An equivalence between two models of ∞ -categories of enriched presheaves

Hadrian Heine University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway email: hadriah@math.uio.no

Contents

1	Introduction	1
	1.1Acknowledgements	4 4
2	The generalized BM-operad $\mathrm{BM}_{\mathrm{X},\mathrm{Y}}$	4
3	Hinich's $\mathfrak{BM}_{X,Y}$	6
4	The comparison	9
5	References	19

Abstract

Let $\mathcal{O} \to BM$ be a BM-operad that exhibits an ∞ -category \mathcal{D} as weakly bitensored over non-symmetric ∞ -operads $\mathcal{V} \to Ass, \mathcal{W} \to Ass$ and \mathcal{C} a \mathcal{V} -enriched ∞ -precategory. We construct an equivalence

$$\operatorname{Fun}_{\operatorname{Hin}}^{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C},\mathcal{D}) \simeq \operatorname{Fun}^{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C},\mathcal{D})$$

of ∞ -categories weakly right tensored over W between Hinich's construction of \mathcal{V} -enriched functors of [6] and our construction of \mathcal{V} -enriched functors of [4].

1 Introduction

Gepner-Haugseng [1] develop a theory of enriched ∞ -categories and extend many structural results from enriched category theory to enriched ∞ -category theory. For any non-symmetric ∞ -operad \mathcal{V} the authors define \mathcal{V} -enriched ∞ precategories - under the name categorical algebras in \mathcal{V} - as a many object version of associative algebras in \mathcal{V} [1, Definition 4.3.1.]. Moreover they associate to any \mathcal{V} -enriched ∞ -precategory a Segal space [1, Definition 5.1.13] and define \mathcal{V} -enriched ∞ -categories as those \mathcal{V} -enriched ∞ -precategories whose associated Segal space is complete [1, Definition 5.2.2.]. Gepner-Haugseng define these many object versions of associative algebras as algebras over a many object version of the associative ∞ -operad: they construct for every space X a generalized non-symmetric ∞ -operad Ass_X, which agrees with the associative ∞ -operad if X is contractible, and define \mathcal{V} -enriched ∞ -precategories with space of objects X as Ass_X-algebras in \mathcal{V} [1, Definition 2.4.5.].

Haugseng [3] defines profunctors of enriched ∞ -categories as a many object version of bi-modules. To define these many object versions of bi-modules he extends the generalized non-symmetric ∞ -operad Ass_X to a generalized BM-operad: (generalized) BM-operads were studied by Lurie [7, 4.3.1] and Haugseng [3] to describe weak bi-actions of two (generalized) non-symmetric ∞ -operads on an ∞ -category, a weakening of a bi-action of two monoidal ∞ -categories on an ∞ -category. To define enriched profunctors Haugseng constructs for every spaces X, Y a generalized BM-operad BM_{X,Y} that encodes a weak bi-action of Ass_X, Ass_Y on the space X × Y and agrees with the final BM-operad governing bi-modules if X, Y are contractible [3, Definition 4.1.]. He defines \mathcal{V} -enriched ∞ -precategory with space of objects X to a \mathcal{V} -enriched ∞ -precategory with space of objects X to a \mathcal{V} -enriched ∞ -precategory with space of objects Y as BM_{X,Y}-algebras in \mathcal{V} [3, Definition 4.3.].

Hinich [6] constructs a Yoneda-embedding for \mathcal{V} -enriched ∞ -categories. To define \mathcal{V} -enriched presheaves he defines and studies \mathcal{V} -enriched functors. He constructs for every \mathcal{V} -enriched ∞ -precategory \mathcal{C} with space of objects X and ∞ -category \mathcal{M} with weak bi-action of non-symmetric ∞ -operads \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W} an ∞ category $\operatorname{Fun}_{\operatorname{Hin}}^{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{M})$ of \mathcal{V} -enriched functors $\mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{M}$ that carries a weak right W-action [6, Definition 6.1.3.]. To perform this construction Hinich produces for every space X a non-symmetric ∞ -operad $\operatorname{Quiv}_X(\mathcal{V})$ whose colors are Xquivers in \mathcal{V} , i.e. functors $X \times X \to \mathcal{V}$, that weakly acts from the left on the ∞ -category of functors X $\rightarrow M$ compatible with the diagonal right W-action. Then he presents \mathcal{V} -enriched ∞ -precategories with space of objects X as associative algebras in $\text{Quiv}_{X}(\mathcal{V})$ [6, Proposition 3.4.4.] and defines the ∞ -category $\operatorname{Fun}_{\operatorname{Hin}}^{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C},\mathcal{M})$ of \mathcal{V} -enriched functors $\mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{M}$ as the ∞ -category of left \mathcal{C} -modules with respect to the weak left action of $\operatorname{Quiv}_{X}(\mathcal{V})$ on the ∞ -category of functors $X \to \mathcal{M}$ [6, Definition 6.1.3.]. The ∞ -category $\operatorname{Fun}_{\operatorname{Hin}}^{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{M})$ inherits a weak right W-action from the diagonal weak right W-action on the ∞ -category of functors $X \to \mathcal{M}$. Hinich constructs the non-symmetric ∞ -operad Quiv_x(\mathcal{V}) via Day-convolution from a non-symmetric ∞ -operad \mathfrak{Ass}_X [6, 3.2]: he proves that the endofunctor $(-) \times_{Ass} \mathfrak{Ass}_X$ of the ∞ -category of non-symmetric ∞ -operads admits a right adjoint [6, Proposition 3.3.6] that sends \mathcal{V} to the non-symmetric ∞ -operad Quiv_x(\mathcal{V}). Adjointness implies that associative algebras in Quiv_x(\mathcal{V}) are classified by \mathfrak{Ass}_X -algebras in \mathcal{V} . By a result of Macpherson [9, Theorem 1.1.] the latter are equivalent to Ass_X -algebras in \mathcal{V} and so are equivalent to Gepner-Haugseng's model for \mathcal{V} -enriched ∞ -precategories with space of objects X. In a similar way Hinich constructs the weak bi-action of $Quiv_X(\mathcal{V}), \mathcal{W}$ on the ∞ -category of functors $X \to \mathcal{M}$ via Day-convolution from a BM-operad \mathfrak{BM}_X [6, 3.2].

In [4] we define an ∞ -category Fun^{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{M}) of \mathcal{V} -enriched functors $\mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{M}$ by performing a similar construction like Hinich but using Haugseng's generalized BM-operad BM_X := BM_{X,*} instead of Hinich's BM-operad \mathfrak{BM}_X . We use the first since Hinich's BM-operad \mathfrak{BM}_X is very elaborate to construct and combinatorially very challenging while Haugseng's BM_X is combinatorially very simple. This simplifies many of our proofs in [4] involving BM_X [4, Lemma 5.10., Lemma 5.23.]. We use the ∞ -category Fun^{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{V}) to view \mathcal{V} -enriched ∞ -categories in the sense of Gepner-Haugseng as ∞ -categories with weak left \mathcal{V} -action. This way we construct a functor L from \mathcal{V} -enriched ∞ -categories in the sense of Gepner-Haugseng to a special class of ∞ -categories with weak left \mathcal{V} -action, which were proposed by Lurie as a model for \mathcal{V} -enriched ∞ -categories [7, Definition 4.2.1.28.]. We prove that the functor L gives an equivalence between Gepner-Haugseng's and Lurie's model of enriched ∞ -categories [4, Theorem 6.7.]. We obtain as a corollary that for every \mathcal{V} -enriched ∞ -categories \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D} there is an equivalence [4, Proposition 4.68.]:

$$\operatorname{Fun}^{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{D}))^{\simeq} \simeq \operatorname{PreCat}_{\infty}^{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}).$$
(1)

Equivalence (1) views objects of $\operatorname{Fun}^{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C}, L(\mathcal{D}))$ as \mathcal{V} -enriched functors $\mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D}$ in the theory of Gepner-Haugseng and motivates us to consider $\operatorname{Fun}^{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C}, L(\mathcal{D}))$ as a model for the ∞ -category of \mathcal{V} -enriched functors $\mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D}$.

It is the goal of this article to identify Hinich's ∞ -category $\operatorname{Fun}_{\operatorname{Hin}}^{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{M})$ of \mathcal{V} -enriched functors $\mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{M}$ with our ∞ -category $\operatorname{Fun}^{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{M})$ of \mathcal{V} -enriched functors $\mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{M}$ to connect our both theories. To achieve that we prove the following theorem:

Theorem 1.1. [Theorem 4.16] Let X be a small space. There is a map $BM_X \rightarrow \mathfrak{BM}_X$ of generalized BM-operads that induces for every BM-operad $\mathcal{O} \rightarrow BM$ an equivalence of BM-operads

$$\operatorname{Fun}^{\operatorname{BM}}(\mathfrak{BM}_{X}, \mathcal{O}) \to \operatorname{Fun}^{\operatorname{BM}}(\operatorname{BM}_{X}, \mathcal{O}).$$

Passing to left modules we immediately obtain the following corollary:

Corollary 1.2 (Corollary 4.19). Let $\mathcal{O} \to BM$ be a BM-operad that exhibits an ∞ -category \mathcal{M} as weakly bitensored over non-symmetric ∞ -operads \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W} and \mathcal{C} a \mathcal{V} -enriched ∞ -precategory with small space of objects X. There is a canonical equivalence

$$\operatorname{Fun}_{\operatorname{Hin}}^{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C},\mathcal{M}) \simeq \operatorname{Fun}^{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C},\mathcal{M})$$

of ∞ -categories weakly right tensored over W.

Taking the pullback along the left embedding Ass \subset BM and using that every non-symmetric ∞ -operad $\mathcal{V} \rightarrow$ Ass gives rise to a weak biaction of \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{V} on \mathcal{V} , Theorem 1.1 implies the following corollary:

Corollary 1.3 (Corollary 4.20). Let X be a small space. There is a map $Ass_X \rightarrow \mathfrak{Ass}_X$ of generalized non-symmetric ∞ -operads such that for every non-symmetric ∞ -operad $\mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \rightarrow Ass$ the induced map of non-symmetric ∞ -operads

$$\operatorname{Fun}^{\operatorname{Ass}}(\mathfrak{Ass}_{X}, \mathcal{V}) \to \operatorname{Fun}^{\operatorname{Ass}}(\operatorname{Ass}_{X}, \mathcal{V})$$

is an equivalence.

Passing to associative algebras and using the universal property of the Dayconvolution we obtain an alternative proof of Macpherson's result [9, Theorem 1.1.]:

Corollary 1.4. Let X be a small space. There is a map $Ass_X \rightarrow \mathfrak{Ass}_X$ of generalized non-symmetric ∞ -operads such that for every non-symmetric ∞ -operad $\mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \rightarrow Ass$ the induced functor

$$\operatorname{Alg}_{\mathfrak{Ass}_{x}}(\mathcal{V}) \to \operatorname{Alg}_{\operatorname{Ass}_{x}}(\mathcal{V})$$

is an equivalence.

