

## TETRAHEDRON INSTANTONS IN DONALDSON-THOMAS THEORY

NADIR FASOLA, SERGEJ MONAVARI

ABSTRACT. Inspired by the work of Pomoni-Yan-Zhang in String Theory, we introduce the moduli space of tetrahedron instantons as a Quot scheme and describe it as a moduli space of quiver representations. We construct a virtual fundamental class and virtual structure sheaf à la Oh-Thomas, by which we define  $K$ -theoretic invariants. We show that the partition function of such invariants reproduces the one studied by Pomoni-Yan-Zhang, and explicitly determine it, as a product of shifted partition functions of rank one Donaldson-Thomas invariants of the three-dimensional affine space. Our geometric construction answers a series of questions of Pomoni-Yan-Zhang on the geometry of the moduli space of tetrahedron instantons and the behaviour of its partition function, and provides a new application of the recent work of Oh-Thomas.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. **Tetrahedron instantons.** Pomoni-Yan-Zhang [62] recently introduced the *tetrahedron instantons*, which can be realized in type IIB string theory as D1-branes probing a configuration of intersecting D7-branes in flat spacetime with constant  $B$ -field turned on. This is equivalently interpreted as describing instanton dynamics on  $\mathbb{C}^3$  in the presence of real-codimension-two supersymmetric defects.

Their construction of the moduli space of tetrahedron instantons is realised via gauge-theoretic methods, so that supersymmetric localisation techniques apply to define and study the associated instanton partition function non-perturbatively. In this article, we propose a rigorous mathematical perspective on the problem. We realise the moduli space of tetrahedron instantons as a Quot scheme and compute explicitly the generating series of the resulting virtual invariants, thus shedding light on the geometry of the constructions in [62] from the point of view of Donaldson-Thomas theory.

1.1.1. *Mathematical formulation.* The idea of mathematically studying instanton partition functions — defined in *topological string theory* — via moduli spaces of sheaves (in our case, Quot schemes) is not new in the literature, and follows the circle of ideas for which *systems of D-branes* should be identified with (complexes of) sheaves with prescribed Chern character and suitable stability condition. In this setting, the instanton partition function is usually realised as a generating series of *virtual* invariants on the corresponding moduli space and exactly computed via (virtual) localisation techniques. See [1, 18, 19, 33, 42, 46, 47, 60] for some recent examples where this circle of ideas has been applied, providing an interpretation in Donaldson-Thomas theory of some results initially introduced in topological string theory (for instance [3, 7, 38, 53, 54, 57]). A novel feature of our proposal is that the relevant moduli space we study — *geometrising* the work of [62] — is the Quot scheme of a *singular* variety, parametrising quotients of a *torsion* sheaf.

1.1.2. *The moduli space.* For  $i = 1, \dots, 4$  denote the  $i$ -th coordinate hyperplane in  $\mathbb{C}^4$  by  $\mathbb{C}_i^3 := Z(x_i)$  and set  $\Delta = \bigcup_{i=1}^4 \mathbb{C}_i^3 \subset \mathbb{C}^4$  to be the union of the four coordinate hyperplanes. For a tuple  $\bar{r} = (r_1, r_2, r_3, r_4)$  of non-negative integers, define the torsion sheaf on  $\Delta$

$$\mathcal{E}_{\bar{r}} = \bigoplus \iota_{i,*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_i^3}^{\oplus r_i},$$

where  $\iota_i : \mathbb{C}_i^3 \hookrightarrow \Delta$  are the inclusions of the irreducible components. Our main moduli space of interest is Grothendieck's *Quot scheme*

$$\mathcal{M}_{\bar{r},n} := \text{Quot}_{\Delta}(\mathcal{E}_{\bar{r}}, n),$$

which parametrizes isomorphism classes of quotients  $[\mathcal{E}_{\bar{r}} \rightarrow Q]$ , where we identify two such quotients if they have the same kernel. We refer to  $\mathcal{M}_{\bar{r},n}$  as the *moduli space of tetrahedron instantons*. The term *tetrahedron* comes from the fact that the singular variety  $\Delta$  appears as the central fibre of a toric degeneration, whose associated polyhedral complex is a tetrahedron<sup>1</sup>.

The geometry of  $\mathcal{M}_{\bar{r},n}$  is subtle and hard to explicitly describe scheme-theoretically. In fact, already in the easiest example  $r = (1, 0, 0, 0)$ , the moduli space of tetrahedron instantons is isomorphic to the *Hilbert scheme of points*  $\text{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{C}^3)$ , whose actual dimension and number of irreducible components are not currently known.

Our first result is that the moduli space of tetrahedron instantons  $\mathcal{M}_{\bar{r},n}$  can be realized as the zero-locus of an isotropic section of a *special orthogonal bundle* (cf. Sec. 2.1) inside a smooth ambient space.

**Theorem 1.1** (Theorem 2.3). *Let  $\bar{r} = (r_1, r_2, r_3, r_4)$  and  $n$  be non-negative integers. Then*

$$\mathcal{M}_{\bar{r},n} \cong Z(s) \xleftarrow{\iota} \mathcal{M}_{\bar{r},n}^{\text{nc}},$$

$\begin{array}{c} \mathcal{L} \\ \downarrow \wr^s \end{array}$

where  $\mathcal{M}_{\bar{r},n}^{\text{nc}}$  is a smooth variety,  $\mathcal{L}$  is a special orthogonal bundle, and  $s \in H^0(\mathcal{M}_{\bar{r},n}^{\text{nc}}, \mathcal{L})$  is an isotropic section.

We denote the smooth ambient space  $\mathcal{M}_{\bar{r},n}^{\text{nc}}$  by *non-commutative Quot scheme*, in accordance with [65], who computed its motivic classes in  $K_0(\text{Var}_{\mathbf{k}})$  in any dimension and over any algebraically closed field  $\mathbf{k}$ . Similar structural results — exploiting non-commutative Quot schemes — appeared in [5, 42]. The non-commutative Quot scheme  $\mathcal{M}_{\bar{r},n}^{\text{nc}}$  is realised as the moduli space of (framed) representations of the four-loop quiver (cf. Fig. 1b) via GIT. Our realisation of the moduli space of tetrahedron instantons inside the non-commutative Quot scheme in Theorem 1.1 should be seen as the representation-theoretic analogue of the *symplectic reduction* techniques employed in [62], starting from ADHM data (describing non-commutative instantons). Granting this analogy, the special orthogonal bundle  $\mathcal{L}$  (and its section) are naturally determined by some moment maps coming from gauge theory (cf. Sec. 3.8).

By the seminal work of Oh-Thomas [59] (cf. Sec. 2.1), the zero-locus model in Theorem 1.1 naturally induces a *virtual fundamental class* and a *virtual structure sheaf* of virtual dimension zero

$$[\mathcal{M}_{\bar{r},n}]^{\text{vir}} \in A_0\left(\mathcal{M}_{\bar{r},n}, \mathbb{Z}\left[\frac{1}{2}\right]\right),$$

$$\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{M}_{\bar{r},n}}^{\text{vir}} \in K_0\left(\mathcal{M}_{\bar{r},n}, \mathbb{Z}\left[\frac{1}{2}\right]\right).$$

We will use these virtual classes to define invariants of  $\mathcal{M}_{\bar{r},n}$  which match the ones studied by Pomoni-Yan-Zhang [62] in string theory. However, due to the non-properness of the moduli space  $\mathcal{M}_{\bar{r},n}$ , we need to define the invariants via equivariant residues, with respect to a torus action on  $\mathcal{M}_{\bar{r},n}$  with proper fixed locus.

**1.2. Partition function.** The moduli space of tetrahedron instantons  $\mathcal{M}_{\bar{r},n}$  is endowed with an action of an algebraic torus  $\mathbf{T}$ , whose *equivariant parameters* we denote by  $t = (t_1, \dots, t_4), w = (w_{11}, \dots, w_{4r_4})$ . We remark that the first four variables obey the relation  $t_1 t_2 t_3 t_4 = 1$ , a technical

<sup>1</sup>We thank Francesca Carocci for explaining this to us from the point of view of Tropical Geometry (cf. also [62, Fig. 1]).

assumption required at the very heart of the constructions in [59]. We define the *tetrahedron instantons partition function* as the generating series of  $K$ -theoretic invariants

$$(1.1) \quad \mathcal{Z}_{\bar{r}}(q) = \sum_{n \geq 0} q^n \cdot \chi \left( \mathcal{M}_{\bar{r}, n}, \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{M}_{\bar{r}, n}}^{\text{vir}} \right) \in \frac{\mathbb{Q}(t_1^{\frac{1}{2}}, t_2^{\frac{1}{2}}, t_3^{\frac{1}{2}}, t_4^{\frac{1}{2}}, w^{\frac{1}{2}})}{(t_1 t_2 t_3 t_4 - 1)} \llbracket q \rrbracket.$$

The moduli spaces  $\mathcal{M}_{\bar{r}, n}$  are not proper, therefore the invariants need to be defined  $\mathbf{T}$ -equivariantly. The partition function (1.1) reproduces the one of Pomoni-Yan-Zhang [62] (cf. Sec. 1.4), and interpolates between Donaldson-Thomas theory in dimension three and four (cf. Sec. 1.3). Morally, the invariants (1.1) should be seen as the algebro-geometric analogue of the  $\hat{A}$ -genus of the moduli space of tetrahedron instantons.

Our second result is the explicit computation of the tetrahedron instantons partition function<sup>2</sup> For a formal variable  $x$ , set  $[x] = x^{\frac{1}{2}} - x^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ . Letting  $e_i$  be the 4-tuple with 1 in the  $i$ -th entry and 0 in all the others, we set  $\mathcal{Z}^{(i)}(q) = \mathcal{Z}_{e_i}(q)$ .

**Theorem 1.2** (Theorem 3.14, 3.15). *Let  $\bar{r} = (r_1, r_2, r_3, r_4)$  and  $r = \sum_{i=1}^4 r_i$ . We have*

$$\mathcal{Z}_{\bar{r}}((-1)^r q) = \text{Exp} \left( - \frac{[t_1 t_2][t_1 t_3][t_2 t_3]}{[t_1][t_2][t_3][t_4]} \frac{[\kappa_{\bar{r}}]}{[\kappa_{\bar{r}}^{\frac{1}{2}} q][\kappa_{\bar{r}}^{\frac{1}{2}} q^{-1}]} \right),$$

where we set the weight  $\kappa_{\bar{r}} = \prod_{i=1}^4 t_i^{-r_i}$  and  $\text{Exp}$  is the plethystic exponential (3.14). Moreover, the instanton partition function admits the factorisation

$$\mathcal{Z}_{\bar{r}}(q) = \prod_{i=1}^4 \prod_{l=1}^{r_i} \mathcal{Z}^{(i)} \left( (-1)^{r+1} q \kappa_i^{-\frac{-r_i-1}{2}+l} \prod_{j=1}^4 \kappa_j^{\frac{r_j \cdot \text{sgn}(i-j)}{2}} \right),$$

where we set the weights  $\kappa_i = t_i^{-1}$ .

Theorem 1.2 generalizes the main result of [33], which deals with the Quot scheme of the three-dimensional affine space. The factorisation of the invariants into *rank 1 theories* has already been observed in a similar situation for  $K$ -theoretic invariants [19, 33, 42], motivic invariants [20, 21, 48], in String Theory [27, 57] and could find a conceptual answer in the recent (but unrelated) work of Feyzbakhsh-Thomas [34, 35] on generalized Donaldson-Thomas invariants, who exploit wall-crossing techniques to show the rank reduction.

1.2.1. *Strategy of the proof.* We explain here the main ideas in the proof of Theorem 1.2. The torus fixed locus  $\mathcal{M}_{\bar{r}, n}^{\mathbf{T}}$  is reduced, zero-dimensional and in bijection with tuples  $\bar{\pi} = (\bar{\pi}_1, \dots, \bar{\pi}_4)$ , where each  $\bar{\pi}_i$  is an  $r_i$ -tuple of *plane partitions*. Therefore by Oh-Thomas virtual localisation Theorem [59], the tetrahedron instantons partition function can be expressed as

$$(1.2) \quad \mathcal{Z}_{\bar{r}}(q) = \sum_{\bar{\pi}} (-1)^{\sigma_{\bar{\pi}}} [-\mathbf{v}_{\bar{\pi}}] \cdot q^{|\bar{\pi}|}.$$

Let us pause for a moment to explain the notation in (1.2). The sum runs over all tuples of plane partitions  $\bar{\pi}$ , and  $|\bar{\pi}|$  denotes their *size*. To each  $\bar{\pi}$ , we attach two items: a Laurent polynomial in the equivariant parameters  $\mathbf{v}_{\bar{\pi}}$  (the *square root* of the virtual tangent space) and an (a priori non-explicit) sign  $(-1)^{\sigma_{\bar{\pi}}}$ . By Oh-Thomas virtual localisation, each tuple of plane partitions  $\bar{\pi}$  contributes to the localised invariants with rational functions in the equivariant parameters, obtained by applying the operator  $[\cdot]$  to the *vertex term*  $\mathbf{v}_{\bar{\pi}}$ , but only up to a *sign*. Despite this situation being very similar to the original treatment of the topological vertex in [44], the presence of such a sign in our context is what makes the computation of the partition function hard and cumbersome. We remark that the choice of square root  $\mathbf{v}_{\bar{\pi}}$  is not canonical; rather, one should think of the pair

<sup>2</sup>As this work was being finalised, a preprint of Pomoni-Yan-Zhang [63] appeared, where a formula similar to the one in Theorem 1.2 is independently conjectured.

$(v_{\bar{\pi}}, (-1)^{\sigma_{\bar{\pi}}})$  to be canonical in a suitable sense, cf. [46].

In order to prove Theorem 1.2, we need the following two crucial technical results. The first one is of local nature and shows that for our particular choice of square root, the corresponding sign is constantly  $(-1)^{\sigma_{\bar{\pi}}} = 1$  (Theorem 3.7). The proof of these results follows by a purely combinatorial study of the vertex terms applied to a structural result of Kool-Rennemo [42] (cf. Appendix A).

The second result is of global nature, and asserts that the tetrahedron instantons partition function does not depend on the *framing parameters*  $w_{ij}$ , for all  $i = 1, \dots, 4$  and  $j = 1, \dots, r_i$  (Theorem 3.11). This independence is the incarnation of a *rigidity principle* and ultimately relies on the properness of the Quot-to-Chow morphism, and can already be spotted by the explicit formula for the partition function in Theorem 1.2. We remark that this framing independence is peculiar to the  $K$ -theoretic invariants here considered, and fails for the *elliptic* refinement proposed in Section 3.7 (cf. Remark 3.20).

Granting this framing independence, we can set the framing parameters  $w_{ij}$  to arbitrary values and take arbitrary limits. A suitable choice of such limits yields a (non-trivial!) combinatorial expression of the partition function where the factorisation property becomes evident. Finally, the explicit expression in terms of the plethystic exponential is obtained by combining the factorised form of the partition function with the explicit formula for the *rank 1* case, which had been initially conjectured by Nekrasov in String Theory [53] and later proved by Okounkov [60].

**1.2.2. Cohomological limit.** A second source of interesting invariants comes from integrating over the *virtual fundamental class* in equivariant Chow cohomology, rather than in  $K$ -theory, as classically considered in [44]. We define the *cohomological tetrahedron instantons partition function* as

$$(1.3) \quad \mathcal{Z}_{\bar{\pi}}^{\text{coh}}(q) = \sum_{n \geq 0} q^n \cdot \int_{[\mathcal{M}_{\bar{\pi}, n}]^{\text{vir}}} 1 \in \frac{\mathbb{Q}(s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4, v)}{(s_1 + s_2 + s_3 + s_4)} \llbracket q \rrbracket,$$

where  $s_1, \dots, s_4, v_{11}, \dots, v_{4r_4}$  are the generators of  $\mathbf{T}$ -equivariant Chow cohomology  $A_{\ast}^{\mathbf{T}}(\text{pt})$  and the integral makes sense only equivariantly. The partition function (1.3) carries less refined information with respect to its  $K$ -theoretic counterpart (1.1), and can in fact be realized as a *limit* of the latter. This limiting procedure at the level of the partition functions in Supersymmetric String Theory corresponds to a suitable *dimensional reduction* of the two-dimensional low energy effective theory. Indeed, starting from the system of D1-D7-branes in type IIB String Theory on  $T^2 \times \mathbb{C}^4$  engineering the moduli space of tetrahedron instantons [62], one can shrink a circle in  $T^2 = S^1 \times S^1$  and T-dualise along the small  $S^1$ . The original brane system in the T-dualised picture then reduces to a system of D0-D6 branes on  $S^1$ , corresponding to the quantum mechanics of the tetrahedron instantons quiver in Fig. 2a. An additional shrinking to a point of the remaining  $S^1$ , followed by S-duality, leaves us with a D(-1)-D5-brane system, whose low-energy partition function is exactly (1.3).

Applying such a limit, we prove a closed formula for the partition function (1.3).

**Corollary 1.3** (Corollary 3.18). *Let  $\bar{r} = (r_1, r_2, r_3, r_4)$  and  $r = \sum_{i=1}^4 r_i$ . We have*

$$\mathcal{Z}_{\bar{r}}^{\text{coh}}(q) = M((-1)^r q)^{-\frac{(s_1+s_2)(s_1+s_3)(s_2+s_3)(r_1 s_1+r_2 s_2+r_3 s_3+r_4 s_4)}{s_1 s_2 s_3 s_4}},$$

where  $M(q)$  is the MacMahon series (3.1).

**1.2.3. Witten genus.** Pomoni-Yan-Zhang [62, Sec. 6] provide an alternative computation of the tetrahedron instanton partition function — again, in string theory — from the elliptic genus of the low-energy worldvolume theory on D1-branes. Mathematically, we realize this as an *elliptic*

refinement of the invariants in (1.1), which we call *virtual Witten genus* of  $\mathcal{M}_{\bar{r},n}$

$$\mathcal{Z}_{\bar{r}}^{\text{ell}} = \sum_{n \geq 0} q^n \cdot \chi \left( \mathcal{M}_{\bar{r},n}, \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{M}_{\bar{r},n}}^{\text{vir}} \otimes \bigotimes_{k \geq 1} \text{Sym}_{p^k}^{\bullet} \left( T_{\mathcal{M}_{\bar{r},n}}^{\text{vir}} \right) \right) \in \frac{\mathbb{Q}(t_1^{\frac{1}{2}}, t_2^{\frac{1}{2}}, t_3^{\frac{1}{2}}, t_4^{\frac{1}{2}}, w^{\frac{1}{2}})}{(t_1 t_2 t_3 t_4 - 1)} \llbracket p, q \rrbracket,$$

where  $T_{\mathcal{M}_{\bar{r},n}}^{\text{vir}}$  denotes the virtual tangent bundle of  $\mathcal{M}_{\bar{r},n}$  and  $p$  is the *elliptic parameter*. This elliptic genus is a generalization of the *virtual chiral elliptic genus* of Fasola-Monavari-Ricolfi [33, Sec. 8.1], and appeared in a similar context in Donaldson-Thomas theory of Calabi-Yau fourfolds [11, Def. 5.8].

As already mentioned, the virtual Witten genus in general depends on the framing parameters, thus preventing us from computing its generating series using the factorisation of the invariants. Nevertheless, under some suitable specialisations of the equivariant parameters  $t_1, \dots, t_4$ , we expect that the generating series enjoys some rigidity behaviour of the elliptic parameter (see Remark 3.21).

**1.3. Relation to Donaldson-Thomas Theory.** Our description of the moduli space of tetrahedron instantons and its partition function nicely fits into the realm of Donaldson-Thomas (DT) theory. In fact, as already pointed out by Pomoni-Yan-Zhang [62], tetrahedron instantons interpolate between (higher rank) DT theory of  $\mathbb{C}^3$  and  $\mathbb{C}^4$ , whose relevant moduli spaces are  $\text{Quot}_{\mathbb{C}^3}(\mathcal{O}^r, n)$  and  $\text{Quot}_{\mathbb{C}^4}(\mathcal{O}^r, n)$  (cf. [18, 33, 42]). The interesting aspect of our construction is that it shares common features with both DT theories, in dimension three and four. In fact, despite  $\mathcal{M}_{\bar{r},n}$  is a moduli space of sheaves on a threefold and possesses a *zero-dimensional* virtual fundamental class — as in the classical DT theory of threefolds — it seems that its virtual structure cannot be defined directly with the machinery of (two-terms) *perfect obstruction theories* of Behrend-Fantechi and Li-Tian [6, 43], but crucially needs a symmetric (three-term) obstruction theory as in the work of Oh-Thomas [59], which was specifically designed for DT theory of Calabi-Yau fourfolds. Nevertheless, for suitable choices of the vector  $\bar{r}$ , the moduli space  $\mathcal{M}_{\bar{r},n}$  reproduces the Quot scheme  $\text{Quot}_{\mathbb{C}^3}(\mathcal{O}^r, n)$  and its virtual structure, which *does* come from a perfect obstruction theory [5]. This shows that the natural class one should consider is always the *twisted* virtual structure sheaf, which is natural from the point of view of DT theory of Calabi-Yau fourfolds, while in DT theory of threefolds, one should *twist* the virtual structure sheaf by hand to make the invariants more relevant to study, following the circle of ideas initiated by Nekrasov-Okounkov [56]. On the other hand, Theorem 1.2 shows that the tetrahedron instantons partition function is a specialisation of the partition function of higher rank Donaldson-Thomas invariants of  $\mathbb{C}^4$ , whose explicit expression was proposed by Nekrasov-Piazzalunga [57] and which is conjectured to be the mother of all instanton partition functions [54].

