DIAGRAMS FOR VARIETIES OF MINIMAL RATIONAL TANGENTS ON THE WONDERFUL SYMMETRIC VARIETIES

SHIN-YOUNG KIM

ABSTRACT. We describe varieties of minimal rational tangents on the wonderful symmetric varieties by marked Dynkin diagrams. An irreducible component of a variety of minimal rational tangents is a rational homogeneous space, and hence, we have a corresponding marked Dynkin diagram expression. On the other hand, we have marked Dynkin diagrams from the marked Kac diagram of a irreducible symmetric space by marking adjacent nodes to the marked node. We note that the above two diagrams coincide when the restricted root system is not of type A.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let G be a simple Lie group and T be a maximal torus. Let B be a Borel subgroup and P^{α} be a maximal Parabolic subgroup associated with a simple root α . Then we get a marked Dynkin diagram associated with G/P^{α} which is a Dynkin diagram of G with a marking at the simple root α . For example, the marked Dynkin diagram associated with a Grassmannian $\operatorname{Gr}(k, n+1) = \operatorname{PSL}_{n+1} \mathbb{C}/P^{\alpha_k}$ follows.



Assume that α is a long simple root. Then, it is known that (See [7]) one can get the marked Dynkin diagram of the variety of minimal rational tangents, variety of lines, of G/P^{α} from the marked Dynkin diagram associated with G/P^{α} by marking the adjacent nodes to the marked node and remove the previous marking and connected edges. For example, the variety of minimal rational tangents $\mathbb{P}^{k-1} \times \mathbb{P}^{l-k-1}$ in Gr(k, n+1) can be expressed by the following marked Dynkin diagram.

Our question is roughly "Can we describe the variety of minimal rational tangents of a wonderful symmetric variety only from the Kac diagram at a general point?" and we will give an positive answer in this paper, except some cases. When the question is true, our guess is that the irreducible wonderful symmetric variety might be a negative example for the characterization problem: "Is the variety of minimal rational tangents of a wonderful symmetric variety determine the whole variety?". It is because for a symmetric homogeneous space, we need not only the Kac diagram but also the Satake diagram.

Let X be a projective uniruled algebraic variety over complex numbers. An irreducible family of rational curves \mathcal{K} is called a covering family if \mathcal{K}_p is non-empty for general $p \in X$. A covering family \mathcal{K} is called minimal if \mathcal{K}_p is projective for general $p \in X$. The image C of parameterized rational curve $f: \mathbb{P}^1 \to X$ is called free if f^*TX is globally generated and the image C is called embedded if f is an immersion. The rational map $\tau_p: \mathcal{K}_p \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}(T_pX)$ is defined at an smooth embedded rational curve C which maps to the tangent direction. Assuming that \mathcal{K} is minimal, then the closure \mathcal{C}_p of the image of this tangential map τ_x is called the variety of minimal rational tangents at p. We refer to [9] and [6] for more details about rational curves and varieties of minimal rational tangents.

Let G be a simple Lie group, σ a group involution, and the H a σ -fixed subgroup. Let $G_{ad} = G/Z(G)$ be the *adjoint group* of G. Then, we have isomorphism $G/H = G_{ad}/G_{ad}^{\sigma}$ and we can call G/H an (*irreducible*) adjoint symmetric space. The classification of the irreducible adjoint symmetric space is very classical and goes back to Cartan. If an adjoint symmetric space G/H is

Date: December 18, 2023.

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 14H10, 14M27.

given, we get a marked Kac diagram (see section 2, [11, Section 26.5], and also [8], [10, Chapter 4.4.7]). The adjoint symmetric space G/H admits a unique smooth G-equivariant embedding X with a simple normal crossing boundary divisor due to [4]. We call X the wonderful compactification of the symmetric variety G/H. Since G is rational, X is unirational. Let \mathcal{K} be a family of minimal rational curves on X and \mathcal{C} a corresponding variety of minimal rational tangents on X. By [2, Theorem 5.1], we see that \mathcal{K}_p is smooth and the tangential map $\tau_p \colon \mathcal{K}_p \to \mathcal{C}_p$ is an isomorphism at a general point $p \in X$.

Let \mathfrak{g} and \mathfrak{h} be the Lie algebras of G and H. We note the decomposition of \mathfrak{g} into H-representations $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{p}$, where $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{g}^{\sigma}$ is the *adjoint representation*, and $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{g}^{-\sigma}$ is the *isotropy representation*. Let x be a highest weight vector of the isotropy representation \mathfrak{p} . Then, $Z := H.[x] \subset \mathbb{P}\mathfrak{p}$ is a homogeneous Legendrian submanifold of $M := G.[x] \subset \mathbb{P}\mathfrak{g}$ with respect to the anti-symmetric bilinear form B_x on \mathfrak{g} defined by $B_x(y, z) := B(x, [y, z])$ ([2, Proposition 4.34]). This anti-symmetric bilinear form is the Kostant-Kirillov form after identifying \mathfrak{g} with the dual \mathfrak{g}^{\vee} . Hence, we call Z a Legendrian H-orbit.

Then, by [1, Theorem 1.1] and [2, Proposition 4.34, Theorem 4.42, Theorem 4.43], we have the following:

Theorem 1 (Theorem 14). Let X be the wonderful compactification of an irreducible adjoint symmetric space G/H. Let $\mathcal{C}_p \subset \mathbb{P}(T_pX)$ be a variety of minimal rational tangents at the base point $p \in X$. If the restricted root system of G/H is not of type A, then $\mathcal{C}_p \subset \mathbb{P}(T_pX)$ is isomorphic to a Legendrian H-orbit $Z \subset \mathbb{P}p$

For the definition of the restricted root system, see Section 3.1. We show that the Legendrian H-orbit Z can be recovered from the marked Kac diagram of the irreducible symmetric space G/H in Section 2. Hence, by Theorem 1 we have the following:

Theorem 2 (Theorem 16). Let X be the wonderful compactification of an irreducible adjoint symmetric homogeneous space G/H. Assume that the restricted root system is not of type A. Then, the marked Dynkin diagram of the variety of minimal rational tangents $C_p \subset \mathbb{P}(T_pX)$ at a general point p can be recovered from the marked Kac diagram of the open orbit G/H by marking the adjacent node to a white node as Section 2.3.

We also state some known facts with diagrams in Section 3 when the restricted root system of G/H is of type A. We have a partial result as follows: If the restricted root system of G/H is A_r for $r \geq 2$, then there exists folding from the Dynkin diagram of G to the Dynkin diagram of H and hence, the marked Dynkin diagram of the variety of minimal rational tangents $C_p \subset \mathbb{P}(T_pX)$ is folded into the marked Dynkin diagram of $Z \subset \mathbb{P}(\mathfrak{p})$. Hence, the marked Dynkin diagram of the variety of minimal rational tangents of the variety of minimal rational tangents of G/H.

Theorem 3 (Theorem 21). Let X be the wonderful compactification of an irreducible adjoint symmetric homogeneous space G/H. Assume that the restricted root system of G/H is A_r for $r \geq 2$. Then G/H is either $\operatorname{PGL}_{r+1} \times \operatorname{PGL}_{r+1} / \operatorname{PGL}_{r+1}$, $\operatorname{SL}_{2r+1} / \operatorname{O}_{2r+1}$, $\operatorname{SL}_{2n} / \operatorname{Sp}_{2n}$ or E_6/F_4 and the marked Dynkin diagram of the variety of minimal rational tangents $\mathcal{C}_p \subset \mathbb{P}(T_pX)$ at a general point p can be recovered from the marked Kac diagram of the open orbit G/H by marking the adjacent node to a white node as Section 2.3 and the folding process.

