

INTERIOR GRADIENT ESTIMATES FOR FULLY NONLINEAR ELLIPTIC EQUATIONS ON RIEMANNIAN MANIFOLDS

WEI SUN

ABSTRACT. We study a class of fully nonlinear elliptic equations on Riemannian manifolds. We derive interior gradient estimates.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let (M, g) be a Riemannian manifold of dimension n and χ a smooth symmetric $(0, 2)$ tensor on M . Write g and χ respectively as

$$g = \sum_{i,j} g_{ij} dx^i \otimes dx^j, \quad \chi = \sum_{i,j} \chi_{ij} dx^i \otimes dx^j.$$

For simplicity, we denote $\chi_u := \chi + \nabla^2 u$. We shall study the following equations

$$F(\chi_u) := f(\boldsymbol{\lambda}(\chi_u)) = \psi(x, u, du), \tag{1.1}$$

for a continuously differentiable function ψ on $\mathbb{R} \times T^*M$, where f is a continuously differentiable symmetric function over the vector variable $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \in \mathbb{R}^n$. In Equation (1.1), $\boldsymbol{\lambda}(\chi_u)$ denotes the eigenvalue set of χ_u with respect to g . This general type of Equation (1.1) has been studied by Guan [4][5] and Székelyhidi [8]. In this paper, we shall focus on the interior gradient estimate. In fact, this is the first of a series of papers on interior gradient estimates [6][7]. However, the technique does not work well on complex equations.

The domain of f contains an open symmetric cone $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. The vertex of Γ is the origin and $\Gamma^n \subset \Gamma$. We say that C^2 function u is *admissible* if $\boldsymbol{\lambda}(\chi_u) \in \bar{\Gamma}$. Similar to [6], we assume that f satisfies the following conditions:

- (i) $f_i \geq 0$ for all i ;
- (ii) f is concave on Γ ;
- (iii) $f(t\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ is increasing as a function of t for any $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \in \Gamma$ and $t > 0$;
- (iv) $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} f(t, \dots, t) > \sup_{B_r(0)} \psi$.

These conditions are naturally satisfied by many equations. When $\boldsymbol{\lambda}(\chi_u) \in \partial\Gamma$, we further impose an assumption that there is a strictly increasing function $h \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$ and a function

$\tilde{f} \in C^1(\bar{\Gamma} \setminus (\partial\Gamma^n \cap \partial\Gamma))$ such that $\tilde{f}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = h \circ f(\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ in Γ and

$$\sum_i \frac{\partial \tilde{f}}{\partial \lambda_i} > 0, \quad \text{when } \boldsymbol{\lambda} \in \partial\Gamma \setminus \partial\Gamma^n.$$

For admissible solutions, Equation (1.1) is equivalent to

$$\tilde{F}(\chi_u) = h \circ \psi(x, u, du), \quad (1.2)$$

where

$$\tilde{F}(X) = \limsup_{\substack{\boldsymbol{\lambda} \in \Gamma \\ \boldsymbol{\lambda} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{\lambda}(X)}} \tilde{f}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}(X)).$$

Condition (i) ensures that the equation is elliptic. Condition (ii) implies that

$$f^i \geq f^j, \quad \text{whenever } \lambda_i \leq \lambda_j.$$

From condition (iv), there are constants $L > 0$, $\epsilon > 0$ depending on $\sup_{B_r(0)} \psi$ such that

$$f(L, \dots, L) > \sup_{B_r(0)} \psi + \epsilon.$$

When $\boldsymbol{\lambda}(\chi_u) \in \Gamma$,

$$-\sum_{i,j} \frac{\partial F}{\partial u_{ij}} (\chi_{ij} + u_{ij}) \geq -L \sum_{i,j} \frac{\partial F}{\partial u_{ij}} g_{ij} + F(Lg) - F(\chi_u) > -L \sum_{i,j} \frac{\partial F}{\partial u_{ij}} g_{ij} + \epsilon,$$

and hence by condition (iii),

$$\sum_{i,j} \frac{\partial F}{\partial u_{ij}} g_{ij} > \frac{\epsilon}{L}. \quad (1.3)$$

For more details and discussions on the structure conditions, we refer the readers to [6]. However, we do not need the condition that $\frac{\partial f}{\partial \lambda_j} > \delta \sum_i \frac{\partial f}{\partial \lambda_i}$ when $\lambda_j < 0$, for some $\delta > 0$.

