

Kinetic equilibria of relativistic collisionless plasmas in the presence of non-stationary electromagnetic fields

Claudio Cremaschini^a, Massimo Tessarotto^{b,a} and Zdeněk Stuchlík^a

^a*Institute of Physics, Faculty of Philosophy and Science,*

Silesian University in Opava, Bezručovo nám.13, CZ-74601 Opava, Czech Republic

^b*Department of Mathematics and Geosciences, University of Trieste, Via Valerio 12, 34127 Trieste, Italy*

(Dated: June 21, 2023)

The kinetic description of relativistic plasmas in the presence of time-varying and spatially non-uniform electromagnetic fields is a fundamental theoretical issue both in astrophysics and plasma physics. This refers, in particular, to the treatment of collisionless and strongly-magnetized plasmas in the presence of intense radiation sources. In this paper the problem is investigated in the framework of a covariant gyrokinetic treatment for Vlasov-Maxwell equilibria. The existence of a new class of kinetic equilibria is pointed out, which occur for spatially-symmetric systems. These equilibria are shown to exist in the presence of non-uniform background EM fields and curved space-time. In the non-relativistic limit this feature permits the determination of kinetic equilibria even for plasmas in which particle energy is not conserved due to the occurrence of explicitly time-dependent EM fields. Finally, absolute stability criteria are established which apply in the case of infinitesimal symmetric perturbations that can be either externally or internally produced.

PACS numbers: 52.25.Xz, 52.27.Ny, 52.30.Gz, 52.35.-g

INTRODUCTION

This paper deals with two critical aspects of relativistic theoretical astrophysics and plasma kinetic theory. The first one concerns the formulation of a non-perturbative covariant gyrokinetic theory for the description of relativistic single-particle dynamics in curved space-time and non-uniform electromagnetic fields. The gyrokinetic theory developed here applies in the presence of both time- and space-varying electromagnetic fields, e.g., due to radiation sources, and improves previous literature treatments with the implementation of extended phase-space guiding-center transformations of particle position and velocity 4-vectors. It is shown that the single-particle magnetic moment associated with the Larmor rotation of single charges around magnetic field lines is conserved as an integral of motion under suitable assumptions and can be also determined as an adiabatic invariant in principle with arbitrary accuracy. The second issue addressed is related to the proof of existence of relativistic kinetic equilibria for multi-species collisionless and magnetized plasmas in spatially-symmetric configurations subject to non-stationary electromagnetic fields. It is shown that equilibria of this type can be realized in terms of Gaussian-like distributions as a consequence of the conservation of the particle magnetic moment, and they are absolutely stable with respect to infinitesimal axisymmetric perturbations.

For a start it is worth setting these topics in the proper physical perspective. Indeed, the theory of kinetic equilibria in collisionless magnetized plasmas presents in many aspects a formidable theoretical challenge still to be tackled.

An occurrence of this type is related to the experimental evidence, both in laboratory and astrophysical

plasmas, of kinetic plasma regimes which persist for long times (with respect to the observer and/or plasma characteristic times), despite the presence of macroscopic time-varying phenomena due to flows, non-uniform gravitational/EM fields and EM radiation, such as that arising from single-particle radiation-reaction processes [1, 2]. The conjecture is that, for collisionless plasmas, these states might actually correspond - at least locally and in a suitable asymptotic sense - to some kind of kinetic equilibrium which characterizes the species kinetic distribution function (KDF). This is realized when the species KDFs are all assumed to be functions only of the single particle exact and/or adiabatic invariants. It must be noticed that, in the framework of a covariant description, equilibrium solutions of the Vlasov equation can correspond to time-varying configurations relative to a coordinate time, for example when referred to a non-relativistic inertial reference frame, as can be the observer laboratory frame. As a consequence of this definition, it follows that the concept of relativistic kinetic equilibrium is not at variance with the presence of time-varying phenomena.

In this regard, a relevant issue concerns the possible effect of non-uniform, i.e., both space and time varying, electromagnetic (EM) fields acting on magnetized plasmas in the presence of some kind of spatial symmetry. This refers in particular to possible explicit time dependences arising in plasmas which can be treated as non-relativistic and are endowed with a characteristic time-scale Δt much larger than the Larmor time τ_L when observed in a suitable non-relativistic reference system. In particular, in the case of non-relativistic laboratory plasmas this may be identified with the laboratory inertial frame. Instead, for astrophysical plasmas that are characterized by non-relativistic temperature, a convenient

alternative choice may be provided by the fluid co-moving frame, which is locally at rest with respect to the fluid element associated with the plasma (the plasma may still be relativistic when seen from an observer's inertial frame). In both cases Δt can be defined as $\Delta t = L/v_{th}$, where L is the characteristic scale-length associated with the fluid fields of the plasma, while $v_{th} = \sqrt{2T/M}$ is the ion thermal velocity for an ion-electron plasma, with T and M being respectively the ion temperature and mass.

