FREE CURVES, EIGENSCHEMES AND PENCILS OF CURVES

ROBERTA DI GENNARO, GIOVANNA ILARDI, ROSA MARIA MIRÓ-ROIG, HAL SCHENCK, AND JEAN VALLÈS

ABSTRACT. Let $R = \mathbb{K}[x, y, z]$. A reduced plane curve $C = V(f) \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ is free if its associated module of tangent derivations Der(f) is a free *R*-module, or equivalently if the corresponding sheaf $T_{\mathbb{P}^2}(-\log C)$ of vector fields tangent to *C* splits as a direct sum of line bundles on \mathbb{P}^2 . In general, free curves are difficult to find, and in this note, we describe a new method for constructing free curves in \mathbb{P}^2 . The key tools in our approach are eigenschemes and pencils of curves, combined with an interpretation of Saito's criterion in this context. Previous constructions typically applied only to curves with quasihomogeneous singularities, which is not necessary in our approach. We illustrate our method by constructing large families of free curves.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we study the module (or sheaf) of derivations tangent to a reduced curve $C \subseteq \mathbb{P}^2$. This is a classical topic of algebraic geometry, and fits into the following broader picture: let D be a divisor on a smooth complex variety X. When D is a normal crossing divisor, Deligne [7] constructed a mixed Hodge structure on $U = X \setminus D$ using the logarithmic de Rham complex $\Omega^{\bullet}_X(-\log D)$. Building on this, in [23] Saito defined the sheaf of derivations tangent to D and (dually) the sheaf of logarithmic one-forms with pole along D.

Definition 1.1. The module of tangent derivations is a sheaf of \mathcal{O}_X -modules, such that if $f \in \mathcal{O}_{X,p}$ is a local defining equation for D at p, then

$$(\mathcal{T}_X(-\log D))_p = \{\theta \in \mathcal{T}_X \mid \theta(f) \in \langle f \rangle \}.$$

When D is a normal-crossing divisor, $\mathcal{T}_X(-\log D)$ is always locally free. Saito shows that if X has dimension n then $\mathcal{T}_X(-\log D)$ is a locally free sheaf if and only if locally there exist n derivations

(1)
$$\theta_i = \sum_{j=1}^n f_{ij} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \in \mathcal{T}_X(-\log D)_p$$

such that the determinant of the matrix $[f_{ij}]$ of coefficients of the derivations $\{\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_n\}$ above is a unit multiple of the local defining equation for D. See [15], [18], [19], [24], [29] for recent work on $\mathcal{T}_X(-\log D)$. Even when the ambient space X is a projective space, determining if the module of tangent derivations is locally free is non-trivial.

The module of derivations tangent to D is a reflexive sheaf. So, since a reflexive sheaf on a surface is always locally free, when $X = \mathbb{P}^2$ the module of derivations is locally free. Our interest is when it splits as $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(a) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(b)$, in which case the divisor (now a curve

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 14H20; Secondary 14C21, 14J60.

Key words and phrases. free curve, pencil of curves, arrangement, eigenschemes.

Miró-Roig has been partially supported by the grant PID2019-104844GB-I00. Schenck was supported by NSF 2006410.

C) is said to be a *free* curve with exponents (a, b). In general, free curves are difficult to find, and the point of the present work is to describe a new method to construct free curves based on the theory of eigenschemes of tensors.

1.1. Algebraic preliminaries. Let $R = \bigoplus_{k \ge 0} R_k = \mathbb{K}[x_0, \dots, x_n]$ be the \mathbb{Z} -graded ring in n + 1 variables with $\mathbb{P}^n = \operatorname{Proj}(R)$.

Definition 1.2. For R as above, the module of K-derivations $\text{Der}_{\mathbb{K}}(R)$ is free of rank n+1, with basis $\{\partial_{x_0}, \ldots, \partial_{x_n}\}$. For a reduced homogeneous polynomial $f \in R_{d\geq 1}$, the module of derivations Der(f) tangent to V(f) is defined as

$$Der(f) := \{ \delta \in Der_{\mathbb{K}}(R) \mid \delta(f) \in \langle f \rangle \}.$$

The divisor V(f) is free if Der(f) is a free *R*-module. The Euler derivation

$$\delta_E = \sum_{i=0}^n x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$$

satisfies $\delta_E(f) = df$. Hence, for any $\delta \in \text{Der}(f)$ we have the decomposition

$$\delta = \delta' + \frac{1}{d} \frac{\delta(f)}{f} \delta_E$$
, with $\delta' = \delta - \frac{1}{d} \frac{\delta(f)}{f} \delta_E$ and $\delta'(f) = 0$

which yields the decomposition

 $\operatorname{Der}(f) = R\delta_E \oplus \operatorname{Der}_0(f), \text{ where } \operatorname{Der}_0(f) = \{\delta \in \operatorname{Der}_{\mathbb{K}}(R) \mid \delta(f) = 0\}.$

Let $\nabla(f) = (\partial_{x_0} f, \dots, \partial_{x_n} f)$ be the vector of partial derivatives. Then $\text{Der}_0(f)$ is simply the kernel of the Jacobian map

$$R^{n+1} \xrightarrow{\nabla(f)} R(d-1).$$

Example 1.3. The study of hyperplane arrangements focuses on the case where the divisor is a (reduced) union of hyperplanes in \mathbb{P}^n ; by convention in this case the divisor is written as $\mathcal{A} = \bigcup H_i$. In [21] Orlik-Solomon showed that the cohomology ring $H^*(U_{\mathcal{A}}, \mathbb{Q})$ of the affine arrangement complement $U_{\mathcal{A}} \subseteq \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ has a purely combinatorial description, and a renowned theorem of Terao [27] relates $H^*(U_{\mathcal{A}}, \mathbb{Q})$ to the freeness of V(f):

Theorem (Terao): For a reduced hyperplane arrangement $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$ with $\mathcal{A} = V(f)$, if $Der(f) \simeq \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n+1} R(-a_i)$, then the Poincaré polynomial of $H^*(U_{\mathcal{A}}, \mathbb{Q})$ satisfies

$$P(H^*(U_A, \mathbb{Q}), t) = \prod_{i=1}^{n+1} (1 + a_i t)$$

The condition that D = V(f) is a free divisor on \mathbb{P}^n is equivalent to the Jacoboian ideal J_f of f generated by $\nabla(f)$ being arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay of codimension two. Such ideals are completely described by the Hilbert-Burch theorem [10]: if $I = \langle g_1, \ldots, g_m \rangle$ is Cohen-Macaulay of codimension two, then I is defined by the maximal minors of the $m \times (m-1)$ matrix of the first syzygies of the ideal I.

