

Wave-speed management of dipole, bright and W-shaped solitons in optical metamaterials

Houria Triki¹ and Vladimir I. Kruglov²

¹*Radiation Physics Laboratory, Department of Physics, Faculty of Sciences,
Badji Mokhtar University, P. O. Box 12, 23000 Annaba, Algeria*

²*Centre for Engineering Quantum Systems, School of Mathematics and Physics,
The University of Queensland, Brisbane, Queensland 4072, Australia*

Wave-speed management of soliton pulses in a nonlinear metamaterial exhibiting a rich variety of physical effects that are important in a wide range of practical applications, is studied both theoretically and numerically. Ultrashort electromagnetic pulse transmission in such inhomogeneous system is described by a generalized nonlinear Schrödinger equation with space-modulated higher-order dispersive and nonlinear effects of different nature. We present the discovery of three types of periodic wave solutions that are composed by the product of Jacobi elliptic functions in the presence of all physical processes. Envelope solitons of the dipole, bright and W-shaped types are also identified, thus illustrating the potentially rich set of localized pulses in the system. We develop an effective similarity transformation method to investigate the soliton dynamics in the presence of the inhomogeneities of media. The application of developed method to control the wave speed of the presented solitons is discussed. The results show that the wave speed of dipole, bright and W-shaped solitons can be effectively controlled through spatial modulation of the metamaterial parameters. In particular, the soliton pulses can be decelerated and accelerated by suitable variations of the distributed dispersion parameters.

PACS numbers: 05.45.Yv, 42.65.Tg

I. INTRODUCTION

Soliton formation in nonlinear metamaterials is presently a very active area of research [1–6]. Such new materials are known as negative-index materials and more commonly referred to as left-handed metamaterials [7]. To demonstrate solitons experimentally, left-handed nonlinear transmission lines, employed as nonlinear metamaterials, have been recently used to study the generation of envelope solitons [7–10]. In addition, the stable generation of soliton pulses has been also demonstrated experimentally in an active nonlinear metamaterial formed by a left-handed transmission line inserted into a ring resonator [9]. Moreover, dark envelope solitons in a practical left-handed nonlinear transmission line with series nonlinear capacitance are demonstrated by circuit analysis, which showed that the left-handed nonlinear transmission lines could support dark solitons by tailoring the circuit parameters [10]. Furthermore, a left-handed nonlinear electrical lattice has been shown to support the formation of discrete envelope solitons of the bright and dark type [11]. These significant results indicate that the soliton propagation is one of the physically relevant phenomena associated with practical nonlinear metamaterials.

To describe the transmission of an ultrashort optical pulse through an homogeneous nonlinear metamaterial, a generalized nonlinear Schrödinger equation (NLSE) for a dispersive dielectric susceptibility and permeability has been introduced by Scalora et al. [12]. One should note here that the utilization of the NLSE to describe the nonlinear wave dynamics is not only restricted to nonlinear metamaterials, but also to other important physical media like optical fibers [13], Bose-Einstein condensates [14], plasma physics [15], biomolecular dynamics [16], etc. Regarding realistic optical waveguiding media, they are actually inhomogeneous because of the existence of some nonuniformities which arise due to various factors such as the variation of lattice parameters in the optical medium, the imperfection of manufacture and fluctuation of the system diameters [17, 18]. With consideration of the inhomogeneities in an optical material, the theoretical description of the light pulse dynamics is mainly based on the generalized NLSE equation with varying group velocity dispersion, nonlinearity, and gain (absorption) coefficients [19–21]. Especially in optics, the application of such generalized NLSE has stimulated further studies of the integrable inhomogeneous equations giving rise to the concepts of nonautonomous and self-similar solitons [19–24]. For the soliton transmission in an optical medium within the femtosecond duration range, however, the higher-order effects influenced by the variations of material parameters should be also taken into account [25].

From a physical viewpoint, a soliton structure can be described by four parameters which are the frequency (or velocity), amplitude (or width), time position and phase [26]. An appropriate modulation of these parameters allows efficient control of the soliton dynamics. Recently, the problem of optimal control the parameters of optical solitons which is also called the problem of soliton management becomes a subject of significant interest [27–29]. This because concepts of soliton dispersion management and soliton control in optical fiber systems constitute a physically relevant developments in the practical application of envelope solitons for optical transmissions [30–33]. Interestingly, studies

of dispersion management have demonstrated that several effects can be reduced by utilizing this technique such as the modulational instability [34], Gordon-Haus effect resulting from the interaction with noise [35], radiation due to lumped amplifiers compensating the fiber loss [36], and time jitters caused by the collisions between signals [37].

While the control of solitons shape or amplitude under dispersion and nonlinearity managements have been demonstrated in physical systems within the framework of both cubic and higher-order NLSE models, the control of soliton wave speed in nonlinear media has been studied thus far only for the cubic NLSE case in [38]. With use of this envelope equation which includes only two physical effects, it is shown that one can control the wave speed of bright and dark NLS solitons by appropriate modification of the dispersion and nonlinearity coefficients [38]. A challenging problem is the study of wave-speed management of solitons in the presence of higher-order effects, which come into play as pulse durations get shorter and peak powers increase. Also, a more significant issue is to examine the wave-speed management of various types of solitons under the contributions of these higher-order processes. As is known, in addition to the bright and dark soliton types, solitons could also display other complex shapes such as dipole and W-shaped structures. It is worth noting that dipole-mode solitons which are consisting of two peaks [39], have been recently observed in a three-level cascade atomic system where it has been experimentally demonstrated that the key to observe them is to create via Kerr nonlinearity, a high enough index contrast in the atomic medium by laser-induced index gratings [40]. As concerns solitons that take the shape of W, these have been firstly presented in optical fibers described by the higher-order NLSE with third-order dispersion, self-steepening, and self-frequency shift effects in [41]. Up to the present time, the control of soliton wave speed in optical metamaterials supporting higher-order effects has not been reported to our knowledge. In this paper, we present the analysis of wave-speed management of dipole, bright and W-shaped solitons in an inhomogeneous optical metamaterial exhibiting not only the group velocity dispersion and self-phase-modulation, but also a rich variety higher-order effects. One should note that studying on the control of soliton wave speed is not only of scientific relevance but also of practical significance.

This paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, we present the generalized higher-order NLSE that governs the few-cycle pulse propagation through a nonlinear metamaterial with higher-order nonlinear dispersion effects and derive its general traveling wave solution. New types of exact analytical periodic wave solutions that are composed of the product of pairs of Jacobi elliptic functions are derived in Sec III. Results for dipole soliton solutions is also presented here in the long-wave limit of periodic wave solutions. We also present the exact bright and W-shaped soliton solutions of the model equation. In Sec IV, we introduce the similarity transformation method for solving the generalized NLSE with varying coefficients. The application of developed method for the wave-speed management of dipole solitons is presented in Sec V. We also apply the developed technique to the management of bright and W-shaped solitons in Sec VI. Finally, in Sec. VII, we give some concluding remarks.

