

Multilingual End to End Entity Linking

Mikhail Plekhanov, Nora Kassner, Kashyap Popat,
Louis Martin, Simone Merello, Borislav Kozlovskii, Frédéric A. Dreyer, Nicola Cancedda
Meta AI
movb@meta.com

Abstract

Entity Linking is one of the most common Natural Language Processing tasks in practical applications, but so far efficient end-to-end solutions with multilingual coverage have been lacking, leading to complex model stacks. To fill this gap, we release and open source BELA, the first fully end-to-end multilingual entity linking model that efficiently detects and links entities in texts in any of 97 languages. We provide here a detailed description of the model and report BELA’s performance on four entity linking datasets covering high- and low-resource languages.

1 Introduction

Entity Linking (EL) consists in connecting referring expressions in text to the corresponding real-world entities, and is therefore a fundamental task in Natural Language Processing. It is an essential component in Natural Language Understanding (NLU) stacks powering many downstream applications such as information extraction, content moderation and question answering (Shen et al., 2015; Yin et al., 2016).

Besides exhibiting high precision, a practical EL system must be fast and high-coverage. This work addresses these requirements and introduces BELA (Bi-encoder Entity Linking Architecture), the first transformer-based, end-to-end, one-pass, multilingual EL system, covering 97 languages.

BELA combines and extends progress that EL systems have made in recent years across several dimensions:

- End-to-end but monolingual architectures that combine Mention Detection (MD) with Entity Disambiguation (ED) and can be trained jointly (Kolitsas et al., 2018).
- Transformer-based architectures, which achieved significant performance improvements on the ED task in the monolingual (Wu

et al., 2020a) and in the highly multilingual (Botha et al., 2020) problem setting.

- Single-pass end-to-end architectures built on top of transformers that have been proven successful on short text spans (Li et al., 2020).

BELA meets efficiency demands by adopting a bi-encoder architecture that requires a single forward pass through a transformer for end-to-end linking of a passage, irrespective of the number of entity mentions appearing in it. For the core Entity Disambiguation sub-task, it runs a k-nearest neighbor (kNN) search using an encoded mention as query in an entity index, as in BLINK (Wu et al., 2020b). Following Botha et al. (2020), mentions in any language are linked to a language-agnostic entity index, enabling high coverage of approximately 16 million entities and 97 languages.

Overall, BELA has a measured throughput of 53 samples per second on a single GPU. Thanks to its efficiency, multilinguality and end-to-end nature, BELA can improve and also simplify entity-centric applications that would otherwise require complex routing logics and model combinations.

We release BELA’s codebase and model in the hope that it will be useful in a variety of applications and will foster further research.¹

2 Task

We formally define multilingual, end-to-end EL as a natural extension of Botha et al. (2020): given a sample paragraph p in any language l_p and an entity index consisting of a set of entities $E = \{e_i\}$, each with canonical names, titles $t(e_i)$, and textual descriptions $d(e_i)$, our goal is to output a list of tuples, $(e, [i, j])$, where $e \in E$ is the entity corresponding to the mention $m_{i,j}$ spanning from the i^{th} to j^{th} token in p . Note that an entity part of E can be associated with a set of languages

¹<https://github.com/facebookresearch/BELA>




Figure 1: **Model architecture:** BELA is composed of a Mention Detection (MD), Entity Disambiguation (ED) and Rejection head (R). MD detects entity mentions in context by scoring potential start and end tokens and computes a mention encoding by pooling across mention tokens. Next, ED disambiguates the mention by running a kNN search between the mention encoding and encodings of candidate entities (the entity index). Entity encodings are computed on the basis of Wikipedia titles and abstracts. The top-ranked entity candidate is passed to R that selects only known and correctly linked entities and rejects everything else. See section 4 for detailed description.

$L = \{l_i\}$, but l_p does not have to overlap with L : BELA can recognise an entity in a language even if the only available descriptions are in other languages. Details on the multilingual construction of the entity index follow in the next section.

3 Entity Index

To construct the entity index, we follow prior work (Botha et al., 2020) and rely on Wikipedia and WikiData (Vrandečić and Krötzsch, 2014). WikiData is closely integrated with Wikipedia and covers a large set of entities spanning over multiple languages. In total the entity index consists of around 16M entities representing the union of all Wikipedia entities in 97 languages. We use Wikipedia titles as $t(e_i)$ and the first paragraph of the article as $d(e_i)$. We use the same data-driven selection heuristic as Botha et al. (2020) to select a single description language $l_i \in L$ for each entity based on the number of mentions of each entity in different languages.

4 Model

BELA is a multilingual and end-to-end extension of the dense-retrieval-based models, e.g., BLINK (Wu et al., 2020b) and Botha et al. (2020). It is composed of a Mention Detection (MD), Entity Disambiguation (ED) and Rejection (R) head. The MD and ED heads are built on top of encoders based

on XLM-R-large (Lample and Conneau, 2019) and fine-tuned to detect the boundaries $[i, j]$ of a mention in context and link it to an entity. ED follows BLINK’s dense-retrieval approach that uses a bi-encoder architecture and runs a kNN search between the mention encoding and candidate entity encodings (the entity index). Finally, R decides whether to keep or discard each association between a mention and an entity.

An overview of the architecture is shown in Figure 1. In the following section, we provide a detailed description of BELA’s architecture. In Section 5, we explain the training procedure in detail.