From the point of view of DT theory, one may ask if the zero-locus construction of Theorem 1.1 — and its induced virtual structure — could have an intrinsic deformation-theoretic interpretation, which does not require the construction of a smooth ambient space via a quiver with potential as in our work (cf. [64]). This is actually the case when our moduli space of tetrahedron instantons reproduces the Hilbert scheme of points  $\text{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{C}^3)$  (cf. [66], where it is shown that the perfect obstruction theory induced by being a critical locus coincides with the one coming by deformation theory). From this deformation-theoretic point of view, it would be natural to replace  $\Delta \subset \mathbb{C}^4$  with an arbitrary pair  $(X, Y)$ , where  $X$  is a quasi-projective Calabi-Yau fourfold and  $Y$  is a boundary divisor, such that each irreducible component is smooth. From this point of view, we would find it natural to expect a virtual pullback formula to hold, generalizing the one of Park [61], which would explain why the partition function of the magnificent four model [57] specialises to the tetrahedron instanton partition function<sup>3</sup>. Finally, suitably describing the virtual structure on  $\mathcal{M}_{\bar{r},n}$  via deformation theory could shed some light on its possible relation to *degeneration phenomena*. A piece of strong evidence in this direction is that the invariants obtained on a moduli space of sheaves

<sup>3</sup>Physically, this would correspond to the fact that our configuration of intersecting D6 branes should come from the annihilation of D8 and anti-D8 branes through tachyon condensation.

over  $\Delta$  are reduced to a product of invariants over moduli spaces of sheaves over the irreducible components of  $\Delta$ , and that the virtual classes are (morally) deformation invariant<sup>4</sup>. We plan to pursue these questions and investigate their interpretation in string theory in the future.

**1.4. String Theory.** The moduli space of tetrahedron instantons was introduced in the context of Supersymmetric String Theory in [62]. It describes the low energy theory of a system of D1-branes probing some general configuration of intersecting D7-branes. This in turn is interpreted as capturing instanton dynamics when codimension-two supersymmetric defects are present. On the geometric side, Supersymmetric String Theory in general, and D-branes systems specifically, have proved useful to provide a physical realisation of interesting moduli spaces and to compute several generating series of their (virtual) invariants. The point of contact between the mathematical and physical realms can be found in the study of the BPS sector of string theories. Indeed, this is a particularly amenable task on the physical side, as it consists of quantities which are known to be protected from quantum corrections and can therefore be studied non-perturbatively. Also, BPS-bound state counting can usually be interpreted in terms of counting/classification problems in geometry, and the technique of localisation often available in supersymmetric settings makes it feasible to compute relevant partition functions exactly. Here the connection to enumerative geometry is made even clearer, as supersymmetric localisation may be viewed as an infinite-dimensional analogue of equivariant localisation in different settings (eg., cohomological, cf. [2], or K-theoretic localisation, cf. [68]).

One particular class of moduli spaces which can be obtained in physics from systems of D-branes are moduli spaces of (generalised) instantons. The ADHM construction of moduli spaces of anti-self dual connections as symplectic quotients shed light on their physical realisation either in the language of Sigma Models [70], or as moduli spaces of BPS vacua of systems of D1-D5-branes in type IIB Supersymmetric String Theory [28]. Similarly, a resolution of singularities of the moduli space of ideal instantons on  $\mathbb{R}^4$ , realised by moduli of framed torsion-free sheaves on  $\mathbb{P}^2$  [52], can be obtained from D-brane configurations in superstring theory, modelling instantons on noncommutative  $\mathbb{R}^4$ . Partition functions of such theories are then computed explicitly (and non-perturbatively) via equivariant localisation [51, 58]. Several interesting generalisations of these constructions have been studied over the past years, e.g. the construction of instantons as systems of D-branes on orbifolds or in the presence of surface defects [12, 13, 29, 40], as well as on other four-dimensional manifolds [15, 16, 22, 36, 71]. Moreover, ADHM-type constructions can be exploited to construct instanton-like objects in higher dimensions [4, 37, 50], thus providing a physical realisation of Donaldson-Thomas theory and its generalisation on fourfolds. The relation between Donaldson-Thomas theory on threefolds and string theoretic constructions in physics, such as quiver matrix models, instantons in orbifold or non-commutative backgrounds and elliptic generalisations, have been studied, for example, in [3, 7, 23–26], and is rigorously understood in mathematics as in [33, 44, 45]. On the other hand, the rather difficult task of interpreting higher-dimensional DT theory can be similarly undertaken in the context of String Theory as a system of D0-D8( $-\overline{\text{D8}}$ )-branes wrapping the affine four-space [55, 57]. Also, it has been shown to enjoy a realisation as a Topological Field Theory localising on non-commutative Spin(7)-instanton configurations on  $\mathbb{R}^8$ , leading moreover to an ADHM-like construction [14], and a natural generalisation to more general backgrounds, such as orbifolds (cf. [14, 19, 67]). The construction of tetrahedron instantons as moduli spaces of BPS solutions in the background of intersecting D-branes also sits nicely in these lines of research. Indeed, the low-energy D-brane dynamics is captured by a gauge theory whose moduli space of vacua can be understood as the moduli space of stable representations of a suitable quiver, which is then interpreted as a Quot scheme on a singular threefold, which is suggestive of

---

<sup>4</sup>Deformation invariance would be expected if our moduli spaces were proper, for instance, if we started with a pair  $(X, Y)$  such that  $X$  is a smooth *projective* Calabi-Yau fourfold, and  $Y$  a divisor.

a DT-like interpretation. Also, the study of moduli spaces of instantons on threefolds with the inclusion of codimension-two defects naturally involves structures which are inherently fourfold in nature, thus interpolating between two different regimes in DT theory.

We point out that, during the very final stage of finishing this paper, a preprint of Pomoni-Yan-Zhang [63] appeared where they independently conjecture the explicit formula for the tetrahedron instanton partition function, which we rigorously prove in Theorem 1.2. Their main focus is its relation with the index in  $M$ -theory, following the circle of ideas of Nekrasov-Okounkov [56].

**Acknowledgments.** We are grateful to Francesca Carocci and Woonam Lim for useful discussion, to Martijn Kool and Jørgen Rennemo for sharing an early version of their manuscript [42], and to Andrea Ricolfi for previous collaborations and enlightening discussions on Quot schemes over the years. N.F. was supported by the Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council through the grant no. EP/S003657/2. S.M. was supported by the Chair of Arithmetic Geometry, EPFL.

## 2. MODULI SPACE OF TETRAHEDRON INSTANTONS

**2.1. Virtual fundamental classes.** Recently Oh-Thomas [59] devised a machinery to construct virtual fundamental classes on moduli spaces of sheaves on Calabi-Yau fourfolds. We will use a toy model of their work to define a virtual fundamental class on the moduli space of tetrahedron instantons. For the construction of the virtual fundamental class à la Oh-Thomas in a more general setting, we refer to Park [61].

Following the conventions of [59, Sec. 2], we say that a rank  $2r^5$  vector bundle  $\mathcal{E}$  on a quasi-projective scheme  $\mathcal{A}$  is a *special orthogonal bundle* (or an  $SO(2r, \mathbb{C})$ -bundle) if  $\mathcal{E}$  is endowed with a non-degenerate quadratic pairing

$$q : \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{A}},$$

together with a choice of trivialization (an *orientation*)

$$o : \det \mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{A}},$$

whose square<sup>6</sup> is  $o^{\otimes 2} = \pm \det q$ . We say that a subbundle  $\Lambda \subset \mathcal{E}$  is *maximal isotropic* if  $\mathrm{rk} \Lambda = r$  and  $q|_{\Lambda} = 0$ . Any choice of maximal isotropic subbundle naturally induces an orientation of  $\mathcal{E}$ . In fact, taking determinants of the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \Lambda \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \Lambda^* \rightarrow 0,$$

yields  $\det \mathcal{E} \cong \det \Lambda \otimes \det \Lambda^* \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{A}}$ , which gives the required isomorphism (cf. [59, Sec. 2.3] for the precise sign conventions).

We say that a section  $s \in H^0(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{E})$  is *isotropic* if  $q(s, s) = 0$ . Let a scheme  $Z$

$$Z := Z(s) \xleftarrow{\iota} \mathcal{A}$$

$\begin{array}{c} \mathcal{E} \\ \downarrow \wr^s \end{array}$

be the zero locus of an isotropic section  $s \in \Gamma(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{E})$ , where  $\mathcal{E}$  is a  $SO(2r, \mathbb{C})$ -bundle over a smooth quasi-projective variety  $\mathcal{A}$ . Then there exists an induced obstruction theory on  $Z$

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} [\Omega_{\mathcal{A}|Z}^* \xrightarrow{ds} \mathcal{E}^*|_Z \xrightarrow{(ds)^*} \Omega_{\mathcal{A}|Z}] & \cong & \mathbb{E} \\ \downarrow s & \parallel & \downarrow \\ [\mathcal{I}/\mathcal{I}^2 \xrightarrow{d} \Omega_{\mathcal{A}|Z}] & \cong & \mathbb{L}_Z, \end{array}$$

<sup>5</sup>For simplicity, we assume here that the rank is even; cf. [59, Sec. 1] for the definition in the general case.

<sup>6</sup>See [59, Def. 2.1] for the precise sign conventions of orientations.

in  $\mathbf{D}^{[-2,0]}(Z)$ , where  $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{A}}$  is the ideal sheaf of the inclusion  $Z \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathbb{L}_Z$  denotes the truncated cotangent complex. By the work of [59], there exist a *virtual fundamental class* and a *virtual structure sheaf* on  $Z$  satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} \iota_*[Z]^{\text{vir}} &= \sqrt{e}(\mathcal{E}) \cap [\mathcal{A}] \in A_{\dim \mathcal{A} - r}(\mathcal{A}, \mathbb{Z}[\tfrac{1}{2}]), \\ \iota_*\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_Z^{\text{vir}} &= \sqrt{e}(\mathcal{E}) \otimes K_{\mathcal{A}}^{1/2} \in K_0(\mathcal{A}, \mathbb{Z}[\tfrac{1}{2}]), \end{aligned}$$

where  $K_{\mathcal{A}}^{1/2}$  is a square root of the canonical bundle of  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\sqrt{e}, \sqrt{e}$  are the Edidin-Graham square root Euler classes in Chow cohomology and  $K$ -theory (cf. [59, Sec. 3.1, 5.1]). We call the integer  $\dim \mathcal{A} - r$  the *virtual dimension* of  $Z$ . Exchanging the orientation with its opposite orientation results in changing the sign of the induced virtual classes.

**2.2. Quot scheme.** Let  $\bar{r} = (r_1, \dots, r_4)$  and set  $r = \sum_{i=1}^4 r_i$ . We defined the moduli space of *tetrahedron instantons* as Grothendieck's Quot scheme

$$\mathcal{M}_{\bar{r}, n} := \text{Quot}_{\Delta}(\mathcal{E}_{\bar{r}}, n),$$

where  $\Delta = \bigcup_{i=1}^4 \mathbb{C}_i^3 \subset \mathbb{C}^4$  is the union of the four coordinate hyperplanes of  $\mathbb{C}^4$  and

$$\mathcal{E}_{\bar{r}} = \bigoplus \iota_{i,*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_i^3}^{\oplus r_i}$$

is a torsion sheaf on  $\Delta$ , where  $\iota_i : \mathbb{C}_i^3 \hookrightarrow \Delta$  are the inclusions of the irreducible components. We have natural closed immersions among Quot schemes

$$(2.2) \quad \mathcal{M}_{\bar{r}, n} \hookrightarrow \text{Quot}_{\Delta}(\mathcal{O}_{\Delta}^r, n) \hookrightarrow \text{Quot}_{\mathbb{C}^4}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^4}^r, n),$$

obtained by precomposing the quotients  $[\mathcal{E}_{\bar{r}} \rightarrow Q]$  with

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^4}^r \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\Delta}^r \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{\bar{r}}.$$

Denote by  $\text{Sym}^n \Delta$  the  $n$ -th symmetric power of  $\Delta$ . Attached to  $\mathcal{M}_{\bar{r}, n}$  there is the *Quot-to-Chow morphism*

$$\rho : \mathcal{M}_{\bar{r}, n} \rightarrow \text{Sym}^n \Delta,$$

which, at the level of closed points, sends a quotient to its 0-dimensional support, counted with multiplicity.

**Example 2.1.** We work out explicitly the example of  $\bar{r} = (1, 1, 0, 0)$  and  $n = 1$ . The moduli space of tetrahedron instantons  $\mathcal{M}_{(1,1,0,0),1}$  admits a locally closed stratification, obtained by taking the preimages of a locally closed stratification of  $\text{Sym}^1 \Delta \cong \Delta$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}_{(1,1,0,0),1} &= \rho^{-1}(\mathbb{C}_1^3 \setminus \mathbb{C}_{12}^2) \sqcup \rho^{-1}(\mathbb{C}_2^3 \setminus \mathbb{C}_{12}^2) \sqcup \rho^{-1}(\mathbb{C}_{12}^2) \\ &= \mathbb{C}_1^3 \setminus \mathbb{C}_{12}^2 \sqcup \mathbb{C}_2^3 \setminus \mathbb{C}_{12}^2 \sqcup \mathbb{C}_{12}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^1, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathbb{C}_{12}^2 := \mathbb{C}_1^3 \cap \mathbb{C}_2^3$  is the intersection of the two coordinate hyperplanes and we used that

$$\rho^{-1}(\mathbb{C}_{12}^2) \cong \text{Quot}_{\mathbb{C}^2}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^2}^2, 1) \cong \mathbb{C}_{12}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^1,$$

where the last isomorphism follows by [49, Rem. 2.2]. Furthermore, there is a better understanding of the geometry of  $\mathcal{M}_{(1,1,0,0),1}$  in terms of blow-ups. Let  $Y = \mathbb{C}_1^3 \cup \mathbb{C}_2^3 \subset \mathbb{C}^4$  the union of the first two coordinate hyperplanes, and consider the blow-up of  $\mathbb{C}^4$  centred in  $\mathbb{C}_{12}^2$ . Then the moduli space of tetrahedron instantons identifies with the proper transform of  $Y$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M}_{(1,1,0,0),1} \cong p^{-1}(Y) & \hookrightarrow & \text{Bl}_{\mathbb{C}_{12}^2} \mathbb{C}^4 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}^4 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \cong \text{Quot}_{\mathbb{C}^4}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^4}^2, 1) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow p \\ Y & \hookrightarrow & \mathbb{C}^4 \end{array}$$

Here, the composition of the first two horizontal maps is the closed embedding (2.2), and shows that the closure of the two strata  $\mathbb{C}_i^3 \setminus \mathbb{C}_{12}^2$  in  $\mathcal{M}_{(1,1,0,0),1}$  are the strict transform of  $Y$ , while the stratum  $\mathbb{C}_{12}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^1$  coincides with the exceptional divisor of the blow-up.



**Remark 2.2.** The situation in Example 2.1 readily generalizes to arbitrary  $\bar{r}$  and  $n$ , where a stratification of  $\mathcal{M}_{\bar{r},n}$  is induced by a natural stratification of  $\text{Sym}^n \Delta$ , thus generalizing the stratification described for  $n = 1$  in [62, Eqn. (125)] via gauge theory. Similarly, we expect in the general case a description of the moduli space of tetrahedron instantons in terms of blow-ups, which intriguingly hints at an interpretation as a degeneration phenomenon.

**2.3. Non-commutative Quot scheme.** Consider then the quiver  $\mathbf{L}_4$  in Fig. 1a with one vertex and four loops, labelled  $x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4$ . In the following we will be interested in studying representations of the framed quiver  $\tilde{\mathbf{L}}_4$  in Fig. 1b. In particular, letting  $\bar{r} = (r_1, \dots, r_4)$  be the dimension




FIGURE 1

vector associated to the framing nodes  $(\infty_i)_{i=1,\dots,4}$ , we will study representations  $X \in \text{Rep}_{\mathbb{C}}(\tilde{\mathbf{L}}_4)$  with  $\dim X = (\bar{r}, n)$ . This amounts to assigning a tuple of vector spaces  $(W_1, \dots, W_4, V)$ , one to each node of  $\tilde{\mathbf{L}}_4$ , in such a way that  $\dim W_i = r_i$ ,  $\dim V = n$ , and homomorphisms  $I_i \in \text{Hom}(W_i, V)$ ,  $B_a \in \text{End}(V)$  according to the arrows of  $\tilde{\mathbf{L}}_4$ . Schematically, a representation of  $\tilde{\mathbf{L}}_4$  is shown in Fig. 2a. Studying framed representations of this sort is then equivalent to studying representations of a different framing of  $\mathbf{L}_4$ , shown pictorially in Fig. 2b.




FIGURE 2

In the following, we will often use the notation  $r = r_1 + \dots + r_4$ . Let then  $V$  be a given  $n$ -dimensional vector space, and consider the affine  $(4n^2 + nr)$ -dimensional representation space

$$\mathbf{R}_{\bar{r},n} := \mathbb{C}^4 \otimes \text{End}(V) \oplus \text{Hom}(\mathbb{C}, V)^{\oplus r}.$$

An element  $X \in \mathbf{R}_{\bar{r},n}$ , together with the choice of  $V$ , forms a representation as in Fig. 2b. In the following, we will refer to  $X \in \mathbf{R}_{\bar{r},n}$  itself as a representation. Also, a representation  $X \in \mathbf{R}_{\bar{r},n}$  induces a representation as in Fig. 2a by defining

$$I_i \left( \overbrace{0, \dots, 0}^{j-1}, 1, \overbrace{0, \dots, 0}^{r_i-j} \right) = I_i^{(j)}(1),$$

and  $W_i = \mathbb{C}^{r_i}$ . Conversely, any representation  $(W_1, \dots, W_4, V, B_1, \dots, B_4, I_1, \dots, I_4)$  as in Fig. 2a induces a representation as in Fig. 2b by choosing a basis  $\{e_j^{(i)}\} \subset W_i$  and setting

$$I_i^{(j)}(1) = I_i(e_j^{(i)}).$$

The group  $\mathrm{GL}(V)$  acts naturally on the representation space by simultaneously conjugating the endomorphisms and scaling the vectors. Consider then the open subscheme  $\mathcal{U}_{\bar{r},n} \subset \mathcal{R}_{\bar{r},n}$  parametrising those quiver representations  $(B_1, \dots, B_4, I_1^{(1)}, \dots, I_4^{(r_4)})$  such that the morphisms  $(I_i^{(j)})_{i,j}$  jointly generate  $V \cong \mathbb{C}^n$  as a  $\mathbb{C}\langle B_1, B_2, B_3, B_4 \rangle$ -module, that is

$$(2.3) \quad \bigoplus_{i=1}^4 \bigoplus_{j=1}^{r_i} \mathbb{C}\langle B_1, B_2, B_3, B_4 \rangle I_i^{(j)}(1) \cong V.$$

The  $\mathrm{GL}(V)$ -action is free on  $\mathcal{U}_{\bar{r},n}$ , so the quotient  $\mathcal{M}_{\bar{r},n}^{\mathrm{nc}} := \mathcal{U}_{\bar{r},n} / \mathrm{GL}(V)$  exists as a smooth  $(3n^2 + nr)$ -dimensional quasiprojective variety, cf. [65, Lemma 2.1]. The moduli space of representations thus constructed is known as the *non-commutative Quot scheme*, and parametrises quotients  $[\mathbb{C}\langle x_1, \dots, x_4 \rangle^{\oplus r} \twoheadrightarrow Q]$  of the free rank  $r$  algebra  $\mathbb{C}\langle x_1, \dots, x_4 \rangle^{\oplus r}$  on four generators, cf. [5, Thm. 2.5] and [65, Prop. 2.3], and can be furthermore identified with the GIT quotient of  $\mathcal{R}_{\bar{r},n}$  with respect to King stability [41].

