

# A generalization of Kac polynomials and tensor product of representations of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$

Tommaso Scognamiglio  
*Université Paris Cité/IMJ-PRG*  
 tommaso.scognamiglio@imj-prg.fr

October 10, 2023

## Abstract

Given a *generic*  $k$ -tuple  $(\mathcal{X}_1, \dots, \mathcal{X}_k)$  of split semisimple irreducible characters of  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ , Hausel, Letellier and Rodriguez-Villegas [10, Theorem 1.4.1] constructed a *star-shaped* quiver  $Q = (I, \Omega)$  together with a dimension vector  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^I$  and they proved that

$$\langle \mathcal{X}_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{X}_k, 1 \rangle = a_{Q, \alpha}(q) \quad (0.0.1)$$

where  $a_{Q, \alpha}(t) \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$  is the so-called *Kac polynomial*, i.e. it is the counting polynomial for the number of isomorphism classes of absolutely indecomposable representations of  $Q$  of dimension vector  $\alpha$  over finite fields. Moreover it was conjectured by Kac [14] and proved by Hausel-Letellier-Villegas [11] that  $a_{Q, \alpha}(t)$  has non-negative integer coefficients. From the above formula together with Kac's results [14] they deduced that  $\langle \mathcal{X}_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{X}_k, 1 \rangle \neq 0$  if and only if  $\alpha$  is a root of  $Q$ ; moreover  $\langle \mathcal{X}_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{X}_k, 1 \rangle = 1$  exactly when  $\alpha$  is a real root.

In this paper we extend their result to any  $k$ -tuple  $(\mathcal{X}_1, \dots, \mathcal{X}_k)$  of split semisimple irreducible characters (which are not necessarily generic). To do that we introduce a stratification indexed by subsets  $V \subset \mathbb{N}^I$  on the set of  $k$ -tuples of split semisimple irreducible characters of  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ . The part corresponding to  $V = \{\alpha\}$  consists of the subset of generic  $k$ -tuples  $(\mathcal{X}_1, \dots, \mathcal{X}_k)$ . A  $k$ -tuple  $(\mathcal{X}_1, \dots, \mathcal{X}_k)$  in the stratum corresponding to  $V \subset \mathbb{N}^I$  is said to be of level  $V$ .

A representation  $\rho$  of  $(Q, \alpha)$  is said to be of level at most  $V \subset \mathbb{N}^I$  if the dimension vectors of the indecomposable components of  $\rho \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q} \overline{\mathbb{F}_q}$  belong to  $V$ .

Given a  $k$ -tuple  $(\mathcal{X}_1, \dots, \mathcal{X}_k)$  of level  $V$ , our main theorem is the following generalisation of Formula (0.0.1)

$$\langle \mathcal{X}_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{X}_k, 1 \rangle = M_{Q, \alpha, V}(q)$$

where  $M_{Q, \alpha, V}(t) \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$  is the counting polynomial for the number of isomorphism classes of representations of  $(Q, \alpha)$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  of level at most  $V$ . Moreover we prove a formula expressing  $M_{Q, \alpha, V}(t)$  in terms of Kac polynomials and so we get a formula expressing any multiplicity  $\langle \mathcal{X}_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{X}_k, 1 \rangle$  in terms of generic ones. As another consequence we prove that  $\langle \mathcal{X}_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{X}_k, 1 \rangle$  is a polynomial in  $q$  with non-negative integer coefficients and we give a criterion for its non vanishing in terms of the root system of  $Q$ .

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>3</b>
1.1	Review on multiplicities . . . . .	3
1.2	Generalities on quivers and Kac polynomials . . . . .	4
1.3	Quivers and characters . . . . .	5
1.4	Final questions . . . . .	8
1.4.1	Geometric interpretation for representations of level $V$ . . . . .	8
1.4.2	Multiplicity for a general $k$ -tuple of characters . . . . .	8
<b>2</b>	<b>Preliminaries</b>	<b>9</b>
2.1	Plethystic operations . . . . .	9
2.2	Quiver representations . . . . .	10
2.2.1	Krull-Schmidt decomposition and endomorphism rings . . . . .	11
2.2.2	Indecomposable over finite fields and Kac polynomials . . . . .	12
<b>3</b>	<b>Quiver representations of level <math>V</math></b>	<b>13</b>
3.1	Generating functions and plethystic identities . . . . .	13
3.1.1	Quiver stacks . . . . .	18
3.2	Counting representations of level at most $V$ . . . . .	18
<b>4</b>	<b>Tensor product of characters of finite general linear group</b>	<b>20</b>
4.1	Notations . . . . .	20
4.2	$F$ -stable Levi subgroups . . . . .	21
4.3	Harisha-Chandra induction for split Levi subgroups . . . . .	21
4.4	Generic $k$ -tuples of Harisha-Chandra characters . . . . .	22
4.5	Main result . . . . .	23
4.5.1	Levels for $k$ -tuples of characters . . . . .	24
4.5.2	Non-vanishing of multiplicities . . . . .	30
4.6	Computations . . . . .	30
4.6.1	Irreducibility for semisimple split characters . . . . .	30
4.6.2	Explicit computation for $n = 2$ . . . . .	31
<b>5</b>	<b>Conflict of interest</b>	<b>34</b>

# 1 Introduction

The aim of this paper is to study the relationship between the computation of multiplicities for tensor product of representations of  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$  and quiver representations.

## 1.1 Review on multiplicities

The character table of  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$  is known since 1955 by the work of Green [8], who gave a combinatorial description of it. His formulae for the values of the irreducible characters have an algorithmic nature.

Deligne and Lusztig [4] later introduced  $\ell$ -adic cohomological methods to the study of the representation theory of finite reductive groups. Using this approach, in [22] Lusztig found a geometric way to construct the irreducible characters of a finite reductive group. In the same book, he introduced the notion of a semisimple and unipotent irreducible character by analogy with the Jordan decomposition for the conjugacy classes.

For the finite general linear group  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ , Lusztig's construction led to a geometric interpretation of the character table found by Green, see for example Lusztig and Srinivasan [25].

Given  $\mathcal{X}_1, \mathcal{X}_2, \mathcal{X}_3$  irreducible characters of  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ , the multiplicity  $\langle \mathcal{X}_1 \otimes \mathcal{X}_2, \mathcal{X}_3 \rangle$  is given by the formula

$$\langle \mathcal{X}_1 \otimes \mathcal{X}_2, \mathcal{X}_3 \rangle = \frac{1}{|\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)|} \sum_{g \in \mathrm{GL}_n(q)} \mathcal{X}_1(g) \mathcal{X}_2(g) \overline{\mathcal{X}_3(g)} \quad (1.1.1)$$

Although the character table of  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$  is known for a long time, it is not easy to extract general information from Formula (1.1.1) above, due to the inductive description of the values of the characters.

*Example 1.1.1.* Recall that the *unipotent* characters of  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ , which are the "building blocks" of the character table, are in bijection with the irreducible representations of  $S_n$  and so with the partitions of  $n$ .

For a partition  $\mu$ , we denote by  $\chi_\mu$  the associated character of  $S_n$  and by  $\mathcal{X}_\mu$  the associated unipotent character of  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$  (in our parametrization, we associate to the partition  $(n)$  the trivial character 1).

From Formula (1.1.1), it is nearly impossible to obtain directly a combinatorial description of the set  $\{(\lambda, \mu, \nu) \in \mathcal{P}_n \mid \langle \mathcal{X}_\lambda \otimes \mathcal{X}_\mu, \mathcal{X}_\nu \rangle \neq 0\}$ , where  $\mathcal{P}_n$  is the set of the partitions of  $n$ .

Already for  $S_n$ , the problem of giving a combinatorial criterion for the non-vanishing of the *Kronecker coefficients*  $g'_{\lambda, \mu} := \langle \chi_\mu \otimes \chi_\lambda, \chi_\nu \rangle$ , is still open and is a very active area of research. Interestingly, the two problems were shown to be related by Letellier [20]: in particular, Letellier [20, Proposition 1.2.4], showed that if  $g'_{\lambda, \mu} \neq 0$  then  $\langle \mathcal{X}_\lambda \otimes \mathcal{X}_\mu, \mathcal{X}_\nu \rangle \neq 0$  too.

Recall that the multiplicity  $\langle \mathcal{X}_1 \otimes \mathcal{X}_2, \mathcal{X}_3 \rangle$  is equal to  $\langle \mathcal{X}_1 \otimes \mathcal{X}_2 \otimes \mathcal{X}_3^*, 1 \rangle$  where  $\mathcal{X}_3^*$  is the dual character of  $\mathcal{X}_3$ . One of the aims of this paper is to contribute to the study of the multiplicities  $\langle \mathcal{X}_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{X}_k, 1 \rangle$  for any  $k$ -tuple of irreducible characters  $(\mathcal{X}_1, \dots, \mathcal{X}_k)$ .

The understanding of these quantities is still an open problem in general but substantial progress were made recently.

The first cases studied in the literature concerned  $k$ -tuples  $(\mathcal{X}_1, \dots, \mathcal{X}_k)$  where each  $\mathcal{X}_i$  is an unipotent character. Hiss, Lübeck and Mattig [12] computed, for example, the multiplicities  $\langle \mathcal{X}_1 \otimes \mathcal{X}_2 \otimes \mathcal{X}_3, 1 \rangle$  for unipotent characters  $\mathcal{X}_1, \mathcal{X}_2, \mathcal{X}_3$  and  $n \leq 8$  using CHEVIE. They noticed that these quantities are polynomials in  $q$ , with positive coefficients. Lusztig studied multiplicities for unipotent character sheaves of  $\mathrm{PGL}_2$  [24].

The first general results were obtained in the papers [9, Theorem 1.4.1],[10, Theorem 3.2.7] by Hausel, Letellier, Rodriguez-Villegas and were later generalised by Letellier [19, Theorem 6.10.1, Theorem 7.4.1],[20, Theorem 3.3.1, Proposition 3.4.1].

The authors [9],[10],[19] restricted themselves to a certain class of  $k$ -tuples  $(\mathcal{X}_1, \dots, \mathcal{X}_k)$ , called *generic* (see Definition 4.4.1). Notice that a  $k$ -tuple of unipotent characters is never generic. For generic  $k$ -tuples, the calculations of Formula (1.1.1) simplify and we can deduce a general combinatorial formula for the multiplicity  $\langle \mathcal{X}_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{X}_k, 1 \rangle$ , involving symmetric functions (see [19, Theorem 6.10.1]).

The generic case is particularly interesting due to the surprising connection with quiver representations and Kac polynomials. In [10, Corollary 3.4.2][19, Theorem 7.4.5], the authors found, for example, a criterion for the non-vanishing of the multiplicity  $\langle \mathcal{X}_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{X}_k, 1 \rangle$  for generic  $k$ -tuples in terms of the root system of a certain star-shaped quiver.

In the same papers [9, Theorem 6.2.1],[19, Theorem 7.4.1], it is given also a geometric interpretation of the quantities  $\langle \mathcal{X}_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{X}_k, 1 \rangle$  in terms of the cohomology of certain quiver varieties.

In this paper we are interested in the study of the multiplicities  $\langle \mathcal{X}_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{X}_k, 1 \rangle$  by dropping the genericity constraint. We will mainly focus on  $k$ -tuples  $(\mathcal{X}_1, \dots, \mathcal{X}_k)$  where each  $\mathcal{X}_i$  is a semisimple (split) character (see §1.3).

## 1.2 Generalities on quivers and Kac polynomials

Let  $\Gamma = (J, \Omega)$  be a (finite) quiver, where  $J$  is its set of vertices and  $\Omega$  its set of arrows. In [14], for each dimension vector  $\beta \in \mathbb{N}^J$ , Kac introduced a polynomial with integer coefficients  $a_{\Gamma, \beta}(t)$ , called *Kac polynomial*, defined by the fact that  $a_{\Gamma, \beta}(q)$  counts the number of isomorphism classes of *absolutely indecomposable* representations of  $\Gamma$  (see Definition 2.2.3) of dimension  $\beta$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , for any  $q$ .

Kac showed that  $a_{\Gamma, \beta}(t)$  is non-zero if and only if  $\beta$  is a root of  $Q$  and conjectured that it has non-negative coefficients.

The latter conjecture was first proved by Crawley-Boevey and Van der Bergh [2] in the case of indivisible  $\beta$  (i.e  $\gcd(\beta_j)_{j \in J} = 1$ ) and later for any  $\beta$  by Hausel, Letellier, Rodriguez-Villegas in [11]. In both cases, the authors obtained the non-negativity property by giving a description of the coefficients in terms of the cohomology of certain quiver varieties.

For instance, if  $\beta$  is indivisible, in [2, End of Proof 2.4], it is shown, that there is an equality

$$P_c(\mathcal{Q}, t) = t^{d_{\mathcal{Q}}} a_{\mathcal{Q}, \alpha}(t^2),$$

for a certain quiver variety  $\mathcal{Q}$ , where  $P_c(\mathcal{Q}, t)$  is the compactly supported Poincaré polynomial of  $\mathcal{Q}$  and  $d_{\mathcal{Q}}$  is the dimension of  $\mathcal{Q}$ .


Kac polynomials enjoy other remarkable properties. For instance, they are related to the representation theory of Kac-Moody Lie algebras (see [14]) and they appear in the theory of Donaldson-Thomas invariants of the quiver  $\Gamma$  (see [28],[16]).

### 1.3 Quivers and characters

Let  $L$  be the Levi subgroup  $L = \mathrm{GL}_{m_1}(\mathbb{F}_q) \times \cdots \times \mathrm{GL}_{m_s}(\mathbb{F}_q)$  embedded block diagonally in  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ , where  $m_1, \dots, m_s$  are nonnegative integers such that  $m_1 + \cdots + m_s = n$ . Fix a linear character  $\gamma : L \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$  given by  $\gamma(M_1, \dots, M_s) = \gamma_1(\det(M_1)) \cdots \gamma_s(\det(M_s))$  for  $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_s \in \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{F}_q^*, \mathbb{C}^*)$ .

We denote by  $R_L^G(\gamma)$  the Harisha-Chandra induced character of  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ . Recall that if  $\gamma_i \neq \gamma_j$  for each  $i \neq j$  the character  $R_L^G(\gamma)$  is irreducible. The irreducible characters of this form are called *semisimple split* (see §4.3 for more details).

Consider now a  $k$ -tuple of semisimple split characters  $(R_{L_1}^G(\delta_1), \dots, R_{L_k}^G(\delta_k))$ , where, for  $i = 1, \dots, k$ , we have  $L_i = \mathrm{GL}_{m_{i,1}}(\mathbb{F}_q) \times \cdots \times \mathrm{GL}_{m_{i,s_i}}(\mathbb{F}_q)$  and  $\delta_i(M_1, \dots, M_{s_i}) = \delta_{i,1}(\det(M_1)) \cdots \delta_{i,s_i}(\det(M_{s_i}))$ . To such a  $k$ -tuple we associate the following star-shaped quiver  $Q$ .



Let  $I$  be the set of vertices of  $Q$  and let  $\alpha$  be the dimension vector  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^I$  defined as  $\alpha_0 = n$  and  $\alpha_{[i,j]} = n - \sum_{h=1}^j m_{i,h}$ . Notice that the quiver  $Q$  and the vector  $\alpha$  depend only on the Levi subgroups  $L_1, \dots, L_k$  and not on the characters  $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_k$ .

In [10, Theorem 3.2.7] Hausel, Letellier, Rodriguez-Villegas showed that if the  $\delta_i$ 's are chosen so that  $(R_{L_i}^G(\delta_i))_{i=1}^k$  is generic (such a choice is always possible if  $q$  is big enough), there is an equality:

$$\langle R_{L_1}^G(\delta_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes R_{L_k}^G(\delta_k), 1 \rangle = a_{Q,\alpha}(q). \quad (1.3.1)$$

Notice that the Formula (1.3.1) implies that, as long as the choice of the  $\delta_i$ 's is generic, the multiplicity  $\langle R_{L_1}^G(\delta_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes R_{L_k}^G(\delta_k), 1 \rangle$  does not depend on the characters  $\delta_i$ 's: this is not clear a priori from the Formula (1.1.1). As a consequence of Formula (1.3.1), the multiplicity  $\langle R_{L_1}^G(\delta_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes R_{L_k}^G(\delta_k), 1 \rangle$  is non-zero if and only if  $\alpha \in \Phi^+(Q)$ .

