

# On a kinematic proof of Andoyer variables canonicity

Anatoly Neishtadt

## Abstract

We present a kinematic proof that the Andoyer variables in rigid body dynamics are canonical. This proof is based on the approach of “virtual rotations” by H. Andoyer. The difference from the original proof by Andoyer is that we do not assume that the fixed in body frame is the frame of principal moments of inertia, and do not use explicit formulas for the kinetic energy of the body that include moments of inertia.

## 1 Introduction

The canonical Andoyer variables in rigid body dynamics were introduced by H. Andoyer in [1, 2]. Wide use of these variables started after works of V.V. Beletskii [4], who invented closely related variables, and A. Deprit [5], who invented the same variables as Andoyer and used them to represent the free rotation of rigid body in the phase plane. Andoyer proved that his variables are canonical using a kinematic approach based on “virtual rotations”. Deprit used formulas of spherical trigonometry in his proof that the variables are canonical. Proof of canonicity of Andoyer variables in [6] is based on the representation of infinitesimal rotation from the absolute frame of reference to the fixed in body frame via the Andoyer angles. In this note we present a proof based on the original approach by Andoyer. Unlike [1, 2, 5] we do not assume that the fixed in body frame is the frame of principal moments of inertia and do not use explicit formulas for the kinetic energy of the body that include moments of inertia. We do not calculate the infinitesimal rotation as in [6] either. Our proof uses just general definitions

of canonical transformations, kinetic energy and angular momentum as well as properties of the mixed product of vectors.

A review of works on canonical variables in rigid body dynamics prior to Andoyer's studies (F. J. Richelot (1850), J. A. Serret (1866), R. Radau (1869), F. Tisserand (1889)) is given in [6, 7].

## 2 Coordinate frames. Definition of the Andoyer variables

Consider the classical problem of motion of a rigid body about a fixed point (e.g., [3, 8]). Let  $OXYZ$  be an absolute Cartesian frame of references, Fig. 1, a. Let  $Oxyz$  be a fixed in body Cartesian frame (e.g., the frame of principal moments of inertia of the body for the point  $O$  as in [1, 2, 5]), Fig. 1, b. Denote  $\vec{G}$  the angular momentum of the body. Define a Cartesian frame of reference  $O\xi\eta\zeta$  as follows, Fig.1, a, b. Axis  $O\zeta$  is directed along  $\vec{G}$ . Axis  $O\xi$  is in the plane  $OZ\zeta$ . Axis  $O\eta$  is orthogonal to the plane  $O\xi\zeta$ . The canonical Andoyer variables are  $L, G, \Theta, l, g, \vartheta$  (we use slightly modified notation from [5]). Angles  $l, g, \vartheta$  are shown in Fig. 1, a, b,  $L$  is the projection of  $\vec{G}$  onto axis  $Oz$ ,  $G$  is the absolute value of  $\vec{G}$ ,  $\Theta$  is the projection of  $\vec{G}$  onto axis  $OZ$ . Denote  $\vec{e}_X, \vec{e}_Y, \vec{e}_Z, \vec{e}_x, \vec{e}_y, \vec{e}_z$  and  $\vec{e}_1, \vec{e}_2, \vec{e}_3$  unit coordinate vectors of frames  $OXYZ, Oxyz$  and  $O\xi\eta\zeta$ , respectively. We denote  $\vec{a} \cdot \vec{b}$  and  $\vec{a} \times \vec{b}$  the scalar ("dot") and the vector ("cross") products of  $\vec{a}$  and  $\vec{b}$ .

## 3 Canonicity condition

Let  $q_1, q_2, q_3$  be any generalised coordinates that characterise position of the frame  $Oxyz$  with respect to the absolute frame  $OXYZ$  (e.g.,  $q_1, q_2, q_3$  could be the Euler angles). The kinetic energy of the body  $T$  is a function of these coordinates and their velocities:  $T = T(q_1, q_2, q_3, \dot{q}_1, \dot{q}_2, \dot{q}_3)$ . Denote  $p_1, p_2, p_3$  the momenta canonically conjugate to these coordinates,  $p_j = \partial T / \partial \dot{q}_j$ ,  $j = 1, 2, 3$ . Then  $q_1, q_2, q_3, p_1, p_2, p_3$  is a system of canonical variables for the considered problem. Express the Andoyer variables via  $q_1, q_2, q_3, p_1, p_2, p_3$ . To prove that this transformation is canonical we should check that (e.g., [3], p. 241)

$$p_1 dq_1 + p_2 dq_2 + p_3 dq_3 = L dl + G dg + \Theta d\vartheta. \quad (3.1)$$




Figure 1: Coordinate frames.

This would prove that the Andoyer variables are canonical.