1.1 Acknowledgements

This paper arose during my postdoc at EPFL Lausanne in the group of Kathryn Hess. I am grateful for the hospitality during this time. Moreover I thank the referee for many helpful comments.

1.2 Notation and terminology

We write

- Set for the category of small sets.
- Δ for the category of finite, non-empty, totally ordered sets and order preserving maps.
- S for the ∞ -category of small spaces.
- Cat_{∞} for the ∞ -category of small ∞ -categories.

For any ∞ -category $\mathcal B$ and ∞ -category $\mathcal C$ containing objects A, B we write

- $\mathcal{C}(A, B)$ for the space of maps $A \to B$ in \mathcal{C} ,
- $\mathcal{C}_{/A}$ for the ∞ -category of objects over A,
- \mathcal{C}^{\simeq} for the maximal subspace in \mathcal{C} .
- Fun(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}) for the ∞ -category of functors $\mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{C}$.

2 The generalized BM-operad BM_{X,Y}

In this article we will heavily deal with the notions of (generalized) Ass-operads, LM-operads, RM-operads and BM-operads, where

Ass :=
$$\Delta^{\mathrm{op}}$$
, BM := $(\Delta_{/[1]})^{\mathrm{op}}$,

LM ⊂ BM is the full subcategory of functors $[n] \rightarrow [1]$ that send at most one object to 1 and RM ⊂ BM is the full subcategory of functors $[n] \rightarrow [1]$ that send at most one object to 0. See [1, Definition 3.1.3., 3.1.13.] for the definitions of (generalized) Ass-operads and [4, Definition 2.9., Lemma 2.15.] and [6, 2.9.2.] for the definitions of (generalized) LM-operads, RM-operads and BM-operads. The forgetful functor $\Delta_{/[1]} \rightarrow \Delta$ is opposite to a functor BM \rightarrow Ass that exhibits BM as a generalized Ass-operads [4, Remark 2.13.]. There are embeddings Ass \rightarrow LM \subset BM, Ass \rightarrow RM \subset BM induced by the maps {0} \subset [1], {1} \subset [1].

We write \mathfrak{a} for the constant map $[1] \to \{0\} \subset [1], \mathfrak{b}$ for the constant map $[1] \to \{1\} \subset [1]$ and \mathfrak{m} for the identity of [1]. We say that a generalized LM-operad $\mathcal{O} \to \mathrm{LM}$ exhibits $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{m}}$ as weakly left tensored over $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{a}}$, that a generalized RM-operad $\mathcal{O} \to \mathrm{RM}$ exhibits $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{m}}$ as weakly right tensored over $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{b}}$, and that a generalized BM-operad $\mathcal{O} \to \mathrm{BM}$ exhibits $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{m}}$ as weakly bitensored over $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{o}}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{b}}$.

Notation 2.1. We write $\operatorname{Op}_{\infty}^{\operatorname{Ass},\operatorname{gen}}$ for the ∞ -category of small generalized Assoperads, which is a subcategory of $\operatorname{Cat}_{\infty/\operatorname{Ass}}$, and we write $\operatorname{Op}_{\infty}^{\operatorname{Ass}} \subset \operatorname{Op}_{\infty}^{\operatorname{Ass},\operatorname{gen}}$ for the full subcategory of Ass-operads. We write $\operatorname{Op}_{\infty}^{\operatorname{BM},\operatorname{gen}}$ for the ∞ -category of small generalized BM-operads, which is a subcategory of $\operatorname{Cat}_{\infty/\operatorname{BM}}$, and we write $\operatorname{Op}_{\infty}^{\operatorname{BM}} \subset \operatorname{Op}_{\infty}^{\operatorname{BM},\operatorname{gen}}$ for the full subcategory of BM-operads.

Remark 2.2. The opposite poset involution on Δ gives rise to an involution on Ass = Δ^{op} , which we denote by τ , and to an involution $\bar{\tau}$ on BM = $(\Delta_{/[1]})^{\text{op}}$. Taking pullback along these involutions gives rise to involutions on $\text{Op}^{\text{Ass,gen}}_{\infty}$ and $\text{Op}^{\text{BM,gen}}_{\infty}$ that restict to involutions on $\text{Op}^{\text{Ass}}_{\infty}, \text{Op}^{\text{BM}}_{\infty}$ denoted by $(-)^{\text{rev}}$.

We recall the definition of the generalized BM-operad $BM_{X,Y} \rightarrow BM$ of [4, Notation 4.16.] associated to spaces X, Y.

Notation 2.3. The forgetful functor

$$\alpha : \Delta \to \mathsf{Set}, [n] \mapsto \{0, 1, ..., n\}$$

gives rise to a functor $\rho: \Delta_{/[1]} \to \Delta \times \Delta$, where the last functor takes the fiber over 0 on the first factor and the fiber over 1 on the second factor.

Definition 2.4. Let X, Y be a small spaces.

• Let $Ass_X \rightarrow Ass$ be the left fibration classifying the functor

$$\lambda_{\mathrm{X}} : \mathrm{Ass} \xrightarrow{\tau} \mathrm{Ass} = \Delta^{\mathrm{op}} \xrightarrow{\alpha^{\mathrm{op}}} \mathsf{Set}^{\mathrm{op}} \subset \mathcal{S}^{\mathrm{op}} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Fun}(-,\mathrm{X})} \mathcal{S}.$$

• Let $BM_{X,Y} \rightarrow BM$ be the left fibration classifying the functor

$$\theta_{X,Y} : BM \xrightarrow{\rho^{op}} Ass \times Ass \xrightarrow{\lambda_X \times \lambda_Y} S.$$

• Let $BM_X \coloneqq BM_{X,*} \to BM$.

Remark 2.5. The functor λ_X is the restriction of $\theta_{X,Y}$ along the embedding Ass \subset LM \subset BM and λ_Y is the restriction of $\theta_{X,Y}$ along the embedding Ass \subset RM \subset BM. Therefore Ass_X \rightarrow Ass is the pullback of BM_{X,Y} \rightarrow BM along the embedding Ass \subset LM \subset BM and Ass_Y \rightarrow Ass is the pullback of BM_{X,Y} \rightarrow BM along the along the embedding Ass \subset RM \subset BM.

Remark 2.6. The functor $BM_{X,Y} \rightarrow BM$ is a cocartesian fibration of generalized BM-operads [4, Remark 4.17.]. This implies that the pullback $Ass_X \rightarrow Ass$ is a cocartesian fibration of generalized Ass-operads.

Remark 2.7. Gepner-Haugseng [1, Definition 4.1.1.] define a generalized Assoperad $\Delta_X^{op} \rightarrow \Delta^{op} = Ass$, which is the pullback of $Ass_X \rightarrow Ass$ of Definition 2.4 along the equivalence τ : Ass \simeq Ass of Remark 2.2. We define Ass_X our way and not as Δ_X^{op} for the following reason: a Δ_X^{op} -algebra in any monoidal ∞ -category $\mathcal{V} \rightarrow Ass$ consists of a map $\mathcal{C} : X \times X \simeq (\Delta_X^{op})_{[1]} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_{[1]}$ and a coherently associative composition map $\mu : \otimes_{\mathcal{V}} \circ (\mathcal{C} \times_X \mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \circ q$ of functors $X \times X \times X \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_{[1]}$, where $\otimes_{\mathcal{V}} : \mathcal{V}_{[2]} \simeq \mathcal{V}_{[1]} \times \mathcal{V}_{[1]} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_{[1]}$ is the tensor product and $q : X \times X \times X \simeq (\Delta_X^{op})_{[2]} \rightarrow (\Delta_X^{op})_{[1]} \simeq X \times X$ is the projection to the first and third factor. So μ provides for any A, B, C $\in X$ a composition map $\mathcal{C}(A, B) \otimes \mathcal{C}(B, C) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(A, C)$. On the other hand the Ass-operad Ass_X \rightarrow Ass provides for any A, B, C $\in X$ a composition map $\mathcal{C}(B, C) \otimes \mathcal{C}(A, B) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(A, C)$. Choosing the composition this way is in accordance to Hinich's work [6] and our work [4]. **Remark 2.8.** Let τ be the involution on Ass of Remark 2.2. There is a canonical equivalence of functors $\alpha \circ \tau^{\text{op}} \simeq \alpha$ whose component at any $[n] \in \Delta$ is the order reversing bijection $\{0, ..., n\} \simeq \{0, ..., n\}, i \mapsto n - i$. Thus $\lambda_X \simeq \lambda_X \circ \tau$ giving an equivalence $\text{Ass}_X \simeq (\text{Ass}_X)^{\text{rev}}$ of generalized Ass-operads.

Remark 2.9. Let $\bar{\tau}$ be the involution on BM of Remark 2.2. The composition $\rho^{\mathrm{op}} \circ \bar{\tau}$: BM \rightarrow Ass × Ass factors as ρ^{op} followed by the auto-equivalence of Ass × Ass switching the factors and applying τ on both components. Therefore the composition $\theta_{X,Y} \circ \bar{\tau}$: BM $\rightarrow S$ is equivalent to $\theta_{Y,X}$ using Remark 2.8 giving an equivalence $\mathrm{BM}_{X,Y} \simeq (\mathrm{BM}_{Y,X})^{\mathrm{rev}}$ of generalized BM-operads. The pullback of this equivalence along the left embedding to Ass gives the equivalence $\mathrm{Ass}_X \simeq (\mathrm{Ass}_X)^{\mathrm{rev}}$, the pullback along the right embedding to Ass gives the equivalence $\mathrm{Ass}_Y \simeq (\mathrm{Ass}_Y)^{\mathrm{rev}}$, the fiber of this equivalence over \mathfrak{m} is the equivalence X × Y $\simeq \mathrm{Y} \times \mathrm{X}$ switching the factors.

Remark 2.10. Let X, X', Y, Y' be small spaces. The definition implies that the canonical map of generalized Ass-operads $Ass_{X\times X'} \rightarrow Ass_X \times_{Ass} Ass_{X'}$ is an equivalence (since it is fiberwise). For the same reason the canonical map of generalized BM-operads $BM_{X\times X', Y\times Y'} \rightarrow BM_{X,Y} \times_{BM} BM_{X',Y'}$ is an equivalence. In particular, invoking Remark 2.9 there is the following canonical equivalence of generalized BM-operads:

$$BM_{X,Y} \simeq BM_{X,*} \times_{BM} BM_{*,Y} \simeq BM_{X,*} \times_{BM} (BM_{Y,*})^{rev}.$$

3 Hinich's $\mathfrak{BM}_{X,Y}$

We recall the definition of Hinich's BM-operad $\mathfrak{BM}_X \to BM$ of [6, 3.2.] for any small ∞ -category X and extend Hinich's definiton to a BM-operad $\mathfrak{BM}_{X,Y} \to BM$ for any small ∞ -categories X, Y. Hinich uses that the restricted Yoneda-embedding

$$\mathsf{Cat}_{\infty/\mathrm{BM}} \subset \mathcal{P}(\mathsf{Cat}_{\infty/\mathrm{BM}}) \to \mathcal{P}(\Delta_{/\mathrm{BM}})$$

is fully faithful and constructs a functor

$$\mathfrak{BM}_{(-)}: \mathsf{Cat}_{\infty} \to \mathcal{P}(\Delta_{/\mathrm{BM}})$$
⁽²⁾

that lands in the subcategory $\operatorname{Op}_{\infty/BM} \subset \operatorname{Cat}_{\infty/BM} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{P}(\Delta_{/BM})$ [6, Lemma 3.3.1, Proposition 3.3.3].