2. Marked Kac diagrams of Symmetric spaces and marked Dynkin diagrams of the H-orbit

2.1. Marked Kac diagrams. Let G be a semi-simple Lie group, σ be an involution on G. We call the σ -fixed subgroup H a symmetric subgroup H of G. Let $G_{ad} = G/Z(G)$ be the adjoint group of G. Then, we have isomorphism $G/H = G_{ad}/G_{ad}^{\sigma}$ and we can call G/H an adjoint symmetric space. By section 2.5 of [2], the adjoint symmetric space G/H decomposes into irreducible symmetric spaces of three types; group type, simple type, and Hermitian type.

- (1) Group type: $(H \times H)/diag(H)$, where H is a simple adjoint group.
- (2) Simple type: G/H, where G is simple and the neutral component H^0 is simple or decomposes into two simple factors.

(3) Hermitian type: $G/N_G(L)$, where G is simple adjoint and $L \subset G$ is a Levi subgroup.

Moreover, the Hermition type $G/N_G(L)$ is called *exceptional* if $N_G(L) = L$, and $G/N_G(L)$ is called *non-exceptional* otherwise.

From the simple affine Kac-Moody algebra \mathfrak{g} and the associated affine Dynkin diagram $D_l^{(k)}$ with numbering at each node in [8, page 44–46] where D_l denote the irreducible Dynkin diagram of \mathfrak{g} and k = 1, 2, 3 is the order of an automorphism group of \mathfrak{g} , we can get the marked Kac diagrams of the irreducible symmetric homogeneous spaces G/H in the following process. If $G/H = (H \times H)/diag(H)$ is of group type, choose the type D_l corresponding \mathfrak{h} and choose any node of $D_l^{(1)}$ numbered by 1 and mark the node by white and others by black, then we get the marked Kac diagram of $H \times H/diag(H)$. If G/H is of simple type, there exist two ways. First, choose the type D_l corresponding to the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} , choose any node of $D_l^{(1)}$ numbered by 2, and we mark the node by white and others by black. Second, choose the type D_l corresponding to the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} , choose a node of $D_l^{(2)}$ numbered by 1, and we mark the node by white and others by black. Then we get the marked Kac diagram of G/H. If $G/N_G(L)$ is of Hermitian type, choose the type D_l corresponding to the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} and choose two node of $D_l^{(1)}$ numbered by 1 and mark the nodes by white and others by black, then we get the marked Kac diagram of $G/N_G(L)$.

Let us define the marked Kac diagram of adjoint symmetric space in another way. Let T_H be a maximal torus of H and let $T = C_G(T_H)$ as the centralizer. Then we have $T^{\sigma,0} = T_H$ and T is a σ -stable maximal torus of G. We call this σ -stable maximal torus T a σ -stable maximal torus of fixed type. We can choose a σ -stable Borel subgroup B of G containing T. Let R be the root system of (G, T) and Δ be the set of simple roots. Then σ permutes the simple roots Δ . Let α be a root in R and e_α be a root vector in the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} of G. Let $\bar{\alpha} := \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \sigma(\alpha))$, $\bar{R} := \{\bar{\alpha} \in \mathfrak{X}(T) | \alpha \in A\}$ and $\bar{\Delta} := \{\bar{\alpha} \in \mathfrak{X}(T) | \alpha \in \Delta\}$. Then it is known that \bar{R} is a root system with basis $\bar{\Delta}$. We consider the H isotropy representation \mathfrak{p} . A weight vector of \mathfrak{p} with respect to the maximal torus $T^{\sigma,0}$ is one of the forms $2e_\alpha$ or $e_\alpha - e_{\sigma(\alpha)}$ with the weight $\bar{\alpha}$, depending on whether α is a non-compact imaginary root, that is, $\sigma(\alpha) = \alpha$ and $\sigma(e_\alpha) = -e_\alpha$, or a complex root, that is, $\sigma(\alpha)$ is neither α nor $-\alpha$, respectively. Among the simple roots in $\bar{\Delta}$, the set of simple roots of H and the lowest weights of \mathfrak{p} form a affine simple root system with a corresponding affine Dynkin diagram. If we mark the simple roots of H by black and the lowest weights of \mathfrak{p} by white, we get the marked Kac diagram of G/H. We listed the marked Kac diagrams in Table 1-3 and we follow [3] the notion of types of G/H.

If G/H is of the group type or of the simple type, \mathfrak{p} is an irreducible representation with respect to H^0 and hence with a unique highest weight. So the marked Kac diagram of G/H has a unique white node. When it is of the group type, there exist a unique adjacent node to the white node except A_n -type with $n \ge 2$ in which there are two adjacent nodes to the unique white node. When it is of the simple type, there exist a unique adjacent node to the white node except BI, CII, and DI type in which there exist two adjacent nodes. If G/H is of the Hermitian type, then $H = N_G(L)$ where L is a Levi-subgroup and \mathfrak{p} decomposes into two irreducible L-representations. There exist two highest weight vectors in \mathfrak{p} up to scalars and hence two closed L-orbits in $\mathbb{P}\mathfrak{p}$. We also note that $H^0 = L$ and $N_G(L)/L$ are either trivial or a finite group of order 2 generated by σ . If G/His exceptional, there are two isomorphic closed H-orbits and if G/H is non-exceptional, then the unique H-orbit is the union of the two closed L-orbits. In particular, we have two white nodes for the Hermitian type. If we choose one white node, there exist the unique adjacent simple root to the white node except AIII type in which there exist two adjacent nodes to the white node.

2.2. The *H*-orbit *Z*. Let G/H be an irreducible adjoint symmetric space. We consider the marked Kac diagram of G/H and the *H*-orbit $Z = H.[x] \subset \mathbb{P}\mathfrak{p}$ at a highest weight vector $x \in \mathfrak{p}$. In particular, we want to describe the homogeneous space $Z = H/H_{[x]}$ where $H_{[x]}$ is the isotropy subgroup of *H* at $[x] \in \mathbb{P}\mathfrak{p}$.

When G/H is of the group type, so that \mathfrak{p} is the adjoint representation of H and x is a highest root vector, then the next Lemma 4 follows essentially from [1, Lemma 3.3]. We give a complete proof and statement because the proof is more suitable to describe Z. We also use the arguments in Lemma 6 and Lemma 7.



TABLE 1. Marked Kac diagram of Group type

Lemma 4. Let G/H be an irreducible adjoint symmetric space of the group type except when $H = PGL_2(\mathbb{C})$. Let x be a highest weight vector of H-representation \mathfrak{p} . Then there exists a unique white node in the Kac diagram of G/H and the isotropy subgroup of H at $[x] \in \mathbb{P}\mathfrak{p}$ is isomorphic to (1) the maximal parabolic subgroup of H associated to the unique adjacent simple root α to the white node except in A-type. When G/H is of the A-type, the isotropy subgroup of H at [x] is isomorphic to (2) the intersection of two maximal parabolic subgroups associated with each of two adjacent simple roots to the white node.

Proof. We note that H is a simple group. By Borel's fixed point theorem, the isotropy subgroup at the highest weight vector $[x] \in \mathbb{P}\mathfrak{p}$ is a parabolic subgroup P of H. A conjugation of H that sends the Borel subgroup of H to the opposite Borel subgroup induces a map on \mathfrak{h} which sends the highest weight vector x to the lowest weight vector y. The opposite parabolic subgroup Q of P satisfies H[y] = H/Q. Since the lowest weight of y under H-representation on \mathfrak{p} is given by a white node in Kac diagram, let β be the simple root of G corresponding to the white node.

