

Wave breaking in the unidirectional non-local wave model

Shaojie Yang*, Jian Chen

Department of Systems Science and Applied Mathematics,
Kunming University of Science and Technology,
Kunming, Yunnan 650500, China

Abstract

In this paper we study wave breaking in the unidirectional non-local wave model describing the motion of a collision-free plasma in a magnetic field. By analyzing the monotonicity and continuity properties of a system of the Riccati-type differential inequalities involving the extremal slopes of flows, we show a new sufficient condition on the initial data to exhibit wave breaking. Moreover, the estimates of life span and wave breaking rate are derived.

Keywords: Unidirectional non-local wave model; Wave breaking; Collision-free plasma

1 Introduction

The motion of a cold plasma in a magnetic field consisting of singly-charged particles can be described by [1, 2]

$$n_t + (un)_x = 0, \quad (1.1)$$

$$u_t + uu_x + \frac{bb_x}{n} = 0, \quad (1.2)$$

$$b - n - \left(\frac{b_x}{n}\right) = 0, \quad (1.3)$$

*Corresponding author: shaojieyang@kust.edu.cn (Shaojie Yang); 19855615281@163.com (Jian Chen)

where $n = n(t, x)$, $u = u(t, x)$ and $b = b(t, x)$ stand for the ionic density, the ionic velocity and the magnetic field, respectively. System (1.1)-(1.3) can also be applied to describe the motion of collision-free two-fluid model under the assumptions that the electron inertia, the displacement current and the charge separation are neglected and the Poisson equation (1.3) is satisfied initially [3, 4].

Recently, Alonso-Orán D, Durán A and Granero-Belinchón R [23] derived a unidirectional asymptotic model describing the motion of a collision-free plasma in a magnetic field given in system (1.1)-(1.3). The method to derive the unidirectional asymptotic model relies on a multi-scale expansion (see [5–10, 22]) which reduces the full system (1.1)-(1.3) to a cascade of linear equations which can be closed up to some order of precision. More precisely, let

$$n = 1 + N, \quad U = u, \quad b = 1 + B,$$

introducing the following formal expansions

$$N = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \varepsilon^{l+1} N^{(l)}, \quad B = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \varepsilon^{l+1} B^{(l)}, \quad U = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \varepsilon^{l+1} U^{(l)} \quad (1.4)$$

then under the extra assumption $U^{(0)} = N^{(0)}$ in (1.4) leads to the following bidirectional single non-local wave equation

$$h_{tt} + \mathcal{L}h = (hh_x + [\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{N}h]h)_x - 2(hh_t)_x. \quad (1.5)$$

The formal reduction of (1.5) to the corresponding unidirectional version, cf.[11], yields

$$h_t + \frac{3}{2}hh_x = \frac{1}{2}([\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{N}h]h + \mathcal{N}h + h_x), \quad (1.6)$$

where

$$\mathcal{L} = -\partial_x^2(1 - \partial_x^2)^{-1}, \quad \mathcal{N} = \partial_x(1 - \partial_x^2)^{-1}$$

and $[\mathcal{L}, \cdot] \cdot$ denotes the following commutator

$$[\mathcal{L}, f]g = \mathcal{L}(fg) - f\mathcal{L}g.$$

Denote by L the operator $(1 - \partial_x^2)^{-1}$ which acting on functions $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ has the representation

$$Lf(x) = G * f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} G(x - y)f(y)dy, \quad G(x) = \frac{1}{2}e^{-|x|},$$

a simple computation, for all $f \in L^2$, we have

$$\partial_x^2 Lf(x) = (L - I)f(x),$$

where I denotes the identity operator. Note that $-\mathcal{L} = L - 1$, then Eq.(1.6) can be rewritten as

$$h_t + \frac{3}{2}hh_x = \frac{1}{2}Lh_x + \frac{1}{2}h_x - \frac{1}{2}[L, Lh_x]h. \quad (1.7)$$

Eq.(1.7) possesses the following conservation properties

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} h(t, x)dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} h_0(x)dx$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} h^2(t, x)dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} h_0^2(x)dx.$$

Note that the unidirectional non-local wave model (1.7) is very similar to the celebrated Fornberg-Whitham equation

$$u_t + \frac{3}{2}uu_x = Lu_x, \quad (1.8)$$

which was derived by Whitham [12] and Fornberg and Whitham [13], as a model for shallow water waves describing breaking waves. Recently, some properties of FW equation (1.8) such as well-posedness, continuity, traveling waves and blow-up have been studied in [14–21]. The main difference between the unidirectional non-local wave model and the FW equation is the emergence of the nonlocal commutator-type term in (1.7).