However, both for laboratory and astrophysical plasmas, more general plasma regimes can in principle occur, in which the charged particles of the plasma are characterized by relativistic velocities. Configurations of this type can arise, for instance, when intense external radiation sources are present in strongly magnetized plasmas [3–7]. In astrophysics, an epitome example is provided by the complex phenomenology associated with accretion disc plasmas around compact objects, possibly associated with the simultaneous occurrence of relativistic jets, which may be characterized by the presence of time-varying EM fields, curved space-time as well as plasma flows [8–13]. In the literature, achievements concerning the theoretical investigations of both equilibrium and stability properties of relativistic plasmas of this type have been obtained based on fluid approaches. Examples are provided by Refs.[14–21]. However, a theoretical treatment of these phenomena in the context of kinetic theory remains unsatisfactory to date, because of the difficulty of identifying the appropriate kinetic regimes. In fact, at the microscopic level both single-particle energy and magnetic moment might become non-conserved dynamical variables. In such a case it is not known whether the theory of single-particle dynamics based on gyrokinetic theory (GKT) [22] and in particular its extension in the presence of flows [23–27] still apply. Under the circumstances, the very existence of kinetic equilibria remains dubious, because of the possible absence of single-particle adiabatic invariants which can survive in such a case.

Incidentally, it must be noted that in the customary non-relativistic formulation of GKT, the possible inclusion of explicitly time-varying EM fields is usually treated at most in asymptotic way and invoking “ad hoc” assumptions on their time dependences [28]. Typical examples [24, 29, 30] are provided by the treatment of small-amplitude and high-frequency perturbations, having characteristic time-scales and scale-lengths intermediate with respect to τ_L and Δt , and r_L and L , with r_L being the Larmor radius. However, in the relativistic context space and time must be treated on equal footing, so that invariant scales must be introduced preliminarily (see discussion below). Then, the issue becomes whether suitably-large fluctuations of the EM 4-potential (associated with the background EM fields) can be admitted on such scales.

The background to the present study is provided by the kinetic theory recently established in Refs. [25–27, 30–

35] regarding non-relativistic kinetic equilibria and their stability properties in the case of collisionless magnetized plasmas subject to stationary or quasi-stationary EM and gravitational fields. For this purpose, the method relying on the use of particle invariants was adopted. Based on the identification of the relevant plasma regimes [31], the approach allows for the implementation of a perturbative technique via suitable expansions of the adiabatic invariants and, in turn, explicit representations of the equilibrium kinetic distribution functions (KDFs) holding in such cases. In particular, the conservation of the magnetic moment was found to be related to temperature anisotropies in the equilibrium KDF, producing in turn current flows and giving rise to kinetic dynamo effects which lead to the self-generation of equilibrium magnetic fields. The discovery of such a kinetic dynamo mechanism is relevant, as it can in principle also operate in combination with other alternative generation effects of different nature, whose existence has been recently pointed out in Refs.[36–38].

These conclusions were found to be ubiquitous, applying both to weakly or strongly differentially-rotating axisymmetric astrophysical and laboratory systems as well as spatially non-symmetric kinetic equilibria. In recent developments, in addition, a further class of axisymmetric kinetic equilibria has been pointed out which are independent of single-particle energy [39]. In these solutions the KDF is considered as a function of the magnetic moment and the conserved canonical momentum only. Remarkably, because of the absence of energy dependences, the latter type of equilibria are absolutely stable with respect to infinitesimal axisymmetric EM perturbations.

The goal of the present study is to investigate the possible extension of these conclusions to relativistic plasmas characterized also by the simultaneous presence of time-varying EM fields, in the sense specified above. In detail, the scheme of the paper is as follows. Section 2 deals with the construction of the extended gyrokinetic transformation which permits to obtain a non-perturbative representation of the relativistic particle magnetic moment. In Section 3 a covariant perturbative treatment is developed in terms of a Larmor-radius expansion, permitting to obtain an asymptotic representation of the magnetic moment and to display the relationship between the relativistic and non-relativistic GKTs. In Section 4 the proof of existence of relativistic kinetic equilibria in axisymmetric configurations is given, which are defined also in the presence of time-varying EM fields. Section 5 then reports on the stability properties of these relativistic equilibria, proving analytically the validity of absolute stability criteria holding independent of the precise representation of the equilibrium plasma distribution function. Finally, concluding remarks are given in Section 6.

EXTENDED GYROKINETIC TRANSFORMATION

A prerequisite for the analysis reported here is to establish the adiabatic conservation properties of the particle magnetic moment, when radiation-reaction effects are ignored [40, 41]. For this purpose, a covariant formulation of gyrokinetics is adopted here, to describe a plasma which is magnetized, in the sense that everywhere in the system $E^2 - H^2 < 0$, with E and H denoting the eigenvalues of the Faraday tensor. For definiteness, the background metric tensor $g_{\mu\nu}(r)$ is considered prescribed, with r denoting the dependence in terms of the 4-position vector r^μ .

The relativistic formulation of GKT then requires introducing an extended gyrokinetic (GK) transformation of the 8-dimensional particle state $\mathbf{x} \equiv (r^\mu, u^\mu)$, with r^μ and $u^\mu \equiv \frac{dr^\mu}{ds}$ being the particle 4-position and 4-velocity, and with s being the particle proper-time. This is realized by a diffeomorphism of the form [42, 43]

$$\mathbf{x} \equiv (r^\mu, u^\mu) \longrightarrow \mathbf{z}' \equiv (\mathbf{y}', \phi'), \quad (1)$$

where ϕ' is a suitable gyrophase angle and \mathbf{z}' is constructed in such a way that its equations of motion are gyrophase independent, namely $\frac{d\mathbf{z}'}{ds} \equiv \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{y}', s)$, where \mathbf{F} is a suitable vector field. In this regard, we notice that the theory developed in Refs.[42, 43] can be re-formulated in such a way to apply in principle also in a non-asymptotic sense.

