

# A Note on Hamiltonian Cycles in Digraphs with Large Degrees

Samvel Kh. Darbinyan

Institute for Informatics and Automation Problems of NAS RA

E-mail: samdarbin@iiap.sci.am

## Abstract

In this note we prove: *Let  $D$  be a 2-strong digraph of order  $n$  such that its  $n-1$  vertices have degrees at least  $n+k$  and the remaining vertex  $z$  has degree at least  $n-k-4$ , where  $k$  is a non-negative integer. If  $D$  contains a cycle of length at least  $n-k-2$  passing through  $z$ , then  $D$  is Hamiltonian.*

**Keywords:** Digraphs, Hamiltonian cycles, degree, 2-strong.

## 1 Introduction

In this paper, we consider finite digraphs (directed graphs) without loops and multiple arcs. The order of a digraph  $D$  is the number of its vertices. We shall assume that the reader is familiar with the standard terminology on digraphs. Terminology and notations not described below follow [1]. Every cycle and path is assumed to be simple and directed. A cycle (path) in a digraph  $D$  is called *Hamiltonian* (*Hamiltonian path*) if it includes every vertex of  $D$ . A digraph  $D$  is *Hamiltonian* if it contains a Hamiltonian cycle.

There are numerous sufficient conditions for the existence of a Hamiltonian cycle in a digraph (see, [1], [2], [3]). The following two sufficient conditions on the existence of Hamiltonian cycles in digraphs are classical and famous.

**Theorem 1:** (Ghouila-Houri [4]). *Let  $D$  be a strong digraph of order  $n \geq 2$ . If for every vertex  $x \in V(D)$ ,  $d(x) \geq n$ , then  $D$  is Hamiltonian.*

**Theorem 2:** (Meyniel [5]). *Let  $D$  be a strong digraph of order  $n \geq 2$ . If  $d(x) + d(y) \geq 2n - 1$  for all pairs of non-adjacent vertices  $x$  and  $y$  in  $D$ , then  $D$  is Hamiltonian.*

Nash-Williams [6] raised the problem of describing all the extreme digraphs for Ghouila-Houri's theorem the strong non-Hamiltonian digraphs of order  $n$  and with minimum degree  $n-1$ . As a solution to this problem, Thomassen [7] proved a structural theorem on the extremal digraphs. An analogous problem for the Meyniel theorem (Theorem 2) was considered by the author [8], proving a structural theorem on the strong non-Hamiltonian digraphs  $D$  of order  $n$ , with the degree condition that  $d(x) + d(y) \geq 2n - 2$  for every pair of non-adjacent distinct vertices  $x, y$ . This improves the corresponding

structural theorem of Thomassen. Moreover, in [8], it was also proved that if  $m$  is the length of a longest cycle in  $D$ , then  $D$  contains cycles of all lengths  $k = 2, 3, \dots, m$ .

Goldberg, Levitskaya and Satanovskiy [9] relaxed the conditions of the Ghouila-Houri theorem by proving the following theorem.

**Theorem 3:** (Goldberg et al. [9]). *Let  $D$  be a strong digraph of order  $n \geq 2$ . If  $n - 1$  vertices of  $D$  have degrees at least  $n$  and the remaining vertex has degree at least  $n - 1$ , then  $D$  is Hamiltonian.*

Note that Theorem 3 is the best possible in the sense that for every  $n$ , there is a non-Hamiltonian strong digraphs of order  $n$  such that its  $n - 2$  (or  $n - 1$ ) vertices have degrees equal to  $n + 1$  (respectively,  $n$ ) and the other two remaining vertices (respectively, the remaining vertex) have degrees equal to  $n - 1$  (respectively, has degree equal to  $n - 2$ ).

It is worth to mention that, Thomassen [7] constructed a strong non-Hamiltonian digraph of order  $n$  with only two vertices of degree  $n - 1$  and all other vertices have degree not less than  $(3n - 5)/2$ . In [10], it was showed that for every  $n \geq 8$  there is a non-Hamiltonian 2-strong digraph of order  $n$  such that its  $n - 1$  vertices have degrees at least  $n$  and the remaining vertex has degree 4.

Taking into account the arguments given above, we can pose the following problem.

**Problem 1:** Let  $D$  be a 2-strong digraph of order  $n$  such that its  $n - 1$  vertices have degrees at least  $n$  and the remaining vertex has degree at least  $n - k$ , where  $5 \leq n - k \leq n - 2$ . Investigate the Hamiltonicity of  $D$  depending on the values of  $n$  and  $k$ .

In [11], it was reported that the following theorem holds.

**Theorem 4:** (Darbinyan [11]). *Let  $D$  be a 2-strong digraph of order  $n \geq 9$  with minimum degree at least  $n - 4$ . If  $n - 1$  vertices of  $D$  have degrees at least  $n$ , then  $D$  is Hamiltonian.*

The proof of the last theorem has never been published. In [12], we presented the first part of the proof of Theorem 4, by proving the following theorem.

**Theorem 5:** (Darbinyan [12]). *Let  $D$  be a 2-strong digraph of order  $n$ . Suppose that  $n - 1$  vertices of  $D$  have degrees at least  $n$  and the remaining vertex  $z$  has degree at least  $n - 4$ . If  $D$  contains a cycle of length  $n - 2$  through  $z$ , then  $D$  is Hamiltonian.*

In [12], we also proposed the following conjecture.

**Conjecture 1:** *Let  $D$  be a 2-strong digraph of order  $n$  such that its  $n - 1$  vertices have degrees at least  $n + k$  and the remaining vertex  $z$  has degree at least  $n - k - 4$ , where  $k$  is a non-negative integer. Then  $D$  is Hamiltonian.*

Let us note, that Conjecture 1 is an extension Ghouila-Houri's theorem for 2-strong

digraphs and is a generalization of Theorem 4. The truth of Conjecture 1 in the case  $k = 0$  follows from Theorem 4. Recently, we settled Conjecture 1 for any  $k \geq 0$ . Our goal in this note is to present the first part of the proof of Conjecture 1 for any  $k \geq 1$ , which we formulate as Theorem 6. The second part of the proof (i.e., the complete proof) of Conjecture 1 for any  $k \geq 0$  (in particular, the second part of the proof of Theorem 4) we will present in the forthcoming paper (see arXiv: 2306.16826).

