

STRONGLY REGULAR GRAPHS DECOMPOSABLE INTO A DIVISIBLE DESIGN GRAPH AND A HOFFMAN COCLIQUE

ALEXANDER L. GAVRILYUK AND VLADISLAV V. KABANOV

ABSTRACT. In 2022, the second author found a prolific construction of strongly regular graphs, which is based on joining a coclique and a divisible design graph with certain parameters. The construction produces strongly regular graphs with the same parameters as the complement of the symplectic graph $\text{Sp}(2d, q)$.

In this paper, we determine the parameters of strongly regular graphs which admit a decomposition into a divisible design graph and a coclique attaining the Hoffman bound. In particular, it is shown that when the least eigenvalue of such a strongly regular graph is a prime power, its parameters coincide with those of the complement of $\text{Sp}(2d, q)$. Furthermore, a generalization of the construction is discussed.

1. INTRODUCTION

In [17], the second author of the present paper proposed a construction of strongly regular graphs. It goes as follows: take a divisible design graph, say Δ , with specific parameters, add an independent set of vertices (a coclique) C whose cardinality depends on the parameters of Δ , and join their vertices in a certain way governed by a symmetric 2-design on $|C|$ points. The resulting graph, say Γ , has the parameters of the complement of the symplectic graph $\text{Sp}(2d, q)$. In an earlier paper [16], a (*prolific*) construction of hyperexponentially many non-isomorphic divisible design graphs with the parameters of Δ was discovered; thus, combining these two results gives a prolific construction of strongly regular graphs with the parameters of Γ .

A natural question arises: can one use divisible design graphs whose parameters are different from those required in [17] (in order to obtain strongly regular graphs whose parameters are different from those of the complement of $\text{Sp}(2d, q)$)? Note that the coclique C is a Hoffman coclique in Γ , i.e., its cardinality satisfies the Delsarte-Hoffman bound. In this paper, we analyse the situation when a strongly regular graph Γ contains a Hoffman coclique C such that the subgraph induced on $\Gamma \setminus C$ is a divisible design graph. Our main theorem essentially answers the above question as follows.

Theorem 1.1. *Let Γ be a primitive strongly regular graph with parameters (v, k, λ, μ) and the least eigenvalue s . Suppose that Γ contains a coclique C of cardinality $\frac{vs}{s-k}$ and the subgraph induced on $\Gamma \setminus C$ is a proper divisible design graph. Then there exists a natural number n such that the parameters of Γ satisfy:*

$$v = \frac{(-s)(n^2 - 1)}{n + s}, \quad k = (-s)n, \quad \lambda = \mu = (-s)(n + s).$$

The research of Alexander Gavrilyuk is supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 22K03403.

Corollary 1.2. *In the notation of Theorem 1.1, if $-s$ is a prime power, then n also is a prime power divisible by $-s$. In particular, with $q = n/(-s)$, $s = -q^d$, $n = q^{d+1}$ for some natural d , and Γ has the parameters of the complement of $\text{Sp}(2d, q)$.*

We will recall the necessary definitions and notation in Section 2. The proof of Theorem 1.1 is based on the following observation. On the one hand, the spectrum of the graph induced by $\Gamma \setminus C$ is completely determined in terms of the spectrum of Γ and contains at most four distinct eigenvalues. On the other hand, the spectrum of a divisible design graph Δ contains at most five distinct eigenvalues; however, their multiplicities in general are not determined by the parameters of Δ . Comparing these spectra and using various feasibility conditions yields the result; its proof occupies Section 3.

In Section 4, we describe a construction of a graph Γ satisfying the hypothesis of Theorem 1.1. When $-s$ is not a prime power, it slightly generalizes the one given in [17]; however, no examples are known to us: we will discuss the smallest open case which could be constructed in this way.

Note that the decomposition of Γ as in Theorem 1.1 is a special case of the so-called regular decomposition (equitable partition) of strongly regular graphs [11], see also [4, Section 1.1.13]. Strongly regular graphs with strongly regular decomposition were studied by Haemers and Higman in [12]: in particular, they characterized such graphs when one of the two parts is a coclique and the other one is also a strongly regular graph.

Note also that a Hoffman coclique in Γ becomes a Delsarte clique in the complement $\bar{\Gamma}$ of Γ , whose cardinality attains the Delsarte clique bound. However, our result does not apply to a decomposition of a strongly regular graph into a clique and a divisible design graph (see [17, Section 5]), since the complement of the latter one is not a divisible design graph in general. This type of a decomposition will be studied elsewhere.

We conclude the introduction with the following remark to put this work into a larger context. In 1971, Wallis [28] proposed a construction of strongly regular graphs based on affine designs and a Steiner 2-design: it takes all points of some affine designs as the vertices and adds edges between them in a way governed by the Steiner design. Thirty years later Fon-Der-Flaass [9] independently reinvented this idea: he proposed three constructions and showed that they produce hyperexponentially many strongly regular graphs. The first Fon-Der-Flaass construction is a special case of the Wallis' one when a Steiner design is complete, i.e., has blocks of size 2. In particular, when one takes affine planes of order q as the affine designs, the resulting graphs have the parameters of a generalized quadrangle $\text{GQ}(q+1, q-1)$. The second and third constructions explicitly use affine planes and produce graphs with the parameters of $\text{GQ}(q, q)$ and $\text{GQ}(q-1, q+1)$, respectively. Muzychuk [20] went further and generalized the idea of Wallis by replacing a Steiner 2-design with a partial linear space whose collinearity graph is itself strongly regular. In this way, he built at least 6 families of strongly regular graphs including and generalizing the first and third Fon-Der-Flaass constructions: but not the second one. This missing generalization seems to be given by the construction from [17].