In this paper, we study wave breaking in the unidirectional non-local wave model (1.7). By analyzing wave breaking conditions on the extremal functions

$$M(t) := \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} [h_x(t, x)],$$

and

$$m(t) := \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}} [h_x(t, x)],$$

where both $M(t)$ and $m(t)$ satisfy the Riccati-type differential inequalities (3.6) and (3.7), we show a new sufficient condition on the initial data to exhibit wave breaking which extending wave breaking results in Ref.[23]. Our wave breaking condition (see Theorem 3.1) is that

$$\inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}} h_{0,x}(x) < \min \left\{ -\frac{1}{6} \left(1 + \sqrt{1 + 24C_0} \right), -\frac{1}{12} \left(1 + \sqrt{1 + 24 \left(\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} h_{0,x}(x) + 4C_0 \right)} \right) \right\}, \quad (1.9)$$

where C_0 is some positive constant depends on $\|h_0\|_{L^2}$. The wave breaking condition in [23] is that

$$\inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}} h_{0,x}(x) \leq -C_1, \quad (1.10)$$

where C_1 is some positive constant depends on $\|h_0\|_{L^2}$ and $\|h_0\|_{L^\infty}$. Comparing wave breaking condition (1.9) and condition (1.10), it is clear that the wave breaking condition (1.10) in [23] follows from our wave breaking condition (1.9). Moreover, for the first time, we get the estimates of life span and wave breaking rate to the unidirectional non-local wave model (1.7).

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we recall several useful results which are crucial in deriving wave breaking. In Section 3, we present a new wave breaking result and wave breaking rate.

2 Preliminaries

In this section, we recall several useful results which are crucial in deriving wave breaking.

First of all, we recall the local well-posedness for Eq.(1.7).

Lemma 2.1 ([23]). *Let $h_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R})$ with $s > 3/2$, then there exists maximal existence time $T > 0$ and a unique local solution $h \in C([0, T], H^s(\mathbb{R}))$ of (1.7).*

The blow-up criteria for Eq.(1.7) can be formulated as follows.

Lemma 2.2 ([23]). *Let $h_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R})$ with $s > 3/2$, and let maximal existence time $T > 0$ be the lifespan associated to the solution h to (1.7) with $h(0, x) = h_0(x)$. Then $h(t, x)$ blows up in finite time T if and only if*

$$\int_0^T \|h_x(\tau)\|_{BMO} d\tau = \infty.$$

Next, the following lemma shows that for the maximal time of existence $T > 0$, the solutions remains bounded.

Lemma 2.3 ([23]). *Let $h_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R})$ with $s > 3/2$, and let $T > 0$ be the maximal time of existence of the unique solution h of (1.7) given by Lemma 2.1. Then*

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T)} \|h(t)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} < \infty.$$

Finally, the following classical lemma is key to construct a system of the Riccati-type differential inequalities involving the extremal slopes of flows.

Lemma 2.4 ([24]). *Let $T > 0$ and $u \in C^1([0, T]; H^2)$. Then for every $t \in [0, T)$ there exist at least one point $\xi(t) \in \mathbb{R}$ with*

$$m(t) := \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}} [h_x(t, x)] = h_x(t, \xi(t)),$$

and the function m is almost everywhere differentiable on $(0, T)$ with

$$\frac{dm(t)}{dt} = h_{tx}(t, \xi(t)), \quad \text{a.e. on } (0, T).$$

Remark. The same statement is valid clearly for the supremum function $M(t) := \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} [h_x(t, x)]$.

