

# Politeness Stereotypes and Attack Vectors: Gender Stereotypes in Japanese and Korean Language Models

Victor Steinborn and Antonis Maronikolakis and Hinrich Schütze

Center for Information and Language Processing (CIS), LMU Munich, Germany

Munich Center for Machine Learning (MCML), Germany

{vsteinborn, antmarakis}@cis.lmu.de

## Abstract

In efforts to keep up with the rapid progress and use of large language models, gender bias research is becoming more prevalent in NLP. Non-English bias research, however, is still in its infancy with most work focusing on English. In our work, we study how grammatical gender bias relating to politeness levels manifests in Japanese and Korean language models. Linguistic studies in these languages have identified a connection between gender bias and politeness levels, however it is not yet known if language models reproduce these biases. We analyze relative prediction probabilities of the male and female grammatical genders using templates and find that informal polite speech is most indicative of the female grammatical gender, while rude and formal speech is most indicative of the male grammatical gender. Further, we find politeness levels to be an attack vector for allocational gender bias in cyberbullying detection models. Cyberbullies can evade detection through simple techniques abusing politeness levels. We introduce an attack dataset to (i) identify representational gender bias across politeness levels, (ii) demonstrate how gender biases can be abused to bypass cyberbullying detection models and (iii) show that allocational biases can be mitigated via training on our proposed dataset. Through our findings we highlight the importance of bias research moving beyond its current English-centrism.

## 1 Introduction

Gender Bias research in NLP overwhelmingly focuses on the English language (Kaneko et al., 2022; Bartl et al., 2020; Liang et al., 2020; Steinborn et al., 2022), despite only 5% of the world’s population being native speakers (Central Intelligence Agency, 2022). With the continued development and adoption of NLP technologies, we expect fair NLP systems in non-English languages to have greater precedence in the future. In order to ensure

that language models courteously portray individuals and fairly allocate resources and opportunities (Blodgett et al., 2020; Blasi et al., 2022), greater effort should be spent studying the rich assortment of linguistic features present in the world’s languages.

In this paper, we will study one of these culturally-specific linguistic features: politeness levels in Korean and Japanese. Linguistic studies on politeness note the presence of gender stereotypes in these languages, where women, compared to men, are generally expected to speak in more polite speech levels and use more honorifics (all collectively referred to as **politeness levels** in this study) (Okamoto, 2013; Ide and Yoshida, 2017; Sung-Yun, 1983). However, research in this area has been restricted to linguistics, while the consequences for NLP remain unexplored.

Using these linguistics studies as a springboard, we can avoid pitfalls arising from English-centric approaches to bias analysis. While important and pioneering work, recent research on gender bias in non-English languages limits its reach by only translating from English to a target language, resulting in much nuance concerning gender bias lost in translation (Steinborn et al., 2022; Kaneko et al., 2022; Câmara et al., 2022; Bartl et al., 2020). For example, consider the following pair of Japanese sentences:

- a. 勉強する。
- b. 勉強します。

Both of these sentences can be translated to “(I) will study.” (Japanese is a null-subject language, here the subject “I” is assumed), however *sentence a* is more informal and tends to be used predominantly among family and friends, whereas *sentence b* is more polite, and is more appropriate to use among acquaintances and in polite company (Eri et al., 2011). This subtlety would be missed without any linguistically-motivated analysis.

In our work, we examine if these politeness-

level biases are in fact learned by language models and the consequences this phenomenon might entail. Specifically, using the terminology introduced in [Blodgett et al. \(2020\)](#), we examine popular transformers trained with the Masked Language Modeling task ([Devlin et al., 2019](#)) for representational gender biases relating to politeness levels, and consequently, how allocational biases manifest by studying cyberbullying detection models. Namely, we study the prediction probabilities of the Japanese and Korean equivalents of the third person pronouns “he”, “she”, as well as several gender-neutral alternatives using large language models.

To investigate gender bias, we propose a novel template structure to evaluate which of the politeness levels the grammatical male and female gender *use* (via a *speaker*), as well as the politeness levels that are used to *speak of* them (via a *narrator*). We do this by using sentences of the following form in Korean and Japanese:

(1) {mask} said “(I) will {verb}.”

where the utterance of the speaker ({mask}) is directly quoted by a narrator, and politeness levels are encapsulated in the verbs (i.e., in the verbs “to say” and {verb}).

For our representational bias study, we find statistically significant differences between politeness levels for both speakers and narrators, namely that the politeness level most associated with the female grammatical gender is the polite informal level, for both speakers and narrators. On the other hand, the male grammatical gender is comparatively most associated with formal and rude speech for the speaker, while for the narrator the male grammatical gender is most associated with rude speech in Japanese and the presence of honorifics (i.e., when the male grammatical gender is elevated) in Korean, propagating gender stereotypes.

Considering these biases, we investigate a popular Japanese cyberbullying detection model for allocational biases. Namely, we prepare an attack dataset, where we append information concerning the grammatical gender of the target of the tweet to each example of a Japanese toxic tweet dataset,<sup>1</sup> utilizing different politeness levels. We find a large gender discrepancy when honorific language is used: the calculated F1 scores for the grammatical female gender are substantially lower than the

grammatical male and gender-neutral forms.

Finally, we demonstrate how we can mitigate gender bias, achieve state-of-the-art performance and protect against politeness-based attacks through training a multilingual SentenceBERT ([Reimers and Gurevych, 2019](#)) transformer via few-shot learning on our proposed dataset.

We publish our code and dataset.<sup>2</sup> **In summary**, our findings are: (i) We observe a prevalent male bias across all politeness levels in language models. (ii) We determine polite informal politeness levels are most associated with the female gender, while formal, rude and honorific politeness levels are most associated with the male gender. (iii) We identify stereotypical associations between gender and male/female-dominated spaces. (iv) We observe substantial gender differences in Japanese cyberbullying detection efficiency when models are attacked with honorific language. (v) We mitigate these biases by few-shot training SentenceBERT on a modified version of our attack dataset.

## 2 Related Work

**Gender bias** in NLP is most commonly studied within the context of English ([Steinborn et al., 2022](#); [Kaneko et al., 2022](#); [Câmara et al., 2022](#); [Bartl et al., 2020](#)), with other languages less commonly studied. Research in non-English settings is predominantly done in multilingual contexts, where non-English texts are treated as translations of English source text. This approach ignores language-specific features or conventions. For example, [Bartl et al. \(2020\)](#) directly translated templates from English to German, which do not perform as well, due to the fact that the templates were not designed to respect German gender agreement rules, a fact [Steinborn et al. \(2022\)](#) attempted to address by using the pair-like structure of CrowS-Pairs ([Nangia et al., 2020](#)). In another study, [Kaneko et al. \(2022\)](#) translated the CrowS-Pairs dataset ([Nangia et al., 2020](#)) to Japanese, however, gender information was lost about 40% of the time.

Common topics on gender bias are occupational stereotypes ([Caliskan et al., 2017](#); [De-Arteaga et al., 2019](#); [Bartl et al., 2020](#)) and general representational stereotypes ([Nadeem et al., 2021](#); [Nangia et al., 2020](#); [Nissim et al., 2020](#)).

Several studies consider Korean and Japanese. [Kaneko et al. \(2022\)](#) proposed a multilingual technique, which uses parallel texts to probe pretrained

<sup>1</sup><https://www.surgehq.ai>

<sup>2</sup><https://github.com/VSteinborn/politeness-attacks>

models for gender bias. However Japanese-specific features of the language were not exploited in their proposed method. [Cho et al. \(2019\)](#); [Prates et al. \(2018\)](#) test commercial machine translation systems. In a supplementary experiment, [Cho et al. \(2019\)](#) attempted to examine if politeness affects gender bias, however only the informal -해 and polite -해요 forms were considered and no significant change in gender bias signals are detected.

Our study examines if there is in fact a correlation between gender bias and politeness levels and, to the best of our knowledge, is the first in-depth study on modern NLP models in this domain.

**Politeness** research is primarily focuses, almost exclusively, on English. A popular topic in politeness research are direct requests in Wikipedia edit requests, inspired by the seminal work of [Danescu-Niculescu-Mizil et al. \(2013\)](#). Politeness in direct requests have since been studied in predicting politeness using neural networks ([Aubakirova and Bansal, 2016](#)), and other languages, including Korean ([Srinivasan and Choi, 2022](#)).

With regards to politeness, gender bias is not usually the focus, however [Danescu-Niculescu-Mizil et al. \(2013\)](#) does mention female Wikipedians were found to be generally more polite, in agreement with prior linguistic studies ([Herring, 1994](#)).

Automated detection of **hate speech and cyberbullying** has become more prevalent with the increased use of social media and online platforms ([Vidgen et al., 2019](#)). While early work focused predominantly on English ([Waseem and Hovy, 2016](#); [Davidson et al., 2017](#); [Founta et al., 2018](#)), work to develop benchmarks, datasets and models for other languages is rising ([Mishra et al., 2019](#); [Röttger et al., 2022](#); [Ousidhoum et al., 2019](#); [Ranasinghe and Zampieri, 2020](#); [Maronikolakis et al., 2022](#); [Yuan et al., 2022](#); [Ross et al., 2017](#); [Nozza, 2021](#)).

