

Normalized solutions for some quasilinear elliptic equation with critical Sobolev exponent*

Xiaojing Feng, Yuhua Li*

School of Mathematical Sciences, Shanxi University, Taiyuan 030006, Shanxi, P.R. China

Abstract

Consider the equation

$$-\Delta_p u = \lambda |u|^{p-2} u + \mu |u|^{q-2} u + |u|^{p^*-2} u \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N$$

under the normalized constraint

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^p = c^p,$$

where $-\Delta_p u = \operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u)$, $1 < p < N$, $p < q < p^* = \frac{Np}{N-p}$, $c, \mu > 0$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. In the purely L^p -subcritical case, we obtain the existence of ground state solution by virtue of truncation technique, and obtain multiplicity of normalized solutions. In the purely L^p -critical and supercritical case, we drive the existence of positive ground state solution, respectively. Finally, we investigate the asymptotic behavior of ground state solutions obtained above as $\mu \rightarrow 0^+$.

Keywords: Normalized solutions; p -Laplace equation; Sobolev critical exponent; Pohožaev manifold.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2020): 35J92; 35B33; 35B06

1. Introduction and main results

The aim of this paper is to study the normalized solutions of some p -Laplace equation with combined power nonlinearities

$$-\Delta_p u = \lambda |u|^{p-2} u + \mu |u|^{q-2} u + |u|^{p^*-2} u \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \quad (1.1)$$

where $-\Delta_p u = \operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u)$, $1 < p < N$, $p < q < p^* = \frac{Np}{N-p}$, $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{R}$. Before we make precise statements, let us comment on some works which motivated this one. It is

*Projects supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos. 12271313, 12071266, 12026217, 12026218, 12101376), Fundamental Research Program of Shanxi Province (202203021211300, 202203021211309, 20210302124528) and Shanxi Scholarship Council of China (Grant No. 2020-005).

*Corresponding author.

Email addresses: fengxj@sxu.edu.cn (Xiaojing Feng), yhli@sxu.edu.cn (Yuhua Li)

well known (1.1) is a special form of the equation

$$-\Delta_p u = \lambda |u|^{p-2} u + f(u) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \quad (1.2)$$

where $f(u)$ is a general nonlinearity. In general, problem (1.2) can be seen as the stationary counterpart of evolution equations with nonlinear diffusion. The p -Laplace equation arises in a variety of physical phenomena, for instance, in the study of no-Newtonian fluids, and in the study of nonlinear elasticity problems, please see [21, 28] for more details of physical background. When looking for solutions to (1.2), a possible choice is to fix $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and to search for solutions to (1.2) as critical points of the corresponding energy functional by using variational method, see for example [2, 12, 26, 31, 37] in unbounded domains and [3, 4, 14, 27] in bounded domains.

Alternatively, from a physical point of view, it is interesting to find solutions to (1.2) having prescribed mass

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^p = c^p \quad \text{with } c > 0. \quad (1.3)$$

In this direction, the parameter $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ arises as a Lagrange multiplier, which depends on the solution and is not a priori given. The aim of this paper is to establish the existence asymptotic properties of weak solutions of (1.1) and (1.3). Here and after, by a solution we always mean a couple (u, λ) which satisfies (1.1) and (1.3). One refers to this type of solutions as to *normalized solutions*, since (1.3) imposes a normalization on the L^p -norm of u .

In the case of $p = 2$, (1.2) can be rewritten as the semilinear elliptic equation

$$-\Delta u = \lambda u + f(u) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N. \quad (1.4)$$

Normalized solutions of (1.4) have achieved considerable attention (see, for examples, [5, 7, 9, 22, 23, 33, 38, 43, 44]). Recently, in [39] Soave studied (1.4) with combined nonlinearities, i.e.,

$$f(u) = \mu |u|^{q-2} u + |u|^{p-2} u,$$

where $q < p$ satisfies $2 < q \leq \bar{q} = 2 + 4/N \leq p < 2^* = \frac{2N}{N-2}$. Existence and asymptotic properties of normalized ground state solutions, as well as stability/instability results, were established. Later, in [32] Luo and Zhang obtained existence and nonexistence results of normalized solutions for fractional Schrödinger equations with combined nonlinearities. In particular, Soave [40] investigated the Brezis-Nirenberg problem

$$-\Delta u = \lambda u + \mu |u|^{q-2} u + |u|^{2^*-2} u \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \quad (1.5)$$

where $2 < q < 2^*$. The cases of L^2 -subcritical, L^2 -critical and L^2 -supercritical perturbation were considered respectively. The author proved the existence and asymptotic properties of normalized ground state solutions for (1.5). With the help of positive lower order perturbation term $\mu |u|^{q-2} u$, the associated energy level can be pulled down below

the noncompactness level and henceforth the existence result is obtained. Compared with the case of $p = 2$, there are few papers considered the normalized solutions of (1.2) with $p \neq 2$ except [20, 47].

Motivated by the results mentioned above, we shall establish the existence and asymptotic properties of normalized solutions of (1.1) in the current paper. Let $E = W_r^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and denote by $|\cdot|_s$ the usual norm of $L^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with $s \in [1, \infty)$. Moreover, we denote by $J_\mu : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ the energy functional related to (1.1), given by

$$J_\mu(u) = \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^p - \frac{1}{p^*} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{p^*} - \frac{\mu}{q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^q.$$

It is standard that J_μ is of class C^1 in E , and any critical points of J_μ constrained to

$$S_c = \{u \in E : |u|_p = c\}$$

give rise to normalized solutions of (1.1) with λ as a Lagrange multiplier. We will focus on the existence and asymptotic properties of ground state solutions, the definition of which is as follows.

Definition 1.1. $u \in S_c$ is said to be a ground state solution of (1.1) if

$$(J_\mu|_{S_c})'(u) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad J_\mu(u) = \inf \{J_\mu(v) : v \in S_c \text{ with } (J_\mu|_{S_c})'(v) = 0\}.$$

Next, we recall the Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality [1, 10] as follows.

Lemma 1.1. *Let $p < q < p^*$, then the following inequality holds*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^q \leq C(q) |u|_p^{(1-\gamma_q)q} |\nabla u|_p^{\gamma_q q}, \quad \text{for all } u \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N),$$

where $\gamma_q = \frac{N(q-p)}{pq}$ and $C(q) > 0$ is the best possible constant in this inequality.

In order to obtain the ground state solution, as in [40], we introduce the Pohožaev manifold

$$\mathcal{M}_{c,\mu} = \{u \in S_c : P_\mu(u) = 0\},$$

where

$$P_\mu(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^p - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{p^*} - \mu \gamma_q \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^q.$$

It is clear that J_μ is bounded from below on $\mathcal{M}_{c,\mu}$ and

$$m(c, \mu) = \inf_{u \in \mathcal{M}_{c,\mu}} J_\mu(u)$$

is well defined provided that $\mathcal{M}_{c,\mu} \neq \emptyset$. Using Pohožaev identity (see [31]), the critical points of the functional $J_\mu|_{S_c}$ lie in $\mathcal{M}_{c,\mu}$. Hence if $\inf_{\mathcal{M}_{c,\mu}} J_\mu$ is achieved by the normalized solution for (1.1), then it is the a ground state solution of (1.1) on $S(c)$. In what follows, we will state our main results, for this purpose we will give some notations. Set

$$C' = \left(\frac{p^*(p - \gamma_q q) S^{\frac{p^*}{p}}}{p(p^* - \gamma_q q)} \right)^{\frac{p - \gamma_q q}{p^* - p}} \frac{(p^* - p)q}{C(q)p(p^* - \gamma_q q)},$$

where S is the optimal constant of the Sobolev embedding $D^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N) \hookrightarrow L^{p^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Define

$$\alpha(q) = \begin{cases} C', & \text{if } p < q < p + p^2/N, \\ \frac{q}{pC(q)}, & \text{if } q = p + p^2/N, \\ +\infty, & \text{if } p + p^2/N < q < p^*. \end{cases}$$

Theorem 1.1. *Let $\mu, c > 0$ be such that*

$$\mu c^{(1-\gamma_q)q} < \alpha(q). \quad (1.6)$$

Assume that $p < q < p + p^2/N$. We obtain

- (i) (1.1) has a positive ground state solution $u \in S_c$ with $J_\mu(u) < 0$ and u is an interior local minimizer of J_μ on the set $A_{R_0}(c) = \{u \in S_c : |\nabla u|_2 < R_0\}$ for a suitable R_0 .
- (ii) (1.1) possesses an unbounded sequence of solutions (u_k, λ_k) with $|u_k|_p = c$, $\lambda_k < 0$ and $J(u_k) \rightarrow 0^-$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$.
- (iii) if we make additional assumptions $N > 2$ and $N^{2/3} < p < 3$, then (1.1) also has a positive normalized solution of mountain pass type.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $\mu, c > 0$ be such that (1.6) holds. Assume that $p < N^{2/3}$ and $q = p + p^2/N$. Then (1.1) has a positive ground state solution in S_c for some $\lambda < 0$.*

Theorem 1.3. *Assume that $p < N^{2/3}$ and $p + p^2/N < q < p^*$. Then for any $c, \mu > 0$, the equation (1.1) has a positive ground state solution in S_c for some $\lambda < 0$.*

Theorem 1.4. *Let $u_{c,\mu} \in S_c$ be the positive ground state solution of (1.1) obtained in Theorems 1.1, 1.2 and 1.3 with energy level $m(c, \mu)$.*

- (i) *If $p < q < p + p^2/N$, then*

$$m(c, \mu) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{and} \quad |\nabla u_{c,\mu}|_p^p \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \mu \rightarrow 0^+.$$

- (ii) *If $p < N^{2/3}$ and $p + p^2/N \leq q < p^*$, then $u_{c,\mu} \rightarrow 0$ in E ,*

$$m(c, \mu) \rightarrow \frac{1}{N} S^{N/p} \quad \text{and} \quad |\nabla u_{c,\mu}|_p^p \rightarrow S^{N/p} \quad \text{as } \mu \rightarrow 0^+.$$

For $u \in E$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$, we define

$$u^t(x) = e^{\frac{N}{p}t} u(e^t x), \quad \text{for a.e. } x \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$

One can easily check that $|u^t|_p = |u|_p$ for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Then $u^t \in S_c$ if and only if $u \in S_c$. Moreover, the properties of $\mathcal{M}_{c,\mu}$ are closely related to the behavior of J_μ with respect to such a dilation. For any $u \in S_c$, we introduce the fiber map

$$\Psi_u^\mu(t) = J_\mu(u^t) = \frac{e^{pt}}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^p - \frac{e^{p^*t}}{p^*} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{p^*} - \mu \frac{e^{\gamma_q t}}{q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^q.$$

The monotonicity and convexity properties of Ψ_u^μ will affect the structure of $\mathcal{M}_{c,\mu}$ and, obviously, $u \in \mathcal{M}_{c,\mu}$ if and only if 0 is a critical point of the function Ψ_u^μ . In spirit of this, we shall decompose $\mathcal{M}_{c,\mu}$ into three parts

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{M}_{c,\mu}^+ &= \left\{ u \in S_c : (\Psi_u^\mu)'(0) = 0, (\Psi_u^\mu)''(0) > 0 \right\}, \\ \mathcal{M}_{c,\mu}^0 &= \left\{ u \in S_c : (\Psi_u^\mu)'(0) = 0, (\Psi_u^\mu)''(0) = 0 \right\}, \\ \mathcal{M}_{c,\mu}^- &= \left\{ u \in S_c : (\Psi_u^\mu)'(0) = 0, (\Psi_u^\mu)''(0) < 0 \right\}.\end{aligned}$$

Clearly, $\mathcal{M}_{c,\mu} = \mathcal{M}_{c,\mu}^+ \cup \mathcal{M}_{c,\mu}^0 \cup \mathcal{M}_{c,\mu}^-$. We will see from Lemmas 4.2, 5.4, 5.10 that if $p < q < p + p^2/N$ then $\mathcal{M}_{c,\mu}^0 = \emptyset$; while if $p + p^2/N \leq q < p^*$ then $\mathcal{M}_{c,\mu}^+ = \mathcal{M}_{c,\mu}^0 = \emptyset$.

Remark 1.1. The numbers $p + p^2/N$, i.e., L^p -critical exponents for p -Laplace equations in \mathbb{R}^N , play important roles in our setting. As one shall see, the structure of the set $\mathcal{M}_{c,\mu}$ is completely different in the four cases: $p < q < p + p^2/N$, $p + p^2/N \leq q < p^*$.

Remark 1.2. The assumption (1.6) plays important role in the study of the geometry of the constrained functional $J_\mu|_{S_c}$ for the two cases $p < q \leq p + p^2/N$ and $p + p^2/N < q < p^*$. In the latter case $p + p^2/N < q < p^*$, it is remarkable that we can prove that $\alpha(q) = +\infty$, so that any $c, \mu > 0$ are admissible. The assumption $p < N^{2/3}$ is used in the cases of $p + p^2/N \leq q < p^*$ in order to ensure that the ground state level $m(c, \mu)$ is less than $\frac{1}{N}S^{N/p}$, which is an essential ingredient in our compactness argument.

Since the variational setting of the problem (1.1) lacks an ordered Hilbert space structure which plays an important role in dealing with the problems, we will encounter several difficulties. The first one is the a.e. convergence of the gradients needs a justification. Furthermore, Palais-Smale sequences of constraint functional $J_\mu|_{S_c}$ are not a priori bounded and the sequence of approximate Lagrange multipliers has to be controlled. To overcome such a difficulty, we shall use Ekeland's variational principle and the stretched functional in the spirit of [23] to construct a special Palais-Smale sequence which carries additional information related to Pohožaev identity. Finally, the weak limit of a bounded Palais-Smale sequence could not belong to the constraint S_c and we cannot directly prove that the weak limit is a critical point of $J_\mu|_{S_c}$, because the embeddings $E \hookrightarrow L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $E \hookrightarrow L^{p^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ are not compact.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we present some preliminary results. We establish the existence of a special Palais-Smale sequence for the functional $J_\mu|_{S_c}$ and give the compactness analysis In Section 3. Sections 4 is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.1. The proofs of Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 are given in Sections 5, while the asymptotic properties of normalized solutions are given in Section 6.

Notations. The following notations will be used frequently.

- \mathbb{N}_+ denotes the positive integer set.

- $W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is the usual Sobolev space with the norm

$$\|u\| = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^p + |u|^p \right)^{1/p}.$$

- $W_r^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N) = \{u \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N) : u(x) = u(|x|)\}$ is equipped with the standard norm $\|\cdot\|$.
- The standard norm in $L^p(\Omega)$ is denoted by $|\cdot|_{p,\Omega}$ and by $|\cdot|_p$ if $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^N$.
- $D^{1,p} := D^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is the completion of $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with respect to $\|u\|_{D^{1,p}} = |\nabla u|_p$.
- $o(1)$ means a quantity which tends to 0.
- The symbols \rightarrow and \rightharpoonup denote the strong and weak convergence, respectively.
- $C, C(\cdot), C_j$ stand for positive constants whose exact values are irrelevant.

2. Preliminaries

Let us now comment on the critical problem in the whole space, namely

$$-\Delta_p u = |u|^{p^*-2}u \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \quad u \in D^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N). \quad (2.1)$$

It follows from [18](see also [8]) that all the regular radial solutions to (2.1) are given by the following expression:

$$U_{\varepsilon,y}(x) = \left[\frac{\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(N^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\frac{N-p}{p-1} \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \right)}{\varepsilon + |x-y|^{\frac{p}{p-1}}} \right]^{\frac{N-p}{p}} \quad \text{with } \varepsilon > 0 \text{ and } y \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$

Note that, by [46], it follows that the family of functions given above are minimizers to

$$S = \inf_{u \in D^{1,p} \setminus \{0\}} \frac{|\nabla u|_p^p}{|u|_{p^*}^p}.$$

Let $\eta \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ be a radial cut-off function satisfying

$$0 \leq \eta \leq 1, \quad \eta = 1 \text{ in } B_1(0), \quad \eta = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_2(0).$$

We define $u_\varepsilon = \eta U_{\varepsilon,0} / |\eta U_{\varepsilon,0}|_{p^*}$ and

$$v_\varepsilon = \frac{cu_\varepsilon}{|u_\varepsilon|_p} = a_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon. \quad (2.2)$$

The following estimates can be deduced by standard arguments (see [13, 14]): as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$,

$$|\nabla u_\varepsilon|_p^p = S + O(\varepsilon^{\frac{N-p}{p}}) \quad (2.3)$$

and

$$|u_\varepsilon|_s^s = \begin{cases} O(\varepsilon^{(N(p-s)+sp)(p-1)/p^2}), & \text{if } s > p^*(1 - \frac{1}{p}), \\ O(\varepsilon^{N(p-1)/p^2} |\ln \varepsilon|), & \text{if } s = p^*(1 - \frac{1}{p}), \\ O(\varepsilon^{s(N-p)/p^2}), & \text{if } s < p^*(1 - \frac{1}{p}). \end{cases} \quad (2.4)$$

Then it is easy to obtain the following lemma.

Lemma 2.1. Let $g_\varepsilon : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined by

$$g_\varepsilon(t) = \frac{t^p}{p} |\nabla v_\varepsilon|_p^p - \frac{t^{p^*}}{p^*} |v_\varepsilon|_{p^*}^{p^*}.$$

Then, as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$, we deduce

$$\sup_{t>0} g_\varepsilon(t) \leq \frac{1}{N} S^{N/p} + O(\varepsilon^{\frac{N-p}{p}}).$$

Lemma 2.2. [6, Lemma 3.6] For $u \in S_c$ and $s \in \mathbb{R}$, the map $\varphi \mapsto \varphi^s$ from $T_u S_c$ to $T_{u^s} S_c$ is a linear isomorphism with inverse $\psi \mapsto \psi^{-s}$, where $T_u S_c := \{\varphi \in S_c : \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{p-2} u \varphi = 0\}$.

Proof. We follow the approach in [6, Lemma 3.6]. For $\varphi \in T_u S_c$ and $t > 0$, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u^t(x)|^{p-2} u^t(x) \varphi^t(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{Nt} |u(e^t x)|^{p-2} u(e^t x) \varphi(e^t x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u(y)|^{p-2} u(y) \varphi(y) = 0,$$

which implies that $\varphi^t \in T_{u^t} S_c$ and the map is well defined. Clearly it is linear. Taking into account that, for every $t, s > 0$ and $w \in E$,

$$(w^t)^s = e^{\frac{N}{p}(t+s)} w(e^{t+s} x) = w^{t+s}, \quad w^0 = w,$$

the result follows. \square

Lemma 2.3. The map $(u, t) \in E \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow u^t \in E$ is continuous.