Combining this with Euler's formula for a homogeneous polynomial shows that a free divisor V(f) on \mathbb{P}^n has a very constrained structure: $f = \det(M)$ for an $(n+1) \times (n+1)$ matrix M, with one column consisting of the variables, and the remaining n columns the minimal first syzygies on $\nabla(f)$. In particular, V(f) is a special type of determinantal hypersurface. As shown by Beauville [4], smooth determinantal hypersurfaces are quite rare. We focus here on singular curves in \mathbb{P}^2 which are reduced but not irreducible.

1.2. History and Techniques for Free Divisors. Following the early work of Deligne and Saito, the appearance of Terao's freeness theorem led to much subsequent work on freeness for hyperplane arrangements. In this setting, there is a natural inductive approach introduced by Terao in [28] to the study of freeness, involving the interplay between adding a hyperplane H to \mathcal{A} , and restricting \mathcal{A} to H. This inductive approach was generalized to the case of rational plane curve arrangements in [25], and to arrangements of higher genus plane curves in [24].

The results in [24] and [25] require that the curve $C = \bigcup C_i$ has quasihomogeneous singularities, which means that at each singular point, the Milnor number and Tjurina number are equal. This is a subtle property which is nontrivial to verify. An important feature of our work in this paper is that no assumptions are made (or needed) about the type of singularity. Another technique for studying free curves in \mathbb{P}^2 appears in work [29] of Vallès, and our approach builds on [29]. First, we recall some terminology and background.

Definition 1.4. A linear system is a subspace $V \subseteq H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(d)) = R_d$. If the dimension of $\mathbb{P}(V)$ is one or two, the corresponding linear systems are called *pencils* or *nets*.

Theorem 1.5. (Vallès, [29]) Let $C = \bigcup C_i \subseteq \mathbb{P}^2$ be a union of curves C_i from a pencil P of curves of degree d, such that P has a smooth base locus and let f be the corresponding reduced homogeneous polynomial. Then C = V(f) is a free divisor with exponents (2d - 2, N - 2d + 1) where $N = \deg(C)$ if and only if C contains all the singular members of the pencil and $\nabla(f)$ is a local complete intersection.

One of the key tools in [29] is the construction of a canonical syzygy on $\nabla(f)$, with f as above. Let G_1 and G_2 be two general elements of the pencil. We show in Lemma 3.2 that the 2×2 minors $\nabla(G_1) \wedge \nabla(G_2)$ of the matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial G_1}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial G_1}{\partial x_2} & \frac{\partial G_1}{\partial x_3} \\ \frac{\partial G_2}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial G_2}{\partial x_2} & \frac{\partial G_2}{\partial x_3} \end{bmatrix}$$

are a syzygy on $\nabla(f)$. We can use this method to study more general pencils, but Theorem 1.5 does not apply when the base locus is not smooth. Even for a pencil of smooth conics sharing a tangent line the Jacobian ideal may not be a local complete intersection: we show in Example 4.3 that the Tjurina and Milnor numbers can differ at a singular point. The total Tjurina number (sum of the Tjurina numbers at singular points) determines the second Chern class of the module of tangent derivations, and is quite subtle. We discuss this more in §4.3.

Another interesting case which is not covered by Theorem 1.5 is when the pencil is generated by two multiple curves, such as the pencil (f^3, g^2) where V(f) is a smooth conic and V(g) a smooth cubic. All curves of the pencil are singular along the base locus $V(f) \cap V(g)$. This example was introduced by Zariski in [32] and [33]: he constructed two sextic curves C_1 and C_2 , each with six ordinary cusps, such that the complements $\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus C_1$ and $\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus C_2$ are not homeomorphic. The difference between the two is that C_1 has all cusps on a smooth conic, and C_2 does not. As a consequence, the fundamental groups of the complements are different. In Example 4.2, we describe in detail the case of a triangle V(g) meeting a smooth conic V(f) along six distinct points; when V(g) is smooth an analysis appears in [30]. For another perspective on freeness of curves in a pencil, see Dimca [8], and for a close variant to freeness, see Abe [1].

Acknowledgements. Our project began at the 2019 CIRM workshop "Lefschetz properties in algebra, geometry, and combinatorics" and we thank CIRM for a great workshop.

2. First Key Tool: Eigenschemes

We begin with the definition of an eigenscheme in our context.

Definition 2.1. The eigenscheme associated to three polynomials (P_1, P_2, P_3) of the same degree $n \ge 1$ is the closed subscheme $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ defined by the 2×2 minors of the matrix

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} x & P_1 \\ y & P_2 \\ z & P_3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

When $V(P_1, P_2, P_3)$ contains a curve this curve is also in the eigenscheme; note that even if $V(P_1, P_2, P_3)$ is a finite set of points or empty, the eigenscheme may be of codimension one: for example if

$$P_1 = xf + gQ_1, P_2 = yf + gQ_2, P_3 = zf + gQ_3,$$

then the eigenscheme of (P_1, P_2, P_3) clearly contains V(g). Assume now that the eigenscheme Γ associated to (P_1, P_2, P_3) is a finite scheme. Then it is defined by

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(-n) \xrightarrow{M} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}^3 \longrightarrow \mathcal{J}_{\Gamma}(n+1) \longrightarrow 0,$$

where \mathcal{J}_{Γ} is its ideal sheaf. Its length is

$$c_2(\mathcal{J}_{\Gamma}(n+1)) = 1 + n + n^2.$$

Set theoretically, one sees easily that Γ consists of the union of the indeterminacy locus and the fixed points of the rational map

$$\mathbb{P}^2 - -- \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2, \ p \mapsto (P_1(p), P_2(p), P_3(p)).$$

Moreover, it is locally a complete intersection. Indeed, it is the zero locus of a suitable section of a rank two vector bundle on \mathbb{P}^2 ; namely of a twist of the tangent bundle $T_{\mathbb{P}^2}$ of \mathbb{P}^2 as it can be seen using the following commutative diagram

For more details about eigenschemes we refer to [2] and [5].

