

The constrained KP hierarchy and the bigraded Toda hierarchy of $(M, 1)$ -type

Ang Fu, Di Yang, Dafeng Zuo

Abstract

In this paper, we extend the matrix-resolvent method to the study of the Dubrovin–Zhang type tau-functions for the constrained KP hierarchy and the bigraded Toda hierarchy of $(M, 1)$ -type. We show that the Dubrovin–Zhang type tau-function of an arbitrary solution to the bigraded Toda hierarchy of $(M, 1)$ -type is a Dubrovin–Zhang type tau-function for the constrained KP hierarchy, which generalizes the result in [10, 35] for the Toda lattice hierarchy and the NLS hierarchy corresponding to the $M = 1$ case.

1 Introduction

An efficient method to the computation of logarithmic derivatives of tau-functions for integrable systems, called the *matrix-resolvent method*, was introduced and developed in [5, 6, 8, 24, 27–30, 35, 49]. In this paper, we extend this method to the constrained KP hierarchy [13] and the bigraded Toda hierarchy of $(M, 1)$ -type [9]. On this basis we establish the relations between tau-functions for these two integrable hierarchies, generalizing the results in [10, 35].

Let $M \geq 1$ be an integer. The *constrained KP hierarchy*

$$\frac{\partial L_{\text{cKP}}}{\partial t_k^a} = \epsilon^{-1} \left[\left(L_{\text{cKP}}^{\frac{a}{M}+k} \right)_+, L_{\text{cKP}} \right], \quad k \geq 0, a = 1, \dots, M, \quad (1.1)$$

which was introduced by Y. Cheng [13] (cf. [14, 20, 38, 39]) as a reduction of the KP hierarchy (about the KP hierarchy see [21, 37]), is an integrable hierarchy of evolutionary partial differential equations for $(M + 1)$ unknown functions, where

$$L_{\text{cKP}} := (\epsilon \partial_X)^M + v_{M-2} (\epsilon \partial_X)^{M-2} + v_{M-3} (\epsilon \partial_X)^{M-3} + \dots + v_1 \epsilon \partial_X + v_0 + q (\epsilon \partial_X)^{-1} r \quad (1.2)$$

is the Lax operator. Here, we recall that for a pseudo-differential operator [21] $P = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} P_k \partial_X^k$, the positive part P_+ is defined as $\sum_{k \geq 0} P_k \partial_X^k$. It is easy to see that $\partial / \partial t_0^1 = \partial / \partial X$, so below we identify t_0^1 with X . Several interesting properties, such as bihamiltonian structures and bilinear identities for the constrained KP hierarchy have been investigated [15, 16, 44].

Let us write the Lax operator L_{cKP} in the matrix form

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{cKP}}(\lambda) = \epsilon \partial_X + \Lambda^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda) + V_{\text{cKP}}, \quad (1.3)$$

where

$$\Lambda^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \ddots & -1 & 0 \\ -\lambda & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}_{(M+1) \times (M+1)}, \quad V_{\text{cKP}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ v_0 & v_1 & \cdots & v_{M-2} & 0 & -q \\ r & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}_{(M+1) \times (M+1)}.$$

We denote by

$$\mathcal{A}_{\text{cKP}} := \mathbb{C}[q_{kX}, r_{kX}, v_{0,kX}, \dots, v_{M-2,kX} | k \geq 0] \quad (1.4)$$

the polynomial ring. Here $q_{kX} = \partial_X^k(q)$, $r_{kX} = \partial_X^k(r)$, $v_{l,kX} = \partial_X^k(v_l)$ ($0 \leq l \leq M-2$), $k \geq 0$. Introduce a gradation on $\mathcal{A}_{\text{cKP}}[\epsilon] \otimes \mathfrak{sl}_{M+1}(\mathbb{C})((\lambda^{-1}))$ by the following degree assignments

$$\deg e_{i,j} = j - i, \quad \deg \lambda = M, \quad \deg q = -1, \quad \deg r = 1, \quad \deg v_l = 0, \quad \deg \epsilon = 0, \quad (1.5)$$

where $l = 0, \dots, M-2$, $i, j = 1, \dots, M+1$, and $e_{i,j}$ denotes the $(M+1) \times (M+1)$ matrix with the (i, j) entry 1 and other entries 0. The gradation defined here actually has an algebraic meaning (see Remark 2.4). Denote

$$\Lambda_a^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda) = (-\Lambda^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda))^a - \frac{M}{M+1} \lambda I \delta_{a,M}, \quad 1 \leq a \leq M, \quad (1.6)$$

where I is the $(M+1) \times (M+1)$ identity matrix.

Lemma 1.1. *There exists a unique system of solutions*

$$(R_1^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda), \dots, R_M^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda)) \in (\mathcal{A}_{\text{cKP}}[\epsilon] \otimes \mathfrak{sl}_{M+1}(\mathbb{C})((\lambda^{-1})))^M,$$

having the extended degrees $\deg^e R_a^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda) = a$ (see (2.21)–(2.22)), to the following equations

$$[\mathcal{L}_{\text{cKP}}(\lambda), R_a^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda)] = 0, \quad a = 1, \dots, M, \quad (1.7)$$

$$R_a^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda) = \Lambda_a^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda) + \text{lower order terms with respect to deg}, \quad a = 1, \dots, M, \quad (1.8)$$

$$\text{Tr}(R_a^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda) R_b^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda)) = \delta_{a+b,M} (a+b) \lambda + \delta_{a+b,2M} \frac{M}{M+1} \lambda^2, \quad a, b = 1, \dots, M. \quad (1.9)$$

The proof is in Section 2. We call this unique system of solutions $R_a^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda)$, $a = 1, \dots, M$, the *basic matrix resolvents of $\mathcal{L}_{\text{cKP}}(\lambda)$* . Write

$$R_a^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda) = \sum_{i=0}^{M+1} \sum_{j=0}^{M+1} r_{a;i,j}^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda) e_{i,j}, \quad r_{a;i,j}^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda) = \sum_{k \geq -1} \frac{r_{a,k;i,j}^{\text{cKP}}}{\lambda^k}. \quad (1.10)$$

For $a = 1, \dots, M$, $k \geq 0$, we define the matrix-valued function

$$V_{a,k}^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda) := (\lambda^k R_a^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda))_+ - \widehat{V}_{a,k}^{\text{cKP}}, \quad (1.11)$$

where “+” means taking the polynomial part in λ , and

$$\widehat{V}_{a,k}^{\text{cKP}} := \sum_{i=2}^M \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \sum_{l=0}^{i-j-1} \binom{l+j-1}{j-1} (\epsilon \partial_X)^l (r_{a,k+1;i-j-l,M}^{\text{cKP}}) e_{i,j}. \quad (1.12)$$

We will show in Section 2 that the hierarchy of PDEs defined by

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_{\text{cKP}}(\lambda)}{\partial t_k^a} := \epsilon^{-1} [V_{a,k}^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda), \mathcal{L}_{\text{cKP}}(\lambda)], \quad k \geq 0, \quad a = 1, \dots, M \quad (1.13)$$

coincides with the constrained KP hierarchy (1.1).

We introduce a sequence of elements $\Omega_{a,i;b,j}^{\text{cKP}} \in \mathcal{A}_{\text{cKP}}[\epsilon]$, $a, b = 1, \dots, M$, $i, j \geq 0$, by

$$\sum_{i,j \geq 0} \frac{\Omega_{a,i;b,j}^{\text{cKP}}}{\lambda^{i+1} \mu^{j+1}} = \frac{\text{Tr} (R_a^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda) R_b^{\text{cKP}}(\mu))}{(\lambda - \mu)^2} - \frac{a\lambda + b\mu}{(\lambda - \mu)^2} \delta_{a+b, M} - \frac{M}{M+1} \frac{\lambda\mu}{(\lambda - \mu)^2} \delta_{a+b, 2M}. \quad (1.14)$$

To see $\Omega_{a,i;b,j}^{\text{cKP}}$ are well defined see Section 2. We also prove in Section 2 the following lemma.

Lemma 1.2. *The elements $\Omega_{a,k;b,\ell}^{\text{cKP}}$, $1 \leq a, b \leq M$, $k, \ell \geq 0$, have the following properties:*

$$\Omega_{a,k;b,\ell}^{\text{cKP}} = \Omega_{b,\ell;a,k}^{\text{cKP}}, \quad \forall a, b = 1, \dots, M, \quad k, \ell \geq 0, \quad (1.15)$$

$$\partial_{t_i^c} \Omega_{a,k;b,\ell}^{\text{cKP}} = \partial_{t_k^a} \Omega_{b,\ell;c,i}^{\text{cKP}} = \partial_{t_\ell^b} \Omega_{c,i;a,k}^{\text{cKP}}, \quad \forall a, b, c = 1, \dots, M, \quad k, \ell, i \geq 0. \quad (1.16)$$

We call $\Omega_{a,i;b,j}^{\text{cKP}}$, $1 \leq a, b \leq M$, $i, j \geq 0$ the *two-point correlation functions* (aka the *tau-structure* [10, 32, 33]) for the constrained KP hierarchy. For $k \geq 3$, define the k -point correlation functions by

$$\Omega_{a_1, i_1; \dots; a_k, i_k}^{\text{cKP}} := \epsilon^{k-2} \frac{\partial^{k-2} \Omega_{a_{k-1}, i_{k-1}; a_k, i_k}^{\text{cKP}}}{\partial t_{i_1}^{a_1} \dots \partial t_{i_{k-2}}^{a_{k-2}}}, \quad 1 \leq a_1, \dots, a_k \leq M, \quad i_1, \dots, i_k \geq 0. \quad (1.17)$$

Proposition 1.3. *For any integer $k \geq 2$, and any fixed $a_1, \dots, a_k \in \{1, \dots, M\}$, we have*

$$\sum_{i_1, \dots, i_k \geq 0} \frac{\Omega_{a_1, i_1; \dots; a_k, i_k}^{\text{cKP}}}{\prod_{j=1}^k \lambda_j^{i_j+1}} = - \sum_{\sigma \in S_k / C_k} \frac{\text{Tr} (R_{a_{\sigma(1)}}^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda_{\sigma(1)}) \dots R_{a_{\sigma(k)}}^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda_{\sigma(k)}))}{\prod_{i=1}^k (\lambda_{\sigma(i)} - \lambda_{\sigma(i+1)})} - \left(\frac{a_1 \lambda_1 + a_2 \lambda_2}{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)^2} \delta_{a_1+a_2, M} + \frac{M}{M+1} \frac{\lambda_1 \lambda_2}{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)^2} \delta_{a_1+a_2, 2M} \right) \delta_{k,2}, \quad (1.18)$$

where S_k denotes the symmetry group, C_k denotes the cyclic group, and it is understood that $\sigma(k+1) = \sigma(1)$.

Let

$$(q(\mathbf{t}; \epsilon), r(\mathbf{t}; \epsilon), v_0(\mathbf{t}; \epsilon), \dots, v_{M-2}(\mathbf{t}; \epsilon)), \quad \mathbf{t} = (t_k^a)_{k \geq 0}^{a=1, \dots, M}, \quad (1.19)$$

be a solution to the constrained KP hierarchy (1.13). For $k \geq 2$, write $\Omega_{a_1, i_1; \dots; a_k, i_k}^{\text{cKP}}(\mathbf{t}; \epsilon)$ as the k -point correlation functions evaluated at the solution (1.19). It follows from Lemma 1.2 that there exists a function $\tau_{\text{cKP}}(\mathbf{t}; \epsilon)$, such that

$$\epsilon^2 \frac{\partial^2 \log \tau_{\text{cKP}}(\mathbf{t}; \epsilon)}{\partial t_i^a \partial t_j^b} = \Omega_{a,i;b,j}^{\text{cKP}}(\mathbf{t}; \epsilon), \quad i, j \geq 0, \quad 1 \leq a, b \leq M. \quad (1.20)$$

We call $\tau_{\text{cKP}}(\mathbf{t}; \epsilon)$ the *Dubrovin–Zhang type tau-function* of the solution (1.19) to the constrained KP hierarchy (1.13). The function $\tau_{\text{cKP}}(\mathbf{t}; \epsilon)$ is determined uniquely by (1.20) up to multiplying by the exponential of a linear function

$$\tau_{\text{cKP}}(\mathbf{t}; \epsilon) \mapsto e^{a_0 + \sum_{i=1}^M \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{i,k} t_k^i} \tau_{\text{cKP}}(\mathbf{t}; \epsilon), \quad a_0, a_{i,k} \in \mathbb{C}((\epsilon)). \quad (1.21)$$

In [41], S.-Q. Liu, Y. Zhang and X. Zhou studied the constrained KP hierarchy in suitable variables from the viewpoints of bihamiltonian systems and semisimple Frobenius manifolds. In particular, the dispersionless limit of the constrained KP hierarchy and the corresponding Frobenius manifold were given in [23, 41], and the central invariants for the bihamiltonian structure of the constrained KP hierarchy were shown to be all equal to $1/24$. Based on these results, Liu, Zhang and Zhou [41] conjectured that the constrained KP hierarchy is topological, namely, it is equivalent to the Dubrovin–Zhang hierarchy of the Frobenius manifold. In [40], S.-Q. Liu, Z. Wang and Y. Zhang prove that the Dubrovin–Zhang hierarchy of a semisimple Frobenius manifold has a polynomial bihamiltonian structure (the central invariants for the bihamiltonian structure of the Dubrovin–Zhang hierarchy are known to be all equal to $1/24$ [25]). This result together with the results in [41] confirms the Liu–Zhang–Zhou conjecture; a more direct proof of the conjecture of Liu–Zhang–Zhou is given in [12].

When $M = 1$, the constrained KP hierarchy becomes the celebrated nonlinear Schrödinger (NLS) hierarchy (*aka* the *AKNS hierarchy*) (cf. [1, 2, 13, 14, 18–21, 24, 35, 38, 39, 46, 52]). This hierarchy is connected to the Toda lattice hierarchy [10, 34, 42, 47] by exchanging the role of the space and time variables [10] (see also [35] for more details). It is natural to consider the generalization of this result to the case when $M \geq 2$. To this end, let us consider the bigraded Toda hierarchy [9]. Let L denote the following difference Lax operator

$$L = \mathcal{T}^M + u_{M-1}\mathcal{T}^{M-1} + u_{M-2}\mathcal{T}^{M-2} + \cdots + u_0 + u_{-1}\mathcal{T}^{-1}, \quad (1.22)$$

where $\mathcal{T} = e^{\epsilon \partial_x}$ is the shift operator. The *bigraded Toda hierarchy of $(M, 1)$ -type* are defined [9] as the following Lax equations

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial t_k^a} = \epsilon^{-1} \left[(L^{\frac{a}{M} + k})_+, L \right], \quad k \geq 0, a = 1, \dots, M. \quad (1.23)$$

Here, for any difference operator P of the form $P = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} P_k \mathcal{T}^k$, its positive part P_+ is defined by $P_+ = \sum_{k \geq 0} P_k \mathcal{T}^k$. The matrix form of the Lax operator L is

$$\mathcal{L}(\lambda) = \mathcal{T} + \Lambda(\lambda) + V, \quad (1.24)$$

where

$$\Lambda(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \cdots & 0 & -\lambda & 0 \\ -1 & \ddots & \ddots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}_{(M+1) \times (M+1)}, \quad V = \begin{pmatrix} u_{M-1} & u_{M-2} & \cdots & u_0 & u_{-1} \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}_{(M+1) \times (M+1)}. \quad (1.25)$$

We denote by

$$\mathcal{A} := \mathbb{C}[u_{l,kx} \mid -1 \leq l \leq M-1, k \geq 0] \quad (1.26)$$

the polynomial ring. Here $u_{l,kx} = \partial_x^k(u_l)$ ($-1 \leq l \leq M-1, k \geq 0$). Introduce a gradation on $\text{Mat}(M+1, \mathbb{C}((\lambda^{-1})))$ by the following degree assignments

$$\overline{\deg} \lambda = M, \quad \overline{\deg} e_{i,j} = i - j, \quad i, j = 1, \dots, M+1, \quad (1.27)$$

and denote $\Lambda_a(\lambda) := (-\Lambda(\lambda))^a$. We will show that

Lemma 1.4. *There exists a unique system of solutions*

$$(R_1(\lambda), \dots, R_M(\lambda)) \in (\mathcal{A}[[\epsilon]] \otimes \text{Mat}(M+1, \mathbb{C}((\lambda^{-1}))))^M,$$

having the extended degrees $\overline{\text{deg}}^e R_a(\lambda) = a$ (see (3.24)–(3.25)), to the following equations

$$\mathcal{T}(R_a(\lambda))(\Lambda(\lambda) + V) - (\Lambda(\lambda) + V)R_a(\lambda) = 0, \quad a = 1, \dots, M, \quad (1.28)$$

$$R_a(\lambda) = \Lambda_a(\lambda) + \text{lower order terms with respect to } \overline{\text{deg}}, \quad a = 1, \dots, M, \quad (1.29)$$

$$\text{Tr}(R_a(\lambda)R_b(\lambda)) = \delta_{a+b, M}(a+b)\lambda + M\lambda^2\delta_{a+b, 2M}, \quad \text{Tr} R_a(\lambda) = M\delta_{a, M}\lambda, \quad a, b = 1, \dots, M. \quad (1.30)$$

We call this unique system of solutions $R_a(\lambda)$, $a = 1, \dots, M$, the *basic matrix resolvents* of $\mathcal{L}(\lambda)$. Write

$$R_a(\lambda) = \sum_{i=0}^{M+1} \sum_{j=0}^{M+1} r_{a; i, j}(\lambda) e_{i, j}, \quad r_{a; i, j}(\lambda) = \sum_{k \geq -1} \frac{r_{a, k; i, j}}{\lambda^k}. \quad (1.31)$$

For any $a = 1, \dots, M$, $k \geq 0$, we define the matrix-valued function

$$V_{a, k}(\lambda) := \left(\lambda^k R_a(\lambda) \right)_+ - \widehat{V}_{a, k}, \quad (1.32)$$

where

$$\widehat{V}_{a, k} := -r_{a, k+1; M+1, 1} e_{M+1, M+1} + \sum_{i=1}^{M-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^M \mathcal{T}^{M+1-j}(r_{a, k+1; M+1-j+i, 1}) e_{i, j}. \quad (1.33)$$

We will show in Section 3 that the hierarchy of PDEs defined by

$$\epsilon \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}(\lambda)}{\partial t_k^a} := \mathcal{T}(V_{a, k}(\lambda))(\Lambda(\lambda) + V) - (\Lambda(\lambda) + V)V_{a, k}(\lambda), \quad k \geq 0, 1 \leq a \leq M, \quad (1.34)$$

coincides with the bigraded Toda hierarchy of $(M, 1)$ -type (1.23).