Proof. Assume that $u_n \rightarrow u$ in E and $t_n \rightarrow t$ in \mathbb{R} . Observed, for $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$, that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u_n^{t_n}|^{p-2} \nabla u_n^{t_n} \nabla \varphi + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n^{t_n}|^{p-2} u_n^{t_n} \varphi \\ &= e^{\frac{p^2-p-N}{p} t_n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u_n(x)|^{p-2} \nabla u_n(x) (\nabla \varphi)(e^{-t_n} x) \\ & \quad + e^{-\frac{N t_n}{p}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n(x)|^{p-2} u_n(x) \varphi(e^{-t_n} x). \end{aligned}$$

By Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u_n(x)|^{p-2} \nabla u_n(x) (\nabla \varphi)(e^{-t_n} x) - |\nabla u(x)|^{p-2} \nabla u(x) (\nabla \varphi)(e^{-t_n} x) \right| \\ &+ \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u(x)|^{p-2} \nabla u(x) (\nabla \varphi)(e^{-t_n} x) - |\nabla u(x)|^{p-2} \nabla u(x) (\nabla \varphi)(e^{-t} x) \right| \\ &\leq e^{\frac{N}{p} t_n} \left| |\nabla u_n|^{p-2} \nabla u_n - |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \right|_{\frac{p}{p-1}} |\nabla \varphi|_p \\ & \quad + \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u(x)|^{p-2} \nabla u(x) (\nabla \varphi)(e^{-t_n} x) - |\nabla u(x)|^{p-2} \nabla u(x) (\nabla \varphi)(e^{-t} x) \right| \\ &\rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. In the same way, we can show that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n(x)|^{p-2} u_n(x) \varphi(e^{-t_n} x) \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u(x)|^{p-2} u(x) \varphi(e^{-t} x),$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. As a consequence, for $\psi \in E$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u_n^{t_n}|^{p-2} \nabla u_n^{t_n} \nabla \psi + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n^{t_n}|^{p-2} u_n^{t_n} \psi \\ & \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u^t|^{p-2} \nabla u^t \nabla \psi + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u^t|^{p-2} u^t \psi \end{aligned}$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, by employing the fact that $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is dense in E . Now, it is easy to check that

$$\|u_n^{t_n}\|^p = e^{pt_n} |\nabla u_n|_p^p + |u_n|_p^p \rightarrow e^{pt} |\nabla u|_p^p + |u|_p^p = \|u^t\|^p,$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Using a well known inequality found in [34, Lemma A.0.5], we know that

$$(|\eta|^{p-2} \eta - |\xi|^{p-2} \xi) \cdot (\eta - \xi) \geq \begin{cases} d_1 |\eta - \xi|^p, & \text{if } p \geq 2, \\ d_2 (|\xi| + |\eta|)^{p-2} |\xi - \eta|^2, & \text{if } p \in (1, 2), \end{cases} \quad (2.5)$$

where d_1, d_2 are positive constants. Thereby, we infer from (2.5) that $u_n^{t_n} \rightarrow u^t$ in E . The proof is completed. \square

Finally, we give a version of linking theorem, see [16, Section 5].

Definition 2.1. Let X be a topological space and B be a closed subset of X . We shall say that a class \mathcal{F} of compact subsets of X is a homotopy-stable family with extended boundary B if for any set A in \mathcal{F} and any $\eta \in C([0, 1] \times X; X)$ satisfying $\eta(t, x) = x$ for all $(t, x) \in (\{0\} \times X) \cup ([0, 1] \times B)$ we have that $\eta(\{1\} \times A) \in \mathcal{F}$.

Lemma 2.4. [16, Theorem 5.2] Let ϕ be a C^1 -functional on a complete connected C^1 -Finsler manifold X and consider a homotopy-stable family \mathcal{F} with an extended closed boundary B . Set $m = m(\phi, \mathcal{F})$ and let F be a closed subset of X satisfying

- (1) $(A \cap F) \setminus B \neq \emptyset$ for every $A \in \mathcal{F}$;
- (2) $\sup \phi(B) \leq m \leq \inf \phi(F)$.

Then, for any sequence of sets $(A_n)_n$ in \mathcal{F} such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{A_n} \phi = m$, there exists a sequence $(x_n)_n$ in X such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi(x_n) = m, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|d\phi(x_n)\| = 0, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{dist}(x_n, F) = 0, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{dist}(x_n, A_n) = 0.$$

3. Properties of Palais-Smale sequences

For simplicity of notations, we will write $J_\mu, P_\mu, \Psi_u^\mu, \mathcal{M}_{c,\mu}, \mathcal{M}_{c,\mu}^\pm, \mathcal{M}_{c,\mu}^0, m(c, \mu)$ and $m^*(c, \mu)$ as $J, P, \Psi_u, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}^\pm, \mathcal{M}^0, m$ (or $m(c)$) and m^* respectively in Sections 3, 4 and 5.

Lemma 3.1. Let $p < q < p^*$ and $c, \mu > 0$. Assume that $\{u_n\} \subset S_c$ be a Palais-Smale sequence for $J|_{S_c}$ at level $m \in \mathbb{R}$ with

$$P(u_n) \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (3.1)$$

Then the sequence $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in E .

Proof. If $p < q < p + p^2/N$, then $0 \leq \gamma_q q < p$. The fact that $P(u_n) = o(1)$ and the Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality lead to

$$\begin{aligned} C &\geq J(u_n) - \frac{1}{p^*}P(u_n) \\ &= \frac{1}{N}|\nabla u_n|_p^p - \frac{\mu}{q} \left(1 - \frac{\gamma_q q}{p^*}\right) |u_n|_q^q \\ &\geq \frac{1}{N}|\nabla u_n|_p^p - \frac{\mu}{q}C(q) \left(1 - \frac{\gamma_q q}{p^*}\right) c^{(1-\gamma_q)q} |\nabla u_n|_p^{\gamma_q q} \end{aligned}$$

provided that n is sufficiently large, from which we infer that $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in E .

If $p + p^2/N \leq q < p^*$, then $p \leq \gamma_q q < p^*$. Using $P(u_n) = o(1)$ again implies

$$\begin{aligned} C &\geq J(u_n) - \frac{1}{p}P(u_n) \\ &= \frac{1}{N}|u_n|_{p^*}^{p^*} + \frac{\mu(\gamma_q q - p)}{pq} |u_n|_q^q \\ &\geq \frac{1}{N}|u_n|_{p^*}^{p^*} \end{aligned}$$

for n large. The Hölder inequality ensures that $|u_n|_q^q \leq |u_n|_p^{p(1-\tau)} |u_n|_{p^*}^{p^*\tau} \leq C$ for suitable $\tau \in (0, 1)$, which together with $P(u_n) = o(1)$ yields that

$$|\nabla u_n|_p^p = |u_n|_{p^*}^{p^*} + \mu\gamma_q |u_n|_q^q + o(1).$$

Hence $\{u_n\}$ is also bounded in E . \square

In order to show the convergence a.e. of the gradients, we introduce the following lemma.

Lemma 3.2 ([11]). *Let $\{v_k\}$ be a sequence in $D^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and be such that $v_k \rightharpoonup v$ weakly in $D^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Assume that, for every $\psi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$,*

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \psi (|\nabla v_k|^{p-2} \nabla v_k - |\nabla v|^{p-2} \nabla v) \cdot \nabla (T(v_k - v)) = 0,$$

where the truncation function $T : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is given by

$$T(t) = \begin{cases} t, & \text{if } |t| \leq 1, \\ \frac{t}{|t|}, & \text{if } |t| \geq 1. \end{cases} \quad (3.2)$$

Then, after passing to a subsequence, $\nabla v_k \rightarrow \nabla v$ a.e. in \mathbb{R}^N .

Lemma 3.3. *Let $0 \neq m < \frac{1}{N}S^{N/p}$. If $\{u_n\} \subset S_c$ is a Palais-Smale sequence for $J|_{S_c}$ at the level m and such that (3.1) holds, then up to a subsequence one of the following alternatives holds:*

(i) $u_n \rightarrow u$ in E for some $u \neq 0$ and

$$J(u) \leq m - \frac{1}{N}S^{N/p};$$

(ii) $u_n \rightarrow u$ in E for some u , $J(u) = m$ and u solves (1.1) and (1.3) for some $\lambda < 0$.

Proof. We obtain from Lemma 3.1 that $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in E . Then, going if necessary to a subsequence, we may assume that $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ in E , $u_n \rightarrow u$ in $L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for $p < q < p^*$ and $u_n(x) \rightarrow u(x)$ a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$. Since $(J|_{S_c})'(u_n) \rightarrow 0$, there exists a sequence $\{\lambda_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}$ such that for $\varphi \in E$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u_n|^{p-2} \nabla u_n \nabla \varphi &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n|^{p^*-2} u_n \varphi + \mu \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n|^{q-2} u_n \varphi \\ &\quad + \lambda_n \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n|^{p-2} u_n \varphi + o(1) \|\varphi\| \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Choosing u_n as a test function in (3.3), it is easy to verify that $\{\lambda_n\}$ is bounded. We may therefore assume, up to a subsequence, that $\lambda_n \rightarrow \lambda$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then, in view of (3.1), (3.3) and $\gamma_q < 1$, we find

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda c^p &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n |u_n|_p^p \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(|\nabla u_n|_p^p - |u_n|_{p^*}^{p^*} - \mu |u_n|_q^q \right) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu (\gamma_q - 1) |u_n|_q^q \\ &= \mu (\gamma_q - 1) |u|_q^q \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Now, we claim that $u \neq 0$. To prove the claim, we argue by contradiction that $u = 0$, then combining $u_n \rightarrow 0$ in $L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with the fact that $P(u_n) = o(1)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ implies

$$|\nabla u_n|_p^p - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n|^{p^*} = o(1) \quad (3.4)$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Going if necessary to a subsequence, we may assume that $|\nabla u_n|_p^p \rightarrow l$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$. On the other hand, the estimate (3.4) and the Sobolev inequality lead to

$$l \leq S^{-\frac{N}{N-p}} l^{\frac{N}{N-p}}.$$

Thus, it is easy to obtain either $l = 0$ or $l \geq S^{\frac{N}{p}}$. On the other hand,

$$m = J(u_n) - \frac{1}{p^*} P(u_n) + o(1) = \frac{1}{N} |\nabla u_n|_p^p + o(1) = \frac{1}{N} l.$$

Now we also obtain either $m = 0$ or $m \geq \frac{1}{N} S^{N/p}$. This is a contradiction with the assumption $0 \neq m < \frac{S^{N/p}}{N}$. Consequently, $u \neq 0$ and henceforth $\lambda < 0$. In the following, we will show that

$$\nabla u_n(x) \rightarrow \nabla u(x) \text{ a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^N. \quad (3.5)$$

Actually, similar to [11], choose $\psi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$, the Egorov's Theorem implies that for every $\delta > 0$ there exists $E_\delta \subset \text{supp}(\psi)$ such that $|E_\delta| < \delta$ and $u_n \rightarrow u$ uniformly in $\text{supp}(\psi) \setminus E_\delta$. Hence, $|u_n(x) - u(x)| \leq 1$ for all $x \in \text{supp}(\psi) \setminus E_\delta$ and n large enough.

Then, from (3.2), we can assert that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \psi |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \cdot \nabla (T(u_n - u)) \right| \\
& \leq \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus E_\delta} \psi |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \cdot \nabla (u_n - u) \right| + \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus E_\delta} \psi |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \cdot \nabla (T(u_n - u)) \right| \\
& \leq o(1) + C\delta.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we infer that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \psi |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \cdot \nabla (T(u_n - u)) = 0. \quad (3.6)$$

On the other hand, according to Hölder's inequality and the dominated convergence theorem, we can demonstrate that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \psi |\nabla u_n|^{p-2} \nabla u_n \cdot \nabla (T(u_n - u)) \right| \\
& \leq \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u_n|^{p-2} \nabla u_n \cdot \nabla (\psi T(u_n - u)) \right| + \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u_n|^{p-2} \nabla u_n \cdot (T(u_n - u)) \nabla \psi \right| \\
& \leq \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n|^{p^*-2} u_n (\psi T(u_n - u)) \right| + \mu \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n|^{q-2} u_n (\psi T(u_n - u)) \right| \\
& \quad + \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \lambda_n |u_n|^{p-2} u_n (\psi T(u_n - u)) \right| + \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u_n|^{p-2} \nabla u_n \cdot (T(u_n - u)) \nabla \psi \right| + o(1) \\
& \leq C \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\psi T(u_n - u)|^{p^*} \right)^{\frac{1}{p^*}} + C \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\psi T(u_n - u)|^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
& \quad + C \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\psi T(u_n - u)|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} + C \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |T(u_n - u) \nabla \psi|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} + o(1) \\
& = o(1).
\end{aligned}$$

This means that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \psi |\nabla u_n|^{p-2} \nabla u_n \cdot \nabla (T(u_n - u)) \right| = 0,$$

which together with (3.6) and Lemma 3.2 implies that (3.5) holds. Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (3.3), we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \nabla \varphi = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{p^*-2} u \varphi + \mu \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{q-2} u \varphi + \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{p-2} u \varphi, \quad (3.7)$$

which means that u is a weak solution of the semilinear equation

$$-\Delta_p u = \lambda |u|^{p-2} u + \mu |u|^{q-2} u + |u|^{p^*-2} u \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N.$$

Thanks to [31, Proposition 2.1], we infer that u satisfies the following Pohožaev identity

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^p - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{p^*} - \mu \gamma_q \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^q = 0. \quad (3.8)$$

Let $v_n = u_n - u$ and then the Brézis-Lieb Lemma [48, Lemma 1.32] leads to

$$|\nabla u_n|_p^p = |\nabla v_n|_p^p + |\nabla u|_p^p + o(1), \quad |u_n|_{p^*}^{p^*} = |v_n|_{p^*}^{p^*} + |u|_{p^*}^{p^*} + o(1)$$

and

$$|u_n|_q^q = |v_n|_q^q + |u|_q^q + o(1),$$

from which it is reduced to

$$\begin{aligned} P(u_n) &= |\nabla u_n|_p^p - |u_n|_{p^*}^{p^*} - \mu\gamma_q |u_n|_q^q \\ &= |\nabla v_n|_p^p - |v_n|_{p^*}^{p^*} \\ &\quad + |\nabla u|_p^p - |u|_{p^*}^{p^*} - \mu\gamma_q |u|_q^q + o(1). \end{aligned}$$

Combining this with (3.1), (3.8) guarantees that

$$|\nabla v_n|_p^p = |v_n|_{p^*}^{p^*} + o(1) \leq S^{-\frac{p^*}{p}} |\nabla v_n|_{p^*}^{p^*} + o(1). \quad (3.9)$$

Suppose that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\nabla v_n|_p^p = l_1$. It is easy to check from (3.9) that

$$l_1 \leq S^{-\frac{N}{N-p}} l_1^{\frac{N}{N-p}}.$$

Similarly, either $l_1 = 0$ or $l_1 \geq S^{\frac{N}{p}}$. Then, we consider the two cases which is described as follows.

Case 1. $l_1 \geq S^{\frac{N}{p}}$. In this case, we can easily check that

$$\begin{aligned} m &= J(u_n) + o(1) \\ &= J(u) + \frac{1}{p} |\nabla v_n|_p^p - \frac{1}{p^*} |v_n|_{p^*}^{p^*} + o(1) \\ &= J(u) + \frac{1}{N} |\nabla v_n|_p^p + o(1) \\ &\geq J(u) + \frac{1}{N} S^{\frac{N}{p}}, \end{aligned}$$

where (3.9) is used.

Case 2. $l = 0$. In this case, it is easy to see $u_n \rightarrow u$ in $D^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and hence in $L^{p^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ by the Sobolev inequality. We deduce, by taking $u_n - u$ as a test function in (3.3) and (3.7) respectively and subtracting them, that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|\nabla u_n|^{p-2} \nabla u_n - |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u) \cdot \nabla (u_n - u) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|u_n|^{p^*-2} u_n - |u|^{p^*-2} u)(u_n - u) \\ &\quad + \mu \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|u_n|^{q-2} u_n - |u|^{q-2} u)(u_n - u) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (\lambda_n |u_n|^{p-2} u_n - \lambda |u|^{p-2} u)(u_n - u) \\ &\quad + o(1) \|u_n - u\|, \end{aligned}$$

which ensures that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (\lambda_n |u_n|^{p-2} u_n - \lambda |u|^{p-2} u)(u_n - u) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|u_n|^{p-2} u_n - |u|^{p-2} u)(u_n - u). \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

For $1 < p < 2$, we deduce from (3.10) and (2.5) that

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n - u|^p \right)^{\frac{2}{p}} &\leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u_n - u|^2}{(|u_n| + |u|)^{2-p}} \right) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|u_n| + |u|)^p \right)^{\frac{2-p}{p}} \\ &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|u_n|^{p-2} u_n - |u|^{p-2} u)(u_n - u) \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can prove the same convergence property for the case $p \geq 2$. The above limits lead to $u_n \rightarrow u$ in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and then in E . Hence, the alternative (ii) is valid. \square

4. L^p -subcritical perturbation

This section is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.1. We always assume that

$$p < q < p + p^2/N, \quad c, \mu > 0, \quad \mu c^{(1-\gamma_q)q} < \alpha(q).$$

4.1. Ground state solution

Let $p < q < p + p^2/N$, which implies that $0 < \gamma_q q < p$. Furthermore, taking into account Lemma 1.1, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} J(u) &= \frac{1}{p} |\nabla u|_p^p - \frac{1}{p^*} |u|_{p^*}^{p^*} - \frac{\mu}{q} |u|_q^q \\ &\geq \frac{1}{p} |\nabla u|_p^p - \frac{S^{-\frac{p^*}{p}}}{p^*} |\nabla u|_{p^*}^{p^*} - \frac{\mu}{q} C(q) c^{(1-\gamma_q)q} |\nabla u|_p^{\gamma_q q} \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

for $u \in S_c$. In what follows, we study the properties of the fiber map Ψ_u^μ , which need the following technical lemma.