The aim of this paper is to generalize these results to any  $k$ -tuple  $(R_{L_i}^G(\delta_i))_{i=1}^k$ . The main results of this paper will remain valid without assuming that the characters  $R_{L_1}^G(\delta_1), \dots, R_{L_k}^G(\delta_k)$  are irreducible.

To study the non-generic case, we will begin by defining a stratification both on the set of  $k$ -tuples of semisimple split characters  $(R_{L_1}^G(\gamma_1), \dots, R_{L_k}^G(\gamma_k))$  and on the set of representations of  $Q$  of dimension  $\alpha$ , indexed by subsets  $V \subseteq \mathbb{N}^I$ .

The level of the stratification associated to  $V = \{\alpha\}$  will correspond to the case of generic  $k$ -tuples/absolutely indecomposable representations respectively.

Consider more generally any finite quiver  $\Gamma = (J, \Omega)$ . To a representation  $M$  of  $\Gamma$ , we associate the following subset  $\mathcal{H}_M \subseteq \mathbb{N}^I$ . Given the decomposition into indecomposable components

$$M \otimes_K \overline{K} \cong M_1 \oplus \dots \oplus M_h,$$

we define

$$\mathcal{H}_M := \{0 < \beta \leq \dim M \mid \exists 1 \leq j \leq h \text{ s.t } \beta = \dim M_j\}.$$

For any  $V \subseteq \mathbb{N}^J$ , we give the following definition of the representations of  $\Gamma$  of level  $V$  (see 3.1.2).

**Definition 1.3.1.** A representation  $M$  of  $\Gamma$  is said to be of level  $V$  if  $\mathcal{H}_M = \{0 < \beta \leq \dim M \mid \beta \in V\}$ .

Notice that, for  $v \in \mathbb{N}^J$ , a representation of dimension  $v$  is of level  $\{v\}$  if and only if it is absolutely indecomposable. In particular, the number of isomorphism classes of representations of level  $\{v\}$  and dimension  $v$  over finite fields is counted by the Kac polynomial  $a_{\Gamma, v}(t)$ .

However, for a general  $V \subseteq \mathbb{N}^J$ , the counting of the isomorphism classes of the representations of  $\Gamma$  of prescribed dimension and of level  $V$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  does not seem to give an interesting generalization of Kac polynomials. In this direction, to obtain such a generalization, we introduce the following definition of a representation of level at most  $V$  (see Definition 3.1.4).

**Definition 1.3.2.** For a subset  $V \subseteq \mathbb{N}^J$ , a representation  $M$  is said to be of level at most  $V$  if it is of level  $V'$  for some  $V' \subseteq V$ , i.e if and only if  $\mathcal{H}_M \subseteq V$ .

For any  $\beta \in \mathbb{N}^J$  and any  $V \subseteq \mathbb{N}^J$ , we show that the number of isomorphism classes of representations of  $\Gamma$  of level at most  $V$  of dimension  $\beta$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  is equal to the evaluation of a polynomial  $M_{\Gamma, \beta, V}(t) \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$  at  $t = q$ .

Moreover, we prove a formula for the generating function of the polynomials  $M_{\Gamma, \beta, V}(t)$  of the following type (see Lemma 3.1.10):

$$\text{Exp} \left( \sum_{\gamma \in V} a_{\Gamma, \gamma}(t) y^\gamma \right) = \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{N}^I} M_{\Gamma, \beta, V}(t) y^\beta. \quad (1.3.2)$$

where  $\text{Exp}$  is the plethystic exponential.

Consider now the quiver  $Q$  and the dimension vector  $\alpha$  introduced above. Let  $(\mathbb{N}^I)^* \subseteq \mathbb{N}^I$  be the subset of vectors with non-increasing coordinates along the legs.

In §4.5.1, to any  $k$ -tuple  $\mathcal{X} = (R_{L_1}^G(\delta_1), \dots, R_{L_k}^G(\delta_k))$  we associate an element  $\sigma_{\mathcal{X}} \in \text{Hom}(\mathbb{F}_q^*, \mathbb{C}^*)^I$  (see Formula (4.5.2)). Let  $\mathcal{H}_{\sigma_{\mathcal{X}}, \alpha}^* \subseteq (\mathbb{N}^I)^*$  be the subset defined by

$$\mathcal{H}_{\sigma_{\mathcal{X}}, \alpha}^* := \{0 < \beta \leq \alpha \mid \sigma_{\mathcal{X}}^\beta = 1\}$$

where  $\sigma_{\mathcal{X}}^\beta := \prod_{i \in I} (\sigma_{\mathcal{X}})_i^{\beta_i}$ . For any  $V \subseteq (\mathbb{N}^I)^*$ , we give the following definition of a  $k$ -tuple  $(R_{L_i}^G(\delta_i))_{i=1}^k$  of level  $V$  (see 4.5.2).

**Definition 1.3.3.** A  $k$ -tuple  $\mathcal{X} = (R_{L_1}^G(\delta_1), \dots, R_{L_k}^G(\delta_k))$  is said to be of level  $V$  if  $\mathcal{H}_{\sigma_{\mathcal{X}}, \alpha}^* = \{0 < \beta \leq \alpha \mid \beta \in V\}$ .

For  $V = \{\alpha\}$ , we show that a  $k$ -tuple  $(R_{L_1}^G(\delta_1), \dots, R_{L_k}^G(\delta_k))$  is of level  $\{\alpha\}$  if and only if it is generic (see Proposition 4.5.5).

The main result of this paper extends Formula (1.3.1) by relying the multiplicity for  $k$ -tuples of level  $V$  and representations of level at most  $V$  in the following way.

**Theorem 1.3.4.** *Let  $V \subseteq (\mathbb{N}^I)^*$  and  $\mathcal{X} = (R_{L_1}^G(\delta_1), \dots, R_{L_k}^G(\delta_k))$  be a  $k$ -tuple of level  $V$ . The following equality holds:*

$$\langle R_{L_1}^G(\delta_1) \otimes \dots \otimes R_{L_k}^G(\delta_k), 1 \rangle = M_{Q, \alpha, V}(q) \quad (1.3.3)$$

From Theorem 1.3.4 and Formula (1.3.2), in Proposition 4.5.11 we obtain the following criterion for the non-vanishing of the multiplicity  $\langle R_{L_1}^G(\delta_1) \otimes \dots \otimes R_{L_k}^G(\delta_k), 1 \rangle$ , generalizing the criterion for generic  $k$ -tuples of [10, Corollary 1.4.2].

**Proposition 1.3.5.** *For a  $k$ -tuple  $(R_{L_1}^G(\delta_1), \dots, R_{L_k}^G(\delta_k))$  of level  $V$ , the multiplicity*

$$\langle R_{L_1}^G(\delta_1) \otimes \dots \otimes R_{L_k}^G(\delta_k), 1 \rangle$$

*is non-zero if and only there exist*

- $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_r \in \Phi^+(Q) \cap V$
- $m_1, \dots, m_r \in \mathbb{N}$

*such that  $m_1\beta_1 + \dots + m_r\beta_r = \alpha$*

*Remark 1.3.6.* One of the interesting consequence of Theorem 1.3.4 and Formula (1.3.2) is that they provide a way to express the multiplicity  $\langle R_{L_1}^G(\delta_1) \otimes \dots \otimes R_{L_k}^G(\delta_k), 1 \rangle$  for any semisimple split  $k$ -tuple  $(R_{L_1}^G(\delta_1), \dots, R_{L_k}^G(\delta_k))$  in terms of the multiplicities for certain *generic* ones, for which the articles [9], [10], [19] provide a thorough description.

The same kind of result, i.e finding a way to express what happens for the non-generic cases in terms of the generic ones, has already appeared in different works related to this subject.

Davison [3, Theorem B], for example, recently showed that the cohomology of non-generic quiver stacks can be expressed in terms of Kac polynomials.

In [20, Proposition 1.2.1], Letellier proved a formula which expresses the multiplicities  $\langle \mathcal{X}_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{X}_k, 1 \rangle$  for  $k$ -tuples of *unipotent* characters  $(\mathcal{X}_1, \dots, \mathcal{X}_k)$  in terms of the multiplicities for generic  $k$ -tuples of twisted unipotent characters.

*Remark 1.3.7.* Let  $g \in \mathbb{N}$  and let  $\Lambda$  be the character of  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$  obtained by its conjugation action on  $\mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)^g$ . Theorem 4.5.6 shows more generally that, for a  $k$ -tuple  $(R_{L_i}^G(\gamma_i))_{i=1}^k$  of level  $V$ , there is an equality  $\langle \Lambda \otimes R_{L_1}^G(\gamma_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes R_{L_k}^G(\gamma_k), 1 \rangle = M_{Q', \alpha, V}(q)$  where  $Q'$  is the quiver obtained from  $Q$  by adding  $g$  loops at the central vertex 0. Equation (1.3.3) is thus the  $g = 0$  case of this result.

The presence of the character  $\Lambda$  seems not very relevant from the point of view of the representation theory of  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ . This generalization is however interesting from the point of view of quiver representations and for the relationship of the formula for the multiplicity  $\langle \Lambda \otimes R_{L_1}^G(\gamma_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes R_{L_k}^G(\gamma_k), 1 \rangle$  to those computing the E-polynomials of character varieties for a Riemann surface of genus  $g$  (see for example [9, Theorem 5.2.1, Theorem 6.1.1])

## 1.4 Final questions

### 1.4.1 Geometric interpretation for representations of level $V$

Consider a finite quiver  $\Gamma = (J, \Omega)$  and a dimension vector  $\eta \in \mathbb{N}^J$ . Notice that for  $V = \{\eta\}$ , there is an equality  $M_{\Gamma, \eta, \{\eta\}}(t) = a_{\Gamma, \eta}(t)$ . In this case  $M_{\Gamma, \eta, V}(t)$  has thus non-negative coefficients which have an interpretation in terms of the cohomology of quiver varieties.

From Formula (1.3.2) and the positivity of Kac polynomials, in Proposition 3.1.12 we manage to show that, for any  $V \subseteq \mathbb{N}^I, \eta \in \mathbb{N}^I$ , the polynomial  $M_{\Gamma, \eta, V}(t)$  has nonnegative integer coefficients. It would be interesting to look for a geometric interpretation of the coefficients of  $M_{\Gamma, \eta, V}(t)$  for any  $V$ .

However, it seems that there is no immediate way to relate the polynomial  $M_{\Gamma, \eta, V}(t)$  to the geometry of quiver varieties for a general  $V$ . For instance, the argument used by Crawley-Boevey and Van der Bergh [2, Proposition 2.2.1] to link absolutely indecomposable representations to the cohomology of quiver varieties cannot be extended to an arbitrary level  $V$ .

### 1.4.2 Multiplicity for a general $k$ -tuple of characters

It remains an open question to obtain a full understanding of the multiplicity  $\langle \mathcal{X}_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{X}_k, 1 \rangle$  for an arbitrary  $k$ -tuple  $\mathcal{X} = (\mathcal{X}_1, \dots, \mathcal{X}_k)$  of not necessarily split semisimple irreducible characters.

However, we do not expect that it would be possible to obtain an immediate generalization of Formula (1.3.3) or a direct interpretation of the quantity  $\langle \mathcal{X}_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{X}_k, 1 \rangle$  in terms of quiver representations.

In the generic case, Letellier [19, Theorem 6.10.1] found a formula giving a combinatorial expression for the multiplicity  $\langle \mathcal{X}_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{X}_k, 1 \rangle$  for any generic  $k$ -tuple  $\mathcal{X}$ . His formula has



an interpretation in terms of the cohomology of a certain quiver variety (see [21]). However, Letellier's result does not provide a straightforward way to relate the multiplicity  $\langle \mathcal{X}_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{X}_k, 1 \rangle$  to the counting of absolutely indecomposable representations of star-shaped quivers.

**Acknowledgements.** I would like to warmly thank Emmanuel Letellier for bringing this subject to my attention and many useful suggestion.

## 2 Preliminaries

### 2.1 Plethystic operations

Let  $I$  be a finite set and let  $R$  be the ring of power series in  $|I|$ -variables, with coefficients in  $\mathbb{Q}(t)$  i.e  $R := \mathbb{Q}(t)[[y_i]]_{i \in I}$ . For  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^I$ , we will denote by  $y^\alpha$  the monomial  $\prod_{i \in I} y_i^{\alpha_i}$  and we will

denote by  $R^+ \subseteq R$  the ideal generated by the  $y_i$ 's for  $i \in I$ .

The ring  $R$  is endowed with a natural  $\lambda$ -ring structure (for basic constructions and definition about  $\lambda$ -rings see for example [7]), given by the following Adam operations

$$\psi_d(f(t, y)) := f(t^d, y^d).$$

We define the *plethystic exponential*  $\text{Exp} : R^+ \rightarrow 1 + R^+$  by the following rule

$$\text{Exp}(f) := \exp \left( \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\psi_n(f)}{n} \right). \quad (2.1.1)$$

Notice that for  $A, B \in R^+$  we have  $\text{Exp}(A + B) = \text{Exp}(A) \text{Exp}(B)$ .

*Example 2.1.1.* If  $R = \mathbb{Q}(t)[[T]]$  (i.e  $|I| = 1$ ), we have:

$$\text{Exp}(T) = \exp \left( \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{T^n}{n} \right) = \exp \left( \log \left( \frac{1}{1-T} \right) \right) = \frac{1}{1-T} \quad (2.1.2)$$

The plethystic exponential admits an inverse operation  $\text{Log} : 1 + R^+ \rightarrow R^+$  known as *plethystic logarithm*. The plethystic logarithm can either be defined by the property  $\text{Log Exp}(f) = f$  or by the following explicit rule. For  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^I$  we put

$$\bar{\alpha} := \gcd(\alpha_i)_{i \in I}$$

and we define  $U_\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}(t)$  by:

$$\log(f) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^I} \frac{U_\alpha}{\bar{\alpha}} y^\alpha. \quad (2.1.3)$$

Then put

$$\text{Log}(f) := \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^I} V_\alpha y^\alpha \quad (2.1.4)$$

where

$$V_\alpha := \frac{1}{\alpha} \sum_{d|\bar{\alpha}} \mu(d) U_{\frac{\alpha}{d}}(t^d) \quad (2.1.5)$$

Notice that for  $A, B \in 1 + R^+$ , the following equality holds  $\text{Log}(AB) = \text{Log}(A) + \text{Log}(B)$ .

The plethystic exponential is well defined over the subring  $R_{\mathbb{Z}} := \mathbb{Z}(t)[[y_i]_{i \in I}]$  i.e:

**Lemma 2.1.2.** *Given  $f \in \mathbb{Z}(t)[[y_i]_{i \in I}^+]$  we have  $\text{Exp}(f) \in 1 + \mathbb{Z}(t)[[y_i]_{i \in I}^+]$*

We recall also the useful lemma below (for a proof see [27, Lemma 22]). For  $g \in R$  let

$$g_n := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{s|n} \mu\left(\frac{n}{s}\right) \psi_s(g).$$

**Lemma 2.1.3.** *Given  $f_1, f_2 \in 1 + R^+$  such that*

$$\log(f_1) = \sum_{d \geq 1} g_d \log(\psi_d(f_2)) \quad (2.1.6)$$

*the following equality holds*

$$\text{Log}(f_1) = g \text{Log}(f_2). \quad (2.1.7)$$

## 2.2 Quiver representations

A quiver  $Q$  is an oriented graph  $Q = (I, \Omega)$ , where  $I$  is its set of vertices and  $\Omega$  is its set of arrows. We will always assume that  $I, \Omega$  are finite sets. For an arrow  $a : i \rightarrow j$  in  $\Omega$  we denote by  $i = t(a)$  its *tail* and by  $j = h(a)$  its *head*. Fix a field  $K$ . A representation  $M$  of  $Q$  over  $K$  is given by a (finite dimensional)  $K$ -vector space  $V_i$  for each vertex  $i \in I$  and by linear maps  $M_a : M_{t(a)} \rightarrow M_{h(a)}$  for each  $a \in \Omega$ .