Like above, let us introduce a sequence of elements $\Omega_{a, i; b, j} \in \mathcal{A}[[\epsilon]]$, $a, b = 1, \dots, M$, $i, j \geq 0$, by

$$\sum_{i, j \geq 0} \frac{\Omega_{a, i; b, j}}{\lambda^{i+1} \mu^{j+1}} = \frac{\text{Tr}(R_a(\lambda)R_b(\mu))}{(\lambda - \mu)^2} - \frac{a\lambda + b\mu}{(\lambda - \mu)^2} \delta_{a+b, M} - M \frac{\lambda\mu}{(\lambda - \mu)^2} \delta_{a+b, 2M}. \quad (1.35)$$

Lemma 1.5. *The elements $\Omega_{a, k; b, \ell}$ have the following properties:*

$$\Omega_{a, k; b, \ell} = \Omega_{b, \ell; a, k}, \quad \forall a, b = 1, \dots, M, k, \ell \geq 0, \quad (1.36)$$

$$\partial_{t_j^c} \Omega_{a, k; b, \ell} = \partial_{t_k^a} \Omega_{b, \ell; c, j} = \partial_{t_\ell^b} \Omega_{c, j; a, k}, \quad \forall a, b, c = 1, \dots, M, k, \ell, j \geq 0. \quad (1.37)$$

The proof is in Section 3. We call $\Omega_{a, i; b, j}$, $1 \leq a, b \leq M$, $i, j \geq 0$ the *two-point correlation functions* (aka the *tau-structure* [10, 32, 33]) for the bigraded Toda hierarchy of $(M, 1)$ -type. For $k \geq 3$, define the k -point correlation functions by

$$\Omega_{a_1, i_1; \dots; a_k, i_k} := \epsilon^{k-2} \frac{\partial^{k-2} \Omega_{a_{k-1}, i_{k-1}; a_k, i_k}}{\partial t_{i_1}^{a_1} \dots \partial t_{i_{k-2}}^{a_{k-2}}}, \quad 1 \leq a_1, \dots, a_k \leq M, i_1, \dots, i_k \geq 0. \quad (1.38)$$

Proposition 1.6. *For any $k \geq 2$, and any fixed $a_1, \dots, a_k \in \{1, \dots, M\}$, we have*

$$\sum_{i_1, \dots, i_k \geq 0} \frac{\Omega_{a_1, i_1; \dots; a_k, i_k}}{\prod_{j=1}^k \lambda_j^{i_k+1}} = - \sum_{\sigma \in S_k / C_k} \frac{\text{Tr} (R_{a_{\sigma(1)}}(\lambda_{\sigma(1)}) \cdots R_{a_{\sigma(k)}}(\lambda_{\sigma(k)}))}{\prod_{i=1}^k (\lambda_{\sigma(i)} - \lambda_{\sigma(i+1)})} - \left(\frac{a_1 \lambda_1 + a_2 \lambda_2}{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)^2} \delta_{a_1+a_2, M} + M \frac{\lambda_1 \lambda_2}{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)^2} \delta_{a_1+a_2, 2M} \right) \delta_{k, 2}. \quad (1.39)$$

Let

$$(u_{-1}(x, \mathbf{t}; \epsilon), u_0(x, \mathbf{t}; \epsilon), \dots, u_{M-1}(x, \mathbf{t}; \epsilon)) \quad (1.40)$$

be a solution to the bigraded Toda hierarchy (1.34). Let $R_a(\lambda; x, \mathbf{t}; \epsilon)$ denote the $R_a(\lambda)$ evaluated at the solution (1.40). We will show in Section 3 the following lemma.

Lemma 1.7. *There exists a function $\tau(x, \mathbf{t}; \epsilon)$, such that*

$$\sum_{i, j \geq 0} \frac{\epsilon^2 \frac{\partial^2 \log \tau(x, \mathbf{t}; \epsilon)}{\partial t_i^a \partial t_j^b}}{\lambda^{i+1} \mu^{j+1}} = \frac{\text{Tr} (R_a(\lambda; x, \mathbf{t}; \epsilon) R_b(\mu; x, \mathbf{t}; \epsilon))}{(\lambda - \mu)^2} - \frac{a\lambda + b\mu}{(\lambda - \mu)^2} \delta_{a+b, M} - M \frac{\lambda\mu}{(\lambda - \mu)^2} \delta_{a+b, 2M}, \quad (1.41)$$

$$\delta_{a, M} + \sum_{i \geq 0} \frac{\epsilon}{\lambda^{i+1}} \frac{\partial}{\partial t_i^a} \log \frac{\tau(x + \epsilon, \mathbf{t}; \epsilon)}{\tau(x, \mathbf{t}; \epsilon)} = [R_a(\lambda; x + \epsilon, \mathbf{t}; \epsilon)]_{M+1, 1}, \quad (1.42)$$

$$\frac{\tau(x + \epsilon, \mathbf{t}; \epsilon) \tau(x - \epsilon, \mathbf{t}; \epsilon)}{\tau(x, \mathbf{t}; \epsilon)^2} = u_{-1}(x, \mathbf{t}; \epsilon). \quad (1.43)$$

The function $\tau(x, \mathbf{t}; \epsilon)$ is determined uniquely by (1.41)–(1.43) up to

$$\tau(x, \mathbf{t}; \epsilon) \mapsto e^{a_0 + a_1 x + \sum_{a=1}^M \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} b_j^a t_j^a} \tau(x, \mathbf{t}; \epsilon), \quad a_0, a_1, b_j^a \in \mathbb{C}((\lambda^{-1})). \quad (1.44)$$

We call $\tau(x, \mathbf{t}; \epsilon)$ the *Dubrovin–Zhang type tau-function* of the solution (1.40) to the bigraded Toda hierarchy of $(M, 1)$ -type (1.34).

We note that Proposition 1.6 can be used to compute the orbifold Gromov–Witten invariants of \mathbb{P}^1 -orbifolds [43, 45].

It is known that the Frobenius manifold M_{cKP} corresponding to the constrained KP hierarchy is related by a Legendre-type transformation (cf. [23, 41]) to the Frobenius manifold M_{bToda} corresponding to the bigraded Toda hierarchy of $(M, 1)$ -type. Moreover, Bakalov and Wheelers showed [4] that the bigraded Toda hierarchy admits the Virasoro symmetries which has the same expressions as the ones of the Frobenius manifold M_{bToda} , which indicates that the bigraded Toda hierarchy is topological (see also [4, 45] for a different argument). For the case of $M = 1$, the bigraded Toda hierarchy (1.23) is just the Toda lattice hierarchy, which is equivalent to the constrained KP hierarchy (1.1) with $M = 1$ (the NLS hierarchy), namely, for any solution (u_{-1}, u_0) to the Toda lattice hierarchy, the functions q, r defined by

$$q = \frac{\mathcal{T}(\tau)}{\tau}, \quad r = \frac{\mathcal{T}^{-1}(\tau)}{\tau} \quad (1.45)$$

satisfy the NLS hierarchy, as it was shown in [10] (see also [35]), where we recall that $\mathcal{T} = e^{\epsilon \partial_x}$ is the shift operator and τ is the tau-function of the solution (u_{-1}, u_0) to the Toda lattice hierarchy (alternatively, we have $qr = u_{-1}, \epsilon \frac{q_x}{q} = u_0$); for the more precise meaning of this equivalence see [10, 35] and the materials below given in this paper. Motivated by this equivalence, by Liu–Zhang–Zhou’s conjecture, by the result of Bakalov–Wheelers [4] and by the result of Rossi [45], we prove in this paper the following theorem generalizing this equivalence from $M = 1$ to arbitrary $M \geq 1$.

Theorem 1.8. *Let (u_{-1}, \dots, u_{M-1}) be an arbitrary solution to the bigraded Toda hierarchy of $(M, 1)$ -type (1.34), and τ the tau-function of this solution. Then we have*

1. *The vector $(q, r, v_0, \dots, v_{M-2})$ defined by (4.3) is a solution to the constrained KP hierarchy (1.13);*
2. *For any x , the function τ is the tau-function of $(q, r, v_0, \dots, v_{M-2})$ to the constrained KP hierarchy.*

We note that the results similar to the statements of the above theorem were also obtained by Carlet, van de Leur, Posthuma and Shadrin [11, 12] by a different approach; however, the map (4.3) relating (u_{-1}, \dots, u_{M-1}) and $(q, r, v_0, \dots, v_{M-2})$ is not given explicitly there.

Let us present the first statement of Theorem 1.8 more explicitly by means of examples. For $M = 2$, Theorem 1.8 says that, for any solution (u_{-1}, u_0, u_1) to the bigraded Toda hierarchy of $(2, 1)$ -type, the functions q, r, v_0 , defined by

$$q = \frac{\mathcal{T}(\tau)}{\tau}, \quad r = \frac{\mathcal{T}^{-1}(\tau)}{\tau}, \quad (1.46)$$

$$v_0 = -((1 + \mathcal{T})^{-1}(u_1))^2 - \epsilon(1 + \mathcal{T})^{-1}(u_{1,X}) + u_0 \quad (1.47)$$

satisfy the constrained KP hierarchy.

For $M = 3$, Theorem 1.8 says that, for any solution (u_{-1}, u_0, u_1, u_2) to the bigraded Toda hierarchy of $(3, 1)$ -type, the functions q, r, v_0, v_1 defined by

$$q = \frac{\mathcal{T}(\tau)}{\tau}, \quad r = \frac{\mathcal{T}^{-1}(\tau)}{\tau}, \quad (1.48)$$

$$v_0 = u_0 + \alpha(\epsilon(\mathcal{T} - 1)(\alpha_X) - u_1 + \mathcal{T}(\alpha)(1 + \mathcal{T})(\alpha)) - \epsilon^2 \alpha_{XX} \quad (1.49)$$

$$v_1 = u_1 - 2\epsilon \alpha_X - \epsilon \mathcal{T}(\alpha_X) - \mathcal{T}(\alpha)(1 + \mathcal{T})(\alpha) - \alpha^2 \quad (1.50)$$

satisfy the constrained KP hierarchy, where

$$\alpha := (1 + \mathcal{T} + \mathcal{T}^2)^{-1}(u_2). \quad (1.51)$$

The converse statement of Theorem 1.8 is essentially also true (see (4.19) and the materials after of Section 4).

We hope that the method used in this paper can be helpful for the study of the topological deformations of the principal hierarchies of the Frobenius manifolds associated to the extended affine Weyl groups of BCD type constructed in [26, 31, 53].

The paper is organized as follows. In Sections 2, 3, we study tau-functions for the constrained KP hierarchy and the bigraded Toda hierarchy of $(M, 1)$ -type. In Section 4, we prove Theorem 1.8.

Acknowledgements We would like to thank Prof. Yi Cheng and Prof. Youjin Zhang for their advice and helpful discussions. The work is partially supported by NSFC (No.12071451, No.12061131014).

2 The matrix-resolvent method to tau-functions for the constrained KP hierarchy

2.1 Matrix resolvents for the constrained KP hierarchy

Let $\text{ad} : \mathfrak{sl}_{M+1}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{sl}_{M+1}(\mathbb{C}))$ be the adjoint representation of $\mathfrak{sl}_{M+1}(\mathbb{C})$. Then we have

Lemma 2.1. *The spaces $\text{Im ad}_{\Lambda^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda)}, \text{Ker ad}_{\Lambda^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda)} \subset \mathfrak{sl}_{M+1}(\mathbb{C})((\lambda^{-1}))$ have the following form*

$$\text{Im ad}_{\Lambda^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda)} = \left\{ \sum_{l=1}^{M^2+M} \alpha_l(\lambda) e_l^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda) \mid \alpha_l(\lambda) \in \mathbb{C}((\lambda^{-1})) \right\}, \quad (2.1)$$

$$\text{Ker ad}_{\Lambda^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda)} = \left\{ \sum_{a=1}^M \beta_a(\lambda) \Lambda_a^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda) \mid \beta_a(\lambda) \in \mathbb{C}((\lambda^{-1})) \right\} =: \mathfrak{h}_{\text{cKP}}. \quad (2.2)$$

Here,

$$e_{k(M-1)+i}^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda) = \begin{cases} e_{1,k+1} - e_{i+1,i+k+1} & i = 1, \dots, M-k-1 \\ e_{1,k+1} - \lambda e_{i+1,i+k+1-M} & i = M-k, \dots, M-1 \end{cases}, \quad k = 0, \dots, M-1,$$

$$e_{M(M-1)+a}^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda) = e_{M+1,a}, \quad e_{(M+1)(M-1)+a+1}^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda) = e_{a,M+1}, \quad a = 1, \dots, M.$$

The proof is given in Appendix A.

Note that $e_l^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda), \Lambda_a^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda), 1 \leq l \leq M^2+M, 1 \leq a \leq M$, are linearly independent. We have

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}((\lambda^{-1}))} \text{Ker ad}_{\Lambda^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda)} + \dim_{\mathbb{C}((\lambda^{-1}))} \text{Im ad}_{\Lambda^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda)} = (M+1)^2 - 1 = \dim_{\mathbb{C}((\lambda^{-1}))} \mathfrak{sl}_{M+1}(\mathbb{C})((\lambda^{-1})). \quad (2.3)$$

Combining with $\text{Ker ad}_{\Lambda^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda)} \cap \text{Im ad}_{\Lambda^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda)} = 0$, we obtain the following lemma.

Lemma 2.2. *The linear space $\mathfrak{sl}_{M+1}(\mathbb{C})((\lambda^{-1}))$ admits the decomposition*

$$\mathfrak{sl}_{M+1}(\mathbb{C})((\lambda^{-1})) = \text{Ker ad}_{\Lambda^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda)} \oplus \text{Im ad}_{\Lambda^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda)}. \quad (2.4)$$

The following lemma generalizes the result of Drinfeld and Sokolov [22] (cf. [6, 30]).

Lemma 2.3. *Let $\mathcal{L}_{\text{cKP}}(\lambda) = \epsilon \partial_X + \Lambda^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda) + V_{\text{cKP}}$. Then there exists a unique pair $(U_{\text{cKP}}, H_{\text{cKP}})$ of the form*

$$U_{\text{cKP}} = \sum_{k \geq 1} U_{\text{cKP}}^{[-k]} \in \mathcal{A}_{\text{cKP}}[\epsilon] \otimes \text{Im ad}_{\Lambda^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda)}, \quad (2.5)$$

$$H_{\text{cKP}} = \sum_{k \geq 0} H_{\text{cKP}}^{[-k]} \in \mathcal{A}_{\text{cKP}}[\epsilon] \otimes \text{Ker ad}_{\Lambda^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda)}, \quad (2.6)$$

where Im, Ker are taken in $\mathfrak{sl}_{M+1}(\mathbb{C})((\lambda^{-1}))$, such that

$$e^{-\text{ad}_{U_{\text{cKP}}}}(\mathcal{L}_{\text{cKP}}(\lambda)) = \epsilon \partial_X + \Lambda^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda) + H_{\text{cKP}}. \quad (2.7)$$

Here $U_{\text{cKP}}^{[-k]}, H_{\text{cKP}}^{[-k]}$ denote the elements in $\mathcal{A}_{\text{cKP}}[\epsilon] \otimes \mathfrak{sl}_{M+1}(\mathbb{C})((\lambda^{-1}))$ with degree $-k$.

