

# DISTRIBUTION OF THE $p$ -TORSION OF JACOBIAN GROUPS OF METRIC MATROIDS

SERGIO RICARDO ZAPATA CEBALLOS

ABSTRACT. We determine the distribution of the  $p$ -torsion of Jacobian groups of metric matroids, which are regular matroids that extend the notion of metric graphs. We show that the Jacobian groups with non-trivial  $p$ -torsion correspond to  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -rational points on configuration hypersurfaces. As a result, we establish a connection between the distribution of their  $p$ -torsion and the number of  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -rational points on these hypersurfaces. By counting points over finite fields, we prove that this distribution is asymptotically equivalent to  $1/p$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION

A graph  $G$  can be associated with a finite abelian group, which plays a significant role in various mathematical disciplines, including arithmetic geometry, combinatorics, and statistical physics. Depending on the specific context, this group is known by different names such as Jacobian group, sandpile group, chip-firing group, or critical group. We refer the reader to [14] for a discussion of these and other connections.

In the context of geometry, this group is called Jacobian as it serves as a discrete counterpart to the Jacobian group of a Riemann surface or an algebraic curve over a finite field, highlighting the analogy between Riemann surfaces and graphs [3, 5, 12]. A more direct connection we find it with the group of components of the Néron model of a Jacobian of a curve over a local field, which is given as a Jacobian of a graph [7, 13]. These connections, along with the significance of the Jacobian of a graph as a graph invariant, have motivated the exploration of arithmetic statistical problems concerning families of graphs. For instance, in [8, 14, 19] Cohen-Lenstra heuristics have been studied for random graphs.

This paper addresses a variational problem concerning metric graphs. Specifically, we start with a graph  $G$  and assign a positive integer value  $\lambda(e)$  to each edge  $e$  of  $G$ . By repeatedly subdividing each edge  $e$  of  $G$  a

---

MSC2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 11G25, 14G05, 14N20, 60B99; Secondary 05C31, 05C50, 05C76, 14M12, 81Q30.

*Key words and phrases*. Arithmetic statistics, regular matroid, series extension, Jacobian group, torsion, configuration polynomial, configuration hypersurface, finite field, rational point, density.

number of times equal to  $\lambda(e)$ , we obtain a new graph denoted  $G_\lambda$ , which is referred to as a metric graph. These metric graphs offer an interesting connection to the well-known configuration of  $G$ , also known as the graph polynomial or Symanzik polynomial of  $G$ , denoted as  $\Psi_G$ . This connection is precised by Theorem 4.8, which states that  $\Psi_G(\lambda) = \#\text{Jac}(G_\lambda)$ , where  $\text{Jac}(G_\lambda)$  is the Jacobian group of  $G_\lambda$ . Motivated by this connection, we study how likely is for  $\text{Jac}(G_\lambda)$  to have  $p$ -torsion by counting the zeroes of  $\Psi_G$  modulo  $p$ .

An important consequence of Theorem 4.8 is that if we consider the hypersurface cut out by  $\Psi_G$ , then the  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -rational points on  $X_G$  parameterize the Jacobian groups  $\text{Jac}(G_\lambda)$  with  $p$ -torsion. In particular, this result unveils an arithmetic aspect of  $X_G$ . Furthermore, this parametrization allows us to predict the distribution of the  $p$ -torsion of these groups by estimating the number of  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -rational points on  $X_G$ .

We develop the theory in the language of matroids as all of the above constructions naturally carry over regular matroids. So, after introducing regular matroids and their Jacobian groups, we show how to generalize the definition of metric graph to matroids. We call these new matroids metric matroids. We establish some general facts on metric matroids, being the most relevant that a metric matroid associated to a regular matroid is regular (Proposition 3.13). Next, we introduce the configuration hypersurface  $X_M$  of a regular matroid  $M$ . We study some geometric aspects of  $X_M$ , which will allow us to determine bounds for  $\#X_M(\mathbb{F}_p)$  (Theorem 5.10 and Theorem 5.12)). Finally, we use these results to prove Theorems 6.4 and 6.8, which predict the distribution of the  $p$ -torsion of the Jacobian groups of metric matroids.

## 2. BACKGROUND

**2.1. Regular matroids.** We assume that the reader is familiar with the basic theory of matroids; a standard reference is the book on matroids by Oxley [16].

A matrix  $A$  over the integers is said to be *totally unimodular* if the determinant of any square submatrix of  $A$  is in  $\{-1, 0, 1\}$ .

A matroid  $M$  is called *regular* if it can be represented over  $\mathbb{Q}$  by an  $r \times n$  totally unimodular matrix of rank  $r$ .

If  $G$  is a finite undirected multi-graph, one can associate a regular matroid  $M(G)$  to  $G$ , by taking as a totally unimodular representation the matrix resulting from removing some appropriate rows of the incidence matrix of  $G$ . A matroid  $M$  is called *graphic* if  $M = M(G)$  for some graph  $G$ .

A matroid  $M$  is said to be *irreducible* if it cannot be written as the direct sum of two nonempty matroids.

If  $M$  and  $N$  are two matroids, then we say that  $N$  is a *series extension* of  $M$  if there is a cocircuit  $\{e, f\}$  of  $N$  such that  $e \neq f$  and  $N/e = M$ . In

particular, one has that series extensions of regular matroids are regular as well (see [20, Proposition 1.5.4]).

**2.2. Jacobian groups.** Let  $M$  be a regular matroid on  $E$  represented over  $\mathbb{Q}$  by an  $r \times n$  totally unimodular matrix  $A$  of rank  $r$ . Let us consider the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector space  $\mathbb{Q}^n$  equipped with the canonical inner product. We define  $\Lambda_A(M) := \ker A \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$ . This is a full rank integral  $\mathbb{Z}$ -lattice of  $\ker A$  so that we can speak of its *dual*  $\Lambda_A(M)^\#$ , that is

$$\Lambda_A(M)^\# = \{x \in \ker A : \langle x, y \rangle \in \mathbb{Z} \forall y \in \Lambda_A(M)\}.$$

It turns out that the isometry classes of these lattices are independent of the totally unimodular representation of  $M$  (see [15, §4.2]). The *Jacobian group*  $\text{Jac}(M)$  is defined to be the determinant group of the lattice  $\Lambda_A(M)$ , i.e.,  $\text{Jac}(M) = \Lambda_A(M)^\# / \Lambda_A(M)$ . The order of  $\text{Jac}(M)$  equals the number of bases of  $M$  (cf. [15, Theorem 4.3.2]). In fact, as a consequence of Lemma 1 from Section 4 in [2], there is a natural isomorphism between  $\text{Jac}(M)$  and  $\text{coker}(AA^t)$  (cf. [20, §2.1.3]). In particular,  $\#\text{Jac}(M) = \det(AA^t)$ .

### 3. METRIC MATROIDS

In the sequel, the set of positive integers will be denoted by  $\mathbb{N}$ . We first review the notion of metric graphs; we then give our generalization to matroids.

**3.1. Metric graphs.** Let  $G$  be a graph with edge set  $E(G)$ . Consider the following operation on  $G$ . Given an arbitrary map  $\lambda: E(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ , we let  $G_\lambda$  be the graph obtained from  $G$  by replacing every edge  $e \in E(G)$  with a path of length  $\lambda(e)$ . The graph  $G_\lambda$  is called a *metric graph* and the pair  $(G, \lambda)$  is called a *model* of  $G_\lambda$ . Intuitively, the map  $\lambda$  assigns a length to each edge of  $G$ .

Through this process, we derive a family of graphs  $\{G_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \mathbb{N}^{E(G)}}$  from the original graph  $G$ . It is noteworthy that the original graph  $G$  itself is a member of this family, represented as  $G_{\mathbf{1}}$ , where  $\mathbf{1}$  denotes the constant function 1.



FIGURE 1. Example of a metric graph.

*Example 3.1.* Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . The  $n$ -path graph, denoted by  $\text{Path}_n$ , is the graph with vertex set  $V = \{0, \dots, n\}$ , edge set  $E = \{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ , and endpoint map given by  $\text{ep}(e_i) = \{i - 1, i\}$  for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . If  $G = \text{Path}_1$  and  $\mathbf{n}$  is the map that sends  $e_1$  to  $n$ , then  $G_{\mathbf{n}} = \text{Path}_n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

*Example 3.2.* Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . The  $n$ -cycle graph, denoted by  $\text{Cycle}_n$ , is the graph with vertex set  $V = \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ , edge set  $E = \{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ , and endpoint map defined by the rule  $\text{ep}(e_{i+1}) = \{\bar{i}, \overline{i+1}\}$  for all  $\bar{i} \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ . If  $G = \text{Cycle}_1$ , then  $G_{\mathbf{n}} = \text{Cycle}_n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**3.2. Metric matroids.** Consider a matroid  $M$  of rank  $r$  with  $n$  elements and fix a map  $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}^{E(M)}$ . For each  $e \in E(M)$ , we denote  $E_e(M)$  a set containing  $\lambda(e)$  elements such that  $e \in E_e(M)$  and  $E_e(M) \cap E_f(M) = \emptyset$  for  $e \neq f$ . We define

$$E(M_\lambda) := \bigsqcup_{e \in E(M)} E_e(M).$$

Let  $\mathcal{B}(M_\lambda)$  be the collection of all subsets  $B$  of  $E(M_\lambda)$  for which there exists a basis  $B'$  of  $\mathcal{B}(M)$  and a tuple  $(x_e)_{e \notin B'} \in \prod_{e \notin B'} E_e(M)$  such that  $B = E(M_\lambda) - \{x_e : e \notin B'\}$  (equivalently, there exists a basis  $B''$  of  $\mathcal{B}(M^*)$  and a tuple  $(x_e)_{e \in B''} \in \prod_{e \in B''} E_e(M)$  such that  $B = E(M_\lambda) - \{x_e : e \in B''\}$ , where  $M^*$  is the dual matroid of  $M$ ).