Generalizing the non-relativistic approach developed in Ref.[32], this is achieved in terms of an extended guiding-center transformation of the form

$$\begin{aligned} r^\mu &= r'^\mu + \rho_1'^\mu, \\ u^\mu &= u'^\mu \oplus \nu_1'^\mu, \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

and then suitably-prescribing the vector \mathbf{y}' in terms of (r'^μ, u'^μ) . Here the notation is analogous to Refs.[42, 43]. In particular, r'^μ is the guiding-center position 4-vector, while primed quantities are all evaluated at r'^μ . Hence, $\rho_1'^\mu$ is referred to as the relativistic Larmor 4-vector, while \oplus denotes the relativistic composition law which must warrant that u^μ is a 4-velocity. Notice though that, on the rhs of Eq.(3), while u'^μ is a 4-velocity, in principle the 4-vector $\nu_1'^\mu$ is not necessarily required to be so.

We then introduce the orthogonal basis of unit 4-vectors $(a^\mu, b^\mu, c^\mu, d^\mu)$, with a^μ and (b^μ, c^μ, d^μ) being respectively time-like and space-like unit 4-vectors. By construction, the orientation of such a basis is generally arbitrary and only depends on the particle 4-position, so that it generally depends on 6 free parameters, corresponding to 3 pure space rotations and 3 space-time rotations (boosts). A particular choice of orientation is the one that associates the basis $(a^\mu, b^\mu, c^\mu, d^\mu)$ to the antisymmetric Faraday tensor $F_{\mu\nu}$, to be referred to in the following as EM-tetrad basis. Notice that the EM-tetrad

basis represents the natural covariant generalization of the magnetic-related triad system formed by the orthogonal right-handed unit 3-vectors $(\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_3 \equiv \mathbf{b})$ usually introduced in non-relativistic treatments [32]. The existence of the EM tetrad relies on the fact that any non-degenerate antisymmetric tensor $F_{\mu\nu}$ has necessarily two orthogonal invariant hyperplanes, which can be identified with the sets (a^μ, b^μ) and (c^μ, d^μ) . One can show that each of the two invariant hyperplanes has a single associated eigenvalue. In fact, assuming the signature of the metric tensor to be $\langle 1+, 3- \rangle$ and denoting with H and E the 4-scalar eigenvalues of $F_{\mu\nu}$, one has

$$F_{\mu\nu}a^\nu = Eb_\mu, \quad F_{\mu\nu}b^\nu = Ea_\mu, \quad (4)$$

$$F_{\mu\nu}c^\nu = -Hd_\mu, \quad F_{\mu\nu}d^\nu = Hc_\mu. \quad (5)$$

As a consequence of this formalism, in the EM-tetrad frame the Faraday tensor can be represented as

$$F_{\mu\nu} = H(c_\nu d_\mu - c_\mu d_\nu) + E(b_\mu a_\nu - b_\nu a_\mu). \quad (6)$$

Here it is assumed that both eigenvalues are non-vanishing. The physical meaning is that H and E coincide with the observable magnetic and electric field strengths in the reference frame where the electric and the magnetic fields are parallel. The velocity transformation law relating the EM-tetrad and the observer (laboratory) reference frame will be discussed below. For the moment we remark that the EM-tetrad is constructed locally and in such a way that locally the metric tensor $g_{\mu\nu}(r) \cong \eta_{\mu\nu}$ (condition of local flatness, in turn based on the Einstein principle of equivalence), so that in the EM-tetrad frame the contravariant and covariant basis $(a^\mu, b^\mu, c^\mu, d^\mu)$ and $(a_\mu, b_\mu, c_\mu, d_\mu)$ are related locally by means of the Minkowski tensor $\eta_{\mu\nu}$. More details on the definition of the EM tetrad reference frame can be found in Refs.[42, 43].

When u'^μ is projected on the guiding-center EM-basis, it determines the representation

$$u'^\mu \equiv u'_0 a'^\mu + u'_\parallel b'^\mu + w' [c'^\mu \cos \phi' + d'^\mu \sin \phi'], \quad (7)$$

where by construction

$$u'_0 = \sqrt{1 + u'^2_\parallel + w'^2}. \quad (8)$$

Analogous decompositions follow also for $\rho_1'^\mu$ and $\nu_1'^\mu$, which in general are expected to have non-vanishing components along all the directions of the basis. Thus, denoting

$$\langle h(\mathbf{z}') \rangle_{\phi'} \equiv \frac{1}{2\pi} \oint d\phi' h(\mathbf{z}') \quad (9)$$

as the gyrophase-averaging operator acting on a function $h(\mathbf{z}')$, $\rho_1'^\mu$ and $\nu_1'^\mu$ are assumed purely oscillatory by construction, so that $\langle \rho_1'^\mu \rangle_{\phi'} = \langle \nu_1'^\mu \rangle_{\phi'} = 0$, while r'^μ , u'_0 , u'_\parallel and w' are gyrophase-independent.