**2.4. Zero-locus construction.** We prove in this section Theorem 1.1 from the Introduction. Over the affine space  $\mathcal{R}_{\bar{r},n}$ , consider the trivial vector bundle of rank  $6n^2 + 2rn$

$$\mathcal{L} := (\Lambda^2 \mathbb{C}^4 \otimes \mathrm{End}(V)) \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^4 (\mathrm{Hom}(W_i, V) \oplus \mathrm{Hom}(W_i, V)^*).$$

We endow  $\mathcal{L}$  with a non-degenerate quadratic pairing  $q : \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{R}_{\bar{r},n}}$ , defined fiberwise by

$$q((\phi_1 \otimes f_1, \mathbf{w}_1, \boldsymbol{\omega}_1), (\phi_2 \otimes f_2, \mathbf{w}_2, \boldsymbol{\omega}_2)) = (\phi_1 \wedge \phi_2) \otimes \mathrm{tr}(f_1 \circ f_2) + \frac{1}{2} (\boldsymbol{\omega}_1(\mathbf{w}_2) + \boldsymbol{\omega}_2(\mathbf{w}_1)),$$

where  $\phi_i \in \Lambda^2 \mathbb{C}^4$ ,  $f_i \in \mathrm{End} V$ ,  $\mathbf{w}_i \in \bigoplus_{j=1}^4 \mathrm{Hom}(W_j, V)$ ,  $\boldsymbol{\omega}_i \in \bigoplus_{j=1}^4 \mathrm{Hom}(W_j, V)^*$ . The quadratic bundle  $(\mathcal{L}, q)$  restricts to the open locus  $\mathcal{U}_{\bar{r},n} \subset \mathcal{R}_{\bar{r},n}$  and is naturally  $\mathrm{GL}(V)$ -equivariant, with respect to the  $\mathrm{GL}(V)$ -action on  $\mathcal{U}_{\bar{r},n}$ . Therefore,  $(\mathcal{L}, q)$  descends to a vector bundle with a quadratic pairing on the quotient  $\mathcal{M}_{\bar{r},n}^{\mathrm{nc}}$ . With a slight abuse of notation, we keep denoting the induced vector bundle by  $(\mathcal{L}, q)$ .

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let  $\bar{r} = (r_1, \dots, r_4)$  and  $n$  be non-negative integers. There exists an isotropic section  $s \in H^0(\mathcal{M}_{\bar{r},n}^{\mathrm{nc}}, \mathcal{L})$  such that  $\mathcal{M}_{\bar{r},n}$  is realised as the zero locus  $Z(s)$*

$$\mathcal{M}_{\bar{r},n} \cong Z(s) \xleftarrow{\iota} \mathcal{M}_{\bar{r},n}^{\mathrm{nc}} \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{L} \\ \downarrow \wr^s \end{array}$$

*Proof.* We start by constructing an isotropic section of  $(\mathcal{L}, q)$  on the affine space  $\mathcal{R}_{\bar{r},n}$ . Let  $X = (B_1, \dots, B_4, I_1, \dots, I_4) \in \mathcal{R}_{\bar{r},n}$  be a quiver representation and let  $(e_i)_{i=1, \dots, 4}$  be a basis of  $\mathbb{C}^4$ . Define the section

$$s(X) = \sum_{a,b=1}^4 (e_a \wedge e_b) \otimes B_a B_b + \sum_{i=1}^4 B_i I_i.$$

In particular, the restriction of the section to the last summand of  $\mathcal{L}$  vanishes

$$s|_{\bigoplus_{i=1}^4 \mathrm{Hom}(W_i, V)^*} = 0.$$

The section  $s$  is clearly isotropic with respect to the quadratic pairing  $q$ , i.e.  $q(s, s) = 0$ . Since the restriction of the section to the open locus  $\mathcal{U}_{\bar{r},n}$  is  $\mathrm{GL}(V)$ -equivariant, it descends to an isotropic section on the quotient  $\mathcal{M}_{\bar{r},n}^{\mathrm{nc}}$ , which we keep denoting by  $s \in H^0(\mathcal{M}_{\bar{r},n}^{\mathrm{nc}}, \mathcal{L})$ .

There is a natural closed embedding

$$\iota : \mathcal{M}_{\bar{r},n} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\bar{r},n}^{\mathrm{nc}},$$

which is obtained by the closed embedding (2.2), followed by the closed embedding of the Quot scheme  $\text{Quot}_{\mathbb{C}^4}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^4}^r, n)$  into its non-commutative counterpart, defined via their moduli functors. We claim that

$$\mathcal{M}_{\bar{\tau}, n} \cong Z(s).$$

Let  $[\alpha : \mathcal{E}_{\bar{\tau}} \rightarrow Q] \in \mathcal{M}_{\bar{\tau}, n}$  be a closed point. Recall that the embedding into the non-commutative Quot scheme identifies this quotient with the quiver representation  $(B_1, \dots, B_4, I_1, \dots, I_4) \in \mathcal{M}_{\bar{\tau}, n}^{\text{nc}}$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} B_i : Q &\rightarrow Q, \quad i = 1, \dots, 4, \\ I_i^{(j)} &= \alpha(0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0) \in Q, \quad i = 1, \dots, 4, j = 1, \dots, r_i. \end{aligned}$$

Via this correspondence, the matrices  $B_i$  are the module multiplication by  $x_i$ , and the cyclic vectors  $I_i^{(j)}$  are the images of the constant 1, each coming from a summand of  $\mathcal{E}_{\bar{\tau}}$ .

By construction, if  $(B_1, \dots, B_4, I_1, \dots, I_4) \in \mathcal{M}_{\bar{\tau}, n}^{\text{nc}}$  is a closed point in the image of  $\iota$ , then it satisfies the relations

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} B_a B_b - B_b B_a &= 0, \quad a, b = 1, \dots, 4, \\ B_i I_i &= 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, 4. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, consider a quotient  $[\mathbb{C}\langle x_1, \dots, x_4 \rangle^{\oplus r} \rightarrow Q] \in \mathcal{M}_{\bar{\tau}, n}^{\text{nc}}$  satisfying the relations (2.4). Then the quotient factors through

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{C}\langle x_1, \dots, x_4 \rangle^{\oplus r} & \twoheadrightarrow & Q \\ \downarrow & \nearrow & \\ \mathcal{E}_{\bar{\tau}} & & \end{array}$$

thus defining a closed point in  $\mathcal{M}_{\bar{\tau}, n}$ , as desired. We conclude by noticing that the same argument carries over flat families, which yields the desired isomorphism.  $\square$

**2.5. The maximal isotropic subbundle.** We endow the vector bundle  $\mathcal{L}$  with a structure of special orthogonal bundle, by showing it admits a maximal isotropic subbundle. Consider the subbundles

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\Lambda} &:= (\langle e_4 \rangle \wedge \mathbb{C}^3) \otimes \text{End}(V) \subset (\Lambda^2 \mathbb{C}^4 \otimes \text{End}(V)) \\ \Lambda &= \tilde{\Lambda} \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^4 \text{Hom}(W_i, V)^* \subset \mathcal{L}, \end{aligned}$$

on the affine space  $\mathbb{R}_{\bar{\tau}, n}$ . Then  $\Lambda$  is a maximal isotropic subbundle. In fact,  $\Lambda$  has rank  $3n^2 + rn$  and the restriction of the quadratic pairing vanishes. The maximal isotropic subbundles  $\tilde{\Lambda}, \Lambda$  descend to maximal isotropic subbundles on the non-commutative Quot scheme  $\mathcal{M}_{\bar{\tau}, n}^{\text{nc}}$ , which we keep denoting by  $\tilde{\Lambda}, \Lambda$ .

**Remark 2.4.** The non-commutative Quot scheme  $\mathcal{M}_{\bar{\tau}, n}^{\text{nc}}$  and a summand of its special orthogonal bundle  $\mathcal{L}$  (with its maximal isotropic subbundle  $\tilde{\Lambda}$ ) appear also in the work of Kool-Rennemo [42], who exploit them to study Donaldson-Thomas theory of  $\mathbb{C}^4$ .

Since  $\mathcal{L}$  is a special orthogonal bundle, Theorem 2.3 immediately implies the following corollary.

**Corollary 2.5.** *The moduli space of tetrahedron instantons  $\mathcal{M}_{\bar{\tau}, n}$  is endowed with a virtual fundamental class and a virtual structure sheaf of virtual dimension zero*

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathcal{M}_{\bar{\tau}, n}]^{\text{vir}} &\in A_0(\mathcal{M}_{\bar{\tau}, n}, \mathbb{Z}[\tfrac{1}{2}]), \\ \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{M}_{\bar{\tau}, n}}^{\text{vir}} &\in K_0(\mathcal{M}_{\bar{\tau}, n}, \mathbb{Z}[\tfrac{1}{2}]). \end{aligned}$$

We will use these virtual classes to define invariants of  $\mathcal{M}_{\bar{\tau}, n}$  which match the ones studied by Pomoni-Yan-Zhang [62] in string theory.

## 3. THE PARTITION FUNCTION

**3.1. Torus representations and their weights.** Let  $\mathbf{T} = (\mathbb{C}^*)^g$  be an algebraic torus, with character lattice  $\widehat{\mathbf{T}} = \text{Hom}(\mathbf{T}, \mathbb{C}^*) \cong \mathbb{Z}^g$ . Let  $K_{\mathbf{T}}^0(\text{pt})$  be the Grothendieck group of the category of  $\mathbf{T}$ -representations. Any finite-dimensional  $\mathbf{T}$ -representation  $V$  splits as a sum of 1-dimensional representations called the *weights* of  $V$ . Each weight corresponds to a character  $\mu \in \widehat{\mathbf{T}}$ , and in turn each character corresponds to a monomial  $t^\mu = t_1^{\mu_1} \cdots t_g^{\mu_g}$  in the coordinates of  $\mathbf{T}$ . In other words, there is an isomorphism

$$K_{\mathbf{T}}^0(\text{pt}) \cong \mathbb{Z} \left[ t^\mu \mid \mu \in \widehat{\mathbf{T}} \right],$$

identifying the class of a  $\mathbf{T}$ -representation with its decomposition into weight spaces. We will therefore sometimes identify a (virtual)  $\mathbf{T}$ -representation with its character. With a slight abuse of notation, we still denote by  $\mathbf{T}$  any finite cover of the algebraic torus  $\mathbf{T}$  where the square roots  $t^{\frac{\mu}{2}}$  of some irreducible characters  $t^\mu$  are well-defined. This will be needed as most of our constructions need naturally to extract square roots.

**3.2. Torus action.** Let  $\bar{r} = (r_1, \dots, r_4)$  and  $r = \sum_{i=1}^4 r_i$  and define the algebraic tori

$$\mathbf{T} = \mathbf{T}_0 \times \mathbf{T}_1, \quad \mathbf{T}_0 = \{t_1 t_2 t_3 t_4 = 1\} \subset (\mathbb{C}^*)^4, \quad \mathbf{T}_1 = (\mathbb{C}^*)^r.$$

The torus  $\mathbf{T}_0$  acts on  $\mathbb{C}^4$  by

$$(t_1, \dots, t_4) \cdot (x_1, \dots, x_4) = (t_1 x_1, \dots, t_4 x_4)$$

preserving the Calabi-Yau volume form. In particular, it restricts to the union of the coordinate hyperplanes  $\Delta \subset \mathbb{C}^4$  and naturally lifts to the moduli space of tetrahedron instantons  $\mathcal{M}_{\bar{r}, n}$ , by moving the support of the quotients. The torus  $\mathbf{T}_1$  acts on  $\mathcal{M}_{\bar{r}, n}$  by scaling the fibers of  $\mathcal{E}_{\bar{r}}$ . Therefore, we have an induced  $\mathbf{T}$ -action on  $\mathcal{M}_{\bar{r}, n}$ . Equivalently, the  $\mathbf{T}$ -action on  $\mathcal{M}_{\bar{r}, n}$  is the restriction of the  $\mathbf{T}$ -action on the moduli space of non-commutative Quot scheme  $\mathcal{M}_{\bar{r}, n}^{\text{nc}}$  given on points by

$$(t, w) \cdot (B_1, \dots, B_4, I_1^{(1)}, \dots, I_4^{(r_4)}) = (t_1^{-1} B_1, \dots, t_4^{-1} B_4, w_{11}^{-1} I_1^{(1)}, \dots, w_{4r_4}^{-1} I_4^{(r_4)}),$$

where  $w_{ij}$  are the coordinates of  $\mathbf{T}_1$ , for  $i = 1, \dots, 4$  and  $j = 1, \dots, r_i$ .

**Remark 3.1.** The fixed locus  $\mathcal{M}_{\bar{r}, n}^{\mathbf{T}_0}$  is proper. Indeed, a  $\mathbf{T}_0$ -invariant surjection  $\mathcal{E}_{\bar{r}} \rightarrow Q$  necessarily has the quotient  $Q$  entirely supported at the origin  $0 \in \Delta$ . Hence

$$\mathcal{M}_{\bar{r}, n}^{\mathbf{T}_0} \hookrightarrow \rho^{-1}(n \cdot [0])$$

sits as a closed subscheme inside the fiber over  $n \cdot [0]$  of the Quot-to-Chow morphism  $\rho : \mathcal{M}_{\bar{r}, n} \rightarrow \text{Sym}^n \Delta$ . But  $\rho^{-1}(n \cdot [0])$  is proper, since  $\rho$  is a proper morphism.

The torus fixed locus of the moduli space of tetrahedron instantons is classified in terms of *plane partitions*.

**Definition 3.2.** A *plane partition*  $\pi$  is a finite subset of  $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^3$  such that if  $(i, j, k) \in \pi$  with  $(i, j, k) \neq 0$ , then  $(i-1, j, k), (i, j-1, k), (i, j, k-1) \in \pi$ , and we denote by  $|\pi|$  its *size*. Alternatively, we can view the plane partition as boxes stacked in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , where the lattice points are determined by the *interior* corners of the boxes, the corners closest to the origin. For a tuple of plane partitions  $\bar{\pi} = (\pi_1, \dots, \pi_r)$  we denote its *size* by  $|\bar{\pi}| = \sum_{i=1}^r |\pi_i|$ .

It is well-known that plane partitions are in bijection with monomial ideals of  $\mathbb{C}[x, y, z]$

$$\pi \subset \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^3 \longleftrightarrow I_\pi = (x^i y^j z^k)_{(i, j, k) \notin \pi} \subset \mathbb{C}[x, y, z],$$

where the lattice points of  $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^3$  correspond to monomials in three variables (see e.g. [33, Sec. 3.4]).

**Proposition 3.3.** *Let  $\bar{r} = (r_1, \dots, r_4)$ . The  $\mathbf{T}$ -fixed locus  $\mathcal{M}_{\bar{r},n}^{\mathbf{T}}$  is reduced, zero-dimensional and in bijection with*

$$\{\bar{\pi} = (\bar{\pi}_1, \dots, \bar{\pi}_4) : \bar{\pi}_i = (\pi_{il})_{1 \leq l \leq r_4}, \pi_{il} \text{ plane partitions such that } |\bar{\pi}| = n\}.$$

*Proof.* By the same arguments as in [10] its  $\mathbf{T}_1$ -fixed locus is scheme-theoretically isomorphic to

$$\mathcal{M}_{\bar{r},n}^{\mathbf{T}_1} \cong \bigsqcup_{n=\sum_{i=1}^4 \sum_{j=1}^{r_i} n_{ij}} \prod_{i=1}^4 \prod_{j=1}^{r_i} \text{Quot}_{\Delta}(l_{i,*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_i^3}, n_{ij}).$$

Moreover, we have an identification of each factor with a Hilbert scheme of points

$$\text{Quot}_{\Delta}(l_{i,*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_i^3}, n_{ij}) \cong \text{Hilb}^{n_{ij}}(\mathbb{C}_i^3),$$

such that the natural  $(\mathbb{C}^*)^3$ -action on  $\mathbb{C}_i^3$  lifts on  $\text{Hilb}^{n_{ij}}(\mathbb{C}_i^3)$  and coincides with the induced  $\mathbf{T}_0$ -action on the left-hand-side. The  $(\mathbb{C}^*)^3$ -fixed locus  $\text{Hilb}^{n_{ij}}(\mathbb{C}_i^3)^{(\mathbb{C}^*)^3}$  is reduced and zero-dimensional, which implies that the same holds for  $\mathcal{M}_{\bar{r},n}^{\mathbf{T}}$  and that every  $\mathbf{T}$ -fixed point in  $\mathcal{M}_{\bar{r},n}^{\mathbf{T}}$  corresponds to a quotient

$$\bigoplus_{i=1}^4 \bigoplus_{j=1}^{r_i} I_{ij} \hookrightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^4 l_{i,*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_i^3}^{r_i} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^4 \bigoplus_{j=1}^{r_i} \mathcal{O}_{Z_{ij}},$$

where each  $Z_{ij} \subset \mathbb{C}_i^3$  is a closed zero-dimensional subscheme with monomial ideal sheaf  $I_{ij}$ , each of which corresponds to a plane partition. Reversing the correspondence concludes the argument.  $\square$

Define the *MacMahon series*

$$(3.1) \quad M(q) = \prod_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{(1 - q^n)^n}.$$

**Corollary 3.4.** *Let  $\bar{r} = (r_1, \dots, r_4)$  and  $r = \sum_{i=1}^4 r_i$ . The generating series of topological Euler characteristics is*

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} e(\mathcal{M}_{\bar{r},n}) \cdot q^n = M(q)^r.$$

*Proof.* The Euler characteristic of a scheme coincides with the one of its  $\mathbf{T}$ -fixed locus, therefore the result follows by Proposition 3.3 combined with the classical fact that

$$\sum_{\pi} q^{|\pi|} = M(q),$$

where the sum is over all plane partitions.  $\square$

**3.3. Invariants.** For any  $\bar{r} = (r_1, \dots, r_4)$  and  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ , denote by  $t_1, \dots, t_4$  the irreducible characters of the  $\mathbf{T}_0$ -action on  $\mathcal{M}_{\bar{r},n}$  and by  $w_j$  the irreducible characters of the  $\mathbf{T}_1$ -action, where  $i = 1, \dots, 4$  and  $j = 1, \dots, r_i$ . Since all ingredients in Theorem 2.3 are naturally  $\mathbf{T}$ -equivariant and in particular the  $\mathbf{T}$ -action preserves the quadratic pairing<sup>7</sup> on  $\mathcal{L}$ , the virtual structure sheaf  $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{M}_{\bar{r},n}}^{\text{vir}}$  naturally lifts to a  $\mathbf{T}$ -equivariant class in equivariant  $K$ -theory. We define the  $\mathbf{T}$ -equivariant  $K$ -theoretic invariants

$$(3.2) \quad \mathcal{Z}_{\bar{r},n} = \chi \left( \mathcal{M}_{\bar{r},n}, \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{M}_{\bar{r},n}}^{\text{vir}} \right) \in \frac{\mathbb{Q}(t_1^{\frac{1}{2}}, t_2^{\frac{1}{2}}, t_3^{\frac{1}{2}}, t_4^{\frac{1}{2}}, w^{\frac{1}{2}})}{(t_1 t_2 t_3 t_4 - 1)}.$$

Since  $\mathcal{M}_{\bar{r},n}$  is not proper, one cannot directly define  $K$ -theoretic invariants via proper push-forward in  $K$ -theory. Instead, since the fixed locus  $\mathcal{M}_{\bar{r},n}^{\mathbf{T}}$  is proper, we define invariants as the composition

$$(3.3) \quad \chi(\mathcal{M}_{\bar{r},n}, \cdot) : K_0^{\mathbf{T}}(\mathcal{M}_{\bar{r},n}, \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}]) \rightarrow K_0^{\mathbf{T}}(\mathcal{M}_{\bar{r},n})_{\text{loc}} \xrightarrow{\sim} K_0^{\mathbf{T}}(\mathcal{M}_{\bar{r},n}^{\mathbf{T}})_{\text{loc}} \rightarrow K_0^{\mathbf{T}}(\text{pt})_{\text{loc}}.$$

<sup>7</sup>The requirement to restrict to the Calabi-Yau condition  $t_1 t_2 t_3 t_4 = 1$  is needed precisely to preserve the quadratic pairing equivariantly.

Here, the first map is a suitable localisation of  $K_0^{\mathbf{T}}(\mathcal{M}_{\bar{\tau},n}, \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}])$ , the second map is Thomason's abstract localisation [68] and the third map is the proper pushforward on  $\mathcal{M}_{\bar{\tau},n}^{\mathbf{T}}$  (extended to the localisation).

We define the *tetrahedron instanton partition function* as the generating series

$$\mathcal{Z}_{\bar{\tau}}(q) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{Z}_{\bar{\tau},n} \cdot q^n \in \frac{\mathbb{Q}(t_1^{\frac{1}{2}}, t_2^{\frac{1}{2}}, t_3^{\frac{1}{2}}, t_4^{\frac{1}{2}}, w^{\frac{1}{2}})}{(t_1 t_2 t_3 t_4 - 1)} \llbracket q \rrbracket.$$

Let  $T_{\mathcal{M}_{\bar{\tau},n}}^{\text{vir}}$  be the *virtual tangent bundle* of  $\mathcal{M}_{\bar{\tau},n}$ , that is the dual of the obstruction theory  $\mathbb{E}$  in (2.1)

$$T_{\mathcal{M}_{\bar{\tau},n}}^{\text{vir}} = [T_{\mathcal{A}}|_{\mathcal{M}_{\bar{\tau},n}} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}|_{\mathcal{M}_{\bar{\tau},n}} \rightarrow T_{\mathcal{A}}^*|_{\mathcal{M}_{\bar{\tau},n}}],$$

and denote by  $N^{\text{vir}}$  the *virtual normal bundle*, which is the  $\mathbf{T}$ -movable part of  $T_{\mathcal{M}_{\bar{\tau},n}}^{\text{vir}}$ . We will denote by  $T_{\mathcal{M}_{\bar{\tau},n}}^{\text{vir}}, N^{\text{vir}}$  also their classes in  $K$ -theory, whenever it is clear from the context.

