

HIGHER LIE THEORY IN POSITIVE CHARACTERISTIC

VICTOR ROCA I LUCIO

ABSTRACT. The main goal of this article is to develop integration theory over a positive characteristic field, and as a by-product, construct Lie-type models for the p -adic homotopy types of spaces. We introduce a new type of algebraic structure called curved *absolute* partition \mathcal{L}_∞ -algebra, which always admits a well-defined Maurer-Cartan equation. We construct a Quillen adjunction between curved absolute partition \mathcal{L}_∞ -algebras and simplicial sets, where the right adjoint is the integration functor. We show that it satisfies the same properties that its characteristic zero analogues. We also give an explicit combinatorial description of gauge equivalences. And using our constructions, we show how one can recover the \mathbb{E}_∞ -formal moduli problem associated to a (spectral) partition \mathcal{L}_∞ -algebra, under a homotopy completeness assumption. Finally, we use the left adjoint of the integration functor to construct Quillen-like models for the p -adic homotopy types of spaces and of their mapping spaces.

CONTENTS

Introduction	1
1. Curved absolute partition \mathcal{L}_∞ -algebras	4
2. The integration theory	11
3. Lie-type models in p -adic homotopy theory	19
Appendix A. Structural formulas	23
References	25

INTRODUCTION

The characteristic zero case. Over a field of characteristic zero, there is an equivalence of between the ∞ -category of formal moduli problems and the ∞ -category of dg Lie algebras. This became a theorem by the work J. Lurie and J. P. Pridham [Lur11a, Pri10]. This statement can be roughly translated as "every infinitesimal deformation problem is encoded by a dg Lie algebra". In the classical setting, one of the purposes of *integration theory* is to understand the "infinitesimal deformation problem" encoded by a particular dg Lie algebra.

More precisely, the goal is to construct a functor from the category of dg Lie algebras to the category of simplicial sets which recovers the deformation ∞ -groupoid encoded by this dg Lie algebra. Its most basic example can be traced back to Lie theory and the Baker–Campbell–Hausdorff formula which produces a group out of a nilpotent Lie algebra. A first general approach to the integration of dg Lie algebras is given by the seminal work of V. Hinich in [Hin01], using methods from D. Sullivan [Sul77]. A refined version of the integration procedure was constructed by E. Getzler in [Get09], where he constructs the integration procedure to nilpotent \mathcal{L}_∞ -algebras (homotopy Lie algebras). More modern approaches include the new characterization of Getzler’s functor given by D. Robert-Nicoud and B. Vallette in [RNV20] using operadic calculus. Finally, the author generalized these results to the case of curved absolute \mathcal{L}_∞ -algebras in [RiL22b]. By considering curved *absolute* \mathcal{L}_∞ -algebras, a new type of

Date: December 11, 2023.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. 18M70, 18N40, 22E60, 55P62, 55U10, 14D15, 14D23.

Key words and phrases. Algebraic operads, deformation theory, formal moduli problems, homotopical algebra, Lie theory, p -adic homotopy theory.

algebraic structures where all formal sums of operations are well-defined by definition, the author was also able to introduce a new set of methods into integration theory: mainly the *complete bar construction* and new model category structures transferred using the complete bar-cobar adjunction.

There is a parallel story concerning rational models for spaces. On the one hand, one can construct rational models using dg commutative algebras as done by D. Sullivan in [Sul77]. And on the other, one can construct rational models using dg Lie algebras, as done by D. Quillen in [Qui69]. There is a duality between these two types of algebraic structures called Koszul duality. This duality explains why dg Lie algebras encode infinitesimal deformation problems and also links Quillen's and Sullivan's approaches to rational homotopy theory. It is a modern insight of [BFMT20], and [RNV20] in the \mathcal{L}_∞ case, that integration theory can help simply Quillen's original approach and give a direct construction of Lie models for spaces. This was also generalized to curved absolute \mathcal{L}_∞ -algebras in [RiL22b], where they were shown to provide rational models for non-necessarily pointed nor connected finite type nilpotent spaces.

The positive characteristic case. Over a field of positive characteristic, there is an equivalence between the ∞ -category of \mathbb{E}_∞ -formal moduli problems and the ∞ -category of (spectral) *partition Lie algebras*. This was proven by L. Brantner and A. Mathew in [BM19]. In their work, they define the ∞ -category of partition Lie algebras as algebras over an ∞ -categorical monad. Later, L. Brantner, R. Campos and J. Nuiten gave in [BCN21] an explicit point-set model for the ∞ -category of partition Lie algebras: it can be obtained by localizing at quasi-isomorphisms the category of divided powers dg $\Omega(\mathbb{E}_\infty^{\text{nu}})^*$ -algebras, where $(\mathbb{E}_\infty^{\text{nu}})^*$ is the linear dual of any non-unital \mathbb{S} -projective resolution of the commutative operad. We will refer to these algebras as partition \mathcal{L}_∞ -algebras.

Nevertheless, even if we have point-set algebraic models for \mathbb{E}_∞ -formal moduli problems, there are no analogues of integration theory in positive characteristic. One of the main goals of this paper is to fill this gap by constructing a well-behaved integration functor. In order to do so, we build on the ideas introduced in [RiL22b] and heavily rely on the positive characteristic homotopical operadic calculus developed by B. Le Grignou and the author in [GRiL23a].

The other main goal is the construct Lie-type models for the p-adic homotopy type of spaces using these methods. M. Mandell proved in [Man01] that \mathbb{E}_∞ -algebras over the algebraic closure of \mathbb{F}_p provide us with algebraic models for the p-adic homotopy type of spaces. This result can be thought as the positive characteristic analogue of Sullivan's results. The question is then whether one can Koszul-dualize Mandell's approach to obtain Lie-type models for spaces like in [Qui69], given this time in terms of partition Lie or partition \mathcal{L}_∞ -algebras. One of the main difficulties is that cochains on spaces are formally étale over a positive characteristic field as shown in [Lur11b], and therefore the bar constructions on these algebras are always acyclic. This suggests that constructing these Lie-type models directly at the ∞ -categorical level (or with model categories where weak-equivalences are quasi-isomorphisms) might not be possible. We overcome this difficulty by working with *absolute* partition \mathcal{L}_∞ -algebras instead, which have a model structure transferred from coalgebras. In this case, this finer notion of weak equivalence allows us to encode the p-adic homotopy type of connected finite type nilpotent spaces. A possible explanation for this phenomenon is the following: in characteristic zero, the dg Lie or \mathcal{L}_∞ -algebras that give rational models are *homotopy complete*, meaning that they are fully faithfully included into the *absolute* counterparts. Hence one can consider rational models both in terms of absolute or classical dg Lie algebras. Whereas in positive characteristic, it could be that one is forced to consider *absolute* partition \mathcal{L}_∞ -algebras.

Main results. We first introduce *curved absolute* partition \mathcal{L}_∞ -algebras, where we consider curved objects in order to have the maximum degree of generality. Absolute partition \mathcal{L}_∞ -algebras are included into curved ones, as they are precisely those with zero curvature. On the other side of Koszul duality, this degree of generality amounts to working with all unital \mathbb{E}_∞ -algebras instead of only with augmented \mathbb{E}_∞ -algebras.

The idea is to consider the Barratt-Eccles dg operad \mathcal{E} introduced by C. Berger and B. Fresse in [BF04] as a particular model for *unital* \mathbb{E}_∞ -(co)algebras. Curved algebras over the curved cooperad $B\mathcal{E}$, where B stands for a particular bar construction, are the positive characteristic analogues of curved absolute \mathcal{L}_∞ -algebras. The cooperad $B\mathcal{E}$ is an example of a *quasi-planar* in the sense of [GRiL23a]. This allows us to apply all the tools from the homotopical operadic calculus of *op.cit.* to this particular situation, and therefore to generalize the methods of [RiL22b] to this new setting.

The cellular chains functor $C_*^c(-)$ on spaces can be endowed with a functorial dg \mathcal{E} -coalgebra structure as shown in [BF04]. By pulling back this structure along a cofibrant resolution (as an operad) of \mathcal{E} which we call $u\mathcal{E}\mathcal{E}_\infty$, we obtain the following commuting triangle of Quillen adjunctions:

Theorem A (Theorem 2.5). *There is a commuting triangle*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & & u\mathcal{E}\mathcal{E}_\infty\text{-coalg} \\
 & \nearrow^{C_*^c(-)} & \uparrow \widehat{B}_t \\
 \text{sSet} & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{R}} & \text{curv abs } \mathcal{L}_\infty\text{-alg}^{\text{qp-comp}} \\
 & \searrow_{\mathcal{R}} & \downarrow \widehat{\Omega}_t \\
 & &
 \end{array}$$

\perp \widehat{B}_t \perp $\widehat{\Omega}_t$
 \mathcal{R}

made of Quillen adjunctions between the categories of simplicial sets with the Kan-Quillen model structure, of $u\mathcal{E}\mathcal{E}_\infty$ -coalgebras endowed with a model category structure where weak-equivalences are given by quasi-isomorphisms, and of curved absolute partition \mathcal{L}_∞ -algebras with a transferred model category structure along the complete bar-cobar adjunction.

As in [RiL22b], the complete bar-cobar adjunction proves to be an essential tool in integration theory, as we can also transfer model category structures along this adjunction using the results of [GRiL23a]. The integration functor \mathcal{R} is, by definition, a right Quillen functor. This simple fact directly implies the main properties that a well-behaved integration functor needs to satisfy.

Theorem B (Theorem 2.6).

- (1) For any complete curved absolute partition \mathcal{L}_∞ -algebra \mathfrak{g} , the simplicial set $\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{g})$ is a Kan complex.
- (2) Let $f : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}$ be an epimorphism of complete curved absolute partition \mathcal{L}_∞ -algebras. Then

$$\mathcal{R}(f) : \mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{h})$$

is a fibrations of simplicial sets.

- (3) The functor \mathcal{R} preserves weak-equivalences. In particular, it sends any graded quasi-isomorphism $f : \mathfrak{g} \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathfrak{h}$ of complete curved absolute partition \mathcal{L}_∞ -algebras to a weak homotopy equivalence of simplicial sets.

Furthermore, the functor \mathcal{R} admits a combinatorial description. The 0-simplicies of $\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{g})$ are given by *Maurer-Cartan elements*: not only the equation makes sense in this context (without any $1/n!$ terms), but it is always well-defined for any curved absolute partition \mathcal{L}_∞ -algebra, since these are absolute algebras and any formal sum of operations admits a well-defined image in the absolute setting. We also completely characterize 1-simplicies in $\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{g})$ by explicit formulas, giving a combinatorial notion of gauge equivalences. This allows us to describe $\pi_0(\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{g}))$, that is, the set of Maurer-Cartan elements up to gauge equivalence. This set is the underlying classical deformation problem of a homotopical deformation problem. Notice that

one can always restrict the integration functor \mathcal{R} to the full sub-category of *nilpotent* partition \mathcal{L}_∞ -algebras, which are the positive characteristic analogues of the nilpotent \mathcal{L}_∞ -algebras studied in [Get09]. In particular, for *abelian* partition \mathcal{L}_∞ -algebras, the functor \mathcal{R} is isomorphic to the right adjoint of the Dold–Kan correspondence. Furthermore, under a natural *homotopy completeness assumption*, we show that our functor presents the formal moduli problem associated to a partition \mathcal{L}_∞ -algebra. We work over a field \mathbb{k} of any characteristic: as a sanity check, when \mathbb{k} is of characteristic zero, we show that our integration functor \mathcal{R} is naturally weakly-equivalent to the previously known functors.

Now, using curved absolute partition \mathcal{L}_∞ -algebras, we construct Quillen-like models for the p-adic homotopy theory of spaces, by applying the left adjoint functor \mathcal{L} . This approach is Koszul dual to M. Mandell’s approach of [Man01], much in the same way as Quillen’s approach of [Qui69] to rational homotopy theory is Koszul dual to Sullivan’s approach.

Theorem C (Theorem 3.5). *Let X be a connected finite type nilpotent simplicial set. The unit of adjunction*

$$\eta_X : X \rightrightarrows \mathcal{R}\mathcal{L}(X)$$

is an \mathbb{F}_p -equivalence.

We can recover information about X using these models. If a curved absolute partition \mathcal{L}_∞ -algebra \mathfrak{g}_X is a p-adic model for a space X , then we can recover the *homology* of X by computing the *homology of the complete bar construction* of \mathfrak{g}_X .

We also develop a notion of *minimal model* in this context, analogue to the one introduced in [RiL22b]. The generators of the minimal model of a p-adic model will correspond to the homology of the space. Finally, using the theory of mapping coalgebras developed by B. Le Grignou in a forthcoming paper [Gri23], we construct models for mapping spaces.

Theorem D (Theorem 3.17). *Let \mathfrak{g} be a complete curved absolute \mathcal{L}_∞ -algebra and let X be a simplicial set. There is a weak equivalence of Kan complexes*

$$\mathrm{Map}(X, \mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{g})) \simeq \mathcal{R}(\mathrm{hom}(C_*^c(X), \mathfrak{g})) ,$$

which is natural in X and in \mathfrak{g} , where $\mathrm{hom}(C_^c(X), \mathfrak{g})$ denotes the convolution curved absolute partition \mathcal{L}_∞ -algebra.*

Finally, let us point out that the integration theory introduced in this paper lies at the crossroad of three domains. First, Lie theory, where partition \mathcal{L}_∞ -algebras are explicit, well-behaved substitutes for Lie algebras in positive characteristic (different from p-restricted Lie algebras). Extending classical results of Lie theory to this setting will be the subject of future work. Secondly, and as already explained, it is deeply related to deformation theory via formal moduli problems. Finally, as in the characteristic zero case, the adjoint of the integration functor allows us to construct models for homotopy types.