To obtain the particle phase-space extremal trajectory expressed via the GK state $\mathbf{z}' = \mathbf{z}'(s)$, the fundamental Lagrangian differential 1-form

$$\delta\mathcal{L}(r, dr, u) = (u_\mu + qA_\mu)dr^\mu \quad (10)$$

must be represented in terms of the same transformed state \mathbf{z}' . Here $q \equiv \frac{Z_j e}{M_{0j} c^2}$ is the normalized particle charge, with M_{0j} and $Z_j e$ being the rest-mass and charge of point-like particles belonging to the j th-species, while $A_\mu(r)$ is the EM 4-potential associated with the external EM field, which is assumed to be a smooth function of r^μ . Dropping for simplicity the particle species index j , the formal construction of GKT then follows by adopting for $\delta\mathcal{L}$ a suitable gauge representation [42]. Then, let us require that locally the transformation (1) is defined and the transformed differential form $\delta\mathcal{L}_1(z', dz')$ is gyrophase-independent, namely of the type

$$\delta\mathcal{L}_1(y', dy', d\phi') \equiv \mathcal{L}_1\left(y', \frac{dy'}{ds}, \frac{d\phi'}{ds}\right) ds, \quad (11)$$

with \mathcal{L}_1 being referred to as GK-Lagrangian.

As a consequence, the following non-perturbative representation is obtained for the relativistic particle magnetic moment m' :

$$m' = \left\langle \frac{\partial \rho_1'^\mu}{\partial \phi'} [(u'_\mu \oplus v'_{1\mu}) + qA_\mu] \right\rangle_{\phi'}. \quad (12)$$

The expression (12) is the covariant generalization of the analogous non-relativistic result obtained in Ref.[32]. A number of important qualitative features must be pointed out. First, by construction m' is a 4-scalar, so that its value is frame-independent. Second, consistent with non-relativistic theory, m' contains explicit dependences in terms of all the independent components of the 4-velocity u'^μ , namely u'_\parallel and w' . Finally, A_μ depends explicitly on the gyrophase via Eq.(2) here.

PERTURBATIVE THEORY

In this section we determine a suitable covariant perturbative theory for the analytical asymptotic treatment of the exact result obtained in the previous section. In particular, a perturbative representation of m' can be obtained “a posteriori” by Taylor-expanding $A_\mu(r)$ with respect to the Larmor radius $\rho_1'^\mu$. Standard perturbative methods can be adopted for this purpose [29, 42–44]. The expansion is performed invoking the following conditions:

1) The guiding-center 4-vector $\rho_1'^\mu$ is treated as an infinitesimal, i.e. all its components are infinitesimal of $O(\varepsilon)$ or are of higher-order, with $\varepsilon \ll 1$ being a suitable scalar and dimensionless parameter. This is identified with the invariant ratio

$$\varepsilon \equiv \frac{rL}{L} \ll 1, \quad (13)$$

where here

$$rL \equiv \sqrt{\rho_1'^\mu \rho_{1\mu}'}. \quad (14)$$

In addition, L is the invariant length-scale parameter defined as

$$\frac{1}{L^2} \equiv \sup \left[\frac{1}{\Lambda^2} \partial_\mu \Lambda \partial^\mu \Lambda \right], \quad (15)$$

with $\Lambda(r)$ denoting either the set of scalar fields $E^2 - H^2$ or the Kretschmer scalar $K \equiv R^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} R_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}$ associated with the metric tensor. A plasma characterized by the asymptotic ordering $\varepsilon \ll 1$ will be referred to as strongly-magnetized.

2) The 4-vector potential $A_\mu \equiv A_\mu(r)$ and the metric tensor $g_{\mu\nu} = g_{\mu\nu}(r)$ are both analytic functions which can exhibit fast space and time dependences, in the sense that their Taylor expansions with respect to the “Larmor radius” $\rho_1'^\mu$, to be performed around r'^μ [see Eq.(2)], still converge asymptotically, in the sense that everywhere

$$A_\mu(r') - A_\mu(r) \sim O(\varepsilon)A_\mu(r), \quad (16)$$

$$g_{\mu\nu}(r') - g_{\mu\nu}(r) \sim O(\varepsilon)g_{\mu\nu}(r). \quad (17)$$

Hence, both the 4-vector potential and the metric tensor vary on the same scale L , so that they can be denoted as strongly non-uniform.

Thanks to these assumptions, upon carrying out the perturbative expansion to first order in ε , it follows that Eq.(12) allows one to determine the magnetic moment to the same accuracy, yielding $m' = \mu' [1 + O(\varepsilon)]$, where

$$\mu' = \frac{w'^2}{2qH'}, \quad (18)$$

with H' denoting the corresponding guiding-center eigenvalue. Similarly, one finds that to the leading-order, the relativistic Larmor radius in the EM tetrad becomes $\rho_1'^\mu = r_1'^\mu [1 + O(\varepsilon)]$, where

$$r_1'^\mu = \frac{w'}{qH'} (c'^\mu \cos \phi' - d'^\mu \sin \phi'). \quad (19)$$

Let us analyze the implications of these results. First, the magnetic moment has been established to be an adiabatic invariant, which in principle can be calculated by means of Eq.(12) to arbitrary order of accuracy in terms of a power series expansion with respect to ε . Manifestly, the present theory holds also in the non-relativistic limit in which $u'_0 \cong 1$, $|u'_\parallel|, |w'| \ll 1$ and the space-time can be treated as locally-flat on the scale L . Remarkably, due to the arbitrariness in the choice of the functional dependences carried by the EM 4-potential, this means that both the electrostatic and vector potentials (Φ, \mathbf{A}) are allowed in principle to have finite space and time dependences, namely to be of the form $\Phi = \Phi(\mathbf{r}, t)$ and $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}, t)$.