Let $\mathbf{p} = (p_1, \dots, p_n)$ be the coordinate corresponding to basis $\{dx^1, \dots, dx^n\}$, that is, the notion (\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}) denotes $\omega = \sum_i p_i dx^i \in T_{\mathbf{x}}^*M$. In local coordinates, we can express ψ as $\psi(t, x^1, \dots, x^n, p_1, \dots, p_n)$. We define one form

$$d^H \psi(x, t, \omega) := \sum_i d_{x^i}^H \psi(x, t, \omega) dx^i$$

and (1,0) tensor

$$\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \omega} := \sum_j \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial p_j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j},$$

where

$$d_{x^i}^H \psi(x, t, \omega) := \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x^i} + \sum_j \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial p_j} \left\langle \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}, \omega \right\rangle = \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x^i} + \sum_{j,k} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial p_j} \Gamma_{ij}^k p_k.$$

We can verify that $d^H \psi(x, t, \omega)$ does not depend on the choice of coordinates.

In this article, we shall prove the interior gradient estimates for Equation (1.1) by adapting the argument of Chou and Wang [3].

Theorem 1.1. *Let (M, g) be a Riemannian manifold of dimension n and χ a smooth symmetric $(0, 2)$ tensor on M . Also suppose that $B_r(x_0) \in M$ and $u \in C^3(B_r(x_0))$ for $r < \min\{\text{inj}(x_0), d(x, \partial M)\}$, where $\text{inj}(x_0)$ is the injectivity radius at point x_0 . Let u be an admissible solution to Equation (1.1) satisfying*

$$\left| d^H \psi(x, u, \omega) \right| + \left| \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t}(x, u, \omega) \right| |\omega| + \left| \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \omega}(x, u, \omega) \right| |\omega|^2 \leq h(|\omega|^3), \quad \text{as } |\omega| \rightarrow +\infty, \quad (1.4)$$

where $h(t) = o(t)$ as $t \rightarrow +\infty$. Then we have

$$|\nabla u(x_0)| \leq C,$$

where C depends on $\epsilon, L, r, \sup_{B_r(x_0)} |u|$ and geometric data.

A particular case of Equation (1.1) is

$$F(D^2u) = f(\lambda(D^2u)) = \psi(x, u, \nabla u). \quad (1.5)$$

Caffarelli, Nirenberg and Spruck [1] established existence results on the corresponding Dirichlet problem in \mathbb{R}^n . Chou and Wang [3] established the interior gradient estimates for k -Hessian equations (see also [9]), and Chen [2] proved similar results for Hessian quotient equations.

Theorem 1.2. *Suppose that $u \in C^3(B_r(0))$ is an admissible solution to Equation (1.5) such that*

$$|D_x \psi| + |\psi_u| |\mathbf{p}| + |D_p \psi| |\mathbf{p}|^2 \leq h(|\mathbf{p}|^3) \quad \text{as } |\mathbf{p}| \rightarrow +\infty,$$

where $h(t) = o(t)$ as $t \rightarrow +\infty$. Then we have

$$|Du(0)| \leq C,$$

where C depends on ϵ, L, r and $\sup_{B_r(0)} |u(x)|$.

In particular, if ψ is constant,

$$|Du(0)| \leq C \frac{\sup_{B_r(0)} |u|}{r}.$$

Remark 1.3. With Theorem 1.2, a Liouville type result can be achieved as in [3].

2. THE GRADIENT ESTIMATE ON RIEMANNIAN MANIFOLDS

In this section, we shall prove interior gradient estimates for Equation (1.1).

