

# Mostar index and bounded maximum degree

Michael A. Henning<sup>1</sup>    Johannes Pardey<sup>2</sup>    Dieter Rautenbach<sup>2</sup>    Florian Werner<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Mathematics and Applied Mathematics, University of Johannesburg,  
Auckland Park, 2006 South Africa, mahenning@uj.ac.za

<sup>2</sup> Institute of Optimization and Operations Research, Ulm University, Ulm, Germany,  
{johannes.pardey,dieter.rautenbach,florian.werner}@uni-ulm.de

## Abstract

Došlić et al. defined the Mostar index of a graph  $G$  as  $Mo(G) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} |n_G(u, v) - n_G(v, u)|$ , where, for an edge  $uv$  of  $G$ , the term  $n_G(u, v)$  denotes the number of vertices of  $G$  that have a smaller distance in  $G$  to  $u$  than to  $v$ . For a graph  $G$  of order  $n$  and maximum degree at most  $\Delta$ , we show  $Mo(G) \leq \frac{\Delta}{2}n^2 - (1 - o(1))c_\Delta n \log(\log(n))$ , where  $c_\Delta > 0$  only depends on  $\Delta$  and the  $o(1)$  term only depends on  $n$ . Furthermore, for integers  $n_0$  and  $\Delta$  at least 3, we show the existence of a  $\Delta$ -regular graph of order  $n$  at least  $n_0$  with  $Mo(G) \geq \frac{\Delta}{2}n^2 - c'_\Delta n \log(n)$ , where  $c'_\Delta > 0$  only depends on  $\Delta$ .

**Keywords:** Mostar index

## 1 Introduction

Došlić et al. [7] defined the *Mostar index*  $Mo(G)$  of a (finite and simple) graph  $G$  as

$$Mo(G) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} |n_G(u, v) - n_G(v, u)|,$$

where, for an edge  $uv$  of  $G$ , the term  $n_G(u, v)$  denotes the number of vertices of  $G$  that have a smaller distance in  $G$  to  $u$  than to  $v$ . A graph is *chemical* if it has maximum degree at most 4. Since its introduction in 2018 the Mostar index has already incited a lot of research, mostly concerning sparse graphs and trees [2,5,6,12,13,18], chemical graphs [3,4,10,11,19], and hypercube-related graphs [8,16], see also the recent survey [1]. The largest possible value of the Mostar index of graphs, split graphs, and bipartite graphs of a given order was studied in [9,14,15].

In [7] Došlić et al. pose the problem to determine the chemical graphs as well as the chemical trees of a given order with largest Mostar index. While Deng and Li [5] solve this problem for chemical trees, little is known about the maximum Mostar index of chemical graphs or, more generally, graphs of bounded maximum degree of a given order. As observed by Sharafadini and Réti [17], the contribution  $|n_G(u, v) - n_G(v, u)|$  of each edge  $uv$  to the Mostar index of a graph  $G$  of order  $n$  is at most  $n - 2$ . For a graph  $G$  of maximum degree at most  $\Delta$ , this implies the following trivial bound.

$$Mo(G) \leq \frac{\Delta n(n - 2)}{2}. \tag{1}$$

Addressing the problem from [7] mentioned above, we contribute the following results.

**Theorem 1.** For integers  $n_0$  and  $\Delta$  at least 3, there is a  $\Delta$ -regular graph  $G$  of order  $n$  at least  $n_0$  with

$$Mo(G) \geq \frac{\Delta}{2}n^2 - (20\Delta^3 + 12\Delta^2 - 24\Delta + 48)n \log_{(\Delta-1)}(n).$$

**Theorem 2.** For integers  $n$  and  $\Delta$  at least 3, if  $G$  is a graph of order  $n$  and maximum degree at most  $\Delta$ , then

$$Mo(G) \leq \frac{\Delta}{2}n^2 - (2 - o(1)) \frac{(\Delta - 2)}{(\Delta - 1)^2} n \log_{(\Delta-1)} \left( \log_{(\Delta-1)}(n) \right),$$

where the  $o(1)$  term only depends on  $n$ .

For a fixed integer  $\Delta$  at least 3, we conjecture that the maximum Mostar index of a graph of order  $n$  and maximum degree at most  $\Delta$  is  $\frac{\Delta}{2}n^2 - \Theta(n \log(n))$ , where the hidden constants depend on  $\Delta$ .

The proofs of our theorems and several auxiliary results are given in Section 2.

## 2 Auxiliary results and proofs

Throughout this section, let  $\Delta$  be an integer at least 3. Since all logarithms have base  $\Delta - 1$  in this section, for the sake of brevity, we write “log” rather than “ $\log_{(\Delta-1)}$ ”. Some of our estimates leave room for minor improvements; as these did not seem essential, we chose to keep the proofs as simple as possible.