Acknowledgments. I would like to thank Lukas Brantner, Ricardo Campos, Brice Le Grignou, Joost Nuiten, Daniel Robert-Nicoud, Bruno Vallette and Felix Wierstra for stimulating conversations about these and related topics.

Conventions. Let \mathbb{k} be a field. The base category will be the symmetric monoidal category $(\mathrm{pdg}\text{-mod}, \otimes, \mathbb{k})$ of pre-differential graded \mathbb{k} -modules with the tensor product. This framework as well as the basic notion used in this paper are explained, for instance, in [RiL22a].

1. CURVED ABSOLUTE PARTITION \mathcal{L}_∞ -ALGEBRAS

The goal of this section is to introduce the positive characteristic analogue of the curved absolute \mathcal{L}_∞ -algebras that were introduced in [RiL22b], and to give a description of this algebraic structure.

1.1. **Explicit description.** Let \mathcal{E} be the unital version of the Barratt-Eccles operad. We consider the conilpotent curved cooperad $B^{s.a}\mathcal{E}$, where $B^{s.a}$ stands for the semi-augmented bar construction of [HM12], see also [RiL22b, Appendix]. As we will later show, this cooperad is *quasi-planar cooperad* in the sense of [GRiL23a]. This will allow us to apply the homotopical operadic calculus developed in *op.cit.* to this particular context.

Definition 1.1 (Curved absolute partition \mathcal{L}_∞ -algebra). A curved absolute partition \mathcal{L}_∞ -algebra \mathfrak{g} amounts the data $(\mathfrak{g}, \gamma_{\mathfrak{g}}, d_{\mathfrak{g}})$ of a curved $B^{s.a}\mathcal{E}$ -algebra.

The name curved absolute partition \mathcal{L}_∞ -algebra is justified by the fact that these algebras are the *curved absolute* analogues of algebras over the operad $\Omega(\mathcal{E}^{nu})^*$, where \mathcal{E}^{nu} is a non-unital version of the Barratt-Eccles operad. The category of dg $\Omega(\mathcal{E}^{nu})^*$ -algebras together with quasi-isomorphisms is a model for the ∞ -category of *spectral partition Lie algebras* of [BM19], as it was shown in [BCN21, Theorem 4.44]. We refer to Subsection 2.4 for more details on this.

Notation 1.2. A curved absolute partition \mathcal{L}_∞ -algebra will also be referred to as a curved absolute \mathcal{L}_∞^π -algebra, in a somewhat vain effort to make notation less heavy.

Let us make this definition more explicit. A curved absolute \mathcal{L}_∞^π -algebra structure on a pdg module $(\mathfrak{g}, d_{\mathfrak{g}})$ amounts to the data of a structural morphism

$$\gamma_{\mathfrak{g}} : \prod_{n \geq 0} \text{Hom}_{S_n} (B^{s.a}\mathcal{E}(n), \mathfrak{g}^{\otimes n}) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g} ,$$

which satisfies the conditions of a curved algebra over a curved cooperad. These conditions consist of a compatibility condition with the pre-differentials, the associativity condition of an algebra over a monad and finally a compatibility condition with the curvature of the cooperad. See [GRiL23a, Section 3] for more details. Using the constructions of [RiL22b, Appendix], let us give a more explicit description of the structural map.

Lemma 1.3. Let $(\mathfrak{g}, d_{\mathfrak{g}})$ be a pdg module. There is an isomorphism of pdg modules

$$\prod_{n \geq 0} \text{Hom}_{S_n} (B^{s.a}\mathcal{E}(n), \mathfrak{g}^{\otimes n}) \cong \prod_{n \geq 0} \widehat{\Omega}^{s.a}\mathcal{E}^*(n) \widehat{\otimes}_{S_n} \mathfrak{g}^{\otimes n} ,$$

natural in \mathfrak{g} , where $\widehat{\Omega}^{s.a}$ denotes the semi-augmented complete cobar construction and where $\widehat{\otimes}$ denotes the completed tensor product with respect to the canonical filtration on the semi-augmented complete cobar construction.

Proof. There is an isomorphism of pdg modules

$$\text{Hom}_{S_n} (B^{s.a}\mathcal{E}(n), \mathfrak{g}^{\otimes n}) \cong \left(\widehat{\Omega}^{s.a}\mathcal{E}^*(n) \widehat{\otimes} \mathfrak{g}^{\otimes n} \right)^{S_n} ,$$

since ω -stage of the coradical filtration $\mathcal{R}_\omega B^{s.a}\mathcal{E}(n)$ is degree-wise finite dimensional over \mathbb{k} . Furthermore, there is an isomorphism

$$\left(\widehat{\Omega}^{s.a}\mathcal{E}^*(n) \widehat{\otimes} \mathfrak{g}^{\otimes n} \right)^{S_n} \cong \widehat{\Omega}^{s.a}\mathcal{E}^*(n) \widehat{\otimes}_{S_n} \mathfrak{g}^{\otimes n} ,$$

given by the norm map, since $\widehat{\Omega}^{s.a}\mathcal{E}^*(n)$ is a quasi-free dg S_n -module for all $n \geq 0$. See [GRiL23a, Section 1.2] for more details on quasi-freeness. \square

Let SCRT_n^ω denote the set of *symmetric corked rooted trees* of arity n and with ω internal edges. A symmetric corked rooted tree is a rooted tree where vertices either have at least two incoming edges or zero incoming edges (called *corks*). In a symmetric corked rooted tree, each vertex v with at least two incoming edges is labelled by a $r_v + 1$ -uple $(\sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_{r_v})$, where σ_j is an element of $S_{\text{In}(v)}$, where $r_v \geq 0$, and where $\sigma_i \neq \sigma_j$ for $i \neq j$. If v has no incoming edges then it is not labelled. The *degree* $\text{deg}(\tau)$ of a symmetric corked rooted tree τ is given by

$$\text{deg}(\tau) := \text{Cork}(\tau) + \sum_{v \in V(\tau)} r_v + 1 ,$$

where $\text{Cork}(\tau)$ is the number of corks of τ and where $V(\tau)$ is the set of all vertices of τ . We denote $\text{SCRT}(\delta)$ the finite set of symmetric corked rooted trees of degree $\delta \geq 0$. The *arity* of a symmetric corked rooted tree is the number of non-corked leaves. The weight is the total number of vertices. In general, we denote by SCRT_n^ω the set of symmetric corked rooted trees of weight ω and arity n . In summary, these are rooted trees labelled by elements in the Barratt–Eccles operad, and they form a basis of $B^{\text{s.a}}\mathcal{E}$.

We will denote by $c_n^{(\sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_r)}$ the n -corolla labelled by $(\sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_r)$. Weight one symmetric corked rooted trees are given by n -corollas. The only symmetric corked rooted tree of weight (and of degree) 0 is the trivial tree of arity one with zero vertices.

Lemma 1.4. *Let \mathfrak{g} be a graded module with a basis $\{g_b \mid b \in B\}$. The graded module*

$$\prod_{n \geq 0} \widehat{\Omega}^{\text{s.a}}\mathcal{E}^*(n) \widehat{\otimes}_{S_n} \mathfrak{g}^{\otimes n}$$

admits a basis given by formal power series of linear combinations of symmetric corked rooted trees labelled by the basis elements of \mathfrak{g} . That is, elements of the form

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} \sum_{\omega \geq 0} \sum_{\tau \in \text{SCRT}_n^\omega} \sum_{i \in I_\tau} \lambda_\tau^{(i)} \tau(g_{i_1}^{(i)}, \dots, g_{i_n}^{(i)}),$$

where I_τ is a finite set, λ_τ is a scalar in \mathbb{k} and (i_1, \dots, i_n) is in B^n . Here $\tau(g_{i_1}, \dots, g_{i_n})$ refers to the symmetric corked rooted tree τ with input leaves decorated by the elements $\tau(g_{i_1}, \dots, g_{i_n})$. The homological degree of $\tau(g_{i_1}, \dots, g_{i_n})$ is given by $\sum_j \deg(g_{i_j}) - \deg(\tau)$, where $\deg(\tau)$ is the degree of τ defined above.

Proof. It follows by direct inspection from the description of $\widehat{\Omega}^{\text{s.a}}\mathcal{E}^*(n)$ in terms of symmetric corked rooted trees, since it is the linear dual of $B^{\text{s.a}}\mathcal{E}$. \square

REMARK 1.5. Notice that instead of considering formal power series over the arity and the weight of the symmetric corked rooted trees, we could also consider formal power series indexed by the degree of the symmetric corked rooted trees

$$\sum_{\delta \geq 0} \sum_{\tau \in \text{SCRT}(\delta)} \sum_{i \in I_\tau} \lambda_\tau^{(i)} \tau(g_{i_1}^{(i)}, \dots, g_{i_n}^{(i)}),$$

as the basis elements in Lemma 1.4, as this sum also ranges over every symmetric corked rooted tree.

Let $(\mathfrak{g}, d_{\mathfrak{g}})$ be a pdg module. Let us describe the pre-differential on the pdg module

$$\prod_{n \geq 0} \widehat{\Omega}^{\text{s.a}}\mathcal{E}^*(n) \widehat{\otimes}_{S_n} \mathfrak{g}^{\otimes n}.$$

It is given by the sum of three terms d_1 , d_2 and d_3 .

- (1) The first term d_1 is the sum of the pre-differential $d_{\mathfrak{g}}$ applied on each of the elements g_{i_j} that decorate the leaves of a symmetric corked rooted tree τ .
- (2) The second term d_2 is the sum over all vertices of τ of the following action on their labels:

$$d_2((\sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_r)) = \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in S_n \\ \sigma \neq \sigma_j}} \sum_{i=0}^r (-1)^i (\sigma_0, \dots, \underbrace{\sigma}_{i\text{-th spot}}, \dots, \sigma_r),$$

where the first sum is taken over all σ in S_n such that $\sigma \neq \sigma_j$ for $0 \leq j \leq r$ and where σ is added in the i -th spot. This term corresponds to the differential on \mathcal{E}^* .

- (3) The third term d_3 is induced by the partial decompositions maps of counital partial cooperad \mathcal{E}^* . Applied to a corolla with a vertex v labelled by $(\sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_{r_v})$, it gives a sum of symmetric corked rooted trees with two vertices $v^{(1)}$ and $v^{(2)}$, labelled by all the possible partial decompositions of $(\sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_{r_v})$. The corolla $v^{(2)}$ lies on the i -th input of $v^{(1)}$ precisely when the partial decomposition Δ_i has been applied to $(\sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_{r_v})$.

In general, the term d_3 is the sum over all the vertices of a symmetric corked tree of the previous rule on corollas. For more concrete formulas of the partial decompositions of \mathcal{E}^* , see the Appendix A.

REMARK 1.6 (The norm map). Both $\widehat{\Omega}^{\text{s.a}}\mathcal{E}$ and $\text{B}^{\text{s.a}}\mathcal{E}$ can be fully described in terms of symmetric corked rooted trees. The isomorphism induced by the norm map in Lemma 1.3 is given explicitly by

$$\mathbb{N} : \widehat{\Omega}^{\text{s.a}}\mathcal{E}^*(n) \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{S}_n} \mathfrak{g}^{\otimes n} \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{S}_n} (\text{B}^{\text{s.a}}\mathcal{E}(n), \mathfrak{g}^{\otimes n})$$

$$\tau(g_1, \dots, g_n) \longmapsto \sum_{\sigma \in \mathbb{S}_n} \left[\text{ev}_{(g_{\sigma^{-1}(1)}, \dots, g_{\sigma^{-1}(n)})}(\sigma \bullet \tau) : \sigma \bullet \tau \mapsto g_{\sigma^{-1}(1)} \otimes \dots \otimes g_{\sigma^{-1}(n)} \right].$$

where $\text{ev}_{(g_{\sigma^{-1}(1)}, \dots, g_{\sigma^{-1}(n)})}(\sigma \bullet \tau)$ is a Dirac function which is zero everywhere outside of the prescribed value and where $\sigma \bullet \tau$ is given by the action of \mathbb{S}_n on $\text{B}^{\text{s.a}}\mathcal{E}(n)$. This allows us to pass from one presentation to the other. For example, this morphism is given on corollas labelled by $w = (\sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_r)$ as:

$$\mathbb{N}(\mathfrak{c}_n^w(g_1, \dots, g_n)) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathbb{S}_n} \left[\text{ev}_{(g_{\sigma^{-1}(1)}, \dots, g_{\sigma^{-1}(n)})}(\mathfrak{c}_n^{\sigma \cdot w}) : \mathfrak{c}_n^{\sigma \cdot w} \mapsto (g_{\sigma^{-1}(1)}, \dots, g_{\sigma^{-1}(n)}) \right],$$

where $\sigma \cdot w$ is given by $(\sigma \cdot \sigma_0, \dots, \sigma \cdot \sigma_r)$. Notice that a basis of the n -corollas, as a free graded \mathbb{S}_n -module, is given by n -corollas labelled by $(\text{id}, \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_r)$.