First, let us recall some notations and fundamental calculations on Riemannian manifolds.

In local coordinates $\mathbf{x} = (x^1, \dots, x^n)$,

$$g_{ij} = g \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} \right), \quad [g^{ij}] = [g_{ij}]^{-1}.$$

The curvature tensors is defined by

$$R(X, Y)Z = \nabla_Y \nabla_X Z - \nabla_X \nabla_Y Z + \nabla_{[X, Y]} Z.$$

For convenience, we express

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_i &:= \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}, \\ u_i &:= \nabla_{\partial_i} u = \frac{\partial u}{\partial x^i}, \\ u_{ij} &:= \nabla_{\partial_i \partial_j}^2 u = \left\langle \nabla^2 u, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} \right\rangle, \\ u_{ijk} &:= \nabla_{\partial_i \partial_j \partial_k}^3 u = \left\langle \nabla^3 u, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial x^k} \right\rangle, \\ &\dots \end{aligned}$$

For simplicity, we may use X and F^{ij} to express χ_u and $\frac{\partial F}{\partial X_{ij}}$ respectively.

The definition of $d^H \psi(t, \omega)$ is coordinate free. If we induce another coordinate chart $\mathbf{y} = (y^1, \dots, y^n)$, there is a new coordinate chart (\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{q}) for T^*M . The transition map is

$$(y^1, \dots, y^n, q_1, \dots, q_n) = \left(y^1(\mathbf{x}), \dots, y^n(\mathbf{x}), \sum_j p_j \frac{\partial x^j}{\partial y^1}, \dots, \sum_j p_j \frac{\partial x^j}{\partial y^n} \right).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} d_{x^i}^H \psi(t, \omega) &= \sum_j \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y^j} \frac{\partial y^j}{\partial x^i} + \sum_{j,k} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial q_j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \left(\frac{\partial x^k}{\partial y^j} \right) p_k \\ &\quad + \sum_{j,k,l,m} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial q_m} \frac{\partial x^j}{\partial y^m} \frac{\partial y^k}{\partial x^i} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^k} \left(\frac{\partial y^l}{\partial x^j} \right) \left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial y^l}, \omega \right\rangle \\ &\quad + \sum_{j,k,l,m} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial q_m} \frac{\partial x^j}{\partial y^m} \frac{\partial y^k}{\partial x^i} \frac{\partial y^l}{\partial x^j} \left\langle \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial y^k}} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^l}, \omega \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_j d_{y^j}^H \psi(t, \omega) \frac{\partial y^j}{\partial x^i}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \psi(u, du) &= \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} u_i + \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x^i} + \sum_j \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial p_j} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^j \partial x^i} \\ &= \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} u_i + d_{x^i}^H \psi + \sum_j \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial p_j} u_{ji}. \end{aligned}$$

Now we start to prove the interior gradient estimates. we adapt the idea of Chou and Wang [3]. Let $u \in C^3(B_r(0))$ be an admissible solution to Equation (1.1) and $B_r(0) \subset M$.

Let us consider the function

$$G(x) := \frac{1}{2} \ln |\nabla u|^2 + \varphi(u) + \ln \rho(x),$$

where

$$\varphi(u) = -\frac{1}{3} \ln(2K - u), \quad \text{for } K = \sup_{B_r(0)} |u|,$$

and

$$\rho(x) = \left(1 - \frac{d^2(x, 0)}{r^2}\right)^+, \quad \text{for } r < \min\{\text{inj}(x), d(0, \partial M)\}.$$

It is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi' &= \frac{1}{3(2K - u)}, \\ \varphi'' &= \frac{1}{3(2K - u)^2}, \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\varphi'' - 2\varphi'^2 = \frac{1}{9(2K - u)^2} = \varphi'^2.$$

Suppose that G attains its maximal value at some point $p \in B_r(0)$. For convenience, we choose a local chart near p such that $g_{ij} = \delta_{ij}$ and X_{ij} is diagonal at p . Without loss of generality, we may assume that $X_{11} \geq X_{22} \geq \dots \geq X_{nn}$. Obviously, $[F^{ij}]$ is also diagonal. Therefore, at the point p , we have