We also remark that quite a few other constructions of strongly regular graphs with the parameters of $\text{Sp}(2d, q)$ have been found: Abiad and Haemers [1] and Kubota [19] applied Godsil-McKay switching when $q = 2$, Ihringer [15] and Brouwer, Ihringer, and Kantor [3] developed a sort of switching in polar spaces.

2. STRONGLY REGULAR AND DIVISIBLE DESIGN GRAPHS

All basic definitions and results on strongly regular graphs can be found in [4]. Let Γ be a primitive strongly regular graph with parameters (v, k, λ, μ) and spectrum k^1, r^f, s^g , where $k > r > s$ and the exponents mean the multiplicities. Recall that a coclique (an independent set) C in Γ has cardinality not exceeding $vs/(s-k)$, due to Delsarte [6, Section 3.3] and Hoffman [14]. In the special case when C is a **Hoffman coclique**, i.e., $|C|$ attains this upper bound, one can determine the spectrum of the subgraph induced on $\Gamma \setminus C$ (hereinafter for a subset X of the vertex set of Γ we also write X for the subgraph of Γ induced by X).

Proposition 2.1. ([12, Theorem 2.4], [27,]) *Let Γ be a primitive strongly regular graph on v vertices, with spectrum k^1, r^f, s^g , where $k > r > s$. If Γ contains a Hoffman coclique C (of size $c = vs/(s-k)$), then the induced subgraph $\Gamma \setminus C$ is a regular, connected graph with spectrum*

$$(k+s)^1, r^{f-c+1}, (r+s)^{c-1}, s^{g-c},$$

and it has four distinct eigenvalues if $c < g$.

Divisible design graphs were introduced in [13] (see also [5]). A K -regular graph on V vertices, which is not complete or edgeless, is a **divisible design graph** with parameters $(V, K, \lambda_1, \lambda_2; m, n)$ if its vertex set can be partitioned into m classes of size n , in such a way that any two different vertices from the same class have λ_1 common neighbours, and any two vertices from different classes have λ_2 common neighbours. A divisible design graph is **proper** unless $m = 1$, $n = 1$, or $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2$, in which cases the graph is strongly regular.

The partition of a divisible design graph into classes is called a **canonical partition**. Let Δ be a divisible design graph with parameters $(V, K, \lambda_1, \lambda_2; m, n)$. By [13, Lemma 2.1], the spectrum of Δ is

$$(1) \quad K^1, \sqrt{K-\lambda_1}^{f_1}, -\sqrt{K-\lambda_1}^{f_2}, \sqrt{K^2-\lambda_2V}^{g_1}, -\sqrt{K^2-\lambda_2V}^{g_2},$$

where

$$(2) \quad f_1 + f_2 = m(n-1), \quad g_1 + g_2 = m-1.$$

Note, however, that some of these eigenvalues may coincide or be missing if their multiplicities are equal to 0.

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

In this section we suppose that Γ satisfies the hypothesis of Theorem 1.1 and let Δ denote a divisible design graph with parameters $(V, K, \lambda_1, \lambda_2; m, n)$, induced on $\Gamma \setminus C$. Put $c = \frac{vs}{s-k}$. Proposition 2.1 and Eq. (1) then imply that the following two multisets:

$$\{(k+s)^1, r^{f-c+1}, (r+s)^{c-1}, s^{g-c}\}$$

and

$$\{K^1, \sqrt{K-\lambda_1}^{f_1}, -\sqrt{K-\lambda_1}^{f_2}, \sqrt{K^2-\lambda_2V}^{g_1}, -\sqrt{K^2-\lambda_2V}^{g_2}\}$$

coincide. We will consider this situation in a series of lemmas below. Recall (see [2, Theorem 9.1.2]) that a regular graph (not complete or edgeless) is strongly regular if and only if its spectrum has precisely three distinct eigenvalues. Since we assume that Δ is a proper divisible design graph, $c < g$ holds, i.e., the spectrum of $\Gamma \setminus C$ contains four distinct eigenvalues. It then follows that in Eq. (1) one of the

multiplicities (f_1, f_2, g_1 , or g_2) vanishes or some two of the four eigenvalues (distinct from K) coincide. In the former case, the possible spectra of Δ , corresponding to $r^{f-c+1}, (r+s)^{c-1}, s^{g-c}$, are given in Table 1, where we order the eigenvalues in the descending order (as $r > r+s > s$). In the latter case, since Δ has four distinct eigenvalues,

$$\text{either } \sqrt{K-\lambda_1} = -\sqrt{K-\lambda_1} = 0 \text{ or } \sqrt{K^2-\lambda_2V} = -\sqrt{K^2-\lambda_2V} = 0$$

holds, which implies that $r+s=0$. Note that this equality also holds in Cases (2), (3), (6), (7) from Table 1. In Lemmas 3.4-3.9 below, we first consider the cases with $r+s \neq 0$ from Table 1.

TABLE 1. The possible spectra of Δ with one of the multiplicities vanished.