4.1 Mention Detection

Following Li et al. (2020), for every span $[i, j]$, the MD head calculates the probability of $[i, j]$ being the mention of an entity by scoring whether i is the start position, j is the end position, and the tokens between i and j are inside the mention:

$$\begin{aligned} s_{\text{start},i} &= \mathbf{w}_{\text{start}}^\top \mathbf{p}_i, \\ s_{\text{end},j} &= \mathbf{w}_{\text{end}}^\top \mathbf{p}_j, \\ s_{\text{inside},t} &= \mathbf{w}_{\text{inside}}^\top \mathbf{p}_t, \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbf{w}_{\text{start}}$, \mathbf{w}_{end} , and $\mathbf{w}_{\text{inside}}$ are learnable vectors and \mathbf{p}_i token representations from the mention encoder:

$$[\mathbf{p}_1 \dots \mathbf{p}_n] = \text{enc}_m(p_1 \dots p_n),$$




Figure 2: **Training steps:** Training BELA involves multiple steps: we first pre-train a bi-encoder ED-only model following BLINK (blue). We then freeze the pre-trained entity encoder and train the end-to-end model (yellow). See Figure 1 for the the model parts corresponding to the different training steps.

where `enc_m` refers to a fine-tuned XLM-R encoder. We finally compute MD probability scores:

$$p([i, j]) = \sigma(s_{\text{start},i} + s_{\text{end},j} + \sum_{t=i+1}^{j-1} (s_{\text{inside},t})).$$

We select candidates with $p > \beta$ as mention candidates and propagate them to the next step. β is a mention detection hyperparameter that is set to 0.5 during training and then for testing tuned on a development set. For details see Appendix A.

4.2 Entity Disambiguation

The ED head receives an encoded candidate mention and finds the best match in the index. As in BLINK, our ED model is based on dense retrieval, i.e. the encoded mention is used as query in a kNN search among entity embeddings.

Entity embeddings are obtained by encoding canonical entity names and descriptions using the entity encoder:

$$\mathbf{e} = \text{enc}_e(t(e), d(e)),$$

where the entity encoder `enc_e` is obtained by fine-tuning an XLM-R model as described in Sec. 5.

We follow Li et al. (2020) in constructing mention representations with one pass of the encoder and without mention boundary tokens by pooling mention tokens from the encoder output through a feed-forward layer (FFL):

$$\mathbf{m}_{i,j} = \text{FFL}(\mathbf{p}_i \dots \mathbf{p}_j).$$

We compute a similarity score s between the mention candidate and an entity candidate $e \in E$ which we call the ED score:

$$s(e, [i, j]) = \mathbf{e}^\top \mathbf{m}_{i,j}.$$

Finally we select $([i, j], e^*)$ where

$$e^* = \arg \max_e (s(e, [i, j])),$$

and pass it as a candidate $\langle \text{mention_span}, \text{entity} \rangle$ tuple to a rejection head.

4.3 Rejection head

The MD and ED steps are intended to attain high recall, and therefore over-generate candidates. We introduce a rejection head R that looks at a $([i, j], e^*)$ pair holistically and decides whether to accept it, giving control over the precision-recall trade off. Input features to R are the MD score $p([i, j])$, the ED score $s(e^*, [i, j])$, the mention representation $\mathbf{m}_{i,j}$, the top-ranked candidate representation \mathbf{e}^* , as well as their difference and Hadamard product. The concatenation of these features is fed through a feed-forward network to output the final entity linking score $s([i, j], e^*)$. All $s([i, j], e^*) > \gamma$ are accepted where γ is a threshold tuned on a development set to control between precision and recall. For details see Appendix A.

5 Training

Training BELA involves multiple steps: we first pre-train a bi-encoder ED-only model following BLINK. We then freeze the pre-trained entity encoder and train the end-to-end model. See Figure 2 for an overview of the training pipeline where the two training steps are color-coded to match their corresponding model components in Figure 1.

5.1 Pre-trained entity encodings

We pre-train the bi-encoder ED model on a Wikipedia dataset consisting of 661M samples. Wikipedia-based datasets are constructed from cross-article hyperlinks, mapping the anchor text to mentions and the link target to the corresponding entity. We consider all the Wikipedia articles spanning across 97 languages. A list of all languages can be found in the Appendix B.

We initialize the entity and mention encoder with XLM-R and train using softmax loss to maximize similarity between mention encoding and gold entity. We run two training iterations: a first one with in-batch random negatives and a second one using hard-negative training (Gillick et al., 2019). For training details on the entity encoder, we refer to Wu et al. (2020b) and Botha et al. (2020).

Model	ar	de	en	es	fa	ja	sr	ta	tr
F1	43.5	55.6	44.1	47.8	41.6	48.8	74.2	45.7	49.0
R	42.6	50.0	40.3	42.3	35.9	43.8	68.7	41.5	49.5
P	44.5	62.7	48.7	54.9	49.5	55.0	80.8	50.9	48.5

Table 1: End-to-end EL performance on Mewsli-9’-test (under-labeled for end-to-end linking).

Model	ar	de	en	es	fa	ja	sr	ta	tr
F1	64.5	73.6	73.2	78.5	63.8	66.1	65.6	55.6	70.2
R	84.4	85.5	82.8	85.6	78.0	74.5	87.8	67.5	77.2
P	52.2	64.5	65.6	72.4	54.0	59.4	52.3	47.2	64.4

Table 2: End-to-end EL performance on Mewsli-9’-test (labeled for end-to-end linking).