II. GENERALIZED NLSE FOR NONLINEAR METAMATERIALS

The generalized NLSE describing the propagation of a few-cycle pulse in nonlinear metamaterials has the following form for the optical pulse envelope $U(z, t)$ [42–50],

$$iU_z + i\alpha_1 U_t - \alpha_2 U_{tt} + \gamma |U|^2 U = i\lambda(|U|^2 U)_t + i\epsilon(|U|^2)_t U + \sigma_1(|U|^2 U)_{tt} + \sigma_2 |U|^2 U_{tt} + \sigma_3 U^2 U_{tt}^*, \quad (1)$$

where $U(z, t)$ represents the complex envelope of the electrical field, z and t are the propagation distance and time, respectively, while the parameters α_2 , γ , α_1 , λ , and ϵ represent the group velocity dispersion, cubic nonlinearity, intermodal dispersion, self-steepening, and nonlinear dispersion coefficients, respectively. Also, σ_i (with $i = 1, 2, 3$) are higher-order terms that appear in the context of metamaterials.

This equation has gathered significant attention recently for its importance from various physical view points [44–49]. In particular, the existence of bright and dark soliton solutions of Eq. (1) have been recently investigated using different methods [42, 50]. Super-Gaussian envelope solitons [44] as well as singular solitons [45] have been also presented for this model. Here, we present the important results showing the wave-speed management of different types of solitons obtained within the generalized NLSE (1) framework. It will be demonstrated that these soliton modes can be decelerated and accelerated through appropriate modification of the distributed parameters.

To start with, we consider the solution of generalized NLSE (1) in the form [51, 52],

$$U(z, t) = u(x) \exp[i(\kappa z - \delta t + \theta)], \quad (2)$$

where $u(x)$ is a real amplitude function depending on the traveling coordinate $x = t - qz$, and $q = v^{-1}$ is the inverse velocity. Also, κ and δ are the respective real parameters describing the wave number and frequency shift, while θ represents the phase of pulse at $z = 0$.

On substitution of the waveform solution (2) into the model (1), one obtains the system of ordinary differential equations:

$$(q - 2\alpha_2\delta - \alpha_1)\frac{du}{dx} + [3\lambda + 2\epsilon - 2\delta(3\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 - \sigma_3)]u^2\frac{du}{dx} = 0, \quad (3)$$

$$\alpha_2\frac{d^2u}{dx^2} + (3\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 + \sigma_3)u^2\frac{d^2u}{dx^2} + 6\sigma_1u\left(\frac{du}{dx}\right)^2 - [\gamma - \lambda\delta + \delta^2(\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 + \sigma_3)]u^3 + (\kappa - \alpha_1\delta - \alpha_2\delta^2)u = 0. \quad (4)$$

In the general case the system of Eqs. (3) and (4) is overdetermined because we have two differential equations for the function $U(z, t)$. However, if some constraints for the parameters in Eq. (3) are fulfilled the system of Eqs. (3) and (4) has non-trivial solutions. From Eq. (3), one finds the relations:

$$q = \alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2\delta, \quad \delta = \frac{3\lambda + 2\epsilon}{2(3\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 - \sigma_3)}. \quad (5)$$

The latter relations lead to the following expression for the wave velocity $v = 1/q$,

$$v = \frac{3\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 - \sigma_3}{\alpha_1(3\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 - \sigma_3) + \alpha_2(3\lambda + 2\epsilon)}. \quad (6)$$

Moreover, the relations in Eq. (5) reduce the system of Eqs. (3) and (4) to the ordinary nonlinear differential equation,

$$(\alpha_2 + bu^2)\frac{d^2u}{dx^2} + cu\left(\frac{du}{dx}\right)^2 - du^3 + \Gamma u = 0, \quad (7)$$

where the parameters a , b , c and d are given by

$$b = 3\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 + \sigma_3, \quad c = 6\sigma_1, \quad (8a)$$

$$d = \gamma + \frac{(3\lambda + 2\epsilon)[2\epsilon(\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 + \sigma_3) + \lambda(5\sigma_3 + \sigma_2 - 3\sigma_1)]}{4(3\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 - \sigma_3)^2}, \quad (8b)$$

$$\Gamma = \kappa - \frac{(3\lambda + 2\epsilon)[2\alpha_1(3\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 - \sigma_3) + \alpha_2(3\lambda + 2\epsilon)]}{4(3\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 - \sigma_3)^2}. \quad (8c)$$

By analytically solving the amplitude equation (7), we can identify the various nonlinear waves that can propagate in the optical metamaterial described by the generalized NLSE (1). Noting that Eq. (7) can be written as

$$\frac{d^2u}{dx^2} + f(u)\left(\frac{du}{dx}\right)^2 + g(u) = 0, \quad (9)$$

where the functions $f(u)$ and $g(u)$ are given by

$$f(u) = \frac{cu}{bu^2 + \alpha_2}, \quad g(u) = \frac{u(\Gamma - du^2)}{bu^2 + \alpha_2}. \quad (10)$$

We introduce new function $Y(u)$ by equation,

$$\frac{du}{dx} = Y(u). \quad (11)$$

Thus, Eq. (9) for new function $Y(u)$ has the following form,

$$\frac{dY}{du} + f(u)Y + g(u)Y^{-1} = 0. \quad (12)$$

Now let us define the function $F(u)$ by relation,

$$F(u) = Y^2(u), \quad (13)$$

then the equation for new function F is

$$\frac{dF}{du} + 2f(u)F + 2g(u) = 0. \quad (14)$$

The general solution of this equation has the form,

$$F(u) = F_0 e^{-G(u)} - 2e^{-G(u)} \int_{u_0}^u g(u') e^{G(u')} du', \quad (15)$$

where the function $G(u)$ is defined as

$$G(u) = 2 \int_{u_0}^u f(u') du'. \quad (16)$$

We note the solution in Eq. (15) satisfies to the boundary condition as $F(u_0) = F_0$. In addition, the function $G(u)$ has the following explicit form,

$$G(u) = 2c \int_{u_0}^u \frac{u' du'}{bu'^2 + \alpha_2} = \frac{c}{b} \ln \frac{|bu^2 + \alpha_2|}{|bu_0^2 + \alpha_2|}. \quad (17)$$

Also, Eqs. (11) and (13) yield the differential equation: $dx = \pm du / \sqrt{F(u)}$. Thus, we find that the general solution of Eq. (7) takes the form,

$$x - x_0 = \pm \int_{u_0}^u \frac{du'}{\sqrt{F(u')}}, \quad (18)$$

where the function $F(u)$ is defined by Eq. (15).

