

# Research on Named Entity Recognition in Improved transformer with R-Drop structure

Weidong Ji<sup>1</sup>, Yousheng Zhang<sup>1</sup>, Guohui Zhou<sup>1</sup>, Xu Wang<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>College of Computer Information Engineering, Harbin Normal University, Harbin, 150025, China.

\*Corresponding author(s). E-mail(s): [wx971025@163.com](mailto:wx971025@163.com);  
Contributing authors: [kingjwd@126.com](mailto:kingjwd@126.com); [13783686643@163.com](mailto:13783686643@163.com);  
[zhouguohui@hrbnu.edu.cn](mailto:zhouguohui@hrbnu.edu.cn);

## Abstract

To enhance the generalization ability of the model and improve the effectiveness of the transformer for named entity recognition tasks, the XLNet-Transformer-R model is proposed in this paper. The XLNet pre-trained model and the Transformer encoder with relative positional encodings are combined to enhance the model's ability to process long text and learn contextual information to improve robustness. To prevent overfitting, the R-Drop structure is used to improve the generalization capability and enhance the accuracy of the model in named entity recognition tasks. The model in this paper performs ablation experiments on the MSRA dataset and comparison experiments with other models on four datasets with excellent performance, demonstrating the strategic effectiveness of the XLNet-Transformer-R model.

**Keywords:** named entity recognition, pre-trained model, relative location encoding, R-Drop structure

## 1 Introduction

The main task of Named Entity Recognition (NER) is to recognize entities such as names of people, place names, and proper nouns in a corpus. The NER task is the basis for many NLP downstream tasks, including knowledge graphs [1], intelligent questions and answers systems [2], machine translation [3, 4], and information retrieval [5]. In the early stage, named entity recognition tasks were mainly based on rules and templates

[6–8], and gradually shifted to statistical machine learning based methods [9–11]. With the wide application of deep learning techniques, named entity recognition based on deep learning models [12, 13] is nowadays gradually becoming a mainstream party. Jason et al [12] proposed Bidirectional LSTM-CNNs architecture to automatically detect word and character-level features, eliminating the need for most feature engineering; Gregoric et al. [14] used multiple independent Bi-LSTM units at the same input end to enhance the model effect. Ma et al [15] fused the input layer for words with all matched lexical information based on the Lattice-LSTM model. The emergence of Transformer has rapidly boosted researchers’ research on NER [16, 17]. However, it was found that Transformer is not very effective for NER tasks directly due to the inability to obtain relative positional information between words due to the position encoding method [18]. Zheng Honghao et al [19] proposed an improved Transformer encoder method for named entity recognition, which improved the absolute positional encodings in the Transformer to relative positional encodings. effectively improving the effect of the NER task. li et al [20] used BERT to generate word vectors dynamically and experimentally proved that the replacement with dynamic word vectors has better performance. From the research literature, named entity recognition based on deep learning is more effective, but the model generalization ability is not good and often produces overfitting in the training of domain entity recognition, and the vertical domination research and generalization of the model in named entity recognition still deserve in-depth research.

In this paper, we propose the XLNet-Transformer-R model. The XLNet pre-training model is used as the encoder layers, and the Transform-XL feature extractor is used to enhance the feature extraction and fusion ability in long text. The Transformer with relative positional encodings is used as the positional encoder layers so that the model captures the relative positional information of the input sequence and enhances the model’s perception of the input sequence, while the R-Drop structure is used to reduce the occurrence of overfitting and enhance the generalization ability of the model.

