is a Laplacian eigenvalue with multiplicity $n = t_j$. If $w_j = \otimes$ with $n = t_j$ leaves having vertices with weight $p_j = 1$. Since $\delta(w_j) = \sum_j p_j = n$ then n and 0 are the Laplacian eigenvalues of G with multiplicity $t_j - 1 = n - 1$ and 1, respectively.

Now, we suppose that Algorithm L -eigenvalues holds for a cograph G with cotree T_G having depth $\leq l$. Let G' be a cograph with cotree $T_{G'}$ having depth equals to $l + 1$. If $l + 1$ is even (respect. odd), then $T_{G'}$ have t_j leaves of an interior vertex $w_j = \cup$ (respect. $w_j = \otimes$) which are duplicate (respect. coduplicate) vertices. By Lemma 3.1, $\delta(w_j)$ is a Laplacian eigenvalue with multiplicity $t_j - 1$. Since the pending vertex is relocated under its parent's, the algorithm moves to the cotree which has a depth equals to l . Then applying the induction on this cotree, this completes the proof of Theorem. \square

Example 3.1. *We consider the cograph $G = ((\overline{K}_2 \otimes \overline{K}_2) \cup \overline{K}_3) \otimes \overline{K}_5$ with cotree T_G represented in Figure 10. We will show that $\text{Spect}_L(G) = \{12, 9, 7^6, 5^3, 0\}$.*

The Algorithm *L-eigenvalues* initializes the leaves of T_G with $p_i = 1$ and the interior vertices with $\delta(w_i)$. During in each iteration a set of duplicate or coduplicate vertices selected appear in blue.




FIGURE 10. Initial T_G with $p_i = 1$ and $\delta(w_i)$.

In the first iteration of the algorithm, duplicate vertices $\{v_1, v_2\}$ are chosen of w_i with maximum depth, represented in Figure 11. Since $\delta(w_i) = 7$ the assignments are given

$$v_1 \leftarrow 7; \quad v_2 \leftarrow 1 + 1 = 2; \quad T_G = T_G - v_1.$$

Then the vertex v_1 is removed and v_2 is relocated under its parent's, represented in Figure 12. It means that 7 is a Laplacian eigenvalue with multiplicity one.




FIGURE 11. First iteration




FIGURE 12. Second iteration

In the next step, again duplicate vertices $\{v_1, v_2\}$ are chosen of w_i with maximum depth. Since $\delta(w_i) = 7$ the assignments are given

$$v_1 \leftarrow 7; \quad v_2 \leftarrow 1 + 1 = 2; \quad T_G = T_G - v_1.$$

Then the vertex v_1 is removed and v_2 is relocated under its parent's, represented in Figure 13. It means that 7 is a Laplacian eigenvalue with multiplicity one.

In the next step a pair of coduplicate vertices $\{v_1, v_2\}$ of depth three is chosen. Since $\delta(w_i) = 9$ and the vertices have weiths $p_i = 2$, the following assigments are made

$$v_1 \leftarrow 9; \quad v_2 \leftarrow 2 + 2 = 4; \quad T_G = T_G - v_1.$$




FIGURE 13. Third iteration




FIGURE 14. Fourth iteration

Figure 14 shows the cotree with v_1 removed and v_2 relocated. It means that 9 is a Laplacian eigenvalue with multiplicity one. Next, a set of duplicate vertices $\{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4\}$ with depth two is selected. Since $\delta(w_i) = 5$ we have the following assigments

$$v_1, v_2, v_3 \leftarrow 5; \quad v_4 \leftarrow 4 + 1 + 1 + 1 = 7; \quad T_G = (v_1, v_2, v_3).$$




FIGURE 15. Fiveth iteration




FIGURE 16. Sixth iteration

Figure 15 shows the cotree with $\{v_1, v_2, v_3\}$ removed and v_4 relocated. It means that 5 is a Laplacian eigenvalue with multiplicity 3. Next, another depth two of duplicate

A LINEAR ALGORITHM FOR OBTAINING THE LAPLACIAN EIGENVALUES OF A COGRAPH¹⁵
 vertices $\{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5\}$ is selected. Since $\delta(w_i) = 7$ we have the following assignments

$$v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4 \leftarrow 7; \quad v_5 \leftarrow 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 = 5; \quad T_G - (v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4).$$

Figure 16 shows the cotree with $\{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4\}$ removed and v_5 relocated. It means that 7 is a Laplacian eigenvalue with multiplicity 4.