The general solution of Eq. (7) can also be written in the form: $x = x_0 \pm [R(u) - R(u_0)]$ with $R(u) = \int F^{-1/2}(u) du$. This solution defines the variable x as a function of the amplitude u . Hence, we have the boundary condition: $x = x_0$ at $u = u_0$ or $u(x_0) = u_0$. This general solution leads to many different particular solutions when we define some constraints to the coefficients of NLSE given in Eq. (1). In what follows, the different classes of periodic (elliptic) waves are shown to exist in the presence of all physical processes. Such kind of waves serves as a model of pulse train propagation in optical systems [53]. Moreover, results for dipole, bright and W-shaped soliton solutions are also obtained for the governing envelope equation.

III. PERIODIC AND SOLITON SOLUTIONS

A. Periodic waves

We have found that Eq. (7) possesses an exact solution that is composed of the product of periodic elliptic functions,

$$u(x) = \pm R \operatorname{dn}(w(x - \eta), k) \operatorname{sn}(w(x - \eta), k), \quad (19)$$

where η is an arbitrary constant while $\operatorname{dn}(\zeta, k)$ and $\operatorname{sn}(\zeta, k)$ are Jacobi elliptic functions of modulus k with $0 < k < 1$. The real parameters R and w in this solution are defined by the expressions:

$$R = \sqrt{-\frac{4\alpha_2 k^2}{b}}, \quad w = \sqrt{\frac{d}{b(2k^2 - 1)}}. \quad (20)$$

We assume here the constraint as $\sigma_1 = -(\sigma_2 + \sigma_3)/7$. Further, we obtain the parameter κ :

$$\kappa = -\frac{\alpha_2 d}{b} + \frac{(3\lambda + 2\epsilon) [2\alpha_1 (3\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 - \sigma_3) + \alpha_2 (3\lambda + 2\epsilon)]}{4(3\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 - \sigma_3)^2}. \quad (21)$$

Relations (20) indicate that the necessary conditions for this solution to exist are $bd > 0$ for $1/\sqrt{2} < k < 1$; and $bd < 0$ for $0 < k < 1/\sqrt{2}$ and $\alpha_2 b < 0$.

If we insert the solution (19) into Eq. (2), we obtain a class of periodic wave solutions for the NLSE model (1) of the form,

$$U(z, t) = \pm R \operatorname{dn}(w\xi, k) \operatorname{sn}(w\xi, k) \exp[i(\kappa z - \delta t + \theta)], \quad (22)$$

where $\xi = t - qz - \eta$ with η is the position of wave at $z = 0$.

We obtained another periodic solution for Eq. (7) that is expressed by the product of periodic elliptic functions,

$$u(x) = \pm S \operatorname{cn}(p(x - \eta), k) \operatorname{sn}(p(x - \eta), k), \quad (23)$$

where $\operatorname{cn}(\zeta, k)$ is Jacobi elliptic function of modulus k with $0 < k < 1$. The real parameters S and p in this solution are given by

$$S = \sqrt{-\frac{4\alpha_2}{b}}, \quad p = \sqrt{\frac{d}{b(2 - k^2)}}. \quad (24)$$

We also assume here the constraint as $\sigma_1 = -(\sigma_2 + \sigma_3)/7$. Moreover, we obtain the parameter κ :

$$\kappa = -\frac{\alpha_2 d}{b} + \frac{(3\lambda + 2\epsilon) [2\alpha_1 (3\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 - \sigma_3) + \alpha_2 (3\lambda + 2\epsilon)]}{4(3\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 - \sigma_3)^2}. \quad (25)$$

Substitution of (23) into (2) yields to the following exact periodic wave solution of Eq. (1),

$$U(z, t) = \pm S \operatorname{cn}(p\xi, k) \operatorname{sn}(p\xi, k) \exp[i(\kappa z - \delta t + \theta)], \quad (26)$$

where ξ is the same as above. From Eq. (24), we see that this periodic solution exists when the following inequalities are satisfied: $\alpha_2 b < 0$ and $bd > 0$.

We also obtained the periodic solution for Eq. (7) that is expressed by the product of periodic functions as

$$u(x) = \pm D \operatorname{cn}(h(x - \eta), k) \operatorname{dn}(h(x - \eta), k), \quad (27)$$

The real parameters D and h in this solution are given by

$$D = \sqrt{\frac{4\alpha_2 k^2}{b(1 - k^2)^2}}, \quad h = \sqrt{-\frac{d}{b(1 + k^2)}}. \quad (28)$$

We also assume here the constraint as $\sigma_1 = -(\sigma_2 + \sigma_3)/7$. Moreover, we obtain the parameter κ :

$$\kappa = -\frac{\alpha_2 d}{b} + \frac{(3\lambda + 2\epsilon) [2\alpha_1 (3\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 - \sigma_3) + \alpha_2 (3\lambda + 2\epsilon)]}{4(3\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 - \sigma_3)^2}. \quad (29)$$

Substitution of (27) into (2) yields to the following exact periodic wave solution of Eq. (1),

$$U(z, t) = \pm D \operatorname{cn}(h\xi, k) \operatorname{dn}(h\xi, k) \exp[i(\kappa z - \delta t + \theta)], \quad (30)$$

where ξ is the same as above. From Eq. (28), we see that this periodic solution exists when the following inequalities are satisfied: $\alpha_2 b > 0$ and $bd < 0$.

B. Dipole solitons

Considering the long-wave limit $k \rightarrow 1$, the periodic solutions (22) degenerates to a dipole-type soliton solution of the form,

$$U(z, t) = \pm R_0 \operatorname{sech}(w_0\xi) \operatorname{th}(w_0\xi) \exp[i(\kappa z - \delta t + \theta)], \quad (31)$$

under the parametric condition as $\sigma_1 = -(\sigma_2 + \sigma_3)/7$. The soliton amplitude R_0 and the inverse width w_0 are given by

$$R_0 = \sqrt{-\frac{4\alpha_2}{b}}, \quad w_0 = \sqrt{\frac{d}{b}}, \quad (32)$$

while the wave number κ takes the same relation (21). Notice that when $k \rightarrow 1$, the periodic wave solution (26) also degenerates to the same soliton-type solution (31). It follows from Eq. (32) that this dipole soliton exists when the next two conditions are satisfied: $b\alpha_2 < 0$ and $bd > 0$.

For this class of dipole solitons, the energy E is given by

$$E = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |U(z, t)|^2 dt = \frac{8|\alpha_2|}{3|b|w_0}. \quad (33)$$

Notice that the pulse energy E is the integral of motion [$dE/dz = 0$] of the generalized NLSE (1) for any optical pulses satisfying the boundary condition: $U(z, t) \rightarrow 0$ for $t \rightarrow \pm\infty$. An important observation here is that the energy of dipole solitons depends on all system parameters which are included in the coefficients b and d . This enable us to change the soliton energy by appropriate manipulation of the metamaterial parameters.