## 2 Related Work

### 2.1 XLNET pre-training model

After BERT [21] was proposed, researchers applied it to the NER task [22, 23] and made a great breakthrough. An important reason why BERT can obtain natural language understanding ability is the use of the Masked Language Model (MLM), but since Mask tokens in MLM training do not appear in the actual inference results, this can lead to errors in prediction. Google proposed XLNet [24] to solve this problem. The XLNet fuses the autoencoding training method of BERT and the autoregressive training method of GPT [25] and proposes the Permutation Language Model (PLM), which uses a permutation autoregressive training method to overcome the negative effects of Mask tagging in the autoencoding training approach are overcome. To cooperate with this replacement autoregressive training approach, a two-stream attention is proposed, using one attention mechanism for the above information and one for the below information. In addition, XLNet introduces the Transformer-XL recurrence

mechanism to enable the model to better access long-distance contextual information and enhance its ability to handle long texts. The permutation language model, the two-stream attention, and the recurrence mechanism together constitute the three main mechanisms of the XLNet model.

## 2.2 Relative Positional Encodings

Transformer uses fixed absolute positional encodings, and for each input vector, the word embedding vector is summed by the encoder with the absolute positional encoding, as shown in Eq.(1).

$$c_i = e_i + p_i \quad (1)$$

However, the absolute positional encodings only undertake the task of differentiation and have no direction. In the NER task, the directional pointing between entities is particularly important, and the attention score between the input vector and the vector is as shown in Eq.(2), and Eq.(3) can be obtained by expanding Eq.(2).

$$A_{ij} = QK^T \quad (2)$$

$$A_{ij} = e_i W^Q (W^K)^T e_l^T + e_i W^Q (W^K)^T p_l^T + p_i W^Q (W^K)^T e_l^T + p_i W^Q (W^K)^T p_l^T \quad (3)$$

According to Eq. (3), only the last three items contain the position vector, and the second and third items only contain the absolute position of the  $i$ th and  $l$ th elements respectively. According to the research in literature [26], the fourth item cannot reflect the relative positional information, so Transformer encoder cannot reflect the relative position of input information.

In this paper, we refer to the work of Shaw et al. [27], which adds relative positional encodings to Transformers. Assuming that the relative distance of the input sequence does not exceed  $k$ , the relative positions of the matrix and the elements of the matrix sequence are calculated by Eq.(4) and Eq.(5), respectively, and the relative distances between the elements are calculated by Eq.(6).

$$a_{il}^K \in w_{rel(l-i,k)}^K \quad (4)$$

$$a_{il}^V \in w_{rel(l-i,k)}^V \quad (5)$$

$$rel(x,k) = \max(-k, \min(k, x)) \quad (6)$$

For two relative positional encoding  $a_{il}^K, a_{il}^V$ , through the training to learn their own matrix, as shown in Eq. (7) and Eq. (8).

$$\mathbf{w}^K = (\mathbf{w}_{-k}^K, \dots, \mathbf{w}_k^K) \quad (7)$$

$$\mathbf{w}^V = (\mathbf{w}_{-k}^V, \dots, \mathbf{w}_k^V) \quad (8)$$

The constructed relative position matrix is fused with the multi-headed self-attentive mechanism to form a structure with directional information, as shown in Eq. (9) and Eq. (10).

$$A_{il} = Q_i (K_l + a_{il}^K)^T \quad (9)$$

$$A_{il} = Q_i (K_l + a_{il}^K)^T \quad (10)$$

Where  $A_{il}$  is the attention score, the relative positional encoding information is integrated into the multi-head word attention mechanism in the above way, so that the model can capture the relative positional information of the input sequence and enhance the perception ability of the model to the input sequence.

### 3 XLNet-Transformer-R model

In this paper, the XLNet pre-trained model is used as the encoding layer, the Transformer with relative positional encoding is used as the positional encoding layer, and the R-Drop structure is combined to construct the XLNet-Transformer-R model for the named entity recognition tasks. The training data is input embedded and encoded through XLNet, and the relative position is embedded into the feature encodings output of XLNet through the Transformer encoder with relative positional encodings to ensure that there is relative positional information in the features. The R-Drop structure is used to enhance the generalization ability of the model. XLNet - Transformer - R model structure is shown in Figure 1.




Fig. 1 XLNet-Transformer-R model structure

By integrating the R-Drop structure into the named entity recognition model, the Transformer model with relative positional encoding has different structures, similar to bagging training, which increases the generalization ability of the model.