5.2 Training the end-to-end model

Next, we freeze the pre-trained entity encoder and train the end-to-end model. Specifically, the mention encoder has to be adapted for end-to-end linking. We again proceed in two-steps. First, we run another round of ED-only training on Wikipedia data with 104M samples. Then, MD, ED, and R are trained jointly on another subset of Wikipedia data using 27M samples. Outputs from one component are fed as input to the next and losses are summed together. We use negative log-likelihood as our ED loss. A likelihood distribution over positive and mined hard negative entities (Gillick et al., 2019) is computed for each mention. Using pre-trained entity encodings enables us to index entity embeddings using quantization algorithms for fast kNN search (using the FAISS (Johnson et al., 2017) framework with the HNSW index).

The MD loss is the binary cross-entropy between all possible valid spans and gold mentions in the training set. Valid spans are spans with begin < end, shorter than 256 tokens, and neither starting nor ending in the middle of a word.

The R head loss is the binary cross-entropy between retrieved and gold mention-entity pairs.

6 Experimental Setting

6.1 Datasets

For evaluating BELA, we consider multiple benchmarking datasets spanning high- and low-resource languages:

- **Mewsli-9**: An ED benchmarking data released by Botha et al. (2020). This dataset contains manually labelled WikiNews articles in 9 different languages. We split this dataset in half to use half of the data for an additional

round of fine-tuning. We call the data splits Mewsli-9’-train and -test. We will publish the corresponding data indices.

- **TAC-KBP2015**: An ED dataset based on a set of topic-focused news articles and discussion forum posts released in the TAC-KBP2015 Tri-Lingual Entity Linking Track (Ji et al., 2015). Following (De Cao et al., 2022), we only consider Spanish and Chinese languages.
- **LORELEI**: An ED dataset based on a collection of manually labelled datasets focusing specifically on low resource languages (Strassel and Tracey, 2016).
- **AIDA**: A standard English end-to-end EL dataset based on YAGO2 KB (Hoffart et al., 2011) used to benchmark English end-to-end performance.

Dataset statistics are summarized in Appendix Table 14. Models evaluated on Mewsli-9’-test are finetuned on Mewsli-9’-train. Models evaluated on TAC-KBP2015, LORELEI and AIDA are finetuned on AIDA train.

6.2 Evaluation Metrics

For end-to-end performance, we report **F1** scores, recall (**R**) and precision (**P**) following Li et al. (2020) but using a hard matching criteria. Whenever the input text is longer than the model maximum sequence length, we run the model on overlapping text windows of maximum sequence length, where the overlap size can be fine-tuned on a validation dataset. If several mentions overlap, we choose one with the highest MD score. For MD, we report recall where a mention is correctly detected if the start and end index match the gold label. For ED

we report accuracy where a prediction is correct if the ground-truth entity is identified.

7 Results

In the following sections we report results. We first present end-to-end performance. Next, we focus on the three components of the model individually, namely the MD, ED and R heads. We close with a discussion of computational complexity.

7.1 End-to-end Results

We report end-to-end performance for Mewsl-9'-test in Table 1, for TAC-KBP in Table 6 and for LORELEI in Table 7. Performance varies across languages between 15 to 74 F1 score. Such large variance could be due to a combination of the following reasons: i) Varying language coverage at training, e.g., *sr* is most abundant in Mewsl-9' which correlates with performance, ii) the tokenizer's performance being skewed towards some scripts and iii) general language dependent performance differences on the side of the underlying language model.

Note that as Mewsl-9', LORELEI and TAC-KBP are ED datasets, only a subset of the mentions are labelled. This results in over-estimating false positives, therefore under-estimating precision, when evaluating end-to-end EL. We illustrate this problem in Appendix D. A similar problem of under-labelling, here due to the incompleteness of Wikipedia hyperlinks, also impacts training: we hypothesize that our model underestimates the base rate at which it should detect mentions, impacting recall.

We show that performance figures are higher if the model is trained on data exhaustively labelled with linked mentions. To this end, we create end-to-end benchmark data based on Wikipedia and Mewsl-9'. We use a model that was trained on a labelled non-public dataset to automatically label this data. We repeat training and evaluation and find that end-to-end performance increases significantly, see Table 2. We publish these labels as stand-off annotations for future reference.

As BELA is the first multilingual end-to-end EL system, we cannot compare to any baseline models in the multilingual setting.

To still provide some insight on its end-to-end performance in reference to baseline models, we report performance on the English end-to-end EL dataset AIDA in Table 4. Note, that our entity in-

dex is computed on recent Wikipedia snapshots which misses 8% of AIDA entities which were outdated. Overall we find that BELA performs competitively with English-only models, despite being highly multilingual and lacking sophisticated cross-attention between mentions and entity description, which would make the system impractically slow.

7.2 Mention Detection

We report MD recall on Mewsl-9'-test in Table 5 using the model trained and tested with exhaustively labelled data. Recall varies between 73% and 93% across languages.

7.3 Entity Disambiguation

We report ED results on Mewsl-9 in Table 3. Note that to ensure comparability, here we use original Mewsl-9 data and a model that was not finetuned on any Mewsl-9 data. BELA falls short of the current state-of-the art multilingual entity disambiguation model mGENRE by an average of 3% points.

Note that mGENRE model is a sequence-to-sequence model that was specifically trained for ED. It requires one pass of encoding for each mention and can rely on special entity delimiter tokens. BELA is trained end-to-end without mention delimiters, disambiguating multiple entities in a single pass. Also, mGENRE's underlying language model was trained for 500k more steps on language modeling before fine-tuning for entity disambiguation. Finally, mGENRE is computationally more expensive at inference making it impractical for real world applications, see Section 7.5 for more details. BELA is only slightly less accurate than Botha et al. (2020), which however requires, like mGENRE, a mention encoding pass for each candidate mention.

