

# On real analogues of the Poincaré series <sup>\*</sup>

A. Campillo, F. Delgado, S.M. Gusein-Zade<sup>†</sup>

## Abstract

There exist several equivalent equations for the Poincaré series of a collection of valuations on the ring of germs of functions on a complex analytic variety. We give definitions of the Poincaré series of a collection of valuations in the real setting (i. e., on the ring of germs of functions on a real analytic variety), compute them for the case of one curve valuation on the plane and discuss some of their properties.

## 1 Introduction

Let  $\mathbb{K}$  be either the field  $\mathbb{C}$  of complex numbers or the field  $\mathbb{R}$  of real numbers, let  $(V, 0)$  be a germ of an analytic variety over  $\mathbb{K}$ , and let  $\mathcal{E}_{V,0}$  be the ring of germs of functions on  $V$  (if  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$ ,  $\mathcal{E}_{V,0}$  is usually denoted by  $\mathcal{O}_{V,0}$ ). A function  $\nu : \mathcal{E}_{V,0} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \cup \{+\infty\}$  is called an *order function* on  $\mathcal{E}_{V,0}$  if

- 1)  $\nu(0) = +\infty$ ;
- 2)  $\nu(\lambda f) = \nu(f)$  for  $f \in \mathcal{E}_{V,0}$ ,  $\lambda \in \mathbb{K}^* := \mathbb{K} \setminus \{0\}$ ;
- 3)  $\nu(f_1 + f_2) \geq \min\{\nu(f_1), \nu(f_2)\}$  for  $f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{E}_{V,0}$ .

If besides that one has

$$\nu(f_1 f_2) = \nu(f_1) + \nu(f_2),$$

the function  $\nu$  is called a *valuation*. (Sometimes for a valuation one demand that  $\nu(f) \neq +\infty$  for  $f \neq 0$ . In this case a function described above is called a pre-valuation.)

---

<sup>\*</sup>Math. Subject Class. 2020: 16W60, 14B05, 14P15. Keywords: Poincaré series, germs of real functions, plane valuation.

<sup>†</sup>The work of the third author is an output of a research project implemented as part of the Basic Research Program at the National Research University Higher School of Economics (HSE University).

Let  $\{\nu_i\}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$ , be a collection of order functions on  $\mathcal{E}_{V,0}$ . The Poincaré series of the collection  $\{\nu_i\}$  was defined in [1]. For  $\underline{m} = (m_1, \dots, m_r)$  and  $\underline{m}' = (m'_1, \dots, m'_r)$  from  $\mathbb{Z}^r$ , one says that  $\underline{m} \geq \underline{m}'$  if  $m_i \geq m'_i$  for all  $i$ . For  $f \in \mathcal{E}_{V,0}$ , let  $\underline{\nu}(f) := (\nu_1(f), \dots, \nu_r(f))$ . For  $\underline{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^r$ , let  $J_{\underline{k}} := \{f \in \mathcal{E}_{V,0} : \underline{\nu}(f) \geq \underline{k}\}$ . Let

$$\mathcal{L}_{\{\nu_i\}}(\underline{t}) := \sum_{\underline{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^r} \dim(J_{\underline{k}}/J_{\underline{k}+\underline{1}}) \cdot \underline{t}^{\underline{k}},$$

where  $\underline{t} = (t_1, \dots, t_r)$ ,  $\underline{1} = (1, \dots, 1)$ . (Pay attention that the sum is over all  $\underline{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^r$  and therefore the series  $\mathcal{L}_{\{\nu_i\}}(\underline{t})$  contains summands with negative exponents (at least for  $r > 1$ .) The *Poincaré series* of the collection  $\{\nu_i\}$  is defined by

$$P_{\{\nu_i\}}(\underline{t}) = \frac{\mathcal{L}_{\{\nu_i\}}(\underline{t}) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^r (t_i - 1)}{(t_1 \cdots t_r - 1)}. \quad (1)$$

For one curve valuation  $\nu = \nu_C$  on a complex analytic variety  $(V, 0)$  ( $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$ ,  $(C, 0)$  is a curve germ on  $(V, 0)$ ) this definition gives the following. Let  $S_C \subset \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  be the set (a semigroup) of values of the valuation  $\nu$ . Then

$$P_{\{\nu\}}(t) = \sum_{v \in S_C} t^v. \quad (2)$$

In [4], it was shown that, for  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$  and for a collection  $\{\nu_i\}$  of curve valuations on the algebra  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^2,0}$  of function germs in two variables defined by the irreducible components  $(C_i, 0)$  of a plane curve germ  $(C, 0)$ , the Poincaré series  $P_{\{\nu_i\}}(\underline{t})$  coincides with the Alexander polynomial (in several variables) of the link  $C \cap S_\varepsilon^3 \subset S_\varepsilon^3$ . In particular, for an embedded resolution  $\pi : (X, D) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^2, 0)$  of the curve  $C$ , one has an ‘‘A’Campo type’’ representation

$$P_{\{\nu_i\}}(\underline{t}) = \prod_{\sigma} (1 - \underline{t}^{\underline{m}_\sigma})^{-\chi(\mathring{E}_\sigma)}, \quad (3)$$

where  $\underline{m}_\sigma \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}^r$  are some multiplicities defined for the components  $E_\sigma$  of the exceptional divisor  $D = \pi^{-1}(0)$  and  $\mathring{E}_\sigma$  is the ‘‘smooth part’’ of the component  $E_\sigma$ , that is  $E_\sigma$  itself minus the intersection points with all other components of the total transform  $\pi^{-1}(C)$  of the curve  $C$ .