Structural data. The structural data of a curved absolute \mathcal{L}_∞^π -algebra structure on a pdg module $(\mathfrak{g}, d_{\mathfrak{g}})$ thus amounts to the data of a map of pdg modules

$$\gamma_{\mathfrak{g}} : \prod_{n \geq 0} \widehat{\Omega}^{\text{s.a}}\mathcal{E}^*(n) \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{S}_n} \mathfrak{g}^{\otimes n} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g},$$

which sends any formal power series of the form

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} \sum_{\omega \geq 0} \sum_{\tau \in \text{SCRT}_n^\omega} \sum_{i \in I_\tau} \lambda_\tau^{(i)} \tau(g_{i_1}^{(i)}, \dots, g_{i_n}^{(i)})$$

to a well-defined image

$$\gamma_{\mathfrak{g}} \left(\sum_{n \geq 0} \sum_{\omega \geq 0} \sum_{\tau \in \text{SCRT}_n^\omega} \sum_{i \in I_\tau} \lambda_\tau^{(i)} \tau(g_{i_1}^{(i)}, \dots, g_{i_n}^{(i)}) \right),$$

in the pdg module \mathfrak{g} . This **does not presuppose** an underlying topology on the pdg module \mathfrak{g} .

The *elementary operations* induced by the structural map $\gamma_{\mathfrak{g}}$ are the family of operations of degree $-r-1$ given by

$$\left\{ \mathfrak{l}_n^{(\sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_r)} := \gamma_{\mathfrak{g}} \left(\mathfrak{c}_n^{(\sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_r)}(-, \dots, -) \right) : \mathfrak{g}^{\otimes n} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g} \right\}$$

for all $n \neq 1$ and all r -tuples $(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_r)$, where each σ_j is in \mathbb{S}_n and where $r \geq 1$. Recall that \mathfrak{c}_n stands for the n -corolla.

Conditions on the structural data. The structural map $\gamma_{\mathfrak{g}}$ needs to satisfy associativity and a compatibility with the pre-differential conditions that are analogous to those of [RiL22b,

Section 1]. Furthermore, in order to form a *curved* absolute \mathcal{L}_∞^π -algebra structure, the following equation on the elementary operations

$$(1) \quad d_{\mathfrak{g}}^2(g) = l_2^{(12)}(l_0, g) + l_2^{(21)}(l_0, g),$$

needs to hold. We will refer to the term $l_0 : \mathbb{k} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ as the *curvature*.

Quasi-planar completeness. As mentioned before, the conilpotent curved cooperad $B^{s.a}\mathcal{E}$ is quasi-planar, and hence comes equipped with a canonical quasi-planar filtration, see [GRiL23a, Section 2]. This filtration can be thought as the analogue of the coradical filtration in the quasi-planar setting. In this particular case, the quasi-planar filtration on $B^{s.a}\mathcal{E}$ is precisely the one induced by the degree of symmetric corked rooted trees, see Lemma 1.12. It induces a canonical filtration on any curved absolute \mathcal{L}_∞^π -algebra, which we call the *qp-filtration*.

Let \mathfrak{g} be a curved absolute \mathcal{L}_∞^π -algebra, its *qp-filtration* is given by

$$W_\delta \mathfrak{g} := \text{Im} \left(\gamma_{\mathfrak{g}}|_{\mathcal{F}_\delta} : \prod_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{F}_\delta \widehat{\Omega}^{s.a} \mathcal{E}^*(n) \widehat{\otimes}_{S_n} \mathfrak{g}^{\otimes n} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g} \right),$$

for $\delta \geq 0$, where $\mathcal{F}_\delta \widehat{\Omega}^{s.a} \mathcal{E}^*(n)$ is spanned by symmetric corked rooted trees of degree greater or equal to δ . Therefore an element g is in $W_\delta \mathfrak{g}$, and therefore of *weight* δ , if and only if it can be written as

$$g = \gamma_{\mathfrak{g}} \left(\sum_{\delta \geq \delta_0} \sum_{\tau \in \text{SCRT}(\delta)} \lambda_\tau \tau(g_{i_1}, \dots, g_{i_n}) \right).$$

One can check that $\mathfrak{g}/W_\delta \mathfrak{g}$ has an unique curved absolute \mathcal{L}_∞^π -algebra structure given by the quotient structural map. For instance, the trivial algebra $\mathfrak{g}/W_1 \mathfrak{g}$ is given by the generators of the algebra \mathfrak{g} . See [GRiL23a, Section 3.6] for more details on this type of filtrations.

Definition 1.7 (Qp-complete curved absolute \mathcal{L}_∞^π -algebra). Let $(\mathfrak{g}, \gamma_{\mathfrak{g}}, d_{\mathfrak{g}})$ be a curved absolute \mathcal{L}_∞^π -algebra. It is *qp-complete* if the canonical epimorphism

$$\varphi_{\mathfrak{g}} : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \varinjlim_{\delta} \mathfrak{g}/W_\delta \mathfrak{g}$$

is an isomorphism of curved absolute \mathcal{L}_∞^π -algebras.

REMARK 1.8. We have that $\varphi_{\mathfrak{g}}$ is always an epimorphism by [GRiL23a, Section 3.6].

Definition 1.9 (Associated graded). Let \mathfrak{g} be a curved absolute \mathcal{L}_∞^π -algebra. Its *associated graded of weight* δ is given by

$$\text{gr}_\delta \mathfrak{g} := W_\delta \mathfrak{g}/W_{\delta+1} \mathfrak{g},$$

for any $\delta \geq 0$.

REMARK 1.10. Notice that the associated graded is a dg module for all $\delta \geq 0$, as the curvature lies in weight one.

Definition 1.11 (Maurer–Cartan equation). Let $(\mathfrak{g}, \gamma_{\mathfrak{g}}, d_{\mathfrak{g}})$ be a curved absolute \mathcal{L}_∞^π -algebra. A *Maurer–Cartan element* α is an element in \mathfrak{g} of degree 0 which satisfies the following equation

$$\gamma_{\mathfrak{g}} \left(\sum_{n \geq 0, n \neq 1} c_n^{\text{id}}(\alpha, \dots, \alpha) \right) + d_{\mathfrak{g}}(\alpha) = 0.$$

The set of Maurer–Cartan elements in \mathfrak{g} is denoted by $\mathcal{MC}(\mathfrak{g})$.

1.2. Model structures. We endow the category of curved absolute \mathcal{L}_∞^π -algebras with a transferred model structure from the category of $\Omega B^{s,a}\mathcal{E}$ -coalgebras along the complete bar-cobar adjunction, which becomes Quillen equivalence. From now on, we denote $\Omega B^{s,a}\mathcal{E}$ by $u\mathcal{E}\mathcal{E}_\infty$.

Lemma 1.12.

- (1) *The conilpotent curved cooperad $B^{s,a}\mathcal{E}$ is quasi-planar.*
- (2) *Its canonical quasi-planar filtration is given by the degree of symmetric corked rooted trees.*

Proof. The analogue of this result for $B\mathcal{E}$ is proven in [GRiL23a, Section 2], and it holds *mutatis mutandis* for the semi-augmented bar construction as well. Let us explain why it is quasi-planar: the filtration induced by considering only symmetric corked rooted trees of degree $\leq i$ induces an ω -ladder of conilpotent curved cooperads whose colimit is $B^{s,a}\mathcal{E}$. The underlying conilpotent graded cooperads of each step of the filtration is clearly planar and the differential simply vanishes on the associated graded, hence it is a quasi-planar filtration. The canonical quasi-planar filtration is induced by the \mathcal{E} -comodule structure of $\Omega B^{s,a}\mathcal{E}$, which can be explicitly computed like in [GRiL23a, Section 2.9] and coincides with the above filtration. \square

Proposition 1.13. *There is a model structure on the category of $u\mathcal{E}\mathcal{E}_\infty$ -coalgebras left-transferred along the cofree-forgetful adjunction*

$$\text{dg mod} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{C}(u\mathcal{E}\mathcal{E}_\infty)(-)} \\ \perp \\ \xleftarrow{u} \end{array} u\mathcal{E}\mathcal{E}_\infty\text{-coalg},$$

where

- (1) *the class of weak equivalences is given by quasi-isomorphisms,*
- (2) *the class of cofibrations is given by degree-wise monomorphisms,*
- (3) *the class of fibrations is given by right lifting property with respect to acyclic cofibrations.*

Proof. By Lemma 1.12, $B^{s,a}\mathcal{E}$ is a quasi-planar curved conilpotent cooperad. Therefore the dg operad $\Omega B^{s,a}\mathcal{E}$ is cofibrant in the semi-model category of [Fre09]. Finally, any cofibrant dg operad is coadmissible. See [GRiL23a] for more details on this. \square

Consider the curved twisting morphism $\iota : B^{s,a}\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \Omega B^{s,a}\mathcal{E}$. It induces a complete bar-cobar adjunction relating $u\mathcal{E}\mathcal{E}_\infty$ -coalgebras and qp-complete curved absolute \mathcal{L}_∞^π -algebras:

$$u\mathcal{E}\mathcal{E}_\infty\text{-coalg} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\widehat{\Omega}_\iota} \\ \perp \\ \xleftarrow{\widehat{B}_\iota} \end{array} \text{curv abs } \mathcal{L}_\infty^\pi\text{-alg}^{\text{qp-comp}}.$$

Using this adjunction, one can transfer the model structure on $u\mathcal{E}\mathcal{E}_\infty$ -coalgebras to the category of qp-complete curved absolute \mathcal{L}_∞^π -algebras.

Theorem 1.14. *There is a model structure on the category of qp-complete curved absolute partition \mathcal{L}_∞^π -algebras right-transferred along the complete bar-cobar adjunction*

$$u\mathcal{E}\mathcal{E}_\infty\text{-coalg} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\widehat{\Omega}_\iota} \\ \perp \\ \xleftarrow{\widehat{B}_\iota} \end{array} \text{curv abs } \mathcal{L}_\infty^\pi\text{-alg}^{\text{qp-comp}}$$

where

- (1) *the class of weak equivalences is given by morphisms f such that $\widehat{B}_\iota(f)$ is a quasi-isomorphism,*
- (2) *the class of fibrations is given is given by morphisms f which are degree-wise epimorphisms,*
- (3) *and the class of cofibrations is given by left lifting property with respect to acyclic fibrations.*

Furthermore, this adjunction is a Quillen equivalence.

Proof. Follows from [GRiL23a, Section 6 and 7], using the quasi-planarity of $B^{s,a}\mathcal{E}$. \square

There is a particular kind of weak-equivalences between qp-complete curved absolute partition \mathcal{L}_∞^π -algebras which admits an easy description.

Definition 1.15 (Graded quasi-isomorphisms). Let $f : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}$ be a morphism of qp-complete curved absolute partition \mathcal{L}_∞^π -algebras. It is a *graded quasi-isomorphism* if it induces a quasi-isomorphism

$$\mathrm{gr}_\delta(f) : \mathrm{gr}_\delta \mathfrak{g} \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathrm{gr}_\delta \mathfrak{h}$$

between the associated graded, for all $\delta \geq 0$.

Proposition 1.16. *Let $f : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}$ be a graded quasi-isomorphism between two qp-complete curved absolute partition \mathcal{L}_∞^π -algebras. Then*

$$\widehat{\mathbb{B}}_\iota(f) : \widehat{\mathbb{B}}_\iota(\mathfrak{g}) \xrightarrow{\cong} \widehat{\mathbb{B}}_\iota(\mathfrak{h})$$

is a quasi-isomorphism and f is a weak-equivalence of qp-complete curved absolute partition \mathcal{L}_∞^π -algebras.

Proof. Follows from [GRiL23a, Section 6]. □

1.3. Monoidal structures and convolution algebras. The category of $\mathrm{u}\mathcal{E}\mathcal{E}_\infty$ -coalgebras is admissible a monoidal structure, whose product is given by the underlying tensor product of dg modules. This monoidal structure is compatible with the model structure and is in fact *closed*. The enrichment of $\mathrm{u}\mathcal{E}\mathcal{E}_\infty$ -coalgebras over themselves is compatible with the construction of *convolution* curved absolute \mathcal{L}_∞^π -algebras. These constructions are based on the results of [Gri22, Gri23], and will be treated with more detail in the positive characteristic case in [GRiL24]. See [RiL22b, Section 1] for their analogue in characteristic zero.

Proposition 1.17. *The category of $\mathrm{u}\mathcal{E}\mathcal{E}_\infty$ -coalgebras forms a monoidal model category, where the monoidal product is given by tensor product.*

Proof. The dg operad $\Omega\mathbb{B}^{\mathrm{s.a.}}\mathcal{E}$ is isomorphic to the cellular chains of the Boardman-Vogt construction of the simplicial Barratt-Eccles operad. This implies that it inherits a Hopf structure. Finally, the tensor product trivially satisfies the compatibility conditions of [Hov99, Chapter 4], that is, the pushout-product and the unit axioms. □

This monoidal category is *biclosed*, where the right adjoint to the tensor product is given by an internal hom bifunctor

$$\{-, -\} : (\mathrm{u}\mathcal{E}\mathcal{E}_\infty\text{-coalg})^{\mathrm{op}} \times \mathrm{u}\mathcal{E}\mathcal{E}_\infty\text{-coalg} \rightarrow \mathrm{u}\mathcal{E}\mathcal{E}_\infty\text{-coalg} .$$

For any triple of $\mathrm{u}\mathcal{E}\mathcal{E}_\infty$ -coalgebras C, D, E , there are isomorphisms

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{u}\mathcal{E}\mathcal{E}_\infty\text{-coalg}}(C \otimes D, E) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{u}\mathcal{E}\mathcal{E}_\infty\text{-coalg}}(C, \{D, E\}) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{u}\mathcal{E}\mathcal{E}_\infty\text{-coalg}}(D, \{C, E\}) ,$$

which are natural in C, D and E . Finally, given a $\mathrm{u}\mathcal{E}\mathcal{E}_\infty$ -coalgebra C and a curved absolute partition \mathcal{L}_∞^π -algebra \mathfrak{g} , there is a *convolution curved absolute \mathcal{L}_∞^π -algebra* structure on the pdg module of graded morphisms $(\mathrm{hom}(C, \mathfrak{g}), \partial)$. The internal hom and the convolution algebra are compatible in the following sense.