3 Wave breaking

In this section, we present a new wave breaking result and wave breaking rate. The main result is as follows.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $h_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R})$ with $s > 3/2$, and the maximal existence time $T > 0$ be the lifespan associated to the solution h to (1.7) with $h(0, x) = h_0(x)$. Assume that the initial data $m_0 = m(0)$ and $M_0 = M(0)$ satisfy*

$$\inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}} h_{0,x}(x) < \min \left\{ -\frac{1}{6} \left(1 + \sqrt{1 + 24C_0} \right), -\frac{1}{12} \left(1 + \sqrt{1 + 24 \left(\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} h_{0,x}(x) + 4C_0 \right)} \right) \right\}, \quad (3.1)$$

where $C_0 = C \|h_0\|_{L^2}^2 > 0$. Then the corresponding solution h breaks down in the finite time T with

$$0 < T \leq t^* = \frac{2\sqrt{3}(3m_0^2 + \frac{1}{2}m_0 - 2C_0)}{3(3m_0^2 + m_0 - 2C_0)\sqrt{3m_0^2 - \frac{1}{2}M_0 + \frac{1}{2}m_0 - 2C_0}}.$$

Moreover, for some $x(t) \in \mathbb{R}$, the blow-up rate is

$$h_x(t, x(t)) \sim -\frac{2}{3(T-t)} \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow T. \quad (3.2)$$

Remark. The wave-breaking time t^* in Theorem 3.1 could be optimized by more delicate estimate, which can be obtained from replacing $m^2(t) = -\frac{2}{3}\varphi(t) + \frac{1}{6}(M - m) + \frac{2}{3}C_0 \geq -\frac{2}{3}\varphi(t)$ by $m^2(t) \geq -\frac{2}{3}\varphi(t) - \frac{1}{6}m_0 + \frac{2}{3}C_0$. By investigating the proof in Theorem 3.1, we are able to obtain a better estimate of upper bound on wave-breaking time by

$$t^* = \frac{\sqrt{3}(3m_0^2 + \frac{1}{2}m_0 - 2C_0)}{3\sqrt{2C_0 - \frac{1}{2}m_0}(3m_0^2 + m_0 - 2C_0)} \ln \frac{\sqrt{6m_0^2 - M_0} + \sqrt{4C_0 - m_0}}{\sqrt{6m_0^2 - M_0} - \sqrt{4C_0 - m_0}}.$$

Proof. Let

$$M(t) = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} h_x(t, x) = h_x(t, \xi_1(t))$$

and

$$m(t) = \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}} h_x(t, x) = h_x(t, \xi_2(t)).$$

Differentiating (1.7) with respect to x yields

$$h_{tx} = -\frac{1}{2}(3h_x^2 + 3hh_{xx} + \partial_x[L, Lh_x]h + L_x h_x - h_{xx}). \quad (3.3)$$

Note that the Sobolev embedding $H^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon} \hookrightarrow L^\infty$ for $\epsilon > 0$ and L is continuous between $H^s(\mathbb{R})$ and $H^{s+2}(\mathbb{R})$ for any $s \in \mathbb{R}$, yields

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial_x[L, Lh_x]h| &\leq \|[L, Lh_x]h\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} \\ &\leq \|Lh_x h\|_{H^{-\frac{3}{2}+\epsilon}} + \|(Lh)^2\|_{H^{\frac{3}{2}+\epsilon}} \\ &\leq C\|h\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\leq C\|h_0\|_{L^2}^2 := C_0 \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

and by observing

$$L_x h_x = G_x * h_x = -\frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^x e^{y-x} h_x dy + \frac{1}{2} \int_x^{+\infty} e^{x-y} h_x dy. \quad (3.5)$$

Combining (3.4) and (3.5), from (3.3), it's easy to find that, for a.e. $t \in (0, T)$

$$M'(t) \leq -\frac{3}{2}M^2 + \frac{1}{4}(M - m) + C_0, \quad (3.6)$$

$$m'(t) \leq -\frac{3}{2}m^2 + \frac{1}{4}(M - m) + C_0. \quad (3.7)$$

Now, we adapt the method in [25] in Theorem 3.1 to prove our result. Note that the condition (3.1), together with (3.7), we have

$$m(0) < -\frac{1}{6} \left(1 + \sqrt{1 + 24C_0}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad m'(0) \leq -\frac{3}{2}m^2(0) + \frac{1}{4}(M(0) - m(0)) + C_0 < 0.$$