**Proposition 3.3.** *The collection  $\mathcal{B}(M_\lambda)$  is the set of bases of a matroid on  $E(M_\lambda)$ .*

We need the following fact to prove Proposition 3.3.

**Lemma 3.4.** *Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be the set of bases of a matroid  $M$ . If  $B_1, B_2 \in \mathcal{B}$  and  $x \in B_2 - B_1$ , then there is  $y \in B_1 - B_2$  such that  $(B_1 - \{y\}) \cup \{x\} \in \mathcal{B}$ .*

*Proof.* See Lemma 2.1.2 in [16].  $\square$

*Proof of Proposition 3.3.* We must prove that  $\mathcal{B}(M_\lambda)$  verifies the following two conditions:

- (a)  $\mathcal{B}(M_\lambda) \neq \emptyset$ ;
- (b) if  $B_1, B_2 \in \mathcal{B}(M_\lambda)$  and  $x \in B_1 - B_2$ , then there is  $y \in B_2 - B_1$  such that  $(B_1 - \{x\}) \cup \{y\} \in \mathcal{B}(M_\lambda)$ .

The first condition follows by definition as  $\mathcal{B}(M^*) \neq \emptyset$ . To prove (b), we pick  $B_1, B_2 \in \mathcal{B}(M_\lambda)$  and suppose  $x \in B_1 - B_2$ .

By definition, there are bases  $B'_1 = \{a_1, \dots, a_{n-r}\}$  and  $B'_2 = \{b_1, \dots, b_{n-r}\}$  of the matroid  $M^*$  for which there are tuples  $(c_1, \dots, c_{n-r}) \in \prod_{i=1}^{n-r} E_{a_i}(M)$  and  $(d_1, \dots, d_{n-r}) \in \prod_{i=1}^{n-r} E_{b_i}(M)$  such that  $B_1 = E(M_\lambda) - \{c_1, \dots, c_{n-r}\}$  and  $B_2 = E(M_\lambda) - \{d_1, \dots, d_{n-r}\}$ .

Observe that  $B_1 - B_2 = \{d_1, \dots, d_{n-r}\} - \{c_1, \dots, c_{n-r}\}$ . Similarly, we have  $B_2 - B_1 = \{c_1, \dots, c_{n-r}\} - \{d_1, \dots, d_{n-r}\}$ . Thus, there is  $j \in \{1, \dots, n-r\}$  such that  $x = d_j$ . Let us consider two cases. The first one is that there exists  $k \in \{1, \dots, n-r\}$  such that  $b_j = a_k$ . In this case,  $c_k \in E_{b_j}(M)$  so that  $c_k \in B_2 - B_1$  and

$$(B_1 - \{d_j\}) \cup \{c_k\} = E(M_\lambda) - (\{c_1, \dots, c_{n-r}, d_j\} - \{c_k\})$$

where

$$(c_1, \dots, c_{k-1}, d_j, c_{k+1}, \dots, c_{n-r}) \in \prod_{i=1}^{n-r} E_{a_i}(M).$$

Hence  $(B_1 - \{d_j\}) \cup \{c_k\} \in \mathcal{B}(M_\lambda)$ .

The second case is that  $b_j \neq a_i$  for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, n-r\}$ . Thus, we have  $b_j \in B'_2 - B'_1$ . By Lemma 3.4, there exists  $a_k \in B'_1 - B'_2$  such that  $(B'_1 - \{a_k\}) \cup \{b_j\} \in \mathcal{B}(M^*)$ . Since  $a_k \notin B'_2$ , we get  $E_{a_k}(M) \neq E_{b_i}(M)$  for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, n-r\}$ . Therefore  $c_k \notin E_{b_i}(M)$  for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, n-r\}$  and consequently  $c_k \in B_2 - B_1$ . Observe that

$$(B_1 - \{d_j\}) \cup \{c_k\} = E(M_\lambda) - (\{c_1, \dots, c_{n-r}, d_j\} - \{c_k\})$$

where

$$(c_1, \dots, c_{k-1}, c_{k+1}, \dots, c_{n-r}, d_j) \in \prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq k}}^{n-r} E_{a_i}(M) \times E_{b_j}(M).$$

Then  $(B_1 - \{d_j\}) \cup \{c_k\} \in \mathcal{B}(M_\lambda)$  as  $\{a_1, \dots, a_{n-r}, b_j\} - \{a_k\} \in \mathcal{B}(M^*)$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Definition 3.5.** If  $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}^{E(M)}$ , then the *metric matroid*  $M_\lambda$  is given by  $(E(M_\lambda), \mathcal{B}(M_\lambda))$ .

The matroid  $M_1$  given by the constant function  $e \mapsto 1$  is equal to  $M$ .

For  $e \in E(M)$ , let  $\chi_e: E(M) \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  be the characteristic function of  $e$ .

*Example 3.6.* The matroid  $M_{1+\chi_e}$  has ground set  $E(M_{1+\chi_e}) = E(M) \sqcup \{e'\}$  and its collection of bases is

$$(3.7) \quad \mathcal{B}(M_{1+\chi_e}) = \{B \sqcup \{e'\} : B \in \mathcal{B}(M)\} \cup \{B \cup \{e\} : B \in \mathcal{B}(M), e \notin B\}.$$

Let  $m$  and  $n$  be two nonnegative integers with  $m \leq n$ . The *uniform matroid* of rank  $m$  on an set of  $n$  elements, denoted  $U_{m,n}$ , is the matroid with ground set  $E(U_{m,n})$  a set of size  $n$  and collection of bases those subsets of  $E(U_{m,n})$  whose cardinality is  $m$ .

**Proposition 3.8.** *Let  $e \in E(M)$ . Then*

- (a) *if  $e$  is not a coloop of  $M$ , then  $M_{1+\chi_e}$  is a series extension of  $M$ ;*
- (b) *if  $e$  is a coloop of  $M$ , then  $M_{1+\chi_e} \cong M \oplus U_{1,1}$ .*

*Proof.* (a) If  $e$  is not a coloop of  $M$ , then from the description of  $\mathcal{B}(M_{1+\chi_e})$  in (3.7), it follows that the set  $\{e, e'\}$  is a cocircuit of  $M_{1+\chi_e}$  satisfying  $e \neq e'$ . It also follows from (3.7) that  $M_{1+\chi_e}/e' = M$ . This completes the proof.

(b) If  $e$  is a coloop of  $M$ , then

$$M_{1+\chi_e} = \left( E(M) \sqcup \{e'\}, \{B \sqcup \{e'\} : B \in \mathcal{B}(M)\} \right),$$

which is isomorphic to  $M \oplus U_{1,1}$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 3.9.** *For any  $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}^{E(M)}$ , the rank of  $M_\lambda$  is*

$$r(M_\lambda) = \sum_{e \in E(M)} (\lambda(e) - 1) + r(M).$$

*Proof.* It is well-known that  $r(M_\lambda) + r(M_\lambda^*) = \#E(M_\lambda)$ . By definition of  $\mathcal{B}(M_\lambda)$ , we have  $r(M_\lambda^*) = r(M^*)$ , then  $r(M_\lambda^*) = \#E(M) - r(M)$ . Hence

$$r(M_\lambda) = \#E(M_\lambda) - \#E(M) + r(M) = \sum_{e \in E(M)} (\lambda(e) - 1) + r(M).$$

□

**Proposition 3.10.** *Let  $M$  be a matroid. If  $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}^{E(M)}$ , then the number of bases of  $M_\lambda$  is*

$$\sum_{B \in \mathcal{B}(M)} \prod_{e \notin B} \lambda(e).$$

*Proof.* By definition, there is a bijection between  $\mathcal{B}(M_\lambda)$  and the set

$$\bigsqcup_{B \in \mathcal{B}(M)} \prod_{e \notin B} E_e(M).$$

Hence the result follows. □

The next proposition will allow us to prove properties about  $M_\lambda$  by induction on  $\lambda$ .