Theorem 1.18 ([Gri23]). *Let C be a $\mathrm{u}\mathcal{E}\mathcal{E}_\infty$ -coalgebra and let \mathfrak{g} be a curved absolute \mathcal{L}_∞^π -algebra. There is a natural isomorphism of $\mathrm{u}\mathcal{E}\mathcal{E}_\infty$ -coalgebras*

$$\left\{ C, \widehat{\mathbb{B}}_\iota(\mathfrak{g}) \right\} \cong \widehat{\mathbb{B}}_\iota(\mathrm{hom}(C, \mathfrak{g})) ,$$

where $\mathrm{hom}(C, \mathfrak{g})$ denotes the convolution curved absolute \mathcal{L}_∞^π -algebra of C and \mathfrak{g} .

2. THE INTEGRATION THEORY

In section, we develop the integration theory of curved absolute \mathcal{L}_∞^π -algebras. We show that the integration functor is well-behaved in an appropriate sense and describe it combinatorially. Finally, we explain how it allows us to present formal moduli problems in certain cases.

2.1. Cellular chain functor. Consider the \mathcal{E} -coalgebra structure on the cellular chain functor given by the formulae of [BF04].

REMARK 2.1. Since $C^c(\Delta^\bullet)$ is a non-conilpotent \mathcal{E} -coalgebra, this structure cannot be encoded by a cooperad.

Theorem 2.2 ([BF04]). *There is a Quillen adjunction*

$$\text{sSet} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{C^c(-)} \\ \xleftarrow[\overline{\mathcal{R}}]{\perp} \end{array} \mathcal{E}\text{-coalg} ,$$

where $C^c(-)$ denotes the cellular chain functor endowed with a \mathcal{E} -coalgebra structure and where the right adjoint is given by

$$\overline{\mathcal{R}}(C)_\bullet := \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{E}_\infty\text{-cog}}(C^c(\Delta^\bullet), C) .$$

Proof. The key point is constructing the functorial \mathcal{E} -coalgebra structure on $C^c(-)$, which is done in [BF04]. Using the cylinder object argument, it is possible to show that \mathcal{E} -coalgebras admit a left transferred model category structure, analogous to the one in Proposition 1.13. Then it is immediate that $C^c(-)$ is a left Quillen functor. \square

The counit morphism $\epsilon : \Omega\mathcal{B}^{\text{s.a}}\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ induces a Quillen adjunction:

$$\mathcal{E}\text{-coalg} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\text{Res}_\epsilon} \\ \xleftarrow[\text{Coind}_\epsilon]{\perp} \end{array} \text{u}\mathcal{E}\mathcal{E}_\infty\text{-coalg} .$$

By composing both Quillen adjunctions, we get the following Quillen adjunction:

$$\text{sSet} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\text{Res}_\epsilon \circ C^c(-)} \\ \xleftarrow[\overline{\mathcal{R}}]{\perp} \end{array} \text{u}\mathcal{E}\mathcal{E}_\infty\text{-coalg} ,$$

The right adjoint is given, for a $\text{u}\mathcal{E}\mathcal{E}_\infty$ -coalgebra C , by

$$\overline{\mathcal{R}}(C)_\bullet := \text{Hom}_{\text{u}\mathcal{E}\mathcal{E}_\infty\text{-cog}}(\text{Res}_\epsilon C^c(\Delta^\bullet), C) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{E}\text{-cog}}(C^c(\Delta^\bullet), \text{Coind}_\epsilon C) .$$

REMARK 2.3. We embed the cellular chains functor $C^c(-)$ inside the bigger category of $\text{u}\mathcal{E}\mathcal{E}_\infty$ -coalgebras since their homotopy theory is better behaved than the homotopy theory of \mathcal{E} -coalgebras. It is not known to us if the quasi-isomorphism $\epsilon : \Omega\mathcal{B}^{\text{s.a}}\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ induces a Quillen equivalence since \mathcal{E} is not cofibrant as a dg operad.

Notation 2.4. Since the inclusion functor Res_ϵ do not change the underlying dg module nor the coalgebraic structure of the cellular chain functor $C^c(-)$, we denote the composition $\text{Res}_\epsilon C^c(-)$ simply by $C^c(-)$ from now on.

Theorem 2.5. *There is a commuting triangle*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & \text{u}\mathcal{E}\mathcal{E}_\infty\text{-coalg} \\ & \nearrow^{C^c(-)} & \uparrow \\ \text{sSet} & & \widehat{\mathcal{B}}_t \\ & \searrow_{\mathcal{L}} & \downarrow \widehat{\Omega}_t \\ & & \text{curv abs } \mathcal{L}_\infty^\pi\text{-alg}^{\text{qp-comp}} , \\ & \nwarrow_{\mathcal{R}} & \\ & & \end{array}$$

made of Quillen adjunctions.

Proof. Follows directly from the above, together with Theorem 1.14. \square

2.2. The integration functor. The functor \mathcal{R} in the triangle above is *the integration functor* we were looking for. It is the positive characteristic analogue of the functor defined in [RiL22b], which generalizes to the curved absolute case the integration functor defined by E. Getzler in [Get09]. See also [RNV20] for an operadic construction of Getzler’s functor.

Theorem 2.6.

- (1) For any qp-complete curved absolute \mathcal{L}_∞^π -algebra \mathfrak{g} , the simplicial set $\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{g})$ is a Kan complex.
- (2) Let $f : \mathfrak{g} \twoheadrightarrow \mathfrak{h}$ be an epimorphism of qp-complete curved absolute \mathcal{L}_∞^π -algebras. Then

$$\mathcal{R}(f) : \mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{h})$$

is a fibrations of simplicial sets.

- (3) The functor \mathcal{R} preserves weak-equivalences. In particular, it sends any graded quasi-isomorphism $f : \mathfrak{g} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{h}$ of qp-complete curved absolute \mathcal{L}_∞^π -algebras to a weak homotopy equivalence of simplicial sets.

Proof. The functor \mathcal{R} is a right Quillen functor. \square

REMARK 2.7. The above theorem provides us with the positive characteristic analogues of the main properties that integration functors satisfy. In particular, the last point can be interpreted as a Goldman–Millson type of theorem. See [RiL22b, Remark 2.13] for further explanations.

Corollary 2.8. *The integration functor commutes with limits of curved absolute \mathcal{L}_∞^π -algebras and homotopy limits of qp-complete curved absolute \mathcal{L}_∞^π -algebras.*

Proof. The functor \mathcal{R} is a right Quillen functor, and since every qp-complete curved absolute \mathcal{L}_∞^π -algebra is fibrant, it is also equal to its derived functor. \square

REMARK 2.9. Let \mathfrak{g} be a qp-complete curved absolute partition \mathcal{L}_∞^π -algebra. There is an isomorphism

$$\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{g})_\bullet \cong \lim_{\delta} \mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{g}/W_\delta \mathfrak{g})_\bullet .$$

of Kan complexes. Thus $\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{g})$ is the (homotopy) limit of a tower of simplicial sets obtained by integrating *nilpotent* curved absolute \mathcal{L}_∞^π -algebras, see Subsection 2.4 for more on this notion.

Non-abelian Dold-Kan correspondence. The adjunction

$$\text{sSet} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} \\ \xleftarrow{\mathcal{R}} \end{array} \text{curv abs } \mathcal{L}_\infty^\pi\text{-alg}$$

is a *generalization of the Dold-Kan correspondence* over a field of positive characteristic. Notice that a dg module (V, d_V) is a particular example of a curved absolute \mathcal{L}_∞^π -algebra, where the structural morphism

$$\gamma_V : \prod_{n \geq 0} \widehat{\Omega}^{\text{s.a.}} \mathcal{E}^*(n) \widehat{\otimes}_{S_n} V^{\otimes n} \rightarrow V$$

is the *zero morphism* on any non-trivial symmetric corked rooted tree. We refer to these as *abelian* curved absolute \mathcal{L}_∞^π -algebras.

Proposition 2.10. *Let (V, d_V) be an abelian curved absolute \mathcal{L}_∞^π -algebra. There is an isomorphism*

$$\mathcal{R}(V) \cong \Gamma(V) ,$$

where $\Gamma(-)$ is the Dold–Kan functor.

Proof. Notice that

$$\mathcal{R}(V) \cong \text{Hom}_{\text{u}\mathcal{E}\mathcal{E}_\infty\text{-cog}}(C^c(\Delta^\bullet), \mathcal{C}(\text{u}\mathcal{E}\mathcal{E}_\infty)(V)) \cong \text{Hom}_{\text{dg-mod}}(C^c(\Delta^\bullet), V) ,$$

simply by cofreeness, since the term of the differential of the complete bar construction induced by the structure of V is trivial. \square

2.3. Maurer–Cartan elements and gauge equivalences. The 0-simplicies of $\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{g})$ correspond to Maurer–Cartan elements in \mathfrak{g} . We give explicit formulae for the 1-simplicies, which correspond to *gauge equivalences* between Maurer–Cartan elements.

Proposition 2.11. *Let \mathfrak{g} be a curved absolute \mathcal{L}_∞^π -algebra. There is a bijection*

$$\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{g})_0 \cong \mathcal{MC}(\mathfrak{g}) ,$$

between the 0-simplicies of $\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{g})$ and the Maurer–Cartan elements of \mathfrak{g} .

Proof. The 0-simplicies of $\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{g})$ are determined by the \mathcal{E} -coalgebra structure of $C_*^c(\{*\}) \cong \mathbb{k}$. One can check that this coalgebra structure is given by maps

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathbb{k} \cdot \mathbf{a}_0 \longrightarrow \prod_{n \geq 0} \text{Hom}_{S_n}(\mathcal{E}(n), (\mathbb{k} \cdot \mathbf{a}_0)^{\otimes n}) \\ \mathbf{a}_0 \longmapsto \sum_{n \geq 0, \sigma_0 \in S_n, n \neq 1} [\text{ev}_{\mathbf{a}_0} : c_n^{\sigma_0} \mapsto \mathbf{a}_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbf{a}_0] , \end{array} \right.$$

where the other decomposition maps are zero for degree reasons. Notice that

$$\mathbb{N}(c_n^{\text{id}}(\mathbf{a}_0, \dots, \mathbf{a}_0)) = \sum_{\sigma_0 \in S_n} [\text{ev}_{\mathbf{a}_0} : c_n^{\sigma_0} \mapsto \mathbf{a}_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbf{a}_0]$$

under the norm map isomorphism

$$\mathbb{N} : \widehat{\Omega}^{\text{s.a}} \mathcal{E}^*(n) \widehat{\otimes}_{S_n} \mathfrak{g}^{\otimes n} \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_{S_n}(\mathbb{B}^{\text{s.a}} \mathcal{E}(n), \mathfrak{g}^{\otimes n}) .$$

Thus

$$\widehat{\Omega}_t(\mathbb{k} \cdot \mathbf{a}_0) \cong \left(\prod_{n \geq 0} \widehat{\Omega}^{\text{s.a}} \mathcal{E}^*(n) \widehat{\otimes}_{S_n} (\mathbb{k} \cdot \mathbf{a}_0)^{\otimes n}, d_{\text{cobar}}(\mathbf{a}_0) = - \sum_{n \geq 0, n \neq 1} c_n^{\text{id}}(\mathbf{a}_0, \dots, \mathbf{a}_0) \right) .$$

Therefore the data of a morphism of curved absolute \mathcal{L}_∞^π -algebras $\widehat{\Omega}_t(\mathbb{k} \cdot \mathbf{a}_0) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ is equivalent to the data of an element α in \mathfrak{g} of degree 0 such that

$$d_{\mathfrak{g}}(\alpha) = -\gamma_{\mathfrak{g}} \left(\sum_{n \geq 0, n \neq 1} c_n^{\text{id}}(\alpha, \dots, \alpha) \right) .$$

\square

Gauge equivalences and the coalgebra structure on the interval. We define gauge equivalences and show that they are equivalent to paths in $\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{g})$. This allows for a combinatorial description of $\pi_0(\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{g}))$. From the point of view of deformation theory, $\pi_0(\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{g}))$ is the set of (infinitesimal) deformations up to equivalence. Thus if \mathfrak{g} encodes a spectral deformation problem, $\pi_0(\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{g}))$ gives the *classical* deformation problem associated to \mathfrak{g} .

Paths in $\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{g})$ are given by the \mathcal{E} -coalgebra structure on the cellular chains of the interval $C_*^c(\Delta^1)$. The non-counital version of this coalgebra structure was explicitly computed in [BF04,

Section 3.2], and the counital version simply amounts to adding another group-like element. Following [BF04], we define the linear maps $\epsilon_s : \mathcal{E}(n) \rightarrow \mathbb{k}$ by the following assignment:

$$(\sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_k) \mapsto \begin{cases} \epsilon(\sigma_0(1), \dots, \sigma_{s-1}(1)), & (\sigma_0(1), \dots, \sigma_{s-1}(1)) \text{ is a permutation of } \{1, \dots, s\}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

for any $s \geq 0$, where $\epsilon(\sigma_0(1), \dots, \sigma_{s-1}(1))$ is the sign of the permutation in S_s .