As it is traditional in Analytical Dynamics, consider the rigid body as a system of  $N$  material points. Let  $m_i, \vec{r}_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$  be masses and position vectors in the absolute frame of these points. Express position vectors via coordinates  $q_1, q_2, q_3$ :  $\vec{r}_i = \vec{r}_i(q_1, q_2, q_3)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 d\vec{r}_i &= \sum_{j=1}^3 \frac{\partial \vec{r}_i}{\partial q_j} dq_j, \\
 \dot{\vec{r}}_i &= \sum_{j=1}^3 \frac{\partial \vec{r}_i}{\partial q_j} \dot{q}_j.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

and

$$\frac{\partial \dot{\vec{r}}_i}{\partial \dot{q}_j} = \frac{\partial \vec{r}_i}{\partial q_j}$$

(this is a standard relation used in derivation of the Lagrange equations from the D'Alembert principle, e.g., [8], p. 20).

The angular momentum  $\vec{G}$  and the kinetic energy of the body  $T$  are

$$\vec{G} = \sum_{i=1}^N m_i (\vec{r}_i \times \dot{\vec{r}}_i), \quad T = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N m_i (\dot{\vec{r}}_i \cdot \dot{\vec{r}}_i).$$

Consider identities

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=1}^N m_i \dot{\vec{r}}_i \cdot d\vec{r}_i &= \sum_{i=1}^N m_i \dot{\vec{r}}_i \cdot \sum_{j=1}^3 \frac{\partial \vec{r}_i}{\partial q_j} dq_j = \sum_{i=1}^N m_i \dot{\vec{r}}_i \cdot \sum_{j=1}^3 \frac{\partial \dot{\vec{r}}_i}{\partial \dot{q}_j} d\dot{q}_j \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^3 \left( \sum_{i=1}^N m_i \dot{\vec{r}}_i \cdot \frac{\partial \dot{\vec{r}}_i}{\partial \dot{q}_j} \right) d\dot{q}_j = \sum_{j=1}^3 \frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{q}_j} \left( \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N m_i (\dot{\vec{r}}_i \cdot \dot{\vec{r}}_i) \right) d\dot{q}_j \quad (3.3) \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^3 \frac{\partial T}{\partial \dot{q}_j} d\dot{q}_j = p_1 dq_1 + p_2 dq_2 + p_3 dq_3.
\end{aligned}$$

In view of (3.1) this implies that to prove the canonicity of the Andoyer variables we have to show that

$$\sum_{i=1}^N m_i \dot{\vec{r}}_i \cdot d\vec{r}_i = Ldl + Gdg + \Theta d\vartheta. \quad (3.4)$$

## 4 The check of canonicity condition (3.4)

Express position vectors of material points via the Andoyer variables. Note that  $\vec{r}_i$  depends on  $L, G, \Theta$  via angles  $\chi, \rho, L = G \cos \chi, \Theta = G \cos \rho$ . Thus  $\vec{r}_i = \vec{r}_i(l, g, \vartheta, \chi, \rho)$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$ . Calculate  $d\vec{r}_i$  and substitute the obtained expressions into the left hand side of (3.4). We get

$$\sum_{i=1}^N m_i \dot{\vec{r}}_i \cdot d\vec{r}_i = k_l dl + k_g dg + k_\vartheta d\vartheta + k_\chi d\chi + k_\rho d\rho \quad (4.1)$$

with some coefficients  $k_l, k_g, \dots, k_\rho$ . Calculate these coefficients.

- Put  $dl = 1$  and give value 0 to all other differentials in the right hand side of (4.1). Then  $d\vec{r}_i$  should be equal to the velocity of the point with the position vector  $\vec{r}_i$  when the rigid body rotates (counterclockwise) about the axis  $Oz$  with the angular speed 1:  $d\vec{r}_i = \vec{e}_z \times \vec{r}_i$ . Then

$$k_l = \sum_{i=1}^N m_i \dot{\vec{r}}_i \cdot (\vec{e}_z \times \vec{r}_i) = \left( \sum_{i=1}^N m_i \vec{r}_i \times \dot{\vec{r}}_i \right) \cdot \vec{e}_z = \vec{G} \cdot \vec{e}_z = L$$

because  $L$  is the projection of  $\vec{G}$  onto the axis  $Oz$ .

- Put  $dg = 1$  and give value 0 to all other differentials in the right hand side of (4.1). Then  $d\vec{r}_i$  should be equal to the velocity of the point with the position vector  $\vec{r}_i$  when the rigid body rotates about the axis  $O\zeta$  with the angular speed 1:  $d\vec{r}_i = \vec{e}_3 \times \vec{r}_i$ . Then

$$k_g = \sum_{i=1}^N m_i \dot{\vec{r}}_i \cdot (\vec{e}_3 \times \vec{r}_i) = \left( \sum_{i=1}^N m_i \vec{r}_i \times \dot{\vec{r}}_i \right) \cdot \vec{e}_3 = \vec{G} \cdot \vec{e}_3 = G$$

because  $\vec{e}_3$  is directed along  $\vec{G}$ .