Lemma 4.1. *The function*

$$h(t) = \frac{1}{p} t^p - \frac{S^{-\frac{p^*}{p}}}{p^*} t^{p^*} - \frac{\mu}{q} C(q) c^{(1-\gamma_q)q} t^{\gamma_q q}, \quad t > 0$$

has a strict local minimum with negative value and a strict global maximum with positive value. Furthermore, there exist two positive numbers $R_0 < R_1$ depending on c and μ such that $h(R_0) = h(R_1) = 0$ and $h(t) > 0$ if and only if $t \in (R_0, R_1)$.

Proof. We first consider the auxiliary function $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$\varphi(t) := \varphi_c(t) = \frac{1}{p} t^{p-\gamma_q q} - \frac{S^{-\frac{p^*}{p}}}{p^*} t^{p^*-\gamma_q q} - \frac{\mu}{q} C(q) c^{(1-\gamma_q)q}.$$

By carrying out a straightforward computation, we conclude that φ achieves its maximum at

$$t^* = \left(\frac{p^*(p - \gamma_q q) S^{\frac{p^*}{p}}}{p(p^* - \gamma_q q)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p^* - p}},$$

and the maximum value of φ is

$$\varphi(t^*) = \left(\frac{p^*(p - \gamma_q q) S^{\frac{p^*}{p}}}{p(p^* - \gamma_q q)} \right)^{\frac{p - \gamma_q q}{p^* - p}} \frac{p^* - p}{p(p^* - \gamma_q q)} - \frac{\mu}{q} C(q) c^{(1 - \gamma_q)q}.$$

Thus, recalling that

$$\mu c^{(1 - \gamma_q)q} < \alpha(q) = \left(\frac{p^*(p - \gamma_q q) S^{\frac{p^*}{p}}}{p(p^* - \gamma_q q)} \right)^{\frac{p - \gamma_q q}{p^* - p}} \frac{(p^* - p)q}{C(q)p(p^* - \gamma_q q)},$$

we conclude that $h(t^*) = (t^*)^{\gamma_q q} \varphi(t^*) > 0$. This, together with $h(t) \rightarrow 0^-$ as $t \rightarrow 0^+$ and $h(t) \rightarrow -\infty$ as $t \rightarrow +\infty$ implies that h has at least two zero points $0 < R_0 < R_1$. Clearly φ has only one critical point. Notice in particular that, since $h(t) = 0$ is equivalent to $\varphi(t) = 0$, h has exactly two zero points $0 < R_0 < R_1$ and $h(t) > 0$ if and only if $t \in (R_0, R_1)$.

Consequently, h achieves its global maximum with positive value in (R_0, R_1) and h achieves a local minimum with negative value in $(0, R_0)$. Observe that $h'(t) = 0$ is equivalent to

$$\psi(t) = t^{p - \gamma_q q} - S^{-\frac{p^*}{p}} t^{p^* - \gamma_q q} - \mu \gamma_q C(q) c^{(1 - \gamma_q)q} = 0.$$

We deduce from ψ has only one critical point in $(0, +\infty)$ that h has exactly two critical points, which are the strict local minimum point and the global maximum point previously found. \square

Lemma 4.2. $\mathcal{M}^0 = \emptyset$.

Proof. Suppose the thesis is false. Thus, there exists $u \in \mathcal{M}^0$ such that

$$|\nabla u|_p^p - |u|_{p^*}^{p^*} - \mu \gamma_q |u|_q^q = 0 \tag{4.2}$$

and

$$p|\nabla u|_p^p - p^*|u|_{p^*}^{p^*} - \mu \gamma_q^2 q |u|_q^q = 0. \tag{4.3}$$

Combined (4.2) with (4.3), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} (p - \gamma_q q) |\nabla u|_p^p &= (p^* - \gamma_q q) |u|_{p^*}^{p^*} \\ &\leq (p^* - \gamma_q q) S^{-\frac{p^*}{p}} |\nabla u|_p^{p^*}, \end{aligned}$$

and a simple computation shows that

$$|\nabla u|_p^{p - \gamma_q q} \geq \left(\frac{S^{\frac{p^*}{p}} (p - \gamma_q q)}{p^* - \gamma_q q} \right)^{\frac{p - \gamma_q q}{p^* - p}}.$$

The Lemma 1.1 combined with (4.2)–(4.3) infers that

$$(p^* - p)|\nabla u|_p^p = \mu\gamma_q(p^* - \gamma_q q)|u|_q^q \leq (p^* - \gamma_q q)\gamma_q C(q)\mu c^{(1-\gamma_q)q}|\nabla u|_p^{\gamma_q q},$$

which therefore implies that

$$|\nabla u|_p^{p-\gamma_q q} \leq \frac{(p^* - \gamma_q q)\gamma_q C(q)\mu c^{(1-\gamma_q)q}}{p^* - p}.$$

Hence, recalling that $0 < \gamma_q q < p$, we conclude that

$$\mu c^{(1-\gamma_q)q} \geq \left(\frac{S^{\frac{p^*}{p}}(p - \gamma_q q)}{p^* - \gamma_q q} \right)^{\frac{p-\gamma_q q}{p^*-p}} \frac{p^* - p}{\gamma_q C(q)(p^* - \gamma_q q)} = C' \cdot \frac{p}{\gamma_q q} \cdot \left(\frac{p^*}{p} \right)^{-\frac{p-\gamma_q q}{p^*-p}} > C',$$

which is a contradiction with the assumption $\mu c^{(1-\gamma_q)q} < C'$. \square

Lemma 4.3. *For every $u \in S_c$, the function Ψ_u has exactly two critical points s_u, t_u and two zeros c_u, d_u such that $s_u < c_u < t_u < d_u$. Moreover, we have*

- (i) $u^{s_u} \in \mathcal{M}^+$ and $u^{t_u} \in \mathcal{M}^-$;
- (ii) $|\nabla u^s|_p < R_0$ for $s < c_u$ and

$$J(u^{s_u}) = \min \{J(u^s) : s \in \mathbb{R}, |\nabla u^s|_p < R_0\} < 0, \quad (4.4)$$

where R_0 is given in Lemma 4.1;

- (iii) there holds

$$J(u^{t_u}) = \max \{J(u^s) : s \in \mathbb{R}\} > 0 \quad (4.5)$$

and Ψ_u is decreasing on (t_u, ∞) , henceforth if $t_u < 0$ then $P(u) < 0$;

- (iv) the maps $u \in S_c \mapsto s_u \in \mathbb{R}$ and $u \in S_c \mapsto t_u \in \mathbb{R}$ are of class C^1 .

Proof. Let $u \in S_c$ and recall that, by (4.1),

$$\Psi_u(t) = J(u^t) \geq h(|\nabla u^t|_2) = h(e^t |\nabla u|_2).$$

According to Lemma 4.1, the function Ψ_u is positive on $(\log(R_0/|\nabla u|_2), \log(R_1/|\nabla u|_2))$ which combined with the facts $\Psi_u(t) \rightarrow 0^-$ as $t \rightarrow -\infty$ and $\Psi_u(t) \rightarrow -\infty$ as $t \rightarrow +\infty$ indicates that Ψ_u has at least two critical points $s_u < t_u$. Here s_u is the local minimum point of Ψ_u on $(-\infty, \log(R_0/|\nabla u|_2))$ with $\Psi_u(s_u) < 0$, while t_u is the global maximum point of Ψ_u with $\Psi_u(t_u) > 0$. Note that $\Psi'_u(t) = 0$ is equivalent to

$$\phi_u(t) = e^{(p-\gamma_q q)t} |\nabla u|_p^p - e^{(p^*-\gamma_q q)t} |u|_{p^*}^{p^*} - \mu\gamma_q |u|_q^q = 0$$

and clearly ϕ_u has a unique critical point. Therefore, this means that Ψ_u has exactly two critical points s_u and t_u . Using a similar argument, one can prove that Ψ_u also has exactly two zeros c_u and d_u with $s_u < c_u < t_u < d_u$.

Recall that $u^t \in \mathcal{M}$ if and only if $\Psi'_u(t) = 0$. Since s_u is the local minimum point of Ψ_u and t_u is the global maximum point of Ψ_u , we have $\Psi'_u(s_u) = \Psi'_u(t_u) = 0$ and $\Psi''_u(s_u) \geq 0 \geq \Psi''_u(t_u)$. Then Lemma 4.2 ensures that $u^{s_u} \in \mathcal{M}^+$ and $u^{t_u} \in \mathcal{M}^-$.

Clearly, $|\nabla u^s|_2 < |\nabla u^{c_u}|_2 \leq R_0$ if $s < c_u$, (4.4) is a consequence of the fact that s_u is local minimum point of Ψ_u on $(-\infty, \log(R_0/|\nabla u|_2))$ with $\Psi_u(s_u) < 0$, and (4.5) is a consequence of the fact that t_u is the global maximum point of Ψ_u with $\Psi_u(t_u) > 0$.

Observe that Ψ'_u has exactly two zeros s_u and t_u . Moreover, $\Psi'_u(t) < 0$ if $t > t_u$ which means that Ψ_u is decreasing on $(t_u, +\infty)$. In particular, if $t_u < 0$ then $P(u) = \Psi'_u(0) < 0$.

Next we will show $u \mapsto t_u$ is of class C^1 . For the purpose, let us define $\Phi : S_c \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $\Phi(u, t) = \Psi'_u(t)$. It is clear that Φ is of class C^1 , $\Phi(u, t_u) = 0$ and $\partial_t \Phi(u, t_u) = \Psi''_u(t_u) < 0$. Applying the Implicit Function Theorem, we see that the map $u \mapsto t_u$ is of class C^1 . The same argument proves that $u \mapsto s_u$ is also C^1 . \square

It is easy to check that $R_0 < t^* \leq S^{\frac{p^*}{p(p^*-p)}}$, where t^* given in Lemma 4.1. Recall that

$$D_k(c) = \{u \in S_c : |\nabla u|_2 < k\}, \quad \text{for } k > 0.$$

Thus, $D_{R_0}(c) \subset A_{t^*}(c)$. We deal in the sequel with the functional $J|_{S_c}$ has a positive ground state in $D_{R_0}(c)$. For this purpose, we consider the infimum

$$\inf_{u \in D_{R_0}(c)} J(u).$$

Lemma 4.4. *We have*

$$m(c) = \inf_{u \in \mathcal{M}^+} J(u) = \inf_{u \in D_{R_0}(c)} J(u) = \inf_{u \in D_{t^*}(c)} J(u) < \inf_{u \in \overline{D_{R_0}(c)} \setminus D_{R_0-\delta}(c)} J(u)$$

for $\delta > 0$ small and $m(c) \in (-\infty, 0)$.

Proof. We deduce from Lemma 4.3 that $J(u) < 0$ if $u \in \mathcal{M}^+$ while $J(u) > 0$ if $u \in \mathcal{M}^-$, which together with Lemma 4.2 implied $\inf_{u \in \mathcal{M}^+} J(u) = \inf_{u \in \mathcal{M}} J(u) = m(c)$. It follows from $\mathcal{M}^+ \subset D_{R_0}(c)$ that $\inf_{u \in D_{R_0}(c)} J(u) \leq m(c)$. By Lemma 4.3 again, for $u \in D_{R_0}(c)$, we have $u^{s_u} \in \mathcal{M}^+$ and

$$J(u^{s_u}) = \min \{J(u^s) : s \in \mathbb{R}, |\nabla u^s|_2 < R_0\} \leq J(u),$$

which implies $m(c) \leq \inf_{u \in D_{R_0}(c)} J(u)$ and henceforth $m(c) = \inf_{u \in D_{R_0}(c)} J(u)$.

It follows from (4.1) that, for $u \in D_{R_0}(c)$,

$$J(u) \geq h(|\nabla u|_2) \geq \min_{t \in [0, R_0]} h(t) > -\infty.$$

Lemma 4.3 states that $u^{s_u} \in D_{R_0}(c)$ and $J(u^{s_u}) < 0$ if $u \in S_c$. Then $-\infty < m(c) < 0$.

In view of Lemma 4.1, $J(u) \geq 0$ for $u \in D_{t^*}(c) \setminus D_{R_0}(c)$ which implies $m(c) = \inf_{u \in D_{t^*}(c)} J(u)$. Since h is continuous and $h(R_0) = 0$, there holds

$$\inf_{t \in [R_0-\delta, R_0]} h(t) > m(c) \quad \text{for } \delta > 0 \text{ small.}$$

Note that $J(u) \geq h(|\nabla u|_2)$ for $u \in S_c$. Then we have

$$\inf_{u \in \overline{D_{R_0}(c)} \setminus D_{R_0-\delta}(c)} J(u) \geq \inf_{t \in [R_0-\delta, R_0]} h(t) > m(c),$$

concluding the proof. \square

For $0 < R_0 < R_1 < \infty$, let $\xi : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be a nonincreasing and C^∞ function satisfying $\xi(x) = 1$, $x \in [0, R_0]$ and $\xi(x) = 0$, $x \geq R_1$. In what follows, let us consider the truncated functional

$$\begin{aligned} J_T(u) &= \frac{1}{p} |\nabla u|_p^p - \frac{\xi(|\nabla u|_p)}{p^*} |u|_{p^*}^{p^*} - \frac{\mu}{q} |u|_q^q \\ &\geq \frac{1}{p} |\nabla u|_p^p - \frac{S^{-\frac{p^*}{p}} \xi(|\nabla u|_p)}{p^*} |\nabla u|_p^{p^*} - \frac{\mu}{q} C(q) c^{(1-\gamma_a)q} |\nabla u|_p^{\gamma_a q}. \end{aligned}$$

A direct computation shows $J_T(u) \geq \bar{h}(|\nabla u|_p)$, where $\bar{h} : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is given by

$$\bar{h}(t) = \frac{1}{p} t^p - \frac{S^{-\frac{p^*}{p}} \xi(t)}{p^*} t^{p^*} - \frac{\mu}{q} C(q) c^{(1-\gamma_a)q} t^{\gamma_a q}.$$

Observe that $\bar{h} < 0$ over interval $(0, R_0)$ and $\bar{h}(t) > 0$ over $(R_0, +\infty)$ and hence

$$m(c) := m(c, \mu) = \inf_{u \in D_{R_0}(c)} J(u) = \inf_{u \in D_{R_0}(c)} J_T(u) = \inf_{u \in S_c} J_T(u).$$

Lemma 4.5. (i) $J_T \in C^1(E, \mathbb{R})$. If $J_T(u) < 0$ then $|\nabla u|_p < R_0$ and $J(v) = J_T(v)$ for all v in a small enough neighborhood of u .

(ii) J_T verifies a local $(PS)_m$ condition on S_c for the level $m < 0$.

Proof. Conclusion (i) follows immediately from the definition of J_T .

(ii) Let $\{u_n\}$ be a $(PS)_m$ sequence of J_T prescribed to S_c with $m < 0$. Then by the definition of J_T , we have $|\nabla u_n|_p < R_0 < t_0$ for n large enough. And hence $\{u_n\}$ is also a $(PS)_m$ sequence of J prescribed to S_c with $m < 0$. Since $\{u_n\} \subset E$ is a bounded, we may assume that $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ weakly in E and $u_n \rightarrow u$ strongly in $L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for $p < q < p^*$. We deduce from $(J|_{S_c})'(u_n) \rightarrow 0$ that there exists a sequence $\{\lambda_n\}$ of real numbers such that for $\varphi \in E$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u_n|^{p-2} \nabla u_n \nabla \varphi &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n|^{p^*-2} u_n \varphi + \mu \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n|^{q-2} u_n \varphi \\ &\quad + \lambda_n \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n|^{p-2} u_n \varphi + o(1) \|\varphi\| \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Taking u_n as a test function in (4.6), we see that $\{\lambda_n\}$ is bounded. Assume up to a subsequence that $\lambda_n \rightarrow \lambda$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. If $u = 0$, then $u_n \rightarrow 0$ in $L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$, and

$$\begin{aligned} J(u_n) &= \frac{1}{p} |\nabla u_n|_p^p - \frac{1}{p^*} |u_n|_{p^*}^{p^*} - \frac{\mu}{q} |u_n|_q^q \\ &\geq \frac{1}{p} |\nabla u_n|_p^p - \frac{S^{-\frac{p^*}{p}}}{p^*} |\nabla u_n|_p^{p^*} + o(1) \\ &\geq \frac{p^* - 1}{pp^*} |\nabla u_n|_p^p + o(1), \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction with $m < 0$. Thus $u \neq 0$. A similar argument to the proof of Lemma 3.3 shows that

$$\nabla u_n(x) \rightarrow \nabla u(x) \text{ a.e. } \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$

Thus, taking the limit in (4.6) as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \nabla \varphi = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{p^*-2} u \varphi + \mu \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{q-2} u \varphi + \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{p-2} u \varphi, \quad (4.7)$$

which means that u is a weak solution of the equation

$$-\Delta_p u = \lambda |u|^{p-2} u + \mu |u|^{q-2} u + |u|^{p^*-2} u \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N.$$

We know from [31, Proposition 2.1] that u satisfies the following Pohožaev identity

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^p - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{p^*} - \mu \gamma_q \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^q = 0. \quad (4.8)$$

Then, in view of (4.7), (4.8) and $\gamma_q < 1$, we have

$$\lambda |\nabla u|_p^p = |\nabla u|_p^p - |u|_{p^*}^{p^*} - \mu |u|_q^q = \mu(\gamma_q - 1) |u|_q^q < 0,$$

which ensures $\lambda < 0$.

Since $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ in $D^{1,p}$, using the second Concentration Compactness lemma of Lions [15], there exist an at most countable index set K , a family $\{x_i : i \in K\} \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ and two families of positive numbers $\{\mu_i : i \in K\}$, $\{\nu_i : i \in K\}$ such that

$$|\nabla u_n|^p \rightharpoonup \mu \geq |\nabla u|^p + \sum_{i \in K} \mu_i \delta_{x_i}, \quad |u_n|^{p^*} \rightharpoonup \nu = |u|^{p^*} + \sum_{i \in K} \nu_i \delta_{x_i} \quad (4.9)$$

weakly star convergence in the sense of measures, where δ_{x_i} is the Dirac mass concentrated at x_i and that

$$\sum_{i \in K} \nu_i^{p/p^*} < \infty; \quad S \nu_i^{p/p^*} \leq \mu_i, \quad i \in K. \quad (4.10)$$

Taking $x_i \in \mathbb{R}^N$ in the support of the singular part of ω, ν, ζ . Now for any $\epsilon > 0$, we define $\chi_\epsilon(x) := \bar{\chi}_\epsilon(x - x_i)$, where $\bar{\chi}_\epsilon \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N, [0, 1])$ such that $\bar{\chi}_\epsilon \equiv 1$ on $B_\epsilon(0)$, $\bar{\chi}_\epsilon \equiv 0$ on $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_{2\epsilon}(0)$ and $|\nabla \bar{\chi}_\epsilon| \in [0, \frac{2}{\epsilon}]$. Now we divide the proof into three steps.