Given two representations  $M, M'$  of  $Q$ , a morphism  $f : M \rightarrow M'$  is given by a collection of linear maps  $f_i : M_i \rightarrow M'_i$  such that, for all  $a \in \Omega$ , the following equality holds:  $f_{h(a)} M_a = M'_a f_{t(a)}$ . The category of representations of  $Q$  over  $K$  is denoted by  $\text{Rep}_K(Q)$ . For a representation  $M$ , the *dimension vector*  $\dim M \in \mathbb{N}^I$  is the vector  $\dim M := (\dim M_i)_{i \in I}$ . It is an isomorphism invariant of the category  $\text{Rep}_K(Q)$ .

For a representation  $M$  of dimension  $\alpha$ , up to fixing a basis of the vector spaces  $M_i$  for each  $i \in I$ , we can assume that  $M_i = K^{\alpha_i}$ . For  $a \in \Omega$ , the linear map  $M_a : K^{t(a)} \rightarrow K^{h(a)}$  can be therefore identified with a matrix in  $\text{Mat}(\alpha_{h(a)}, \alpha_{t(a)}, K)$ .

Consider then the affine space

$$R(Q, \alpha) := \bigoplus_{a \in \Omega} \text{Mat}(\alpha_{h(a)}, \alpha_{t(a)}, K).$$

We can endow  $R(Q, \alpha)$  with the action of the group  $\text{GL}_\alpha = \prod_{i \in I} \text{GL}_{\alpha_i}$  defined by

$$g \cdot (M_a)_{a \in \Omega} = (g_{h(a)} M_a g_{t(a)}^{-1})_{a \in \Omega}.$$

The orbits of this action are exactly the isomorphism classes of representations of  $Q$  of  $\dim = \alpha$ .

We briefly recall also the definition of the *moment map* of  $Q$ . Denote by  $\overline{Q}$  the double quiver  $\overline{Q} = (I, \overline{\Omega})$  with the same vertices of  $Q$  and as set of arrows  $\overline{\Omega} = \{a, a^* \mid a \in \Omega\}$  where  $a^* : j \rightarrow i$  for  $a : i \rightarrow j$ . For  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^I$ , the moment map  $\mu_\alpha$  is the morphism

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_\alpha : R(\overline{Q}, \alpha) &\rightarrow \text{End}_0(\alpha) \\ (x_a, x_{a^*})_{a \in \Omega} &\rightarrow \sum_{a \in \Omega} [x_a, x_{a^*}] \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\text{End}_0(\alpha) = \{(M_i) \in \text{End}(\alpha) \mid \sum_{i \in I} \text{tr}(M_i) = 0\}.$$

Given  $\lambda \in K^I$  such that  $\lambda \cdot \alpha = 0$ , the element  $(\lambda_i I_{\alpha_i})_{i \in I}$  (which we still denote by  $\lambda$ ) is a central element of  $\text{End}_0(\alpha)$  and the fiber  $\mu_\alpha^{-1}(\lambda)$  is  $\text{GL}_\alpha$  invariant. We denote by  $\mathcal{Q}_{\lambda, \alpha}$  the quiver variety associated to  $\lambda$ , which is defined as the GIT quotient

$$\mathcal{Q}_{\lambda, \alpha} := \mu_\alpha^{-1}(\lambda) // \text{GL}_\alpha.$$

The quiver stack  $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda, \alpha}$  is defined as the quotient stack

$$\mathcal{M}_{\lambda, \alpha} := [\mu_\alpha^{-1}(\lambda) / \text{GL}_\alpha].$$

### 2.2.1 Krull-Schmidt decomposition and endomorphism rings

The category  $\text{Rep}_K(Q)$  is abelian and Krull-Schmidt, i.e each object admits a decomposition into a direct sum of indecomposable ones and such a decomposition is unique up to permuting the factors. Recall that a representation  $M$  is indecomposable if and only if  $\text{End}(M)$  is a local algebra.

Its maximal ideal is denoted by  $\text{Rad}(M) \subseteq \text{End}(M)$ . It is the set of nilpotent endomorphisms of  $M$ . The quotient  $\text{End}(M) / \text{Rad}(M)$  is thus a division algebra, which is usually denoted by  $\text{top}(M)$ .

More generally, given two representations  $M, N$  it is possible to define a subset  $\text{Rad}(M, N)$  of  $\text{Hom}(M, N)$  as

$$\text{Rad}(M, N) = \{g \in \text{Hom}(M, N) \mid 1 + gf \in \text{Aut}(N), \forall f \in \text{Hom}(N, M)\}.$$

If  $M = N$  and  $M$  is indecomposable,  $\text{Rad}(M) = \text{Rad}(M, M)$ . In general,  $\text{Rad}(N, N)$  is still an ideal of  $\text{End}(N)$  and if  $M, N$  are non-isomorphic indecomposable representations,  $\text{Rad}(M, N) = \text{Hom}(M, N)$ . The radical is additive i.e  $\text{Rad}(M \oplus M', N) = \text{Rad}(M, N) \oplus \text{Rad}(M', N)$ . The following proposition holds (see [6, Section 3.2]):

**Proposition 2.2.1.** *Given a representation  $X$  of  $Q$  and an endomorphism  $\varphi \in \text{End}(X)$ ,  $\varphi$  is invertible if and only if its class  $\overline{\varphi}$  in  $\text{End}(X) / \text{Rad}(X)$  is invertible.*

*Remark 2.2.2.* Fix a representation  $X$  and its Krull-Schmidt decomposition  $X = \bigoplus_{j \in J} X_j^{r_j}$ . For an endomorphism  $\varphi \in \text{End}(X)$ , we denote by  $\varphi_j$  the associated element in  $\text{End}(X_j^{r_j})$  and by  $\overline{\varphi}_j$  the associated element in  $\text{End}(X_j^{r_j})/\text{Rad}(X_j^{r_j}) \cong \text{Mat}(r_j, \text{top}(X_j))$ . As  $\text{Rad}(X_i, X_j) = \text{Hom}(X_i, X_j)$  for every  $i \neq j$ , the following isomorphism of  $K$ -algebras holds:

$$\text{End}(X)/\text{Rad}(X) \cong \bigoplus_{j \in J} \text{Mat}(r_j, \text{top}(X_j)). \quad (2.2.1)$$

$$\varphi \longrightarrow (\overline{\varphi}_j)_{j \in J} \quad (2.2.2)$$

Proposition 2.2.1 can therefore be rephrased as:  $\varphi$  is an isomorphism if and only if  $\overline{\varphi}_j$  is invertible for each  $j \in J$ .

### 2.2.2 Indecomposable over finite fields and Kac polynomials

In this paragraph, unless explicitly specified, we assume  $K = \mathbb{F}_q$ . The Wedderburn's theorem implies that every finite dimensional division algebra over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  is a finite field. For an indecomposable representation  $M \in \text{Rep}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(Q)$ , we have therefore  $\text{top}(M) = \mathbb{F}_{q^d}$  for some  $d \geq 1$ .

Fix a representation  $X$  with Krull-Schmidt's decomposition  $X = \bigoplus_{j \in J} X_j^{r_j}$  and integers  $d_j$  such that  $\text{top}(X_j) = \mathbb{F}_{q^{d_j}}$  for each  $j \in J$ . From Remark 2.2.2, there is a morphism of finite groups

$$p_X : \text{Aut}(X) \longrightarrow \prod_{j \in J} \text{GL}_{r_j}(\mathbb{F}_{q^{d_j}}) \quad (2.2.3)$$

$$\varphi \longrightarrow (\overline{\varphi})_{j \in J}. \quad (2.2.4)$$

and its kernel  $\text{Ker}(p_X)$  is the subset  $U_X := \{1 + f \mid f \in \text{Rad}(X)\} \subseteq \text{Aut}(X)$ . In particular, all the elements inside  $\text{Ker}(p_X)$  are unipotent.

The integers  $d_j$  admit the following description in terms of *absolutely indecomposable* representations (see Definition 2.2.3 below).

**Definition 2.2.3.** A representation  $V$  over a field  $K$  is absolutely indecomposable if  $V \otimes_K \overline{K}$  is indecomposable.

To relate absolutely indecomposable and indecomposable representations over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , we introduce the action of the Frobenius. Denote by  $(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q)_{\text{Fr}^i}$  the set  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$  with the structure of  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$  vector space given by

$$\lambda \cdot v = \text{Fr}^i(\lambda)v.$$

Consider an  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$ -representation  $N$ . We define  $\text{Fr}^i(N)$  to be the representation  $N \otimes_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q} (\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q)_{\text{Fr}^i}$ . Over the parameter space  $R(Q, \alpha)(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q)$ , the Frobenius action corresponds to the usual (geometric) Frobenius, i.e for  $x \in R(Q, \alpha)(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q)$  the representation  $\text{Fr}^i(x)$  is given by the element  $F^i(x) \in R(Q, \alpha)(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q)$ , where  $F : R(Q, \alpha) \rightarrow R(Q, \alpha)$  raises each entry of each matrix to its  $q$ -th power.

Fix now an indecomposable representation  $M$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  and let  $d \in \mathbb{N}$  be such that  $\text{top}(M) = \mathbb{F}_{q^d}$ . In [14] it is shown that there exists an absolutely indecomposable representation  $W$  of  $Q$

over  $\mathbb{F}_{q^d}$  such that  $\dim(W) = \frac{\alpha}{d}$  and the following isomorphism holds :

$$M \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q} \mathbb{F}_{q^d} \cong \bigoplus_{i=0}^{d-1} \text{Fr}^i(W). \quad (2.2.5)$$

By a theorem of Kac (see [14, Theorem A]), there exists a polynomial with integer coefficients  $a_{Q,\alpha}(t) \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$  such that, for each  $q$ ,  $a_{Q,\alpha}(q)$  is equal to the number of isomorphism classes of absolutely indecomposable representations of  $Q$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  of dimension vector  $\alpha$ .

Thanks to the isomorphism (2.2.5), in [14, Section 1.14] it is shown that the number of isomorphism classes of indecomposable representations  $M$  such that  $\dim M = \alpha$  and  $\text{top}(M) = \mathbb{F}_{q^d}$  is given by

$$\frac{1}{d} \sum_{r|d} \mu\left(\frac{d}{r}\right) a_{Q,\frac{\alpha}{d}}(q^r) \quad (2.2.6)$$

Moreover, Kac [14, Theorem A, Theorem B] gave the following combinatorial characterization of the dimension vectors  $\alpha$  such that  $a_{Q,\alpha}(t)$  does not vanish. Let  $\Phi(Q) \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^I$  be the root system associated to  $Q$  as defined by Kac [14, Section (a)] and let  $\Phi(Q)^+ \subseteq \mathbb{N}^I \cap \Phi(Q)$  the subset of the positive roots of the former root system.

**Theorem 2.2.4.** *The Kac polynomial  $a_{Q,\alpha}(t) \neq 0$  if and only if  $\alpha \in \Phi(Q)^+$ . Moreover,  $a_{Q,\alpha}(t) \equiv 1$  if and only if  $\alpha$  is a real root (see [14, Section (a)] for a definition). Otherwise  $a_{Q,\alpha}(t)$  is monic of degree  $2 - \alpha^t C \alpha$*

Here  $C = (C_{i,j})_{i,j \in I}$  is the Cartan matrix of the quiver given by

$$C_{ij} = \begin{cases} 2 - 2(\text{the number of edges joining } i \text{ to itself}) & \text{if } i = j \\ -(\text{the number of edges joining } i \text{ to } j) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We end by recalling the Kac conjecture which was proved by Hausel, Letellier, Rodriguez-Villegas in [11, Corollary 1.5]

**Theorem 2.2.5.** *For any  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^I$ , the Kac polynomial  $a_{Q,\alpha}(t)$  has nonnegative integer coefficients.*

### 3 Quiver representations of level $V$

#### 3.1 Generating functions and plethystic identities

Let  $Q = (I, \Omega)$  be a finite quiver and let  $V$  be a subset of  $\mathbb{N}^I$ . For  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^I$  we denote by  $\mathbb{N}_{\leq \alpha}^I$  the subset  $\mathbb{N}_{\leq \alpha}^I := \{0 \leq \beta \leq \alpha \mid \beta \in \mathbb{N}^I\}$  and similarly  $V_{\leq \alpha} := \{0 \leq \beta \leq \alpha \mid \beta \in V\}$ .

*Example 3.1.1.* Given  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^I$ , we denote by  $V_\lambda$  the subset  $V_\lambda := \{\beta \in \mathbb{N}^I \mid \beta \cdot \lambda = 0\}$ , where  $\cdot$  is the canonical orthogonal product on  $\mathbb{N}^I$ . Notice that for  $\lambda = 0$  we have  $V_\lambda = \mathbb{N}^I$ .

To a representation  $X$  of dimension  $\alpha$ , we associate the following subset  $\mathcal{H}_X \subseteq \mathbb{N}_{\leq \alpha}^I$ . Given the decomposition of  $X \otimes_K \overline{K}$  into indecomposable components

$$X \otimes_K \overline{K} = \bigoplus_{j \in J} Y_j^{r_j},$$

we define

$$\mathcal{H}_X := \{0 < \beta \leq \alpha \mid \exists j \text{ s.t. } \beta = \dim Y_j\}. \quad (3.1.1)$$

For any  $V \subseteq \mathbb{N}^I$ , we give the following definition of the representations of the quiver  $Q$  of level  $V$ .

**Definition 3.1.2.** A representation  $X$  of dimension  $\alpha$  is said to be of level  $V$  if we have  $\mathcal{H}_X = V_{\leq \alpha}$ . For  $V = V_\lambda$  with  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^I$ , we say that  $X$  is of level  $\lambda$ .

*Example 3.1.3.* Let  $V = \{\alpha\}$  for a vector  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^I$ . A representation  $X \in R(Q, \alpha)$  is of level  $\{\alpha\}$  if and only if  $X \otimes_K \overline{K}$  is indecomposable, i.e if and only if  $X$  is absolutely indecomposable.

The notion of being of level  $V$  induces a stratification (indexed by the subsets of  $\mathbb{N}_{\leq \alpha}^I$ ) on the representations of  $Q$  of dimension  $\alpha$ . As on the set of subsets of  $\mathbb{N}_{\leq \alpha}^I$  there is a natural order relation, induced by inclusion, we can also consider the filtration associated to such a stratification. In particular, we give the following definition of a representation of level at most  $V$ .

**Definition 3.1.4.** For a subset  $V \subseteq \mathbb{N}^I$ , a representation  $X$  is said to be of level at most  $V$  if it is of level  $V'$  for some  $V' \subseteq V$ . For  $V = V_\lambda$  we say that a representation is of level at most  $\lambda$ .

*Remark 3.1.5.* Notice that a representation  $X$  of dimension  $\alpha$  is of level at most  $V$  if and only if  $\mathcal{H}_X \subseteq V_{\leq \alpha}$ . In particular, for  $V = \mathbb{N}^I$ , any representation of  $Q$  is of level at most  $\mathbb{N}^I$ .

If  $K = \mathbb{F}_q$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^I$ , the Definition 3.1.4 for representations of level at most  $\lambda$  is equivalent to the following one:

**Definition 3.1.6.** A representation  $X$  is of level at most  $\lambda$  if given its Krull-Schmidt decomposition  $X = \bigoplus_{j \in J} X_j^{r_j}$  we have  $\dim X_j \cdot \lambda = 0$  for each  $j \in J$ .