The computation of the Poincaré series in [4] was based on its relation with the so-called extended semigroup of a collection of (curve) valuations. For a collection  $\{\nu_i\}$  of valuations on the algebra  $\mathcal{E}_{V,0}$  its *extended semigroup*  $\widehat{S}_{\{\nu_i\}}$  is the union over  $\underline{v} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^r$  of the *fibres*

$$F_{\underline{v}} := (J(\underline{v})/J(\underline{v} + \underline{1})) \setminus \bigcup_{I \subset I_0, I \neq \emptyset} (J(\underline{v} + \underline{1}_I)/J(\underline{v} + \underline{1})),$$

where  $I_0 = \{1, \dots, r\}$ , the  $i$ th component of  $\underline{1}_I \in \mathbb{Z}^r$  is equal to 1 if  $i \in I$  and to 0 otherwise. The semigroup structure on  $\widehat{S}_{\{\nu_i\}}$  is induced by the product of function germs. In [4], it was shown that (for  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$ ) the Poincaré series of the collection  $\{\nu_i\}$  is given by the equation

$$P_{\{\nu_i\}}(\underline{t}) = \sum_{\underline{k} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^r} \chi(\mathbb{P}F_{\underline{k}}) \cdot \underline{t}^{\underline{k}}, \quad (4)$$

where  $\mathbb{P}F_{\underline{k}}$  is the projectivization  $F_{\underline{k}}/\mathbb{C}^*$  of the fibre of the extended semigroup. (Here we always have in mind the *additive Euler characteristic* defined as the alternating sum of the ranks of the cohomology groups with compact support. In fact, for a space being a complex quasiprojective variety (in particular for the projectivizations of the fibres of the extended semigroup in the complex case:  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$ ), this Euler characteristic coincides with the “usual” one.)

In [3] (also for  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$ ), the Poincaré series  $P_{\{\nu_i\}}(\underline{t})$  was expressed in terms of the integral with respect to the Euler characteristic (appropriately defined) over the projectivisation of ring  $\mathcal{O}_{V,0}$ :

$$P_{\{\nu_i\}}(\underline{t}) = \int_{\mathbb{P}\mathcal{O}_{V,0}} \underline{t}^{\nu(f)} d\chi. \quad (5)$$

This equation led to a new, relatively simple computation of the Poincaré series in a number of situations.

In the complex setting all three equations of the Poincaré series: (1), (4), and (5) (and the equation (2) for the case of one curve valuation) — are equivalent to each other. For collections of curve or divisorial valuations on  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^2,0}$  the Poincaré series has a cyclotomic form, i.e., is product—ratio of powers of binomials of the form  $(1 - \underline{t}^m)$  like in (3). Here we give analogues of definitions of the Poincaré series of a collection of valuations (or of order functions) in the real setting based on these equations. The definitions appear to be different. For the case of one curve valuation on the plane we compute three of these Poincaré series. (All three of them appear to be cyclotomic.)

## 2 Analogues of the Poincaré series in the real setting

Let  $(V, 0)$  be a germ of a real analytic variety, let  $(V_{\mathbb{C}}, 0)$  be its complexification and let  $\{\nu_i\}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, r$ , be a collection of valuations (or of order functions) on the ring  $\mathcal{O}_{V_{\mathbb{C}},0}$  of germs of complex analytic functions on  $(V_{\mathbb{C}}, 0)$ . The valuations  $\nu_i$  define valuations (denoted in the same way) on the ring  $\mathcal{E}_{V,0}$  of germs of real analytic functions on  $(V, 0)$ . (Each valuation on  $\mathcal{E}_{V,0}$  is the restriction of a

valuation on  $\mathcal{O}_{V_{\mathbb{C}},0}$ : see, e.g., [10, Chapter 4, Theorem 1].) Taking into account Equations (1), (4), and (5) for the Poincaré series in the complex setting, one can consider some (different) analogues of it in the described situation.

