

RELATIVE AUGMENTED BASE LOCI ON NOETHERIAN SCHEMES

YUSUKE USHIRO

ABSTRACT. Let $f : X \rightarrow S$ be a projective morphism of noetherian schemes and let L be an invertible sheaf on X . We show that the relative augmented base locus of L coincides with the relative exceptional locus of L . We also prove a semi-ampleness criterion in terms of the exceptional locus generalizing the result of Keel.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
1.1. Sketch of the proofs	3
2. Preliminaries	6
2.1. Notation	6
2.2. Relative dimension	8
2.3. Generic length	9
2.4. Associated points	10
2.5. Zero loci	10
2.6. Base loci	13
2.7. Irredundant decomposition of noetherian schemes	15
3. Weak bigness and exceptional loci	16
3.1. Weak bigness	16
3.2. Exceptional loci	20
4. Keel's theorem	22
5. Appendix: Kodaira's lemma	31
References	38

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $f : X \rightarrow S$ be a projective morphism of noetherian schemes, let L be an invertible sheaf on X , and let A be an f -ample invertible

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14A15, 14E30.

Key words and phrases. augmented base locus, exceptional locus.

sheaf on X . Then the f -stable base locus $\mathbf{SB}_f(L)$ of L is defined as the following closed subset of X :

$$\mathbf{SB}_f(L) := \bigcap_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} \text{Supp Coker}(f^* f_* L^{\otimes m} \rightarrow L^{\otimes m}),$$

and the f -augmented base locus $\mathbf{B}_{+,f}(L)$ of L is defined as

$$\mathbf{B}_{+,f}(L) := \bigcap_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} \mathbf{SB}_f(L^{\otimes m} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} A^{-1})$$

(cf. Definition 2.21). We define the f -exceptional locus $\mathbf{E}_f(L)$ of L as the set-theoretic union of all the integral closed subschemes V of X such that $L|_V$ are not $f|_V$ -big (cf. Definition 3.10).

The main results of this paper are the following two theorems, Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2. These are proved in Section 4.

Theorem 1.1 (Theorem 4.6). *Let $f : X \rightarrow S$ be a projective morphism of noetherian schemes. Let L be an f -nef invertible sheaf on X . Then, we have $\mathbf{B}_{+,f}(L) = \mathbf{E}_f(L)$.*

First, Nakamaye showed the theorem when X is a smooth variety, L is \mathbb{Q} -Cartier and $S = \text{Spec } k$, where k is an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0 (cf. [Nak00]). Ein–Lazarsfeld–Musta–Nakamaye–Popa showed analogous results when X is a smooth complex variety and L is an \mathbb{R} -divisor ([ELM⁺09]). Cacciola–Lopez showed the theorem when X is a normal variety, the dimension of non-lc locus of X is less than or equal to 1 and L is a \mathbb{Q} -Cartier \mathbb{Q} -divisor (cf. [CL14]). Boucksom–Cacciola–Lopez proved related results concerning the restricted volume for normal varieties over algebraically closed fields ([BCL14]). The theorem was proved when k is an algebraically closed field of positive characteristic by Cascini–McKernan–Musta (cf. [CMM14]). Birkar proved the theorem for arbitrary fields by using Fujita’s vanishing theorem (cf. [Bir17]).

Theorem 1.2 (Theorem 4.8). *Let $f : X \rightarrow S$ be a projective morphism of noetherian schemes and let L be an f -nef invertible sheaf on X . Then, there exists a closed subscheme F of X such that $\text{Supp}(F) = \mathbf{E}_f(L)$ and $\mathbf{SB}_f(L) = \mathbf{SB}_{f|_F}(L|_F)$ hold.*

The theorem was first proved for the case when $S = \text{Spec } k$ with a field k of positive characteristic and $\mathbf{SB}_f(L) = \emptyset$ by Keel (cf. [Kee99]). Cascini–McKernan–Musta simplified the proof when k is an algebraically closed field of positive characteristic (cf. [CMM14]). Cascini–Tanaka showed the theorem when S is an \mathbb{F}_p -scheme (cf. [CT20, Subsection 2.5]). Although their definition of $\mathbf{E}_f(L)$ is slightly different

from our definition, we shall show that these two definitions are equivalent (cf. Remark 3.11). Birkar proved the theorem when $S = \text{Spec } k$ with an arbitrary field k and $\mathbf{SB}_f(L) = \emptyset$ (cf. [Bir17]). Stigant showed an special case of Theorem 1.1 when S is excellent and he showed Theorem 1.2 when S is excellent and $L|_{X_{\mathbb{Q}}}$ is semi-ample (cf. [Sti21]), although the strategy of [Sti21] differs from ours.

In Section 5, we mainly show the following result.

Theorem 1.3 (Corollary 5.10). *Let $f : X \rightarrow S$ be a projective morphism of noetherian schemes, with X reduced and S affine. Let L be an invertible sheaf on X , and let A be an f -ample invertible sheaf on X . If $L|_V$ is $f|_V$ -big for any irreducible component V of X , then for sufficiently large $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, there is an effective Cartier divisor D on X such that $L^{\otimes m} \simeq A \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{O}_X(D)$.*

1.1. Sketch of the proofs. To prove Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2, we basically follow the strategies of [CMM14] and [Bir17]. In place of the dimension over fields, we use the generic length over base schemes.

Definition 1.4 (Definition 2.6). (1) Let A be a noetherian ring which has only one minimal prime ideal \mathfrak{p} and let M be a finitely generated A -module. Then, the *generic A -length* of M is defined as the length of $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -module $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and denoted by $\text{ge.len}_A M$. (2) Let X be an irreducible noetherian scheme and let \mathcal{F} be a coherent sheaf on X . Let $\xi \in X$ be the generic point of X_{red} . Then, the *generic X -length* of \mathcal{F} is defined as the length of $\mathcal{O}_{X,\xi}$ -module \mathcal{F}_{ξ} and denoted by $\text{ge.len}_X \mathcal{F}$.

Note that the generic X -length is not defined when X is not irreducible. We show the following two inequalities: Lemma 1.5 and Lemma 1.6.

Lemma 1.5 (Lemma 4.1). *Let $f : X \rightarrow S$ be a projective morphism of noetherian schemes, with S irreducible. Let $d := \dim(X/S)$. Let \mathcal{F} be a coherent sheaf on X , and let L be an f -nef invertible sheaf on X . Then, for any $j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, there is a positive real number $C \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ such that for sufficiently large $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$,*

$$\text{ge.len}_S R^j f_*(\mathcal{F} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} L^{\otimes m}) \leq C m^{d-j}$$

holds.

Sketch of the proof of Lemma 1.5. Let \mathcal{N} be the nilradical ideal sheaf of X . By using the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{N}^{i+1} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}^i \rightarrow \mathcal{N}^i/\mathcal{N}^{i+1} \rightarrow 0,$$

we reduce the proof to the case where X is reduced. When X is reduced, by taking the generic fibre of $f(X)$, we can reduce the proof to the case where $S = \text{Spec } k$ with a field k , which is shown by Birkar (cf. [Bir17]). \square

Lemma 1.6 (Lemma 4.2). *Let $f : X \rightarrow S$ be a projective surjective morphism of irreducible noetherian schemes. Let $d := \dim(X/S)$. Let \mathcal{E} be a coherent locally free sheaf on X , and let L be an f -nef invertible sheaf on X . If L is f -big, there is a positive real number $C \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ such that for sufficiently large $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$,*

$$\text{ge.len}_S f_*(\mathcal{E} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} L^{\otimes m}) \geq Cm^d$$

holds.

The proof is similar to the one of Lemma 1.5. The key lemma is the following.

Lemma 1.7 (Lemma 4.3). *Let $f : X \rightarrow S$ be a projective morphism of noetherian schemes, with S affine. Let L be an f -nef invertible sheaf on X , and let A be an f -ample invertible sheaf on X . Assume that L is f -weakly big. Then, for sufficiently large $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, there is a section $s \in H^0(X, L^{\otimes m} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} A^{-1})$ such that $s|_{X_{\text{red}}} \neq 0$ holds.*

By using Lemma 1.5 and Lemma 1.6 we show the Lemma 1.7.

Sketch of the proof of Lemma 1.7. We show the following simple two cases:

- (i) X is reduced;
- (ii) X is irreducible.

We can show the general case by the combination of this two cases.

(i) There is an irreducible component X_1 of X such that $L|_{X_1}$ is $f|_{X_1}$ -big. Let X' be the union of the other irreducible components. Let T be the scheme-theoretic intersection of X_1 and X' . We may assume that S and $f(X_1)$ are affine and write $f(X_1) = \text{Spec } R$ with a noetherian ring R . Let $d = \dim(X_1/f(X_1))$ and let $M_m := L^{\otimes m} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} A^{-1}$. By Lemma 1.6, there is a positive real number $C_1 \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ such that for sufficiently large $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$,

$$\text{ge.len}_R H^0(X_1, M_m|_{X_1}) \geq C_1 m^d$$

holds. By Lemma 1.5, there is a positive real number $C_2 \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ such that for sufficiently large $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$,

$$\text{ge.len}_R H^0(T, M_m|_T) \leq C_2 m^{d-1}$$

holds. By the exact sequence

$$H^0(X, M_m) \rightarrow H^0(X_1, M_m|_{X_1}) \oplus H^0(X', M_m|_{X'}) \rightarrow H^0(T, M_m|_T),$$

we can show that the natural morphism $H^0(X, M_m) \rightarrow H^0(X_1, M_m|_{X_1})$ is not zero for sufficiently large m .

(ii) We may assume that S and $f(X)$ are affine and write $f(X) = \text{Spec } R$ with a noetherian ring R . Let \mathcal{N} be the nilradical ideal sheaf of X . Let $d = \dim(X/f(X))$ and let $M_m := L^{\otimes m} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} A^{-1}$. By Lemma 1.6, there is a positive real number $C_1 \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ such that for sufficiently large $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$,

$$\text{ge.len}_R H^0(X_{\text{red}}, M_m|_{X_{\text{red}}}) \geq C_1 m^d$$

holds. By Lemma 1.5, there is a positive real number $C_2 \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ such that for sufficiently large $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$,

$$\text{ge.len}_R H^1(X, \mathcal{N} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} M_m) \leq C_2 m^{d-1}$$

holds. By the exact sequence

$$H^0(X, M_m) \rightarrow H^0(X_{\text{red}}, M_m|_{X_{\text{red}}}) \rightarrow H^1(X, \mathcal{N} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} M_m),$$

we can show that the natural morphism $H^0(X, M_m) \rightarrow H^0(X_{\text{red}}, M_m|_{X_{\text{red}}})$ is not zero for sufficiently large m . \square

To prove Theorem 1.3, the key lemma is the following.

Lemma 1.8 (Lemma 5.6). *Let R be a noetherian ring, and let M be a finitely generated R -module. For each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, let $\mathfrak{p}_i \in \text{Spec } R$, let $R_i := R/\mathfrak{p}_i$, and let M_i be an R -submodule of M . For each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, assume the following conditions:*

- $\mathfrak{p}_i(M/M_i) = 0$, in particular, M/M_i is an R_i -module;
- if $\dim R_i = 0$, $\text{ge.len}_{R_i}(M/M_i) > \log_2 n$;
- if $\dim R_i \geq 1$, $\text{ge.len}_{R_i}(M/M_i) \geq 1$.

Then, $\bigcup_{i=1}^n M_i \neq M$ holds.

Sketch of the proof of Lemma 1.8. We can reduce the proof to the case where M is finitely generated \mathbb{Z} -algebra. In this case, for each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, pick a maximal ideal \mathfrak{m}_i of R such that $\mathfrak{p}_i \subseteq \mathfrak{m}_i$. Let e be a sufficiently large integer. Let $\mathfrak{q} := \prod_{i=1}^n \mathfrak{m}_i^e$, let $M' := M/\mathfrak{q}M$, and let $M'_i := f(M_i)$, where $f : M \rightarrow M'$ be the natural surjection. By Lemma 5.5 (2), it is enough to show that $\bigcup_{i=1}^n M'_i \neq M'$. Then we can show the following conditions:

- $\#M' < \infty$;
- $\#(M'/M'_i) > n$.

Thus we have

$$\# \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n M'_i \right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \#M'_i = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\#M'}{\#(M'/M'_i)} < n \cdot \frac{\#M'}{n} = \#M' < \infty,$$

which implies $\bigcup_{i=1}^n M'_i \neq M'$.

□

Acknowledgments. The author would like to thank Professor Hiromu Tanaka for many comments and discussions.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Notation.

- (1) We freely use the notation and terminology in [Har77]. In particular, for the definitions of *projective morphisms* and *quasi-projective morphisms* of schemes, we refer to [Har77].
- (2) Let S be a set. $\#S$ denotes the number of the elements of S if S is a finite set. If S is an infinite set (resp. a finite set), we write $\#S = \infty$ (resp. $\#S < \infty$).
- (3) Given a ring A , $f : M \rightarrow N$ is an *A-homomorphism* if it is a homomorphism of A -modules.
- (4) Let A be an integral domain. Let $\alpha : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow A$ be the natural ring homomorphism. For a prime number p , A is *of characteristic p* if the kernel of α is the ideal (p) . A is *of positive characteristic* if A is of characteristic p for some prime number p . A is *of characteristic 0* if α is injective.
- (5) Given a field extension $k \rightarrow k'$, $\text{tr.deg}_k k'$ denotes transcendence degree of k' over k .
- (6) Given an integral scheme X , we define $K(X) := \mathcal{O}_{X,\xi}$, where ξ is the generic point of X . Given an integral domain A , we define $K(A) := K(\text{Spec } A)$.
- (7) Given a closed subscheme Y of a scheme X , $\text{Supp}(Y)$ denotes Y considered as a closed subset of X .
- (8) Given a morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ of schemes and a closed subscheme X' of X , $f|_{X'}$ denotes the induced morphism $X' \rightarrow X \xrightarrow{f} Y$.
- (9) Let X be a noetherian scheme. Let Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n (resp. Y_λ ($\lambda \in \Lambda$)) be closed subschemes of X . Then the *scheme-theoretic union* (resp. *scheme-theoretic intersection*) of Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n (resp. Y_λ ($\lambda \in \Lambda$)) is the closed subscheme of X determined by the coherent ideal sheaf $\bigcap_{i=1}^n \mathcal{I}_i$ (resp. $\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \mathcal{I}_\lambda$), where \mathcal{I}_i (resp. \mathcal{I}_λ) is the ideal sheaf of Y_i (resp. Y_λ).
- (10) The notation \cap (resp. \cup , \subseteq) denotes the set-theoretic intersection (resp. union, inclusion) except for Section 4. Given closed subschemes Y_1 and Y_2 of a scheme X , we write $Y_1 = Y_2$ if Y_1 is equal to Y_2 as closed subschemes. If confusion may arise, we use the notation $\text{Supp}(-)$ clearly to show that the scheme structure is ignored.