By Oh-Thomas localisation theorem in  $K$ -theory [59] we can compute the invariants via  $\mathbf{T}$ -equivariant residues on the fixed locus

$$\chi\left(\mathcal{M}_{\bar{\tau},n}, \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{M}_{\bar{\tau},n}}^{\text{vir}}\right) = \chi\left(\mathcal{M}_{\bar{\tau},n}^{\mathbf{T}}, \frac{\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{M}_{\bar{\tau},n}^{\mathbf{T}}}^{\text{vir}}}{\sqrt{\mathfrak{e}}(N^{\text{vir}})}\right),$$

where  $\mathfrak{e}$  is the ( $\mathbf{T}$ -equivariant)  $K$ -theoretic Euler class, which is defined as follows. Let  $\mathcal{E}$  be a  $\mathbf{T}$ -equivariant locally free sheaf on  $\mathcal{M}_{\bar{\tau},n}$ . We define

$$\mathfrak{e}(\mathcal{E}) := \Lambda^\bullet \mathcal{E}^* = \sum_{i \geq 0} (-1)^i \Lambda^i \mathcal{E}^* \in K_{\mathbf{T}}^0(\mathcal{M}_{\bar{\tau},n}),$$

and extend it by linearity to any class in  $K_{\mathbf{T}}^0(\mathcal{M}_{\bar{\tau},n})$ . Finally,  $\sqrt{\mathfrak{e}}(\cdot)$  is the ( $\mathbf{T}$ -equivariant)  $K$ -theoretic square-root Euler class; the complete description and construction of this class is in [59, Sec. 5.1]. We remark that in the proof of the localisation theorem, Oh-Thomas [59] prove that the fixed locus  $\mathcal{M}_{\bar{\tau},n}^{\mathbf{T}}$  is naturally endowed with an *orientation* (in the sense of [17]) – and therefore a virtual structure sheaf – and that the virtual normal bundle  $N^{\text{vir}}$  is a self-dual complex (with a natural induced orientation), which implies that its square-root Euler class is well-defined (cf. [59, Eqn. (112)]). By Proposition 3.3 the fixed locus  $\mathcal{M}_{\bar{\tau},n}^{\mathbf{T}}$  consists of finitely many reduced points labelled by tuples of plane partitions. Thus, if we let  $Z \in \mathcal{M}_{\bar{\tau},n}^{\mathbf{T}}$  be a fixed point, the induced virtual fundamental class satisfies  $[Z]^{\text{vir}} = \pm[Z]$ , for a well-determined sign. Therefore the tetrahedron partition functions is given by

$$\mathcal{Z}_{\bar{\tau}}(q) = \sum_{\bar{\pi}} (-1)^{o_{\bar{\pi}}} \sqrt{\mathfrak{e}}(-T_{\bar{\pi}}^{\text{vir}}) \cdot q^{|\bar{\pi}|},$$

where the sum runs over tuples  $\bar{\pi} = (\bar{\pi}_1, \dots, \bar{\pi}_4)$ , where each  $\bar{\pi}_i$  is an  $r_i$ -tuple of plane partitions,  $T_{\bar{\pi}}^{\text{vir}}$  is the virtual tangent bundle at the fixed point corresponding to  $\bar{\pi}$  via the correspondence in Proposition 3.3 and  $(-1)^{o_{\bar{\pi}}}$  is the sign of the induced virtual fundamental class (with respect to the standard fundamental class). We used the fact that the virtual tangent bundle is  $\mathbf{T}$ -movable by Proposition 3.8.

The definition of the square-root Euler class is a priori non-explicit and depends on the chosen orientation of  $\mathcal{M}_{\bar{\tau},n}$ . To ease our life, we define the *square root* of a  $\mathbf{T}$ -representation.

**Definition 3.5** ([46, Def. 1.2]). Let  $V \in K_{\mathbf{T}}^0(\text{pt})$  be a virtual  $\mathbf{T}$ -representation. We say that  $T \in K_{\mathbf{T}}^0(\text{pt})$  is a *square root* of  $V$  if

$$V = T + \overline{T} \in K_{\mathbf{T}}^0(\text{pt}),$$

where  $\overline{(\cdot)}$  denotes the dual  $\mathbf{T}$ -representation.

Let  $V$  be a  $\mathbf{T}$ -representation with a square root  $T$  in  $K_{\mathbf{T}}^0(\mathbf{pt})$ . Its  $K$ -theoretic square root Euler class satisfies

$$(3.4) \quad \sqrt{\mathbf{e}}(V) = \pm \mathbf{e}(T) \otimes (\det T)^{\frac{1}{2}} \in K_{\mathbf{T}}^0(\mathbf{pt}, \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}]),$$

for a well-defined (but non-explicit) sign. For an irreducible  $\mathbf{T}$ -representation  $t^\mu$ , define

$$[t^\mu] = t^{\frac{\mu}{2}} - t^{-\frac{\mu}{2}} \in K_{\mathbf{T}}^0(\mathbf{pt})$$

and extend it by linearity to any  $T \in K_{\mathbf{T}}^0(\mathbf{pt})$  by setting  $[t^\mu \pm t^\nu] = [t^\mu][t^\nu]^{\pm 1}$ , as long as  $\nu$  is not the trivial weight. It is shown in [33, Sec. 6.1] that

$$\mathbf{e}(T) \otimes (\det T)^{\frac{1}{2}} = [T],$$

for any virtual  $\mathbf{T}$ -representation  $T \in K_{\mathbf{T}}^0(\mathbf{pt})$ . Therefore we can express (3.4) explicitly as

$$(3.5) \quad \sqrt{\mathbf{e}}(V) = \pm [T].$$

We derive in the next section a vertex formalism that computes the partition function of tetrahedron instantons, by choosing suitable square roots of the virtual tangent bundle and the associated signs.

**3.4. Vertex formalism.** Let  $r = (r_1, \dots, r_4)$  and  $\bar{\pi} = (\bar{\pi}_1, \dots, \bar{\pi}_4)$  correspond to a  $\mathbf{T}$ -fixed quotient  $[\mathcal{E}_{\bar{\pi}} \rightarrow Q_{\bar{\pi}}] \in \mathcal{M}_{\bar{\pi}, n}^{\mathbf{T}}$ , where each  $\bar{\pi}_i = (\pi_{i1}, \dots, \pi_{ir_i})$  is a tuple of plane partitions. We denote by  $T_{\bar{\pi}}^{\text{vir}}$  the virtual tangent space at the fixed point  $\bar{\pi}$ . Recall that  $Q_{\bar{\pi}}$  decomposes as

$$Q_{\bar{\pi}} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^4 \bigoplus_{j=1}^{r_i} \mathcal{O}_{Z_{\pi_{ij}}},$$

where each  $Z_{\pi_{ij}} \subset \mathbb{C}_i^3$  is a zero-dimensional closed subscheme defined by a monomial ideal corresponding to a plane partition  $\pi_{ij}$ . We keep denoting their global sections by  $Z_{\pi_{ij}}$  (resp.  $Q_{\bar{\pi}}$ ) which are given, as a  $\mathbf{T}_0$  (resp.  $\mathbf{T}$ )-representation, by

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{aligned} Z_{\pi_{ij}} &= \sum_{(a,b,c) \in \pi_{ij}} t_{i_1}^a t_{i_2}^b t_{i_3}^c, \\ Q_{\bar{\pi}_i} &= \sum_{j=1}^{r_i} w_{ij} Z_{\pi_{ij}} \\ Q_{\bar{\pi}} &= \sum_{i=1}^4 Q_{\bar{\pi}_i}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\{i_1, i_2, i_3\}$  are the three indices in  $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$  different from  $i$ . We denote by  $\overline{(\cdot)}$  the involution on  $K_{\mathbf{T}}^0(\mathbf{pt})$  which acts as  $\overline{t^\mu} = t^{-\mu}$  on irreducible representations and by  $P_I = \prod_{l \in I} (1 - t_l)$ , for any set of indices  $I$ . For  $i = 1, \dots, 4$  set the  $\mathbf{T}_1$ -representations

$$\begin{aligned} K_i &= \sum_{j=1}^{r_i} w_{ij}, \\ K &= \sum_{i=1}^4 K_i. \end{aligned}$$

**Proposition 3.6.** . We have an identification of virtual  $\mathbf{T}$ -representations

$$T_{\bar{\pi}}^{\text{vir}} = \overline{K} Q_{\bar{\pi}} + K \overline{Q_{\bar{\pi}}} - P_{1234} Q_{\bar{\pi}} \overline{Q_{\bar{\pi}}} - \sum_{i=1}^4 K_i t_i \overline{Q_{\bar{\pi}}} - \sum_{i=1}^4 \overline{K_i} t_i^{-1} Q_{\bar{\pi}}.$$

*Proof.* The virtual tangent space at a  $\mathbf{T}$ -fixed point corresponding to a tuple of plane partitions  $\bar{\pi}$  is computed as a class in  $K$ -theory by

$$(3.7) \quad T_{\bar{\pi}}^{\text{vir}} = T_{\mathcal{M}_{\bar{\pi}, n}^{\text{nc}}} |_{\bar{\pi}} - \mathcal{L} |_{\bar{\pi}} + T_{\mathcal{M}_{\bar{\pi}, n}^{\text{nc}}}^* |_{\bar{\pi}} \in K_{\mathbf{T}}^0(\mathbf{pt}).$$

The tangent space  $T_{\mathcal{M}_{\bar{\pi},n}^{\text{nc}}}|_{\bar{\pi}}$  at the fixed quotient corresponding to  $\bar{\pi} \in \mathcal{M}_{r,n}^{\text{nc}}$  sits in an exact sequence of  $\mathbf{T}$ -representations

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(Q_{\bar{\pi}}, Q_{\bar{\pi}}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^4 \otimes \text{Hom}(Q_{\bar{\pi}}, Q_{\bar{\pi}}) \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^4 \bigoplus_{j=1}^{r_i} \text{Hom}(\mathbb{C} \cdot w_{ij}, Q_{\bar{\pi}}) \rightarrow T_{\mathcal{M}_{\bar{\pi},n}^{\text{nc}}}|_{\bar{\pi}} \rightarrow 0,$$

where the middle representation is the tangent space of the affine space  $\mathbb{R}_{\bar{\pi},n}$  and the first representation records the free action of  $\text{GL}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ . The weight decomposition of  $\mathbb{C}^4$  is

$$\mathbb{C}^4 = t_1^{-1} + t_2^{-1} + t_3^{-1} + t_4^{-1},$$

by which one readily computes in  $K$ -theory

$$T_{\mathcal{M}_{\bar{\pi},n}^{\text{nc}}}|_{\bar{\pi}} = (t_1^{-1} + t_2^{-1} + t_3^{-1} + t_4^{-1} - 1)Q_{\bar{\pi}}\overline{Q_{\bar{\pi}}} + \overline{K}Q_{\bar{\pi}}.$$

The vector bundle  $\mathcal{L}$  at the point  $\bar{\pi}$  satisfies

$$\mathcal{L}|_{\bar{\pi}} = \Lambda^2 \mathbb{C}^4 \otimes \text{Hom}(Q_{\bar{\pi}}, Q_{\bar{\pi}}) \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^4 \text{Hom}(K_i \cdot t_i, Q_{\bar{\pi}}) \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^4 \text{Hom}(K_i \cdot t_i, Q_{\bar{\pi}})^*,$$

where the weight decomposition of  $\Lambda^2 \mathbb{C}^4$  is

$$\Lambda^2 \mathbb{C}^4 = t_1^{-1}t_2^{-1} + t_1^{-1}t_3^{-1} + t_1^{-1}t_4^{-1} + t_2^{-1}t_3^{-1} + t_2^{-1}t_4^{-1} + t_3^{-1}t_4^{-1},$$

which implies that

$$\mathcal{L}|_{\bar{\pi}} = (t_1^{-1}t_2^{-1} + t_1^{-1}t_3^{-1} + t_1^{-1}t_4^{-1} + t_2^{-1}t_3^{-1} + t_2^{-1}t_4^{-1} + t_3^{-1}t_4^{-1})Q_{\bar{\pi}}\overline{Q_{\bar{\pi}}} + \sum_{i=1}^4 K_i t_i \overline{Q_{\bar{\pi}}} + \sum_{i=1}^4 \overline{K_i} t_i^{-1} Q_{\bar{\pi}}.$$

Plugging the computations in (3.7) and exploiting the relation  $t_1 t_2 t_3 t_4 = 1$  concludes the proof.  $\square$

We exhibit a square root of the virtual tangent bundle at each fixed point. Let  $\bar{\pi}$  be a tuple of plane partitions. Set the *vertex term*

$$(3.8) \quad \mathbf{v}_{\bar{\pi}} = \overline{K}Q_{\bar{\pi}} - \sum_{j=1}^4 K_j t_j \overline{Q_{\bar{\pi}}} - \sum_{j=1}^4 \overline{P_{j_1 j_2 j_3}} Q_{\bar{\pi}_j} \overline{Q_{\bar{\pi}_j}} - \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq 4} \overline{P_{j_1 j_2 j_3}} (Q_{\bar{\pi}_j} \overline{Q_{\bar{\pi}_i}} + Q_{\bar{\pi}_i} \overline{Q_{\bar{\pi}_j}}),$$

where  $\{j_1, j_2, j_3\}$  are the three indices in  $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$  different from  $j$ . It is easily seen to satisfy

$$T_{\bar{\pi}}^{\text{vir}} = \mathbf{v}_{\bar{\pi}} + \overline{\mathbf{v}_{\bar{\pi}}},$$

thanks to the identity

$$P_{j_1 j_2 j_3} + \overline{P_{j_1 j_2 j_3}} = P_{1234},$$

which exploits the Calabi-Yau condition  $t_1 t_2 t_3 t_4 = 1$ . Thus, by (3.5) we can compute the partition function of tetrahedron instantons as

$$\mathcal{Z}_{\bar{\pi}}(q) = \sum_{\bar{\pi}} (-1)^{\sigma_{\bar{\pi}}} [-\mathbf{v}_{\bar{\pi}}] \cdot q^{|\bar{\pi}|},$$

where the sign  $(-1)^{\sigma_{\bar{\pi}}}$  receives a contribution both from  $(-1)^{\sigma_{\bar{\pi}}}$  (coming from the orientation of the fixed locus) and from the choice of the square root of the virtual tangent bundle.

We postpone to Appendix A the proof of the correct sign rule associated with our choice of square root (cf. Corollary A.4).

**Theorem 3.7.** *We have that*

$$(-1)^{\sigma_{\bar{\pi}}} = 1.$$

Thanks to Theorem 3.7, we can explicitly express the partition function as

$$(3.9) \quad \mathcal{Z}_{\bar{\pi}}(q) = \sum_{\bar{\pi}} [-\mathbf{v}_{\bar{\pi}}] \cdot q^{|\bar{\pi}|}.$$

where the sum runs over tuples  $\bar{\pi} = (\bar{\pi}_1, \dots, \bar{\pi}_4)$ , where each  $\bar{\pi}_i$  is an  $r_i$ -tuple of plane partitions.



**3.5. factorisation.** Let  $\bar{r} = (r_1, \dots, r_4)$  and  $\bar{\pi} = (\bar{\pi}_1, \dots, \bar{\pi}_4)$ , where each  $\bar{\pi}_i = (\pi_{il})_{il}$  is an  $r_i$ -tuple of plane partitions, for  $i = 1, \dots, 4$ ,  $l = 1, \dots, r_i$ . The vertex term can be written as a sum

$$\begin{aligned} v_{\bar{\pi}} &= \sum_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq 4} v_{\bar{\pi}}^{(ij)}, \\ v_{\bar{\pi}}^{(ij)} &= \begin{cases} \overline{K_j Q_{\pi_j}} - K_j t_j \overline{Q_{\pi_j}} - \overline{P_{j_1 j_2 j_3}} Q_{\pi_j} \overline{Q_{\pi_j}} & i = j, \\ \overline{K_i Q_{\pi_j}} - K_j t_j \overline{Q_{\pi_i}} + \overline{K_j Q_{\pi_i}} - K_i t_i \overline{Q_{\pi_j}} - \overline{P_{j_1 j_2 j_3}} (Q_{\pi_j} \overline{Q_{\pi_i}} + Q_{\pi_i} \overline{Q_{\pi_j}}) & i < j. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

If  $i = j$ , the vertex term  $v_{\bar{\pi}}^{(ii)}$  recovers the vertex term of the higher rank Donaldson-Thomas theory of  $\mathbb{C}_i^3$ , for the tuple of plane partitions  $\bar{\pi}_i$  (cf. [33, Sec. 5.1]). For this identification, we crucially use that  $t_i = (t_{i_1} t_{i_2} t_{i_3})^{-1}$  where  $\{i_1, i_2, i_3\}$  are the three indices in  $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$  different from  $i$ . To ease the notation, we define the *Calabi-Yau weight* of the coordinate hyperplane  $\mathbb{C}_i^3$  to be

$$\kappa_i := t_{i_1} t_{i_2} t_{i_3} = t_i^{-1}.$$

By (3.6) we can further identify the terms  $v_{\bar{\pi}}^{(ij)}$  as sums

$$\begin{aligned} v_{\bar{\pi}}^{(ij)} &= \sum_{\substack{1 \leq l \leq r_i \\ 1 \leq k \leq r_j}} v_{\bar{\pi}}^{(ij, lk)}, \\ v_{\bar{\pi}}^{(ij, lk)} &= \begin{cases} w_{jl}^{-1} w_{jk} (Z_{\pi_{jk}} - \kappa_j^{-1} \overline{Z_{\pi_{jl}}} - \overline{P_{j_1 j_2 j_3}} Z_{\pi_{jk}} \overline{Z_{\pi_{jl}}}) & i = j \\ w_{il}^{-1} w_{jk} (Z_{\pi_{jk}} - \kappa_j^{-1} \overline{Z_{\pi_{il}}} - \overline{P_{j_1 j_2 j_3}} Z_{\pi_{jk}} \overline{Z_{\pi_{il}}}) + w_{il} w_{jk}^{-1} (Z_{\pi_{il}} - \kappa_i^{-1} \overline{Z_{\pi_{jk}}} - \overline{P_{j_1 j_2 j_3}} (Z_{\pi_{il}} \overline{Z_{\pi_{jk}}})) & i < j, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

where each  $Z_{il}$  are the global sections of a zero-dimensional closed subscheme of  $\mathbb{C}_i^3$ , whose monomial ideal corresponds to the plane partition  $\pi_{il}$ . If  $i = j$  and  $l = k$ , the vertex term  $v_{\bar{\pi}}^{(ii, ll)}$  recovers the vertex term of the rank one Donaldson-Thomas theory of  $\mathbb{C}_i^3$ , for the plane partition  $\pi_{il}$  (cf. [44, Eqn. (12)]).

As already anticipated, the vertex term is  $\mathbf{T}$ -movable, which in particular implies that the rational function  $[-v_{\bar{\pi}}]$  is well-defined and non-zero.

**Proposition 3.8.** *The vertex term  $v_{\bar{\pi}}$  is  $\mathbf{T}$ -movable.*

*Proof.* By the decomposition of the vertex term as

$$(3.10) \quad v_{\bar{\pi}} = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq 4} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq l \leq r_i \\ 1 \leq k \leq r_j}} v_{\bar{\pi}}^{(ij, lk)},$$

it is clear that the possible contributions to the  $\mathbf{T}$ -fixed part have to come from the *diagonal* terms  $v_{\bar{\pi}}^{(ii, ll)}$ , which are  $\mathbf{T}$ -movable by [44, Lemma 8] (see also [33, Prop. 3.14]).  $\square$

**3.5.1. Rigidity.** Analogously to the arguments of [1, Sec. 3.1], we establish a general criterion which determines the possible poles that can appear in the denominator after  $K$ -theoretic localisation. Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a quasi-projective scheme, acted by an algebraic torus  $\mathbb{T}$ , such that the fixed locus  $\mathcal{M}^{\mathbb{T}}$  is proper.

**Definition 3.9** ([1, Def. 3.1]). Given a weight  $w \in \widehat{\mathbb{T}}$ , denote by  $\mathbb{T}_w \subset \mathbb{T}$  the maximal torus inside the subgroup  $\ker(w) \subset \mathbb{T}$ . A weight  $w \in \widehat{\mathbb{T}}$  is called a *compact* weight of  $\mathcal{M}$  if  $\mathcal{M}^{\mathbb{T}_w}$  is proper, and *non-compact* otherwise.