Results on TAC-KBP are reported in Table 8. Note that all the baselines except De Cao et al. (2022) utilize a predefined candidate set for each mention (also referred as mention table) for entity disambiguation. Whereas, BELA and De Cao et al. (2022) do not require such candidate set. For *es*, BELA outperforms all baseline models (including the ones that use mention table). For *zh*, BELA outperforms De Cao et al. (2022) and is competitive with other baselines that rely on the candidate set.

7.4 Rejection head

In Figure 3, we show how we can vary hyperparameter γ (ED score threshold) to regulate be-

Model	ar	de	en	es	fa	ja	sr	ta	tr	avg.
Botha et al. (2020)	92.0	92.0	87.0	89.0	92.0	88.0	93.0	88.0	88.0	89.88
De Cao et al. (2022)	94.7	91.5	86.7	90.0	94.6	89.9	94.9	92.9	90.7	91.76
BELA	90.0	90.0	85.0	88.0	91.0	85.0	93.0	90.0	87.0	88.77

Table 3: ED accuracy on Mewsl-9.

Model	F1
Kolitsas et al. (2018)	82.4
Peters et al. (2019)	73.7
Broscheit (2019)	79.3
Martins et al. (2019)	81.9
Févy et al. (2020)	76.7
De Cao et al. (2021)	83.7
BELA	74.5

Table 4: End-to-end results on AIDA.

tween precision and recall. This is important as applications vary in the requirements they impose on either metrics.

7.5 Computational Complexity

We report on BELA’s computational complexity at inference time: for a discussion of the computational requirements for training, see Appendix E. We show that BELA’s fast inference time makes it suitable for integration in large scale NLU systems. All numbers are reported using 40GB A100 GPUs.




Figure 3: Precision and recall curve for Mewsl-9’-test derived using the rejection head. The values written on the curve are the corresponding γ thresholds.

We compare the *end-to-end* inference speed of BELA with that of the monolingual end-to-end GENRE, which is architecturally close to

the current state-of-the-art multilingual ED model mGENRE. We computed inference time on the English subset of Mewsl-9’ and report number of samples per second on GPU feeding the models with one input at a time (i.e., batch size of 1). We report results in Table 9. We find that BELA is more than 50 times faster than monolingual end-to-end GENRE. Increasing the batch size to 1024, BELA hits a throughput of 53 samples per second.

Using the same dataset, we also compare BELA’s *disambiguation*. We compare with De Cao et al. (2021) and Wu et al. (2020b) and show that our model outperforms other models by a large margin (see Table 10).

8 Related Work

EL is an extensively studied task. Prior to the introduction of large language models (LLMs), EL systems used frequency and typing information, alias tables, TF-IDF-based methods and neural networks to model context, mention and entity (Cucerzan, 2007; Bunescu and Paşca, 2006; Milne and Witten, 2008; He et al., 2013; Sun et al., 2015; Lazic et al., 2015; Raiman and Raiman, 2018; Kolitsas et al., 2018; Gupta et al., 2017; Ganea and Hofmann, 2017; Khalife and Vazirgiannis, 2018; Onoe and Durrett, 2019). With the introduction of LLMs, EL systems shifted to transformer-based architectures. Gillick et al. (2019) present a dual encoder architecture based on BERT (Devlin et al., 2019) that encodes mentions and entities in the same dense vector space and performs EL via kNN search. Logeswaran et al. (2019) proposed zero-shot EL and showed that domain adaptive training can address the domain shift problem. Subsequently, Wu et al. (2020b) showed that pre-trained zero-shot architectures are both highly accurate and computationally efficient at scale. Li et al. (2020) introduced a single-pass end-to-end architectures that proved successful on short text spans. Similarly, Ayoola et al. (2022) also relies on an efficient single-pass architecture that also incorporates type information for end-to-end linking. All these works target

Model	ar	de	en	es	fa	ja	sr	ta	tr
R	87.5	91.4	89.1	91.6	86.1	81.4	92.6	72.5	87.0

Table 5: MD performance on Mewsl-9’-test (labeled for end-to-end linking).

Model	TAC-KBP		
	es	zh	macro-avg
F1	64.8	52.4	58.6
R	64.1	49.0	56.6
P	65.6	56.4	61.0

Table 6: End-to-end performance on TAC-KBP (under-labeled for end-to-end linking).

Model	LORELEI				macro-avg
	vi	uk	ti	om	
F1	52.2	48.7	28.7	15.3	36.2
R	55.9	46.6	19.9	10.7	33.3
P	48.9	51.0	51.8	26.5	44.5

Table 7: End-to-end performance on LORELEI (under-labeled for end-to-end linking).

Model	TAC-KBP			macro-avg
	es	zh	macro-avg	
Tsai and Roth (2016a)	82.4	85.1	83.8	
Sil et al. (2018b),	83.9	85.9	84.9	
Upadhyay et al. (2018)	84.4	86.0	85.2	
Zhou et al. (2019)	82.9	85.5	84.2	
De Cao et al. (2022)	86.3	64.6	75.5	
BELA	88.7	75.3	82.0	

Table 8: ED accuracy on TAC-KBP. BELA outperforms baseline models on es and is competitive on zh.

Method	# Samples / Sec
De Cao et al. (2021)	0.22
BELA	11.11

Table 9: Measured inference speed of BELA vs. monolingual GENRE. BELA is ~ 50 times faster.