For a graph  $G$  of order  $n$  and an edge  $uv$  of  $G$ , let  $\bar{n}_G(v, u)$  denote the number of vertices of  $G$  whose distance to  $v$  is at most their distance to  $u$ , that is, we have  $\bar{n}_G(v, u) = n - n_G(u, v)$ .

Theorem 1 relies on the following lower bound on the Mostar index.

**Lemma 3.** If  $G$  is a graph with  $n$  vertices and  $m$  edges and  $\vec{G}$  is any orientation of  $G$ , then

$$Mo(G) \geq nm - 2 \sum_{(v,u) \in E(\vec{G})} \bar{n}_G(v, u).$$

*Proof.* If  $uv$  is an edge of  $G$  with  $n_G(u, v) \geq n_G(v, u)$ , then  $\bar{n}_G(u, v) \geq \bar{n}_G(v, u)$  and

$$\begin{aligned} n - |n_G(u, v) - n_G(v, u)| &= n - n_G(u, v) + n_G(v, u) \\ &= \bar{n}_G(v, u) + n_G(v, u) \\ &\leq 2\bar{n}_G(v, u) \\ &= 2 \min\{\bar{n}_G(u, v), \bar{n}_G(v, u)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} Mo(G) &= \sum_{uv \in E(G)} |n_G(u, v) - n_G(v, u)| \\ &= nm - \sum_{uv \in E(G)} (n - |n_G(u, v) - n_G(v, u)|) \\ &= nm - 2 \sum_{uv \in E(G)} \min\{\bar{n}_G(u, v), \bar{n}_G(v, u)\} \\ &\geq nm - 2 \sum_{(v,u) \in E(\vec{G})} \bar{n}_G(v, u), \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof. □

We recall some terminology.

Let  $T$  be a rooted tree with root  $r$ , and let  $u$  be a vertex of  $T$ . The *depth* of  $u$  in  $T$  is the distance between  $r$  and  $u$  in  $T$  and the *height* of  $u$  in  $T$  is the maximum distance between  $u$  and a leaf of  $T$  that is a descendant of  $u$ . The *height* of  $T$  is the height of its root.

Now, let  $H$  be an integer at least 2. Let  $T_H$  be a rooted tree with root  $r$  in which the root has  $\Delta$  children and every vertex distinct from the root either has  $\Delta - 1$  children or is a leaf of depth  $H$ . There is a natural plane embedding of  $T_H$  with  $r$  at the top in such a way that the vertices of  $T_H$  of the same depth are linearly ordered, say from *left* to *right*. All children of some vertex of  $T_H$  appear consecutively in this linear order and, if two vertices  $u$  and  $v$  of  $T_H$  have the same depth and  $u$  lies left of  $v$ , then all children of  $u$  lie left of all children of  $v$ . If  $u$  is not the rightmost vertex of some depth, then let  $u^+$  denote the vertex of the same depth as  $u$  that follows  $u$  immediately in the linear order.

Let  $u_1, \dots, u_{\Delta p}$  for  $p = (\Delta - 1)^{H-1}$  denote the leaves of  $T_H$  in their linear order from left to right. Starting with  $T_H$ , we construct a graph  $G_H$  as follows: For every positive integer  $i$  at most  $p$ , add a set of  $\Delta - 1$  new vertices and add all  $\Delta(\Delta - 1)$  possible edges between the new vertices and the vertices in  $\{u_{\Delta(i-1)+1}, \dots, u_{\Delta i}\}$ . We call the vertices and edges of  $T_H$  *black* and the vertices and edges of  $G_H$  that do not belong to  $T_H$  *grey*. Note that  $G_H$  is a  $\Delta$ -regular graph with  $1 + \sum_{i=1}^H \Delta(\Delta - 1)^{i-1}$  black vertices and  $(\Delta - 1)^H$  grey vertices. The *height* of a black vertex in  $G_H$  is its height in  $T_H$ , and the neighbors of every grey vertex in  $G_H$  are called its *parents*.

See Figure 1 for an illustration.




Figure 1:  $G_3$  for  $\Delta = 4$ .

Let  $\vec{G}_H$  arise from  $G_H$  by orienting all edges of  $G_H$  towards the root, that is, if  $u$  is a parent of  $v$  in  $G_H$ , then  $E(\vec{G}_H)$  contains the oriented edge  $(v, u)$ .