Lemma 2.2. Assume that Γ is a finite scheme. Let $f \in R_N$ with $N \ge n+1$. Then $f \in H^0(\mathcal{J}_{\Gamma}(N))$ if and only if there exists

$$(Q_1, Q_2, Q_3) \in R^3_{N-(n+1)}$$

such that

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} x & P_1 & Q_1 \\ y & P_2 & Q_2 \\ z & P_3 & Q_3 \end{pmatrix} = cf, \ c \in \mathbb{K}^*$$

Proof. Let us denote by I_{Γ} the saturated ideal $\bigoplus_m \mathrm{H}^0(\mathcal{J}_{\Gamma}(m))$. Since $R^3_{N-(n+1)} \to (I_{\Gamma})_N$ is surjective (because I_{Γ} is saturated), a curve $V(f) \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ of degree N containing Γ has an equation of the type

$$f = Q_1 R_1 + Q_2 R_2 + Q_3 R_3 = 0,$$

where $\bigwedge^2 M = (R_1, R_2, R_3)$. This is clearly equivalent to

$$f = \det \begin{pmatrix} x & P_1 & Q_1 \\ y & P_2 & Q_2 \\ z & P_3 & Q_3 \end{pmatrix} = Q_1 R_1 + Q_2 R_2 + Q_3 R_3.$$

The converse is immediate.

Now let us show how this eigenscheme is related to the notion of freeness for curves. Recall that we say a reduced polynomial f (or the reduced curve $V(f) \subset \mathbb{P}^2$) is free if and only if $\text{Der}_0(f)$ (or equivalently Der(f)) is a free R-module. So f is free iff $\text{Der}_0(f) =$ $R(-a) \oplus R(-b)$ with $0 \leq a \leq b$ and a + b + 1 = deg(f), or equivalently Der(f) = $R(-1) \oplus R(-a) \oplus R(-b)$. In this situation, we will use the terminology "f is free with exponents (a, b)".

Let C = V(f) be a reduced plane curve of degree d. Let us recall that, according to Saito's criterion [23], the curve C is free with exponents (a, b) if and only if there exist two derivations

$$\delta = P_1 \partial_x + P_2 \partial_y + P_3 \partial_z$$
 and $\mu = Q_1 \partial_x + Q_2 \partial_y + Q_3 \partial_z$

of degree a and b belonging to Der(f) such that

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} x & P_1 & Q_1 \\ y & P_2 & Q_2 \\ z & P_3 & Q_3 \end{pmatrix} = cf,$$

where $c \in \mathbb{K}^*$.

Let us introduce now the kernel of a derivation.

Definition 2.3. Let

$$\delta = P_1 \partial_x + P_2 \partial_y + P_3 \partial_z$$

be a non zero irreducible derivation of degree $a \ge 1$. The graded module of homogeneous polynomials with δ as a tangent derivation:

$$K(\delta) = \bigoplus_{d \ge 0} K(\delta)_d := \{ f \in R \, | \, \delta(f) \in (f) \}$$

is called kernel of the derivation δ .

Remark 2.4. $F \in K(\delta) \Leftrightarrow \delta \in Der(F)$.

As an immediate consequence of Lemma 2.2 we obtain:

Theorem 2.5. Let $\delta = P_1 \partial_x + P_2 \partial_y + P_3 \partial_z$ be a non zero irreducible derivation of degree $a \ge 1$ such that its eigenscheme Γ_{δ} is a finite scheme. Let $f \in K(\delta)_{d \ge a+1}$, then the plane curve V(f) is free with exponents (a, d - a - 1) if and only if $V(f) \supset \Gamma_{\delta}$.

Proof. Let us assume first that V(f) is free with exponents (a, d-a-1), so that δ can be chosen as a generator of Der(f). Let us denote by $\mu = Q_1 \partial_x + Q_2 \partial_y + Q_3 \partial_z$ a generator of degree d - 1 - a. Then by Saito's criterion we have

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} x & P_1 & Q_1 \\ y & P_2 & Q_2 \\ z & P_3 & Q_3 \end{pmatrix} = cf, \quad c \in \mathbb{K}^*,$$

which proves directly that $\Gamma_{\delta} \subset V(f)$.

Reciprocally, assume that V(f) contains Γ_{δ} . Then by Lemma 2.2 there exists three polynomials $(Q_1, Q_2, Q_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3_{d-a-1}$ such that

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} x & P_1 & Q_1 \\ y & P_2 & Q_2 \\ z & P_3 & Q_3 \end{pmatrix} = f.$$

To conclude with Saito's criterion it just remains to verify that the corresponding derivation $\mu = Q_1 \partial_x + Q_2 \partial_y + Q_3 \partial_z$ verifies $\mu \in \text{Der}(f)$.

Let $M = \begin{pmatrix} x & P_1 & Q_1 \\ y & P_2 & Q_2 \\ z & P_3 & Q_3 \end{pmatrix}$ and CoM^T be the transpose matrix of its cofactors. Let us

denote by m_x, m_y, m_z, m_{P_i} and m_{Q_i} the cofactors of x, y, z, P_i and Q_i respectively. Remind that

$$M CoM^T = CoM M^T = fI.$$

Multiplying by $\nabla(f)$ we get

$$CoM M^T \nabla(f) = CoM \begin{pmatrix} df \\ Kf \\ \mu(f) \end{pmatrix} = f \nabla(f)$$

because $x\partial_x f + y\partial_y f + z\partial_z f = df$ and $\delta(f) = P_1 f_x + P_2 f_y + P_3 f_z = Kf$ for some polynomial K. This gives the following system of equations:

$$\begin{cases} m_{Q_1} \mu(f) &= f(\partial_x f - dm_x - Km_{P_1}) \\ m_{Q_2} \mu(f) &= f(\partial_y f - dm_y - Km_{P_2}) \\ m_{Q_3} \mu(f) &= f(\partial_z f - dm_z - Km_{P_3}) \end{cases}$$

If $\mu \notin \text{Der}(f)$ then f does not divide $\mu(f)$ meaning that there is a irreducible factor g of f which is not an irreducible factor of $\mu(f)$. By the Gauss lemma, this factor g divides m_{Q_i} for i = 1, 2, 3. But the m_{Q_i} are the three generators of the ideal $I_{\Gamma_{\delta}}$ which contradicts the finiteness of Γ_{δ} . Then $\mu \in \text{Der}(f)$.