Method	# Mentions / Sec
De Cao et al. (2021)	0.44
Wu et al. (2020b)	13
BELA	114

Table 10: Measured disambiguation speed.

English only data.

Botha et al. (2020) extended these architecture to a *multilingual setting* enabling EL of mentions in more than 100 languages to a language agnostic reference KB. In contrast to their work, we introduce an end-to-end model that jointly detects and

disambiguates mentions in a multilingual setting.

Before that, EL work outside of the standard monolingual setting focused on a *cross-lingual setting* (McNamee et al., 2011; Tsai and Roth, 2016b; Sil et al., 2018a) where mentions in one language have to link to a reference KB expressed in another language typically limited to English Wikipedia. Work in this direction features progress on low-resource languages (Zhou et al., 2020), zero-shot transfer (Sil and Florian, 2016; Rijhwani et al., 2018; Zhou et al., 2019) and scaling to many languages (Pan et al., 2017). Note that in this work we focus on the more general multilingual setting where we can link to entities that might not be represented in a target monolingual KB.

Besides bi-encoder architectures, De Cao et al. (2021) introduce a model that links entities by generating unique tags in an autoregressive fashion. In mGENRE (De Cao et al., 2022), they extended this approach to a multilingual setting. mGENRE relies on gold mentions marked by special entity delimiter tokens.

In follow-up work they addressed the efficiency problems of generative EL by parallelizing autoregressive linking across mentions and relying on shallow and efficient decoding (De Cao et al., 2021). This work is not multilingual and relies on a pre-computed set of candidates. Generative EL methods are as for now less established and impractical for real world applications.

9 Conclusions

We have presented BELA, the first end-to-end entity linking system that covers 97 languages and is suitable for large-scale applications. BELA scales to 16 million entities with a measured throughput of 53 samples per second on a single GPU.

We release BELA’s codebase and model in the hope that it will find its way in useful applications.

Ethical Considerations

It is important to consider the ethical implications of EL models, especially because EL has many real-world applications.

EL can be deployed both in non-problematic situations, such as content analysis for understanding

user tastes or detecting hate speech, but also in more controversial applications, such as surveillance.

EL models can also be biased. BELA is a multilingual model that relies on Wikidata as its knowledge base, which is itself based on Wikipedia articles. However Wikipedia suffers from well-known biases related to geographical location (Kafée et al., 2018; Beytía, 2020), gender (Hinnosaar, 2019; Schmahl et al., 2020), and marginalized groups (Worku et al., 2020). Having more articles for certain languages or groups can create allocation bias in downstream applications, meaning that the model will perform better for the high-resource groups with more Wikipedia articles.

Thanks to the dense retrieval architecture, the model’s index can however be updated hand in hand with efforts to reduce biases in Wikipedia^{2, 3}, hence mitigating biases in the model. By releasing this first end-to-end multilingual EL system, we also open the way for using EL not only for English but for a wider range of languages, to the benefit of a wider audience over the world. We hope that releasing our code and model will improve reproducibility and foster open-science for the EL community.

References

- Tom Ayoola, Shubhi Tyagi, Joseph Fisher, Christos Christodoulopoulos, and Andrea Pierleoni. 2022. [ReFinED: An efficient zero-shot-capable approach to end-to-end entity linking](#). In *Proceedings of the 2022 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies: Industry Track*, pages 209–220, Hybrid: Seattle, Washington + Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Pablo Beytía. 2020. The positioning matters: Estimating geographical bias in the multilingual record of biographies on wikipedia. In *Companion Proceedings of the Web Conference 2020*, pages 806–810.
- Jan A. Botha, Zifei Shan, and Daniel Gillick. 2020. [Entity Linking in 100 Languages](#). In *Proceedings of the 2020 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing (EMNLP)*, pages 7833–7845, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Samuel Broscheit. 2019. [Investigating entity knowledge in BERT with simple neural end-to-end entity linking](#). In *Proceedings of the 23rd Conference on Computational Natural Language Learning (CoNLL)*, pages 677–685, Hong Kong, China. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Razvan Bunescu and Marius Paşca. 2006. [Using encyclopedic knowledge for named entity disambiguation](#). In *11th Conference of the European Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, Trento, Italy. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Silviu Cucerzan. 2007. [Large-scale named entity disambiguation based on Wikipedia data](#). In *Proceedings of the 2007 Joint Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing and Computational Natural Language Learning (EMNLP-CoNLL)*, pages 708–716, Prague, Czech Republic. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Nicola De Cao, Wilker Aziz, and Ivan Titov. 2021. [Highly parallel autoregressive entity linking with discriminative correction](#). In *Proceedings of the 2021 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*, pages 7662–7669, Online and Punta Cana, Dominican Republic. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Nicola De Cao, Gautier Izacard, Sebastian Riedel, and Fabio Petroni. 2021. [Autoregressive entity retrieval](#). In *9th International Conference on Learning Representations, ICLR 2021, Virtual Event, Austria, May 3-7, 2021*. OpenReview.net.
- Nicola De Cao, Ledell Wu, Kashyap Papat, Mikel Artetxe, Naman Goyal, Mikhail Plekhanov, Luke Zettlemoyer, Nicola Cancedda, Sebastian Riedel, and Fabio Petroni. 2022. [Multilingual autoregressive entity linking](#). *Transactions of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, 10:274–290.
- Jacob Devlin, Ming-Wei Chang, Kenton Lee, and Kristina Toutanova. 2019. [BERT: Pre-training of deep bidirectional transformers for language understanding](#). In *Proceedings of the 2019 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies, Volume 1 (Long and Short Papers)*, pages 4171–4186, Minneapolis, Minnesota. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Thibault Févry, Nicholas FitzGerald, Livio Baldini Soares, and Tom Kwiatkowski. 2020. [Empirical evaluation of pretraining strategies for supervised entity linking](#). In *Automated Knowledge Base Construction (AKAB)*.
- Octavian-Eugen Ganea and Thomas Hofmann. 2017. [Deep joint entity disambiguation with local neural attention](#). In *Proceedings of the 2017 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*, pages 2619–2629, Copenhagen, Denmark. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Daniel Gillick, Sayali Kulkarni, Larry Lansing, Alessandro Presta, Jason Baldrige, Eugene Ie, and Diego Garcia-Olano. 2019. [Learning dense representations](#)