Definition 2.12 (Gauge equivalences). Let \mathfrak{g} be a curved absolute \mathcal{L}_∞^π -algebra, and let α, β be two Maurer–Cartan elements. They are *gauge equivalent* $\alpha \sim \beta$ if there exists a degree 1 element λ in \mathfrak{g} such that

$$d_{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda) = \beta - \alpha + \gamma_{\mathfrak{g}} \left(\sum_{n \geq 2} \sum_{s+a+b=n} \sum_{\substack{w \in \mathcal{E}(n)_{s-1} \\ w = (\sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_{s-1})}} \epsilon_s(w) c_n^w \left(\underbrace{\lambda, \dots, \lambda}_s, \underbrace{\alpha, \dots, \alpha}_a, \underbrace{\beta, \dots, \beta}_b \right) \right).$$

REMARK 2.13. If \mathfrak{g} is qp-complete, the above formula can be split in several components according to the weight of the operations.

Theorem 2.14. *Let \mathfrak{g} be a qp-complete curved absolute \mathcal{L}_∞^π -algebra. There is a canonical bijection*

$$\pi_0(\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{g})) \cong \mathcal{MC}(\mathfrak{g}) / \sim_{\text{gauge}}.$$

Proof. There are canonical bijections

$$\pi_0(\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{g})) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{sSets}_*}(\{*\}, \mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{g})) / \sim_{\text{hmt}} \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{u}\mathcal{E}\mathcal{E}_\infty\text{-coalg}}(C_*^c(\{*\}), \widehat{B}_l(\mathfrak{g})) / \sim_{\text{hmt}},$$

since $C_*^c \dashv \overline{\mathcal{R}}$ is a Quillen adjunction. In order to compute homotopies in $\mathbf{u}\mathcal{E}\mathcal{E}_\infty\text{-coalg}$, we need an cylinder object. This cylinder object is provided by tensoring with the interval object $C_*^c(\Delta^1)$. Notice that the $\mathbf{u}\mathcal{E}\mathcal{E}_\infty\text{-coalg}$ structure of $C_*^c(\Delta^1)$ is the given by its \mathcal{E} -coalgebra structure.

Using the formulae of [BF04, Section 3.2], the \mathcal{E} -coalgebra structure on $C_*^c(\Delta^1) \cong \mathbb{k} \cdot a_0 \oplus \mathbb{k} \cdot a_1 \oplus \mathbb{k} \cdot a_{01}$ is given by the following structure map:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} C_*^c(\Delta^1) \longrightarrow \prod_{n \geq 0} \text{Hom}_{S_n}(\mathcal{E}(n), (C_*^c(\Delta^1))^{\otimes n}) \\ a_i \longmapsto \sum_{n \geq 0, \sigma_0 \in S_n, n \neq 1} [\text{ev}_{a_i} : c_n^{\sigma_0} \mapsto a_i \otimes \dots \otimes a_i] \text{ for } i = 0, 1. \\ a_{01} \longmapsto \sum_{n \geq 2} \sum_{s+a+b=n} \sum_{\substack{w \in \mathcal{E}(n)_{s-1} \\ \sigma \in S_n}} \left[c_n^{\sigma \cdot w} \mapsto \epsilon_s(w) \sigma^{-1} \bullet \left(\underbrace{a_{01}, \dots, a_{01}}_s, \underbrace{a_0, \dots, a_0}_a, \underbrace{a_1, \dots, a_1}_b \right) \right] \end{array} \right.$$

Finally, the data of a morphism $h : C_*^c(\Delta^1) \rightarrow \widehat{B}_l(\mathfrak{g})$ is equivalent to the data of a curved twisting morphism $\iota_h : C_*^c(\Delta^1) \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$, and this data exactly coincides with the definition of a gauge equivalence, once we pullback the coalgebra structure along the norm isomorphism. \square

REMARK 2.15. Following [BF04, Section 3.2], one can also give an explicit description of the \mathcal{E} -coalgebra structure on $C_*^c(S^n)$, where S^n is the simplicial model for the n -sphere given by $\Delta^n / \partial \Delta^n$. From this data, it is possible to retrieve an explicit combinatorial description of the higher homotopy groups $\pi_n(\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{g}), \alpha)$ at a given Maurer–Cartan element. Nevertheless, and

unlike in the characteristic zero case, this combinatorial description does not seem to be linked to a analogue of Berglund's theorem in [Ber15]. Understanding the homotopy groups of $\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{g})$ via \mathfrak{g} will be the subject of future work.

2.4. Nilpotent partition \mathcal{L}_∞^π -algebras. The goal of this sections is to give particular examples of specific objects which can be integrated using the functor \mathcal{R} . From the point of view of higher Lie theory, nilpotent partition \mathcal{L}_∞^π -algebras are of particular interest. They are the positive characteristic analogues of the nilpotent \mathcal{L}_∞ -algebras considered in [Get09].

Let \mathfrak{g} be a curved absolute \mathcal{L}_∞^π -algebra. It is an *absolute \mathcal{L}_∞^π -algebra* if the curvature term $l_0 : \mathbb{k} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ is zero. Absolute \mathcal{L}_∞^π -algebras are algebras over the conilpotent dg cooperad $B\mathcal{E}^{\text{nu}}$, where \mathcal{E}^{nu} is the non-unital version of the Barratt-Eccles operad. Their structural morphism

$$\gamma_{\mathfrak{g}} : \prod_{n \geq 1} \Omega(\mathcal{E}^{\text{nu}})^*(n) \otimes_{S_n} \mathfrak{g}^{\otimes n} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}$$

can also be made explicit, like in Subsection 1.1. It associates to any formal power series of symmetric rooted trees (without corks) labelled by elements of \mathfrak{g} a well-defined image in \mathfrak{g} , and satisfies analogue axioms. These objects also admit a canonical quasi-planar filtration, again given by the degree of the symmetric rooted trees.

Definition 2.16 (Partition \mathcal{L}_∞ -algebra). A \mathcal{L}_∞^π -algebra $(\mathfrak{h}, \gamma_{\mathfrak{h}}, d_{\mathfrak{h}})$ amounts to the data of a dg module $(\mathfrak{h}, d_{\mathfrak{h}})$ together with a dg $\Omega(\mathcal{E}^{\text{nu}})^*$ -algebra structure

$$\gamma_{\mathfrak{h}} : \bigoplus_{n \geq 1} \Omega(\mathcal{E}^{\text{nu}})^*(n) \otimes_{S_n} \mathfrak{h}^{\otimes n} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{h}.$$

The data of the structural morphism $\gamma_{\mathfrak{h}}$ is equivalent to the data of a family of elementary operations

$$\left\{ \iota_n^{(\sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_r)} := \gamma_{\mathfrak{h}} \left(c_n^{(\sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_r)}(-, \dots, -) \right) : \mathfrak{h}^{\otimes n} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{h} \right\}$$

for $n \geq 2$, and every $r+1$ -tuple of distinct permutations in S_n , for $r \geq 0$. We will give a description of the relations that these elementary operations satisfy in Appendix A.

REMARK 2.17. The category of \mathcal{L}_∞^π -algebras admits a *semi-model category structure* transferred from dg modules, where weak-equivalences are given by quasi-isomorphisms and fibrations by degree-wise surjections. Its underlying ∞ -category is equivalent to the ∞ -category of \mathbb{E}_∞ -formal moduli problems. This follows from the results of [BM19] combined with those of [BCN21]. There is also a direct proof in given in [GRiL23b].

Lemma 2.18. *There is an adjunction*

$$\mathcal{L}_\infty^\pi\text{-alg} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\text{Ab}} \\ \perp \\ \xleftarrow{\text{Res}} \end{array} \text{abs } \mathcal{L}_\infty^\pi\text{-alg}$$

between the category of absolute \mathcal{L}_∞^π -algebras and the category of \mathcal{L}_∞^π -algebras.

Proof. It is induced by the canonical morphism of monads

$$\iota : \bigoplus_{n \geq 1} \Omega(\mathcal{E}^{\text{nu}})^*(n) \otimes_{S_n} (-)^{\otimes n} \longrightarrow \prod_{n \geq 1} \Omega(\mathcal{E}^{\text{nu}})^*(n) \otimes_{S_n} (-)^{\otimes n},$$

given by the inclusion. The restriction along this morphism preserves all limits and both categories are presentable. \square

Definition 2.19 (Nilpotent partition \mathcal{L}_∞ -algebra). Let $(\mathfrak{h}, \gamma_{\mathfrak{h}}, d_{\mathfrak{h}})$ be a \mathcal{L}_∞^π -algebra. It is *nilpotent* if the structural morphism

$$\gamma_{\mathfrak{h}} : \bigoplus_{n \geq 1} \Omega(\mathcal{E}^{\text{nu}})^*(n) \otimes_{S_n} \mathfrak{h}^{\otimes n} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{h}.$$

factors through

$$\gamma_{\mathfrak{h}} : \bigoplus_{n \geq 1}^k (\Omega(\mathcal{E}^{\text{nu}})^*)^{\leq \delta}(n) \otimes_{S_n} \mathfrak{h}^{\otimes n} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{h}$$

for some $k \geq 1$ and some $\delta \geq 1$, where $(\Omega(\mathcal{E}^{\text{nu}})^*)^{\leq \delta}$ is given by symmetric rooted trees of degree $\leq \delta$.

REMARK 2.20. One can define a *lower central series* for partition \mathcal{L}_{∞} -algebras, in an analogue way to [Get09, Definition 4.2]. Being *arity-nilpotent* amounts to this lower central series terminating. Nevertheless, *arity-nilpotency* does not imply *weight-nilpotency* in this case, as there are operations of arbitrarily high weight in each arity.

Proposition 2.21. *Any nilpotent partition \mathcal{L}_{∞} -algebra is a qp-complete absolute partition \mathcal{L}_{∞} -algebra.*

Proof. One can extend the structural map to the infinite product by zero, and the conditions imposed on an absolute partition \mathcal{L}_{∞} -algebra are automatically satisfied. One can check that the canonical qp-filtration of a nilpotent partition \mathcal{L}_{∞} -algebra is eventually zero since it is nilpotent. Therefore it is qp-complete. \square

REMARK 2.22. One can show that the restriction functor of Lemma 2.18 is fully faithful on nilpotent partition \mathcal{L}_{∞} -algebra, and that the adjunction is an equivalence on nilpotent objects.

REMARK 2.23. Since qp-complete absolute partition \mathcal{L}_{∞} -algebras admit all limits, any limit of nilpotent partition \mathcal{L}_{∞} -algebras is again a qp-complete absolute partition \mathcal{L}_{∞} -algebra. In particular, any pro-nilpotent partition \mathcal{L}_{∞} -algebra is. Notice that these limits are computed in the underlying category. Furthermore, since the functor \mathcal{R} preserves limits, the image of a limit of nilpotent partition \mathcal{L}_{∞} -algebras by the functor \mathcal{R} will be the limit of their images.

2.5. Formal moduli problems and integration theory. The goal of this section is to show that the integration functor \mathcal{R} allows us to recover the formal moduli problem associated to an algebra, under certain homotopy completeness hypothesis. We first develop the general case, and then specify the results for formal moduli problems of \mathbb{E}_{∞} -algebras.

Let \mathcal{C} be a conilpotent dg cooperad. There is an adjunction

$$\text{dg } \mathcal{C}^*\text{-alg} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\text{Ab}} \\ \perp \\ \xleftarrow{\text{Res}} \end{array} \text{dg } \mathcal{C}\text{-alg}$$

between the category of dg \mathcal{C} -algebras and the category of dg \mathcal{C}^* -algebras. This adjunction relates the *absolute* and the *standard* categories of the same algebraic structure. Notice that Lemma 2.18 is a particular instance of this phenomenon.

If \mathcal{C} is *quasi-planar* in the sense of [GRiL23a], this adjunction is a Quillen adjunction. Here the left-hand side is endowed with a transferred semi-model structure from dg modules where weak-equivalences are given by quasi-isomorphisms. And the right-hand side is endowed with a model category structure transferred from dg $\Omega\mathcal{C}$ -coalgebras along the complete bar-cobar adjunction. Transferred weak-equivalences are in particular quasi-isomorphisms, thus the restriction functor is right Quillen, see [GRiL23a, Section 7.5].

Definition 2.24 (Homotopy complete algebra). Let \mathfrak{g} be a dg \mathcal{C}^* -algebra. It is *homotopy complete* if the derived unit of adjunction

$$\mathbb{L}\eta_{\mathfrak{g}} : \mathfrak{g} \rightrightarrows \text{Res } \mathbb{L}\text{Ab}(\mathfrak{g})$$

is a quasi-isomorphism.

REMARK 2.25. This definition is extremely similar to the definition of homotopy completeness given by J. Harper and K. Hess in [HH13] when \mathcal{C} is 1-reduced.