#### 3.1 XLNET Displacement Autoregressive Model

##### 3.1.1 Permutation Autoregressionm


The mask matrix is used in Transformer to realize the unification of single term coding and bidirectional coding, but the training of unidirectional coding and bidirectional coding are independent of each other in the training process. Permutation Language

Model (PLM) skillfully combines one-way encoding training and k-bidirectional encoding training by permutation autoregressive method. This is done by first shuffling the input sequence and then using a one-way encoding mechanism to predict the last 15% of the input sequence. An example is as follows:

The original order: it's | a | gread | day, 1|2|3|4

The replacement order: gread | a | day | it's, 3|2|4|1

For the word order after permutation, only a special mask matrix is needed to mask, and then one-way coding training is performed. The mask matrix is shown in Figure 2. Although unidirectional encoding can only notice the information of the previous word, the permutation operation can make the current word see its own context information with a high probability, so as to achieve the effect of bidirectional encoding.



**Fig. 2** The mask matrix in PLM

As can be seen from Figure 2, since position 1 "it's" is placed on position 4 after permutation, it can see all the previous word information, that is, the orange dot position in the first row of Figure 2. Similarly, since the position of "of" in position 2 remains unchanged after replacement, it can see the content in position 1 after replacement, namely "weather", and so on, the PLM mask matrix can be generated.

### 3.1.2 Two-Stream Attention Mechanism

The mask matrix in PLM is different from the mask matrix in GPT. The mask matrix in PLM masks itself and all the future information. Because the embedded information of the position to be predicted is not encoded, the information disappearance problem arises, for example:

First layer : e3 | e2 | e4 | e1

Second layer : x3 | x2 | x4 | x1

...

N layer : o3 | o2 | o4 | o1

For  $x_3$ , the encoding information of  $x_3$  only contains the information before  $e_3$ , but does not contain  $e_3$  itself. When calculating  $x_2$ , the information of  $e_3$  itself needs to be used, but  $x_3$  does not contain the information of  $e_3$ , so there is a problem of missing information in the encoding of  $x_2$ . This situation becomes very serious as the number of layers in the network increases. In order to solve this problem, the two-stream attention mechanism is adopted in XLNet to alleviate the above problems.

The two streams refer to content stream and query stream. The content stream is represented by  $h$ . The mask of content stream is similar to that of Transformer decoder, and the embedded information of the position to be predicted is taken into account when calculating multiple self-attention. The query stream is represented by  $g$ , and the mask of the query stream is set according to the PLM training mask. The position to be predicted can only see the previous information, not the information of itself and the future. As shown in Figure 3.




Fig. 3 Two-Stream Self-Attention

It can be seen from the figure that the calculation process of the two-stream self-attention mechanism is: firstly, the initial content stream  $h_i^{(0)}$  is the word vector embedded in  $e(x_i)$ ; the initial query stream  $g_i^{(0)}$  is the variable  $w$ ; the superscript represents the 0th layer encoder; the encoder calculation is shown in equations (11) and (12).

$$g_{z_t}^{(m)} = Attention(Q = g_{z_t}^{(m-1)}, KV = h_{z_{<t}}^{(m-1)}); \quad (11)$$

$$h_{z_t}^{(m)} = Attention(Q = h_{z_t}^{(m-1)}, KV = h_{z_{<=t}}^{(m-1)}); \quad (12)$$

Where  $z_t$  represents the current position,  $z_{<t}$  represents the forward information without the current position, and  $z_{<=t}$  represents the forward information including the current position. When calculating the content information flow,  $h_i$  uses the same multi-head self-attention calculation method as the Transformer decoder, and the encoding carries the character embedding information of the current position.  $g_i$  does

not carry its own embedding information when calculating the encoding, but only carries its own position information. The dual-stream self-attention mechanism saves content information through an additional information preservation stream, solving the problem of information loss in PLM training.