²https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Wikipedia:WikiProject_Women

³https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Wikipedia:WikiProject_Women_in_Red

- for entity retrieval. In *Proceedings of the 23rd Conference on Computational Natural Language Learning (CoNLL)*, pages 528–537, Hong Kong, China. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Nitish Gupta, Sameer Singh, and Dan Roth. 2017. [Entity linking via joint encoding of types, descriptions, and context](#). In *Proceedings of the 2017 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*, pages 2681–2690, Copenhagen, Denmark. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Zhengyan He, Shujie Liu, Mu Li, Ming Zhou, Longkai Zhang, and Houfeng Wang. 2013. [Learning entity representation for entity disambiguation](#). In *Proceedings of the 51st Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 2: Short Papers)*, pages 30–34, Sofia, Bulgaria. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Marit Hinno Saar. 2019. Gender inequality in new media: Evidence from wikipedia. *Journal of economic behavior & organization*, 163:262–276.
- Johannes Hoffart, Mohamed Amir Yosef, Ilaria Bordino, Hagen Fürstenau, Manfred Pinkal, Marc Spaniol, Bilyana Taneva, Stefan Thater, and Gerhard Weikum. 2011. [Robust disambiguation of named entities in text](#). In *Proceedings of the 2011 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*, pages 782–792, Edinburgh, Scotland, UK. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Heng Ji, Joel Nothman, Ben Hachey, and Radu Florian. 2015. Overview of tac-kbp2015 tri-lingual entity discovery and linking. In *TAC*.
- Jeff Johnson, Matthijs Douze, and Hervé Jégou. 2017. Billion-scale similarity search with gpus. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1702.08734*.
- Lucie-Aimée Kaffee, Hady Elsahar, Pavlos Vougiouklis, Christophe Gravier, Frédérique Laforest, Jonathon Hare, and Elena Simperl. 2018. [Learning to generate Wikipedia summaries for underserved languages from Wikidata](#). In *Proceedings of the 2018 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies, Volume 2 (Short Papers)*, pages 640–645, New Orleans, Louisiana. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Sammy Khalife and Michalis Vazirgiannis. 2018. [Scalable graph-based individual named entity identification](#). *CoRR*, abs/1811.10547.
- Nikolaos Kolitsas, Octavian-Eugen Ganea, and Thomas Hofmann. 2018. [End-to-end neural entity linking](#). In *Proceedings of the 22nd Conference on Computational Natural Language Learning*, pages 519–529, Brussels, Belgium. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Guillaume Lample and Alexis Conneau. 2019. Cross-lingual language model pretraining. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems (NeurIPS)*.
- Nevena Lazic, Amarnag Subramanya, Michael Ringgaard, and Fernando Pereira. 2015. [Plato: A selective context model for entity resolution](#). *Transactions of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, 3:503–515.
- Belinda Z Li, Sewon Min, Srinivasan Iyer, Yashar Mehdad, and Wen-tau Yih. 2020. [Efficient one-pass end-to-end entity linking for questions](#). In *Proceedings of the Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing (EMNLP)*.
- Lajanugen Logeswaran, Ming-Wei Chang, Kenton Lee, Kristina Toutanova, Jacob Devlin, and Honglak Lee. 2019. [Zero-shot entity linking by reading entity descriptions](#). In *Proceedings of the 57th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, pages 3449–3460, Florence, Italy. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Pedro Henrique Martins, Zita Marinho, and André F. T. Martins. 2019. [Joint learning of named entity recognition and entity linking](#). In *Proceedings of the 57th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Student Research Workshop*, pages 190–196, Florence, Italy. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Paul McNamee, James Mayfield, Dawn J Lawrie, Douglas W. Oard, and David S. Doermann. 2011. Cross-language entity linking. In *International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing*.
- David N. Milne and Ian H. Witten. 2008. Learning to link with wikipedia. In *CIKM '08*.
- Yasumasa Onoe and Greg Durrett. 2019. [Fine-grained entity typing for domain independent entity linking](#). *CoRR*, abs/1909.05780.
- Xiaoman Pan, Boliang Zhang, Jonathan May, Joel Nothman, Kevin Knight, and Heng Ji. 2017. [Cross-lingual name tagging and linking for 282 languages](#). In *Proceedings of the 55th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers)*, pages 1946–1958, Vancouver, Canada. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Matthew E. Peters, Mark Neumann, Robert Logan, Roy Schwartz, Vidur Joshi, Sameer Singh, and Noah A. Smith. 2019. [Knowledge enhanced contextual word representations](#). In *Proceedings of the 2019 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing and the 9th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing (EMNLP-IJCNLP)*, pages 43–54, Hong Kong, China. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Jonathan Raiman and Olivier Raiman. 2018. Deeptype: Multilingual entity linking by neural type system evolution. In *AAAI*.
- Shruti Rijhwani, Jiateng Xie, Graham Neubig, and Jaime G. Carbonell. 2018. Zero-shot neural transfer for cross-lingual entity linking. In *AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*.