There exists one adjacent node to the white node except in A-type. For the A-type, there are two adjacent nodes to the white node. We call the corresponding simple root(s) of H as the adjacent root(s). Let $\Delta_1 = \{\alpha\}$ be the set of adjacent simple roots. If we choose an element $E \in \mathfrak{t}$ such that $\alpha(E) = 1$ for $\alpha \in \Delta_1$ and $\beta(E) = 0$ for $\beta \in \Delta_1^c$ and set $R_k = \{\alpha \in R \mid \alpha(E) = k\}$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, then $\mathfrak{h}_0 = \mathfrak{t} \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \in R_0} \mathfrak{h}_{\alpha}$ and $\mathfrak{h}_k = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in R_k} \mathfrak{h}_{\alpha}$ for $k \neq 0$. This give us a \mathbb{Z} -gradation on $\mathfrak{h} = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{h}_i$ associated with the set of adjacent simple roots $\Delta_1 = \{\alpha\}$. To complete the proof, it suffices to show that the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}_{[y]}$ of Q is isomorphic to $\bigoplus_{i < 0} \mathfrak{h}_i$.

type	G	Н	marked Kac diagram	
AI	SL_3	SO_3		
	SL_{2n+1}	SO_{2n+1}	● 、 ● ● ● ● ● ● ● ● ● ● ● ● ● ● ● ● ● ● ●	
	SL_{2n}	SO_{2n}		
AII	SL_{2n}	Sp_{2n}	* ••••••	
BI	SO_{2n+1}	$\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{O}_m\times\mathrm{O}_{2n+1-m})$	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
BII	SO_{2n+1}	\mathcal{O}_{2n}		
CII	Sp_{2n}	$\operatorname{Sp}_{2m} \times \operatorname{Sp}_{2(n-m)}$	╸ ┍╤╺ ┄╺ ╴ ╺╶╺╌╺╤╡	
DI	SO_{2n}	$S(O_{2m+1} \times O_{2(n-m)-1})$	₽╤ ŧ┈ ┣── ┣── ₽╤ ŧ	
		$S(O_{2m} \times O_{2(n-m)})$		
DII	SO_{2n}	O_{2n-1}	G ~←● ···· ● ─●··· ● ⇒●	
EI	E_6	C_4	● ● ● ← ● ●	
EII EIV	E_6 E_6	$\begin{array}{c} A_5 \times A_1 \\ F_4 \end{array}$	• • • • •	
EV	E_7	A_7	• • • • • • •	
EVI	E_7	$D_6 \times A_1$	• • • • • • • •	
EVIII	E_8	D_8	0	
EVIII	E_8	$E_7 \times A_1$	• • • • • • • • •	
\mathbf{FI}	F_4	$C_3 \times A_1$	●	
FII	F_4	B_4		
G	G_2	$A_1 \times A_1$	•	



S.-Y. KIM



TABLE 3. Marked Kac diagram of Hermitian type

First, we will check $\bigoplus_{i\leq 0} \mathfrak{h}_i \subset \mathfrak{h}_{[y]}$. For any negative root γ of H, we have $[e_{\gamma}, y] = 0$. Since σ permutes the negative roots, \mathfrak{g}_{γ} is in the isotropy Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}_{[y]}$. Let \mathfrak{t} be the Lie algebra of the maximal torus $T^{\sigma,0}$ of H. For $t \in \mathfrak{t}$, [t, y] = 0. Hence, \mathfrak{t} is in the isotropy Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}_{[y]}$. Let $\{\alpha_i\}$, $i \in I$, be the set of simple roots of H and let $I_H \subset I$ be a sub-index set such that that $\alpha_i, i \in I_H$, is a nonzero simple root of H not in the adjacent set $\{\alpha\}$. Let $\alpha_i, i \in I_H$. If we assume that $\overline{\gamma}_i = \overline{\beta} + \overline{\alpha}_i$ is a weight of the representation with the corresponding root $\gamma_i = \beta + \alpha_i$, then, since $\langle \alpha_i | \beta \rangle = 0$, we have $\langle \gamma_i | \beta \rangle = \langle \beta | \beta \rangle = 2$ which is a contradiction. Hence, $[e_{\alpha_i}, e_{\beta}] = 0$ and $[e_{\alpha_i}, d\sigma(e_{\beta})] = 0$. Hence, \mathfrak{g}_{α_i} is in the isotropy Lie algebra. Similarly, for a positive root $\lambda = \sum_{i \in I_H} c_i \alpha_i$ of H with $c_i \geq 0$, we have $\mathfrak{g}_{\lambda} \subset \mathfrak{h}_{[y]}$. Hence, $\bigoplus_{i < 0} \mathfrak{h}_i \subset \mathfrak{h}_{[y]}$.

For a adjacent root α of H, we have $\langle \bar{\beta} | \bar{\alpha} \rangle = \langle \beta | \alpha \rangle < 0$. Hence, $\gamma = \beta + \alpha$ is a root of G and $[e_{\alpha}, e_{\beta} - d\sigma(e_{\beta})] = e_{\gamma} - d\sigma(e_{\gamma})$ which implies that $\bar{\gamma} = \bar{\beta} + \bar{\alpha}$ is a weight of \mathfrak{p} . Hence \mathfrak{g}_{α} is not in the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}_{[y]}$. Moreover, $\bigoplus_{i>0} \mathfrak{h}_i$ is not in $\mathfrak{h}_{[y]}$. In conclusion, $\mathfrak{h}_{[y]} = \bigoplus_{i\leq 0} \mathfrak{h}_i$.

Remark 5. If \mathfrak{p} is the adjoint representation \mathfrak{h} of H and x is a highest root vector, then Z is a standard contact manifold and associated Kac diagram is also known as extended Dynkin diagram. See [10, Chapter 4.2.5] or [12, Section 4.2 and 4.3]. Moreover, the gradation on the Lie algebra \mathfrak{h} in the proof of Lemma 4 is the contact gradation, that is, the depth μ is 2, so

$$\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{h}_{-2} \oplus \mathfrak{h}_{-1} \oplus \mathfrak{h}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{h}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{h}_2$$

and dim \mathfrak{h}_{-2} = dim \mathfrak{h}_2 = 1. If in addition $H = \operatorname{PGL}_{n+1} \mathbb{C}$ for n > 2, then Z is the partial flag manifold $\operatorname{Flag}(1, n-1)$.

Lemma 6. Let G/H be an irreducible adjoint symmetric space of the simple type. Let x be a highest weight vector in \mathfrak{p} of H^0 -representation. Then there exist a unique white node in the Kac diagram of G/H and the isotropy subgroup of H^0 at $[x] \in \mathbb{P}\mathfrak{p}$ is isomorphic to either (1) the maximal parabolic

subgroup associated to the unique adjacent simple root α to the white node or (2) the product of two maximal parabolic subgroups associated with each of two adjacent simple roots to the white node.

Proof. We note that H^0 is simple or decomposes into two simple factors H_1^0 and H_2^0 . When H^0 is simple, there is a unique adjacent root $\alpha \in R(H^0)$, where $R(H^0)$ is the root system of H^0 . When $H^0 = (H_1^0 \times H_2^0) / \Gamma$ the group quotient by a finite group Γ , there are two adjacent roots $\alpha_1 \in R(H_1^0)$ and $\alpha_2 \in R(H_2^{\tilde{0}})$, where $R(H_1^{0})$ and $R(H_2^{0})$ are root systems of H_1^{0} and H_1^{0} respectively. For the first case, the same argument of Lemma 4 works for H^{0} with one simple root α .