**Lemma 4.** *If  $n$  denotes the order of  $G_H$ , then*

$$\sum_{(v,u) \in E(\vec{G}_H)} \bar{n}_{G_H}(v, u) \leq (10\Delta^3 + 6\Delta^2 - 12\Delta + 24) n \log(n).$$

*Proof.* For a black vertex  $v$  of  $G_H$  that is not the root  $r$ , let  $N^\downarrow(v)$  denote the set containing  $v$  as well as all black and grey descendants of  $v$ . If  $v$  has height  $h$ , then  $N^\downarrow(v)$  contains  $\sum_{i=0}^h (\Delta - 1)^i \leq (\Delta - 1)^{h+1}$  black vertices and at most  $\left(\frac{(\Delta-1)^h}{\Delta} + 2\right) (\Delta - 1) \leq (\Delta - 1)^h + 2(\Delta - 1) \leq 3(\Delta - 1)^{h+1}$  grey vertices, which implies

$$|N^\downarrow(v)| \leq 4(\Delta - 1)^{h+1}. \quad (2)$$

Since there are at most  $\Delta(\Delta - 1)^{H-h-1}$  black vertices in  $G_H$  of height  $h$ , we obtain, using (2),

$$\sum_{v \in V(T_H) \setminus \{r\}} |N^\downarrow(v)| \leq \sum_{h=0}^{H-1} \Delta(\Delta - 1)^{H-h-1} 4(\Delta - 1)^{h+1} = 4\Delta(\Delta - 1)^H H. \quad (3)$$

First, we consider the contribution

$$\sum_{(v,u) \in E(\vec{T}_H)} \bar{n}_{G_H}(v,u)$$

of the black edges. Therefore, let  $uv$  be a black edge, where  $v$  is a black vertex of height  $h$  and  $u$  is the parent of  $v$ . Let  $N$  be the set of vertices of  $G$  whose distance to  $v$  is at most their distance to  $u$ , that is,  $\bar{n}_{G_H}(v,u) = |N|$ . Clearly, we have  $N^\downarrow(v) \subseteq N$ . Removing from  $G_H$  all vertices in  $N^\downarrow(v)$  as well as all ancestors of  $v$ , the remaining vertices naturally split into two sets  $L$  and  $R$  that lie to the left and right of the removed vertices, respectively.

See Figure 2 for an illustration.




Figure 2: The sets  $N^\downarrow(v)$ ,  $L$ , and  $R$ .

Let  $N^L(v) = |N \cap L|$  and  $N^R(v) = |N \cap R|$ . Note that  $N \setminus (L \cup R) = N^\downarrow(v)$ .

By the left-right symmetry of  $G_H$ , we have

$$\sum_{v \in V(T_H) \setminus \{r\}} |N^L(v)| = \sum_{v \in V(T_H) \setminus \{r\}} |N^R(v)|. \quad (4)$$

Let  $w$  be the rightmost black descendant of depth  $H$  of  $v$ . Let  $s$  be the lowest common ancestor of  $w$  and  $w^+$ , that is, among all common ancestor of  $w$  and  $w^+$  the vertex  $s$  has maximum depth. If  $w$  and  $w^+$  have no grey common neighbor, then  $N^R(v)$  is empty. If  $s$  equals  $u$ , then  $w^+$  is closer to  $u$  than to  $v$  and the structure of  $G_H$  implies that  $N^R(v)$  is empty. See Figure 3 for an illustration.




Figure 3: In the case  $s = u$ , the distance between  $u$  and  $w^+$  is  $h + 1$  and the distance between  $v$  and  $w^+$  is  $h + 2$ . Note that the grey common neighbor of  $w$  and  $w^+$  may not exist.

Now, suppose that  $w$  and  $w^+$  have a grey common neighbor and that  $s$  is distinct from  $u$ . Let  $s$  have height  $\ell$ , that is, the distance within  $T_H$  between  $s$  and  $v$  is  $\ell - h$ . Note that  $\ell \geq h + 2$ . Let  $v_2$  be the ancestor of  $w^+$  of height  $\ell - h - 2$  and let  $u_2$  be the parent of  $v_2$ . Note that  $v_2$  is closer to  $v$  than to  $u$  and that  $u_2$  is closer to  $u$  than to  $v$ . This implies  $N^\downarrow(v_2) \subseteq N^R(v)$ . Let  $w_2$  be the rightmost black descendant of depth  $H$  of  $v_2$ .

Before we proceed the estimation, we show, by an inductive argument over  $h_2 = \ell - h - 2$ , that the distance in  $G' = G_H[N^\downarrow(v_2)]$  between  $w^+$  and  $w_2$  is  $2h_2$ , in particular, some shortest path in  $G'$  between  $w^+$  and  $w_2$  passes through  $v_2$ . For  $h_2 = 0$ , we have  $w^+ = w_2 = v_2$ , and the statement is trivial. For  $h_2 > 0$ , the structure of  $G_H$  together with the induction hypothesis imply that every path in  $G'$  between  $w^+$  and  $w_2$  that avoids  $v_2$  has length at least  $2(h_2 - 1)(\Delta - 1) + 2(\Delta - 2) \geq 2h_2$ , which implies the desired statement.

Now, since  $u_2$  is an ancestor of  $w_2^+$ , the vertex  $w_2^+$  is closer to  $u_2$  than to  $v_2$ . See Figure 4 for an illustration.