Remark 2.6. Note that fixing the exponents is necessary for Theorem 2.5 to hold. To see this, consider a free curve of degree 5 with exponents (2, 2). Let μ and ν two derivations of degree 2 generating its logarithmic module. Let $\delta = x\mu + y\nu$ a derivation of degree 3. Then $f \in K(\delta)_5$ is free but its exponents are not (3, 1) and as a consequence $f \notin I_{\Gamma_{\delta}}$.

In addition, if $f \in K(\delta)_d$ with $d \leq a$ then f can be free but δ will not be a generator of its associated logarithmic module, for degree reasons.

3. Second Key Tool: Pencils of Curves

As well known examples of free arrangements we have:

(1) The Ceva-Braid arrangement

$$xyz(x-y)(x-z)(y-z) = 0$$

is free with exponents (2,3).

(2) The Hesse arrangement

$$\prod_{\epsilon=\infty,1,j,j^2} (x^3 + y^3 + z^3 - 3\epsilon xyz) = 0$$

is free with exponents (4, 7).

(3) The Fermat arrangement

$$(x^{n} - y^{n})(x^{n} - z^{n})(y^{n} - z^{n}) = 0$$

is free with exponents (n+1, 2n-2).

A main observation pointed out to the fourth author by Artal and Cogolludo is that each of the three divisors above is the union of all the singular members of the pencil of

- (1) conics [(x y)z, y(x z)] for the Ceva-Braid arrangement.
- (2) cubics $[x^3 + y^3 + z^3, xyz]$ for the Hesse arrangement. (3) *n*-ics $[x^n y^n, x^n z^n]$ for the Fermat arrangement.

Remark 3.1. Artal and Cogolludo suggested that this phenomenon should hold for any pencil. When the base locus of the pencil is smooth and the singular curves of the pencil have only quasihomogeneous singularities then this is indeed true, and is proved in [29]. The proof relies on the existence of a canonical derivation $\delta_{f,g}$ associated to a pencil [f,g]. The obstruction to proving the result with non-smooth base locus is the lack of control over the nature and numerical contributions of the singular points.

The main idea in this paper is that rather than considering the base locus of the pencil and the singular points of the singular curves of the canonical derivation $\delta_{f,q}$ as in [29], we consider the eigenscheme of $\delta_{f,g}$.

3.1. Canonical derivation associated to a pencil. We now consider two reduced polynomials $f \in R_n$ and $g \in R_m$ with no common factor. Define a derivation as follows:

$$\delta_{f,g} := [\nabla f \wedge \nabla g] \cdot \nabla = \det \begin{pmatrix} \partial_x f & \partial_x g & \partial_x \\ \partial_y f & \partial_y g & \partial_y \\ \partial_z f & \partial_z g & \partial_z \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then, we have

Lemma 3.2. Let $F_k = 0$ be the union of $k \ge 1$ curves in the pencil generated by (f^a, g^b) where $lcm(n,m) = a \times n = b \times m$. The derivation $\delta_{f,g}$ associated to the pair (f,g), verifies:

- (1) $\delta_{f,g} \in \operatorname{Der}_0(f) \cap \operatorname{Der}_0(g),$
- (2) $\delta_{f,q} \in \operatorname{Der}_0(F_k),$
- (3) If $F_k = FG$ where F and G are two polynomials with no common factor then $\delta_{f,g} \in \operatorname{Der}(F) \cap \operatorname{Der}(G).$

Proof. The first assertion is an immediate consequence of the definition of $\delta_{f,g}$. The Leibniz rules for the derivation of a product and a power imply the second assertion. Let $F_k = FG$. By (2) and the Leibniz rule we have

$$0 = \delta_{f,q}(F_k) = G\delta_{f,q}(F) + F\delta_{f,q}(G)$$

that is

$$G\delta_{f,g}(F) = -F\delta_{f,g}(G).$$

Since, by hypothesis F and G do not share any factor, this implies that $F | \delta_{f,g}(F)$ and $G | \delta_{f,g}(G)$ proving that $\delta_{f,g} \in \text{Der}(F) \cap \text{Der}(G)$.

3.2. Eigenscheme associated to a pencil of curves. With the same hypothesis as above, we consider the rational map

$$\phi_{f,g}: \mathbb{P}^2 \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2, \ p \mapsto [\nabla f \wedge \nabla g](p)$$

induced by the derivation $\delta_{f,g}$. We recall that the eigenscheme Γ associated to $\delta_{f,g}$ consists set theoretically of the union of the indeterminacy locus of $\phi_{f,g}$ and the set of fixed points of $\phi_{f,g}$, i.e. those points p such that $\phi_{f,g}(p) = p$. Since p and $[\nabla f \wedge \nabla g](p)$ are the same projective point, the point p is orthogonal to both $\nabla f(p)$ and $\nabla g(p)$; this implies by Euler's formula for homogeneous polynomial that f(p) = g(p) = 0 i.e. $p \in B = V(f) \cap V(g)$. The set of fixed points is finite but this is not always the case for the indeterminacy locus $V(\nabla f \wedge \nabla g)$ of $\phi_{f,g}$.

Remark 3.3. When n = m, $V(\nabla f \wedge \nabla g)$ contains a curve if and only if the pencil contains non reduced curve, say $f + g = u_1^{r_1} \cdots u_t^{r_t}$ where $u_i = 0$ are the reduced and irreducible factors of f + g and at least one r_i is greater than 2. In this case replacing f by f + g in the pencil, one sees that the derivation $\delta_{f,g}$ is a multiple of $\delta_{h,g}$ where $h = u_1 \cdots u_t$. We then study the eigenscheme associated to $\delta_{h,g}$ (see for instance Example 3.5).

Let us describe more precisely the eigenscheme associated to $\delta_{f,q}$.

Proposition 3.4. Let $f \in R_n$ and $g \in R_m$ be two reduced polynomials without common factors such that $V(\nabla f \wedge \nabla g)$ is a finite scheme. Let a and b integers such that $\operatorname{lcm}(n,m) = a \times n = b \times m$. Let Γ be the eigenscheme associated to $\delta_{f,g}$.