(1)	$\sqrt{K-\lambda_1}^{f_1}$	$\sqrt{K^2-\lambda_2V}^{g_1}$	$-\sqrt{K^2-\lambda_2V}^{g_2}$	$-\sqrt{K-\lambda_1}^0$
(2)	$\sqrt{K-\lambda_1}^{f_1}$	$\sqrt{K^2-\lambda_2V}^{g_1}$	$-\sqrt{K^2-\lambda_2V}^0$	$-\sqrt{K-\lambda_1}^{f_2}$
(3)	$\sqrt{K-\lambda_1}^{f_1}$	$\sqrt{K^2-\lambda_2V}^0$	$-\sqrt{K^2-\lambda_2V}^{g_2}$	$-\sqrt{K-\lambda_1}^{f_2}$
(4)	$\sqrt{K-\lambda_1}^0$	$\sqrt{K^2-\lambda_2V}^{g_1}$	$-\sqrt{K^2-\lambda_2V}^{g_2}$	$-\sqrt{K-\lambda_1}^{f_2}$
(5)	$\sqrt{K^2-\lambda_2V}^{g_1}$	$\sqrt{K-\lambda_1}^{f_1}$	$-\sqrt{K-\lambda_1}^{f_2}$	$-\sqrt{K^2-\lambda_2V}^0$
(6)	$\sqrt{K^2-\lambda_2V}^{g_1}$	$\sqrt{K-\lambda_1}^{f_1}$	$-\sqrt{K-\lambda_1}^0$	$-\sqrt{K^2-\lambda_2V}^{g_2}$
(7)	$\sqrt{K^2-\lambda_2V}^{g_1}$	$\sqrt{K-\lambda_1}^0$	$-\sqrt{K-\lambda_1}^{f_2}$	$-\sqrt{K^2-\lambda_2V}^{g_2}$
(8)	$\sqrt{K^2-\lambda_2V}^0$	$\sqrt{K-\lambda_1}^{f_1}$	$-\sqrt{K-\lambda_1}^{f_2}$	$-\sqrt{K^2-\lambda_2V}^{g_2}$

Lemma 3.1. *The following equalities hold:*

$$f = \frac{1}{2} \left(v-1 - \frac{2k+(v-1)(r+s)}{r-s} \right), \quad g = \frac{1}{2} \left(v-1 + \frac{2k+(v-1)(r+s)}{r-s} \right).$$

Proof. The multiplicities f and g of the eigenvalues r and s , respectively, can be found from the equations $1+f+g=v$ and $k+fr+gs=0$ (see [2, Section 1.1.4]). Thus, it suffices to verify that f and g given in the lemma satisfy these equations. \square

It will be handy to use the following identity:

$$f-g = -\frac{2k+(v-1)(r+s)}{r-s}.$$

Lemma 3.2. ([13, Proposition 3.2]) *The following inequality holds:*

$$0 \leq K + (g_1 - g_2)\sqrt{K^2 - \lambda_2V} \leq m(n-1).$$

Lemma 3.3. $K = k + s$.

Proof. As C is a Hoffman coclique, every vertex from $\Gamma \setminus C$ is adjacent to precisely $-s$ vertices from C (see, e.g., [4, Proposition 1.1.7]). \square

Lemma 3.4. *Case (1) is not possible.*

Proof. One has $r+s = \sqrt{K^2-\lambda_2V}$ and $s = -\sqrt{K^2-\lambda_2V}$, hence $r = -2s$. Further, $g_1 = c-1$, $g_2 = g-c$, hence $g_1 + g_2 = g-1 = m-1$, and $f_2 = 0$, hence

$f_1 = m(n-1)$ by Eq. (2). Thus, $g < f$ or $n = 2$, in which case $g = f$. In either case, using $r = -2s$ and Lemma 3.1 we obtain

$$f - g = \frac{2k + (v-1)(-s)}{3s} \geq 0,$$

a contradiction since $s < 0$. \square

Lemma 3.5. *Case (4) is not possible.*

Proof. One has $r = \sqrt{K^2 - \lambda_2 V}$, $r + s = -\sqrt{K^2 - \lambda_2 V}$, hence $s = -2r$. Further, $g_1 = f - c + 1$, $g_2 = c - 1$, hence $g_1 + g_2 = f = m - 1$, and $f_1 = 0$, $f_2 = g - c$, hence $f_1 + f_2 = g - c = m(n-1)$ by Eq. (2). Thus, $g = c + m(n-1) > f$.

Using $s = -2r$ and Lemma 3.1, we obtain

$$f - g = \frac{2k + (v-1)(-r)}{-3r} < 0,$$

whence $2k + (v-1)(-r) > 0$, i.e., $r < \frac{2k}{v-1} < 2$. Since Γ has a Hoffman coclique, s and hence r are integral; thus, $r = 1$ and $s = -2$. All strongly regular graphs with $s = -2$ are known (see [4, Section 1.1.10] or [23]); among them, only the 3×3 grid $(9, 4, 1, 2)$, the Petersen graph $(10, 3, 0, 1)$ and its complement $(10, 6, 3, 4)$ satisfy $r = 1$. It is easily seen that these graphs do not admit a decomposition as in Theorem 1.1. \square

Lemma 3.6. *Case (5) is not possible.*

Proof. One has $r = \sqrt{K^2 - \lambda_2 V}$, $r + s = \sqrt{K - \lambda_1}$, $s = -\sqrt{K - \lambda_1}$, hence $r = -2s$. Further, $g_1 = f - c + 1$, $g_2 = 0$, $f_1 = c - 1$ and $f_2 = g - c$. It follows from Eq. (2) that $g = m(n-1) + 1$ and $f = m + c - 2$. Using Lemma 3.1, we obtain $k = (3m + 3c - 5 - v)s$.