In [GRiL23b], Brice Le Grignou and the author showed that if \mathcal{C} is a 0-reduced tempered quasi-planar conilpotent dg cooperad, the ∞ -category of formal moduli problems over $\Omega\mathcal{C}$ -algebras is equivalent to the ∞ -category of dg \mathcal{C}^* -algebras. The proof boils down to showing, using the *tempered condition*, that *cellular* dg \mathcal{C}^* -algebras are homotopy complete. This equivalence is then induced by the following functor

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi : \text{dg } \mathcal{C}^*\text{-alg} [\text{Q.iso}^{-1}] &\longrightarrow \text{Fun} (\text{Art } \Omega\mathcal{C}\text{-alg} [\text{Q.iso}^{-1}], \mathcal{S}) \\ \mathfrak{g} &\longmapsto \left[A \mapsto \text{Map}_{\text{dg } \mathcal{C}^*\text{-alg} [\text{Q.iso}^{-1}]} (\text{Res } \widehat{\Omega}_\iota (H_*(A)^*), \mathfrak{g}) \right], \end{aligned}$$

at the ∞ -categorical level, where $\text{Res } \widehat{\Omega}_\iota (A^\circ)$ is the restriction of complete cobar construction relative to the twisting morphism $\iota : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \Omega\mathcal{C}$, applied to the linear dual of the homology of A with its transferred structure. Let us give a rectification of $\Psi(\mathfrak{g})$ using the integration functor \mathcal{R} .

Proposition 2.26. *Let \mathfrak{g} be a homotopy complete dg \mathcal{C}^* -algebra. The functor $\Psi(\mathfrak{g})$ is induced by the following functor*

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(\mathfrak{g}) : \text{dg } \Omega\mathcal{C}\text{-alg} &\longrightarrow \text{sSets} \\ A &\longmapsto \mathcal{R}(\text{hom}(H_*(A)^*, \mathbb{L}\text{Ab}(\mathfrak{g}))), \end{aligned}$$

where $\text{hom}(-, -)$ refers to a convolution curved absolute partition \mathcal{L}_∞ -algebra construction. Furthermore, the assignment is functorial in homotopy complete dg \mathcal{C}^* -algebras: any quasi-isomorphism induces a natural weak-equivalence of functors.

Proof. By [GRiL23b, Theorem 5], we know that the functor $\Psi(\mathfrak{g})$ is equivalently given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi(\mathfrak{g}) : \text{Art } \Omega\mathcal{C}\text{-alg} [\text{Q.iso}^{-1}] &\longrightarrow \mathcal{S} \\ A &\longmapsto \text{Map}_{\text{dg } \mathcal{C}\text{-alg} [\text{W}^{-1}]} \left(\widehat{\Omega}_\iota H_*(A)^*, \mathbb{L}\text{Ab}(\mathfrak{g}) \right), \end{aligned}$$

when \mathfrak{g} is homotopy complete. We can give explicit models for this mapping space in terms of a convolution curved absolute partition \mathcal{L}_∞ -algebra construction.

Indeed, since \mathcal{C} is quasi-planar, the dg operad $\Omega\mathcal{C}$ admits a canonical \mathcal{E} -comodule structure $\Omega\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \Omega\mathcal{C} \otimes \mathcal{E}$, as described in [GRiL23a, Section 2]. Applying the constructions of [Gri23], this gives an enrichment $\{-, -\}$ of dg $\Omega\mathcal{C}$ -coalgebras over dg \mathcal{E} -coalgebras. This enrichment is adjoint to the tensorisation of dg $\Omega\mathcal{C}$ -coalgebras by dg \mathcal{E} -coalgebras. Analogue to the constructions of Subsection 1.3, given a dg $\Omega\mathcal{C}$ -coalgebras C and a dg \mathcal{C} -algebra \mathfrak{g} , one can construction a *convolution* curved absolute partition \mathcal{L}_∞ -algebra $\text{hom}(C, \mathfrak{g})$ such that there is a natural isomorphism

$$\widehat{B}_\iota \text{hom}(C, \mathfrak{g}) \cong \left\{ C, \widehat{B}_\iota(\mathfrak{g}) \right\}$$

of $u\mathcal{E}\mathcal{E}_\infty$ -coalgebras. We refer to [GRiL24] for a more detailed description of these convolution algebras. In our case, the mapping space in dg \mathcal{C} -algebras between $\widehat{\Omega}_\iota H_*(A)^*$ and $\mathbb{L}\text{Ab}$ can be computed as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_{\text{dg } \Omega\mathcal{C}\text{-coalg}} \left(H_*(A)^* \otimes C_*^c(\Delta^\bullet), \widehat{B}_\iota \mathbb{L}\text{Ab}(\mathfrak{g}) \right) &\cong \text{Hom}_{\text{dg } \Omega\mathcal{B}^{\text{s.a}}\mathcal{E}\text{-coalg}} \left(C_*^c(\Delta^\bullet), \left\{ H_*(A)^*, \widehat{B}_\iota \mathbb{L}\text{Ab}(\mathfrak{g}) \right\} \right) \\ &\cong \text{Hom}_{\text{dg } \Omega\mathcal{B}^{\text{s.a}}\mathcal{E}\text{-coalg}} \left(C_*^c(\Delta^\bullet), \widehat{B}_\iota \text{hom}(H_*(A)^*, \mathbb{L}\text{Ab}(\mathfrak{g})) \right) \\ &\cong \mathcal{R}(\text{hom}(H_*(A)^*, \mathbb{L}\text{Ab}(\mathfrak{g}))), \end{aligned}$$

since $H_*(A)^* \otimes C_*^c(\Delta^\bullet)$ is a Reedy cofibrant resolution of $H_*(A)^*$ as a dg $\Omega\mathcal{C}$ -coalgebra.

Finally, any quasi-isomorphism $\mathfrak{g} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{g}'$ induces a weak-equivalence $\mathbb{L}\text{Ab}(\mathfrak{g}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{L}\text{Ab}(\mathfrak{g}')$ of dg \mathcal{C} -algebras. And the convolution algebra construction $\text{hom}(-, -)$ preserves weak-equivalences of dg \mathcal{C} -algebras in the second variable. \square

REMARK 2.27. The homotopy completeness assumption needed to present the formal moduli problem associated to a dg \mathcal{C}^* -algebra is quite natural: it is related to the usual (pro)-nilpotency conditions present in the literature. Let us point out that a more general class of formal moduli problems admit a similar rectification: any formal moduli problem encoded by a dg \mathcal{C}^* -algebra in the homotopical essential image of the functor Res . These correspond to formal moduli problems corepresented by a dg $\Omega\mathcal{C}$ -coalgebra.

\mathbb{E}_∞ -formal moduli problems. The case where $\mathcal{C} = \text{B}\mathcal{E}^{\text{nu}}$ gives by the equivalence between formal moduli problems of \mathbb{E}_∞ -algebras (encoded by the particular model $\Omega\text{B}\mathcal{E}^{\text{nu}}$) and dg $\Omega(\mathcal{E}^{\text{nu}})^*$ -algebras.

Corollary 2.28. *Let \mathfrak{g} be a partition \mathcal{L}_∞ -algebra which is homotopy complete. Then $\Psi(\mathfrak{g})$ is induced by the following functor*

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(\mathfrak{g}) : \text{dg } \Omega\text{B}\mathcal{E}^{\text{nu}}\text{-alg} &\longrightarrow \text{sSets} \\ A &\longmapsto \mathcal{R}(\text{hom}(H_*(A)^*, \mathbb{L}\text{Ab}(\mathfrak{g}))) . \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, the assignment is functorial in homotopy complete partition \mathcal{L}_∞ -algebras: any quasi-isomorphism induces a natural weak-equivalence of functors.

Proof. Follows directly from Theorem 2.26. \square

EXAMPLE 2.29. Partition \mathcal{L}_∞ -algebras which admit as a quasi-free model generated by a finite dimensional complex V in degrees ≤ 0 are homotopy complete, as shown in [GRiL23b]. These correspond to representable formal moduli problems, which are then presented as explained in the above proposition.

REMARK 2.30. Nilpotent partition \mathcal{L}_∞ -algebras should be prime examples of homotopy complete partition \mathcal{L}_∞ -algebras. In fact, any *homotopy (pro)-nilpotent* partition \mathcal{L}_∞ -algebra should be. This will be the subject of future work.

2.6. Comparison with the characteristic zero case. Our constructions work over any field \mathbb{k} . The goal of this subsection is to show that the simplicial set obtained using the integration functor \mathcal{R} is weakly-equivalent to the one obtained by applying the integration functor constructed in [RiL22b], when \mathbb{k} is a characteristic zero field. This entails that on nilpotent \mathcal{L}_∞ -algebras, it is weakly-equivalent to Getzler's functor of [Get09].

Over a characteristic zero field, the canonical quasi-isomorphism $\mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{uCom}$ induces a quasi-isomorphism of cofibrant dg operads $\varphi : \text{u}\mathcal{E}\mathcal{E}_\infty \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{u}\mathcal{C}\mathcal{C}_\infty$, hence a Quillen equivalence

$$\text{u}\mathcal{C}\mathcal{C}_\infty\text{-coalg} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\text{Res}_\varphi} \\ \perp \\ \xleftarrow{\text{Coind}_\varphi} \end{array} \text{u}\mathcal{E}\mathcal{E}_\infty\text{-coalg} ,$$

where $\text{u}\mathcal{C}\mathcal{C}_\infty$ are precisely the models for counital cocommutative up to homotopy coalgebras that used for integration theory in [RiL22b].

REMARK 2.31. This implies that the adjunction between curved absolute \mathcal{L}_∞ -algebras and curved absolute partition \mathcal{L}_∞ -algebras, induced by the morphism of conilpotent curved co-operads $\text{B}^{\text{s.a}}\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \text{B}^{\text{s.a}}\text{uCom}$, is also a Quillen equivalence.

Let us denote by $\mathcal{R}^{\text{zero}}$ the integration functor constructed in [RiL22b].

Proposition 2.32. *Let \mathfrak{g} be a curved absolute partition \mathcal{L}_∞ -algebra. The following simplicial sets are naturally weakly-equivalent*

$$\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{g}) \simeq \mathcal{R}^{\text{zero}}(\text{Res}(\mathfrak{g})),$$

where Res is the restriction functor from curved absolute partition \mathcal{L}_∞ -algebras to curved absolute \mathcal{L}_∞ -algebras.

Proof. There is a commuting square of Quillen equivalences

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{u}\mathcal{E}\mathcal{E}_\infty\text{-coalg} & \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\widehat{\Omega}_t^1} \\ \perp \\ \xleftarrow{\widehat{B}_t^1} \end{array} & \text{curv abs } \mathcal{L}_\infty^\pi\text{-alg}^{\text{qp-comp}} \\ \text{Res}_\varphi \uparrow \dashv \text{Coind}_\varphi & & \text{Ind} \uparrow \dashv \text{Res} \\ \text{u}\mathcal{C}\mathcal{C}_\infty\text{-coalg} & \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\widehat{\Omega}_t^2} \\ \perp \\ \xleftarrow{\widehat{B}_t^2} \end{array} & \text{curv abs } \mathcal{L}_\infty\text{-alg}^{\text{comp}}, \end{array}$$

where the right vertical adjunction is the one induced by the morphism of conilpotent curved cooperads $\mathcal{B}^{\text{s.a}}\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^{\text{s.a}}\text{uCom}$. We add the superscripts 1 and 2 to distinguish the two complete bar-cobar adjunctions. These induce adjunctions (and equivalences) at the ∞ -categorical level.

It is straightforward to compute that, for the canonical $\text{u}\mathcal{C}\mathcal{C}_\infty$ -coalgebra structure on \mathbb{k} , $\text{Res}_\varphi(\mathbb{k})$ is \mathbb{k} with its canonical $\text{u}\mathcal{E}\mathcal{E}_\infty$ -coalgebra structure. Let \mathfrak{g} be a curved absolute \mathcal{L}_∞ -algebra, the simplicial set $\mathcal{R}^{\text{zero}}(\mathfrak{g})$ has the following homotopy type

$$\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{g}) \simeq \text{Map}_{\text{u}\mathcal{E}\mathcal{E}_\infty\text{-coalg}}(\mathbb{k}, \widehat{B}_t^2(\mathfrak{g})) \simeq \text{Map}_{\text{u}\mathcal{E}\mathcal{E}_\infty\text{-coalg}}(\text{Res}_\varphi(\mathbb{k}), \widehat{B}_t^2(\mathfrak{g}))$$

which is naturally equivalent via adjunction to

$$\text{Map}_{\text{u}\mathcal{C}\mathcal{C}_\infty\text{-coalg}}(\mathbb{k}, \text{Coind}_\varphi \widehat{B}_t^2(\mathfrak{g})) \simeq \text{Map}_{\text{u}\mathcal{C}\mathcal{C}_\infty\text{-coalg}}(\mathbb{k}, \widehat{B}_t^1(\mathfrak{g})) \simeq \mathcal{R}^{\text{zero}}(\text{Res}(\mathfrak{g})).$$

□

3. LIE-TYPE MODELS IN p -ADIC HOMOTOPY THEORY

In this section, we build, for the first time, Quillen-like models for the p -adic homotopy type of connected finite type nilpotent spaces. These models are Koszul dual to the models constructed by Mandell in [Man01], much in the same way that Koszul duality relates Quillen's and Sullivan's approaches.

3.1. Comparing derived units of adjunctions. From now on, we assume the base field \mathbb{k} to be the algebraic closed field $\overline{\mathbb{F}_p}$, which is the algebraic closure of \mathbb{F}_p . Furthermore, we consider the category of simplicial sets endowed with the \mathbb{F}_p -local model structure constructed in [Bou75], where cofibrations are given by monomorphisms and where weak-equivalences are given by morphisms $f : X \rightarrow Y$ such that $H_*(f, \mathbb{F}_p) : H_*(X, \mathbb{F}_p) \rightarrow H_*(Y, \mathbb{F}_p)$ is an isomorphism.