(1) The number of curves, different from V(g^b) and V(f^a) in the pencil C = (f^a, g^b) that are singular outside the base locus B = V(f) ∩ V(g) is finite and bounded by (n-1)² + (n-1)(m-1) + (m-1)² which is the length of the scheme V(∇f ∧ ∇g).
(2) The scheme Γ is the union of the schemes B and V(∇f ∧ ∇g).

Proof. To prove 1), assume that $\lambda f^a + \mu g^b$ is singular at $p \notin B$. Then $\nabla(\lambda f^a + \mu g^b)(p) = 0$. This gives

$$\nabla(\lambda f^a + \mu g^b)(p) = a\lambda f(p)^{a-1}\nabla f(p) + b\mu g(p)^{b-1}\nabla g(p) = 0,$$

with by hypothesis $f(p) \neq 0$ and $g(p) \neq 0$. So the above equation is a relation between $\nabla f(p)$ and $\nabla g(p)$ proving that $p \in V(\nabla f \wedge \nabla g)$. This last scheme is defined by

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1-n) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1-m) \xrightarrow{(\nabla f, \nabla g)} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}^3 \longrightarrow \mathcal{J}(n+m-2) \xrightarrow{} 0$$

from which it follows that it has length $(n-1)^2 + (n-1)(m-1) + (m-1)^2$.

For 2), we consider the three schemes, $B = V(f) \cap V(g)$, $Z = V(\nabla f \wedge \nabla g)$, and the eigenscheme Γ of the canonical derivation $\delta_{f,g}$. First of all note that

$$\deg(\Gamma) = \deg(B) + \deg(Z)$$

In fact, more is true: Γ is also the union of B and Z. To see this, consider the commutative diagram below:



The claim follows from the rightmost vertical exact sequence.

Example 3.5. The eigenscheme associated to a pencil of conics with a finite base locus is a finite scheme of length 7. When the conics meet in four distinct points, it consists in these 4 base points plus the 3 singular points of the singular conics of the pencil. When the conics meet in three points, it consists in the union of the 2 singular points of the two singular conics of the pencil plus the scheme of length 5 supported by the base locus (1+1+3) (See Subsection 4.3 for more details). When the conics meet in a quadruple point, the eigenscheme is a finite scheme of length 3; indeed it is the eigenscheme of the canonical derivation associated to a smooth conic of the pencil and to the reduced line (tangent at the quadruple point) appearing as a double line in the pencil.

We are now ready to state the main result of this section.

Theorem 3.6. Let $f \in R_n$ and $g \in R_m$ be two reduced polynomials without common factors such that $V(\nabla f \wedge \nabla g)$ is a finite scheme. Let Γ be the eigenscheme associated to the canonical derivation $\delta_{f,g}$, let $V(F_k)$ be the union of $k \geq 2$ curves in the pencil generated by (f^a, g^b) where lcm $(n, m) = a \times n = b \times m$ and let F be a polynomial of degree N > n+m-1 verifying $F \mid F_k$. Then V(F) is free with exponents (n+m-2, N-n-m+1)if and only if $F \in (I_{\Gamma})_N$.

Proof. This is a direct consequence of Theorem 2.5. Indeed, assume that $F \in (I_{\Gamma})_N$. Then by Lemma 2.2 there exists $(Q_1, Q_2, Q_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3_{N-(n+m-1)}$ such that

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} x & P_1 & Q_1 \\ y & P_2 & Q_2 \\ z & P_3 & Q_3 \end{pmatrix} = cF, \ c \in \mathbb{K}^*.$$

As proved in Theorem 2.5, the derivation $Q_1\partial_x + Q_2\partial_y + Q_3\partial_z$ belongs to Der(F). Then we conclude by Saito's criterion.

Conversely, let us assume that V(F) is free with the given exponents. The module $Der_0(F)$ is generated by two derivations δ_1 and δ_2 of degree of degree n + m - 2 and N - n - m + 1 by hypothesis. Then $\delta_{f,g} = \delta_1$ if n + m - 2 < N - n - m + 1 or $\delta_{f,g} = \delta_1 + H \delta_2$ if $n + m - 2 \ge N - n - m + 1$. In both cases this gives

$$\det(\delta_E, \delta_{f,q}, \delta_2) = \det(\delta_E, \delta_1, \delta_2) = cF, \ c \in \mathbb{K}^*.$$

Denoting by $\delta_2 = Q_1 \partial_x + Q_2 \partial_y + Q_3 \partial_z$ and by R_i the 2 × 2 minors of the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} x & P_1 \\ y & P_2 \\ z & P_3 \end{pmatrix}$$

this gives $Q_1R_1 + Q_2R_2 + Q_3R_3 = F$ where $F \in (I_{\Gamma})_N$ as wanted.

4. Examples

This section is devoted to examples which illustrate the above theorems.

4.1. First example. Consider the Hesse pencil of a smooth cubic and its Hessian cubic. They meet in 9 distinct points and the singular curves of the pencil are four triangles. The canonical derivation $\delta_{f,g}$ has degree 4. The eigenscheme is a smooth set of $1+4+4^2=21$ points. This is the union of the 9 base points of the pencil and the 12 vertices of the triangles. Then the union of these four triangles is free with exponents (4,7).

4.2. Second example. As a second example we consider the pencil of sextic curves (f^3, g^2) where $f(x, y, z) = x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = 0$ is a smooth conic and g(x, y, z) = xyz = 0 is a triangle meeting in six different points $A = \{(1, i, 0), (1, -i, 0), (1, 0, i), (1, 0, -i), (0, 1, i), (0, 1, -i)\}$. The locus $V(\nabla f \wedge \nabla g)$ of the "singular points" of the pencil has length 7; it consists in the three vertices of the triangle (1, 0, 0), (0, 1, 0) and (0, 0, 1); and the four singular points of $f^3 - 27g^2 = 0$. These points in the ideal $(x(y^2 - z^2), y(z^2 - x^2), z(x^2 - y^2))$ are (1, 1, 1), (-1, 1, 1), (1, -1, 1) and (1, 1, -1). We consider the equation $\nabla(\lambda f^3 + \mu g^2)(p) = 3\lambda f(p)^2 \nabla f(p) + 2\mu g(p) \nabla g(p) = 0$, and we evaluate at each of the four points. We find the same $\lambda = 1$ and $\mu = -27$, that is one curve $f^3 - 27g^2 = 0$ with four singular points.