- 1) Let  $P_{\{\nu_i\}}(\underline{t})$  be the *classical Poincaré series* defined by Equation (1), where  $J(\underline{v}) = \{f \in \mathcal{E}_{V,0} : \underline{v}(f) \geq \underline{v}\}$ . The space  $J(\underline{v})/J(\underline{v} + \underline{1})$  is a (real) subspace of the complex vector space  $J_{\mathbb{C}}(\underline{v})/J_{\mathbb{C}}(\underline{v} + \underline{1})$ , where  $J_{\mathbb{C}}(\underline{v}) = \{f \in \mathcal{O}_{V_{\mathbb{C}},0} : \underline{v}(f) \geq \underline{v}\}$ . Therefore it is the direct sum of a complex vector subspace of  $J_{\mathbb{C}}(\underline{v})/J_{\mathbb{C}}(\underline{v} + \underline{1})$  and of a “purely real” one. In the case of one curve valuation  $\nu$  on  $\mathcal{O}_{V_{\mathbb{C}},0}$  all the coefficients of the series  $P_{\nu}(t)$  are equal to 0, 1, or 2 (if the subspace  $J(\underline{v})/J(\underline{v} + \underline{1})$  is zero-dimensional, one-dimensional over  $\mathbb{R}$ , or one-dimensional over  $\mathbb{C}$  respectively).
- 2) Let the *real Poincaré series*  $P_{\{\nu_i\}}^{\mathbb{R}}(\underline{t})$  be defined as the integral with respect to the Euler characteristic of  $\underline{t}^{\underline{v}}$  over the projectivization (i. e., the quotient by  $\mathbb{R}^*$ ) of the extended semigroup: Equation (4). In the case of one curve valuation on  $\mathcal{O}_{V_{\mathbb{C}},0}$  all the coefficients of  $P_{\nu}^{\mathbb{R}}(t)$  are equal to 0 or 1. (One gets this series from  $P_{\nu}(t)$  substituting all the monomials with the coefficient 2 by 0 (equal to the Euler characteristic of  $\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^1$ ).
- 3) One has an analogue version defined as the integral with respect to the Euler characteristic (appropriately defined) of  $\underline{t}^{\underline{v}(f)}$  over the projectivization of the algebra  $\mathcal{E}_{V,0}$ : Equation (5). One can give an equation for it in the spirit of [6, Theorem 1], however the right hand side of it seems to be not really computable. (At least even in the simplest cases the result looks very involved.) Therefore we shall not discuss it below (for one curve valuation on  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^2,0}$ ).
- 4) For the case of one valuation one has the *semigroup Poincaré series*  $P_{\nu}^S(t)$  defined as the generating series of the semigroup  $S_{\nu}$  of values of  $\nu$  (an analogue of Equation (2)):

$$P_{\nu}^S(t) = \sum_{v \in S_{\nu}} t^v.$$

All the coefficients of  $P_{\nu}^S(t)$  are equal to 0 or 1. (In the case of one curve valuation on  $\mathcal{O}_{V_{\mathbb{C}},0}$ , it can be obtained from  $P_{\nu}(t)$  substituting all the monomials of the form  $2t^v$  by the monomials  $t^v$ .) A reasonable analogue of this Poincaré series for the case of several valuations is not clear.

### 3 The Poincaré series for a one curve valuation

Let  $(C, 0) \subset (\mathbb{C}^2, 0)$  be an irreducible plane curve germ (where we regard the complex plane  $\mathbb{C}^2$  as the complexification of the real plane  $\mathbb{R}^2$ ) and let  $\pi : (X, D) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^2, 0)$  be the minimal *real resolution* of the curve  $C$  (or of the valuation  $\nu_C$ ). This means that it is the minimal resolution of the curve  $C \cup \overline{C}$ , where  $\overline{C}$  is the complex conjugate of the curve  $C$ . This resolution can be obtained by a sequence of blow ups either at real points or at pairs of complex conjugate points.




Figure 1: The minimal real resolution graph  $\Gamma$  of the valuation  $\{\nu_C\}$ .

The (dual) resolution graph of  $\pi$  looks, in general, like on Figure 1. The vertex  $\delta_C$  corresponds to the component intersecting the strict transform of the curve  $C$ , the vertices  $\sigma_i$  and  $\overline{\sigma}_i$ ,  $i = 0, 1, \dots, g$ , are *the dead ends* of the graph, the vertices  $\tau_i$  and  $\overline{\tau}_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, g$ , are *the rupture points*, the vertex  $\rho$  is *the splitting point* between the resolutions of  $C$  and of  $\overline{C}$ . The vertex  $\rho$  (the splitting point) may coincide with one of the rupture points  $\tau_q$ ,  $1 \leq q \leq g$ , or with the initial point  $\sigma_0$ . There are two options for the vertex  $\delta_C$ : either it coincides with the rupture point  $\tau_g$  (as on Figure 1; this happens if the resolutions of  $C$  and  $\overline{C}$  split not later than each of these curves is resolved), or with the splitting point  $\rho$  if, at the moment when each of the curves is resolved, their resolutions do not split yet. In the latter case the end of the resolution graph  $\Gamma$  looks like on Figure 2.

The complex conjugation acts on the resolution graph  $\Gamma$  (keeping fixed the part before the splitting point and exchanging the parts after it). The quotient of  $\Gamma$  by the complex conjugation looks like on Figure 3 and is a resolution graph of the curve  $C$  (the minimal one if  $\sigma_C = \tau_g$ ). (If  $\sigma_C \neq \tau_g$ , the end of this graph




Figure 2: The case when the resolutions of the curves  $C$  and  $\overline{C}$  split after each of the curves is resolved.

looks like on Figure 2.)




Figure 3: The quotient of the resolution graph  $\Gamma$  by the complex conjugation.