The basic consequence is that GKT applies in all such conditions, so that the magnetic moment is necessarily preserved as an adiabatic invariant under much more general conditions than usually believed. The conclusion is that, to retain properly explicit time-dependences of the EM field, a proper formulation of the GK and guiding-center transformations requires generally the adoption of a relativistic treatment involving extended phase-space transformations of the type (1)-(3).

The reason why a covariant formulation of GKT is necessarily required can be easily understood. In fact, it must be remarked that the reference frame that is at rest with the EM tetrad (EM tetrad frame) is the one in which the $(\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B})$ -drift velocity \mathbf{V}_E vanishes by definition. In the case of a flat space-time, the latter is given by

$$\mathbf{V}_E = c \frac{\mathbf{W}}{2W^2} \left(1 - \sqrt{1 - 4W^2} \right), \quad (20)$$

where $\mathbf{W} \equiv \frac{\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B}}{B^2 + E^2}$ [45], and here \mathbf{E} and \mathbf{B} are the EM fields measured in the laboratory reference frame. Therefore, if in such a frame

$$\frac{|\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B}|}{B^2} \sim O(1), \quad (21)$$

then \mathbf{V}_E becomes relativistic, with \mathbf{E} and \mathbf{B} - and hence also \mathbf{V}_E - still allowed to exhibit also fast space and time dependences in the sense indicated above [see Eq.(16)]. In such a case, the appropriate GK treatment required for single-particle dynamics is actually expected to be the relativistic one adopted here. Indeed, when the previous orderings (16) and (21) apply: 1) the transformation between the laboratory and the EM tetrad reference frames is necessarily a relativistic one; 2) \mathbf{V}_E is strongly non-uniform. Analogous conclusions hold in the case of curved space-time. As a result, in all cases particle dynamics may in general be expected to be relativistic in the tetrad frame.

RELATIVISTIC KINETIC EQUILIBRIA

For the construction of kinetic equilibria in collisionless magnetized plasmas, besides the magnetic moment m' , the identification of additional exact or adiabatic particle invariants is generally required. In the case of non-stationary EM fields, it means that there is no coordinate system in which the Faraday tensor admits an ignorable time-like coordinate. Nevertheless, in such a case a possible occurrence is related to the existence of spatial symmetries associated with the space-like coordinates that have to be properly identified in a suitable reference frame. These symmetries may characterize simultaneously both the Vlasov-Maxwell system and the background metric tensor. For an illustration of the issue, we consider here the case in which a symmetry of this type

exists in an arbitrary EM tetrad frame. Then the conjugate momentum is necessarily conserved. This property is manifestly frame independent, so that it actually must hold also in an arbitrary coordinate system different from the previous EM-tetrad basis. In such a transformed reference frame the corresponding conserved canonical momentum and particle magnetic moment must be suitably related by means of the same coordinate-system transformation.

For the present treatment, it is therefore sufficient to require that the space-like coordinate Q is a symmetry coordinate in a prescribed EM tetrad-frame. Then, the same ordering conditions introduced above for the perturbative GK theory are invoked, dealing with the case of strongly-magnetized plasmas. It follows that, neglecting corrections of $O(\varepsilon)$, the GK coordinate $Q' \cong Q$ is still a symmetry-coordinate for these plasmas. Thus, requiring Q' to be ignorable for the GK Lagrangian \mathcal{L}_1 , the conjugate canonical momentum

$$P' = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_1}{\partial \left(\frac{dQ'}{ds} \right)} \quad (22)$$

is necessarily conserved. A non perturbative representation of P' analogous to Eq.(12) can in principle be directly reached. Hence, with respect to the EM tetrad frame, P' is conserved provided $\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_1}{\partial Q'} = 0$, namely both $g'_{\mu\nu}$ and A'_μ admit Q' as ignorable coordinate in such a frame. An example is provided by axisymmetry with respect to the coordinate $Q' = \varphi'$, in which case one finds that to the leading-order in ε the conjugate canonical momentum $P' = P_{\varphi'}$ is

$$P_{\varphi'} = \frac{\partial r'^\mu}{\partial \varphi'} \left[u'_0 a'_\mu + u'_\parallel b'_\mu + q A'_\mu \right], \quad (23)$$

with u'_0 being given above by Eq.(8). The key element shared by the two invariants m' and $P_{\varphi'}$ is that they are expressed in terms of the same independent velocity-space variables, namely u'_\parallel and w' . Nevertheless, explicit evaluation of $P_{\varphi'}$ requires the preliminary construction of the EM tetrad (for details see Refs.[42, 43]).