The canonical derivation $\delta_{f,g}$ has degree 3 and the eigenscheme associated to it is a smooth set of 13 points; it is the union of these two sets of simple points A and $V(\nabla f \wedge \nabla g)$. The curve $xyz(f^3 - 27g^2) = 0$, containing the eigenscheme, is free with exponents (3,5) by Theorem 2.5. Moreover, since the curve $yz(f^3 - g27^2) = 0$ (or $xz(f^3 - 27g^2) = 0$ or $xy(f^3 - 27g^2) = 0$) still contains the eigenscheme $\Gamma_{f,g}$ it is also free with exponents (3,4) (Apply again Theorem 2.5).

4.3. Third example. We consider a pencil of osculating conics. Up to a linear transformation, these conics can be defined by f : xz = 0 and $g : z^2 - xy = 0$. The canonical derivation δ_{fg} has degree 2 and the associated eigenscheme Γ has length 7 and consists of one smooth point (the intersection point where there is no tangency) and a subscheme of length 6 supported at the point of tangency.



The ideal defining the eigenscheme is

- $I_{\Gamma} = \langle x(z^2 + xy), x^2z, z^3 \rangle$, which we will write as (u, v, w).
- The equation of the curve $fg = xz^3 x^2yz = xw yv$ belongs to I_{Γ} proving that fg = 0 is free with exponents (1, 2).
- The union of 3 smooth curves of the pencil is also free with exponents (2, 3). Indeed, without loss of generality we can choose 3 points in a pencil, corresponding to: g = 0, f + g = 0 and f g = 0. Then

$$g(f+g)(f-g) = w^2 - v^2 - yz(x - 4y + 3z)v - xy^2u \in I_{\Gamma},$$

proving that g(f+g)(f-g) = 0 is free with exponents (2,3). We note that two smooth osculating curves are not free: they meet in degree 4 along the singular point instead of degree 6. Adding a third smooth curve allows us to reach degree 6. A last remark about this case: at the singular point p, the Tjurina number is 15 and the Milnor number is 16; this shows that p is not a quasihomogeneous singularity.



• The union f(f+g)(f-g) = 0 is also free with exponents (2,3). After removing the smooth conic f + g it remains free, and after removing the transverse line of f, we have that x(f+g)(f-g) = 0 remains free. In this last case we again have a non-quasihomogeneous singularity at $p: 11 = \tau_p \neq \mu_p = 12$.



By Theorem 3.6, a union of conics and lines coming from the pencil will be free if and only if it contains the eigenscheme. So when such a union is free, adding a conic or a line from the pencil to this union remains free. More generally, when a union of curves from a pencil is free, it will remain free by adding smooth curves from the pencil:

Proposition 4.1. Let $f \in R_n$ and $g \in R_m$ be two reduced polynomials without common factors such that $V(\nabla f \wedge \nabla g)$ is a finite scheme. Let $V(F_k)$ be the union of $k \ge 2$ curves in the pencil generated by (f^a, g^b) where $\operatorname{lcm}(n, m) = a \times n = b \times m$ and let F be a polynomial of degree N > n + m - 1 such that $F \mid F_k$.

Assume that V(F) is free with exponents (n + m - 2, N - n - m + 1), and that $C_{\alpha,\beta} = \{\alpha f^a + \beta g^b = 0\}$ is smooth outside the base locus $B = V(f) \cap V(g)$. Then, $V(F) \cup V(C_{\alpha,\beta})$ is free with exponents (n + m - 2, N + an - n - m + 1).

Proof. Follows from Theorem 3.6: $F \cup C_{\alpha,\beta} \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathcal{J}_{\Gamma}(N+an))$ since $F \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathcal{J}_{\Gamma}(N))$. \Box

As a consequence, it follows that the following types of unions of smooth conics are free: First, if $C \cap D$ consists of 2 points, one simple and one triple point, then three smooth members of the pencil are needed to contain the eigenscheme. Such a union is free with exponents (2,3). Second, if $C \cap D$ meets in a quadruple point, the union of two smooth members of the pencil contains the eigenscheme (of length 3) and so is free with exponents (1,2).

5. Reflection Arrangements and Nets

In this section we investigate an example where we add curves coming from a *net*, rather than a pencil. The net (f, g, h) we study is defined by the radical ideal of Jac(F), where V(F) is a complete reflection arrangement. We start by fixing the complete reflection arrangement $F = xyz(x^n - y^n)(x^n - z^n)(y^n - z^n)$; the arrangement V(F) is free with exponents (n + 1, 2n + 1). Computing the partial derivatives we have

$$\begin{cases} \partial_x F &=& \frac{F}{x} + \frac{nx^{n-1}F}{x^n - y^n} + \frac{nx^{n-1}F}{x^n - z^n} \\ \partial_y F &=& \frac{F}{y} - \frac{ny^{n-1}F}{x^n - y^n} + \frac{ny^{n-1}F}{y^n - z^n} \\ \partial_z F &=& \frac{F}{z} - \frac{nz^{n-1}F}{x^n - z^n} - \frac{nz^{n-1}F}{y^n - z^n} \end{cases}$$

There is a natural derivation of degree 2n + 1, obtained by removing the denominators, which is:

$$\delta = x(x^n - y^n)(x^n - z^n)\partial_x + y(x^n - y^n)(y^n - z^n)\partial_y + z(x^n - z^n)(y^n - z^n)\partial_z.$$

A derivation tangent to V(F) of degree n + 1 is given in the following lemma of [22].

Lemma 5.1. Let $\mu = x^{n+1}\partial_x + y^{n+1}\partial_y + z^{n+1}\partial_z$ be a derivation. Then,

$$\mu \in \operatorname{Der}(x) \cap \operatorname{Der}(y) \cap \operatorname{Der}(z) \cap \operatorname{Der}(x^n - y^n) \cap \operatorname{Der}(x^n - z^n) \cap \operatorname{Der}(y^n - z^n).$$

Proof. As $\mu(x) = x^{n+1}$, $\mu \in \text{Der}(x)$, and as $\mu(x^n - y^n) = n(x^{2n} - y^{2n}) = n(x^n + y^n)(x^n - y^n)$, we have $\mu \in \text{Der}(x^n - y^n)$. This also occurs for $y, z, (y^n - z^n)$ and $(x^n - z^n)$.