- (11) Given a proper morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ of schemes and a closed subscheme X' of X , $f(X')$ denotes the scheme-theoretic image of $f|_{X'}$ (cf. [Sta23, Tag 01R7]). If X' is integral (resp. irreducible, reduced), then also $f(X')$ is integral (resp. irreducible, reduced).
- (12) Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism of schemes and let $y \in Y$. Then X_y denotes $X \times_Y \text{Spec } k(y)$, where $k(y)$ is the residue field of y on Y . Given an \mathcal{O}_X -module \mathcal{F} on X , $\mathcal{F}|_{X_y}$ denotes the pullback of \mathcal{F} to X_y .
- (13) Given a scheme X , a quasi-coherent sheaf \mathcal{F} on X , and a section $s \in H^0(X, \mathcal{F})$, $s(x)$ denotes the image of s to $\mathcal{F}_x/\mathfrak{m}_x\mathcal{F}_x$, where \mathfrak{m}_x is the maximal ideal of $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$.
- (14) Let X be a scheme. X_{red} denotes the reduced scheme associated to X (cf. [Har77, Exercises II.2.3]). The *nilradical ideal sheaf* of X is the ideal sheaf of X_{red} on X .
- (15) Given a reduced scheme X and an irreducible component Y of X , we consider Y to be equipped with reduced induced structure.
- (16) Given a scheme X , quasi-coherent sheaves \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{G} , an \mathcal{O}_X -submodule \mathcal{F}' of \mathcal{F} , and a morphism $\phi : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ of \mathcal{O}_X -module, $\phi(\mathcal{F}')$ denotes the image sheaf of the restricted homomorphism $\phi|_{\mathcal{F}'} : \mathcal{F}' \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$.
- (17) Let $f : X \rightarrow S$ be a proper morphism of noetherian schemes, and let L be an invertible sheaf on X . L is *f-free* if the natural morphism $f^*f_*L \rightarrow L$ is surjective. L is *f-very ample* if L is *f-free* and the induced morphism $X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(f_*L)$ is a closed immersion. L is *f-semi-ample* (resp. *f-ample*) if $L^{\otimes m}$ is *f-free* (resp. *f-very ample*) for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. L is *f-nef* if $L|_{X_s}$ is nef for any closed point $s \in S$. Then L is *f-nef* if and only if $L|_{X_s}$ is nef for any point $s \in S$ (cf. [CT20, Lemma 2.6]). When no confusion arises, if L is *f-free* (resp. *f-very ample*, etc.), we simply say L is relatively free (resp. relatively very ample, etc.) or L is free (resp. very ample, etc.) over S .
- (18) Let X be a scheme. $\dim X$ denotes the dimension of X . For the definition of the dimension of X , we refer to [Har77] if X is not empty. If X is empty, we define $\dim X = -\infty$.
- (19) The phrase “... for sufficiently large $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ ” means “there is an integer $m_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ such that ... for any $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ with $m \geq m_0$ ”. The phrase “... for sufficiently divisible $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ ” means “there is an integer $m_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ such that ... for any $m \in \{m_0k \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}\}$ ”.

2.2. Relative dimension.

Definition 2.1. Let $f : X \rightarrow S$ be a morphism of noetherian schemes, with S irreducible. Let σ be the generic point of S . Then, $\dim(X/S)$ denotes the dimension of X_σ (cf. Subsection 2.1 (18)). In particular, if X_σ is empty, then $\dim(X/S) = -\infty$.

Remark 2.2. If f is of finite type, then X_σ is of finite type over the field $K(S_{\text{red}})$, and hence $\dim(X/S) = \dim X_\sigma < \infty$ holds.

Proposition 2.3. *Let $f : X \rightarrow S$ be a morphism of noetherian schemes, with S irreducible. Then, we have $\dim(X/S) = \dim(X_{\text{red}}/S_{\text{red}})$.*

Proof. Let σ be the generic point of S . The assertion follows from the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X_\sigma & \longrightarrow & X_{\text{red}} & \longrightarrow & X \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ S_\sigma & \longrightarrow & S_{\text{red}} & \longrightarrow & S. \end{array}$$

□

Proposition 2.4. *Let $f : X \rightarrow S$ be a morphism of irreducible noetherian schemes. Let Y be a closed subscheme of X such that $\text{Supp}(Y) \subsetneq \text{Supp}(X)$. Then, we have $\dim(Y/S) \leq \dim(X/S) - 1$.*

Proof. By Proposition 2.3, we may assume that X and S are reduced. Thus they are integral. If f is not dominant, the assertion clearly holds. Thus, we may assume that f is dominant. We may also assume that X and S are affine, by replacing them with their open affine subschemes. Note that also Y is affine. If $\dim(X/S) = \pm\infty$, the assertion is clear. Thus we may assume that $0 \leq \dim(X/S) < \infty$. We now show the following claim.

Claim 2.5. *Let $\phi : A \rightarrow B$ be an injective ring homomorphism of integral domains, and let $\psi : B \rightarrow C$ be a surjective ring homomorphism. If ψ is not injective, then the induced ring homomorphism*

$$\psi \otimes_A \text{id}_{K(A)} : B \otimes_A K(A) \rightarrow C \otimes_A K(A)$$

is not injective.

Proof of Claim 2.5. Assume that ψ is not injective. Let $I := \text{Ker } \psi \neq 0$. Then, we have the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow I(B \otimes_A K(A)) \rightarrow B \otimes_A K(A) \rightarrow C \otimes_A K(A) \rightarrow 0.$$

Since the induced ring homomorphism $\alpha : B \rightarrow B \otimes_A K(A)$ is injective, we have

$$I(B \otimes_A K(A)) \supseteq \alpha(I) \neq 0.$$

Thus, the claim holds. \square

Then, by applying Claim 2.5 to $A = \Gamma(S, \mathcal{O}_S)$, $B = \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$, and $C = \Gamma(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y)$, we have $Y_\sigma \neq X_\sigma$. Since X_σ is integral and Y_σ is a proper closed subscheme of X_σ , we have $\dim Y_\sigma \leq \dim X_\sigma - 1$ if $\dim X_\sigma \geq 1$. If $\dim X_\sigma = 0$, then Y_σ is empty for the same reason, and $\dim Y_\sigma \leq \dim X_\sigma - 1$ holds. \square

2.3. Generic length.

- Definition 2.6.** (1) Let A be a noetherian ring which has only one minimal prime ideal \mathfrak{p} and let M be a finitely generated A -module. Then, the *generic A -length* of M is defined as the length of $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -module $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and denoted by $\text{ge.len}_A M$.
- (2) Let X be an irreducible noetherian scheme and let \mathcal{F} be a coherent sheaf on X . Let $\xi \in X$ be the generic point of X . Then, the *generic X -length* of \mathcal{F} is defined as the length of $\mathcal{O}_{X,\xi}$ -module \mathcal{F}_ξ and denoted by $\text{ge.len}_X \mathcal{F}$.

Remark 2.7. Let A , \mathfrak{p} , and M be as in Definition 2.6 (1).

- (1) Since $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is artinian, $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ has finite length (cf. [Mat87, § 2]), i.e., $\text{ge.len}_A M$ is a non-negative integer.
- (2) Given an exact sequence of A -modules

$$0 \rightarrow M_1 \rightarrow M_2 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow M_n \rightarrow 0,$$

we have $\sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^i \text{ge.len}_A M_i = 0$ (cf. [Mat87, § 2]).

- (3) If $\mathfrak{p}M = 0$, M is also an A/\mathfrak{p} -module and we have

$$\text{ge.len}_A M = \text{ge.len}_{A/\mathfrak{p}} M.$$

- (4) If A is an integral domain, we have $\text{ge.len}_A M = \dim_{K(A)}(M \otimes_A K(A))$.

Remark 2.8. Let $f : X \rightarrow S$ be a projective morphism of noetherian schemes, with S irreducible. Let \mathcal{F} be a coherent sheaf on X . Let σ be the generic point of S , let $X_0 := X \times_S \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_{S,\sigma}$, and let $\mathcal{F}_0 := j^* \mathcal{F}$, where $j : X_0 \rightarrow X$ is the induced morphism. Then, by [Har77, Proposition III.9.3], $\text{ge.len}_S f_* \mathcal{F} = \text{ge.len}_{\mathcal{O}_{S,\sigma}} H^0(X_0, \mathcal{F}_0)$ holds. If S is affine, we have $\text{ge.len}_S f_* \mathcal{F} = \text{ge.len}_A H^0(X, \mathcal{F})$, where $S = \text{Spec } A$.

Remark 2.9. Let $f : X \rightarrow S$ be a projective morphism of noetherian schemes with S irreducible, and let \mathcal{F} be a coherent sheaf on X . Let S' be a non-empty open subscheme of S , let $X' = X \times_S S'$, and let $g : X' \rightarrow S'$ be the induced morphism. Then, we have $\text{ge.len}_S f_* \mathcal{F} = \text{ge.len}_{S'} g_*(\mathcal{F}|_{X'})$.

2.4. Associated points.

Definition 2.10. Let A be a ring, let M be an A -module, and let $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } A$. We say that \mathfrak{p} is *associated to* M or \mathfrak{p} is an *associated prime* of M if there is an element $m \in M$ whose annihilator $\{a \in A \mid am = 0\}$ is equal to \mathfrak{p} . $\text{Ass } M$ denotes the set of all the associated primes of M .

Definition 2.11. Let X be a scheme, let \mathcal{F} be a quasi-coherent sheaf on X , and let $x \in X$.

- (1) We say that x is *associated to* \mathcal{F} or x is an *associated point* of \mathcal{F} if the maximal ideal of $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ is associated to $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ -module \mathcal{F}_x . We simply say x is *associated to* X or x is an *associated point* of X if x is associated to \mathcal{O}_X .
- (2) $\text{Ass } \mathcal{F}$ denotes the set of all the associated points of \mathcal{F} and $\text{Ass } X$ denotes $\text{Ass } \mathcal{O}_X$.

Proposition 2.12. *Let X be a locally noetherian scheme and let \mathcal{F} be a quasi-coherent sheaf on X . Let $U = \text{Spec } A \subseteq X$ be an open affine subscheme and let $M = H^0(U, \mathcal{F}|_U)$. Let $x \in U$, and let $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } A$ be the corresponding prime ideal. Then, \mathfrak{p} is associated to M if and only if x is associated to \mathcal{F} .*

Proof. See [Sta23, Tag 02OK]. □

Proposition 2.13. *Let X be a noetherian scheme, and let \mathcal{F} be a coherent sheaf on X . Then, $\text{Ass } \mathcal{F}$ is a finite set.*

Proof. See [Sta23, Tag 05AF]. □

Proposition 2.14. *Let X be a locally noetherian scheme and let \mathcal{F} be a quasi-coherent sheaf on X .*

- (1) $\text{Ass } \mathcal{F} \subseteq \text{Supp } \mathcal{F}$ holds.
- (2) Let $x \in \text{Supp } \mathcal{F}$ be a point which is not a specialization of another point of $\text{Supp } \mathcal{F}$. Then, x is associated to \mathcal{F} . In particular, all the generic points of the irreducible components of X_{red} are associated to X .

Proof. See [Sta23, Tag 05AD] and [Sta23, Tag 05AH]. □

2.5. Zero loci. The results in this subsection might be known to experts. However, the author could not have found appropriate references. We include this subsection for the sake of completeness.

Definition 2.15. Let X be a noetherian scheme, let L be an invertible sheaf on X , and let $s \in H^0(X, L)$. Let $\phi : \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow L$ be the morphism

of \mathcal{O}_X -modules such that $\phi(U)(1) = s|_U$ holds for any open subset $U \subseteq X$. Let $\phi' : L^{-1} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$ be the composition

$$L^{-1} \xrightarrow{\simeq} L^{-1} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{O}_X \xrightarrow{\text{id}_{L^{-1}} \otimes \phi} L^{-1} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} L \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathcal{O}_X.$$

Let \mathcal{I} be the image sheaf of ϕ' . Then, the *zero locus* $Z(s)$ of s is defined as the closed subscheme of X determined by the coherent ideal sheaf \mathcal{I} .

Proposition 2.16. *Let X be a noetherian scheme, let L be an invertible sheaf on X , and let $s \in H^0(X, L)$. Then,*

$$\text{Supp}(Z(s)) = \{x \in X \mid s(x) = 0\}$$

holds. In particular, we have $\text{Supp}(Z(s)) = \text{Supp}(Z(s|_{X_{\text{red}}}))$.

Proof. Let ϕ, ϕ', \mathcal{I} be as in Definition 2.15. Let $x \in X$. The condition $x \in Z(s)$ is equivalent to the condition $\mathcal{I}_x \subseteq \mathfrak{m}_x$, where \mathfrak{m}_x is the maximal ideal of $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$. Since the image sheaf of ϕ is $\mathcal{I}L$, s_x generates $\mathcal{I}_x L_x$ as an $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ -module. Thus, the condition $\mathcal{I}_x \subseteq \mathfrak{m}_x$ is equivalent to the condition $s_x \in \mathfrak{m}_x L_x$, i.e., $s(x) = 0$. \square

Proposition 2.17. *Let X be a reduced noetherian scheme, let L be an invertible sheaf on X , and let $s \in H^0(X, L)$. Then, the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) $s = 0$;
- (2) $s(x) = 0$ for all $x \in X$;
- (3) $\text{Supp}(Z(s)) = \text{Supp}(X)$;
- (4) $Z(s) = X$.

Proof. It is clear that (1) implies (2). By Proposition 2.16, (2) is equivalent to (3). Since X is reduced, (3) implies (4). Thus it is enough to show that (4) implies (1). Let ϕ, \mathcal{I} be as in Definition 2.15. Since $Z(s) = X$, we have $\mathcal{I} = 0$, which implies ϕ is zero, i.e., $s = 0$. \square

Definition-Proposition 2.18. Let X be a noetherian scheme, let L be an invertible sheaf on X , and let $s \in H^0(X, L)$. Let ϕ, ϕ', \mathcal{I} be as in Definition 2.15. Then, the following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) $\mathcal{I} \simeq L^{-1}$ holds as \mathcal{O}_X -modules;
- (2) \mathcal{I} is an invertible sheaf on X ;
- (3) ϕ' is injective;
- (4) ϕ is injective;
- (5) for any $x \in \text{Ass } X$, $s(x) \neq 0$ holds;
- (6) for any $x \in \text{Ass } X$, $s(y) \neq 0$ holds for some $y \in \overline{\{x\}}$, where $\overline{\{x\}}$ is the closure of the subset $\{x\}$ of X ;

- (7) for any $x \in \text{Ass } X$, $\overline{\{x\}} \not\subseteq \text{Supp}(Z(s))$ holds, where $\overline{\{x\}}$ is the closure of the subset $\{x\}$ of X .