Despite progress, hate speech models and datasets are prone to certain pitfalls, such as low generalization abilities, biased data and inconsistent definitions ([Röttger et al., 2021](#); [Madukwe et al., 2020](#); [Swamy et al., 2019](#); [Wiegand et al., 2019](#)). Further, vulnerabilities of hate speech models against adversarial attacks have been uncovered. [Gröndahl et al. \(2018\)](#) demonstrated how appending the word “love” rendered tested models ineffective. In our work, we investigate vulnerabilities against politeness-level attacks.

This raises the question whether a user peddling hate speech online could use language-specific bi-

ases to evade detection, or conversely, whether a designer of detection systems could leverage this knowledge to enhance the model’s performance. In our work, we attempt to answer these questions for cyberbullying identification in Japanese. Namely, we analyze models for biases relating to politeness levels and propose a linguistics-oriented solution to better prepare models against adversarial attacks.

**Few-shot learning** is emerging as a popular trend in the NLP community, built on the emergent abilities of large pretrained language models ([Wei et al., 2022](#)), which have been shown to work well in few- and zero-shot settings ([Brown et al., 2020](#); [Gao et al., 2021](#); [Sanh et al., 2022](#); [Le Scao and Rush, 2021](#); [Fu et al., 2022](#)). Few-shot learning has benefited from the use of prompting ([Schick and Schütze, 2021a](#)), which has been shown to be competitive with models orders of magnitude larger ([Schick and Schütze, 2021b](#)). While prompting is a useful technique to aid in model learning, it requires manual crafting of prompts and labels. While there has been work to improve prompting, it remains a noisy process ([Schick et al., 2020](#); [Logan IV et al., 2022](#); [Lu et al., 2022](#); [Shin et al., 2020](#); [Zhao and Schütze, 2021](#); [Jung et al., 2022](#); [Wu et al., 2022](#); [Mishra et al., 2022](#)).

Recently, SetFit ([Tunstall et al., 2022](#)) introduced a prompt-free approach to few-shot learning. Through the use of SentenceBERT and its Siamese-network training paradigm ([Reimers and Gurevych, 2019](#)), SetFit generates pairs of training examples and learns to minimize the distance of representations of training examples of the same class and, conversely, to maximize the distance for examples from different classes. This process results in a model that can generate strong sentence embeddings, which can be then used to train a classification head on a task.

## 3 Methodology

### 3.1 Representational Biases

We probe Masked Language Models (MLMs) for representational biases (i.e., biases relating to how different persons are portrayed by NLP models ([Blodgett et al., 2020](#))), using a novel template approach. The templates, shown in Table 1, are designed such that we can probe both the type of language (rude, polite, formal and honorific) the models associate with different individuals (via a speaker) and the language that is used to speak of these individuals (via a narrator).

Lang.	Template	Application
Ja	{mask}は「{speakerNoun}{speakerVerbEnding}」と{narratorVerb}。	Rep.
Ko	{mask}은/는 “{speakerNoun}{speakerVerbEnding}”(이)라고 {narratorVerb}.	Rep.
Ja	{tweet}({genderTerm}はこう{narratorVerb})	Allo. (train)
Ja	{tweet}({genderTerm}にこう{narratorVerb})	Allo. (test)

Table 1: Templates used to probe representational (Rep.) and allocational (Allo.) biases.

**Templates.** To simplify presentation of experiments, we differentiate between a so-called *speaker* and a so-called *narrator*. The **speaker** will speak of an action using a *する* (Japanese) or a *하다* verb (Korean). *する* and *하다* verbs consist of a noun ({speakerNoun}) and the verb “to do” ({speakerVerbEnding}) where the verb can change with the politeness level (Roh, 2013; Eri et al., 2011).

These pieces can simply be prepended with the noun ({speakerNoun}{speakerVerbEnding}). For example, the verb for “to study”, may be formed via the noun *勉強* in Japanese and *공부* in Korean ({speakerNoun}), and combined with the informal form of the verb “to do”, namely *する* in Japanese and *해* in Korean ({speakerVerbEnding}), to form *勉強する* and *공부해* respectively (Roh, 2013; Eri et al., 2011).

What makes *する* and *하다* verbs particularly appealing for templates is that politeness is encapsulated in the verb ({speakerVerbEnding}) and that the noun ({speakerNoun}) can be freely exchanged between politeness levels, a fact also exploited by Cho et al. (2019) when working with Korean.

To complete the template, the **narrator** directly quotes the utterance of the speaker,  $X$ , via the Japanese and Korean equivalent of “{mask} said ‘ $X$ ’.”. We then let the model predict the gender identity of the speaker via a mask token ({mask}). The templates are shown in Table 1, where {narratorVerb} is the verb “to say” in the past tense form at various politeness levels.

**Data.** *する* and *하다* verbs are taken from standardized language proficiency tests. We used 142 *する* verbs from the JLPT<sup>3</sup> and 107 *하다* verbs from the TOPIK<sup>4</sup>. The reasoning behind using verbs from language proficiency tests is that they are common and standardized.

We convert the verbs into common politeness levels used in each language, as outlined in Table 2. Politeness levels are used to indicate differing levels of politeness, formality or respect towards a

Politeness Level	Ex.	P	F	H
rude_zo	するぞ			
rude_ze	するぜ			
plain	する			
teineigo	します	*		
kenjōgo	いたす	*	*	
sonkeigo	なさる	*	*	*
heche	해			
heyoche	해요	*		
hapsyoche	합니다	*	*	
heche+hon.	하셔			*
heyoche+hon.	하셔요	*		*
hapsyoche+hon.	하십니다	*	*	*

Table 2: Overview of Japanese and Korean politeness levels. The verb “to do” (*する* and *하다*) is used to illustrate (Ex.) how verbs change. The general politeness (P), the general formality (F) and the elevation of the subject performing the action via honorific language (H) is indicated across levels. Note: the informal *rude\_ze* and *rude\_zo* forms indicate rough speech.

subject (via honorifics) or listener (Roh, 2013; Eri et al., 2011; Hiroko Yamagishi, 2014).

By taking all combinations of speaker nouns, speaker verb endings and narrator verb endings, we have  $3852 = 107 \times 6 \times 6$  sentences for Korean and  $4260 = 142 \times 6 \times (6 - 1)$  sentences for Japanese for the representational bias study. Note, the minus one in the calculation for Japanese is because *kenjōgo* can only be used to speak humbly of one’s own actions, and thus cannot be used by the narrator (Hiroko Yamagishi, 2014).

**Models.** All models are listed in table 4 in the appendix. We selected the ten most downloaded MLMs on Huggingface (Wolf et al., 2020) for each language that have a single token for “he” and “she” each.

**Search tokens.** We search for the following tokens that could appear under the {mask} token, namely the terms “he” (ja: 彼, ko: 그), “she” (ja: 彼女, ko: 그녀) and several demonstrative gender-neutral third-person pronouns.

For Japanese, we search for the gender-neutral

<sup>3</sup><https://www.jlpt.jp/e/>

<sup>4</sup><https://www.topik.go.kr>

formal proximal, medial and distal pronouns “こちら”, “そちら” and “あちら” respectively, as well as their informal versions “こいつ”, “そいつ” and “あいつ”. For Korean, we follow [Cho et al. \(2019\)](#) and search for “개” and “그 사람”.

**Correlations of stereotypically mono-gender dominated locations** were also investigated. To our understanding, this is the first study that investigates location biases in large language models.

To investigate correlations between gender and locations, we prepend our representational bias templates with (`{location}`で) in Japanese and (`{location}`에서) in Korean, which translates to “(at `{location}`)”, which we use to give context on the location of the scene ([Roh, 2013](#); [Eri et al., 2011](#)).

We chose ten locations for the male and female grammatical gender based on surveys about gender inequality in Japan and South Korea ([World Economic Forum, 2021](#); [Gender Equality Bureau, Cabinet Office, 2022](#); [Korean Women’s Development Institute, 2022](#); [Korean Women’s Development Institute IS, 2022](#)) and discussions with native speakers, who corroborate our choices with their lived experiences. The full list of locations can be found in table 4 in the appendix. Male locations are generally associated with positions of authority and manual labour, whereas female locations are associated with health and childcare.

### 3.2 Allocational Biases

We test for gender differences in allocational biases (i.e., biases relating to how resources are allocated ([Blodgett et al., 2020](#))), by investigating toxic content detection differences when models are attacked via politeness-level manipulations.

Namely, we compare performance of the most downloaded<sup>5</sup> Japanese cyberbullying detection model ([Shibata et al., 2022](#)) against our proposed model, which is designed to jointly detect cyberbullying and protect against politeness-level attacks.

The baseline model was pretrained on the YACIS corpus ([Ptaszynski et al., 2012](#)) and fine-tuned on the Harmful BBS Japanese Comments Dataset ([Ptaszynski and Masui, 2018](#); [松葉達明 et al., 2009](#)) and the Twitter Japanese Cyberbullying Dataset ([Ptaszynski et al., 2012](#)).

We use a different, recently released, balanced (50/50 split) toxic tweet dataset from Surge AI,<sup>6</sup> a

professional data labeling platform, to test models for allocational biases.