- Put  $d\vartheta = 1$  and give value 0 to all other differentials in the right hand side of (4.1). Then  $d\vec{r}_i$  should be equal to the velocity of the point with the position vector  $\vec{r}_i$  when the rigid body rotates about the axis  $OZ$  with the angular speed 1:  $d\vec{r}_i = \vec{e}_Z \times \vec{r}_i$ . Then

$$k_\vartheta = \sum_{i=1}^N m_i \dot{\vec{r}}_i \cdot (\vec{e}_Z \times \vec{r}_i) = \left( \sum_{i=1}^N m_i \vec{r}_i \times \dot{\vec{r}}_i \right) \cdot \vec{e}_Z = \vec{G} \cdot \vec{e}_Z = \Theta$$

because  $\Theta$  is the projection of  $\vec{G}$  onto the axis  $OZ$ .

- Put  $d\chi = 1$  and give value 0 to all other differentials in the right hand side of (4.1). Then  $d\vec{r}_i$  should be equal to the velocity of the point with the position vector  $\vec{r}_i$  when the rigid body rotates about the node line  $O\kappa$  in Fig. 1, b with the angular speed 1:  $d\vec{r}_i = \vec{n} \times \vec{r}_i$ , where  $\vec{n}$  is the unit vector of the axis  $O\kappa$ . Then

$$k_\chi = \sum_{i=1}^N m_i \dot{\vec{r}}_i \cdot (\vec{n} \times \vec{r}_i) = \left( \sum_{i=1}^N m_i \vec{r}_i \times \dot{\vec{r}}_i \right) \cdot \vec{n} = \vec{G} \cdot \vec{n} = 0$$

because  $\vec{n}$  is orthogonal to  $\vec{G}$ .

- Put  $d\rho = 1$  and give value 0 to all other differentials in the right hand side of (4.1). Then  $d\vec{r}_i$  should be equal to the velocity of the point with the position vector  $\vec{r}_i$  when the rigid body rotates about the axis  $O\eta$  with the angular speed 1:  $d\vec{r}_i = \vec{e}_2 \times \vec{r}_i$ . Then

$$k_\rho = \sum_{i=1}^N m_i \dot{\vec{r}}_i \cdot (\vec{e}_2 \times \vec{r}_i) = \left( \sum_{i=1}^N m_i \vec{r}_i \times \dot{\vec{r}}_i \right) \cdot \vec{e}_2 = \vec{G} \cdot \vec{e}_2 = 0$$

because  $\vec{e}_2$  is orthogonal to  $\vec{G}$ .

Thus we have  $k_l = L, k_g = G, k_\vartheta = \Theta, k_\chi = k_\rho = 0$ . This proves the canonicity condition (3.4).

## 5 Conclusion

A kinematic proof is given that the Andoyer variables in rigid body dynamics are canonical. The proof is based on the approach of “virtual rotations” by Andoyer. The difference from the original proof by Andoyer is that we do not assume that the fixed in body frame is the frame of principal moments of inertia, and do not use explicit formulas for the kinetic energy of the body that include moments of inertia.

## References

- [1] Andoyer H. Sur les problèmes fondamentaux de la mécanique céleste. *Bull. Astron. Ser. I*, **32**, 5–18 (1915)
- [2] Andoyer H. *Cours de Mécanique Céleste*, vol. I. Gauthier-Villars, Paris (1923)
- [3] Arnold V. I. *Mathematical Methods of Classical Mechanics: Graduate Texts in Mathematics 60*. Springer-Verlag, New York (1978)
- [4] Beletskii V.V. *Motion of an Artificial Satellite About Its Center of Mass*. NASA TTF-429 (1966)
- [5] Deprit A. Free rotation of the rigid body studied in the phase plane. *American Journal of Physics*, **35**, 424–428 (1967)
- [6] Deprit A., Elise A. Complete reduction of the Euler-Poinsot problem. *Journal of the Astronautical Sciences*, **35**, 603–628 (1993)
- [7] Gurfil P., Elise A., Tangren W., Efroimsky M. The Serret-Andoyer formalism in rigid-body dynamics: I. Symmetries and perturbations. *Regular and Chaotic Dynamics*, **12**, 389–425 (2007)
- [8] Goldstein H., Poole C. P., Safko J. L. *Classical Mechanics* (3rd ed.). Addison-Wesley, Boston (2001)

Anatoly Neishtadt

Department of Mathematical Sciences

Loughborough University, Loughborough LE11 3TU, United Kingdom

Space Research Institute, Moscow 117997, Russia

E-mail: a.neishtadt@lboro.ac.uk