Further, as $c = vs/(s-k)$ and $v = mn + c$, we find that c satisfies the equation $2c^2 - c(mn - 3m + 5) + mn = 0$, whence

$$(3) \quad c = c_{1,2} = \frac{(mn - 3m + 5) \pm \sqrt{(mn - 3m + 5)^2 - 8mn}}{4}.$$

(1) Suppose first that $c = c_1$. Since $s^2 = K - \lambda_1$, it follows by Lemma 3.3 that $k = s^2 - s + \lambda_1 \geq s^2 - s$. Therefore, $c = vs/(s-k) \leq v/(2-s)$ and $s \geq 2 - v/c = 1 - mn/c$. We claim that $s > -4$. Indeed, if $1 - mn/c \leq -4$, then

$$mn \geq 5c = \frac{5}{4} \left((mn - 3m + 5) + \sqrt{(mn - 3m + 5)^2 - 8mn} \right),$$

which does not hold for integral $m > 1$ and $n > 1$. Since Γ contains a Hoffman coclique, s must be an integer; thus, $s \in \{-2, -3\}$.

Strongly regular graphs with $s = -2$ were determined by Seidel [23]. In particular, if $s = -2$, $r = 4$, then Γ has one of the following parameter sets (v, k, λ, μ) :

- $(27, 16, 10, 8)$ with $f = 6$ and $g = 20$. Then $c = 27(-2)/(-2 - 16) = 3$. Further, it follows from $f = m + c - 2$ that $m = 5$, which does not divide $mn = v - c = 24$, a contradiction.
- $(28, 12, 6, 4)$ with $f = 7$ and $g = 20$. Then $c = 28(-2)/(-2 - 14) = 4$. It follows that $m = 5$, which does not divide $mn = v - c = 24$.
- $(36, 10, 4, 2)$. In this case Γ is the 6×6 grid, which contains a Hoffman coclique C of size 6. However, one can easily check that $\Gamma \setminus C$ is not a divisible design graph.

Neumaier [21, Page 399] noted that there are exactly 64 parameter sets for strongly regular graphs with the least eigenvalue $s = -3$ and $\mu \notin \{k, 6, 9\}$. They can be determined, e.g., by using the GAP package AGT [8]. Clearly, $\mu \neq k$, as Γ is primitive, and if $k \in \{6, 9\}$, the parameters of Γ can be determined from the equations $k - \mu = -rs$ and $\lambda = \mu + r + s$ (see [4, Section 1.1.1]). In particular, if $s = -3$, $r = 6$, then Γ has one of the following parameter sets (v, k, λ, μ) :

- $(70, 27, 12, 9)$ with $f = 20$ and $g = 49$. Then $c = 70(-3)/(-3 - 27) = 7$. It follows that $m = 15$, which does not divide $v - c = 63$.
- $(81, 60, 45, 42)$: this graph does not contain a Hoffman coclique, as $81(-3)/(-3 - 60)$ is not integral.
- $(81, 24, 9, 6)$ with $f = 24$ and $g = 56$. Then $c = 81(-3)/(-3 - 24) = 9$. It follows that $m = 17$, which does not divide $v - c = 72$.

(2) Suppose now that $c = c_2$ (see Eq. (3)). Since $g_1 - g_2 = m - 1$ and $r = \sqrt{K^2 - \lambda_2 V} = -2s$, it follows from Lemmas 3.2, 3.3 that

$$k + s + (m - 1)(-2s) \leq m(n - 1).$$

Substituting $k = (3m + 3c - 5 - v)s$ into the latter inequality, we obtain

$$s(-m(n - 1) + 2c - 2) \leq m(n - 1).$$

Note that $-m(n - 1) + 2c - 2 < 0$, as

$$c = \frac{(mn - 3m + 5) - \sqrt{(mn - 3m + 5)^2 - 8mn}}{4} < \frac{m(n - 3) + 5}{2}.$$

Therefore,

$$s \geq -\frac{m(n - 1)}{m(n - 1) - 2(c - 1)}.$$

We claim that $s > -2$. Indeed, if, on the contrary, $\frac{m(n-1)}{m(n-1)-2(c-1)} \geq 2$, then:

$$\begin{aligned} m(n - 1) &\geq 2(m(n - 1) - 2(c - 1)), \\ m(n - 1) &\geq 2m(n - 1) - \left((mn - 3m + 1) - \sqrt{(mn - 3m + 5)^2 - 8mn} \right), \\ m(n - 1) &\geq m(n + 1) - 1 + \sqrt{(mn - 3m + 5)^2 - 8mn}, \end{aligned}$$

which does not hold. Again, as s must be an integer, it follows that $s \geq -1$, i.e., Γ is a complete graph, a contradiction. The lemma is proved. \square

Lemma 3.7. *Case (8) is not possible.*

Proof. One has $r = \sqrt{K - \lambda_1}$, $r + s = -\sqrt{K - \lambda_1}$, $s = -\sqrt{K^2 - \lambda_2 V}$, hence $s = -2r$. Further, $f_1 = f - c + 1$, $f_2 = c - 1$, $g_1 = 0$, $g_2 = g - c$. It follows from Eq. (2) that $f = m(n - 1)$ and $g = m + c - 1$. Using Lemma 3.1, we obtain $k = (-s/2)(-mn + 3m + 2c - 2)$.