**Theorem 6:** *Let  $D$  be a 2-strong digraph of order  $n \geq 3$  such that its  $n - 1$  vertices have degrees at least  $n + k$  and the remaining vertex  $z$  has degree at least  $n - k - 4$ , where  $k \geq 0$  is an integer. If  $D$  has a cycle of length at least  $n - k - 2$  through  $z$ , then  $D$  is Hamiltonian.*

## 2 Further Terminology and Notation

For the sake of clarity we repeat the most important definition. The vertex set and the arc set of a digraph  $D$  are denoted by  $V(D)$  and  $A(D)$ , respectively. The arc of a digraph  $D$  directed from  $x$  to  $y$  is denoted by  $xy$  or  $x \rightarrow y$  (we also say that  $x$  *dominates*  $y$  or  $y$  is an *out-neighbour* of  $x$  and  $x$  is an *in-neighbour* of  $y$ ), and  $x \leftrightarrow y$  denotes that  $x \rightarrow y$  and  $y \rightarrow x$  ( $x \leftrightarrow y$  is called *2-cycle*). If  $x \rightarrow y$  and  $y \rightarrow z$ , we write  $x \rightarrow y \rightarrow z$ .

Let  $A$  and  $B$  be two disjoint subsets in  $V(D)$ . The notation  $A \rightarrow B$  means that every vertex of  $A$  dominates every vertex of  $B$ . We define  $A(A \rightarrow B) = \{xy \in A(D) \mid x \in A, y \in B\}$ . If  $x \in V(D)$  and  $A = \{x\}$  we sometimes write  $x$  instead of  $\{x\}$ . Let  $N_D^+(x)$ ,  $N_D^-(x)$  denote the set of out-neighbors, respectively the set of in-neighbors of a vertex  $x$  in a digraph  $D$ . If  $A \subseteq V(D)$ , then  $N_D^+(x, A) = A \cap N_D^+(x)$  and  $N_D^-(x, A) = A \cap N_D^-(x)$ . The *out-degree* of  $x$  is  $d_D^+(x) = |N_D^+(x)|$  and  $d_D^-(x) = |N_D^-(x)|$  is the *in-degree* of  $x$ . Similarly,  $d_D^+(x, A) = |N_D^+(x, A)|$  and  $d_D^-(x, A) = |N_D^-(x, A)|$ . The *degree* of the vertex  $x$  in  $D$  is defined as  $d_D(x) = d_D^+(x) + d_D^-(x)$  (similarly,  $d_D(x, A) = d_D^+(x, A) + d_D^-(x, A)$ ). We omit the subscript if the digraph is clear from the context. The subdigraph of  $D$  induced by a subset  $A$  of  $V(D)$  is denoted by  $D\langle A \rangle$ . In particular,  $D - A = D\langle V(D) \setminus A \rangle$ . For integers  $a$  and  $b$ ,  $a \leq b$ , by  $[a, b]$  we denote the set  $\{x_a, x_{a+1}, \dots, x_b\}$ . If  $j < i$ , then  $\{x_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_j\} = \emptyset$ . A path is a digraph with vertex set  $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k\}$  and arc set  $\{x_1x_2, x_2x_3, \dots, x_{k-1}x_k\}$ , and is denoted by  $x_1x_2 \cdots x_k$ . This is also called an  $(x_1, x_k)$ -path or a path from  $x_1$  and  $x_k$ . If we add the arc  $x_kx_1$  to the path above, we obtain a cycle  $x_1x_2 \cdots x_kx_1$ . The *length* of a cycle or a path is the number of its arcs. If  $P$  is a path containing a subpath from  $x$  to  $y$ , we let  $P[x, y]$  denote that subpath. Similarly, if  $C$  is a cycle containing vertices  $x$  and  $y$ ,  $C[x, y]$  denotes the subpath of  $C$  from  $x$  to  $y$ , and an  $(x, y)$ -path  $P$  is a  $C$ -bypass (or is a  $(C, x, y)$ -bypass) if  $|V(P)| \geq 3$  and  $V(P) \cap V(C) = \{x, y\}$ . Let  $D$  be a digraph and  $z \in V(D)$ . By  $C_m(z)$  (respectively,  $C(z)$ )

we denote a cycle in  $D$  of length  $m$  (respectively, any cycle in  $D$ ), which contains the vertex  $z$ . Similarly, we denote by  $C_k$  a cycle of length  $k$ . A digraph  $D$  is *strong* (*strongly connected*) if, for every pair  $x, y$  of distinct vertices in  $D$ , there exists an  $(x, y)$ -path and a  $(y, x)$ -path. A digraph  $D$  is  *$k$ -strong* ( *$k$ -strongly connected*) if,  $|V(D)| \geq k + 1$  and for any set  $A$  of at most  $k - 1$  vertices  $D - A$  is strong. Two distinct vertices  $x$  and  $y$  are *adjacent* if  $xy \in$  or  $yx \in V(D)$  (or both). The *converse* digraph of  $D$  is the digraph obtained from  $D$  by reversing the direction of all arcs in  $D$ . We will use *the principle of digraph duality*: Let  $D$  be a digraph, then  $D$  has a subdigraph  $H$  if and only if the converse digraph of  $D$  has the converse of the subdigraph  $H$ .

### 3 Preliminaries

In our proofs, we will use the following well-known simple lemmas.

**Lemma 1:** (Hägglkvist and Thomassen [13]). *Let  $D$  be a digraph of order  $n \geq 3$  containing a cycle  $C_m$  of length  $m$ ,  $m \in [2, n - 1]$ . Let  $x$  be a vertex not contained in this cycle. If  $d(x, V(C_m)) \geq m + 1$ , then for every  $k \in [2, m + 1]$ ,  $D$  contains a cycle  $C_k$  of length  $k$  including  $x$ .*

The next lemma is a slight modification of the lemma by Bondy and Thomassen [14], it is very useful and will be used extensively throughout this paper.

**Lemma 2:** *Let  $D$  be a digraph of order  $n \geq 3$  containing a path  $P := x_1x_2 \dots x_m$ ,  $m \in [2, n - 1]$ . Let  $x$  be a vertex not contained in this path. If one of the following condition holds:*

- (i)  $d(x, V(P)) \geq m + 2$ ,
- (ii)  $d(x, V(P)) \geq m + 1$  and  $xx_1 \notin A(D)$  or  $x_mx \notin A(D)$ ,
- (iii)  $d(x, V(P)) \geq m$  and  $xx_1 \notin A(D)$  and  $x_mx \notin A(D)$ ,

*then there is an  $i \in [1, m - 1]$  such that  $x_i \rightarrow x \rightarrow x_{i+1}$ , i.e.,  $D$  contains a path  $x_1x_2 \dots x_ixx_{i+1} \dots x_m$  of length  $m$  (we say that  $x$  can be inserted into  $P$ ).*

In [10], the author proved the following theorem.