We claim that:

$$m(t) < -\frac{1}{6} \left(1 + \sqrt{1 + 24C_0}\right) \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, T].$$

Otherwise, there exists $t_0 < T$ such that

$$m(t_0) = -\frac{1}{6} \left(1 + \sqrt{1 + 24C_0}\right) \text{ and } m(t) < -\frac{1}{6} \left(1 + \sqrt{1 + 24C_0}\right) \text{ for all } t \in [0, t_0]. \quad (3.8)$$

Let

$$\varphi(t) = -\frac{3}{2}m^2 + \frac{1}{4}(M - m) + C_0,$$

then from (3.6) and (3.7), a simple computation yields that, for a.e. $t \in [0, t_0]$

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi'(t) &= -3mm' + \frac{1}{4}(M' - m') \\ &= -\frac{1}{4}(12m + 1)m' + \frac{1}{4}M' \\ &\leq -\frac{1}{4}(12m + 1) \left(-\frac{3}{2}m^2 + \frac{1}{4}(M - m) + C_0\right) + \frac{1}{4} \left(-\frac{3}{2}M^2 + \frac{1}{4}(M - m) + C_0\right) \\ &= \frac{3}{2}m(3m^2 + m - 2C_0) - \frac{3}{8}(M + m)^2 \\ &< 0. \end{aligned}$$

Taking into account the fact $\varphi(0) < 0$, it follows from the decreasing of $\varphi(t)$ that

$$\varphi(t) \leq \varphi(0) < 0 \text{ for a.e. } t \in [0, t_0].$$

Thus, we have

$$m'(t) \leq \varphi(t) < 0 \text{ for a.e. } t \in [0, t_0),$$

which means that

$$m(t_0) \leq m(0) < -\frac{1}{6} \left(1 + \sqrt{1 + 24C_0}\right).$$

This contradicts with (3.8). Consequently, we obtain

$$m(t) < -\frac{1}{6} \left(1 + \sqrt{1 + 24C_0}\right), \quad \varphi(t) \leq \varphi(0) < 0, \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, T), \quad (3.9)$$

and

$$m'(t) < 0 \quad \text{for a.e. } t \in [0, T). \quad (3.10)$$

On the other hand, in view of (3.9) and (3.10), the definition of $\varphi(t)$ implies that

$$m^2(t) = -\frac{2}{3}\varphi(t) + \frac{1}{6}(M - m) + \frac{2}{3}C_0 \geq -\frac{2}{3}\varphi(t) > 0,$$

which shows us

$$-m(t) \geq \sqrt{-\frac{2}{3}\varphi(t)}. \quad (3.11)$$

Conclusively, from the above discussion and (3.9), for a.e. $t \in [0, T)$

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi'(t) &\leq \frac{3}{2}m(3m^2 + m - 2C_0) \\ &\leq -\sqrt{6} \frac{3m^2 + m - 2C_0}{3m^2 - \frac{1}{2}M + \frac{1}{2}m - 2C_0} (-\varphi)^{\frac{3}{2}}(t) \\ &\leq -\sqrt{6} \frac{3m^2 + m - 2C_0}{3m^2 + \frac{1}{2}m - 2C_0} (-\varphi)^{\frac{3}{2}}(t).\end{aligned}$$

A straightforward computation yields

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\frac{3m^2 + m - 2C_0}{3m^2 + \frac{1}{2}m - 2C_0} \right)' &= \left(\frac{\frac{1}{2}m}{3m^2 + \frac{1}{2}m - 2C_0} \right)' \\ &= \frac{m'(-\frac{3}{2}m^2 - C_0)}{(3m^2 + \frac{1}{2}m - 2C_0)^2} > 0.\end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have

$$\varphi'(t) \leq -\sqrt{6} \frac{3m_0^2 + m_0 - 2C_0}{3m_0^2 + \frac{1}{2}m_0 - 2C_0} (-\varphi)^{\frac{3}{2}}(t) \quad \text{for a.e. } t \in [0, T).$$

Denote

$$\delta = \sqrt{6} \frac{3m_0^2 + m_0 - 2C_0}{3m_0^2 + \frac{1}{2}m_0 - 2C_0}.$$