Let the exceptional divisor  $D$  be the union of its irreducible components  $E_\sigma$ ,  $\sigma \in \Gamma$ . (Each  $E_\sigma$  is isomorphic to the complex projective line.) One has the natural involution of complex conjugation on  $\Gamma$ . Let  $(E_\sigma \circ E_\delta)$  be the intersection matrix of the components of the exceptional divisor. (The self-intersection number  $E_\sigma \circ E_\sigma$  is a negative integer and, for  $\delta \neq \sigma$ ,  $E_\sigma \circ E_\delta$  is either 1 (if  $E_\sigma$  and  $E_\delta$  intersect) or 0 otherwise.) Let  $(m_{\sigma\delta}) := -(E_\sigma \circ E_\delta)^{-1}$ . (The entries  $m_{\sigma\delta}$  are positive integers.) Let, as above, the strict transform of the curve  $C$  intersect the exceptional divisor  $D$  at a point of the component  $E_{\delta_C}$  and let  $m_\sigma := m_{\sigma\delta_C}$ .

Let  $M_\sigma \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$  be defined in the following way. If  $E_{\overline{\sigma}} = E_\sigma$ , one puts  $M_\sigma = m_\sigma$ ; if  $E_{\overline{\sigma}} \neq E_\sigma$ , one puts  $M_\sigma := m_\sigma + m_{\overline{\sigma}}$ .

Let  $S_C$  be the usual semigroup of the complex curve  $C$ , i.e.,  $S_C = \{\nu_C(g) : g \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^2,0}\}$ . The set of multiplicities  $\{m_{\sigma_0}, \dots, m_{\sigma_g}\}$  is the minimal set of generators of  $S_C$ . Let  $e_i := \gcd\{m_{\sigma_0}, \dots, m_{\sigma_i}\}$  for  $i = 0, 1, \dots, g$  and let  $N_i = e_{i-1}/e_i$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, g$ . (One has  $m_{\tau_i} = N_i m_{\sigma_i}$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, g$ .)

For  $\delta \in \Gamma$ , let  $\pi_\delta : (X_\delta, D_\delta) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^2, 0)$  be the minimal modification of  $(\mathbb{C}^2, 0)$  such that  $E_\delta \subset D_\delta$ . In particular,  $E_\delta$  is the last exceptional component appearing in  $X_\delta$  and is produced by blow-up at a point  $p_\delta$  of a previous component. For  $g \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^2,0}$ , we will denote by  $e_\delta(g)$  the multiplicity of the strict transform of the curve  $\{g = 0\}$  at the point  $p_\delta$ . Notice that  $e_\delta(g)$  coincides with the intersection multiplicity of the strict transform of  $\{g = 0\}$  and  $E_\delta$  in the surface  $X_\delta$ .

**Lemma 1.** *The integers  $M_{\sigma_0}, M_{\sigma_1}, \dots, M_{\sigma_g}$  generate the semigroup  $S_C^{\mathbb{R}}$  of values of the valuation  $\nu_C$  on  $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{R}^2,0}$ . One has  $(N_i - 1)M_{\sigma_i} \notin \langle M_{\sigma_0}, \dots, M_{\sigma_{i-1}} \rangle$ ,  $N_i M_{\sigma_i} \in \langle M_{\sigma_0}, \dots, M_{\sigma_{i-1}} \rangle$  and  $N_i M_{\sigma_i} < M_{\sigma_{i+1}}$ . (In particular,  $M_{\sigma_0}, M_{\sigma_1}, \dots, M_{\sigma_g}$  is the minimal set of generators of the semigroup  $S_C^{\mathbb{R}}$ .)*

**Proof.** Let  $g \in \mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{R}^2,0}$  be irreducible, let  $G : \{g = 0\}$  be the curve defined by  $g$  and let  $\tilde{G}$  denote the strict transform of  $G$  on  $X$ , Making additional blow-ups at intersection points of pairs of exceptional components one can assume that  $\tilde{G}$  intersects  $D$  at a smooth point of the component  $E_\delta \subset D$ . Along the proof we will use known results relating the position of  $\delta$  in the dual graph and the corresponding value  $\nu_C(g)$ : see, e.g., [9, 8, 11].

Let  $q$  be the maximal index such that  $\tau_q$  precedes (or is equal to)  $\rho$ . (If such  $q$  inbetween 1 and  $g$  does not exist (i.e., the resolutions of  $C$  and  $\bar{C}$  split before  $\tau_1$ ), we put  $q = 0$ .) If  $\delta$  precedes  $E_\rho$ , i.e.,  $E_\delta \subset D_\rho$ , then  $\nu_C(g) \in \langle m_{\sigma_0}, \dots, m_{\sigma_q} \rangle$  and so  $\nu_C(g) \in \langle M_{\sigma_0}, \dots, M_{\sigma_q} \rangle$ . In particular,  $m_\rho \in \langle M_{\sigma_0}, \dots, M_{\sigma_q} \rangle$  because  $m_\rho = \nu_C(\varphi_\rho)$ , where  $\{\varphi_\rho = 0\}$  is a curvette at the divisor  $E_\rho$ .

Now, let us assume that  $\delta$  is after  $\rho$ . In this case  $g$  is the product of two irreducible complex conjugate functions,  $g = f \cdot \bar{f}$ , equivalently  $G = F \cup \bar{F}$  with  $F = \{f = 0\}$  being a complex irreducible curve germ. As earlier, denote by  $E_\delta$  the (unique) divisor on the minimal resolution of  $C$  intersecting the strict transform  $\tilde{F}$  of  $F$ , so  $E_{\bar{\delta}}$  is the corresponding component for the conjugate  $\bar{F}$  of  $F$ . The splitting point of  $\bar{\delta}$  and  $C$  is  $\rho$  and therefore  $\nu_C(\bar{f}) = e_\rho(f)m_\rho$ . Let  $[\alpha, \beta]$  denote the geodesic in  $\Gamma$  between the vertices  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ .

If  $\delta \in [\sigma_i, \tau_i]$  for some  $i \geq q+1$ , then  $\nu_C(f) = km_{\sigma_i}$  for some positive integer  $k$ . For the conjugate one has that  $\bar{\delta} \in [\bar{\sigma}_i, \bar{\tau}_i]$  and so  $\nu_C(\bar{f}) = \nu_{\bar{C}}(f) = km_{\bar{\sigma}_i}$ . Thus, in this case one has that  $\nu_C(g) = \nu_C(f) + \nu_C(\bar{f}) = k(m_{\sigma_i} + m_{\bar{\sigma}_i}) = kM_{\sigma_i}$ .

In particular for  $m_{\tau_i}$ , it is known that  $m_{\tau_i} = N_i m_{\sigma_i}$  and so  $M_{\tau_i} = N_i M_{\sigma_i}$ . Moreover, if  $\{\varphi = 0\}$  is a curvette at  $E_{\sigma_i}$  there is  $e_{\sigma_i}(\varphi) = e_{\tau_{i-1}}(\varphi) = 1$  and therefore

$$e_\rho(\varphi)m_\rho = m_\rho e_{\tau_q}(\varphi) = m_\rho \frac{e_{\tau_q}(\varphi)}{e_{\tau_{q+1}}(\varphi)} \dots \frac{e_{\tau_{i-2}}(\varphi)}{e_{\tau_{i-1}}(\varphi)} e_{\tau_{i-1}}(\varphi) = N_{q+1} \dots N_{i-1} m_\rho. \quad (6)$$

The last equality is due to the fact that  $e_{\tau_{j-1}}(\varphi)/e_{\tau_j}(\varphi) = e_{j-1}/e_j$  for  $j \leq i-1$ . Thus one has  $M_{\sigma_{q+1}} = m_{\sigma_{q+1}} + m_\rho$  and

$$M_{\sigma_i} = m_{\sigma_i} + m_{\bar{\sigma}_i} = m_{\sigma_i} + N_{q+1} \dots N_{i-1} m_\rho \quad \text{for } i > q+1. \quad (7)$$

If  $\delta \in [\rho, \tau_{q+1}]$ , one has  $\nu_C(f) \in \langle m_{\sigma_0}, \dots, m_{\sigma_q} \rangle$ . On the other hand,  $\bar{\delta} \in [\rho, \bar{\tau}_{q+1}]$  and therefore  $\nu_C(\bar{f}) = e_\rho(f)m_\rho$ . Thus,  $\nu_C(g) = \nu_C(f) + \nu_C(\bar{f}) \in \langle M_{\sigma_0}, \dots, M_{\sigma_q} \rangle$ .

Finally, let us assume that  $\delta \in [\tau_i, \tau_{i+1}]$  for some  $i \geq q+1$ . In this case  $\nu_C(f) \in \langle m_{\sigma_0}, \dots, m_{\sigma_i} \rangle$  and so  $\nu_C(f) = \sum_{j=0}^i k_j m_{\sigma_j}$  with  $k_0 \geq 0$  and  $0 \leq k_j < N_j$  for  $j = 1, \dots, i$ ; moreover the integers  $k_j$  are uniquely determined by these conditions. On the other hand,  $\bar{\delta} \in [\bar{\tau}_i, \bar{\tau}_{i+1}]$  and then  $e_{\bar{\tau}_i}(\bar{f}) = e_{\tau_i}(f) \geq 1$ . By the equations (6), one has

$$e_\rho(f) = e_{\tau_q}(f) = N_{q+1} \cdots N_i e_{\tau_i}(f) \geq N_{q+1} \cdots N_i$$

and therefore  $\nu_C(\bar{f}) = N_{q+1} \cdots N_i e_{\tau_i}(f) m_\rho \geq N_{q+1} \cdots N_i m_\rho$ . By Equation (7) one has

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=q+1}^i k_j m_{\bar{\sigma}_j} &= \sum_{j=q+1}^i k_j N_{q+1} \cdots N_{j-1} m_\rho \leq m_\rho \sum_{j=q+1}^i N_{q+1} \cdots N_{j-1} (N_j - 1) \\ &= m_\rho (N_{q+1} \cdots N_i - 1) < (N_{q+1} \cdots N_i) m_\rho \leq \nu_C(\bar{f}). \end{aligned}$$

As a consequence, for some integer  $a > 0$ , one has

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_C(g) &= \nu_C(f) + \nu_C(\bar{f}) = \sum_0^i k_j m_{\sigma_j} + e_\rho(f) m_\rho = \\ &= \sum_0^q k_j m_{\sigma_j} + \sum_{q+1}^i k_j (m_{\sigma_j} + m_{\bar{\sigma}_j}) + a m_\rho \\ &= \sum_0^i k_j M_{\sigma_j} + a m_\rho \in \langle M_{\sigma_0}, \dots, M_{\sigma_i} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

and the first part of the statement is proved.