Further, from

$$c = \frac{vs}{s - k} = \frac{(mn + c)s}{(s - (-s/2)(-mn + 3m + 2c - 2))},$$

we find that c satisfies the equation $2c^2 - c(mn - 3m + 2) - 2mn = 0$, whence (as $c > 0$)

$$c = \frac{(mn - 3m + 2) + \sqrt{(mn - 3m + 2)^2 + 16mn}}{4}.$$

Since $g_1 - g_2 = -(g - c)$ and $s = -\sqrt{K^2 - \lambda_2 V}$, it follows from Lemmas 3.2, 3.3 that

$$(4) \quad 0 \leq k + s + (g - c)s = k + ms,$$

whence $m \leq -k/s$ (recall that $s < 0$). Substituting $k = (-s/2)(-mn + 3m + 2c - 2)$ into the latter inequality, we obtain

$$(5) \quad \begin{aligned} mn &\leq m + 2c - 2, \\ mn &\leq m + \frac{(mn - 3m + 2) + \sqrt{(mn - 3m + 2)^2 + 16mn}}{2} - 2, \\ mn + m + 2 &\leq \sqrt{(mn - 3m + 2)^2 + 16mn}, \end{aligned}$$

hence $(n - 1)(m - 2) \leq 0$. Since $m > 1$ and $n > 1$, the only solution is $m = 2$ and then $c = n$. Moreover, since Eq. (5) holds with equality, so does Eq. (4); thus, $k = -2s = 4r$. Recall that the parameter μ of Γ satisfies $\mu = k + rs$ (see [4, Section 1.1.1]); hence $\mu = 4r + r(-2r) = 4r - 2r^2$ and $r \leq 2$. If $r = 1$, then Γ is the 3×3 grid $(9, 4, 1, 2)$, a contradiction as in Lemma 3.5. If $r = 2$, then $k \leq 8$; there are no feasible parameters sets of strongly regular graphs, satisfying these conditions, a contradiction. \square

In order to proceed with the remaining cases when $r + s = 0$, let us recall that a **Deza graph** [7, 10, 22] with parameters (v, k, b, a) is a graph on v vertices, which is k -regular and every two different vertices have either b or a common neighbours (we always assume that $b \geq a$). For example, a divisible design graph is a Deza graph. Also recall that the **composition** $G_1[G_2]$ of graphs G_1 and G_2 (with vertex sets V_1, V_2 , respectively) is a graph on $V_1 \times V_2$, in which the adjacency relation \sim is defined by $(x_1, x_2) \sim (y_1, y_2)$ if and only if $x_1 \sim_{G_1} y_1$ or $(x_1 = y_1$ and $x_2 \sim_{G_2} y_2)$.

Proposition 3.8. ([7, Theorem 2.6]) *Let D be an (v, k, b, a) Deza graph. Then $b = k$ if and only if D is the composition $G_1[G_2]$, where G_1 is a strongly regular graph with parameters (v_1, k_1, λ, μ) with $\lambda = \mu$, and G_2 is $\overline{K_{v_2}}$ for some integers v_1, k_1, λ, v_2 . Moreover, the parameters of D satisfy $v = v_1 v_2$, $k = b = k_1 v_2$, $a = \lambda v_2$ and $v_2 = \frac{k^2 - av}{k - a}$.*

Note that the composition $G_1[G_2]$ from Proposition 3.8 is a divisible design graph with parameters $(v_1 v_2, k_1 v_2, k_1 v_2, \lambda v_2; v_1, v_2)$.

Lemma 3.9. $r, s \neq \pm\sqrt{K^2 - \lambda_2 V}$, in particular, Cases (6) and (7) are not possible.

Proof. Since $r = -s = \sqrt{K^2 - \lambda_2 V}$, one has $r + s = \sqrt{K - \lambda_1} = 0$. Since $K = \lambda_1$, Δ is a Deza graph with $K = \lambda_1$ (where λ_1 plays the role of the parameter b). By Proposition 3.8, Δ is the composition $G_1[G_2]$ of a strongly regular graph G_1 and a coclique G_2 . More precisely, since two vertices in Δ have K common neighbours whenever they belong to the same copy of G_2 , G_1 has parameters $(m, K/n, \lambda_2/n, \lambda_2/n)$ and G_2 is $\overline{K_n}$.

Furthermore, $f_1 + f_2 = m(n - 1) = c - 1$ holds and hence $c = m(n - 1) + 1$. Since $c = (mn + c)s/(s - k)$, it follows that $s = -k(mn - m + 1)/mn$. As $s = -\sqrt{K^2 - \lambda_2 V}$, we obtain $s^2 = (k + s)^2 - \lambda_2 V$ and $k(k + 2s) = \lambda_2 V > 0$, hence $k \geq -2s$. Therefore, $k \geq 2k(mn - m + 1)/mn$, whence $2(m - 1) \geq mn$, contrary to $n > 1$. \square

Lemma 3.10. *Suppose that $r, s = \pm\sqrt{K - \lambda_1}$. Then $c = m$ and the parameters of Γ satisfy:*

$$v = \frac{(-s)(n^2 - 1)}{n + s}, \quad k = (-s)n, \quad \lambda = \mu = (-s)(n + s).$$

Proof. Since $r + s = 0$, it follows that $\pm\sqrt{K^2 - \lambda_2 V} = 0$ and hence $g_1 + g_2 = m - 1$. It then follows from Eq. (2) that $c = m$. From $v = mn + m = m(n + 1)$ and $c = vs/(s - k)$, we obtain $k = (-s)n$. Using the equations $k - \mu = -rs$ and $\lambda = \mu + r + s$ (see [4, Section 1.1.1]), we further find that $\lambda = \mu = (-s)(n + s)$. \square

Theorem 1.1 now follows from Lemmas 3.4–3.10. Next, we will prove Corollary 1.2.