In the framework of the Vlasov-Maxwell statistical treatment the previous results permit us to establish at once the general form of relativistic kinetic equilibria. In fact, for the dynamical system associated with the Lagrangian \mathcal{L} and in the subset of phase-space in which $u^\mu u_\mu = 1$, the KDF $f = f(\mathbf{x})$ is a 4-scalar which obeys the covariant Vlasov equation

$$\frac{df(\mathbf{x}(s))}{ds} = u^\mu \frac{\partial f(\mathbf{x})}{\partial r^\mu} + \frac{F^\mu}{M_0} \frac{\partial f(\mathbf{x})}{\partial u^\mu} = 0, \quad (24)$$

where F^μ is the Lorentz force due to the external EM field. Therefore, provided there are no other conserved quantities, a particular solution is expressed as $f = f_*$,

with f_* being a non-negative function of the GK particle invariants only, namely

$$f_* = f_*(P_{\varphi'}, m'). \quad (25)$$

A KDF that is a function of non-trivial particle invariants only is referred to here as relativistic equilibrium KDF. Notice that, by construction, a KDF of this type applies to strongly-magnetized plasmas for which Q' is a symmetry coordinate in the EM tetrad and the magnetic moment m' can be treated according to the perturbative theory outlined above.

Inspection of Eq.(23) shows immediately that a necessary condition for f_* to be summable in velocity-space is that at least one of the scalar products $\frac{\partial r'^{\mu}}{\partial \varphi'} a'_{\mu}$ or $\frac{\partial r'^{\mu}}{\partial \varphi'} b'_{\mu}$ never vanishes in configuration space. Non-relativistic solutions of this type for stationary EM fields have been referred to in Ref.[39] as energy-independent kinetic equilibria. Indeed, in the non-relativistic limit the scalar product $\frac{\partial r'^{\mu}}{\partial \varphi'} a'_{\mu}$ vanishes, so that $P_{\varphi'}$ recovers its customary non-relativistic expression (see Refs.[26, 27]). In such a case a necessary condition for summability is that the scalar product $\frac{\partial r'^{\mu}}{\partial \varphi'} b'_{\mu}$ must not vanish (see also discussion in Ref.[39]).

It is interesting to provide an example of relativistic equilibrium KDF of the type (25) expressed in terms of a generalized Gaussian distribution [25–27, 30–34], namely

$$f_* = \beta_* e^{-P_{\varphi'}^2 \gamma_* - P_{\varphi'} \delta_* - m' \alpha_*}, \quad (26)$$

where the set of functions $\{\Lambda_*\} \equiv \{\beta_*, \gamma_*, \delta_*, \alpha_*\}$ are referred to as structure functions, which can be either constant or slowly-dependent on the same invariants, namely of the form $\Lambda_* = \Lambda_*(\varepsilon^k P_{\varphi'}, \varepsilon^k m')$ with $k \geq 1$. Notice that here the quadratic term with respect to the canonical momentum $P_{\varphi'}$ warrants the integrability of f_* also in the non-relativistic approximation.

ABSOLUTE STABILITY CRITERIA

Following the approach pointed out in Ref.[39] we now analyze the stability of relativistic kinetic equilibria of the generic type (25), with f_* to be assumed as analytic. For definiteness, we consider here a strongly-magnetized plasma subject to infinitesimal symmetric perturbations. By definitions, these are intended as perturbations which do not exhibit a dependence on the (unperturbed) GK coordinate Q' . Here it must be stressed in particular that the perturbations of the KDF are constructed: 1) with respect to an unperturbed EM tetrad frame; 2) in terms of the (related) unperturbed GK variables; and are assumed to hold 3) in the subset of phase-space in which GK theory converges asymptotically. As a consequence, in such a setting these perturbations leave unchanged the same symmetry property assumed for the GK Lagrangian \mathcal{L}_1 .

In principle, besides the KDF, these perturbations may involve both the EM fields and the background metric tensor. Denoting these perturbations respectively as δf , δA^{μ} and $\delta g_{\mu\nu}$, we shall assume that they are characterized by an invariant length-scale L_{osc} such that the dimensionless parameter $\lambda \equiv \frac{L_{osc}}{L}$ is ordered as

$$\varepsilon \ll \lambda \ll 1. \quad (27)$$

Here L_{osc} is defined as

$$\frac{1}{L_{osc}^2} \equiv \sup \left[\frac{1}{\delta \Lambda^2} \partial_{\mu} \delta \Lambda \partial^{\mu} \delta \Lambda \right], \quad (28)$$

with $\delta \Lambda(r)$ denoting the infinitesimal perturbations of the scalar fields $\Lambda(r)$. Under these conditions, both the canonical momentum P' and the magnetic moment m' remain invariants by construction, although their definition must be modified in accordance with the EM and gravitational perturbations (δA^{μ} , $\delta g_{\mu\nu}$) (see Ref.[42]).

It follows that f_* remains an equilibrium KDF, while the perturbation of the KDF δf is of the general form

$$\delta f = \delta f \left(\frac{y^{\mu}}{\lambda}, y^{\mu}, u^{\mu}, P', m' \right). \quad (29)$$