Step1 : For any $i \in K$, $\mu_i \leq \nu_i$. It is clear that the sequence $\{\chi_\epsilon u_n\}$ is bounded in E , then we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_n |\nabla u_n|^{p-2} \nabla u_n \nabla \chi_\epsilon = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(-|\nabla u_n|^p + |u_n|^{p^*} + \mu |u_n|^q + \lambda_n |u_n|^p \right) \chi_\epsilon + o(1). \quad (4.11)$$

Using the Hölder inequality, we obtain the following limit expression:

$$\begin{aligned}
\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_n |\nabla u_n|^{p-2} \nabla u_n \nabla \chi_\epsilon \right| &\leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\int_{B_{2\epsilon}(x_i)} |u_n \nabla \chi_\epsilon|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_{B_{2\epsilon}(x_i)} |\nabla u_n|^p \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \\
&\leq C \left(\int_{B_{2\epsilon}(x_i)} |u|^p |\nabla \chi_\epsilon|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
&\leq C \left(\int_{B_{2\epsilon}(x_i)} |u|^{p^*} \right)^{\frac{1}{p^*}} \left(\int_{B_{2\epsilon}(x_i)} |\nabla \chi_\epsilon|^{\frac{pp^*}{p^*-p}} \right)^{\frac{p^*-p}{pp^*}} \\
&= C \left(\int_{B_{2\epsilon}(x_i)} |u|^{p^*} \right)^{\frac{1}{p^*}} \rightarrow 0, \tag{4.12}
\end{aligned}$$

as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. Moreover, since χ_ϵ has compact support, there holds

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u_n|^p \chi_\epsilon \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^p \chi_\epsilon + \left\langle \sum_{i \in K} \mu_i \delta_{x_i}, \chi_\epsilon \right\rangle, \tag{4.13}$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n|^{p^*} \chi_\epsilon = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{p^*} \chi_\epsilon + \left\langle \sum_{i \in K} \nu_i \delta_{x_i}, \chi_\epsilon \right\rangle. \tag{4.14}$$

Moreover, it follows from (4.13) and (4.14) that

$$\begin{aligned}
\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_n |\nabla u_n|^{p-2} \nabla u_n \nabla \chi_\epsilon &\leq - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^p \chi_\epsilon + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{p^*} \chi_\epsilon \\
&+ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \mu |u|^q \chi_\epsilon + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \lambda u^2 \chi_\epsilon - \left\langle \sum_{i \in K} \mu_i \delta_{x_i}, \chi_\epsilon \right\rangle + \left\langle \sum_{i \in K} \nu_i \delta_{x_i}, \chi_\epsilon \right\rangle. \tag{4.15}
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, taking the limit in (4.15) as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, we have from (4.10) that

$$\mu_i \leq \nu_i. \tag{4.16}$$

Step2: $\mu_i = 0$ for any $i \in K$ and $K = \emptyset$. Suppose that there exists $i_0 \in K$ such that $\mu_{i_0} > 0$. Using (4.10) and (4.16), we obtain

$$\mu_{i_0} \geq S^{\frac{p^*}{p^*-p}}. \tag{4.17}$$

Consequently, by virtue of (4.13) and (4.17),

$$R_0^p \geq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\nabla u_n|_p^p \geq \mu_{i_0} \geq S^{\frac{p^*}{p^*-p}},$$

which contradicts with $R_0 < t^* \leq S^{\frac{p^*}{p^*-p}}$. Then $K = \emptyset$, and hence

$$u_n \rightarrow u \text{ in } L_{loc}^{p^*}(\mathbb{R}^N). \tag{4.18}$$

Step3: $u_n \rightarrow u$ in E .

Since $\{u_n\}$ is also bounded in E , we know from [41] that

$$|u_n(x)| \leq C|x|^{-\frac{N-1}{p}} \|u_n\| \leq C_1|x|^{-\frac{N-1}{p}}, \text{ a.e. for } |x| \geq R,$$

and then

$$|u_n(x)|^{p^*} \leq \frac{C_2}{|x|^{\frac{N(N-1)}{N-p}}}, \text{ a.e. for } |x| \geq R.$$

Notice that $\frac{C_1}{|x|^{\frac{N(N-1)}{N-p}}} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_R(0))$ and $u_n(x) \rightarrow u(x)$, a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_R(0)$, then the Lebesgue's Theorem leads to

$$u_n \rightarrow u \text{ in } L^{p^*}(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_R(0)),$$

which, together with (4.18), implies that

$$u_n \rightarrow u \text{ in } L^{p^*}(\mathbb{R}^N). \quad (4.19)$$

Thus, taking $\varphi = u_n$ as the test function in (4.6),

$$|\nabla u_n|_p^p - \lambda|u_n|_p^p = |u_n|_{p^*}^{p^*} + \mu|u_n|_q^q + o(1). \quad (4.20)$$

Then, we deduce from (4.18), (4.19) and (4.20) that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (|\nabla u_n|_p^p - \lambda|u_n|_p^p) = |u|_{p^*}^{p^*} + \mu|u|_q^q.$$

Combining with (4.7) yields

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (|\nabla u_n|_p^p - \lambda|u_n|_p^p) = |\nabla u|_p^p - \lambda|u|_p^p.$$

Note that $\lambda < 0$, we immediately from (2.5) obtain $u_n \rightarrow u$ in E . \square

Proof of Theorem 1.1 (Part I). Recalling that J_T is bounded below on S_c . By the definition of $m(c)$, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}_+$ there exists $v_n \in S_c$ such that $v_n \geq 0$ and

$$J_T(v_n) < m(c) + \frac{1}{n}.$$

Employing the [48, Theorem 8.5] yields the existence of $\{u_n\} \subset S_c$ such that

$$m(c) \leq J_T(u_n) \leq m(c) + \frac{2}{n}, \quad \min_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}} \|J_T'(u_n) - \lambda|u_n|^{p-2}u_n\| \leq \frac{4}{\sqrt{n}}, \quad \|u_n - v_n\| \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}.$$

Then, we obtain that $\{u_n\}$ is a Palais-Smale sequence for $J_T|_{S_c}$ at the level $m(c)$ with $|u_n^-|_p \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. By Lemma 4.5, we have $u_n \rightarrow u$ in E for some u , and hence $J_T(u) = m(c)$. Moreover, we see from Lemmas 4.4 and 4.5 that u is in the set $D_{R_0}(c)$. Therefore, u is also a critical point of J on S_c with $J(u) = m(c)$ and solves (1.1) and (1.3) for some $\lambda < 0$. By $|u_n^-|_p \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we see that $u \geq 0$. Moreover, we borrow the proof in [45, Theorem 1.1]. It is elementary to realize that $u(x) > 0$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$. The proof is completed. \square

4.2. Infinitely many normalized solutions

In what follows, in order to formulate the infinitely many solutions, we present a minimax theorem for a class of constrained even functionals that is proved in Jeanjean and Lu [24]. We introduce the notation of the genus. Let $\Sigma(S_c)$ be the family of closed symmetric subsets of S_c . For any nonempty set $A \in \Sigma(S_c)$, the genus $\mathcal{G}(A)$ of A is defined as the least integer $k \geq 1$ for which there exists an odd continuous mapping $\varphi : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k \setminus \{0\}$. We set $\mathcal{G}(A) = \infty$ if such an integer does not exist, and set $\mathcal{G}(A) = 0$ if $A = \emptyset$. For each $k \in \mathbb{N}_+$, let $\Gamma_k := \{A \in \Sigma(S_c) | \mathcal{G}(A) \geq k\}$. We shall need some basic properties of the genus. For $A \subset S_c$ and $\delta > 0$, denote by A_δ the uniform δ -neighborhood of A in S_c , that is,

$$A_\delta := \{u \in E \mid \inf_{v \in A} \|u - v\| < \delta\}.$$

Since S_c is a closed symmetric subset of E , repeating the arguments in [35, Section 7], we have the following lemma.

Lemma 4.6. [24, Proposition 2.2] *Let $A, B \in \Sigma(S_c)$. Then the following statements hold.*

- (i) *If $\mathcal{G}(A) \geq 2$, then A contains infinitely many distinct points.*
- (ii) *$\mathcal{G}(\overline{A \setminus B}) \geq \mathcal{G}(A) - \mathcal{G}(B)$ if $\mathcal{G}(B) < \infty$.*
- (iii) *If there exists an odd continuous mapping $\psi : \mathbb{S}^{k-1} \rightarrow A$, then $\mathcal{G}(A) \geq k$.*
- (iv) *If A is compact, then $\mathcal{G}(A) < \infty$ and there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $A_\delta \in \Sigma(S_c)$ and $\mathcal{G}(A_\delta) = \mathcal{G}(A)$.*

We shall also need the following quantitative deformation lemma given in [42, Theorem 3.11]. For $m \in \mathbb{R}$, set $I|_{S_c}^m := \{u \in S_c \mid I(u) \leq m\}$.

Lemma 4.7. *Suppose $I|_{S_c} \in C^1(S_c)$ satisfies (PS) condition. Let $m \in \mathbb{R}, \bar{\varepsilon} > 0$ be given and let N be any neighborhood of K^m . Then there exist a number $\varepsilon \in (0, \bar{\varepsilon})$ and a continuous 1-parameter family of homeomorphisms $\eta(t, \cdot)$ of $S_c, 0 \leq t < \infty$, with the properties*

- (i) *$\eta(t, u) = u$, if $t = 0$, or $I|_{S_c}'(u) = 0$, or $|I|_{S_c}(u) - m| \geq \bar{\varepsilon}$;*
- (ii) *$I|_{S_c}(\eta(t, u))$ is non-increasing in t for any $u \in S_c$;*
- (iii) *$\eta(1, I|_{S_c}^{m+\varepsilon} \setminus N) \subset I|_{S_c}^{m-\varepsilon}$, and $\eta(1, I|_{S_c}^{m+\varepsilon}) \subset I|_{S_c}^{m-\varepsilon} \cup N$;*
- (iv) *$\eta(t, u)$ is odd in $u \in S_c$ for any $t \in [0, 1]$ if $I|_{S_c}$ is even.*

With the help of Proposition 2.2 and Lemma 2.3 and arguing as in the beginning of the proof of [24, Theorem 2.1], we have the following Lemma.

Lemma 4.8. *Let $I : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be an even functional of class C^1 . Assume that $I|_{S_c}$ is bounded from below and satisfies the $(PS)_m$ condition for all $m < 0$, and that $\Gamma_k \neq \emptyset$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then a sequence of minimax values $-\infty < m_1 \leq m_2 \leq \dots \leq m_k \leq \dots$ can be defined as follows:*

$$m_k := \inf_{A \in \Gamma_k} \sup_{u \in A} I(u), \quad k \geq 1,$$

and the following statements hold.

(i) m_k is a critical value of $I|_{S_c}$ provided $m_k < 0$.

(ii) Denote by K^m the set of critical points of $I|_{S_c}$ at a level $m \in \mathbb{R}$. If

$$m_k = m_{k+1} = \cdots = m_{k+l-1} =: m < 0 \quad \text{for some } k, l \geq 1,$$

then $\mathcal{G}(K^m) \geq l$. In particular, $I|_{S_c}$ has infinitely many critical points at the level m if $l \geq 2$.

(iii) If $m_k < 0$ for all $k \geq 1$, then $m_k \rightarrow 0^-$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. Observing that Item (i) is a special case of Item (ii) when $l = 1$, it is enough to prove Item (ii). We show by contradiction and assume that $\mathcal{G}(K^m) \leq l - 1$. It is easy to see that $K^m \in \Sigma(S_c)$ and K^m is compact by virtue of the $(PS)_m$ condition. Thus Lemma 4.6 (iv) implies that there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $K_{3\delta}^m \subset S_c$ and

$$\mathcal{G}(\overline{K_{3\delta}^m}) = \mathcal{G}(K^m) \leq l - 1.$$

We remark here that $\overline{K_{3\delta}^m} = \emptyset$ if $K^m = \emptyset$. If we set $N = K_{3\delta}^m$, then, by using Lemma 4.7, there exist a number $\varepsilon > 0$ and a mapping $\eta \in C([0, \infty) \times S_c, S_c)$ such that

$$\eta(1, I|_{S_c}^{m+\varepsilon} \setminus N) \subset I|_{S_c}^{m-\varepsilon} \quad \text{and} \quad \eta(t, \cdot) \text{ is odd for all } t \in [0, \infty).$$

Choose $A \in \Gamma_{k+l-1}$ such that $\sup_{u \in A} I(u) \leq m + \varepsilon$. It is clear that $A \setminus K_{3\delta}^m \subset I|_{S_c}^{m+\varepsilon} \setminus K_{3\delta}^m$ and hence

$$\eta(1, A \setminus K_{3\delta}^m) \subset \eta(1, I|_{S_c}^{m+\varepsilon} \setminus K_{3\delta}^m) \subset I|_{S_c}^{m-\varepsilon}.$$

On the other hand, since $\mathcal{G}(A \setminus K_{3\delta}^m) \geq \mathcal{G}(A) - \mathcal{G}(K_{3\delta}^m) \geq k$ by Lemma 4.6 (ii), we derive $A \setminus K_{3\delta}^m \in \Gamma_k$ and then $\eta(1, A \setminus K_{3\delta}^m) \in \Gamma_k$. Now, by the definition of m_k , we get a contradiction:

$$m = m_k \leq \sup_{u \in \eta(1, A \setminus K_{3\delta}^m)} I(u) \leq m - \varepsilon.$$

Thus $\mathcal{G}(K^m) \geq l$. In view of Lemma 4.6 (i), we complete the proof of Item (ii).

To prove Item (iii), we assume by contradiction that there exists $m < 0$ such that $m_k \leq m$ for all $k \geq 1$ and $m_k \rightarrow m$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Making use the $(PS)_m$ condition again, K^m is a (symmetric) compact set. Thus, Lemma 4.6 (iv) leads to there exists $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\mathcal{G}(\overline{K_{3\delta}^m}) = \mathcal{G}(K^m) =: q < \infty.$$

Let $N := K_{3\delta}^m \subset S_c$. Then we know from Lemma 4.7 that there exist $\varepsilon > 0$ and a mapping $\eta \in C([0, \infty) \times S_c, S_c)$ such that $\eta(1, I|_{S_c}^{m+\varepsilon} \setminus K_{3\delta}^m) \subset I|_{S_c}^{m-\varepsilon}$ and $\eta(t, \cdot)$ is odd for any $t \in [0, 1]$. Choose $k \geq 1$ large enough such that $m_k > m - \varepsilon$ and take $A \in \Gamma_{k+q}$ such that $\sup_{u \in A} I(u) \leq m_{k+q} + \varepsilon$. Noting that $m_{k+q} \leq m$, we have $A \setminus K_{3\delta}^m \subset I|_{S_c}^{m+\varepsilon} \setminus K_{3\delta}^m$ and thus

$$\eta(1, A \setminus K_{3\delta}^m) \subset \eta(1, I|_{S_c}^{m+\varepsilon} \setminus K_{3\delta}^m) \subset I|_{S_c}^{m-\varepsilon}.$$

On the other hand, since $\mathcal{G}(A \setminus K_{3\delta}^m) \geq \mathcal{G}(A) - \mathcal{G}(K_{3\delta}^m) \geq k$, we have $A \setminus K_{3\delta}^m \in \Gamma_k$ and then $\eta(1, A \setminus K_{3\delta}^m) \in \Gamma_k$. Hence,

$$m_k \leq \sup_{u \in \eta(1, A \setminus K_{3\delta}^m)} I(u) \leq m - \varepsilon,$$

for we chosen k large enough such that $m_k > m - \varepsilon$, which is impossible. Thus $c_k \rightarrow 0^-$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. \square

Now, for $\varepsilon > 0$, let us introduce the set

$$B_\varepsilon = \{u \in S_c : J_T(u) \leq -\varepsilon\} \subset E,$$

which is a closed symmetric subset of S_c , because J_T is even and continuous.