Lemma 3.11. *In the situation of Lemma 3.10, the parameters of Δ satisfy $m = (-s)(n - 1)/(n + s)$ and:*

- $V = n(-s)(n - 1)/(n + s)$,
- $K = (-s)(n - 1)$,
- $\lambda_1 = (-s)(n + s - 1)$,
- $\lambda_2 = (-s)(n - 1)(n + s)/n$.

Proof. Using the equality $k(k - \lambda - 1) = \mu(v - k - 1)$ (see [4, Section 1.1.1]), we obtain $m = (-s)(n - 1)/(n + s)$. Then $V = mn = n(-s)(n - 1)/(n + s)$ and $K = k + s = (-s)(n - 1)$. Further, $K - \lambda_1 = s^2$ yields $\lambda_1 = (-s)(n + s - 1)$. As $K^2 - \lambda_2 V = 0$, we obtain $\lambda_2 = \frac{s^2(n-1)^2}{mn} = (-s)(n - 1)(n + s)/n$. \square

Lemma 3.12. *In the situation of Lemma 3.10, suppose that $(-s)$ is a prime power. Then $s = -q^d$ and $n = q^{d+1}$ for some natural number d , where $q = n/(-s)$.*

Proof. As λ_2 is integral, it follows from Lemma 3.11 that n divides s^2 , and thus n is a prime power. Put $q = n/(-s)$. Then $m = (-s)(n - 1)/(n + s) = \frac{n-1}{(\frac{n}{-s})-1}$ implies that n is an integer power of q , say, $n = q^{d+1}$, which completes the proof of the lemma and Corollary 1.2. \square

4. A CONSTRUCTION OF Γ AND ITS DISCUSSION

4.1. The quotient matrix of the canonical partition of Δ . Recall that the canonical partition of a divisible design graph (with m classes) is equitable (see [5, Theorem 2.2]). This means that its adjacency matrix A decomposes into blocks A_{ij} , $i, j \in [m]$, where $[m] := \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$, according to the canonical partition, and each block A_{ij} has constant row and column sums. Let $R = (r_{ij})$ be the quotient matrix of A , where r_{ij} is the row sum of A_{ij} . By [13, Proposition 3.2], the matrix R is symmetric and its entries satisfy:

- $\sum_{i \in [m]} r_{ij} = K$ for all $j \in [m]$,
- $\sum_{i, j \in [m]} r_{ij}^2 = \text{trace}(R^2) = mK^2 - (m - 1)\lambda_2 V$,
- $0 \leq \text{trace}(R) = K + (g_1 - g_2)\sqrt{K^2 - \lambda_2 V} \leq m(n - 1)$.

Note that the eigenvalues of R are $K, \pm\sqrt{K^2 - \lambda_2 V}$ with multiplicities 1, g_1 and g_2 , respectively.

Lemma 4.1. (cf. [13, Theorem 3.3]) *Let Δ be a divisible design graph with parameters as in Lemma 3.11. Then $R = (n + s)J$, where J is the all-ones $m \times m$ -matrix.*

Proof. Since $\sqrt{K^2 - \lambda_2 V} = 0$, we have $\text{trace}(R^2) = K^2 = (-s)^2(n - 1)^2$ and the following equations:

- $\sum_{i \in [m]} r_{ij} = (-s)(n - 1)$ for all $j \in [m]$,
- $\sum_{i, j \in [m]} r_{ij}^2 = (-s)^2(n - 1)^2$,
- $\sum_{i \in [m]} r_{ii} = (-s)(n - 1)$.

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i, j} \left(r_{i, j} - \frac{(-s)(n - 1)}{m} \right)^2 &= \sum_{i, j} r_{i, j}^2 - 2 \frac{(-s)(n - 1)}{m} \sum_{i, j} r_{i, j} + (-s)^2(n - 1)^2 = \\ &= (-s)^2(n - 1)^2 - 2 \frac{(-s)(n - 1)}{m} m(-s)(n - 1) + (-s)^2(n - 1)^2 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $r_{ij} = (-s)(n - 1)/m = (n + s)$ for all $i, j \in [m]$. \square

4.2. A construction of Γ . We shall show that a strongly regular graph satisfying the hypothesis of Theorem 1.1 comes from the following Construction 1 below. Recall that the parameters of a symmetric 2-design $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{B})$ with point set \mathcal{P} and block set \mathcal{B} are written as the tuple $(|\mathcal{P}|, |\mathcal{B}|, |B|, |B \cap B'|)$, which does not depend on the particular choice of blocks $B, B' \in \mathcal{B}$.

Construction 1. *Let n be a natural number and s be a negative integer such that there exists a divisible design graph Δ with $m = (-s)(n - 1)/(n + s)$ canonical classes, say P_1, \dots, P_m , each of size n , and with parameters $V, K, \lambda_1, \lambda_2$ as in Lemma 3.11.*

Let $\mathcal{D} = (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{B})$ be a symmetric 2-design with parameters $(m, (-s), (-s)(n + s)/n)$ and ϕ be an arbitrary bijection from the set of all canonical classes of Δ to the set \mathcal{B} of blocks.