FIGURE 17. Final step

Finally, the last step of Algorithm L -eigenvalues is to process the coduplicate vertices $\{v_1, v_2\}$ with assignments $p_1 = 7$ and $p_2 = 5$, represented in Figure 16. Since the cotree has depth 1 and $\delta(w_i) = 12$, we have the following assignments are made

$$v_1 \leftarrow 12; \quad v_2 \leftarrow 0.$$

It means that 12 and 0 are Laplacian eigenvalues with multiplicities one. This step is illustrated in Figure 17. The algorithm stops, since the cotree has only one leaf, and we have that $\text{Spect}_L(G) = \{12, 9, 7^6, 5^3, 0\}$.

4. THE NUMBER OF SPANNING TREES OF A COGRAPH

We recall that a spanning tree of a connected undirected graph G on n vertices is a connected $(n - 1)$ -edge subgraph of G . We finalize this paper using the Algorithm L -eigenvalues as a tool for obtaining a closed formula for the number of spanning trees of a cograph.

The following result is due [16].

Lemma 4.1. *Let G be a connected graph on n vertices and let $L(G)$ be its Laplacian matrix. Let $0 = \mu_1 \leq \mu_2 \leq \dots \leq \mu_n$ be the eigenvalues of $L(G)$. Then the number of spanning trees of G equals the product $\prod_{i=2}^n \mu_i/n$.*

Theorem 4.1. *Let G be a connected cograph on n vertices with cotree T_G having r interior vertices $\{w_1, \dots, w_r\}$. Let w_1 be the cotree's root and suppose that any interior vertex w_i of T_G has s_i successors vertices and t_i leaves. Then the number of spanning trees of G equals*

$$\prod_{i=2}^r (\delta(w_i))^{s_i+t_i-1} \cdot n^{s_1+t_1-2}$$

where $\delta(w_i)$ is the degree of interior vertex w_i .

Proof. Let G be a connected cograph on n vertices with cotree T_G having r interior vertices $\{w_1, \dots, w_r\}$. We assume that w_1 is the cotree's root and each w_i has s_i successors vertices and t_i leaves. It is sufficient to show that $\delta(w_i)$ correspondent to a Laplacian eigenvalue of G with multiplicity $s_i + t_i - 1$.

If $w_i = \cup$ and it is a terminal vertex, we have that $\delta(w_i)$ coincides with the degree of vertices in the leaves t_i . So, by Lemma 2.1 we are done. Now, if w_i is not a terminal vertex, we have that w_i has s_i successors vertices and t_i leaves. After the Algorithm L -eigenvalues processes the s_i interior vertices, by Lemma 3.1, $\delta(w_i)$ correspondent a Laplacian eigenvalue of G with multiplicity $s_i + t_i - 1$. The proof is analogous for an interior vertex w_i of \otimes -type.

To finish, we need to account the Laplacian eigenvalue given by the interior vertex of cotree's root. Let $w_1 = \otimes$ be the interior vertex of cotree's root of T_G having s_1 successors vertices and t_1 leaves. Since the Algorithm L -eigenvalues assigns to w_1 the Laplacian eigenvalue n with multiplicity $s_1 + t_1 - 1$ and according Lemma 4.1 we must to divide the product of Laplacian eigenvalues by factor n , follows n is a factor with multiplicity $s_1 + t_1 - 2$, as desired. \square

As illustration, we exhibit an example for obtain the number of spanning trees of a cograph.

Example 4.1. Let G be a cograph having cotree T_G as the Figure 18 has shown.




FIGURE 18. Cotree T_G

We have that T_G has a set of interior vertices $\{w_1, w_2, w_3, w_4, w_5, w_6\}$ where w_1 is the cotree's root of T_G . Computing the degrees of interior vertices and their respective number of successors vertices and leaves, we have

$$\delta(w_1) = 12, s_1 = 2, t_1 = 0; \delta(w_2) = 5, s_2 = 1, t_2 = 3; \delta(w_3) = 7, s_3 = 0, t_3 = 5;$$

$$\delta(w_4) = 9, s_4 = 2, t_4 = 0; \delta(w_5) = 7, s_5 = 0, t_5 = 2; \delta(w_6) = 7, s_6 = 0, t_6 = 2;$$

By Theorem 4.1, we have the number of spanning trees of G equals

$$(5)^3 \cdot (7)^4 \cdot (9)^1 \cdot (7)^1 \cdot (7)^1 \cdot (12)^0.$$

5. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was part of the Post-Doctoral studies of Fernando C. Tura, while visiting Georgia State University, on leave from UFSM and supported by CNPq Grant 200716/2022-0. Guantao Chen acknowledges partial support of NSF grant DMS-2154331.