**Proposition 3.11.** *Let  $e \in E(M)$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}^{E(M)}$ . If  $\lambda(e) > 1$ , then  $(M_{\lambda-\chi_e})_{\mathbf{1}+\chi_e} = M_\lambda$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $E(M_\lambda) = \bigsqcup_{f \in E(M)} E_f(M)$ . By definition, the ground set of  $M_{\lambda-\chi_e}$  can be taken to be  $E(M_{\lambda-\chi_e}) = \bigsqcup_{f \in E(M)} E'_f(M)$ , where  $E'_f(M) = E_f(M)$  for  $f \neq e$ , and  $E'_e(M) = E_e(M) - \{e'\}$  for some  $e' \in E_e(M) - \{e\}$ . By (3.7), we have that  $E((M_{\lambda-\chi_e})_{\mathbf{1}+\chi_e}) = E(M_{\lambda-\chi_e}) \sqcup \{e'\}$  and  $\mathcal{B}((M_{\lambda-\chi_e})_{\mathbf{1}+\chi_e})$  is the collection

$$(3.12) \quad \{B \cup \{e'\} : B \in \mathcal{B}(M_{\lambda-\chi_e})\} \cup \{B \cup \{e\} : B \in \mathcal{B}(M_{\lambda-\chi_e}), e \notin B\}.$$

We will show that  $\mathcal{B}((M_{\lambda-\chi_e})_{\mathbf{1}+\chi_e}) \subseteq \mathcal{B}(M_\lambda)$  and  $\mathcal{B}(M_\lambda) \subseteq \mathcal{B}((M_{\lambda-\chi_e})_{\mathbf{1}+\chi_e})$ . To see the first containment, we pick an arbitrary basis  $B' \in \mathcal{B}((M_{\lambda-\chi_e})_{\mathbf{1}+\chi_e})$ . According to (3.12), we must consider two cases for  $B'$ :

- (i) If  $B' = B \cup \{e'\}$  with  $B \in \mathcal{B}(M_{\lambda-\chi_e})$ , then there exist  $B_0 \in \mathcal{B}(M)$  and  $(x_f)_{f \notin B_0} \in \prod_{f \notin B_0} E'_f(M)$  such that  $B = E(M_{\lambda-\chi_e}) - \{x_f : f \notin B_0\}$ . Since  $e' \notin \{x_f : f \notin B_0\}$  we have

$$B' = B \cup \{e'\} = E(M_\lambda) - \{x_f : f \notin B_0\} \in \mathcal{B}(M_\lambda).$$

- (ii) If  $B' = B \cup \{e\}$  with  $B \in \mathcal{B}(M_{\lambda-\chi_e})$  and  $e \notin B$ , then there exist a basis  $B_0 \in \mathcal{B}(M)$  and a tuple  $(x_f)_{f \notin B_0} \in \prod_{f \notin B_0} E'_f(M)$  such that  $B = E(M_{\lambda-\chi_e}) - \{x_f : f \notin B_0\}$ . Since  $e \notin B$ , then  $x_e = e$  and  $e \notin B_0$ . Define for  $f \notin B_0$  with  $f \neq e$ ,  $y_f = x_f$  and  $y_e = e'$ . Then  $(y_f)_{f \notin B_0} \in \prod_{f \notin B_0} E_f(M)$  and

$$B' = B \cup \{e\} = E(M_\lambda) - \{y_f : f \notin B_0\} \in \mathcal{B}(M_\lambda).$$

This shows that  $\mathcal{B}((M_{\lambda-\chi_e})_{\mathbf{1}+\chi_e}) \subseteq \mathcal{B}(M_\lambda)$ .

Now pick  $B \in \mathcal{B}(M_\lambda)$ . There exist  $B_0 \in \mathcal{B}(M)$  and  $(x_f)_{f \notin B_0} \in \prod_{f \notin B_0} E_f(M)$  such that  $B = E(M_\lambda) - \{x_f : f \notin B_0\}$ . If  $e' \in B$ , then  $e' \notin \{x_f : f \notin B_0\}$ ; thus

$$\begin{aligned} B &= E(M_\lambda) - \{x_f : f \notin B_0\} \\ &= (E(M_{\lambda-\chi_e}) - \{x_f : f \notin B_0\}) \cup \{e'\} \in \mathcal{B}((M_{\lambda-\chi_e})_{\mathbf{1}+\chi_e}). \end{aligned}$$

If  $e' \notin B$ , then  $e' \in \{x_f : f \notin B_0\}$ , that is,  $e \notin B_0$  and  $x_e = e'$ . We define  $y_f = x_f$  if  $f \neq e$  and  $y_e = e$ . So  $(y_f)_{f \notin B_0} \in \prod_{f \notin B_0} E'_f(M)$  and

$$\begin{aligned} B &= E(M_\lambda) - \{x_f : f \notin B_0\} \\ &= (E(M_{\lambda-\chi_e}) - \{y_f : f \notin B_0\}) \cup \{e\} \in \mathcal{B}((M_{\lambda-\chi_e})_{\mathbf{1}+\chi_e}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $B(M_\lambda) \subseteq \mathcal{B}((M_{\lambda-\chi_e})_{\mathbf{1}+\chi_e})$ .  $\square$

Suppose that  $E(M) = \{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ . We identify a map  $\lambda: E(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  with the tuple  $(\lambda(e_1), \dots, \lambda(e_n)) \in \mathbb{N}^n$  and order  $\mathbb{N}^n$  by the lexicographical order.

**Proposition 3.13.** *If  $M$  is irreducible (resp. linear or regular), so is  $M_\lambda$  for any  $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}^{E(M)}$ .*

*Proof.* We use induction on  $\lambda$ . The base case is  $\lambda = (1, 1, \dots, 1) \in \mathbb{N}^n$ , then  $M_\lambda = M$ . Thus, the result follows by assumption. We now suppose  $\lambda > (1, \dots, 1)$  and that the proposition is true for any  $\gamma < \lambda$ .

Let  $i = \min\{j : \lambda(e_j) > 1\}$ . Set  $\gamma = \lambda - \chi_{e_i}$  so that  $\gamma < \lambda$ . Thus, by the induction hypothesis, the matroid  $M_\gamma$  is irreducible (resp. linear or regular). By Proposition 3.11,  $(M_\gamma)_{\mathbf{1}+\chi_{e_i}} = M_\lambda$ .

If  $M_\gamma$  is irreducible, then  $e_i$  is not a coloop of  $M_\gamma$ , therefore, by Proposition 3.8,  $M_\lambda$  is a series extension of  $M_\gamma$ . As a series extension of an irreducible matroid is irreducible (see [20, Proposition 1.4.7]), the result follows.

If  $M_\gamma$  is linear (resp. regular), then we consider two cases:

- (i) If  $e_i$  is not a coloop of  $M_\gamma$ , then by Proposition 3.8, the matroid  $M_\lambda$  is a series extension of  $M_\gamma$ . As a series extension of a linear (resp. regular) matroid is linear (resp. regular) (see [20, Proposition 1.5.4]), the result is clear.
- (ii) If  $e_i$  is a coloop of  $M_\gamma$ , we have that  $M_\lambda \cong M_\gamma \oplus U_{1,1}$  (see Proposition 3.8). Since the direct sum of linear (resp. regular) matroids is linear (resp. regular), the result is clear.

$\square$

**Proposition 3.14.** *If  $G$  is a graph and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}^{E(G)}$ , then  $M(G)_\lambda = M(G_\lambda)$ .*

*Proof.* First of all, note that  $M(G)_{\mathbf{1}+\chi_e} = M(G_{\mathbf{1}+\chi_e})$  for all  $e \in E(G)$  (this follows from (3.7)).

We proceed by induction on  $\lambda$ . If  $\lambda = (1, \dots, 1)$ , then there is nothing to show. Suppose that  $(1, \dots, 1) < \lambda$  and that the proposition is true for all

$\gamma \in \mathbb{N}^{E(G)}$  verifying  $\gamma < \lambda$ . Let  $i = \min\{j : \lambda(e_j) > 1\}$  (we are assuming  $E(G) = \{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ ). Set  $\gamma = \lambda - \chi_{e_i}$  so that  $\gamma < \lambda$ . Thus, by the induction hypothesis,  $M(G)_\gamma = M(G_\gamma)$ . As a result,

$$M(G)_\lambda = (M(G)_\gamma)_{1+\chi_{e_i}} = M(G_\gamma)_{1+\chi_{e_i}} = M((G_\gamma)_{1+\chi_{e_i}}) = M(G_\lambda).$$

□

#### 4. CONFIGURATION POLYNOMIALS

Throughout this section,  $M$  will denote a regular matroid. Consider the set of variables  $\{\lambda_e : e \in E(M)\}$  indexed by the elements of  $M$ . The *configuration polynomial* of  $M$  is

$$(4.1) \quad \Psi_M := \sum_{B \in \mathcal{B}(M)} \prod_{e \notin B} \lambda_e \in \mathbb{Z}[\lambda_e : e \in E(M)].$$

By convention, a product of variables indexed by the empty set equals 1. If  $G$  is a graph, we define the configuration polynomial of  $G$  to be  $\Psi_G := \Psi_{M(G)}$ .

The configuration polynomial of a linear matroid can be defined by the theory of configurations of vector spaces; however, it depends on the field of definition. Under this new definition, the configuration polynomial of a regular matroid is independent of the field of definition and has exactly the form as in (4.1). For further discussion of the general case we refer the reader to [10].