Figure 4: The distance between  $u_2$  and  $w_2^+$  is  $\ell - h - 1$  and the distance between  $v_2$  and  $w_2^+$  is  $\ell - h$ . Note that the grey common neighbor of  $w_2$  and  $w_2^+$  may not exist.

By the above statement about the distance of  $w^+$  and  $w_2$  in  $G'$ , it follows that  $w_2^+$  is closer to  $u$  than to  $v$ . By the structure of  $G_H$ , this implies that  $N^\downarrow(v_2) = N^R(v)$ , and, hence

$$N = N^L(v) \cup N^\downarrow(v) \cup N^\downarrow(v_2).$$

Altogether, we can estimate the contribution of  $v$  to the right-hand side of (4) using  $h$  and  $\ell$ , and it

remains to estimate how many choices of  $v$  yield the same values of  $h$  and  $\ell$ . There are

- (i)  $\Delta(\Delta - 1)^{H-\ell-1}$  black vertices of height  $\ell$ , which are candidates for  $s$ ,
- (ii) at most  $(\Delta - 1)$  pairs of edges from  $s$  to consecutive children starting the shortest paths from  $s$  to  $w$  and  $w^+$ , and,
- (iii) by (2), at most  $4(\Delta - 1)^{(\ell-h-2)+1}$  vertices in  $N^\downarrow(v_2)$ ,

which implies

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{v \in V(T_H) \setminus \{r\}} |N^R(v)| &\leq \sum_{h=0}^{H-2} \sum_{\ell=h+2}^H \underbrace{\Delta(\Delta - 1)^{H-\ell-1}}_{(i)} \underbrace{(\Delta - 1)}_{(ii)} \underbrace{4(\Delta - 1)^{(\ell-h-2)+1}}_{(iii)} \\
&= \sum_{\ell=2}^H 4\Delta(\Delta - 1)^{H-\ell} \sum_{h=0}^{\ell-2} (\Delta - 1)^{\ell-h-1} \\
&\leq \sum_{\ell=2}^H 4\Delta(\Delta - 1)^{H-\ell} \frac{(\Delta - 1)^\ell}{(\Delta - 2)} \\
&\leq \frac{4\Delta}{(\Delta - 2)} (\Delta - 1)^H H.
\end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

Combining the above estimates, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{(v,u) \in E(\vec{T}_H)} \bar{n}_{G_H}(v, u) &= \sum_{v \in V(T_H) \setminus \{r\}} \left( |N^L(v)| + |N^\downarrow(v)| + |N^R(v)| \right) \\
&\stackrel{(4)}{=} 2 \sum_{v \in V(T_H) \setminus \{r\}} |N^R(v)| + \sum_{v \in V(T_H) \setminus \{r\}} |N^\downarrow(v)| \\
&\stackrel{(3),(5)}{\leq} \frac{8\Delta}{(\Delta - 2)} (\Delta - 1)^H H + 4\Delta(\Delta - 1)^H H \\
&\leq \left( \frac{8\Delta}{(\Delta - 2)} + 4\Delta \right) (\Delta - 1)^H H.
\end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

Next, we consider the contribution

$$\sum_{(v,u) \in E(\vec{G}_H) \setminus E(\vec{T}_H)} \bar{n}_{G_H}(v, u) \tag{7}$$

of the grey edges. Let  $v$  be a grey vertex. Let  $u_1, \dots, u_\Delta$  be the parents of  $v$  in their linear order from left to right. Since  $H \geq 2$ , there is some  $i \in \{1, \dots, \Delta - 1\}$  such that  $u_1, \dots, u_i$  have the same parent  $p$  and  $u_{i+1}, \dots, u_\Delta$  have the same parent  $p^+$ . We call the grey edges between  $v$  and  $u_1, \dots, u_i$  the *left grey edges* and the grey edges between  $v$  and  $u_{i+1}, \dots, u_\Delta$  the *right grey edges*. Similarly as above, by the left-right symmetry of  $G_H$ , it suffices to consider only the left grey edges. Now, let  $uv$  be a left grey edge incident with  $v$ . By symmetry between  $u_1, \dots, u_i$ , we may assume that  $u = u_i$ . Note that all  $i(\Delta - 1) \leq (\Delta - 1)^2$  grey edges between  $u_1, \dots, u_i$  and the set of  $\Delta - 1$  grey vertices with the same neighborhood as  $v$  are symmetric and, hence, contribute equally to (7). Again, let  $N$  be the set of vertices of  $G$  whose distance to  $v$  is at most their distance to  $u$ . Note that  $u_1, \dots, u_{i-1}$  belong to  $N$  but that all remaining vertices in  $N$  lie to the right of the path in  $G_H$  formed by  $v$ ,  $u$ , and the ancestors of  $u$ . Let  $s$  be the lowest common ancestor of  $u = u_i$  and  $u^+ = u_{i+1}$ . Let the height of  $s$

be  $h + 1$ . Since  $u$  and  $u^+$  have different parents, we have  $h \geq 1$ . Let  $v_2$  be the ancestor of  $u^+$  that is a child of  $s$ . Note that  $s$  is closer to  $u$  than to  $v$  and that  $v_2$  is closer to  $v$  than to  $u$ , which implies  $N^\downarrow(v_2) \subseteq N$ . Let  $w$  be the rightmost black descendant of depth  $H$  of  $v_2$ . Let  $t$  be the lowest common ancestor of  $w$  and  $w^+$ . Note that the distance between  $u^+$  and  $w$  in  $G_H[N^\downarrow(v_2)]$  is  $2h$ .