We extend Hinich's construction in the following way:

Definition 3.1. Let X, Y be small ∞ -categories. We set

$$\mathfrak{BM}_{X,Y} \coloneqq \mathfrak{BM}_X \times_{BM} \mathfrak{BM}_Y^{rev},$$
$$\mathfrak{LM}_X \coloneqq LM \times_{BM} \mathfrak{BM}_X,$$
$$\mathfrak{Ass}_X \coloneqq Ass \times_{LM} \mathfrak{LM}_X.$$

The definition implies that $\mathfrak{BM}_{X,*} = \mathfrak{BM}_X$ and $\mathfrak{BM}_{*,X} = \mathfrak{BM}_X^{rev}$.

Remark 3.2. Stefanich [10, Remark 2.2.10.] gives a different construction of $\mathfrak{BM}_{X,Y}$ in the language of internal BM-cooperads.

To define the functor (2) Hinich [6, 3.2.] constructs a functor $F : \Delta_{/BM} \rightarrow Cat_{\infty}$ that by Yoneda-extension [8, Theorem 5.1.5.6.] gives rise to an adjunction

$$F_{!}: \mathcal{P}(\Delta_{/BM}) \to \mathsf{Cat}_{\infty}: \mathfrak{BM}_{(-)} \coloneqq F^{*}.$$
(3)

The functor $F: \Delta_{/BM} \to \mathsf{Cat}_{\infty}$ sends any colimit decomposition

$$[n] \simeq \{0 < 1\} \coprod_{\{1\}} \{1 < 2\} \coprod_{\{2\}} \dots \coprod_{\{n-1\}} \{n-1 < n\}$$
(4)

in $\Delta_{/BM}$ to a colimit, sends a functor of the form $[0] \rightarrow BM$ to a set, a functor of the form $[1] \rightarrow BM$ to a finite (possibly empty) coproduct of copies of [0] and [1], and an arbitrary functor $[n] \rightarrow BM$ to a finite (possibly empty) coproduct of copies of objects of Δ .

Let $L : Cat_{\infty} \rightleftharpoons S$ be the canonical adjunction, where the right adjoint is the canonical embedding and the left adjoint formally inverts the morphisms. Composing the latter adjunction with adjunction (3) gives an adjunction

$$\mathcal{F}_{!}: \mathcal{P}(\Delta_{/BM}) \to \mathcal{S}: \mathfrak{BM}_{(-)} \simeq \mathcal{F}^{*}, \tag{5}$$

where \mathcal{F} is the composition $\Delta_{/BM} \xrightarrow{F} \mathsf{Cat}_{\infty} \xrightarrow{L} S$. The functor \mathcal{F} lands in the category \mathcal{F} in of finite sets because the essential image of F consists of finite (possibly empty) coproducts of copies of objects of Δ and L([n]) is contractible for any [n] $\in \Delta$.

Although it is some work to describe $F : \Delta_{/BM} \rightarrow Cat_{\infty}$ combinatorially [6, 3.2.5.], it is much easier to describe the functor \mathcal{F} , which we do in the following: Since \mathcal{F} preserves any colimit decomposition (4), it will be enough for us to describe the images under \mathcal{F} of [0] and [1].

Example 3.3. The case n = 0.

In this case α corresponds to an object of BM given by a map $\varphi : [k] \to [1]$ in Δ and we write $\mathcal{F}(\varphi)$ for $\mathcal{F}(\alpha)$.

If k = 0, we have
$$\mathcal{F}(\varphi) = \emptyset$$
. If k = 1, we have $\mathcal{F}(\varphi) = \begin{cases} * \coprod *, \ \varphi = 0 \\ \emptyset, \ \varphi = 1, \\ *, \ \varphi = \mathrm{id.} \end{cases}$

In general we write

$$\begin{bmatrix} k \end{bmatrix} \simeq \left\{ 0 < 1 \right\} \coprod_{\{1\}} \left\{ 1 < 2 \right\} \coprod_{\{2\}} \ldots \coprod_{\{k-1\}} \left\{ k - 1 < k \right\}$$

in $\Delta_{[1]}$ and have

$$\mathcal{F}(\varphi) \simeq \mathcal{F}(\{0 < 1\}) \coprod \mathcal{F}(\{1 < 2\}) \coprod \dots \coprod \mathcal{F}(\{k - 1 < k\}).$$

To treat the case n = 1 it is convenient to fix the following notation:

Notation 3.4. Let $\varphi : [\mathbf{k}] \to [1]$ be a map in Δ . The fiber $\varphi^{-1}(\{0\}) \subset [\mathbf{k}]$ of φ over 0 is of the form $[\ell]$ for some $-1 \leq \ell \leq \mathbf{k}$. Let $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$ be the cardinality of the set of all $1 \leq \mathbf{i} \leq \mathbf{k}$ with $\varphi(\mathbf{i} - 1) < \varphi(\mathbf{i})$. Then $\mathcal{F}(\varphi)$ has $2\ell + \beta$ elements, which we denote by

$$\{ \mathbf{x}_{1}^{1}, \mathbf{x}_{1}^{2}, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{\ell}^{1}, \mathbf{x}_{\ell}^{2} \}, \qquad (\beta = 0)$$

$$\{ \mathbf{x}_{1}^{1}, \mathbf{x}_{1}^{2}, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{\ell}^{1}, \mathbf{x}_{\ell}^{2}, \mathbf{x}_{\ell+1} \}, \qquad (\beta = 1)$$

where the set $\{x_1^1,x_1^2,...,x_\ell^1,x_\ell^2\}$ is empty by convention if $\ell < 1.$

Example 3.5. The case n = 1.

In this case α corresponds to a morphism $\varphi \to \varphi'$ of BM, which is given by a map $\phi : [\mathbf{k}'] \to [\mathbf{k}]$ over [1] in Δ . The fiber $\varphi^{-1}(\{0\}) \subset [\mathbf{k}]$ is of the form $[\ell]$ for some $-1 \le \ell \le \mathbf{k}$ and the fiber $\varphi'^{-1}(\{0\}) \subset [\mathbf{k}']$ is of the form $[\ell']$ for $-1 \le \ell' \le \mathbf{k}'$. Let $0 \le \beta \le 1$ be the cardinality of the set of all $1 \le \mathbf{i} \le \mathbf{k}$ with $\varphi(\mathbf{i}-1) < \varphi(\mathbf{i})$ and $0 \le \beta' \le 1$ the cardinality of the set of all $1 \le \mathbf{i} \le \mathbf{k}'$ with $\varphi'(\mathbf{i}-1) < \varphi'(\mathbf{i})$. Let $\mathcal{F}(\varphi) = \{\mathbf{x}_1^1, \mathbf{x}_1^2, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{\ell}^1, \mathbf{x}_{\ell}^2, \mathbf{x}_{\ell+1}\}$ and $\mathcal{F}(\varphi') = \{\mathbf{y}_1^1, \mathbf{y}_1^2, \dots, \mathbf{y}_{\ell'}^1, \mathbf{y}_{\ell'+1}^2, \mathbf{y}_{\ell'+1}\}$.

The set $\mathcal{F}(\alpha)$ is the pushout of sets

$$(\mathcal{F}(\varphi)\coprod \mathcal{F}(\varphi'))\coprod_{\mathcal{J}\times\{0,1\}}\mathcal{J},$$

where the map $\lambda^{\alpha} : \mathcal{J} \times \{0,1\} \to \mathcal{F}(\varphi) \coprod \mathcal{F}(\varphi')$ is the map corresponding to the inclusion $\mathcal{J} \subset (\mathcal{F}(\varphi) \coprod \mathcal{F}(\varphi'))^{\times 2}$ of the following set $\mathcal{J} :$ For $1 \leq i \leq \ell'$ let $\mathcal{J}_i \subset (\mathcal{F}(\varphi) \coprod \mathcal{F}(\varphi'))^{\times 2}$ be the following set:

- If $\phi(i-1) = \phi(i)$, we have $\mathcal{J}_i \coloneqq \{(y_i^1, y_i^2)\}$.
- If $\phi(i-1) < \phi(i)$, we have

$$\mathcal{J}_i \coloneqq \{ (y_i^1, \mathsf{x}^1_{\phi(i)}), (\mathsf{x}^2_{\phi(i-1)+1}, y_i^2), (\mathsf{x}^2_j, \mathsf{x}^1_{j-1}) \mid \phi(i-1) + 1 < j \le \phi(i) \}$$

If $\beta = 0$, we set $\mathcal{J} := \coprod_{1 \le i \le \ell'} \mathcal{J}_i$. If $\beta' = 1$, in which case also $\beta = 1$, we set

$$\mathcal{J} := \prod_{1 \le i \le \ell'} \mathcal{J}_i \coprod \{ (\mathsf{x}_{\ell+1}, \mathsf{x}_{\ell}^1), (\mathsf{x}_{\phi(\ell')+1}^2, \mathsf{y}_{\ell'+1}), (\mathsf{x}_j^2, \mathsf{x}_{j-1}^1) \mid \phi(\ell') + 2 \le j \le \ell \}.$$

 $1 \leq i \leq \ell'$

Note that \mathcal{J} has $\ell' + \phi(\ell' + \beta') - \phi(0)$ elements.

In particular, we obtain the following proposition:

Proposition 3.6. Let X, Y be small spaces.