Proof. Note that equation (2.7) is equivalent to

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{k!} \text{ad}_{U_{\text{cKP}}}^{k-1}(\epsilon \partial_X(U_{\text{cKP}})) + V_{\text{cKP}} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} \text{ad}_{U_{\text{cKP}}}^k(\Lambda^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda) + V_{\text{cKP}}) = H_{\text{cKP}}. \quad (2.8)$$

Substituting (2.5) and (2.6) into (2.8) and comparing the components of both sides of (2.8) with degree $-k$, we find that (2.8) is equivalent to

$$H_{\text{cKP}}^{[-k]} + [U_{\text{cKP}}^{[-k-1]}, \Lambda^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda)] = G_k^{\text{cKP}}, \quad k \geq 0, \quad (2.9)$$

where $G_k^{\text{cKP}} \in \mathcal{A}_{\text{cKP}}[\epsilon] \otimes \mathfrak{sl}_{M+1}(\mathbb{C})((\lambda^{-1}))$. Here G_k^{cKP} are determined by $V_{\text{cKP}}, U_{\text{cKP}}^{[-1]}, \dots, U_{\text{cKP}}^{[-k]}$. We will prove the lemma by induction on the degrees. For $k = 0$ equation (2.9) reads

$$H_{\text{cKP}}^{[0]} + \left[U_{\text{cKP}}^{[-1]}, \Lambda^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda) \right] = V_{\text{cKP}}^{[0]}. \quad (2.10)$$

Decompose

$$V_{\text{cKP}}^{[0]} = V_{\text{cKP}}^{[0], \text{Im}} + V_{\text{cKP}}^{[0], \text{Ker}}, \quad (2.11)$$

where $V_{\text{cKP}}^{[0], \text{Im}} \in \mathcal{A}_{\text{cKP}}[\epsilon] \otimes \text{Im ad}_{\Lambda^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda)}, V_{\text{cKP}}^{[0], \text{Ker}} \in \mathcal{A}_{\text{cKP}}[\epsilon] \otimes \text{Ker ad}_{\Lambda^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda)}$. Then by using Lemma 2.2, equation (2.10) is equivalent to

$$H_{\text{cKP}}^{[0]} = V_{\text{cKP}}^{[0], \text{Ker}}, \quad \left[U_{\text{cKP}}^{[-1]}, \Lambda^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda) \right] = V_{\text{cKP}}^{[0], \text{Im}}. \quad (2.12)$$

It is easy to see that the map $\text{ad}_{\Lambda^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda)} : \text{Im ad}_{\Lambda^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda)} \rightarrow \text{Im ad}_{\Lambda^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda)}$ is invertible, then we have

$$U_{\text{cKP}}^{[-1]} = -\text{ad}_{\Lambda^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda)}^{-1}(V_{\text{cKP}}^{[0], \text{Im}}). \quad (2.13)$$

The second step of the induction follows from equation (2.9) and Lemma 2.2. The lemma is proved. \square

Remark 2.4. Following [41] (cf. [7, 10, 17, 36, 44]), define

$$v_{-1} := qr, \quad v_{M-1} := \epsilon \frac{qX}{q}. \quad (2.14)$$

Let $\tilde{G} = \text{diag}(1, \dots, 1, q)$. Consider the following gauge transformation $V \mapsto \tilde{V}$ given by

$$\tilde{G} \circ \mathcal{L}_{\text{cKP}} \circ \tilde{G}^{-1} = \epsilon \partial_X + \tilde{\Lambda}(\lambda) + \tilde{V} =: \tilde{\mathcal{L}}, \quad (2.15)$$

where

$$\tilde{\Lambda}(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \ddots & -1 & 0 \\ -\lambda & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tilde{V} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ v_0 & v_1 & \cdots & v_{M-2} & 0 & 0 \\ v_{-1} & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & -v_{M-1} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.16)$$

The matrix Lax operator $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ corresponds to the following scalar Lax operator

$$\tilde{L} = (\epsilon \partial_X)^M + v_{M-2}(\epsilon \partial_X)^{M-2} + v_{M-3}(\epsilon \partial_X)^{M-3} + \cdots + v_1 \epsilon \partial_X + v_0 + (\epsilon \partial_X - v_{M-1})^{-1} v_{-1}, \quad (2.17)$$

which is the Lax operator used in [41, 44]. Obviously, under the degree assignments (1.5), $\deg v_l = 0$, $l = -1, \dots, M-1$. This explains that the gradation given by \deg indeed has an algebraic meaning. From this viewpoint, the above proof is essentially the same as that in [6, 22, 27].

Example 2.5. Comparing the degree -1 parts of both sides of equation (2.8), we obtain

$$H_{\text{cKP}}^{[-1]} + \left[U_{\text{cKP}}^{[-2]}, \Lambda^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda) \right] = \epsilon \partial_X (U_{\text{cKP}}^{[-1]}) + V_{\text{cKP}}^{[-1]} - \left[U_{\text{cKP}}^{[-1]}, V_{\text{cKP}}^{[0]} \right] + \frac{1}{2} \left[U_{\text{cKP}}^{[-1]}, \left[U_{\text{cKP}}^{[-1]}, \Lambda^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda) \right] \right]. \quad (2.18)$$

Since $U_{\text{cKP}}^{[-2]} \in \mathcal{A}_{\text{cKP}}[\epsilon] \otimes \text{Im ad}_{\Lambda^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda)}, H_{\text{cKP}}^{[-1]} \in \mathcal{A}_{\text{cKP}}[\epsilon] \otimes \text{Ker ad}_{\Lambda^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda)}$ and the right-hand side of (2.18) belongs to $\mathcal{A}_{\text{cKP}}[\epsilon] \otimes \mathfrak{sl}_{M+1}(\mathbb{C})((\lambda^{-1}))$, one can uniquely determine $H_{\text{cKP}}^{[-1]}$ and $U_{\text{cKP}}^{[-2]}$ from (2.18).

An element $R^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda) \in \mathcal{A}_{\text{cKP}}[\epsilon] \otimes \mathfrak{sl}_{M+1}(\mathbb{C})((\lambda^{-1}))$ is called a *matrix resolvent (MR)* of $\mathcal{L}_{\text{cKP}}(\lambda) = \epsilon \partial_X + \Lambda^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda) + V_{\text{cKP}}$ if

$$[\mathcal{L}_{\text{cKP}}(\lambda), R^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda)] = 0. \quad (2.19)$$

The set of all matrix resolvents of $\mathcal{L}_{\text{cKP}}(\lambda)$ is denoted by $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{L}_{\text{cKP}}(\lambda)}$.

Lemma 2.6. *We have*

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{L}_{\text{cKP}}(\lambda)} = e^{\text{ad}_{U_{\text{cKP}}}}(\mathfrak{h}_{\text{cKP}}), \quad (2.20)$$

Proof. By using Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 2.3, the proof is similar to that in [6]. So we omit its details. \square

Following [6], we define the *extended gradation* by assigning the following degrees:

$$\deg^e e_{i,j} = j - i, \quad \deg^e \partial_X = 1, \quad \deg^e \lambda = M, \quad i, j = 1, \dots, M+1, \quad (2.21)$$

$$\deg^e q = 0, \quad \deg^e r = M+1, \quad \deg^e v_l = M-l, \quad l = 0, \dots, M-2. \quad (2.22)$$

Like in [6] we have $\deg^e \mathcal{L}_{\text{cKP}}(\lambda) = 1$. We are ready to prove Lemma 1.1.

Proof of Lemma 1.1. Let U_{cKP} be the element defined in Lemma 2.3. Using Lemma 2.6, we know that $R_a^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda) = e^{\text{ad}_{U_{\text{cKP}}}}(\Lambda_a^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda)), 1 \leq a \leq M$, are solutions to equation (1.7). Equation (1.8) follows from (2.5), and equation (1.9) follows from the fact that

$$\text{Tr}(\Lambda_a^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda)\Lambda_b^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda)) = \delta_{a+b,M}(a+b)\lambda + \delta_{a+b,2M}\frac{M}{M+1}\lambda^2. \quad (2.23)$$

This shows the existence of $R_a^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda)$. The uniqueness follows from Lemma 2.6. \square

Recall that in Section 1, we have defined a sequence of flows (1.13) by using the basic matrix resolvents $R_a^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda), 1 \leq a \leq M$. We first have the following lemma.

Lemma 2.7. $\forall a = 1, \dots, M, k \geq 0$, there exists a unique correction term (see (1.11)) $\widehat{V}_{a,k}^{\text{cKP}} \in \mathcal{A}_{\text{cKP}}[\epsilon] \otimes \mathfrak{sl}_{M+1}(\mathbb{C})$, such that (1.13) gives well-defined equations for $q, r, v_0, \dots, v_{M-2}$. Moreover this unique correction term is given by (1.12).

Proof. Write $\widehat{V}_{a,k}^{\text{cKP}} = \sum_{i=1}^{M+1} \sum_{j=1}^{M+1} \widehat{v}_{a,k;i,j}^{\text{cKP}} e_{i,j}, a = 1, \dots, M, k \geq 0$. By a direct calculation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & [V_{a,k}^{\text{cKP}}, \mathcal{L}_{\text{cKP}}(\lambda)] \\ &= \lambda \left(\sum_{i=1}^{M+1} \widehat{v}_{a,k;i,M}^{\text{cKP}} e_{i,1} - \widehat{v}_{a,k;1,i}^{\text{cKP}} e_{M,i} \right) + \sum_{i=1}^{M+1} \sum_{j=1}^{M+1} \epsilon \partial_X (\widehat{v}_{a,k;i,j}^{\text{cKP}}) e_{i,j} \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^{M-1} \sum_{j=2}^{M-1} (\widehat{v}_{a,k;i,j-1}^{\text{cKP}} - \widehat{v}_{a,k;i+1,j}^{\text{cKP}} + \widehat{v}_{a,k;i,M}^{\text{cKP}} v_{j-1}) e_{i,j} \\ &- \sum_{j=1}^{M+1} \left(r_{a,k+1;1,j}^{\text{cKP}} + \sum_{i=1}^{M-1} \widehat{v}_{a,k;i,j}^{\text{cKP}} v_{i-1} - \widehat{v}_{a,k;M+1,j}^{\text{cKP}} q \right) e_{M,j} + \sum_{j=2}^{M-1} (\widehat{v}_{a,k;M,M}^{\text{cKP}} v_{j-1} - \widehat{v}_{a,k;M,j}^{\text{cKP}}) e_{M,j} \\ &+ \sum_{j=1}^{M+1} \widehat{v}_{a,k;1,j}^{\text{cKP}} r e_{M+1,j} + \sum_{j=2}^{M-1} (\widehat{v}_{a,k;M+1,j-1}^{\text{cKP}} + \widehat{v}_{a,k;M+1,M}^{\text{cKP}} v_{j-1}) e_{M+1,j} \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^{M+1} (\widehat{v}_{a,k;i,M}^{\text{cKP}} v_0 - \widehat{v}_{a,k;i,M+1}^{\text{cKP}}) e_{i,1} - \sum_{i=1}^{M-1} \widehat{v}_{a,k;i+1,1}^{\text{cKP}} e_{i,1} + \sum_{i=1}^{M+1} \widehat{v}_{a,k;i,M-1}^{\text{cKP}} e_{i,M} - \sum_{i=1}^{M-1} \widehat{v}_{a,k;i+1,M}^{\text{cKP}} e_{i,M}. \end{aligned}$$

The statements follow from this equation and (1.13). The lemma is proved. \square

Lemma 2.8. *The flows (1.13) coincide with the constrained KP hierarchy (1.1).*

Proof. By using Lemma 1.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} V_{2,0}^{\text{cKP}} &= \frac{2}{M} \sum_{l=0}^{M-1} \sum_{k=1}^{M-l} \binom{k+l-1}{l} v_{M-2,lX} e_{k+l,k} - \sum_{k=1}^{M-2} v_{k-1} e_{M-1,k} - \sum_{k=0}^{M-2} (v_{k-1} + v_{k,X}) e_{M,k+1} \\ &\quad - v_{M-2} (e_{M-1,M-1} + e_{M,M}) + q e_{M-1,M+1} + q_X e_{M,M+1} - r e_{M+1,2} + r_X e_{M+1,1} \\ &\quad + \lambda (e_{M-1,1} + e_{M,2}) + \sum_{k=1}^{M-2} e_{k,k+2} + \delta_{1,M} (\lambda^2 e_{1,1} + \lambda(1+q)e_{2,1} - \lambda r e_{2,1} + r(1-q)e_{2,2}), \end{aligned}$$

where $v_{-1} = qr$. Then, by using (1.34), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_{\text{cKP}}}{\partial t_0^2} &= \epsilon^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^{M-2} \left(2\epsilon v_{k-1,X} + \epsilon^2 v_{k,2X} - \frac{2}{M} \binom{M}{M-k} \epsilon^{M-k} v_{M-2,(M-k)X} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{2}{M} \sum_{i=k+1}^{M-2} \binom{i}{i-k} v_i \epsilon^{i-k} v_{M-2,(i-k)X} \right) e_{M,k+1} \\ &\quad - \left(\epsilon q_{2X} + \frac{2}{M} \epsilon^{-1} v_{M-2} q \right) e_{M,M+1} - \left(\epsilon r_{2X} + \frac{2}{M} \epsilon^{-1} v_{M-2} r \right) e_{M+1,1}. \end{aligned}$$

This implies

$$\frac{\partial v_k}{\partial t_0^2} = 2v_{k-1,X} + \epsilon v_{k,2X} - \frac{2}{M} \binom{M}{M-k} \epsilon^{M-k-1} v_{M-2,(M-k)X} - \frac{2}{M} \sum_{i=k+1}^{M-2} \binom{i}{i-k} \epsilon^{i-k-1} v_i v_{M-2,(i-k)X}, \quad (2.24)$$

$$\frac{\partial q}{\partial t_0^2} = \epsilon q_{2X} + \frac{2}{M} \epsilon^{-1} v_{M-2} q, \quad \frac{\partial r}{\partial t_0^2} = -\epsilon r_{2X} - \frac{2}{M} \epsilon^{-1} v_{M-2} r, \quad (2.25)$$

where $k = 0, \dots, M-2$. This coincides with the t_0^2 flow of (1.23). Then by using the method similar to [5] (see also the relevant calculations in [50, 51] for the $M = 1$ case), i.e., to match with the principal hierarchy of the corresponding Frobenius manifolds, one can prove this lemma. \square

In the rest of this subsection, we will give some formulas which will be used in the next subsection.

Lemma 2.9. *The following identities hold true*

$$\epsilon \frac{\partial R_b^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda)}{\partial t_k^a} = [V_{a,k}^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda), R_b^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda)], \quad 1 \leq a, b \leq M, \quad k \geq 0. \quad (2.26)$$

Proof. By using Lemma 2.3 and (1.13), we have

$$\left[\epsilon \partial_{t_k^a} + S_{a,k}^{\text{cKP}}, \epsilon \partial_X + \Lambda^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda) + H_{\text{cKP}} \right] = 0, \quad (2.27)$$

where $S_{a,k}^{\text{cKP}} = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^l}{(l+1)!} \text{ad}_{U_{\text{cKP}}}^l \left(\epsilon \frac{\partial U_{\text{cKP}}}{\partial t_k^a} \right) - e^{-\text{ad}_{U_{\text{cKP}}}} (V_{a,k}^{\text{cKP}}) \in \mathcal{A}_{\text{cKP}}[\epsilon] \otimes \mathfrak{sl}_{M+1}(\mathbb{C})((\lambda^{-1}))$. Decompose

$$S_{a,k}^{\text{cKP}} = S_{a,k}^{\text{cKP},\text{Ker}} + S_{a,k}^{\text{cKP},\text{Im}}, \quad (2.28)$$

where $S_{a,k}^{\text{cKP,Ker}} \in \mathcal{A}_{\text{cKP}}[\epsilon] \otimes \text{Ker ad}_{\Lambda^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda)}$, $S_{a,k}^{\text{cKP,Im}} \in \mathcal{A}_{\text{cKP}}[\epsilon] \otimes \text{Im ad}_{\Lambda^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda)}$. Then we have

$$\epsilon \frac{\partial H_{\text{cKP}}}{\partial t_k^a} - \epsilon \frac{\partial S_{a,k}^{\text{cKP,Ker}}}{\partial X} = 0, \quad (2.29)$$

$$\epsilon \frac{\partial S_{a,k}^{\text{cKP,Im}}}{\partial X} = [S_{a,k}^{\text{cKP,Im}}, \Lambda^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda) + H_{\text{cKP}}]. \quad (2.30)$$

Using the argument similar to that in the proof of [6, Lemma 2.2.2] we find from (2.30) that $S_{a,k}^{\text{cKP,Im}}$ vanishes. So $S_{a,k}^{\text{cKP}}$ belongs to $\mathcal{A}_{\text{cKP}}[\epsilon] \otimes \text{Ker ad}_{\Lambda^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda)}$. Then we have

$$0 = [\epsilon \partial_{t_k^a} + S_{a,k}^{\text{cKP}}, \Lambda_b^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda)] = e^{-\text{ad}_{U_{\text{cKP}}}} [\epsilon \partial_{t_k^a} - V_{a,k}^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda), R_b^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda)].$$

The lemma is proved. \square

Define the loop operator by

$$\nabla_a(\lambda) := \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{1}{\lambda^{k+1}} \frac{\partial}{\partial t_k^a}, \quad a = 1, \dots, M. \quad (2.31)$$

Lemma 2.10. *We have the following identities*

$$\epsilon \nabla_a(\lambda)(R_b^{\text{cKP}}(\mu)) = \frac{[R_a^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda), R_b^{\text{cKP}}(\mu)]}{\lambda - \mu} + [Q_a^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda), R_b^{\text{cKP}}(\mu)], \quad 1 \leq a, b \leq M, \quad (2.32)$$

where

$$Q_a^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda) = - \sum_{i=2}^M \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \sum_{l=0}^{i-j-1} \binom{l+j-1}{j-1} (\epsilon \partial_X)^l (r_{a;i-j-l,M}^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda)) e_{i,j} + \left(-\frac{1}{M+1} I + e_{M+1,M+1} \right) \delta_{a,M}. \quad (2.33)$$

Proof. By comparing the λ coefficient of (1.7) and by a direct calculation, we have

$$r_{a,0;i,M}^{\text{cKP}} = \delta_{i,M-a}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq M-1, \quad 1 \leq a \leq M. \quad (2.34)$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{V_{a,k}^{\text{cKP}}(\mu)}{\lambda^{k+1}} &= \frac{R_a^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda)}{\lambda - \mu} - \text{Coef}_\lambda(R_a^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda)) \\ &\quad - \sum_{i=2}^M \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \sum_{l=0}^{i-j-1} \binom{l+j-1}{j-1} (\epsilon \partial_X)^l (r_{a;i-j-l,M}^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda) - r_{a,0;i-j-l,M}^{\text{cKP}} - \lambda r_{a,-1;i-j-l,M}^{\text{cKP}}) e_{i,j} \\ &= \frac{R_a^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda)}{\lambda - \mu} - \sum_{i=2}^M \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \sum_{l=0}^{i-j-1} \binom{l+j-1}{j-1} (\epsilon \partial_X)^l (r_{a;i-j-l,M}^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda)) e_{i,j} + \left(-\frac{1}{M+1} I + e_{M+1,M+1} \right) \delta_{a,M}. \end{aligned}$$

The lemma is proved by using (2.26). \square

Lemma 2.11. *We have the following identities*

$$\epsilon \frac{\partial V_{a,i}^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda)}{\partial t_j^b} - \epsilon \frac{\partial V_{b,j}^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda)}{\partial t_i^a} + [V_{a,i}^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda), V_{b,j}^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda)] = 0, \quad 1 \leq a, b \leq M, \quad i, j \geq 0. \quad (2.35)$$