If s satisfies the above equivalent conditions, we say that $Z(s)$ *defines an effective Cartier divisor*.

Proof. It is clear that (3) implies (1), (1) implies (2), and (3) is equivalent to (4).

First, we show that (2) implies (3). Since the conditions are local, we may assume that X is affine and written as $X = \text{Spec } A$ with a noetherian ring A . We may also assume that \mathcal{I} and L are isomorphic to \mathcal{O}_X . Let \mathcal{J} be the kernel sheaf of the composition

$$\psi : \mathcal{O}_X \xrightarrow{\simeq} L^{-1} \xrightarrow{\phi'} \mathcal{O}_X,$$

and let $J := \Gamma(X, \mathcal{J})$. Then, $\psi(X)$ induces the isomorphism

$$A/J \simeq \Gamma(X, \mathcal{I}) \simeq A$$

as A -modules. Multiplying the above A -modules by the ideal J , we have

$$0 = J(A/J) \simeq JA = J.$$

Thus, \mathcal{J} is the zero sheaf and ϕ' is injective.

Second, we show that (4) is equivalent to (5). Let $U \simeq \text{Spec } A$ be an open affine subscheme of X such that $L|_U \simeq \mathcal{O}_U$. Let $M := \Gamma(U, L|_U)$. Then, $\phi|_U$ is injective if and only if $s|_U$ is a non-zero-divisor of the A -module M . Since $M \simeq A$, $s|_U$ is a non-zero-divisor of M if and only if $s|_U \notin \mathfrak{p}M$ holds for every $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass } A$. By Proposition 2.12, it is equivalent to the condition that $s_x \notin \mathfrak{m}_x L_x$ holds for every $x \in (\text{Ass } X) \cap U$, where \mathfrak{m}_x is the maximal ideal of $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$. Thus, we have that ϕ is injective if and only if $s_x \notin \mathfrak{m}_x L_x$, i.e., $s(x) = 0$, holds for every $x \in \text{Ass } X$.

Finally, we show that (5), (6), and (7) are equivalent. It is clear that (5) implies (6). Let $x \in \text{Ass } X$ and let $y \in \overline{\{x\}}$. Then, $s(x) = 0$ implies $s(y) = 0$. Thus, we have that (6) implies (5). By Proposition 2.16, (6) is equivalent to (7). \square

Proposition 2.19. *Let X be a noetherian scheme, let L_1 and L_2 be invertible sheaves on X , let $s_1 \in H^0(X, L_1)$, and let $s_2 \in H^0(X, L_2)$. Let \mathcal{I} (resp. $\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_2$) be the ideal sheaf of $Z(s_1 \otimes s_2)$ (resp. $Z(s_1), Z(s_2)$). Then, $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{I}_1 \mathcal{I}_2$ holds as sheaves of ideals on X .*

Proof. Let $\phi' : L_1^{-1} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} L_2^{-1} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$ (resp. $\phi'_1 : L_1^{-1} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X, \phi'_2 : L_2^{-1} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$) be the morphism of \mathcal{O}_X -modules corresponding to $s_1 \otimes s_2$ (resp. s_1, s_2)

as in Definition 2.15. Then, ϕ' coincides with the composition

$$L_1^{-1} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} L_2^{-1} \xrightarrow{\phi'_1 \otimes \text{id}_{L_2^{-1}}} \mathcal{O}_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} L_2^{-1} \xrightarrow{\simeq} L_2^{-1} \xrightarrow{\phi'_2} \mathcal{O}_X.$$

Thus, we have $\mathcal{I} = \phi'(L_1^{-1} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} L_2^{-1}) = \phi'_2(\mathcal{I}_1 L_2^{-1}) = \mathcal{I}_1 \phi'_2(L_2^{-1}) = \mathcal{I}_1 \mathcal{I}_2$. \square

Proposition 2.20. *Let X be a noetherian scheme, let Y be a closed subscheme of X , let L be an invertible sheaf on X , and let $s \in H^0(X, L)$. Then, $Z(s|_Y)$ coincides with the scheme-theoretic intersection of $Z(s)$ and Y .*

Proof. Let $\phi' : L^{-1} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$ (resp. $\phi'_Y : (L|_Y)^{-1} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y$) be the morphism of \mathcal{O}_X -modules (resp. \mathcal{O}_Y -modules) corresponding to s (resp. $s|_Y$) as in Definition 2.15. Let \mathcal{I} (resp. \mathcal{I}') be the ideal sheaf of $Z(s)$ (resp. $Z(s|_Y)$) on X . Let \mathcal{I}_Y be the ideal sheaf of Y on X . We consider the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} L^{-1} & \xrightarrow{\phi'} & \mathcal{O}_X \\ \downarrow \beta & & \downarrow \alpha \\ (L|_Y)^{-1} & \xrightarrow{\phi'_Y} & \mathcal{O}_Y. \end{array}$$

Note that α and β are surjective. Since $\alpha(\mathcal{I}')$ is the ideal sheaf of $Z(s|_Y)$ on Y , we have

$$\alpha(\mathcal{I}') = \phi'_Y((L|_Y)^{-1}) = (\phi'_Y \circ \beta)(L^{-1}) = (\alpha \circ \phi')(L^{-1}) = \alpha(\mathcal{I}).$$

Since $\mathcal{I}' \supseteq \mathcal{I}_Y$, we have

$$\mathcal{I}' = \mathcal{I}' + \mathcal{I}_Y = \mathcal{I} + \mathcal{I}_Y.$$

\square

2.6. Base loci.

Definition 2.21. Let $f : X \rightarrow S$ be a projective morphism of noetherian schemes. Let L be an invertible sheaf on X .

- The *f -base locus* $\text{Bs}_f(L)$ of L is defined as the following closed subset of X :

$$\text{Bs}_f(L) := \text{Supp Coker}(f^* f_* L \rightarrow L).$$

- The *f -stable base locus* $\mathbf{SB}_f(L)$ of L is defined as the following closed subset of X :

$$\mathbf{SB}_f(L) := \bigcap_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} \text{Bs}_f(L^{\otimes m}).$$

- The f -augmented base locus $\mathbf{B}_{+,f}(L)$ of L is defined as the following closed subset of X :

$$\mathbf{B}_{+,f}(L) := \bigcap_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} \mathbf{SB}_f(L^{\otimes m} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} A^{-1}),$$

where A is an f -ample invertible sheaf on X .

The following argument is extracted from [CMM14].

Remark 2.22. Since X is a noetherian topological space, for sufficiently divisible $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$,

$$\mathbf{SB}_f(L) = \mathbf{Bs}_f(L^{\otimes m})$$

holds. For the same reason, for sufficiently large $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$,

$$\mathbf{B}_{+,f}(L) = \mathbf{SB}_f(L^{\otimes m} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} A^{-1})$$

holds.

The following argument is extracted from [CMM14].

Remark 2.23. The definition of $\mathbf{B}_{+,f}(L)$ is independent of the choice of A . Indeed, if A_1 and A_2 are f -ample invertible sheaves, then there is a positive integer $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ such that $A_1^{\otimes r} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} A_2^{-1}$ is f -free. Then, by

$$L^{\otimes mr} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} A_2^{-1} = (L^{\otimes m} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} A_1^{-1})^{\otimes r} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} (A_1^{\otimes r} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} A_2^{-1}),$$

we have $\mathbf{SB}_f(L^{\otimes mr} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} A_2^{-1}) \subseteq \mathbf{SB}_f(L^{\otimes m} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} A_1^{-1})$. By the same argument, we also have $\mathbf{SB}_f(L^{\otimes mr'} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} A_1^{-1}) \subseteq \mathbf{SB}_f(L^{\otimes m} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} A_2^{-1})$ for some $r' \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. Thus, the following holds:

$$\bigcap_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} \mathbf{SB}_f(L^{\otimes m} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} A_1^{-1}) = \bigcap_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} \mathbf{SB}_f(L^{\otimes m} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} A_2^{-1}).$$

Proposition 2.24. *Let $f : X \rightarrow S$ be a projective morphism of noetherian schemes, and let L be an invertible sheaf on X . Let S' be an open subscheme of S , let $X' := X \times_S S'$, and let $g : X' \rightarrow S'$ be the induced morphism.*

- (1) $\mathbf{Bs}_f(L) \cap X' = \mathbf{Bs}_g(L|_{X'})$ holds.
- (2) $\mathbf{SB}_f(L) \cap X' = \mathbf{SB}_g(L|_{X'})$ holds.
- (3) $\mathbf{B}_{+,f}(L) \cap X' = \mathbf{B}_{+,g}(L|_{X'})$ holds.

Proof. By the definition of relative base loci, we have (1). (2) follows from (1). (3) follows from (2). \square

Proposition 2.25. *Let $f : X \rightarrow S$ be a projective morphism of noetherian schemes, with S affine. Let L be an invertible sheaf on X . Then, we have*

$$\mathrm{Bs}_f(L) = \bigcap_{s \in H^0(X, L)} \mathrm{Supp}(Z(s)).$$

Proof. Let $x \in X$. Then, $x \notin \mathrm{Bs}_f(L)$ if and only if $(f^*f_*L)_x \rightarrow L_x$ is surjective, i.e., $s(x) \neq 0$ for some $s \in H^0(X, L)$. By Proposition 2.16, $s(x) \neq 0$ if and only if $x \notin \mathrm{Supp}(Z(s))$. Thus, the assertion holds. \square

Proposition 2.26. *Let $f : X \rightarrow S$ be a projective morphism of noetherian schemes and let L be an invertible sheaf on X . Let Y be a closed subscheme of X .*

- (1) $\mathrm{Bs}_{f|_Y}(L|_Y) \subseteq \mathrm{Bs}_f(L)$ holds.
- (2) $\mathbf{SB}_{f|_Y}(L|_Y) \subseteq \mathbf{SB}_f(L)$ holds.
- (3) $\mathbf{B}_{+,f|_Y}(L|_Y) \subseteq \mathbf{B}_{+,f}(L)$ holds.

The following proof is extracted from [CMM14].

Proof. By Proposition 2.24, we may assume that S is affine. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Bs}_{f|_Y}(L|_Y) &= \bigcap_{s \in H^0(Y, L|_Y)} \mathrm{Supp}(Z(s)) \\ &\subseteq \bigcap_{t \in H^0(X, L)} \mathrm{Supp}(Z(t|_Y)) \\ &= \bigcap_{t \in H^0(X, L)} (\mathrm{Supp}(Z(t)) \cap \mathrm{Supp}(Y)), \end{aligned}$$

where the first equality follows from Proposition 2.25 and the last equality follows from Proposition 2.20. On the other hand, we have

$$\bigcap_{t \in H^0(X, L)} (\mathrm{Supp}(Z(t)) \cap \mathrm{Supp}(Y)) \subseteq \bigcap_{t \in H^0(X, L)} \mathrm{Supp}(Z(t)) = \mathrm{Bs}_f(L),$$

where the last equality follows from Proposition 2.25. Hence (1) holds. (2) follows from (1). (3) follows from (2). \square

2.7. Irredundant decomposition of noetherian schemes.

Proposition 2.27. *Let X be a noetherian scheme, and let \mathcal{F} be a coherent sheaf on X . Let x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n be all the associated points of \mathcal{F} . Let $I := \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Then, there are coherent subsheaves $\mathcal{G}_1, \mathcal{G}_2, \dots, \mathcal{G}_n$ of \mathcal{F} such that the following conditions hold:*

- (1) $\bigcap_{i \in I} \mathcal{G}_i = 0$;
- (2) $\mathrm{Ass}(\mathcal{F}/\mathcal{G}_i) = \{x_i\}$ for every $i \in I$;

(3) $\bigcap_{j \in J} \mathcal{G}_j \neq 0$ for any proper subset $J \subsetneq I$.

Proof. See [Gro65, Proposition 3.2.6]. \square

Definition-Proposition 2.28. Let X be a noetherian scheme. Let x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n be all the associated points of X . Let $I := \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Then, there are closed subschemes X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n of X such that the following conditions hold:

- (1) X coincides with the scheme-theoretic union of X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n (cf. Subsection 2.1 (9));
- (2) $\text{Ass } X_i = \{x_i\}$ for every $i \in I$;
- (3) for any proper subset $J \subsetneq I$, X does not coincide with the scheme-theoretic union $\bigcup_{j \in J} X_j$.

If X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n satisfy the above conditions, the decomposition $X = \bigcup_{i \in I} X_i$, where the union is the scheme-theoretic union, is called an *irredundant decomposition* of X .

Proof. Apply Proposition 2.27 to \mathcal{O}_X . \square

3. WEAK BIGNESS AND EXCEPTIONAL LOCI

3.1. Weak bigness.

Definition 3.1. Let $f : X \rightarrow S$ be a proper morphism of noetherian schemes and let L be an invertible sheaf on X . Let A be an f -ample invertible sheaf on X . L is *f -weakly big* if there exists a positive integer $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ such that

$$(f|_{X_{\text{red}}})_*((L^{\otimes m} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} A^{-1})|_{X_{\text{red}}}) \neq 0.$$

When X is irreducible, we simply say L is *f -big* if L is f -weakly big.

Remark 3.2. The definition of f -weak bigness is independent of the choice of A . To prove this, we show that for any f -ample invertible sheaves A_1 and A_2 , if

$$(f|_{X_{\text{red}}})_*((L^{\otimes m} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} A_1^{-1})|_{X_{\text{red}}}) \neq 0$$

holds for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, then

$$(f|_{X_{\text{red}}})_*((L^{\otimes m'} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} A_2^{-1})|_{X_{\text{red}}}) \neq 0$$

holds for some $m' \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. By replacing X with X_{red} , we may assume that X is reduced. Assume that $f_*(L^{\otimes m} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} A_1^{-1}) \neq 0$. Then, there are an open affine subset U of S and a nonzero element

$$s \in H^0(U, f_*(L^{\otimes m} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} A_1^{-1})) = H^0(f^{-1}(U), L^{\otimes m} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} A_1^{-1}).$$

Pick a closed point $x \in f^{-1}(U)$ such that $s(x) \neq 0$. Since A_1 is f -ample, there is a positive integer $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ such that $A_1^{\otimes r} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} A_2^{-1}$ is f -free. Thus, there is an element

$$t \in H^0(U, f_*(A_1^{\otimes m} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} A_2^{-1})) = H^0(f^{-1}(U), A_1^{\otimes m} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} A_2^{-1})$$

such that $t(x) \neq 0$. Then, by

$$L^{\otimes mr} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} A_2^{-1} = (L^{\otimes m} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} A_1^{-1})^{\otimes r} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} (A_1^{\otimes m} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} A_2^{-1}),$$

$s^{\otimes r} \otimes t$ is an element of

$$H^0(f^{-1}(U), L^{\otimes mr} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} A_2^{-1}) = H^0(U, f_*(L^{\otimes mr} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} A_2^{-1})).$$

Since $(s^{\otimes r} \otimes t)(x) \neq 0$, we have $f_*(L^{\otimes mr} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} A_2^{-1}) \neq 0$.