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial_i (|\nabla u|^2)}{|\nabla u|^2} + \varphi' u_i + \frac{\rho_i}{\rho} = 0, \quad (2.1)$$

and

$$\frac{\nabla_{\partial_i \partial_i}^2 |\nabla u|^2}{2|\nabla u|^2} - \frac{\partial_i |\nabla u|^2 \partial_i |\nabla u|^2}{2|\nabla u|^4} + \varphi'' u_i u_i + \varphi' u_{ii} + \frac{\rho_{ii}}{\rho} - \frac{\rho_i \rho_i}{\rho^2} \leq 0. \quad (2.2)$$

Through an orthogonal transformation, we can also find a new local chart $\{y^1, y^2, \dots, y^n\}$ such that $g(\partial_{y^i}, \partial_{y^j}) = \delta_{ij}$ and $u_{y^1} = |\nabla u|$. By (2.1),

$$u_{y^1 y^i} = -|\nabla u| \left(\varphi' u_{y^i} - \frac{2d d_{y^i}}{r^2 \rho} \right).$$

In particular,

$$u_{y^1 y^1} = -\varphi' |\nabla u|^2 + \frac{2d d_{y^1}}{r^2 \rho} |\nabla u|.$$

Assuming that at p ,

$$|\nabla u| \rho > \sqrt{18 \sup_{B_r(0)} \sup_{|\xi|=1} \chi(\xi, \xi) K} + \frac{36K}{r}$$

and hence

$$X_{nn} \leq \chi_{y^1 y^1} - \frac{1}{3(2K - u)} |\nabla u|^2 + \frac{2|\nabla u|}{r\rho} \leq -\frac{1}{18K} |\nabla u|^2. \quad (2.3)$$

By direct calculation,

$$\nabla_{\partial_i \partial_i}^2 (|\nabla u|^2) = 2 \sum_k u_{iik} u_k + 2 \sum_{k,l} R_{ikil} u_k u_l + 2 \sum_k u_{ki}^2.$$

Combining (2.1) and (2.2),

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\geq \frac{\nabla_{\partial_i \partial_i}^2 |\nabla u|^2}{2|\nabla u|^2} - \frac{\partial_i |\nabla u|^2 \partial_i |\nabla u|^2}{2|\nabla u|^4} + \varphi'' u_i^2 + \varphi' u_{ii} + \frac{\rho_{ii}}{\rho} - \frac{\rho_i^2}{\rho^2} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{|\nabla u|^2} \left(\sum_k u_{iik} u_k + \sum_{k,l} R_{ikil} u_k u_l + \sum_k u_{ki}^2 \right) + \varphi' u_{ii} + \frac{\rho_{ii}}{\rho} - \frac{7\rho_i^2}{\rho^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

There are two case in consideration: (1) $\lambda(\chi_u) \in \Gamma$ and (2) $\lambda(\chi_u) \in \partial\Gamma$.

2.1. Case 1. $\lambda(\chi_u) \in \Gamma$.

Differentiating Equation (1.1),

$$\sum_{i,j} F^{ij} X_{ijk} = \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} u_k + d_{x^k}^H \psi + \sum_j \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial p_j} u_{jk}.$$