We fed the tweets into the `{tweet}` slot in the templates under the “Allo.” application column in Table 1 (where the search terms from earlier are substituted in the `{genderTerm}` slot). We test both models on the test template in Table 1 (translation: “`{tweet}` (it was told so to `{genderTerm}`)”), which serves to give information of the victim of the potentially toxic tweet. All possible combinations of tweets, gender terms and politeness levels constitute our attack dataset, which consists of 39,160 sentences (= (987 – 8) unique tweets × 8 gender terms × (6 – 1) politeness levels (via `{narratorVerb}`)) in total. Note, eight tweets are used for our few-shot learning setup and *kenjōgo* was removed since it cannot be used by the narrator (similarly to our representational bias experiments).

Finally, we use the training template in Table 1 (translation: “`{tweet}` (`{genderTerm}` said it so)”) to train our model, using few-shot learning. For further experimental details, refer to appendix A.

### 3.3 Few-Shot Learning

For our proposed method, we are introducing a modified dataset that aids in training the model against politeness-level attacks to evade cyberbullying detection in Japanese. We use the SetFit ([Tunstall et al., 2022](#)) framework to train a multilingual SentenceBERT model<sup>7</sup> that was pretrained on (among other languages) Japanese data.

Out of the total 987 original tweets, we used 8 tweets plus 384 template-modified examples of these tweets for a total of 392 training examples. The 8 tweets selected for training were removed from the original dataset and the template used for training was not used for the generation of politeness-attack tweets. Thus, we ensure no overlap between training and evaluation data.

With SetFit, the model is trained in a contrastive learning manner: given two training examples, the model learns to decrease representation distance (e.g., cosine similarity) between them if they belong to the same class and increase distance between them if they belong to different classes.

<sup>5</sup>With over 500 downloads per month on Huggingface ([Wolf et al., 2020](#)) at the time of writing.

<sup>6</sup><https://www.surgehq.ai> (Dataset created: 2022.07.02)

<sup>7</sup><https://huggingface.co/sentence-transformers/paraphrase-multilingual-mpnet-base-v2>




Figure 1: Japanese (left) and Korean (right) log probabilities of the search tokens for each model. More negative log probabilities correspond to lower prediction probabilities. “he” is more likely than “she” in all Korean models and seven out of ten Japanese models. The gender-neutral tokens are the least likely in all Korean models and in eight out of ten Japanese models. Standard errors are shown (their magnitudes are at most 1% of the mean).




Figure 2: Japanese (left) and Korean (right) mean log probability differences between “she” and “he” across speaker levels. Negative scores indicate more male-biased predictions. Standard errors are shown.

## 4 Results and Analysis

### 4.1 Representational Bias

In our representational bias experiments, we find that **“he” is the most likely form of address, while gender-neutral pronouns are the least likely**. We observe this effect by comparing the distribution of log probabilities of the tokens “he”, “she” and the gender-neutral search tokens under the mask (distributions of gender tokens shown in Figure 1). Apart from the male and female pronouns, the only gender-neutral search tokens with high probability are the polite proximal and polite medial demonstrative pronouns (こちら and そちら, respectively) for Japanese, and the casual demonstrative pronoun 개 for Korean. Generally, we observe the “he” token has a higher probability than the “she” token, with gender-neutral tokens being even less likely.

Further, we identify that **female speakers most likely speak in an informal polite level, while male speakers are more rude or for-**

**mal**. We observe representational biases within the model by taking the average of the difference between the logs of the prediction probabilities of “she” and “he” under the mask (mathematically,  $\log p(\text{mask}=\text{she}) - \log p(\text{mask}=\text{he})$ ), across all sentences. Figure 2 presents the results.

We first verify that the differences of log probabilities across speaker levels are (roughly) normally distributed and the variances of log probabilities across speaker levels are of similar sizes. Then, we perform ANOVA (Analysis of Variation, [Snedecor et al. \(1996\)](#)) and reject (via a statistical F- and p-test) the null hypothesis of all averages between politeness levels being equal with  $p = 2 \times 10^{-8}$  and  $F_{crit.} = 2.6 < F = 8.9$  for Japanese and  $p = 3 \times 10^{-12}$  and  $F_{crit.} = 2.6 < F = 13$  for Korean, assuming a significance level  $\alpha = 0.05$ .

We observe the largest differences between sonkeigo (honorific speech; most male-biased) and teineigo (informal polite speech; most female-biased) in Japanese and hapsyoche (formal language; most male-biased) with an honorific marker and heyoche (informal polite speech; most female-biased) in Korean. Additionally, we observe negative averages across all politeness levels, indicative of a general male bias within the models. Thus, we conclude that biases associating female speech with informal polite speech, and male speech with both formal and rude speech do exist within the studied language models.

We also demonstrate that **narrators speaking of the female gender tend to use informal polite levels, while honorific and rude language is used for the male gender**. Similarly to analyz-




Figure 3: Japanese (left) and Korean (right) gender associations with locations. The vertical axis shows the mean difference between female and male token prediction probabilities for each of the locations. Models assign more negative score (i.e., a higher probability of predicting the “he” token over the “she” token) for stereotypically male-dominated spaces (in blue), while assigning more positive scores to female dominated spaces (in red). Standard errors are shown (their magnitudes are roughly 1% of the mean).

ing speaker levels, we examine variations between *narrator levels* via differences in the logs of the prediction probabilities of the tokens for “she” and “he”. Results are shown in Figure 4. The *kenjōgo* politeness level in Japanese can only be used to speak humbly of one’s own actions, and is thus omitted in this analysis.

After verifying we have normal distributions for each speaker level with variances of similar magnitudes, we perform ANOVA and reject the null hypothesis that all averages between politeness levels are equal with  $p = 6 \times 10^{-16}$  and  $F_{crit} = 2.8 < F = 20$  for Japanese, and  $p = 1 \times 10^{-16}$   $F_{crit} = 2.6 < F = 17$  for Korean, at a significance level  $\alpha = 0.05$ .

We observe the largest distance between the *rude\_ze* and *rude\_zo* forms (rough speech; most male-biased speech) and *teineigo* (informal polite speech; most female-biased) for Japanese, and for Korean we see the largest difference between *heyoche* (informal polite speech; most female-biased) and *hapsyoche* (formal language; most male-biased) with an honorific marker. We note that for Korean, the largest predictor of pro-male bias is the use of honorifics. In other words when a person is the subject of respect and social distinction, the model is most likely to predict the male grammatical gender. We do not see this effect in the Japanese results of this experiment.

We conclude that the female grammatical gender is more likely to be spoken of in a polite and informal tone, whereas the male gender is spoken of in tones either rude or formal.

Using the modified location templates, we observe stereotypical associations between gender




Figure 4: Japanese (left) and Korean (right) mean log probability differences between “she” and “he” across narrator levels. Negative scores indicate more male-biased predictions. Standard errors are shown.

**and locations.** We take the mean difference between the log prediction probabilities between the tokens for “she” and “he”, similarly to our previous studies, and plot the differences across locations in Figure 3.

We note that the male-dominated spaces are male-biased (heavily negative scores). The female dominated spaces, while they are less male-biased than male locations, still exhibit predominantly negative scores. In Korean especially, all female locations have negative scores. This effect is less pronounced in Japanese with half of female locations exhibiting female-bias.

Thus, we conclude that gender bias associated with stereotypically mono-gender dominated spaces is present in language models, however, we note that their effect may be dwarfed by the general leverage of male bias.

## 4.2 Allocational Bias

For our allocational bias experiments, we show that gender biases relating to honorifics may be used

	rude_zo	rude_ze	plain	teineigo	kenjōgo	sonkeigo	gender_only
he	.20 → .87	.20 → .86	.20 → .83	.20 → .82	.20 → .83	.99 → .83	.69 → .82
she	.20 → .87	.20 → .86	.20 → .83	.20 → .82	.20 → .83	.20 → .83	.34 → .83
proximal_p	.20 → .84	.20 → .83	.20 → .81	.20 → .80	.20 → .81	.95 → .81	.32 → .81
medial_p	.20 → .85	.20 → .84	.20 → .82	.20 → .81	.20 → .82	.81 → .82	.29 → .81
distal_p	.20 → .87	.20 → .87	.20 → .83	.20 → .82	.20 → .82	.93 → .83	.54 → .81
proximal_r	.20 → .88	.20 → .87	.20 → .84	.20 → .82	.20 → .84	1.00 → .84	.69 → .82
medial_r	.20 → .87	.20 → .86	.20 → .83	.20 → .82	.20 → .82	.99 → .83	.69 → .82
distal_r	.20 → .88	.20 → .87	.20 → .84	.20 → .82	.20 → .83	1.00 → .84	.67 → .82

Table 3: F1 scores for the baseline model (left of the arrows), and our SentenceBERT model (right of the arrows) when evaluated on our attack dataset (left of the vertical line) and on the gender\_only dataset (right of the vertical line). For the gender\_only test, the gender-neutral polite terms (labeled with \_p) and rude terms (labeled with \_r) are also included. Our proposed model retains comparatively high, gender-equal performance.

**as an attack vector against cyberbullying models**, thus demonstrating downstream allocational biases can lead to gender biases relating to politeness levels.