For the second case, by Borel's fixed theorem and the same first argument in the proof of Lemma 4, the isotropy subgroup at the highest weight vector $[x] \in \mathbb{P}(\mathfrak{p})$ is a parabolic subgroup P of H^0 with the opposite parabolic subgroup Q and there exists a lowest vector y that satisfies H[y] = H/Q. On the other hand, under the action of H_i^0 , for i = 1, 2, the isotropy subgroup at the highest weight vector [x] is a parabolic subgroup P_i with the opposite parabolic subgroup Q_i . By the same arguments as Lemma 4 for H_i^0 with each simple root α_i , P_i is the maximal parabolic subgroup of $H_i^{\tilde{0}}$ associated with the adjacent simple root $\alpha_i \in R(H_i^0)$, for i = 1, 2. Hence, $P = P_1 P_2 \subset H^0$.

Lemma 7. Let G/H be an irreducible adjoint symmetric space of the Hermitian type. Let L be the Levi subgroup such that $H = N_G(L)$ and L' be the commutator subgroup of L. Let x be a highest weight vector in \mathfrak{p} of L'-representation. Then there is a white node in the Kac diagram of G/H such that the isotropy subgroup of L' at $[x] \in \mathbb{P}\mathfrak{p}$ is isomorphic to (1) the maximal parabolic subgroup of L' associated to the unique adjacent simple root α to the white node except AIII type. When G/H is of the AIII type, the isotropy subgroup of H at [x] is isomorphic to (2) the product of two maximal parabolic subgroups associated with each of two adjacent simple roots to the white node.

Proof. We note that L' is simple or decomposes into two simple factors. Since \mathfrak{p} decomposes into two irreducible L'-representations, for an irreducible L'-representation we can apply the same argument as Lemma 6 to get the conclusion.

2.3. Marked Dynkin diagrams of Z. We continue to use the definitions and notations in the previous subsection; an irreducible adjoint symmetric space G/H, H-representation \mathfrak{p} and a closed *H*-orbit $Z \subset \mathbb{P}\mathfrak{p}$. The neutral component H^0 of *H* decomposes into the solvable radical Rad H^0 and the commutator subgroup $H^{0'}$. We note that H^0 is reductive for all three types and $H^{0'}$ is either simple or decomposes into two simple factors. Since $Rad(H^0)$ is a central subgroup of H^0 which acts $x \in \mathfrak{p}$ by a scalar, it acts trivially on $H^{0}[x] \in \mathbb{P}\mathfrak{p}$. Hence, it is enough to consider $H^{0'}$ action at $[x] \in \mathbb{P}\mathfrak{p}$ to describe $Z = H/H_{[x]}$. Hence, by Lemma 4, Lemma 6, Lemma 7 and their proofs, we get a diagram expression of $Z = \dot{H}/H_{[x]}$ from the marked Kac diagram of the symmetric space G/H.

Definition 8. By the following process from (a) to (d), we get marked Dynkin diagrams from the marked Kac diagram of the symmetric space G/H.

- (a) We choose the white node corresponding to the lowest weight β such that $\lambda = w_0\beta$ is a highest weight with a highest weight vector x, where w_0 is the unique longest element of the Weyl group which maps R^+ to R^- .
- (b) After marking the adjacent nodes to the chosen white node, remove all white nodes and remove all edges connected to the white node. If the number of edges from the chosen white node(long weight) to the newly marked adjacent node(short weight) is $n \ge 2$, put numbering n on the marked node of a obtained marked Dynkin diagram.
- (c) In addition, if G/H is of the Hermitian type, then we choose the other white node (equivalently, the other highest weight) and do the same process as above to get the second marked Dynkin diagram.
- (d) If G/H is of the Hermitian non-exceptional type, then put a double-head arrow between the two marked Dynkin diagrams to express σ .

For the highest weight vector x and the homogeneous manifold $Z = H[x] \subset \mathbb{P}\mathfrak{p}$, we define the marked Dynkin diagram of Z as follows; if G/H is of the group type or of the simple type, from (a) and (b), we get a marked Dynkin diagram corresponding to Z. If G/H is of the Hermitian exceptional type, we get two marked Dynkin diagrams from the above process. Each one corresponds to the H-orbit

of the corresponding highest weight. If G/H is of the Hermitian non-exceptional type, we get two marked Dynkin diagrams with an arrow which together correspond to Z.

Example 9. The marked Kac diagram of symmetric space SL_3 / SO_3 is SD. Hence, the marked Dynkin diagram of Z is $\overset{4}{\times}$ corresponding to $\nu_4(\mathbb{P}^1)$. The marked Kac diagram of symmetric space SL_{2n+1} / SO_{2n+1} is $\bigcirc \textcircled{SD}$, and hence, the marked diagram of Z is $\overset{2}{\times} \textcircled{SD}$, which represent $\nu_2(Q_{2n-1})$.

3. MARKED DYNKIN DIAGRAMS OF VMRTS

An irreducible family of rational curves \mathcal{K} is called *a covering family* if \mathcal{K}_p is non-empty for general $p \in X$. A covering family \mathcal{K} is called *minimal* if \mathcal{K}_p is projective for general $p \in X$. The image C of parameterized rational curve $f \colon \mathbb{P}^1 \to X$ is called *free* if f^*TX is globally generated which is a smooth point in the covering family \mathcal{K} . Moreover, the image C is called *embedded* if fis an immersion. The rational map $\tau_p \colon \mathcal{K}_p \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}(T_pX)$ is defined at an smooth embedded rational curve C which maps to the tangent direction. Assuming that \mathcal{K} is minimal, then the closure \mathcal{C}_p of the image of this tangential map τ_x is called *the variety of minimal rational tangents at* p. We refer to [9] and [6] for more details about rational curves and varieties of minimal rational tangents.

Now, we assume that G is a simple adjoint group and X is the wonderful compactification of an irreducible adjoint symmetric homogeneous space G/H. In this subsection, we will define the marked Dynkin diagram of variety of minimal rational tangents $\mathcal{C}_p \subset \mathbb{P}(T_pX)$ at a general $p \in X$ as Definition 15 and Definition 19.

3.1. Marked Dynkin diagrams of VMRTs whose restricted root system is not of type **A**. Let T be a σ -stable torus such that $T^{-\sigma,0} = \{t \in T | \sigma(t) = t^{-1}\}^0$ is maximal and let R be a root system with base Δ . We call T is a maximal torus of split type. Let Θ_s be the maximal positive root corresponding to the root system (R, T, Δ) and Θ_s^{\vee} be the coroot. Let $\tilde{\alpha} = \alpha - \sigma(\alpha)$ for $\alpha \in R$ and define

$$\tilde{R} = \{ \tilde{\alpha} \mid \alpha \in R \}.$$

Then \hat{R} is a root system which we call it the restricted root system.