**Theorem 7:** (Darbinyan [10]). *Let  $D$  be a strong digraph of order  $n \geq 3$ . Suppose that  $d(x) + d(y) \geq 2n - 1$  for all pairs of non-adjacent vertices  $x, y \in V(D) \setminus \{z\}$ , where  $z$  is an arbitrary vertex in  $V(D)$ . Then  $D$  is Hamiltonian or contains a cycle of length  $n - 1$ .*

Using Theorem 7 and Lemma 1, it is not difficult to show that the following corollary is true.

**Corollary 1:** *Let  $D$  be a strong digraph of order  $n \geq 3$ . Suppose that  $n - 1$  vertices of  $D$  have degrees at least  $n$ . Then  $D$  is Hamiltonian or contains a cycle of length  $n - 1$  (in fact,  $D$  has a cycle that contains all the vertices of degrees at least  $n$ ).*

By the same arguments as the proof Lemma 4 in [12], we can prove the following lemma.

**Lemma 3:** *Let  $D$  be a non-Hamiltonian digraph of order  $n \geq 4$  such that its  $n - 1$  vertices have degrees at least  $n$  and the remaining vertex  $z$  has degree at most  $n - 2$ . Suppose that  $C_m(z) = x_1x_2 \dots x_mx_1$  is a longest cycle of length  $m$  through  $z$  in  $D$ . If  $D$  has a  $(C_m(z), x_i, x_j)$ -bypass, then  $z \in V(C_m(z)[x_{i+1}, x_{j-1}])$ .*

## Proof of Theorem 6

Our proofs are based on the arguments of [12]. First, we will prove the following lemma, which is of independent interest.

**Lemma 4:** *Let  $D$  be a non-Hamiltonian 2-strong digraph of order  $n$  such that its  $n - 1$  vertices have degrees at least  $n$  and the remaining vertex  $z$  has degree at most  $n - 2$ . Suppose that  $C_{m+1}(z) = x_1x_2 \dots x_mzx_1$  with  $m \in [2, n - 3]$  is a longest cycle through  $z$  in  $D$ . If two distinct vertices  $y_1, y_2$  of  $Y := V(D) \setminus V(C_{m+1}(z))$  are mutually reachable in  $D\langle Y \rangle$  and for each  $y_i \in \{y_1, y_2\}$ ,  $d(y_i, \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m\}) = m + 1$ , then  $n \geq 6$  and  $d(z, \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m\}) \leq m - 2$ .*

**Proof:** By contradiction, suppose that  $d(z, \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m\}) \geq m - 1$ . By  $P$  we denote the path  $x_1x_2 \dots x_m$ . It is clear that  $|Y| = n - m - 1$ . Since  $C_{m+1}(z)$  is a longest cycle, it follows that every vertex  $y \in Y$  cannot be inserted into  $C_{m+1}(z)$ . Then by Lemma 1,  $d(y, V(C_{m+1}(z))) \leq m + 1$  and

$$n \leq d(y) = d(y, V(C_{m+1}(z))) + d(y, Y) \leq m + 1 + d(y, Y).$$

Hence,  $d(y, Y) \geq n - m - 1 = |Y|$ . Therefore by Ghoula-Houri's theorem,  $D\langle Y \rangle$  contains a Hamiltonian path. Let  $H_1, H_2, \dots, H_f$  be the strong components of  $D\langle Y \rangle$  labelled in such way that no vertex of  $H_i$  dominates a vertex of  $H_j$  whenever  $i > j$ . Since  $D\langle Y \rangle$  has a Hamiltonian path, it follows that for each  $i \in [1, f - 1]$  there is an arc from  $H_i$  to  $H_{i+1}$ . From  $d(y, Y) \geq |Y|$  we obtain that if  $u \in V(H_i)$ , then  $d(u, V(H_i)) \geq |V(H_i)|$ . Therefore,  $|V(H_i)| \geq 2$  and by Ghoula-Houri's theorem every subdigraph  $H_i$  is Hamiltonian. Observe that for some  $d \in [1, f]$  the vertices  $y_1, y_2$  are in  $V(H_d)$ . Let  $R$  be a longest path between  $y_1$  and  $y_2$  in  $D\langle Y \rangle$ . Since  $D$  is 2-strong and  $C_{m+1}(z)$  is a longest cycle, using Lemma 2 and the fact that  $d(y_i, V(P)) = m + 1$  it is not difficult to show that there is an integer  $l \in [2, m - 1]$  such that

$$\{x_l, x_{l+1}, \dots, x_m\} \rightarrow \{y_1, y_2\} \rightarrow \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_l\}. \quad (1)$$

Put  $E := \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{l-1}\}$  and  $F := \{x_{l+1}, x_{l+2}, \dots, x_m\}$ . Note that  $|E| \geq 1$  and  $|F| \geq 1$ . Since  $C_{m+1}(z)$  is a longest cycle, from (1) it follows that

$$A(\{z\} \cup E \rightarrow \cup_{i=1}^d V(H_i)) = A(\cup_{i=d}^f V(H_i) \rightarrow \{z\} \cup F) = \emptyset, \quad (2)$$

in particular,  $d(z, V(H_d)) = 0$ . Note that from  $|Y| \geq 2$ ,  $|E| \geq 1$  and  $|F| \geq 1$  it follows that  $n \geq 6$ .

We claim that  $d(z, Y) = 0$ . Assume that this is not the case. Then by (2), for some  $u \in \cup_{i=1}^{d-1} V(H_i)$  or  $w \in \cup_{i=d+1}^f V(H_i)$ ,  $u \rightarrow z$  or  $z \rightarrow w$ . Without loss of generality assume that  $u \rightarrow z$ . Then  $A(\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m\} \rightarrow V(H_1)) = \emptyset$  for otherwise for some  $i \in [1, m]$  there is a  $(C_{m+1}(z), x_i, z)$ -bypass, a contradiction to Lemma 3. Therefore,  $A(\cup_{i=2}^f V(H_i) \cup \{z, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m\} \rightarrow V(H_1)) = \emptyset$ , which contradicts that  $D$  is 2-strong.