C. Bright solitons

We also find that Eq. (1) yields a bright soliton solution of the form,

$$U(z, t) = \Lambda_0 \operatorname{sech}^2(w_0\xi) \exp[i(\kappa z - \delta t + \theta)], \quad (34)$$

under the parametric conditions as $\alpha_2 = 0$ and $\sigma_1 = -(\sigma_2 + \sigma_3)/7$. The amplitude Λ_0 of this soliton solution is a free real parameter while its inverse width and wave number parameters w_0 and κ are given as

$$w_0 = \sqrt{-\frac{d}{2b}}, \quad \kappa = \frac{\alpha_1(3\lambda + 2\epsilon)}{2(3\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 - \sigma_3)}, \quad (35)$$

with the condition $db < 0$. Moreover, the corresponding energy E of this bright soliton reads

$$E = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |U(z, t)|^2 dt = \frac{4\Lambda_0^2}{3w_0}. \quad (36)$$

D. W-shaped solitons

In addition, we obtained a W-shaped soliton solution of Eq. (1) as follows:

$$U(z, t) = B_0 \left(1 - \frac{3}{2} \operatorname{sech}^2(w_0\xi) \right) \exp[i(\kappa z - \delta t + \theta)], \quad (37)$$

which takes place for the conditions: $\alpha_2 = 0$ and $\sigma_1 = -(\sigma_2 + \sigma_3)/7$. The amplitude B_0 of this waveform is a free real parameter while the inverse width parameter w_0 reads

$$w_0 = \sqrt{\frac{d}{2b}}, \quad (38)$$

with the condition $db > 0$. The parameter κ for this W-shaped soliton solution is

$$\kappa = dB_0^2 + \frac{\alpha_1(3\lambda + 2\epsilon)}{2(3\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 - \sigma_3)}. \quad (39)$$

Figures 1(a), 1(b) and 1(c) depict the evolution of the intensity profiles of dipole, bright and W-shaped solitons (31), (34) and (37) for the parameter values $\sigma_1 = -0.08$, $\sigma_2 = 0.5$, and $\sigma_3 = 0.06$. The other parameters are taken as $\alpha_1 = 1.35$, $\alpha_2 = -0.25$, $\gamma = -2$, $\lambda = 0.25$, $\epsilon = 0.125$ for solution (31), $\gamma = -1.4075$, $\alpha_1 = 0.1$, $\lambda = 0.125$, $\epsilon = -0.25$, $\Lambda_0 = 1$ for solution (34), and $\gamma = -2$, $\alpha_1 = 0.1$, $\lambda = 0.25$, $\epsilon = 0.125$, $B_0 = 1$ for solution (37). Also, we choose the position η of pulses at $z = 0$ to be equal to 0. From these figures, we observe that the soliton profiles remain unchanged during evolution.




FIG. 1: Evolution of the soliton solutions with parameters $\sigma_1 = -0.08$, $\sigma_2 = 0.5$, and $\sigma_3 = 0.06$ (a) dipole soliton (31) when $\alpha_1 = 1.35$, $\alpha_2 = -0.25$, $\gamma = -2$, $\lambda = 0.25$, $\epsilon = 0.125$, $\eta = 0$ (b) bright soliton (34) $\gamma = -1.4075$, $\alpha_1 = 0.1$, $\lambda = 0.125$, $\epsilon = -0.25$, $\eta = 0$, $\Lambda_0 = 1$ (c) W-shaped soliton (37) when $\gamma = -2$, $\alpha_1 = 0.1$, $\lambda = 0.25$, $\epsilon = 0.125$, $\eta = 0$, $B_0 = 1$.

IV. METHOD OF SOLVING GENERALIZED NLSE WITH VARIABLE COEFFICIENTS

A natural situation would be to consider the inhomogeneities of media in describing more realistic phenomena. The presence of such inhomogeneities modifies the propagation dynamics of nonlinear waves since in this case, the system parameters become functions of the propagation distance. Thus, the ultrashort light pulse transmission in a realistic nonlinear metamaterial can be described by the generalized NLSE with varied coefficients:

$$i\psi_z + iD_1(z)\psi_t - D_2(z)\psi_{tt} + R(z)|\psi|^2\psi = i\rho(z)(|\psi|^2\psi)_t + if(z)(|\psi|^2)_t\psi + \chi_1(z)(|\psi|^2\psi)_{tt} + \chi_2(z)|\psi|^2\psi_{tt} + \chi_3(z)\psi^2\psi_{tt}^*. \quad (40)$$

where $D_1(z)$, $D_2(z)$, $R(z)$, $\rho(z)$ and $f(z)$ are the variable intermodal dispersion, group velocity dispersion, Kerr nonlinearity, self-steepening, and nonlinear dispersion coefficients, respectively. The functions $\chi_i(z)$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$ stand for the varying higher-order nonlinear dispersion coefficients.

Equation (1) is an important generalization of the model (1) which may be useful for further understanding of the general behavior of the realistic system. In what follows, we introduce a powerful similarity transformation method which enables us to study the soliton dynamics in a real optical metamaterial described by Eq. (40). One notes that studying the control and manipulation of the soliton pulses within the framework of variable-coefficient NLSE models may help to manage them experimentally not only in inhomogeneous nonlinear metamaterials but also in optical fibers and Bose-Einstein condensates where the NLS family of equations can be also applied to describe the field dynamics.

To obtain the exact analytical solutions for Eq. (40), we developed the method based on the following form of the wave function $\psi(z, t)$:

$$\psi(z, t) = u(y) \exp[i(\kappa Z(z) - \delta T(z, t) + \theta)], \quad (41)$$

$$y = T(z, t) - qZ(z), \quad q = \alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2\delta, \quad (42)$$

where $T(z, t)$ and $Z(z)$ are two functions to be determined and the frequency shift δ is given in Eq. (5). It is important that we present here the function $T(z, t)$ and variable y in the special form as

$$T(z, t) = t + P(z), \quad y = t - [qZ(z) - P(z)]. \quad (43)$$

Moreover, we require that the wave function $\psi(z, t)$ in (41) coincides with the wave function $U(z, t)$ in (2) for $z = 0$. This initial condition for the wave functions $\psi(z, t)$ and $U(z, t)$ yields the following initial conditions for the functions $Z(z)$ and $T(z, t)$: $Z(0) = 0$ and $T(0, t) = t$. Hence, using the expressions in Eq. (43) we have the initial conditions for the functions $Z(z)$ and $P(z)$: $Z(0) = 0$ and $P(0) = 0$.