Let P be the standard parabolic subgroup of G such that G/P is the unique closed G-orbit of the wonderful compactification X. Let $P = LP^u$ be a Levi decomposition where L is the Levi factor and P^u is the unipotent radical. Let ρ_G be the sum of all fundamental weights of the root system for G, ρ_L be the sum of all fundamental weights of the root system for the Levi factor L and let ρ_P be the half sum of roots of P^u . Then we have $\rho_G = \rho_L + \rho_P$ and we define the weight

$$\kappa = \sum_{\alpha \in R^+(L)} \alpha = 2\rho_G - 2\rho_P.$$

The *H*-orbit is $Z = H.[x] \subset \mathbb{P}\mathfrak{p}$ at a highest weight vector $x \in \mathfrak{p}$ as Section 2.1. Let $T_H = T^{\sigma,0}$ be a torus of *H* and B_H a Borel subgroup of *H*. An irreducible curve *C* through a general *p* which is stable by B_H is called *a highest weight curve*. The highest weight curve *C* is a parameterized embedded free rational curve $f : \mathbb{P}^1 \to X$ with image $C \subset X$ through *p* of direction df(p) = x with T_H -weight λ .

Proposition 10. [2, Corollary 2.14] Let C a highest weight curve of weight λ , then there exist a root α such that $\lambda = \alpha|_{T_H}$ and $C = \overline{U_{\alpha} \cdot x}$.

Proposition 11. [2, Theorem 4.26] Let $p \in X$ be a general point and let C be a highest weight curve such that $p \in C$. Then

$$\dim \mathcal{C}_p = \frac{1}{2} < \kappa, \Theta_s^{\vee} - \sigma(\Theta_s^{\vee}) > +\partial X \cdot C - 2,$$

$$<\kappa, \Theta_s^{\vee} - \sigma(\Theta_s^{\vee}) >= 2(\dim H.[x] + 1).$$

Proposition 12. [2, Remark 4.27] Let C be a highest weight curve and $p \in C$. Then $\partial X \cdot C = 1$ except when the restricted root system is of type A in which $\partial X \cdot C = 2$.

Proof. See [2, Remark 4.27] and also, [2, Proposition 3.8] for group type, [2, Proposition 3.10] for Hermitian type, and [2, Proposition 3.14] for simple type. \Box

Corollary 13. The variety of minimal rational tangents C_p equals $Z = H.[x] \subset \mathbb{P}\mathfrak{p}$ except when the restricted root system is of type A.

Proof. The highest weight vector x is the tangent direction of the highest curve C at p. Since H is in an isotropy subgroup at p, $H.[x] \subset C_p$. We have $\partial X \cdot C = 1$ by Proposition 12. Hence, by Proposition 11, it follows

$$\dim \mathcal{C}_p = \frac{1}{2} < \kappa, \Theta_s^{\vee} - \sigma(\Theta_s^{\vee}) > +\partial X \cdot C - 2$$

= dim H.[x] + $\partial X \cdot C - 1$ = dim H.[x].

Hence, \mathcal{C}_p is $Z = H.[x] \subset \mathbb{P}\mathfrak{p}$.

By [2, Proposition 4.23], the orbit $M = G[x] \subset \mathbb{P}\mathfrak{g}$ is either the minimal orbit $\mathcal{O}_{min}(G)$ or the nilpotent orbit $\mathcal{O} = G[y]$ where $y = x_{\alpha_1} + x_{\alpha_2} \in \mathfrak{g}$ is a sum of two non-zero root vectors such that α_1 and α_2 are strongly orthogonal long roots. If $\partial X \cdot C = 2$, from the dimension formula $\dim \mathcal{C}_p = \dim H[x] + 1$, the next candidate for \mathcal{C}_p is either $\mathcal{O}_{min}(G)$ or \mathcal{O} .

To prove Theorem 14, we need [1, Theorem 1.1] and [2, Proposition 4.34, Theorem 4.42, Theorem 4.43]. It follows.

Theorem 14 (Theorem 1). Let X be the wonderful compactification of an irreducible adjoint symmetric space G/H. Let $\mathcal{C}_p \subset \mathbb{P}(T_pX)$ be a variety of minimal rational tangents at a general point $p \in X$.

- (1) If G/H is of the group type but not of type A, then $\mathcal{C}_p \subset \mathbb{P}(T_pX)$ is isomorphic to the adjoint variety $X_{ad}^H \subset \mathbb{P}\mathfrak{h}$, the Legendrian H-orbit.
- (2) If G/H is of the simple type or the Hermitian type, whose restricted root system is not of type A, then $\mathcal{C}_p \subset \mathbb{P}(T_pX)$ is isomorphic to a Legendrian H-orbit $Z \subset \mathbb{P}\mathfrak{p}$.
- (3) If the restricted root system of G/H is of type A_r with $r \ge 2$, then $\mathcal{C}_p \subset \mathbb{P}(T_pX)$ is isomorphic to a rational homogeneous space $G/P_{\lambda} \subset \mathbb{P}V_{\lambda}$ with the highest weight λ listed in section 3.2.

Moreover, there exists a unique variety of minimal rational tangents except in the Hermitian exceptional type. The unique variety of minimal tangents has one component for group type and simple type, and two isomorphic components for the Hermitian non-exceptional type.

Proof. When G/H is of the group type whose restricted root system is not of type A, by [1, Theorem 1.1], there is the unique variety of minimal rational tangents $\mathcal{C}_p \subset \mathbb{P}(T_pX)$ which is isomorphic to the adjoint variety $X_{ad}^H \subset \mathbb{P}\mathfrak{h}$ which is the Legendrian H-orbit $Z \subset \mathbb{P}\mathfrak{p}$. By [2, Theorem 4.42], the tangent map $\mathcal{K}_p \to \mathcal{C}_p$ is an isomorphism. Hence, by [2, Proposition 4.34, Theorem 4.43 (3)], there is a G-invariant contact structure on a nilpotent G-orbit $M \subset \mathbb{P}\mathfrak{g}$ which contains a variety of minimal rational tangents $\mathcal{C}_p \subset \mathbb{P}(T_pX)$ as a homogeneous Legendrian submanifold isomorphic to $Z \subset \mathbb{P}\mathfrak{p}$ when G/H is of the simple type or the Hermitian type whose restricted root system is not of type A. If the restricted root system of G/H is of type A_r with $r \geq 2$, by [2, Theorem 4.43(4)] (see also Lemma 17), $\mathcal{C}_p \subset \mathbb{P}(T_pX)$ is isomorphic to a rational homogeneous space $G/P_\lambda \subset \mathbb{P}V_\lambda$ with the highest weight λ listed in section 3.2. The last statement also follows by [2, Theorem 4.43(3)].

Definition 15. Let X be the wonderful compactification of an irreducible adjoint symmetric homogeneous space G/H whose restricted root system is not of type A. Let $\mathcal{C}_p \subset \mathbb{P}(T_pX)$ be a variety of minimal rational tangents at a general point $p \in X$ which corresponds to $Z \subset \mathbb{P}\mathfrak{p}$. We define the marked Dynkin diagram of the variety of minimal rational tangents $\mathcal{C}_p \subset \mathbb{P}(T_pX)$ as the marked Dynkin diagram of Z.

Theorem 16 (Theorem 2). Let X be the wonderful compactification of an irreducible adjoint symmetric homogeneous space G/H. Assume that the restricted root system is not of type A. Then, the marked Dynkin diagram of the variety of minimal rational tangents $C_p \subset \mathbb{P}(T_pX)$ at a general point p can be recovered from the marked Kac diagram of the open orbit G/H by marking the adjacent node to a white node as Section 2.3.

If X is the wonderful compactification of the group type but not of type A, then the variety of minimal rational tangents at a general point $p \in X$ is isomorphic to Z as Theorem 14 (1), see also Theorem 1.1 of [1]. Let P^{α} be the maximal parabolic subgroup of H corresponding to the marked roots α in the marked Dynkin diagram of Z. Then $Z = H/P^{\alpha}$ by Lemma 4.