REFERENCES

- [1] Abreu, N., Justel, C.M., Markenzon, L.: Integer Laplacian eigenvalues of chordal graphs, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **614**, 68–81 (2021)
- [2] Andelić, M., Du, Z., da Fonseca, C.M., Simić, S.K.: Tridiagonal matrices and spectral properties of some graph classes. *J. Czech. Math.* **70**, 1125–1138 (2020)
- [3] Allem, L.E., Tura, F.C.: Integral cographs, *Discrete Appl. Math.* **283**, 153–167 (2020)
- [4] Allem, L. E., Tura, F. C.: Multiplicity of eigenvalues of cographs, *Discrete Appl. Math.* **247**, 43–52 (2018)
- [5] Bapat, R. B., Lal, A. K., Pati, S.: Laplacian spectrum of weakly quasi-threshold graphs, *Graphs Combin.* **24**, 273–290 (2008)

- [6] Biyikoğlu, T., Simić, S. K., Stanić, Z.: Some notes on spectra of cographs, *Ars Combinatoria* **100**, 421–434 (2011)
- [7] Bretscher, A., Corneil, D., Habib, M., Paul, C.: A simple linear time LexBFS cograph recognition algorithm. *SIAM J. Discrete Math.* **22**, 1277–1296 (2008)
- [8] Brandstadt, A., Le, V.B., Spinrad, J.P.: Graph classes: a survey. In: *SIAM Monographs on Discrete Mathematics and Applications* (1999)
- [9] Corneil, D. G., Lerchs, H., Stewart Bhirmingham, L.: Complement reducible graphs, *Discrete. Appl. Math.* **3**, 163–174 (1981)
- [10] Corneil, D. G., Perl, Y., Stewart Bhirmingham, L.: A linear recognition algorithm for cographs, *SIAM J. Comput.* **14(4)**, 926–934 (1985)
- [11] Golumbic, M.C.: Algorithmic graph theory and perfect graphs, 2nd edn. In: *Annals of Discrete Mathematics*, vol. 57. Elsevier, Amsterdam (2004)
- [12] Hammer, P.L., Kelmans, A. K.: Laplacian spectra and spanning trees of threshold graphs, *Discrete Appl. Math.* **65**, 255–273 (1996)
- [13] Habib, M., Paul, C.: A simple linear time algorithm for cograph recognition, *Discrete Appl. Math.* **145 (2)**, 183–197 (2005).
- [14] Jacobs, D.P., Trevisan, V., Tura, F. C.: Eigenvalue location in cographs, *Discrete Appl. Math.* **245**, 220–235 (2018)
- [15] Jones, A., Trevisan, V., Vinagre, C. T. M.: Exploring symmetries in cographs: Obtaining spectra and energies, *Discrete Appl. Math.* **325**, 120–133 (2023)
- [16] Kelmans, A.K., Chelnokov, V.M.: A certain polynomial of a graph and graphs with an extremal number of trees. *J. Combin. Theory (B)* **16**, 197–214 (1974)
- [17] Lazzarin, J., Sosa, O. F., Tura, F. C.: Laplacian eigenvalues of equivalent cographs, *Linear Multilinear Algebra* **71:6**, 1003–1014 (2022)
- [18] Lazzarin, J., Márquez, O. F., Tura, F. C.: No threshold graphs are cospectral, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **560**, 133–145. (2019)
- [19] Mahadev, N.V.R., Peled, U.N.: *Threshold graphs and related topics*, Elsevier, 1995.
- [20] Merris, R.: Degree maximal graphs are Laplacian integral, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **199**, 381–389 (1994)
- [21] Mousavi, S. S., Haeri, M., Mesbahi, M.: Laplacian Dynamics on Cographs: Controllability Analysis Through Joins and Unions, *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, **66(3)**, 1383–1390 (2021)
- [22] Stavros D. Nikolopoulos, Charis Papadopoulos.: A simple linear-time recognition algorithm for weakly quasi-threshold graphs. *Graphs Combin.* **27**, 557–565 (2011)
- [23] Stavros D. Nikolopoulos, Charis Papadopoulos.: Counting spanning trees in cographs: an algorithmic approach, *Ars Combinatoria*, (2009)
- [24] Jing-Ho, Y., Jer-Jeong, C., Gerard, J.C.: Quasi-threshold graphs, *Discrete Appl. Math.* **69**, 247–255 (1996)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, GEORGIA STATE UNIVERSITY, ATLANTA 30303 USA

Email address: `gchen@gsu.edu`

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, UFSM, 97105–900 SANTA MARIA, RS, BRAZIL

Email address: `fernando.tura@ufsm.br`