*Remark 4.2.*

- (a) By definition,  $\Psi_M$  is a homogeneous polynomial of degree  $r(M^*)$ . It is linear in each variable and its coefficients are all 1.
- (b) If  $e$  is a loop of  $M$ , then  $\lambda_e$  divides  $\Psi_M$ . Thus, the configuration polynomial of a regular matroid is reducible in general.
- (c) If  $e$  is a coloop of  $M$ , then  $\partial_{\lambda_e} \Psi_M = 0$ .

*Example 4.3.* Consider the diamond graph




FIGURE 2. Diamond graph.

Its configuration polynomial is

$$\lambda_{e_1} \lambda_{e_3} + \lambda_{e_1} \lambda_{e_4} + \lambda_{e_2} \lambda_{e_3} + \lambda_{e_2} \lambda_{e_4} + \lambda_{e_1} \lambda_{e_5} + \lambda_{e_2} \lambda_{e_5} + \lambda_{e_3} \lambda_{e_5} + \lambda_{e_4} \lambda_{e_5}.$$

*Example 4.4.* Let  $G = \text{Cycle}_n$ . Then

$$\Psi_G = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_{e_i}.$$



The following proposition relates the irreducibility of a matroid and the irreducibility of its configuration polynomial. This result was proved in [10].

**Proposition 4.5.** *Let  $M$  be a regular matroid.*

- (a) *If  $M_1, \dots, M_n$  are the irreducible components of  $M$ , then  $\Psi_M = \Psi_{M_1} \cdots \Psi_{M_n}$ .*
- (b) *If  $M$  is nonempty and has no coloops, then  $M$  is irreducible if and only if  $\Psi_M$  is irreducible over any field.*
- (c)  *$\Psi_M = 1$  if and only if  $r(M) = \#E(M)$  if and only if  $M$  is isomorphic to a finite direct sum of copies of  $U_{1,1}$ .*

*Proof.* For proofs of (a) and (b) see [10, Proposition 3.8].

(c) If  $\Psi_M = 1$ , then  $E(M) - B = \emptyset$  for any  $B \in \mathcal{B}(M)$ . Therefore,  $r(M) = \#E(M)$ . If  $r(M) = \#E(M)$ , then  $E(M)$  is the only basis of  $M$ . Then  $M = \bigoplus_{e \in E(M)} M|_{\{e\}}$ , where  $M|_{\{e\}}$  is the restriction of  $M$  to  $e$ . Since  $M|_{\{e\}} \cong U_{1,1}$ ,  $M$  is isomorphic to a finite direct sum of copies of  $U_{1,1}$  (we assume that a direct sum of matroids over the empty set equals the empty matroid). Lastly, if  $M$  is isomorphic to a finite direct sum of copies of  $U_{1,1}$ . Then  $E(M)$  is the only basis of  $M$ , hence  $\Psi_M = 1$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 4.6.** *If  $M$  is a regular matroid and  $e \in E(M)$ , then*

$$\Psi_M = \begin{cases} \Psi_{M/e}, & \text{if } e \text{ is a coloop;} \\ \lambda_e \Psi_{M \setminus e}, & \text{if } e \text{ is a loop;} \\ \lambda_e \Psi_{M \setminus e} + \Psi_{M/e}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* Cf. [10, Proposition 3.12].  $\square$

*Remark 4.7.* When  $M$  is irreducible, it has neither loops nor coloops. So that, for any  $e \in E(M)$ , we have  $\Psi_M = \lambda_e \Psi_{M \setminus e} + \Psi_{M/e}$ .

**Theorem 4.8.** *If  $M$  is a regular matroid and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}^{E(M)}$ , then  $\Psi_M(\lambda) = \#\text{Jac}(M_\lambda)$ .*

*Proof.* If  $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}^{E(M)}$ , then

$$\Psi_M(\lambda) = \sum_{B \in \mathcal{B}(M)} \prod_{e \notin B} \lambda(e).$$

By Proposition 3.10,  $\Psi_M(\lambda)$  equals the number of bases of  $M_\lambda$ . Since  $M_\lambda$  is regular (see Proposition 3.13), we can speak of its Jacobian group, whose order is precisely the number of bases of  $M_\lambda$ . Thus,  $\Psi_M(\lambda) = \#\text{Jac}(M_\lambda)$ .  $\square$

## 5. CONFIGURATION HYPERSURFACES

The configuration polynomial of a regular matroid is a homogeneous polynomial with the property that is linear in each variable. In this section, we will study the hypersurfaces cut out by homogeneous polynomials that are linear in one of their variables.

5.1. **Geometric aspects.** We fix the following terminology.

- $k$  : field.
- $\mathbb{A}_k^n := \text{Spec } k[T_1, \dots, T_n]$  : affine space of dimension  $n$  over  $k$ .
- $\mathbb{P}_k^n := \text{Proj } k[T_0, \dots, T_n]$  : projective space of dimension  $n$  over  $k$ .
- $X_F := \text{Proj } k[T_0, \dots, T_n]/(F)$  : hypersurface cut out by a homogeneous polynomial  $F$ .

If, additionally,  $\overline{G} \in k[T_0, \dots, T_n]/(F)$ , then

- $V_+(\overline{G}) := \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Proj } k[T_0, \dots, T_n]/(F) : \overline{G} \in \mathfrak{p}\}$  : Zariski closed set of  $X_F$ , which we endow with the structure of closed subscheme of  $X_F$  induced by the canonical morphism  $\text{Proj } k[T_0, \dots, T_n]/(F, G) \hookrightarrow X_F$ .
- $D_+(\overline{G}) := X_F - V_+(\overline{G})$  : principal open set.

Finally, if  $Z, Y$  are closed subschemes of  $\mathbb{P}_k^n$ , then  $Y \cap Z$  is the scheme-theoretic intersection.

Let  $F \in k[T_0, \dots, T_n]$  be a nonzero homogeneous polynomial that is linear in one of its variables; we can write (up to a permutation of the variables)

$$(5.1) \quad F = T_0 G_1 + G_0,$$

for some homogeneous polynomials  $G_0, G_1 \in k[T_1, \dots, T_n]$  with  $G_1 \neq 0$ . Note that  $\deg G_0 = \deg F$  and  $\deg G_1 = \deg F - 1$ . Consider the hypersurfaces  $X_F, X_{G_i}, i = 0, 1$ . These come with canonical closed immersions  $X_F \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}_k^n, X_{G_i} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}_k^{n-1}, i = 0, 1$ . Also, consider the subschemes  $V_+(\overline{G}_1), D_+(\overline{G}_1) \subseteq X_F$ .

**Proposition 5.2.**  $\mathbb{P}_k^{n-1} - X_{G_1}$  and  $D_+(\overline{G}_1) \subseteq X_F$  are isomorphic as  $k$ -schemes.

*Proof.* Define the following homomorphism of graded  $k$ -algebras:

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi: k[T_0, \dots, T_n]_{G_1} &\rightarrow k[T_1, \dots, T_n]_{G_1} \\ T_i &\mapsto T_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, n \\ T_0 &\mapsto -\frac{G_0}{G_1}. \end{aligned}$$

It is well-defined by the universal property of localization. We claim that  $\ker \varphi = (F)_{G_1}$ . The inclusion  $(F)_{G_1} \subseteq \ker \varphi$  follows from observing that  $\varphi(F) = (-G_0/G_1)G_1 + G_0 = 0$ . Suppose now  $P/G_1^m \in \ker \varphi$  and write  $P = T_0^l P_1 + P_0$  for some  $P_0, P_1 \in k[T_1, \dots, T_n]$  and some  $l \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . We have

$$0 = \varphi\left(\frac{P}{G_1^m}\right) = \frac{(-1)^l G_0^l P_1 + G_1^l P_0}{G_1^{m+l}} \implies G_1^l P_0 = (-1)^{l+1} G_0^l P_1,$$

from which it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{P}{G_1^m} &= \frac{T_0^l P_1 + P_0}{G_1^m} = \frac{(T_0 G_1)^l P_1}{G_1^{m+l}} + \frac{(-1)^{l+1} G_0^l P_1}{G_1^{m+l}} \\ &= \left( (T_0 G_1)^l + (-1)^{l+1} G_0^l \right) \frac{P_1}{G_1^{m+l}}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $(T_0 G_1)^l + (-1)^{l+1} G_0^l = (F - G_0)^l + (-1)^{l+1} G_0^l$  and that the latter term is divisible by  $F$  (by the Binomial Theorem). Hence  $P/G_1^m \in (F)_{G_1}$ . This proves our claim.