If X is the wonderful compactification of the simple type whose restricted root system is not of type A, then the variety of minimal rational tangents at a general point $p \in X$ is isomorphic to Z by Theorem 14 (2), see also Proposition 3.14 of [2]. If G/H is not of type BI, CII, DI, then H^0 is simple and let P^{α} be the maximal parabolic subgroup of H^0 corresponding to the marked simple root α in the marked Dynkin diagram of Z. Then $Z = H^0/P^{\alpha}$ by Lemma 6. If G/H is not of type BI, CII, DI, then $H^0 = (H_1^0 \times H_2^0)/\Gamma$ decomposes into two simple factors and let P^{α_1} and P^{α_2} be the maximal parabolic subgroups of H_1^0 and H_2^0 corresponding to the two marked simple root α_1 and α_2 in the marked Dynkin diagram of Z. Then $Z = H^0/P^{\alpha_1}P^{\alpha_2}$ by Lemma 6.

If X is the wonderful compactification of the Hermitian exceptional type, then there are two isomorphic varieties of minimal rational tangents and two marked Dynkin diagram of Z. Assume that G/H is not of type AIII. Let P^{α} be the maximal parabolic subgroup of the Levi subgroup Lcorresponding to one marked simple root α in the one marked Dynkin diagram of Z. Then a variety of minimal rational tangents at a general point $p \in X$ is isomorphic to L/P^{α} by Theorem 14 (2) (see also Corollary 3.12 of [2]) and by Lemma 7. If G/H is of type AIII, then the Levi subgroup L decompose into two simple factors L_1 and L_2 and let P^{α_1} and P^{α_2} be the maximal parabolic subgroups of L_1 and L_2 corresponding to the two marked simple root α_1 and α_2 in one marked Dynkin diagram of Z. Then a variety of minimal rational tangents at a general point $p \in X$ is isomorphic to $Z = L/P^{\alpha_1}P^{\alpha_2}$ by Theorem 14 (2) (see also Corollary 3.12 of [2]) and by Lemma 7.

Let X be the wonderful compactification of the Hermitian non-exceptional type not of type A. If G/H is not of type AIII and let P^{α_1} and P^{α_2} be the two maximal parabolic subgroups of L corresponding to two marked simple roots α_1 and α_2 respectively, in the marked Dynkin diagram of Z. Then the variety of minimal rational tangents at a general point $p \in X$ is isomorphic to the disjoint union of L/P^{α_1} and L/P^{α_2} by Theorem 14 (2) (see also Corollary 3.12 of [2]) and by Lemma 7. If G/H is of type AIII, the marked Dynkin diagram of Z consist of two Dynkin diagram of the Levi subgroup L with four marked simple roots α_1 , α_2 , α_3 , α_4 . Since the Levi subgroup L decompose into two simple factors L_1 and L_2 , let α_1 and α_2 be the marked simple root of one Dynkin diagram of L and let P^{α_1} and P^{α_2} be the maximal parabolic subgroups of L_1 and L_2 corresponding to the α_3 and α_4 . Then a variety of minimal rational tangents at a general point $p \in X$ is isomorphic to the disjoint union of $L/P^{\alpha_1}P^{\alpha_2}$ and $L/P^{\alpha_3}P^{\alpha_4}$ by Theorem 14 (2) (see also Corollary 3.12 of [2]) and by Lemma 7.

Hence, the marked Dynkin diagram of the variety of minimal rational tangents $\mathcal{C}_p \subset \mathbb{P}(T_pX)$ is well-defined except when the restricted root system is of type A.

3.2. Marked Dynkin diagrams of VMRTs whose restricted root system is of type A. We assume the restricted root system of G/H is of type A_r , $r \ge 1$. Let V_{λ} be the irreducible G-representation space with highest weight λ such that G/H is embedded as an open orbit in $\mathbb{P}(V_{\lambda})$ with a unique closed orbit. Due to [2, Secton 4.5], we have possible pairs (G, P_{λ}) of a simple group G up to finite covering and a parabolic subgroup P_{λ} such that there exist an embedding $G/P_{\lambda} \subset \mathbb{P}(V_{\lambda})$ as the unique closed orbit. The list follows:

G/H	A_r	λ	V_{λ}	þ
$\operatorname{PGL}_{r+1} \times \operatorname{PGL}_{r+1} / \operatorname{PGL}_{r+1}$	r	$(\varpi_1, 0) + (0, \varpi_r)$	$\operatorname{End}(\mathbb{C}^{r+1})$	\mathfrak{sl}_{r+1}
$\operatorname{SL}_{r+1}/\operatorname{SO}_{r+1}$	r	$2\varpi_1$	$S^2(\mathbb{C}^{r+1})$	$S^2(\mathbb{C}^{r+1})_0$
$\operatorname{SL}_{2r+2}/\operatorname{Sp}_{2r+2}$	r	$arpi_2$	$\Lambda^2(\mathbb{C}^{2r+2})$	$\Lambda^2(\mathbb{C}^{2r+2})_0$
$\mathrm{SO}_n/\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{O}_1 imes \mathrm{O}_{n-1})$	1	$\overline{\omega}_1$	\mathbb{C}^n	\mathbb{C}^{n-1}
E_6/F_4	2	$arpi_1$	\mathbb{C}^{27}	\mathbb{C}^{26}

We recall the idea of proof of [2, Theorem 4.41]. There exist birational *G*-equivalent morphism $f: X \to \mathbb{P}(V_{\lambda})$ which is composition of the blow ups of strict transforms of orbit closures if $r \geq 2$. Because the set of general lines in $\mathbb{P}(V_{\lambda})$ is the hyperplane $\mathbb{P}(\mathfrak{p})$ and hence, the intersection of general lines with the closed orbit G/P_{λ} in $\mathbb{P}(V_{\lambda})$ is the orbit G/P_{λ} in $\mathbb{P}(\mathfrak{p})$. By [5, Proposition 9.7], the

variety of minimal rational tangents $C_p \subset \mathbb{P}(T_pX)$ is the intersection of general lines with the closed orbit G/P_{λ} , hence, the embedding $G/P_{\lambda} \subset \mathbb{P}(\mathfrak{p})$ is isomorphic to the variety of minimal rational tangents $C_p \subset \mathbb{P}(T_pX)$.

Lemma 17. [2, Theorem 4.41] Assume the restricted root system of G/H is of type A_r , $r \ge 2$ and X is the wonderful compactification of G/H. Then G/H is either SL_{r+1}/SO_{r+1} , $(PGL_{r+1} \times PGL_{r+1})/PGL_{r+1}$, $SL_{2(r+1)}/Sp_{2(r+1)}$, or E_6/F_4 and the variety of minimal rational tangents $C_p \subset \mathbb{P}(T_pX)$ is either $\nu_2(\mathbb{P}^r)$, $\mathbb{P}^r \times (\mathbb{P}^r)^{\vee}$, Gr(2, 2r+2), or E_6/P_1 .

We remark that the *H*-orbit $Z \subset \mathbb{P}\mathfrak{p}$ is a general hyperplane section of $\mathcal{C}_p \subset \mathbb{P}(T_pX)$. On the other hand, if the restricted root system of G/H is of type A_1 , then \mathcal{C}_p is isomorphic to the hyperplane $\mathbb{P}(\mathfrak{p}) \subset \mathbb{P}(V_\lambda)$, hence, $\mathcal{C}_p = \mathbb{P}(T_pX) = \mathbb{P}(\mathfrak{p})$.