Proof. Using (2.32), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i,j \geq 0} \frac{1}{\lambda^{i+1} \mu^{j+1}} \left(\epsilon \frac{\partial V_{a,i}^{\text{cKP}}(\nu)}{\partial t_j^b} - \epsilon \frac{\partial V_{b,j}^{\text{cKP}}(\nu)}{\partial t_i^a} + [V_{a,i}^{\text{cKP}}(\nu), V_{b,j}^{\text{cKP}}(\nu)] \right) \\
&= \frac{[R_b^{\text{cKP}}(\mu), R_a^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda)]}{(\lambda - \nu)(\mu - \lambda)} + \frac{[Q_b^{\text{cKP}}(\mu), R_a^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda)]}{\lambda - \nu} + \epsilon \nabla_b(\mu)(Q_a^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda)) \\
&\quad - \frac{[R_a^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda), R_b^{\text{cKP}}(\mu)]}{(\mu - \nu)(\lambda - \mu)} - \frac{[Q_a^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda), R_b^{\text{cKP}}(\mu)]}{\mu - \nu} - \epsilon \nabla_a(\lambda)(Q_b^{\text{cKP}}(\mu)) \\
&\quad + \left[\frac{R_a^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda)}{\lambda - \mu}, \frac{R_b^{\text{cKP}}(\mu)}{\mu - \nu} \right] + \left[\frac{R_a^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda)}{\lambda - \mu}, Q_b^{\text{cKP}}(\mu) \right] + \left[Q_a^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda), \frac{R_b^{\text{cKP}}(\mu)}{\mu - \nu} \right] + [Q_a^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda), Q_b^{\text{cKP}}(\mu)] \\
&= \epsilon \nabla_b(\mu)(Q_a^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda)) - \epsilon \nabla_a(\lambda)(Q_b^{\text{cKP}}(\mu)) + [Q_a^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda), Q_b^{\text{cKP}}(\mu)].
\end{aligned}$$

This means that the validity of (2.35) is equivalent to

$$\epsilon \nabla_b(\mu)(Q_a^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda)) - \epsilon \nabla_a(\lambda)(Q_b^{\text{cKP}}(\mu)) + [Q_a^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda), Q_b^{\text{cKP}}(\mu)] = 0. \quad (2.36)$$

By using (2.32) and by a direct calculation, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\epsilon \sum_{i=1}^{M+1} \nabla_a(\lambda)(r_{b;i,M}^{\text{cKP}}(\mu))e_{i,M} &= \frac{1}{\lambda - \mu} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{M+1} \sum_{j=1}^{M+1} r_{a;i,j}^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda)r_{b;j,M}^{\text{cKP}}(\mu) - \sum_{i=1}^{M+1} \sum_{j=1}^{M+1} r_{b;i,j}^{\text{cKP}}(\mu)r_{a;j,M}^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda) \right) \\
&\quad - \sum_{i=2}^M \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} [Q_a^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda)]_{i,k} r_{b;k,M}^{\text{cKP}}(\mu)e_{i,M} + r_{b;M+1,M}^{\text{cKP}}(\mu)e_{M+1,M} \delta_{a,M}.
\end{aligned}$$

Also we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\epsilon \sum_{i=1}^{M+1} \nabla_b(\mu)(r_{a;i,M}^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda))e_{i,M} &= \frac{1}{\mu - \lambda} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{M+1} \sum_{j=1}^{M+1} r_{b;i,j}^{\text{cKP}}(\mu)r_{a;j,M}^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda) - \sum_{i=1}^{M+1} \sum_{j=1}^{M+1} r_{a;i,j}^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda)r_{b;j,M}^{\text{cKP}}(\mu) \right) \\
&\quad - \sum_{i=2}^M \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} [Q_b^{\text{cKP}}(\mu)]_{i,k} r_{a;k,M}^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda)e_{i,M} + r_{a;M+1,M}^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda)e_{M+1,M} \delta_{b,M}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then, by a direct calculation, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \epsilon \nabla_a(\lambda)(Q_b^{\text{cKP}}(\mu)) - \epsilon \nabla_b(\mu)(Q_a^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda)) + [Q_b^{\text{cKP}}(\mu), Q_a^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda)] \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^{M-1} \sum_{i=j+1}^M \sum_{k=1}^{i-j-1} \sum_{\alpha=0}^{k-1} \sum_{\beta=0}^{i-j-k-1} \left(\binom{\beta+j-1}{j-1} \binom{\alpha+k+j-1}{k+j-1} \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \sum_{l=0}^{\alpha} \binom{l+\beta+j-1}{j-1} \binom{l+\beta}{l} \binom{\alpha-l+k-\beta-1}{k-\beta-1} \right) \\
&\quad \cdot \left((\epsilon \partial_X)^\alpha (r_{a;k-\alpha,M}^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda)) (\epsilon \partial_X)^\beta (r_{b;i-j-k-\beta,M}^{\text{cKP}}(\mu)) - (\epsilon \partial_X)^\alpha (r_{b;k-\alpha,M}^{\text{cKP}}(\mu)) (\epsilon \partial_X)^\beta (r_{a;i-j-k-\beta,M}^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda)) \right) e_{i,j}.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{l=0}^{\alpha} \binom{l+\beta+j-1}{j-1} \binom{l+\beta}{l} \binom{\alpha-l+k-\beta-1}{k-\beta-1} \\
&= \binom{\beta+j-1}{j-1} \sum_{l=0}^{\alpha} \binom{l+\beta+j-1}{l} \binom{\alpha-l+k-\beta-1}{\alpha-l} \\
&\stackrel{*}{=} \binom{\beta+j-1}{j-1} (-1)^{\alpha} \binom{-k-j}{\alpha} = \binom{\beta+j-1}{j-1} \binom{\alpha+j+k-1}{\alpha},
\end{aligned}$$

where in the step “ \star ” we used the Chu–Vandermonde identity [3]. The lemma is proved. \square

Lemma 2.12. *The flows (1.13) pairwise commute.*

Proof. Follows from (2.11) and the definition of these flows. \square

2.2 From matrix resolvents to tau-functions for the constrained KP hierarchy

Let us first show that $\Omega_{a,i;b,j}^{\text{cKP}}$ are well defined by (1.14). Indeed,

$$R_b^{\text{cKP}}(\mu) = R_b^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda) + (R_b^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda))'(\mu - \lambda) + (\mu - \lambda)^2 \partial_{\lambda} \left(\frac{R_b^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda) - R_b^{\text{cKP}}(\mu)}{\lambda - \mu} \right) \quad (2.37)$$

and using (2.23) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\text{Tr} (R_a^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda) R_b^{\text{cKP}}(\mu))}{(\lambda - \mu)^2} &= \frac{\delta_{a+b,M}(a+b)\lambda + \delta_{a+b,2M} \frac{M}{M+1} \lambda^2}{(\lambda - \mu)^2} - \frac{\text{Tr} (R_a^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda) (R_b^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda))')}{\lambda - \mu} \\
&\quad + \text{Tr} \left(R_a^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda) \partial_{\lambda} \left(\frac{R_b^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda) - R_b^{\text{cKP}}(\mu)}{\lambda - \mu} \right) \right), \quad (2.38)
\end{aligned}$$

where “ $'$ ” denotes derivative with respect to the spectral parameter. Since $R_a^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda) = \mathcal{O}(\lambda^1)$, $a = 1, \dots, M$, it can be deduced that the third term in the identity above has the same form as the left-hand side of (1.14). Thus, the only thing left to prove is that

$$\frac{\delta_{a+b,M} M \lambda + \delta_{a+b,2M} \frac{M}{M+1} \lambda^2}{(\lambda - \mu)^2} - \frac{\text{Tr} (R_a^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda) (R_b^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda))')}{\lambda - \mu} - \frac{a\lambda + b\mu}{(\lambda - \mu)^2} \delta_{a+b,M} - \frac{M}{M+1} \frac{\lambda\mu}{(\lambda - \mu)^2} \delta_{a+b,2M} \quad (2.39)$$

has the same form as the left-hand side of (1.14). It will be shown that the above expression equals zero. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \partial_X \left(\text{Tr} \left(R_a^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda) (R_b^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda))' \right) \right) \\
&= \text{Tr} \left([R_a^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda), \Lambda^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda) + V_{\text{cKP}}] (R_b^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda))' \right) + \text{Tr} \left(R_a^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda) ([R_b^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda), \Lambda^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda) + V_{\text{cKP}}])' \right) \\
&= \text{Tr} \left(R_a^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda) [R_b^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda), (\Lambda^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda))'] \right) = \text{Tr} \left([R_b^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda), R_a^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda) (\Lambda^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda))'] \right) = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Here we have used the commutativity between matrix resolvents. Therefore, we conclude that $\text{Tr} \left(R_a^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda) (R_b^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda))' \right)$ is independent of $q, r, v_0, \dots, v_{M-2}$ and all orders of X -derivative, meaning that it is only a function of λ . Consequently, we obtain

$$\text{Tr} \left(R_a^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda) (R_b^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda))' \right) = \text{Tr} \left(\Lambda_a^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda) (\Lambda_b^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda))' \right) = b\delta_{a+b,M} + \delta_{a+b,2M} \frac{M}{M+1} \lambda. \quad (2.40)$$

Therefore, $\Omega_{a,i;b,j}^{\text{cKP}}$ are well defined.

Proof of Lemma 1.2. By using (2.32), the proof is similar to that in [6, 28]. We omit its details. \square

Proof of Proposition 1.3. The proof is similar to that in [6, 28], so we omit its details. \square

Write $R_a^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda; \mathbf{t}; \epsilon)$ as the $R_a^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda)$ evaluated at an arbitrarily solution (1.19). The following corollary follows from Proposition 1.3.

Corollary 2.13. *For any integer $k \geq 2$, and any fixed $a_1, \dots, a_k \in \{1, \dots, M\}$, we have*

$$\sum_{i_1, \dots, i_k \geq 0} \frac{\epsilon^k \frac{\partial^k \log \tau_{\text{cKP}}(\mathbf{t}; \epsilon)}{\partial t_{i_1}^{a_1} \dots \partial t_{i_k}^{a_k}}}{\prod_{j=1}^k \lambda_j^{i_j+1}} = - \sum_{\sigma \in S_k/C_k} \frac{\text{Tr} \left(R_{a_{\sigma(1)}}^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda_{\sigma(1)}; \mathbf{t}; \epsilon) R_{a_{\sigma(2)}}^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda_{\sigma(2)}; \mathbf{t}; \epsilon) \dots R_{a_{\sigma(k)}}^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda_{\sigma(k)}; \mathbf{t}; \epsilon) \right)}{\prod_{i=1}^k (\lambda_{\sigma(i)} - \lambda_{\sigma(i+1)})} - \left(\frac{a_1 \lambda_1 + a_2 \lambda_2}{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)^2} \delta_{a_1+a_2, M} + \frac{M}{M+1} \frac{\lambda_1 \lambda_2}{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)^2} \delta_{a_1+a_2, 2M} \right) \delta_{k,2}. \quad (2.41)$$

3 The matrix-resolvent method to tau-functions for the bigraded Toda hierarchy of $(M, 1)$ -type

3.1 Matrix resolvents for the bigraded Toda hierarchy of $(M, 1)$ -type

For any $A \in \mathcal{A}[[\epsilon]] \otimes \text{Mat}(M+1, \mathbb{C}((\lambda^{-1})))$, we define

$$\rho_A : \mathcal{A}[[\epsilon]] \otimes \text{Mat}(M+1, \mathbb{C}((\lambda^{-1}))) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}[[\epsilon]] \otimes \text{Mat}(M+1, \mathbb{C}((\lambda^{-1}))) \quad (3.1)$$

by

$$\rho_A(B) := AB - \mathcal{T}(B)A, \quad \forall B \in \mathcal{A}[[\epsilon]] \otimes \text{Mat}(M+1, \mathbb{C}((\lambda^{-1}))). \quad (3.2)$$

Let us denote $\tilde{\rho}_A := \rho_A|_{\text{Mat}(M+1, \mathbb{C}((\lambda^{-1})))}$. We have the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1. *The spaces $\text{Im } \tilde{\rho}_{\Lambda(\lambda)}, \text{Ker } \tilde{\rho}_{\Lambda(\lambda)} \subset \text{Mat}(M+1, \mathbb{C}((\lambda^{-1})))$ have the following form*

$$\text{Im } \tilde{\rho}_{\Lambda(\lambda)} = \left\{ \sum_{l=1}^{M^2+M} \alpha_l(\lambda) e_l(\lambda) \mid \alpha_l(\lambda) \in \mathbb{C}((\lambda^{-1})) \right\}, \quad (3.3)$$

$$\text{Ker } \tilde{\rho}_{\Lambda(\lambda)} = \left\{ \beta_0(\lambda) I + \sum_{a=1}^M \beta_a(\lambda) \Lambda_a(\lambda) \mid \beta_0(\lambda), \beta_a(\lambda) \in \mathbb{C}((\lambda^{-1})) \right\} =: \mathfrak{h}. \quad (3.4)$$

Here

$$e_{k(M-1)+i}(\lambda) = \begin{cases} \lambda e_{1,k+1} - \lambda e_{i+1,i+k+1} & i = 1, \dots, M-k-1, \\ \lambda e_{1,k+1} - e_{i+1,i+k+1-M} & i = M-k, \dots, M-1, \end{cases} \quad k = 1, \dots, M-1,$$

$$e_{M(M-1)+i}(\lambda) = \lambda e_{i+1, M+1} - e_{i+1, 1}, \quad i = 1, \dots, M-1,$$

$$e_{(M+1)(M-1)+1}(\lambda) = \lambda e_{1, M+1} + e_{M+1, M+1} - e_{1, 1}, \quad e_{(M+1)(M-1)+a+1}(\lambda) = e_{M+1, a}, \quad a = 1, \dots, M.$$

The proof of this lemma is in Appendix A.

Note that $e_l(\lambda), \Lambda_a(\lambda), I, 1 \leq l \leq M^2+M, 1 \leq a \leq M$, are linearly independent. We have

$$\text{Mat}(M+1, \mathbb{C}((\lambda^{-1}))) = \text{Im } \tilde{\rho}_{\Lambda(\lambda)} \oplus \text{Ker } \tilde{\rho}_{\Lambda(\lambda)}. \quad (3.5)$$

It is easy to check that for any $A \in \mathcal{A}[[\epsilon]] \otimes \text{Im } \tilde{\rho}_{\Lambda(\lambda)}$, $\rho_{\Lambda(\lambda)}(A) \in \mathcal{A}[[\epsilon]] \otimes \text{Im } \tilde{\rho}_{\Lambda(\lambda)}$.

Lemma 3.2. For any $B \in \mathcal{A}[[\epsilon]] \otimes \text{Im } \tilde{\rho}_{\Lambda(\lambda)}$, there exists a unique $A \in \mathcal{A}[[\epsilon]] \otimes \text{Im } \tilde{\rho}_{\Lambda(\lambda)}$ such that

$$\rho_{\Lambda(\lambda)}(A) = B. \quad (3.6)$$

Proof. Write

$$A = \sum_{l=1}^{M^2+M} a_l e_l(\lambda), \quad B = \sum_{l=1}^{M^2+M} b_l e_l(\lambda), \quad a_l, b_l \in \mathcal{A}[[\epsilon]] \otimes \mathbb{C}((\lambda^{-1})). \quad (3.7)$$

By a direct calculation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda(\lambda)e_i(\lambda) &= \begin{cases} \lambda e_{(M-1)^2+1} - \lambda e_{(M-1)^2+i+1} & i = 1, \dots, M-2 \\ \lambda e_{(M-1)^2+1} + \lambda e_{(M+1)M} & i = M-1 \end{cases}, \\ \Lambda(\lambda)e_{k(M-1)+i}(\lambda) &= \begin{cases} e_{(k-1)(M-1)+1} - e_{(k-1)(M-1)+i+1} & i = 1, \dots, M-2 \\ e_{(k-1)(M-1)+1} + e_{(M+1)M-k} & i = M-1 \end{cases}, \quad k = 1, \dots, M-1, \\ \Lambda(\lambda)e_{M(M-1)+i}(\lambda) &= \begin{cases} -e_{M(M-2)+i+1} & i = 1, \dots, M-2 \\ -\lambda e_{M(M-1)+M} + e_{M(M-1)+M+1} & i = M-1 \end{cases}, \\ \Lambda(\lambda)e_{(M+1)(M-1)+1}(\lambda) &= -e_{M(M-1)+1} + \delta_{M+1,2}(-\lambda e_1 + e_1 + e_2), \\ \Lambda(\lambda)e_{(M+1)(M-1)+a+1}(\lambda) &= 0, \quad a = 1, \dots, M, \\ e_i(\lambda)\Lambda(\lambda) &= -\lambda e_{(M-1)^2+i}, \quad e_{M(M-1)+i}(\lambda)\Lambda(\lambda) = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, M-1, \\ e_{k(M-1)+i}(\lambda)\Lambda(\lambda) &= -e_{(k-1)(M-1)+i}, \quad i = 1, \dots, M-1, k = 1, \dots, M-1, \\ e_{(M+1)(M-1)+1}(\lambda)\Lambda(\lambda) &= -e_{(M+1)M}, \quad e_{(M+1)(M-1)+2}(\lambda)\Lambda(\lambda) = -\lambda e_{(M+1)M}, \\ e_{(M+1)(M-1)+i+2}(\lambda)\Lambda(\lambda) &= -e_{(M+1)(M-1)+i+1}, \quad i = 1, \dots, M-1. \end{aligned}$$