As a base test (*tweet\_only*), we evaluate only on the original tweets in the test set (i.e., without modifying the tweets as per our attack approach). The baseline model (Shibata et al., 2022) has an F1 score of 0.40 while our proposed SentenceBERT model has an F1 score of 0.82. This serves as an initial gauge of how our examined models fare on normal cyberbullying tweets found online. After our attack, we are expecting to see a drop of performance for the baseline model, while we are aiming for a minimal drop (or, ideally, no drop at all) for our proposed model.

Table 3 shows the F1 scores when testing on our attack dataset across the different gender terms and politeness levels for the baseline and our proposed model.<sup>8</sup> As hypothesised, the baseline model performs worse under our attack, across most politeness levels. The sole exception is sonkeigo, where there is a large gap between “he” and “she” attacks, indicating a strong gender bias. On the other hand, our proposed SentenceBERT model is robust against politeness attacks, scoring equivalently to the tweets\_only test (i.e., there is little difference before and after the attack).

As another base test (*gender\_only*) we evaluate on the original tweets with only “({genderTerm})” appended at the end (no politeness levels). We observe (on the right side of the vertical line in Table 3) that, with the baseline model, “he” scores significantly higher than “she”. Additionally, we also

<sup>8</sup>We further experimented with a simplified few-shot learning model, where we only train using the original tweets (and not the data generated through our template scheme). Model performance was low and was thus omitted for brevity. We conjecture that since we only had 8 tweets at our disposal for training, low performance was expected.

note that compared to rude pronouns, polite gender-neutral pronouns generally have lower F1 scores, presumably because rude pronouns are more common in hate speech. For SentenceBERT we note higher performance and substantially fairer results across genders and politeness levels.

We interpret these results as a clear case where gender bias and biases relating to women generally not being the subject of honorific language (compared to men), manifest themselves as an allocational bias. An attacker, as we show, can abuse this deficiency in models to evade detection and push hate speech onto an online platform. Additionally, we argue the online presence of the attack vectors themselves further serve to propagate the harmful stereotype that women are not associated with honorific language.

## 5 Conclusion

In our work we investigate the manifestation of gender bias relating to politeness levels in language models, using a template-based setup to probe large pretrained language models.

We demonstrate (via the *speaker*) that polite speech is most associated with the female grammatical gender, while formal and rude speech is most associated with the male gender. Additionally, we observe (via the *narrator*) that the female gender was most likely to be spoken of using a polite informal tone, while the male gender was most likely to be spoken of using formal and honorific language (for Korean) or rude language (for Japanese).

Further, we observe that gender biases relating to politeness levels can also manifest in popular cyberbullying detection models, leading to allocational biases. We propose a method to mitigate these biases through few-shot learning on a linguistically-informed dataset, increasing performance and providing robustness against politeness-

level and gender-based attacks.

We hope our study inspires further investigation of gender bias manifestation through linguistic features across more under-explored languages.

## 6 Limitations and Ethical Considerations

### 6.1 Limitations

In this preliminary study on the influence of politeness levels on gender bias in language models, we limited ourselves to a select set of verbs and basic politeness levels in Korean and Japanese. There are, however, other classes of verbs we did not consider and there are more complex and nuanced ways of expressing politeness, respect and humility than the politeness levels we presented here (Hiroko Yamagishi, 2014).

Additionally, there are other methods of demonstrating respect within these languages that does not involve a straightforward modification of a verb. Politeness may also be demonstrated through the choice of pronouns, as we have seen, but also through the use of titles and the choice of nouns (for example, the word for “home” could be “家” or “お宅” in Japanese and “집” or “댁” in Korean, in casual and polite contexts respectively). Thus, the topic of politeness levels and its connection to gender bias is far more vast and complex than what is presented in this study.

### 6.2 Ethical Considerations

In this work we demonstrated representational and allocational gender biases with respect to politeness levels in NLP models. The release of this knowledge could potentially be exploited in practice to bypass cyberbullying detection systems, however, we see the release of this knowledge to be an important first step to making other NLP practitioners aware of this problem and how this could potentially affect their NLP systems.

Additionally, in this study we did not simply point out issues with the learned biases of modern NLP systems, but also attempted to mitigate them via our proposed linguistically-informed method. With the release of our dataset and code, we hope to assist NLP practitioners making their systems safer and more robust against attacks abusing politeness levels and gender biases, as well as to inspire future work in this area.

## 7 Acknowledgements

This work was funded by the European Research Council (grant #740516).

## References

- Malika Aubakirova and Mohit Bansal. 2016. [Interpreting neural networks to improve politeness comprehension](#). In *Proceedings of the 2016 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*, pages 2035–2041, Austin, Texas. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Marion Bartl, Malvina Nissim, and Albert Gatt. 2020. [Unmasking contextual stereotypes: Measuring and mitigating BERT’s gender bias](#). In *Proceedings of the Second Workshop on Gender Bias in Natural Language Processing*, pages 1–16, Barcelona, Spain (Online). Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Damian Blasi, Antonios Anastasopoulos, and Graham Neubig. 2022. [Systematic inequalities in language technology performance across the world’s languages](#). In *Proceedings of the 60th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers)*, pages 5486–5505, Dublin, Ireland. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Su Lin Blodgett, Solon Barocas, Hal Daumé III, and Hanna Wallach. 2020. [Language \(technology\) is power: A critical survey of “bias” in NLP](#). In *Proceedings of the 58th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, pages 5454–5476, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Tom B. Brown, Benjamin Mann, Nick Ryder, Melanie Subbiah, Jared Kaplan, Prafulla Dhariwal, Arvind Neelakantan, Pranav Shyam, Girish Sastry, Amanda Askell, Sandhini Agarwal, Ariel Herbert-Voss, Gretchen Krueger, Tom Henighan, Rewon Child, Aditya Ramesh, Daniel M. Ziegler, Jeffrey Wu, Clemens Winter, Christopher Hesse, Mark Chen, Eric Sigler, Mateusz Litwin, Scott Gray, Benjamin Chess, Jack Clark, Christopher Berner, Sam McCandlish, Alec Radford, Ilya Sutskever, and Dario Amodei. 2020. [Language models are few-shot learners](#).
- Aylin Caliskan, Joanna J. Bryson, and Arvind Narayanan. 2017. [Semantics derived automatically from language corpora contain human-like biases](#). *Science*, 356(6334):183–186.
- António Câmara, Nina Taneja, Tamjeed Azad, Emily Allaway, and Richard Zemel. 2022. [Mapping the multilingual margins: Intersectional biases of sentiment analysis systems in English, Spanish, and Arabic](#). In *Proceedings of the Second Workshop on Language Technology for Equality, Diversity and Inclusion*, pages 90–106, Dublin, Ireland. Association for Computational Linguistics.