*Proof.* Given the Krull-Schmidt decomposition  $X = \bigoplus_{j \in J} X_j^{r_j}$ , for each  $j \in J$  there is an isomorphism  $X_j \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q} \overline{\mathbb{F}_q} = Y_j \oplus F(Y_j) \oplus \cdots \oplus F^{d_j-1}(Y_j)$  where  $\text{top}(X_j) = \mathbb{F}_{q^{d_j}}$ . The decomposition in indecomposable factors of  $X \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q} \overline{\mathbb{F}_q}$  is thus

$$X \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q} \overline{\mathbb{F}_q} = \bigoplus_{j \in J} (Y_j \oplus F(Y_j) \oplus \cdots \oplus F^{d_j-1}(Y_j))$$

with  $\dim Y_j = \frac{\dim x_j}{d_j}$ . We have then  $\dim Y_j \cdot \lambda = 0$  if and only if  $\dim X_j \cdot \lambda = 0$ , for each  $j \in J$ .  $\square$

For  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^I$ , the representations of  $Q$  of level at most  $V$  form a constructible subset of  $R(Q, \alpha)$ , as explained by the following proposition:

**Proposition 3.1.7.** *There exists a constructible subset  $R(Q, \alpha, V) \subseteq R(Q, \alpha)$  such that for each extension  $K \subseteq L$ , the set of  $L$ -points  $R(Q, \alpha, V)(L)$  is the subset of representations of level at most  $V$  of  $Q$  over  $L$ . If  $V = V_\lambda$  for  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^I$ , we denote  $R(Q, \alpha, V_\lambda)$  by  $R(Q, \alpha, \lambda)$ .*

*Proof.* in [14, Section 1,8], Kac showed that, for any  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^I$ , the absolutely indecomposable representations of dimension vector  $\alpha$  form a constructible subset  $A(Q, \alpha)$  of  $R(Q, \alpha)$ , defined over  $K$ . Let  $\Psi_{V, \alpha}$  be the variety defined by

$$\Psi_{V, \alpha} = \bigoplus_{\substack{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r \in V \\ \text{s.t. } \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_r = \alpha}} A(Q, \alpha_1) \times \dots \times A(Q, \alpha_r).$$

Consider the morphism  $\Phi_{V, \alpha} : \text{GL}_\alpha \times \Psi_{V, \alpha}$  defined by  $\Phi_{V, \alpha}(g, M_1, \dots, M_r) = g \cdot (M_1 \oplus \dots \oplus M_r)$ . for  $M_1 \in A(Q, \alpha_1), \dots, M_r \in A(Q, \alpha_r)$  and  $\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_r = \alpha$ . We define  $R(Q, \alpha, V)$  to be the image of  $\Phi_{V, \alpha}$ , which is a constructible subset by Chevalley's theorem. □

*Example 3.1.8.* Consider the case where  $Q$  is the Jordan quiver (the quiver with one vertex and one arrow), i.e.  $|I| = |\Omega| = 1$ . For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and a field  $K$ , the representation space  $R(Q, n)$  is given by the  $n \times n$  matrices  $\text{Mat}(n, K)$ . The isomorphism classes of  $R(Q, n)$  correspond to the conjugacy classes of  $\text{Mat}(n, K)$ .

If  $\overline{K} = K$ , the indecomposable representations correspond to matrices conjugated to a single Jordan block and the decomposition into indecomposable components of a representation  $M \in \text{Mat}(n, K)$  corresponds to the writing of  $M$  into its Jordan form.

Consider now the subset  $V = \{1\} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ . Notice that in this case, a representation is of level  $\{1\}$  if and only if is of level at most  $\{1\}$ .

A matrix  $M \in \text{Mat}(n, K)$  is of level  $\{1\}$  if and only if its Jordan form over  $\overline{K}$  has only blocks of size 1, i.e if and only if  $M$  is diagonalizable over  $\overline{K}$ .

The subset  $R(Q, n, \{1\}) \subseteq R(Q, n) = \text{Mat}(n, K)$  is therefore given by the semisimple matrices of size  $n$ .

*Remark 3.1.9.* Let  $k$  be an algebraically closed field of char = 0 and let  $\lambda$  be an element of  $k^I$ . We denote by  $\pi$  the projection map  $\pi_\lambda : \mu_\alpha^{-1}(\lambda) \rightarrow R(Q, \alpha)$  sending  $(x_a, x_{a^*})_{a \in \Omega}$  to  $\pi((x_a, X_{a^*})_{a \in \Omega}) = (X_a)_{a \in \Omega}$ .

From the result of Crawley-Boevey [1, Lemma 3.2], we deduce that a representation  $x \in R(Q, \alpha)$  belongs to  $\text{Im}(\pi_\lambda)$  if and only if, given its Krull-Schmidt decomposition  $x = \bigoplus_{j \in J} x_j$ , we have  $\dim x_j \cdot \lambda = 0$  for each  $j \in J$ . For  $K = \mathbb{C}$ , we have therefore an equality  $R(Q, \alpha, \lambda) = \text{Im}(\pi_\lambda)$ .

Although the result of Crawley-Boevey is there stated only for algebraically closed field of char = 0, the Remark above can be extended over a finite field  $\mathbb{F}_q$  of sufficiently big characteristic. More precisely, consider  $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}^I$  and still denote by  $\lambda$  the corresponding element of  $\mathbb{F}_q^I$ .

Using an argument similar to the one of Proof 3.1, we deduce that, if  $q \gg 0$ , we still have  $\text{Im}(\pi_\lambda) = R(Q, \alpha, \lambda)$ .

The following Lemma provides a way to compute the number of isomorphism classes of representations of level at most  $V$  of dimension  $\alpha$  over a finite field  $\mathbb{F}_q$ .

**Lemma 3.1.10.** *For each  $V \subseteq \mathbb{N}^I$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^I$  there exists a polynomial  $M_{Q,\alpha,V}(t) \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$  such that, for any  $q$ ,  $M_{Q,\alpha,V}(q)$  is equal to the number of isomorphism classes of representations of level at most  $V$  of dimension vector  $\alpha$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . Moreover, the following identity holds:*

$$\text{Exp} \left( \sum_{\beta \in V} a_{Q,\beta}(t) y^\beta \right) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^I} M_{Q,\alpha,V}(t) y^\alpha \quad (3.1.2)$$

*Proof.* For  $\beta \in \mathbb{N}^I$  denote by  $a_{Q,\beta,V}(t)$  the polynomial defined by:

$$a_{Q,\beta,V}(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \beta \notin V \\ a_{Q,\beta}(t) & \text{if } \beta \in V \end{cases} .$$

The proof of the Formula (2.2.6) given by Kac [14] can be slightly modified to show that the number of isomorphism classes of indecomposable representations  $M$  of level at most  $V$  of dimension  $\beta$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  such that  $\text{top}(M) = \mathbb{F}_{q^d}$  is equal to

$$\frac{1}{d} \sum_{r|d} \mu \left( \frac{d}{r} \right) a_{Q_V, \frac{\alpha}{d}}(q^r).$$

Let then  $I_{Q,\beta,V}(t)$  be the polynomial defined by:

$$I_{Q,\alpha,V}(t) = \sum_{d|\bar{\alpha}} \frac{1}{d} \sum_{r|d} \mu \left( \frac{d}{r} \right) a_{Q, \frac{\alpha}{d}, V}(t^r) \quad (3.1.3)$$

Notice that for any  $q$ ,  $I_{Q,\beta,V}(q)$  is equal to the number of isomorphism classes of indecomposable representations of level at most  $V$  and of dimension  $\beta$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . For each  $\gamma \in \mathbb{N}^I$ , denote by  $M_{Q,\gamma,V}(t)$  the polynomials defined by the following identity:

$$\sum_{\gamma \in \mathbb{N}^I} M_{Q,\gamma,V}(t) y^\gamma = \prod_{\beta \in \mathbb{N}^I} (1 - y^\beta)^{-I_{Q,\beta,V}(t)}. \quad (3.1.4)$$

As  $\text{Rep}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(Q)$  is a Krull-Schmidt category, we deduce that, for any  $q$ ,  $M_{Q,\gamma,V}(q)$  is equal to the number of isomorphism classes of representations of level at most  $V$  of dimension  $\gamma$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . Specializing the identity (3.1.4) at  $t = q$  we can rewrite it as the following identity :

$$\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^I} M_{Q,\alpha,V}(q) y^\alpha = \prod_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^I} \prod_{\substack{M \in \text{Ind}(Q,\alpha,V) / \cong \\ \text{top}(M) = \mathbb{F}_{q^d}}} \frac{1}{1 - y^{\frac{\alpha}{d}(d)}} = \prod_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^I} \prod_{d|\bar{\alpha}} \psi_d \left( \frac{1}{1 - y^{\frac{\alpha}{d}}} \right)^{\frac{1}{d} \sum_{r|d} \mu \left( \frac{d}{r} \right) a_{Q, \frac{\alpha}{d}, V}(q^r)} \quad (3.1.5)$$



The right hand side of Equation (3.1.5) can be rewritten as

$$\prod_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^I} \prod_{d|\bar{\alpha}} \psi_d \left( \frac{1}{1-y^{\frac{\alpha}{d}}} \right)^{\frac{1}{d} \sum_{r|d} \mu\left(\frac{d}{r}\right) a_{Q_V, \frac{\alpha}{d}}(q^r)} = \prod_{\gamma \in \mathbb{N}^I} \prod_{d \geq 1} \psi_d \left( \frac{1}{1-y^\gamma} \right)^{\frac{1}{d} \sum_{r|d} \mu\left(\frac{d}{r}\right) a_{Q, \gamma, V}(q^r)} \quad (3.1.6)$$

As Equation (3.1.6) holds for any  $q$ , we deduce that the following identity holds

$$\log \left( \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^I} M_{Q, \alpha, V}(t) y^\alpha \right) = \sum_{\substack{\gamma \in \mathbb{N}^I \\ d \geq 1}} \psi_d \left( \frac{1}{1-y^\gamma} \right) (a_{Q, \gamma, V}(t))_d \quad (3.1.7)$$

where  $(a_{Q, \gamma, V}(t))_d = \frac{1}{d} \sum_{r|d} \mu\left(\frac{d}{r}\right) a_{Q, \gamma, V}(t^r)$ . By Lemma 2.1.3 and Equation (3.1.7), we deduce finally:

$$\text{Log} \left( \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^I} M_{Q, \alpha, V}(t) y^\alpha \right) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^I} a_{Q, \alpha, V}(t) \text{Log} \left( \frac{1}{1-y^\alpha} \right) = \sum_{\alpha \in V} a_{Q, \alpha}(t) y^\alpha. \quad (3.1.8)$$

□

*Example 3.1.11.* Consider the Jordan quiver  $Q$  of Example 3.1.8,  $V = \{1\}$  and apply Formula (3.1.2). As  $a_{Q, 1}(t) = t$ , we find

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} M_{Q, n, \{1\}}(t) y^n = \text{Exp}(ty) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} t^n y^n \quad (3.1.9)$$

where the last equality comes from the computation of Eq.(2.1.2). We obtain therefore

$$M_{Q, n, \{1\}}(t) = t^n.$$

Evaluating  $M_{Q, n, \{1\}}(t)$  at  $t = q$ , by Example 3.1.8, we find that the number of semisimple conjugacy classes of  $\text{Mat}(n, \mathbb{F}_q)$  is  $q^n$ . This is a classical combinatorial result (see for example [17]) which comes from the observation that the semisimple conjugacy classes are in bijection with the monic polynomials of  $\mathbb{F}_q[t]$  of degree  $n$ .

From Lemma 3.1.10, we deduce the following proposition.

**Proposition 3.1.12.** (1) For any subset  $V \subseteq \mathbb{N}^I$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^I$ , the polynomial  $M_{Q, \alpha, V}(t)$  has nonnegative integers coefficients.

(2) The polynomial  $M_{Q, \alpha, V}(t)$  is non-zero if and only there exist

- $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_r \in (\Phi^+(Q) \cap V)$
- $h_1, \dots, h_r \in \mathbb{N}$

such that  $h_1\beta_1 + \dots + h_r\beta_r = \alpha$

*Proof.* By Lemma 2.1.2 the polynomials  $M_{Q,\alpha,V}(t)$  have integer coefficients. By the Definition of Exp and Lemma 3.1.10,  $M_{Q,\alpha,V}(t)$  is a sum of products of the form

$$\frac{a_{Q,\beta_1}(t^{n_1})^{m_1}}{k_1} \frac{a_{Q,\beta_2}(t^{n_2})^{m_2}}{k_2} \dots \frac{a_{Q,\beta_l}(t^{n_l})^{m_l}}{k_l} \quad (3.1.10)$$

with  $k_1, \dots, k_l, m_1, \dots, m_l, n_1, \dots, n_l$  positive integers such that  $m_1n_1\beta_1 + \dots + m_ln_l\beta_l = \alpha$ . Kac conjecture (see Theorem 2.2.5) implies that these products have nonnegative coefficients. By Proposition 2.2.4, we see that a product as in Equation (3.1.10) is different from 0 if and only if  $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_l \in \Phi^+(Q)$  and so we deduce property (2).  $\square$

### 3.1.1 Quiver stacks

Let  $K = \mathbb{C}$  and fix  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^I$ . Let  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^I$  and consider the associated quiver stack  $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda,\alpha}$ . For each  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ , let  $H_c^i(\mathcal{M}_{\lambda,\alpha}) := H_c^i(\mathcal{M}_{\lambda,\alpha}, \mathbb{C})$  be the compactly supported cohomology of the quotient stack  $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda,\alpha}$  with coefficients in  $\mathbb{C}$ . We denote by  $P_c(\mathcal{M}_{\lambda,\alpha}, t)$  the compactly supported Poincaré series

$$P_c(\mathcal{M}_{\lambda,\alpha}, t) := \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \dim H_c^i(\mathcal{M}_{\lambda,\alpha}) t^i.$$

Davison [3, Theorem B] showed that the following equality holds:

$$P_c(\mathcal{M}_{\lambda,\alpha}, t) = t^{-2(\alpha,\alpha)} \text{Coeff}_\alpha \left( \text{Exp} \left( \sum_{\beta \cdot \lambda = 0} \frac{t^2}{t^2 - 1} a_{Q,\beta}(t^2) y^\beta \right) \right) \quad (3.1.11)$$

where  $(\alpha, \alpha)$  is the Euler form of  $Q$ . From Identity (3.1.11) and Proposition 3.1.10 in the case where  $V = V_\lambda$ , we deduce the following Proposition.

**Proposition 3.1.13.** • *The quiver stack  $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda,\alpha}$  (and so the quiver variety  $Q_{\lambda,\alpha}$ ) is non empty if and only if  $M_{Q,\alpha,\lambda}(t) \neq 0$ .*

- *The number of irreducible components of top dimension of the stack  $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda,\alpha}$  is equal to the top degree coefficient of  $M_{Q,\alpha,\lambda}(t)$ .*

### 3.2 Counting representations of level at most $V$

For  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^I$ , we denote by  $\text{GL}_\alpha(\mathbb{F}_q)$  the finite group  $\text{GL}_\alpha(\mathbb{F}_q) := \prod_{i \in I} \text{GL}_{\alpha_i}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ . In the paper [18, Theorem 1.1], Letellier linked the Kac polynomial  $a_{Q,\alpha}(t)$  to the representation theory of the finite group  $\text{GL}_\alpha(\mathbb{F}_q)$ . In this paragraph, we will explain how to generalize his results to the case of representations of level at most  $V$  for certain subsets  $V \subseteq \mathbb{N}^I$ .

The finite group  $\text{GL}_\alpha(\mathbb{F}_q)$  acts on the finite set  $R(Q, \alpha)(\mathbb{F}_q)$ . We denote the associated complex character of  $\text{GL}_\alpha(\mathbb{F}_q)$  by  $R_\alpha$ . Let  $\det_I$  be the morphism  $\det_I : \text{GL}_\alpha(q) \rightarrow (\mathbb{F}_q^*)^I$  which maps an element  $(g_i)_{i \in I}$  to the element  $(\det(g_i))_{i \in I}$ .