The remaining statements of the Lemma are trivial consequences of the same properties for the set of multiplicities  $m_{\sigma_0}, \dots, m_{\sigma_g}$  (the minimal set of generators of the semigroup  $S_{\mathbb{C}}$ ), taking into account that  $e_i = \gcd\{M_{\sigma_0}, \dots, M_{\sigma_i}\}$  for  $0 \leq i \leq g$ .  $\square$

**Remark 1.** Lemma 1 alongside with the inequality implies that the “real” semigroup  $S_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathbb{R}}$  coincides with the usual semigroup  $S_{C'}$  of another plane curve singularity  $(C', 0)$ . Examples: for  $C$  given by  $x = t^4, y = \alpha t^4 + t^6 + t^7$  with a generic complex  $\alpha$ , as the curve  $C'$  one can take  $x = t^4, y = t^{10} + t^{11}$ ; for  $C$  given by  $x = t^4, y = \alpha t^6 + t^7$  with a generic complex  $\alpha$ , as the curve  $C'$  one can take  $x = t^4, y = t^6 + t^{19}$ ; for  $C$  given by  $x = t^4, y = t^6 + \alpha t^7$  with a generic complex  $\alpha$ , as the curve  $C'$  one can take the initial curve:  $x = t^4, y = t^6 + t^7$ . In general, if one defines  $b_0 := M_{\sigma_0}$ ,  $b_1 := M_{\sigma_1}$  and  $b_{i+1} := M_{\sigma_{i+1}} - N_i M_{\sigma_i}$  for  $i \geq 1$ , the semigroup  $S_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathbb{R}}$  is the semigroup of values of the complex branch defined (among others) by  $x = t^{b_0}, y = \sum_{i \geq 1} t^{b_i}$ .



**Theorem 1.** *One has*

$$P_{\nu_C}^S(t) = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^g (1 - t^{M_{\tau_i}})}{\prod_{i=0}^g (1 - t^{M_{\sigma_i}})},$$

**Proof.** Lemma 1 implies that any element of the semigroup  $S_C^{\mathbb{R}}$  can be in a unique way represented as  $k_0 M_{\sigma_0} + k_1 M_{\sigma_1} + \dots + k_g M_{\sigma_g}$  with  $k_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  for  $i = 0, \dots, g$  and  $k_i \leq N_i$  for  $i \geq 1$ . This yields the statement (since  $M_{\tau_i} = N_i M_{\sigma_i}$ ).  $\square$

**Proposition 1.** *Let an element  $a \in S_C^{\mathbb{R}}$  be less than  $M_\rho = m_\rho$ . Then one has  $\dim(J(a)/J(a+1)) = 1$ ,  $\dim(J(M_\rho)/J(M_\rho+1)) = 2$  (and therefore  $J(M_\rho)/J(M_\rho+1) \cong \mathbb{C}$ ).*

**Proof.** Let  $a = v_C(f_1) = v_C(f_2)$  with  $f_i \in \mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{R}^2, 0}$ . Since  $a < m_\rho$ , the strict transforms of the curves  $\{f_i = 0\}$  intersect the exceptional divisor  $D$  only at components preceding  $E_\rho$ . One has  $v_C(f_1) = v_C(f_2) < m_\rho$ . The multiplicities of the liftings  $f_1 \circ \pi$  and  $f_2 \circ \pi$  of the germs  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  along the components  $E_\sigma$  with  $\sigma \geq \rho$  are the same. Therefore on all these components the ratio  $\frac{f_1 \circ \pi}{f_2 \circ \pi}$  is a constant different from zero (and from infinity). Since its value at the components  $E_\sigma$  and  $E_{\bar{\sigma}}$  are conjugate to each other, this ratio is real. Therefore  $\dim(J(a)/J(a+1)) = 1$ .

One has  $m_\rho = \sum_{i:\sigma_i < \rho} k_i m_{\sigma_i}$  with  $k_i \geq 0$ . Let us take a real function  $f_1$  such that the strict transform of the curve  $\{f_1 = 0\}$  is the union of  $k_i$  real curvettes at the components  $E_{\sigma_i}$ . Let  $\pi_\rho : (X_\rho, D_\rho) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^2, 0)$  be the modification of  $(\mathbb{C}^2, 0)$  produced in the course of the resolution  $\pi$  up to the moment when the component  $E_\rho$  is created. Let  $z$  be an affine coordinate on  $E_\rho$  with real values on the real part of  $E_\rho$  and equal to zero at the intersection point of  $E_\rho$  with the previous component. Let  $f_2$  be a real function such that the strict transform of  $\{f_2 = 0\}$  is a real curvette at  $E_\rho$  at infinity. The components  $E_\sigma$  with  $\sigma > \rho$  are obtained after blow ups the component  $E_\rho$  at non-real points  $z_0$  and  $\bar{z}_0$ . The ratio  $\psi = \frac{f_1 \circ \pi}{f_2 \circ \pi}$  on the component  $E_\rho$  is equal to  $cz$  with real  $c$ . (It has a zero at  $z = 0$  and a pole at  $z = \infty$ .) Therefore its value at the point  $z_0$  (from which the component intersecting the strict transform of the curve  $C$  emerges) is not real. The ratio  $\psi$  is equal to this constant on all the components from the corresponding connected component of the part of the resolution graph consisting of the vertices  $\sigma > \rho$ . Therefore the ratio  $\frac{f_1 \circ \pi}{f_2 \circ \pi}$  on the curve  $C$  tends to a non-real (non-zero) number at the origin. This implies that  $J(m_\rho)/J(m_\rho+1) \cong \mathbb{C}$  and  $\dim J(m_\rho)/J(m_\rho+1) = 2$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $\delta$  be a vertex of the resolution graph  $\Gamma$  lying on the geodesic from  $\rho$  to  $\delta_C$ . Then  $M_\delta \in m_\rho + S_C^{\mathbb{R}}$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $\varphi \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^2,0}$  be the equation of a curvette at the point  $\delta$  and let us assume that either  $\delta \in [\tau_i, \tau_{i+1}]$ , for some  $i > q + 1$  or  $\delta \in [\rho, \tau_{q+1}]$ . Then,  $M_\delta = \nu_C(\varphi) + \nu_C(\overline{\varphi})$  and by the last part in the proof of Lemma 1, one has that  $M_\delta = \sum_0^i k_j M_{\sigma_j} + a m_\rho$  for some  $a > 0$ . Thus  $M_\delta - m_\rho \in S_C^{\mathbb{R}}$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 2.** *Let  $S_2$  be the set of elements  $a$  of the semigroup  $S_C^{\mathbb{R}}$  such that  $\dim(J(a)/J(a+1)) = 2$ . Then  $S_2 = m_\rho + S_C^{\mathbb{R}}$ .*