1. For every $n \geq 0$ and $x_1,...,x_n, y \in X \times X$ there is a canonical equivalence

$$\mathrm{Mul}_{\mathfrak{Ass}_{\mathrm{X}}}(\mathsf{x}_{1},...,\mathsf{x}_{n};\mathsf{y})\simeq\mathrm{X}(\mathsf{y}^{1},\mathsf{x}_{n}^{1})\times\mathrm{X}(\mathsf{x}_{1}^{2},\mathsf{y}^{2})\times\prod_{j=2}^{n}\mathrm{X}(\mathsf{x}_{j}^{2},\mathsf{x}_{j-1}^{1})$$

2. For every $n,m \geq 0$ and $x_1,...,x_n \in X \times X, z, w \in X \times Y, y_1,...,y_m \in Y \times Y$ there is a canonical equivalence

$$\mathrm{Mul}_{\mathfrak{BM}_{\mathrm{X},\mathrm{Y}}}(\mathsf{x}_{1},...,\mathsf{x}_{n},\mathrm{z},\mathsf{y}_{1},...,\mathsf{y}_{m};\mathrm{w})\simeq$$

$$X(z^{1}, x_{n}^{1}) \times X(x_{1}^{2}, w^{1}) \times \prod_{j=2}^{n} X(x_{j}^{2}, x_{j-1}^{1}) \times Y(z^{2}, y_{n}^{1}) \times Y(y_{1}^{2}, w^{2}) \times \prod_{i=2}^{m} Y(y_{i}^{2}, y_{i-1}^{1})$$

Proof. 1: Let $\alpha : \varphi \to \varphi'$ be the morphism of Ass \subset BM corresponding to the unique order preserving map $[1] \to [n]$ over [1] preserving the minimum and maximum. By Example 3.5 we have $\mathcal{F}(\varphi) = \{x_1^1, x_1^2, ..., x_\ell^1, x_\ell^2\}$ and $\mathcal{F}(\varphi') = \{y^1, y^2\}$. The set $\mathcal{F}(\alpha)$ is the pushout of sets $(\mathcal{F}(\varphi) \coprod \mathcal{F}(\varphi')) \coprod_{\mathcal{J} \times \{0,1\}} \mathcal{J}$, where the map $\lambda^{\alpha} : \mathcal{J} \times \{0,1\} \to \mathcal{F}(\varphi) \coprod \mathcal{F}(\varphi')$ is the map corresponding to the inclusion $\mathcal{J} \subset (\mathcal{F}(\varphi) \coprod \mathcal{F}(\varphi'))^{\times 2}$ of the set

$$\mathcal{J} \coloneqq \{ (y^1, x_n^1), (x_1^2, y^2), (x_j^2, x_{j-1}^1) \mid 1 < j \le n \}.$$

We obtain a canonical equivalence

$$\begin{split} &\operatorname{Mul}_{\mathfrak{Ass}_{X}}(\mathsf{x}_{1},...,\mathsf{x}_{n};\mathsf{y}) \simeq \operatorname{Mul}_{\mathfrak{BM}_{X}}(\mathsf{x}_{1},...,\mathsf{x}_{n};\mathsf{y}) \simeq \\ &\{((\mathsf{x}_{1},...,\mathsf{x}_{n}),\mathsf{y})\} \times_{(\mathfrak{BM}_{X})_{[n]} \times (\mathfrak{BM}_{X})_{[1]}} \operatorname{Fun}_{BM}([1],\mathfrak{BM}_{X}) \\ &\simeq \{((\mathsf{x}_{1},...,\mathsf{x}_{n}),\mathsf{y})\} \times_{(\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{F}(\varphi),X) \times \mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{F}(\varphi'),X))} \mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{F}(\alpha),X) \simeq \\ &\{(\mathsf{y}^{1},\mathsf{x}_{n}^{1}),(\mathsf{x}_{1}^{2},\mathsf{y}^{2}),(\mathsf{x}_{j}^{2},\mathsf{x}_{j-1}^{1}) \mid 1 < \mathsf{j} \le \mathsf{n}\} \times_{(\prod_{Z \in \mathfrak{J}} X^{\times 2})} \prod_{Z \in \mathfrak{J}} X. \end{split}$$

Hence statement 1. follows since the fiber of the diagonal map $X \to X \times X$ over any pair $(A, B) \in X \times X$ is the mapping space X(A, B).

2. Let $\alpha : \varphi \to \varphi'$ be the morphism of BM corresponding to the unique order preserving map $[1] \to [n]$ over [1] preserving the minimum and maximum, where [1] lies over [1] via the identity. By Example 3.5 we have $\mathcal{F}(\varphi) = \{x_1^1, x_1^2, ..., x_n^1, x_n^2, x\}$ and $\mathcal{F}(\varphi') = \{y\}$. The set $\mathcal{F}(\alpha)$ is the pushout of sets $(\mathcal{F}(\varphi) \coprod \mathcal{F}(\varphi')) \coprod \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{J} \times \{0,1\}} \mathcal{J}$, where the map $\lambda^{\alpha} : \mathcal{J} \times \{0,1\} \to \mathcal{F}(\varphi) \coprod \mathcal{F}(\varphi')$ is the map corresponding to the inclusion $\mathcal{J} \subset (\mathcal{F}(\varphi) \coprod \mathcal{F}(\varphi'))^{\times 2}$ of the set

$$\mathcal{J} \coloneqq \{ (\mathsf{x}, \mathsf{x}_n^1), (\mathsf{x}_1^2, \mathsf{y}), (\mathsf{x}_j^2, \mathsf{x}_{j-1}^1) \mid 1 < j \le n \}.$$

We obtain a canonical equivalence

where the last equivalence follows like in the proof of 1. Since $\mathfrak{BM}_{X,Y}$ is by definition the pullback $\mathfrak{BM}_X \times_{BM} (\mathfrak{BM}_Y)^{rev}$, we obtain an equivalence

$$\begin{split} &\operatorname{Mul}_{\mathfrak{BM}_{X,Y}}(\mathsf{x}_{1},...,\mathsf{x}_{n},z,\mathsf{y}_{1},...,\mathsf{y}_{m};w) \simeq \\ &\operatorname{Mul}_{\mathfrak{BM}_{X}}(\mathsf{x}_{1},...,\mathsf{x}_{n},z^{1},*,...,*;w^{1}) \times \operatorname{Mul}_{\mathfrak{BM}_{Y}}(\mathsf{y}_{1},...,\mathsf{y}_{m},z^{2},*,...,*;w^{2}) \simeq \\ &\operatorname{X}(z^{1},\mathsf{x}_{n}^{1}) \times \operatorname{X}(\mathsf{x}_{1}^{2},w^{1}) \times \prod_{j=2}^{n} \operatorname{X}(\mathsf{x}_{j}^{2},\mathsf{x}_{j-1}^{1}) \times \operatorname{Y}(z^{2},\mathsf{y}_{n}^{1}) \times \operatorname{Y}(\mathsf{y}_{1}^{2},w^{2}) \times \prod_{i=2}^{m} \operatorname{Y}(\mathsf{y}_{i}^{2},\mathsf{y}_{i-1}^{1}). \end{split}$$

4 The comparison

In this section we prove our main result (Theorem 4.16). To state Theorem 4.16 we first need to construct for every small spaces X, Y a comparison map of generalized BM-operads $BM_{X,Y} \rightarrow \mathfrak{BM}_{X,Y}$. This will be the content of the next proposition:

Proposition 4.1. There is a canonical natural transformation

$$\xi: \mathrm{BM}_{(-,-)} \to \mathfrak{BM}_{(-,-)}$$

of functors $S \times S \to Op_{\infty/BM}^{gen}$.

The canonical natural transformations

$$\operatorname{BM}_{(-,-)} \to \operatorname{BM}_{(-,*)} \times_{\operatorname{BM}} \operatorname{BM}_{(*,-)} \simeq \operatorname{BM}_{(-,*)} \times_{\operatorname{BM}} \left(\operatorname{BM}_{(-,*)}\right)^{\operatorname{rev}},$$

$$\mathfrak{BM}_{(-,-)} \to \mathfrak{BM}_{(-,*)} \times_{\mathrm{BM}} \mathfrak{BM}_{(*,-)} \simeq \mathfrak{BM}_{(-,*)} \times_{\mathrm{BM}} (\mathfrak{BM}_{(-,*)})^{\mathrm{rev}}$$

of functors $S \times S \to \operatorname{Op}_{\infty/BM}^{\operatorname{gen}}$ are equivalences. The first map is an equivalence by Remark 2.10, the second map is tautologically an equivalence. We construct a canonical natural transformation

$$\zeta: BM_{(-,*)} \to \mathfrak{BM}_{(-,*)}$$

of functors $\mathbb{S}\to \operatorname{Op}^{\operatorname{gen}}_{\infty/\operatorname{BM}}$ and define ξ as the induced natural transformation

$$BM_{(-,-)} \simeq BM_{(-,*)} \times_{BM} (BM_{(-,*)})^{rev} \xrightarrow{\zeta \times_{BM} \zeta^{rev}} \mathfrak{BM}_{(-,*)} \times_{BM} (\mathfrak{BM}_{(-,*)})^{rev} \simeq \mathfrak{BM}_{(-,-)}.$$

Since the restricted Yoneda-embedding

$$\mathsf{Cat}_{\infty/\mathrm{BM}} \subset \mathcal{P}(\mathsf{Cat}_{\infty/\mathrm{BM}}) \to \mathcal{P}(\Delta_{/\mathrm{BM}})$$

is fully faithful, to construct ζ as a natural transformation of functors $S \to Cat_{\infty/BM}$, it is enough to construct a map

$$\mathsf{Cat}_{\infty/\mathrm{BM}}(\alpha, \mathrm{BM}_{\mathrm{X}}) \to \mathsf{Cat}_{\infty/\mathrm{BM}}(\alpha, \mathfrak{BM}_{\mathrm{X}})$$

natural in $\alpha \in \Delta_{/BM}$ and $X \in S$. Note that ζ is automatically a natural transformation $S \to Op_{\infty/BM}^{\text{gen}} \subset \mathsf{Cat}_{\infty/BM}$ since for every small space X the functor $\zeta_X : BM_{X,*} \to \mathfrak{BM}_{X,*}$ over BM and so also the functor $\xi_{X,Y} : BM_{X,Y} \to \mathfrak{BM}_{X,Y}$ over BM are maps of generalized BM-operads. This holds since $\mathfrak{BM}_{X,Y} \to \mathfrak{BM}_{X,Y} \to BM$ is a BM-operad whose fibers are spaces so that all lifts in $BM_{X,Y}$ of morphisms of BM that admit a cocartesian lift in $\mathfrak{BM}_{X,Y}$ are preserved.

By adjunction (5) there is a canonical equivalence

$$\operatorname{Cat}_{\infty/BM}(\alpha, \mathfrak{BM}_{X}) \simeq \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{F}(\alpha), X)$$
 (6)

natural in $\alpha \in \Delta_{/BM}$ and $X \in S$.

Notation 4.2. Let $\mathcal{W} \to BM = (\Delta_{/[1]})^{\text{op}}$ be the right fibration classifying the functor $\sigma : \Delta_{/[1]} \to \text{Set}$ taking the fiber over 0.

Lemma 4.3. There is a canonical equivalence

$$\mathsf{Cat}_{\infty/\mathrm{BM}}(\alpha, \mathrm{BM}_{\mathrm{X}}) \simeq \mathcal{S}(\mathrm{L}(\alpha \times_{\mathrm{BM}} \mathcal{W}), \mathrm{X})$$

natural in $\alpha \in \Delta_{/BM}$ and $X \in S$, where L is the left adjoint of the embedding $S \subset Cat_{\infty}$.

Proof. The left fibration $BM_X \rightarrow BM$ is classified by the functor

$$\mathrm{BM} \xrightarrow{\sigma^{\mathrm{op}}} \mathsf{Set}^{\mathrm{op}} \subset \mathscr{S}^{\mathrm{op}} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Fun}(-,X)} \mathscr{S}.$$

So by [2, Proposition 7.3.] there is a canonical equivalence

$$\mathsf{Cat}_{\infty/\mathrm{BM}}(\alpha, \mathrm{BM}_{\mathrm{X}}) \simeq \mathsf{Cat}_{\infty}(\alpha \times_{\mathrm{BM}} \mathcal{W}, \mathrm{X})$$

natural in $\alpha \in \Delta_{BM}$ and $X \in Cat_{\infty}$. So the claim follows.