Proposition 3.3. *Let $f : X \rightarrow S$ be a projective morphism of noetherian schemes, and let L be an invertible sheaf on X . Then, the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) L is f -weakly big;
- (2) For some non-empty open subscheme S' of S , $L|_{X'}$ is g -weakly big, where $X' := X \times_S S'$ and $g : X' \rightarrow S'$ is the natural morphism.
- (3) For some non-empty open affine subscheme S' of S , $L|_{X'}$ is g -weakly big, where $X' := X \times_S S'$ and $g : X' \rightarrow S'$ is the natural morphism.

Proof. By the definition of weak bigness, we have that (1) is equivalent to (2). Since S has an open affine cover, it also holds that (1) is equivalent to (3) by the definition of sheaves. \square

Proposition 3.4. *Let $g : X \rightarrow S'$ be a projective morphism of noetherian schemes, let $\alpha : S' \rightarrow S$ be a finite morphism of noetherian schemes, and let $f := \alpha \circ g$. Let L be an invertible sheaf on X . Then, L is f -weakly big if and only if L is g -weakly big.*

Proof. By Proposition 3.3, we may assume that S' and S are affine. Let A be an f -ample invertible sheaf on X . Note that A is also g -ample. Then, L is f -weakly big if and only if $H^0(X_{\text{red}}, (L^{\otimes m} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} A^{-1})|_{X_{\text{red}}}) \neq 0$ for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, which is equivalent to g -weak bigness of L . \square

Proposition 3.5. *Let $f : X \rightarrow S$ be a projective surjective morphism of integral noetherian schemes, and let L be an invertible sheaf on X . Let σ be the generic point of S , and let $d := \dim(X/S)$. Then, the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) L is f -big;
- (2) $L|_{X_\sigma}$ is g -big, where $g : X_\sigma \rightarrow \text{Spec } K(S)$ is the induced morphism;

- (3) *there is a positive real number $C \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ such that for sufficiently large $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, $\text{ge.len}_S f_* L^{\otimes m} \geq Cm^d$ holds.*

Proof. Let A be an f -ample invertible sheaf on X . Note that $A|_{X_\sigma}$ is ample. By Remark 2.9 and Proposition 3.3, we may assume that S is affine and written as $S = \text{Spec } R$ with a noetherian ring R . By [Har77, Proposition III.9.3], we have

$$H^0(X, L^{\otimes m} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} A^{-1}) \otimes_R K(R) = H^0(X_\sigma, (L|_{X_\sigma})^{\otimes m} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X_\sigma}} (A|_{X_\sigma})^{-1})$$

for any $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. Thus, (1) is equivalent to (2). By Remark 2.7 and Remark 2.8,

$$\text{ge.len}_S f_* L^{\otimes m} = \dim_{K(S)} H^0(X_\sigma, (L|_{X_\sigma})^{\otimes m})$$

holds. Thus by [Bir17, Lemma 4.3], (2) is equivalent to (3). \square

Proposition 3.6. *Let $f : X \rightarrow S$ be a projective morphism of noetherian schemes, with X irreducible. Let L be an invertible sheaf on X . Then, the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) *L is f -big;*
- (2) *For every open subscheme S' of S with $S' \cap f(X) \neq \emptyset$, $L|_{X'}$ is g -big, where $X' := X \times_S S'$ and $g : X' \rightarrow S'$ is the natural morphism.*

Proof. Let X' , S' , and g be as in (2). Note that X' is not empty and it is irreducible. Replacing X with X_{red} , we may assume that X is integral. Then also X' is integral. By Proposition 3.4, replacing S with $f(X)$, we may assume that f is surjective and S is integral. Then also S' is integral. Since $\text{ge.len}_S f_* L^{\otimes m} = \text{ge.len}_{S'} g_* L^{\otimes m}$ by Remark 2.9, the assertion follows from Proposition 3.5, \square

Lemma 3.7. *Let $f : X \rightarrow S$ be a projective morphism of noetherian schemes, with S integral. Let L be an invertible sheaf on X , and let \mathcal{F} be a coherent sheaf on X . Let $d := \dim(X/S)$. Then, there exists a positive real number $C \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ such that for sufficiently large $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, we have*

$$\text{ge.len}_S f_*(\mathcal{F} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} L^{\otimes m}) \leq Cm^d.$$

Proof. Let σ be the generic point of S . By Remark 2.8, we have

$$\text{ge.len}_S f_*(\mathcal{F} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} L^{\otimes m}) = \dim_{K(S)} H^0(X_\sigma, (\mathcal{F}|_{X_\sigma}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X_\sigma}} (L|_{X_\sigma})^{\otimes m}).$$

If $d \geq 0$, by [Bir17, Lemma 4.1], there exists a positive real number $C \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ such that

$$\dim_{K(S)} H^0(X_\sigma, (\mathcal{F}|_{X_\sigma}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X_\sigma}} (L|_{X_\sigma})^{\otimes m}) \leq Cm^d$$

holds for sufficiently large $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. If $X_\sigma = \emptyset$,

$$H^0(X_\sigma, (\mathcal{F}|_{X_\sigma}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X_\sigma}} (L|_{X_\sigma})^{\otimes m}) = 0$$

holds. Thus, the above inequality also holds when $d = -\infty$. \square

The following proof is almost the same as in [CMM14, Lemma 2.3].

Lemma 3.8. *Let $f : X \rightarrow S$ be a projective morphism of noetherian schemes, with X reduced and S affine. Let L be an invertible sheaf on X , and let A be an f -ample invertible sheaf on X . Let X_1 be an irreducible component of X such that $L|_{X_1}$ is $f|_{X_1}$ -big. Then, for sufficiently large $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, there is a section $s \in H^0(X, L^{\otimes m} \otimes A^{-1})$ such that $\text{Supp}(X_1) \not\subseteq \text{Supp}(Z(s))$ holds.*

Proof. Assume that $\text{Supp}(X_1) \subseteq \text{Supp}(Z(s))$ holds for infinitely many $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ and for every $s \in H^0(X, L^{\otimes m} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} A^{-1})$. Let X' be the union of the other irreducible components of X equipped with the reduced scheme structure. Let T be the scheme-theoretic intersection of X_1 and X' . Let $S_1 := f(X_1)$. Note that S_1 is an integral noetherian affine scheme. Thus, we can write $S_1 = \text{Spec } R_1$ with a noetherian integral domain R_1 . Let $d := \dim(X_1/S_1)$. Let $M := L^{\otimes m} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} A^{-1}$. By the Mayer–Vietoris sequence, we have the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X_1} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{X'} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_T \rightarrow 0.$$

After tensoring with M and taking global section, this induces the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H^0(X, M) \rightarrow H^0(X_1, M|_{X_1}) \oplus H^0(X', M|_{X'}) \rightarrow H^0(T, M|_T).$$

By the assumption, the homomorphism $H^0(X, M) \rightarrow H^0(X_1, M|_{X_1})$ is zero for infinitely many $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. Thus, for such m , the homomorphism $H^0(X_1, M|_{X_1}) \rightarrow H^0(T, M|_T)$ is injective, and we have

$$(1) \quad \text{ge.len}_{R_1} H^0(X_1, M|_{X_1}) \leq \text{ge.len}_{R_1} H^0(T, M|_T).$$

By Proposition 2.4, we have $\dim(T/S_1) \leq d - 1$. By Lemma 3.7, there is a positive real number $C \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ such that for sufficiently large $m > 0$,

$$\text{ge.len}_{R_1} H^0(T, M|_T) \leq C m^{\dim(T/S_1)} \leq C m^{d-1}$$

holds. On the other hand, by Proposition 3.5, there is a positive real number $C' \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ such that for sufficiently large $m > 0$,

$$\text{ge.len}_{R_1} H^0(X_1, M|_{X_1}) \geq C' m^d$$

holds. These two estimates contradict inequality (1). \square

Theorem 3.9. *Let $f : X \rightarrow S$ be a projective morphism of noetherian schemes, and let L be an invertible sheaf on X . Then, the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) L is f -weakly big;
- (2) $L|_{X_{\text{red}}}$ is $f|_{X_{\text{red}}}$ -weakly big;
- (3) there exists an irreducible component Y of X_{red} such that $L|_Y$ is $f|_Y$ -big.

Proof. By Proposition 3.3, we may assume that S is affine. By the definition of f -weak bigness, it is clear that (1) is equivalent to (2). By Lemma 3.8, (3) implies (2). We now show that (2) implies (3). Replacing X with X_{red} , we may assume that X is reduced. Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n be all the irreducible components of X . Let A be an f -ample invertible sheaf on X . Assume that for any $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$,

$$H^0(X_i, (L^{\otimes m} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} A^{-1})|_{X_i}) = 0$$

holds. By the Mayer–Vietoris sequence, we have the injective homomorphism

$$H^0(X, L^{\otimes m} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} A^{-1}) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^n H^0(X_i, (L^{\otimes m} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} A^{-1})|_{X_i}).$$

Thus, we have $H^0(X, L^{\otimes m} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} A^{-1}) = 0$ for any $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. Hence we have that (2) implies (3). \square

3.2. Exceptional loci.

Definition 3.10. Let $f : X \rightarrow S$ be a proper morphism of noetherian schemes and let L be an invertible sheaf on X . The f -exceptional locus $\mathbf{E}_f(L)$ of L is the set-theoretic union of all the integral closed subschemes V of X such that $L|_V$ are not $f|_V$ -big.

Remark 3.11. By Theorem 3.9, the above definition coincides with the definition of f -exceptional locus in [CT20], which is the set-theoretic union of all the reduced closed subschemes V of X such that $L|_V$ are not $f|_V$ -weakly big.

The proof of (3), (5), and (6) of the following is the same as in [CT20, Proposition 2.18]

Proposition 3.12. *Let $f : X \rightarrow S$ be a projective morphism of noetherian schemes and let L be an invertible sheaf on X .*

- (1) *Let S' be an open subscheme of S , let $X' := X \times_S S'$, and $g : X' \rightarrow S'$ be the induced morphism. Then, we have*

$$\mathbf{E}_f(L) \cap X' = \mathbf{E}_g(L|_{X'}).$$

- (2) $\mathbf{E}_f(L) = \mathbf{E}_g(L|_{X_{\text{red}}})$ holds, where $g := f|_{X_{\text{red}}}$.

- (3) Let $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, and let A be an f -ample invertible sheaf on X . Let $s \in H^0(X_{\text{red}}, (L^{\otimes m} \otimes A^{-1})|_{X_{\text{red}}})$, and let $Z := Z(s)$. Then, $\mathbf{E}_f(L) = \mathbf{E}_{f|_Z}(L|_Z)$ holds.
- (4) Let $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, and let A be an f -ample invertible sheaf on X . Let $s \in H^0(X, L^{\otimes m} \otimes A^{-1})$, and let $Z := Z(s)$. Then, $\mathbf{E}_f(L) = \mathbf{E}_{f|_Z}(L|_Z)$ holds.
- (5) $\mathbf{E}_f(L) = \text{Supp}(X)$ if and only if L is not f -weakly big.
- (6) $\mathbf{E}_f(L)$ is a closed subset of X .
- (7) Let V be an irreducible component of $\mathbf{E}_f(L)$ equipped with the reduced scheme structure. Then $L|_V$ is not $f|_V$ -big.

Proof. (1) It is enough to show that for any integral closed subscheme V of X such that $V' := V \times_X X' \neq \emptyset$, $L|_V$ is $f|_V$ -big if and only if $L|_{V'}$ is $g|_{V'}$ -big. Note that $V' = V \times_S S'$. Thus the assertion follows from Proposition 3.3 and Proposition 3.6.

(2) $\mathbf{E}_f(L) \supseteq \mathbf{E}_g(L|_{X_{\text{red}}})$ is clear. We show the opposite inclusion. Let V be an integral closed subscheme of X such that $L|_V$ is not $f|_V$ -big. Since V is a closed subscheme of X_{red} , $V \subseteq \mathbf{E}_g(L|_{X_{\text{red}}})$ holds. Thus, we have $\mathbf{E}_f(L) \subseteq \mathbf{E}_g(L|_{X_{\text{red}}})$.

(3) $\mathbf{E}_f(L) \supseteq \mathbf{E}_{f|_Z}(L|_Z)$ is clear. We show the opposite inclusion. Let V be an integral closed subscheme of X such that $L|_V$ is not $f|_V$ -big. Then $s|_V \in H^0(V, (L^{\otimes m} \otimes A^{-1})|_V)$ is equal to zero, which implies $\text{Supp}(V) \subseteq \text{Supp}(Z)$ by Proposition 2.17 and Proposition 2.20. Since V is reduced, V is a closed subscheme of Z , and hence $V \subseteq \mathbf{E}_{f|_Z}(L|_Z)$ holds. Thus, we have $\mathbf{E}_f(L) \subseteq \mathbf{E}_{f|_Z}(L|_Z)$.

(4) The proof is the same as in (3).

(5) If L is not f -weakly big, then for any irreducible component Y of X_{red} , $\text{Supp}(Y) \subseteq \mathbf{E}_f(L)$ holds by Proposition 3.9. Thus we have $\mathbf{E}_f(L) = \text{Supp}(X)$. On the other hand, if L is f -weakly big, then $\mathbf{E}_f(L) \subseteq \text{Supp}(Z(s))$ holds, where s is a non-zero element in $H^0(X_{\text{red}}, (L^{\otimes m} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} A^{-1})|_{X_{\text{red}}})$ and A is an f -ample invertible sheaf on X . Thus we have $\mathbf{E}_f(L) \neq \text{Supp}(X)$.

(6) By (1), we may assume that S is affine. By (2), we may assume that X is reduced. If L is not f -weakly big, by (5), we have $\mathbf{E}_f(L) = X$, which is closed. Thus, we may assume that L is f -weakly big. Then, there is a nonzero element $s \in H^0(X, L^{\otimes m} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} A^{-1})$ for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ and f -ample invertible sheaf A on X . Let $Z := Z(s)$. By Proposition 2.17, we have $\text{Supp}(Z) \subsetneq \text{Supp}(X)$. By (4), $\mathbf{E}_f(L) = \mathbf{E}_{f|_Z}(L|_Z)$ holds. By noetherian induction, we may assume that $\mathbf{E}_{f|_Z}(L|_Z)$ is a closed subset of Z . Hence it is also a closed subset of X . Thus, (6) holds.