If  $u, v \in Y$ , then we use  $L(u, v)$  to denote a  $(u, v)$ -path in  $D\langle Y \rangle$ . We need to prove Claims 1-2 below.

**Claim 1.**

(i) If  $d^-(z, E) \geq 1$ , then  $d^+(z, F) = 0$ . (ii)  $A(E \rightarrow F) \neq \emptyset$ .

**Proof.** (i) By contradiction, suppose that  $x_i \in E$ ,  $x_j \in F$  and  $x_i \rightarrow z \rightarrow x_j$ . Then by (1),  $y_1 \rightarrow x_{i+1}$  and  $x_{j-1} \rightarrow y_2$ . Hence,  $C_{m+3}(z) = x_1 x_2 \dots x_i z x_j \dots x_m y_1 x_{i+1} \dots x_{j-1} y_2 x_1$ , a contradiction.

(ii) Again by contradiction, suppose that  $A(E \rightarrow F) = \emptyset$ . Let us consider the subdi-graph  $D - x_l$ . Then by (2), we have: if  $d^-(z, E) = 0$ , then

$$A(\cup_{i=d}^f V(H_i) \cup E \rightarrow \cup_{i=1}^{d-1} V(H_i) \cup \{z\} \cup F) = \emptyset,$$

and if  $d^-(z, E) \geq 1$ , then  $d^+(z, F) = 0$  (Claim 1(i)) and

$$A(\cup_{i=d}^f V(H_i) \cup \{z\} \cup E \rightarrow \cup_{i=1}^{d-1} V(H_i) \cup F) = \emptyset.$$

Thus, in both cases,  $D - x_l$  is not strong, which contradicts that  $D$  is 2-strong.  $\square$

From now on, we assume that  $x_a x_b \in A(E \rightarrow F)$ . Note that by (1), we have

$$x_{b-1} \rightarrow \{y_1, y_2\} \rightarrow x_{a+1}. \quad (3)$$

From Claim 1(i) it follows that either  $x_a z \notin A(D)$  or  $z x_b \notin A(D)$ . Therefore, since  $z$  cannot be inserted into  $P$ , using Lemma 2(ii), we obtain

$$d(z, \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_a\}) + d(z, \{x_b, x_{b+1}, \dots, x_m\}) \leq a + m - b + 2. \quad (4)$$

It is clear that  $|V(R)| \geq 2$  since  $H_d$  is Hamiltonian and  $|V(H_d)| \geq 2$ . Using (3),  $|V(R)| \geq 2$  and the fact that  $C_{m+1}(z)$  is a longest cycle, it is not difficult to prove that the following claim is true.

**Claim 2.**

- (i) If  $i \in [a + 1, l - 1]$ , then  $x_i z \notin A(D)$ .
- (ii) If  $j \in [l + 1, b - 1]$ , then  $z x_j \notin A(D)$ .
- (iii) If  $i \in [a + 1, l]$  and  $i - a \leq |V(R)|$ , then  $z x_i \notin A(D)$ .
- (iv) If  $j \in [l, b - 1]$  and  $b - j \leq |V(R)|$ , then  $x_j z \notin A(D)$ .

**Proof.** By contradiction: (i) Assume that  $i \in [a + 1, l - 1]$  and  $x_i \rightarrow z$ . Then by (2) and (3), we have  $y_1 \rightarrow x_{i+1}$ ,  $x_{b-1} \rightarrow y_2$  and  $y_2 \rightarrow x_{a+1}$ . Therefore,  $C_{m+3}(z) = x_1x_2 \dots x_ax_b \dots x_my_1$

$x_{i+1} \dots x_{b-1}y_2x_{a+1} \dots x_izx_1$ , a contradiction.

(iii) Assume that  $i \in [a + 1, l]$ ,  $i - a \leq |V(R)|$  and  $z \rightarrow x_i$ . Then the cycle  $C(z) = x_1x_2 \dots x_ax_b \dots x_mzx_i \dots x_{b-1}Rx_1$  has length at least  $m + 2$ , a contradiction.

Similarly, we can prove that (ii) and (iv) also are true.  $\square$

Now we will consider the following cases depending on the values of  $a$  and  $b$  with respect to  $l$ .

**Case 1.**  $a \leq l - 3$  and  $b \geq l + 2$ .

Then by Claim 2,  $d(z, \{x_{a+1}, x_{a+2}, x_{b-1}\}) = 0$  and  $x_{b-2}z \notin A(D)$ . Therefore, since  $z$  cannot be inserted into  $P$ , using (4) and Lemma 2, we obtain

$$m - 1 \leq d(z, V(P)) = d(z, \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_a, x_b, x_{b+1}, \dots, x_m\}) \\ + d(z, \{x_{a+3}, x_{a+4}, \dots, x_{b-2}\}) \leq a + m - b + 2 + b - 2 - a - 2 = m - 2,$$

which is a contradiction.

Before consider Cases 2-4, we will show the following two propositions.

**Proposition 1.** If  $b = l + 1 \leq m - 1$ , then

$$A(\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_l\} \rightarrow \{x_{l+2}, x_{l+3}, \dots, x_m\}) \neq \emptyset.$$

**Proof.** Assume that the proposition is not true. Note that  $x_lz \notin A(D)$  by Claim 2. Since  $D - x_{l+1}$  is strong, it follows that in  $D - x_{l+1}$  there is a path from a vertex  $x_i \in \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_l\}$  to a vertex  $x_j \in \{x_{l+2}, x_{l+3}, \dots, x_m\}$ . Let  $Q$  be such a shortest path. Then from (2),  $d(z, Y) = 0$  and Claim 1(i) it follows that the internal vertices of  $Q$  are in  $\cup_{i=1}^{d-1} V(H_i)$ . This means that  $x_i = x_l$  and  $C_{m+1}(z)$  contains an  $(x_l, x_j)$ -bypass such that  $z \notin V(C_{m+1}(z)[x_{l+1}, x_{j-1}])$ . Therefore by Lemma 3,  $D$  contains a  $C(z)$ -cycle of length at least  $m + 2$ , which contradicts that  $C_{m+1}(z)$  is a longest cycle in  $D$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 2.** Suppose that  $u \in V(H_f)$  and the vertices  $u$  and  $x_s$  with  $s \geq 1$  are adjacent. If  $d(u, \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{s-1}\}) = 0$ , then  $|V(H_f)| \geq s + 1$ .