In view of equivalence (6) and Lemma 4.3 we find that Proposition 4.1 follows from the following proposition:

Proposition 4.4. There is a canonical natural transformation

$$\gamma: \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{G} \coloneqq \mathcal{L} \circ ((-) \times_{\mathrm{BM}} \mathcal{W})$$

of functors $\Delta_{/BM} \rightarrow S$.

To prove Proposition 4.4 we use the following lemma:

Lemma 4.5. The functor $\mathfrak{G}: \Delta_{/BM} \to \mathfrak{S}$ preserves the colimit decomposition

$$\big\{ 0 < 1 \big\} \coprod_{\{1\}} \big\{ 1 < 2 \big\} \coprod_{\{2\}} \dots \coprod_{\{n-1\}} \big\{ n-1 < n \big\} \simeq \big[n \big]$$

and induces a functor $\Delta_{BM} \to \mathfrak{Fin}$.

Proof. The functor

$$(-) \times_{\mathrm{BM}} \mathcal{W} : \mathsf{Cat}_{\infty/\mathrm{BM}} \to \mathsf{Cat}_{\infty/\mathcal{W}}$$

preserves colimits since $\mathcal{W} \to BM$ is a cartesian fibration so that the latter functor admits a right adjoint [7, Example B.3.11.]. Thus the functor

$$(-) \times_{\operatorname{BM}} \mathcal{W} : \operatorname{Cat}_{\infty/\operatorname{BM}} \to \operatorname{Cat}_{\infty/\mathcal{W}} \xrightarrow{\operatorname{forget}} \operatorname{Cat}_{\infty}$$

preserves small colimits so that \mathcal{G} preserves the colimit decomposition

$$\big\{ 0 < 1 \big\} \coprod_{\{1\}} \big\{ 1 < 2 \big\} \coprod_{\{2\}} \dots \coprod_{\{n-1\}} \big\{ n-1 < n \big\} \simeq \big[n \big].$$

Hence \mathcal{G} induces a functor $\Delta_{/BM} \to \mathcal{F}$ in if \mathcal{G} sends every functor $\alpha : [n] \to BM$ for n = 0, 1 to the empty or contractible space. Indeed by induction if M is a finite set and T, K are empty or contractible, then $M \coprod_T K$ is a finite set.

If n = 0, then α is an object $\varphi : [k] \to [1]$ of BM and $\mathcal{G}(\alpha)$ is $L([0] \times_{BM} \mathcal{W})$, which is the image under L of $\varphi^{-1}(\{0\}) \in \Delta^{\triangleleft}$ that is empty or contractible.

Let n = 1. If the fiber over 0 of the cartesian fibration $[1] \times_{BM} W \rightarrow [1]$ is empty, then the fiber over 1 has also to be empty and so $[1] \times_{BM} W$ is empty so that $L([1] \times_{BM} W)$ is empty. If the the fiber over 0 of the cartesian fibration $[1] \times_{BM} W \rightarrow [1]$ is non-empty, then it belongs to Δ and so admits an initial object. This initial object lies over 0, the initial object of [1], and therefore is an initial object of $[1] \times_{BM} W$ since $[1] \times_{BM} W \rightarrow [1]$ is a cartesian fibration. Hence $L([1] \times_{BM} W)$ is contractible as it admits an initial object.

Proof of Proposition 4.4. By Lemma 4.5 the functor $\mathcal{G}: \Delta_{BM} \to \mathcal{S}$ takes values in Fin like ${\mathcal F}$ does. So we need to construct a natural transformation $\gamma:{\mathcal F}\to {\mathcal G}$ of functors $\Delta_{BM} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}in$, in particular, a natural transformation of functors between discrete ∞ -categories. Since \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} preserve the colimit decomposition

$$\big\{ 0 < 1 \big\} \coprod_{\{1\}} \big\{ 1 < 2 \big\} \coprod_{\{2\}} \dots \coprod_{\{n-1\}} \big\{ n-1 < n \big\} \simeq \big[n \big],$$

the map γ is determined by its components on $\alpha : [n] \to BM$ for $n \in \{0, 1\}$.

The case n = 0: in this case α corresponds to an object of BM that is given by a map $\varphi : [k] \to [1]$ in Δ and we write $\mathcal{F}(\varphi), \mathcal{G}(\varphi), \gamma(\varphi)$ for $\mathcal{F}(\alpha), \mathcal{G}(\alpha), \gamma(\alpha)$. The map $\gamma(\varphi): \mathfrak{F}(\varphi) \to \mathfrak{G}(\varphi)$ is compatible with the colimit decomposition

$$\left\{0 < 1\right\} \coprod_{\left\{1\right\}} \left\{1 < 2\right\} \coprod_{\left\{2\right\}} \dots \coprod_{\left\{k-1\right\}} \left\{k-1 < k\right\} \simeq \begin{bmatrix}k\end{bmatrix}$$

in $\Delta_{/[1]}$ and thus is determined by all $\varphi : [k] \rightarrow [1]$ with $k \in \{0, 1\}$.

If k = 0, we have $\mathcal{F}(\varphi) = \emptyset$ and $\mathcal{G}(\varphi) = \begin{cases} *, \ \varphi(0) = 0 \\ \emptyset, \ \varphi(0) = 1 \end{cases}$ so that there is only one choice for $\gamma(\varphi)$.

If k = 1, we have $\mathcal{F}(\varphi) = \mathcal{G}(\varphi) = \begin{cases} * \coprod *, \ \varphi = 0 \\ \varnothing, \ \varphi = 1, \\ *, \ \varphi = \mathrm{id} \end{cases}$ and $\gamma(\varphi)$ is the identity.

The fiber of φ over 0 is of the form $[\ell]$ and let $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$ be the cardinality of the set of all $1 \leq i \leq k$ with $\varphi(i-1) < \varphi(i)$. Then $\mathcal{F}(\varphi)$ has $2\ell + \beta$ elements, which we denote by

$$\begin{split} &\{\mathsf{x}_{1}^{1},\mathsf{x}_{1}^{2},...,\mathsf{x}_{\ell}^{1},\mathsf{x}_{\ell}^{2}\}, \qquad \qquad (\beta=0) \\ &\{\mathsf{x}_{1}^{1},\mathsf{x}_{1}^{2},...,\mathsf{x}_{\ell}^{1},\mathsf{x}_{\ell}^{2},\mathsf{x}_{\ell+1}\}, \qquad \qquad (\beta=1), \end{split}$$

where the set $\{x_1^1, x_1^2, ..., x_{\ell}^1, x_{\ell}^2\}$ is empty by convention if $\ell < 1$.

In contrast the set $\mathcal{G}(\varphi) \simeq [0] \times_{BM} \mathcal{W}$ has $\ell + 1$ elements and the map $\gamma(\varphi)$ identifies x_j^2 with x_{j-1}^1 for $2 \le j \le \ell$ and $x_{\ell+1}$ with x_ℓ^1 . Set $x_0^1 \coloneqq x_1^2$. Then $\gamma(\varphi)$ identifies x_j^2 with x_{j-1}^1 for $1 \le j \le \ell$. So the image of $\gamma(\varphi)$ is the set

$$\{x_0^1, x_1^1, x_2^1, ..., x_{\ell-1}^1, x_\ell^1\}.$$

So $\gamma(\varphi)$ factors as $\mathcal{F}(\varphi) \to \{\mathsf{x}_0^1, \mathsf{x}_1^1, \mathsf{x}_2^1, \dots, \mathsf{x}_{\ell-1}^1, \mathsf{x}_{\ell}^1\} \simeq [\ell] = \mathcal{G}(\varphi)$, where the last isomorphism is order preserving with the evident order on the left hand side.

The case n = 1 : in this case α corresponds to a morphism $\varphi \rightarrow \varphi'$ of BM, which is given by a map $\phi: [k'] \to [k]$ over [1] in Δ . The fiber $\varphi^{-1}(\{0\}) \subset [k]$ is of the form $[\ell]$ for some $-1 \leq \ell \leq k$ and the fiber $\varphi'^{-1}(\{0\}) \subset [k']$ is of the form $\lceil \ell' \rceil$ for $-1 \leq \ell' \leq k'$. Let $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$ be the cardinality of the set of all $1 \leq i \leq k$ with $\varphi(i-1) < \varphi(i)$ and $0 \le \beta' \le 1$ the cardinality of the set of all $1 \le i \le k'$ with $\varphi'(i-1) < \varphi'(i)$. The set $\mathfrak{F}(\alpha)$ is defined as the pushout of sets

$$(\mathcal{F}(\varphi)\coprod \mathcal{F}(\varphi'))\coprod_{\mathcal{J}\times\{0,1\}}\mathcal{J},$$

where $\mathcal{J} \subset (\mathcal{F}(\varphi) \sqcup \mathcal{F}(\varphi'))^{\times 2}$ is defined in Example 3.5 and has $\ell' + \phi(\ell' + \beta') - \phi(0)$ elements.

We define $\gamma(\alpha) : \mathfrak{F}(\alpha) \to \mathfrak{G}(\alpha) \simeq L([1] \times_{BM} \mathcal{W})$ as the map of sets

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{F}(\varphi) \coprod \mathcal{F}(\varphi') \xrightarrow{\gamma(\varphi) \amalg \gamma(\varphi')} \mathcal{G}(\varphi) \coprod \mathcal{G}(\varphi') \simeq \\ & \mathrm{L}(\{0,1\} \times_{\mathrm{BM}} \mathcal{W}) \to \mathrm{L}([1] \times_{\mathrm{BM}} \mathcal{W}) = \mathcal{G}(\alpha) \end{aligned}$$

and have to see that the maps

$$\mathfrak{F}(\varphi) \xrightarrow{\gamma(\varphi)} \mathfrak{G}(\varphi) = \mathrm{L}(\{0\} \times_{\mathrm{BM}} \mathcal{W}) \to \mathrm{L}([1] \times_{\mathrm{BM}} \mathcal{W}) = \mathfrak{G}(\alpha)$$

and

$$\mathcal{F}(\varphi') \xrightarrow{\gamma(\varphi')} \mathcal{G}(\varphi') = \mathcal{L}(\{1\} \times_{\mathrm{BM}} \mathcal{W}) \to \mathcal{L}([1] \times_{\mathrm{BM}} \mathcal{W}) = \mathcal{G}(\alpha)$$

coincide.