### 3.1.3 Transformer-XL

Transformer-XL (Transformer eXtra Long) is a model proposed by Google in 2019 to address the issue of processing long texts in BERT. Compared to RNN, Transformer can capture long-term dependencies, but it requires more intermediate information to be stored, which increases with sequence length. If the text is too long, Transformer can quickly cause memory overflow. Due to this performance issue, BERT splits continuous text into segments of 512 words, which solves the memory issue but severely damages the long-term dependency relationships within the text. For example, The little girl is holding ice cream —her mother bought it for her ,if "the little girl" and "her" are not in the same segment, the encoding of "her" will not be able to merge with the information of "the little girl". Transformer-XL introduces a recurrent state mechanism similar to RNN, first dividing the text into segments of fixed length 512, introducing a state variable "memory", and saving the encoding information of the previous segment into memory, which is used for the encoding of the next segment. This way, the information from the previous segment can be captured by the next segment, allowing the paragraph information to be transmitted in the encoder across time steps and obtaining long-term dependency relationships. The transmission of information between segments is shown in Figure 4.




Fig. 4 Transformer-XL inter-segment information transfer

After introducing the segment recurrence mechanism, Transformer-XL encountered the issue of position encoding. In Transformer, absolute position encoding is used, where the position encoding for each segment is the same for the same position. However, in the segment recurrence mechanism, the position of the first word in paragraph 1 and the position 1 word in paragraph 2 have a temporal relationship, and the

position encoding for the same position in two different segments cannot be the same. Therefore, Transformer-XL uses sine relative positional encoding.

XLNet uses the segment recurrence mechanism from Transformer-XL and can capture long-term dependencies compared to the BERT model. The illustration of how XLNet captures long-term dependencies is shown in Figure 5.




Fig. 5 XLNet captures long-term dependencies

The XLNet model greatly improves the feature extraction and fusion capabilities in long texts by integrating the feature extractor from Transformer-XL.

### 3.2 R-Drop structure

Named entity recognition based on deep learning is excellent, but the generalization ability of the model is not good, which often produces overfitting in the training of domain entity recognition. To improve this situation, the R-Drop structure [28] will be introduced in this paper to enhance the generalization ability of the model, and the R-Drop structure is shown in Figure 6.

For the same training data, feeding the data into two different Dropout structures results in different data distributions, approximating the two paths as two different models. Multiple outputs obtained through R-Drop are first used to compute cross-entropy loss as shown in Equation (13), and then total loss can be calculated using KL divergence, which is shown in Equation (14).

$$L_i^{CE} = -\log P_1^\theta(y_i|x_i) - \log P_2^\theta(y_i|x_i) \quad (13)$$

$$(P_1^\theta(y_i|x_i)||P_2^\theta(y_i|x_i)) + KL(P_2^\theta(y_i|x_i)||P_1^\theta(y_i|x_i)) \quad (14)$$




Fig. 6 R-Drop structure

The KL divergence serves to hope that the outputs of different Dropout models are as consistent as possible. Finally, the final Loss can be obtained by weighting the two Losses, as shown in equation (15).

$$L_i = L_i^{CE} + \alpha L_i^{KL} \quad (15)$$

In the actual training process, the method used is not to feed the same input into the same model twice. To save training time, the common practice is to copy the training data directly, and the batch.size will be directly doubled, which can greatly save training time, but the model complexity rises rapidly, and the training time of each step will increase, but the total time will be shortened.

## 4 Experimental design and result analysis

### 4.1 Dataset and model parameter settings

This experiment selects MSRA, Resume, OntoNotes4.0 and Weibo datasets for general domain NER task training, and uses the entity set in the data structure direction open-sourced by the School of Data Science, East China Normal University for vertical domain NER task training. The MSRA [29] dataset is a public dataset from Microsoft, including three types of entities: personal name, place name, and organization name. Resume [30] is a Chinese resume dataset, containing eight types of entities including country, education institution, personal name, organization name, place name, occupation, position, and ethnicity. OntoNotes4.0 [31] is a large-scale multilingual NER training dataset, and this article only takes the Chinese part of the dataset. The Weibo dataset [32] is a social media dataset that contains four types of entities: place name, personal name, organization name, and geopolitical. The NER training set open-sourced by the School of Data Science, East China Normal University, includes named entities and relations in middle school mathematics, high school mathematics,

and data structures. This article selects the data structure direction training set for training. The detailed information of the training set is shown in Table 1.