**Proof.** Recall that every vertex of  $V(H_f)$  cannot be inserted into  $P = x_1x_2 \dots x_m$ . Then by Lemma 2,  $d(u, V(P)) = d(u, \{x_s, x_{s+1}, \dots, x_m\}) \leq m - s + 2$ . Therefore,

$$n \leq d(u) = d(u, V(H_f)) + d^-(u, \cup_{i=1}^{f-1} V(H_i)) + d(u, V(P)) \\ \leq d(u, V(H_f)) + n - m - 1 - |V(H_f)| + m - s + 2,$$

i.e.,  $d(u, V(H_f)) \geq |V(H_f)| + s - 1$ . This together with  $d(u, V(H_f)) \leq 2|V(H_f)| - 2$  implies that  $|V(H_f)| \geq s + 1$ .  $\square$

**Case 2.**  $a \leq l - 3$  and  $b = l + 1$ .

Then by Claim 2,  $d(z, \{x_{a+1}, x_{a+2}\}) = 0$ ,  $x_{b-1}z \notin A(D)$ . Again using (4) and Lemma 2, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} m - 1 &\leq d(z, \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_a, x_b, x_{b+1}, \dots, x_m\}) + d(z, \{x_{a+3}, x_{a+4}, \dots, x_{b-1}\}) \\ &\leq a + m - b + 2 + b - 1 - a - 2 = m - 1. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $d(z, \{x_{a+3}, x_{a+4}, \dots, x_{b-1}\}) = b - a - 3$ , and hence by Claim 2,

$$z \rightarrow \{x_{a+3}, x_{a+4}, \dots, x_l\}. \quad (5)$$

Now it is easy to see that  $|V(H_d)| = |V(R)| = 2$ , i.e.,  $R = y_1y_2$ , for otherwise  $|R| \geq 3$  and  $C(z) = x_1x_2 \dots x_ax_b \dots x_mzx_{a+3} \dots x_{b-1}Rx_1$  has length greater than  $m + 1$ , a contradiction. Notice that from  $|V(H_d)| = 2$ ,  $d(y_j, V(P)) = m + 1$ ,  $d(y_j, \{z\}) = 0$  and  $d(y_j) \geq n$  it follows that

$$\cup_{i=1}^{d-1} V(H_i) \rightarrow \{y_1, y_2\} \rightarrow \cup_{i=d+1}^f V(H_i). \quad (6)$$

**Subcase 2.1.**  $a \leq l - 3$ ,  $b = l + 1$  and  $m \geq l + 2$ .

Taking into account Case 1, we may assume that

$$A(\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_a\} \rightarrow \{x_{l+2}, x_{l+3}, \dots, x_m\}) = \emptyset.$$

This together with Proposition 1 implies that there are  $i \in [a + 1, l]$  and  $j \in [l + 2, m]$  such that  $x_i \rightarrow x_j$ . Now using (3) and (5), we obtain: If  $i \in [a + 2, l - 1]$ , then  $C_{m+3}(z) = x_1x_2 \dots x_ix_j \dots x_mzx_{i+1} \dots x_{j-1}Rx_1$ , if  $i = a + 1$ , then  $C_{m+2}(z) = x_1x_2 \dots x_ix_j \dots x_mzx_{a+3} \dots x_{j-1}Rx_1$ , and if  $i = l$ , then  $C_{m+3}(z) = x_1x_2 \dots x_ax_{l+1} \dots x_{j-1}Rx_{a+1} \dots x_lx_j \dots x_mzx_1$ . Thus, in either case, we have a  $C(z)$ -cycle of length at least  $m + 2$ , a contradiction.

**Subcase 2.2.**  $a \leq l - 3$ ,  $b = l + 1 = m$  and  $d \geq 2$ .

If  $x_{m-1} \rightarrow w$  for some  $w \in V(H_1)$ , then using (5) and (6), we obtain that  $C_{m+2} = x_1x_2 \dots x_ax_mx_{a+3} \dots x_{m-1}wy_1y_2x_1$ , a contradiction. We may assume that  $d^+(x_{m-1}, V(H_1)) = 0$ . This together with (2) and  $A(\cup_{i=2}^f V(H_i) \rightarrow V(H_1)) = \emptyset$  implies that  $A(\cup_{i=2}^f V(H_i) \cup \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{m-1}, z\} \rightarrow V(H_1)) = \emptyset$ , which means that  $D - x_m$  is not strong, a contradiction.

**Subcase 2.3.**  $a \leq l - 3$ ,  $b = l + 1 = m$  and  $d = 1$ , i.e.,  $V(H_1) = \{y_1, y_2\}$ .

Let  $x_a \rightarrow x_m$  and  $a$  is the minimum with this property.

If  $x_i \rightarrow x_m$  with  $i \in [a + 1, m - 2]$ , then, since  $z \rightarrow x_{i+1}$  or  $z \rightarrow x_{i+2}$ , the cycle  $C(z) = x_1 \dots x_ix_mx_{i+1}(\text{or } x_{i+2}) \dots x_{m-1}y_1y_2x_1$  has length at least  $m + 2$ , a contradiction. We may therefore assume that

$$d^-(x_m, \{x_{a+1}, x_{a+2}, \dots, x_{m-2}\}) = 0. \quad (7)$$



Let  $u \in \cup_{i=2}^f V(H_i)$ . If  $u \rightarrow x_1$ , then by (5) and (6),  $C_{m+2} = x_1x_2 \dots x_ax_mx_{a+3} \dots x_{m-1}y_1y_2ux_1$ , a contradictin. If  $x_1 \rightarrow u$ , then by Lemma 3,  $A(V(H_f) \rightarrow \{x_2, x_3, \dots, x_m, z\}) = \emptyset$ . As a result, we have  $A(V(H_f) \rightarrow \cup_{i=1}^{f-1} V(H_i) \cup \{x_2, x_3, \dots, x_m, z\}) = \emptyset$ , which contradicts that  $D - x_1$  is strong. Thus, we may assume that

$$d(x_1, \cup_{i=2}^f V(H_i)) = 0. \quad (8)$$

Assume first that  $x_1x_m \notin A(D)$ . Then  $a \geq 2$ . Since  $d^-(z) \geq 2$ ,  $d(z, Y) = 0$  and  $d^-(z, \{x_{a+1}, x_{a+2}, \dots, x_{m-1}\}) = 0$ , it follows that  $d^-(z, \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_a\}) \geq 1$ . This together with Claim 1(i) implies that  $zx_m \notin A(D)$ . Hence,  $d(z, \{x_{a+1}, x_{a+2}, \dots, x_m\}) \leq m - a - 2$ . From this and  $d(z, V(P)) \geq m - 1$  we have that  $d(z, \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_a\}) \geq a + 1$ . Therefore, since  $z$  cannot be inserted into the path  $x_1x_2 \dots x_a$ , using Lemma 2, we obtain  $x_a \rightarrow z$ .