Then at p ,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_i F^{ii} \nabla_{\partial_i \partial_i}^2 (|\nabla u|^2) &= 2 \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} |\nabla u|^2 + 2 \sum_k d_{x^k}^H \psi u_k + \sum_j \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial p_j} \partial_j (|\nabla u|^2) \\ &\quad - 2 \sum_{i,k} F^{ii} \chi_{iik} u_k + 2 \sum_{i,k,l} F^{ii} R_{ikil} u_k u_l + 2 \sum_{i,k} F^{ii} u_{ki}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Multiplying (2.4) by F^{ii} and summing these terms over index i ,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\geq \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{|\nabla u|^2} \sum_k d_{x^k}^H \psi u_k + \frac{1}{2|\nabla u|^2} \sum_j \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial p_j} \partial_j (|\nabla u|^2) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{|\nabla u|^2} \sum_{i,k} F^{ii} \chi_{iik} u_k + \frac{1}{|\nabla u|^2} \sum_{i,k,l} F^{ii} R_{ikil} u_k u_l + \frac{1}{|\nabla u|^2} \sum_{i,k} F^{ii} u_{ki}^2 \\ &\quad + \varphi' \sum_i F^{ii} u_{ii} + \sum_i F^{ii} \frac{\rho_{ii}}{\rho} - 7 \sum_i F^{ii} \frac{\rho_i^2}{\rho^2} \\ &\geq \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{|\nabla u|^2} \sum_k d_{x^k}^H \psi u_k - \varphi' \sum_j \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial p_j} u_j + 2 \sum_j \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial p_j} \frac{d d_j}{r^2 \rho} - \frac{1}{|\nabla u|^2} \sum_{i,k} F^{ii} \chi_{iik} u_k \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{|\nabla u|^2} \sum_{i,k,l} F^{ii} R_{ikil} u_k u_l + \frac{1}{2|\nabla u|^2} \sum_i F^{ii} X_{ii}^2 - \frac{1}{|\nabla u|^2} \sum_{i,k} F^{ii} \chi_{ki}^2 \\ &\quad + \varphi' \sum_i F^{ii} u_{ii} + \sum_i F^{ii} \frac{\rho_{ii}}{\rho} - 7 \sum_i F^{ii} \frac{\rho_i^2}{\rho^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

Putting (2.5) and (2.3) together,

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &\geq \frac{\partial\psi}{\partial t} - \frac{|d^H\psi|}{|\nabla u|} - \varphi'|\nabla u| \left| \frac{\partial\psi}{\partial\omega} \right| - \frac{2}{r\rho} \left| \frac{\partial\psi}{\partial\omega} \right| - \frac{1}{|\nabla u|^2} \sum_{i,k} F^{ii} \chi_{iik} u_k \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2n|\nabla u|^2} X_{nn}^2 \sum_i F^{ii} + \frac{1}{|\nabla u|^2} \sum_{i,k,l} F^{ii} R_{ikil} u_k u_l - \frac{1}{|\nabla u|^2} \sum_{i,k} F^{ii} \chi_{ki}^2 \\
&\quad + \varphi' \sum_i F^{ii} X_{ii} - \varphi' \sum_i F^{ii} \chi_{ii} + \sum_i F^{ii} \frac{\rho_{ii}}{\rho} - 7 \sum_i F^{ii} \frac{\rho_i^2}{\rho^2} \\
&\geq \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{648nK^2} \sum_i F^{ii} - \left| \frac{\partial\psi}{\partial t} \right| \frac{L}{\epsilon} \sum_i F^{ii} - \frac{|d^H\psi|}{|\nabla u|} \frac{L}{\epsilon} \sum_i F^{ii} - \frac{|\nabla u|}{3K} \left| \frac{\partial\psi}{\partial\omega} \right| \frac{L}{\epsilon} \sum_i F^{ii} \\
&\quad - \frac{2}{r\rho} \left| \frac{\partial\psi}{\partial\omega} \right| \frac{L}{\epsilon} \sum_i F^{ii} - \frac{|\nabla\chi|}{|\nabla u|} \sum_i F^{ii} + \inf_{\substack{|\xi|=1 \\ |\eta|=1}} R_{\xi\eta\xi\eta} \sum_i F^{ii} - \frac{|\chi|^2}{|\nabla u|^2} \sum_i F^{ii} \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{3K} \sup_{|\xi|=1} \chi(\xi, \xi) \sum_i F^{ii} - \frac{1}{r^2\rho^2} \left(28 + \sup_{|\xi|=1} \nabla^2 d^2(\xi, \xi) \right) \sum_i F^{ii}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