Apparently, $\delta > 0$ and

$$\left((-\varphi)^{-\frac{1}{2}}(t) \right)' = \frac{1}{2}(-\varphi)^{-\frac{3}{2}}(t)\varphi'(t) \leq -\frac{\delta}{2}. \quad (3.12)$$

Integrating (3.12) from 0 to t yields

$$-\varphi(t) \geq \left((-\varphi)^{-\frac{1}{2}}(0) - \frac{\delta}{2}t \right)^{-2}. \quad (3.13)$$

Since $-\varphi(t) > 0$ for any $t \geq 0$, then $\varphi(t)$ and $m(t)$ break down at the finite time

$$T \leq t^* := \frac{2}{\delta(-\varphi)^{\frac{1}{2}}(0)} = \frac{2\sqrt{3}(3m_0^2 + \frac{1}{2}m_0 - 2C_0)}{3(3m_0^2 + m_0 - 2C_0)\sqrt{3m_0^2 - \frac{1}{2}M_0 + \frac{1}{2}m_0 - 2C_0}}.$$

In the case of $T_0 = t^*$, in view of (3.11) and (3.13), we have

$$m(t) \leq -\sqrt{-\frac{2}{3}\varphi(t)} \leq -\frac{1}{\sqrt{\frac{3}{8}\delta(t^* - t)}},$$

which implies that $m(t) \rightarrow -\infty$ as $t \rightarrow T$.

Now, let us give more insight into the wave breaking mechanism to Eq. (1.7). Assume that the corresponding solution h breaks in finite time $T < \infty$ for some $\xi_2(t) \in \mathbb{R}$, then from Lemma 2.3, we have

$$m(t) = h_x(t, \xi_2(t)) \rightarrow -\infty \text{ as } t \nearrow T \text{ and } \sup_{t \in [0, T)} |h(t, \xi_2(t))| < \infty. \quad (3.14)$$

Then it follows from (3.3) that, for a.e. $t \in [0, T)$

$$m'(t) = -\frac{3}{2}m^2(t) - \frac{1}{2}\partial_x[L, Lh_x]h(t, x(t)) - \frac{1}{2}L_x h_x(t, x(t)). \quad (3.15)$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} |L_x h_x| &= |Lh - h| \leq \|G * h\|_{L^\infty} + \|h\|_{L^\infty} \\ &\leq \|G\|_{L^2} \|h\|_{L^2} + \|h\|_{L^\infty} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \|h\|_{L^2} + \|h\|_{L^\infty} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \|h_0\|_{L^2} + \|h\|_{L^\infty}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.16)$$

Combing (3.15), (3.4) and (3.16) leads to

$$-\frac{C_0}{2} - \frac{1}{4} \|h_0\|_{L^2} - \frac{1}{2} \|h\|_{L^\infty} - \frac{3}{2} m^2(t) \leq m'(t) \leq -\frac{3}{2} m^2(t) + \frac{1}{2} \|h\|_{L^\infty} + \frac{1}{4} \|h_0\|_{L^2} + \frac{C_0}{2}. \quad (3.17)$$

For small $\varepsilon > 0$, from (3.14) we can find $t_0 \in (0, T)$ such that t_0 is close enough to T in a such way that

$$\left| \frac{\frac{1}{2} \|h\|_{L^\infty} + \frac{1}{4} \|h_0\|_{L^2} + \frac{C_0}{2}}{m^2(t)} \right| < \varepsilon$$

on (t_0, T) . Therefore, on such interval, one can infer from (3.17) that, for a.e. $t \in (t_0, T)$

$$-\frac{3}{2} - \varepsilon \leq -\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{1}{m} \right) \leq -\frac{3}{2} + \varepsilon.$$

Integrating the above inequalities on (t, T) with $t \in (t_0, T)$, we derive the blow-up rate (3.2) with $x(t) = \xi_2(t)$. Thus, the proof of the Theorem 3.1 is complete. \square

Acknowledgment

This work is supported by Yunnan Fundamental Research Projects (Grant NO. 202101AU070029).