Cochains adjunction: There is an adjunction

$$\text{sSet} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{C_c^*(-)} \\ \perp \\ \xleftarrow{U} \end{array} \text{dg } \Omega\mathcal{B}^{\text{s.a}}\mathcal{E}\text{-alg}^{\text{op}},$$

where $C_c^*(-)$ is the normalized cochains functor, endowed with a $\Omega\mathcal{B}^{\text{s.a}}\mathcal{E}$ -algebra structure, which is given by pulling back the \mathcal{E} -algebra structure along the morphism $\Omega\mathcal{B}^{\text{s.a}}\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$.

Finite type nilpotent spaces. We recall what finite type nilpotent spaces are and we state the main theorem of this subsection.

Definition 3.1 (Finite type simplicial set). Let X be a simplicial set. It is said to be of *finite type* if the homology groups $H_n(X, \mathbb{F}_p)$ are finite dimensional for all $n \geq 0$.

Definition 3.2 (Nilpotent simplicial set). Let X be a simplicial set. It is said to be *nilpotent* if for every 0-simplex α it satisfies the following conditions:

- (1) The group $\pi_1(X, \alpha)$ is nilpotent.
- (2) The $\pi_1(X, \alpha)$ -module $\pi_n(X, \alpha)$ -module is a nilpotent $\pi_1(X, \alpha)$ -module.

Theorem 3.3 ([Man01]). *Let X be a connected finite type nilpotent simplicial set. There derived unit of adjunction*

$$\eta_X : X \longrightarrow \mathbb{RUC}_c^*(X)$$

is an \mathbb{F}_p -equivalence.

Proof. The dg operad $\Omega B^{s,a} \mathcal{E}$ qualifies as an \mathcal{E}_∞ -operad, that is, a \mathbb{S} -projective resolution of the commutative operad. Thus the main theorem of [Man01] applies. \square

Proposition 3.4. *Let X be a finite type simplicial set. There is a weak-equivalence of simplicial sets*

$$\mathcal{RL}(X) \simeq \mathbb{RUC}_c^*(X),$$

which is natural on the subcategory of finite type simplicial sets.

Proof. There is an equivalence

$$\mathbb{RUC}_c^*(X) \simeq \text{Hom}_{\Omega B^{s,a} \mathcal{E}\text{-alg}}(\Omega_t B_t C_c^*(X), C_c^*(\Delta^\bullet)).$$

The following square of Quillen adjunctions

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Omega B^{s,a} \mathcal{E}\text{-alg}^{\text{op}} & \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{B_t^{\text{op}}} \\ \perp \\ \xleftarrow{\Omega_t^{\text{op}}} \end{array} & \text{curv } B^{s,a} \mathcal{E}\text{-coalg}^{\text{op}} \\ \begin{array}{c} \uparrow (-)^* \\ \downarrow (-)^\circ \end{array} & & \begin{array}{c} \uparrow (-)^\vee \\ \downarrow (-)^* \end{array} \\ \Omega B^{s,a} \mathcal{E}\text{-coalg} & \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\widehat{\Omega}_t} \\ \perp \\ \xleftarrow{\widehat{B}_t} \end{array} & \text{curv } B^{s,a} \mathcal{E}\text{-alg}^{\text{qp-comp}}, \end{array}$$

commutes. The left-hand side categories are endowed with a transferred model structure from dg modules and the right-hand side with a transferred model structure using each of these bar-cobar adjunctions. This follows from [GRiL23a, Section 8], using the fact that $B^{s,a} \mathcal{E}$ is a quasi-planar curved conilpotent cooperad as shown in Lemma 1.12. Since X is a finite type simplicial set, the homology of $\Omega_t B_t C_c^*(X)$ is degree-wise finite dimensional and bounded above. Therefore the generalized Sweedler dual $(-)^\circ$ is homotopically fully-faithful and we get

$$\text{Hom}_{\Omega B^{s,a} \mathcal{E}\text{-alg}}(\Omega_t B_t C_c^*(X), C_c^*(\Delta^\bullet)) \simeq \text{Hom}_{\Omega B^{s,a} \mathcal{E}\text{-coalg}}(C_*^c(\Delta^\bullet), \widehat{B}_t \widehat{\Omega}_t C_*^c(X)),$$

which concludes the proof. See [RiL22b, Section 3] for an analogue of this proof in characteristic zero. \square

Theorem 3.5. *Let X be a connected finite type nilpotent simplicial set. The unit of adjunction*

$$\eta_X : X \rightrightarrows \mathcal{RL}(X)$$

is an \mathbb{F}_p -equivalence.

Proof. Follows directly from Proposition 3.4. \square

REMARK 3.6. Let X connected finite type simplicial set. The unit of adjunction

$$\eta_X : X \rightrightarrows \mathcal{RL}(X)$$

is weakly-equivalent to the Bousfield-Kan p -completion.

REMARK 3.7. The above theorem should hold without the connected hypothesis as it does in characteristic zero, since we are working with *curved* absolute \mathcal{L}_∞^π -algebras.

3.2. Minimal models. In this subsection, we show that complete curved absolute \mathcal{L}_∞^π -algebras always admit a minimal resolution, which is unique up to isomorphism. Furthermore, as a corollary of our constructions, we show that one can also recover the *homology* of a space X via the homology of the complete bar construction of its models.

Definition 3.8 (Minimal model). Let \mathfrak{g} be a complete curved absolute \mathcal{L}_∞^π -algebra. A *minimal model* $(V, \varphi_{d_V}, \psi_V)$ amounts to the data of

- (1) A graded module V together with a map

$$\varphi_{d_V} : V \longrightarrow \prod_{n \geq 0} \widehat{\Omega}^{\text{s.a}} \mathcal{E}^*(n)^{(\geq 1)} \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathfrak{S}_n} V^{\otimes n}$$

which lands on elements of weight greater or equal to 1, such that the induced derivation d_V satisfies

$$d_V^2 = l_2^{(12)}(l_0, \mathfrak{g}) + l_2^{(21)}(l_0, \mathfrak{g}).$$

- (2) A weak equivalence of curved absolute \mathcal{L}_∞^π -algebras

$$\psi_V : \left(\prod_{n \geq 0} \widehat{\Omega}^{\text{s.a}} \mathcal{E}^*(n) \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathfrak{S}_n} V^{\otimes n}, d_V \right) \rightrightarrows \mathfrak{g}.$$

REMARK 3.9. Given a graded module V , the data of the derivation d_V amounts to a $u\mathcal{E}\mathcal{E}_\infty$ -coalgebra structure on V . Thus the data (V, φ_{d_V}) above amounts to the data of a *minimal* $u\mathcal{E}\mathcal{E}_\infty$ -coalgebra, that is, a $u\mathcal{E}\mathcal{E}_\infty$ -coalgebra whose underlying differential is zero.

Proposition 3.10. *Let $(V, \varphi_{d_V}, \psi_V)$ and $(W, \varphi_{d_W}, \psi_W)$ be two minimal models of a complete curved absolute \mathcal{L}_∞^π -algebra \mathfrak{g} . There is an isomorphism of graded modules $V \cong W$.*

Proof. If $(V, \varphi_{d_V}, \psi_V)$ and $(W, \varphi_{d_W}, \psi_W)$ are two minimal models of \mathfrak{g} , then, by definition, there exists a weak equivalence of curved absolute \mathcal{L}_∞^π -algebras

$$\left(\prod_{n \geq 0} \widehat{\Omega}^{\text{s.a}} \mathcal{E}^*(n) \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathfrak{S}_n} V^{\otimes n}, d_V \right) \rightrightarrows \left(\prod_{n \geq 0} \widehat{\Omega}^{\text{s.a}} \mathcal{E}^*(n) \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathfrak{S}_n} W^{\otimes n}, d_W \right).$$

This data amounts to an ∞ -quasi-isomorphism between the $u\mathcal{E}\mathcal{E}_\infty$ -coalgebras V and W . Since their differentials are trivial, this data is an ∞ -isomorphism, and in particular, an isomorphism of graded modules between V and W . See [GRiL23a, Section 7] for the statements related to ∞ -morphisms of coalgebras in positive characteristic. \square

Proposition 3.11. *Let \mathfrak{g} be a complete curved absolute \mathcal{L}_∞^π -algebra. It admits a minimal model where the graded module of generators is given by the homology of its complete bar construction.*

Proof. Since we are working over a field \mathbb{k} , we can choose a contraction between $\widehat{B}_l \mathfrak{g}$ and its homology. We can then apply the homotopy transfer theorem of [GRiL23a, Section 7] in order to transfer an ∞ -quasi-isomorphic $u\mathcal{E}\mathcal{E}_\infty$ -coalgebra structure onto its homology. This gives a weak-equivalence of complete curved absolute \mathcal{L}_∞^π -algebras

$$\widehat{\Omega}_! H_* (\widehat{B}_! \mathfrak{g}) \rightrightarrows \widehat{\Omega}_! \widehat{B}_! \mathfrak{g} \rightrightarrows \mathfrak{g} ,$$

by composing the ∞ -quasi-isomorphism with the counit of the complete bar-cobar adjunction. \square

Definition 3.12 (p-adic model). Let \mathfrak{g} be a complete curved absolute \mathcal{L}_∞^π -algebra. It is a *p-adic model* if there exists a simplicial set X and a zig-zag of weak-equivalences of curved absolute \mathcal{L}_∞^π -algebras

$$\mathcal{L}(X) \leftarrow \cdot \rightrightarrows \cdots \leftarrow \cdot \rightrightarrows \mathfrak{g} ,$$

and if, furthermore, $\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{g})$ is \mathbb{F}_p -weakly equivalent to X .

EXAMPLE 3.13. If \mathfrak{g} is weakly equivalent to $\mathcal{L}(X)$, where X is a connected finite type nilpotent simplicial set, then \mathfrak{g} is a p-adic model.

Proposition 3.14. Let \mathfrak{g} be a complete curved absolute \mathcal{L}_∞^π -algebra that is a p-adic model. The canonical morphism of $u\mathcal{E}\mathcal{E}_\infty$ -algebras

$$C_*^c(\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{g})) \rightrightarrows \widehat{B}_! \mathfrak{g}$$

is a quasi-isomorphism.

Proof. In this situation, $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{g})) \rightrightarrows \mathfrak{g}$ is a weak-equivalence of curved absolute \mathcal{L}_∞^π -algebras. Hence its transpose $C_*^c(\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{g})) \rightrightarrows \widehat{B}_! \mathfrak{g}$ is a quasi-isomorphism of $u\mathcal{E}\mathcal{E}_\infty$ -coalgebras, since the complete bar-cobar adjunction is a Quillen equivalence. \square

Corollary 3.15. Let \mathfrak{g} be a complete curved absolute \mathcal{L}_∞^π -algebra which is a p-adic model for a simplicial set X . Then its minimal model is generated by $H_*(X)$.

Proof. By Proposition 3.14, we have that

$$H_* (\widehat{B}_! \mathfrak{g}) \cong H_*(X) .$$

And by Proposition 3.11, the graded module $H_*(X)$ is the generator of the minimal model. \square

3.3. Mapping spaces. In this subsection, we construct explicit p-adic models for mapping spaces, without any assumption on the source simplicial set.

Recall that, if X and Y are simplicial sets, there is an explicit model for their mapping space given by

$$\text{Map}(X, Y)_\bullet := \text{Hom}_{\text{sSet}}(X \times \Delta^\bullet, Y) ,$$

which forms a Kan complex when Y is so.

Lemma 3.16. Let X and Y be two simplicial sets. There is a quasi-isomorphism

$$\text{EZ} : C_*^c(X) \otimes C_*^c(Y) \rightrightarrows C_*^c(X \times Y) ,$$

of $u\mathcal{E}\mathcal{E}_\infty$ -coalgebras given by the Eilenberg-Zilber map, which furthermore is natural in X and Y .

Proof. The Eilenberg-Zilber map is known to be a quasi-isomorphism of \mathcal{E} -coalgebras, see [BF04], since all the structures are given by pullback, it is a quasi-isomorphism of $\Omega\mathcal{B}^{\text{s.a}}\mathcal{E}$ -coalgebras. \square

Theorem 3.17. Let \mathfrak{g} be a complete curved absolute \mathcal{L}_∞^π -algebra and let X be a simplicial set. There is a weak-equivalence of Kan complexes

$$\text{Map}(X, \mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{g})) \simeq \mathcal{R}(\text{hom}(C_*^c(X), \mathfrak{g})) ,$$

which is natural in X and in \mathfrak{g} , where $\text{hom}(C_*^c(X), \mathfrak{g})$ denotes the convolution curved absolute \mathcal{L}_∞^π -algebra.