**Table 1** Detailed information of named entity recognition training set

Dataset	The total sentence	Number of sentences in the training set	Number of sentences in the validation set	Number of sentences in the test set
MSRA	46400	46400	-	-
Resume	4740	3800	460	480
OntoNotes4.0	24300	15700	4300	4300
Weibo	1940	1400	270	270
Open source dataset of East China Normal University	176919	66497	-	110422

Data set labeling rules all use BMES labeling rules, where B is the beginning position of the entity, M is the middle of the entity, E is the end of the entity, and S is the single-word entity.

The experimental model uses the XLNet-Transformer-R structural model, and the XLNet pre-trained model using the XLNet model pre-trained on encyclopedia and news data using 5.4B word count in Xunfei Lab of Harbin Institute of Technology is used as the embedding layer. A 12-layer self-attentive mechanism with 8 heads is used in the Transformer with relative positional encoding, and the maximum relative encoding distance of relative position encoding is dynamically adjusted from 1 to 15. The model is optimized using the Adam optimizer with a preheated learning rate mechanism. The learning rate is set to an initial score of 0.002, and the Dropout of the two R-Drop branches is set to 0.85. The training data are collated and the experimental results are compared on all comparison models.

## 4.2 Analysis of experimental results for generic domain data training

In this paper, ablation experiments are first conducted on the XLNet-Transformer-R model on the MSRA dataset, and the ablation experimental results are obtained by comparing the model without R-Drop structure, the model without relative position encoding and other encoder models, and the results show that the encoder, relative position encoding strategy of the XLNet-Transformer-R model and R-Drop structure are effective. The experimental results are shown in Table 2.

From the ablation experimental results, compared with the XLNet-Transformer model without relative positional encodings and R-Drop structure, the F1 score of the model in this paper is improved by 5%, and the addition of relative positional encodings strategy improves the F1 score by about 4%, and the addition of R-Drop structure improves the F1 score by about 2%, which proves the XLNet-Transformer-R model’s strategy effectiveness.

This paper compared the XLNet-Transformer-R model with the Lattice LSTM model [30], the FLAT model [33], the XLNet-Transformer\_P-CRF model [19], and the

**Table 2** XLNet-Transformer-R ablation experiments

Model	Precise (%)	Recall (%)	F1 Score (%)
XLNet-GRU	88.23	88.19	88.21
XLNet-BiLSTM	89.98	86.75	88.34
XLNet-Transformer(Absolute positional encodings)	88.56	86.11	87.32
XLNet-Transformer (Relative positional encodings)	90.23	93.57	91.87
XLNet-Transformer-R (Absolute positional encodings)	90.02	87.39	88.69
XLNet-Transformer-R (Relative positional encodings) (this paper)	<b>92.07</b>	<b>94.01</b>	<b>93.03</b>

model described in Reference [34] on four datasets. The comparative experimental results on the four datasets are shown in Table 3, Table 4, Table 5, and Table 6.

**Table 3** Comparative experiments on the MSRA dataset

Model	Precise (%)	Recall (%)	F1 Score (%)
Lattice LSTM	93.57	92.79	93.18
Reference [34]	94.01	92.93	93.47
FLAT	-	-	94.35
XLNet-Transformer_P-CRF	<b>93.64</b>	<b>96.63</b>	<b>95.11</b>
XLNet-Transformer-R	92.07	94.01	93.03

**Table 4** Comparison experiments on Resume dataset

Model	Precise (%)	Recall (%)	F1 Score (%)
Lattice LSTM	94.81	94.11	94.46
FLAT	-	-	94.93
XLNet-Transformer_P-CRF	96.23	97.18	96.70
XLNet-Transformer-R	<b>96.45</b>	<b>97.23</b>	<b>96.84</b>

From the above experimental results, the XLNet-Transformer-R model is superior to the Lattice LSTM model, the FLAT model, and the model in reference [34]. It has higher precision and F1 score than the XLNet-Transformer\_P-CRF model. The F1 Score bar chart of the algorithms is shown in Figure 7.