Given  $\sigma = (\sigma_i)_{i \in I} \in \text{Hom}(\mathbb{F}_q^*, \mathbb{C}^*)^I$  and  $\delta \in \mathbb{N}^I$ , we denote by  $\sigma^\delta$  the element of  $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{F}_q^*, \mathbb{C}^*)$  defined by

$$\sigma^\delta := \prod_{i \in I} \sigma_i^{\delta_i}.$$

Given  $\sigma \in \text{Hom}(\mathbb{F}_q^*, \mathbb{C}^*)^I$ , we denote by  $\mathcal{H}_\sigma$  the subset of  $\mathbb{N}^I$  defined by  $\mathcal{H}_\sigma := \{\delta \in \mathbb{N}^I \mid \sigma^\delta = 1\}$  and by  $\mathcal{H}_{\sigma, \alpha}$  the intersection  $\mathcal{H}_{\sigma, \alpha} := \mathcal{H}_\sigma \cap \mathbb{N}_{\leq \alpha}^I$ . Finally, we denote by  $\rho$  the character of  $\text{GL}_\alpha(q)$  defined by  $\rho := \sigma(\det_I)$ .

*Remark 3.2.1.* Fix a dimension vector  $\beta \in \mathbb{N}^I$ , an integer  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ , an indecomposable representation  $M \in R(Q, \beta)(\mathbb{F}_q)$  and denote by  $N$  the representation  $N = M^{\oplus r}$ . As seen at the beginning of paragraph §2.2.2, there is an isomorphism  $\text{Aut}(N)/U_N \cong \text{GL}_r(\mathbb{F}_{q^d})$  where  $\text{top}(M) = \mathbb{F}_{q^d}$ . As  $U_N$  is a unipotent subgroup, the morphism  $\det_I$  passes to the quotient  $\text{Aut}(N)/U_N$  and induces thus a morphism  $\det_I : \text{GL}_r(\mathbb{F}_{q^d}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{F}_q^*)^I$ . Its value at a matrix  $A \in \text{GL}_r(\mathbb{F}_{q^d})$  is given by

$$\det_I(A) = (N_{\mathbb{F}_{q^d}/\mathbb{F}_q}(\det(A)^{\beta_i}))_{i \in I} \quad (3.2.1)$$

The main result of this paragraph is the following Theorem:

**Theorem 3.2.2.** *For a subset  $V \subseteq \mathbb{N}^I$  and a dimension vector  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^I$ , if there exists an element  $\sigma \in \text{Hom}(\mathbb{F}_q^*, \mathbb{C}^*)^I$  such that  $V_{\leq \alpha} = \mathcal{H}_{\sigma, \alpha}$ , the following equality holds:*

$$\langle R_\alpha \otimes \rho, 1 \rangle = M_{Q, \alpha, V}(q) \quad (3.2.2)$$

*Proof.* Fix a representation  $x$  inside  $R(Q, \alpha)$ : we start by showing that  $\rho|_{\text{Stab}(x)} \cong 1$  if and only if  $x \in R(Q, \alpha, V)$ . Consider the Krull-Schmidt decomposition

$$x = \bigoplus_{j \in J} x_j^{r_j}.$$

Let  $\beta_j$  be the dimension vector  $\dim x_j$  and  $d_j$  the integer such that  $\mathbb{F}_{q^{d_j}} = \text{top}(x_j)$  for  $j \in J$ . As explained at the beginning of paragraph §2.2.2, quotienting by the subgroup  $U_x \subseteq \text{Stab}(x)$  there is an isomorphism  $\text{Stab}(x)/U_x \cong \prod_{j \in J} \text{GL}_{r_j}(\mathbb{F}_{q^{d_j}})$ . The character  $\rho$  is trivial over  $U_x$  and

induces therefore a character  $\rho : \prod_{j \in J} \text{GL}_{r_j}(\mathbb{F}_{q^{d_j}}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$  which by Remark 3.2.1 is given by

$$\rho((A_j))_{j \in J} = \prod_{j \in J} \sigma^{\frac{\beta_j}{d_j}}(N_{\mathbb{F}_{q^{d_j}}/\mathbb{F}_q}(A_j)).$$

Therefore, we deduce that  $\rho|_{\text{Stab}(x)} \equiv 1$  if and only if  $\frac{\beta_j}{d_j} \in \mathcal{H}_{\sigma, \alpha} = V_{\leq \alpha}$  for each  $j \in J$ . This is exactly the condition that must hold for  $x$  to be of level at most  $V$ .

From the discussion above, we deduce therefore that it holds:

$$\langle R_\alpha \otimes \rho, 1 \rangle = \frac{1}{|\mathrm{GL}_\alpha(\mathbb{F}_q)|} \sum_{x \in R(Q, \alpha)(\mathbb{F}_q)} \sum_{g \in \mathrm{Stab}(x)} \rho(g) = \frac{1}{|\mathrm{GL}_\alpha(\mathbb{F}_q)|} \sum_{x \in R(Q, \alpha, V)(\mathbb{F}_q)} |\mathrm{Stab}(x)|. \quad (3.2.3)$$

Applying the Burnside formula to the RHS of eq.(3.2.3) we obtain thus the equality

$$\langle R_\alpha \otimes \rho, 1 \rangle = M_{Q, \alpha, V}(q).$$

□

## 4 Tensor product of characters of finite general linear group

In this section, we recall how to construct the semisimple split characters of  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$  via Harisha-Chandra induction. We begin by fixing some notations and recalling some generalities about  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ .

### 4.1 Notations

Given two  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$  varieties  $X, Y$  endowed with Frobenius morphisms  $F_X : X \rightarrow X$ ,  $F_Y : Y \rightarrow Y$  respectively, we write  $f : (X, F_X) \rightarrow (Y, F_Y)$  for a morphism  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  commuting with the Frobenius maps.

For any  $n$ , we denote by  $\mathrm{GL}_n$  the general linear group over  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$ . The group  $\mathrm{GL}_n$  is endowed with the standard Frobenius morphism  $F : \mathrm{GL}_n \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n$  where  $F((a_{i,j})) = (a_{i,j}^q)$ . The finite general linear group  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$  is thus the finite subgroup  $\mathrm{GL}_n^F \subseteq \mathrm{GL}_n$ .

For a 1-parameter subgroup  $\eta : \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n$  the *weight*  $|\eta|$  is defined as the integer  $|\eta| \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that we have

$$\det(\eta) : \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m \quad (4.1.1)$$

$$z \rightarrow z^{|\eta|} \quad (4.1.2)$$

For any integers  $n, d \geq 1$ , we denote by  $F_d : \mathrm{GL}_n^d \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n^d$  the (twisted) Frobenius

$$F_d(x_1, \dots, x_d) = (F(x_d), F(x_1), \dots, F(x_{d-1})).$$

Notice that

$$(\mathrm{GL}_n^d)^{F_d} = \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_{q^d}).$$

We define more generally the *weight* for an homomorphism  $\eta : (\mathbb{G}_m^d, F_d) \rightarrow (\mathrm{GL}_n, F)$  as the integer given by

$$|\eta| := \frac{|\eta(\Delta)|}{d} \quad (4.1.3)$$

where  $\Delta : \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow (\mathbb{G}_m)^d$  is the diagonal embedding. The weight  $|\eta|$  is an integer as  $\eta$  is defined

over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ .

## 4.2 $F$ -stable Levi subgroups

For any  $F$ -stable Levi subgroup  $M \subseteq \mathrm{GL}_n$ , there exist integers  $d_1, \dots, d_r, m_1, \dots, m_r$  such that  $m_1 d_1 + \dots + m_r d_r = n$  and such that

$$(M, F) \cong ((\mathrm{GL}_{m_1})^{d_1} \times \dots \times (\mathrm{GL}_{m_r})^{d_r}, F_{d_1} \times \dots \times F_{d_r}). \quad (4.2.1)$$

We say that  $M$  is *split* if  $d_1 = \dots = d_r = 1$ .

The isomorphism (4.2.1) implies that there is an isomorphism

$$M^F = M(\mathbb{F}_q) \cong \prod_i \mathrm{GL}_{m_i}(\mathbb{F}_{q^{d_i}})$$

and an isomorphism

$$(Z_M, F) \cong ((\mathbb{G}_m)^{d_1} \times \dots \times (\mathbb{G}_m)^{d_r}, F_{d_1} \times \dots \times F_{d_r}). \quad (4.2.2)$$

Notice that, for each  $j = 1, \dots, r$ , the integer  $n_j$  is equal to the weight  $|i_j|$  of the embedding  $i_j : (\mathbb{G}_m^{d_j}, F_{d_j}) \hookrightarrow (\mathrm{GL}_n, F)$  induced by the isomorphism of eq.(4.2.2).

## 4.3 Harisha-Chandra induction for split Levi subgroups

Fix  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and let  $G = \mathrm{GL}_n$ . Let  $L \subseteq G$  be the split Levi subgroup  $\mathrm{GL}_{n_0} \times \dots \times \mathrm{GL}_{n_s}$  embedded diagonally into  $\mathrm{GL}_n$  i.e

$$L = \begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{GL}_{n_s} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \mathrm{GL}_{n_{s-1}} & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \mathrm{GL}_{n_{s-2}} & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \dots & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \mathrm{GL}_{n_0} \end{pmatrix}.$$

The finite group  $L^F$  is therefore isomorphic to

$$L^F = \mathrm{GL}_{n_0}(\mathbb{F}_q) \times \dots \times \mathrm{GL}_{n_s}(\mathbb{F}_q).$$

Let  $P$  be the  $F$ -stable parabolic subgroup containing  $L$  and the upper triangular matrices.

Recall that the quotient  $G/P$  is identified with the variety of flags inside  $\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}$ :

$$G/P = \{F_s \subseteq F_{s-1} \subseteq \dots \subseteq F_0 = \overline{\mathbb{F}_q}^{n_s} : \dim(F_i) = \sum_{j=i}^s n_j\}. \quad (4.3.1)$$

The  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -rational points  $(G/P)^F$  are thus identified with flags of vector subspaces of  $\mathbb{F}_q^n$

$$(G/P)^F = G^F/P^F = \{F_s \subseteq F_{s-1} \subseteq \cdots \subseteq F_0 = \mathbb{F}_q^n : \dim(F_i) = \sum_{j=i}^s n_j\}. \quad (4.3.2)$$

Denote by  $\pi_L$  the quotient map  $\pi_L : P \rightarrow L$ . Consider now a linear character  $\gamma : L^F \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ , given by

$$\gamma((M_0, \dots, M_s)) = \prod_{j=0}^s \gamma_j(\det(M_j)),$$

where  $M_j \in \mathrm{GL}_{n_j}(q)$  for  $j = 0, \dots, s$  and the  $\gamma_j$ 's are homomorphisms  $\gamma_j : \mathbb{F}_q^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ . We will usually denote by  $\gamma$  also the  $s+1$ -uple  $\gamma = (\gamma_0, \dots, \gamma_s) \in \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{F}_q^*, \mathbb{C}^*)^{s+1}$ . We define the associated character  $R_L^G(\gamma)$  of  $G^F$  by the following rule:

$$R_L^G(\gamma)(g) = \sum_{\substack{h \in G^F/P^F \\ h^{-1}gh \in P^F}} \gamma(\pi_L(h^{-1}gh)). \quad (4.3.3)$$

The character  $R_L^G(\gamma)$  is the character of the induced representation  $\mathrm{Ind}_{P^F}^{G^F}(\gamma(\pi_L))$ . Notice that, for  $h \in G^F/P^F$  and  $g \in G^F$ , having  $h^{-1}gh \in P^F$  is equivalent to have that  $g \cdot h = h$  for the action by left multiplication of  $G^F$  on  $G^F/P^F$ . Via the identification (4.3.2), the subset  $(G^F/P^F)^g$  given by the points fixed by  $g$  corresponds to  $g$ -stable flags.

We will call the characters of  $G^F$  of the form  $R_L^G(\gamma)$  (not necessarily irreducible) for some  $L$  and  $\gamma : L^F \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$  the Harisha-Chandra characters. If  $R_L^G(\gamma)$  is irreducible, we will call it a semisimple split irreducible character.

The character  $R_L^G(\gamma)$  is irreducible if and only if  $\gamma_i \neq \gamma_j$  for every  $i \neq j$  (see [25, Section 3]). In §4.6.1, we show how this classical result can be deduced from the main Theorem of this paper.

#### 4.4 Generic $k$ -tuples of Harisha-Chandra characters

Hausel, Letellier, Rodriguez-Villegas [10, Definition 2.2.5] gave the following definition of genericity for  $k$ -tuples of Harisha-Chandra characters of  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ .

**Definition 4.4.1.** We say that the a  $k$ -tuple  $\mathcal{X} = (R_{L_1}^G(\gamma_1), \dots, R_{L_k}^G(\gamma_k))$  is generic if for any  $F$ -stable Levi subgroup  $M \subseteq \mathrm{GL}_n$  and  $g_1, \dots, g_k \in G^F$  such that  $Z_M \subseteq g_i L_i g_i^{-1}$ , the character  $\Gamma_M$  of  $Z_M^F$  defined as

$$\Gamma_M(z) = \prod_{i=1}^k \gamma_i(g_i z g_i^{-1})$$

for  $z \in Z_M^F$ , is a generic linear character of  $Z_M^F$ .

By this, it is meant that  $\Gamma_M|_{Z_G^F}$  is trivial and for any  $F$ -stable  $M \subseteq M' \subsetneq G$  the restriction  $\Gamma_M|_{Z_{M'}^F}$  is non trivial.

Definition (4.4.1) is actually stated in [10] only for  $k$ -tuples of semisimple split irreducible characters, but it translates *verbatim* to a general  $k$ -tuple of Harisha-Chandra characters.


*Example 4.4.2.* Consider a  $k$ -tuple of irreducible characters  $(\alpha_1 \circ \det, \dots, \alpha_k \circ \det)$ , with  $\alpha_i \in \text{Hom}(\mathbb{F}_q^*, \mathbb{C}^*)$ . This  $k$ -tuple is generic if and only if the element  $\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_k$  has order  $n$ .

## 4.5 Main result

Fix an integer  $g \geq 0$  and a  $k$ -tuple  $(L_1, \dots, L_k)$  of (split)  $F$ -stable Levi subgroups of  $\text{GL}_n$  with

$$L_j = \text{GL}_{n_{j,0}} \times \cdots \times \text{GL}_{n_{j,s_j}}.$$

Let  $Q = (I, \Omega)$  be the following *star-shaped quiver*:



We will denote the vertex 0 also by  $[i, 0]$  for  $i = 1, \dots, k$ . Let  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^I$  be the dimension vector defined as  $\alpha_0 = n$  and  $\alpha_{[i,j]} = n - \sum_{h=1}^j n_{i,h}$ .

Let  $(\mathbb{N}^I)^* \subseteq \mathbb{N}^I$  be the subset of dimension vectors which are non increasing along the legs. Notice that  $\alpha \in (\mathbb{N}^I)^*$ .

For any  $\beta \in \mathbb{N}^I$ , denote by  $R(Q, \beta)^* \subseteq R(Q, \beta)$  the representations which have injective maps along the legs. Notice that if  $\beta \notin (\mathbb{N}^I)^*$ , we have  $R(Q, \beta)^* = \emptyset$ . The indecomposable representations of the quiver  $Q$  have the following property (for a proof see [10, Lemma 3.2.1]).

**Lemma 4.5.1.** *If  $M \in \text{Rep}_K(Q)$  is an indecomposable representation such that  $(\dim M)_0 \neq 0$ , then all the maps of  $M$  along the legs are injective. In particular  $\dim M \in (\mathbb{N}^I)^*$ .*

Therefore, we deduce that for a subset  $V \subseteq (\mathbb{N}^I)^*$  and any  $\beta \in (\mathbb{N}^I)^*$ , the representations  $R(Q, \beta, V)$  of level  $V$  are all contained in  $R(Q, \beta)^*$ . In particular, for  $V = (\mathbb{N}^I)^*$  we have an identity  $R(Q, \beta, (\mathbb{N}^I)^*) = R(Q, \beta)^*$ . The Formula (3.1.2) implies thus the following identity:

$$\sum_{\beta \in (\mathbb{N}^I)^*} M_{Q,\beta}^*(t) y^\alpha = \text{Exp} \left( \sum_{\beta \in (\mathbb{N}^I)^*} a_{Q,\beta}(t) y^\beta \right) \quad (4.5.1)$$

where the polynomials  $M_{Q,\beta}^*(t)$  are such that, for any  $q$ ,  $M_{Q,\beta}^*(q)$  is equal to the number of isomorphism classes of representations of dimension  $\beta$  with injective maps along the legs over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . The Identity had already been shown in [10, Proposition 3.2.2].