Dividing (2.6) by $\frac{1}{\rho^2 K^2} \sum_i F^{ii}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &\geq \frac{|\nabla u|^2 \rho^2}{648n} - \frac{\left| \frac{\partial\psi}{\partial t} \right|}{|\nabla u|^2} \frac{LK^2}{\epsilon} \rho^2 |\nabla u|^2 - \frac{|d^H\psi|}{|\nabla u|^3} \frac{LK^2}{\epsilon} \rho^2 |\nabla u|^2 - \frac{\left| \frac{\partial\psi}{\partial\omega} \right|}{|\nabla u|} \frac{LK}{3\epsilon} \rho^2 |\nabla u|^2 \\
&\quad - \frac{\left| \frac{\partial\psi}{\partial\omega} \right|}{|\nabla u|} \frac{2LK^2}{r\epsilon} \rho |\nabla u| - \frac{|\nabla\chi|}{\rho|\nabla u|} \rho^3 K^2 + \left\{ \inf_{\substack{|\xi|=1 \\ |\eta|=1}} R_{\xi\eta\xi\eta} \right\}^- K^2 - \frac{|\chi|^2}{\rho^2 |\nabla u|^2} K^2 \\
&\quad - \frac{K}{3} \sup_{|\xi|=1} \chi(\xi, \xi) - \frac{K^2}{r^2} \left(28 + \sup_{|\xi|=1} \nabla^2 d^2(\xi, \xi) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

So we can obtain the interior gradient estimate under assumption (1.4).

2.2. Case 2. $\lambda(\chi_u) \in \partial\Gamma$.

We consider Equation (1.2) instead.

From (1.3),

$$\sum_{i,j} \tilde{F}^{ij}(\chi_u + tg) g_{ij} > h' \circ F(\chi_u + tg) \frac{\epsilon}{L}$$

for $t > 0$. Let $t \rightarrow 0$,

$$\sum_{i,j} \tilde{F}^{ij} g_{ij} \geq h'(\psi) \frac{\epsilon}{L}.$$

Multiplying (2.4) by \tilde{F}^{ii} and summing these terms over index i ,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\geq \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{648nK^2} \sum_i \tilde{F}^{ii} - h'(\psi) \left| \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} \right| - h'(\psi) \frac{|d^H \psi|}{|\nabla u|} - h'(\psi) \frac{|\nabla u|}{3K} \left| \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \omega} \right| - \frac{2h'(\psi)}{r\rho} \left| \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \omega} \right| \\ &\quad - \frac{|\nabla \chi|}{|\nabla u|} \sum_i \tilde{F}^{ii} + \inf_{\substack{|\xi|=1 \\ |\eta|=1}} R_{\xi\eta\xi\eta} \sum_i \tilde{F}^{ii} - \frac{|\chi|^2}{|\nabla u|^2} \sum_i \tilde{F}^{ii} - \frac{1}{3K} \sup_{|\xi|=1} \chi(\xi, \xi) \sum_i \tilde{F}^{ii} \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{r^2\rho^2} \left(28 + \sup_{|\xi|=1} \nabla^2 d^2(\xi, \xi) \right) \sum_i \tilde{F}^{ii}. \end{aligned}$$

If $h'(\psi) = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\geq \frac{|\nabla u|^2 \rho^2}{648n} - \frac{|\nabla \chi| \rho^2}{|\nabla u|} + \rho^2 K^2 \left\{ \inf_{\substack{|\xi|=1 \\ |\eta|=1}} R_{\xi\eta\xi\eta} \right\}^- - \frac{\rho^2 |\chi|^2 K^2}{|\nabla u|^2} \\ &\quad - \frac{\rho^2 K}{3} \sup_{|\xi|=1} \chi(\xi, \xi) - \frac{K^2}{r^2} \left(28 + \sup_{|\xi|=1} \nabla^2 d^2(\xi, \xi) \right); \end{aligned}$$

if $h'(\psi) > 0$, we can again obtain (2.1).