Lemma 4.9. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, there exists $\varepsilon(n) > 0$ such that $\mathcal{G}(B_\varepsilon) \geq n$ for any $0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon(n)$.*

Proof. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, we can find n functions $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ of linearly independent with the $\text{supp}u_i \cap \text{supp}u_j = \emptyset$ for $i \neq j$. The n -dimensional subspace E_n is defined by $E_n = \text{span}\{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n\}$ equipped with the norm of E . Moreover, there holds $|\nabla u_j|_p = \rho < R_0$ and $|u_j|_p = c$, and hence $\|u_j\| = (\rho^p + c^p)^{1/p}$. Furthermore, for $t \in \mathbb{R}$, we introduce the set

$$\Upsilon_n(t) = \{s_1 u_1^t + s_2 u_2^t + \dots + s_n u_n^t : s_1^p + s_2^p + \dots + s_n^p = 1\}.$$

It is easy to verify that there exists a homomorphism between $\Upsilon_n(t)$ and the sphere $\mathcal{D}(t) = \{(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n : y_1^p + y_2^p + \dots + y_n^p = e^{pt}\rho^p + c^p\}$ in \mathbb{R}^n for $t \in \mathbb{R}$. As a consequence, by the properties of genus, one has $\mathcal{G}(\Upsilon_n(t)) = n$. Let $s_1^p + s_2^p + \dots + s_n^p = 1$, $v = s_1 u_1^t + s_2 u_2^t + \dots + s_n u_n^t \in \Upsilon_n(t)$ with $t < 0$, there holds $|\nabla v|_p = e^t \rho < R_0$. At this point, we observe that

$$J_T(v) = J(v) = \frac{e^{pt}}{p} \rho^p - \frac{e^{p^*t}}{p^*} \rho^{p^*} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left| \frac{u}{\rho} \right|^{p^*} - \frac{\mu e^{q\gamma_q t}}{q} \rho^q \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left| \frac{u}{\rho} \right|^q,$$

where $u = s_1 u_1 + s_2 u_2 + \dots + s_n u_n$. On the other hand, let us define

$$\alpha_n = \inf\{|w|_{p^*}^{p^*} : w \in E_n, |\nabla w|_p = 1\} > 0$$

and

$$\beta_n = \inf\{|w|_q^q : w \in E_n, |\nabla w|_p = 1\} > 0.$$

Thus, we have

$$J_T(v) \leq \frac{e^{pt}}{p} \rho^p - \frac{e^{p^*t}}{p^*} \rho^{p^*} \alpha_n - \frac{\mu e^{q\gamma_q t}}{q} \rho^q \beta_n.$$

Note that $0 < q\gamma_q < p$, there exist $\varepsilon(n) > 0$, $t_n < 0$ such that for $0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon(n)$,

$$J_T(v) \leq -\varepsilon, \quad \text{for all } v \in \Upsilon_n(t_n).$$

Hence, $\Upsilon_n(t_n) \subset B_\varepsilon$ and $\mathcal{G}(B_\varepsilon) \geq \mathcal{G}(\Upsilon_n(t_n)) = n$. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.1 (Part II). We set $\Gamma_k = \{A \in \Sigma(S_c) : \mathcal{G}(A) \geq k\}$ for $k \geq 1$. By Lemma 4.9, there exists $\varepsilon(k) > 0$ such that $B_{\varepsilon(k)} \in \Gamma_k$, where $B_{\varepsilon(k)} = \{u \in S_c : J_T(u) \leq -\varepsilon(k)\}$. Then $\Gamma_k \neq \emptyset$. Recall that J_T is bounded from below and, by Lemma 4.5, J_T satisfies the $(PS)_m$ condition on S_c at the level $m < 0$. We define the minimax values

$$m_k = \inf_{A \in \Gamma_k} \sup_{u \in A} J_T(u), \quad \text{for } k \geq 1.$$

Then $-\infty < m_1 \leq m_2 \leq \dots \leq m_k \leq \dots < 0$. By Lemma 4.8, $J_T|_{S_c}$ has a critical point at the level m_k and $m_k \rightarrow 0^-$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Since $J_T = J$ in a small neighborhood of u provided that $J_T(u) < 0$, these critical points of $J_T|_{S_c}$ are indeed critical points of $J|_{S_c}$. The proof is complete. \square

4.3. Another positive normalized solution of mountain pass type

Recall that

$$\varphi_c(t) = \frac{1}{p} t^{p-\gamma q} - \frac{S^{-\frac{p^*}{p}}}{p^*} t^{p^*-\gamma q} - \frac{\mu}{q} C(q) c^{(1-\gamma q)q}.$$

Lemma 4.10. *Let $c_1 > 0$ and $t_1 > 0$ be such that $\varphi_{c_1}(t_1) \geq 0$. If $c_2 \in (0, c_1]$, then*

$$\varphi_{c_2}(t) \geq 0, \quad \text{for } t \in \left[\frac{c_2}{c_1} t_1, t_1 \right].$$

Proof. It is easy to verify that $\varphi_{c_2}(t_1) \geq \varphi_{c_1}(t_1) \geq 0$ and

$$\varphi_{c_2} \left(\frac{c_2}{c_1} t_1 \right) \geq \left(\frac{c_2}{c_1} \right)^{p-\gamma q} \varphi_{c_1}(t_1) \geq 0.$$

Suppose that the conclusion of the Lemma is not satisfied. We may assume $\varphi_{c_2}(t) < 0$ for some $t \in \left(\frac{c_2}{c_1} t_1, t_1 \right)$, which therefore implies φ_{c_2} has a local minimum point in $\left(\frac{c_2}{c_1} t_1, t_1 \right)$. This is a contradiction, since the function φ_{c_2} has a unique critical point at which φ_{c_2} achieves its global maximum. The proof is completed. \square

For $\mu > 0$, let us define

$$c^* := c^*(\mu) = \left(\frac{\alpha q}{\mu} \right)^{\frac{1}{(1-\gamma q)q}}.$$

Lemma 4.11. (i) *The map $c \in (0, c^*) \mapsto m(c) \in \mathbb{R}$ is continuous.*

(ii) *If $c_0 \in (0, c^*)$, then*

$$m(c_0) \leq m(c) + m \left(\sqrt[p]{c_0^p - c^p} \right), \quad \text{for } c \in (0, c_0).$$

Moreover, if $m(c)$ or $m(\sqrt[p]{c_0^p - c^p})$ is achieved, then the above inequality is strict.

Proof. (i) Let $\{c_n\} \subset (0, c^*)$ be such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c_n = c \in (0, c^*)$. For $\varepsilon > 0$ small, there exists $u \in A_{t^*}(c)$ such that $J(u) \leq m(c) + \varepsilon < m(c) + 3\varepsilon < 0$. Setting $u_n = c_n u / c$, we have $|u_n|_p = c_n$ and $|\nabla u_n|_p < t^*$ for n large, which means that $u_n \in A_{t^*}(c_n)$ for n large. Then, since $J(u_n) \rightarrow J(u)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$m(c_n) \leq J(u_n) \leq J(u) + \varepsilon \leq m(c) + 2\varepsilon \quad (4.21)$$

for n large.

For above $\varepsilon > 0$, we see from (4.21) that there exists $u_n \in A_{t^*}(c_n)$ such that

$$J(u_n) \leq m(c_n) + \varepsilon \leq m(c) + 3\varepsilon < 0$$

for n large. Moreover, setting $v_n = c u_n / c_n$, it follows immediately that $|v_n|_2 = c$. We wish to obtain

$$|\nabla v_n|_2 < t^* \quad (4.22)$$

and hence $v_n \in A_{t^*}(c)$. If this is valid, there holds

$$m(c) \leq J(v_n) \leq J(u_n) + \varepsilon \leq m(c_n) + 2\varepsilon \quad (4.23)$$

for n large. Combining (4.21) and (4.23), we conclude that $m(c_n) \rightarrow m(c)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

It remains to prove (4.22). In the case $c_n \geq c$, we can infer that

$$|\nabla v_n|_2 = \frac{c}{c_n} |\nabla u_n|_2 \leq |\nabla u_n|_2 < t^*.$$

When $c_n < c$, it follows from Lemma 4.10 and $\varphi_c(t^*) > 0$ that

$$\varphi_{c_n}(t) \geq 0, \quad \text{for } t \in \left[\frac{c_n}{c} t^*, t^* \right].$$

Consequently, on the basis of $J(u_n) < 0$ and $u_n \in A_{t^*}(c_n)$, we have $|\nabla u_n|_2 < \frac{c_n}{c} t^*$ and henceforth

$$|\nabla v_n|_2 = \frac{c}{c_n} |\nabla u_n|_2 < t^*.$$

(ii) Letting $c_0 \in (0, c^*)$ and $c \in (0, c_0)$, we start showing that

$$m(\theta c) \leq \theta^2 m(c), \quad \text{for } \theta \in \left(1, \frac{c_0}{c} \right], \quad (4.24)$$

and if in addition $m(c)$ is achieved then the inequality is strict. Indeed, for $\varepsilon > 0$ small there exists $u \in A_{t^*}(c)$ such that

$$J(u) \leq m(c) + \varepsilon < 0. \quad (4.25)$$

Exploiting the fact that $\varphi_{c_0}(t^*) \geq 0$, we see from Lemma 4.10 that $\varphi_c(t) \geq 0$ for $t \in [\frac{c}{c_0} t^*, t^*]$. Since $J(u) < 0$ and $u \in A_{t^*}(c)$, there must be $|\nabla u|_p < \frac{c}{c_0} t^*$. Furthermore, setting $v(x) = \theta u(x)$, it is now easy to observe that $|v|_p = \theta |u|_p = \theta c$ and $|\nabla v|_p = \theta |\nabla u|_p < t^*$, which means that $v \in A_{t^*}(\theta c)$. Then, in view of (4.25),

$$m(\theta c) \leq J(v) = \frac{\theta^p}{p} |\nabla u|_p^p - \frac{\theta^{p^*}}{p^*} |u|_{p^*}^{p^*} - \frac{\mu}{q} \theta^q |u|_q^q < \theta^p J(u) \leq \theta^p (m(c) + \varepsilon).$$

Note that $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, it is clear $m(\theta c) \leq \theta^p m(c)$. Let us emphasize that here, if $m(c)$ is achieved, then one can choose $\varepsilon = 0$ in (4.25) and henceforth the strict inequality holds.

Finally, applying (4.24), we deduce that

$$m(c_0) = \frac{c^p}{c_0^p} m\left(\frac{c_0}{c} c\right) + \frac{c_0^p - c^p}{c_0^p} m\left(\frac{c_0}{\sqrt[p]{c_0^p - c^p}} \sqrt[p]{c_0^p - c^p}\right) \leq m(c) + m\left(\sqrt[p]{c_0^p - c^p}\right)$$

with a strict inequality if $m(c)$ or $m\left(\sqrt[p]{c_0^p - c^p}\right)$ is achieved. \square

Remark 4.1. As a consequence of Lemma 4.11 (ii), $c \in (0, c^*) \mapsto m(c) \in \mathbb{R}$ is decreasing.

In the following, we are devoted to the existence of second critical point for $J|_{S_c}$.

Lemma 4.12. For $u \in S_c$ with $J(u) < m(c)$, the value t_u given in Lemma 4.3 is negative.

Proof. Let $u \in S_c$ be such that $J(u) < m(c)$ and $s_u < c_u < t_u < d_u$ be as in Lemma 4.3. We shall show $d_u \leq 0$ and thus $t_u < 0$. Let us assume now, by contradiction, that $d_u > 0$. It follows from $\Psi_u(0) = J(u) < m(c) < 0$ that $c_u > 0$. Then making use of Lemma 4.3 leads to

$$\begin{aligned} m(c) > J(u) = \Psi_u(0) &\geq \min_{s \in (-\infty, c_u)} \Psi_u(s) \\ &\geq \min \{J(u^s) : s \in \mathbb{R}, |\nabla u^s|_p < R_0\} = J(u^{s_u}) \geq m(c), \end{aligned}$$

which is absurd. The proof is completed. \square

The first part of Theorem 1.1 states that there exists $u_* \in \mathcal{M}^+$ such that $J(u_*) = m(c) < 0$. It is also clear that $J(u_*^t) < 2m(c)$ for $t > 0$ sufficiently large. This implies, by Lemma 2.3, that the set

$$\Gamma := \Gamma(c, \mu) = \left\{ \gamma \in C([0, 1], S_c) : \gamma(0) \in \mathcal{M}^+, \gamma(1) \in J^{2m(c)} \right\}$$

is not empty, where $J^{m(c)} = \{u \in S_c : J(u) \leq 2m(c)\}$. Now let us consider the associated minimax value

$$m^* := m^*(c, \mu) = \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \sup_{t \in [0, 1]} J(\gamma(t)).$$

Lemma 4.13. There holds $m^* = \inf_{u \in \mathcal{M}^-} J(u) > 0$.

Proof. A similar argument to the proof of [39, Lemma 5.7] shows that $\inf_{u \in \mathcal{M}^-} J(u) > 0$. For any $\gamma \in \Gamma$, we have $0 = s_{\gamma(0)} < t_{\gamma(0)}$ and, due to Lemma 4.12, $t_{\gamma(1)} < 0$. With the aid of Lemma 4.3, there exists $t_0 = t_0(\gamma) \in (0, 1)$ such that $t_{\gamma(t_0)} = 0$, which implies $\gamma(t_0) \in \mathcal{M}^-$ and necessarily

$$\sup_{t \in [0, 1]} J(\gamma(t)) \geq J(\gamma(t_0)) \geq \inf_{u \in \mathcal{M}^-} J(u). \quad (4.26)$$

Clearly, $m^* \geq \inf_{u \in \mathcal{M}^-} J(u)$. For any $u \in \mathcal{M}^-$, we know $s_u < 0$ and there exists $t_1 = t_1(u) > 0$ such that $J(u^{t_1}) < 2m(c)$. If we define $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow S_c$ by $\gamma(t) = u^{(1-t)s_u + tt_1}$, then $\gamma \in \Gamma$ from Lemma 2.3, and by (4.26),

$$J(u) = \sup_{t \in [0, 1]} J(\gamma(t)) \geq m^*.$$

Hence, $\inf_{u \in \mathcal{M}^-} J(u) \geq m^*$, concluding the proof. \square

Lemma 4.14. *Assume that $N > 2$ and $N^{2/3} < p < 3$. Then $m^* < m(c) + \frac{1}{N}S^{N/p}$.*

Proof. Let $v_{\varepsilon, \tau} = u_* + \tau u_\varepsilon$, where u_ε is given in Section 2 and $\tau \geq 0$. Taking into account that

$$w_{\varepsilon, \tau}(x) = s^{\frac{N-p}{p}} v_{\varepsilon, \tau}(sx),$$

we obtain that, by direct computations,

$$|\nabla w_{\varepsilon, \tau}|_p^p = |\nabla v_{\varepsilon, \tau}|_p^p, \quad |w_{\varepsilon, \tau}|_{p^*}^{p^*} = |v_{\varepsilon, \tau}|_{p^*}^{p^*}, \quad (4.27)$$

and

$$|w_{\varepsilon, \tau}|_p^p = s^{-p} |v_{\varepsilon, \tau}|_p^p, \quad |w_{\varepsilon, \tau}|_q^q = s^{\gamma q q - q} |v_{\varepsilon, \tau}|_q^q. \quad (4.28)$$

Choosing $s = \frac{|v_{\varepsilon, \tau}|_p}{c}$, we have $w_{\varepsilon, \tau} \in S_c$ and then according to Lemma 4.3 there exists $t_{\varepsilon, \tau}$ such that $w_{\varepsilon, \tau}^{t_{\varepsilon, \tau}} \in \mathcal{M}^-$. Recording that $u_* \in \mathcal{M}^+$, we have $t_{\varepsilon, 0} > 0$. Gathering (2.2) and

$$e^{pt_{\varepsilon, \tau}} |\nabla w_{\varepsilon, \tau}|_p^p = e^{p^* t_{\varepsilon, \tau}} |w_{\varepsilon, \tau}|_{p^*}^{p^*} + \mu \gamma q e^{\gamma q q t_{\varepsilon, \tau}} |w_{\varepsilon, \tau}|_q^q,$$

we obtain $t_{\varepsilon, \tau} \rightarrow -\infty$ as $\tau \rightarrow +\infty$ uniformly for $\varepsilon > 0$ small. Note that $t_{\varepsilon, \tau}$ is continuous with respect to τ . Then for $\varepsilon > 0$ small there exists $\tau_\varepsilon > 0$ such that $t_{\varepsilon, \tau_\varepsilon} = 0$, which means $w_{\varepsilon, \tau_\varepsilon} \in \mathcal{M}^-$. Hence, necessarily in view of Lemma 4.13,

$$m^* \leq J(w_{\varepsilon, \tau_\varepsilon}) \leq \sup_{\tau \geq 0} J(w_{\varepsilon, \tau}). \quad (4.29)$$

In view of (4.27) and (4.28), we have

$$\begin{aligned} J(w_{\varepsilon, \tau}) &= \frac{1}{p} |\nabla v_{\varepsilon, \tau}|_p^p - \frac{1}{p^*} |v_{\varepsilon, \tau}|_{p^*}^{p^*} - \frac{\mu}{q} s^{\gamma q q - q} |v_{\varepsilon, \tau}|_q^q \\ &= \frac{1}{p} |\nabla(u_* + \tau u_\varepsilon)|_p^p - \frac{1}{p^*} |u_* + \tau u_\varepsilon|_{p^*}^{p^*} \\ &\quad - \frac{\mu}{q} s^{\gamma q q - q} |u_* + \tau u_\varepsilon|_q^q. \end{aligned} \quad (4.30)$$

It is easy to check that for $p \in (2, 3)$, there exists $C_p > 0$ such that

$$(1+t)^p \leq 1 + t^p + pt + C_p t^2, \quad t \geq 0. \quad (4.31)$$

At this point observing that

$$s^p = \frac{|v_{\varepsilon, \tau}|_p^p}{c^p} \leq 1 + \frac{p\tau}{c^p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_*^{p-1} u_\varepsilon + \frac{C_p \tau^2}{c^p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_*^{p-2} u_\varepsilon^2 + \frac{\tau^p}{c^p} |u_\varepsilon|_p^p.$$

Then, it is easy to check that

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow 0^+} J(w_{\varepsilon, \tau}) \leq J(u_*) = m(c), \quad \text{uniformly for } \varepsilon > 0 \text{ small,}$$

and thus this means that there exists $\tau_0 > 0$ such that

$$J(w_{\varepsilon, \tau}) < m(c) + \frac{1}{N} S^{N/p}, \quad \text{for } \varepsilon > 0 \text{ small and } \tau \in (0, \tau_0). \quad (4.32)$$

Next, we compute by employing (4.30) and (4.31),

$$\begin{aligned} J(w_{\varepsilon, \tau}) &\leq J(u_*) + \frac{\tau^p}{p} |\nabla u_\varepsilon|_p^p - \frac{\tau^{p^*}}{p^*} |u_\varepsilon|_{p^*}^{p^*} + \frac{\tau^2 C_p}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u_*|^{p-2} |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2 \\ &\quad + \tau \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u_*|^{p-1} |\nabla u_\varepsilon| - \frac{1}{p^*} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(|u_* + \tau u_\varepsilon|^{p^*} - u_*^{p^*} - \tau^{p^*} u_\varepsilon^{p^*} \right) + \frac{\mu}{q} |u_*|_q^q \\ &\leq J(u_*) + \frac{\tau^p}{p} |\nabla u_\varepsilon|_p^p - \frac{\tau^{p^*}}{p^*} |u_\varepsilon|_{p^*}^{p^*} + \tau \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u_*|^{p-2} \nabla u_* \nabla u_\varepsilon + \frac{\mu}{q} |u_*|_q^q. \end{aligned}$$

And consequently, there exists $\tau_1 > 0$ such that

$$J(w_{\varepsilon, \tau}) < m(c) + \frac{1}{N} S^{N/p}, \quad \text{for } \varepsilon > 0 \text{ small and } \tau \in (\tau_1, +\infty). \quad (4.33)$$

Finally, let us now deal with the case $\tau_0 \leq \tau \leq \tau_1$. And since u_* is a positive solution of (1.6) for some $\lambda^* < 0$, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u_*|^{p-2} \nabla u_* \nabla u_\varepsilon = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_*^{p^*-1} u_\varepsilon + \mu \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_*^{q-1} u_\varepsilon + \lambda^* \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_*^{p-1} u_\varepsilon,$$

and

$$\lambda^* c^p = \lambda^* |u_*|_p^p = \mu(\gamma_q - 1) |u_*|_q^q.$$

In view of (4.27) and (4.28), we use the approach in Garcia Azorero and Peral Alonso[15], where the following estimate is obtained (see pages 946 and 949):

$$\begin{aligned} J(w_{\varepsilon, \tau}) &= \frac{1}{p} |\nabla v_{\varepsilon, \tau}|_p^p - \frac{1}{p^*} |v_{\varepsilon, \tau}|_{p^*}^{p^*} - \frac{\mu}{q} s^{\gamma_q q - q} |v_{\varepsilon, \tau}|_q^q \\ &\leq \frac{1}{p} |\nabla u_*|_p^p + \tau \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u_*|^{p-2} \nabla u_* \nabla u_\varepsilon + \frac{\tau^p}{p} |\nabla u_\varepsilon|_p^p - \frac{1}{p^*} |u_* + \tau u_\varepsilon|_{p^*}^{p^*} \\ &\quad - \frac{\mu}{q} s^{\gamma_q q - q} |u_* + \tau u_\varepsilon|_q^q + O(\varepsilon^{\frac{N-p}{p}}) \end{aligned}$$

for ε small and $\tau_0 \leq \tau \leq \tau_1$.