- Adrian Colin Cameron and P. K. Trivedi. 2005. *Microeconometrics: methods and applications*. Cambridge University Press.
- Central Intelligence Agency. 2022. *The world factbook*. Central Intelligence Agency.
- Won Ik Cho, Ji Won Kim, Seok Min Kim, and Nam Soo Kim. 2019. [On measuring gender bias in translation of gender-neutral pronouns](#). In *Proceedings of the First Workshop on Gender Bias in Natural Language Processing*, pages 173–181, Florence, Italy. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Alexis Conneau, Kartikay Khandelwal, Naman Goyal, Vishrav Chaudhary, Guillaume Wenzek, Francisco Guzmán, Edouard Grave, Myle Ott, Luke Zettlemoyer, and Veselin Stoyanov. 2019. [Unsupervised cross-lingual representation learning at scale](#). *CoRR*, abs/1911.02116.
- Cristian Danescu-Niculescu-Mizil, Moritz Sudhof, Dan Jurafsky, Jure Leskovec, and Christopher Potts. 2013. [A computational approach to politeness with application to social factors](#). In *Proceedings of the 51st Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers)*, pages 250–259, Sofia, Bulgaria. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Thomas Davidson, Dana Warmsley, Michael Macy, and Ingmar Weber. 2017. [Automated hate speech detection and the problem of offensive language](#). In *International AAAI Conference on Web and Social Media*.
- Maria De-Arteaga, Alexey Romanov, Hanna Wallach, Jennifer Chayes, Christian Borgs, Alexandra Chouldechova, Sahin Geyik, Krishnaram Kenthapadi, and Adam Tauman Kalai. 2019. [Bias in bios: A case study of semantic representation bias in a high-stakes setting](#). In *Proceedings of the Conference on Fairness, Accountability, and Transparency, FAT\* '19*, page 120–128, New York, NY, USA. Association for Computing Machinery.
- Jacob Devlin, Ming-Wei Chang, Kenton Lee, and Kristina Toutanova. 2019. [BERT: Pre-training of deep bidirectional transformers for language understanding](#). In *Proceedings of the 2019 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies, Volume 1 (Long and Short Papers)*, pages 4171–4186, Minneapolis, Minnesota. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Banno Eri, Ikeda Yoko, Ohno Yutaka, Shinagawa Chikako, and Tokashiki Kyoko. 2011. *Genki. 1, Textbook: Textbook 1, 2*. ed edition. The Japan Times.
- Antigoni-Maria Founta, Constantinos Djouvas, Despoina Chatzakou, Ilias Leontiadis, Jeremy Blackburn, Gianluca Stringhini, Athena Vakali, Michael Sirivianos, and Nicolas Kourtellis. 2018. Large scale crowdsourcing and characterization of twitter abusive behavior. In *11th International Conference on Web and Social Media, ICWSM 2018*. AAAI Press.
- Jinlan Fu, See-Kiong Ng, and Pengfei Liu. 2022. [Polyglot prompt: Multilingual multitask prompttraining](#).
- Tianyu Gao, Adam Fisch, and Danqi Chen. 2021. [Making pre-trained language models better few-shot learners](#). In *Proceedings of the 59th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics and the 11th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing (Volume 1: Long Papers)*, pages 3816–3830, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Gender Equality Bureau, Cabinet Office. 2022. Current status and challenges of gender equality in japan. *Gender Equality Bureau, Cabinet Office*.
- Tommi Gröndahl, Luca Pajola, Mika Juuti, Mauro Conti, and N. Asokan. 2018. [All you need is "love": Evading hate speech detection](#). In *Proceedings of the 11th ACM Workshop on Artificial Intelligence and Security*, pages 2–12. ACM.
- Susan Herring. 1994. Politeness in computer culture: Why women thank and men flame. *Cultural Performances: Proceedings of the Third Berkeley Women and Language Conference*.
- Hiroko Yamagishi. 2014. 敬語サクッとノート: すぐに使えて, きちんと伝わる = *Keigo sakutto note*. 永岡書店. OCLC: 864338157.
- Sachiko Ide and Megumi Yoshida. 2017. [Sociolinguistics: Honorifics and gender differences](#). In Natsuko Tsujimura, editor, *The Handbook of Japanese Linguistics*, pages 444–480. Blackwell Publishing Ltd.
- Jaehun Jung, Lianhui Qin, Sean Welleck, Faeze Brahman, Chandra Bhagavatula, Ronan Le Bras, and Yejin Choi. 2022. [Maieutic prompting: Logically consistent reasoning with recursive explanations](#).
- Masahiro Kaneko, Aizhan Imankulova, Danushka Bollegala, and Naoaki Okazaki. 2022. [Gender bias in masked language models for multiple languages](#). In *Proceedings of the 2022 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies*, pages 2740–2750, Seattle, United States. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Eunhee Kim and Hyopil Shin. 2022. Kr-finbert: Kr-bert-medium adapted with financial domain data. <https://huggingface.co/snunlp/KR-FinBert>.
- Kiyoung Kim. 2020. Pretrained language models for korean. *GitHub*.
- Korean Women’s Development Institute. 2022. The 2021 korean women manager panel survey. *Korean Women’s Development Institute*.

- Korean Women’s Development Institute IS. 2022. Digital transformation-driven changes in women’s jobs in manufacturing SMEs & policy tasks. *Korean Women’s Development Institute IS*.
- Teven Le Scao and Alexander Rush. 2021. [How many data points is a prompt worth?](#) In *Proceedings of the 2021 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies*, pages 2627–2636, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Junbum Lee. 2020. Kcbert: Korean comments bert. In *Proceedings of the 32nd Annual Conference on Human and Cognitive Language Technology*, pages 437–440.
- Sheng Liang, Philipp Dufter, and Hinrich Schütze. 2020. [Monolingual and multilingual reduction of gender bias in contextualized representations.](#) In *Proceedings of the 28th International Conference on Computational Linguistics*, pages 5082–5093, Barcelona, Spain (Online). International Committee on Computational Linguistics.
- Robert Logan IV, Ivana Balazevic, Eric Wallace, Fabio Petroni, Sameer Singh, and Sebastian Riedel. 2022. [Cutting down on prompts and parameters: Simple few-shot learning with language models.](#) In *Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: ACL 2022*, pages 2824–2835, Dublin, Ireland. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Yao Lu, Max Bartolo, Alastair Moore, Sebastian Riedel, and Pontus Stenetorp. 2022. [Fantastically ordered prompts and where to find them: Overcoming few-shot prompt order sensitivity.](#) In *Proceedings of the 60th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers)*, pages 8086–8098, Dublin, Ireland. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Kosisochukwu Madukwe, Xiaoying Gao, and Bing Xue. 2020. [In data we trust: A critical analysis of hate speech detection datasets.](#) In *Proceedings of the Fourth Workshop on Online Abuse and Harms*, pages 150–161, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Antonis Maronikolakis, Axel Wisioerek, Leah Nann, Haris Jabbar, Sahana Udupa, and Hinrich Schuetze. 2022. [Listening to affected communities to define extreme speech: Dataset and experiments.](#) In *Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: ACL 2022*, pages 1089–1104, Dublin, Ireland. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Pushkar Mishra, Helen Yannakoudakis, and Ekaterina Shutova. 2019. [Tackling online abuse: A survey of automated abuse detection methods.](#) *arXiv*. Publisher: arXiv Version Number: 2.
- Swaroop Mishra, Daniel Khashabi, Chitta Baral, Yejin Choi, and Hannaneh Hajishirzi. 2022. [Reframing instructional prompts to GPTk’s language.](#) In *Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: ACL 2022*, pages 589–612, Dublin, Ireland. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Moin Nadeem, Anna Bethke, and Siva Reddy. 2021. [StereoSet: Measuring stereotypical bias in pretrained language models.](#) In *Proceedings of the 59th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics and the 11th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing (Volume 1: Long Papers)*, pages 5356–5371, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Nikita Nangia, Clara Vania, Rasika Bhalerao, and Samuel R. Bowman. 2020. [CrowS-pairs: A challenge dataset for measuring social biases in masked language models.](#) In *Proceedings of the 2020 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing (EMNLP)*, pages 1953–1967, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Malvina Nissim, Rik van Noord, and Rob van der Goot. 2020. [Fair is better than sensational: Man is to doctor as woman is to doctor.](#) *Computational Linguistics*, 46(2):487–497.
- Debora Nozza. 2021. [Exposing the limits of zero-shot cross-lingual hate speech detection.](#) In *Proceedings of the 59th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics and the 11th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing (Volume 2: Short Papers)*, pages 907–914, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Shigeo Okamoto. 2013. [Variability in societal norms for japanese women’s speech: Implications for linguistic politeness.](#) *Multilingua - Journal of Cross-Cultural and Interlanguage Communication*, 32(2).
- Nedjma Ousidhoum, Zizheng Lin, Hongming Zhang, Yangqiu Song, and Dit-Yan Yeung. 2019. [Multilingual and multi-aspect hate speech analysis.](#) In *Proceedings of the 2019 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing and the 9th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing (EMNLP-IJCNLP)*, pages 4675–4684, Hong Kong, China. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Jangwon Park. 2020. [Koelectra: Pretrained electra model for korean.](#) *GitHub repository*.
- Sungjoon Park, Jihyung Moon, Sungdong Kim, Won Ik Cho, Jiyeon Han, Jangwon Park, Chisung Song, Junseong Kim, Yongsook Song, Taehwan Oh, Joohong Lee, Juhyun Oh, Sungwon Lyu, Younghoon Jeong, Inkwon Lee, Sangwoo Seo, Dongjun Lee, Hyunwoo Kim, Myeonghwa Lee, Seongbo Jang, Seungwon Do, Sunkyoung Kim, Kyungtae Lim, Jongwon Lee, Kyumin Park, Jamin Shin, Seonghyun Kim, Lucy Park, Alice Oh, Jungwoo Ha, and Kyunghyun Cho. 2021. [Klue: Korean language understanding evaluation.](#) *arXiv*.
- Marcelo O. R. Prates, Pedro H. C. Avelar, and Luis Lamb. 2018. [Assessing gender bias in machine translation – a case study with google translate.](#) *arXiv*. Publisher: arXiv Version Number: 4.