If  $t = s$ , then  $w^+$  is closer to  $u$  than to  $v$ , which implies

$$N = \{u_1, \dots, u_{i-1}\} \cup N^\downarrow(v_2);$$

see Figure 5 for an illustration.




Figure 5: In the case  $t = s$ , the distance between  $u$  and  $w^+$  is  $2h + 2$  and the distance between  $v$  and  $w^+$  is  $2h + 3$ . Note that the grey common neighbor of  $w$  and  $w^+$  may not exist.

Now, suppose that  $t \neq s$ . Let  $t$  have height  $\ell$ . Note that  $\ell \geq h + 2$ . Let  $v_3$  be the ancestor of  $w^+$  of height  $\ell - h - 2$  and let  $u_3$  be the parent of  $v_3$ . Note that  $v_3$  is closer to  $v$  than to  $u$  and that  $u_3$  is closer to  $u$  than to  $v$ . Let  $w_2$  be the rightmost black descendant of depth  $H$  of  $v_3$ . Note that  $w_2^+$  is a descendant of  $u_3$ . Since the distance of  $w^+$  and  $w_2$  in  $G_H[N^\downarrow(v_3)]$  is  $2(\ell - h - 2)$ , some shortest path between  $v$  and  $w_2$  passes through  $v_3$ . Since  $w_2^+$  is closer to  $u_3$  than to  $v_3$ , it follows that  $w_2^+$  is also closer to  $u$  than to  $v$ , which implies

$$N = \{u_1, \dots, u_{i-1}\} \cup N^\downarrow(v_2) \cup N^\downarrow(v_3);$$

see Figure 6 for an illustration.




Figure 6: In the case  $t \neq s$ , the distance between  $u$  and  $w_2^+$  is  $2\ell$  and the distance between  $v$  and  $w_2^+$  is  $1 + 2h + 2 + 2(\ell - h - 2) + 2 = 2\ell + 1$ .

We are now able to estimate the contribution of the left grey edge  $uv$  to (7) using  $h$  and  $\ell$ . As above, we estimate how many choices for the left grey edge  $uv$  yield the same values of  $h$  and  $\ell$ .

First, we consider the cases in which  $\ell = h + 1$ , that is,  $s$  and  $t$  coincide. There are

- (i)  $\Delta(\Delta - 1)^{H-(h+1)-1}$  black vertices of height  $h + 1$ , which are candidates for  $s$ ,
- (ii) at most  $(\Delta - 2)$  triples of edges from  $s$  to consecutive children starting the shortest paths from  $s$  to  $u$ ,  $u^+$ ,  $w$ , and  $w^+$ ,
- (iii) at most  $(\Delta - 1)^2$  left grey edges symmetric to  $uv$ ,
- (iv) at most  $\Delta - 2$  vertices in  $\{u_1, \dots, u_{i-1}\}$ , and
- (v) by (2), at most  $4(\Delta - 1)^{h+1}$  vertices in  $N^\downarrow(v_2)$ .

Next, we consider the cases in which  $\ell \geq h + 2$ , that is,  $s$  and  $t$  are distinct. There are

- (vi)  $\Delta(\Delta - 1)^{H-\ell-1}$  black vertices of height  $H - \ell$ , which are candidates for  $t$ ,
- (vii) at most  $(\Delta - 1)$  pairs of edges from  $t$  to consecutive children starting the shortest paths from  $t$  to  $w$  and  $w^+$ ,
- (viii) at most  $(\Delta - 1)^2$  left grey edges symmetric to  $uv$ ,
- (ix) at most  $\Delta - 2$  vertices in  $\{u_1, \dots, u_{i-1}\}$ ,
- (x) by (2), at most  $4(\Delta - 1)^{h+1}$  vertices in  $N^\downarrow(v_2)$ , and
- (xi) by (2), at most  $4(\Delta - 1)^{\ell-h-1}$  vertices in  $N^\downarrow(v_3)$