From the figure, we can see that the XLNet-Transformer-R model performs well on the four test sets, which proves the effectiveness of the XLNet-Transformer-R model.

### 4.3 Analysis of experimental results of vertical domain data

In this paper, the XLNet-Transformer-R model is trained using the publicly available data structure direction NER training set from the Data Institute of East China Normal University, and the data in the dataset are labeled in BIO, and this paper first preprocesses the dataset to convert the data to BMES labeling. The same parameter settings as those used for training the generic domain data are used, and the results of the comparison experiments are shown in Table 7.


As can be seen from Table 7, the F1 score of the XLNet-Transformer-R model in the data structure direction test set are better than the other two comparison models,

**Table 5** Comparative experiments on OntoNotes4.0 dataset

Model	Precise (%)	Recall (%)	F1 Score (%)
Lattice LSTM	76.35	71.65	73.88
Reference [34]	75.06	74.52	74.79
FLAT	-	-	75.70
XLNet-Transformer_P-CRF	76.68	<b>84.80</b>	80.54
XLNet-Transformer-R	<b>79.34</b>	83.16	<b>81.21</b>

**Table 6** Comparative experiments on microblog datasets

Model	Precise (%)	Recall (%)	F1 Score (%)
Reference [34]	67.31	48.61	56.45
Lattice LSTM	-	-	58.79
FLAT	-	-	63.42
XLNet-Transformer_P-CRF	68.34	<b>74.88</b>	71.46
XLNet-Transformer-R	<b>70.23</b>	74.68	<b>72.39</b>

**Fig. 7** Comparison experimental bar chart

which proves that the XLNet-Transformer-R model still has good performance in the vertical domain.

## 5 Conclusion

This article proposes the XLNet-Transformer-R model. The XLNet pre-training model is used as the encoding layer to solve the mutual dependency between Mark words. The inclusion of Transform-XL allows for the retrieval of longer distance information. The relative positional encodings is integrated into the multi-head self-attention mechanism to capture the relative positional relationships of the input sequence and enhance the model’s perception ability. The R-Drop structure is introduced to enhance

**Table 7** Comparison of experimental results of vertical domain data training

Model	Precise (%)	Recall (%)	F1 Score (%)
Lattice LSTM	91.30	90.28	90.79
BiLSTM-CRF	90.76	88.47	89.23
XLNet-Transformer-R(this paper)	<b>95.83</b>	<b>92.89</b>	<b>94.34</b>

the model’s generalization ability. Through ablation and comparative experiments on a general domain dataset, the effectiveness of the XLNet-Transformer-R model strategy is demonstrated. Comparative experiments on a vertical domain dataset also demonstrate that the model performs well in vertical domains.

## Declarations

### Authors:

1. Weidong Ji, Male, born in 1978, Doctor, Professor, research direction is swarm intelligence, big data.
2. Xu Wang, Male, born in 1997, master’s degree, research direction is swarm intelligence.
3. Yousheng Zhang, Male, born in 1998, master’s degree, research direction is named entity recognition.
4. Guohui Zhou, Male, born in 1973, Doctor, Professor, research direction is swarm intelligence artificial intelligence.

### Data availability:

The datasets used in this research work are publicly available and can be downloaded from the websites below.

MSRA:[https://github.com/Houlong66/lattice\\_lstm\\_with\\_pytorch/tree/master/data](https://github.com/Houlong66/lattice_lstm_with_pytorch/tree/master/data)

Resume: [https://github.com/luopeixiang/named\\_entity\\_recognition/tree/master/ResumeNER](https://github.com/luopeixiang/named_entity_recognition/tree/master/ResumeNER)

OntoNotes4.0:<https://paperswithcode.com/accounts/login?next=/dataset/ontonotes-4-0>

Weibo:[https://github.com/Houlong66/lattice\\_lstm\\_with\\_pytorch/tree/master/data](https://github.com/Houlong66/lattice_lstm_with_pytorch/tree/master/data)

### Editorial Board Members and Editors:

The author has no competitive interest with members of the Editorial Committee and Editors.