A quick computation shows that

$$\det(\delta_E, \mu, \delta) = F,$$

which by Saito's criterion proves that F is free. However, we could also prove freeness of F by computing the eigenscheme Γ_{μ} associated to μ . It is defined by the maximal minors of the matrix (δ_E, μ) . The defining ideal is

$$I_{\Gamma_{\mu}} = (yz(y^n - z^n), xz(z^n - x^n), xy(x^n - y^n)).$$

The length of Γ is $(n+1)^2 + (n+1) + 1 = n^2 + 3n + 3$; Γ consists of the set of singular points of F = 0, all with multiplicity one. Hence it coincides (set theoretically) with the

support of the scheme defined by the radical ideal of Jac(F). The curve F = 0 contains this scheme Γ_{μ} .

Theorem 5.2. Let $F = xyz(x^n - y^n)(x^n - z^n)(y^n - z^n) = 0$ be the complete reflection arrangement of 3n + 3 lines. Let G_i be a general polynomial in the net $(I_{\Gamma_{\mu}})_{n+2}$. Then

- (1) $FG_i = 0$ is free with exponents (2n + 2, 2n + 2).
- (2) $FG_1G_2 = 0$ is free with exponents (2n + 2, 3n + 4).
- (3) $F \prod_{1 \le i \le k} (a_i G_1 + b_i G_2) = 0$ is free with exponents (2n + 2, (k + 1)n + 2k).
- (4) $FG_1G_2G_3 = 0$ is free with exponents (3n + 4, 3n + 4).

Proof. (1) The singular points of the net

$$(I_{\Gamma_{\mu}})_{n+2} = \{yz(y^n - z^n), xz(z^n - x^n), xy(x^n - y^n)\} = \{f, g, h\}$$

define a curve in \mathbb{P}^2 with equation

$$\det(\nabla f, \nabla g, \nabla h) = 0.$$

Computing this determinant we obtain

$$\det(\nabla f, \nabla g, \nabla h) = n(n+1)F$$

We now consider the following three derivations of degree (2n + 2):

$$\delta_{fg} = \det(\nabla f, \nabla g, \nabla),$$

$$\delta_{fh} = \det(\nabla f, \nabla h, \nabla),$$

$$\delta_{ah} = \det(\nabla g, \nabla h, \nabla).$$

Given a curve $G_1 = af + bg + ch = 0$ in the net (f, g, h), we have

$$\delta_{fg}(af + bg + ch) = n(n+1)cF$$

$$\delta_{fh}(af + bg + ch) = -n(n+1)bF,$$

$$\delta_{gh}(af + bg + ch) = n(n+1)aF.$$

This gives a pencil of derivations of degree 2n + 2

$$(\delta_1, \delta_2) = (b\delta_{fg} + c\delta_{fh}, a\delta_{fh} + b\delta_{gh})$$

belonging to $\operatorname{Der}_0(af + bg + ch) = \operatorname{Der}_0(G_1)$.

We want to prove that these derivations belong to $Der((af + bg + ch)F) = Der(FG_1)$. Since $\delta_i((af + bg + ch)F) = (af + bg + ch)\delta_i(F)$ it remains to prove that $\delta_i(F) \subset (F)$.

The relation $\delta_1(f) = 0$ implies $\delta_1(yz) \subset (yz)$. Moreover $\delta_1(af + bg + ch) = 0$ gives $\delta_1(bg + ch) = 0$ implying $\delta_1(x) \subset (x)$. Finally $\delta_1(xyz) \subset (xyz)$. We have also $\delta_1(g) = cF \subset (g)$ and $\delta_1(h) = bF \subset (h)$, proving that $\delta_1(fgh) \subset (fgh)$. Since fgh = xyzF, the inclusions $\delta_1(xyz) \subset (xyz)$ and $\delta_1(fgh) \subset (fgh)$ imply $\delta_1(F) \subset (F)$.

When G_1 is general both derivations are non-proportional and since $\deg(FG_1) = 4n+5$ this allows us to conclude that $FG_1 = 0$ is free with exponents (2n+2, 2n+2).

To prove items (2) and (3), note that after adding a new curve $G_2 = a'f + b'g + c'h = 0$ from the net, we have only one derivation of degree 2n + 2 which is

$$\nu = \nabla(af + bg + ch) \wedge \nabla(a'f + b'g + c'h) = (ab' - a'b)\delta_{fg} + (ac' - a'c)\delta_{fh} + (bc' - b'c)\delta_{gh}.$$

This is the canonical derivation associated to the pencil of degree n + 2 curves (G_1, G_2) . The derivation ν belongs to Der FG_1 . Since $FG_1 = 0$ is free with exponents (2n+2, 2n+2) this means that this curve contains the eigenscheme Γ_{ν} associated to ν by Theorem 2.5. The curve $FG_1G_2 = 0$ contains a fortiori this eigenscheme and $\nu \in \text{Der}(FG_1G_2)$. By Theorem 2.5 this proves the second and third assertions.

(4) We denote by α a derivation of degree 3n + 4 such that

$$\det(\delta_E, \nu, \alpha) = FG_1G_2.$$

There is a natural derivation of degree 3n + 4 in $Der(FG_1G_2G_3)$ which is $G_3\nu$. Note that

$$\det(\delta_E, G_3\nu, \alpha) = FG_1G_2G_3.$$

Taking linear combinations allows us to transform the derivation $G_3\nu$ to a irreducible derivation $\nu_1 \in \text{Der}_0(FG_1G_2G_3)$ such that

$$\det(\delta_E, \nu_1, \alpha) = FG_1G_2G_3.$$

By Lemma 2.2 this shows that $FG_1G_2G_3 = 0$ contains the eigenscheme associated to the derivation ν_1 . Now since $\nu_1 \in \text{Der}_0(FG_1G_2G_3)$, and we are done by Theorem 2.5.