(7) Assume that V is $f|_V$ -big. Let A be an $f|_V$ -ample invertible sheaf on V . Let $s \in H^0(V, (L|_V)^{\otimes m} \otimes A^{-1})$ be a nonzero element with $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, and let $Z := Z(s)$. Then we have a set-theoretic inclusion

$$\mathbf{E}_{f|_V}(L|_V) = \mathbf{E}_{f|_Z}(L|_Z) \subseteq Z,$$

where the first equality follows from (4). Let V' be the union of the other irreducible components of $\mathbf{E}_f(L)$ equipped with the reduced scheme structure. Let $v \in V \setminus V'$ be a closed point. Since $v \in V \subseteq \mathbf{E}_f(L)$, there is an integral closed subscheme W of X such that $v \in W$ and $L|_W$ is not $f|_W$ -big. In other words, we have

$$v \in W \subseteq \mathbf{E}_f(L) = V \cup V'.$$

Since $v \notin V'$, we have $W \not\subseteq V'$. Since W is irreducible, we have $W \subseteq V$. Since $(L|_V)|_W = L|_W$ is not $f|_W$ -big, we have $W \subseteq \mathbf{E}_{f|_V}(L|_V)$. Since $v \in W$, we have $v \in \mathbf{E}_{f|_V}(L|_V)$. Thus, $V \setminus V' \subseteq \mathbf{E}_{f|_V}(L|_V)$ holds. Since $\mathbf{E}_{f|_V}(L|_V)$ is a closed subset of V by (6), $\overline{V \setminus V'} \subseteq \mathbf{E}_{f|_V}(L|_V)$ holds, where $\overline{V \setminus V'}$ is the closure of $V \setminus V'$ in V . Thus we have a set-theoretic inclusion

$$V = \overline{V \setminus V'} \subseteq \mathbf{E}_{f|_V}(L|_V) \subseteq Z.$$

Hence $\text{Supp}(V) = \text{Supp}(Z)$ holds. On the other hand, since V is reduced and $s \neq 0$, we have $\text{Supp}(Z) \neq \text{Supp}(V)$ by Proposition 2.17. These two estimates imply a contradiction. \square

4. KEEL'S THEOREM

The purpose of this section is to show the relative versions of Nakayama's theorem (see Theorem 4.6) and Keel's result (see Theorem 4.8) for noetherian schemes.

In this section, we use the following notation. Let X be a scheme, and let Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n , and Y_λ ($\lambda \in \Lambda$) be closed subschemes of X . Then, $\bigcup_{i=1}^n Y_i$ denotes the scheme-theoretic union of Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n . $\bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} Y_\lambda$ denotes the scheme-theoretic intersection of Y_λ ($\lambda \in \Lambda$). $Y_1 \subseteq Y_2$ denotes that Y_1 is a closed subscheme of Y_2 . To avoid confusion, we use the notation $\text{Supp}(Y)$ when a closed subscheme Y of X is considered as a closed subset of X .

Lemma 4.1. *Let $f : X \rightarrow S$ be a projective morphism of noetherian schemes, with S irreducible. Let $d := \dim(X/S)$. Let \mathcal{F} be a coherent sheaf on X , and let L be an f -nef invertible sheaf on X . Then, for any $j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, there is a positive real number $C \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ such that for sufficiently large $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$,*

$$\text{ge.len}_S R^j f_*(\mathcal{F} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} L^{\otimes m}) \leq C m^{d-j}$$

holds.

Proof. We may assume that S is affine and written as $S = \text{Spec } R$ with a noetherian ring R . Let $R' := R/\sqrt{0}$, and let σ be the generic point of S . Let \mathcal{N} be the nilradical ideal sheaf on X . First, we show the case where $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{N}^i \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{E}$ for a coherent locally free sheaf \mathcal{E} on X and $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Since X is noetherian, $\mathcal{N}^{l+1} = 0$ holds for some $l \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. Hence the assertion clearly holds if $i \geq l + 1$. Let $M_m = \mathcal{E} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} L^{\otimes m}$. For every $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, l\}$, the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{N}^{i+1} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}^i \rightarrow \mathcal{N}^i/\mathcal{N}^{i+1} \rightarrow 0$$

induces the exact sequence

$$H^j(X, \mathcal{N}^{i+1} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} M_m) \rightarrow H^j(X, \mathcal{N}^i \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} M_m) \rightarrow H^j(X, (\mathcal{N}^i/\mathcal{N}^{i+1}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} M_m).$$

By descending induction on i , it is enough to show the case where $\mathcal{F} = (\mathcal{N}^i/\mathcal{N}^{i+1}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{E}$ for $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, l\}$. Since $\mathcal{N}^i/\mathcal{N}^{i+1}$ is $(\mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{N})$ -module, we have

$$H^j(X, (\mathcal{N}^i/\mathcal{N}^{i+1}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} M_m) = H^j(X_{\text{red}}, ((\mathcal{N}^i/\mathcal{N}^{i+1}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} M_m)|_{X_{\text{red}}}).$$

Since $f(X_{\text{red}})$ is a closed subscheme of S_{red} , this cohomology is also an R' -module. Thus, there is a positive real number $C \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ such that for sufficiently large $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{ge.len}_R H^j(X, (\mathcal{N}^i/\mathcal{N}^{i+1}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} M_m) \\ &= \text{ge.len}_{R'} H^j(X_{\text{red}}, ((\mathcal{N}^i/\mathcal{N}^{i+1}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} M_m)|_{X_{\text{red}}}) \\ &= \dim_{K(R')} H^j(X_{\text{red}, \sigma}, ((\mathcal{N}^i/\mathcal{N}^{i+1}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} M_m)|_{X_{\text{red}, \sigma}}) \\ &\leq C m^{d-j} \end{aligned}$$

holds. The first and second equalities follow from Remark 2.7, and the last inequality follows from [Bir17, Proposition 4.4 (2)]. Thus we have shown the case where $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{N}^i \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{E}$ for $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. In particular, the assertion holds if \mathcal{F} is locally free.

Second, we show the general case. We can write \mathcal{F} as a quotient of a coherent locally free sheaf \mathcal{E} . Let \mathcal{K} be the kernel sheaf of the natural sheaf homomorphism $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$. Note that also \mathcal{K} is coherent. We obtain the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow 0.$$

This induces the exact sequence

$$H^j(X, \mathcal{E} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} L^{\otimes m}) \rightarrow H^j(X, \mathcal{F} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} L^{\otimes m}) \rightarrow H^{j+1}(X, \mathcal{K} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} L^{\otimes m}).$$

By descending induction on j , there is a positive real number $C_1 \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ such that

$$\text{ge.len}_R H^{j+1}(X, \mathcal{K} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} L^{\otimes m}) \leq C_1 m^{d-j-1}$$

holds for sufficiently large $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. On the other hand, by the case where \mathcal{F} is locally free, there is a positive real number $C_2 \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ such that

$$\text{ge.len}_R H^j(X, \mathcal{E} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} L^{\otimes m}) \leq C_2 m^{d-j}$$

holds for sufficiently large $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{ge.len}_R H^j(X, \mathcal{F} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} L^{\otimes m}) \\ & \leq \text{ge.len}_R H^{j+1}(X, \mathcal{K} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} L^{\otimes m}) + \text{ge.len}_R H^j(X, \mathcal{E} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} L^{\otimes m}) \\ & \leq C_1 m^{d-j-1} + C_2 m^{d-j} \\ & \leq (C_1 + C_2) m^{d-j} \end{aligned}$$

for sufficiently large $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. \square

Lemma 4.2. *Let $f : X \rightarrow S$ be a projective surjective morphism of irreducible noetherian schemes. Let $d := \dim(X/S)$. Let \mathcal{E} be a coherent locally free sheaf on X , and let L be an f -nef invertible sheaf on X . If L is f -big, there is a positive real number $C \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ such that for sufficiently large $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$,*

$$\text{ge.len}_S f_*(\mathcal{E} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} L^{\otimes m}) \geq C m^d$$

holds.

Proof. By Proposition 3.3, there is a non-empty open affine subscheme S' of S such that $L|_{X'}$ is g -weakly big, where $X' := X \times_S S'$ and $g : X' \rightarrow S'$ is the natural morphism. Thus, by replacing $f : X \rightarrow S$ with $g : X' \rightarrow S'$, we may assume that S is affine and written as $S = \text{Spec } R$ with a noetherian ring R . Let \mathcal{N} be the nilradical ideal sheaf of X , and let $R' := R/\sqrt{0}$. Let $M_m := \mathcal{E} \otimes L^{\otimes m}$. The exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X_{\text{red}}} \rightarrow 0$$

induces the exact sequence

$$H^0(X, M_m) \rightarrow H^0(X_{\text{red}}, M_m|_{X_{\text{red}}}) \rightarrow H^1(X, \mathcal{N} \otimes M_m).$$

By Lemma 4.1, there is a positive real number $C_1 \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ such that for sufficiently large $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, $H^1(X, \mathcal{N} \otimes M_m) \leq C_1 m^{d-1}$ holds. Let σ be the generic point of S . By Proposition 3.5, $L|_{X_{\text{red},\sigma}}$ is big over $\text{Spec } K(R')$. Thus, there is a positive real number $C_2 \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ such that for sufficiently large $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ge.len}_R H^0(X_{\text{red}}, M_m|_{X_{\text{red}}}) &= \text{ge.len}_{R'} H^0(X_{\text{red}}, M_m|_{X_{\text{red}}}) \\ &= \dim_{K(R')} H^0(X_{\text{red},\sigma}, M_m|_{X_{\text{red},\sigma}}) \\ &\geq C_2 m^d \end{aligned}$$

holds. The first and second equalities follow from Remark 2.7, and the last inequality follows from [Bir17, Proposition 4.3]. Thus, for sufficiently large $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{ge.len}_R H^0(X, M_m) \\ & \geq \text{ge.len}_R H^0(X_{\text{red}}, M_m|_{X_{\text{red}}}) - \text{ge.len}_R H^1(X, \mathcal{N} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} M_m) \\ & \geq C_2 m^d - C_1 m^{d-1} \\ & \geq C m^d, \end{aligned}$$

where $C := C_2/2$. □

Lemma 4.3. *Let $f : X \rightarrow S$ be a projective morphism of noetherian schemes, with S affine. Let L be an f -nef invertible sheaf on X , and let A be an f -ample invertible sheaf on X . Assume that L is f -weakly big. Then, for sufficiently large $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, there is a section $s \in H^0(X, L^{\otimes m} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} A^{-1})$ such that $s|_{X_{\text{red}}} \neq 0$ holds.*

Proof. Since L is f -weakly big, $X \neq \emptyset$ holds. By Theorem 3.9, there is an irreducible component V of X_{red} such that $L|_V$ is f -big. Let $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^n X_i$ be an irredundant decomposition of X (cf. Definition-Proposition 2.28). Then, for some $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, $V = X_{j,\text{red}}$ holds. In particular, $L|_{X_j}$ is $f|_{X_j}$ -big. After permuting the indices, we may assume $j = 1$. Let \mathcal{N} be the nilradical ideal sheaf of X_1 . Let $M_m := L^{\otimes m} \otimes A^{-1}$. The exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X_1} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X_{1,\text{red}}} \rightarrow 0$$

induces the exact sequence

$$H^0(X_1, M_m|_{X_1}) \xrightarrow{\phi_m} H^0(X_{1,\text{red}}, M_m|_{X_{1,\text{red}}}) \xrightarrow{\phi'_m} H^1(X_1, \mathcal{N} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X_1}} M_m|_{X_1})$$

Let $d := \dim(X_1/f(X_1))$. Note that $d \geq 0$. We write $f(X_1) = \text{Spec } R$ with a noetherian ring R . By Lemma 4.1, there is a positive real number $C_1 \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ such that for sufficiently large $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, $\text{ge.len}_R H^1(X_1, \mathcal{N} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X_1}} M_m|_{X_1}) \leq C_1 m^{d-1}$ holds. By Lemma 4.2, there is a positive real number $C_2 \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ such that for sufficiently large $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, $\text{ge.len}_R H^0(X_{1,\text{red}}, M_m|_{X_{1,\text{red}}}) \geq C_2 m^d$ holds. Thus we have

$$\text{ge.len}_R \text{Im } \phi_m = \text{ge.len}_R \text{Ker } \phi'_m \geq C_2 m^d - C_1 m^{d-1}.$$

Let $X' := \bigcup_{i=2}^n X_i$, and let $T := X_1 \cap X'$. The Mayer–Vietoris sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X_1} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{X'} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_T \rightarrow 0$$

induces the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H^0(X, M_m) \rightarrow H^0(X_1, M_m|_{X_1}) \oplus H^0(X', M_m|_{X'}) \rightarrow H^0(T, M_m|_T).$$

Let $\psi_m : H^0(X, M_m) \rightarrow H^0(X_1, M_m|_{X_1})$ and $\psi'_m : H^0(X_1, M_m|_{X_1}) \rightarrow H^0(T, M_m|_T)$ be the natural homomorphisms. Then, from the above exact sequence, we have $\text{Im } \psi_m \supseteq \text{Ker } \psi'_m$. By Lemma 4.1, there is a positive real number $C_3 \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ such that for sufficiently large $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, $\text{ge.len}_R H^0(T, M_m|_T) \leq C_3 m^{d-1}$ holds. Assume that $\phi_m \circ \psi_m = 0$, i.e., $\text{Im } \psi_m \subseteq \text{Ker } \phi_m$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} C_2 m^d - C_1 m^{d-1} &\leq \text{ge.len}_R \text{Im } \phi_m \\ &= \text{ge.len}_R H^0(X_1, M_m|_{X_1}) - \text{ge.len}_R \text{Ker } \phi_m \\ &\leq \text{ge.len}_R H^0(X_1, M_m|_{X_1}) - \text{ge.len}_R \text{Im } \psi_m \\ &\leq \text{ge.len}_R H^0(X_1, M_m|_{X_1}) - \text{ge.len}_R \text{Ker } \psi'_m \\ &= \text{ge.len}_R \text{Im } \psi'_m \\ &\leq C_3 m^{d-1} \end{aligned}$$

holds. Thus we have $\phi_m \circ \psi_m \neq 0$, which implies the assertion. \square

Lemma 4.4. *Let X be a noetherian scheme, and let V and W be closed subschemes of X . Let \mathcal{I} (resp. \mathcal{J}) be the ideal sheaf of V (resp. W). If $\text{Supp}(V) \subseteq \text{Supp}(W)$ holds, then $V \subseteq W^r$ holds for sufficiently large $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, where W^r is the closed subscheme of X determined by the coherent ideal sheaf \mathcal{J}^r on X .*

Proof. Since X is noetherian, we may assume that X is affine and written as $X = \text{Spec } R$ with a noetherian ring R . Let $I := H^0(X, \mathcal{I})$ and $J := H^0(X, \mathcal{J})$. It is enough to show that $I \supseteq J^r$ for some $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. Since R is noetherian, J is generated by a finite number of elements f_1, f_2, \dots, f_l as an ideal of R . Since $\text{Supp}(V) \subseteq \text{Supp}(W)$, we have $\sqrt{I} \supseteq \sqrt{J} \supseteq J$. Let r_0 be a positive integer such that $f_i^{r_0} \in I$ holds for any $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, l\}$. Then, by the pigeonhole principle, we have

$$J^{l(r_0-1)+1} \subseteq (f_1^{r_0}, f_2^{r_0}, \dots, f_l^{r_0}) \subseteq I.$$

\square

Proposition 4.5. *Let $f : X \rightarrow S$ be a projective morphism of noetherian schemes. Let L be an f -nef invertible sheaf on X . Then, we have $\mathbf{E}_f(L) \subseteq \mathbf{B}_{+,f}(L)$.*

Proof. By Proposition 2.24 (3) and Proposition 3.12 (1), we may assume that S is affine. Let A be an f -ample invertible sheaf on X . Let V be an integral closed subscheme of X . Assume that $\text{Supp}(V) \not\subseteq \mathbf{B}_{+,f}(L)$. Then, there are positive integers $m, r \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ and a section $s \in H^0(X, L^{\otimes mr} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} A^{-r})$ such that $\text{Supp}(V) \not\subseteq \text{Supp}(Z(s))$ holds. By Proposition 2.17 and Proposition 2.20, we have $s|_V \neq 0$.