Let  $\mathcal{F} \in K_0^{\mathbb{T}}(\mathcal{M})$  be a  $\mathbb{T}$ -equivariant class in  $K$ -theory. As in (3.3), by Thomason  $K$ -theoretic localisation [68], we have that

$$\chi(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{F}) \in \mathbb{Q}[t_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, t_m^{\pm 1}] \left[ \frac{1}{1-w} : w \in \widehat{\mathbb{T}} \right],$$

where  $m = \text{rk } \mathbb{T}$  is the rank of the torus. In other words, the pushforward  $K_0^{\mathbb{T}}(\mathcal{M}^{\mathbb{T}}) \rightarrow K_0^{\mathbb{T}}(\mathcal{M})$  becomes an isomorphism after inverting virtual characters of the form  $1 - t^\mu$ . We generalize [1, Prop. 3.2] – spelled out in loc. cit. for virtual structures à la Behrend-Fantechi [6].

**Proposition 3.10.** *We have that*

$$\chi(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{F}) = \frac{p(t_1, \dots, t_m)}{\prod_w (1 - w)},$$

where  $p(t)$  is a Laurent polynomial and the product is over some non-compact weights  $w$  of  $\mathcal{M}$  (with possible multiplicities).

*Proof.* By definition of  $K$ -theoretic invariants (3.3), we have that

$$\chi^{\mathbb{T}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{F}) = \frac{p(t_1, \dots, t_m)}{q(t_1, \dots, t_m)},$$

where  $p(t), q(t)$  are Laurent polynomial and the superscript  $\mathbb{T}$  records that we defined the invariants  $\mathbb{T}$ -equivariantly. Let  $w$  be a compact weight. Up to replacing  $\mathbb{T}$  with a finite cover, we can assume that  $w = (w_0)^\epsilon$ , where  $w_0 = t_1^{\mu_1} \cdots t_m^{\mu_m}$  is weight such that at least one  $|\mu_i| = 1$ . Then the subtorus  $\mathbb{T}_w$  coincides with  $\ker(w_0)$ . Since the  $\mathbb{T}_w$ -fixed locus  $\mathcal{M}^{\mathbb{T}_w}$  is proper, we can define the invariants  $\mathbb{T}_w$ -equivariantly, and get

$$\chi^{\mathbb{T}_w}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{F}) = \frac{\tilde{p}(t_1, \dots, t_m)}{\tilde{q}(t_1, \dots, t_m)},$$

where  $\tilde{p}(t), \tilde{q}(t)$  are the Laurent polynomials coming by the restricting  $p(t), q(t)$  as  $\mathbb{T}$ -representations to  $\mathbb{T}_w$ -representations. But the invariants

$$\chi^{\mathbb{T}_w}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{F}) \in \frac{\mathbb{Q}[t_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, t_m^{\pm 1}]}{(1 - w_0)} \left[ \frac{1}{1 - v} : v \in \widehat{\mathbb{T}}, v \neq w_0^n \right]$$

are well-defined, which implies that  $(1 - w_0^n)$  cannot appear as factors of  $q(t)$ , for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .  $\square$

**3.5.2. Framing limits.** We prove in this section Theorem 1.2 from the Introduction. We first show that the partition function of tetrahedron instantons does not depend on the framing parameters  $w_{ij}$ , exploiting a suitable rigidity argument. Granting this independence, we specialise the framing parameters  $w_{ij}$  to arbitrary values and send them to infinity, which recovers the formula in Theorem 1.2.

**Theorem 3.11.** *The partition function of tetrahedron instantons  $\mathcal{Z}_{\overline{\mathcal{T}}}(q)$  does not depend on the weights  $w_{il}$ , for  $i = 1, \dots, 4$  and  $l = 1, \dots, r_i$ .*

*Proof.* We prove this result by exploiting similar arguments to [33, Thm. 6.5]. The  $n$ -th coefficient of  $\mathcal{Z}_{\overline{\mathcal{T}}}(q)$  is a sum of contributions

$$[-\nu_{\overline{\pi}}], \quad |\overline{\pi}| = n.$$

A simple manipulation shows that

$$(3.11) \quad [-\nu_{\overline{\pi}}] = A(t) \prod_{(i,l) \neq (j,k)} \frac{\prod_{\mu_{ij,lk}} (1 - w_{il}^{-1} w_{jk} t^{\mu_{ij,lk}})}{\prod_{\nu_{ij,lk}} (1 - w_{il}^{-1} w_{jk} t^{\nu_{ij,lk}})},$$

where the product is over all  $(i, l) \neq (j, k)$  such that  $i, j = 1, \dots, 4$  and  $l = 1, \dots, r_i$  and  $j = 1, \dots, r_j$  while  $A(t) \in \mathbb{Q}((t_1^{\frac{1}{2}}, t_2^{\frac{1}{2}}, t_3^{\frac{1}{2}}, t_4^{\frac{1}{2}})) / (t_1 t_2 t_3 t_4 - 1)$  and the number of weights  $\mu_{ij,lk}$  and  $\nu_{ij,lk}$  is the same. Thus,  $\mathcal{Z}_{\overline{\mathcal{T}}}(q)$  is a homogeneous rational expression of total degree 0 with respect to the variables  $w_{il}$ . We aim to show that  $\mathcal{Z}_{\overline{\mathcal{T}}}(q)$  has no poles of the form  $1 - w_{il}^{-1} w_{jk} t^\nu$ , implying that it is a degree 0 polynomial in the  $w_{il}$ , hence constant in the  $w_{il}$ .

Set  $\mathbf{w} = w_{il}^{-1} w_{jk} t^\nu$  for fixed  $(i, l) \neq (j, k)$  and  $\nu \in \widehat{\mathbf{T}}_0$ . To see that  $1 - \mathbf{w}$  is not a pole, we show that  $\mathbf{w}$  is a non-compact weight and use Proposition 3.10. In other words, we need to prove that the fixed locus of the torus  $\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{w}} = \ker(\mathbf{w})$  is proper.

Consider, consider the automorphism  $\tau_\nu: \mathbf{T} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{T}$  defined by

$$(t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4, w_{11}, \dots, w_{4r_4}) \mapsto (t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4, w_{11}, \dots, w_{il}t^{-\nu}, \dots, w_{jk}, \dots, w_{4r_4}).$$

It maps  $\mathbf{T}_w \subset \mathbf{T}$  isomorphically onto the subtorus  $\mathbf{T}_0 \times \{w_{il} = w_{jk}\} \subset \mathbf{T}$ . This yields an inclusion of tori

$$(3.12) \quad \mathbf{T}_0 \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{T}_0 \times \{(1, \dots, 1)\} \hookrightarrow \tau(\mathbf{T}_w).$$

We consider the action  $\sigma_\nu: \mathbf{T} \times \mathcal{M}_{\bar{r},n} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\bar{r},n}$  where  $\mathbf{T}_0$  translates the support of the quotient sheaf in the usual way, the  $l$ -th summand of  $\iota_{i,*} \mathcal{O}^{\oplus r_i}$  gets scaled by  $w_{il}t^\nu$  and all other summands by  $w_{jk}$  for  $(j,k) \neq (i,l)$ . We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{T}_w \times \mathcal{M}_{\bar{r},n} & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & \mathcal{M}_{\bar{r},n} \\ \tau_\nu \times \text{id} \downarrow & & \parallel \\ \tau_\nu(\mathbf{T}_w) \times \mathcal{M}_{\bar{r},n} & \xrightarrow{\sigma_\nu} & \mathcal{M}_{\bar{r},n} \end{array}$$

where  $\sigma$  is the restriction of the usual  $\mathbf{T}$ -action on  $\mathcal{M}_{\bar{r},n}$ . This diagram induces a natural isomorphism  $\mathcal{M}_{\bar{r},n}^{\mathbf{T}_w} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{M}_{\bar{r},n}^{\tau_\nu(\mathbf{T}_w)}$ , which combined with (3.12) yields an inclusion

$$\mathcal{M}_{\bar{r},n}^{\mathbf{T}_w} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{M}_{\bar{r},n}^{\tau_\nu(\mathbf{T}_w)} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\bar{r},n}^{\mathbf{T}_0}$$

where  $\mathcal{M}_{\bar{r},n}^{\mathbf{T}_0}$  is the fixed locus with respect to the action  $\sigma_\nu$ . But by the same reasoning as in Remark 3.1, this fixed locus is proper (because, again, a  $\mathbf{T}_0$ -fixed surjection  $\mathcal{E}_{\bar{r},n} \rightarrow T$  necessarily has the quotient  $T$  entirely supported at the origin  $0 \in \Delta$ ). Thus  $w$  is a compact weight, and the result follows.  $\square$

By Theorem 3.11 the partition function of tetrahedron instantons does not depend on the framing parameters  $w_{il}$ , therefore we can compute it by specialising them to arbitrary values. We set  $w_{il} = L^{N_{il}}$ , where  $N_{il} \gg 0$  are large integers satisfying  $N_{il} > N_{ik}$  for  $k > l$  and  $N_{jk} \gg N_{il}$  for  $j > i$ , and take limits  $L \rightarrow \infty$ . We totally order the indices  $(i,j)$  lexicographically, setting  $(j,k) > (i,l)$  if  $j > i$  or  $i = j$  and  $k > l$ .

**Proposition 3.12.** *Let  $(j,k) > (i,l)$ . If  $i = j$ , we have*

$$\lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} [-\sqrt{\frac{1}{\pi}}^{(ij,lk)}][-\sqrt{\frac{1}{\pi}}^{(ji,kl)}]_{|w_{il}=L^{N_{il}}} = (-\kappa_i^{\frac{1}{2}})^{|\pi_{jk}|} (-\kappa_j^{-\frac{1}{2}})^{|\pi_{il}|}.$$

If  $i < j$ , we have

$$\lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} [-\sqrt{\frac{1}{\pi}}^{(ij,lk)}]_{|w_{il}=L^{N_{il}}} = (-\kappa_i^{\frac{1}{2}})^{|\pi_{jk}|} (-\kappa_j^{-\frac{1}{2}})^{|\pi_{il}|}.$$

*Proof.* For all monomials  $t^\mu$ , we have the limits

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} [w_{il}^{-1} w_{jk} t^\mu]_{|w_{il}=L^{N_{il}}} &= t^{\frac{\mu}{2}} \cdot \lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} L^{\frac{N_{jk}-N_{il}}{2}}, \\ \lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} [w_{jk}^{-1} w_{il} t^\mu]_{|w_{il}=L^{N_{il}}} &= (-t^{-\frac{\mu}{2}}) \cdot \lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} L^{\frac{N_{jk}-N_{il}}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Set  $Z_{\pi_{jk}} = \sum_\nu t^\nu$  and  $Z_{\pi_{il}} = \sum_\mu t^\mu$ . Taking limits, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} [-\sqrt{\frac{1}{\pi}}^{(ij,lk)}]_{|w_{il}=L^{N_{il}}} &= \lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} [w_{il}^{-1} w_{jk} (-Z_{\pi_{jk}} + \kappa_j^{-1} \overline{Z_{\pi_{il}}} + \overline{P_{j_1 j_2 j_3}} Z_{\pi_{jk}} \overline{Z_{\pi_{il}}})]_{|w_{il}=L^{N_{il}}} \\ &= \lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} L^{\frac{N_{jk}-N_{il}}{2} (|\pi_{jk}| - |\pi_{il}|)} \cdot \frac{\prod_\mu t^{-\frac{\mu}{2}}}{\prod_\nu t^{\frac{\nu}{2}}} \cdot \kappa_j^{-\frac{|\pi_{il}|}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

A similar computation yields

$$\lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} [-\sqrt{\frac{1}{\pi}}^{(ji,kl)}]_{|w_{il}=L^{N_{il}}} = (-1)^{|\pi_{jk}| - |\pi_{il}|} \lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} L^{\frac{N_{jk}-N_{il}}{2}} \cdot \frac{\prod_\nu t^{\frac{\nu}{2}}}{\prod_\mu t^{-\frac{\mu}{2}}} \cdot \kappa_i^{\frac{|\pi_{jk}|}{2}},$$

by which we conclude that

$$\lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} [-\mathbf{v}_{\frac{1}{\pi}}^{(ij, lk)}][-\mathbf{v}_{\frac{1}{\pi}}^{(ji, kl)}]_{|w_{il}=L^{N_{il}}} = (-\kappa_i^{\frac{1}{2}})^{|\pi_{jk}|} (-\kappa_j^{-\frac{1}{2}})^{|\pi_{il}|}.$$

The second claim follows by an analogous computation.  $\square$

For an integer  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we set the *sign function*

$$\text{sgn}(m) = \begin{cases} 1 & m > 0 \\ -1 & m < 0 \\ 0 & m = 0. \end{cases}$$

**Lemma 3.13.** *Let  $x_i$  be variables and  $c_i \in \mathbb{Z}$  be integers, for  $i = 1, \dots, r$ . Then we have*

$$\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} x_i^{c_j} x_j^{-c_i} = \prod_{i=1}^r \prod_{j=1}^r x_j^{\text{sgn}(i-j)c_i}.$$

*Proof.* For  $r = 1$  the statement holds trivially. By induction, suppose that it holds for  $r - 1$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} x_i^{c_j} x_j^{-c_i} &= \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq r-1} x_i^{c_j} x_j^{-c_i} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{r-1} x_i^{c_r} x_r^{-c_i} \\ &= \prod_{i,j=1}^{r-1} x_j^{\text{sgn}(i-j)c_i} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{r-1} x_i^{c_r} x_r^{-c_i} \\ &= \prod_{i,j=1}^r x_j^{\text{sgn}(i-j)c_i}. \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

If  $\bar{\tau} = (0, 0, 0, 1)$  the partition function of tetrahedron instantons recovers<sup>8</sup> the partition function of  $K$ -theoretic Donaldson-Thomas theory of  $\mathbb{C}^3$ , whose explicit formula had been proved by Okounkov [60, Thm. 3.3.6]

$$(3.13) \quad \mathcal{Z}_{(0,0,0,1)}(-q) = \text{Exp} \left( \frac{[t_1 t_2][t_1 t_3][t_2 t_3]}{[t_1][t_2][t_3]} \frac{1}{[\kappa_{\frac{1}{4}}^{\frac{1}{2}} q][\kappa_{\frac{1}{4}}^{\frac{1}{2}} q^{-1}]} \right),$$

where the plethystic exponential is defined as follows. For any formal power series  $f(p_1, \dots, p_r; q_1, \dots, q_s)$  in  $\mathbb{Q}(p_1, \dots, p_r)[[q_1, \dots, q_s]]$ , such that  $f(p_1, \dots, p_r; 0, \dots, 0) = 0$ , its *plethystic exponential* is defined as

$$(3.14) \quad \text{Exp}(f(p_1, \dots, p_r; q_1, \dots, q_s)) := \exp \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} f(p_1^n, \dots, p_r^n; q_1^n, \dots, q_s^n) \right),$$

viewed as an element of  $\mathbb{Q}(p_1, \dots, p_r)[[q_1, \dots, q_s]]$ .

Notice that  $\mathcal{Z}_{(0,0,0,1)}(q)$  is symmetric in the variables  $t_1, t_2, t_3$ , and invariant under  $q \rightarrow q^{-1}$ . We define the partition function

$$\mathcal{Z}^{(i)}(q) = \mathcal{Z}_{(0,0,0,1)}(q)|_{t_1=t_{i_1}, t_2=t_{i_2}, t_3=t_{i_3}}, \quad i = 1, \dots, 4,$$

which is just the partition function (3.13) in the three variables  $\{i_1, i_2, i_3\}$  different than  $i$ . In other words,  $\mathcal{Z}^{(i)}(q)$  is the tetrahedron partition function for the tuple  $e_i$ , which is 4-tuple with 1 in the  $i$ -th entry and 0 in all the others zero.

<sup>8</sup>A direct way to see this is that if  $\bar{\tau} = (0, 0, 0, 1)$  then the vertex term (3.8) coincides with the one used by Okounkov [60, Eqn. (3.4.30)].

**Theorem 3.14.** *Let  $\bar{r} = (r_1, \dots, r_4)$  and  $r = \sum_{i=1}^4 r_i$ . We have the factorisation*

$$\mathcal{Z}_{\bar{r}}(q) = \prod_{i=1}^4 \prod_{l=1}^{r_i} \mathcal{Z}^{(i)} \left( (-1)^{r+1} q \kappa_i^{-\frac{r_i-1}{2}+l} \prod_{j=1}^4 \kappa_j^{\frac{r_j \cdot \text{sgn}(i-j)}{2}} \right).$$

*Proof.* Set  $w_{il} = L^{N_{il}}$ . By Theorem 3.11 the partition function  $\mathcal{Z}_{\bar{r}}(q)$  can be computed in the limit  $L \rightarrow \infty$ . By Proposition 3.12, Lemma 3.13 and (3.9) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Z}_{\bar{r}}(q) &= \lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\bar{\pi}} q^{|\bar{\pi}|} \prod_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq 4} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq l \leq r_i \\ 1 \leq k \leq r_j}} [-v_{\bar{\pi}}^{(ij, lk)}] \\ &= \lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\bar{\pi}} \prod_{i=1}^4 \prod_{l=1}^{r_i} q^{|\pi_{il}|} [-v_{\bar{\pi}}^{(ii, ll)}] \prod_{i=1}^4 \prod_{1 \leq k < l \leq r_i} [-v_{\bar{\pi}}^{(ii, lk)}] [-v_{\bar{\pi}}^{(ii, kl)}] \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq 4} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq l \leq r_i \\ 1 \leq k \leq r_j}} [-v_{\bar{\pi}}^{(ij, lk)}] \\ &= \sum_{\bar{\pi}} \prod_{i=1}^4 \prod_{l=1}^{r_i} q^{|\pi_{il}|} [-v_{\bar{\pi}}^{(ii, ll)}] \prod_{(i, l) < (j, k)} (-\kappa_i^{\frac{1}{2}})^{|\pi_{jk}|} (-\kappa_j^{-\frac{1}{2}})^{|\pi_{il}|} \\ &= \sum_{\bar{\pi}} \prod_{i=1}^4 \prod_{l=1}^{r_i} q^{|\pi_{il}|} [-v_{\bar{\pi}}^{(ii, ll)}] \prod_{i=1}^4 \prod_{l=1}^{r_i} \left( \prod_{j=1}^4 \prod_{k=1}^{r_j} (-\kappa_j^{\frac{1}{2}})^{\text{sgn}((i, l) - (j, k))} \right)^{|\pi_{il}|}, \end{aligned}$$

where the sign function is defined as

$$\text{sgn}((i, l) - (j, k)) = \begin{cases} 1 & (i, l) < (j, k) \\ -1 & (i, l) > (j, k) \\ 0 & (i, l) = (j, k). \end{cases}$$

For a fixed  $(i, l)$ , counting the number of  $(j, k)$  such that  $(i, l) < (j, k)$  with respect to the lexicographic order yields the identity

$$\prod_{j=1}^4 \prod_{k=1}^{r_j} (-\kappa_j^{\frac{1}{2}})^{\text{sgn}((i, l) - (j, k))} = (-1)^{r+1} \kappa_i^{-\frac{r_i-1}{2}+l} \prod_{j=1}^4 \kappa_j^{\frac{r_j \cdot \text{sgn}(i-j)}{2}}.$$

Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Z}_{\bar{r}}(q) &= \sum_{\bar{\pi}} \prod_{i=1}^4 \prod_{l=1}^{r_i} [-v_{\bar{\pi}}^{(ii, ll)}] \left( (-1)^{r+1} q \kappa_i^{-\frac{r_i-1}{2}+l} \prod_{j=1}^4 \kappa_j^{\frac{r_j \cdot \text{sgn}(i-j)}{2}} \right)^{|\pi_{il}|} \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^4 \prod_{l=1}^{r_i} \sum_{\pi_{il}} [-v_{\bar{\pi}}^{(ii, ll)}] \left( (-1)^{r+1} q \kappa_i^{-\frac{r_i-1}{2}+l} \prod_{j=1}^4 \kappa_j^{\frac{r_j \cdot \text{sgn}(i-j)}{2}} \right)^{|\pi_{il}|} \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^4 \prod_{l=1}^{r_i} \mathcal{Z}^{(i)} \left( (-1)^{r+1} q \kappa_i^{-\frac{r_i-1}{2}+l} \prod_{j=1}^4 \kappa_j^{\frac{r_j \cdot \text{sgn}(i-j)}{2}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

□

Combining Theorem 3.14 with the explicit expression (3.13), we prove a closed formula for the partition function of tetrahedron instantons. We set

$$\kappa_{\bar{r}} := \prod_{i=1}^4 \kappa_i^{r_i}.$$

**Theorem 3.15.** *Let  $\bar{r} = (r_1, \dots, r_4)$  and  $r = \sum_{i=1}^4 r_i$ . We have*

$$\mathcal{Z}_{\bar{r}}((-1)^r q) = \text{Exp} \left( - \frac{[t_1 t_2][t_1 t_3][t_2 t_3]}{[t_1][t_2][t_3][t_4]} \frac{[\kappa_{\bar{r}}]}{[\kappa_{\bar{r}}^{\frac{1}{2}} q][\kappa_{\bar{r}}^{\frac{1}{2}} q^{-1}]} \right).$$

*Proof.* By Theorem 3.14 and taking plethystic logarithm, i.e. the inverse of the plethystic exponential Exp, we just need show that

$$(3.15) \quad \sum_{i=1}^4 \sum_{l=1}^{r_i} \frac{[t_{i_1} t_{i_2}][t_{i_1} t_{i_3}][t_{i_2} t_{i_3}]}{[t_{i_1}][t_{i_2}][t_{i_3}]} \frac{1}{[\kappa_i^{\frac{1}{2}} q_{il}][\kappa_i^{\frac{1}{2}} q_{il}^{-1}]} = - \frac{[t_1 t_2][t_1 t_3][t_2 t_3]}{[t_1][t_2][t_3][t_4]} \frac{[\kappa_{\bar{r}}]}{[\kappa_{\bar{r}}^{\frac{1}{2}} q][\kappa_{\bar{r}}^{\frac{1}{2}} q^{-1}]},$$

where  $\{i_1, i_2, i_3\}$  are the three indices in  $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$  different from  $i$  and we define

$$q_{il} = q \kappa_i^{-\frac{r_i-1}{2}+l} \prod_{j=1}^4 \kappa_j^{\frac{r_j \cdot \text{sgn}(i-j)}{2}}.$$

By the relations  $t_1 t_2 t_3 t_4 = 1$  and  $\kappa_i = t_i^{-1}$ , the claim (3.15) is equivalent to

$$(3.16) \quad \sum_{i=1}^4 \sum_{l=1}^{r_i} \frac{[\kappa_i]}{[\kappa_i^{\frac{1}{2}} q_{il}][\kappa_i^{\frac{1}{2}} q_{il}^{-1}]} = \frac{[\kappa_{\bar{r}}]}{[\kappa_{\bar{r}}^{\frac{1}{2}} q][\kappa_{\bar{r}}^{\frac{1}{2}} q^{-1}]}.$$

We prove a slightly more general statement: let  $x_1, \dots, x_r$  be variables, and let  $q_i = q \prod_{j=1}^r x_j^{\frac{\text{sgn}(i-j)}{2}}$ . Then we claim that

$$(3.17) \quad \sum_{i=1}^r \frac{[x_i]}{[x_i^{\frac{1}{2}} q_i][x_i^{\frac{1}{2}} q_i^{-1}]} = \frac{[\prod_{i=1}^r x_i]}{[\prod_{i=1}^r x_i^{\frac{1}{2}} q][\prod_{i=1}^r x_i^{\frac{1}{2}} q^{-1}]}.$$

Clearly, (3.16) follows by (3.17) by setting  $x_1, \dots, x_{r_1} = \kappa_1, \dots, x_{r_1+r_2+r_3+1} = \dots = x_r = \kappa_4$ .