### Funding:

1. the National Natural Science Foundation of China(31971015)
2. Natural Science Foundation of Heilongjiang Province in 2021(LH2021F037)

### Competing financial interests:

The authors have no competing interests to declare that are relevant to the content of this article.

## References

- [1] Ji, S., Pan, S., Cambria, E., Marttinen, P., Philip, S.Y.: A survey on knowledge graphs: Representation, acquisition, and applications. *IEEE transactions on neural networks and learning systems* **33**(2), 494–514 (2021)
- [2] Yang, Z., Wang, Y., Gan, J., Li, H., Lei, N.: Design and research of intelligent question-answering (q&a) system based on high school course knowledge graph. *Mobile Networks and Applications*, 1–7 (2021)
- [3] Makin, J.G., Moses, D.A., Chang, E.F.: Machine translation of cortical activity to text with an encoder–decoder framework. *Nature neuroscience* **23**(4), 575–582 (2020)
- [4] Stahlberg, F.: Neural machine translation: A review. *Journal of Artificial Intelligence Research* **69**, 343–418 (2020)
- [5] Kadhe, S., Garcia, B., Heidarzadeh, A., El Rouayheb, S., Sprintson, A.: Private information retrieval with side information. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory* **66**(4), 2032–2043 (2019)
- [6] Wu, L.-T., Lin, J.-R., Leng, S., Li, J.-L., Hu, Z.-Z.: Rule-based information extraction for mechanical-electrical-plumbing-specific semantic web. *Automation in Construction* **135**, 104108 (2022)
- [7] Cui, L., Wu, Y., Liu, J., Yang, S., Zhang, Y.: Template-based named entity recognition using bart. **2021.findings-acl**, 1835–1845 (2021)
- [8] Zhenggao, P.: Research on the recognition of chinese named entity based on rules and statistics. *Information science* **30**(5), 708–712 (2012)
- [9] Hao, Z., Lv, D., Li, Z., Cai, R., Wen, W., Xu, B.: Semi-supervised disentangled framework for transferable named entity recognition. *Neural Networks* **135**, 127–138 (2021)
- [10] Peng, M., Xing, X., Zhang, Q., Fu, J., Huang, X.-J.: Distantly supervised named entity recognition using positive-unlabeled learning **abs/1906.01378**, 2409–2419 (2019)
- [11] Meng, Y., Zhang, Y., Huang, J., Wang, X., Zhang, Y., Ji, H., Han, J.: Distantly-supervised named entity recognition with noise-robust learning and language model augmented self-training. **2021.emnlp-main**, 10367–10378 (2021)
- [12] Chiu, J.P., Nichols, E.: Named entity recognition with bidirectional lstm-cnns. *Transactions of the association for computational linguistics* **4**, 357–370 (2016)
- [13] Ronran, C., Lee, S.: Effect of character and word features in bidirectional lstm-crf for ner. In: *2020 IEEE International Conference on Big Data and Smart*