Lemma 18. [2, Theorem 4.41] Assume the restricted root system of G/H is of type A_1 and X is the wonderful compactification of G/H. Then G/H is either $(PGL_2 \times PGL_2)/PGL_2$, PGL_2/PO_2 or SO_{n+1}/O_n and the variety of minimal rational tangents $\mathcal{C}_p \subset \mathbb{P}(T_pX)$ is either \mathbb{P}^2 , \mathbb{P}^1 , or \mathbb{P}^{n-1} .

We have the marked Dynkin diagram of (G, P_{λ}) , the Dynkin diagram with marked nodes corresponding to the parabolic subgroup P_{λ} .

Definition 19. Let X be the wonderful compactification of an irreducible adjoint symmetric homogeneous space G/H whose restricted root system is of type A. Let $\mathcal{C}_p \subset \mathbb{P}(T_pX)$ be a variety of minimal rational tangents at the base point $p \in X$. We define the marked diagram of the variety of minimal rational tangents $\mathcal{C}_p \subset \mathbb{P}(T_pX)$ as (1) the marked Dynkin diagram of (G, P_λ) when the restricted root system is of type A_r for $r \geq 2$. (2) the marked Dynkin diagram of the hyperplane $\mathbb{P}(\mathfrak{p}) \subset \mathbb{P}(V_\lambda)$ when the restricted root system is of type A_1 .

Remark 20. To indicate the Veronese embedding $\nu_2(\mathbb{P}^r) \subset \mathbb{P}(\mathfrak{p})$, put 2 on the crossed node of the marked diagram of the variety of minimal rational tangents $\mathcal{C}_p \subset \mathbb{P}(T_pX)$ when G/H is $\mathrm{SL}_{r+1}/\mathrm{SO}_{r+1}$.

Assume that G/H is of the group type $\operatorname{PGL}_{r+1} \times \operatorname{PGL}_{r+1} / \operatorname{PGL}_{r+1}$. Then, σ joins two Dyinkin diagrams of H so that each node of the first diagram is joined with the respective node of the second diagram. This gives us a unique *folding* from $H \times H$ to H. Hence, if G/H is not of type A_1 , the marked diagram of the variety of minimal rational tangents can be folded into the marked diagram of $Z \subset \mathbb{P}\mathfrak{p}$. For example,



Assume that G/H is of a simple type. Then G is either SL_n , SO_n , or E_6 . The automorphism of the Dynkin diagram of G is of order 2 which is the non-trivial element in the group of outer automorphisms $Aut(\mathfrak{g})/Int(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathbb{Z}_2$. So we have \mathbb{Z}_2 -gradation on \mathfrak{g}

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1$$

Hence, if $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{h}$ and $\mathfrak{g}_1 = \mathfrak{p}$, then there exist a *folding* from Dynkin diagram of G to Dynkin diagram of H. Moreover, the pair (G, H) is one of the followings: (A_{2l}, B_l) for $l \geq 2$, (A_{2l-1}, C_l) for $l \geq 3$, (D_{l+1}, B_l) for $l \geq 2$, (A_2, A_1) , and (E_6, F_4) . We refer [8, Section 8, Proposition 8.2] for more details.

When G/H is either $\operatorname{SL}_{2r+1}/\operatorname{O}_{2r+1}$, $\operatorname{SL}_{2n}/\operatorname{Sp}_{2n}$ or E_6/F_4 , there exist folding from G to Hand the marked diagram of the variety of minimal rational tangents can be folded into the marked diagram of $Z \subset \mathbb{P}\mathfrak{p}$, where the diagrams represent corresponding rational homogeneous spaces. Our examples are as follows;





Hence, we have the following.

Theorem 21 (Theorem 3). Let X be the wonderful compactification of an irreducible adjoint symmetric homogeneous space G/H. Assume that the restricted root system of G/H is A_r for $r \ge 2$. Then G/H is either $\operatorname{PGL}_{r+1} \times \operatorname{PGL}_{r+1} / \operatorname{PGL}_{r+1}$, $\operatorname{SL}_{2r+1} / \operatorname{O}_{2r+1}$, $\operatorname{SL}_{2n} / \operatorname{Sp}_{2n}$ or E_6/F_4 and the marked Dynkin diagram of the variety of minimal rational tangents $\mathcal{C}_p \subset \mathbb{P}(T_pX)$ at a general point p can be recovered from the marked Kac diagram of the open orbit G/H by marking the adjacent node to a white node as Section 2.3 and the folding process.

Proof. If the Kac diagram of the open orbit G/H is given, there is a marked diagram of $Z \subset \mathbb{P}\mathfrak{p}$ as Section 2.3. The marked diagram of H-orbit $Z \subset \mathbb{P}\mathfrak{p}$ has a unique 2 to 1 covering diagram up to marking, which corresponds to the marked Dynkin diagram of the variety of minimal rational tangents.

4. Appendix

We give tables listing marked diagrams of VMRTs of adjoint irreducible symmetric spaces for each type. We follow [3] the notion of types. We give marked Dynkin diagrams of the VMRT when the restricted root systems are of type A in Table 4. In Table 5, we listing marked diagrams of VMRTs for group type when the restricted root system is not of type A. In Table 6, we are listing marked diagrams of VMRTs for simple types except of type AI, AII, BII, DII, and EIV in which the restricted root systems are of type A. We also give a table listing marked diagrams of VMRTs for Hermitian types except of type AI in Table 7.

type	G	Н	I VMRT marked Dynkin diagram		
Group type A_1	$H \times H$	PGL_2	\mathbb{P}^2	×-•	
Group type A_n	$H \times H$	PGL_{n+1}	$\mathbb{P}^n\times(\mathbb{P}^n)^\vee$	× • • • ···· • •	
Herm. non-excep.AI	PGL_2	PO_2	\mathbb{P}^1	×	
AI	SL_n	SO_n	$\nu_2(\mathbb{P}^{n-1})$	2 ★ ● ● ···· ● ●	
AII	SL_{2n}	Sp_{2n}	$\operatorname{Gr}(2,2n)$	• <u>×</u> •····••••	
BII	SO_{2n+1}	O_{2n}	\mathbb{P}^{2n-1}	× • • • • • • •	
DII	SO_{2n}	O_{2n-1}	\mathbb{P}^{2n-2}	× • •	
EIV	E_6	F_4	E_{6}/P_{1}	$\times \bullet \bullet \bullet \bullet$	