**Proof.** If  $a = m_\rho + b$  with  $b \in S_C^{\mathbb{R}}$ , then obviously  $a \in S_2$  (since  $m_\rho \in S_2$ ).

Assume that  $a \notin m_\rho + S_C^{\mathbb{R}}$  and  $\dim(J(a)/J(a+1)) = 2$ . Let  $f$  be a real function with  $\nu_C(f) = a$ , and let the strict transform of the curve  $\{f = 0\}$  intersect the exceptional divisor  $D$  at points of the subset  $\Gamma'$  of  $\Gamma$ . The subset  $\Gamma'$  cannot contain a vertex from the geodesic from  $\rho$  to  $\delta_C$  (due to Lemma 2). One has

$$f = f' \cdot \prod_{i:\overline{\sigma}_i \neq \sigma_i} f'_i \overline{f'_i}$$

where  $f'$  is real function such that the strict transform of the curve  $\{f' = 0\}$  intersects the exceptional divisor  $D$  only at points of components  $E_\delta$  with  $\overline{\delta} = \delta$ ,  $f'_i$  is a (complex analytic) function such that the strict transform of the curve  $\{f'_i = 0\}$  intersects only at points of the components  $E_\delta$  from the tail containing  $E_{\sigma_i}$ . One has  $\nu_C(f'_i \overline{f'_i}) = k_i M_{\sigma_i}$ . If  $k_i \geq N_i$  then  $\nu_C(f'_i \overline{f'_i}) \in m_\rho + S$  (since  $N_i M_{\sigma_i} = M_{\tau_i}$  and Lemma 2). Thus  $k_i < N_i$ .

If  $g$  is another real function with  $\nu_C(g) = a$  and  $g = g' \cdot \prod_{i:\overline{\sigma}_i \neq \sigma_i} g'_i \overline{g'_i}$ , then  $\nu_C(g'_i \overline{g'_i}) = \nu_C(f'_i \overline{f'_i})$  (because of the uniqueness of the representation in terms of the minimal set of generators  $\{M_{\sigma_0}, \dots, M_{\sigma_g}\}$ ). This implies that  $\frac{g'_i \overline{g'_i}}{f'_i \overline{f'_i}}$  is constant on the union of the two geodesics from  $\rho$  to  $\delta_C$  and  $\overline{\delta}_C$  ( $\rho$  included). Since its values at complex conjugate points are complex conjugate to each other, this constant is real. Therefore the element of the extended semigroup defined by  $f$  and  $g$  are linear dependent over  $\mathbb{R}$ . This contradicts the assumption that  $\dim(J(a)/J(a+1)) = 2$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.** *One has:*

$$\begin{aligned} P_{\nu_C}(t) &= P_{\nu_C}^S(t)(1 + t^{m_\rho}) = P_{\nu_C}^S(t) \frac{1 - t^{2m_\rho}}{1 - t^{m_\rho}}; \\ P_{\nu_C}^{\mathbb{R}}(t) &= P_{\nu_C}^S(t)(1 - t^{m_\rho}). \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

**Proof.** One has

$$\begin{aligned} P_{\nu_C}(t) &= P_{\nu_C}^S(t) + \sum_{a \in S_2} t^a = P_{\nu_C}^S(t) + \sum_{v \in S} t^{m_\rho + v} = P_{\nu_C}^S(t) + t^{m_\rho} P_{\nu_C}^S(t); \\ P_{\nu_C}^{\mathbb{R}}(t) &= P_{\nu_C}^S(t) - \sum_{a \in S_2} t^a = P_{\nu_C}^S(t) - \sum_{v \in S} t^{m_\rho + v} = P_{\nu_C}^S(t) - t^{m_\rho} P_{\nu_C}^S(t). \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