Then, we deduce Lemma 2.1, (2.2)–(2.4) that

$$\begin{aligned}
& J(w_{\varepsilon, \tau}) \tag{4.34} \\
& \leq J(u_*) + \frac{\tau^p}{p} |\nabla u_\varepsilon|_p^p - \frac{\tau^{p^*}}{p^*} |u_\varepsilon|_{p^*}^{p^*} + O(\varepsilon^{\frac{N-p}{p}}) \\
& \quad - \frac{1}{p^*} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(|u_* + \tau u_\varepsilon|^{p^*} - u_*^{p^*} - (\tau u_\varepsilon)^{p^*} - p^* \tau u_*^{p^*} u_\varepsilon \right) \\
& \quad - \frac{\mu}{q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|u_* + \tau u_\varepsilon|^q - u_*^q - q \tau u_*^{q-1} u_\varepsilon) + \tau \lambda^* \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_*^{p-1} u_\varepsilon \\
& \quad - \frac{\tau \mu}{c^p} (\gamma_q - 1) |u_* + \tau u_\varepsilon|_q^q \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_*^{p-1} u_\varepsilon - \frac{\tau^p \mu}{p c^p} (\gamma_q - 1) |u_* + \tau u_\varepsilon|_q^q |u_\varepsilon|_p^p \\
& \quad - \frac{C_p \tau^{p-1} \mu}{c^p} (\gamma_q - 1) |u_* + \tau u_\varepsilon|_q^q \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_*^{p-2} u_\varepsilon^2 \\
& \leq J(u_*) + \frac{1}{N} S^{N/p} + O(\varepsilon^{\frac{N-p}{p}}) - \frac{\tau^p \mu}{p c^p} (\gamma_q - 1) |u_* + \tau u_\varepsilon|_q^q |u_\varepsilon|_p^p - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_* (\tau u_\varepsilon)^{p^*-1} \\
& \quad - \frac{\tau \mu}{c^p} (\gamma_q - 1) (|u_* + \tau u_\varepsilon|_q^q - |u_*|_q^q) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_*^{p-1} u_\varepsilon \\
& \quad - \frac{C_p \tau^2 \mu}{c^p} (\gamma_q - 1) |u_* + \tau u_\varepsilon|_q^q \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_*^{p-2} u_\varepsilon^2 + O(\varepsilon^{\frac{N-p}{p}}) \\
& \leq m(c) + \frac{1}{N} S^{N/p} + O(\varepsilon^{\frac{N-p}{p}}) + O(\varepsilon^{\frac{2(N-p)}{p^2}}) - C \varepsilon^{\frac{(N-p)(p-1)}{p^2}} \\
& < m(c) + \frac{1}{N} S^{N/p} \tag{4.35}
\end{aligned}$$

for $\varepsilon > 0$ small, where we used $N^{2/3} < p < 3$, $p < q < p + p^2/N$ and (2.4). Therefore, summarizing (4.29), (4.32), (4.33) and (4.34) yields $m^* \leq \sup_{\tau \geq 0} J(w_{\varepsilon, \tau}) < m(c) + \frac{1}{N} S^{N/p}$. We conclude the proof. \square

Lemma 4.15. *Suppose that $p < q < p + p^2/N$ and $\mu c^{(1-\gamma_q)q} < \alpha_q$. Then there exists a Palais-Smale sequence $\{u_n\} \subset S_c$ for $J|_{S_c}$ at the level m^* with the properties $|u_n^-|_p \rightarrow 0$ and*

$$P(u_n) \rightarrow 0,$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, where $u^- = \min\{u, 0\}$.

Proof. We introduce a stretched functional $\tilde{J} : E \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ in the spirit of [23] as

$$\tilde{J}(u, t) = J(u^t) = \frac{e^{pt}}{p} |\nabla u|_p^p - \frac{e^{p^*t}}{p^*} |u|_{p^*}^{p^*} - \mu \frac{e^{\gamma_q q t}}{q} |u|_q^q.$$

Let us consider the set

$$\tilde{\Gamma} = \left\{ \tilde{\gamma} \in C([0, 1], S_c \times \mathbb{R}) : \tilde{\gamma}(0) \in \mathcal{M}^+ \times \{0\}, \tilde{\gamma}(1) \in J^{2m(c)} \times \{0\} \right\}.$$

It is clear that if $\gamma \in \Gamma$ then $\tilde{\gamma} := (\gamma, 0) \in \tilde{\Gamma}$ and $\tilde{J}(\tilde{\gamma}(t)) = J(\gamma(t))$ for $t \in [0, 1]$; while if $\tilde{\gamma} = (\tilde{\gamma}_1, \tilde{\gamma}_2) \in \tilde{\Gamma}$ then from Lemma 2.3, $\gamma(\cdot) := \tilde{\gamma}_1(\cdot)^{\tilde{\gamma}_2(\cdot)} \in \Gamma$ and $J(\gamma(t)) = \tilde{J}(\tilde{\gamma}(t))$ for $t \in [0, 1]$. Therefore, we have

$$m^* = \inf_{\tilde{\gamma} \in \tilde{\Gamma}} \sup_{t \in [0, 1]} \tilde{J}(\tilde{\gamma}(t)). \tag{4.36}$$

Under the definition of (4.36), we observe that for $\varepsilon_n = \frac{1}{n^2}$ there exists $\gamma_n \in \Gamma$ such that

$$\sup_{t \in [0,1]} J(\gamma_n(t)) \leq m^* + \frac{1}{n^2}.$$

Replacing γ_n by $|\gamma_n|$ if necessary, we may assume that $\gamma_n(t) \geq 0$ in \mathbb{R}^N for all $t \in [0, 1]$. In addition, setting $\tilde{\gamma}_n = (\gamma_n, 0) \in \tilde{\Gamma}$, we have

$$\sup_{t \in [0,1]} \tilde{J}(\tilde{\gamma}_n(t)) \leq m^* + \frac{1}{n^2}.$$

For any $\tilde{\gamma} = (\tilde{\gamma}_1, \tilde{\gamma}_2) \in \tilde{\Gamma}$, let us consider the function $P_{\tilde{\gamma}} : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$P_{\tilde{\gamma}}(\tau) = P(\tilde{\gamma}_1(\tau)^{\tilde{\gamma}_2(\tau)}).$$

Since $\tilde{\gamma}(0) = (\tilde{\gamma}_1(0), \tilde{\gamma}_2(0)) \in (\mathcal{M}^+, 0)$, there holds $t_{\tilde{\gamma}_1(0)} > s_{\tilde{\gamma}_1(0)} = 0$ according to Lemma 4.3. Observing that $J(\tilde{\gamma}_1(1)) = \tilde{J}(\tilde{\gamma}(1)) < 2m(c)$ we have $t_{\tilde{\gamma}_1(1)} < 0$ by virtue of Lemma 4.12. Moreover, Lemma 2.3 yields the map $\tau \mapsto \tilde{\gamma}_1(\tau)^{\tilde{\gamma}_2(\tau)}$ is continuous from $[0, 1] \rightarrow E$. Hence, there exists $\tau_{\tilde{\gamma}} \in (0, 1)$ such that $P_{\tilde{\gamma}}(\tau_{\tilde{\gamma}}) = 0$, which implies

$$\max_{\tilde{\gamma} \in ([0,1])} \tilde{J} \geq \tilde{J}(\tilde{\gamma}(\tau_{\tilde{\gamma}})) \geq \inf_{\mathcal{M}^-} J(u) = m^*. \quad (4.37)$$

It follows from Lemma 4.3 that

$$m^* > 0 \geq \sup_{\mathcal{M}^+ \cup J^{2m(c)}} J(u) = \sup_{(\mathcal{M}^+, 0) \cup (J^{2m(c)}, 0)} \tilde{J}(u, t). \quad (4.38)$$

In the following, we will apply Lemma 2.4 to achieve our result. For this purpose, let

$$X = S_c \times \mathbb{R}, \quad \mathcal{F} = \{\tilde{\gamma}([0, 1]) : \tilde{\gamma} \in \tilde{\Gamma}\}, \quad B = (\mathcal{M}^+, 0) \cup (J^{2m(c)}, 0),$$

$$F = \{(u, s) \in S_c \times \mathbb{R} | \tilde{J}(u, s) \geq m^*\}, \quad A = \tilde{\gamma}([0, 1]), \quad A_n = \tilde{\gamma}_n([0, 1]) = \gamma_n([0, 1]) \times \{0\}.$$

We need to checked that \mathcal{F} is a homotopy stable family of compact subsets of X with extended closed boundary B and F satisfies the assumptions (1) and (2) in Lemma 2.4. In fact, for every $\tilde{\gamma} \in \tilde{\Gamma}$, since $\tilde{\gamma}(0) \in (\mathcal{M}^+, 0)$ and $\tilde{\gamma}(1) \in (J^{2m(c)}, 0)$, we have $\tilde{\gamma}(0), \tilde{\gamma}(1) \in B$. For any set A in \mathcal{F} and any $\eta \in C([0, 1] \times X; X)$ satisfying $\eta(t, x) = x$ for all $(t, x) \in (\{0\} \times X) \cup ([0, 1] \times B)$, there holds that $\eta(1, \tilde{\gamma}(0)) = \tilde{\gamma}(0)$, $\eta(1, \tilde{\gamma}(1)) = \tilde{\gamma}(1)$. Hence, we have that $\eta(\{1\} \times A) \in \mathcal{F}$. Now, in view of (4.37), we have $A \cap F \neq \emptyset$. Meanwhile, $F \cap B = \emptyset$ by virtue of (4.38). Hence, we can deduce that the assumptions (1) and (2) in Lemma 2.4 are valid.

Therefore, using Lemma 2.4 yields the existence of a sequence $\{(v_n, t_n)\} \subset S_c \times \mathbb{R}$ such that, as $n \rightarrow +\infty$,

$$|t_n| + \text{dist}(v_n, \gamma_n([0, 1])) \rightarrow 0, \quad (4.39)$$

$$\tilde{J}(v_n, t_n) \rightarrow m^*, \quad (4.40)$$

$$(\tilde{J}|_{S_c \times \mathbb{R}})'(v_n, t_n) \rightarrow 0. \quad (4.41)$$

Moreover, we can infer that $\tilde{J}(v_n, t_n) = \tilde{J}(v_n^{t_n}, 0)$ and

$$\langle (\tilde{J}|_{S_c \times \mathbb{R}})'(v_n, t_n), (\varphi, s) \rangle = \langle (\tilde{J}|_{S_c \times \mathbb{R}})'(v_n^{t_n}, 0), (\varphi^{t_n}, s) \rangle \quad (4.42)$$

for $(\varphi, s) \in E \times \mathbb{R}$ with $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} v_n \varphi = 0$. Recording that $u_n = v_n^{t_n} \in S_c$, we see from (4.40) that

$$J(u_n) = \tilde{J}(v_n^{t_n}, 0) = \tilde{J}(v_n, t_n) \rightarrow m^*, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Taking $(\mathbf{0}, 1)$ as a test function in (4.42), we deduce from (4.41) that

$$P(u_n) = \partial_t \tilde{J}(u_n, 0) \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

For $w \in E$ with $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} v_n^{t_n} w = 0$, we immediately deduce from (4.39), (4.41) and Lemma 2.2 that $(J|_{S_c})'(u_n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ provided taking $(w^{-t_n}, 0)$ as a test function in (4.42). In view of (4.39) again, $|u_n^-|_p^p = |(v_n^-)^{t_n}|_p^p = |v_n^-|_p^p \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, as desired. The proof is completed. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.1 (Part III). By Lemma 4.15, there exists a sequence $\{u_n\} \subset S_c$ with the following properties

$$J(u_n) \rightarrow m^*, \quad (J|_{S_c})'(u_n) \rightarrow 0, \quad |u_n^-|_p \rightarrow 0, \quad P(u_n) \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

If alternative (i) in Lemma 3.3 occurs, then $u_n \rightarrow u$ in E for some $u \neq 0$ and

$$m^* - \Lambda \geq J(u).$$

In the case $J(u) \geq 0$, we have

$$m^* - \Lambda \geq 0 > m(c),$$

yielding a contradiction with Lemma 4.14; while in the case $J(u) < 0$, we have $|\nabla u|_p < t^*$ and then, by $|u|_p \leq c$ and Remark 4.1 that

$$J(u) \geq m(|u|_p) \geq m(c),$$

which is also contradicts the result of Lemma 4.14. Therefore, alternative (ii) in Lemma 3.3 holds true and henceforth $u_n \rightarrow u$ in E with u being a ground state solution of (1.1) and (1.3) for some $\lambda < 0$. Moreover, by $|u_n^-|_p \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and then similarly as in proof of Theorem 1.1(Part I), we conclude that u is positive. The proof is completed. \square

5. L^p -critical and supercritical perturbations

Throughout this section, we always assume that

$$p + p^2/N \leq q < p^*, \quad c, \mu > 0, \quad \mu c^{(1-\gamma_q)q} < \alpha(q).$$

We wish to investigate the mountain pass geometry of J on S_c . For this reason, we recall that

$$D_k := D_k(c) = \{u \in S_c : |\nabla u|_p < k\}, \quad \text{for } k > 0.$$

Lemma 5.1. *Suppose that $p + p^2/N \leq q < p^*$ and $\mu c^{(1-\gamma_q)q} < \alpha(q)$ when $q = p + p^2/N$.*

(1) *There exist two positive numbers $k_1 < k_2$ such that*

$$0 < \sup_{u \in \overline{D_{k_1}}} J(u) < \inf_{u \in \partial D_{k_2}} J(u)$$

and

$$J(u) > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad P(u) > 0, \quad \text{for } u \in D_{k_2}.$$

(2) *There exists $u_0 \in S_c \setminus A_{k_2}$ such that $J(u_0) < 0$.*

Proof. We focus our attention on the following two aspects.

(1) It follows from Lemma 1.1 and the Sobolev inequality that, for $u \in S_c$,

$$\begin{aligned} J(u) &= \frac{1}{p} |\nabla u|_p^p - \frac{1}{p^*} |u|_{p^*}^{p^*} - \frac{\mu}{q} |u|_q^q \\ &\geq \frac{1}{p} |\nabla u|_p^p - \frac{S^{-\frac{p^*}{p}}}{p^*} |\nabla u|_p^{p^*} - \frac{\mu}{q} C(q) c^{(1-\gamma_q)q} |\nabla u|_p^{\gamma_q q} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} P(u) &= |\nabla u|_p^p - |u|_{p^*}^{p^*} - \mu \gamma_q |u|_q^q \\ &\geq |\nabla u|_p^p - S^{-\frac{p^*}{p}} |\nabla u|_p^{p^*} - \mu \gamma_q C(q) c^{(1-\gamma_q)q} |\nabla u|_p^{\gamma_q q}. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, it is also clear that

$$J(u) \leq \frac{1}{p} |\nabla u|_p^p.$$

Observe that $p \leq \gamma_q q < p^*$ and

$$\frac{1}{p} > \frac{\mu}{q} C(q) c^{(1-\gamma_q)q} \quad \text{when } \gamma_q q = p.$$

Thereby, taking two small positive numbers $k_1 < k_2$, we arrive at the desired result.

(2) It is not difficult to check that, for $u \in S_c$,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} |\nabla u^t|_p = +\infty \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} J(u^t) = -\infty.$$

If, in addition, we choose $u_0 = u^t$ with $t > 0$ sufficiently large, then the desired result is obtained and this completes the proof. \square

By Lemma 5.1, we can define the mountain pass level of the functional J on S_c by

$$m^* := m^*(c, \mu) = \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \sup_{t \in [0,1]} J(\gamma(t)), \quad (5.1)$$

where

$$\Gamma := \Gamma(c, \mu) = \{ \gamma \in C([0,1], S_c) : \gamma(0) \in \overline{D_{k_1}}, J(\gamma(1)) \leq 0 \}.$$

Clearly, $m^* \geq \inf_{u \in \partial D_{k_2}} J(u) > 0$.

5.1. The case $q = p + p^2/N$

Let us keep in mind that $q = \bar{q} = p + p^2/N$ throughout this subsection.

Lemma 5.2. *Let $a_2, b_2 > 0$. Then the function*

$$\xi(t) = a_2 e^{pt} - b_2 e^{p^*t}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}$$

has a unique critical point at which ξ achieves its maximum.

We observe that $\gamma_{\bar{q}}\bar{q} = p$ and $\mu c^{(1-\gamma_{\bar{q}})\bar{q}} < \alpha(\bar{q})$, hence there holds

$$\gamma_{\bar{q}}C(\bar{q})\mu c^{(1-\gamma_{\bar{q}})\bar{q}} < 1. \quad (5.2)$$

Lemma 5.3. *It results $\mathcal{M}^0 = \emptyset$.*

Proof. Suppose by contradiction that $\mathcal{M}^0 \neq \emptyset$. Then there exists $u \in \mathcal{M}^0$ satisfying

$$|\nabla u|_p^p - |u|_{p^*}^{p^*} - \mu\gamma_{\bar{q}}|u|_{\bar{q}}^{\bar{q}} = 0$$

and

$$p|\nabla u|_p^p - p^*|u|_{p^*}^{p^*} - p\mu\gamma_{\bar{q}}|u|_{\bar{q}}^{\bar{q}} = 0.$$

Thus, gathering Lemma 1.1 and (5.2) we see that

$$|\nabla u|_p^p = \mu\gamma_{\bar{q}}|u|_{\bar{q}}^{\bar{q}} \leq \gamma_{\bar{q}}C(\bar{q})\mu c^{(1-\gamma_{\bar{q}})\bar{q}}|\nabla u|_p^p < |\nabla u|_p^p,$$

which is absurd and therefore the thesis follows. The proof is completed. \square

Lemma 5.4. *For every $u \in S_c$, there exists a unique $t_u \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $u^{t_u} \in \mathcal{M}$ and $J(u^{t_u}) = \max_{t \in \mathbb{R}} J(u^t)$. Moreover, we have*

- (i) $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}^-$;
- (ii) $P(u) < 0$ if and only if $t_u < 0$;
- (iii) the map $u \mapsto t_u$ is of class C^1 .