Thus $L|_V$ is $f|_V$ -big. This induces that if $L|_V$ is not $f|_V$ -big, then $\text{Supp}(V) \subseteq \mathbf{B}_{+,f}(L)$ holds. Hence we have $\mathbf{E}_f(L) \subseteq \mathbf{B}_{+,f}(L)$. \square

Theorem 4.6. *Let $f : X \rightarrow S$ be a projective morphism of noetherian schemes. Let L be an f -nef invertible sheaf on X . Then, we have $\mathbf{B}_{+,f}(L) = \mathbf{E}_f(L)$.*

Proof. By Proposition 2.24 (3) and Proposition 3.12 (1), we may assume that S is affine. Let A be an f -very ample invertible sheaf on X . By Proposition 4.5, $\mathbf{E}_f(L) \subseteq \mathbf{B}_{+,f}(L)$ holds. Assume that L is not f -weakly big. Then we have $\text{Supp}(X) = \mathbf{E}_f(L)$ by Proposition 3.12 (5). Hence we have

$$\text{Supp}(X) = \mathbf{E}_f(L) \subseteq \mathbf{B}_{+,f}(L) \subseteq \text{Supp}(X),$$

which implies $\mathbf{E}_f(L) = \mathbf{B}_{+,f}(L)$. Thus, we may assume that L is f -weakly big. Then, by Lemma 4.3, there are $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ and $s \in H^0(X, L^{\otimes m} \otimes A^{-1})$ such that $s|_{X_{\text{red}}} \neq 0$ holds. Let $X := \bigcup_{j=1}^n X_j$ be an irredundant decomposition of X (cf. Definition-Proposition 2.28). Let

$$J' := \{j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \mid \text{Supp}(X_j) \not\subseteq \text{Supp}(Z(s))\},$$

and let

$$J'' := \{j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \mid \text{Supp}(X_j) \subseteq \text{Supp}(Z(s))\}.$$

Let $X' := \bigcup_{j \in J'} X_j$, and let $X'' := \bigcup_{j \in J''} X_j$. By Lemma 4.4 and Proposition 2.19, $X'' \subseteq Z(s^{\otimes r})$ holds for sufficiently large $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. By Fujita's vanishing theorem ([Kee03, Theorem 1.5]), there is a positive integer $r_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ such that for any integer $r \geq r_0$ and for any f -nef invertible sheaf L' on X' ,

$$H^1(X', (A|_{X'})^{\otimes r-1} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X'}} L') = 0$$

holds. Pick $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ such that these two conditions hold. Let $Z := Z(s^{\otimes r})$. Let $Z' := Z(s^{\otimes r}|_{X'}) = Z \cap X'$, where the second equality follows from Proposition 2.20. Let $\mathcal{I}_{Z'}$ be the ideal sheaf of Z' on X' . By Definition-Proposition 2.18 and the definition of X' , $Z(s|_{X'})$ defines an effective Cartier divisor on X' . Since $\text{Supp}(Z') = \text{Supp}(Z(s|_{X'}))$, also Z' defines an effective Cartier divisor on X' . Thus we have $\mathcal{I}_{Z'} \simeq (L|_{X'})^{-mr} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X'}} (A|_{X'})^{\otimes r}$ by Definition-Proposition 2.18. We now show the following claim.

Claim 4.7. $\mathbf{B}_{+,f|_Z}(L|_Z) = \mathbf{B}_{+,f}(L)$ holds.

Proof of Claim 4.7. By Proposition 2.26 (3) and the definition of $\mathbf{B}_{+,f}(L)$, we have

$$\mathbf{B}_{+,f|_Z}(L|_Z) \subseteq \mathbf{B}_{+,f}(L) \subseteq \text{Supp}(Z).$$

Let $x \in \text{Supp}(Z) \setminus \mathbf{B}_{+,f|_Z}(L|_Z)$. It is enough to show that $x \notin \mathbf{B}_{+,f}(L)$. Let m' and r' be positive integers such that

$$\mathbf{B}_{+,f|_Z}(L|_Z) = \text{Bs}_{f|_Z}((L^{\otimes m'r'} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} A^{-r'})|_Z)$$

and $m'r' \geq mr$ hold. Then, there is a section $t_1 \in H^0(Z, (L^{\otimes m'r'} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} A^{-r'})|_Z)$ such that $t_1(x) \neq 0$. Since A is f -very ample, there is a section $t_2 \in H^0(Z, A^{r'-1})$ such that $t_2(x) \neq 0$. Let $M := L^{\otimes m'r'} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} A^{-1}$ and let $t := t_1 \otimes t_2 \in H^0(Z, M|_Z)$. Then $t(x) \neq 0$ holds. The exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{Z'} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X'} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{Z'} \rightarrow 0$$

induces the exact sequence

$$H^0(X', M|_{X'}) \rightarrow H^0(Z', M|_{Z'}) \rightarrow H^1(X', \mathcal{I}_{Z'} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X'}} M|_{X'}).$$

Since

$$\mathcal{I}_{Z'} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X'}} M|_{X'} = (L|_{X'})^{\otimes m'r' - mr} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X'}} (A|_{X'})^{\otimes r-1}$$

and $(L|_{X'})^{\otimes m'r' - mr}$ is $f|_{X'}$ -nef, $H^1(X', \mathcal{I}_{Z'} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X'}} M|_{X'}) = 0$ holds by the definition of r . Thus, there is a section $t' \in H^0(X', M|_{X'})$ such that $t'|_{Z'} = t|_{Z'}$. By the Mayer–Vietoris sequence, we have the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H^0(X, M) \xrightarrow{\phi} H^0(X', M|_{X'}) \oplus H^0(X'', M|_{X''}) \xrightarrow{\psi} H^0(T, M|_T),$$

where $T := X' \cap X''$. Note that $T \subseteq Z' \subseteq X'$ and $T \subseteq X'' \subseteq Z$ since $T = X' \cap X''$, $Z' = X' \cap Z$, and $X'' \subseteq Z$. We have

$$t'|_T = (t'|_{Z'})|_T = (t|_{Z'})|_T = t|_T = (t|_{X''})|_T,$$

i.e., $\psi(t', t|_{X''}) = 0$. Thus, there is a section $t'' \in H^0(X, M)$ such that $\phi(t'') = (t', t|_{X''})$. Note that $t''|_{Z'} = t'|_{Z'} = t|_{Z'}$ and $t''|_{X''} = t|_{X''}$. Since $X = X' \cup X''$, we have $\text{Supp}(X) = \text{Supp}(X') \cup \text{Supp}(X'')$. If $x \in \text{Supp}(X')$, we have

$$t''(x) = (t''|_{Z'})(x) = (t|_{Z'})(x) = t(x) \neq 0,$$

since $x \in \text{Supp}(X') \cap \text{Supp}(Z) = \text{Supp}(Z')$. On the other hand, if $x \in \text{Supp}(X'')$, we have

$$t''(x) = (t''|_{X''})(x) = (t|_{X''})(x) = t(x) \neq 0.$$

In any case, we have $t''(x) \neq 0$, which implies $x \notin \text{Bs}_f(L^{\otimes m'r'} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} A^{-1}) \supseteq \mathbf{B}_{+,f}(L)$. Hence the claim holds. \square

Since $s|_{X_{\text{red}}} \neq 0$, $\text{Supp}(Z) \subsetneq \text{Supp}(X)$ holds by Proposition 2.17. By noetherian induction, it holds that $\mathbf{E}_{f|_Z}(L|_Z) = \mathbf{B}_{+,f|_Z}(L|_Z)$. By Claim 4.7, we have $\mathbf{B}_{+,f|_Z}(L|_Z) = \mathbf{B}_{+,f}(L)$. By Proposition 3.12 (4), we have $\mathbf{E}_f(L) = \mathbf{E}_{f|_Z}(L|_Z)$. Thus, we have $\mathbf{B}_{+,f}(L) = \mathbf{E}_f(L)$. \square

Theorem 4.8. *Let $f : X \rightarrow S$ be a projective morphism of noetherian schemes and let L be an f -nef invertible sheaf on X . Then, there exists a closed subscheme F of X such that $\text{Supp}(F) = \mathbf{E}_f(L)$ and $\mathbf{SB}_f(L) = \mathbf{SB}_{f|_F}(L|_F)$ hold.*

The proof is almost the same as in Theorem 4.6.

Proof. By Proposition 2.24 (3) and Proposition 3.12 (1), We may assume that S is affine. Let A be an f -very ample invertible sheaf on X . If L is not f -weakly big, we have $\mathbf{E}_f(L) = \text{Supp}(X)$ by Proposition 3.12 (5), and hence the assertion holds for $F = X$. Thus, we may assume that L is f -weakly big. Then, by Lemma 4.3, there are $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ and $s \in H^0(X, L^{\otimes m} \otimes A^{-1})$ such that $s|_{X_{\text{red}}} \neq 0$ holds. Let $X = \bigcup_{j=1}^n X_j$ be an irredundant decomposition of X (cf. Definition-Proposition 2.28). Let

$$J' := \{j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \mid \text{Supp}(X_j) \not\subseteq \text{Supp}(Z(s))\},$$

and let

$$J'' := \{j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \mid \text{Supp}(X_j) \subseteq \text{Supp}(Z(s))\}.$$

Let $X' := \bigcup_{j \in J'} X_j$, and let $X'' := \bigcup_{j \in J''} X_j$. By Lemma 4.4 and Proposition 2.19, $X'' \subseteq Z(s^{\otimes r})$ holds for sufficiently large $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. By Fujita's vanishing theorem (cf. [Kee03, Theorem 1.5]), there is a positive integer $r_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ such that for any integer $r \geq r_0$ and for any f -nef invertible sheaf L' on X' , $H^1(X', (A|_{X'})^{\otimes r-1} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X'}} L') = 0$ holds. Pick $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ such that these two conditions hold. Let $Z := Z(s^{\otimes r})$. Let $Z' := Z(s^{\otimes r}|_{X'}) = Z \cap X'$, where the second equality follows from Proposition 2.20. Let $\mathcal{I}_{Z'}$ be the ideal sheaf of Z' on X' . By Definition-Proposition 2.18, $Z(s|_{X'})$ defines an effective Cartier divisor on X' . Since $\text{Supp}(Z') = \text{Supp}(Z(s|_{X'}))$, also Z' defines an effective Cartier divisor on X' . By Definition-Proposition 2.18, we have $\mathcal{I}_{Z'} \simeq (L|_{X'})^{-mr} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X'}} (A|_{X'})^{\otimes r}$. We now show the following claim.

Claim 4.9. $\mathbf{SB}_{f|_Z}(L|_Z) = \mathbf{SB}_f(L)$ holds.

Proof of Claim 4.9. We have

$$\mathbf{SB}_{f|_Z}(L|_Z) \subseteq \mathbf{SB}_f(L) \subseteq \mathbf{B}_{+,f}(L) \subseteq \text{Supp}(Z),$$

where the first, second, and last inclusions respectively follow from Proposition 2.26 (2), the definition of $\mathbf{SB}_f(L)$, and the definition of $\mathbf{B}_{+,f}(L)$. Let $x \in \text{Supp}(Z) \setminus \mathbf{SB}_{f|_Z}(L|_Z)$. It is enough to show that $x \notin \mathbf{SB}_f(L)$. Let m' be a positive integer such that $\mathbf{SB}_{f|_Z}(L|_Z) = \text{Bs}_{f|_Z}((L|_Z)^{\otimes m'})$ and $m' \geq mr$ hold. Then, there is a section $t \in$

$H^0(Z, (L|_Z)^{\otimes m'})$ such that $t(x) \neq 0$. Let $M = L^{\otimes m'}$. The exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{Z'} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X'} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{Z'} \rightarrow 0$$

induces the exact sequence

$$H^0(X', M|_{X'}) \rightarrow H^0(Z', M|_{Z'}) \rightarrow H^1(X', \mathcal{I}_{Z'} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X'}} M|_{X'}).$$

Since

$$\mathcal{I}_{Z'} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X'}} M|_{X'} = (L|_{X'})^{\otimes m' - mr} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X'}} (A|_{X'})^{\otimes r}$$

and $(L|_{X'})^{\otimes m' - mr}$ is $f|_{X'}$ -nef, $H^1(X', \mathcal{I}_{Z'} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X'}} M|_{X'}) = 0$ holds by the definition of r . Thus, there is a section $t' \in H^0(X', M|_{X'})$ such that $t'|_{Z'} = t|_{Z'}$. By the Mayer–Vietoris sequence, we have the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H^0(X, M) \xrightarrow{\phi} H^0(X', M|_{X'}) \oplus H^0(X'', M|_{X''}) \xrightarrow{\psi} H^0(T, M|_T),$$

where $T := X' \cap X''$. Note that $T \subseteq Z' \subseteq X'$ and $T \subseteq X'' \subseteq Z$ since $T = X' \cap X''$, $Z' = X' \cap Z$, and $X'' \subseteq Z$. We have

$$t'|_T = (t'|_{Z'})|_T = (t|_{Z'})|_T = t|_T = (t|_{X''})|_T,$$

i.e., $\psi(t', t|_{X''}) = 0$. Thus, there is a section $t'' \in H^0(X, M)$ such that $\phi(t'') = (t', t|_{X''})$. Note that $t''|_{Z'} = t'|_{Z'} = t|_{Z'}$ and $t''|_{X''} = t|_{X''}$. Since $X = X' \cup X''$, we have $\text{Supp}(X) = \text{Supp}(X') \cup \text{Supp}(X'')$. If $x \in \text{Supp}(X')$, we have

$$t''(x) = (t''|_{Z'})(x) = (t|_{Z'})(x) = t(x) \neq 0,$$

since $x \in \text{Supp}(X') \cap \text{Supp}(Z) = \text{Supp}(Z')$. On the other hand, if $x \in \text{Supp}(X'')$, we have

$$t''(x) = (t''|_{X''})(x) = (t|_{X''})(x) = t(x) \neq 0.$$

In any case, we have $t''(x) \neq 0$, which implies $x \notin \text{Bs}_f(L^{\otimes m'}) \supseteq \mathbf{SB}_f(L)$. Hence the claim holds. \square

Since $s|_{X_{\text{red}}} \neq 0$, $\text{Supp}(Z) \subsetneq \text{Supp}(X)$ holds by Proposition 2.17. By noetherian induction, there is a closed subscheme F' of Z such that $\text{Supp}(F') = \mathbf{E}_{f|_Z}(L|_Z)$ and $\mathbf{SB}_{f|_Z}(L|_Z) = \mathbf{SB}_{f|_{F'}}(L|_{F'})$ hold. Then we have $\mathbf{E}_f(L) = \mathbf{E}_{f|_Z}(L|_Z) = \text{Supp}(F')$, where the first equality follows from Proposition 3.12 (4). We also have $\mathbf{SB}_f(L) = \mathbf{SB}_{f|_Z}(L|_Z) = \mathbf{SB}_{f|_{F'}}(L|_{F'})$, where the first equality follows from Claim 4.9. Hence the assertion holds. \square

5. APPENDIX: KODAIRA'S LEMMA

In this section, we generalize Lemma 3.8 (see Theorem 5.9). This implies the relative version of Kodaira's lemma for reduced noetherian schemes (see Corollary 5.10).