TABLE 4. The restricted root system is of type A



TABLE 5. Group type $(H \times H)/H$

type	G	Н	VMRT	marked Dynkin diagram
AI AII	$\frac{\mathrm{SL}_n}{\mathrm{SL}_{2n}}$	${\operatorname{SO}}_n {\operatorname{Sp}}_{2n}$		•
BI BII	SO_{2n+1} SO_{2n+1}	$\begin{array}{c} S(O_m \times O_{2n+1-m}) \\ O_{2n} \end{array}$	$Q_m \times Q_{2n+1-m}$	 *
CII	Sp_{2n}	$\operatorname{Sp}_{2m} \times \operatorname{Sp}_{2(n-m)}$	$\mathbb{P}^{2m-1}\times\mathbb{P}^{2(n-m)-1}$	€ >= \$€₹
DI	SO_{2n}	$S(O_{2m+1} \times O_{2(n-m)-1})$	$Q_{2m+1} \times Q_{2(n-m)-1}$	● ← ●···● → × × ●··· ● →●
DII	SO_{2n}	$\begin{array}{c} \mathrm{S}(\mathrm{O}_{2\mathrm{m}}\times\mathrm{O}_{2(\mathrm{n-m})})\\ \mathrm{O}_{2n-1} \end{array}$	$Q_{2m} \times Q_{2(n-m)}$	
EI	E_6	C_4	LG(4,8)	● ● ● ⇐≍
EII EIV	$\begin{array}{c} E_6\\ E_6\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} A_5 \times A_1 \\ F_4 \end{array}$	$\operatorname{Gr}(3,6) imes \mathbb{P}^1$	• • × • • ×
EV	E_7	A_7	$\operatorname{Gr}(4,8)$	• • • × • •
EVI	E_7	$D_6 \times A_1$	$OG(6, 12) \times \mathbb{P}^1$	••••• ×
EVIII	E_8	D_8	OG(8, 16)	•••••
EVIII	E_8	$E_7 \times A_1$	$E_7/P_7 \times \mathbb{P}^1$	• • • • · × ×
FI	F_4	$C_3 \times A_1$	$\mathrm{LG}(3,6)\times \mathbb{P}^1$	• • . ×
FII	F_4	B_4	OG(4,9)	● ● ●
G	G_2	$A_1 \times A_1$	$\mathbb{P}^1 imes u_3(\mathbb{P}^1)$	\times $\stackrel{3}{\times}$

TABLE 6. Simple type G/H

type	G	L	VMRT	marked Dynkin diagram
AIII	PGL_n	$\mathrm{PG}(L_m \times L_{n-m})$	$\mathbb{P}^{m-1} \times (\mathbb{P}^{n-m-1})^{\vee}$ and $(\mathbb{P}^{m-1})^{\vee} \times \mathbb{P}^{n-m-1}$	×
DIII	PO_{4n+2}	$\operatorname{PGL}_{2n+1}$	Gr(2n-1, 2n+1) and $Gr(2, 2n+1)$	• • • • ···· • · • • • •
EIII	E_6^{ad}	$D_5 imes \mathbb{C}^*$	OG(5, 10) and $OG(5, 10)$	$\bullet \bullet $
AI	PGL_2	PO_2		
AIII	PGL_{2n}	$\mathrm{PG}(\mathrm{L}_n\times\mathrm{L}_n)$	$\mathbb{P}^{n-1} \times (\mathbb{P}^{n-1})^{\vee} \\ \sqcup (\mathbb{P}^{n-1})^{\vee} \times \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$	$\begin{array}{c} \times & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\ \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\ \bullet & \bullet & \bullet$
BI	PO_{2n+1}	$P(O_2 \times O_{2n-1})$	$Q_{2n-3} \sqcup Q_{2n-3}$	$\times \bullet \bullet$
CI	PSp_{2n}	PGL_n	$v_2(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}) \sqcup v_2(\mathbb{P}^{n-1})^{\vee}$	$\overset{\bullet}{\underset{\times}{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}}{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}}{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}}{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}}{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}}{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}}{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}}{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}}{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}}{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}}{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}}{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}}{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}}{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}}{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}}{\overset{\circ}}{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}}{\overset{\circ}}{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}}{\overset{\circ}}{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}}{\overset{\circ}}{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}}}{\overset{\circ}}{\overset{\circ}}{\overset{\circ}}{\overset{\circ}}{\overset{\circ}}{\overset{\circ}}}{\overset{\circ}}{\overset{\circ}}{\overset{\circ}}}{\overset{\circ}}{\overset{\circ}}{\overset{\circ}}{\overset{\circ}}}{\overset{\circ}}{\overset{\circ}}{\overset{\circ}}}{\overset{\circ}}{\overset{\circ}}{\overset{\circ}}{\overset{\circ}}{\overset{\circ}}{\overset{\circ}}}{\overset{\circ}}}$
DI	PO_{2n}	$\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{O}_2 \times \mathrm{O}_{2(n-1)})$	$Q_{2n-4} \sqcup Q_{2n-4}$	$\xrightarrow{\uparrow\sigma}$
DIII	PO_{4n}	PGL_{2n}	$\operatorname{Gr}(2,2n)$ $\sqcup \operatorname{Gr}(2n-2,2n)$	$\bullet \bullet \bullet \bullet \bullet \bullet \bullet \uparrow \sigma$
EVII	E_7^{ad}	$E_6\times \mathbb{C}^*$	$E_6/P_1 \sqcup E_6/P_6$	$\begin{array}{c} \bullet \bullet \bullet \bullet \\ \bullet \bullet \bullet \\ \times \bullet \bullet \bullet \end{array} \ddagger \sigma$

TABLE 7. Hermitian exceptional and non-exceptional type $G/N_G(L)$

Acknowledgements. The author appreciate to Michel Brion and Nicolas Perrin for helpful comments and encourage. The author thanks the Institut Fourier for hosting her stay from 2018 to 2019 which led to this work. She also thanks the Center for Geometry and Physics of Institute for Basic Science and Basic Science Research Institute of Ewha Womans University for their support.

Funding. This work was partially supported by the Institute for Basic Science (IBS-R003-D1) and by Basic Science Research Program through NRF Korea (2021R1A6A1A10039823).

Data availability. Data sharing not applicable to this article as no datasets were generated or analysed during the current study.

Competing interests. The authors have no competing interests to declare that are relevant to the content of this article.

References

- M. Brion and B. Fu, Minimal rational curves on wonderful group compactifications, J. Éc. polytech. Math. 2 (2015), 153–170.
- [2] M. Brion, S.-Y. Kim and N. Perrin, Minimal rational curves on complete symmetric varieties, arXiv:2303.03013
- [3] N. Bourbaki, Éléments de mathématique. Groupes et algèbres de Lie. Chapitre IV, V, VI. Hermann, Paris 1968.
- [4] C. de Concini, C. Procesi, *Complete symmetric varieties*. Invariant theory (Montecatini, 1982), 1–44, Lecture Notes in Math., **996**, Springer, Berlin, 1983.
- [5] B. Fu, J.M. Hwang, Classification of non-degenerate projective varieties with non-zero prolongation and application to target rigidity. Invent. math. 189 (2012), no.2, 457–513.
- [6] J.-M. Hwang, Geometry of minimal rational curves on Fano manifolds, School on Vanishing Theorems and Effective Results in Algebraic Geometry (Trieste, 2000), 335–393, ICTP Lect. Notes 6, Abdus Salam Int. Cent. Theoret. Phys., Trieste, 2001.
- [7] J.-M. Hwang, N. Mok, Deformation rigidity of the rational homogeneous space associated to a long simple root, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup.(4) 35 (2002), no.2, 173–184.
- [8] Victor G. Kac Infinite Dimensional Lie Algebras, Progr. Math., 44, Birkhäuser Boston, 1983.
- [9] J. Kollár, Rational curves on algebraic varieties, Ergeb. Math. Grenzgeb. (3) 32, Springer-Verlag, 1996.
- [10] A.L. Onishchik, E.B. Vinberg, Lie groups and algebraic groups, Springer Ser. Soviet Math. Springer-Verlag, 1990.
- [11] Dmitry A. Timashev, Homogeneous Spaces and Equivariant Embeddings, Encyclopaedia of Math. Sci. 138, Invariant Theory Algebr. Transform. Groups, 8, Springer, Heidelberg, 2011.
- [12] K. Yamaguchi, Differential Systems Associated with Simple Graded Lie Algebras, Progress in differential geometry, 413–494, Adv. Stud. in Pure Math. 22, Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo, 1993

BASIC SCIENCE RESEARCH INSTITUTE, EWHA WOMANS UNIVERSITY, SEOUL 03760, KOREA *Email address:* shinyoung.kim@ewha.ac.kr

16