- Michal Ptaszynski, Pawel Dybala, Rafal Rzepka, Kenji Araki, and Yoshio Momouchi. 2012. Yacis: A five-billion-word corpus of japanese blogs fully annotated with syntactic and affective information. In *Proceedings of the AISB/IACAP world congress*, pages 40–49.
- Michal E Ptaszynski and Fumito Masui. 2018. *Automatic Cyberbullying Detection: Emerging Research and Opportunities: Emerging Research and Opportunities*. IGI Global.
- Tharindu Ranasinghe and Marcos Zampieri. 2020. **Multilingual offensive language identification with cross-lingual embeddings**. In *Proceedings of the 2020 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing (EMNLP)*, pages 5838–5844, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Nils Reimers and Iryna Gurevych. 2019. **Sentence-bert: Sentence embeddings using siamese bert-networks**.
- Jaemin Roh. 2013. *Korean*, complete edition edition. Living Language. OCLC: 857791525.
- Björn Ross, Michael Rist, Guillermo Carbonell, Benjamin Cabrera, Nils Kurowsky, and Michael Wojatzki. 2017. **Measuring the reliability of hate speech annotations: The case of the european refugee crisis**. *CoRR*, abs/1701.08118.
- Paul Röttger, Haitham Seelawi, Debora Nozza, Zeerak Talat, and Bertie Vidgen. 2022. **Multilingual Hate-Check: Functional tests for multilingual hate speech detection models**. In *Proceedings of the Sixth Workshop on Online Abuse and Harms (WOAH)*, pages 154–169, Seattle, Washington (Hybrid). Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Paul Röttger, Bertie Vidgen, Dong Nguyen, Zeerak Waseem, Helen Margetts, and Janet Pierrehumbert. 2021. **HateCheck: Functional tests for hate speech detection models**. In *Proceedings of the 59th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics and the 11th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing (Volume 1: Long Papers)*, pages 41–58, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Victor Sanh, Albert Webson, Colin Raffel, Stephen Bach, Lintang Sutawika, Zaid Alyafeai, Antoine Chaffin, Arnaud Stiegler, Arun Raja, Manan Dey, M Saiful Bari, Canwen Xu, Urmish Thakker, Shanya Sharma Sharma, Eliza Szczechla, Taewoon Kim, Gunjan Chhablani, Nihal Nayak, Debajyoti Datta, Jonathan Chang, Mike Tian-Jian Jiang, Han Wang, Matteo Manica, Sheng Shen, Zheng Xin Yong, Harshit Pandey, Rachel Bawden, Thomas Wang, Trishala Neeraj, Jos Rozen, Abheesht Sharma, Andrea Santilli, Thibault Fevry, Jason Alan Fries, Ryan Teehan, Teven Le Scao, Stella Biderman, Leo Gao, Thomas Wolf, and Alexander M Rush. 2022. **Multi-task prompted training enables zero-shot task generalization**. In *International Conference on Learning Representations*.
- Timo Schick, Helmut Schmid, and Hinrich Schütze. 2020. **Automatically identifying words that can serve as labels for few-shot text classification**. In *Proceedings of the 28th International Conference on Computational Linguistics*, pages 5569–5578, Barcelona, Spain (Online). International Committee on Computational Linguistics.
- Timo Schick and Hinrich Schütze. 2021a. **Exploiting cloze-questions for few-shot text classification and natural language inference**. In *Proceedings of the 16th Conference of the European Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Main Volume*, pages 255–269, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Timo Schick and Hinrich Schütze. 2021b. **It’s not just size that matters: Small language models are also few-shot learners**. In *Proceedings of the 2021 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies*, pages 2339–2352, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Shogo Shibata, Michal Ptaszynski, Juuso Eronen, Karol Nowakowski, and Fumito Masui. 2022. Development and performance evaluation of electra pre-trained language model based on yacis large-scale japanese blog corpus [in japanese]. In *Proceedings of The 28th Annual Meeting of The Association for Natural Language Processing (NLP2022)*, pages 1–4.
- Taylor Shin, Yasaman Razeghi, Robert L. Logan IV, Eric Wallace, and Sameer Singh. 2020. **AutoPrompt: Eliciting Knowledge from Language Models with Automatically Generated Prompts**. In *Proceedings of the 2020 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing (EMNLP)*, pages 4222–4235, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- George W. Snedecor, William G. Cochran, and William G. Cochran. 1996. *Statistical methods*, 8th ed., 7. print edition. Iowa State Univ. Press.
- Anirudh Srinivasan and Eunsol Choi. 2022. **TyDiP: A dataset for politeness classification in nine typologically diverse languages**. *arXiv*, (arXiv:2211.16496).
- Aarohi Srivastava, Abhinav Rastogi, Abhishek Rao, Abu Awal Md Shoeb, Abubakar Abid, Adam Fisch, Adam R. Brown, Adam Santoro, Aditya Gupta, Adrià Garriga-Alonso, Agnieszka Kluska, Aitor Lewkowycz, Akshat Agarwal, Alethea Power, Alex Ray, Alex Warstadt, Alexander W. Kocurek, Ali Safaya, Ali Tazarv, Alice Xiang, Alicia Parrish, Allen Nie, Aman Hussain, Amanda Askell, Amanda Dsouza, Ambrose Slone, Ameet Rahane, Anantharaman S. Iyer, Anders Andreassen, Andrea Madotto, Andrea Santilli, Andreas Stuhlmüller, Andrew Dai, Andrew La, Andrew Lampinen, Andy Zou, Angela Jiang, Angelica Chen, Anh Vuong, Animesh Gupta, Anna Gottardi, Antonio Norelli, Anu Venkatesh, Arash Gholamidavoodi, Arfa Tabasum, Arul Menezes, Arun Kirubarajan, Asher Mullokandov, Ashish Sabharwal, Austin Herrick, Avia

Efrat, Aykut Erdem, Ayla Karakaş, B. Ryan Roberts, Bao Sheng Loe, Barret Zoph, Bartłomiej Bojanowski, Batuhan Özyurt, Behnam Hedayatnia, Behnam Neyshabur, Benjamin Inden, Benno Stein, Berk Ekmekeci, Bill Yuchen Lin, Blake Howald, Cameron Diao, Cameron Dour, Catherine Stinson, Cedrick Argueta, César Ferri Ramírez, Chandan Singh, Charles Rathkopf, Chenlin Meng, Chitta Baral, Chiyu Wu, Chris Callison-Burch, Chris Waites, Christian Voigt, Christopher D. Manning, Christopher Potts, Cindy Ramirez, Clara E. Rivera, Clemencia Siro, Colin Raffel, Courtney Ashcraft, Cristina Garbacea, Damien Sileo, Dan Garrette, Dan Hendrycks, Dan Kilman, Dan Roth, Daniel Freeman, Daniel Khashabi, Daniel Levy, Daniel Moseguí González, Danielle Perszyk, Danny Hernandez, Danqi Chen, Daphne Ippolito, Dar Gilboa, David Dohan, David Drakard, David Jurgen, Debajyoti Datta, Deep Ganguli, Denis Emelin, Denis Kleyko, Deniz Yuret, Derek Chen, Derek Tam, Dieuwke Hupkes, Diganta Misra, Dilyar Buzan, Dimitri Coelho Mollo, Diyi Yang, Dong-Ho Lee, Ekaterina Shutova, Ekin Dogus Cubuk, Elad Segal, Eleanor Hagerman, Elizabeth Barnes, Elizabeth Donoway, Ellie Pavlick, Emanuele Rodola, Emma Lam, Eric Chu, Eric Tang, Erkut Erdem, Ernie Chang, Ethan A. Chi, Ethan Dyer, Ethan Jerzak, Ethan Kim, Eunice Engelfu Manyasi, Evgenii Zheltonozhskii, Fanyue Xia, Fatemeh Siar, Fernando Martínez-Plumed, Francesca Happé, Francois Chollet, Frieda Rong, Gaurav Mishra, Genta Indra Winata, Gerard de Melo, Germán Kruszewski, Giambattista Parascandolo, Giorgio Mariani, Gloria Wang, Gonzalo Jaimovitch-López, Gregor Betz, Guy Gur-Ari, Hana Galijasevic, Hannah Kim, Hannah Rashkin, Hannaneh Hajishirzi, Harsh Mehta, Hayden Bogar, Henry Shevlin, Hinrich Schütze, Hiromu Yakura, Hongming Zhang, Hugh Mee Wong, Ian Ng, Isaac Noble, Jaap Jumelet, Jack Geissinger, Jackson Kernion, Jacob Hilton, Jaehoon Lee, Jaime Fernández Fisac, James B. Simon, James Koppel, James Zheng, James Zou, Jan Kočoń, Jana Thompson, Jared Kaplan, Jarema Radom, Jascha Sohl-Dickstein, Jason Phang, Jason Wei, Jason Yosinski, Jekaterina Novikova, Jelle Bosscher, Jennifer Marsh, Jeremy Kim, Jeroen Taal, Jesse Engel, Jesujoba Alabi, Jiacheng Xu, Jiaming Song, Jilian Tang, Joan Waweru, John Burden, John Miller, John U. Balis, Jonathan Berant, Jörg Frohberg, Jos Rozen, Jose Hernandez-Orallo, Joseph Boudeman, Joseph Jones, Joshua B. Tenenbaum, Joshua S. Rule, Joyce Chua, Kamil Kanclerz, Karen Livescu, Karl Krauth, Karthik Gopalakrishnan, Katerina Ignatyeva, Katja Markert, Kaustubh D. Dhole, Kevin Gimpel, Kevin Omondi, Kory Mathewson, Kristen Chifullo, Ksenia Shkaruta, Kumar Shridhar, Kyle McDonell, Kyle Richardson, Laria Reynolds, Leo Gao, Li Zhang, Liam Dugan, Lianhui Qin, Lidia Contreras-Ochando, Louis-Philippe Morency, Luca Moschella, Lucas Lam, Lucy Noble, Ludwig Schmidt, Luheng He, Luis Oliveros Colón, Luke Metz, Lütfi Kerem Şenel, Maarten Bosma, Maarten Sap, Maartje ter Hoeve, Maheen Farooqi, Manaal Faruqui, Mantas Mazeika, Marco Baturan, Marco Marelli, Marco Maru, Maria Jose Ramírez Quintana, Marie Tolkiehn,