Lemma 5.1. *Let $f : A \rightarrow B$ be an injective ring homomorphism of noetherian integral domains, and let M be a finitely generated A -module. Then, we have $\text{ge.len}_A M = \text{ge.len}_B(M \otimes_A B)$.*

Proof. The assertion follows from the following equality:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ge.len}_A M &= \dim_{K(A)}(M \otimes_A K(A)) \\ &= \dim_{K(B)}(M \otimes_A K(A) \otimes_{K(A)} K(B)) \\ &= \dim_{K(B)}(M \otimes_A K(B)) \\ &= \dim_{K(B)}(M \otimes_A B \otimes_B K(B)) \\ &= \text{ge.len}_B(M \otimes_A B), \end{aligned}$$

where the first and last equalities follows from Remark 2.7. \square

Proposition 5.2. *Let A be an integral domain and let M be a finitely generated A -module. Let $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Then, the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) $r \leq \text{ge.len}_A M$;
- (2) *There is an injective A -homomorphism $A^{\oplus r} \rightarrow M$.*

Proof. Let $K := K(A)$. First, we show that (1) implies (2). Since $\text{ge.len}_A M = \dim_K(M \otimes_A K)$ by Remark 2.7, there are elements $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r \in M$ such that $x_1 \otimes_A 1, x_2 \otimes_A 1, \dots, x_r \otimes_A 1 \in M \otimes_A K$ are linearly independent over K . Let $f : A^{\oplus r} \rightarrow M$ be an A -homomorphism such that $f(e_j) = x_j$ holds, where $(e_j)_{j=1,2,\dots,r}$ is a free basis of $A^{\oplus r}$. Let $a = \sum_{j=1}^r a_j e_j \in A^{\oplus r}$ with $a_j \in A$. If $f(a) = 0$ holds, then we have $\sum_{j=1}^r a_j (x_j \otimes_A 1) = 0$. Thus, $a_j = 0$ holds for every $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$. Hence f is injective.

Next, we show that (2) implies (1). By tensoring with K , the injective A -homomorphism $A^{\oplus r} \rightarrow M$ induces the injective K -homomorphism $K^{\oplus r} \rightarrow M \otimes_A K$. Thus, we have $r \leq \dim_K(M \otimes_A K) = \text{ge.len}_A M$ by Remark 2.7. \square

Lemma 5.3. *Let A be a finitely generated \mathbb{Z} -algebra, let \mathfrak{m} be a maximal ideal of A , and let $e \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. Then, A/\mathfrak{m}^e is a finite set. In particular, A/\mathfrak{m} is of positive characteristic.*

Proof. Let $\alpha : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow A/\mathfrak{m}$ be the natural ring homomorphism. Then, α induces the injective ring homomorphism $\bar{\alpha} : \mathbb{Z}/\text{Ker } \alpha \rightarrow A/\mathfrak{m}$. Since

A/\mathfrak{m} is a field, also $\mathbb{Z}/\text{Ker } \alpha$ is a field. In other words, $\mathbb{Z}/\text{Ker } \alpha$ is equal to \mathbb{F}_p for some prime number p . Since A/\mathfrak{m} is a finitely generated \mathbb{F}_p -algebra and $\dim(A/\mathfrak{m}) = 0$, we have $\text{tr.deg}_{\mathbb{F}_p}(A/\mathfrak{m}) = 0$ by [Mat87, Theorem 15.6]. Thus, A/\mathfrak{m} is a finite dimensional \mathbb{F}_p -vector space. Hence A/\mathfrak{m} is a finite field.

We have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}^{e-1}/\mathfrak{m}^e \rightarrow A/\mathfrak{m}^e \rightarrow A/\mathfrak{m}^{e-1} \rightarrow 0$$

for every $e \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. Since $\mathfrak{m}^{e-1}/\mathfrak{m}^e$ is a finite dimensional A/\mathfrak{m} -vector space, we have $\#(\mathfrak{m}^{e-1}/\mathfrak{m}^e) < \infty$. By induction on e , we may assume that $\#(A/\mathfrak{m}^{e-1}) < \infty$. Thus, we have

$$\#(A/\mathfrak{m}^e) = \#(\mathfrak{m}^{e-1}/\mathfrak{m}^e)\#(A/\mathfrak{m}^{e-1}) < \infty.$$

□

Lemma 5.4. *Let A be a noetherian integral domain, let \mathfrak{m} be a maximal ideal of A , let M be a finitely generated A -module, and let $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. If $\#A = \infty$ and $\text{ge.len}_A M \geq 1$, then $\#(M \otimes_A A/\mathfrak{m}^e) > r$ holds for sufficiently large $e \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$.*

Proof. By the natural surjection $M \otimes_A A/\mathfrak{m}^{e+1} \rightarrow M \otimes_A A/\mathfrak{m}^e$, it is enough to show that for any $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, there exists a positive integer $e \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ such that $\#(M \otimes_A A/\mathfrak{m}^e) > r$. By $\text{ge.len}_A M \geq 1$ and Proposition 5.2, there is an injective A -homomorphism $\phi : A \rightarrow M$. Let \hat{A} (resp. \hat{M}) be the completion of A (resp. M) with respect to \mathfrak{m} . By [Mat87, Theorem 8.10], the natural A -homomorphism $\alpha : A \rightarrow \hat{A}$ is injective. Since α is flat by [Mat87, Theorem 8.8], $\phi' := \phi \otimes_A \text{id}_{\hat{A}} : A \otimes_A \hat{A} \rightarrow M \otimes_A \hat{A}$ is injective. By [Mat87, Theorem 8.7], the natural \hat{A} -homomorphism $M \otimes_A \hat{A} \rightarrow \hat{M}$ is an isomorphism. Then, we have the injective A -homomorphism

$$A \xrightarrow{\alpha} \hat{A} \xrightarrow{\cong} A \otimes_A \hat{A} \xrightarrow{\phi'} M \otimes_A \hat{A} \xrightarrow{\cong} \hat{M}.$$

Thus, $\#A = \infty$ implies $\#\hat{M} = \infty$. Assume that there is a positive integer $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ such that $\#(M/\mathfrak{m}^e M) = \#(M \otimes_A A/\mathfrak{m}^e) \leq r$ for every $e \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. Then, the natural surjection $M/\mathfrak{m}^{e+1} M \rightarrow M/\mathfrak{m}^e M$ is an isomorphism for sufficiently large $e \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. Thus, for such e , $\hat{M} \simeq M/\mathfrak{m}^e M$ holds. Hence we have $\#\hat{M} \leq r$, which induces a contradiction. □

Lemma 5.5. *Let $f : M \rightarrow N$ be an A -homomorphism.*

- (1) *Let n be a positive integer. For each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, let N_i be an A -submodule of N , and let $M_i := f^{-1}(N_i)$. If $\bigcup_{i=1}^n M_i \neq M$, then $\bigcup_{i=1}^n N_i \neq N$.*

- (2) Let n be a positive integer. For each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, let M_i be an A -submodule of M , and let $N_i := f(M_i)$. If f is surjective and $\bigcup_{i=1}^n N_i \neq N$, then $\bigcup_{i=1}^n M_i \neq M$.

Proof. (1) Let $x \in M$. Then, $f(x) \in \bigcup_{i=1}^n N_i$ implies $x \in \bigcup_{i=1}^n M_i$. Thus, we have that if $\bigcup_{i=1}^n M_i \subsetneq M$ then $\bigcup_{i=1}^n N_i \subsetneq f(M) \subseteq N$.

(2) Let $x \in M$. Then, $x \in \bigcup_{i=1}^n M_i$ implies $f(x) \in \bigcup_{i=1}^n N_i$. Thus, we have that if $\bigcup_{i=1}^n N_i \subsetneq f(M) = N$ then $\bigcup_{i=1}^n M_i \subsetneq M$. \square

Lemma 5.6. *Let R be a noetherian ring, and let M be a finitely generated R -module. Let n be a positive integer. For each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, let $\mathfrak{p}_i \in \text{Spec } R$, let $R_i := R/\mathfrak{p}_i$, and let M_i be an R -submodule of M . For each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, assume the following conditions:*

- $\mathfrak{p}_i(M/M_i) = 0$, in particular, M/M_i is an R_i -module;
- if $\dim R_i = 0$, $\text{ge.len}_{R_i}(M/M_i) > \log_2 n$;
- if $\dim R_i \geq 1$, $\text{ge.len}_{R_i}(M/M_i) \geq 1$.

Then, $\bigcup_{i=1}^n M_i \neq M$ holds.

Proof. First, we show the case where R is a finitely generated \mathbb{Z} -algebra.

Claim 5.7. *If R is a finitely generated \mathbb{Z} -algebra, the assertion holds.*

Proof of Claim 5.7. For each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, pick a maximal ideal \mathfrak{m}_i of R such that $\mathfrak{p}_i \subseteq \mathfrak{m}_i$. Let e be a sufficiently large integer. Let $\mathfrak{q} := \prod_{i=1}^n \mathfrak{m}_i^e$, let $M' := M/\mathfrak{q}M$, and let $M'_i := f(M_i)$, where $f : M \rightarrow M'$ be the natural surjection. By Lemma 5.5 (2), it is enough to show that $\bigcup_{i=1}^n M'_i \neq M'$.

We now show $\#M' < \infty$. After permuting the indices, we may assume that there is an integer $n' \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ such that $\mathfrak{m}_1, \mathfrak{m}_2, \dots, \mathfrak{m}_{n'}$ are pairwise distinct and $\{\mathfrak{m}_1, \mathfrak{m}_2, \dots, \mathfrak{m}_{n'}\} = \{\mathfrak{m}_1, \mathfrak{m}_2, \dots, \mathfrak{m}_n\}$ holds. It holds that $\mathfrak{q} = \prod_{j=1}^{n'} \mathfrak{m}_j^{e_j}$ for some $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{n'} \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. Given $j, j' \in \{1, 2, \dots, n'\}$ with $j \neq j'$, $\sqrt{\mathfrak{m}_j^{e_j} + \mathfrak{m}_{j'}^{e_{j'}}} \supseteq \mathfrak{m}_j + \mathfrak{m}_{j'} = R$ holds, and hence we have $\mathfrak{m}_j^{e_j} + \mathfrak{m}_{j'}^{e_{j'}} = R$. By the Chinese remainder theorem, we have $R/\mathfrak{q} \simeq \prod_{j=1}^{n'} R/\mathfrak{m}_j^{e_j}$. By Lemma 5.3, we have $\#(R/\mathfrak{m}_j^{e_j}) < \infty$ for all $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n'\}$. Thus, we also have $\#(R/\mathfrak{q}) < \infty$. Since M' is finitely generated R/\mathfrak{q} -module, we have $\#M' < \infty$.

We now show $\#(M'/M'_i) > n$ for any $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Fix $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. If $\dim R_i = 0$, then we have

$$M_i \supseteq \mathfrak{p}_i M = \mathfrak{m}_i M \supseteq \mathfrak{q}M,$$

since $\mathfrak{p}_i = \mathfrak{m}_i$. Thus, we have $M'/M'_i \simeq M/(\mathfrak{q}M + M_i) = M/M_i$. Note that R_i is a field, M/M_i is a finite dimensional R_i -vector space, and

$\dim_{R_i}(M/M_i) = \text{ge.len}_{R_i}(M/M_i)$. Hence we have

$$\#(M'/M'_i) = \#(M/M_i) = (\#R_i)^{\text{ge.len}_{R_i}(M/M_i)} > 2^{\log_2 n} = n.$$

If $\dim R_i \geq 1$, we have a surjection

$$M'/M'_i \xrightarrow{\sim} M/(\mathfrak{q}M + M_i) \rightarrow M/(\mathfrak{m}_i^e M + M_i) \xrightarrow{\sim} M/M_i \otimes_{R_i} R_i/\bar{\mathfrak{m}}_i^e,$$

where $\bar{\mathfrak{m}}_i = \mathfrak{m}_i/\mathfrak{p}_i$. Thus, we have $\#(M'/M'_i) \geq \#(M/M_i \otimes_{R_i} R_i/\bar{\mathfrak{m}}_i^e)$. We show $\#R_i = \infty$. If R_i is of characteristic 0, it is clear. On the other hand, if R_i is of characteristic $p > 0$, we have $\text{tr.deg}_{\mathbb{F}_p} K(R_i) = \dim R_i \geq 1$, where the first equality follows from [Mat87, Theorem 5.6]. Thus there exists a transcendental element in R_i over \mathbb{F}_p , which implies $\#R_i = \infty$. By $\#R_i = \infty$ and Lemma 5.4, we have $\#(M/M_i \otimes_{R_i} R_i/\bar{\mathfrak{m}}_i^e) > n$. Thus, we have $\#(M'/M'_i) > n$.