Let Γ be a graph defined as follows:

- *The vertex set of Γ is the union of the vertex set of Δ and \mathcal{P} .*
- *Two different vertices from Δ are adjacent in Γ if and only if they are adjacent in Δ . The subgraph of Γ induced by \mathcal{P} is an m -coclique. A vertex from a canonical class P_i of Δ is adjacent to a vertex $y \in \mathcal{P}$ if and only if y belongs to the block $\phi(P_i)$ in \mathcal{D} .*

Recall that $\Gamma(x)$ stands for the set of neighbours of a vertex x of a graph Γ .

Theorem 4.2. *A graph Γ from Construction 1 is a strongly regular graph with parameters $v = m(n + 1)$, $k = (-s)n$, $\lambda = \mu = (-s)(n + s)$.*

Proof. The number of vertices of Γ is $mn + m$. If $x \in P_i$, then there are $K + |\phi(P_i)| = (-s)n$ vertices in $\Gamma(x)$. If $y \in \mathcal{P}$, then by the construction $\Gamma(y)$ consists of the vertices of $(-s)$ canonical classes of Δ , of size n each. Hence, Γ is a regular graph of degree $(-s)n$.

Let x and y be two different vertices from Γ . Then:

- (1) if x, y belong to the same canonical class of Δ , then they have $\lambda_1 = (-s)(n + s - 1)$ common neighbours in Δ and $(-s)$ common neighbours in \mathcal{P} . Thus, $|\Gamma(x) \cap \Gamma(y)| = (-s)(n + s)$.
- (2) if x, y belong to different canonical classes of Δ , then they have $\lambda_2 = (-s)(n - 1)(n + s)/n$ common neighbours in Δ and $(-s)(n + s)/n$ common neighbours in \mathcal{P} . Thus, $|\Gamma(x) \cap \Gamma(y)| = (-s)(n + s)$.
- (3) if x, y are in \mathcal{P} , then their neighborhoods share $(-s)(n + s)/n$ canonical classes of Δ , with n vertices in each of them. Thus, $|\Gamma(x) \cap \Gamma(y)| = (-s)(n + s)$.
- (4) finally, suppose that $x \in \Delta$ and $y \in \mathcal{P}$. By Lemma 4.1, the quotient matrix of the canonical partition of Δ equals $(n + s)J$. Since $\Gamma(y)$ consists of $-s$ canonical classes of Δ , it follows that x and y have $(n + s)$ times $(-s)$ common neighbours in Δ (and no common neighbours in \mathcal{P}).

Therefore, in all cases the number of common neighbours of two different vertices in Γ equals $(-s)(n + s)$. This completes the proof of Theorem 4.2. \square

Lemma 4.3. *Let Γ be a graph satisfying the hypothesis of Theorem 1.1 and Δ a divisible design graph induced on $\Gamma \setminus C$.*

- (1) *If x and y are vertices from the same canonical class of Δ , then $\Gamma(x) \cap C = \Gamma(y) \cap C$.*
- (2) *If z is a vertex in C , then every canonical class of Δ is either contained in or disjoint with $\Gamma(z)$.*

Proof. Let x and y be any two vertices from the same canonical class of Δ . By Lemmas 3.10 and 3.11, $\mu - \lambda_1 = (-s)$. Thus, x and y have exactly $(-s)$ common neighbours in C , which are all their neighbours in C as $k - K = (-s)$ by Lemma 3.3. This shows (1), and (2) follows immediately from (1). \square

Theorem 4.4. *Let Γ be a graph satisfying the hypothesis of Theorem 1.1. Then Γ can be obtained from Construction 1.*

Proof. It suffices to verify that there exist a design \mathcal{D} and a bijection ϕ : their existence follows from Lemma 4.3 (observe that two different vertices from C share $\mu/n = (-s)(n + s)/n$ canonical classes of Δ). \square

4.3. Concluding remarks. Divisible design graphs with the parameters required in Construction 1 were first found in [16] for $n = q^d$, $s = -q^{d-1}$, where q is any prime power and d is any positive integer > 1 , using affine designs and some ideas of Wallis [28], Fon-Der-Flaass [9], and Muzychuk [20] constructions of strongly regular graphs. Construction 1 generalizes the construction of strongly regular graphs which was given in [17]. Note that there are exactly 28 strongly regular graphs with parameters $(40, 27, 18, 18)$ by [24]: 27 of them satisfy the hypothesis of Theorem 1.1 with a divisible design graph having parameters $(36, 24, 15, 16; 4, 9)$. Removing different Hoffman cocliques from the same strongly regular graph may give non-isomorphic divisible design graphs; in particular, in this way one obtains 87 divisible design graphs with parameters $(36, 24, 15, 16; 4, 9)$, only four of which come from the construction in [16] using affine planes.

All known examples of strongly regular graphs with the parameters as in Theorem 4.2 and divisible design graphs with the parameters as in Construction 1 have the least eigenvalue s , which is a prime power.

Let us discuss the first case when s is not a prime power, i.e., $s = -6$. Then $\frac{6(n-1)}{n-6}$ and $\frac{6(n-6)}{n}$ must be integers (see the parameters m and λ_2 in Lemma 3.11). Thus, $n \in \{9, 12, 36\}$. If $n = 9$, then $n + s = 3$; hence, by Lemma 4.1, a subgraph of Δ induced by a canonical class would have been a cubic graph on 9 vertices, which is impossible.