3. THE GRADIENT ESTIMATE ON \mathbb{R}^n

We shall consider the function

$$G(x) := \frac{1}{2} \ln |Du|^2 + \varphi(u) + \ln \rho(x),$$

where

$$\varphi(u) = -\frac{1}{3} \ln(2K - u), \quad \text{for } K = \sup_{B_r(0)} |u|,$$

and

$$\rho(x) = \left(1 - \frac{|x|^2}{r^2} \right)^+.$$

Suppose that G attains its maximal value at some point $p \in B_r(0)$. For convenience, we choose a set of coordinates $\mathbf{x} = (x^1, \dots, x^n)$ so that D^2u is diagonal at p and $u_{11} \geq \dots \geq u_{nn}$. Therefore, at point p ,

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{(|Du|^2)_i}{|Du|^2} + \varphi' u_i + \frac{\rho_i}{\rho} = 0, \quad (3.1)$$

and

$$\frac{(|Du|^2)_{ii}}{2|Du|^2} - \frac{(|Du|^2)_i (|Du|^2)_i}{2|Du|^4} + \varphi'' u_i u_i + \varphi' u_{ii} + \frac{\rho_{ii}}{\rho} - \frac{\rho_i \rho_i}{\rho^2} \leq 0. \quad (3.2)$$

From (3.1), in another set of coordinates $\mathbf{y} = (y^1, \dots, y^n)$, $u_{y^1} = |Du|$ and

$$u_{y^1 y^i} = -|Du| \left(\varphi' u_{y^i} - \frac{2y_i}{r^2 \rho} \right).$$

We assume that at point p , $|Du|\rho > \frac{36K}{r}$. Then,

$$u_{nn} \leq -\varphi'|Du|^2 + \frac{2y_1|Du|}{r^2\rho} \leq -\frac{|Du|^2}{18K}. \quad (3.3)$$

Similar to Section 2, there are two cases to deal with.

3.1. Case 1. $\lambda(D^2u) \in \Gamma$.

From (3.2),

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\geq \frac{1}{2|Du|^2} \sum_i F^{ii}(|Du|^2)_{ii} - \frac{1}{2|Du|^4} \sum_i F^{ii}(|Du|^2)_i(|Du|^2)_i \\ &\quad + \varphi'' \sum_i F^{ii}u_iu_i + \varphi' \sum_i F^{ii}u_{ii} + \frac{1}{\rho} \sum_i F^{ii}\rho_{ii} - \frac{1}{\rho^2} \sum_i F^{ii}\rho_i\rho_i \\ &\geq -\frac{|D_{\mathbf{x}}\psi|}{|Du|} + \psi_u - \frac{1}{3K}|Du||D_{\mathbf{p}}\psi| - \frac{2}{r\rho}|D_{\mathbf{p}}\psi| + \frac{u_{nn}^2}{n|Du|^2} \sum_i F^{ii} - \frac{30}{r^2\rho^2} \sum_i F^{ii}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

Substituting (3.3) into (3.4),

$$0 \geq -\frac{|D_{\mathbf{x}}\psi|}{|Du|} + \psi_u - \frac{1}{3K}|Du||D_{\mathbf{p}}\psi| - \frac{2}{r\rho}|D_{\mathbf{p}}\psi| + \frac{|Du|^2}{324nK^2} \sum_i F^{ii} - \frac{30}{r^2\rho^2} \sum_i F^{ii}.$$

By Inequality (1.3),

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\geq -\frac{|D_{\mathbf{x}}\psi|}{|Du|} \frac{L}{\epsilon} \sum_i F^{ii} - |\psi_u| \frac{L}{\epsilon} \sum_i F^{ii} - \frac{1}{3K}|Du||D_{\mathbf{p}}\psi| \frac{L}{\epsilon} \sum_i F^{ii} - \frac{2}{r\rho}|D_{\mathbf{p}}\psi| \frac{L}{\epsilon} \sum_i F^{ii} \\ &\quad + \frac{|Du|^2}{324nK^2} \sum_i F^{ii} - \frac{30}{r^2\rho^2} \sum_i F^{ii}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