We prove (3.17) by induction on  $r$ . If  $r = 1$ , the claim is trivial, and if  $r = 2$ , it is easy to check it holds. So let  $r \geq 3$  and assume it holds for  $r - 2$ . For  $a = 1, \dots, r - 2$  set

$$\begin{aligned} y_a &= x_{a+1}, \\ p &= q x_1^{\frac{1}{2}} x_r^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \\ p_a &= p \prod_{b=1}^{r-2} y_b^{\frac{\text{sgn}(a-b)}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^r \frac{[x_i]}{[x_i^{\frac{1}{2}} q_i][x_i^{\frac{1}{2}} q_i^{-1}]} &= \sum_{a=1}^{r-2} \frac{[y_a]}{[y_a^{\frac{1}{2}} p_a][y_a^{\frac{1}{2}} p_a^{-1}]} + \frac{[x_1]}{[x_1^{\frac{1}{2}} q_1][x_1^{\frac{1}{2}} q_1^{-1}]} + \frac{[x_r]}{[x_r^{\frac{1}{2}} q_r][x_r^{\frac{1}{2}} q_r^{-1}]} \\ &= \frac{[\prod_{a=1}^{r-2} y_a]}{[\prod_{a=1}^{r-2} y_a^{\frac{1}{2}} p][\prod_{a=1}^{r-2} y_a^{\frac{1}{2}} p^{-1}]} + \frac{[x_1]}{[x_1^{\frac{1}{2}} q_1][x_1^{\frac{1}{2}} q_1^{-1}]} + \frac{[x_r]}{[x_r^{\frac{1}{2}} q_r][x_r^{\frac{1}{2}} q_r^{-1}]}, \end{aligned}$$

where in the last step we used the inductive step. Notice, in particular, that the last expression just depends on  $x_1, x_r, \tilde{x} = \prod_{i=2}^{r-1} x_i, q$ , and therefore (3.17) reduces to

$$\frac{[\prod_{a=1}^{r-2} y_a]}{[\prod_{a=1}^{r-2} y_a^{\frac{1}{2}} p][\prod_{a=1}^{r-2} y_a^{\frac{1}{2}} p^{-1}]} + \frac{[x_1]}{[x_1^{\frac{1}{2}} q_1][x_1^{\frac{1}{2}} q_1^{-1}]} + \frac{[x_r]}{[x_r^{\frac{1}{2}} q_r][x_r^{\frac{1}{2}} q_r^{-1}]} = \frac{[\prod_{i=1}^r x_i]}{[\prod_{i=1}^r x_i^{\frac{1}{2}} q][\prod_{i=1}^r x_i^{\frac{1}{2}} q^{-1}]},$$

which is a formal identity depending on the four variables  $x_i, x_r, \tilde{x}, q$ , and can therefore be directly checked by comparing left-hand-side and right-hand-side<sup>9</sup>.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.16.** *Let  $\bar{r} = (r, r, r, r)$ . Then for  $n > 0$  there is a vanishing*

$$\mathcal{Z}_{\bar{r}, n} = 0.$$

*Proof.* From  $\bar{r} = (r, r, r, r)$  and  $t_1 t_2 t_3 t_4 = 1$  it follows that  $\kappa_{\bar{r}} = 1$ . Therefore

$$\frac{[\kappa_{\bar{r}}]}{[\kappa_{\bar{r}}^{\frac{1}{2}} q][\kappa_{\bar{r}}^{\frac{1}{2}} q^{-1}]} = 0,$$

and by Theorem 3.15 it follows that the partition function of tetrahedron instantons is  $\mathcal{Z}_{\bar{r}}(q) = 1$ .  $\square$

<sup>9</sup>To be precise, we checked that this identity holds with the help of Mathematica.

**Remark 3.17.** The vanishing of the instanton partition function for tuples of the form  $(r, r, r, r)$  yields non-trivial relations among the coefficients of  $\mathcal{Z}^{(i)}(q)$ . For instance, if we choose  $\bar{r} = (1, 1, 1, 1)$  and denote by  $\mathcal{Z}_n^{(i)}$  the  $n$ -th coefficient of  $\mathcal{Z}^{(i)}(q)$ , we obtain the relation

$$t_1^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{Z}_1^{(1)} + t_1^{-1} t_2^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{Z}_1^{(2)} + t_1^{-1} t_2^{-1} t_3^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{Z}_1^{(3)} + t_1^{-1} t_2^{-1} t_3^{-1} t_4^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{Z}_1^{(4)} = 0.$$

This provides a new method to generate identities among classical rank 1 Donaldson-Thomas invariants of  $\mathbb{C}^3$ .

**3.6. Cohomological limit.** Let  $\bar{r} = (r_1, \dots, r_4)$  and  $n \geq 0$ . By Corollary 2.5, the moduli space of tetrahedron instantons is endowed with a  $\mathbf{T}$ -equivariant *virtual fundamental class*  $[\mathcal{M}_{\bar{r}, n}]^{\text{vir}} \in A_*^{\mathbf{T}}(\mathcal{M}_{\bar{r}, n}, \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}])$ . We define the  $\mathbf{T}$ -equivariant invariants

$$\mathcal{Z}_{\bar{r}, n}^{\text{coh}} = \int_{[\mathcal{M}_{\bar{r}, n}]^{\text{vir}}} 1 \in \frac{\mathbb{Q}(s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4, v)}{(s_1 + s_2 + s_3 + s_4)},$$

where  $s_1, \dots, s_4, v_{11}, \dots, v_{4r_4}$  are the generators of  $\mathbf{T}$ -equivariant Chow cohomology  $A_*^{\mathbf{T}}(\text{pt})$ . In other words, they can be realized as  $s_i = c_1(t_i), v_{il} = c_i(w_{il})$ , i.e. the first Chern classes of the irreducible representations generating  $K_{\mathbf{T}}^0(\text{pt})$ .

Since  $\mathcal{M}_{\bar{r}, n}$  is not proper, one cannot directly define invariants via proper push-forward in equivariant cohomology. Instead, since the fixed locus  $\mathcal{M}_{\bar{r}, n}^{\mathbf{T}}$  is proper, we define invariants as the composition

$$\int_{[\mathcal{M}_{\bar{r}, n}]^{\text{vir}}} : A_*^{\mathbf{T}}(\mathcal{M}_{\bar{r}, n}, \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}]) \rightarrow A_*^{\mathbf{T}}(\mathcal{M}_{\bar{r}, n})_{\text{loc}} \xrightarrow{\sim} A_*^{\mathbf{T}}(\mathcal{M}_{\bar{r}, n}^{\mathbf{T}})_{\text{loc}} \rightarrow A_*^{\mathbf{T}}(\text{pt})_{\text{loc}}.$$

Here, the first map is a suitable localisation of  $A_*^{\mathbf{T}}(\mathcal{M}_{\bar{r}, n}, \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}])$ , the second map is Edidin-Graham's abstract localisation [31] and the third map is the proper pushforward on  $\mathcal{M}_{\bar{r}, n}^{\mathbf{T}}$  (extended to the localisation).

We define the *cohomological tetrahedron instanton partition function* as the generating series

$$\mathcal{Z}_{\bar{r}}^{\text{coh}}(q) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{Z}_{\bar{r}, n}^{\text{coh}} \cdot q^n \in \frac{\mathbb{Q}(s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4, v)}{(s_1 + s_2 + s_3 + s_4)}[[q]].$$

By Oh-Thomas localisation theorem in equivariant cohomology [59] we can compute the invariants via  $\mathbf{T}$ -equivariant residues on the fixed locus

$$\int_{[\mathcal{M}_{\bar{r}, n}]^{\text{vir}}} 1 = \int_{[\mathcal{M}_{\bar{r}, n}^{\mathbf{T}}]^{\text{vir}}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{e}(N^{\text{vir}})},$$

where  $e(\cdot)$  is the ( $\mathbf{T}$ -equivariant) Euler class and  $\sqrt{e}(\cdot)$  is the ( $\mathbf{T}$ -equivariant) square-root Euler class of Edidin-Graham [30] (cf. also [59, Sec. 3.1]). Therefore the tetrahedron partition function is given by

$$\mathcal{Z}_{\bar{r}}^{\text{coh}}(q) = \sum_{\bar{\pi}} (-1)^{o_{\bar{\pi}}} \sqrt{e}(-T_{\bar{\pi}}^{\text{vir}}) \cdot q^{|\bar{\pi}|},$$

where the sum runs over tuples  $\bar{\pi} = (\bar{\pi}_1, \dots, \bar{\pi}_4)$ , where each  $\bar{\pi}_i$  is an  $r_i$ -tuple of plane partitions,  $T_{\bar{\pi}}^{\text{vir}}$  is the virtual tangent bundle at the fixed point corresponding to  $\bar{\pi}$  via the correspondence in Proposition 3.3 and  $(-1)^{o_{\bar{\pi}}}$  is the sign of the induced virtual fundamental class.

Similarly to the  $K$ -theoretic setting, we can compute the square root Euler class of  $T_{\bar{\pi}}^{\text{vir}}$  using the square root  $v_{\bar{\pi}}$  and obtain

$$\mathcal{Z}_{\bar{r}}^{\text{coh}}(q) = \sum_{\bar{\pi}} (-1)^{o_{\bar{\pi}}} e(-v_{\bar{\pi}}) \cdot q^{|\bar{\pi}|}.$$

We explicitly describe how the Euler class  $e(\cdot)$  acts on a virtual  $\mathbf{T}$ -representation. For an irreducible representation  $t_1^{\mu_1} \cdots t_4^{\mu_4} w_{11}^{\mu_{11}} \cdots w_{4r_4}^{\mu_{4r_4}}$ , we have

$$e(t_1^{\mu_1} \cdots t_4^{\mu_4} w_{11}^{\mu_{11}} \cdots w_{4r_4}^{\mu_{4r_4}}) = \mu_1 s_1 + \cdots + \mu_4 s_4 + \mu_{11} v_{11} + \cdots + \mu_{4r_4} v_{4r_4},$$

and is linear  $e(t^\mu \pm t^\nu) = e(t^\mu)e(t^\nu)^{\pm 1}$ , as long as  $\nu$  is not the trivial weight. To ease the notation we set  $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_4, \mu_{11}, \dots, \mu_{4r_4})$  and  $s = (s_1, \dots, s_4, v_{11}, \dots, s_{4r_4})$ , and write  $e(t^\mu) = \mu \cdot s$  where the product is the usual scalar product.

As explained in [33, Sec. 7.1], one should think of  $e(\cdot)$  as the *linearization* of  $[\cdot]$ , since

$$[t^\mu]_{t_i=e^{bs_i}, w_{il}=e^{bv_{il}}} = e^{\frac{b\mu \cdot s}{2}}(1 - e^{-b\mu \cdot s}) = be(t^\mu) + O(b^2).$$

In particular, if  $V$  is a  $\mathbf{T}$ -representation of rank 0, the limit  $b \rightarrow 0$  is well-defined and yields

$$(3.18) \quad \lim_{b \rightarrow 0} [V]_{t_i=e^{bs_i}, w_{il}=e^{bv_{il}}} = e(V).$$

Since the vertex term  $v_{\bar{\tau}}$  has rank 0, this identity tells us that the cohomological tetrahedron instanton partition function  $\mathcal{Z}_{\bar{\tau}}^{\text{coh}}(q)$  is a limit<sup>10</sup> of the tetrahedron instanton partition function  $\mathcal{Z}_{\bar{\tau}}(q)$ .

**Corollary 3.18.** *Let  $\bar{\tau} = (r_1, \dots, r_4)$  and  $r = \sum_{i=1}^4 r_i$ . We have*

$$\mathcal{Z}_{\bar{\tau}}^{\text{coh}}(q) = M((-1)^r q)^{-\frac{(s_1+s_2)(s_1+s_3)(s_2+s_3)(r_1s_1+r_2s_2+r_3s_3+r_4s_4)}{s_1s_2s_3s_4}},$$

where  $M(q)$  is the MacMahon series (3.1).

*Proof.* By the limit (3.18), we can compute the cohomological instanton partition function as

$$\mathcal{Z}_{\bar{\tau}}^{\text{coh}}(q) = \lim_{b \rightarrow 0} \mathcal{Z}_{\bar{\tau}}(q)|_{t_i=e^{bs_i}}.$$

Notice in particular that the independence of  $\mathcal{Z}_{\bar{\tau}}(q)$  from the framing parameters  $w_{il}$  directly implies the independence of  $\mathcal{Z}_{\bar{\tau}}^{\text{coh}}(q)$  from the variables  $v_{il}$ . Set  $\bar{\tau} \cdot s = r_1s_1 + r_2s_2 + r_3s_3 + r_4s_4$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{b \rightarrow 0} \mathcal{Z}_{\bar{\tau}}(q)|_{t_i=e^{bs_i}} &= \lim_{b \rightarrow 0} \exp \left( \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{n} \frac{[e^{bns_1}e^{bns_2}][e^{bns_1}e^{bns_3}][e^{bns_2}e^{bns_3}]}{[e^{bns_1}][e^{bns_2}][e^{bns_3}][e^{bns_3}]} \frac{[e^{bn\bar{\tau} \cdot s}]}{[e^{\frac{bn\bar{\tau} \cdot s}{2}}q][e^{\frac{bn\bar{\tau} \cdot s}{2}}q^{-1}]} \right) \\ &= \exp \left( \frac{(s_1+s_2)(s_1+s_3)(s_2+s_3)(r_1s_1+r_2s_2+r_3s_3+r_4s_4)}{s_1s_2s_3s_4} \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{n} \frac{-q^n}{(1-q^n)^2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Recall the plethystic expression for the MacMahon series

$$M(q) = \text{Exp} \left( \frac{q}{(1-q)^2} \right),$$

by which we conclude that

$$\lim_{b \rightarrow 0} \mathcal{Z}_{\bar{\tau}}(q)|_{t_i=e^{bs_i}} = M((-1)^r q)^{-\frac{(s_1+s_2)(s_1+s_3)(s_2+s_3)(r_1s_1+r_2s_2+r_3s_3+r_4s_4)}{s_1s_2s_3s_4}}.$$

□

**3.7. Witten genus.** For a scheme endowed with a perfect obstruction theory in the sense of Behrend-Fantechi [6], the authors [33] introduced the *virtual chiral elliptic genus*, inspired by the work of Benini-Bonelli-Poggi-Tanzini in String Theory [7]. In the context of [33], the *chirality* refers to the novel feature of extracting square roots of the virtual canonical bundle, as opposed to the more classical *virtual elliptic genus* of Fantechi-Göttsche [32]. From the complex-analytic point of view, the virtual chiral elliptic genus is the virtual analogue of the *Witten genus*, which refines the  $\widehat{A}$ -genus (cf. [69]), while the (virtual) elliptic genus refines the (virtual)  $\chi_y$ -genus.

More recently, for a moduli space of sheaves on a Calabi-Yau fourfold, Bojko [11, Def. 5.8] defined the *DT4 Witten genus*, which can be seen as the generalization of the virtual chiral elliptic genus to virtual structures à la Oh-Thomas. We adapt his notion to our setting to define the *virtual Witten genus* of the moduli space of tetrahedron instantons.

<sup>10</sup>Alternatively, we could show that the cohomological invariants are the limit of the  $K$ -theoretic invariants using virtual Riemann-Roch [59, Thm. 6.1] (cf. [19, Thm. 6.4]).



For a vector bundle  $F$  on a scheme  $X$ , define the *total symmetric power* and *total exterior power*

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Sym}_p^\bullet F &= \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} p^n \mathrm{Sym}^n F \in K^0(X)[[p]], \\ \Lambda_p^\bullet F &= \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} p^n \Lambda^n F \in K^0(X)[p],\end{aligned}$$

and extend them by linearity to  $K^0(X)$ . They satisfy

$$\mathrm{Sym}_p^\bullet F = \frac{1}{\Lambda_p^\bullet F}.$$

**Definition 3.19.** Let  $\bar{\tau} = (r_1, \dots, r_4)$ . The *virtual Witten genus* of  $\mathcal{M}_{\bar{\tau}, n}$  is defined as

$$\mathcal{Z}_{\bar{\tau}, n}^{\mathrm{ell}} = \chi \left( \mathcal{M}_{\bar{\tau}, n}, \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{M}_{\bar{\tau}, n}}^{\mathrm{vir}} \otimes \bigotimes_{n \geq 1} \mathrm{Sym}_p^\bullet \left( T_{\mathcal{M}_{\bar{\tau}, n}}^{\mathrm{vir}} \right) \right) \in \frac{\mathbb{Q}(t_1^{\frac{1}{2}}, t_2^{\frac{1}{2}}, t_3^{\frac{1}{2}}, t_4^{\frac{1}{2}}, w^{\frac{1}{2}})}{(t_1 t_2 t_3 t_4 - 1)} [[p]].$$

We refer to  $p$  as the *elliptic parameter* and define the *elliptic tetrahedron instanton partition function* as the generating series

$$\mathcal{Z}_{\bar{\tau}}^{\mathrm{ell}}(q) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{Z}_{\bar{\tau}, n}^{\mathrm{ell}} \cdot q^n \in \frac{\mathbb{Q}(t_1^{\frac{1}{2}}, t_2^{\frac{1}{2}}, t_3^{\frac{1}{2}}, t_4^{\frac{1}{2}}, w^{\frac{1}{2}})}{(t_1 t_2 t_3 t_4 - 1)} [[p, q]].$$

As for the case of  $K$ -theoretic invariants, the moduli space  $\mathcal{M}_{\bar{\tau}, n}$  is not proper, but its torus fixed locus  $\mathcal{M}_{\bar{\tau}, n}^{\mathbf{T}}$  is, so we define the invariants via equivariant residues (3.3).