Substitution of the expressions (41) and (43) into Eq. (40) leads to the following equations:

$$(P' - qZ' + D_1 + 2\delta D_2) \frac{du}{dy} = [3\rho + 2f - 2\delta(3\chi_1 + \chi_2 - \chi_3)] u^2 \frac{du}{dy}, \quad (44)$$

$$D_2 \frac{d^2 u}{dy^2} + (3\chi_1 + \chi_2 + \chi_3) u^2 \frac{d^2 u}{dy^2} + 6\chi_1 u \left(\frac{du}{dy} \right)^2 - [R - \rho\delta + \delta^2(\chi_1 + \chi_2 + \chi_3)] u^3 + (\kappa Z' - \delta P' - \delta D_1 - \delta^2 D_2) u = 0, \quad (45)$$

where $P' = dP/dz$ and $Z' = dZ/dz$. Eq. (44) is satisfied when the following relations are accepted:

$$\frac{dP}{dz} - q \frac{dZ}{dz} + D_1 + 2\delta D_2 = 0, \quad (46)$$

$$3\rho + 2f - 2\delta(3\chi_1 + \chi_2 - \chi_3) = 0. \quad (47)$$

Now we perform the important transformation in Eq. (45) using the following change of the variable: $y \mapsto x$. This mapping also yields the change of function in (45) as $u(y) \mapsto u(x)$. One can see that above mapping does not change the form of function u . Moreover, Eq. (45) is equivalent to Eq. (4) when the above mapping of variable is performed ($y \mapsto x$) and the following equations are satisfied:

$$\frac{3\chi_1 + \chi_2 + \chi_3}{D_2} = \frac{3\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 + \sigma_3}{\alpha_2}, \quad \frac{\chi_1}{D_2} = \frac{\sigma_1}{\alpha_2}, \quad (48)$$

$$\frac{R - \rho\delta + \delta^2(\chi_1 + \chi_2 + \chi_3)}{D_2} = \frac{\gamma - \lambda\delta + \delta^2(\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 + \sigma_3)}{\alpha_2}, \quad (49)$$

$$\frac{1}{D_2} \left(\kappa \frac{dZ}{dz} - \delta \frac{dP}{dz} - \delta D_1 - \delta^2 D_2 \right) = \frac{\kappa - \alpha_1 \delta - \alpha_2 \delta^2}{\alpha_2}. \quad (50)$$

By solving Eqs. (46-50) with the initial conditions $Z(0) = 0$ and $P(0) = 0$ self-consistently, we obtain the following results that define the mapping variables:

$$P(z) = \frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha_2} \int_0^z D_2(s) ds - \int_0^z D_1(s) ds, \quad Z(z) = \frac{1}{\alpha_2} \int_0^z D_2(s) ds, \quad (51)$$

$$R(z) = \frac{\gamma}{\alpha_2} D_2(z), \quad \rho(z) = \frac{\lambda}{\alpha_2} D_2(z), \quad f(z) = \frac{\epsilon}{\alpha_2} D_2(z), \quad (52)$$

$$\chi_1(z) = \frac{\sigma_1}{\alpha_2} D_2(z), \quad \chi_2(z) = \frac{\sigma_2}{\alpha_2} D_2(z), \quad \chi_3(z) = \frac{\sigma_3}{\alpha_2} D_2(z), \quad (53)$$

Thus, all varying parameters in Eq. (40) completely defined by arbitrary function $D_2(z)$ via the constraints given in Eqs. (52) and (53). We also have found that the function $T(z, t)$ and variable y have the form,

$$T(z, t) = t + \frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha_2} \int_0^z D_2(s) ds - \int_0^z D_1(s) ds, \quad (54)$$

$$y = t - \int_0^z D_1(s) ds - 2\delta \int_0^z D_2(s) ds. \quad (55)$$

Hence, the dynamics of solitons depends on two arbitrary functions as $D_1(z)$ and $D_2(z)$. Incorporating these results into Eq. (41), we obtain the general self-similar wave solutions to the generalized NLSE with distributed coefficients (40) as

$$\psi(z, t) = u(t - \zeta(z)) \exp[i(\kappa Z(z) - \delta T(z, t) + \theta)], \quad (56)$$

where

$$\zeta(z) = \int_0^z D_1(s) ds + 2\delta \int_0^z D_2(s) ds, \quad (57)$$

and parameter δ is given here in Eq. (5). Thus, one can construct exact self-similar solutions to Eq. (40) by using the exact solutions of Eq. (1) via the transformation (56). It is worth noting that the existence of general self-similar solution (56) depends on the specific nonlinear and dispersive features of the medium, which have to satisfy the parametric conditions (52) and (53). These conditions present the exact balances among dispersive and nonlinear processes of different nature.

The equation for velocity of solitons follows from Eq. (56) which yields the relation $dt = (d\zeta/dz)dz$. Thus, the velocity of solitons $V(z) = dz/dt$ has the form,

$$V(z) = \left(\frac{d\zeta(z)}{dz} \right)^{-1} = \frac{1}{D_1(z) + 2\delta D_2(z)}. \quad (58)$$

We emphasize that the solutions described by Eqs. (56), (57) and (51-55) are satisfied to appropriate boundary conditions. The substitution into Eqs. (51-53) the limiting boundary conditions $D_1(z) = \alpha_1$ and $D_2(z) = \alpha_2$ yield the following relations: $P(z) = 0$, $Z(z) = z$, $R(z) = \gamma$, $\rho(z) = \lambda$, $f(z) = \epsilon$, $\chi_1(z) = \sigma_1$, $\chi_2(z) = \sigma_2$, $\chi_3(z) = \sigma_3$ which transform NLSE (40) into generalized NLSE (1). Moreover, in this case we have $Z(z) = z$, $T(z, t) = t$ and $\zeta(z) = (\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2\delta)z = qz$ which leads the wave function in (56) to the form,

$$\psi(z, t) = u(t - qz) \exp[i(\kappa z - \delta t + \theta)]. \quad (59)$$

Hence, in this limiting case we have $\psi(z, t) = U(z, t)$ where the wave function $U(z, t)$ is defined in (2), and the velocity in (58) is $V(z) = 1/q = v$. Note that from this limiting case we have the relation $\psi(0, t) = U(0, t)$ which is connected with our initial conditions as $Z(0) = 0$ and $P(0) = 0$.

We also note that the developed method of solving the generalized NLSE with variable coefficients is applied here to Eq. (40). However, this general method can be used for solving an arbitrary NLSE with variable coefficients. We emphasize that this method for solving the generalized NLSE with variable coefficients is significantly differ from the mapping given in the previous papers [20, 21, 54, 55].

V. WAVE-SPEED MANAGEMENT OF DIPOLE SOLITONS

Having obtained the general self-similar solution (56) of the physically relevant model (40), we now analyze the problem of wave-speed management of soliton pulses by considering important spatial modulation of metamaterial parameters. In particular, we will study the deceleration (i.e., the slowing) and acceleration motions of the dipole soliton pulse as it propagates through the optical metamaterial.