For that we need to show that for every element T of \mathcal{J} the map of sets

$$\{0,1\} \xrightarrow{\lambda_{\mathrm{T}}^{\alpha}} \mathfrak{F}(\varphi) \coprod \mathfrak{F}(\varphi') \xrightarrow{\gamma(\varphi) \amalg \gamma(\varphi')} \mathfrak{G}(\varphi) \coprod \mathfrak{G}(\varphi') \simeq \\ \mathrm{L}(\{0,1\} \times_{\mathrm{BM}} \mathcal{W}) \to \mathrm{L}([1] \times_{\mathrm{BM}} \mathcal{W})$$

is constant. The latter map corresponds to a pair $T' = (T'_1, T'_2)$ and the map is constant if and only if $T'_1 \simeq T'_2$. We prove this in the following. Let $\mathcal{F}(\varphi) = \{x_{1}^1, x_{1}^2, ..., x_{\ell}^1, x_{\ell}^2, (x_{\ell+1})\}$ and $\mathcal{F}(\varphi') = \{y_{1}^1, y_{1}^2, ..., y_{\ell'}^1, y_{\ell'}^2, (y_{\ell'+1})\}$.

The canonical functor

$$\begin{split} & \{\mathsf{x}_0^1,\mathsf{x}_1^1,\mathsf{x}_2^1...,\mathsf{x}_{\ell-1}^1,\mathsf{x}_{\ell}^1\} \coprod \{\mathsf{y}_0^1,\mathsf{y}_1^1,\mathsf{y}_2^1...,\mathsf{y}_{\ell'-1}^1,\mathsf{y}_{\ell'}^1\} \\ & \simeq \mathcal{G}(\varphi) \coprod \mathcal{G}(\varphi') \simeq \mathcal{L}(\{0,1\} \times_{\mathrm{BM}} \mathcal{W}) \to \mathcal{L}([1] \times_{\mathrm{BM}} \mathcal{W}) \end{split}$$

identifies y_i^1 with $x_{\phi(i)}^1$ for $0 \le i \le \ell'$ because the order preserving isomorphisms

$$\{x_0^1, x_1^1, x_2^1..., x_{\ell-1}^1, x_\ell^1\} \simeq \{0\} \times_{BM} \mathcal{W} \simeq \{0, ..., \ell\}$$

and

$$\{\mathsf{y}_0^1,\mathsf{y}_1^1,\mathsf{y}_2^1...,\mathsf{y}_{\ell'-1}^1,\mathsf{y}_{\ell'}^1\} \simeq \{1\} \times_{BM} \mathcal{W} \simeq \{0,...,\ell'\}$$

sent $x_{\phi(i)}^1$ to $\phi(i)$ and y_i^1 to i, and the cartesian fibration $[1] \times_{BM} \mathcal{W} \rightarrow [1]$ classifies the map $\{0, ..., \ell'\} \rightarrow \{0, ..., \ell\}$ induced by the map $\phi : [k'] \rightarrow [k]$ on the fiber over 0.

In the following we use the definition of \mathcal{J} of Example 3.5. Let $1 \leq i \leq \ell'$.

- If $\phi(i-1) = \phi(i)$ and $T = (y_i^1, y_i^2)$, then $T'_1 \simeq T'_2$ since in $L([1] \times_{BM} W)$ we have $y_i^1 = x_{\phi(i)}^1 = x_{\phi(i-1)}^1 = y_{i-1}^1 = y_i^2$.
- If $\phi(i-1) < \phi(i)$ and

$$T \in \mathcal{J}_i \coloneqq \{ (y_i^1, x_{\phi(i)}^1), (x_{\phi(i-1)+1}^2, y_i^2), (x_j^2, x_{j-1}^1), \phi(i-1) + 2 \le j \le \phi(i) \},\$$

 $\begin{array}{l} \mathrm{then}\ T_1'\simeq T_2'\ \mathrm{since\ in}\ L([1]\times_{\mathrm{BM}}\mathcal{W})\ \mathrm{we\ have\ }y_i^1=x_{\phi(i)}^1, x_{\phi(i-1)+1}^2=x_{\phi(i-1)}^1=y_{i-1}^1=y_i^2\ \mathrm{and}\ x_j^2=x_{j-1}^1\ \mathrm{for}\ \phi(i-1)+2\leq j\leq \phi(i). \end{array}$

This proves the case for $\beta = 0$. If $\beta' = 1$, in which case also $\beta = 1$ and

$$T \in \mathcal{J} \smallsetminus \coprod_{1 \leq i \leq \ell'} \mathcal{J}_i = \{ (\mathsf{x}_{\ell+1}, \mathsf{x}_{\ell}^1), (\mathsf{x}_{\phi(\ell')+1}^2, \mathsf{y}_{\ell'+1}), (\mathsf{x}_j^2, \mathsf{x}_{j-1}^1) \mid \ell \geq j \geq \phi(\ell') + 2 \},$$

then $T'_1 \simeq T'_2$ since in $L([1] \times_{BM} W)$ we have

$$\mathsf{x}_{\ell+1} = \mathsf{x}_{\ell}^1, \mathsf{x}_{\phi(\ell')+1}^2 = \mathsf{x}_{\phi(\ell')}^1 = \mathsf{y}_{\ell'}^1 = \mathsf{y}_{\ell'+1}, \mathsf{x}_j^2 = \mathsf{x}_{j-1}^1$$

for $\phi(\ell') + 2 \leq j \leq \ell$.

Notation 4.6. Let X be a small space. The pullback of the map $\zeta_X : BM_X \to \mathfrak{BM}_X$ of generalized BM-operads along the embedding Ass $\subset LM \subset BM$ gives a map $\sigma_X : Ass_X \to \mathfrak{Ass}_X$ of generalized Ass-operads.

Remark 4.7. Let X be a small space. By Remark 2.3 there is an equivalence $(Ass_X)^{rev} \simeq Ass_X$ of generalized Ass-operads. There is also an equivalence $(\mathfrak{Ass}_X)^{rev} \simeq \mathfrak{Ass}_X$ of Ass-operads. Let $\iota : Ass \subset LM \subset BM$. This follows from the facts that $Ass_X = Ass \times_{BM} BM_X \rightarrow Ass$ viewed as object of $\mathsf{Cat}_{\infty/Ass} \subset \mathcal{P}(\Delta_{/Ass})$ is the composition $\Delta_{/Ass} \xrightarrow{\iota_1} \Delta_{/BM} \xrightarrow{\mathsf{LoF}} \mathsf{Cat}_\infty$ and that $L \circ F \circ \iota_1 \simeq L \circ F \circ \iota_1 \circ \tau_1$. The composition $(Ass_X)^{rev} \simeq Ass_X \xrightarrow{\sigma_X} \mathfrak{Ass}_X \simeq (\mathfrak{Ass}_X)^{rev}$ is $(\sigma_X)^{rev}$.

Remark 4.8. Let X, Y be small spaces. The pullback of the map $\zeta_X : BM_X \rightarrow \mathfrak{BM}_X$ of generalized BM-operads along the embedding Ass $\subset RM \subset BM$ gives the identity of Ass. Hence the pullback of the map $\xi_{X,Y} : BM_{X,Y} \rightarrow \mathfrak{BM}_{X,Y}$ of generalized BM-operads along the embedding Ass $\subset LM \subset BM$ is $\sigma_X : Ass_X \rightarrow \mathfrak{Ass}_X$ and using Remark 4.7 the pullback of $\xi_{X,Y}$ along the embedding Ass $\subset RM \subset BM$ is $\sigma_Y : Ass_Y \rightarrow \mathfrak{Ass}_Y$.

Remark 4.9. Let X, Y be small spaces. Taking coproduct gives rise to a map of left fibrations

$$\mathrm{BM}_{\mathrm{X},\mathrm{Y}} = \mathrm{BM} \times_{(S^{\mathrm{op}} \times S^{\mathrm{op}})} (S_{/\mathrm{X}})^{\mathrm{op}} \times (S_{/\mathrm{Y}})^{\mathrm{op}} \to \mathrm{Ass}_{\mathrm{X} \coprod \mathrm{Y}} \simeq \mathrm{Ass} \times_{S^{\mathrm{op}}} (S_{/\mathrm{X} \coprod \mathrm{Y}})^{\mathrm{op}}$$

over Ass natural in X, Y $\in \mathbb{S}.$ The induced map of left fibrations

$$BM_{X,Y} \rightarrow BM \times_{Ass_{*II}*} Ass_{X \coprod Y}$$

over BM is an equivalence as it induces on the fiber over any $\alpha : [n] * [m] \rightarrow [0] * [0] = [1]$ the following equivalence induced by the embeddings $X, Y \in X \coprod Y$:

$$Fun(\{0,...,n\},X) \times Fun(\{0,...,m\},Y) \simeq$$

$$\{\alpha\} \times_{\operatorname{Fun}(\{0,...,n\}} \coprod \{0,...,m\}, * \coprod *) \operatorname{Fun}(\{0,...,n\} \coprod \{0,...,m\}, X \coprod Y)$$

By [10, Remark 2.2.10] there is a canonical equivalence of generalized BM-operads

$$\mathfrak{BM}_{X,Y} \simeq BM \times_{\mathfrak{Ass}_{*} \amalg *} \mathfrak{Ass}_{X \amalg Y}$$

One can prove that there is a commutative square of generalized BM-operads:

$$\begin{array}{cccc} \operatorname{BM}_{X,Y} & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & \operatorname{BM} \times_{\operatorname{Ass}_{*\amalg^{*}}} \operatorname{Ass}_{X\amalg Y} \\ & & \downarrow^{\xi_{X,Y}} & & \downarrow^{\operatorname{BM} \times_{\sigma_{*\amalg^{*}}} \sigma_{X\amalg Y}} \\ \mathfrak{B}\mathfrak{M}_{X,Y} & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & \operatorname{BM} \times_{\mathfrak{Ass}_{*\amalg^{*}}} \mathfrak{Ass}_{X\amalg Y}. \end{array}$$

Consequently, the map $\xi_{X,Y}$ is uniquely determined by the maps σ_Z for some small spaces Z.

For the next notation we call a functor $\mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D}$ flat if the pullback functor $(-) \times_{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{C} : \mathsf{Cat}_{\infty/\mathcal{D}} \to \mathsf{Cat}_{\infty/\mathcal{C}}$ preserves small colimits (see [7, B.3.] or [5, Definition 2.33.] for the study of flat functors). For $\mathcal{O} \in \{\mathrm{Ass}, \mathrm{BM}\}$ we call a generalized \mathcal{O} -operad $\phi : \mathcal{U} \to \mathcal{O}$ flat if ϕ is flat.

Notation 4.10. Let $\mathcal{O} \in \{Ass, BM\}$ and $\mathcal{U} \to \mathcal{O}$ a flat generalized \mathcal{O} -operad. By [4, Theorem 10.13.] the functor $(-) \times_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{U} : \operatorname{Op}_{\infty}^{\mathcal{O}, \operatorname{gen}} \to \operatorname{Op}_{\infty}^{\mathcal{O}, \operatorname{gen}}$ admits a right adjoint denoted by $\operatorname{Fun}^{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{U}, -)$.

[4, Remark 10.5.] implies the following remark:

Remark 4.11. Let $\mathcal{O} \in \{Ass, BM\}$ and $\mathcal{U} \to \mathcal{O}$ a flat generalized \mathcal{O} -operad.