**Remark 2.** Assume that the group  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  of order 2 acts on  $(\mathbb{C}^2, 0)$  and  $(C', 0) \subset (\mathbb{C}^2, 0)$  is a complex curve such that the dual graph of its equivariant resolution (together with the ages of the vertices) coincides with the resolution graph  $\Gamma$ . In [5], there was defined the equivariant Poincaré series  $P_{C'}^{\mathbb{Z}_2}(t)$  as an element of  $R_1(\mathbb{Z}_2)[[t]]$  where  $R_1(\mathbb{Z}_2) = \mathbb{Z}[\sigma]/(\sigma^2 - 1)$  is the ring of representations of  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ . One has its reduction under the dimensional homomorphism  $red : R_1(\mathbb{Z}_2) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  as an element  $red P_{C'}^{\mathbb{Z}_2}(t) \in \mathbb{Z}[[t]]$ . Equation 8 and the equation of [5, Theorem 2] imply that  $P_{\nu_C}(t) = red P_{C'}^{\mathbb{Z}_2}(t)$ . We have no independent explanation of this coincidence.

**Remark 3.** As it was indicated in Remark 1, the semigroup  $S_C^{\mathbb{R}}$  is the semigroup of values of a complex plane curve  $C'$ . Therefore its elements are symmetric with respect to the conductor  $c$  of the semigroup in the sense that  $a \in S \iff c - 1 - a \notin S$ . One has one more symmetry concerning the coefficients of the series  $P_{\nu_C}(t)$ . This symmetry is with respect to  $c + m_\rho$ :

$$\dim(J(a) / J(a + 1)) + \dim(J(c + m_\rho - 1 - a) / J(c + m_\rho - a)) = 2$$

(cf. [1]). (Thus if one of these dimensions is equal to zero, the other one is equal to 2, and if one them is equal to 1, then the other one is equal to 1 as well).

**Remark 4.** From Remark 1, one can easily see that the semigroup Poincaré series  $P_{\nu_C}^S(t)$  does not determine the real topology of the curve  $C$ , i. e., the topology of the curve  $C \cup \overline{C}$ . On the other hand each of the series  $P_{\nu_C}(t)$  and  $P_{\nu_C}^{\mathbb{R}}(t)$  permit to determine not only the semigroup Poincaré series  $P_{\nu_C}^S(t)$ , but also the value  $m_\rho$  and thus the splitting point  $\rho$ . Therefore each of these series determines the real topology of the curve  $C$ .

## References

- [1] Campillo A., Delgado F., Kiyek, K. Gorenstein property and symmetry for one-dimensional local Cohen-Macaulay rings. *Manuscripta Math.*, v.83 (1994), no.3-4, 405–423.
- [2] Guseĭn-Zade S. M.; Delgado F.; Campillo A. On the monodromy of a plane curve singularity and the Poincaré series of its ring of functions. *Funktional. Anal. i Prilozhen.* v.33, no.1, 66–68 (1999; in Russian); translation in *Funct. Anal. Appl.* v.33, no.1, 56–57 (1999).
- [3] Guseĭn-Zade S. M.; Delgado F.; Campillo A. Integration with respect to the Euler characteristic over a function space, and the Alexander polynomial of a plane curve singularity. *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk* v.55, no.6 (336),

127–128 (2000, in Russian); translation in Russian Math. Surveys v.55, no.6, 1148–1149 (2000).

- [4] Campillo A., Delgado F., Gusein-Zade S.M. The Alexander polynomial of a plane curve singularity via the ring of functions on it. *Duke Math. J.* v.117, no.1, 125–156 (2003).
- [5] Campillo A., Delgado F., Gusein-Zade S.M. On Poincaré series of filtrations on equivariant functions of two variables. *Mosc. Math. J.* v.7, no.2, 243–255 (2007).
- [6] Campillo A., Delgado F., Gusein-Zade S.M. Multi-index filtrations and generalized Poincaré series. *Monatsh. Math.* v.150, no.3, 193–209 (2007).
- [7] Campillo A., Delgado F., Gusein-Zade S.M. On the topological type of a set of plane valuations with symmetries. *Math. Nachr.*, v.290, no.13, 1925–1938 (2017).
- [8] Casas-Alvero E. Singularities of plane curves. London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, 276. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2000.
- [9] Delgado F. An arithmetical factorization for the critical point set of some map germs from  $C^2$  to  $C^2$ . *Singularities (Lille, 1991)*, 61 – 100, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., 201, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1994.
- [10] Ribenboim P. The theory of classical valuations. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer – Verlag, NewYork, 1999.
- [11] Wall C. T. C. Singular points of plane curves. London Mathematical Society Student Texts, 63. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2004.

Addresses:

A. Campillo and F. Delgado: IMUVA (Instituto de Investigación en Matemáticas), Universidad de Valladolid, Paseo de Belén, 7, 47011 Valladolid, Spain.

E-mail: campillo@agt.uva.es, fdelgado@uva.es

S.M. Gusein-Zade: Moscow State University, Faculty of Mathematics and Mechanics, Moscow Center for Fundamental and Applied Mathematics, Moscow, Leninskie Gory 1, GSP-1, 119991, Russia.

& National Research University “Higher School of Economics”, Usacheva street 6, Moscow, 119048, Russia.

E-mail: sabir@mccme.ru