Proof. Recall that $\gamma_{\bar{q}}\bar{q} = 2$. It is immediate to check that, for $u \in S_c$,

$$\Psi_u(t) = J(u^t) = \left(\frac{1}{p}|\nabla u|_p^p - \frac{\mu}{\bar{q}}|u|_{\bar{q}}^{\bar{q}} \right) e^{pt} - \frac{|u|_{p^*}^{p^*}}{p^*} e^{p^*t}$$

and

$$P(u^t) = (|\nabla u|_p^p - \mu\gamma_{\bar{q}}|u|_{\bar{q}}^{\bar{q}}) e^{pt} - |u|_{p^*}^{p^*} e^{p^*t}.$$

It is well known that $\Psi'_u(t) = 0 \Leftrightarrow P(u^t) = 0 \Leftrightarrow u^t \in \mathcal{M}$. Thus, exploiting again the fact that

$$\frac{1}{p}|\nabla u|_p^p - \frac{\mu}{\bar{q}}|u|_{\bar{q}}^{\bar{q}} \geq \frac{1}{p} \left(1 - \gamma_{\bar{q}}C(\bar{q})\mu c^{(1-\gamma_{\bar{q}})\bar{q}} \right) |\nabla u|_p^p > 0.$$

We deduce, from Lemma 5.2, that there exists a unique $t_u \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\Psi'_u(t_u) = 0$ and $J(u^{t_u}) = \max_{t \in \mathbb{R}} J(u^t)$. Thereby, $u^{t_u} \in \mathcal{M}$.

If $u \in \mathcal{M}$, then $t_u = 0$ is the maximum point of Ψ_u . According to Lemma 5.3, we have $\Psi_u''(0) < 0$ and then $u \in \mathcal{M}^-$. Hence $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}^-$. Observing that $\Psi_u'(t) < 0$ if and only if $t > t_u$, we have $P(u) = \Psi_u'(0) < 0$ if and only if $t_u < 0$. Now, let us define the functional $\Phi : E \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $\Phi(u, t) = \Psi_u'(t)$, it follows that Φ is of class C^1 , $\Phi(u, t_u) = 0$ and $\partial_t \Phi(u, t_u) = \Psi_u''(t_u) < 0$. Making use of the Implicit Function Theorem, we find that the map $u \mapsto t_u$ is of class C^1 . \square

Lemma 5.5. *We have $m := m(c, \mu) = \inf_{u \in \mathcal{M}} J(u) > 0$.*

Proof. Lemma 5.4 implies that $\mathcal{M} \neq \emptyset$. We note that, for $u \in \mathcal{M}$,

$$|\nabla u|_p^p = |u|_{p^*}^{p^*} + \mu \gamma_{\bar{q}} |u|_{\bar{q}}^{\bar{q}} \leq S^{-\frac{p^*}{p}} |\nabla u|_p^{p^*} + \gamma_{\bar{q}} C(\bar{q}) \mu c^{(1-\gamma_{\bar{q}})\bar{q}} |\nabla u|_p^p,$$

from which and (5.2) it results that

$$\inf_{u \in \mathcal{M}} |\nabla u|_p > 0. \quad (5.3)$$

Obviously, it is not difficult to check, for $u \in \mathcal{M}$,

$$\begin{aligned} J(u) &= J(u) - \frac{1}{p^*} P(u) = \frac{1}{N} |\nabla u|_p^p - \frac{\mu \gamma_{\bar{q}}}{N} |u|_{\bar{q}}^{\bar{q}} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{N} \left(1 - \gamma_{\bar{q}} C(\bar{q}) \mu c^{(1-\gamma_{\bar{q}})\bar{q}} \right) |\nabla u|_p^p, \end{aligned}$$

which whence combined with (5.3) concludes the proof. \square

Lemma 5.6. *There holds $m = m^*$.*

Proof. For any $u \in \mathcal{M}$, it is not difficult to check that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} |\nabla u^t|_2^2 = 0, \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} |\nabla u^t|_2^2 = +\infty, \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} J(u^t) = -\infty.$$

Clearly, there exist $t_1 = t_1(u) < 0$ and $t_2 = t_2(u) > 0$ such that $u^{t_1} \in D_{k_1}$, $u^{t_2} \in S_c \setminus D_{k_2}$ and $J(u^{t_2}) < 0$. We define for any $t \in [0, 1]$ by $\gamma(t) = u^{(1-t)t_1 + tt_2}$. Clearly, in view of Lemma 2.3, $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and then $\sup_{t \in [0, 1]} J(\gamma(t)) = J(u)$, from which it ensures that $m \geq m^*$.

From now on we focus on $m \leq m^*$, it suffices to verify that $\gamma([0, 1]) \cap \mathcal{M} \neq \emptyset$ for any $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Since

$$J(u) - \frac{1}{p^*} P(u) \geq \frac{1}{N} \left(1 - \gamma_{\bar{q}} C(\bar{q}) \mu c^{(1-\gamma_{\bar{q}})\bar{q}} \right) |\nabla u|_p^p > 0, \quad \text{for } u \in S_c,$$

it follows that $P(\gamma(1)) < p^* J(\gamma(1)) \leq 0$ for any $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Note in particular that $P(\gamma(0)) > 0$. Furthermore, if we define $t_1 = \inf\{t \in [0, 1] : P(\gamma(s)) < 0 \text{ for } s \in (t, 1]\}$, then there must be $P(\gamma(t_1)) = 0$, which whence implies that $\gamma(t_1) \in \gamma([0, 1]) \cap \mathcal{M}$. The proof is complete. \square

Lemma 5.7. *Suppose that $p < N^{2/3}$. Then $0 < m < \frac{1}{N} S^{N/p}$.*

Proof. The proof is similar to the following Lemma 5.13. \square

Lemma 5.8. *Suppose that $q = p + p^2/N$ and $\mu c^{(1-\gamma_q)q} < \alpha(q)$. Then there exists a Palais-Smale sequence $\{u_n\} \subset S_c$ for $J|_{S_c}$ at the level m^* with the following properties*

$$|u_n^-|_p \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{and} \quad P(u_n) \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Proof. The proof is similar to the proof of Lemma 4.15. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.2. According to Lemmas 5.6 and 5.8, there exists a sequence $\{u_n\} \subset S_c$ with the following properties

$$J(u_n) \rightarrow m, \quad (J|_{S_c})'(u_n) \rightarrow 0, \quad |u_n^-|_p \rightarrow 0, \quad P(u_n) \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

If alternative (i) in Lemma 3.3 occurs, then $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ in E for some $u \neq 0$ and, by applying (3.5) and (5.2),

$$\begin{aligned} m - \frac{1}{N} S^{N/p} &\geq J(u) = \frac{1}{N} |\nabla u|_p^p - \frac{\mu \gamma_{\bar{q}}}{N} |u|_{\bar{q}}^{\bar{q}} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{N} \left(1 - \gamma_{\bar{q}} C(\bar{q}) \mu c^{(1-\gamma_{\bar{q}})\bar{q}}\right) |\nabla u|_p^p > 0, \end{aligned}$$

yielding a contradiction with Lemma 5.7. Therefore, alternative (ii) in Lemma 3.3 holds true and hence $u_n \rightarrow u$ in E with u being a ground state solution of (1.1) and (1.3) for some $\lambda < 0$. Moreover, we conclude by observing that $|u_n^-|_p \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and arguing as the proof of Theorem 1.1(Part I), that u is positive. The proof is complete. \square

5.2. The case $p + p^2/N < q < p^*$

This subsection is devoted to consider the case $p + p^2/N < q < p^*$, which indicates that $p < \gamma_q q < p^*$. Similar to Lemma 5.2, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 5.9. *Let $a_3, b_3 > 0$, $d_3 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $p < \tau < p^*$. Then the function*

$$\tilde{\xi}(t) = a_3 e^{pt} - b_3 e^{p^*t} - d_3 e^{\tau t}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}$$

has a unique critical point at which $\tilde{\xi}$ achieves its maximum.

Lemma 5.10. *For every $u \in S_c$, there exists a unique $t_u \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $u^{t_u} \in \mathcal{M}$ and $J(u^{t_u}) = \max_{t \in \mathbb{R}} J(u^t)$. Moreover, we have*

- (i) $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}^-$;
- (ii) $P(u) < 0$ if and only if $t_u < 0$;
- (iii) the map $u \mapsto t_u$ is of class C^1 .

Proof. For $u \in S_c$, we have

$$\Psi_u(t) = J(u^t) = \frac{e^{pt}}{p} |\nabla u|_p^p - \frac{e^{p^*t}}{p^*} |u|_{p^*}^{p^*} - \mu \frac{e^{\gamma_q q t}}{q} |u|_q^q$$

and

$$P(u^t) = e^{pt} |\nabla u|_p^p - e^{p^*t} |u|_{p^*}^{p^*} - \mu \gamma_q e^{\gamma_q t} |u|_q^q.$$

It is obvious that $\Psi'_u(t) = 0 \Leftrightarrow P(u^t) = 0 \Leftrightarrow u^t \in \mathcal{M}$. Standard computations together with Lemma 5.9 shows that there exists a unique $t_u \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\Psi'_u(t_u) = 0$ and $J(u^{t_u}) = \max_{t \in \mathbb{R}} J(u^t)$. Hence, $u^{t_u} \in \mathcal{M}$.

We remark that, letting $u \in \mathcal{M}$, a straightforward computation shows

$$\Psi''_u(0) = \Psi''_u(t_u) - pP(u) = -(p^* - p)|u|_{p^*}^{p^*} + (p - \gamma_q q) \mu \gamma_q |u|_q^q < 0,$$

whence it follows that $u \in \mathcal{M}^-$ and henceforth $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}^-$. Observing that $\Psi'_u(t) < 0$ if and only if $t > t_u$, we have $P(u) = \Psi'_u(0) < 0$ if and only if $t_u < 0$. If we define $\Phi : E \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $\Phi(u, t) = \Psi'_u(t)$, then Φ is of class C^1 , $\Phi(u, t_u) = 0$ and $\partial_t \Phi(u, t_u) = \Psi''_u(t_u) < 0$. Now, let us remark that, by applying the Implicit Function Theorem, the map $u \mapsto t_u$ is of class C^1 . \square

Lemma 5.11. $m := m(c, \mu) = \inf_{u \in \mathcal{M}} J(u) > 0$.

Proof. Lemma 5.10 indicates that $\mathcal{M} \neq \emptyset$. Notice in particular that, for $u \in \mathcal{M}$,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= |\nabla u|_p^p - |u|_{p^*}^{p^*} - \mu \gamma_q |u|_q^q \\ &\geq |\nabla u|_p^p - S^{-\frac{p^*}{p}} |\nabla u|_p^{p^*} - \mu \gamma_q C(q) c^{(1-\gamma_q)q} |\nabla u|_p^{\gamma_q q}, \end{aligned}$$

whence it follows that

$$\inf_{u \in \mathcal{M}} |\nabla u|_p > 0. \tag{5.4}$$

Therefore, it is immediate to check that, for $u \in \mathcal{M}$,

$$\begin{aligned} J(u) &= J(u) - \frac{1}{\gamma_q q} P(u) = \frac{\gamma_q q - p}{p \gamma_q q} |\nabla u|_p^p + \frac{p^* - \gamma_q q}{p^* \gamma_q q} |u|_{p^*}^{p^*} \\ &\geq \frac{\gamma_q q - p}{p \gamma_q q} |\nabla u|_p^p. \end{aligned}$$

This, together with (5.4), implies the desired conclusion. \square

Lemma 5.12. $m = m^*$, where m^* is defined in (5.1).

Proof. The statement follows straightforwardly from the fact that

$$J(u) - \frac{1}{p} P(u) = \frac{1}{N} |u|_{p^*}^{p^*} + \frac{\mu}{q} \left(\frac{\gamma_q q}{p} - 1 \right) |u|_q^q > 0, \quad \text{for } u \in S_c.$$

Arguing as in the proof of Lemma 5.6, we immediately achieve the result. \square

Lemma 5.13. Assume that $p \leq N^{2/3}$. Then $0 < m < \frac{1}{N} S^{N/p}$.

Proof. Case 1. $p \leq N^{1/2}$. Let $v_\varepsilon = (\tau)^{\frac{N-p}{p}} u_\varepsilon(\tau x)$, where $\tau = c^{-1}|u_\varepsilon|_p$. Then

$$|v_\varepsilon|_p = c, \quad |\nabla v_\varepsilon|_2 = |\nabla u_\varepsilon|_2, \quad |v_\varepsilon|_{p^*} = |u_\varepsilon|_{p^*}$$

and for $p < q < p^*$. Lemmas 5.10 and 5.11 imply there exists a unique $t_\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $v_\varepsilon^{t_\varepsilon} \in \mathcal{M}$ and $0 < m \leq J(v_\varepsilon^{t_\varepsilon})$. To conclude the proof, it suffices to show that $J(v_\varepsilon^{t_\varepsilon}) < \frac{1}{N}S^{N/p}$ for $\varepsilon > 0$ sufficiently small.

According to $p \leq N^{1/2}$, there holds $p^*(1 - 1/p) \leq p < q$. As a consequence of (2.2), (2.3), (2.4) and (5.4), there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that, as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$,

$$\begin{aligned} e^{\gamma q t_\varepsilon} |v_\varepsilon|_q^q &= e^{\gamma q t_\varepsilon} \cdot \tau^{-(1-\gamma)q} |u_\varepsilon|_q^q \\ &= \frac{|\nabla v_\varepsilon^{t_\varepsilon}|_p^{\gamma q}}{|\nabla u_\varepsilon|_p^{\gamma q}} \cdot c^{(1-\gamma)q} \frac{|u_\varepsilon|_q^q}{|u_\varepsilon|_p^{(1-\gamma)q}} \geq \begin{cases} C, & p < N^{1/2}, \\ C |\ln \varepsilon|^{\frac{-(1-\gamma)q}{p}}, & p = N^{1/2}, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

which jointly with Lemma 2.1 leads to

$$\begin{aligned} J(v_\varepsilon^{t_\varepsilon}) &= \frac{a_\varepsilon^p e^{p t_\varepsilon}}{p} |\nabla u_\varepsilon|_p^p - \frac{a_\varepsilon^{p^*} e^{p^* t_\varepsilon}}{p^*} |u_\varepsilon|_{p^*}^{p^*} - \mu \frac{e^{\gamma q t_\varepsilon}}{q} |v_\varepsilon|_q^q \\ &\leq \frac{1}{N} S^{N/p} + O(\varepsilon^{\frac{N-p}{p}}) - \frac{\mu}{q} \begin{cases} C, & p < N^{1/2}, \\ C |\ln \varepsilon|^{\frac{-(1-\gamma)q}{p}}, & p = N^{1/2}. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

As a consequence, letting $\varepsilon > 0$ small yields the desired conclusion.

Case 2. $N^{1/2} < p < N^{2/3}$. Let v_ε be as in (2.1). Lemmas 5.10 and 5.11 imply there exists a unique $t_\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $v_\varepsilon^{t_\varepsilon} \in \mathcal{M}$ and $0 < m \leq J(v_\varepsilon^{t_\varepsilon})$. To conclude the proof, it suffices to show that $J(v_\varepsilon^{t_\varepsilon}) < \frac{1}{N}S^{N/p}$ for $\varepsilon > 0$ sufficiently small.

According to $N^{1/2} < p < N^{2/3}$, there holds $p < p^*(1 - 1/p) < p + p^2/N$. As a consequence of (2.2), (2.3), (2.4) and (5.4), there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that, as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$,

$$\begin{aligned} e^{\gamma q t_\varepsilon} |v_\varepsilon|_q^q &= a_\varepsilon^{\gamma q} e^{\gamma q t_\varepsilon} \cdot a_\varepsilon^{(1-\gamma)q} |u_\varepsilon|_q^q \\ &= \frac{|\nabla v_\varepsilon^{t_\varepsilon}|_p^{\gamma q}}{|\nabla u_\varepsilon|_p^{\gamma q}} \cdot c^{(1-\gamma)q} \frac{|u_\varepsilon|_q^q}{|u_\varepsilon|_p^{(1-\gamma)q}} \geq C \varepsilon^{\frac{(pN+pq-qN)(p^2-N)}{p^3}}, \end{aligned}$$

which jointly with Lemma 2.1 leads to

$$\begin{aligned} J(v_\varepsilon^{t_\varepsilon}) &= \frac{a_\varepsilon^p e^{p t_\varepsilon}}{p} |\nabla u_\varepsilon|_p^p - \frac{a_\varepsilon^{p^*} e^{p^* t_\varepsilon}}{p^*} |u_\varepsilon|_{p^*}^{p^*} - \mu \frac{e^{\gamma q t_\varepsilon}}{q} |v_\varepsilon|_q^q \\ &\leq \frac{1}{N} S^{N/p} + O(\varepsilon^{\frac{N-p}{p}}) - \frac{\mu}{q} C \varepsilon^{\frac{(pN+pq-qN)(p^2-N)}{p^3}}. \end{aligned}$$

The condition $N^{1/2} < p < N^{2/3}$ implies that $\frac{(pN+pq-qN)(p^2-N)}{p^3} < \frac{N-p}{p}$. As a consequence, letting $\varepsilon > 0$ small yields the desired conclusion. \square

Lemma 5.14. *Suppose that $p + p^2/N < q < p^*$. Then there exists a Palais-Smale sequence $\{u_n\} \subset S_c$ for $J|_{S_c}$ at the level m^* with the following properties*

$$|u_n^-|_p \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{and} \quad P(u_n) \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Proof. The proof is similar to the proof of Lemma 4.15. □

Proof of Theorem 1.3. We can apply Lemmas 5.12 and 5.14 to conclude that there is a sequence $\{u_n\} \subset S_c$ with the following properties

$$J(u_n) \rightarrow m, \quad (J|_{S_c})'(u_n) \rightarrow 0, \quad |u_n^-|_p \rightarrow 0, \quad P(u_n) \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

According to Lemma 5.13, one of the two alternatives in Lemma 3.3 occurs. Suppose that alternative (i) occurs, it is elementary to realize that $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ in E for some $u \neq 0$ and, by virtue of (3.5),

$$\begin{aligned} m - \frac{1}{N}S^{N/p} &\geq J(u) = J(u) - \frac{1}{p}P(u) \\ &= \frac{1}{N}|u|_{p^*}^{p^*} + \frac{\mu}{q} \left(\frac{\gamma q q}{p} - 1 \right) |u|_q^q > 0, \end{aligned}$$

which contradicts the result of Lemma 5.13. Therefore, alternative (ii) in Lemma 3.3 holds true and henceforth $u_n \rightarrow u$ in E with u being a ground state solution of (1.1) and (1.3) in E for some $\lambda < 0$. Moreover, observing that $|u_n^-|_p \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and following the same argument of the proof of Theorem 1.1(Part I), we deduce that u is positive. □

6. Asymptotic behavior of normalized solutions

In this section, we study the asymptotic behavior of normalized solutions obtained in Theorem 1.1 as $\mu \rightarrow 0^+$. For simplicity of notations, we always write $m(\mu) := m(c, \mu)$, $m^*(\mu) := m^*(c, \mu)$, $\mathcal{M}_\mu := \mathcal{M}_{c, \mu}$ and $\mathcal{M}_\mu^\pm := \mathcal{M}_{c, \mu}^\pm$ throughout this section.