Then equation (3.6) is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda \sum_{i=1}^{M-1} a_i + \lambda \mathcal{T}(a_1) &= b_{(M-1)^2+1}, \\ -\lambda a_l + \lambda \mathcal{T}(a_{l+1}) &= b_{(M-1)^2+l+1}, \quad l = 1, \dots, M-2, \\ \sum_{i=1}^{M-1} a_{k(M-1)+i} + \mathcal{T}(a_{k(M-1)+1}) &= b_{k(M-1)+1}, \quad k = 1, \dots, M-1, \\ -a_{k(M-1)+l} + \mathcal{T}(a_{k(M-1)+l+1}) &= b_{k(M-1)+l+1}, \quad l = 1, \dots, M-2, \\ -a_{M(M-1)+l} &= b_{M(M-1)+l+1}, \quad l = 1, \dots, M-2, \\ -\lambda a_{M(M-1)+(M-1)} &= b_{(M+1)(M-1)+1}, \\ -a_{(M+1)(M-1)+1} + \delta_{M+1,2}(-\lambda a_1 + a_1) &= b_{M(M-1)+1}, \\ \lambda a_{M-1} + \mathcal{T}(a_{(M+1)(M-1)+1}) + \lambda \mathcal{T}(a_{(M+1)(M-1)+2}) + \delta_{M+1,2} a_1 &= b_{(M+1)M}, \\ a_{(i+1)(M-1)+M-1} + \mathcal{T}(a_{(M+1)(M-1)+i+2}) &= b_{(M+1)(M-1)+i+1}, \quad i = 1, \dots, M-1. \end{aligned}$$

By solving these equations we obtain

$$a_{k(M-1)+1} = \frac{1}{\lambda}(1 + \mathcal{T} + \mathcal{T}^2 + \dots + \mathcal{T}^{M-1})^{-1} \left(\mathcal{T}^{M-2}(b_{k(M-1)+1}) - \sum_{i=1}^{M-1} \sum_{q=1}^{i-1} \mathcal{T}^{M-2+q-i}(b_{k(M-1)+q+1}) \right),$$

$$a_{k(M-1)+i} = \frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{q=1}^{i-1} \mathcal{T}^{-i+q}(b_{k(M-1)+q+1}) + \mathcal{T}^{-i+1}(a_{k(M-1)+1}), \quad i = 2, \dots, M-1,$$

$$a_{M(M-1)+l} = -b_{M(M-1)+l+1}, \quad l = 1, \dots, M-2,$$

$$a_{M(M-1)+(M-1)} = -\frac{1}{\lambda}b_{(M+1)(M-1)+1},$$

$$a_{(M+1)(M-1)+1} = \delta_{M+1,2}(-\lambda a_1 + a_1) - b_{M(M-1)+1},$$

$$a_{(M+1)(M-1)+2} = \frac{1}{\lambda}(\mathcal{T}^{-1}(b_{(M+1)M}) - \delta_{M+1,2}\mathcal{T}^{-1}(a_1) - \lambda\mathcal{T}^{-1}(a_{M-1}) - a_{(M+1)(M-1)+1}),$$

$$a_{(M+1)(M-1)+i+2} = \mathcal{T}^{-1}(b_{(M+1)(M-1)+i+1} - a_{(i+1)(M-1)+M-1}), \quad i = 1, \dots, M-1.$$

The lemma is proved. \square

We have the following fundamental lemma.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $\mathcal{L}(\lambda) = \mathcal{T} + \Lambda(\lambda) + V$. There exists a unique pair (U, H) of the form*

$$U = \sum_{k \geq 1} U^{[-k]} \in \mathcal{A}[[\epsilon]] \otimes \text{Im } \tilde{\rho}_{\Lambda(\lambda)}, \quad (3.8)$$

$$H = \sum_{k \geq 0} H^{[-k]} \in \mathcal{A}[[\epsilon]] \otimes \text{Ker } \tilde{\rho}_{\Lambda(\lambda)}, \quad (3.9)$$

where Im, Ker are taken in $\text{Mat}(M+1, \mathbb{C}((\lambda^{-1})))$, such that

$$e^{-\mathcal{T}(U)} \circ \mathcal{L}(\lambda) \circ e^U = \mathcal{T} + \Lambda(\lambda) + H, \quad (3.10)$$

where $U^{[-k]}, H^{[-k]}$ denote the element in $\mathcal{A}[[\epsilon]] \otimes \text{Mat}(M+1, \mathbb{C}((\lambda^{-1})))$ with degree $-k$.

Proof. Note that equation (3.10) is equivalent to

$$\left(\sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{(-1)^k (\mathcal{T}(U))^k}{k!} \right) (\Lambda(\lambda) + V) \left(\sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{U^k}{k!} \right) = \Lambda(\lambda) + H. \quad (3.11)$$

Substituting (3.8) and (3.9) into (3.11) and comparing the components of both sides of (3.11) with degree $-k, k \geq 0$, we get

$$\rho_{\Lambda(\lambda)} \left(U^{[-k-1]} \right) + G_k = H^{[-k]}, \quad (3.12)$$

where $G_k \in \mathcal{A}[[\epsilon]] \otimes \text{Mat}(M+1, \mathbb{C}((\lambda^{-1})))$, which are determined by $V, U^{[-1]}, \dots, U^{[-k]}$. We will prove the lemma by induction on the degree. First, for $k = 0$ equation (3.12) reads

$$\rho_{\Lambda(\lambda)} \left(U^{[-1]} \right) + V^{[0]} = H^{[0]}. \quad (3.13)$$

Decompose

$$V^{[0]} = V^{[0], \text{Im}} + V^{[0], \text{Ker}}, \quad (3.14)$$

where $V^{[0],\text{Im}} \in \mathcal{A}[[\epsilon]] \otimes \text{Im } \tilde{\rho}_{\Lambda(\lambda)}$, $V^{[0],\text{Ker}} \in \mathcal{A}[[\epsilon]] \otimes \text{Ker } \tilde{\rho}_{\Lambda(\lambda)}$. Then by using (3.5), equation (3.13) is equivalent to

$$H^{[0]} = V^{[0],\text{Ker}}, \quad \rho_{\Lambda(\lambda)} \left(U^{[-1]} \right) = -V^{[0],\text{Im}}. \quad (3.15)$$

Using Lemma 3.2, we have $U^{[-1]} = \rho_{\Lambda(\lambda)}^{-1} (-V^{[0],\text{Im}})$. The second step of the induction follows from equations (3.12), (3.5) and Lemma 3.2. The lemma is proved. \square

Example 3.4. Comparing the degree -1 parts of both sides of equation (3.11), we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2} \rho_{\rho_{\Lambda(\lambda)}(U^{[-1]})}(U^{[-1]}) + \rho_{V^{[0]}}(U^{[-1]}) + V^{[-1]} = H^{[-1]} - \rho_{\Lambda(\lambda)}(U^{[-2]}). \quad (3.16)$$

Since $U^{[-2]} \in \mathcal{A}[[\epsilon]] \otimes \text{Im } \tilde{\rho}_{\Lambda(\lambda)}$, $H^{[-1]} \in \mathcal{A}[[\epsilon]] \otimes \text{Ker } \tilde{\rho}_{\Lambda(\lambda)}$, and the left-hand side belongs to $\mathcal{A}^{\text{cKP}}[\epsilon] \otimes \text{Mat}(M+1, \mathbb{C}((\lambda^{-1})))$, we can uniquely determine $H^{[-1]}$ and $U^{[-2]}$, as explained in the above proof.

An element $R(\lambda) \in \mathcal{A}[[\epsilon]] \otimes \text{Mat}(M+1, \mathbb{C}((\lambda^{-1})))$ is called a *MR* of $\mathcal{L}(\lambda) = \mathcal{T} + \Lambda(\lambda) + V$ if

$$\mathcal{T}(R(\lambda))(\Lambda(\lambda) + V) - (\Lambda(\lambda) + V)R(\lambda) = 0. \quad (3.17)$$

The set of all matrix resolvents of $\mathcal{L}(\lambda)$ is denoted by $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{L}(\lambda)}$.

Lemma 3.5. We have

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{L}(\lambda)} = e^U \circ \mathfrak{h} \circ e^{-U}. \quad (3.18)$$

Proof. Lemma 3.3 reduces the problem to considering the resolvents of $\mathcal{T} + \Lambda(\lambda) + H$. So, let us look at the following equation for $R_H(\lambda) \in \mathcal{A}[[\epsilon]] \otimes \text{Mat}(M+1, \mathbb{C}((\lambda^{-1})))$:

$$\rho_{\Lambda(\lambda)+H}(R_H(\lambda)) = 0. \quad (3.19)$$

By using (3.5), we write

$$R_H(\lambda) = R_H^{\text{Ker}}(\lambda) + R_H^{\text{Im}}(\lambda), \quad (3.20)$$

where $R_H^{\text{Ker}}(\lambda) \in \mathcal{A}[[\epsilon]] \otimes \text{Ker } \tilde{\rho}_{\Lambda(\lambda)}$, $R_H^{\text{Im}}(\lambda) \in \mathcal{A}[[\epsilon]] \otimes \text{Im } \tilde{\rho}_{\Lambda(\lambda)}$. Then equation (3.19) holds if and only if

$$\rho_{\Lambda(\lambda)+H}(R_H^{\text{Im}}(\lambda)) + \rho_{\Lambda(\lambda)+H}(R_H^{\text{Ker}}(\lambda)) = 0. \quad (3.21)$$

It is easy to check that $\rho_{\Lambda(\lambda)+H}(R_H^{\text{Im}}(\lambda)) \in \mathcal{A}[[\epsilon]] \otimes \text{Im } \tilde{\rho}_{\Lambda(\lambda)}$, $\rho_{\Lambda(\lambda)+H}(R_H^{\text{Ker}}(\lambda)) \in \mathcal{A}[[\epsilon]] \otimes \text{Ker } \tilde{\rho}_{\Lambda(\lambda)}$. Then, by using (3.5), we have

$$\rho_{\Lambda(\lambda)+H}(R_H^{\text{Ker}}(\lambda)) = 0, \quad \rho_{\Lambda(\lambda)+H}(R_H^{\text{Im}}(\lambda)) = 0. \quad (3.22)$$

The first equation of (3.22) is equivalent to

$$\mathcal{T}(R_H^{\text{Ker}}(\lambda)) - R_H^{\text{Ker}}(\lambda) = 0. \quad (3.23)$$

This implies that $R_H^{\text{Ker}}(\lambda)$ depends only on λ . Let us now show that $R_H^{\text{Im}}(\lambda)$ must vanish. If it does not vanish, then there exists an integer d such that

$$R_H^{\text{Im}}(\lambda) = \sum_{i=-\infty}^d R_H^{[i],\text{Im}}(\lambda), \quad R_H^{[d],\text{Im}}(\lambda) \neq 0,$$

where $R_H^{[i],\text{Im}}(\lambda)$ denotes the degree i term of $R_H^{\text{Im}}(\lambda)$ (see (1.27)). Looking at the highest degree term on both sides of the second equation of (3.22) we obtain

$$\rho_{\Lambda(\lambda)}\left(R_H^{[d],\text{Im}}(\lambda)\right) = 0.$$

By using Lemma 3.2 we have $R_H^{[d],\text{Im}}(\lambda) = 0$. This produces a contradiction. The lemma is proved. \square

Following [6], we define the *extended gradation* by assigning the following degrees:

$$\overline{\deg}^e e_{i,j} = i - j, \quad \overline{\deg}^e \partial_X = 1, \quad \overline{\deg}^e \lambda = M, \quad i, j = 1, \dots, M + 1, \quad (3.24)$$

$$\overline{\deg}^e u_l = M - l, \quad l = -1, \dots, M - 1. \quad (3.25)$$

Like in [6] we have $\overline{\deg}^e \mathcal{L}(\lambda) = 1$. We are ready to prove Lemma 1.4.

Proof of Lemma 1.4. Let U be the element defined in Lemma 3.3. By using Lemma 2.6, $R_a(\lambda) = e^U \Lambda_a(\lambda) e^{-U}$, $1 \leq a \leq M$ are solutions to equation (1.28). Equation (1.29) follows from (3.8), and equation (1.30) follows from the fact that

$$\text{Tr}(\Lambda_a(\lambda)\Lambda_b(\lambda)) = \delta_{a+b,M}(a+b)\lambda + M\delta_{a+b,2M}\lambda^2. \quad (3.26)$$

This proves the existence of $R_a(\lambda)$. The uniqueness follows from Lemma 2.6. \square

Recall that in Section 1, we have defined a sequence of flows (1.34) by using the basic matrix resolvents $R_a(\lambda)$, $1 \leq a \leq M$. We are going to prove that (1.34) coincide with (1.23).

Lemma 3.6. *The flows (1.34) are well defined and coincide with the bigraded Toda hierarchy of $(M, 1)$ -type (1.23).*

Proof. Denote $\widehat{V}_{a,k} = -r_{a,k+1;M+1,1}e_{M+1,M+1} + \sum_{i=1}^{M-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^M \widehat{v}_{a,k;i,j}e_{i,j}$, $a = 1, \dots, M, k \geq 0$. By a direct calculation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{T}(V_{a,k})(\Lambda(\lambda) + V) - (\Lambda(\lambda) + V)V_{a,k} \\ = & \mathcal{T}(r_{a,k+1;1,1})e_{1,M} - \sum_{l=1}^{M+1} r_{a,k+1;M,l}e_{1,l} + \sum_{l=1}^{M-1} \mathcal{T}(\widehat{v}_{a,k+1;1,l+1})e_{1,l} + \sum_{i=1}^{M-1} \sum_{l=i+1}^M u_{M-i}\widehat{v}_{a,k+1;i,l}e_{1,l} \\ & - r_{a,k+1;M+1,1}u_{-1}e_{1,M+1}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.27)$$

From this expression, we can see that (1.34) are well defined. By using Lemma 1.4, we have

$$V_{1,0}(\lambda) = -\Lambda(\lambda) - V + \text{diag}(\mathcal{T}^{M-1}(\alpha_M), \dots, \alpha_M, \mathcal{T}^{-1}(\alpha_M)), \quad (3.28)$$

where we recall the definition of $\Lambda(\lambda)$, V in (1.25) and $\alpha_M := (1 + \mathcal{T} + \dots + \mathcal{T}^{M-1})^{-1}(u_{M-1})$. Then, by using (1.13), we have

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial t_0^1} = \epsilon^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{M+1} ((\mathcal{T} - 1)(u_{i-3}) + u_{i-2}(1 - \mathcal{T}^{i-2})(\alpha_M)) e_{1,M+2-i}, \quad u_{-2} := 0. \quad (3.29)$$

This implies

$$\frac{\partial u_k}{\partial t_0^1} = \epsilon^{-1} \left((\mathcal{T} - 1)(u_{k-1}) + u_k(1 - \mathcal{T}^k)(\alpha_M) \right), \quad k = -1, 0, \dots, M - 1. \quad (3.30)$$

This coincides with the t_0^1 flow of (1.1). Then by using the method similar to [5], i.e., to match with the principal hierarchy of the corresponding Frobenius manifolds, one can prove this lemma. \square

By using (3.27) and (1.34) we know that the bigraded Toda hierarchy of $(M, 1)$ -type reads

$$\epsilon \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial t_k^a} = -r_{a,k+1;M,M-i} + \mathcal{T}^{i+1}(r_{a,k+1;i+1,1}) + \sum_{l=1}^{M-i-1} u_{M-l} \mathcal{T}^{i+1}(r_{a,k+1;i+l+1,1}), \quad (3.31)$$

$$\epsilon \frac{\partial u_{-1}}{\partial t_k^a} = u_{-1}(\mathcal{T} - 1)(r_{a,k+1;M+1,1}), \quad (3.32)$$

where $i = 0, \dots, M, a = 1, \dots, M, k \geq 0$.