Proof. There is an isomorphism of simplicial sets

$$\text{Map}(X, \mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{g}))_{\bullet} := \text{Hom}_{\text{sSet}}(X \times \Delta^{\bullet}, \mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{g})) \cong \text{Hom}_{\text{sSet}}(C_*^c(X \times \Delta^{\bullet}), \widehat{B}_l(\mathfrak{g})) .$$

We can pre-compose by the Eilenberg-Zilber map of Lemma 3.16, giving a weak-equivalence of simplicial sets

$$\text{Hom}_{\Omega B^{s,a} \mathcal{E}\text{-cog}}(C_*^c(X \times \Delta^{\bullet}), \widehat{B}_l(\mathfrak{g})) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_{\Omega B^{s,a} \mathcal{E}\text{-cog}}(C_*^c(X) \otimes C_*^c(\Delta^{\bullet}), \widehat{B}_l(\mathfrak{g})) ,$$

since both $C_*^c(X \times \Delta^{\bullet})$ and $C_*^c(X) \otimes C_*^c(\Delta^{\bullet})$ are Reedy cofibrant. Let's compute this last simplicial set:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_{\text{u} \mathcal{C}_{\infty}\text{-cog}}(C_*^c(X) \otimes C_*^c(\Delta^{\bullet}), \widehat{B}_l(\mathfrak{g})) &\cong \text{Hom}_{\Omega B^{s,a} \mathcal{E}\text{-cog}}\left(C_*^c(\Delta^{\bullet}), \left\{C_*^c(X), \widehat{B}_l(\mathfrak{g})\right\}\right) \\ &\cong \text{Hom}_{\Omega B^{s,a} \mathcal{E}\text{-cog}}\left(C_*^c(\Delta^{\bullet}), \widehat{B}_l(\text{hom}(C_*^c(X), \mathfrak{g}))\right) \\ &\cong \text{Hom}_{\text{curv abs } \mathcal{L}_{\infty}^{\pi}\text{-alg}}\left(\widehat{\Omega}_l(C_*^c(\Delta^{\bullet})), \text{hom}(C_*^c(X), \mathfrak{g})\right) \\ &\cong \mathcal{R}(\text{hom}(C_*^c(X), \mathfrak{g})) . \end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 3.18. *Let X be a simplicial set and let Y be a connected finite type nilpotent simplicial set. There is a weak-equivalence of simplicial sets*

$$\text{Map}(X, Y_{\mathbb{F}_p}) \simeq \mathcal{R}(\text{hom}(C_*^c(X), \mathcal{L}(Y))) ,$$

where $Y_{\mathbb{F}_p}$ denotes the Bousfield-Kan p -completion of Y .

Proof. There is a weak-equivalence of simplicial sets

$$Y_{\mathbb{F}_p} \simeq \mathcal{R}\mathcal{L}(Y) ,$$

which induces the following weak-equivalences

$$\text{Map}(X, Y_{\mathbb{F}_p}) \simeq \text{Map}(X, \mathcal{R}\mathcal{L}(Y)) \simeq \mathcal{R}(\text{hom}(C_*^c(X), \mathcal{L}(Y))) .$$

□

APPENDIX A. STRUCTURAL FORMULAS

The goal of this appendix is to give formulas for the relations that the elementary operations of a curved absolute $\mathcal{L}_{\infty}^{\pi}$ -algebra satisfy. These relations are imposed by the partial decomposition maps of the counital partial cooperad \mathcal{E}^* , which are hard to understand. Thus, our goal is to describe the linear dual of the structure maps defined in [BF04, Section 1.1.3].

Lemma A.1. *Let σ be an element in \mathcal{S}_{n+k-1} , with $n, k \geq 1$. For any $1 \leq i \leq n$, there is at most one pair (τ, ν) with $\tau \in \mathcal{S}_n$ and $\nu \in \mathcal{S}_k$ such that $\tau \circ_i \nu = \sigma$.*

Proof. The decomposition exists if and only if σ stabilizes $\{1, \dots, n+k-1\} - \{i, \dots, i+k-1\}$. Then the permutation τ is determined by how σ restricts to this subset, and therefore ν is also completely determined. □

Let σ be in \mathcal{S}_{n+k-1} . We say it is (n, k, i) -admissible if it admits a decomposition $\Delta_i^{n,k}(\sigma)$, and we denote $\sigma^{(1)}$ and $\sigma^{(2)}$ the unique pair in $\mathcal{S}_n \times \mathcal{S}_k$ such that $\sigma^{(1)} \circ_i \sigma^{(2)} = \sigma$.

Let $(\sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_r)$ be an r -tuple of elements in \mathcal{S}_{n+k-1} . Suppose that σ_j is (n, k, i) -admissible for all $1 \leq j \leq r$, and denote $(\sigma_0^{(1)}, \dots, \sigma_r^{(1)})$ and $(\sigma_0^{(2)}, \dots, \sigma_r^{(2)})$ the r -tuples of decompositions.

Let a, b be two natural numbers such that $a + b = r$ and let $\varphi : (0, 0) \rightarrow (a, b)$ be a lattice path. The r -tuple $(\sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_r)$ is (φ, i) -admissible if for any step $(j, k) \rightarrow (j + 1, k)$ in

$$\sigma_j^{(2)} = \sigma_{j+1}^{(2)},$$

and for any step $(j, k) \rightarrow (j, k + 1)$,

$$\sigma_k^{(1)} = \sigma_{k+1}^{(1)}.$$

One can write $(\sigma_0^{(1)}, \dots, \sigma_a^{(1)})$ and $(\sigma_0^{(2)}, \dots, \sigma_b^{(2)})$ by suppressing the repetitions when $(\sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_r)$ is (φ, i) -admissible for a given lattice path $\varphi : (0, 0) \rightarrow (a, b)$.

For any lattice path $\varphi : (0, 0) \rightarrow (a, b)$, the *lattice sign* $\epsilon(\varphi)$ is the sign of the shuffle permutation which takes horizontal segments to the first place and vertical segments to the last place, like in [BF04, Section 1.1.3].

Proposition A.2. *The partial decomposition map*

$$\Delta_i^{n,k} : \mathcal{E}^*(n+k-1) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^*(n) \otimes \mathcal{E}^*(k)$$

is given by as follows: let $(\sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_r)$ be an element in $\mathcal{E}^*(n+k-1)$.

(1) If σ_j is (n, k, i) -admissible for all $1 \leq j \leq r$, then

$$\Delta_i^{n,k}(\sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_r) = \sum_{\substack{\alpha+b=r \\ (\varphi, i)\text{-admissible}}} \sum_{\varphi:(0,0) \rightarrow (\alpha, b)} (-1)^{\epsilon(\varphi)} (\sigma_0^{(1)}, \dots, \sigma_\alpha^{(1)}) \otimes (\sigma_0^{(2)}, \dots, \sigma_b^{(2)}),$$

where the second sum is taken over all lattice paths $\varphi : (0, 0) \rightarrow (a, b)$ for which $(\sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_r)$ is (φ, i) -admissible.

(2) If there exists a σ_j which is not (n, k, i) -admissible, then the image of $(\sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_r)$ by the decomposition $\Delta_i^{n,k}$ is zero.

Proof. If one of the permutations σ_j in \mathcal{S}_{n+k-1} cannot be obtained as the i -th composition of a permutation in \mathcal{S}_n and a permutation in \mathcal{S}_k , then it not reached by

$$\circ_i^{n,k} : \mathcal{E}(n) \otimes \mathcal{E}(k) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(n+k-1)$$

as defined in [BF04, Section 1.1.3]. Therefore its image by $\Delta_i^{n,k} = (\circ_i^{n,k})^*$ is zero. The other part of the formula follows from direct inspection. \square

Corollary A.3. *Let \mathfrak{g} be a curved absolute partition \mathcal{L}_∞ -algebra. Its elementary operations $\{\mathfrak{l}_n^{(\sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_r)}\}$ satisfy the following relations:*

$$\begin{aligned} \partial \left(\mathfrak{l}_n^{(\sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_r)} \right) &= \sum_{\substack{\bar{\sigma} \in \mathcal{S}_n \\ \bar{\sigma} \neq \sigma_e}} \sum_{j=0}^r (-1)^j \mathfrak{l}_n^{(\sigma_0, \dots, \bar{\sigma}, \dots, \sigma_r)} + \\ &+ \sum_{\substack{p+q=n+1 \\ \alpha+b=r}} \sum_{i=0}^p \sum_{\substack{\varphi:(0,0) \rightarrow (\alpha, b) \\ (\varphi, i)\text{-admissible}}} (-1)^{\epsilon(\varphi)} \mathfrak{l}_p^{(\sigma_0^{(1)}, \dots, \sigma_\alpha^{(1)})} \circ_i \mathfrak{l}_q^{(\sigma_0^{(2)}, \dots, \sigma_b^{(2)})}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\bar{\sigma}$ is added in the j -spot in $(\sigma_0, \dots, \bar{\sigma}, \dots, \sigma_r)$.

Proof. Follows directly from Proposition A.2. \square

REMARK A.4. If \mathfrak{g} is a partition \mathcal{L}_∞ -algebra, these are precisely the relations satisfied by the structural operations.

REMARK A.5. There is a Python package written by A. M. Medina-Mardones where elements of the Barratt-Eccles operad and their partial compositions are coded, see [MM21]. These formulas can also be implemented using the aforementioned package.

REFERENCES

- [BCN21] Lukas Brantner, Ricardo Campos, and Joost Nuiten. PD operads and explicit partition Lie algebras, [arxiv.2104.03870](https://arxiv.org/abs/2104.03870), 2021.
- [Ber15] Alexander Berglund. Rational homotopy theory of mapping spaces via Lie theory for L_∞ -algebras. *Homology Homotopy Appl.*, 17(2):343–369, 2015.
- [BF04] Clemens Berger and Benoit Fresse. Combinatorial operad actions on cochains. *Math. Proc. Camb. Philos. Soc.*, 137(1):135–174, 2004.
- [BFMT20] Urtzi Buijs, Yves Félix, Aniceto Murillo, and Daniel Tanré. *Lie models in topology*, volume 335 of *Prog. Math.* Cham: Birkhäuser, 2020.
- [BM19] Lukas Brantner and Akhil Mathew. Deformation theory and partition Lie algebras, [arxiv.1904.07352](https://arxiv.org/abs/1904.07352), 2019.
- [Bou75] A. K. Bousfield. The localization of spaces with respect to homology. *Topology*, 14:133–150, 1975.
- [Fre09] Benoit Fresse. *Modules over operads and functors*, volume 1967 of *Lect. Notes Math.* Berlin: Springer, 2009.
- [Get09] Ezra Getzler. Lie theory for nilpotent L_∞ -algebras. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 170(1):271–301, 2009.
- [Gri22] Brice Le Grignou. Mapping coalgebras II: Operads, [arxiv.2208.14395](https://arxiv.org/abs/2208.14395), 2022.
- [Gri23] Brice Le Grignou. Mapping coalgebras III: Chain complexes, *in preparation*, 2023.
- [GRiL23a] Brice Le Grignou and Victor Roca i Lucio. Homotopical operadic calculus in postive characteristic, [arXiv.2310.13095](https://arxiv.org/abs/2310.13095), 2023.
- [GRiL23b] Brice Le Grignou and Victor Roca i Lucio. A new approach to formal moduli problems, [arXiv:2306.07227](https://arxiv.org/abs/2306.07227), 2023.
- [GRiL24] Brice Le Grignou and Victor Roca i Lucio. Mapping coalgebras in positive characteristic, *in preparation*, 2024.
- [HH13] John E. Harper and Kathryn Hess. Homotopy completion and topological Quillen homology of structured ring spectra. *Geom. Topol.*, 17(3):1325–1416, 2013.
- [Hin01] Vladimir Hinich. Dg coalgebras as formal stacks. *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra*, 162(2):209–250, 2001.
- [HM12] Joseph Hirsh and Joan Millès. Curved Koszul duality theory. *Math. Ann.*, 354(4):1465–1520, 2012.
- [Hov99] Mark Hovey. *Model categories*, volume 63 of *Math. Surv. Monogr.* Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society, 1999.
- [Lur11a] Jacob Lurie. *Derived Algebraic Geometry X: Formal Moduli Problems*, <https://people.math.harvard.edu/~lurie/papers/DAG-X.pdf>. 2011.
- [Lur11b] Jacob Lurie. *Derived Algebraic Geometry XIII: Rational and p-adic homotopy theory*, <https://www.math.ias.edu/~lurie/papers/DAG-XIII.pdf>. 2011.
- [Man01] Michael A. Mandell. E_∞ algebras and p-adic homotopy theory. *Topology*, 40(1):43–94, 2001.
- [MM21] Anibal M. Medina-Mardones. A computer algebra system for the study of commutativity up-to-coherent homotopies, [arxiv.2102.07670](https://arxiv.org/abs/2102.07670), 2021.
- [Pri10] J. P. Pridham. Unifying derived deformation theories. *Adv. Math.*, 224(3):772–826, 2010.
- [Qui69] D. Quillen. Rational homotopy theory. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 90:205–295, 1969.
- [RiL22a] Victor Roca i Lucio. Curved operadic calculus, [arxiv.2201.07155](https://arxiv.org/abs/2201.07155), 2022.
- [RiL22b] Victor Roca i Lucio. The integration theory of curved absolute \mathcal{L}_∞ -algebras, [arxiv.2209.10282](https://arxiv.org/abs/2209.10282), 2022.
- [RNV20] Daniel Robert-Nicoud and Bruno Vallette. Higher Lie theory, [arxiv.2010.10485](https://arxiv.org/abs/2010.10485), 2020.
- [Sul77] D. Sullivan. Infinitesimal computations in topology. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, (47):269–331 (1978), 1977.

VICTOR ROCA I LUCIO, ECOLE POLYTECHNIQUE FÉDÉRALE DE LAUSANNE, EPFL, CH-1015 LAUSANNE, SWITZERLAND

Email address: victor.rocalucio@epfl.ch