- Computing (BigComp), pp. 613–616 (2020). IEEE
- [14] Žukov-Gregorič, A., Bachrach, Y., Coope, S.: Named entity recognition with parallel recurrent neural networks. In: Proceedings of the 56th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 2: Short Papers), pp. 69–74 (2018)
- [15] Ma, R., Peng, M., Zhang, Q., Wei, Z., Huang, X.: Simplify the usage of lexicon in chinese ner **2020.acl-main**, 5951–5960 (2020)
- [16] Wu, S., Song, X., Feng, Z.: Mect: Multi-metadata embedding based cross-transformer for chinese named entity recognition. arXiv preprint arXiv:2107.05418 (2021)
- [17] Mengge, X., Yu, B., Liu, T., Zhang, Y., Meng, E., Wang, B.: Porous lattice transformer encoder for chinese ner. In: Proceedings of the 28th International Conference on Computational Linguistics, pp. 3831–3841 (2020)
- [18] Huang, C.-Z.A., Vaswani, A., Uszkoreit, J., Shazeer, N., Hawthorne, C., Dai, A., Hoffman, M., Eck, D.: Music transformer: Generating music with long-term structure (2018). arXiv preprint arXiv:1809.04281 (2018)
- [19] ZHENG, H., YU, H., LI, S.: Chinese ner based on improved transformer encoder [j]. Chinese Journal of Network and Information Security **7**(3) (2021)
- [20] Li, X., Qian, Y., Dou, L.: Bert named entity recognition with self-attention mechanism. In: 2021 IEEE Conference on Telecommunications, Optics and Computer Science (TOCS), pp. 119–123 (2021). IEEE
- [21] Devlin, J., Chang, M.-W., Lee, K., Toutanova, K.: Bert: Pre-training of deep bidirectional transformers for language understanding. arXiv preprint arXiv:1810.04805 (2018)
- [22] Cui, L., Wu, Y., Liu, J., Yang, S., Zhang, Y.: Template-based named entity recognition using bart. **2021.findings-acl**, 1835–1845 (2021)
- [23] Mayhew, S., Nitish, G., Roth, D.: Robust named entity recognition with truecasing pretraining. In: Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence, vol. 34, pp. 8480–8487 (2020)
- [24] Yang, Z., Dai, Z., Yang, Y., Carbonell, J., Salakhutdinov, R.R., Le, Q.V.: Xlnet: Generalized autoregressive pretraining for language understanding. Advances in neural information processing systems **32** (2019)
- [25] Radford, A., Narasimhan, K., Salimans, T., Sutskever, I., et al.: Improving language understanding by generative pre-training (2018)
- [26] Yan, H., Deng, B., Li, X., Qiu, X.: Tener: adapting transformer encoder for named

- entity recognition. arXiv preprint arXiv:1911.04474 (2019)
- [27] Shaw, P., Uszkoreit, J., Vaswani, A.: Self-attention with relative position representations. **abs/1803.02155** (2018)
  - [28] Wu, L., Li, J., Wang, Y., Meng, Q., Qin, T., Chen, W., Zhang, M., Liu, T.-Y., *et al.*: R-drop: Regularized dropout for neural networks. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems* **34**, 10890–10905 (2021)
  - [29] Shan, Z., Rui, L., Zhiping, C.: Survey of chinese named entity recognition. *Journal of Frontiers of Computer Science & Technology* **16**(2), 296 (2022)
  - [30] Hu, D., Wei, L.: Slk-ner: exploiting second-order lexicon knowledge for chinese ner. arXiv preprint arXiv:2007.08416 (2020)
  - [31] Mai, C., Qiu, M., Luo, K., Peng, Z., Liu, J., Yuan, C., Huang, Y.: Pretraining multi-modal representations for chinese ner task with cross-modality attention. In: *Proceedings of the Fifteenth ACM International Conference on Web Search and Data Mining*, pp. 726–734 (2022)
  - [32] Zhao, S., Hu, M., Cai, Z., Chen, H., Liu, F.: Dynamic modeling cross-and self-lattice attention network for chinese ner. In: *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, vol. 35, pp. 14515–14523 (2021)
  - [33] Xiaonan, L., Hang, Y., Xipeng, Q., Xuanjing, H.: Flat: Chinese ner using flat-lattice transformer **2020.acl-main**, 6836–6842 (2020)
  - [34] Sui, D., Chen, Y., Liu, K., Zhao, J., Liu, S.: Leverage lexical knowledge for chinese named entity recognition via collaborative graph network. In: *Proceedings of the 2019 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing and the 9th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing (EMNLP-IJCNLP)*, pp. 3830–3840 (2019)