Let  $j \in [a+1, a+2]$ . Then we have: if  $x_1 \rightarrow x_j$ , then  $C(z) = x_1x_j \dots x_my_1y_2x_2 \dots x_azx_1$  has length at least  $m + 2$ , if  $x_j \rightarrow x_1$ , then  $C_{m+2}(z) = x_1x_2 \dots x_azx_{a+3} \dots x_my_1y_2x_jx_1$ . Thus, in either case we have a contradiction, which proves that

$$d(x_1, \{x_{a+1}, x_{a+2}\}) = 0. \quad (9)$$

From (9) it follows that  $f \geq 2$ , for otherwise the cycle  $C_{n-2}(z) = x_1x_2 \dots x_azx_{a+3} \dots x_my_1y_2x_1$  does not contain the vertices  $x_{a+1}, x_{a+2}$  and  $d(x_1, \{x_{a+1}, x_{a+2}\}) = d(z, \{x_{a+1}, x_{a+2}\}) = 0$ , which is impossible.

Now we want to show that

$$A(V(H_f) \rightarrow \{x_{a+1}, x_{a+2}, \dots, x_{m-1}\}) = \emptyset. \quad (10)$$

Assume that (10) is not true, i.e., there are two vertices  $u \in V(H_f)$  and  $x_j$  with  $j \in [a+1, m-1]$  such that  $u \rightarrow x_j$ . Recall that  $C(z) = x_1x_2 \dots x_azx_{a+3} \dots x_my_1y_2x_1$  is a cycle of length  $m + 1$  and the vertices  $x_{a+1}, x_{a+2}$  are not on  $C(z)$ . If  $j \in [a+2, m-1]$ , then by Lemma 3,  $d^+(x_{a+1}, Y) = 0$ , and  $x_{a+1}x_2 \notin A(D)$  since  $y_2 \rightarrow x_{a+1}$ . Since  $x_{a+1}$  cannot be inserted into  $C(z)$ , using Lemma 2 and the fact that  $d(x_{a+1}, \{x_1, z\}) = 0$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} n &\leq d(x_{a+1}) = d(x_{a+1}, \{x_{a+2}\}) + d(x_{a+1}, V(C(z)[x_{a+3}, y_2])) \\ &\quad + d(x_{a+1}, \cup_{i=2}^f V(H_i)) + d(x_{a+1}, \{x_2, x_3, \dots, x_a\}) \\ &\leq 2 + m + 1 - a - 1 + 1 + n - m - 1 - 2 + a - 1 = n - 1, \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction. Thus,

$$A(V(H_f) \rightarrow \{x_{a+2}, x_{a+3}, \dots, x_m\}) = \emptyset. \quad (11)$$

If  $j = a + 1$ , i.e.,  $u \rightarrow x_{a+1}$ , then by Lemma 3,

$$A(\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_a\} \rightarrow V(H_f)) = \emptyset. \quad (12)$$

If  $A(V(H_f) \rightarrow \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_a\}) = \emptyset$ , then by (11) and (12), we have

$$A(V(H_f) \rightarrow \cup_{i=1}^{f-1} V(H_i) \cup \{z, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_a, x_{a+2}, x_{a+3}, \dots, x_m\}) = \emptyset,$$

which means that  $D - x_{a+1}$  is not strong. We may therefore assume that  $A(V(H_f) \rightarrow \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_a\}) \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $u \rightarrow x_s$ , where  $u \in V(H_f)$ ,  $s \in [1, a]$  and  $s$  is the minimum with this property, i.e.,  $A(V(H_f) \rightarrow \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{s-1}\}) = \emptyset$ . Since  $d(x_1, V(H_f)) = 0$  (by (8)), it follows that  $s \geq 2$ . Then by (12),  $A(V(H_f), \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{s-1}\}) = \emptyset$ . By Proposition 2,  $|V(H_f)| \geq s + 1$ . Therefore, the cycle  $C(z) = y_1 y_2 H(v, u) x_s x_{s+1} \dots x_a z x_{a+3} \dots x_m y_1$ , where  $H(v, u)$  is a Hamiltonian path in  $H_f$ , has length  $m + 3$ , a contradiction.

Assume second that  $x_1 \rightarrow x_m$ . Then from Claim 2 and  $d(z, Y) = 0$  it follows that  $d^-(z, Y \cup \{x_2, x_3, \dots, x_{m-1}\}) = 0$ , which in turn implies that  $x_1 \rightarrow z$  since  $d^-(z) \geq 2$ . Then by Claim 1(i),  $z x_m \notin A(D)$ . By (7), we have that  $d^-(x_m, \{x_2, x_3, \dots, x_{m-2}, z\} \cup Y) = 0$ . Since  $D - x_{m-1}$  is strong, it contains a path from a vertex  $x_j \in \{x_2, x_3, \dots, x_{m-2}\}$  to the vertex  $x_m$ . Let  $Q$  be such a shortest path. Using (7) and (8), it is not difficult to see that  $Q = x_j x_1 x_m$ . Therefore the cycle  $C(z) = x_j x_1 z x_{j+1} (\text{or } x_{j+2}) \dots x_m y_1 y_2 x_2 \dots x_j$  has length at least  $m + 2$ , which contradicts that a longest cycle through  $z$  in  $D$  has length  $m + 1$ .

**Case 3.**  $a = l - 2$ .

Taking into account the case  $a \leq a - 3$  and  $b \geq l + 2$ , we may assume that  $b \leq l + 2$ .

**Subcase 3.1.**  $b = l + 2$ .

Then by Claim 2,  $d(z, \{x_{a+1}, x_{a+2}, x_{a+3} = x_{b-1}\}) = 0$ . Therefore by (4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} m - 1 &\leq d(z, V(P)) = d(z, \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_a, x_b, x_{b+1}, \dots, x_m\}) \\ &\leq a + m - b + 2 = b - 4 + m - b - 2 = m - 2, \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction.

**Subcase 3.2.**  $b = l + 1$ .

Then  $d(z, \{x_{a+1}, x_{a+2}\}) = 0$ . Now using (4), we obtain that  $d(z, V(P)) = m - 1$ .