To start with, we first construct the exact self-similar soliton solutions of the generalized NLSE with distributed coefficients (40). Substitution of the solution (31) into Eq. (56) leads to a family of exact self-similar dipole soliton solution for Eq. (40) of the form,

$$\psi(z, t) = \pm R_0 \operatorname{sech}(w_0\tau(z, t)) \operatorname{th}(w_0\tau(z, t)) \exp[i(\kappa Z(z) - \delta T(z, t) + \theta)], \quad (60)$$

where $\tau(z, t) = t - \zeta(z) - \eta$. Here the amplitude R_0 and inverse width w_0 are given in (32) and the wave number κ in (22) with the parametric condition as $\sigma_1 = -(\sigma_2 + \sigma_3)/7$.

Expression (60) shows that the amplitude and inverse width of dipole solitons remain constants as they propagate through the metamaterial while their velocity $V(z)$, given by Eq. (58), is affected by the varying dispersion coefficients $D_1(z)$ and $D_2(z)$. This may lead to the decelerating or accelerating soliton motions by suitable variations of these parameters. Below, we analyze the deceleration and acceleration processes of dipole solitons by managing the distributed parameters $D_1(z)$ and $D_2(z)$.

A. Deceleration of a dipole soliton

To illustrate the wave-speed management of the obtained self-similar dipole soliton solution (60), we consider as example an exponential distributed control system with a spatial modulation of $D_1(z)$ and $D_2(z)$ in the form,

$$D_1(z) = g_0 + g_1 \exp(mz), \quad (61)$$

$$D_2(z) = d_0 + d_1 \exp(nz), \quad (62)$$

where d_0, d_1, g_0, g_1 and m, n are real constants. Here, the parameters m and n can be utilized for adjusting the rate of slowing soliton. The other parameters of the metamaterial can be obtained exactly through Eqs. (51), (52) and (53). Consequently, the function $\zeta(z)$ given in Eq. (57) can be derived as

$$\zeta(z) = (g_0 + 2\delta d_0)z + \frac{g_1}{m} (e^{mz} - 1) + \frac{2\delta d_1}{n} (e^{nz} - 1) \quad (63)$$

It follows from Eq. (58) that slowing of dipole soliton can be achieved when $V(z) \ll 1/q$ or $D_1(z) + 2\delta D_2(z) \gg q$.




FIG. 2: Intensity distribution of (a)-(b) the dipole soliton (60) for $D_1(z)$ and $D_2(z)$ given by Eqs. (61) and (62). The parameters are $g_0 = 0.5, g_1 = 1.5, d_0 = 0.1, d_1 = 0.48, m = -0.5, n = -0.48$, and $\eta = 0$. The other parameters are the same as in Fig. 1(a).

Figure 2 depicts the propagation dynamics of the dipole soliton (60) in the presence of spatial dependent dispersions $D_1(z)$ and $D_2(z)$ given by Eqs. (61) and (62) for the parameter values $g_0 = 0.5, g_1 = 1.5, d_0 = 0.1, d_1 = 0.48, m = -0.5, n = -0.48$, and $\eta = 0$. The other parameters are chosen as those in Fig. 1(a). One can clearly see that through this spatial variation of $D_1(z)$ and $D_2(z)$, the soliton structure can be slowed as it propagates in the optical metamaterial. It can be also observed that neither the soliton amplitude nor width change during the propagation.

B. Acceleration of a dipole soliton

To accelerate the motion of obtained dipole soliton, we select the parameters $D_1(z)$ and $D_2(z)$ to vary periodically along the propagation direction inside the system as,

$$D_1(z) = \frac{gz}{a} \cos(az^2) - gz^3 \sin(az^2), \quad (64)$$

$$D_2(z) = d_0 + d_1 \cos(bz), \quad (65)$$

where g, d_0, d_1, a and b are constants. The other metamaterial parameters can be calculated by using Eqs. (51), (52) and (53). In this case, the function $\zeta(z)$ can be obtained using Eq. (57) as

$$\zeta(z) = \frac{1}{2a} gz^2 \cos(az^2) + 2\delta \left[d_0 z + \frac{d_1}{b} \sin(bz) \right]. \quad (66)$$

We present the propagation dynamics of the dipole soliton (60) with the distributed parameters $D_1(z)$ and $D_2(z)$ given by Eqs. (64) and (65) in Fig. 3 with $g = -0.3, d_0 = -0.02, d_1 = 1, a = 1, b = 1$, and $\eta = 0$. The other parameters are chosen as in Fig. 1(a). We observe that the dipole soliton displays an accelerating behavior as it propagates through the optical metamaterial.




FIG. 3: Intensity distribution of (a)-(b) the dipole soliton (60) for $D_1(z)$ and $D_2(z)$ given by Eqs. (64) and (65). The parameters are $g = -0.3$, $d_0 = -0.02$, $d_1 = 1$, $a = 1$, $b = 1$ and $\eta = 0$. The other parameters are the same as in Fig. 1(a).

VI. WAVE-SPEED MANAGEMENT OF BRIGHT AND W-SHAPED SOLITONS

Now we turn our attention to discuss the wave-speed management of bright and W-shaped soliton solutions of the variable-coefficient NLSE (40) by designing appropriate metamaterial parameters. One should note here that these self-similar wave solutions take place when the constant and variable parameters of group velocity dispersion vanish (namely, $D_2(z) = 0$ and $\alpha_2 = 0$). We can find appropriate transformation given in Eqs. (41) and (43) using the found mapping relations in Eqs.(51-55). In this case we make in NLSE (40) and other equations the change $D_2(z) \mapsto \alpha_2$ and after the limit $\alpha_2 \rightarrow 0$. Thus, we have the following mapping in (40): $R(z) = \gamma$, $\rho(z) = \lambda$, $f(z) = \epsilon$, $\chi_1(z) = \sigma_1$, $\chi_2(z) = \sigma_2$, $\chi_3(z) = \sigma_3$, and Eqs. (51) and (54) yield

$$P(z) = \alpha_1 z - \int_0^z D_1(s) ds, \quad (67)$$

$$Z(z) = z, \quad T(z, t) = t + \alpha_1 z - \int_0^z D_1(s) ds. \quad (68)$$

We also have the relation $\zeta(z) = \alpha_1 z - P(z)$ which leads to equations,

$$\zeta(z) = \int_0^z D_1(s) ds, \quad V(z) = \left(\frac{d\zeta(z)}{dz} \right)^{-1} = \frac{1}{D_1(z)}. \quad (69)$$

Moreover, in this case we have the relation $Z(z) = z$, which reduces Eq. (56) to the form,

$$\psi(z, t) = u(t - \zeta(z)) \exp[i(\kappa z - \delta T(z, t) + \theta)]. \quad (70)$$

Thus, using the solution (34) and the transformation (70) we can write the self-similar bright soliton solution of Eq. (40) as

$$\psi(z, t) = \Lambda_0 \operatorname{sech}^2(w_0 \tau(z, t)) \exp[i(\kappa z - \delta T(z, t) + \theta)]. \quad (71)$$

where $\tau(z, t) = t - \zeta(z) - \eta$. The amplitude Λ_0 is a free real parameter, the inverse width w_0 and the wave number κ are given in (35) with the parametric conditions as $\alpha_2 = 0$ and $\sigma_1 = -(\sigma_2 + \sigma_3)/7$.