The *Jacobi theta function*  $\theta(p; y)$  and the *Dedekind eta function*  $\eta(p)$  are defined as

$$\begin{aligned}\theta(p; y) &= -ip^{1/8}(y^{1/2} - y^{-1/2}) \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - p^n)(1 - yp^n)(1 - y^{-1}p^n), \\ \eta(p) &= p^{\frac{1}{24}} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - p^n),\end{aligned}$$

and denote  $\theta(\tau|z) := \theta(e^{2\pi i\tau}; e^{2\pi iz})$ . If we set  $p = e^{2\pi i\tau}$ , with  $\tau \in \mathbb{H} = \{\tau \in \mathbb{C} \mid \mathrm{Im}(\tau) > 0\}$ , then  $\theta$  enjoys the modular behaviour

$$\theta(\tau|z + a + b\tau) = (-1)^{a+b} e^{-2\pi ibz} e^{-i\pi b^2 \tau} \theta(\tau|z), \quad a, b \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

See [33, Sec. 8.1] and [32, Sec. 6] for a related discussion on the modularity of these functions. For an irreducible  $\mathbf{T}$ -representation  $t^\mu$ , define (cf. [33, Sec. 8.1])

$$\theta[t^\mu] = (i \cdot \eta(p))^{-1} \theta(p; t^\mu) \in K_{\mathbf{T}}^0(\mathrm{pt})[[p]][[p^{\pm \frac{1}{12}}]]$$

and extend it by linearity to any  $V \in K_{\mathbf{T}}^0(\mathrm{pt})$ . Notice that  $\theta[t^\mu]$  satisfies  $\theta[t^{-\mu}] = -\theta[t^\mu]$  and if  $V$  is a virtual representation such that  $\mathrm{rk} V = 0$ , the elliptic measure refines both  $[\cdot]$  and  $e(\cdot)$

$$\theta[V] \xrightarrow{p \rightarrow 0} [V] \xrightarrow{b \rightarrow 0} e(V).$$

Let  $v_{\bar{\pi}}$  our preferred square root of the virtual tangent space  $T_{\bar{\pi}}^{\mathrm{vir}}$  at the  $\mathbf{T}$ -fixed point corresponding to  $\bar{\pi}$  and recall that the sign appearing in the localisation satisfies  $(-1)^{\sigma_{\bar{\pi}}} = 1$ . By Oh-Thomas localisation [59], we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{Z}_{\bar{\tau}}^{\mathrm{ell}}(q) &= \sum_{\bar{\pi}} \frac{\prod_{n \geq 1} \mathrm{Sym}_p^\bullet (T_{\bar{\pi}}^{\mathrm{vir}})}{\sqrt{\epsilon(T_{\bar{\pi}}^{\mathrm{vir}})}} \cdot q^{|\bar{\pi}|} \\ &= \sum_{\bar{\pi}} \frac{\prod_{n \geq 1} \mathrm{Sym}_p^\bullet (v_{\bar{\pi}} + \overline{v_{\bar{\pi}}})}{\epsilon(v_{\bar{\pi}}) \cdot (\det v_{\bar{\pi}})^{\frac{1}{2}}} \cdot q^{|\bar{\pi}|} \\ &= \sum_{\bar{\pi}} \theta[-v_{\bar{\pi}}] \cdot q^{|\bar{\pi}|},\end{aligned}$$

where the last line follows from the explicit computation in [33, pag. 34].

**Remark 3.20.** The elliptic tetrahedron instanton partition function  $\mathcal{Z}_r^{\text{ell}}(q)$  does in general depend on the framing parameters  $w_{il}$ , differently from its  $K$ -theoretical and cohomological analogues, where a rigidity principle applies. See [33, Ex. 8.7] for the case of  $r = (0, 0, 0, 3)$  and  $n = 1$ .

**Remark 3.21.** We discussed in [33, Sec. 8.3] — in the context of higher rank Donaldson-Thomas theory — how specialising the equivariant parameters to roots of unity yields, once more, a rigidity phenomenon for which the dependence on the elliptic parameter disappears and the partition function is computed explicitly [33, Thm. 8.11]. We expect a similar phenomenon to hold for the elliptic tetrahedron instanton partition function, where one suitably specialises the equivariant parameters  $t_1, \dots, t_4$  to  $r_i$ -roots of unity. See [33, Rem. 8.12] for its physical role from the point of view of supersymmetry.

**3.8. Pomoni-Yan-Zhang.** As we pointed out in § 1.1, our work aims to give a geometrisation of the moduli spaces originally considered in [62], to get a better understanding of their structure and the relation they bear to enumerative theories already extensively studied. In loc. cit., the authors gave a description of a moduli space of BPS solutions to the D1-brane low-energy effective theory of a system of D1-D7-branes on the type IIB supersymmetric background  $X = \mathbb{R}^{1,1} \times \mathbb{R}^8$ . In particular, a stack of  $n$  coincident D1-branes probes a configuration  $r = r_1 + r_2 + r_3 + r_4$  of intersecting D7-branes, where each stack of  $r_i$  coincident D7-branes wraps an irreducible component of the boundary toric divisor  $\Delta \subset \mathbb{C}^4 \cong \mathbb{R}^8$ . The resulting low-energy effective theory on the D1-branes is a so-called  $2d \mathcal{N} = (0, 2)$  quiver Gauged Linear Sigma Model, whose partition function can be explicitly computed by means of supersymmetric localisation as in [8, 9]. The advantage of this procedure is that it does not require an in-depth understanding of the moduli space at hand, as the partition function of the theory is only dependent on the field content (which is entirely encoded in the datum of the quiver and possibly the relations), and it is expressed in terms of so-called Jeffrey-Kirwan residues [39], via a well-defined procedure. In this section, we will briefly describe the constructions in loc. cit., and explain how the connection to geometry is drawn.

In [62, Sec. 2], the moduli space of tetrahedron instantons is introduced via the study of vacua of the low-energy theory of the stack of D1-branes in the background of the D7-branes. In particular, the effective theory has a family of classical vacua, which are characterised by the vanishing of the scalar potential and are parametrised by the symplectic quotient by the unitary group  $U_n$

$$\mathfrak{M}_{\vec{r}, n}(\zeta) := \mu_{\mathbb{R}}^{-1}(\zeta) \cap \mu_{\mathbb{C}}^{-1}(0) \cap \sigma^{-1}(0) / U_n, \quad \zeta \in \mathfrak{u}_n^*,$$

where the moment map  $\mu_{\mathbb{R}} : \mathfrak{R}_{\vec{r}, n} \rightarrow \mathfrak{u}_n$  is defined by

$$\mu_{\mathbb{R}}(B_1, \dots, B_4, I_1, \dots, I_4) = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} \left( \sum_{a=1}^4 [B_a, B_a^\dagger] + \sum_{i=1}^4 I_i I_i^\dagger \right),$$

while the maps  $\mu_{\mathbb{C}} = (\mu_{\mathbb{C}})_{a,b}$ , for  $a, b = 1, \dots, 4$  and  $\sigma = (\sigma)_i$ , for  $i = 1, \dots, 4$ , act on representations in  $\mathfrak{R}_{\vec{r}, n}$  as

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{\mathbb{C}}(B_1, \dots, B_4, I_1, \dots, I_4) &= ([B_a, B_b])_{a,b=1,\dots,4}, \\ \sigma(B_1, \dots, B_4, I_1, \dots, I_4) &= (B_i I_i)_{i=1,\dots,4}. \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 2.3 and [52, Cor. 3.22], there is a bijection between the symplectic quotient  $\mathfrak{M}_{\vec{r}, n}(\sqrt{-1}d\chi)$  and (the closed points of) the Quot scheme  $\text{Quot}_{\Delta}(\mathcal{E}, n)$ , the latter being realised as a GIT quotient with respect to the  $\text{GL}_n$ -character  $\chi$ . Moreover, in [62, § 4.3.2, § 4.3.3], a stratification of the one-instanton moduli space  $\mathcal{M}_{\vec{r}, 1}$  is obtained from the gauge-theoretic description derived from physics. This stratification agrees with our Example 2.1, where it is also interpreted geometrically in terms of blow-ups.

The  $n$ -instantons contribution to the partition function of the two-dimensional low-energy theory on the worldvolume of the D1-branes is then captured by a refinement of the Witten index. This is known in physics as *elliptic genus*, and is defined as in [62, Eq. (163)]. Notably, this refined index

can be computed exactly for two-dimensional Gauged Linear Sigma Models via supersymmetric localisation (cf. [8, Eq. (3.7)] for rank-1 gauge groups and [9, Eq. (2.27)] for general rank), and its evaluation involves the computation of certain equivariant residues, the Jeffrey-Kirwan residues [39], which are only dependent on the (bosonic) field content of the theory through their 1-loop contribution to the path integral formulation of the elliptic genus. When the moduli space engineered by the BPS vacua of the  $2d \mathcal{N} = (0, 2)$  Gauged Linear Sigma Model is equipped with a perfect obstruction theory in the sense of Li-Tian [43] and Behrend-Fantechi [6], the physical elliptic genus has a geometric realisation as the (*virtual*) *chiral elliptic genus*, first computed in the context of DT theory in [7], and later defined in [33]. In the case of tetrahedron instantons, however, a perfect obstruction theory is not available, but virtual structures à la Oh-Thomas are induced by the zero-locus construction of Thm. 2.3. Then the elliptic genus computed in [62, Eqs. (211)-(214)] is recovered exactly from our computation of the virtual Witten genus in § 3.7. In this sense, one might view supersymmetric localisation as nothing but an infinite-dimensional analogue of equivariant localisation. However, despite the undoubted advantage that an in-depth knowledge of the structure of the moduli space of BPS vacua is not required for the calculation of the elliptic invariants in Def. 3.19, carried out as in [62, § 5], it nevertheless overshadows some interesting features which might be worth pointing out. Indeed, the fact that the virtual structure on  $\mathcal{M}_{\vec{\tau}, n}$  is induced, via the seminal work of Oh-Thomas [59], by the construction in Thm. 2.3, means that the invariants computed in K-theory by localisation will depend on a choice of orientation via a sign, cf. § 3.3. This sign, however, is not manifestly present in [62, §§ 5-6]. Moreover, the choice of a square root of the virtual tangent bundle as in § 3.3 is not unique, but the couple square root/sign is somewhat canonical (cf. Thm. A.1 and [46]). Therefore, while the character  $\chi_{\vec{\tau}}$  in [62, Eq. (147)] is a good choice for a square root of the virtual tangent space at the points in the fixed locus  $\mathcal{M}_{\vec{\tau}, n}^{\mathbb{T}}$ , it is not the only possible one (a different choice being given by our vertex term  $\mathbf{v}_{\vec{\tau}}$  in Eq. 3.8), and in the computation of the invariants [62, Eq. (153)], it should in principle be accompanied by the additional choice of an appropriate sign. Similarly, the observation in loc. cit. that the partition function thereby computed is invariant only up to a sign under permutations of the  $\kappa_i$ , is a shadow of the same phenomenon.

#### APPENDIX A. THE SIGN RULE

We provide in this appendix a proof of Theorem 3.7, which computes the correct sign for each localised contribution of the tetrahedron instantons partition function. Our proof relies on two results of Kool-Rennemo, whose proofs will appear in their forthcoming paper [42].

Let a scheme  $Z$

$$Z := Z(s) \xleftarrow{\iota} \mathcal{A} \quad \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{E} \\ \downarrow \left. \vphantom{\downarrow} \right\} s \end{array}$$

be the zero locus of an isotropic section  $s \in \Gamma(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{E})$ , where  $\mathcal{E}$  is a  $SO(2r, \mathbb{C})$ -bundle over a smooth quasi-projective variety  $\mathcal{A}$ , so that  $Z$  is endowed with the obstruction theory (2.1). Assume that the orientation of  $\mathcal{E}$  is induced by the choice of a maximal isotropic subbundle  $\Lambda \subset \mathcal{E}$ , which induces a projection

$$p_{\Lambda} : \mathcal{E}^* \rightarrow \Lambda.$$

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be acted by an algebraic torus  $\mathbb{T}$ , such that  $(\mathcal{E}, q)$  is  $\mathbb{T}$ -equivariant and the  $\mathbb{T}$ -action restricts to  $Z$ , which implies that the obstruction theory  $\mathbb{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_Z$  is  $\mathbb{T}$ -equivariant and  $Z$  is endowed with a  $\mathbb{T}$ -equivariant virtual structure sheaf  $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_Z^{\text{vir}}$ . Finally, we assume that the fixed locus  $Z^{\mathbb{T}}$  is reduced, zero-dimensional, and such that the fibre of the obstruction theory  $\mathbb{E}|_p$  is  $\mathbb{T}$ -movable, for every fixed point  $p \in Z^{\mathbb{T}}$ .

**Theorem A.1** (Kool-Rennemo [42]). *We have that*

$$\chi\left(Z, \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_Z^{\text{vir}}\right) = \sum_{p \in Z^{\mathbb{T}}} (-1)^{\psi_p} [-T_{\mathcal{A}}|_p + \Lambda|_p],$$

where the sign is defined as

$$\psi_p = \dim\left(\text{coker}(T_{\mathcal{A}}|_p \xrightarrow{(p_{\Lambda \circ ds})|_p} \Lambda|_p)^{\text{fix}}\right) \pmod{2}.$$

Notice that the sign  $(-1)^{\psi_p}$  in Theorem A.1 depends on the chosen maximal isotropic subbundle  $\Lambda$ , which affects the orientation on  $Z$  and therefore the one on the fixed locus  $Z^{\mathbb{T}}$ .

The assumptions of Theorem A.1 are clearly satisfied by the  $\mathbf{T}$ -action on the moduli space of tetrahedron instantons  $\mathcal{M}_{\bar{\pi}, n}$ , whose orientation is induced by the maximal isotropic subbundle  $\Lambda \subset \mathcal{L}$  as constructed in Section 2.4. Let  $\bar{\pi}$  be a tuple of plane partitions corresponding to a fixed point of  $\mathcal{M}_{\bar{\pi}, n}^{\mathbf{T}}$ . Then

$$\mathcal{L}|_{\bar{\pi}} = \Lambda^2 \mathbb{C}^4 \otimes \text{Hom}(Q_{\bar{\pi}}, Q_{\bar{\pi}}) \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^4 \text{Hom}(K_i \cdot t_i, Q_{\bar{\pi}}) \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^4 \text{Hom}(K_i \cdot t_i, Q_{\bar{\pi}})^*$$

is a vector space, endowed with a non-degenerate quadratic pairing, with maximal isotropic subbundle

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda|_{\bar{\pi}} &= \tilde{\Lambda}|_{\bar{\pi}} \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^4 \text{Hom}(K_i \cdot t_i, Q_{\bar{\pi}})^* \\ \tilde{\Lambda}|_{\bar{\pi}} &= (\langle e_4 \rangle \wedge \mathbb{C}^3) \otimes \text{Hom}(Q_{\bar{\pi}}, Q_{\bar{\pi}}), \end{aligned}$$

and isotropic section

$$s|_{\bar{\pi}} = (\tilde{s}|_{\bar{\pi}}, 0) : \Lambda|_{\bar{\pi}} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}|_{\bar{\pi}}.$$

By Theorem A.1, we have that

$$\chi\left(\mathcal{M}_{\bar{\pi}, n}, \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{M}_{\bar{\pi}, n}}^{\text{vir}}\right) = \sum_{\bar{\pi}} (-1)^{\psi_{\bar{\pi}}} [-T_{\mathcal{M}_{\bar{\pi}, n}^{\text{nc}}}|_{\bar{\pi}} + \Lambda|_{\bar{\pi}}],$$

where

$$\psi_{\bar{\pi}} = \dim\left(\text{coker}(T_{\mathcal{M}_{\bar{\pi}, n}^{\text{nc}}}|_p \xrightarrow{(p_{\Lambda \circ ds})|_{\bar{\pi}}} \Lambda|_{\bar{\pi}})^{\text{fix}}\right) \pmod{2}.$$

By Proposition 3.8 the vertex term  $v_{\bar{\pi}}$  is  $\mathbf{T}$ -movable, which implies that the virtual tangent space  $T_{\bar{\pi}}^{\text{vir}}$  is  $\mathbf{T}$ -movable as well. In particular, any other square root of  $T_{\bar{\pi}}^{\text{vir}}$  has to be  $\mathbf{T}$ -movable. Therefore,  $T_{\mathcal{M}_{\bar{\pi}, n}^{\text{nc}}}|_{\bar{\pi}} - \Lambda|_{\bar{\pi}}$  must be a  $\mathbf{T}$ -movable virtual representation.

**Lemma A.2.** *We have*

$$\psi_{\bar{\pi}} = \dim\left(\text{coker}(T_{\mathcal{M}_{\bar{\pi}, n}^{\text{nc}}}|_p \xrightarrow{(p_{\tilde{\Lambda} \circ ds})|_{\bar{\pi}}} \tilde{\Lambda}|_{\bar{\pi}})^{\text{fix}}\right) \pmod{2}.$$

*Proof.* The differential of the isotropic section satisfies  $ds = (d\tilde{s}, 0)$ , therefore

$$\text{coker}(T_{\mathcal{M}_{\bar{\pi}, n}^{\text{nc}}}|_p \xrightarrow{(p_{\Lambda \circ ds})|_{\bar{\pi}}} \Lambda|_{\bar{\pi}}) = \text{coker}(T_{\mathcal{M}_{\bar{\pi}, n}^{\text{nc}}}|_p \xrightarrow{(p_{\tilde{\Lambda} \circ ds})|_{\bar{\pi}}} \tilde{\Lambda}|_{\bar{\pi}}) \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^4 \text{Hom}(K_i \cdot t_i, Q_{\bar{\pi}})^*.$$

The proof follows by the vanishing of the fixed part

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{Hom}(K_i \cdot t_i, Q_{\bar{\pi}}))^{\text{fix}} &= (\overline{K_i \kappa_i} Q_{\bar{\pi}})^{\text{fix}} \\ &= \left( \sum_{j=1}^4 \sum_{\substack{1 \leq l \leq r_i \\ 1 \leq k \leq r_j}} w_{il}^{-1} w_{jk} \kappa_i Z_{\pi_{jk}} \right)^{\text{fix}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \left( \sum_{l=1}^{r_i} \kappa_i Z_{\pi_{il}} \right)^{\text{fix}} \\
&= 0,
\end{aligned}$$

since  $Z_{\pi_{il}}$  is a polynomial in the variables  $t_{i_1}, t_{i_2}, t_{i_3}$ , where  $\{i_1, i_2, i_3\}$  are the three indices in  $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$  different from  $i$ .  $\square$

The vanishing in the proof of Lemma A.2 readily implies that  $T_{\mathcal{M}_{\bar{\pi}, n}^{\text{nc}}} |_{\bar{\pi} - \tilde{\Lambda} |_{\bar{\pi}}}$  is a  $\mathbf{T}$ -movable virtual representation.

For every tuple of plane partitions  $\bar{\pi}$ , define the Laurent polynomial

$$\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_{\bar{\pi}} = \overline{K} Q_{\bar{\pi}} - \overline{P}_{123} Q_{\bar{\pi}} \overline{Q}_{\bar{\pi}}.$$

The vertex term  $\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_{\bar{\pi}}$  is the higher rank version of the vertex term of Cao-Kool-Monavari [18, Eqn. (18)], used in loc. cit. to compute the Donaldson-Thomas invariants of  $\mathbb{C}^4$ , and is easily seen to be  $\mathbf{T}$ -movable by [46, Lemma 2.4]. Kool-Rennemo [42] exploited this vertex term to prove the closed formula for Donaldson-Thomas invariants of  $\mathbb{C}^4$  conjectured by Nekrasov-Piazzalunga [57], by explicitly computing the corresponding sign for every localised contribution. Notice that the immersion

$$\mathcal{M}_{\bar{\pi}, n} \hookrightarrow \text{Quot}_{\mathbb{C}^4}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^4}^r, n)$$

induces a closed immersion of their  $\mathbf{T}$ -fixed locus

$$\mathcal{M}_{\bar{\pi}, n}^{\mathbf{T}} \hookrightarrow \text{Quot}_{\mathbb{C}^4}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^4}^r, n)^{\mathbf{T}}.$$

The right-hand-side is reduced, zero-dimensional and in bijection with tuples of *solid partitions* of size  $n$ , which can be seen as *box arrangements* in  $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^4$  (cf. [46, Sec. 2.1] for the rank 1 case), generalizing plane partitions to one dimension higher. Under this identification, a tuple of plane partitions  $\bar{\pi} = (\bar{\pi}_i)_{i=1, \dots, 4}$  can be seen as a tuple of solid partitions, such that each plane partition  $\pi_{il}$  – for  $i = 1, \dots, 4$  and  $l = 1, \dots, r_i$  – is seen as a solid partition with the  $i$ -coordinate equal to zero.

A key step of the computation of Kool-Rennemo [42] yields the following combinatorial result.