Here y^{μ} denotes the position 4-vector which in the EM tetrad frame can be treated, to the leading-order in ε and neglecting corrections of $O(\varepsilon)/O(\lambda)$, as being independent of the ignorable GK coordinate Q' . Notice that necessarily δf must satisfy the Vlasov equation in the Lagrangian form

$$\frac{d\delta f}{ds} = 0. \quad (30)$$

Under the condition of strongly-magnetized plasma, two cases can be distinguished, as in Ref.[39]. The first one corresponds to consider only externally-produced perturbations due to non-vanishing (δA^{μ} , $\delta g_{\mu\nu}$) occurring at the initial proper-time $s = s_0$ for which $\delta f(s_0) = 0$. In such a case the Vlasov equation requires identically that $\delta f(s) = 0$, which warrants stability of f_* . The second case is obtained by assuming that also $\delta f(s_0) \neq 0$ (internally-produced perturbations). Then, to the leading-order in λ one obtains

$$u^{\mu} \frac{\partial \delta f \left(\frac{y^{\mu}}{\lambda}, y^{\mu}, u^{\mu}, P', m' \right)}{\partial r^{\mu}} = 0, \quad (31)$$

where the partial derivative is performed at constant P' and m' . This means that δf cannot exhibit fast dependences of the type $\frac{r^{\mu}}{\lambda}$, so that it is actually of the form $\delta f = \widehat{\delta f}(y^{\mu}, u^{\mu}, P', m')$. To the next order in λ and neglecting similarly corrections of $O(\varepsilon)/O(\lambda)$, one finds also that $\widehat{\delta f}$ must satisfy identically the equation (24), where again the partial derivatives are performed at constant P' and m' . This implies that $\widehat{\delta f}$ itself must be a

constant of motion. In view of the previous requirement on the admissible conserved quantities, it follows that δf is necessarily an equilibrium solution of the form

$$\delta f = \delta f(P', m'). \quad (32)$$

Hence, it can always be absorbed in the definition of f_* , which is therefore again stable.

These conclusions provide absolute stability criteria for relativistic kinetic equilibria of the generic type (25), in the sense that they hold for arbitrary choices of the unperturbed KDF and of background EM and gravitational fields satisfying the assumptions indicated above.

CONCLUDING REMARKS

In summary, the notable implications of the GK theory developed in this paper are that relativistic kinetic equilibria of the type (25): 1) Exist provided the plasma is strongly-magnetized and is spatially-symmetric, with the latter property being considered here as realized in the EM tetrad frame. 2) Are consistent solutions of the relativistic Vlasov equation even in the presence of strongly non-stationary and strongly spatially non-uniform EM fields, together with a non-uniform background gravitational field, all permitted to vary on the same invariant scale L . In this regard, the functional form of the solution (25) departs from that considered in Ref.[46] where energy-conserving systems were treated. 3) Are absolutely stable with respect to infinitesimal symmetric perturbations which are either externally or internally produced.

It should be stressed that the present theory applies, of course, also in the special case in which plasma particles appear as non-relativistic when observed in the EM tetrad frame. As a result, the present formulation includes as a particular case the theory recently discussed in Ref.[39]. This means that the kinetic equilibria obtained here generalize the energy-independent kinetic equilibria earlier investigated [see Ref.[39]] to a broader class of non-uniform EM fields. The latter equilibria, in fact, were determined in the particular case of stationary background EM fields. Based on the present formulation, one can show that their validity can actually be extended to the case of strongly non-stationary EM field [in the sense of Eq.(16)]. The significant implication, besides the stability property pointed out above, is that in the presence of time-varying EM fields which are spatially-symmetric they represent the only possible class of physically-admissible kinetic equilibria. This feature might have important implications for the physical realization of these equilibrium configurations in astrophysical and/or laboratory systems.

These results provide a convenient framework for the kinetic treatment of both astrophysical and laboratory

plasmas immersed in non-stationary EM fields or intense radiation sources. The physical significance of this achievement is of potential interest. In fact, plasma kinetic equilibria of this kind persisting in radiation fields can also be expected to act as transmitters of the radiation. This possibility, together with the non-Maxwellian features which characterize intrinsically this kind of energy-independent solutions (see discussion in Ref.[39]), can be relevant for the correct interpretation of observational data in astrophysical scenarios where these conditions can be effectively realized, for example as far as the thermal properties of these plasmas are concerned.

Acknowledgments - Financial support by the Italian Foundation “Angelo Della Riccia” (Firenze, Italy) is acknowledged by C.C. Work developed within the research projects of the Czech Science Foundation GAČR grant 14-07753P (C.C.) and GAČR excellence grant 14-37086G (M.T. and Z.S.).