#### 4.5.1 Levels for $k$ -tuples of characters

Consider now, for each  $i = 1, \dots, k$ , a character  $\gamma_i = (\gamma_{i,0}, \dots, \gamma_{i,s_i}) : L_i^F \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ . To the  $k$ -tuple of characters  $\mathcal{X} = (R_{L_i}^G(\gamma_i))_{i=1}^k$  we associate an element  $\sigma_{\mathcal{X}} \in \text{Hom}(\mathbb{F}_q^*, \mathbb{C}^*)^I$  defined by the following rule:

$$(\sigma_{\mathcal{X}})_{[i,j]} = \begin{cases} \prod_{i=1}^k \gamma_{i,0} & \text{if } j = 0 \\ \gamma_{i,j} \gamma_{i,j-1}^{-1} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}. \quad (4.5.2)$$

In this context, we define the subset  $\mathcal{H}_{\sigma_{\mathcal{X}},\alpha}^* \subseteq (\mathbb{N}^I)^*$  as follows

$$\mathcal{H}_{\sigma_{\mathcal{X}},\alpha}^* := \{\delta \in (\mathbb{N}^I)^* \mid 0 < \delta \leq \alpha, \sigma_{\gamma}^{\delta} = 1\}.$$

For a subset  $V \subseteq (\mathbb{N}^I)^*$ , we give the following definition of a  $k$ -tuple  $(R_{L_1}^G(\gamma_1), \dots, R_{L_k}^G(\gamma_k))$  of level  $V$ .

**Definition 4.5.2.** The  $k$ -tuple  $(R_{L_1}^G(\gamma_1), \dots, R_{L_k}^G(\gamma_k))$  is said to be of level  $V$  if  $\mathcal{H}_{\sigma_{\mathcal{X}},\alpha}^* = V_{\leq \alpha}$ . For  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^I$ , we say that  $(R_{L_1}^G(\gamma_1), \dots, R_{L_k}^G(\gamma_k))$  is of level  $\lambda$  if it is of level  $V_{\lambda}$ .

*Remark 4.5.3.* Notice that any  $k$ -tuple  $\mathcal{X} = (R_{L_1}^G(\gamma_1), \dots, R_{L_k}^G(\gamma_k))$  is automatically of level  $\mathcal{H}_{\sigma_{\mathcal{X}},\alpha}$ .

*Example 4.5.4.* Notice the  $k$ -tuple of split Levi characters  $(R_{L_i}^G(1))_{i=1}^k$  is of level  $(\mathbb{N}^I)^*$ . In this case indeed  $\sigma_{\mathcal{X}} = 1$  and so  $\mathcal{H}_{\sigma_{\mathcal{X}},\alpha}^* = (\mathbb{N}^I)_{\leq \alpha}^*$ .

We have the following Lemma for generic  $k$ -tuples of Harisha-Chandra characters.

**Lemma 4.5.5.** A  $k$ -tuple of Harisha-Chandra characters  $\mathcal{X} = (R_{L_1}^G(\gamma_1), \dots, R_{L_k}^G(\gamma_k))$  is generic as in Definition 4.4.1 if and only if  $\mathcal{H}_{\sigma_{\mathcal{X}},\alpha}^* = \{\alpha\}$ .

*Proof.* Pick  $M \subseteq G$  an  $F$ -stable Levi subgroup and let  $m_1, \dots, m_r, d_1, \dots, d_r$  be the non-negative integer associated to  $M$  as in §4.2. There is therefore an isomorphism

$$(Z_M, F) \cong ((\mathbb{G}_m)^{d_1} \times \dots \times (\mathbb{G}_m)^{d_r}, F_{d_1} \times \dots \times F_{d_r}).$$

There exist elements  $g_1, \dots, g_k \in G^F$  such that  $g_i Z_M g_i^{-1} \subseteq L_i$  if and only if there exist  $k$  embeddings

$$\lambda_i : ((\mathbb{G}_m)^{d_1} \times \dots \times (\mathbb{G}_m)^{d_r}, F_{d_1} \times \dots \times F_{d_r}) \hookrightarrow (L_i, F)$$



respecting the condition about *weights* we will explicitate in Equation (4.5.3) below. For  $j = 1, \dots, r$ , we denote by  $\lambda_i^j : ((\mathbb{G}_m)^{d_j}, F_{d_j}) \rightarrow (L_i, F)$  the restriction of  $\lambda_i$  to the subgroup  $\{1\} \times \dots \times (\mathbb{G}_m)^{d_j} \times \{1\} \times \dots$  so that  $\lambda_i = \prod_{j=1}^r \lambda_i^j$ .

The composition of  $\lambda_i^j$  and the inclusion  $L_i \subseteq G$  defines a morphism which we still denote by  $\lambda_i^j : ((\mathbb{G}_m)^{d_j}, F_{d_j}) \rightarrow (G, F)$  that must respect the following equality:

$$|\lambda_i^j| = m_j \quad (4.5.3)$$

For  $i = 1, \dots, k$  and  $l = 0, \dots, s_i$ , denote by  $p_{i,l}$  the projection  $p_{i,l} : L_i \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{n_{i,l}}$  and by  $\lambda_{i,l}^j$  the morphism

$$\lambda_{i,l}^j := p_{i,l} \circ \lambda_i^j : ((\mathbb{G}_m)^{d_j}, F_{d_j}) \rightarrow (\mathrm{GL}_{n_{i,l}}, F).$$

Denote by  $\gamma_i^{g_i} : Z_M^F \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$  the morphism given by  $\gamma_i^{g_i}(z) = \gamma_i(g_i z g_i^{-1})$ . Via the identifications above, the character  $\gamma_i^{g_i}$  corresponds to the character

$$\gamma_i \circ \lambda_i : ((\mathbb{G}_m)^{d_1})^{F_{d_1}} \times \dots \times ((\mathbb{G}_m)^{d_r})^{F_{d_r}} = \mathbb{F}_{q^{d_1}}^* \times \dots \times \mathbb{F}_{q^{d_r}}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$$

given by

$$(x_1, \dots, x_r) \longrightarrow \prod_{j,l} \gamma_{i,l}(N_{\mathbb{F}_{q^{d_j}}/\mathbb{F}_q}(x_j))^{|\lambda_{i,l}^j|} \quad (4.5.4)$$

The equality  $1 = \prod_{i=1}^k \gamma_i^{g_i} : Z_M^F \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$  therefore holds if and only if for every  $j = 1, \dots, r$

$$\prod_{i,l} \gamma_{i,l}(N_{\mathbb{F}_{q^{d_j}}/\mathbb{F}_q}(x_j))^{|\lambda_{i,l}^j|} = 1 \quad (4.5.5)$$

Put  $N_{\mathbb{F}_{q^{d_j}}/\mathbb{F}_q}(x_j) = y$ . The following equality holds:

$$\prod_{i,l} \gamma_{i,l}(y)^{|\lambda_{i,l}^j|} = \left( \prod_i \gamma_{i,0}(y) \right)^{m_j} \prod_{i,l} (\gamma_{i,l} \gamma_{i,l-1}^{-1}(y))^{m_j - \sum_{s=1}^{l-1} |\lambda_{i,s}|} = \sigma_{\mathcal{X}}^{\delta_j}(y) \quad (4.5.6)$$

where  $\delta_j$  is the element of  $\mathbb{N}^I$  given by  $\delta_0 = m_j$  and

$$\delta_{[i,j]} = m_j - \sum_{s=1}^{l-1} |\lambda_{i,s}|.$$

Therefore, from Equation (4.5.6), we deduce that if  $\mathcal{H}_{\sigma_{\mathcal{X}}, \alpha}^* = \{\alpha\}$  the  $k$ -tuple of characters  $\mathcal{X} = (R_{L_1}^G(\gamma_1), \dots, R_{L_k}^G(\gamma_k))$  is generic.

Conversely, assume that the  $k$ -tuple  $\mathcal{X}$  is generic and assume the existence of  $\delta \in \mathcal{H}_{\sigma_{\mathcal{X}}, \alpha}^*$  such that  $\delta \neq \alpha$ . Notice that  $\epsilon := \alpha - \delta$  belongs to  $\mathcal{H}_{\sigma_{\mathcal{X}}, \alpha}^*$  too. Consider the  $F$ -stable split Levi subgroup  $M = \mathrm{GL}_{\delta_0} \times \mathrm{GL}_{\epsilon_0}$  embedded block diagonally. Notice that in particular

$Z_M \cong \mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m$ .

For each  $i = 1, \dots, k$ , there exist embeddings  $\lambda_i^1, \lambda_i^2 : (\mathbb{G}_m, F) \rightarrow (L_i, F)$  such that, with the notations used before,

$$|\lambda_{i,l}^1| = \delta_{[i,l]} - \delta_{[i,l+1]}$$

and

$$|\lambda_{i,l}^2| = \epsilon_{[i,l]} - \epsilon_{[i,l+1]}.$$

The associated embeddings  $\lambda_i^1 \times \lambda_i^2 : (Z_M, F) \rightarrow (L_i, F)$  correspond to elements  $g_1, \dots, g_k \in G^F$  such that  $g_i Z_M g_i^{-1} \subseteq L_i$  and for  $(x_1, x_2) \in Z_M^F = \mathbb{F}_q^* \times \mathbb{F}_q^*$  we have

$$\Gamma_M(z) = \prod_{i=1}^k \gamma_i^{g_i}(x_1, x_2) = \sigma_{\mathcal{X}}^\delta(x_1) \sigma_{\mathcal{X}}^\epsilon(x_2) = 1.$$

□

Let  $\Lambda$  be the character of  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$  induced by the conjugation action of  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$  on  $\mathfrak{gl}_n^g(\mathbb{F}_q)$ . The main result of this paper is the following Theorem.

**Theorem 4.5.6.** *Let  $V \subseteq (\mathbb{N}^I)^*$  and let  $\mathcal{X} = (R_{L_1}^G(\gamma_1), \dots, R_{L_k}^G(\gamma_k))$  be a  $k$ -tuple of Harish-Chandra characters of  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$  of level  $V$ . The following equality holds:*

$$\left\langle \Lambda \bigotimes_{i=1}^k R_{L_i}^G(\gamma_i), 1 \right\rangle = M_{Q,\alpha,V}(q) \quad (4.5.7)$$

*Remark 4.5.7.* In the case where  $V = \{\alpha\}$ , Formula (4.5.7) and Lemma 4.5.5 give Identity

$$\left\langle \Lambda \bigotimes_{i=1}^k R_{L_i}^G(\delta_i), 1 \right\rangle = a_{Q,\alpha}(q) \quad (4.5.8)$$

for any generic  $k$ -tuple  $(R_{L_1}^G(\delta_1), \dots, R_{L_k}^G(\gamma_k))$  of split semisimple irreducible characters of  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ , which had already been proved in [10, Theorem 3.4.1].

*Example 4.5.8.* Consider the case where  $V = (\mathbb{N}^I)^*$ . As remarked in Example 4.5.4, the  $k$ -tuple  $(R_{L_i}^G(1))_{i=1}^k$  is of level  $(\mathbb{N}^I)^*$ . By eq.(4.5.7) and eq.(4.5.1), we obtain the identity

$$\langle R_{L_1}^G(1) \otimes \dots \otimes R_{L_k}^G(1), 1 \rangle = M_{Q,\alpha}^*(q) \quad (4.5.9)$$

The latter identity had already been proven in [10, Proposition 3.2.5]. Roughly speaking, in this case Identity (4.5.9) comes from the fact that  $\prod_{i=1}^k R_{L_i}^G(1)(g)$ , for each  $g \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ , is the number of  $k$ -tuple of flags of  $\mathbb{F}_q^n$  of type  $\alpha$  fixed by  $g$  and the Burnside formula (see [10, Lemma 2.1.1]).

Fix now a  $k$ -tuple  $\mathcal{X} = (R_{L_1}^G(\gamma_1), \dots, R_{L_k}^G(\gamma_k))$  and consider the character  $\rho_{\mathcal{X}} = \sigma_{\mathcal{X}}(\det_I)$  as in §3.2. We denote by  $R_{\alpha}^*$  the complex character of  $\mathrm{GL}_{\alpha}(\mathbb{F}_q)$  given by its action on the finite set  $R(Q, \alpha)^*(\mathbb{F}_q)$ . We start by proving the following preliminary lemma:

**Lemma 4.5.9.** *The following identity holds:*

$$\langle R_{\alpha}^* \otimes \rho_{\mathcal{X}}, 1 \rangle = \left\langle \Lambda \bigotimes_{i=1}^k R_{L_i}^G(\gamma_i), 1 \right\rangle \quad (4.5.10)$$

*Proof.* We will show the following stronger identity which implies Identity (4.5.10). For any  $g \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$  we have the following equality:

$$\sum_{\substack{i=1, \dots, k \\ j=1, \dots, s_i \\ g_{i,j} \in \mathrm{GL}_{\alpha_{i,j}}(\mathbb{F}_q)}} (R_{\alpha}^* \otimes \rho_{\mathcal{X}})(g, g_{1,1}, \dots, g_{k,1}, \dots) \frac{1}{\prod_{\substack{i=1, \dots, k \\ j=1, \dots, s_i}} |\mathrm{GL}_{\alpha_{i,j}}(\mathbb{F}_q)|} = \Lambda(g) \prod_{i=1}^k R_{L_i}^G(\gamma_i)(g). \quad (4.5.11)$$

To show Equation (4.5.11), it is enough to prove it in the case of a single leg (i.e  $k = 1$ ). We fix a (split) Levi subgroup  $L \subseteq G$  with  $L \cong \mathrm{GL}_{n_0} \times \dots \times \mathrm{GL}_{n_l}$ . The group  $L$  determines a quiver  $Q' = (I', \Omega')$  with  $I' = \{0, 1, \dots, l\}$  and  $g$  loops on the vertex 0 and the dimension vector

$$\beta = (n, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_l)$$

with  $\beta_j = \sum_{h=j}^l n_j$ . Fix a character  $\gamma = (\gamma_0, \dots, \gamma_l) : L^F \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ . Denote by  $\sigma_{\gamma} \in \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{F}_q^*, \mathbb{C}^*)^{I'}$  the associated element and by  $\rho_{\gamma} = \sigma_{\gamma}(\det_{I'})$ . Let us show that the following equality holds:

$$\Lambda(g) R_L^G(\gamma)(g) = \sum_{\substack{j=1, \dots, l \\ g_j \in \mathrm{GL}_{\beta_j}(\mathbb{F}_q)}} R_{\beta}^*(g, g_1, \dots, g_l) \frac{\rho_{\gamma}(g, g_1, \dots, g_l)}{|\mathrm{GL}_{\beta_1}(\mathbb{F}_q)| \cdots |\mathrm{GL}_{\beta_l}(\mathbb{F}_q)|} \quad (4.5.12)$$

Let  $P$  be the unique parabolic subgroup of  $\mathrm{GL}_n$  containing the upper triangular matrices and  $L$ . Recall that by the Formula (4.3.3) it holds:

$$R_L^G(\gamma)(g) = \sum_{\substack{h \in G^F/P^F \\ g \cdot h = h}} \gamma(h^{-1}gh).$$