It is clear that  $\varphi$  is surjective, hence it is an isomorphism and

$$\left( \frac{k[T_0, \dots, T_n]}{(F)} \right)_{\overline{G}_1} \cong \frac{k[T_0, \dots, T_n]_{G_1}}{(F)_{G_1}} \cong k[T_1, \dots, T_n]_{G_1},$$

where the first isomorphism is the canonical one. Since the degree zero parts of these graded algebras are preserved under these isomorphisms, we get

$$(5.3) \quad \left( \frac{k[T_0, \dots, T_n]}{(F)} \right)_{(\overline{G}_1)} \cong k[T_1, \dots, T_n]_{(G_1)}.$$

The rings in (5.3) are the coordinate rings of the affine open sets  $D_+(\overline{G}_1)$  and  $\mathbb{P}_k^{n-1} - X_{G_1}$ , respectively, hence the result follows.  $\square$

Let  $p = [1, 0, \dots, 0] \in \mathbb{P}_k^n$  be the rational point corresponding to the ideal  $(T_1, \dots, T_n)$ . Let  $\pi_p: \mathbb{P}_k^n - \{p\} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_k^{n-1}$  denote the projection centered at  $p$  induced by the  $k$ -algebra homomorphism  $\phi: k[T_1, \dots, T_n] \rightarrow k[T_0, \dots, T_n]$  given by  $\phi(T_j) = T_j$  for all  $j = 1, \dots, n$ . At the level of rational points we have  $\pi_p([a_0, \dots, a_n]) = [a_1, \dots, a_n]$ .

**Corollary 5.4.** *The restriction of  $\pi_p$  to  $D_+(\overline{G}_1) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_k^{n-1} - X_{G_1}$  is an isomorphism of  $k$ -schemes.*

*Proof.* Observe that  $p \notin D_+(\overline{G}_1)$  and  $\pi_p(D_+(\overline{G}_1)) \subseteq \mathbb{P}_k^{n-1} - X_{G_1}$ . Thus  $\pi_p$  restricts to a morphism of  $k$ -schemes  $D_+(\overline{G}_1) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_k^{n-1} - X_{G_1}$ . This morphism corresponds to the homomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} k[T_1, \dots, T_n]_{G_1} &\rightarrow \left( \frac{k[T_0, \dots, T_n]}{(F)} \right)_{(\overline{G}_1)} \\ \frac{T_i}{G_1^k} &\mapsto \frac{\overline{T}_i}{\overline{G}_1^k}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that this homomorphism and the one given in (5.3) are inverses of each other.  $\square$

From Corollary 5.4 we obtain the following commutative diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} D_+(\overline{G}_1) & \hookrightarrow & X_F - \{p\} & \hookrightarrow & \mathbb{P}_k^n - \{p\} \\ \cong \downarrow & & & & \downarrow \pi_p \\ \mathbb{P}_k^{n-1} - X_{G_1} & \hookrightarrow & & \hookrightarrow & \mathbb{P}_k^{n-1} \end{array}$$

When  $F$  is irreducible, it follows from Proposition 5.2 that  $X_F$  is birational to  $\mathbb{P}_k^{n-1}$ . Hence  $X_F$  is rational.

If  $X = \text{Proj } k[T_1, \dots, T_n]/I$  is a projective scheme, then the scheme  $\text{Proj } k[T_1, \dots, T_n][T_0]/I$  is called the *projective cone* of  $X$  with *vertex*  $p$  and it is denoted by  $\text{Cone}_p(X)$ . It is well-known that  $\pi_p$  induces a surjective

morphism  $\theta: \text{Cone}_p(X) - \{p\} \rightarrow X$  where the fibre of  $\theta$  over  $x \in X$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{A}_{\kappa(x)}^1$  (here  $\kappa(x)$  is the residue field of  $x$ ).

**Proposition 5.5.** *The projection morphism  $\pi_p$  induces a surjective morphism of  $k$ -schemes  $\theta: V_+(\overline{G}_1) - \{p\} \rightarrow X_{G_1} \cap X_{G_0}$ , where the fibre of  $\theta$  over  $y \in X_{G_1} \cap X_{G_0}$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{A}_{\kappa(x)}^1$ .*

*Proof.* The closed immersion  $X_F \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}_k^n$  induces an isomorphism of  $k$ -schemes  $V_+(\overline{G}_1) \cong V_+(F) \cap V_+(G_1)$ . In addition,  $V_+(F) \cap V_+(G_1) = V_+(G_1, G_0)$  as schemes. Now notice that  $G_1$  and  $G_0$  are independent of  $T_0$ , so that  $V_+(G_1, G_0) = \text{Cone}_p(X_{G_1} \cap X_{G_0})$ . Hence the result follows.  $\square$

**Definition 5.6.** Let  $M$  be a regular matroid and  $k$  be an arbitrary field. The scheme

$$X_M := \text{Proj } k[\lambda_e: e \in E(M)]/(\Psi_M) \subseteq \mathbb{P}_k^{\#E(M)-1}$$

is called the *configuration hypersurface* of  $M$ .

If  $G$  is a graph, then we define the configuration hypersurface of  $G$  to be  $X_G := X_{M(G)}$ .

**5.2. Counting points over finite fields.** We keep the notation from the preceding subsection and we assume  $k$  to be a finite field with  $q$  elements.

**Proposition 5.7.** *If  $F \in k[T_0, \dots, T_n]$  is a homogeneous polynomial as in (5.1), then there is a natural identification*

$$X_F(k) = \text{Cone}_p(X_{G_1} \cap X_{G_0})(k) \sqcup (\mathbb{P}_k^{n-1}(k) - X_{G_1}(k)).$$

*Proof.* As topological spaces,  $X_F = V_+(\overline{G}_1) \sqcup D_+(\overline{G}_1)$ . In the proof of Proposition 5.5, we showed that  $V_+(\overline{G}_1) \cong \text{Cone}_p(X_{G_1} \cap X_{G_0})$ . Furthermore,  $D_+(\overline{G}_1) \cong \mathbb{P}_k^{n-1} - X_{G_1}$  by Proposition 5.2. Both isomorphisms are over  $k$ , thus the result follows.  $\square$

**Corollary 5.8.** *If  $F \in k[T_0, \dots, T_n]$  is a homogeneous polynomial as in (5.1), then*

$$\#X_F(k) = q\#(X_{G_1}(k) \cap X_{G_0}(k)) + \#\mathbb{P}_k^{n-1}(k) - \#X_{G_1}(k) + 1.$$

*Proof.* It is a direct consequence of Proposition 5.7.  $\square$

The equality in Corollary 5.8 was first deduced by Stembridge [17]. Nonetheless, the geometry behind this identity is concealed by the probabilistic methods used.

**Theorem 5.9** ([9, Corollary 3.3]). *If  $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}_k^n$  is an equidimensional closed subscheme of dimension  $d < n$  and degree  $\delta$ , then*

$$\#X(k) \leq \delta \left( \#\mathbb{P}_k^d(k) - \#\mathbb{P}_k^{2d-n}(k) \right) + \#\mathbb{P}_k^{2d-n}(k).$$

When  $m$  is a negative integer then the value of  $\#\mathbb{P}_k^m(k)$  is zero by convention.

**Theorem 5.10.** *Suppose that  $F \in k[T_0, \dots, T_n]$  is a homogeneous polynomial as in (5.1). If  $F$  is irreducible over  $k$ , then there are monic polynomials  $f(t), g(t) \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$  of degree  $n - 1$  independent of  $k$  such that*

$$g(q) \leq \#X_F(k) \leq f(q).$$

*The coefficients of  $g(t)$  and  $f(t)$  depend only on  $\deg F$  and  $\dim \mathbb{P}_k^n$ .*

We need the following result on complete intersections to prove Theorem 5.10. But before that, let us define what a complete intersection is. We say that the  $k$ -scheme  $X = \text{Proj } k[T_0, \dots, T_n]/(f_1, \dots, f_r)$  is a *complete intersection* if  $\text{codim}(X, \mathbb{P}_k^n) = r$  (equivalently,  $\dim X = n - r$ ).

**Proposition 5.11.** *Suppose that  $F \in k[T_0, \dots, T_n]$  is a homogeneous polynomial as in (5.1). If  $F$  is irreducible over  $k$  of  $\deg F > 1$ , then  $X_{G_1} \cap X_{G_0}$  is a complete intersection.*

*Proof.* We need to prove that  $\text{codim}(X_{G_1} \cap X_{G_0}, \mathbb{P}_k^{n-1}) = 2$ . It is enough to show that the height of the ideal  $(G_0, G_1)$ , denoted  $\text{ht}(G_0, G_1)$ , is 2 in  $k[T_1, \dots, T_n]$ . Since this ideal is generated by two polynomials, we have  $\text{ht}(G_0, G_1) \leq 2$ . Let  $\mathfrak{p}$  be a prime ideal containing  $(G_0, G_1)$ . Then  $(G_0) \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$ . We claim that  $\mathfrak{p}$  is not a minimal prime ideal of  $(G_0)$ . Indeed, as  $F$  is irreducible,  $G_1$  and  $G_0$  are coprime, therefore  $\overline{G_1}$  is not a nonzero divisor of  $k[T_0, \dots, T_n]/(G_0)$ , which shows that  $G_1$  cannot be contained in any minimal prime ideal of  $G_0$ . Consequently there must be a nonzero prime ideal  $\mathfrak{q}$  strictly contained in  $\mathfrak{p}$ . Then  $\text{ht}(\mathfrak{p}) \geq 2$ , which implies that  $\text{ht}(G_0, G_1) \geq 2$  as  $\text{ht}(G_0, G_1) = \inf\{\text{ht}(\mathfrak{p}) : (G_0, G_1) \subseteq \mathfrak{p}\}$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 5.10.* Let  $m := \deg F$ . If  $m = 1$ , then  $X_F \cong \mathbb{P}_k^{n-1}$  so that  $\#X_F(k) = (q^n - 1)/(q - 1)$ . Hence, we take  $f(t) = g(t) = (t^n - 1)/(t - 1)$ .