Combining the above estimates, and using the symmetry between the left grey edges and the right grey edges, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{(v,u) \in E(\vec{G}_H) \setminus E(\vec{T}_H)} \bar{n}_{G_H}(v, u) \\
& \leq 2 \sum_{h=1}^H \underbrace{\Delta(\Delta - 1)^{H-(h+1)-1}}_{(i)} \underbrace{(\Delta - 2)}_{(ii)} \underbrace{(\Delta - 1)^2}_{(iii)} \left( \underbrace{(\Delta - 2) + 4(\Delta - 1)^{h+1}}_{(iv)} \right) \\
& \quad + 2 \sum_{\ell=3}^H \sum_{h=1}^{\ell-2} \underbrace{\Delta(\Delta - 1)^{H-\ell-1}}_{(vi)} \underbrace{(\Delta - 1)}_{(vii)} \underbrace{(\Delta - 1)^2}_{(viii)} \left( \underbrace{(\Delta - 2) + 4(\Delta - 1)^{h+1}}_{(ix)} + \underbrace{4(\Delta - 1)^{\ell-h-1}}_{(xi)} \right) \\
& \leq \left( 10\Delta(\Delta - 1)(\Delta - 2) + \frac{18\Delta(\Delta - 1)^2}{(\Delta - 2)} \right) (\Delta - 1)^H H. \tag{8}
\end{aligned}$$

Altogether, using (6), (8), and  $n \geq (\Delta - 1)^H$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{(v,u) \in E(\vec{G}_H)} \bar{n}_{G_H}(v, u) & \leq \left( \underbrace{\frac{8\Delta}{(\Delta - 2)}}_{\leq 24} + 4\Delta + 10\Delta(\Delta - 1)(\Delta - 2) + \underbrace{\frac{18\Delta(\Delta - 1)^2}{(\Delta - 2)}}_{\leq 36\Delta(\Delta - 1)} \right) \underbrace{(\Delta - 1)^H H}_{\leq n \log(n)} \\
& \leq (10\Delta^3 + 6\Delta^2 - 12\Delta + 24) n \log(n),
\end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof.  $\square$



Together Lemma 3 and Lemma 4 imply

$$Mo(G_H) \geq \frac{\Delta}{2}n^2 - (20\Delta^3 + 12\Delta^2 - 24\Delta + 48)n \log(n),$$

which implies Theorem 1.

We proceed to the proof of Theorem 2.

We recall some more terminology. A rooted  $(\Delta - 1)$ -ary tree  $T$  of height  $h$  is *complete* if all vertices of  $T$  of depth at most  $h - 1$  have exactly  $\Delta - 1$  children, and *almost complete* if all vertices of  $T$  of depth at most  $h - 2$  have exactly  $\Delta - 1$  children. For a vertex  $u$  in a rooted tree  $T$ , let  $d(u)$  denote the depth of  $u$  in  $T$  and let  $n^\downarrow(u)$  denote the number of vertices of  $T$  that are equal to  $u$  or a descendant of  $u$ .

**Lemma 5.** *If  $T$  is a rooted  $(\Delta - 1)$ -ary tree of order  $n$ , then*

$$\sum_{u \in V(T)} n^\downarrow(u) \geq \frac{(\Delta - 2)}{(\Delta - 1)^2} n \left( \log \left( (\Delta - 2)n \right) - 1 \right).$$

*Proof.* Since each vertex  $v$  of  $T$  contributes 1 to exactly  $d(v) + 1$  of the values  $n^\downarrow(u)$ , double-counting yields

$$\sum_{u \in V(T)} n^\downarrow(u) = \sum_{v \in V(T)} (d(v) + 1).$$

Since  $T$  is  $(\Delta - 1)$ -ary, it is easy to see that  $\sum_{v \in V(T)} (d(v) + 1)$  is minimized if  $T$  is almost balanced. Hence, for the rest of the proof, we assume that  $T$  is almost balanced.

The height  $h$  of  $T$  satisfies  $\sum_{i=0}^h (\Delta - 1)^i = \frac{(\Delta - 1)^{h+1} - 1}{\Delta - 2} \geq n$  and, hence,  $(\Delta - 1)^{h+1} \geq (\Delta - 2)n$  or equivalently  $h \geq \log((\Delta - 2)n) - 1$ . Since  $T$  contains  $(\Delta - 1)^{h-1}$  vertices of depth  $h - 1$ , we obtain

$$\sum_{u \in V(T)} n^\downarrow(u) \geq (\Delta - 1)^{h-1} h \geq \frac{(\Delta - 2)}{(\Delta - 1)^2} n \left( \log \left( (\Delta - 2)n \right) - 1 \right),$$

which completes the proof.  $\square$

The following lemma contains the key observation for the proof of Theorem 2.

**Lemma 6.** *If  $T$  is a rooted tree of order  $n$  and maximum degree at most  $\Delta$ , then*

$$\sum_{u \in V(T)} \min \left\{ d(u), n^\downarrow(u) \right\} \geq (1 - o(1)) \frac{(\Delta - 2)}{(\Delta - 1)^2} n \log(\log(n)),$$

where the  $o(1)$  term only depends on  $n$ .