Lemma 3.7. *The following identities hold true*

$$\epsilon \frac{\partial R_b(\lambda)}{\partial t_k^a} = [V_{a,k}(\lambda), R_b(\lambda)], \quad k \geq 0, 1 \leq a, b \leq M. \quad (3.33)$$

Proof. Using Lemma 3.3, we have

$$\epsilon \frac{\partial(\Lambda(\lambda) + H)}{\partial t_k^a} = \mathcal{T}(S_{a,k})(\Lambda(\lambda) + H) - (\Lambda(\lambda) + H)S_{a,k}, \quad (3.34)$$

where $S_{a,k} = e^{-\text{ad}_U}(V_{a,k}) - \frac{\partial U}{\partial t_k^a}$. Clearly, $S_{a,k}$ takes values in $\mathcal{A}[[\epsilon]] \otimes \text{Mat}(M+1, \mathbb{C}((\lambda^{-1})))$. Decompose

$$S_{a,k} = S_{a,k}^{\text{Ker}} + S_{a,k}^{\text{Im}}, \quad (3.35)$$

where $S_{a,k}^{\text{Ker}} \in \mathcal{A}[[\epsilon]] \otimes \text{Ker } \tilde{\rho}_{\Lambda(\lambda)}, S_{a,k}^{\text{Im}} \in \mathcal{A}[[\epsilon]] \otimes \text{Im } \tilde{\rho}_{\Lambda(\lambda)}$. Then we have

$$\epsilon \frac{\partial H}{\partial t_k^a} = \mathcal{T}(S_{a,k}^{\text{Ker}})(\Lambda(\lambda) + H) - (\Lambda(\lambda) + H)S_{a,k}^{\text{Ker}}, \quad (3.36)$$

$$0 = \mathcal{T}(S_{a,k}^{\text{Im}})(\Lambda(\lambda) + H) - (\Lambda(\lambda) + H)S_{a,k}^{\text{Im}}. \quad (3.37)$$

Using the same argument as in the proof of Lemma 3.5, we find that $S_{a,k}^{\text{Im}}$ must vanish. So, $S_{a,k}$ belongs to $\mathcal{A}[[\epsilon]] \otimes \text{Ker } \tilde{\rho}_{\Lambda(\lambda)}$. Then we have

$$0 = \left[\epsilon \partial_{t_k^a} - S_{a,k}, \Lambda_b(\lambda) \right] = e^{-\text{ad}_U} \left[\epsilon \partial_{t_k^a} - V_{a,k}(\lambda), R_b(\lambda) \right].$$

The lemma is proved. \square

Lemma 3.8. *We have the following identities*

$$\epsilon \nabla_a(\lambda)(R_b(\mu)) = \frac{[R_a(\lambda), R_b(\mu)]}{\lambda - \mu} + [Q_a(\lambda), R_b(\mu)], \quad 1 \leq a, b \leq M, \quad (3.38)$$

where

$$Q_a(\lambda) = - \sum_{i=1}^{M-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^M \mathcal{T}^{M+1-j}(r_{a;M+1-j+i,1}(\lambda)) e_{i,j} + r_{a;M+1,1}(\lambda) e_{M+1,M+1} - I \delta_{a,M}. \quad (3.39)$$

Proof. By comparing the λ coefficient of (1.28), we have

$$r_{a,-1;i,i+k} = \mathcal{T}(r_{a,-1;i+1,i+1+k}), \quad r_{a,-1;M-k,M} = \mathcal{T}(r_{a,0;M+1-k,1}), \quad (3.40)$$

$$r_{a,-1;i,M+1} = 0, \quad r_{M,0;M+1-k,1} = 0, \quad (3.41)$$

where $1 \leq i \leq M+1-k$, $M+1-a \leq k \leq M-1$, $1 \leq a \leq M$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{V_{a,k}(\mu)}{\lambda^{k+1}} &= \frac{R_a(\lambda)}{\lambda - \mu} - \text{Coef}_\lambda(R_a(\lambda)) \\ &\quad - \sum_{i=1}^{M-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^M \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{\mathcal{T}^{M+1-j}(r_{a,k+1;M+1-j+i,1})}{\lambda^{k+1}} e_{i,j} + \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{r_{a,k+1;M+1,1}}{\lambda^{k+1}} e_{M+1,M+1} \\ &= \frac{R_a(\lambda)}{\lambda - \mu} - \sum_{i=1}^{M-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^M \mathcal{T}^{M+1-j}(r_{a;M+1-j+i,1}(\lambda)) e_{i,j} + r_{a;M+1,1}(\lambda) e_{M+1,M+1} - I\delta_{a,M}. \end{aligned}$$

The lemma is proved by using (3.33). \square

Lemma 3.9. *We have the following identities*

$$\epsilon \frac{\partial V_{a,i}(\lambda)}{\partial t_j^b} - \epsilon \frac{\partial V_{b,j}(\lambda)}{\partial t_i^a} + [V_{a,i}(\lambda), V_{b,j}(\lambda)] = 0, \quad 1 \leq a, b \leq M, \quad i, j \geq 0. \quad (3.42)$$

Proof. Similar to the proof in Lemma 2.11, (3.42) are equivalent to

$$\epsilon \nabla_b(\mu)(Q_a(\lambda)) - \epsilon \nabla_a(\lambda)(Q_b(\mu)) + [Q_a(\lambda), Q_b(\mu)] = 0. \quad (3.43)$$

Using (3.38), we can obtain the following results by a direct calculation

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^{M+1} \epsilon \nabla_a(\lambda)(r_{b;i,1}(\mu)) e_{i,1} &= \frac{1}{\lambda - \mu} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{M+1} \sum_{j=1}^{M+1} r_{a;i,j}(\lambda) r_{b;j,1}(\mu) e_{i,1} - \sum_{i=1}^{M+1} \sum_{j=1}^{M+1} r_{b;i,j}(\mu) r_{a;j,1}(\lambda) e_{i,1} \right) \\ &\quad - \sum_{i=1}^{M-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^M [Q_a(\lambda)]_{i,j} r_{b;j,1}(\mu) e_{i,1} + r_{a;M+1,1}(\lambda) r_{b;M+1,1}(\mu) e_{M+1,1}. \quad (3.44) \end{aligned}$$

Also we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^{M+1} \epsilon \nabla_b(\mu)(r_{a;i,1}(\lambda)) e_{i,1} &= \frac{1}{\mu - \lambda} \left(\sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^m r_{b;i,j}(\mu) r_{a;j,1}(\lambda) e_{i,1} - \sum_{i=1}^{M+1} \sum_{j=1}^{M+1} r_{a;i,j}(\lambda) r_{b;j,1}(\mu) e_{i,1} \right) \\ &\quad - \sum_{i=1}^{M-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^M [Q_b(\mu)]_{i,j} r_{a;j,1}(\lambda) e_{i,1} + r_{b;M+1,1}(\mu) r_{a;M+1,1}(\lambda) e_{M+1,1}. \quad (3.45) \end{aligned}$$

Substituting the above two equations (3.44)–(3.45) into the left-hand side of (3.43) and after a direct and tedious calculation, we can verify that (3.43) indeed holds. We omit the details of the computation here. The lemma is proved. \square

Similarly as before, using (3.42) one obtains the following lemma.

Lemma 3.10. *The flows (1.34) pairwise commute.*

3.2 From matrix resolvents to tau-functions for the bigraded Toda hierarchy of $(M, 1)$ -type

Similarly to the proof in the Section 2.2 (also cf. [6, 28]), we can show that the two-point correlation functions $\Omega_{a,i;b,j}$, $1 \leq a, b \leq M$, $i, j \geq 0$, for the bigraded Toda hierarchy, introduced in Section 1 (see equation (1.35)), are well defined.

Proof of Lemma 1.5 . By using (3.38), the proof is similar to that in [6, 28]. We omit its details. \square

Proof of Lemma 1.7. We first to prove the compatibility between (1.41) and (1.42). On one hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i,j \geq 0} \frac{1}{\lambda^{i+1} \mu^{j+1}} [\mathcal{T}(\Omega_{a,i;b,j}) - \Omega_{a,i;b,j}] \\
&= \frac{\text{Tr} (\mathcal{T}(R_a(\lambda))\mathcal{T}(R_b(\mu)) - (\Lambda(\lambda) + V)^{-1}\mathcal{T}(R_a(\lambda))(\Lambda(\lambda) + V)(\Lambda(\mu) + V)^{-1}\mathcal{T}(R_b(\mu))(\Lambda(\mu) + V))}{(\lambda - \mu)^2} \\
&= \frac{\text{Tr} (\mathcal{T}(R_a(\lambda))\mathcal{T}(R_b(\mu)) - (\Lambda(\mu) + V)(\Lambda(\lambda) + V)^{-1}\mathcal{T}(R_a(\lambda))(\Lambda(\lambda) + V)(\Lambda(\mu) + V)^{-1}\mathcal{T}(R_b(\mu)))}{(\lambda - \mu)^2} \\
&= \frac{\text{Tr} (\mathcal{T}(R_a(\lambda))\mathcal{T}(R_b(\mu)) - (I + (\mu - \lambda)e_{1,M+1})\mathcal{T}(R_a(\lambda))(I + (\lambda - \mu)e_{1,M+1})\mathcal{T}(R_b(\mu)))}{(\lambda - \mu)^2} \\
&= \mathcal{T} \left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^{M+1} r_{a;M+1,j}(\lambda)r_{b;j,1}(\mu) - \sum_{j=1}^{M+1} r_{b;M+1,j}(\mu)r_{a;j,1}(\lambda)}{\lambda - \mu} + r_{a;M+1,1}(\lambda)r_{b;M+1,1}(\mu) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, it follows from (3.44) that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \epsilon \nabla_b(\mu) (\mathcal{T}(r_{a;M+1,1}(\lambda))) \\
&= \mathcal{T} \left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^{M+1} r_{a;M+1,j}(\lambda)r_{b;j,1}(\mu) - \sum_{j=1}^{M+1} r_{b;M+1,j}(\mu)r_{a;j,1}(\lambda)}{\lambda - \mu} + r_{a;M+1,1}(\lambda)r_{b;M+1,1}(\mu) \right). \quad (3.46)
\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\sum_{i,j \geq 0} \frac{1}{\lambda^{i+1} \mu^{j+1}} [\mathcal{T}(\Omega_{a,i;b,j}) - \Omega_{a,i;b,j}] = \epsilon \nabla_b(\mu) (\mathcal{T}(r_{a;M+1,1}(\lambda))). \quad (3.47)$$

This proves the compatibility between (1.41) and (1.42). By using (3.46), we have

$$\epsilon \nabla_b(\mu) (\mathcal{T}(r_{a;M+1,1}(\lambda))) = \epsilon \nabla_a(\mu) (\mathcal{T}(r_{b;M+1,1}(\lambda))). \quad (3.48)$$

This proves the compatibility between all equations of (1.42). Finally, we show the compatibility between (1.43) and (1.41),(1.42). Indeed,

$$\sum_{i,j \geq 0} \frac{1}{\lambda^{i+1} \mu^{j+1}} [(\mathcal{T} + \mathcal{T}^{-1} - 2) (\Omega_{a,i;b,j})] = (\mathcal{T} - 1) \epsilon \nabla_b(\mu) (r_{a;M+1,1}(\lambda)).$$

Also,

$$\epsilon^2 \nabla_b(\mu) \nabla_a(\lambda) (\log u_{-1}) = \epsilon \nabla_b(\mu) ((\mathcal{T} - 1) (r_{a;M+1,1}(\lambda) - \delta_{a,M})).$$

Hence,

$$\sum_{i,j \geq 0} \frac{1}{\lambda^{i+1} \mu^{j+1}} [(\mathcal{T} + \mathcal{T}^{-1} - 2) (\Omega_{a,i;b,j})] = \epsilon^2 \nabla_b(\mu) \nabla_a(\lambda) (\log u_{-1}). \quad (3.49)$$

This proves the compatibility between (1.43) and (1.41). The compatibility between (1.43) and (1.42) is equivalent to (3.32). The lemma is proved. \square

Write $R_a(\lambda; x, \mathbf{t}; \epsilon)$ as the $R_a(\lambda)$ evaluated at an arbitrarily given solution (1.40). The following corollary follows from Proposition 1.6.

Corollary 3.11. *For any $k \geq 2$, we have*

$$\sum_{i_1, \dots, i_k \geq 0} \epsilon^k \frac{\partial^k \log \tau(x, \mathbf{t}; \epsilon)}{\partial t_{i_1}^{a_1} \dots \partial t_{i_k}^{a_k}} \frac{1}{\prod_{j=1}^k \lambda_j^{i_j+1}} = - \sum_{\sigma \in S_k / C_k} \frac{\text{Tr} (R_{a_{\sigma(1)}}(\lambda_{\sigma(1)}; x, \mathbf{t}; \epsilon) \cdots R_{a_{\sigma(k)}}(\lambda_{\sigma(k)}; x, \mathbf{t}; \epsilon))}{\prod_{i=1}^k (\lambda_{\sigma(i)} - \lambda_{\sigma(i+1)})} - \left(\frac{a_1 \lambda_1 + a_2 \lambda_2}{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)^2} \delta_{a_1+a_2, M} + M \frac{\lambda_1 \lambda_2}{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)^2} \delta_{a_1+a_2, 2M} \right) \delta_{k,2}. \quad (3.50)$$

4 The relations between the constrained KP hierarchy and the bi-graded Toda hierarchy of $(M, 1)$ -type

In this section, based on the MR method, we study the relation between the constrained KP hierarchy and the bigraded Toda hierarchy of $(M, 1)$ -type.

For the case when $M = 1$, the relation between the NLS hierarchy and the Toda lattice hierarchy is given in [10] (see also [35]). Following [35], we look for the relation between

$$\mathcal{A}(\lambda) := \epsilon \partial_X - V_{1,0}(\lambda) = \epsilon \partial_X + \Lambda(\lambda) + V - \text{diag}(\mathcal{T}^{M-1}(\alpha_M), \dots, \alpha_M, \mathcal{T}^{-1}(\alpha_M)), \quad (4.1)$$

and the Lax operator $\mathcal{L}_{\text{cKP}}(\lambda)$, where we recall that $\Lambda(\lambda), V$ are defined in (1.25) and that $\alpha_M = (1 + \mathcal{T} + \dots + \mathcal{T}^{M-1})^{-1}(u_{M-1})$.

Start with the bigraded Toda hierarchy of $(M, 1)$ -type. Let $u_i = u_i(x, \mathbf{t}; \epsilon), i = -1, 0, \dots, M-1$, be an arbitray solution to the bigraded Toda hierarchy of $(M, 1)$ -type (1.34), and $\tau(x, \mathbf{t}; \epsilon)$ the tau-function of this solution. We have the following lemma.

Lemma 4.1. *There exists a unique $(M+1) \times (M+1)$ matrix G which has the form*

$$G = \begin{pmatrix} & & 0 \\ & \widehat{G} & \vdots \\ & & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & -\frac{\tau(x-\epsilon, \mathbf{t}; \epsilon)}{\tau(x, \mathbf{t}; \epsilon)} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (4.2)$$

where \widehat{G} is a $M \times M$ right-lower triangular matrix with the off-diagonal elements equal to 1 and other elements in $\mathcal{A}[[\epsilon]]$, such that

$$G \circ \mathcal{A}(\lambda) \circ G^{-1} = \mathcal{L}_{\text{cKP}}(\lambda). \quad (4.3)$$

Proof. Let

$$G_1 = \begin{pmatrix} & & 1 \\ & \ddots & \\ 1 & & \\ & & -\frac{\tau(x-\epsilon, \mathbf{t}; \epsilon)}{\tau(x, \mathbf{t}; \epsilon)} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4.4)$$

We have

$$G_1 \circ \mathcal{A}(\lambda) \circ G_1^{-1} = \epsilon \partial_X + \left(\begin{array}{cccccccc|c} -\alpha_M & -1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & -\mathcal{T}(\alpha_M) & -1 & \ddots & & & & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & & \ddots & \ddots & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -\mathcal{T}^{M-2}(\alpha_M) & -1 & 0 \\ -\lambda + u_0 & u_1 & u_3 & \cdots & u_{M-3} & u_{M-2} & u_{M-1} - \mathcal{T}^{M-1}(r_{M-1}) & -\frac{\tau(x+\epsilon, \mathbf{t}; \epsilon)}{\tau(x, \mathbf{t}; \epsilon)} \\ \hline \frac{\tau(x-\epsilon, \mathbf{t}; \epsilon)}{\tau(x, \mathbf{t}; \epsilon)} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right), \quad (4.5)$$

Let $\widehat{M}^A(\lambda)$ be the $M \times M$ matrix operator given by $G_1 \circ \mathcal{A}(\lambda) \circ G_1^{-1}$ by removing the $M+1$ row and $M+1$ column. Noticing $\text{Tr}(\widehat{M}^A(\lambda) - \epsilon \partial_X) = 0$, there exists [6, 22] a unique strictly lower triangular matrix N with entries in $\mathcal{A}[[\epsilon]]$ such that $e^N \circ \widehat{M}^A(\lambda) \circ e^{-N} = \widehat{M}^L(\lambda)$, where $\widehat{M}^L(\lambda)$ has the form

$$\widehat{M}^L(\lambda) := \epsilon \partial_X + \begin{pmatrix} & & -1 & & \\ & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & -1 & \\ & & & & & -1 \\ -\lambda + v_0 & v_1 & \cdots & v_{M-1} & & \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4.6)$$

Let $G_2 = \begin{pmatrix} & 0 \\ e^N & \vdots \\ & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and let $G = G_2 \circ G_1$, the lemma is proved. \square

Remark 4.2. Equation (4.3) implies that

$$q(x, \mathbf{t}; \epsilon) = \frac{\tau(x + \epsilon, \mathbf{t}; \epsilon)}{\tau(x, \mathbf{t}; \epsilon)}, \quad r(x, \mathbf{t}; \epsilon) = \frac{\tau(x - \epsilon, \mathbf{t}; \epsilon)}{\tau(x, \mathbf{t}; \epsilon)}. \quad (4.7)$$

Then by using (1.42)–(1.43) we have

$$\partial_{t_k^a}(\log q) = \epsilon^{-1} \mathcal{T}(r_{a, k+1; M+1, 1}), \quad \partial_{t_k^a}(\log r) = -\epsilon^{-1} r_{a, k+1; M+1, 1}, \quad (4.8)$$

$$qr = u_{-1}, \quad \mathcal{T}^{-1}(q)r = 1, \quad (4.9)$$

where $1 \leq a \leq M, k \geq 0$. In particular, when $a = 1, k = 0$, we have

$$\partial_X(\log q) = \epsilon^{-1} \alpha_M, \quad \partial_X(\log r) = -\epsilon^{-1} \mathcal{T}^{-1}(\alpha_M). \quad (4.10)$$

Lemma 4.3. We have the following identities

$$R_a^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda) = G \left(R_a(\lambda) - \frac{M}{M+1} \lambda I \delta_{a, M} \right) G^{-1}, \quad 1 \leq a \leq M. \quad (4.11)$$

Proof. Denote $\widehat{R}_a(\lambda) = G \left(R_a(\lambda) - \frac{M}{M+1} \lambda I \delta_{a,M} \right) G^{-1}$. By using (4.3), we have

$$\left[\mathcal{L}_{\text{cKP}}(\lambda), \widehat{R}_a(\lambda) \right] = G[\mathcal{A}(\lambda), R_a(\lambda)]G^{-1}. \quad (4.12)$$

By using (3.33), (1.29) and (1.30), we know that

$$\left[\mathcal{L}_{\text{cKP}}(\lambda), \widehat{R}_a(\lambda) \right] = 0, \quad (4.13)$$

$$\text{Tr}(\widehat{R}_a \widehat{R}_b) = \delta_{a+b,M} M \lambda + \frac{M}{M+1} \lambda^2 \delta_{a+b,2M}, \quad (4.14)$$

$$\widehat{R}_a(\lambda) = \Lambda_a^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda) + \dots. \quad (4.15)$$

By definition, $R_a^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda)$ also satisfies (4.13)–(4.15). It is clear from the proof of Lemma 1.1 that the solution to (4.13)–(4.15) is unique. Therefore, $\widehat{R}_a(\lambda) = R_a^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda)$. The lemma is proved. \square

Let us now prove Theorem 1.8.