The character  $R_{\beta}^*$  satisfies:

$$R_{\beta}^*(g, g_1, \dots, g_l) = \# \left\{ f \in \mathfrak{gl}_n^g(\mathbb{F}_q), f_l \in \mathrm{Hom}^{inj}(\mathbb{F}_q^{\beta_l}, \mathbb{F}_q^{\beta_{l-1}}), \dots, \right. \\ \left. f_1 \in \mathrm{Hom}^{inj}(\mathbb{F}_q^{\beta_1}, \mathbb{F}_q^n) \text{ s.t. } g \cdot f = f, g f_1 g_1^{-1} = f_1, \dots, g_{l-1} f_l g_l^{-1} = f_l \right\}.$$

where for  $V, W$  vector spaces, we denote by  $\text{Hom}^{\text{inj}}(V, W)$  the set of injective linear homomorphisms. We denote by  $X(g)$  the set defined by:

$$X(g) := \left\{ g_1 \in \text{GL}_{\beta_1}(\mathbb{F}_q), \dots, g_l \in \text{GL}_{\beta_l}(\mathbb{F}_q), f_l \in \text{Hom}^{\text{inj}}(\mathbb{F}_q^{\beta_l}, \mathbb{F}_q^{\beta_{l-1}}), \dots, \right. \\ \left. f_1 \in \text{Hom}^{\text{inj}}(\mathbb{F}_q^{\beta_1}, \mathbb{F}_q^n) \text{ s.t. } g f_1 g_1^{-1} = f_1, \dots, g_{l-1} f_l g_{l-1}^{-1} = f_l \right\}$$

and for  $x = (f_1, \dots, f_l, g_1, \dots, g_l) \in X(g)$  we write  $\rho_\gamma(x) := \rho_\gamma(g, g_1, \dots, g_l)$ . For a fixed  $g \in \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ , the following equality holds then :

$$\sum_{\substack{j=1, \\ g_j \in \text{GL}_{\beta_j}(\mathbb{F}_q)}}^l \frac{R_\beta^*(g, g_1, \dots, g_l) \rho_\gamma(g, g_1, \dots, g_l)}{|\text{GL}_{\beta_1}(\mathbb{F}_q)| \cdots |\text{GL}_{\beta_l}(\mathbb{F}_q)|} = \Lambda(g) \sum_{x \in X(g)} \frac{\rho_\gamma(x)}{|\text{GL}_{\beta_1}(\mathbb{F}_q)| \cdots |\text{GL}_{\beta_l}(\mathbb{F}_q)|}.$$

There is a map  $\psi : X(g) \rightarrow (G^F/P^F)^g$  given by

$$\psi((g_1, \dots, g_l, f_1, \dots, f_l)) = \text{Im}(f_1 \cdots f_l) \subseteq \text{Im}(f_1 \cdots f_{l-1}) \subseteq \cdots \subseteq \text{Im}(f_1) \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^n.$$

To see that  $\psi$  is well defined we need to start to check that the subspaces  $\text{Im}(f_1 \cdots f_l), \text{Im}(f_1 \cdots f_{l-1}), \dots, \text{Im}(f_1)$  are all  $g$ -stable. Start with  $\text{Im}(f_1)$ . We have  $g f_1 = f_1 g_1$  and so  $g(\text{Im}(f_1)) \subseteq \text{Im}(f_1)$ . For a general  $j \geq 1$  we see similarly

$$g f_1 \cdots f_j = f_1 g_1 f_2 \cdots f_j = \cdots = f_1 \cdots f_j g_j.$$

Let us show that the map  $\psi$  is surjective. Given a  $g$ -stable flag

$$V_l \subseteq V_{l-1} \subseteq \cdots \subseteq V_1 \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^n = hP^F,$$

we can choose for each  $j = 1, \dots, l$  a basis  $\mathfrak{B}_j$  of  $V_j$  such that  $\mathfrak{B}_j \subseteq \mathfrak{B}_{j-1}$  as ordered sets. The choices of the  $\mathfrak{B}_j$ 's define morphisms  $f_j : \mathbb{F}_q^{\beta_j} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{F}_q^{\beta_{j-1}}$  such that  $\text{Im}(f_1 f_2 \cdots f_j) \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^n$  is  $g$ -stable for any  $j = 1, \dots, l$ .

For each  $j = 1, \dots, l$ , the automorphism  $g|_{\text{Im}(f_1 f_2 \cdots f_j)}$  written in the basis  $\mathfrak{B}_j$  define an element  $g_j \in \text{GL}_{\beta_j}(\mathbb{F}_q)$  and the element  $x_h \in X(g)$  defined by  $x_h := (g_1, \dots, g_l, f_1, \dots, f_l)$  is such that  $\psi(x) = V_l \subseteq V_{l-1} \subseteq \cdots \subseteq V_1 \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^n$ .

There is a free action of  $\prod_{j=1}^l \text{GL}_{\beta_j}(\mathbb{F}_q)$  on  $X(g)$  defined as

$$(m_1, \dots, m_h) \cdot (g_1, \dots, g_h, f_1, \dots, f_h) := (m_1 g_1 m_1^{-1}, \dots, m_h g_h m_h^{-1}, f_1 m_1^{-1}, m_1 f_2 m_2^{-1}, \dots, f_h m_h^{-1}).$$

The map  $\psi$  is  $\prod_{j=1}^l \text{GL}_{\beta_j}(\mathbb{F}_q)$  invariant and, for each  $h \in G^F/P^F$ , the fiber  $\psi^{-1}(h)$  is equal

to  $\left(\prod_{j=1}^l \text{GL}_{\beta_j}(q)\right) \cdot x_h$ . Therefore, as  $\rho_\gamma((m_1, \dots, m_l) \cdot x) = \rho_\gamma(x)$  for any  $(m_1, \dots, m_l) \in \prod_{j=1}^l \text{GL}_{\beta_j}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ , we have

$$\sum_{x \in X(g)} \frac{\rho_\gamma(x)}{|\text{GL}_{\beta_1}(\mathbb{F}_q)| \cdots |\text{GL}_{\beta_l}(\mathbb{F}_q)|} = \sum_{h \in (G^F/P^F)^g} \rho_\gamma(\psi^{-1}(h)).$$

We are thus left to show that  $\rho_\gamma(\psi^{-1}(h)) = \gamma(h^{-1}gh)$ . On the one side, by evaluating  $\rho_\gamma$  at the element  $x_h \in \psi^{-1}(h)$  defined above, we see that:

$$\rho_\gamma(\psi^{-1}(h)) = ((\gamma_{l-1}^{-1} \gamma_l)(\det(g|_{V_l})) \cdots (\gamma_0(\det(g)))).$$

On the other side, the matrix  $h^{-1}gh$  is a block upper triangular matrix:

$$h^{-1}gh = \begin{pmatrix} g'_l & & & & & \\ 0 & g'_{l-1} & & & & \\ 0 & 0 & g'_{l-2} & & & \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & * & & \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & g'_0 \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $g'_i$  is  $g|_{V_i}$  written in the basis  $\mathfrak{B}_i$ , the matrix  $\begin{pmatrix} g'_l & * \\ 0 & g'_{l-1} \end{pmatrix}$  is equal to  $g|_{V_{l-1}}$  written in the basis  $\mathfrak{B}_{l-1}$  and so on. Thus, the following identity holds :

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma(h^{-1}gh) &= \prod_{j=0}^l \gamma_j(\det(g'_j)) = \\ &= \gamma_l(\det(g|_{V_l}))(\gamma_{l-1}(\det(g|_{V_{l-1}}))\gamma_{l-1}^{-1}(\det(g|_{V_l}))(\gamma_{l-2}(\det(g|_{V_{l-2}}))\gamma_{l-2}^{-1}(\det(g|_{V_{l-1}})))) \\ &\quad \cdots (\gamma_0(\det(g))\gamma_0^{-1}(\det(g|_{V_1}))) = \\ &= (\gamma_{l-1}^{-1} \gamma_l(\det(g|_{V_l})) \cdots (\gamma_0(\det(g)))). \end{aligned}$$

□

The end the proof of Theorem 4.5.6, it is then enough to notice that the proof of Theorem 3.2.2 can be slightly modified to show that for  $V \subseteq (\mathbb{N}^I)^*$  such that  $V_{\leq \alpha} = \mathcal{H}_{\sigma_X, \alpha}^*$  we have

$$\langle R_\alpha^* \otimes \rho_X, 1 \rangle = M_{Q, \alpha, V}(q) \quad (4.5.13)$$

*Remark 4.5.10.* During the proof of the Lemma 4.5.7 we showed Identity (4.5.12), i.e for any

$F$ -stable Levi subgroup  $L \subseteq \mathrm{GL}_n$  and any character  $\gamma : L^F \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ , it holds:

$$R_L^G(\gamma)(g) = \sum_{\substack{j=1, \\ g_j \in \mathrm{GL}_{\beta_j}(\mathbb{F}_q)}}^l R_{\beta}^*(g, g_1, \dots, g_l) \frac{\rho_{\gamma}(g, g_1, \dots, g_l)}{|\mathrm{GL}_{\beta_1}(\mathbb{F}_q)| \cdots |\mathrm{GL}_{\beta_l}(\mathbb{F}_q)|}. \quad (4.5.14)$$

A similar formula seems to not have been known before in the literature. It would be interesting to find a way to relate quiver representations to Deligne-Lusztig characters associated to non-split Levi subgroups too.

.

## 4.5.2 Non-vanishing of multiplicities

From Proposition 3.1.12, we deduce the following proposition.

**Proposition 4.5.11.** (1) For a  $k$ -tuple of Harisha-Chandra characters  $\mathcal{X} = (R_{L_1}^G(\gamma_1), \dots, R_{L_k}^G(\gamma_k))$ , the multiplicity  $\langle \Lambda \otimes R_{L_1}^G(\gamma_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes R_{L_k}^G(\gamma_k), 1 \rangle$  is the evaluation at  $q$  of a polynomial with non-negative coefficients.

(2) Given  $Q, \alpha$  as above the multiplicity  $\langle \Lambda \otimes R_{L_1}^G(\gamma_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes R_{L_k}^G(\gamma_k), 1 \rangle$  is non-zero if and only there exist

- $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_r \in (\Phi^+(Q) \cap V)$
- $m_1, \dots, m_r \in \mathbb{N}$

such that  $m_1\beta_1 + \cdots + m_r\beta_r = \alpha$

Notice that this implies that if  $\Phi^+(Q) \cap V = \emptyset$  the multiplicity is 0. Similarly, if  $\alpha \notin V$  we have that the multiplicity is 0. Indeed, as  $V_{\leq \alpha} = \mathcal{H}_{\sigma_{\mathcal{X}}, \alpha}^*$ , if  $\beta_i \in V$  with  $\beta_i \leq \alpha$  and  $m_i\beta_i \leq \alpha$  too, we have  $m_i\beta_i \in V$  and  $\alpha = m_1\beta_1 + \cdots + m_r\beta_r \in V$ .

*Remark 4.5.12.* Identity (4.5.7) implies that the multiplicity  $\left\langle \Lambda \bigotimes_{i=1}^k R_{L_i}^G(\gamma_i), 1 \right\rangle$  does not depend on the characters  $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_k$  but only on the Levi subgroups  $L_1, \dots, L_k$  and the subset  $\mathcal{H}_{\sigma_{\mathcal{X}}, \alpha}^*$ .

As  $a_{Q, \beta}(t) \neq 0$  if and only if  $\beta \in \Phi^+(Q)$ , we deduce more precisely that the multiplicity depends only on the intersection of  $\mathcal{H}_{\sigma_{\mathcal{X}}, \alpha}^*$  and  $\Phi^+(Q)$ .

## 4.6 Computations

### 4.6.1 Irreducibility for semisimple split characters

Consider the case of  $g = 0$  and  $k = 2$ . Consider a split  $F$ -stable Levi subgroup  $L \subseteq \mathrm{GL}_n$  and  $\gamma : L^F \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ . Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be the couple of split Levi characters  $\mathcal{X} = (R_L^G(\gamma), R_L^G(\gamma^{-1}))$ .

As  $R_L^G(\gamma^{-1})$  is the dual of  $R_L^G(\gamma)$ , we have  $\langle R_L^G(\gamma) \otimes R_L^G(\gamma^{-1}), 1 \rangle = \langle R_L^G(\gamma), R_L^G(\gamma) \rangle$ . Using Theorem 4.5.6 we give an alternative proof of the classical result that

$$\langle R_L^G(\gamma), R_L^G(\gamma) \rangle = 1 \quad (4.6.1)$$

if and only if  $\gamma_i \neq \gamma_j$  for all  $i \neq j$ . Notice that the Identity (4.6.1) holds if and only if the character  $R_L^G(\gamma)$  is irreducible.

Let  $L = \mathrm{GL}_{n_0} \times \cdots \times \mathrm{GL}_{n_l}$  and  $g = 0$ . The associated quiver  $Q$  is thus the following type  $A$  quiver.

$$\circ^{[1,l]} \longrightarrow \circ^{[1,l-1]} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow \circ^{[1,1]} \longrightarrow \circ^0 \longleftarrow \circ^{[2,1]} \longleftarrow \circ^{[2,2]} \longleftarrow \cdots \longleftarrow \circ^{[2,l]}$$

The associated dimension vector  $\alpha$  is  $(n_l, n_l + n_{l-1}, \dots, n - n_0, n, n - n_0, \dots, n_{l-1}, n_l)$  and the element  $\sigma_{\mathcal{X}}$  is equal to

$$\sigma_{\mathcal{X}} = (\gamma_l \gamma_{l-1}^{-1}, \gamma_{l-1} \gamma_{l-2}^{-1}, \dots, \gamma_1 \gamma_0^{-1}, 1, \gamma_0 \gamma_1^{-1}, \dots, \gamma_{l-1}^{-1} \gamma_{l-2}, \gamma_l^{-1} \gamma_{l-1}).$$

As  $Q$  is a Dynkin quiver of type  $A$ , the subset  $\Phi^+(Q)$  has an explicit description and each root  $\beta \in \Phi^+(Q)$  is real, i.e  $a_{Q,\beta}(t) = 1$  (see Proposition 2.2.4). For  $j = 0, \dots, l$  and  $h = 0, \dots, l$  define the dimension vector  $\beta_{j,h}$  as

$$(\beta_{j,h})_i := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i = [1, a] \text{ with } a > j \text{ or } i = [2, b] \text{ with } b > h \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

The set  $\Phi^+(Q) \cap (\mathbb{N}^I)^*$  is given by  $\{\beta_{j,h}\}_{j,h=0,\dots,l}$ . Let us denote by  $M_{j,h}$  the absolutely indecomposable representation of dimension vector  $\beta_{j,h}$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . Notice that  $\sigma_{\mathcal{X}}^{\beta_{j,h}} = \gamma_j \gamma_h^{-1}$  and so we see that  $\sigma_{\mathcal{X}}^{\beta_{i,i}} = 1$  for every  $i = 0, \dots, l$ . The representation

$$M = \bigoplus_{j=0}^l M_{j,j}^{\oplus n_j}$$

is thus of level at most  $\mathcal{H}_{\sigma_{\mathcal{X}},\alpha}^*$  and the dimension vector of  $M$  is equal to  $\alpha$ . If  $\gamma_j = \gamma_h$  for  $j \neq h$ , we have  $\beta_{j,h}, \beta_{h,j} \in \mathcal{H}_{\sigma_{\mathcal{X}},\alpha}^*$ . The representation

$$N = \left( \bigoplus_{m \neq 0, j, h} M_{m,m}^{\oplus n_m} \right) \oplus M_{j,j}^{n_j-1} \oplus M_{h,h}^{n_h-1} \oplus M_{0,0}^{n_0-1} \oplus M_{j,h} \oplus M_{h,j}$$

is therefore of level at most  $\mathcal{H}_{\sigma_{\mathcal{X}},\alpha}^*$  and of dimension vector  $\dim N = \alpha$ . We deduce that  $M_{Q,\alpha,\mathcal{H}_{\sigma_{\mathcal{X}}}}(t) = 1$  if and only if  $\gamma_j \neq \gamma_h$  for every  $j \neq h$ .

#### 4.6.2 Explicit computation for $n = 2$

Let us look at the case where  $\mathcal{X}$  is a  $k$ -tuple of semisimple split characters of  $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_q)$  with  $\mathcal{X} = (R_T^G(\gamma_1), \dots, R_T^G(\gamma_k))$  where  $T \subseteq \mathrm{GL}_2$  is the (split) maximal torus of diagonal matrices. Each character  $\gamma_i$  is thus of the form

$$\gamma_i = (\delta_i, \beta_i) : \mathbb{F}_q^* \times \mathbb{F}_q^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$$

with  $\delta_i, \beta_i : \mathbb{F}_q^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ .

We fix  $g = 0$  in the following. Using the notations of the paragraph §4.5, the associated quiver  $Q = (I, \Omega)$  has thus a central vertex  $0$  and  $k$  other vertices  $[1, 1], \dots, [k, 1]$ . We will denote the vertex  $[i, 1]$  simply by  $i$  for each  $i = 1, \dots, k$ .

The associated dimension vector  $\alpha$  is given by  $\alpha_0 = 2$  and  $\alpha_i = 1$  for each  $i = 1, \dots, k$ . The quiver  $Q$  and the dimension vector  $\alpha$  for  $k = 4$  are depicted below.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & & & 1 \\ & & & & \downarrow \\ & & & & 2 \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & & \longleftarrow & 1 \\ & & & & \uparrow \\ & & & & 1 \end{array}$$

For the sake of simplicity, we assume that  $\delta_i^{-1} = \beta_i$  and  $\beta_i^2 \neq 1$ , for each  $i = 1, \dots, k$ . The element  $\sigma_{\mathcal{X}}$  associated to  $\mathcal{X} = (R_T^G(\gamma_i))_{i=1}^k$  is thus given by  $(\sigma_{\mathcal{X}})_0 = \prod_{i=1}^k \delta_i$  and  $(\sigma_{\mathcal{X}})_i = \delta_i^{-2}$ . Notice that  $\sigma_{\mathcal{X}}^\alpha = 1$ . We will explicitly verify that the following equality holds:

$$\left\langle \bigotimes_{i=1}^k R_T^G(\gamma_i), 1 \right\rangle = \text{Coeff}_{y^\alpha} \left( \text{Exp} \left( \sum_{\eta \in \mathcal{H}_{\sigma_{\mathcal{X}}, \alpha}^*} a_{Q, \eta}(q) y^\eta \right) \right) = M_{Q, \alpha, \mathcal{H}_{\sigma_{\mathcal{X}}, \alpha}}(q) \quad (4.6.2)$$

Notice that if  $\eta \leq \alpha$  and  $\eta \in (\mathbb{N}^I)^*$  then either  $(\eta)_0 = 1$  or  $(\eta)_0 = 2$ . For an element  $\eta \in (\mathbb{N}^I)^*$  such that  $\eta_0 = 1$ , we have  $a_{Q, \eta}(t) = 1$ . An element  $\eta \in (\mathbb{N}^I)^*$  such that  $\eta_0 = 2$  is identified by the subset  $A_\eta \subseteq \{1, \dots, k\}$  defined as  $A_\eta := \{1 \leq i \leq k \text{ s.t. } \eta_i = 1\}$ .

For such an  $\eta$ , we have thus

$$\sigma_{\mathcal{X}}^\eta = \left( \prod_{i=1}^k \delta_i \right) \prod_{j \in A_\eta} \delta_j^{-2} = \prod_{j \in A_\eta} \delta_j^{-1} \prod_{h \in A_\eta^c} \delta_h.$$

For  $\eta_1, \dots, \eta_r \in (\mathbb{N}^I)^*$  and  $m_1, \dots, m_r \in \mathbb{N}^*$  such that  $m_1 \eta_1 + \dots + m_r \eta_r = \alpha$  we have that either  $r = 1$ ,  $m = 1$  and  $\eta = \alpha$ , either  $r = 2$ ,  $m_1 = m_2 = 1$  and  $\eta_1 + \eta_2 = \alpha$  with  $\eta_1 \neq \eta_2$  and  $(\eta_1)_0 = (\eta_2)_0 = 1$ . The right hand side of Equation (4.6.2) is thus equal to:

$$\text{Coeff}_{y^\alpha} \left( \text{Exp} \left( \sum_{\eta \in \mathcal{H}_{\sigma_{\mathcal{X}}, \alpha}^*} a_{Q, \eta}(q) y^\eta \right) \right) = a_{Q, \alpha}(q) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{\eta \in \mathcal{H}_{\sigma_{\mathcal{X}}, \alpha}^* \\ \text{s.t. } \eta_0 = 1}} a_{Q, \eta}(q) a_{Q, \alpha - \eta}(q) = a_{Q, \alpha}(q) + \frac{|\mathcal{H}_{\sigma_{\mathcal{X}}, \alpha}^* - \{\alpha\}|}{2} \quad (4.6.3)$$

Notice that the cardinality  $|\mathcal{H}_{\sigma_{\mathcal{X}}, \alpha}^* - \{\alpha\}|$  is even as the set  $\mathcal{H}_{\sigma_{\mathcal{X}}, \alpha}^* - \{\alpha\}$  admits the involution without fixed points which sends  $\eta$  to  $\alpha - \eta$ .

The left hand side of Equation (4.6.2) can be computed explicitly using the character table of  $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_q)$ , which can be found for example on [5, Page 194].



We have indeed four types for the conjugacy classes of  $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_q)$  (see for example [9, Paragraph 4.1] for the definition of the type of a conjugacy class):

1. We say that  $g$  is of type  $\omega_1$  (and we write  $g \sim \omega_1$ ) if  $g$  is of the form  $\begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix}$  for  $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$
2. We say that  $g$  is of type  $\omega_2$  (and we write  $g \sim \omega_2$ ) if  $g$  is conjugated to a Jordan block  $\begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 1 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix}$  for  $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ . The centralizer of such a  $g$  has cardinality  $q(q-1)$
3. We say that  $g$  is of type  $\omega_3$  (and we write  $g \sim \omega_3$ ) if  $g$  is conjugated to a diagonal matrix  $\begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \mu \end{pmatrix}$  with  $\lambda \neq \mu \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ . The centralizer of such a  $g$  has cardinality  $(q-1)^2$
4. We say that  $g$  is of type  $\omega_4$  (and we write  $g \sim \omega_4$ ) if  $g$  is conjugated to a matrix of the form  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ xx^q & x+x^q \end{pmatrix}$  with  $x \neq x^q \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ . The centralizer of such a  $g$  has cardinality  $q^2-1$

For a semisimple split character of the form  $R_T^G(\gamma)$  and  $g \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_q)$ , the value  $R_T^G(\gamma)(g)$  depends on the type of  $g$  in the following way:

1. If  $g \sim \omega_1$ , then  $R_T^G(\gamma)(g) = (q+1)\gamma(\lambda)$
2. If  $g \sim \omega_2$ , then  $R_T^G(\gamma)(g) = \gamma(\lambda)$
3. If  $g \sim \omega_3$  and  $g$  is conjugated to  $\begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \mu \end{pmatrix}$ , then  $R_T^G(\gamma)(g) = \gamma(\lambda, \mu) + \gamma(\mu, \lambda)$
4. If  $g \sim \omega_4$ , then  $R_T^G(\gamma)(g) = 0$ .

Denote by  $\mathbf{T}_2$  the set  $\{\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3, \omega_4\}$ . To compute the left hand side of Equation (4.6.2), we will split the sum over the types of the conjugacy classes:

$$\left\langle \bigotimes_{i=1}^k R_T^G(\gamma_i), 1 \right\rangle = \frac{1}{|\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_q)|} \sum_{g \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_q)} \prod_{i=1}^k R_T^G(\gamma_i)(g) = \frac{1}{|\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_q)|} \sum_{\omega \in \mathbf{T}_2} \sum_{g \sim \omega} \prod_{i=1}^k R_T^G(\gamma_i)(g).$$

We see that  $\frac{1}{|\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_q)|} \sum_{g \sim \omega_1} R_T^G(\gamma_i)(g) = \frac{(q+1)^k(q-1)}{(q-1)^2q(q+1)} = \frac{(q+1)^{k-1}}{q(q-1)}$  and  $\frac{1}{|\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_q)|} \sum_{g \sim \omega_2} R_T^G(\gamma_i)(g) = \frac{(q-1)}{q(q-1)} = \frac{1}{q}$ . In a similar manner,

$$\frac{1}{|\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_q)|} \sum_{g \sim \omega_3} R_T^G(\gamma_i)(g) = \frac{1}{2(q-1)^2} \sum_{\substack{\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{F}_q^* \\ \lambda \neq \mu}} \prod_{i=1}^k (\delta_i(\lambda\mu^{-1}) + \delta_i^{-1}(\lambda\mu^{-1})) =$$

$$\frac{1}{2(q-1)^2} \sum_{\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{F}_q^*} \prod_{i=1}^k (\delta_i(\lambda\mu^{-1}) + \delta_i^{-1}(\lambda\mu^{-1})) - \frac{2^k(q-1)}{2(q-1)^2}.$$

As the homomorphism  $\mathbb{F}_q^* \times \mathbb{F}_q^* \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q^*$  which sends  $(\lambda, \mu)$  to  $\lambda\mu^{-1}$  is surjective, we can rewrite the sum above as:

$$\begin{aligned} & -\frac{2^{k-1}}{(q-1)} + \frac{(q-1)}{2(q-1)^2} \sum_{\epsilon \in \mathbb{F}_q^*} \sum_{B \subseteq \{1, \dots, k\}} \prod_{i \in B} \delta_i(\epsilon) \prod_{i \in B^c} \delta_i^{-1}(\epsilon) = \\ & -\frac{2^{k-1}}{(q-1)} + \frac{1}{2(q-1)} \sum_{\substack{\eta \in (\mathbb{N}^I)^* \\ \eta_0=1}} \sum_{\epsilon \in \mathbb{F}_q^*} \sigma_\gamma^\eta(\epsilon) = \frac{|\mathcal{H}_{\sigma_\gamma, \alpha}^* - \{\alpha\}|}{2} - \frac{2^{k-1}}{(q-1)}. \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$\left\langle \bigotimes_{i=1}^k R_T^G(\gamma_i), 1 \right\rangle = \frac{(q+1)^{k-1}}{q(q-1)} + \frac{1}{q} + \frac{|\mathcal{H}_{\sigma_\gamma, \alpha}^* - \{\alpha\}|}{2} - \frac{2^{k-1}}{(q-1)} = \frac{(q+1)^{k-1} + (q-1) - 2^{k-1}q}{q(q-1)} + \frac{|\mathcal{H}_{\sigma_\gamma, \alpha}^* - \{\alpha\}|}{2}. \quad (4.6.4)$$

Recall that the Identity (4.5.8) gives an equality  $a_{Q, \alpha}(q) = \left\langle \bigotimes_{i=1}^k R_T^G(\mu_i), 1 \right\rangle$  for a generic  $k$ -tuple  $(R_T^G(\mu_i))_{i=1}^k$ . The multiplicity  $\left\langle \bigotimes_{i=1}^k R_T^G(\mu_i), 1 \right\rangle$  can be computed in the same way as Identity (4.6.4) above and gives the identity:

$$a_{Q, \alpha}(q) = \frac{(q+1)^{k-1} + (q-1) - 2^{k-1}q}{q(q-1)}. \quad (4.6.5)$$

From Identity (4.6.3) and Identity (4.6.4), we deduce Identity (4.6.2).

## 5 Conflict of interest

The author has no conflict of interest to declare that are relevant to this article.

## References

- [1] CRAWLEY-BOEVEY, W.: Geometry of the Moment Map for Representations of Quivers *Comp. Math.* **126** (2001) 257–293.
- [2] CRAWLEY-BOEVEY, W. and VAN DEN BERGH, M.: Absolutely indecomposable representations and Kac-Moody Lie algebras. With an appendix by Hiraku Nakajima. *Invent. Math.* **155** (2004), no. 3, 537–559.
- [3] DAVISON, B.: A boson-fermion correspondence in cohomological Donaldson–Thomas theory. *Glasgow Mathematical Journal* **65**(S1), S28–S52 (2022).
- [4] DELIGNE, P. and LUSZTIG, G.: Representations of reductive groups over finite fields. *Ann. of Math. (2)* **103** (1976), 103–161.

- [5] DIGNE, F. and MICHEL, J. : Representations of Finite Groups of Lie Type, *London Math. Soc. Student Texts* **21**, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge (1991).
- [6] GABRIEL, P. and ROITIER, A.V: Representations of finite-dimensional algebras, Algebra, VIII, *Encyclopaedia Math. Sci., vol. 73*, Springer, Berlin, 1992, With a chapter by B. Keller, pp. 1– 177.
- [7] GEZTLER, E.: Mixed Hodge structures of configuration spaces, Preprint 96-61, Max Planck Institute for Mathematics, Bonn, 1996, [arXiv:alg-geom/9510018](https://arxiv.org/abs/alg-geom/9510018).
- [8] GREEN, J.A.: The characters of the finite general linear groups. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **80** (1955), 402–447.
- [9] HAUSEL, T., LETELLIER, E. and RODRIGUEZ-VILLEGAS, F.: Arithmetic harmonic analysis on character and quiver varieties, *Duke Math. J.* **160** (2011), 323–400.
- [10] HAUSEL, T., LETELLIER, E. and RODRIGUEZ-VILLEGAS, F.: Arithmetic harmonic analysis on character and quiver varieties II, *Adv. Math.* **234** (2013), 85–128.
- [11] HAUSEL, T., LETELLIER, E. and RODRIGUEZ-VILLEGAS, F.: Positivity for Kac polynomials and DT-invariants of quivers, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **177** (2013), no. 3, 1147–1168.
- [12] HISS, GERHARD and LÜBECK, FRANK: Some observations on products of characters of finite classical groups. *Finite groups 2003*, 195–207, Walter de Gruyter GmbH & Co. KG, Berlin (2004).
- [13] HUA, J.: Counting representations of quivers over finite fields. *J. Algebra* **226** (2000), no. 2, 1011–1033
- [14] KAC, V.: Root systems, representations of quivers and invariant theory. *Invariant theory (Montecatini, 1982)*, 74–108, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, **996**, Springer Verlag 1983.
- [15] KIRILLOV A.: Quiver representations and quiver varieties *Graduate studies in mathematics , Volume 194*
- [16] KONSEVITCH, M. and SOIBELMAN, Y.: *Stability structures, motivic Donaldson-Thomas invariants and cluster transformations*, 2008, [arXiv:0811.2435](https://arxiv.org/abs/0811.2435).
- [17] KUNDU, R. and SINGH, A.: Generating functions for powers of  $GL_n(q)$ , [arXiv:2003.14057](https://arxiv.org/abs/2003.14057).
- [18] LETELLIER, E.: DT-invariants of quivers and the Steinberg character of  $GL_n$  *International Mathematics Research Notices*, **2015** (2014).
- [19] LETELLIER, E.: Quiver varieties and the character ring of general linear groups over finite fields, *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)* **15** (2013), no. 4, 1375–1455.
- [20] LETELLIER, E.: Tensor products of unipotent characters of general linear groups over finite fields. *Transformation Groups*, **18**, 233–262 (2013).
- [21] LETELLIER, E. and RODRIGUEZ-VILLEGAS, F.: Ennola duality for decomposition of tensor products, *in preparation*.
- [22] LUSZTIG, G.: Characters of reductive groups over finite fields, *Annals of mathematics studies* (1984).
- [23] LUSZTIG, G.: Character sheaves I, *Advances in Mathematics* **56**, n3, (1985), Pages 193-237.

- [24] LUSZTIG, G.: Notes on character sheaves, *Moscow Mathematical Journal*, **9** (1).
- [25] LUSZTIG, G. and SRINIVASAN, B.: The characters of the finite unitary groups, *Journal of Algebra*, **49** (1977), 167–171.
- [26] MACDONALD, I.G: Symmetric Functions and Hall Polynomials, *Oxford Mathematical Monographs, second ed., Oxford Science Publications*. The Clarendon Press Oxford University Press, New York, 1995.
- [27] MOZGOVOY, S.: A computational criterion for the Kac conjecture, *J. Algebra* **318** (2007), no. 2, 669–679.
- [28] MOZGOVOY, S.: Motivic Donaldson-Thomas invariants and Mc-Kay correspondence arxiv :1107.6044.
- [29] SCHEDLER, T. and TIRELLI, A.: Symplectic Resolutions for Multiplicative Quiver Varieties and Character Varieties for Punctured Surfaces. *Representation Theory and Algebraic Geometry. Trends in Mathematics*. (2022), 393-459.
- [30] YAMAKAWA, D.: Geometry of Multiplicative Preprojective Algebra, *International Mathematics Research Papers* **2008**, (2008)