The solution (37) and transformation (70) gives rise to a family of self-similar W-shaped soliton solution for Eq. (40) as

$$\psi(z, t) = B_0 \left(1 - \frac{3}{2} \operatorname{sech}^2(w_0 \tau(z, t)) \right) \exp[i(\kappa z - \delta T(z, t) + \theta)], \quad (72)$$

where $\tau(z, t) = t - \zeta(z) - \eta$. The amplitude B_0 is a free real parameter, the inverse width w_0 and the wave number κ are given in (38) and (39) with the parametric conditions as $\alpha_2 = 0$ and $\sigma_1 = -(\sigma_2 + \sigma_3)/7$.

A. Deceleration of bright and W-shaped solitons

Now consider an exponential distributed control system with a spatial modulation of $D_1(z)$ as given by Eq. (61). Consequently, the function $\zeta(z)$ for the bright and W-shaped soliton pulses given in Eq. (69) is determined as

$$\zeta(z) = g_0 z + \frac{g_1}{m} (e^{mz} - 1). \quad (73)$$

This shows that the slowing soliton can be achieved for $V(z) = 1/D_1(z) \ll 1/\alpha_1$ or $D_1(z) \gg \alpha_1$.




FIG. 4: Intensity distribution of (a)-(b) the bright soliton (71) and (c)-(d) the W-shaped soliton (72) for $D_1(z)$ given by Eq. (61). The parameters are $g_0 = 1$, $g_1 = 4$, $m = -0.5$ and $\eta = 0$. The other parameters are the same as those in Figs. 1(b) and 1(c) respectively.

In Figs. 4(a)-(b) and 4(c)-(d), we have depicted the propagation dynamics of the bright and W-shaped solitons (71) and (72) in the control system with $D_1(z)$ given by Eq. (61) for the parameter values $g_0 = 1$, $g_1 = 4$, $m = -0.5$ and $\eta = 0$. The other parameters are chosen as those in Figs. 1(b) and 1(c) respectively. We clearly see that through this spatial variation of $D_1(z)$, the soliton pulses can be slowed while evolving in distance. We also observe that the amplitude and the width of the solitons keep invariant as distance increases.

B. Acceleration of bright and W-shaped solitons

We now consider a periodic variation of the intermodal dispersion coefficient $D_1(z)$ in the form (64). For this parametric choice, the function $\zeta(z)$ for the bright and W-shaped solitons given in Eq. (69) takes the form,

$$\zeta(z) = \frac{1}{2a} g z^2 \cos(az^2). \quad (74)$$

We present the propagation dynamics of the bright and W-shaped solitons (71) and (72) in the control system with $D_1(z)$ given Eq. (64) in Figs. 5(a)-(b) and 5(c)-(d) with $g = -0.3$, $a = 1$ and $\eta = 0$. The other parameters are chosen as those in Figs. 1(b) and 1(c) respectively. The changes of the soliton trajectories in these figures clearly indicate their accelerating motion.

VII. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, we have studied the wave-speed management of dipole, bright and W-shaped solitons in an optical metamaterial exhibiting higher-order dispersive and nonlinear effects of different nature. The propagation of few-cycle




FIG. 5: Intensity distribution of (a)-(b) the bright soliton (71) and (c)-(d) the W-shaped soliton (72) for $D_1(z)$ given by Eq. (64). The parameters are $g = -0.3$, $a = 1$ and $\eta = 0$. The other parameters are the same as those in Figs. 1(b) and 1(c) respectively.

pulse in such system is described by the generalized nonlinear Schrödinger equation incorporating distributed group velocity dispersion, cubic nonlinearity, intermodal dispersion, self-steepening, nonlinear dispersion, and higher-order nonlinear terms that appear in the setting of metamaterials. We have found that the medium supports the existence of three new types of periodic wave solutions that are composed of the product of pairs of Jacobi elliptic functions in the presence of all physical processes. We have also developed an effective similarity transformation method to study the soliton dynamics in the presence of the inhomogeneities of media and analyze the soliton wave-speed management. The results have showed that an effective control of the soliton wave speed can be achieved through an appropriate choice of the group velocity dispersion and intermodal dispersion parameters. In particular, we have demonstrated that the soliton pulses can be decelerated and accelerated by suitably choosing the spatial variation of the dispersion parameters. We anticipate that the obtained results will be important in the future investigations of ultrashort solitons in nonlinear metamaterials.

-
- [1] P. Li, R. Yang, and Z. Xu, Phys. Rev. E **82**, 046603 (2010).
 - [2] J. B. Pendry, Phys. Rev. Lett. **85**, 3966 (2000).
 - [3] E. J. Reed, M. Soljacic, and J. D. Joannopoulos, Phys. Rev. Lett. **91**, 133901 (2003).
 - [4] A. A. Zharov, I. V. Shadrivov, and Yu. S. Kivshar, Phys. Rev. Lett. **91**, 037401 (2003).
 - [5] C. G. Parazzoli, R. B. Greigor, K. Li, B. E. C. Koltenbah, and M. Tanielian, Phys. Rev. Lett. **90**, 107401 (2003).
 - [6] Y. Shen, P. G. Kevrekidis, G. P. Veldes, D. J. Frantzeskakis, D. DiMarzio, X. Lan, and V. Radisic, Phys. Rev. E **95**, 032223 (2017).
 - [7] A. B. Kozyrev and D. W. Van der Weide, J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys. **41**, 173001 (2008).
 - [8] A. B. Kozyrev and D. W. Van der Weide, Appl. Phys. Lett. **91**, 254111 (2007).
 - [9] A. B. Kozyrev, I. V. Shadrivov and Y. S. Kivshar, Appl. Phys. Lett. **104**, 084105 (2014).
 - [10] Z. Wang, Y. Feng, B. Zhu, J. Zhao and T. Jiang, J. Appl. Phys. **107**, 094907 (2010).
 - [11] L.Q. English a, S.G. Wheeler, Y. Shen, G.P. Veldes, N. Whitaker, P.G. Kevrekidis, D.J. Frantzeskakis, Phys. Lett. A **375**, 1242–1248 (2011).
 - [12] M. Scalora, M. S. Syrchin, N. Akozbek, E. Y. Poliakov, G. D’Aguanno, N. Mattiucci, M. J. Bloemer, and A. M. Zheltikov, Phys. Rev. Lett. **95**, 013902 (2005).
 - [13] G. P. Agrawal, Nonlinear Fiber Optics, 4th Ed. (Academic Press, San Diego, 2007).
 - [14] J. Belmonte-Beitia, V.M. Pérez-García, V. Vekslerchik, V.V. Konotop, Phys. Rev. Lett. **100**, 164102 (2008).
 - [15] R. K. Dodd, J. C. Eilbeck, J. D. Gibbon, and H. C. Morris, Solitons and nonlinear wave equations (Academic, New York, 1982).
 - [16] A. S. Davydov, Solitons in molecular systems (Reidel, Dordrecht, 1985).
 - [17] F. Abdullaev, S. Darmanyan, and P. Khabibullaev, Optical Solitons (Springer, Berlin, 1991).