*Proof.* Let  $d_0 = \lceil \frac{1}{2} \log(n) \rceil$ . Let  $A$  be the set of vertices of  $T$  of depth less than  $d_0$ , let  $B$  be the set of vertices  $u$  of  $T$  of depth at least  $d_0$  such that  $d(u) < n^\downarrow(u)$ , and let  $C$  be the set of all remaining vertices of  $T$ . Let  $a = |A|$  and  $b = |B|$ . Since  $\Delta \geq 3$ , we have  $a \leq \Delta^{d_0} \leq \Delta \sqrt{n}$ .

By definition, all ancestors of every vertex in  $B$  lie in  $A \cup B$ , and all descendants of every vertex in  $C$  lie in  $C$ . The root of each component of  $T[C]$  has its parent in  $A \cup B$ . Since each vertex in  $A \cup B$  has at most  $\Delta - 1$  descendants, there are  $k = (\Delta - 1)(a + b)$  disjoint possibly empty sets  $C_1, \dots, C_k$  of orders  $c_1, \dots, c_k$  such that the non-empty  $C_i$  are the vertex sets of the components of  $T[C]$ .

Since each component of  $T[C]$  is a rooted  $(\Delta - 1)$ -ary tree, we obtain, using Lemma 5,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{u \in V(T)} \min \{d(u), n^\downarrow(u)\} &\geq \sum_{u \in B} d(u) + \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{u \in C_i} n^\downarrow(u) \\ &\geq d_0 b + \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{(\Delta - 2)}{(\Delta - 1)^2} c_i \left( \log \left( (\Delta - 2) c_i \right) - 1 \right). \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

If  $b \geq \frac{n \log(\log(n))}{\log(n)}$ , then (9) implies

$$\sum_{u \in V(T)} \min \{d(u), n^\downarrow(u)\} \geq d_0 b \geq \frac{1}{2} n \log(\log(n)),$$

which completes the proof. Hence, we may assume that  $b < \frac{n \log(\log(n))}{\log(n)}$ . Since  $a \leq \Delta \sqrt{n}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} a + b &= (1 + o(1)) \frac{n \log(\log(n))}{\log(n)}, \text{ and, hence,} \\ n - (a + b) &= (1 - o(1))n, \end{aligned}$$

where the  $o(1)$  terms only depend on  $n$ .

Since the function  $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : x \mapsto \gamma_1 x(\log(x) - \gamma_2)$  for positive  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2$  is convex, the sum in (9), considered as a function of the  $c_i$  relaxed to non-negative real numbers, is minimized if all  $c_i$  are equal, that is,

$$c_i = \frac{|C|}{k} = \frac{n - (a + b)}{k} = \frac{n - (a + b)}{(\Delta - 1)(a + b)} = \frac{(1 - o(1)) \log(n)}{(\Delta - 1) \log(\log(n))} \text{ for each } i.$$

Now, (9) implies

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{u \in V(T)} \min \{d(u), n^\downarrow(u)\} &\geq \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{(\Delta - 2)}{(\Delta - 1)^2} c_i \left( \log \left( (\Delta - 2) c_i \right) - 1 \right) \\ &\geq (1 - o(1)) \frac{(\Delta - 2)}{(\Delta - 1)^2} n \left( \log \left( \frac{(\Delta - 2)(1 - o(1)) \log(n)}{(\Delta - 1) \log(\log(n))} \right) - 1 \right) \\ &= (1 - o(1)) \frac{(\Delta - 2)}{(\Delta - 1)^2} n \log(\log(n)), \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof.  $\square$

Complete  $(\Delta - 1)$ -ary trees show that the bound in Lemma 6 has the right dependence on  $n$ .

We are now in a position to complete the proof of Theorem 2. Therefore, let  $G$  be a graph of order  $n$  and maximum degree at most  $\Delta$ . Let  $K$  be a largest component of  $G$ . If  $K$  has order less than  $n - \log(\log(n))$ , then  $n_G(u, v) \leq n - \log(\log(n))$  for every edge  $uv$  of  $G$ , which implies

$$Mo(G) \leq \frac{\Delta}{2} n(n - \log(\log(n))),$$

and the desired upper bound on  $Mo(G)$  follows. Hence, the order  $n(K)$  of  $K$  is at least  $n - \log(\log(n))$ , that is,

$$n(K) = (1 - o(1))n,$$

where the  $o(1)$  term only depends on  $n$ .

Let  $r$  be any vertex of  $K$  and let  $T$  be a breadth-first search tree of  $K$  rooted in  $r$ .

If  $uv$  is an edge of  $T$ , where  $v$  is the parent of  $u$ , then  $n_G(v, u) \geq d(u)$  and  $n_G(u, v) \geq n^\downarrow(u)$ .