If $n = 12$, then the parameters of Δ and Γ would be $(132, 66, 30, 33; 11, 12)$ and $(143, 72, 36, 36)$, respectively. Strongly regular graphs with such parameters do exist [4, 18]. However, as far as we could check, the examples known to us do not contain Hoffman cliques; thus, they do not come from Construction 1.

Finally, if $n = 36$, then $m = 7$ and the parameters of Δ and Γ would be $(252, 210, 174, 175; 7, 36)$ and $(259, 216, 180, 180)$, respectively. The existence of both graphs is unknown to us (and they cannot be constructed by using affine designs, as there is no affine plane of order 6 [25, 26]). Construction 1 shows that the existence of the former one implies the existence of the latter one.

REFERENCES

1. A. Abiad and W. H. Haemers, *Switched symplectic graphs and their 2-ranks*, Des. Codes Cryptogr. **81** (2016), 35–41.
2. A. E. Brouwer and W. H. Haemers, *Spectra of graphs*, Springer, New York, 2012.
3. A. E. Brouwer, F. Ihringer, and W. M. Kantor, *Strongly regular graphs satisfying the 4-vertex condition*, arXiv (2021), <https://arxiv.org/abs/2107.00076>.
4. A. E. Brouwer and H. Van Maldeghem, *Strongly regular graphs*, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2022.
5. D. Crnković and W. H. Haemers, *Walk-regular divisible design graphs*, Des. Codes Cryptogr. **72** (2014), 165–175.
6. P. Delsarte, *An algebraic approach to the association schemes of coding theory*, Philips Research Reports Suppl. **10** (1973).
7. M. Erickson, S. Fernando, W. H. Haemers, D. Hardy, and J. Hemmeter, *Deza graphs: A generalization of strongly regular graphs*, J. Comb. Designs **7** (1999), 359–405.
8. R. J. Evans, *AGT, Algebraic Graph Theory, 2022*, <https://gap-packages.github.io/agt>.
9. D. G. Fon-Der-Flaass, *New prolific constructions of strongly regular graphs*, Adv. Geom. **2** (2002), 301–306.
10. S. Goryainov and L. V. Shalaginov, *Deza graphs: a survey and new results*, arXiv (2021), <https://arxiv.org/abs/2103.00228>.
11. W. H. Haemers, *Eigenvalue techniques in design and graph theory*, Ph.D. thesis, Technische Universiteit Eindhoven, 1979.
12. W. H. Haemers and D. G. Higman, *Strongly regular graphs with strongly regular decomposition*, Linear Algebra Appl. **114–115** (1989), 379–398.
13. W. H. Haemers, H. Kharaghani, and M. Meulenberg, *Divisible design graphs*, J. Comb. Theory Ser. A **118** (2011), 978–992.
14. A. J. Hoffman, *On eigenvalues and colorings of graphs*, Graph Theory and its Applications (B. Harris, ed.), Academic Press, New York, 1970, pp. 79–91.
15. F. Ihringer, *A switching for all strongly regular collinearity graphs from polar spaces*, J. Algebr. Comb. **46** (2017), 263–274.
16. V. V. Kabanov, *New versions of the Wallis–Fon-Der-Flaass construction to create divisible design graphs*, Discrete Math. **345** (2022), 113054.
17. ———, *A new construction of strongly regular graphs with parameters of the complement symplectic graph*, Electron. J. Comb. **30(1)** (2023), no. P1.25.
18. V. Krcadinac, *Steiner 2-designs*, <https://web.math.pmf.unizg.hr/%7Ekrcko/results/steiner.html>.
19. S. Kubota, *Strongly regular graphs with the same parameters as the symplectic graph*, Siberian Electronic Mathematical Reports **13** (2016), 1314–1338.
20. M. Muzychuk, *A generalization of Wallis–Fon-Der-Flaass construction of strongly regular graphs*, J. Algebr. Comb. **25** (2007), 169–187.

21. A. Neumaier, *Strongly regular graphs with smallest eigenvalue $-m$* , Arch. Math.(Basel) **33**(4) (1979–80), 392–400.
22. Dmitry Panasenko, *Strictly Deza Graphs*, 2023, <http://alg.imm.uran.ru/dezagraphs/main.html>.
23. J. J. Seidel, *Strongly regular graphs with $(-1, 1, 0)$ adjacency matrix having eigenvalue 3*, Linear Algebra Appl. **1** (1968), 281–298.
24. E. Spence, *The strongly regular $(40, 12, 2, 4)$ graphs*, Electron. J. Comb. **7**(1) (2000), no. R22.
25. G. Tarry, *Le problème de 36 officiers*, Comptes Rendus de l'Association Française pour l'Avancement des Sciences **1** (1900), 122–123.
26. ———, *Le problème de 36 officiers*, Comptes Rendus de l'Association Française pour l'Avancement des Sciences **2** (1901), 170–203.
27. E. R. van Dam, *Regular graphs with four eigenvalues*, Linear Algebra Appl. **226–228** (1995), 139–162.
28. W. D. Wallis, *Construction of strongly regular graphs using affine designs*, Bulletin of the Australian Mathematical Society **4** (1971), 41–49.

SHIMANE UNIVERSITY, MATSUE, JAPAN

Email address: gavrilyuk@riko.shimane-u.ac.jp

KRASOVSKII INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS AND MECHANICS, YEKATERINBURG, RUSSIA

Email address: vvk@imm.uran.ru