- Katja Geertruida Schmahl, Tom Julian Viering, Stavros Makrodimitis, Arman Naseri Jahfari, David Tax, and Marco Loog. 2020. [Is Wikipedia succeeding in reducing gender bias? assessing changes in gender bias in Wikipedia using word embeddings](#). In *Proceedings of the Fourth Workshop on Natural Language Processing and Computational Social Science*, pages 94–103, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Wei Shen, Jianyong Wang, and Jiawei Han. 2015. [Entity linking with a knowledge base: Issues, techniques, and solutions](#). *IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering*, 27(2):443–460.
- Avi Sil, Heng Ji, Dan Roth, and Silviu-Petru Cucerzan. 2018a. [Multi-lingual entity discovery and linking](#). In *Proceedings of the 56th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Tutorial Abstracts*, pages 22–29, Melbourne, Australia. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Avirup Sil and Radu Florian. 2016. [One for all: Towards language independent named entity linking](#). In *Proceedings of the 54th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers)*, pages 2255–2264, Berlin, Germany. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Avirup Sil, Gourab Kundu, Radu Florian, and Wael Hamza. 2018b. [Neural cross-lingual entity linking](#). In *Proceedings of the Thirty-Second AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Thirtieth Innovative Applications of Artificial Intelligence Conference and Eighth AAAI Symposium on Educational Advances in Artificial Intelligence*. AAAI Press.
- Stephanie Strassel and Jennifer Tracey. 2016. [LORELEI language packs: Data, tools, and resources for technology development in low resource languages](#). In *Proceedings of the Tenth International Conference on Language Resources and Evaluation (LREC 2016)*, pages 3273–3280, Portorož, Slovenia. European Language Resources Association (ELRA).
- Yaming Sun, Lei Lin, Duyu Tang, Nan Yang, Zhenzhou Ji, and Xiaolong Wang. 2015. [Modeling mention, context and entity with neural networks for entity disambiguation](#). In *IJCAI*.
- Chen-Tse Tsai and Dan Roth. 2016a. [Cross-lingual wikification using multilingual embeddings](#). In *Proceedings of the 2016 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies*, pages 589–598.
- Chen-Tse Tsai and Dan Roth. 2016b. [Cross-lingual wikification using multilingual embeddings](#). In *Proceedings of the 2016 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies*, pages 589–598, San Diego, California. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Shyam Upadhyay, Nitish Gupta, and Dan Roth. 2018. [Joint multilingual supervision for cross-lingual entity linking](#). In *Proceedings of the 2018 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*, pages 2486–2495, Brussels, Belgium. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Denny Vrandečić and Markus Krötzsch. 2014. [Wikidata: a free collaborative knowledgebase](#). *Communications of the ACM*, 57(10):78–85.
- Zena Worku, Taryn Bipat, David W. McDonald, and Mark Zachry. 2020. [Exploring systematic bias through article deletions on wikipedia from a behavioral perspective](#). In *Proceedings of the 16th International Symposium on Open Collaboration, OpenSym '20*, New York, NY, USA. Association for Computing Machinery.
- Ledell Wu, Fabio Petroni, Martin Josifoski, Sebastian Riedel, and Luke Zettlemoyer. 2020a. [Scalable zero-shot entity linking with dense entity retrieval](#). In *Proceedings of the 2020 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing (EMNLP)*, pages 6397–6407, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Ledell Yu Wu, Fabio Petroni, Martin Josifoski, Sebastian Riedel, and Luke Zettlemoyer. 2020b. [Scalable zero-shot entity linking with dense entity retrieval](#). In *EMNLP*.
- Wenpeng Yin, Mo Yu, Bing Xiang, Bowen Zhou, and Hinrich Schütze. 2016. [Simple question answering by attentive convolutional neural network](#). In *Proceedings of COLING 2016, the 26th International Conference on Computational Linguistics: Technical Papers*, pages 1746–1756, Osaka, Japan. The COLING 2016 Organizing Committee.
- Shuyan Zhou, Shruti Rijhwani, and Graham Neubig. 2019. [Towards zero-resource cross-lingual entity linking](#). In *Proceedings of the 2nd Workshop on Deep Learning Approaches for Low-Resource NLP (DeepLo 2019)*, pages 243–252, Hong Kong, China. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Shuyan Zhou, Shruti Rijhwani, John Wieting, Jaime Carbonell, and Graham Neubig. 2020. [Improving candidate generation for low-resource cross-lingual entity linking](#). *Transactions of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, 8:109–124.

Hyper-parameter	Value
γ	0.2
β	0.4

Table 11: Hyper-parameters for model finetuned on Mewsli-9’.

Hyper-parameter	Value
γ	0.4
β	0.0

Table 12: Hyper-parameters for model finetuned on AIDA.

A Hyper-parameters

The mention detection threshold β and entity linking threshold γ are tuned on the AIDA development optimizing for F1. We report their value in Tables 11, 12.

B Languages

The 97 languages supported by BELA are listed in Table 13. They consist of the 99 languages supported by XLM-R, with the exception of *zh_min_nan* and *ceb*. Figure 4 shows the histogram of languages chosen for encoding the WikiData entities.

C Data

We show data statistics in Table 14. Note that we split Mewsli-9 in a training and a test (Mewsli-9’) set. Our codebase lists respective indices for reproducibility.