Remark. Adding a fourth general G_i from the net yields the polynomial $FG_1G_2G_3G_4$, which is free with exponents (3n + 5, 4n + 5). On the other hand, adding a fifth general G_i yields $FG_1G_2G_3G_4G_5$, which is not free. We are investigating the behavior of freeness (in general) when adding elements of a net.

References

- T. Abe, Plus-one generated and next to free arrangements of hyperplanes, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN 12 (2021), 9233-9261.
- [2] H. Abo, A. Seigal, B. Sturmfels, *Eigenconfigurations of tensors*, Contemporary Math 685 (2017), 1-25.
- [3] E. Artal-Bartolo, Combinatorics and topology of line arrangements in the complex plane, Proc. Am. Math. Soc. 121 (1994), 385-390.
- [4] A. Beauville, Determinantal hypersurfaces, Michigan Math. J. 48 (2000), 39-64.
- [5] V. Beorchia, F. Galuppi, L. Venturello, *Eigenschemes of ternary tensors*, SIAM J. Appl. Algebra Geom. 5 (2021), 620-650.
- [6] P. Deligne Equations différentielles à points singuliers réguliers, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 163, Springer-Verlag, 1970.
- [7] P. Deligne, Theorie de Hodge II, Inst. Hautes Etudes Sci. Publ. Math. 40 (1971), 5-58.
- [8] A. Dimca, Curve arrangements, pencils, and Jacobian syzygies, Michigan Math. J. 66 (2017), 347–365.
- [9] A. Dimca, E. Sernesi, Syzygies and logarithmic vector fields along plane curves, Journal de l'Ecole Polytechnique - Mathematiques, 1 (2014), 247-267.
- [10] D. Eisenbud, Commutative Algebra with a view towards Algebraic Geometry, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 150, Springer-Verlag, 1995.
- [11] G. Elencwajg, O. Forster, Bounding cohomology groups of vector bundles on \mathbb{P}^n , Math. Ann., **246** (1979), 251-270.
- [12] D. Faenzi, D. Matei, J. Vallès, Hyperplane arrangements of Torelli type, Compos. Math., 149 (2013), 309-332.
- [13] D. Faenzi, J. Vallès, Logarithmic bundles and line arrangements, an approach via the standard construction, J. London Math. Soc. 90 (2014), 675-694.
- [14] I. M. Gelfand, M. M. Kapranov and A. Zelevinsky. Discriminants, resultants and multidimensional determinants. Birkhauser, 1994.
- [15] M. Granger, D. Mond, M. Schulze, Free divisors in prehomogeneous vector spaces, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. 102 (2011), 923-950.
- [16] L. Gruson, C. Peskine, Courbes de l'espace projectif: variétés de sécantes, in Enumerative geometry and classical algebraic geometry (Nice, 1981), 1–31, Progr. Math., 24, Birkhäuser, Boston, Mass., 1982.
- [17] X. Liao, Chern classes of logarithmic vector fields, J. Singul. 5 (2012), 109-114.

- [18] X. Liao, M. Schulze, Quasihomogeneous free divisors with only normal crossings in codimension one, Math. Res. Lett. 24 (2017), 1477-1496.
- [19] D. Mond, M. Schulze, Adjoint divisors and free divisors, J. Singul. 7 (2013), 253–274.
- [20] C. Okonek, C. Schneider, H. Spindler, Vector bundles on complex projective space (Corrected reprint of the 1988 Edition)." (2011).
- [21] P. Orlik, L. Solomon, Combinatorics and topology of complements of hyperplanes, Invent. Math. 56 (1980), 167-189.
- [22] P. Orlik, H. Terao, Arrangements of hyperplanes. Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, 300, Springer-Verlag, 1992.
- [23] K. Saito, Theory of logarithmic differential forms and logarithmic vector fields, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo, Sect. IA Math., 27 (1980), 265-291.
- [24] H. Schenck, H. Terao, M. Yoshinaga, Logarithmic vector fields for curve configurations in P² with quasihomogeneous singularities, Math Research Letters 25 (2018), 1977-1992.
- [25] H. Schenck, S. Tohaneanu, Freeness of conic-line arrangements in P², Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici 84 (2009), 235-258.
- [26] A. Simis, S. Tohaneanu, Homology of homogeneous divisors, Israel Journal of Mathematics 200 (2012), 449-487.
- [27] H. Terao, Generalized exponents of a free arrangement of hyperplanes and Shepard-Todd-Brieskorn formula, Inventiones Mathematicae 63 (1981), 159-179.
- [28] H. Terao, Arrangements of hyperplanes and their freeness I, J. Fac. Science Univ. Tokyo 27 (1980), 293-312.
- [29] J. Vallès, Free divisors in a pencil of curves, Journal of Singularities 11, (2015), 190-197.
- [30] J. Vallès, W. Ng Kwing King, *New examples of free projective curves*, Rendiconti dell'Istituto di Matematica dell'Università di Trieste, to appear.
- [31] M. Wakefield, S. Yuzvinsky, Derivations of an effective divisor on the complex projective line, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 359 (2007), 4389-4403.
- [32] O. Zariski, On the problem of existence of algebraic functions of two variables possessing a given branch curve, Amer. J. Math., 51 (1929), 305-328.
- [33] O. Zariski, The topological discriminant group of a Riemann surface of genus p, Amer. J. Math., 59 (1937), 335-358.
- [34] G. Ziegler, Multiarrangements of hyperplanes and their freeness, Singularities (Iowa City, IA, 1986), 345-359, Contemp. Math., 90, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1989.

DI GENNARO: DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA E APPLICAZIONI "RENATO CACCIOPPOLI", UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI NAPOLI FEDERICO II, 80126 NAPOLI, ITALY

Email address: digennar@unina.it

Ilardi: Dipartimento di Matematica e Applicazioni "Renato Caccioppoli", Università degli Studi di Napoli Federico II, 80126 Napoli, Italy

Email address: giovanna.ilardi@unina.it

Miró-Roig: Department de Mathemàtiques i Informàtica, Universitat de Barcelona, 08007 Barcelona, Spain

Email address: miro@ub.edu

SCHENCK: MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT, AUBURN UNIVERSITY, AUBURN AL 36849 USA *Email address*: hks0015@auburn.edu

Vallès: Department of Mathematics, Université de Pau et des Pays de l'Adour, 64012 PAU Cedex - France

Email address: jean.valles@univ-pau.fr