**Proposition A.3** (Kool-Rennemo [42]). *Let  $\bar{\pi} = (\bar{\pi}_1, \dots, \bar{\pi}_4)$ , where  $\bar{\pi}_i = (\pi_{i1}, \dots, \pi_{ir_i})$  are tuples of plane partitions. Then*

$$(-1)^{\dim \left( \text{coker}(T_{\mathcal{M}_{\bar{\pi}, n}^{\text{nc}}} |_p \xrightarrow{(p_{\tilde{\Lambda}} \circ d\tilde{s})|_{\bar{\pi}}} \tilde{\Lambda} |_{\bar{\pi}})^{\text{fix}} \right)} [-T_{\mathcal{M}_{\bar{\pi}, n}^{\text{nc}}} |_{\bar{\pi} + \tilde{\Lambda} |_{\bar{\pi}}} = (-1)^{\rho_{\bar{\pi}}} [-\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_{\bar{\pi}}],$$

where the sign is defined as

$$\rho_{\bar{\pi}} = \sum_{i=1}^4 \sum_{l=1}^{r_i} |\{(a, a, a, d) \in \pi_{il} : a < d\}| \pmod{2}.$$

The sign  $\rho_{\bar{\pi}}$  was firstly conjecture to be of this form by Nekrasov-Piazzalunga [57] (cf. [18, 46] for the rank 1 theory). We remark once more that we are seeing here the plane partition  $\pi_{il}$  as a solid partition, via the embedding  $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^3 \subset \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^4$  given by imposing the  $i$ -th coordinate to be zero.

**Corollary A.4.** *We have*

$$(-1)^{\psi_{\bar{\pi}}} [-T_{\mathcal{M}_{\bar{\pi}, n}^{\text{nc}}} |_{\bar{\pi} + \Lambda |_{\bar{\pi}}} = [-\mathbf{v}_{\bar{\pi}}].$$

In particular, the sign  $(-1)^{\sigma_{\bar{\pi}}} = 1$  is constant.

*Proof.* Proposition A.3 yields

$$(-1)^{\psi_{\bar{\pi}}} [-T_{\mathcal{M}_{\bar{\pi}, n}^{\text{nc}}} |_{\bar{\pi} + \Lambda |_{\bar{\pi}}} = (-1)^{\rho_{\bar{\pi}}} [-\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_{\bar{\pi}} + \sum_{i=1}^4 K_i t_i \overline{Q}_{\bar{\pi}}].$$

To conclude the argument, we need to show that

$$(A.1) \quad (-1)^{\rho_{\pi}}[-\tilde{\nu}_{\pi} + \sum_{i=1}^4 K_i t_i \overline{Q_{\pi}}] = [-\nu_{\pi}].$$

By the decomposition of the vertex term (3.10) and Proposition A.3, Equation (A.1) holds if, equivalently, the families of equations (A.2), (A.3) hold. The first one asks that for every  $(i, l)$

$$(A.2) \quad (-1)^{\rho_{\pi_{il}}} [Z_{il} - \overline{P_{123}} Z_{il} \overline{Z_{il}}] = [Z_{il} - \overline{P_{i_1 i_2 i_3}} Z_{il} \overline{Z_{il}}],$$

where the sign is defined as

$$\rho_{\pi_{il}} = |\{(a, a, a, d) \in \pi_{il} : a < d\}| \pmod{2}.$$

The second asks that for every  $(i, l) < (j, k)$

$$(A.3) \quad [(w_{il}^{-1} w_{jk} (Z_{\pi_{jk}} - \kappa_j^{-1} \overline{Z_{\pi_{il}}} - \overline{P_{123}} Z_{\pi_{jk}} \overline{Z_{\pi_{il}}}) + w_{il} w_{jk}^{-1} (Z_{\pi_{il}} - \kappa_i^{-1} \overline{Z_{\pi_{jk}}} - \overline{P_{123}} Z_{\pi_{il}} \overline{Z_{\pi_{jk}}})] \\ = [w_{il}^{-1} w_{jk} (Z_{\pi_{jk}} - \kappa_j^{-1} \overline{Z_{\pi_{il}}} - \overline{P_{j_1 j_2 j_3}} Z_{\pi_{jk}} \overline{Z_{\pi_{il}}}) + w_{il} w_{jk}^{-1} (Z_{\pi_{il}} - \kappa_i^{-1} \overline{Z_{\pi_{jk}}} - \overline{P_{j_1 j_2 j_3}} Z_{\pi_{il}} \overline{Z_{\pi_{jk}}})].$$

Equation (A.3) is equivalent to

$$(A.4) \quad [w_{il}^{-1} w_{jk} \overline{P_{123}} Z_{\pi_{jk}} \overline{Z_{\pi_{il}}} + w_{il} w_{jk}^{-1} \overline{P_{123}} Z_{\pi_{il}} \overline{Z_{\pi_{jk}}}] \\ = [w_{il}^{-1} w_{jk} \overline{P_{j_1 j_2 j_3}} Z_{\pi_{jk}} \overline{Z_{\pi_{il}}} + w_{il} w_{jk}^{-1} \overline{P_{j_1 j_2 j_3}} Z_{\pi_{il}} \overline{Z_{\pi_{jk}}}] .$$

To prove (A.4), we just need to show that

$$w_{il}^{-1} w_{jk} \overline{P_{123}} Z_{\pi_{jk}} \overline{Z_{\pi_{il}}} + w_{il} w_{jk}^{-1} \overline{P_{123}} Z_{\pi_{il}} \overline{Z_{\pi_{jk}}} \\ - \left( w_{il}^{-1} w_{jk} \overline{P_{j_1 j_2 j_3}} Z_{\pi_{jk}} \overline{Z_{\pi_{il}}} + w_{il} w_{jk}^{-1} \overline{P_{j_1 j_2 j_3}} Z_{\pi_{il}} \overline{Z_{\pi_{jk}}} \right) = U - \overline{U},$$

for a certain Laurent polynomial  $U$  such that  $(-1)^{\text{rk } U^{\text{mov}}} = 1$ . In fact, in this case we would have that

$$[w_{il}^{-1} w_{jk} \overline{P_{123}} Z_{\pi_{jk}} \overline{Z_{\pi_{il}}} + w_{il} w_{jk}^{-1} \overline{P_{123}} Z_{\pi_{il}} \overline{Z_{\pi_{jk}}} \\ - \left( w_{il}^{-1} w_{jk} (-\overline{P_{j_1 j_2 j_3}} Z_{\pi_{jk}} \overline{Z_{\pi_{il}}}) + w_{il} w_{jk}^{-1} (-\overline{P_{j_1 j_2 j_3}} Z_{\pi_{il}} \overline{Z_{\pi_{jk}}}) \right)] = \frac{[U^{\text{mov}}]}{[\overline{U^{\text{mov}}}]} \\ = (-1)^{\text{rk } U^{\text{mov}}} = 1,$$

which would show Equation (A.3). Using the Calabi-Yau condition  $t_1 t_2 t_3 t_4 = 1$  it is easy to check that the following identity holds

$$\overline{P_{123}} - \overline{P_{j_1 j_2 j_3}} = -\overline{(\overline{P_{123}} - \overline{P_{j_1 j_2 j_3}})}.$$

Using this relation, we may choose  $U$  of the form

$$U = w_{il}^{-1} w_{jk} Z_{\pi_{jk}} \overline{Z_{\pi_{il}}} (\overline{P_{123}} - \overline{P_{j_1 j_2 j_3}}),$$

which satisfies

$$\text{rk } U^{\text{mov}} = \text{rk } U^{\text{fix}} \pmod{2} \\ = 0,$$

which implies that  $(-1)^{\text{rk } U^{\text{mov}}} = 1$  as requested.

In order to prove (A.2) – for every  $(i, l)$  – we exploit [46, Thm. 2.8]<sup>11</sup>, which proves that

$$(-1)^{\rho_{\pi_{il}}} [Z_{il} - \overline{P_{123}} Z_{il} \overline{Z_{il}}] = (-1)^{\tilde{\rho}_{\pi_{il}}} [Z_{il} - \overline{P_{i_1 i_2 i_3}} Z_{il} \overline{Z_{il}}],$$

where the sign is defined as

$$\tilde{\rho}_{\pi_{il}} = |\{(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4) \in \pi_{il} : a_{i_1} = a_{i_2} = a_{i_3} < a_i\}| \pmod{2}.$$

<sup>11</sup>See [46, Sec. 3.1] for the result [46, Thm. 2.8] spelled out for the  $K$ -theoretic refined invariants and the operator  $[\cdot]$ .

We conclude by noticing that the plane partition  $\pi_{il}$  — when seen as a solid partition — satisfies  $a_i = 0$  for all  $(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4) \in \pi_{il}$ , which implies that  $\tilde{\rho}_{\pi_{il}} = 0$ .  $\square$

**Remark A.5.** In Corollary A.4 we used the computation of the sign of Kool-Rennemo (Proposition A.3), combined with the canonicity of the vertex term [46, Thm. 2.8], to prove that the sign is constantly 1. However, we could in principle directly compute the relevant sign from Theorem A.1, and then show that the resulting sign for our choice of square root  $\sqrt{\pi}$  is constantly 1 by adapting the proof of [46, Thm. 2.8] to our context.

## REFERENCES

1. N. Arbesfeld, *K-theoretic Donaldson-Thomas theory and the Hilbert scheme of points on a surface*, *Algebr. Geom.* **8** (2021), no. 5, 587–625.
2. M. F. Atiyah and R. Bott, *The moment map and equivariant cohomology*, *Topology* **23** (1984), no. 1, 1–28.
3. H. Awata and H. Kanno, *Quiver matrix model and topological partition function in six dimensions*, *J. High Energy Phys.* (2009), no. 7, 076, 23.
4. L. Baulieu, H. Kanno, and I. M. Singer, *Special quantum field theories in eight-dimensions and other dimensions*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **194** (1998), 149–175.
5. S. V. Beentjes and A. T. Ricolfi, *Virtual counts on Quot schemes and the higher rank local DT/PT correspondence*, *Math. Res. Lett.* **28** (2021), no. 4, 967–1032.
6. K. Behrend and B. Fantechi, *The intrinsic normal cone*, *Invent. Math.* **128** (1997), no. 1, 45–88.
7. F. Benini, G. Bonelli, M. Poggi, and A. Tanzini, *Elliptic non-Abelian Donaldson-Thomas invariants of  $\mathbb{C}^3$* , *J. High Energy Phys.* (2019), no. 7, 068, 41.
8. F. Benini, R. Eager, K. Hori, and Y. Tachikawa, *Elliptic genera of two-dimensional  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  gauge theories with rank-one gauge groups*, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **104** (2014), no. 4, 465–493.
9. F. Benini, R. Eager, K. Hori, and Y. Tachikawa, *Elliptic genera of 2d  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  gauge theories*, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **333** (2015), no. 3, 1241–1286.
10. E. Bifet, *Sur les points fixes du schéma  $\text{Quot}_{\mathbb{O}_X^r/X/k}$  sous l'action du tore  $\mathbf{G}_{m,k}^r$* , *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.* **309** (1989), no. 9, 609–612.
11. A. Bojko, *Wall-crossing for zero-dimensional sheaves and Hilbert schemes of points on Calabi–Yau 4-folds*, *ArXiv:2102.01056*, 2021.
12. G. Bonelli, N. Fasola, and A. Tanzini, *Defects, nested instantons and comet-shaped quivers*, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **111** (2021), no. 2, 34.
13. G. Bonelli, N. Fasola, and A. Tanzini, *Flags of sheaves, quivers and symmetric polynomials*, *ArXiv: 1911.12787*, 2020.
14. G. Bonelli, N. Fasola, A. Tanzini, and Y. Zenkevich, *ADHM in 8d, coloured solid partitions and Donaldson-Thomas invariants on orbifolds*, *ArXiv: 2011.02366*, 2020.
15. G. Bonelli, F. Fucito, J. F. Morales, M. Ronzani, E. Sysoeva, and A. Tanzini, *Gauge theories on compact toric manifolds*, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **111** (2021), no. 3, 77.
16. U. Bruzzo, R. Poghossian, and A. Tanzini, *Poincaré polynomial of moduli spaces of framed sheaves on (stacky) Hirzebruch surfaces*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **304** (2011), 395–409.
17. Y. Cao, J. Gross, and D. Joyce, *Orientability of moduli spaces of Spin(7)-instantons and coherent sheaves on Calabi–Yau 4-folds*, *Adv. Math.* **368** (2020), 107134, 60.
18. Y. Cao, M. Kool, and S. Monavari, *K-theoretic DT/PT correspondence for toric Calabi–Yau 4-folds*, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **396** (2022), no. 1, 225–264.
19. Y. Cao, M. Kool, and S. Monavari, *A Donaldson-Thomas crepant resolution conjecture on Calabi–Yau 4-folds*, *ArXiv:2301.11629*, 2023.
20. A. Cazzaniga, D. Ralaivaosaona, and A. T. Ricolfi, *Higher rank motivic Donaldson-Thomas invariants of  $\mathbb{A}^3$  via wall-crossing, and asymptotics*, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **174** (2023), no. 1, 97–122.
21. A. Cazzaniga and A. T. Ricolfi, *Framed motivic Donaldson-Thomas invariants of small crepant resolutions*, *Math. Nachr.* **295** (2022), no. 6, 1096–1112.
22. S. A. Cherkis, *Instantons on the Taub-NUT Space*, *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **14** (2010), no. 2, 609–642.
23. M. Cirafici, *On the M2-brane index on noncommutative crepant resolutions*, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **112** (2022), no. 5, 43.
24. M. Cirafici, A. Sinkovics, and R. J. Szabo, *Cohomological gauge theory, quiver matrix models and Donaldson-Thomas theory*, *Nuclear Phys. B* **809** (2009), no. 3, 452–518.
25. M. Cirafici, A. Sinkovics, and R. J. Szabo, *Instantons, quivers and noncommutative Donaldson–Thomas theory*, *Nuclear Phys. B* **853** (2011), no. 2, 508–605.

26. M. Cirafici, A. Sinkovics, and R. J. Szabo, *Instanton counting and wall-crossing for orbifold quivers*, Ann. Henri Poincaré **14** (2013), no. 4, 1001–1041.
27. M. Del Zotto, N. Nekrasov, N. Piazzalunga, and M. Zabzine, *Playing with the index of M-theory*, Comm. Math. Phys. **396** (2022), no. 2, 817–865.
28. M. R. Douglas, *Gauge fields and D-branes*, J. Geom. Phys. **28** (1998), 255–262.
29. M. R. Douglas and G. Moore, *D-branes, Quivers, and ALE Instantons*, ArXiv: hep-th/9603167, 1996.
30. D. Edidin and W. Graham, *Characteristic classes and quadric bundles*, Duke Math. J. **78** (1995), no. 2, 277–299.
31. D. Edidin and W. Graham, *Localization in equivariant intersection theory and the Bott residue formula*, Amer. J. Math. **120** (1998), no. 3, 619–636.
32. B. Fantechi and L. Göttsche, *Riemann-Roch theorems and elliptic genus for virtually smooth schemes*, Geom. Topol. **14** (2010), no. 1, 83–115.
33. N. Fasola, S. Monavari, and A. T. Ricolfi, *Higher rank K-theoretic Donaldson-Thomas theory of points*, Forum Math. Sigma **9** (2021), 51, e15.
34. S. Feyzbakhsh and R. P. Thomas, *Rank  $r$  DT theory from rank 1*, J. Am. Math. Soc. **36** (2023), no. 3, 795–826.
35. S. Feyzbakhsh and R. P. Thomas, *Rank  $r$  DT theory from rank 0*, ArXiv: 2103.02915, 2021.
36. F. Fucito, J. F. Morales, and A. Tanzini, *D instanton probes of non conformal geometries*, JHEP **07** (2001), 012.
37. C. Hofman and J.-S. Park, *Cohomological Yang-Mills theories on Kahler 3 folds*, Nucl. Phys. B **600** (2001), 133–162.
38. A. Iqbal, C. Kozçaz, and C. Vafa, *The refined topological vertex*, J. High Energy Phys. (2009), no. 10, 069, 58.
39. L. C. Jeffrey and F. C. Kirwan, *Localization for nonabelian group actions*, Topology **34** (1995), no. 2, 291–327.
40. H. Kanno and Y. Tachikawa, *Instanton counting with a surface operator and the chain-saw quiver*, JHEP **06** (2011), 119.
41. A. King, *Moduli of representations of finite-dimensional algebras*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2) **45** (1994), no. 180, 515–530.
42. M. Kool and J. V. Rennemo, *Proof of a magnificent conjecture*, in preparation.
43. J. Li and G. Tian, *Virtual moduli cycles and Gromov-Witten invariants of algebraic varieties*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **11** (1998), no. 1, 119–174.
44. D. Maulik, N. Nekrasov, A. Okounkov, and R. Pandharipande, *Gromov-Witten theory and Donaldson-Thomas theory. I*, Compos. Math. **142** (2006), no. 5, 1263–1285.
45. D. Maulik, N. Nekrasov, A. Okounkov, and R. Pandharipande, *Gromov-Witten theory and Donaldson-Thomas theory. II*, Compos. Math. **142** (2006), no. 5, 1286–1304.
46. S. Monavari, *Canonical vertex formalism in DT theory of toric Calabi-Yau 4-folds*, J. Geom. Phys. **174** (2022), 104466.
47. S. Monavari, *Equivariant Enumerative Geometry and Donaldson-Thomas Theory*, Ph.D. thesis, Universiteit Utrecht, 2022.
48. S. Monavari and A. T. Ricolfi, *On the motive of the nested Quot scheme of points on a curve*, J. Algebra **610** (2022), 99–118.
49. S. Monavari and A. T. Ricolfi, *Sur la lissité du schéma Quot ponctuel emboîté*, Can. Math. Bull. **66** (2023), no. 1, 178–184.
50. G. W. Moore, N. Nekrasov, and S. Shatashvili, *D particle bound states and generalized instantons*, Commun. Math. Phys. **209** (2000), 77–95.
51. G. W. Moore, N. Nekrasov, and S. Shatashvili, *Integrating over Higgs branches*, Commun. Math. Phys. **209** (2000), 97–121.
52. H. Nakajima, *Lectures on Hilbert schemes of points on surfaces*, University Lecture Series, vol. 18, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1999.
53. N. Nekrasov, *Z-theory: chasing m/f theory*, vol. 6, 2005, Strings 04. Part II, pp. 261–269.
54. N. Nekrasov, *Magnificent four*, Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré D **7** (2020), no. 4, 505–534.
55. N. Nekrasov, *Magnificent four*, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **24** (2020), no. 5, 1171–1202.
56. N. Nekrasov and A. Okounkov, *Membranes and sheaves*, Algebr. Geom. **3** (2016), no. 3, 320–369.
57. N. Nekrasov and N. Piazzalunga, *Magnificent four with colors*, Comm. Math. Phys. **372** (2019), no. 2, 573–597.
58. N. A. Nekrasov, *Seiberg-Witten prepotential from instanton counting*, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **7** (2003), no. 5, 831–864.
59. J. Oh and R. P. Thomas, *Counting sheaves on Calabi-Yau 4-folds. I*, Duke Math. J. **172** (2023), no. 7, 1333–1409.
60. A. Okounkov, *Lectures on K-theoretic computations in enumerative geometry*, Geometry of moduli spaces and representation theory, IAS/Park City Math. Ser., vol. 24, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2017, pp. 251–380.
61. H. Park, *Virtual pullbacks in Donaldson-Thomas theory of Calabi-Yau 4-folds*, ArXiv: 2110.03631, 2021.
62. E. Pomoni, W. Yan, and X. Zhang, *Tetrahedron instantons*, Comm. Math. Phys. **393** (2022), no. 2, 781–838.
63. E. Pomoni, W. Yan, and X. Zhang, *Probing M-theory with tetrahedron instantons*, ArXiv:2306.06005, 2023.



64. A. T. Ricolfi, *Virtual classes and virtual motives of Quot schemes on threefolds*, Adv. Math. **369** (2020), 107182, 32.
65. A. T. Ricolfi, *Motivic classes of noncommutative Quot schemes*, ArXiv:2303.10617, 2023.
66. A. T. Ricolfi and M. Savvas, *The  $d$ -critical structure on the Quot scheme of points of a Calabi-Yau 3-fold*, ArXiv:2106.16133, 2021.
67. R. J. Szabo and M. Tirelli, *Instanton Counting and Donaldson-Thomas Theory on Toric Calabi-Yau Four-Orbifolds*, ArXiv: 2301.13069, 2023.
68. R. W. Thomason, *Une formule de Lefschetz en  $K$ -théorie équivariante algébrique*, Duke Math. J. **68** (1992), no. 3, 447–462.
69. E. Witten, *The index of the Dirac operator in loop space*, Elliptic curves and modular forms in algebraic topology (Princeton, NJ, 1986), Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1326, Springer, Berlin, 1988, pp. 161–181.
70. E. Witten, *Sigma models and the ADHM construction of instantons*, J. Geom. Phys. **15** (1995), 215–226.
71. E. Witten, *Branes, Instantons, And Taub-NUT Spaces*, JHEP **06** (2009), 067.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF SHEFFIELD, HOUNSFIELD ROAD, SHEFFIELD, S3 7RH,  
UNITED KINGDOM

*Email address:* `n.fasola@sheffield.ac.uk`

ECOLE POLYTECHNIQUE FÉDÉRALE DE LAUSANNE (EPFL), CH-1015 LAUSANNE, SWITZERLAND

*Email address:* `sergej.monavari@epfl.ch`