6.1. The case $\mu \rightarrow 0^+$

Let $c > 0$ and $p < q < p^*$ be fixed. We also assume that $\mu > 0$ satisfies (1.6).

Proof of Theorem 1.4 (i). In the case $p < q < p + p^2/N$, Theorem 1.1 states that $u_\mu := u_{c, \mu}$ is the interior local minimizer of the functional J_μ on $D_{R_0}(c)$, where $R_0 = R_0(c, \mu)$ is given by Lemma 4.1. It is easy to verify that $R_0(c, \mu) \rightarrow 0$ as $\mu \rightarrow 0^+$ and thus $|\nabla u_\mu|_p \rightarrow 0$ as $\mu \rightarrow 0^+$. Using Lemma 1.1 and the Sobolev inequality, we derive

$$\begin{aligned} 0 > m(\mu) &= \frac{1}{p}|\nabla u_\mu|_p^p - \frac{1}{p^*}|u_\mu|_{p^*}^{p^*} - \frac{\mu}{q}|u_\mu|_q^q \\ &\geq \frac{1}{p}|\nabla u_\mu|_p^p - \frac{S^{-\frac{p^*}{p}}}{p^*}|\nabla u_\mu|_p^{p^*} - \frac{\mu}{q}C(q)c^{(1-\gamma)q}|\nabla u_\mu|_p^{\gamma q}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $m(\mu) \rightarrow 0$ as $\mu \rightarrow 0^+$ and the thesis follows. □

Next we consider the case where $\bar{q} = p + p^2/N \leq q < p^*$.

Lemma 6.1. *There holds*

$$\inf_{u \in \mathcal{M}_\mu} J_\mu(u) = \inf_{u \in S_c} \max_{t \in \mathbb{R}} J_\mu(u^t).$$

Proof. We see from Lemmas 5.4 and 5.10 that

$$J_\mu(u) = \max_{t \in \mathbb{R}} J_\mu(u^t) \geq \inf_{u \in S_c} \max_{t \in \mathbb{R}} J_\mu(u^t), \quad \text{for } u \in \mathcal{M}_\mu.$$

On the other hand, if $u \in S_c$ then there is a unique $t_{u,\mu} := t_{u,c,\mu} \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $u^{t_{u,\mu}} \in \mathcal{M}_\mu$ and

$$\max_{t \in \mathbb{R}} J_\mu(u^t) = J_\mu(u^{t_{u,\mu}}) \geq \inf_{u \in \mathcal{M}_\mu} J_\mu(u).$$

The desired conclusion follows easily. \square

Lemma 6.2. *We have*

$$\inf_{u \in \mathcal{M}_0} J_0(u) = \inf_{u \in S_c} \max_{t \in \mathbb{R}} J_0(u^t) = \frac{1}{N} S^{N/p}.$$

Proof. Similar to Lemma 6.1, we have

$$\inf_{u \in \mathcal{M}_0} J_0(u) = \inf_{u \in S_c} \max_{t \in \mathbb{R}} J_0(u^t).$$

Furthermore, Lemma 2.1 indicates that $\inf_{u \in S_c} \max_{t \in \mathbb{R}} J_0(u^t) \leq \frac{1}{N} S^{N/p}$. Let $u \in S_c$ and denote

$$a_1 = |\nabla u|_p^p, \quad b_1 = |u|_{p^*}^{p^*}.$$

It follows from Lemma 2.1 and the definition of S that

$$\max_{t \in \mathbb{R}} J_0(u^t) = \frac{a_1^{\frac{p^*}{p^*-p}}}{N b_1^{\frac{p}{p^*-p}}} \geq \frac{1}{N} S^{N/p}.$$

Hence, we have $\inf_{u \in S_c} \max_{t \in \mathbb{R}} J_0(u^t) \geq \frac{1}{N} S^{N/p}$, concluding the proof. \square

Lemma 6.3. *Let $\bar{\mu} > 0$ be such that*

$$\bar{\mu} c^{(1-\gamma_q)q} < \alpha(q).$$

Then the function $\mu \mapsto m(\mu)$ is non-increasing on $[0, \bar{\mu}]$.

Proof. Let $0 \leq \mu_1 < \mu_2 \leq \bar{\mu}$. By Lemma 6.1 and 6.2, we have

$$m(\mu_2) = \inf_{u \in S_c} \max_{t \in \mathbb{R}} J_{\mu_2}(u^t) \leq \inf_{u \in S_c} \max_{t \in \mathbb{R}} J_{\mu_1}(u^t) = m(\mu_1).$$

The proof is complete. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.4 (ii). Let $c > 0$, and let $\bar{\mu}$ satisfy the assumption of Lemma 6.3 for this choice of c . In what follows, we will show that the family of positive radial ground states $\{u_\mu : 0 < \mu < \bar{\mu}\}$ is bounded in E . Note that $P_\mu(u_\mu) = 0$. If $q = \bar{q} = p + p^2/N$, then

$$\begin{aligned} m(\mu) &= J_\mu(u_\mu) - \frac{1}{p^*}P_\mu(u_\mu) \\ &= \frac{1}{N}|\nabla u_\mu|_p^p - \frac{p\mu}{N\bar{q}}|u_\mu|_{\bar{q}}^{\bar{q}} \\ &\geq \left(\frac{1}{N} - \frac{\gamma_{\bar{q}}C(\bar{q})}{N}\mu c^{(1-\gamma_{\bar{q}})\bar{q}} \right) |\nabla u_\mu|_p^p; \end{aligned}$$

while if $p + p^2/N < q < p^*$, then

$$\begin{aligned} m(\mu) &= J_\mu(u_\mu) - \frac{1}{\gamma_q q}P_\mu(u_\mu) \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{\gamma_q q} \right) |\nabla u_\mu|_p^p + \left(\frac{1}{\gamma_q q} - \frac{1}{p^*} \right) |u_\mu|_{p^*}^{p^*} \\ &\geq \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{\gamma_q q} \right) |\nabla u_\mu|_p^p. \end{aligned}$$

We see from this and Lemma 6.3 that $\{u_\mu\}$ is bounded in E with respect to $\mu \in (0, \bar{\mu})$.

Assume up to a subsequence that $u_\mu \rightharpoonup u$ in E , $u_\mu \rightarrow u$ in $L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $u_\mu \rightarrow u$ a.e. in \mathbb{R}^N and $|\nabla u_\mu|_p^p \rightarrow l \geq 0$ as $\mu \rightarrow 0^+$. Then, by $P_\mu(u_\mu) = 0$ again and the Sobolev inequality, we have

$$l \leq S^{-\frac{N}{N-p}} l^{\frac{N}{N-p}}.$$

Thus, it is to obtain either $l = 0$ or $l \geq S^{\frac{N}{p}}$. If $l = 0$, then $u_\mu \rightarrow 0$ in $D^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and hence $J_\mu(u_\mu) \rightarrow 0$ as $\mu \rightarrow 0^+$. On the other hand, Lemma 6.3 indicates that $J_\mu(u_\mu) = m(\mu) \geq m(\bar{\mu}) > 0$ for $0 < \mu < \bar{\mu}$, which comes to a contradiction. Therefore, we have $l \geq S^{\frac{N}{p}}$. Combining Lemmas 6.2 and 6.3 yields that

$$\frac{l}{N} = \lim_{\mu \rightarrow 0^+} \left(J_\mu(u_\mu) - \frac{1}{p^*}P_\mu(u_\mu) \right) = \lim_{\mu \rightarrow 0^+} m(\mu) \leq m(0) = \frac{1}{N}S^{N/p}.$$

Then there must be $l = S^{\frac{N}{p}}$ and $\lim_{\mu \rightarrow 0^+} m(\mu) = \frac{1}{N}S^{N/p}$.

Finally, we claim $u = 0$, i.e., $u_\mu \rightharpoonup 0$ in E as $\mu \rightarrow 0^+$. Indeed, let $\lambda_\mu < 0$ be the Lagrange multiplier associated with the ground state solution u_μ . By $P_\mu(u_\mu) = 0$, we have

$$\lambda_\mu c^p = \lambda_\mu |u_\mu|_p^p = |\nabla u_\mu|_p^p - |u_\mu|_{p^*}^{p^*} - \mu |u_\mu|_q^q = \mu(\gamma_q - 1)|u_\mu|_q^q$$

and then $\lambda_\mu \rightarrow 0$ as $\mu \rightarrow 0^+$. Combining this with $u_\mu \rightharpoonup u$ in E , a similar argument to the proof of Lemma 3.3 shows that u weakly solves

$$-\Delta_p u = u^{p^*-1}, \quad u \geq 0, \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \quad u \in E,$$

which however has only trivial solution in E . Therefore, we have $u = 0$. \square

Statements and Declarations: There is no competing interests.

Acknowledgement: The authors would like to express their sincere gratitude to anonymous referees for his/her constructive comments for improving the quality of this paper.

References

- [1] M. Agueh, Sharp Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequalities via p -Laplacian type equations, *Nonlinear Differ. Equ. Appl.* 15 (2008) 457-472.
- [2] C. O. Alves, Existence of positive solutions for a problem with lack of compactness involving the p -Laplacian, *Nonlinear Anal.* 51 (2002) 1187-1206.
- [3] T. Bartsch, Z. Liu, On a superlinear elliptic p -Laplacian equation, *J. Differential Equations* 198 (2004) 149-175.
- [4] T. Bartsch, Z. Liu, T. Weth, Nodal solutions of a p -Laplacian equation, *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* 91 (3) (2005) 129-152.
- [5] T. Bartsch, N. Soave, A natural constraint approach to normalized solutions of nonlinear Schrödinger equations and systems, *J. Funct. Anal.* 272 (2017) 4998-5037.
- [6] T. Bartsch, N. Soave, Multiple normalized solutions for a competing system of Schrödinger equations, *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* 58 (2019) 22.
- [7] T. Bartsch, S. de Valeriola, Normalized solutions of nonlinear Schrödinger equations, *Arch. Math.* 100 (2013) 75-83.
- [8] M.F. Bidaut-Véron, Local and global behavior of solutions of quasilinear equations of Emden-Fowler type, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* 107 (4) (1989) 293-324.
- [9] T. Cazenave, P.-L. Lions, Orbital stability of standing waves for some nonlinear Schrödinger equations, *Comm. Math. Phys.* 85 (1982) 549-561.
- [10] J. Ceccon, M. Montenegro, Optimal Riemannian L^p -Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequalities revisited, *J. Differential Equations* 254 (2013) 2532-2555.
- [11] M. Clapp, L. Lopez Rios, Entire nodal solutions to the pure critical exponent problem for the p -Laplacian, *J. Differential Equations* 265 (2018) 891-905.
- [12] L. Damascelli, F. Pacella, M. Ramaswamy, Symmetry of ground states of p -Laplace equations via the moving plane method, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* 148 (1999) 291-308.
- [13] P. Drabek, Y. Huang, Multiplicity of Positive Solutions for Some Quasilinear Elliptic Equation in \mathbb{R}^N with Critical Sobolev Exponent, *J. Differential Equations* 140 (1997) 106-132.

- [14] J. Garcia Azorero, I. Peral Alonso, Multiplicity of solutions for elliptic problems with critical exponent or with a non-symmetric term, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 323 (1991) 877-895.
- [15] J. P. Garcia Azorero, I. Peral Alonso, Some results about the existence of a second positive solution in a quasilinear critical problem, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* 43 (1994) 941-957.
- [16] N. Ghoussoub, Duality and perturbation methods in critical point theory, volume 107 of *Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993. With appendices by David Robinson.
- [17] D. Gilbarg, N. Trudinger, *Elliptic Partial Differential Equations of Second Order*, Reprint of the 1998 edition, in: *Classics in Mathematics*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2001.
- [18] M. Guedda, L. Veron, Local and global properties of solutions of quasilinear elliptic equations, *J. Differential Equations* 76 (1) (1988) 159-189.
- [19] T. Gou, Z. Zhang, Normalized solutions to the Chern-Simons-Schrödinger system, *J. Funct. Anal.* 280 (2021) 108894.
- [20] L. Gu, X. Zeng, H. Zhou, Eigenvalue problems for p -Laplacian equation with trapping potentials. *Nonlinear Anal.* 148 (2017) 212-227.
- [21] Z.M. Guo, J.R.L. Webb, Large and small solutions of a class of quasilinear elliptic eigenvalue problems, *J. Differential Equations* 180 (2002) 1-50.
- [22] J. Hirata, K. Tanaka, Nonlinear scalar field equations with L^2 constraint: mountain pass and symmetric mountain pass approaches, *Adv. Nonlinear Stud.* 19 (2019) 263-290.
- [23] L. Jeanjean, Existence of solutions with prescribed norm for semilinear elliptic equations, *Nonlinear Anal.* 28 (1997) 1633-1659.
- [24] L. Jeanjean, S.S. Lu, Nonradial normalized solutions for nonlinear scalar field equations, *Nonlinearity* 32(12) (2019) 4942-4966.
- [25] M. Kwong, Uniqueness of positive solutions of $\Delta u - u + u^p = 0$ in \mathbb{R}^n , *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* 105 (1989) 243-266.
- [26] G. Li, S. Yan, Eigenvalue problems for quasilinear elliptic equations on \mathbb{R}^N , *Comm. Partial Differ. Equ.* 14 (1989) 1291-1314.
- [27] G. Li, H. Zhou, Multiple solutions to p -Laplacian problems with asymptotic nonlinearity as u^{p-1} at infinity, *J. Lond. Math. Soc.* 65 (2002) 123-138.

- [28] P. Lindqvist, On nonlinear Rayleigh quotients, *Potential Anal.* 2 (1993) 199-218.
- [29] P.L. Lions, The concentration-compactness principle in the calculus of variations, the limit case I. *Rev. Mat. Iberoam.* 1(1) (1985) 145-201.
- [30] P.L. Lions, The concentration-compactness principle in the calculus of variations, the limit case II. *Rev. Mat. Iberoam.* 1(2) (1985) 45-121.
- [31] J. Liu, X. Liu, On the eigenvalue problem for the p -Laplacian operator in \mathbb{R}^N , *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 379 (2011) 861-869.
- [32] H. Luo, Z. Zhang, Normalized solutions to the fractional Schrödinger equations with combined nonlinearities, *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* 59 (2020) 143.
- [33] H. Luo, Z. Zhang, Partial symmetry of normalized solutions for a doubly coupled Schrödinger system, *Partial Differ. Equ. Appl.* 1 (2020) 24.
- [34] I. Peral, Multiplicity of Solutions for the p -Laplacian, in: *Lecture notes for the Second School of Nonlinear Functional Analysis and Applications to Differential Equations*, International Centre of Theoretical Physics, Trieste (Italia), 1997.
- [35] P.H. Rabinowitz, *Minimax methods in critical point theory with applications to differential equations*, CBMS Regional Conference Series in Math., 65 American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1986.
- [36] M. Protter, H. Weinberger, *Maximum Principles in Differential Equations*, Prentice-Hall, Inc., Englewood Cliffs, N.J., 1967.
- [37] J. Serrin, H. Zou, Symmetry of ground state of quasilinear elliptic equations, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* 148 (1999) 265-290.
- [38] M. Shibata, Stable standing waves of nonlinear Schrödinger equations with a general nonlinear term, *Manuscripta Math.* 143 (2014) 221-237.
- [39] N. Soave, Normalized ground states for the NLS equation with combined nonlinearities, *J. Differential Equations* 269 (2020) 6941-6987.
- [40] N. Soave, Normalized ground states for the NLS equation with combined nonlinearities: The Sobolev critical case, *J. Funct. Anal.* 279 (2020) 108610.
- [41] J. Su, Z.Q. Wang, M. Willem, Weighted Sobolev embedding with unbounded and decaying radial potentials, *J. Differential Equations* 238 (2007) 201-219.
- [42] M. Struwe, *Variational Methods. Applications to Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations and Hamiltonian Systems*, Fourth Edition, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2008.
- [43] C. Stuart, Bifurcation in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for a semilinear elliptic equation, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* 57 (1988) 511-541.

- [44] C. Stuart, Bifurcation from the essential spectrum for some noncompact nonlinearities, *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.* 11 (1989) 525-542.
- [45] C.A. Swanson, L.S. Yu, Critical p -Laplacian Problems in \mathbb{R}^N , *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.* 169 (1995) 233-250.
- [46] G. Talenti, Best constant in Sobolev inequality, *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.* 110 (1976) 353-372.
- [47] W. Wang, Q. Li, J. Zhou, Y. Li, Normalized solutions for p -Laplacian equations with a L^2 -supercritical growth, *Ann. Funct. Anal.* 12 (2021) 19.
- [48] M. Willem, *Minimax Theorems. Progress in Nonlinear Differential Equations and Their Applications*, vol. 24. Boston: Birkhäuser, 1996.