Assume first that  $m \geq l + 2$ . Taking into account the considered cases, we may assume that

$$A(\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_a\} \rightarrow \{x_{b+1}, x_{b+2}, \dots, x_m\}) = \emptyset. \quad (13)$$

If  $x_i \rightarrow x_j$  with  $i \in [a + 1, a + 2 = l]$  and  $j \in [l + 2, m]$ , then the cycle  $C(z) = x_1 x_2 \dots x_a x_{l+1} \dots x_{j-1} R x_i x_j \dots x_m z x_1$  is a cycle of length at least  $m + 2$ , a contradiction. Therefore, we may assume that  $A(\{x_{l-1}, x_l\} \rightarrow \{x_{l+2}, x_{l+3}, \dots, x_m\}) = \emptyset$ . This together with (13) implies that  $A(\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_l\} \rightarrow \{x_{l+2}, x_{l+3}, \dots, x_m\}) = \emptyset$ , which contradicts Proposition 1.

Assume second that  $b = l + 1 = m$ . Let  $a \geq 2$ . Taking into account the considered Case 2, we may assume that  $d^-(x_m, \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{a-1}\}) = 0$ . It is not difficult to see that

$$A(\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{a-1}\} \rightarrow \{x_{a+1}, x_{a+2}\}) = \emptyset.$$

Indeed, if  $x_i x_j \in A(D)$  with  $i \in [1, a-1]$  and  $j \in [a+1, a+2]$ , then  $C(z) = x_1 x_2 \dots x_i x_j x_{a+2} R x_{i+1} \dots x_a x_m z x_1$  is a cycle of length at least  $m+2$ , a contradiction. Thus, we may assume that

$$A(\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{a-1}\} \rightarrow \{x_{a+1}, x_{a+2}, x_{a+3} = x_m\}) = \emptyset. \quad (14)$$

Since  $D - x_a$  is strong, it follows that in  $D - x_a$  there is a path from a vertex  $x_i \in \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{a-1}\}$  to a vertex  $x_j \in \{x_{a+1}, x_{a+2}, x_{a+3} = x_m\}$ . Let  $Q$  be such a shortest path. Then, using (2) and  $d(z, Y \cup \{x_{a+1}, x_{a+2}\}) = 0$ , it is not difficult to see that  $x_j \in \{x_{a+1}, x_{a+2}\}$  and the internal vertices of  $Q$  are in  $\sum_{i=d+1}^f V(H_i)$ . This means that  $C_{m+1}(z)$  contains an  $(x_i, x_j)$ -bypass such that  $z \notin V(C_{m+1}(z)[x_{i+1}, x_{j-1}])$ . Therefore by Lemma 3,  $D$  contains a  $C(z)$ -cycle of length at least  $m+2$ , a contradiction. Let now  $a = 1$ . Then  $b = l+1 = m = 4$ . From  $d^-(z) \geq 2$ ,  $d^+(z) \geq 2$  and  $d(z, Y \cup \{x_2, x_3\}) = 0$  it follows that  $x_1 \rightarrow z \rightarrow x_4$ , which contradicts Claim 1(i). The discussion of Case 3 is completed.

**Case 4.**  $a = l - 1$ .

Taking into account Cases 2-3 and the digraph duality, we may assume that  $b = l + 1$  and  $A(\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{l-1}\} \rightarrow \{x_{l+2}, x_{l+3}, \dots, x_m\}) = \emptyset$ .

Assume first that  $m \geq b + 1 = l + 2$ . If  $x_l \rightarrow x_i$  with  $i \in [l + 2, m]$ , then the cycle  $C(z) = x_1 x_2 \dots x_{l-1} x_{l+1} \dots x_{i-1} R x_l x_i \dots x_m z x_1$  has length at least  $m + 3$ , a contradiction. We may therefore assume that  $d^+(x_l, \{x_{l+2}, \dots, x_m\}) = 0$ . As a result, we have  $A(\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_l\} \rightarrow \{x_{l+2}, x_{l+3}, \dots, x_m\}) = \emptyset$ , which contradicts Proposition 1.

Assume second that  $m = b = l + 1$ . Let  $a \geq 2$ . Taking into account the considered cases, it is not difficult to show that  $A(\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{a-1}\} \rightarrow \{x_{a+1}, x_{a+2} = x_m\}) = 0$ . Since  $D - x_a$  is strong, there is a path from a vertex  $x_i \in \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{a-1}\}$  to a vertex  $x_j \in \{x_{a+1}, x_{a+2}\}$ . Let  $Q$  be such a shortest path. From Claim 1(i), (2) and  $d(z, \{x_{a+1}\} \cup Y) = 0$  it follows that  $Q$  is a  $(C(z), x_i, x_l)$ -bypass, whose internal vertices are in  $\cup_{i=d+1}^f V(H_i)$  and  $z \notin V(C_{m+1}(z)[x_{i+1}, x_{l-1}])$ , this contradicts Lemma 3. Let now  $a = 1$ . Then  $m = 3$  and  $x_1 \rightarrow z \rightarrow x_3$ , which contradicts Claim 1(i). This contradiction completes the discussion of Case 4. Lemma 4 is proved.  $\square$

Now we are ready to prove the main result of this note.

**Proof of Theorem 6:** Let  $D$  be a 2-strong digraph of order  $n$  satisfying the conditions of Theorem 6. Suppose that  $D$  is not Hamiltonian. Let  $C_m(z) := x_1 x_2 \dots x_m x_1$  be a longest cycle through  $z$  in  $D$  and let  $Y = V(D) \setminus V(C_m(z))$ . Note that  $m \geq 3$  since  $D$  is 2-strong. Recall that by the supposition of the theorem,  $m \geq n - k - 2$ . Since  $C_m(z)$  is a longest cycle in  $D$ , it follows that any vertex  $u \in Y$  cannot be inserted into  $C_m(z)$ . Then by Lemma 1,  $d(u, V(C_m(z))) \leq m$  and