Proof of Theorem 1.8. We first prove that the vector-valued function $(q, r, v_0, \dots, v_{M-2})$ defined by (4.3) is a solution to the constrained KP hierarchy (1.13) for any x . By using (3.42), we obtain

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{A}(\lambda)}{\partial t_k^a} = \epsilon^{-1} [V_{a,k}(\lambda), \mathcal{A}(\lambda)], \quad a = 1, \dots, M, \quad k \geq 0. \quad (4.16)$$

Then by using (4.3) and (4.16), for any $k \geq 0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_{\text{cKP}}}{\partial t_k^a} &= \frac{\partial G}{\partial t_k^a} \mathcal{A} G^{-1} + G \frac{\partial \mathcal{A}}{\partial t_k^a} G^{-1} + G \mathcal{A} \frac{\partial G^{-1}}{\partial t_k^a} \\ &= G \left[G^{-1} \frac{\partial G}{\partial t_k^a} + \epsilon^{-1} V_{a,k}, \mathcal{A} \right] G^{-1} \\ &= \left[\frac{\partial G}{\partial t_k^a} G^{-1} + \epsilon^{-1} G V_{a,k} G^{-1}, \mathcal{L}_{\text{cKP}} \right] \\ &= \epsilon^{-1} [V_{a,k}^{\text{cKP}}, \mathcal{L}_{\text{cKP}}]. \end{aligned}$$

Here the last equality uses the uniqueness in Lemma 2.7.

Substituting (4.11) into (1.14), and using (1.35), we have

$$\sum_{i,j \geq 0} \frac{\Omega_{a,i;b,j}^{\text{cKP}}}{\lambda^{i+1} \mu^{j+1}} = \frac{\text{Tr}(R_a(\lambda) R_b(\mu))}{(\lambda - \mu)^2} - \frac{a\lambda + b\mu}{(\lambda - \mu)^2} \delta_{a+b,M} - M \frac{\lambda\mu}{(\lambda - \mu)^2} \delta_{a+b,2M} = \sum_{i,j \geq 0} \frac{\Omega_{a,i;b,j}}{\lambda^{i+1} \mu^{j+1}}. \quad (4.17)$$

Thus,

$$\Omega_{a,i;b,j}^{\text{cKP}} = \Omega_{a,i;b,j}. \quad (4.18)$$

The theorem is proved. \square

Taking the x -derivative in (4.3) we find

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_{\text{cKP}}(\lambda)}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial (G \circ \mathcal{A}(\lambda) \circ G^{-1})}{\partial x}. \quad (4.19)$$

Let us define v_{-1}, v_{M-1} as in (2.14). Using (3.30), (2.14), (4.3) one can rewrite the x -flow (4.19) in terms of the dependent variables v_{-1}, \dots, v_{M-1} with X being the space, which commutes with the flows of the constrained KP hierarchy written by using the variables v_{-1}, \dots, v_{M-1} .

Remark 4.4. We note that the transformation $(u_{-1}, \dots, u_{M-1}) \mapsto (v_{-1}, \dots, v_{M-1})$ defined by (4.3) (cf. (2.14)) is invertible, which can be seen by showing its triangular nature by a degree argument.

If we start with an arbitrary solution $(q(\mathbf{t}; \epsilon), r(\mathbf{t}; \epsilon), v_0(\mathbf{t}; \epsilon), \dots, v_{M-2}(\mathbf{t}; \epsilon))$ to the constrained KP hierarchy, by solving (4.19) (in the sense of the x -flow explained after (4.19); note that the definition of v_{-1} and v_{M-1} from (2.14) only involves the X -derivative of $q(\mathbf{t}; \epsilon)$) and by considering Remark 4.4, we arrive at a solution $(u_{-1}(x, \mathbf{t}; \epsilon), \dots, u_{M-1}(x, \mathbf{t}; \epsilon))$ to the bigraded Toda hierarchy of $(M, 1)$ -type. Define $q = q(x, \mathbf{t}; \epsilon)$ by

$$(1 - \mathcal{T}^{-1}) \log q = \log u_{-1}, \quad (4.20)$$

$$\delta_{a,M} + \sum_{i \geq 0} \frac{\epsilon}{\lambda^{i+1}} \frac{\partial \log q}{\partial t_i^a} = [R_a(\lambda; x + \epsilon, \mathbf{t}; \epsilon)]_{M+1,1}, \quad (4.21)$$

and define $r(x, \mathbf{t}; \epsilon) = \frac{1}{q(x - \epsilon, \mathbf{t}; \epsilon)}$, then there exists a function $\tau_{\text{cKP}}(x, \mathbf{t}; \epsilon)$ satisfying

$$\epsilon^2 \frac{\partial^2 \log \tau_{\text{cKP}}(x, \mathbf{t}; \epsilon)}{\partial t_i^a \partial t_j^b} = \Omega_{a,i;b,j}^{\text{cKP}}(x, \mathbf{t}; \epsilon), \quad i, j \geq 0, \quad (4.22)$$

$$\epsilon(\mathcal{T} - 1) \frac{\partial \log \tau_{\text{cKP}}(x, \mathbf{t}; \epsilon)}{\partial t_k^a} = \frac{1}{q} r_{a,k+1;1,M+1}^{\text{cKP}}(x + \epsilon, \mathbf{t}; \epsilon), \quad a = 1, \dots, M, k \geq 0, \quad (4.23)$$

$$(\mathcal{T} + \mathcal{T}^{-1} - 2) \log \tau_{\text{cKP}}(x, \mathbf{t}; \epsilon) = \log(q(x, \mathbf{t}; \epsilon)r(x, \mathbf{t}; \epsilon)). \quad (4.24)$$

The compatibility between (4.22), (4.23) and (4.24) can be proved by using

$$R_a^{\text{cKP}}(x + \epsilon; \mathbf{t}; \lambda; \epsilon) \mathcal{T}(G)(\Lambda(\lambda) + V)G^{-1} - \mathcal{T}(G)(\Lambda(\lambda) + V)G^{-1} R_a^{\text{cKP}}(x, \mathbf{t}; \lambda; \epsilon) = 0, \quad (4.25)$$

which is similar to that in the proof of Lemma 1.7, so we omit its details. Then by using (4.17), we have

$$\epsilon^2 \frac{\partial^2 \log \tau_{\text{cKP}}(x, \mathbf{t}; \epsilon)}{\partial t_i^a \partial t_j^b} = \Omega_{i,j}(x, \mathbf{t}; \epsilon), \quad i, j \geq 0. \quad (4.26)$$

This together with (4.23), (4.24) and (4.11) implies that $\tau_{\text{cKP}}(x, \mathbf{t}; \epsilon)$ is the tau-function of the solution

$$(u_{-1}(x, \mathbf{t}; \epsilon), \dots, u_{M-1}(x, \mathbf{t}; \epsilon)) \quad (4.27)$$

to the bigraded Toda hierarchy.

Example 4.5. The case $M = 2$. We have

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{cKP}} = \epsilon \partial_X + \Lambda^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda) + V_{\text{cKP}}, \quad \Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 \\ -\lambda & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad V_{\text{cKP}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ v_0 & 0 & -q \\ r & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4.28)$$

The basic matrix resolvents of \mathcal{L}_{cKP} are

$$R_1^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \lambda + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -\frac{v_0}{2} & 0 & q \\ -r & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{-1}), \quad (4.29)$$

$$R_2^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{3} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{3} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\frac{2}{3} \end{pmatrix} \lambda + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & q \\ 0 & 0 & \epsilon q_X \\ \epsilon r_X & -r & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{-1}). \quad (4.30)$$

The first few flows of the constrained KP hierarchy (1.13) are given by

$$\frac{\partial v_0}{\partial t_0^1} = v_{0,X}, \quad \frac{\partial q}{\partial t_0^1} = q_X, \quad \frac{\partial r}{\partial t_0^1} = r_X, \quad (4.31)$$

$$\frac{\partial v_0}{\partial t_0^2} = 2(qr)_X, \quad \frac{\partial q}{\partial t_0^2} = \epsilon q_{2X} + qv_0, \quad \frac{\partial r}{\partial t_0^2} = -(\epsilon r_{2X} + \epsilon^{-1}rv_0), \quad (4.32)$$

$$\frac{\partial v_0}{\partial t_1^1} = \frac{1}{4} (6\epsilon r q_{2X} - 6\epsilon q r_{2X} + \epsilon^2 v_{0,3X} + 6v_0 v_{0,X}), \quad (4.33)$$

$$\frac{\partial q}{\partial t_1^1} = \frac{1}{4} (4\epsilon^2 q_{3X} + 6v_0 q_X + 6\epsilon^{-1}q^2 r + 3qv_{0,X}), \quad (4.34)$$

$$\frac{\partial r}{\partial t_1^1} = \frac{1}{4} (-6\epsilon^{-1}qr^2 + 4\epsilon^2 r_{3X} + 6v_0 r_X + 3rv_{0,X}). \quad (4.35)$$

Here (4.32) are the equations given by Yajima and Oikawa [48]. From the definition (1.14) the first few terms of the tau-structure for the constrained KP hierarchy are

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_{1,0;1,0}^{\text{cKP}} &= \frac{v_0}{2}, & \Omega_{1,0;2,0}^{\text{cKP}} &= qr, & \Omega_{2,0;2,0}^{\text{cKP}} &= \epsilon(rq_X - qr_X), \\ \Omega_{1,1;1,0}^{\text{cKP}} &= \frac{1}{8} (3v_0^2 + 6\epsilon(rq_X - qr_X) + \epsilon^2 v_{0,2X}). \end{aligned}$$

The matrix-valued Lax operator of the bigraded Toda hierarchy of (2, 1)-type reads

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{T} + \Lambda(\lambda) + V, \quad \Lambda(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\lambda & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad V = \begin{pmatrix} u_1 & u_0 & u_{-1} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4.36)$$

The basic matrix resolvents of \mathcal{L} have the form

$$R_1(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \lambda + \begin{pmatrix} -\alpha_2 & -(1 + \mathcal{T}^{-1})^{-1}(\mathcal{T}^{-1}(u_0) + \alpha_2^2) & -u_{-1} \\ 1 & \alpha_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{-1}), \quad (4.37)$$

$$R_2(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \lambda + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -u_{-1} \\ 1 & \mathcal{T}^{-1}(u_1) & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{-1}), \quad (4.38)$$

where $\alpha_2 = (1 + \mathcal{T})^{-1}(u_1)$. The first few flows of the bigraded Toda hierarchy (1.34) of (2, 1)-type are

$$\epsilon \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial t_0^1} = (\mathcal{T} - 1)(u_0) + u_1(1 - \mathcal{T})(\alpha_2), \quad \epsilon \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial t_0^2} = (\mathcal{T}^2 - 1)(u_{-1}), \quad (4.39)$$

$$\epsilon \frac{\partial u_0}{\partial t_0^1} = (\mathcal{T} - 1)(u_{-1}), \quad \epsilon \frac{\partial u_0}{\partial t_0^2} = \mathcal{T}(u_{-1})u_1 - u_{-1}\mathcal{T}^{-1}(u_1), \quad (4.40)$$

$$\epsilon \frac{\partial u_{-1}}{\partial t_0^1} = u_{-1}(1 - \mathcal{T}^{-1})(\alpha_2), \quad \epsilon \frac{\partial u_{-1}}{\partial t_0^2} = u_{-1}(1 - \mathcal{T}^{-1})(u_0). \quad (4.41)$$

Using the definition (1.35) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_{1,0;1,0} &= (1 + \mathcal{T})^{-1}(u_0 - \alpha_2^2), & \Omega_{1,0;2,0} &= u_{-1}, & \Omega_{2,0;2,0} &= u_{-1}\mathcal{T}^{-1}(u_1), \\ \Omega_{1,1;1,0} &= u_{-1}(\mathcal{T}^{-1}(u_0) + (1 + \mathcal{T}^{-1})^{-1}(u_0 - \alpha_2^2) + \alpha_2\mathcal{T}^{-1}(u_1)). \end{aligned}$$

The matrix operator \mathcal{A} defined in (4.1) is given by

$$\mathcal{A} = \epsilon \partial_X - V_{1,0} = \epsilon \partial_X - \begin{pmatrix} -u_1 + \mathcal{T}(\alpha_2) & \lambda - u_0 & -u_{-1} \\ 1 & \alpha_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \mathcal{T}^{-1}(\alpha_2) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4.42)$$

The unique matrix G given in Lemma 4.1 reads

$$G = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & \alpha_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\frac{\mathcal{T}^{-1}(\tau)}{\tau} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4.43)$$

We have $GAG^{-1} = \mathcal{L}_{\text{cKP}}$, where (q, r, v_0) and (u_{-1}, u_0, u_1) are related by (1.46)–(1.47). The statements in Theorem 1.8 can then be verified straightforwardly.

A Proofs of Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 3.1

Proof of Lemma 2.1. Firstly, we will prove $e_l^{\text{cKP}} \in \text{Im ad}_{\Lambda^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda)}, 1 \leq l \leq M^2 + M$. Define

$$E_{k(M-1)+i}^{\text{cKP}} = \begin{cases} -\sum_{q=1}^i e_{q+1, q+k} & i = 1, \dots, M-k-1 \\ e_{1, k} + \lambda \sum_{l=M-k}^{M-2} e_{l+2, l-(M-k)+1} & i = M-k, \dots, M-1 \end{cases}, \quad k = 1, \dots, M-1,$$

$$E_{M(M-1)+1}^{\text{cKP}} = \frac{1}{\lambda} e_{M+1, M},$$

$$E_{M(M-1)+i+1}^{\text{cKP}} = e_{M+1, i}, \quad E_{(M+1)(M-1)+i+1}^{\text{cKP}} = -e_{i+1, M+1}, \quad i = 1, \dots, M-1.$$

It is easy to see that $E_l^{\text{cKP}} \in \mathfrak{sl}_{M+1}(\mathbb{C})((\lambda^{-1})), 1 \leq l \leq M^2 + M$. By a direct calculation, we have

$$\text{ad}_{\Lambda^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda)}(E_l^{\text{cKP}}) = e_l^{\text{cKP}}, \quad l = 1, \dots, M^2 + M. \quad (\text{A.1})$$

This implies that $e_l^{\text{cKP}} \in \text{Im ad}_{\Lambda^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda)}$. It is also easy to see that $\Lambda_a^{\text{cKP}} \in \text{Ker ad}_{\Lambda^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda)}, 1 \leq a \leq M$. Secondly, we will prove the linearly independence of $e_l^{\text{cKP}}, \Lambda_a^{\text{cKP}}, 1 \leq l \leq M^2 + M, 1 \leq a \leq M$. Suppose that there exist $(M+1)^2 - 1$ constants $a_{k,i}, b_a, c_a, d_a, d_{M+1}, 0 \leq k \leq M-1, 1 \leq i \leq M-1, 1 \leq a \leq M$ such that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{M-1} \sum_{i=1}^{M-1} a_{k,i} e_{k(M-1)+i}^{\text{cKP}} + \sum_{a=1}^M b_a e_{M(M-1)+a}^{\text{cKP}} + \sum_{a=1}^M c_a e_{(M+1)(M-1)+a+1}^{\text{cKP}} + \sum_{a=1}^M d_a \Lambda_a^{\text{cKP}} = 0. \quad (\text{A.2})$$

It follows that

$$b_a = c_a = 0, \quad a = 1, \dots, M, \quad (\text{A.3})$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{M-1} a_{0,i} + \frac{M}{M+1} \lambda d_M = 0, \quad (\text{A.4})$$

$$-a_{0,i} + \frac{M}{M+1} \lambda d_M = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, M-1, \quad (\text{A.5})$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{M-1} a_{k,i} + d_k = 0, \quad k = 1, \dots, M-1, \quad (\text{A.6})$$

$$a_{k,i} + d_k = 0, \quad k, i = 1, \dots, M-1. \quad (\text{A.7})$$

These imply that $a_{k,i} = d_a = 0, 0 \leq k, i \leq M-1, 1 \leq a \leq M$. We have proved the linear independence. Thirdly, we will prove that $e_l^{\text{cKP}}, 1 \leq l \leq M^2 + M$ is a basis of $\text{Im ad}_{\Lambda^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda)}$. Indeed, for any $A \in \mathfrak{sl}_{M+1}(\mathbb{C})((\lambda^{-1}))$, write $A = \sum_{i=1}^{M+1} \sum_{j=1}^{M+1} A_{i,j} e_{i,j}$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ad}_{\Lambda^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda)}(A) &= \sum_{i=1}^{M-1} \sum_{j=2}^M (A_{i,j-1} - A_{i+1,j}) e_{i,j} + \sum_{i=1}^{M-1} (\lambda A_{i,M} - A_{i+1,1}) e_{i,1} + \sum_{j=2}^M (A_{M,j-1} - \lambda A_{1,j}) e_{M,j} \\ &\quad + \lambda (A_{M,M} - A_{1,1}) e_{M,1} - \lambda A_{1,M+1} e_{M,M+1} + \lambda A_{M+1,M} e_{M+1,1} - \sum_{i=1}^{M-1} A_{i+1,M+1} e_{i,M+1} \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=2}^M A_{M+1,j-1} e_{M+1,j}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.8})$$

Denote $\sum_{i=1}^{M+1} \sum_{j=1}^{M+1} B_{i,j} e_{i,j} := \text{ad}_{\Lambda^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda)}(A)$. Then we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^{M-i} B_{j+i,j} = -\lambda \sum_{j=1}^i B_{j,M-i+j}, \quad i = 0, \dots, M-1. \quad (\text{A.9})$$