If  $n_G(v, u) \geq n_G(u, v)$ , then  $n_G(v, u) \leq n - n_G(u, v)$ , which implies

$$|n_G(u, v) - n_G(v, u)| = n_G(v, u) - n_G(u, v) \leq n - 2n^\downarrow(u),$$

and if  $n_G(v, u) \leq n_G(u, v)$ , then  $n_G(u, v) \leq n - n_G(v, u)$ , which implies

$$|n_G(u, v) - n_G(v, u)| = n_G(u, v) - n_G(v, u) \leq n - 2d(u).$$

In both cases, we obtain

$$|n_G(u, v) - n_G(v, u)| \leq n - 2 \min \left\{ d(u), n^\downarrow(u) \right\}. \quad (10)$$

Note that  $\min \{d(r), n^\downarrow(r)\} = 0$ .

Using (10) and Lemma 6, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} Mo(G) &= \sum_{uv \in E(G)} |n_G(u, v) - n_G(v, u)| \\ &= \sum_{uv \in E(T)} |n_G(u, v) - n_G(v, u)| + \sum_{uv \in E(G) \setminus E(T)} |n_G(u, v) - n_G(v, u)| \\ &\leq \left( m(T)n - 2 \sum_{u \in V(T)} \min \left\{ d(u), n^\downarrow(u) \right\} \right) + (m(G) - m(T))n \\ &\leq m(G)n - (2 - o(1)) \frac{(\Delta - 2)}{(\Delta - 1)^2} n(K) \log(\log(n(K))) \\ &\leq \frac{\Delta}{2} n^2 - (2 - o(1)) \frac{(\Delta - 2)}{(\Delta - 1)^2} n \log(\log(n)), \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof of Theorem 2.

**Acknowledgement.** The research reported in this paper was carried out at the *26th C5 Graph Theory Workshop* organized by Ingo Schiermeyer in Rathen, May 2023. We express our gratitude to Ingo, not just for this year but also for the long tradition of this wonderful meeting.

## References

- [1] A. Ali and T. Došlić, Mostar index: results and perspectives, *Appl. Math. Comput.* **404** (2021) 126245.
- [2] Y. Alizadeh, K. Xu, and S. Klavžar, On the Mostar index of trees and product graphs, *Filomat* **35** (2021) 4637–4643.
- [3] H. Chen, H. Liu, Q. Xiao, and J. Zhang, Extremal phenylene chains with respect to the Mostar index, *Discrete Math. Algorithms Appl.* **13** (2021) 2150075.
- [4] K. Deng and S. Li, Extremal catacondensed benzenoids with respect to the Mostar index, *J. Math. Chem.* **58** (2020) 1437–1465.

- [5] K. Deng and S. Li, Chemical trees with extremal Mostar index, *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.* **85** (2021) 161–180.
- [6] K. Deng and S. Li, On the extremal values for the Mostar index of trees with given degree sequence, *Appl. Math. Comput.* **390** (2021) 125598.
- [7] T. Došlić, I. Martinjak, R. Škrekovski, S. Tipurić Spužević, and I. Zubac, Mostar index, *J. Math. Chem.* **56** (2018) 2995–3013.
- [8] Ö. Egecioğlu, E. Saygi, and Z. Saygi, The Mostar index of Fibonacci and Lucas cubes, *Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc.* **44** (2021) 3677–3687.
- [9] J. Geneson and S.-F. Tsai, Peripherality in networks: theory and applications, *J. Math. Chem.* **60** (2022) 1021–1079.
- [10] N. Ghanbari and S. Alikhani, Mostar index and edge Mostar index of polymers, *Comput. Appl. Math.* **40** (2021) 260.
- [11] M. Ghorbani and S. Rahmani, The Mostar index of fullerenes in terms of automorphism group, *Facta Univ. Ser. Math. Inform.* **35** (2020) 151–165.
- [12] F. Hayat and B. Zhou, On cacti with large Mostar index, *Filomat* **33** (2019) 4865–4873.
- [13] F. Hayat and B. Zhou, On Mostar index of trees with parameters, *Filomat* **33** (2019) 6453–6458.
- [14] Š. Miklavič, J. Pardey, D. Rautenbach, and F. Werner, Maximizing the Mostar index for bipartite graphs and split graphs, *Discrete Optim.* **48** (2023) 100768.
- [15] Š. Miklavič, J. Pardey, D. Rautenbach, and F. Werner, Bounding the Mostar index, arXiv 2211.06682.
- [16] M. Mollard, A relation between Wiener index and Mostar index for daisy cubes, *Discrete Math. Lett.* **10** (2022) 81–84.
- [17] R. Sharafdini and T. Réti, On the transmission-based graph topological indices, *Kragujevac J. Math.* **44** (2020) 41–63.
- [18] A. Tepeh, Extremal bicyclic graphs with respect to Mostar index, *Appl. Math. Comput.* **355** (2019) 319–324.
- [19] Q. Xiao, M. Zeng, Y. Tang, H. Hua, and H. Deng, The hexagonal chains with the first three maximal Mostar indices, *Discrete Appl. Math.* **288** (2021) 180–191.