- 1. If $\mathcal{U}' \to \mathcal{O}$ is an \mathcal{O} -operad, $\operatorname{Fun}^{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{U}') \to \mathcal{O}$ is an \mathcal{O} -operad and for every $Z \in \mathcal{O}$ lying over $[1] \in \operatorname{Ass}$ the fiber $\operatorname{Fun}^{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{U}')_Z$ is $\operatorname{Fun}(\mathcal{U}_Z, \mathcal{U}'_Z)$.
- 2. If $\mathcal{U}' \to BM$ is a BM-operad, the pullback of $\operatorname{Fun}^{BM}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{U}') \to BM$ along any of the two embeddings Ass $\subset BM$ is the Ass-operad

 $\operatorname{Fun}^{\operatorname{Ass}}(\operatorname{Ass}\times_{\operatorname{BM}} \mathcal{U}, \operatorname{Ass}\times_{\operatorname{BM}} \mathcal{U}') \to \operatorname{Ass}.$

Remark 4.12. Let $\mathcal{U} \to BM$ be a flat generalized BM-operad and $\mathcal{U}' \to BM$ a BM-monoidal ∞ -category compatible with small colimits. By [4, Proposition 10.20.] the functor $\operatorname{Fun}^{BM}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{U}') \to BM$ is a locally cocartesian fibration. Let $\mathcal{U}_{act} \subset \mathcal{U}$ be the subcategory of morphisms of \mathcal{U} whose image in BM corresponds to an order preserving map $[n] \to [m]$ over [1] that preserves the minimum and maximum.

For every $F, F' \in Fun(\mathcal{U}_{\mathfrak{a}}, \mathcal{U}'_{\mathfrak{a}}), H \in Fun(\mathcal{U}_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{U}'_{\mathfrak{m}}), G \in Fun(\mathcal{U}_{\mathfrak{b}}, \mathcal{U}'_{\mathfrak{b}}), T \in \mathcal{U}_{\mathfrak{a}}, Z \in \mathcal{U}_{\mathfrak{m}}$ by [4, Lemma 10.21.] there are canonical equivalences

 $(F \otimes F')(T) \simeq \operatorname{colim}_{(X,Y) \in (\mathfrak{U}_{\mathfrak{a}} \times \mathfrak{U}_{\mathfrak{a}}) \times_{\mathfrak{U}} \mathfrak{U}_{/T}^{\operatorname{act}}} F(X) \otimes F'(Y),$

 $(F \otimes H)(Z) \simeq \operatorname{colim}_{(X,Y) \in (\mathfrak{U}_{\mathfrak{a}} \times \mathfrak{U}_{\mathfrak{m}}) \times_{\mathfrak{U}} \mathfrak{U}_{/Z}^{\operatorname{act}}} F(X) \otimes H(Y),$

 $(\mathrm{H}\otimes\mathrm{G})(\mathrm{Z})\simeq\operatorname{colim}_{(\mathrm{X},\mathrm{Y})\in(\mathfrak{U}_{\mathfrak{m}}\times\mathfrak{U}_{\mathfrak{b}})\times_{\mathfrak{U}}\mathfrak{U}_{/\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathrm{act}}}\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{X})\otimes\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{Y}).$

Notation 4.13. Let X, Y be small spaces, $\mathcal{O} \to BM$ a BM-operad and $\mathcal{V} \to Ass$ an Ass-operad.

1. The map $\xi_{X,Y} : BM_{X,Y} \to \mathfrak{BM}_{X,Y}$ of generalized BM-operads of Proposition 4.1 induces a map of BM-operads

$$\gamma^{X,Y}_{\mathcal{O}}: \mathrm{Fun}^{\mathrm{BM}}(\mathfrak{BM}_{X,Y}, \mathcal{O}) \to \mathrm{Fun}^{\mathrm{BM}}(\mathrm{BM}_{X,Y}, \mathcal{O}).$$

2. The map $\sigma_X : Ass_X \to \mathfrak{Ass}_X$ of generalized Ass-operads induces a map of Ass-operads

$$\beta_{\mathcal{V}}^{\mathcal{X}} : \operatorname{Fun}^{\operatorname{Ass}}(\mathfrak{Ass}_{\mathcal{X}}, \mathcal{V}) \to \operatorname{Fun}^{\operatorname{Ass}}(\operatorname{Ass}_{\mathcal{X}}, \mathcal{V}).$$

3. The map $\beta_{\mathcal{V}}^{\mathbf{X}}$ induces on associative algebras the functor

$$\alpha_{\mathcal{V}}^{\mathcal{X}} : \mathrm{Alg}_{\mathfrak{Ass}_{\mathcal{X}}}(\mathcal{V}) \to \mathrm{Alg}_{\mathrm{Ass}_{\mathcal{X}}}(\mathcal{V})$$

induced by Ass $\times_{BM} \xi_{X,*} : Ass_X \to \mathfrak{Ass}_X$.

Remarks 4.8 and 4.11 imply the following remark:

Remark 4.14. Let X, Y be small spaces, $\mathcal{O} \to BM$ a BM-operad and $\mathcal{V} \to Ass$ an Ass-operad.

1. The map $\beta_{\mathcal{V}}^{X}$: Fun^{Ass} ($\mathfrak{Ass}_{X}, \mathcal{V}$) \rightarrow Fun^{Ass} (Ass_X, \mathcal{V}) of Ass-operads induces on the fiber over \mathfrak{a} the identity

$$\operatorname{Fun}(X \times X, \mathcal{V}_{\mathfrak{a}}) \simeq \operatorname{Fun}((\mathfrak{Ass}_X)_{\mathfrak{a}}, \mathcal{V}_{\mathfrak{a}}) \to \operatorname{Fun}((\operatorname{Ass}_X)_{\mathfrak{a}}, \mathcal{V}_{\mathfrak{a}}) \simeq \operatorname{Fun}(X \times X, \mathcal{V}_{\mathfrak{a}})$$

since $(\mathfrak{Ass}_X)_{\mathfrak{a}} \simeq X \times X \simeq (Ass_X)_{\mathfrak{a}}$.

2. The map $\gamma_{\mathbb{O}}^{X,Y}$ of BM-operads induces on the fiber over \mathfrak{m} the identity

$$\operatorname{Fun}(X \times Y, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{m}}) \simeq \operatorname{Fun}((\mathfrak{BM}_{X,Y})_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{m}}) \to \operatorname{Fun}((\operatorname{BM}_{X,Y})_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{m}})$$
$$\simeq \operatorname{Fun}(X \times Y, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{m}})$$

since $(\mathfrak{BM}_{X,Y})_{\mathfrak{m}} \simeq X \times Y \simeq (BM_{X,Y})_{\mathfrak{m}}.$

3. The pullback of $\gamma_{\mathcal{O}}^{X,Y}$ along the embedding Ass \subset LM \subset BM is the map $\beta_{Ass \times_{BM} \mathcal{O}}^{X}$: Fun^{Ass}($\mathfrak{Ass}_{X}, Ass \times_{BM} \mathcal{O}$) \rightarrow Fun^{Ass}(Ass_X, Ass $\times_{BM} \mathcal{O}$) and the pullback of $\gamma_{\mathcal{O}}^{X,Y}$ along the embedding Ass \subset RM \subset BM is $\beta_{Ass \times_{BM} \mathcal{O}}^{Y}$.

Proposition 4.15. Let X, Y be small spaces and $\mathcal{O} \rightarrow BM$ a BM-monoidal ∞ -category compatible with small colimits. The map of BM-operads

$$\gamma^{\mathrm{X},\mathrm{Y}}_{\mathrm{\mathcal{O}}}:\mathrm{Fun}^{\mathrm{BM}}(\mathfrak{BM}_{\mathrm{X},\mathrm{Y}},\mathrm{\mathcal{O}})\to\mathrm{Fun}^{\mathrm{BM}}(\mathrm{BM}_{\mathrm{X},\mathrm{Y}},\mathrm{\mathcal{O}})$$

is a BM-monoidal equivalence between BM-monoidal ∞ -categories.

For every $F, F' \in Fun(X \times X, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{a}}), G \in Fun(Y \times Y, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{b}}), H \in Fun(Y \times X, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{m}})$ we have the following descriptions:

- $F \otimes F' : X \times X \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{a}}, (A, A') \mapsto \operatorname{colim}_{Z \in X} F(Z, A') \otimes F'(A, Z),$
- $F \otimes H : Y \times X \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{m}}, (A, A') \mapsto \operatorname{colim}_{Z \in X} F(Z, A') \otimes H(A, Z),$
- $H\otimes G:Y\times X\to {\mathcal O}_{\mathfrak m}, (A,A')\mapsto {\rm colim}_{Z\in Y}H(Z,A')\otimes G(A,Z).$

Proof. The source of $\gamma_{\bigcirc}^{X,Y}$ is a BM-monoidal ∞-category by [6, Theorem 4.4.8]. The target of $\gamma_{\bigcirc}^{X,Y}$ is a BM-monoidal ∞-category by [4, Remark 4.27.] and the tensor product and left and right actions have the descriptions as above. The latter descriptions also immediately follow from Remark 4.12 (3). The functor $\gamma_{\bigcirc}^{X,Y}$ induces an equivalence on the fiber over any object of BM by Remark 4.14 and so is an equivalence if it is a BM-monoidal functor. Let $\mathcal{V} \to Ass$ be the pullback of $\mathcal{O} \to BM$ along the embedding Ass ⊂ LM ⊂ BM and $\mathcal{W} \to Ass$ be the pullback of $\mathcal{O} \to BM$ along the embedding Ass ⊂ RM ⊂ BM. Hence $\gamma_{\bigcirc}^{X,Y}$ is a

BM-monoidal functor if for every $F, F' \in Fun(X \times X, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{a}}), G \in Fun(Y \times Y, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{b}}), H \in Fun(Y \times X, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{m}})$ the canonical morphisms

$$\beta_{\mathcal{V}}^{\mathcal{X}}(\mathbf{F}) \otimes \beta_{\mathcal{V}}^{\mathcal{X}}(\mathbf{F}') \to \beta_{\mathcal{V}}^{\mathcal{X}}(\mathbf{F} \otimes \mathbf{F}') \tag{7}$$

in $Fun(X \times X, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{a}})$ and

$$\beta_{\mathcal{V}}^{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{F}) \otimes \delta_{\mathcal{O}}^{\mathbf{X},\mathbf{Y}}(\mathbf{H}) \to \delta_{\mathcal{O}}^{\mathbf{X},\mathbf{Y}}(\mathbf{F} \otimes \mathbf{H}), \tag{8}$$

$$\delta_{\mathcal{O}}^{\mathbf{X},\mathbf{Y}}(\mathbf{H}) \otimes \beta_{\mathcal{W}}^{\mathbf{Y}}(\mathbf{G}) \to \delta_{\mathcal{O}}^{\mathbf{X},\mathbf{Y}}(\mathbf{H} \otimes \mathbf{G})$$
(9)

in $\operatorname{Fun}(Y \times X, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{m}})$ are equivalences.