Now assume  $m > 1$  so that  $X_{G_1} \cap X_{G_0}$  is a complete intersection by Proposition 5.11. Since complete intersections are equidimensional (see Section 10.135 in [18]), it follows that  $X_{G_1} \cap X_{G_0}$  is equidimensional of dimension  $n - 3$ . By Bézout's theorem (see Theorem III-71 in [11]) its degree is  $m(m - 1)$ . We now use Theorem 5.9 to get

$$\#X_{G_1}(k) \cap X_{G_0}(k) \leq m(m - 1)(\#\mathbb{P}_k^{n-3}(k) - \#\mathbb{P}_k^{n-6}(k)) + \#\mathbb{P}_k^{n-6}(k).$$

The polynomial

$$h(t) = m(m - 1) \left( \frac{t^{n-2} - 1}{t - 1} \right) + (1 + m - m^2) \left( \frac{t^{n-5} - 1}{t - 1} \right) \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$$

has degree  $n - 3$  and satisfies  $\#X_{G_1}(k) \cap X_{G_0}(k) \leq h(q)$ . Moreover, the quantity  $\#\mathbb{P}_k^{n-1}(k) - \#X_{G_1}(k) + 1$  is bounded above by  $(q^n - 1)/(q - 1) + 1$ . Thus, if we take  $f(t) = (t^n - 1)/(t - 1) + th(t) \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$ , then  $f(t)$  is monic of degree  $n - 1$  and  $\#X_F(k) \leq f(q)$  by Corollary 5.8.

On the other hand, by Proposition 5.7 and by Applying Theorem 5.9 to  $X_{G_1}$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \#X_F(k) &\geq \#\mathbb{P}_k^{n-1}(k) - \#X_{G_1}(k) + 1 \\ &\geq \#\mathbb{P}_k^{n-1}(k) - \left( (m-1) \left( \#\mathbb{P}_k^{n-2}(k) - \#\mathbb{P}_k^{n-4}(k) \right) + \#\mathbb{P}_k^{n-4}(k) \right) + 1, \end{aligned}$$

so we take

$$g(t) = \frac{t^n - 1}{t - 1} - (m-1) \left( \frac{t^{n-1} - 1}{t - 1} \right) + m \left( \frac{t^{n-3} - 1}{t - 1} \right) + 1 \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$$

then  $g(t)$  is monic of degree  $n-1$  and  $g(q) \leq \#X_F(k)$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 5.12.** *Suppose that  $F \in k[T_0, \dots, T_n]$  is a homogeneous polynomial satisfying (5.1). If  $F$  is irreducible over  $k$ , then*

$$\#X_F(k) = q^{n-1} + O(q^{n-2}).$$

*The implied constant is computable and depends only on  $\deg F$  and  $\dim \mathbb{P}_k^n$ .*

**Lemma 5.13.** *If  $h(t) = a_n t^n + \dots + a_0 \in \mathbb{R}[t]$  is a polynomial with  $a_n \neq 0$  and  $C = |a_n| + \dots + |a_0|$ , then  $-Ct^n \leq h(t) \leq Ct^n$  for  $t > 1$ .*

*Proof.* for  $t > 1$  we have that

$$\begin{aligned} |a_n t^n + \dots + a_0| &\leq |a_n| t^n + \dots + |a_0| \\ &= t^n \left( |a_n| + \frac{|a_{n-1}|}{t} + \dots + \frac{|a_0|}{t^n} \right) \\ &\leq t^n (|a_n| + \dots + |a_0|) \\ &= Ct^n. \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

*Proof of Theorem 5.12.* Consider the polynomials  $f(t), g(t)$  from the Theorem 5.10. Let  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  be the sum of the absolute values of the coefficients of the polynomials  $f(t) - p^{n-1}$  and  $g(t) - p^{n-1}$ , respectively. If  $C = \max\{C_1, C_2\}$ , then by Lemma 5.13

$$-Cq^{n-2} \leq \#X_F(k) - q^{n-1} \leq Cq^{n-2}.$$

Whence  $\#X_F(k) = q^{n-1} + O(q^{n-2})$ .  $\square$

The next proposition is the matroid version of Lemma 1.3 and Corollary 1.4 in [1]. The proof given there works for this case too. We let  $\Sigma_n$  denote the union of the coordinate hyperplanes, and  $M^*$  denote the dual matroid of  $M$ .

**Proposition 5.14.** *If  $M$  is a regular matroid with  $\#E(M) = \{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ , then*

$$\Psi_M(\lambda_{e_1}, \dots, \lambda_{e_n}) = \left( \prod_{i=1}^n \lambda_{e_i} \right) \Psi_{M^*}(\lambda_{e_1}^{-1}, \dots, \lambda_{e_n}^{-1}).$$

*Moreover, the Cremona transformation induces an isomorphism of  $k$ -schemes  $X_M - \Sigma_n \cong X_{M^*} - \Sigma_n$ .*

6. THE FAMILY  $\{M_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \mathbb{N}^E(M)}$ 

In this section, we fix a prime number  $p$ . Let  $M$  be a regular matroid on  $E$ . If  $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}^E$ , then we define  $\lambda_p \in \mathbb{N}^E$  to be the map given by the rule  $\lambda_p(e) = k$ , where  $1 \leq k \leq p$  and  $\lambda(e) \equiv k \pmod{p}$ .

**Definition 6.1.** The *height* of a map  $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}^E$  is  $\text{ht}(\lambda) := \max\{\lambda(e) : e \in E\}$ .

**Definition 6.2.** The *density* of a subset  $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}^E$  is

$$\mu(S) := \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#\{S \cap \{\lambda \in \mathbb{N}^E : \text{ht}(\lambda) \leq m\}\}}{\#\{\lambda \in \mathbb{N}^E : \text{ht}(\lambda) \leq m\}},$$

provided the limit exists.

**Definition 6.3.** We define  $\mathcal{J}_p(M) := \{\lambda \in \mathbb{N}^E : p \mid \#\text{Jac}(M_\lambda)\}$ . If  $G$  is a graph, we define  $\mathcal{J}_p(G) := \mathcal{J}_p(M(G))$ .

In the case of a graph  $G$ , we see that  $\mathcal{J}_p(G) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{N}^{E(G)} : p \mid \#\text{Jac}(G_\lambda)\}$  by virtue of Proposition 3.14.

**Theorem 6.4.** *Let  $M$  be a regular matroid on  $E$ . If  $\#E = n$  and  $\Psi_M \neq 1$ , then*

$$(6.5) \quad \mu(\mathcal{J}_p(M)) = \frac{(p-1)\#X_M(\mathbb{F}_p) + 1}{(p-1)\#\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_p}^{n-1}(\mathbb{F}_p) + 1}.$$

*Proof.* For  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , we let

$$\begin{aligned} B_m &:= \{\lambda \in \mathbb{N}^E : \text{ht}(\lambda) \leq m\} \\ A_m &:= \{\lambda \in B_m : \Psi_M(\lambda) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}\}. \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 4.8,  $\Psi_M(\lambda) = \#\text{Jac}(M_\lambda)$ , therefore

$$\#A_m = \#\{\lambda \in B_m : p \mid \#\text{Jac}(M_\lambda)\}.$$

Now suppose  $m \geq p$  and write  $m = pt_m + l$  for some  $t_m \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $0 \leq l < p$ . Consider the following map:

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_m : B_m &\rightarrow B_p \\ \lambda &\mapsto \lambda_p. \end{aligned}$$

The map  $\theta_m$  is surjective as  $B_p \subseteq B_m$  and  $\lambda_p = \lambda$  for all  $\lambda \in B_p$ . We will find a lower bound and an upper bound for the size of  $\#\theta_m^{-1}(\gamma)$  with  $\gamma \in B_p$ . A map  $\lambda : E \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  is a preimage of  $\gamma$  if and only if the following two conditions hold:

- (a)  $1 \leq \lambda(e) \leq pt_m + l$  for all  $e \in E$  and
- (b) for each  $e \in E$ ,  $\lambda(e) = pk_e + \gamma(e)$  for some nonnegative integer  $k_e$ .

According to whether  $\gamma(e) \leq l$  or  $\gamma(e) > l$ , the possible values for  $k_e$  are:

- (i)  $0 \leq k_e \leq t_m$ , if  $\gamma(e) \leq l$ ;
- (ii)  $0 \leq k_e \leq t_m - 1$ , if  $\gamma(e) > l$ .