-
- [1] V.I. Berezhiani, R.D. Hazeltine and S.M. Mahajan, Phys. Rev. E **69**, 056406 (2004).
 - [2] C. Cremaschini and M. Tassarotto, Eur. Phys. J. Plus **126**, 42 (2011).
 - [3] J. Horák and V. Karas, Mon. Not. R. Ast. Soc. **365**, 813 (2006).
 - [4] V. Karas, Astronomische Nachrichten **327**, 961 (2006).
 - [5] D. Bini, R.T. Jantzen and L. Stella, Class. Quantum Grav. **26**, 055009 (2009).
 - [6] D. Bini, A. Geralico, R.T. Jantzen, O. Semerák and L. Stella, Class. Quantum Grav. **28**, 035008 (2011).
 - [7] D. Bini, M. Falanga, A. Geralico and L. Stella, Class. Quantum Grav. **29**, 065014 (2012).
 - [8] M. Dovčiak, F. Muleri, R.W. Goosmann, V. Karas and G. Matt, Mon. Not. R. Ast. Soc. **391**, 32 (2008).
 - [9] M. Dovčiak, F. Muleri, R.W. Goosmann, V. Karas and G. Matt, Astrophys. J. **731**, 75 (2011).
 - [10] M. Falanga, L. Kuiper, J. Poutanen, D.K. Galloway, E.W. Bonning, E. Bozzo, A. Goldwurm, W. Hermsen, L. Stella, Astron. Astrophys. **529**, A68 (2011).
 - [11] P. Esposito, G.L. Israel, R. Turolla, F. Mattana, A. Tiengo, A. Possenti, S. Zane, N. Rea, M. Burgay, D. Götz, S. Mereghetti, L. Stella, M.H. Wieringa, J.M. Sarkissian, T. Enoto, P. Romano, T. Sakamoto, Y.E. Nakagawa, K. Makishima, K. Nakazawa, H. Nishioka, C. François-Martin, Mon. Not. R. Ast. Soc. **416**, 205 (2011).
 - [12] M. Falanga, L. Kuiper, J. Poutanen, D.K. Galloway, E. Bozzo, A. Goldwurm, W. Hermsen and L. Stella, Astron. Astrophys. **545**, A26 (2012).
 - [13] Z. Stuchlík, J. Bicák and V. Balek, Gen. Rel. Grav. **31**, 53 (1999).
 - [14] S. Mahajan, Phys. Rev. Lett. **90**, 035001 (2003).
 - [15] A.R. Soto-Chavez, S.M. Mahajan and R.D. Hazeltine, Phys. Rev. E **81**, 026403 (2010).
 - [16] F.A. Asenjo, V. Muñoz, J.A. Valdivia and T. Hada, Phys. Plasmas **16**, 122108 (2009).
 - [17] J. Pino, H. Li and S. Mahajan, Phys. Plasmas **17**, 112112 (2010).
 - [18] J. Kovář, P. Slaný, Z. Stuchlík, V. Karas, C. Cremaschini

- and J.C. Miller, Phys. Rev. D **84**, 084002 (2011).
- [19] P. Slaný, J. Kovář, Z. Stuchlík and V. Karas, Astrophys. J. Suppl. **205**, 3 (2013).
- [20] E. Tejada, S. Mendoza and J.C. Miller, Mon. Not. R. Ast. Soc. **419**, 1431 (2012).
- [21] E. Tejada, P.A. Taylor and J.C. Miller, Mon. Not. R. Ast. Soc. **429**, 925 (2013).
- [22] R.G. Littlejohn, J. Plasma Phys. **29**, 111 (1983).
- [23] P.J. Catto, I.B. Bernstein and M. Tassarotto, Phys. Fluids B **30**, 2784 (1987).
- [24] A.J. Brizard, Phys. Plasmas **2**, 459 (1995).
- [25] C. Cremaschini, J.C. Miller and M. Tassarotto, Phys. Plasmas **17**, 072902 (2010).
- [26] C. Cremaschini, J.C. Miller and M. Tassarotto, Phys. Plasmas **18**, 062901 (2011).
- [27] C. Cremaschini and M. Tassarotto, Phys. Plasmas **18**, 112502 (2011).
- [28] A.M. Dimits, Phys. Plasmas **17**, 055901 (2010).
- [29] T.S. Hahm, W.W. Lee and A. Brizard, Phys. Fluids **31**, 1940 (1988).
- [30] C. Cremaschini, M. Tassarotto and J.C. Miller, Phys. Rev. Lett. **108**, 101101 (2012).
- [31] C. Cremaschini and M. Tassarotto, Phys. Plasmas **19**, 082905 (2012).
- [32] C. Cremaschini and M. Tassarotto, Phys. Plasmas **20**, 012901 (2013).
- [33] C. Cremaschini and Z. Stuchlík, Phys. Rev. E **87**, 043113 (2013).
- [34] C. Cremaschini, Z. Stuchlík and M. Tassarotto, Phys. Plasmas **20**, 052905 (2013).
- [35] C. Cremaschini, J. Kovář, P. Slaný, Z. Stuchlík and V. Karas, Astrophys. J. Suppl. **209**, 15 (2013).
- [36] S.M. Mahajan and Z. Yoshida, Phys. Rev. Lett. **105**, 095005 (2010).
- [37] S.M. Mahajan and Z. Yoshida, Phys. Plasmas **18**, 055701 (2011).
- [38] F.A. Asenjo, S.M. Mahajan and A. Qadir, Phys. Plasmas **20**, 022901 (2013).
- [39] C. Cremaschini, Z. Stuchlík and M. Tassarotto, Phys. Rev. E **88**, 033105 (2013).
- [40] R.D. Hazeltine and S.M. Mahajan, Phys. Rev. E **70**, 036404 (2004).
- [41] C. Cremaschini and M. Tassarotto, Phys. Rev. E **87**, 032107 (2013).
- [42] A. Beklemishev and M. Tassarotto, Phys. Plasmas **6**, 4487 (1999).
- [43] A. Beklemishev and M. Tassarotto, Astron. Astrophys. **428**, 1 (2004).
- [44] R.G. Littlejohn, Phys. Fluids **24**, 1730 (1981).
- [45] L.D. Landau and E.M. Lifschitz, *Field theory, Theoretical Physics Vol.2* (Addison-Wesley, N.Y., 1957).
- [46] V.V. Kocharovskiy, V.I. Kocharovskiy, V.Ju. Martyanov, Phys. Rev. Lett. **104**, 215002 (2010).