Evaluating (7) at $(A, A') \in X \times X$ by Remark 4.12 (3) we obtain the morphism

$$\operatorname{colim}_{Z \in X} F(Z, A') \otimes F'(A, Z) \to \operatorname{colim}_{(Z \to Z') \in \operatorname{Fun}([1], X)} F(Z', A') \otimes F'(A, Z).$$

The latter composition is induced by the diagonal embedding $X \rightarrow Fun([1], X)$, which is an equivalence since X is a space.

Evaluating (8) at $(A, A') \in Y \times X$ by Remark 4.12 (3) gives the morphism

$$\operatorname{colim}_{Z \in X} F(Z, A') \otimes H(A, Z) \to \operatorname{colim}_{(Z \to Z') \in \operatorname{Fun}([1], X)} F(Z', A') \otimes H(A, Z).$$

The latter is an equivalence by the reason as above. Evaluating (9) at $(A, A') \in Y \times X$ by Remark 4.12 (3) gives the morphism

$$\operatorname{colim}_{Z \in Y} \operatorname{H}(Z, A') \otimes \operatorname{G}(A, Z) \to \operatorname{colim}_{(Z \to Z') \in \operatorname{Fun}([1], X)} \operatorname{H}(Z', A') \otimes \operatorname{G}(A, Z).$$

The latter is an equivalence by the reason as above.

Theorem 4.16. Let X, Y be small spaces. The map

$$\gamma_{\mathcal{O}}^{X,Y}$$
: Fun^{BM}($\mathfrak{BM}_{X,Y}, \mathcal{O}$) \rightarrow Fun^{BM}(BM_{X,Y}, \mathcal{O})

of BM-operads is an equivalence.

Proof. We first reduce to the case that $\mathcal{O} \to BM$ is a BM-monoidal ∞ -category compatible with small colimits. By Remark 4.14 the map $\gamma_{\mathcal{O}}^{X,Y}$ of BM-operads induces on the fiber over any object of BM an equivalence.

Let $\operatorname{Env}_{BM}(\mathcal{O}) \to BM$ be the enveloping BM-monoidal ∞ -category [4, Notation 3.91.] associated to the BM-operad $\mathcal{O} \to BM$ that comes equipped with an embedding of BM-operads $\mathcal{O} \subset \operatorname{Env}_{BM}(\mathcal{O})$. Let $\operatorname{PEnv}_{BM}(\mathcal{O}) \to BM$ be the ∞ -category of presheaves endowed with Day-convolution on the enveloping BM-monoidal ∞ -category, which is a BM-monoidal ∞ -category compatible with small colimits and comes equipped with an embedding of BM-operads $\mathcal{O} \subset \operatorname{PEnv}_{BM}(\mathcal{O})$ [4, Notation 3.109.]. The embedding $\mathcal{O} \subset \operatorname{PEnv}_{BM}(\mathcal{O})$ of BMoperads induces embeddings of BM-operads

$$\operatorname{Fun}^{\operatorname{BM}}(\operatorname{BM}_{X,Y}, \mathcal{O}) \subset \operatorname{Fun}^{\operatorname{BM}}(\operatorname{BM}_{X,Y}, \mathcal{P}\operatorname{Env}_{\operatorname{BM}}(\mathcal{O})),$$

 $\operatorname{Fun}^{\operatorname{BM}}(\mathfrak{BM}_{X,Y}, \mathbb{O}) \subset \operatorname{Fun}^{\operatorname{BM}}(\mathfrak{BM}_{X,Y}, \operatorname{\mathcal{P}Env}_{\operatorname{BM}}(\mathbb{O})).$

The map of BM-operads $\gamma_{\mathcal{O}}^{X,Y}$ is the pullback of the map of BM-operads $\gamma_{\mathcal{P}\text{EnvBM}(\mathcal{O})}^{X,Y}$ because $\gamma_{\mathcal{O}}, \gamma_{\mathcal{P}\text{EnvBM}(\mathcal{O})}$ induce fiberwise equivalences. Therefore

 $\gamma_0^{X,Y}$ is an equivalence of BM-operads if $\gamma_{\mathcal{P} Env_{BM}(\mathcal{O})}^{X,Y}$ is an equivalence of BM-operads. Consequently, to prove that $\gamma_{\mathcal{O}}^{X,Y}$ is an equivalence we can assume that $\mathcal{O} \rightarrow BM$ is a BM-monoidal ∞ -category compatible with small colimits. Since the functor $\mathcal{O} \rightarrow BM$ is a BM-monoidal ∞ -category compatible with small colimits, Lemma 4.15 guarantees that $\gamma_{\mathcal{O}}^{X,Y}$ is a BM-monoidal functor of BM-monoidal ∞ -categories. Since $\gamma_{\mathcal{O}}^{X,Y}$ induces fiberwise equivalences, it is an equivalence.

Notation 4.17. Let $\mathcal{O} \to BM$ be a BM-operad that exhibits an ∞ -category \mathcal{D} as weakly bitensored over Ass-operads $\mathcal{V} \to Ass$, $\mathcal{W} \to Ass$. Let \mathcal{C} be a \mathcal{V} -enriched ∞ -precategory with small space of objects X. We set

$$\operatorname{Fun}_{\operatorname{Hin}}^{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C},\mathcal{D}) \coloneqq \operatorname{LMod}_{\mathcal{C}}(\operatorname{Fun}^{\operatorname{BM}}(\mathfrak{BM}_{X,*},\mathcal{O}))$$

and

$$\operatorname{Fun}^{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}) \coloneqq \operatorname{LMod}_{\mathcal{C}}(\operatorname{Fun}^{\operatorname{BM}}(\operatorname{BM}_{X,*}, \mathcal{O})).$$

Remark 4.18. Let $\mathcal{O} \to BM$ be a BM-monoidal ∞ -category compatible with small colimits. Using the descriptions of the left actions of Proposition 4.15 an object of Fun^{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}), i.e. a left \mathcal{C} -module in Fun(X, \mathcal{D}), is a functor H : X $\to \mathcal{D}$ and a coherently unital and associative action map $\mu : \mathcal{C} \otimes H \to H$ whose component at any Z \in X gives a morphism colim_{Z \in X} $\mathcal{C}(A, Z) \otimes H(A) \to H(Z)$ in \mathcal{D} corresponding to a compatible family ($\mathcal{C}(A, Z) \otimes H(A) \to H(Z)$)_{A,Z \in X} in \mathcal{D} .

Corollary 4.19. Let $\mathcal{O} \to BM$ be a BM-operad that exhibits an ∞ -category \mathcal{D} as weakly bitensored over Ass-operads $\mathcal{V} \to Ass, \mathcal{W} \to Ass$. Let \mathcal{C} be a \mathcal{V} -enriched ∞ -precategory with small space of objects X. There is a canonical equivalence

$$\operatorname{Fun}_{\operatorname{Hin}}^{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C},\mathcal{D}) \simeq \operatorname{Fun}^{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C},\mathcal{D})$$

of ∞ -categories weakly right tensored over W.

Proof. The canonical equivalence

 $\gamma^{\mathrm{X},*}_{\mathrm{O}}:\mathrm{Fun}^{\mathrm{BM}}(\mathfrak{BM}_{\mathrm{X},*},\mathbb{O})\to\mathrm{Fun}^{\mathrm{BM}}(\mathrm{BM}_{\mathrm{X},*},\mathbb{O})$

of BM-operads induces an equivalence

$$\operatorname{LMod}_{\operatorname{\mathbb{C}}}(\operatorname{Fun}^{\operatorname{BM}}(\mathfrak{BM}_{X,*}, \operatorname{\mathfrak{O}})) \simeq \operatorname{LMod}_{\operatorname{\mathbb{C}}}(\operatorname{Fun}^{\operatorname{BM}}(\operatorname{BM}_{X,*}, \operatorname{\mathfrak{O}}))$$

of ∞ -categories weakly right tensored over \mathcal{W} .

Theorem 4.16 implies Macpherson's result [9, Theorem 1.1.]:

Corollary 4.20. Let X be a small space and $\mathcal{V} \rightarrow Ass$ an Ass-operad. The map

$$\beta_{\mathcal{V}}: \operatorname{Fun}^{\operatorname{Ass}}(\mathfrak{Ass}_{X}, \mathcal{V}) \to \operatorname{Fun}^{\operatorname{Ass}}(\operatorname{Ass}_{X}, \mathcal{V})$$

of Ass-operads is an equivalence. In particular, the functor

$$\alpha_{\mathcal{V}} : \operatorname{Alg}_{\mathfrak{Ass}_{\mathcal{X}}}(\mathcal{V}) \to \operatorname{Alg}_{\operatorname{Ass}_{\mathcal{X}}}(\mathcal{V})$$

is an equivalence.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{O} \to BM$ be the pullback of $\mathcal{V} \to Ass$ along the canonical map BM → Ass. By Theorem 4.16 the map $\gamma_{\mathcal{O}}^{X,Y}$: Fun^{BM}($\mathfrak{BM}_{X,Y}, \mathfrak{O}$) → Fun^{BM}($BM_{X,Y}, \mathfrak{O}$) is an equivalence. By Remark 4.14 the pullback of $\gamma_{\mathcal{O}}^{X,Y}$: Fun^{BM}($\mathfrak{BM}_{X,Y}, \mathfrak{O}$) → Fun^{BM}($BM_{X,Y}, \mathfrak{O}$) along the embedding Ass \subset LM \subset BM is the map of Assoperads $\beta_{\mathcal{V}}^X$: Fun^{Ass}($\mathfrak{Ass}_X, \mathcal{V}$) → Fun^{Ass}(Ass_X, \mathcal{V}).

5 References

- David Gepner and Rune Haugseng. Enriched ∞-categories via nonsymmetric ∞-operads. Advances in Mathematics, 279, 07 2015.
- [2] David Gepner, Rune Haugseng, and Thomas Nikolaus. Lax colimits and free fibrations in ∞-categories, 2020.
- [3] Rune Haugseng. Bimodules and natural transformations for enriched ∞categories, Homology, Homotopy and Applications, 18(1), 71–98, 2016.
- [4] Hadrian Heine. An equivalence between enriched ∞-categories and ∞categories with weak action. Advances in Mathematics, 417:108941, 2023.
- [5] Hadrian Heine. A monadicity theorem for higher algebraic structures. arXiv, 1712.00555, 2023
- [6] Vladimir Hinich. Yoneda lemma for enriched ∞-categories. Advances in Mathematics, 367:107129, 2020.
- [7] Jacob Lurie. Higher Algebra, http://www.math.harvard.edu/ lurie/.
- [8] Jacob Lurie. Higher topos theory, volume 170 of Annals of Mathematics Studies. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2009.
- [9] Andrew W. Macpherson. The operad that co-represents enrichment. Homology, Homotopy and Applications, 23(1):387–401, 2020.
- [10] Germán Stefanich. Presentable (∞, n) -categories, arXiv, 2011.03035, 2020.