It follows that

$$t_m^n \leq \#\theta_m^{-1}(\gamma) \leq (t_m + 1)^n.$$

Moreover, as  $\theta_m^{-1}(A_p) = A_m$  we have

$$\#A_m = \sum_{\gamma \in A_p} \#\theta_m^{-1}(\gamma)$$

then

$$t_m^n \#A_p \leq \#A_m \leq (t_m + 1)^n \#A_p.$$

On the other hand,  $\#B_m = m^n = (pt_m + l)^n$  and  $\#B_p = p^n$ . Using the inequalities

$$(pt_m + l)^n \leq (pt_m + p)^n = p^n(t_m + 1)^n \quad \text{and} \quad p^n t_m^n \leq (pt_m + l)^n,$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\#A_m}{\#B_m} &\leq \frac{(t_m + 1)^n \#A_p}{(pt_m + l)^n} \leq \frac{(t_m + 1)^n \#A_p}{p^n t_m^n} = \frac{(t_m + 1)^n}{t_m^n} \frac{\#A_p}{\#B_p}, \\ \frac{\#A_m}{\#B_m} &\geq \frac{t_m^n \#A_p}{(pt_m + l)^n} \geq \frac{t_m^n \#A_p}{(t_m + 1)^n p^n} = \frac{t_m^n}{(t_m + 1)^n} \frac{\#A_p}{\#B_p}. \end{aligned}$$

So that,

$$\left( \frac{t_m}{t_m + 1} \right)^n \frac{\#A_p}{\#B_p} \leq \frac{\#A_m}{\#B_m} \leq \left( \frac{t_m + 1}{t_m} \right)^n \frac{\#A_p}{\#B_p}.$$

By letting  $m \rightarrow \infty$  on both sides and noting that  $t_m \rightarrow \infty$ , we get

$$\mu(\mathcal{J}_p(M)) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#A_m}{\#B_m} = \frac{\#A_p}{\#B_p}.$$

Finally, notice that

$$\frac{\#A_p}{\#B_p} = \frac{(p-1)\#X_M(\mathbb{F}_p) + 1}{(p-1)\#\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_p}^{n-1}(\mathbb{F}_p) + 1}$$

This follows easily by noting that there is a bijection between  $B_p$  and  $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{F}_p}^n(\mathbb{F}_p)$ , and that there is a bijection between  $D_p$  and  $V(\Psi_M)(\mathbb{F}_p) \subseteq \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{F}_p}^n(\mathbb{F}_p)$ , and that each equivalence class of  $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_p}^{n-1}(\mathbb{F}_p)$  contains  $p-1$  points of  $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{F}_p}^n(\mathbb{F}_p)$ .  $\square$

*Example 6.6.* Let  $G = \text{Cycle}_2$ .




FIGURE 3.  $\text{Cycle}_2$ .



If  $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}^{E(G)}$ , then  $G_\lambda = \text{Cycle}_{\lambda(e)+\lambda(f)}$ , whence  $\text{Jac}(G_\lambda) \cong \mathbb{Z}/(\lambda(e)+\lambda(f))\mathbb{Z}$ . Hence  $\{\text{Jac}(G_\lambda)\}_{\lambda \in \mathbb{N}^{E(G)}}$  is the family of all finite cyclic groups.

On the other hand,  $\Psi_G = \lambda_e + \lambda_f$  so that  $X_G \cong \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_p}^0$ . Thus, by Theorem 6.4 we obtain

$$\mu(\mathcal{J}_p(G)) = \frac{(p-1)\#X_G(\mathbb{F}_p) + 1}{(p-1)\#\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_p}^1(\mathbb{F}_p) + 1} = \frac{1}{p},$$

as expected.

*Remark 6.7.* The limit (6.5) is completely determined if we know the quantity  $\#X(\mathbb{F}_p)$ . So, thinking of  $\#X_M(\mathbb{F}_p)$  as a function of  $p$ , it is of interest to determine whether there is a polynomial relation for the values  $\#X(\mathbb{F}_p)$  as  $p$  varies. More specifically, consider the function  $\#X_M: q \mapsto \#X_M(\mathbb{F}_q)$  defined on the set of prime powers  $q$ , then one is interested in knowing whether  $\#X_M \in \mathbb{Z}[q]$ . In 1997, Kontsevich conjectured the following: for any graph  $G$ ,  $\#X_{M(G)} \in \mathbb{Z}[q]$ , where  $M(G)$  is the cycle matroid of  $G$ . In [17], Stembridge provided evidence in support of Kontsevich's conjecture by showing that the conjecture held for all graphs containing up to 12 edges. Yet, Belkale and Brosnan [4] disproved the conjecture; in fact, they showed that these functions can be rather general. In this fashion, the best one can hope for is to be able to find bounds for  $\#X_M(\mathbb{F}_q)$  independent of  $q$  or describe the matroids for which  $\#X_M \in \mathbb{Z}[q]$ .

**Theorem 6.8.** *If  $M$  is a nonempty irreducible regular matroid, then*

$$\mu(\mathcal{J}_p(M)) = \frac{1}{p} + O\left(\frac{1}{p^2}\right).$$

*The implied constant is computable and depends only on  $r(M)$  and  $\#E(M)$ .*

*Proof.* If  $M$  is irreducible, then  $\Psi_M$  is irreducible and it can be written as  $\Psi_M = \lambda_e \Psi_{M \setminus e} + \Psi_{M/e}$  for any  $e \in E(M)$  (see Proposition 4.6), where  $\Psi_{M \setminus e}$  and  $\Psi_{M/e}$  are independent of  $\lambda_e$ . All the results from the previous section apply to  $X_M$ . In particular,

$$\#X_M(\mathbb{F}_p) = p^{n-1} + O(p^{n-2})$$

where  $n = \#E(M) - 1$  (notice that  $\#E(M) \geq 3$  as  $M$  is irreducible). On the other hand, Theorem 6.4 says that

$$\mu(\mathcal{J}_p(M)) = \frac{(p-1)\#X_M(\mathbb{F}_p) + 1}{(p-1)\#\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_p}^n(\mathbb{F}_p) + 1}.$$

By Theorem 5.12, there exists a constant  $C$  such that

$$(6.9) \quad -Cp^{n-2} \leq \#X_M(\mathbb{F}_p) - p^{n-1} \leq Cp^{n-2}.$$

This constant  $C$  is computable and depends only on  $\deg \Psi_M$  and  $r(M)$ , which are equal to  $\#E(M) - r(M)$  and  $\dim \mathbb{P}_k^{\#E(M)-1}$ , respectively. Whence  $C$  depends only on  $\#E(M)$  and  $r(M)$ .

To use the lower and upper bounds in (6.9) for  $(p-1)\#X_M(\mathbb{F}_p)+1$ , notice that

$$\begin{aligned} (p-1)\#X_M(\mathbb{F}_p)+1 &= (p-1)\left((\#X_M(\mathbb{F}_p)-p^{n-1})+p^{n-1}\right)+1 \\ &= (p-1)(\#X_M(\mathbb{F}_p)-p^{n-1})+(p-1)p^{n-1}+1, \end{aligned}$$

therefore

$$-(p-1)(Cp^{n-2}-p^{n-1})+1 \leq (p-1)\#X_M(\mathbb{F}_p)+1 \leq (p-1)(Cp^{n-2}+p^{n-1})+1.$$

Dividing by  $(p-1)\#\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_p}^n(\mathbb{F}_p)+1=p^{n+1}$ , we obtain

$$\frac{1}{p}-\frac{C+1}{p^2}+\frac{C}{p^3}+\frac{1}{p^{n+1}} \leq \frac{(p-1)\#X_M(\mathbb{F}_p)+1}{(p-1)\#\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_p}^n(\mathbb{F}_p)+1} \leq \frac{1}{p}+\frac{C-1}{p^2}-\frac{C}{p^3}+\frac{1}{p^{n+1}}.$$

Since  $C \geq 1$ , we finally get

$$-\frac{C+1}{p^2} \leq \frac{(p-1)\#X_M(\mathbb{F}_p)+1}{(p-1)\#\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_p}^n(\mathbb{F}_p)+1} - \frac{1}{p} \leq \frac{C+1}{p^2}.$$

This concludes the proof.  $\square$

The dependence of the constant from Theorem 6.8 on  $\#E(M)$  and  $r(M)$  highlights that  $\mu(\mathcal{J}_p(M)) \approx 1/p$  is not what we should expect to hold for all values of  $p$  and all nonempty irreducible regular matroids  $M$ . Let us consider a scenario where  $p$  is significantly smaller than  $\#E(M)$ . In such cases, it is highly expected that  $\lambda(e) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ . Moreover, if  $\#E(M) - r(M)$  is sufficiently larger than  $r(M)$ , then it is anticipated that each term in the sum  $\sum_B \prod_{e \in E-B} \lambda(e)$  would also be 0 modulo  $p$ . Consequently,  $\mu(\mathcal{J}_p(M))$  should be very close to 1. This particular situation is observed in graphs, as demonstrated in the example below.