D Underlabelling of TACKBP2015

One reason that our precision is low on the TACKBP2015 dataset is due to underlabelling of the dataset, i.e. some mention not being annotated as entities, but that our model detects. Here are some examples of entities detected by our model but that are not considered true positives because of underlabelling:

For instance *The Investigatory Powers Tribunal today released a judgment declaring that some aspects [...]* is correctly detected as "Investigatory Powers Tribunal" (wikidata: Q6060729). Or *From New York City in the 70s, late 80s and early 90s[...]* is correctly tagged as "New York City" (wikidata Q60).

E Training complexity

All numbers are reported using 40GB A100 GPUs.

Recall that we start by training the entity encoder in two steps. In the first step (in-batch random negatives), we use 64 GPUs and train for approximately 4 days with a batch size of 5,120 (around 115k steps). In the second step (hard negatives), we use 16 GPUs and train for 2 days with a batch size 960 (around 60k steps).

Next, to train the end-to-end model we again follow a two-step process. The disambiguation task trained on Wikipedia takes 5 days using 32 GPUs with a batch size of 24. The final end-to-end training takes 2 days using 32 GPUs with a batch size of 768.

Training the model from scratch is costly, but BELA’s fast inference time makes it a suitable tool to integrate in large scale NLU systems.

Code	Name	Code	Name	Code	Name	Code	Name
<i>af</i>	Afrikaans	<i>als</i>	Alemannic	<i>am</i>	Amharic	<i>an</i>	Aragonese
<i>ang</i>	Old English	<i>ar</i>	Arabic	<i>arz</i>	Egyptian Arabic	<i>ast</i>	Asturian
<i>az</i>	Azerbaijani	<i>bar</i>	Bavarian	<i>be</i>	Belarusian	<i>bg</i>	Bulgarian
<i>bn</i>	Bengali	<i>br</i>	Breton	<i>bs</i>	Bosnian	<i>ca</i>	Catalan
<i>ckb</i>	Central Kurdish	<i>cs</i>	Czech	<i>cy</i>	Welsh	<i>da</i>	Danish
<i>de</i>	German	<i>el</i>	Greek	<i>en</i>	English	<i>eo</i>	Esperanto
<i>es</i>	Spanish	<i>et</i>	Estonian	<i>eu</i>	Basque	<i>fa</i>	Persian
<i>fi</i>	Finnish	<i>fr</i>	French	<i>fy</i>	Western Frisian	<i>ga</i>	Irish
<i>gan</i>	Gan Chinese	<i>gl</i>	Galician	<i>gu</i>	Gujarati	<i>he</i>	Hebrew
<i>hi</i>	Hindi	<i>hr</i>	Croatian	<i>hu</i>	Hungarian	<i>hy</i>	Armenian
<i>ia</i>	Interlingua	<i>id</i>	Indonesian	<i>is</i>	Icelandic	<i>it</i>	Italian
<i>ja</i>	Japanese	<i>jv</i>	Javanese	<i>ka</i>	Georgian	<i>kk</i>	Kazakh
<i>kn</i>	Kannada	<i>ko</i>	Korean	<i>ku</i>	Kurdish	<i>la</i>	Latin
<i>lb</i>	Luxembourgish	<i>lt</i>	Lithuanian	<i>lv</i>	Latvian	<i>mk</i>	Macedonian
<i>ml</i>	Malayalam	<i>mn</i>	Mongolian	<i>mr</i>	Marathi	<i>ms</i>	Malay
<i>my</i>	Burmese	<i>nds</i>	Low German	<i>ne</i>	Nepali	<i>nl</i>	Dutch
<i>nn</i>	Norwegian Nynorsk	<i>no</i>	Norwegian	<i>oc</i>	Occitan	<i>textitpl</i>	Polish
<i>textitpt</i>	Portuguese	<i>ro</i>	Romanian	<i>ru</i>	Russian	<i>scn</i>	Sicilian
<i>sco</i>	Scots	<i>sh</i>	Serbo-Croatian	<i>si</i>	Sinhala	<i>sk</i>	Slovak
<i>sl</i>	Slovenian	<i>sq</i>	Albanian	<i>sr</i>	Serbian	<i>sv</i>	Swedish
<i>sw</i>	Swahili	<i>ta</i>	Tamil	<i>te</i>	Telugu	<i>th</i>	Thai
<i>tl</i>	Tagalog	<i>tr</i>	Turkish	<i>tt</i>	Tatar	<i>uk</i>	Ukrainian
<i>ur</i>	Urdu	<i>uz</i>	Uzbek	<i>vi</i>	Vietnamese	<i>war</i>	Waray
<i>wuu</i>	Wu Chinese	<i>yi</i>	Yiddish	<i>zh</i>	Chinese		
<i>zh_classical</i>	Classical Chinese	<i>zh_yue</i>	Cantonese				

Table 13: Languages supported by BELA.




Figure 4: Number of WikiData entities encoded in each language.

Dataset	# Samples	Mentions
Mewsl-9	58,717	289,087
Mewsl-9'-train	22,005	114,543
Mewsl-9'-validation	7,351	32,383
Mewsl-9'-test	29,361	142,161
Mewsl-9'-train (exhaustively labeled)	22,005	437,862
Mewsl-9'-validation (exhaustively labeled)	7,351	134,241
Mewsl-9'-test (exhaustively labeled)	29,361	554,076
TAC-KBP2015	333	12,522
LORELEI	2243	13,954
AIDA	230	4,485
Wikipedia ED test set	100000	637797

Table 14: Data statistics.