These imply $\dim_{\mathbb{C}((\lambda^{-1}))} \text{Im ad}_{\Lambda^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda)} \leq (M+1)^2 - 1 - M = M^2 + M$. Since $e_l^{\text{cKP}} \in \text{Im ad}_{\Lambda^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda)}, 1 \leq l \leq M^2 + M$ are linearly independent. Then we have that $\dim_{\mathbb{C}((\lambda^{-1}))} \text{Im ad}_{\Lambda^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda)} = M^2 + M$ and e_l^{cKP} is a basis of $\text{Im ad}_{\Lambda^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda)}$. Finally, we will prove that $\Lambda_a^{\text{cKP}}, 1 \leq a \leq M$ is a basis of $\text{Ker ad}_{\Lambda^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda)}$. Indeed, for any $A \in \text{Ker ad}_{\Lambda^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda)}$ satisfies $\text{ad}_{\Lambda^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda)}(A) = 0$, we have, by using (A.8), that

$$A_{M+1,l} = A_{l,M+1} = 0, \quad l = 1, \dots, M, \quad (\text{A.10})$$

$$A_{1,1+i} = A_{2,2+i} = \dots = A_{M-i,M} = \frac{1}{\lambda} A_{M-i+1,1} = \frac{1}{\lambda} A_{M-i+2,2} = \dots = \frac{1}{\lambda} A_{M,i}, \quad i = 0, \dots, M-1. \quad (\text{A.11})$$

Thus $\dim_{\mathbb{C}((\lambda^{-1}))} \text{Ker ad}_{\Lambda^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda)} = (M+1)^2 - 1 - (M+1)M = M$. Since $\Lambda_a^{\text{cKP}}, 1 \leq a \leq M$, are linearly independent, we have that $\Lambda_a^{\text{cKP}}, 1 \leq a \leq M$, is a basis of $\text{Ker ad}_{\Lambda^{\text{cKP}}(\lambda)}$. The lemma is proved. \square

Proof of Lemma 3.1. We first to prove that $e_l \in \text{Im } \tilde{\rho}_{\Lambda(\lambda)}, 1 \leq l \leq M^2 + M$. Define

$$E_{k(M-1)+i} = \begin{cases} \lambda \sum_{q=1}^i e_{q+k,q+k+1} & i = 1, \dots, M-k-1 \\ - \sum_{j=0}^{M-i} e_{M+1-j,k+2-j} & i = M-k, \dots, M-1 \end{cases}, \quad k = 1, \dots, M-1,$$

$$E_{M(M-1)+i} = e_{i,1} - \lambda e_{i,M+1}, \quad E_{(M+1)(M-1)+i+1} = e_{M+1,i+1} \quad i = 1, \dots, M,$$

$$E_{(M+1)(M-1)+1} = - \sum_{l=1}^M e_{l,l+1}.$$

By a direct calculation, we have

$$\tilde{\rho}_{\Lambda(\lambda)}(E_l) = e_l, \quad l = 1, \dots, M^2 + M. \quad (\text{A.12})$$

This implies that $e_l \in \text{Im } \tilde{\rho}_{\Lambda(\lambda)}$. It is easy to see that $\Lambda_a(\lambda), I \in \text{Ker } \tilde{\rho}_{\Lambda(\lambda)}, 1 \leq a \leq M$. Secondly, we will prove the linear independence of $e_l, \Lambda_a(\lambda), I, 1 \leq l \leq M^2 + M, 1 \leq a \leq M$. Suppose there exist $(M+1)^2 - 1$ constants $a_{k,i}, b_a, c_a, d_a, d_{M+1}, 0 \leq k \leq M-1, 1 \leq i \leq M-1, 1 \leq a \leq M$ such that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{M-1} \sum_{i=1}^{M-1} a_{k,i} e_{k(M-1)+i} + \sum_{a=1}^M b_a e_{M(M-1)+a} + \sum_{a=1}^M c_a e_{(M+1)(M-1)+a+1} + \sum_{a=1}^M d_a \Lambda_a + d_{M+1} I = 0. \quad (\text{A.13})$$

It follows that

$$b_a = d_{M+1} = 0, \quad a = 1, \dots, M, \quad (\text{A.14})$$

$$c_{k+1} + d_{M-k} = 0, \quad (\text{A.15})$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{M-1} a_{k,i} + d_{M-k} - \delta_{k,0} M d_M = 0, \quad (\text{A.16})$$

$$-a_{k,i} + d_{M-k} - \delta_{k,0} M d_M = 0, \quad (\text{A.17})$$

where $k = 0, \dots, M, i = 1, \dots, M-1$. These imply that $a_{k,i} = c_a = d_a = 0, 0 \leq k \leq M-1, 1 \leq i \leq M-1, 1 \leq a \leq M$. We have proved the linear independence. Thirdly, we will prove that $e_l, 1 \leq l \leq M^2 + M$ is a basis of $\text{Im } \tilde{\rho}_{\Lambda(\lambda)}$. Indeed, for any element $A \in \text{Mat}(M+1, \mathbb{C}((\lambda^{-1})))$, write $A = \sum_{i=1}^{M+1} \sum_{j=1}^{M+1} A_{i,j} e_{i,j}$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\rho}_{\Lambda(\lambda)}(A) &= \sum_{i=2}^{M+1} \sum_{j=1}^M (A_{i,j+1} - A_{i-1,j}) e_{i,j} + \sum_{j=1}^M (A_{1,j+1} - \lambda A_{M,j}) e_{1,j} - \lambda A_{M,M+1} e_{1,M+1} \\ &\quad + \lambda \sum_{i=1}^{M+1} A_{i,1} e_{i,M} - \sum_{i=2}^{M+1} A_{i-1,M+1} e_{i,M+1}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.18})$$

Denote $\sum_{i=1}^{M+1} \sum_{j=1}^{M+1} B_{i,j} e_{i,j} := \text{ad}_{\Lambda}(A)$. Then we have

$$B_{1,M+1} + \lambda B_{M+1,M+1} = 0, \quad \sum_{k=1}^{M+1} B_{k,k} = 0, \quad (\text{A.19})$$

$$\lambda \sum_{j=1}^{M-i} B_{j+i,j} + \sum_{j=1}^{i+1} B_{j,M-i+j} = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, M-1. \quad (\text{A.20})$$

These imply $\dim_{\mathbb{C}((\lambda^{-1}))} \text{Im } \tilde{\rho}_{\Lambda(\lambda)} \leq M^2 + M$. Since $e_l \in \text{Im } \tilde{\rho}_{\Lambda(\lambda)}, 1 \leq l \leq M^2 + M$ are linearly independent, we have that $\dim_{\mathbb{C}((\lambda^{-1}))} \text{Im } \tilde{\rho}_{\Lambda(\lambda)} = M^2 + M$ and e_l is a basis of $\text{Im } \text{ad}_{\Lambda}$. Finally, we will prove that $I, \Lambda_a(\lambda), 1 \leq a \leq M$ is a basis of $\text{Ker } \tilde{\rho}_{\Lambda(\lambda)}$. Indeed, for any element $A \in \text{Ker } \tilde{\rho}_{\Lambda(\lambda)}$, we have $\tilde{\rho}_{\Lambda(\lambda)}(A) = 0$. By using (A.18) we have

$$A_{1,1} = A_{2,2} = \dots = A_{M,M} = \frac{1}{\lambda} A_{M+1,1} + A_{M+1,M+1}, \quad A_{p,M+1} = 0, \quad p = 1, \dots, M, \quad (\text{A.21})$$

$$A_{i+1,1} = A_{i+2,2} = \dots = A_{M+1,M+1-i} = \frac{1}{\lambda} A_{1,M+1-i} = \frac{1}{\lambda} A_{2,M-i+2} = \dots = \frac{1}{\lambda} A_{i,M}, \quad i = 1, \dots, M-1. \quad (\text{A.22})$$

Thus $\dim_{\mathbb{C}((\lambda^{-1}))} \text{Ker } \tilde{\rho}_{\Lambda(\lambda)} = (M+1)^2 - M(M+1) = M+1$. Since $I, \Lambda_a(\lambda), 1 \leq a \leq M$ are linearly independent, we have that $I, \Lambda_a(\lambda), 1 \leq a \leq M$, form a basis of $\text{Ker } \tilde{\rho}_{\Lambda(\lambda)}$. The lemma is proved. \square

References

- [1] M.J. Ablowitz, P.A. Clarkson. *Solitons, nonlinear evolution equations and inverse scattering*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1991.
- [2] M.J. Ablowitz, H. Segur. *Solitons and the Inverse Scattering Transform*. Studies in Applied and Numerical Mathematics, Philadelphia, 1981.
- [3] R. Askey. *Orthogonal polynomials and special functions*. Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics, volume **21**, National Science Foundation, Arrowsmith, Bristol, England, 1975.
- [4] B. Bakalov, W. Wheeler. Additional symmetries of the extended bigraded Toda hierarchy. *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical*, **49** (2016), paper No. 5.
- [5] M. Bertola, B. Dubrovin, D. Yang. Correlation functions of the KdV hierarchy and applications to intersection numbers over $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$. *Physica D: Nonlinear Phenomena*, **327** (2016), 30–57.
- [6] M. Bertola, B. Dubrovin, D. Yang. Simple Lie algebras, Drinfeld–Sokolov hierarchies, and multi-point correlation functions. *Moscow Mathematical Journal*, **21** (2021), 233–270.
- [7] R. Betchov. On the curvature and torsion of an isolated vortex filament. *Journal of Fluid Mechanics*, **22** (1965), 471–479.
- [8] M. Cafasso, D. Yang. Tau-functions for the Ablowitz–Ladik hierarchy: the matrix-resolvent method. *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical*, **55** (2022), paper No. 204001.
- [9] G. Carlet. The extended bigraded Toda hierarchy. *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General*, **39** (2006), 9411–9435.
- [10] G. Carlet, B. Dubrovin, Y. Zhang. The extended Toda hierarchy. *Moscow Mathematical Journal*, **4** (2004), 313–332.
- [11] G. Carlet, J. van de Leur, H. Posthuma, S. Shadrin. Higher genera Catalan numbers and Hirota equations for extended nonlinear Schrödinger hierarchy. *Letters in Mathematical Physics*, **111** (2021), paper No. 63.
- [12] G. Carlet, J. van de Leur, H. Posthuma, S. Shadrin. Enumeration of hypermaps and Hirota equations for extended rationally constrained KP. [arXiv:2211.12259](https://arxiv.org/abs/2211.12259).
- [13] Y. Cheng. Constraints of the Kadomtsev–Petviashvili hierarchy. *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, **33** (1992), 3774–3782.
- [14] Y. Cheng, Y. S. Li. The constraint of the Kadomtsev–Petviashvili equation and its special solutions. *Physics Letters A*, **157** (1991), 22–26.
- [15] Y. Cheng, W. Strampp, Y. Zhang. Bilinear Bäcklund transformations for the KP and k -constrained KP hierarchy. *Physics Letters A*, **182** (1993), 71–76.
- [16] Y. Cheng, Y. Zhang. Bilinear equations for the constrained KP hierarchy. *Inverse Problems*, **10** (1994), L11–L17.
- [17] L. S. Da Rios. Sul moto d’un liquido indefinito con un filetto vorticoso di forma qualunque. *Rendiconti del Circolo Matematico di Palermo*, **22** (1906), 117–135.

- [18] L.A. Dickey. Integrable nonlinear equations and Liouville’s theorem, I. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, **82** (1981), 345–360.
- [19] L.A. Dickey. Integrable nonlinear equations and Liouville’s theorem, II. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, **82** (1981), 361–375.
- [20] L.A. Dickey. On the constrained KP hierarchy. *Letters in Mathematical Physics*, **34** (1995), 379–384.
- [21] L.A. Dickey. *Soliton equations and Hamiltonian systems*. 2nd edition. World scientific, Singapore, 2003.
- [22] V. G. Drinfeld, V. V. Sokolov. Lie algebras and equations of Korteweg–de Vries type. *J. Math. Sci.* **30** (1985), 1975–2036. Translated from *Itogi Nauki i Tekhniki, Seriya Sovremennye Problemy Matematiki (Noveishie Dostizheniya)* **24** (1984), 81–180.
- [23] B. Dubrovin. Geometry of 2D topological field theories. In “Integrable system and quantum groups”, pp.120–348, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, Springer, 1996.
- [24] B. Dubrovin. Algebraic spectral curves over \mathbb{Q} and their tau-functions. In “Integrable Systems and Algebraic Geometry” (R. Donagi, T. Shaska Eds), Volume **2**, *LMS* **459** (2020), paper No. 41.
- [25] B. Dubrovin, S.-Q. Liu, Y. Zhang. Frobenius manifolds and central invariants for the Drinfeld–Sokolov biHamiltonian structures. *Advances in Mathematics*, **219** (2008), 780–837.
- [26] B. Dubrovin, I. A. B. Strachan, Y. Zhang, D. Zuo. Extended affine Weyl groups of BCD-type: their Frobenius manifolds and Landau–Ginzburg superpotentials. *Advances in Mathematics*, **351** (2019), 897–946.
- [27] B. Dubrovin, D. Valeri, D. Yang. Affine Kac–Moody Algebras and Tau-Functions for the Drinfeld–Sokolov Hierarchies: the Matrix-Resolvent Method. *Symmetry, Integrability and Geometry: Methods and Applications*, **18** (2022), paper No. 077.
- [28] B. Dubrovin, D. Yang. Generating series for GUE correlators. *Letters in Mathematical Physics*, **107** (2017), 1971–2012.
- [29] B. Dubrovin, D. Yang. Matrix resolvent and the discrete KdV hierarchy. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, **377** (2020), 1823–1852.
- [30] B. Dubrovin, D. Yang, D. Zagier. On tau-functions for the KdV hierarchy. *Selecta Mathematica*, **27** (2021), paper No. 12.
- [31] B. Dubrovin, Y. Zhang. Extended affine Weyl groups and Frobenius manifolds. *Compositio Mathematica*, **111** (1998), 167–219.
- [32] B. Dubrovin, Y. Zhang. Normal forms of hierarchies of integrable PDEs, Frobenius manifolds and Gromov–Witten invariants. [arXiv:math/0108160](https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0108160).
- [33] B. Dubrovin, Y. Zhang. Virasoro symmetries of the extended Toda hierarchy. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, **250** (2004), 161–193.
- [34] H. Flaschka. On the Toda lattice. II: Inverse-scattering solution. *Progress of Theoretical Physics*, **51** (1974), 703–716.

- [35] A. Fu, D. Yang. The matrix-resolvent method to tau-functions for the nonlinear Schrödinger hierarchy. *Journal of Geometry and Physics*, **179** (2022), paper No. 104592.
- [36] H. Hasimoto. A soliton on a vortex filament. *Journal of Fluid Mechanics*, **51** (1972), 477–485.
- [37] B. B. Kadomtsev, V. I. Petviashvili. On the stability of solitary waves in weakly dispersing media. *Doklady Akademii Nauk SSSR*, **192** (1970), 753–756.
- [38] B. Konopelchenko, J. Sidorenko, W. Strampp. $(1+1)$ -dimensional integrable systems as symmetry constraints of $(2+1)$ -dimensional systems. *Physics Letters A*, **157** (1991), 17–21.
- [39] B. Konopelchenko, W. Strampp. The AKNS hierarchy as symmetry constraint of the KP hierarchy. *Inverse Problems*, **7** (1991), L17–L24.
- [40] S.-Q. Liu, Z. Wang, Y. Zhang. Linearization of Virasoro symmetries associated with semisimple Frobenius manifolds. arXiv:2109.01846.
- [41] S.-Q. Liu, Y. Zhang, X. Zhou. Central invariants of the constrained KP hierarchies. *Journal of Geometry and Physics*, **97** (2015), 177–189.
- [42] S. V. Manakov. Complete integrability and stochastization of discrete dynamical systems. *Soviet Physics JETP*, **40** (1974), 269–274.
- [43] T. E. Milanov, H. -H. Tseng. The space of Laurent polynomials, \mathbb{P}^1 -orbifolds, and integrable hierarchies. *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik*, **622** (2008), 189–235.
- [44] W. Oevel, W. Strampp. Constrained KP hierarchy and bi-Hamiltonian structures. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, **157** (1993), 51–81.
- [45] P. Rossi. Gromov–Witten theory of orbicurves, the space of tri-polynomials and symplectic field theory of Seifert fibrations. *Mathematische Annalen*, **348** (2010), 265–287.
- [46] K. Takasaki. Tyurin parameters and elliptic analogue of nonlinear Schrödinger hierarchy. *Journal of Mathematical Sciences, Tokyo University*, **11** (2004), 91–131.
- [47] K. Ueno, K. Takasaki. Toda lattice hierarchy. In “Group representations and systems of differential equations”, pp. 1–95, *Mathematical Society of Japan, Japan*, 1984.
- [48] N. Yajima, M. Oikawa. Formation and Interaction of Sonic-Langmuir Solitons: Inverse Scattering Method. *Progress of Theoretical Physics*, **56** (1976), 1719–1739.
- [49] D. Yang. On tau-functions for the Toda lattice hierarchy. *Letters in Mathematical Physics*, **110** (2020), 555–583.
- [50] D. Yang. GUE via Frobenius Manifolds. I. From Matrix Gravity to Topological Gravity and Back. arXiv:2205.01618.
- [51] D. Yang. GUE via Frobenius Manifolds. II. Loop equations. preprint.
- [52] V. E. Zakharov, A. B. Shabat. Exact theory of two-dimensional self-focusing and one-dimensional self-modulation of waves in nonlinear media. *Soviet Physics JETP*, **34** (1972), 62–69.
- [53] D. Zuo. Frobenius manifolds and a new class of extended affine Weyl groups of A-type. *Letters in Mathematical Physics*, **110** (2020), 1903–1940.

Ang Fu
fuang@mail.ustc.edu.cn

Di Yang
diyang@ustc.edu.cn

Dafeng Zuo
dfzuo@ustc.edu.cn

School of Mathematical Sciences, University of Science and Technology of China
Hefei 230026, P.R. China