Dividing (3.5) by $\frac{\sum_i F^{ii}}{\rho^2 K^2}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|\psi_u|}{|Du|^2} \frac{LK^2}{\epsilon} |Du|^2 \rho^2 + \frac{|D_{\mathbf{p}}\psi|}{|Du|} \frac{KL}{3\epsilon} |Du|^2 \rho^2 + \frac{|D_{\mathbf{p}}\psi|}{|Du|} \frac{2LK^2}{r\epsilon} |Du|\rho \\ + \frac{|D_{\mathbf{x}}\psi|}{|Du|^3} \frac{LK^2}{\epsilon} |Du|^2 \rho^2 + \frac{30K^2}{r^2} \geq \frac{|Du|^2 \rho^2}{324n}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

So we are able to obtain the interior gradient estimate under (1.2).

3.2. Case 2. $\lambda(D^2u) \in \partial\Gamma$.

We consider an equivalent equation

$$\tilde{F}(D^2u) = h \circ \psi(x, u, Du).$$

Multiplying (2.4) by \tilde{F}^{ii} and summing these terms over index i ,

$$0 \geq -\frac{h'|D_{\mathbf{x}}\psi|}{|Du|} + h'\psi_u - \frac{h'}{3K}|Du||D_{\mathbf{p}}\psi| - \frac{2h'}{r\rho}|D_{\mathbf{p}}\psi| + \frac{u_{nn}^2}{n|Du|^2} \sum_i \tilde{F}^{ii} - \frac{30}{r^2\rho^2} \sum_i \tilde{F}^{ii}.$$

If $h'(\psi) = 0$,

$$\frac{30}{r^2} \geq \frac{|Du|^2 \rho^2}{324nK^2};$$

if $h'(\psi) > 0$, we can again obtain (3.6).

3.3. A particular case. ψ is constant.

From (3.4) and (3.2), we have

$$\frac{30K^2}{r^2} \geq \frac{|Du|^2 \rho^2}{324n},$$

and hence

$$|Du|\rho \leq 99\sqrt{n}\frac{K}{r}.$$

Acknowledgements The author wish to thank Chengjian Yao, Bo Guan and Zhenan Sui for discussions and comments. The author is supported by a start-up grant from ShanghaiTech University.

REFERENCES

- [1] Caffarelli, L. A., Nirenberg, L., Spruck, J. : The Dirichlet problem for nonlinear second-order elliptic equations III: Functions of eigenvalues of the Hessians. *Acta Math.* **155**, 261–301 (1985).
- [2] Chen C.-Q. : The interior gradient estimate of Hessian quotient equations. *J. Differ. Equ.* **259**, 1014–1023 (2015).
- [3] Chou, K.-S., Wang, X.-J. : A variational theory of the Hessian equation. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **54** (9), 1029–1064 (2001).
- [4] Guan, B. : Second order estimates and regularity for fully nonlinear elliptic equations on Riemannian manifolds. *Duke Math. J.* **163**, 1491–1524 (2014).
- [5] Guan, B. : The Dirichlet problem for fully nonlinear elliptic equations on Riemannian manifolds. *Adv. Math.* **415**, 108899 (2023).
- [6] Sui, Z., Sun, W. : Interior Gradient Estimates for General Prescribed Curvature Equations. To appear in *Anal. Theory Appl.*
- [7] Sui, Z., Sun, W. : Interior gradient estimates for prescribed curvature equations in hyperbolic space Preprint.
- [8] Székelyhidi, G. : Fully non-linear elliptic equations on compact Hermitian manifolds. *J. Differential Geom.* **109** (2), 337–378 (2018).
- [9] Wang X.-J. : The k -Hessian equation. *Geometric Analysis and PDEs*, in: *Lecture Notes in Math.* **1977**, 177–252 (2009). Springer, Dordrecht.

SHANGHAI TECH UNIVERSITY,
Email address: sunwei@shanghaitech.edu.cn