For each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, $\#(M'/M'_i) > n$ implies

$$\#M'_i = \frac{\#M'}{\#(M'/M'_i)} < \frac{\#M'}{n}.$$

Thus, we have

$$\# \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n M'_i \right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \#M'_i < n \cdot \frac{\#M'}{n} = \#M' < \infty,$$

which implies $\bigcup_{i=1}^n M'_i \neq M'$. \square

Then, we show the general case. Let $g : B \rightarrow R$ be a ring homomorphism from a polynomial ring $B := \mathbb{Z}[t_1, t_2, \dots, t_l]$ such that for every $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, the following condition holds:

- if R_i is of characteristic $p > 0$ and $\dim R_i \geq 1$, then for some $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, l\}$, the image of t_j under the induced ring homomorphism $B \xrightarrow{g} R \rightarrow R_i$ is a transcendental element over \mathbb{F}_p .

Let $\alpha : R^{\oplus N} \rightarrow M$ be a surjective R -homomorphism with $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, and let $h : F \rightarrow M$ be the composite B -homomorphism

$$F := B^{\oplus N} \xrightarrow{g^{\oplus N}} R^{\oplus N} \xrightarrow{\alpha} M.$$

For each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, let $F_i := h^{-1}(M_i)$, and let $B_i := B/g^{-1}(\mathfrak{p}_i)$. By Lemma 5.5 (1), it is enough to show that $\bigcup_{i=1}^n F_i \neq F$. Fix $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. $g^{-1}(\mathfrak{p}_i)$ is a prime ideal of B . Let $\bar{h}_i : F/F_i \rightarrow M/M_i$ be the natural injective B -homomorphism induced by the B -homomorphism $F \xrightarrow{h} M \rightarrow M/M_i$. We have

$$g^{-1}(\mathfrak{p}_i)(F/F_i) = \bar{h}_i^{-1}(\mathfrak{p}_i(M/M_i)) = \bar{h}_i^{-1}(0) = 0.$$

Note that the ring homomorphism $B \xrightarrow{g} R \rightarrow R_i$ induces the injective ring homomorphism $B_i \rightarrow R_i$ and that F/F_i is a B_i -module. Let $\phi' : F \times R \rightarrow M$ be the B -baranced map such that $\phi'(f, r) = rh(f)$. By the universal property of the tensor product, we obtain the B -homomorphism $\phi : F \otimes_B R \rightarrow M$. Let $\bar{\phi}'_i : (F/F_i) \times R_i \rightarrow M/M_i$ be the B -baranced map such that $\bar{\phi}'_i(f, r) = r\bar{h}_i(f)$. By the universal property of the tensor product, we obtain the B -homomorphism $\bar{\phi}_i : (F/F_i) \otimes_B R_i \rightarrow M/M_i$. Then the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F \otimes_B R & \xrightarrow{\phi} & M \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (F/F_i) \otimes_B R_i & \xrightarrow{\bar{\phi}_i} & M/M_i, \end{array}$$

where the vertical arrows are the natural surjective B -homomorphisms. Since ϕ is equal to the composition

$$F \otimes_B R = B^{\oplus N} \otimes_B R \xrightarrow{\simeq} R^{\oplus N} \xrightarrow{\alpha} M,$$

ϕ is surjective. Thus, also $\bar{\phi}_i$ is surjective. Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ge.len}_{R_i}(M/M_i) &\leq \text{ge.len}_{R_i}((F/F_i) \otimes_B R_i) \\ (2) \quad &= \text{ge.len}_{R_i}((F/F_i) \otimes_{B_i} R_i) \\ &= \text{ge.len}_{B_i}(F/F_i), \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from Lemma 5.1. In particular, we have $\text{ge.len}_{B_i}(F/F_i) \geq 1$.

Claim 5.8. *If $\dim B_i = 0$, then $\dim R_i = 0$.*

Proof of Claim 5.8. Assume that $\dim B_i = 0$ and $\dim R_i \geq 1$. By Lemma 5.3, B_i is of characteristic $p > 0$, and hence also R_i is of characteristic p . We consider the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B & \xrightarrow{g} & R \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{F}_p & \longrightarrow & B_i \longrightarrow R_i. \end{array}$$

By the definition of g , the image of t_j under the ring homomorphism $B \xrightarrow{g} R \rightarrow R_i$ is a transcendental element over \mathbb{F}_p for some $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, l\}$. Since the ring homomorphism $B \xrightarrow{g} R \rightarrow R_i$ is equal to the ring homomorphism $B \rightarrow B_i \rightarrow R_i$, also the image of t_j under the ring homomorphism $B \rightarrow B_i$ is transcendental over \mathbb{F}_p . Since B_i is a finitely generated \mathbb{F}_p -algebra, $\dim B_i$ is equal to $\text{tr.deg}_{\mathbb{F}_p} K(B_i)$

(cf. [Mat87, Theorem 5.6]). Thus, we have $\dim B_i \geq 1$. This is a contradiction. \square

By Claim 5.8 and inequality (2), we have that if $\dim B_i = 0$, then

$$\log_2 n < \text{ge.len}_{R_i}(M/M_i) \leq \text{ge.len}_{B_i}(F/F_i)$$

holds. By Claim 5.7, we have $\bigcup_{i=1}^n F_i \neq F$, and hence the assertion holds. \square

Theorem 5.9. *Let $f : X \rightarrow S$ be a projective morphism of noetherian schemes, with X reduced and S affine. Let L be an invertible sheaf on X , and let A be an f -ample invertible sheaf on X . Then, for sufficiently large $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, there is a section $s \in H^0(X, L^{\otimes m} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} A^{-1})$ such that for any irreducible component V of X , if $L|_V$ is $f|_V$ -big, then $\text{Supp}(V) \not\subseteq \text{Supp}(Z(s))$ holds.*

Proof. If $X = \emptyset$, the assertion clearly holds. Thus we may assume that $X \neq \emptyset$. Let Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_l be all the connected components of X equipped with the reduced scheme structure. Then, by the Mayer–Vietoris sequence, we have

$$H^0(X, L^{\otimes m} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} A^{-1}) \simeq \bigoplus_{i=1}^l H^0(Y_i, (L|_{Y_i})^{\otimes m} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{Y_i}} (A|_{Y_i})^{-1})$$

for any $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. Thus, it is enough to show that the assertion holds for any connected component of X . Hence we may assume that X is connected.

Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n be all the irreducible components of X . Let

$$I := \{i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \mid L|_{X_i} \text{ is } f|_{X_i}\text{-big}\}.$$

For each $i \in I$, let $X'_i := \bigcup_{j \neq i} X_j$ equipped with the reduced scheme structure, let T_i be the scheme-theoretic intersection of X_i and X'_i , and let $d_i := \dim(X_i/f(X_i))$. Note that $d_i \geq 0$ for each $i \in I$. We write $S = \text{Spec } R$ with a noetherian ring R . For each $i \in I$, we write $f(X_i) = \text{Spec } R_i$ with a noetherian integral domain R_i , and $R_i = R/\mathfrak{p}_i$ with $\mathfrak{p}_i \in \text{Spec } R$. Let $H_m := L^{\otimes m} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} A^{-1}$ for $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. Fix $i \in I$. By Proposition 3.5, there is a positive real number $C'_i \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ such that for sufficiently large $m > 0$,

$$\text{ge.len}_{R_i} H^0(X_i, H_m|_{X_i}) \geq C'_i m^{d_i}.$$

holds. By Proposition 2.4, we have $\dim(T_i/f(X_i)) \leq d_i - 1$. By Lemma 3.7, there is a positive real number $C''_i \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ such that for sufficiently large $m > 0$,

$$\text{ge.len}_{R_i} H^0(T_i, H_m|_{T_i}) \leq C''_i m^{\dim(T_i/f(X_i))} \leq C''_i m^{d_i-1}$$

holds. By the Mayer–Vietoris sequence, we have the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H^0(X, H_m) \rightarrow H^0(X_i, H_m|_{X_i}) \oplus H^0(X'_i, H_m|_{X'_i}) \rightarrow H^0(T_i, H_m|_{T_i}).$$

Let $\phi_{i,m} : H^0(X, H_m) \rightarrow H^0(X_i, H_m|_{X_i})$ and $\psi_{i,m} : H^0(X_i, H_m|_{X_i}) \rightarrow H^0(T_i, H_m|_{T_i})$ be the natural homomorphisms. By the above exact sequence, we have $\text{Im } \phi_{i,m} \supseteq \text{Ker } \psi_{i,m}$. Hence for sufficiently large $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{ge.len}_{R_i}(H^0(X, H_m)/\text{Ker } \phi_{i,m}) \\ &= \text{ge.len}_{R_i}(\text{Im } \phi_{i,m}) \\ &\geq \text{ge.len}_{R_i}(\text{Ker } \psi_{i,m}) \\ &\geq \text{ge.len}_{R_i} H^0(X_i, H_m|_{X_i}) - \text{ge.len}_{R_i} H^0(T_i, H_m|_{T_i}) \\ &\geq C'_i m^{d_i} - C''_i m^{d_i-1} \\ &\geq C_i m^{d_i}, \end{aligned}$$

where $C_i := C'_i/2$. Since $d_i \geq 0$, $\text{ge.len}_{R_i}(H^0(X, H_m)/\text{Ker } \phi_{i,m}) \geq 1$ holds for sufficiently large $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. If $\dim X_i = 0$, then we have $X = X_i$ since X is connected and X_i is an irreducible component of X . Hence, the assertion clearly holds if $\dim X_i = 0$. Thus, we may assume that $\dim X_i \geq 1$. If $\dim R_i = 0$, we have $\dim(X_i/f(X_i)) = \dim X_i$ by the definition of $\dim(X_i/f(X_i))$, and hence we have $d_i = \dim(X_i/f(X_i)) \geq 1$. Then, for sufficiently large $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, we have

$$\text{ge.len}_{R_i}(H^0(X, H_m)/\text{Ker } \phi_{i,m}) \geq C_i m^{d_i} > \log_2 n.$$

By Lemma 5.6, we have $\bigcup_{i \in I} \text{Ker } \phi_{i,m} \neq H^0(X, H_m)$ for sufficiently large $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. In other words, for sufficiently large $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, there is a section $s \in H^0(X, L^{\otimes m} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} A^{-1})$ such that $s|_{X_i} \neq 0$ holds for any $i \in I$. By Proposition 2.17 and Proposition 2.20, the assertion holds. \square

Corollary 5.10. *Let $f : X \rightarrow S$ be a projective morphism of noetherian schemes, with X reduced and S affine. Let L be an invertible sheaf on X , and let A be an f -ample invertible sheaf on X . If $L|_V$ is $f|_V$ -big for any irreducible component V of X , then for sufficiently large $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, there is an effective Cartier divisor D on X such that $L^{\otimes m} \simeq A \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{O}_X(D)$.*

Proof. By Theorem 5.9, for sufficiently large $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, there is a section $s \in H^0(X, L^{\otimes m} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} A^{-1})$ such that $\text{Supp}(V) \not\subseteq \text{Supp}(Z(s))$ holds for any irreducible component V of X . By Definition-Proposition 2.18, $Z(s)$ defines an effective Cartier divisor D on X . Thus, we have $L^{\otimes m} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} A^{-1} \simeq \mathcal{O}_X(D)$, i.e., $L^{\otimes m} \simeq A \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{O}_X(D)$. \square

REFERENCES

- [BCL14] Sébastien Boucksom, Salvatore Cacciola, and Angelo Felice Lopez. Augmented base loci and restricted volumes on normal varieties. *Math. Z.*, **278**(3-4):979–985, 2014.
- [Bir17] C. Birkar. The augmented base locus of real divisors over arbitrary fields. *Math. Ann.*, **368**(3-4):905–921, 2017.
- [CL14] Salvatore Cacciola and Angelo Felice Lopez. Nakamaye’s theorem on log canonical pairs. *Annales de l’Institut Fourier*, **64**(6):2283–2298, 2014.
- [CMM14] P. Cascini, J. McKernan, and M. Mustața. The augmented base locus in positive characteristic. *Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc. (2)*, **57**(1):79–87, 2014.
- [CT20] P. Cascini, H. Tanaka. Relative semi-ampleness in positive characteristic. *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3)*, **121**(3):617–655, 2020.
- [ELM⁺09] Lawrence Ein, Robert Lazarsfeld, Mircea Mustața, Michael Nakamaye, and Mihnea Popa. Restricted volumes and base loci of linear series. *Amer. J. Math.*, **131**(3):607–651, 2009.
- [Fuj84] Takao Fujita. Fractionally logarithmic canonical rings of algebraic surfaces. *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math.*, **30**(3):685–696, 1984.
- [Fuj12] O. Fujino. Minimal model theory for log surfaces. *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.*, **48**(2):339–371, 2012.
- [Gro65] A. Grothendieck. Éléments de géométrie algébrique : IV. Étude locale des schémas et des morphismes de schémas, Seconde partie. *Publications Mathématiques de l’IHÉS*, **24**:5–231, 1965.
- [Gro66] A. Grothendieck. Éléments de géométrie algébrique : IV. Étude locale des schémas et des morphismes de schémas, Troisième partie. *Publications Mathématiques de l’IHÉS*, **28**:5–255, 1966.
- [Har77] R. Hartshorne. *Algebraic geometry*. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1977. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. **52**.
- [Kee99] Seán Keel. Basepoint freeness for nef and big line bundles in positive characteristic. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, **149**(1):253–286, 1999.
- [Kee03] D. S. Keeler. Ample filters of invertible sheaves. *J. Algebra*, **259**(1):243–283, 2003.
- [Kol13] J. Kollár. *Singularities of the minimal model program*, volume **200** of *Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2013. With a collaboration of Sándor Kovács.
- [Mat87] H. Matsumura. *Commutative ring theory*. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics. Cambridge University Press, 1987.
- [Nak00] Michael Nakamaye. Stable base loci of linear series. *Math. Ann.*, **318**(4):837–847, 2000.
- [Sta23] The Stacks project authors. The stacks project. <https://stacks.math.columbia.edu>, 2023.
- [Sti21] Liam Stigant. The augmented base locus in mixed characteristic. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2103.11980>, 2021, to appear in *Manuscripta Mathematica*.
- [Tan14] H. Tanaka. Minimal models and abundance for positive characteristic log surfaces. *Nagoya Math. J.*, **216**:1–70, 2014.
- [Tan18a] H. Tanaka. Behavior of canonical divisors under purely inseparable base changes. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, **744**:237–264, 2018.

- [Tan18b] H. Tanaka. Minimal model program for excellent surfaces. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)*, **68**(1):345–376, 2018.
- [Tan20] H. Tanaka. Abundance theorem for surfaces over imperfect fields. *Math. Z.*, **295**(1-2):595–622, 2020.

GRADUATE SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, THE UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO,
3-8-1 KOMABA, MEGURO-KU, TOKYO 153-8914, JAPAN
Email address: ushiro-yusuke8100@g.ecc.u-tokyo.ac.jp