Mario Giulianelli, Martha Lewis, Martin Potthast, Matthew L. Leavitt, Matthias Hagen, Mátyás Schubert, Medina Orduna Baitemirova, Melody Arnaud, Melvin McElrath, Michael A. Yee, Michael Cohen, Michael Gu, Michael Ivanitskiy, Michael Starritt, Michael Strube, Michał Śwędrowski, Michele Bevilacqua, Michihiro Yasunaga, Mihir Kale, Mike Cain, Mimeo Xu, Mirac Suzgun, Mo Tiwari, Mohit Bansal, Moin Aminnaseri, Mor Geva, Mozdeh Gheini, Mukund Varma T, Nanyun Peng, Nathan Chi, Nayeon Lee, Neta Gur-Ari Krakover, Nicholas Cameron, Nicholas Roberts, Nick Doiron, Nikita Nangia, Niklas Deckers, Niklas Muennighoff, Nitish Shirish Keskar, Niveditha S. Iyer, Noah Constant, Noah Fiedel, Nuan Wen, Oliver Zhang, Omar Agha, Omar Elbaghdadi, Omer Levy, Owain Evans, Pablo Antonio Moreno Casares, Parth Doshi, Pascale Fung, Paul Pu Liang, Paul Vicol, Pegah Alipoormolabashi, Peiyuan Liao, Percy Liang, Peter Chang, Peter Eckersley, Phu Mon Htut, Pinyu Hwang, Piotr Miłkowski, Piyush Patil, Pouya Pezeshkpour, Priti Oli, Qiaozhu Mei, Qing Lyu, Qinlang Chen, Rabin Banjade, Rachel Etta Rudolph, Raefer Gabriel, Rahel Habacker, Ramón Risco Delgado, Raphaël Millièvre, Rhythm Garg, Richard Barnes, Rif A. Saurous, Riku Arakawa, Robbe Raymaekers, Robert Frank, Rohan Sikand, Roman Novak, Roman Sitelew, Ronan Le Bras, Rosanne Liu, Rowan Jacobs, Rui Zhang, Ruslan Salakhutdinov, Ryan Chi, Ryan Lee, Ryan Stovall, Ryan Teehan, Rylan Yang, Sahib Singh, Saif M. Mohammad, Sajant Anand, Sam Dillavou, Sam Shleifer, Sam Wiseman, Samuel Gruetter, Samuel R. Bowman, Samuel S. Schoenholz, Sanghyun Han, Sanjeev Kwatra, Sarah A. Rous, Sarik Ghazarian, Sayan Ghosh, Sean Casey, Sebastian Bischoff, Sebastian Gehrmann, Sebastian Schuster, Sepideh Sadeghi, Shadi Hamdan, Sharon Zhou, Shashank Srivastava, Sherry Shi, Shikhar Singh, Shima Asaadi, Shixiang Shane Gu, Shubh Pachchigar, Shubham Toshniwal, Shyam Upadhyay, Shyamolima, Debnath, Siamak Shakeri, Simon Thormeyer, Simone Melzi, Siva Reddy, Sneha Priscilla Makini, Soo-Hwan Lee, Spencer Torene, Sriharsha Hatwar, Stanislas Dehaene, Stefan Divic, Stefano Ermon, Stella Biderman, Stephanie Lin, Stephen Prasad, Steven T. Piantadosi, Stuart M. Shieber, Summer Mishnerghi, Svetlana Kiritchenko, Swaroop Mishra, Tal Linzen, Tal Schuster, Tao Li, Tao Yu, Tariq Ali, Tatsu Hashimoto, Te-Lin Wu, Théo Desbordes, Theodore Rothschild, Thomas Phan, Tianle Wang, Tiberius Nkinyili, Timo Schick, Timofei Kornev, Timothy Telleen-Lawton, Titus Tunduny, Tobias Gerstenberg, Trenton Chang, Trishala Neeraj, Tushar Khot, Tyler Shultz, Uri Shalem, Vedant Misra, Vera Demberg, Victoria Nyamai, Vikas Raunak, Vinay Ramasesh, Vinay Uday Prabhu, Vishakh Padmakumar, Vivek Srikumar, William Fedus, William Saunders, William Zhang, Wout Vossen, Xiang Ren, Xiaoyu Tong, Xinran Zhao, Xinyi Wu, Xudong Shen, Yadollah Yaghoobzadeh, Yair Lakretz, Yangqiu Song, Yasaman Bahri, Yejin Choi, Yichi Yang, Yiding Hao, Yifu Chen, Yonatan Belinkov, Yu Hou, Yufang Hou, Yuntao Bai, Zachary Seid, Zhuoye Zhao, Zijian Wang, Zijie J. Wang, Zirui

- Wang, and Ziyi Wu. 2022. [Beyond the imitation game: Quantifying and extrapolating the capabilities of language models](#). *arXiv*. Publisher: arXiv Version Number: 2.
- Victor Steinborn, Philipp Dufter, Haris Jabbar, and Hinrich Schuetze. 2022. [An information-theoretic approach and dataset for probing gender stereotypes in multilingual masked language models](#). In *Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: NAACL 2022*, pages 921–932, Seattle, United States. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Bak Sung-Yun. 1983. [Women’s speech in korean and english](#). *Korean Studies*, 7(1):61–75.
- Masahiro Suzuki, Hiroki Sakaji, Masanori Hirano, and Kiyoshi Izumi. 2023. [Constructing and analyzing domain-specific language model for financial text mining](#). *Information Processing & Management*, 60(2):103194.
- Steve Durairaj Swamy, Anupam Jamatia, and Björn Gambäck. 2019. [Studying generalisability across abusive language detection datasets](#). In *Proceedings of the 23rd Conference on Computational Natural Language Learning (CoNLL)*, pages 940–950, Hong Kong, China. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Lewis Tunstall, Nils Reimers, Unso Eun Seo Jo, Luke Bates, Daniel Korat, Moshe Wasserblat, and Oren Pereg. 2022. [Efficient few-shot learning without prompts](#).
- Bertie Vidgen, Alex Harris, Dong Nguyen, Rebekah Tromble, Scott Hale, and Helen Margetts. 2019. [Challenges and frontiers in abusive content detection](#). In *Proceedings of the Third Workshop on Abusive Language Online*, pages 80–93, Florence, Italy. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Pauli Virtanen, Ralf Gommers, Travis E. Oliphant, Matt Haberland, Tyler Reddy, David Cournapeau, Evgeni Burovski, Pearu Peterson, Warren Weckesser, Jonathan Bright, Stéfan J. van der Walt, Matthew Brett, Joshua Wilson, K. Jarrod Millman, Nikolay Mayorov, Andrew R. J. Nelson, Eric Jones, Robert Kern, Eric Larson, C J Carey, İlhan Polat, Yu Feng, Eric W. Moore, Jake VanderPlas, Denis Laxalde, Josef Perktold, Robert Cimrman, Ian Henriksen, E. A. Quintero, Charles R. Harris, Anne M. Archibald, Antônio H. Ribeiro, Fabian Pedregosa, Paul van Mulbregt, SciPy 1.0 Contributors, Aditya Vijaykumar, Alessandro Pietro Bardelli, Alex Rothberg, Andreas Hilboll, Andreas Kloeckner, Anthony Scopatz, Antony Lee, Ariel Rokem, C. Nathan Woods, Chad Fulton, Charles Masson, Christian Häggström, Clark Fitzgerald, David A. Nicholson, David R. Hagen, Dmitrii V. Pasechnik, Emanuele Olivetti, Eric Martin, Eric Wieser, Fabrice Silva, Felix Lenders, Florian Wilhelm, G. Young, Gavin A. Price, Gert-Ludwig Ingold, Gregory E. Allen, Gregory R. Lee, Hervé Audren, Irvin Probst, Jörg P. Dietrich, Jacob Silterra, James T Webber, Janko Slavič, Joel Nothman, Johannes Buchner, Johannes Kulick, Johannes L. Schönberger, José Vinícius de Miranda Cardoso, Joscha Reimer, Joseph Harrington, Juan Luis Cano Rodríguez, Juan Nunez-Iglesias, Justin Kuczynski, Kevin Tritz, Martin Thoma, Matthew Newville, Matthias Kümmerer, Maximilian Bolingbroke, Michael Tartre, Mikhail Pak, Nathaniel J. Smith, Nikolai Nowaczyk, Nikolay Shebanov, Oleksandr Pavlyk, Per A. Brodtkorb, Perry Lee, Robert T. McGibbon, Roman Feldbauer, Sam Lewis, Sam Tygier, Scott Sievert, Sebastiano Vigna, Stefan Peterson, Surhud More, Tadeusz Pudlik, Takuya Oshima, Thomas J. Pingel, Thomas P. Robitaille, Thomas Spura, Thouis R. Jones, Tim Cera, Tim Leslie, Tiziano Zito, Tom Krauss, Utkarsh Upadhyay, Yaroslav O. Halchenko, and Yoshiki Vázquez-Baeza. 2020. [SciPy 1.0: fundamental algorithms for scientific computing in python](#). *Nature Methods*, 17(3):261–272.
- Zerak Waseem and Dirk Hovy. 2016. [Hateful symbols or hateful people? predictive features for hate speech detection on Twitter](#). In *Proceedings of the NAACL Student Research Workshop*, pages 88–93, San Diego, California. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Jason Wei, Yi Tay, Rishi Bommasani, Colin Raffel, Barret Zoph, Sebastian Borgeaud, Dani Yogatama, Maarten Bosma, Denny Zhou, Donald Metzler, Ed H. Chi, Tatsunori Hashimoto, Oriol Vinyals, Percy Liang, Jeff Dean, and William Fedus. 2022. [Emergent abilities of large language models](#). *Transactions on Machine Learning Research*. Survey Certification.
- Michael Wiegand, Josef Ruppenhofer, and Thomas Kleinbauer. 2019. [Detection of Abusive Language: the Problem of Biased Datasets](#). In *Proceedings of the 2019 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies, Volume 1 (Long and Short Papers)*, pages 602–608, Minneapolis, Minnesota. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Thomas Wolf, Lysandre Debut, Victor Sanh, Julien Chaumond, Clement Delangue, Anthony Moi, Pierric Cistac, Tim Rault, Remi Louf, Morgan Funtowicz, Joe Davison, Sam Shleifer, Patrick von Platen, Clara Ma, Yacine Jernite, Julien Plu, Canwen Xu, Teven Le Scao, Sylvain Gugger, Mariama Drame, Quentin Lhoest, and Alexander Rush. 2020. [Transformers: State-of-the-art natural language processing](#). In *Proceedings of the 2020 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing: System Demonstrations*, pages 38–45, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- World Economic Forum. 2021. Global gender gap report 2021. *World Economic Forum*.
- Tongshuang Wu, Michael Terry, and Carrie Jun Cai. 2022. [Ai chains: Transparent and controllable human-ai interaction by chaining large language model prompts](#). In *Proceedings of the 2022 CHI*

Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems, CHI '22, New York, NY, USA. Association for Computing Machinery.

Shuzhou Yuan, Antonis Maronikolakis, and Hinrich Schütze. 2022. Separating hate speech and offensive language classes via adversarial debiasing. In *Proceedings of the Sixth Workshop on Online Abuse and Harms (WOAH)*, pages 1–10, Seattle, Washington (Hybrid). Association for Computational Linguistics.

Xinyang Zhang, Yury Malkov, Omar Florez, Serim Park, Brian McWilliams, Jiawei Han, and Ahmed El-Kishky. 2022. Twihin-bert: A socially-enriched pre-trained language model for multilingual tweet representations. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2209.07562*.

Mengjie Zhao and Hinrich Schütze. 2021. Discrete and soft prompting for multilingual models. In *Proceedings of the 2021 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*, pages 8547–8555, Online and Punta Cana, Dominican Republic. Association for Computational Linguistics.

趙天雨 and 沢田慶. 2021. 日本語自然言語処理における事前学習モデルの公開. 人工知能学会研究会資料言語・音声理解と対話処理研究会, 93:169–170.

松葉達明, 里見尚宏, 榎井文人, 河合敦夫, and 井須尚紀. 2009. 学校非公式サイトにおける有害情報検出. 電子情報通信学会技術研究報告. NLC, 言語理解とコミュニケーション, 109(142):93–98.

## A Experimental Setup

For probing representational biases, all possible sentence combinations (3852 and 4260 combinations for Korean and Japanese, respectively) are fed into the selected models. Evaluation took roughly 15 minutes using a single NVIDIA GeForce GTX 1080Ti GPU with a batch size of 64, for each language.

For the allocational biases, we use the selected cyberbullying model instead, and evaluation took roughly 5 minutes with a batch size of 64 on the same GPU.

For few-shot learning, the default SetFit (Tunstall et al., 2022) parameters were used for epochs (set to 1) and number of sentence pairs (i.e., how many pairs to generate from one sentence; set by default to 20). A batch size of 32 was used. Training took place on the same GPU as the probing experiments (i.e., NVIDIA GeForce GTX 1080Ti). Training time is approximately 5 minutes for the entire training set.




Figure 5: Japanese (left) and Korean (right) bias scores  $s_b$  with parameter size. Negative scores correspond to more male-biased predictions.

## B Correlation between Pro-Male Bias and Model Size

The correlation of gender bias with parameter count is also investigated. We follow Srivastava et al. (2022) and modify the proposed social bias measure to our sentence templates. Namely, we calculate the bias score  $s_b$ , defined in equation 1, by identifying the context  $C$  (which includes the speaker verb and the narrator and speaker politeness levels), which minimizes the difference between the log probabilities of “she” and “he” for each used model.

$$s_b = \min_C \log p(\text{mask}=\text{she}|C) - \log p(\text{mask}=\text{he}|C) \quad (1)$$

We observe a general correlation between trainable parameter count and pro-male-biased, with larger models exhibiting higher male bias. We calculate  $s_b$  via equation 1 and plot the variation of  $s_b$  with the parameter count in Figure 5. We observe a general trend that models become more male-biased with increasing parameter count, inline with the results of Srivastava et al. (2022), however we also note that the observed correlations are not statistically significant. The null hypothesis, which we take to be the slope being zero, cannot be rejected with significance  $\alpha = 0.05$ . Namely, we find  $p = 0.63$  for Japanese and  $p = 0.31$  for Korean via<sup>9</sup> a Wald test using a t-distribution of the Wald test statistic (Cameron and Trivedi, 2005).

Thus, these results are interpreted as a general trend but not as a hard rule. We expect this correlation to be more pronounced if we probe models with an order of magnitude larger parameter count. However, we note Srivastava et al. (2022)

<sup>9</sup>Using SciPy’s LINREGRESS function (Virtanen et al., 2020).

also observed  $s_b$  is not monotonically decreasing with parameter count, thus the presence of plateauing regions, with little correlation, cannot be ruled out.

## **C Locations and Models**

Location	Ja	Ko	G
Parliament	議會	의회	M
Department head office (ja)	部局長室	사장실	M
Boss's Office (ko)			
Construction Site	工事現場	공사장	M
Prime Minister's Residence (ja)	首相官邸	청와대	M
President's Residence (ko)			
Technical University	工業大学	공과대학교	M
Board of Directors Meeting	取締役会	이사회	M
Ministry of Agriculture	農務省	농림부	M
Embassy	大使館	대사관	M
Laboratory	研究室	실험실	M
Fire Station	消防署	소방서	M
Daycare	保育園	어린이집	F
Kindergarten	幼稚園	유치원	F
Nursing School (ja)	看護学校	간호대학	F
College of Nursing (ko)			
Child's Room (ja)	子供部屋	아기방	F
Baby's Room (ko)			
Literature Department (ja)	文学部	교육학과 건물	F
Education Department (ko)			
Cooking Class	料理教室	요리교실	F
Kitchen	キッチン	부엌	F
Beauty Salon	エステサロン	미용실	F
Birth Center (ja)	出産センター	임신출산 정보센터	F
Pregnancy and Birth Information Center (ko)			
Nursing Care Medical Clinic (ja)	介護医療院	진료소	F
Medical Clinic (ko)			

Table 4: Locations used in this study. The gold-labeled stereotypical gender association (G) is indicated and is either male (M) or female (F).

Huggingface Model Name	Lang.	Params.	App.
ken11/albert-base-japanese-v1	Ja	11M	Rep.
izumi-lab/bert-small-japanese-fin (Suzuki et al., 2023)	Ja	18M	Rep.
cl-tohoku/bert-base-japanese-whole-word-masking	Ja	111M	Rep.
rinna/japanese-roberta-base (天雨 and 慶, 2021)	Ja	111M	Rep.
cl-tohoku/bert-base-japanese-v2	Ja	111M	Rep.
xlm-roberta-base (Conneau et al., 2019)	Ja	278M	Rep.
Twitter/twhin-bert-base (Zhang et al., 2022)	Ja	279M	Rep.
cl-tohoku/bert-large-japanese	Ja	337M	Rep.
xlm-roberta-large (Conneau et al., 2019)	Ja	560M	Rep.
Twitter/twhin-bert-large (Zhang et al., 2022)	Ja	562M	Rep.
monologg/koelectra-base-v3-generator (Park, 2020)	Ko	37M	Rep.
klue/roberta-small (Park et al., 2021)	Ko	68M	Rep.
snunlp/KR-FinBert (Kim and Shin, 2022)	Ko	101M	Rep.
beomi/kcbert-base (Lee, 2020)	Ko	109M	Rep.
klue/bert-base (Park et al., 2021)	Ko	111M	Rep.
klue/roberta-base (Park et al., 2021)	Ko	111M	Rep.
monologg/kobigbird-bert-base	Ko	114M	Rep.
kykim/bert-kor-base (Kim, 2020)	Ko	118M	Rep.
lassl/bert-ko-base	Ko	125M	Rep.
klue/roberta-large (Park et al., 2021)	Ko	337M	Rep.
sentence-transformers/paraphrase-multilingual-mpnet-base-v2 (Reimers and Gurevych, 2019)	Ja	278M	Allo.
ptaszynski/yacis-electra-small-japanese-cyberbullying (Shibata et al., 2022)	Ja	14M	Allo.

Table 5: Models used in this study. Shown are the language the model was used for (Lang.), the parameter count (Params.) and the application (App.) for which the model was used for. Models were either used for studying representational (Rep.) or allocational (Allo.) biases.