References

- [1] Gardner C S, Morikawa G K. Similarity in the asymptotic behavior of collision-free hydromagnetic waves and water waves. Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences, New York University, 1960.
- [2] Berezin Y A, Karpman V I. Theory of non-stationary finite amplitude waves in a low density plasma. Soviet Physics-JETP, 1964, 19: 1265-1271.
- [3] Taniuti T, Wei C C. Reductive perturbation method in nonlinear wave propagation I. Journal of the Physical Society of Japan, 1968, 24(4): 941-946.
- [4] Kakutani T, Ono H, Taniuti T, et al. Reductive perturbation method in nonlinear wave propagation II-Application to hydromagnetic waves in cold plasma. Journal of the Physical Society of Japan, 1968, 24(5): 1159-1166.
- [5] Castro A, Lannes D. Fully nonlinear long-wave models in the presence of vorticity. Journal of Fluid Mechanics, 2014, 759: 642-675.
- [6] Castro A, Lannes D. Well-posedness and shallow-water stability for a new Hamiltonian formulation of the water waves equations with vorticity. Indiana University Mathematics Journal, 2015, 64(4): 1169-1270.
- [7] Aurthier C H, Granero-Belinchón R, Shkoller S, et al. Rigorous asymptotic models of water waves. Water Waves, 2019, 1(1): 71-130.
- [8] Craig W, Lannes D, Sulem C. Water waves over a rough bottom in the shallow water regime. Annales de l Institut Henri Poincaré-Analyse Non Lineaire, 2012, 29(2): 233-259.
- [9] Granero-Belinchón R, Scrobogna S. Asymptotic models for free boundary flow in porous media. Physica D: Nonlinear Phenomena, 2019, 392: 1-16.
- [10] Granero-Belinchón R, Shkoller S. A model for Rayleigh-Taylor mixing and interface turnover. Multiscale Modeling and Simulation, 2017, 15(1): 274-308.
- [11] Whitham G B. Linear and nonlinear waves. John Wiley and Sons, New York, 2011.
- [12] Whitham G B. Variational methods and applications to water waves. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London Series A Mathematical and Physical Sciences, 1967, 299(1456): 6-25.
- [13] Fornberg B, Whitham G B. A numerical and theoretical study of certain nonlinear wave phenomena. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London Series A Mathematical and Physical Sciences, 1978, 289(1361): 373-404.

- [14] Holmes J. Well-posedness of the Fornberg-Whitham equation on the circle. *Journal of Differential Equations*, 2016, 260(12): 8530-8549.
- [15] Holmes J, Thompson R C. Well-posedness and continuity properties of the Fornberg-Whitham equation in Besov spaces. *Journal of Differential Equations*, 2017, 263(7): 4355-4381.
- [16] Haziot S V. Wave breaking for the Fornberg-Whitham equation. *Journal of Differential Equations*, 2017, 263(12): 8178-8185.
- [17] Hörmann G. Wave breaking of periodic solutions to the Fornberg-Whitham equation. *Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems*, 2018, 38(3): 1605-1613.
- [18] Hörmann G. Discontinuous traveling waves as weak solutions to the Fornberg-Whitham equation. *Journal of Differential Equations*, 2018, 265(7): 2825-2841.
- [19] Wei L. Wave breaking analysis for the Fornberg-Whitham equation. *Journal of Differential Equations*, 2018, 265(7): 2886-2896.
- [20] Wei L. New wave-breaking criteria for the Fornberg-Whitham equation. *Journal of Differential Equations*, 2021, 280: 571-589.
- [21] Yang S. Wave breaking phenomena for the Fornberg-Whitham equation. *Journal of Dynamics and Differential Equations*, 2021, 33(4): 1753-1758.
- [22] Alonso-Orán D. Asymptotic shallow models arising in magnetohydrodynamics. *Water Waves*, 2021, 3(2): 371-398.
- [23] Alonso-Orán D, Durán A, Granero-Belinchón R. Derivation and well-posedness for asymptotic models of cold plasmas. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.13922*, 2023.
- [24] Constantin A, Escher J. Wave breaking for nonlinear nonlocal shallow water equations. *Acta Mathematica*, 1998, 181(2): 229-243.
- [25] Ma F, Liu Y, Qu C. Wave-breaking phenomena for the nonlocal Whitham-type equations. *Journal of Differential Equations*, 2016, 261(11): 6029-6054.