- [18] L. Wang, J.-H. Zhang, C. Liu, M. Li, and F.-H. Qi, *Phys. Rev. E* **93**, 062217 (2016).
- [19] V. N. Serkin and A. Hasegawa, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **85**, 4502 (2000).
- [20] V. I. Kruglov, A. C. Peacock, and J. D. Harvey, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **90**, 113902 (2003).
- [21] V. I. Kruglov, A. C. Peacock, and J. D. Harvey, *Phys. Rev. E* **71**, 056619 (2005).
- [22] S. Chen and L. Yi, *Phys. Rev. E* **71** 016606 (2005).
- [23] S. A. Ponomarenko and G. P. Agrawal, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **97**, 013901 (2006).
- [24] V. N. Serkin, A. Hasegawa, and T. L. Belyaeva, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **98**, 074102 (2007).
- [25] F. Abdullaev, *Theory of solitons in inhomogeneous media*, Wiley, New York, 1994.
- [26] V.N. Serkin; A. Hasegawa, *IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Quantum Electronics*. **8**(3), 418-431 (2002).
- [27] V. N. Serkin, T. L. Belyaeva, *Quantum Electronics* **31** (11) 1007-1015 (2001).
- [28] H. G. Luo, D. Zhao, and X. G. He, *Phys. Rev. A* **79**, 063802 (2009).
- [29] Z.-Y. Yang, L.-C. Zhao, T. Zhang, and R.-H. Yue, *J. Opt. Soc. Am. B* **28**(2), 236- 240 (2011).
- [30] A. Hasegawa, Ed., *New Trends in Optical Soliton Transmission Systems*. Dordrecht, The Netherlands: Kluwer, 1998.
- [31] V. E. Zakharov and S. Wabnitz, *Optical Solitons: Theoretical Challenges and Industrial Perspectives*. Berlin, Germany: Springer-Verlag, 1999.
- [32] A. Hasegawa, *IEEE J. Select. Topics Quantum Electron.* **6**, 1161-1172 (2000).
- [33] A. Hasegawa, Ed., *Massive WDM and TDM Soliton Transmission Systems*. Dordrecht, The Netherlands: Kluwer, 2000.
- [34] N. J. Doran, N. J. Smith, W. Forystiak and F. M. Knox, *Physics and Applications of Optical Solitons in Fibers '95* (Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht, 1996).
- [35] W. Forystiak, K.J. Blow, N.J. Doran, *Electron. Lett.* **29**, 1225-1226 (1993).
- [36] S. Kumar, A. Hasegawa and Y. Kodama, *J. Opt. Soc. Am. B* **14**, 888-894 (1997).
- [37] A. Hasegawa, S. Kumar and Y. Kodama, *Opt. Lett.* **21**, 39-41, (1996).
- [38] L. W. S. Baines and . A. Van Gorder, *Phys. Rev. A* **97**, 063814 (2018).
- [39] P. G. Kevrekidis, H. Susanto, and Z. Chen, *Phys. Rev. E* **74**, 066606 (2006).
- [40] Y. Zhang, Z. Wang, Z. Nie, C. Li, H. Chen, K. Lu, and M. Xiao, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **106**, 093904 (2011).
- [41] Z. H. Li, L. Li, H. Tian, and G. S. Zhou, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **84**, 4096 (2000).
- [42] A. Biswas, K. Khan, M. F. Mahmood and M. Belic, *Optik* **125** (13), 3299-3302 (2014).
- [43] Y. Xiang, X. Dai, S. Wen, J. Guo and D. Fan, *Phys. Rev. A* **84**, 033815 (2011).
- [44] M. Veljkovic, Y. Xu, D. Milovic, M. F. Mahmood, A. Biswas and M. R. Belic, *J. Comput. Theor. Nanosci.* **12**, 5119–5124, (2015).
- [45] A. Biswas, M. Mirzazadeh, M. Savescu, D. Milovic, K. R. Khan, M. F. Mahmood and M. Belic, *J. Mod. Opt.* **61**(19), 1550–1555 (2014).
- [46] G. Ebadi, A. Mojavir, J. Vega-Guzman, K. R. Khan, M. F. Mahmood, L. Moraru, A. Biswas, and M. Belic, *Optoelectronics and Advanced Materials—Rapid Communications* **8**, 828 (2014).
- [47] Q. Zhou, Q. Zhu, Y. Liu, A. Biswas, A. H. Bhrawy, K. R. Khan, M. F. Mahmood, and M. Belic, *J. Optoelectron. Adv. Mater.* **16**, 1221 (2014).
- [48] Y. Xu, Q. Zhou, A. Bhrawy, K. R. Khan, M. F. Mahmood, A. Biswas and M. Belic, *Optoelectron. Adv. Mater. – Rapid Commun.* **9**(3–4), 384-387 (2015).
- [49] E. V. Krishnan, M. Al Gabshi, Q. Zhou, K. R. Khan, M. F. Mahmood, Y. Xu, A. Biswas, and M. Belic, *J. Optoelectron. Adv. Mater.* **17**, 511 (2015).
- [50] Y. Xu, J. Vega-Guzman, D. Milovic, M. Mirzazadeh, M. Eslami, M.F.Mahmood, A. Biswas, and M. Belic, *J. Nonlinear Opt. Phys. Mater.* **24** (4), 1550042 (2015).
- [51] H. Triki and V. I. Kruglov, *Phys. Rev. E* **101**, 042220 (2020).
- [52] V. I. Kruglov and H. Triki, *Phys. Rev. A* **102**, 043509 (2020).
- [53] C. Q. Dai, Y. Y. Wang, C. Yan, *Opt. Commun.* **283**, 1489 (2010).
- [54] C. Q. Dai, G. Q. Zhou, and J. F. Zhang, *Phys. Rev. E* **85**, 016603 (2012).
- [55] V. I. Kruglov and H. Triki, *Phys. Lett. A* **461**, 128644 (2023).