$$\begin{aligned} n + k &\leq d(u) = d(u, V(C_m(z))) + d(u, Y) \\ &\leq m + 2n - 2m - 2 = 2n - m - 2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $m \leq n - k - 2$  (i.e.,  $m = n - k - 2$ ),  $|Y| = k + 2 \geq 2$ ,  $d(u, Y) = 2k + 2$  (i.e.,  $D\langle Y \rangle$  is a complete digraph) and  $d(u, V(C_m(z))) = n - k - 2$ . If some vertex of  $Y$  is adjacent to every vertex of  $C_m(z)$ , then  $D$  is Hamiltonian. We may therefore assume that there are vertices  $y \in Y$  and  $x_i$ , say  $x_{n-k-2}$ , which are not adjacent. Using the facts that  $d(y, V(C_m(z))) = n - k - 2$ ,  $D\langle Y \rangle$  is complete and Lemma 2, it is not difficult to show that  $d(x_{n-k-2}, Y) = 0$ ,  $x_{n-k-3} \rightarrow Y \rightarrow x_1$  and  $z = x_{n-k-2}$ . Since for every vertex  $u \in Y$ ,  $d(u, V(C_{n-k-2}(z))) = n - k - 2$ , it follows that  $D$  satisfies the conditions of Lemma 4. Therefore,  $d(z) = d(z, \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-k-3}\}) \leq n - k - 5$ , which contradicts that  $d(z) \geq n - k - 4$ . The theorem is proved.  $\square$

## 4 Conclusion

For Hamiltonicity of a graph  $G$  (undirected graph), there are numerous sufficient conditions in terms of the connectivity number  $k(G)$  of  $G$ , where  $k(G) \geq 3$  (recall that for a graph  $G$  to be Hamiltonian,  $k(G) \geq 2$  is a necessary condition) and the minimum degree  $\delta(G)$  or the sum of degrees of some vertices with certain properties. Results on Hamiltonian graphs can be found in the survey papers by Gould, e.g. [15]. This is not the case for the general digraphs. Moreover, in [16], the author proved that: For every pair of integers  $k \geq 2$  and  $n \geq 4k + 1$  (respectively,  $n = 4k + 1$ ), there exists a  $k$ -strong  $(n - 1)$ -regular (respectively, with minimum degree at least  $n - 1$  and with minimum semi-degrees at least  $2k - 1 = (n - 3)/2$ ) a non-Hamiltonian digraph of order  $n$ .

There are a number of degree or degree sum condition for a bipartite digraph to be Hamiltonian. The reader can find more information on the topic in survey paper [17] by Ge, Ye and Zhang. Often, the lower bounds in such conditions are best possible. However, many reseachers reduce the bounds and try to identify all exceotional bipartite digraphs, that is the non-Hamiltonian digraphs satisfying these new conditions, see [18] and the papers cited there.

Based on these and the evidence from Theorem 4, we propose the following problem.

**Problem 2:** *Investigate the Hmiltonicity of bipartite digraphs by requiring that the degree condition satisfies only for some vertices or some pairs of vertices with an additional restriction (for detailes, see arXiv:2306.16826).*

## References

- [1] J. Bang-Jensen and G. Gutin, Digraphs: Theory, Algorithms and Applications, Springer, 2000.

- [2] J.-C. Bermond and C. Thomassen, “Cycles in digraphs – A survey”, *Journal of Graph Theory* vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 1-43, 1981.
- [3] D. Kühn and D. Osthus, “A survey on Hamilton cycles in directed graphs”, *European Journal of Combinatorics*, vol. 33, pp. 750-766, 2012.
- [4] A. Ghouila-Houri, “Une condition suffisante d’existence d’un circuit hamiltonien”, *Comptes Rendus de l’Academie des Sciences Paris*, ser. A-B 251, pp. 495-497, 1960.
- [5] M. Meyniel, “Une condition suffisante d’existence d’un circuit hamiltonien dans un graphe orienté”, *Journal of Combinatorial Theory*, Ser. B, vol. 14, pp. 137-147, 1973.
- [6] C.St.J.A. Nash-Williams, “Hamilton circuits in graphs and digraphs”, *The many facets of graph theory*, Springer Verlag Lecture Notes 110, (Springer Verlag) pp. 237-243, 1969.
- [7] C. Thomassen, “Long cycles in digraphs”, *Proceedings of London Mathematical Society*, vol. 3(42), pp. 231-251, 1981.
- [8] S.Kh. Darbinyan, “Cycles of any length in digraph with large semi-degrees”, *Aakdemy Nauk Armyan SSR Doklady*, vol. 75, no. 4, pp. 147-152, 1982 (arXiv.1911.05998v1).
- [9] M.K. Goldberg, L.P. Levitskaya and L.M. Satanovskiy, “On one strengthening of the Ghouila-Houri theorem”, *Vichislitel'naya Matematika i Vichislitel'naya Tekhnika*, vol. 2, pp. 56-61, 1971.
- [10] S.Kh. Darbinyan, “Hamiltonian and strongly Hamilton-Connected digraphs”, *Aakdemy Nauk Armyan SSR Doklady*, vol. 91, no. 1, pp. 3-6, 1990 (arXiv.1801.05166v1).
- [11] S.Kh. Darbinyan, “A sufficient condition for a digraph to be Hamiltonian”, *Aakdemy Nauk Armyan SSR Doklady*, vol. 91, no. 2, pp. 57-59, 1990.
- [12] S.Kh. Darbinyan, “ On an Extension of the Ghouila-Houri Theorem” *Mathematical Problems of Computer Science*, vol.58, pp. 20-31, 2022.
- [13] R. Häggkvist and C. Thomassen, “On pancyclic digraphs”, *Journal of Combinatorial Theory*, Ser. B, vol. 20, no. 1, pp. 20-40, 1976.
- [14] J.A. Bondy and C. Thomassen, “A short proof of Meyniel’s theorem”, *Discrete Mathematics*, vol. 19, pp. 195-197, 1977.
- [15] R.J. Gould, “Recent Advances on the Hamiltonian Problem: Survey III”, *Graphs and Combinatorics*, vol. 30, pp. 1-46, 2014.

- [16] S.Kh. Darbinyan, “Disproof of a conjecture of Thomassen”, *Aakdemy Nauk Armyan SSR Doklady*, vol. 76, no. 2, pp. 51-54, 1983.
- [17] H. Ge, Ch. Ye and Sh. Zhang, “A survey on sufficient conditions for hamiltonian cycles in bipartite digraphs”, *Discontinuity, Nonlineraty, and Complexity*, vol. 1, no. 2, pp. 55-62, 2020.
- [18] R. Wang, L. Wu and W. Meng, “Extremal digraphs on Meyniel-type condition for hamiltonian cycles in balanced bipartite digraphs”, *Discrete Mathematics and Theoretical Computer Science*, vol. 23, no. 3, 2022.