*Example 6.10.* Let  $G$  be the banana graph on 10 edges. Its configuration polynomial is

$$\Psi_G = \sum_{i=1}^{10} \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^{10} T_j.$$

It is not hard to show that for any prime  $p$

$$\#X_G(\mathbb{F}_p) = p^9 + 35p^8 - 195p^7 + 510p^6 - 798p^5 + 798p^4 - 510p^3 + 195p^2 - 35p - 1.$$

This allows us to use formula (6.5) and compute the precise value of  $\mu(\mathcal{J}_p(G))$  for any prime  $p$ . In particular, we have that

$$\mu(\mathcal{J}_2(G)) = \frac{1013}{1024} \approx 0.9892$$

$$\mu(\mathcal{J}_3(G)) = \frac{53246}{59049} \approx 0.9017$$

$$\mu(\mathcal{J}_5(G)) = \frac{6305324}{9765625} \approx 0.6456.$$

*Remark 6.11.* Matroid theory comes with a dual theory, so that one can speak of the dual matroid of a matroid  $M$ . If  $M$  happens to be regular, then so is its dual. Furthermore, their Jacobian groups are isomorphic.

Starting with a regular matroid  $M$ , we obtain a new family of regular matroids  $\{(M_\lambda)^*\}_{\lambda \in \mathbb{N}^{E(M)}}$ , where  $(M_\lambda)^*$  denotes the dual of  $M_\lambda$ . In this case,  $\text{Jac}(M_\lambda) \cong \text{Jac}((M_\lambda)^*)$ . Consequently, Theorem 6.4 and Theorem 6.8 can be used to predict the distribution of the  $p$ -torsion of the Jacobian groups for this new family. More concretely, if we define the set  $\mathcal{J}_p^*(M) := \{\lambda \in \mathbb{N}^{E(M)} : p \mid \#\text{Jac}((M_\lambda)^*)\}$ , then

$$\mu(\mathcal{J}_p^*(M)) = \frac{(p-1)\#X_M(\mathbb{F}_p) + 1}{(p-1)\#\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_p}^{n-1}(\mathbb{F}_p) + 1}.$$

If  $M \neq \emptyset$  is irreducible, then

$$\mu(\mathcal{J}_p^*(M)) = \frac{1}{p} + O\left(\frac{1}{p^2}\right).$$

In general, given a regular matroid  $M$  and a map  $\lambda : E(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ , the Jacobian groups of  $M_\lambda$  and  $M_\lambda^*$  are not isomorphic; in fact, their orders might not have any common prime factors. Also, it is not true that  $\mu(\mathcal{J}_p(M)) = \mu(\mathcal{J}_p(M^*))$ . Nonetheless, Proposition 5.14 allows us to relate the distribution of the  $p$ -torsion of the Jacobian groups in the families  $\{M_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \mathbb{N}^{E(M)}}$  and  $\{M_\lambda^*\}_{\lambda \in \mathbb{N}^{E(M^*)}}$  as follows.

Let us define

$$\mathcal{S}_p(M) := \{\lambda \in \mathbb{N}^{E(M)} : p \mid \#\text{Jac}(M_\lambda) \text{ and } p \nmid \lambda(e) \text{ for all } e \in E(M)\}.$$

**Proposition 6.12.** *If  $M$  is a regular matroid with  $\#E(M) = n$ , then*

$$\mu(\mathcal{S}_p(M)) = \frac{(p-1)\#\left(X_M(\mathbb{F}_p) \cap \left(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_p}^{n-1}(\mathbb{F}_p) - \Sigma_n(\mathbb{F}_p)\right)\right)}{(p-1)\#\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_p}^{n-1}(\mathbb{F}_p) + 1}$$

*Proof.* For  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , we let

$$B_m := \{\lambda \in \mathbb{N}^{E(M)} : \text{ht}(\lambda) \leq m\}$$

$$D_m := \{\lambda \in B_m : \Psi_M(\lambda) \equiv 0 \pmod{p} \text{ and } p \nmid \lambda(e) \text{ for all } e \in E(M)\}.$$

The argument of the proof of Theorem 6.4 applies to  $B_m$  and  $D_m$  in place of  $A_m$ . Hence, one obtains

$$\mu(\mathcal{S}_p(M)) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#D_m}{\#B_m} = \frac{\#D_p}{\#B_p},$$

and

$$\frac{\#D_p}{\#B_p} = \frac{(p-1)\#(X_M(\mathbb{F}_p) \cap (\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_p}^{n-1}(\mathbb{F}_p) - \Sigma_n(\mathbb{F}_p)))}{(p-1)\#\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_p}^{n-1}(\mathbb{F}_p) + 1}.$$

□

**Corollary 6.13.** *If  $M$  is a regular matroid with  $\#E(M) = n$ , then*

$$\mu(\mathcal{S}_p(M)) = \mu(\mathcal{S}_p(M^*)).$$

*Proof.* By Proposition 5.14,  $X_M - \Sigma_n \cong X_{M^*} - \Sigma_n$  over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ . Hence, the result follows from Proposition 6.12. □

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Paolo Aluffi and Matilde Marcolli, *Feynman Motives of Banana Graphs*, Commun. Number Theory Phys. **3** (2009), no. 1, 1–57, DOI 10.4310/CNTP.2009.v3.n1.a1. MR2504753
- [2] Roland Bacher, Pierre de la Harpe, and Tatiana Nagnibeda, *The Lattice of Integral Flows and the Lattice of Integral Cuts on a Finite Graph*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **125** (1997), no. 2, 167–198 (English, with English and French summaries). MR1478029
- [3] Matthew Baker and Serguei Norine, *Riemann-Roch and Abel-Jacobi Theory on a Finite Graph*, Adv. Math. **215** (2007), no. 2, 766–788, DOI 10.1016/j.aim.2007.04.012. MR2355607
- [4] Prakash Belkale and Patrick Brosnan, *Matroids, Motives, and a Conjecture of Kontsevich*, Duke Math. J. **116** (2003), no. 1, 147–188, DOI 10.1215/S0012-7094-03-11615-4. MR1950482
- [5] Matthew Baker and Serguei Norine, *Harmonic Morphisms and Hyperelliptic Graphs*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN **15** (2009), 2914–2955, DOI 10.1093/imrn/rnp037. MR2525845
- [6] Spencer Bloch, Hélène Esnault, and Dirk Kreimer, *On motives associated to graph polynomials*, Comm. Math. Phys. **267** (2006), no. 1, 181–225, DOI 10.1007/s00220-006-0040-2. MR2238909
- [7] Siegfried Bosch and Dino Lorenzini, *Grothendieck’s Pairing on Component Groups of Jacobians*, Invent. Math. **148** (2002), no. 2, 353–396, DOI 10.1007/s002220100195. MR1906153
- [8] Julien Clancy, Nathan Kaplan, Timothy Leake, Sam Payne, and Melanie Matchett Wood, *On a Cohen-Lenstra Heuristic for Jacobians of Random Graphs*, J. Algebraic Combin. **42** (2015), no. 3, 701–723, DOI 10.1007/s10801-015-0598-x. MR3403177
- [9] Alain Couvreur, *An Upper Bound on the Number of Rational Points of Arbitrary projective varieties over finite fields*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **144** (2016), no. 9, 3671–3685, DOI 10.1090/proc/13015. MR3513530
- [10] Graham Denham, Mathias Schulze, and Uli Walther, *Matroid Connectivity and Singularities of Configuration Hypersurfaces*, Lett. Math. Phys. **111** (2021), no. 1, Paper No. 11, 67, DOI 10.1007/s11005-020-01352-3. MR4205801
- [11] David Eisenbud and Joe Harris, *The Geometry of Schemes*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 197, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2000. MR1730819

- [12] Matthew D. Horton, H. M. Stark, and Audrey A. Terras, *What are Zeta Functions of Graphs and What are They Good for?*, Quantum graphs and their applications, Contemp. Math., vol. 415, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2006, pp. 173–189, DOI 10.1090/conm/415/07868. MR2277616
- [13] Dino J. Lorenzini, *Arithmetical Graphs*, Math. Ann. **285** (1989), no. 3, 481–501, DOI 10.1007/BF01455069. MR1019714
- [14] Dino Lorenzini, *Smith Normal Form and Laplacians*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B **98** (2008), no. 6, 1271–1300, DOI 10.1016/j.jctb.2008.02.002. MR2462319
- [15] Criel Merino, *Matroids, the Tutte Polynomial and the Chip Firing Game*, Ph.D. Thesis, University of Oxford, 1999.
- [16] James Oxley, *Matroid Theory*, 2nd ed., Oxford Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 21, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2011. MR2849819
- [17] John R. Stembridge, *Counting Points on Varieties over Finite Fields Related to a Conjecture of Kontsevich*, Ann. Comb. **2** (1998), no. 4, 365–385, DOI 10.1007/BF01608531. MR1774975
- [18] The Stacks Project authors, *The Stacks Project* (2021), <https://stacks.math.columbia.edu>.
- [19] Melanie Matchett Wood, *The Distribution of Sandpile Groups of Random Graphs*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **30** (2017), no. 4, 915–958, DOI 10.1090/jams/866. MR3671933
- [20] Sergio R Zapata Ceballos, *Distribution of the  $p$ -Torsion of Jacobian Groups of Regular Matroids*, Ph.D. Thesis, Western University, 2021.

S.R. Zapata  
Department of Mathematics  
The University of Western Ontario  
London, Ontario N6A 5B7  
Canada  
e-mail: szapatac@uwo.ca