

NON-INTEGRABILITY OF A FOUR-DIMENSIONAL PAINLEVÉ SYSTEM OF TYPE $D_5^{(1)}$

TSVETANA STOYANOVA

Department of Mathematics and Informatics, Sofia University,
5 J. Bourchier Blvd., Sofia 1164, Bulgaria, cveti@fmi.uni-sofia.bg

ABSTRACT. In 2006 Y. Sasano constructed higher order Painlevé systems which admit affine Weyl group symmetry of type $D_l^{(1)}, l = 4, 5, 6, \dots$. Every such a system is a Hamiltonian one with coupled Hamiltonian associated to the Painlevé V equation when l is an odd and to the Painlevé VI equation when l is an even. In this paper we study the integrability of a four-dimensional Painlevé system which has symmetry under the extended affine Weyl group $\widetilde{W}(D_5^{(1)})$ which we call the Sasano system of type $D_5^{(1)}$. We prove that one non-singular for the Bäcklund transformations family of the Sasano system of type $D_5^{(1)}$ is not integrable by meromorphic first integrals which are rational functions in t . Our explicit computation of the formal invariants and the Stokes matrices of a subsystem of the second normal variational equations allows us to deduce that the connected component of the unit element of the corresponding differential Galois group is not Abelian. In conclusion the Morales-Ramis-Simó theory leads to the non-integrable result.

Key words: Higher order Painlevé systems, Non-integrability of Hamiltonian systems, Differential Galois theory, Stokes phenomenon, Higher variational equations

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 34M55, 37J30, 34M40

1. INTRODUCTION

In 2006, using the methods of algebraic geometry Y. Sasano [10] introduced higher order Painlevé systems which have symmetry under the affine Weyl group of type $D_l^{(1)}, l = 4, 5, 6, \dots$. Later Fuji and Suzuki derive the higher order Painlevé systems of type $D_{2n+2}^{(1)}$ from the Drinfeld-Sokolov hierarchy by similar reduction [3]. These higher order Painlevé systems have four essential properties:

- (1) They are Hamiltonian systems.
- (2) They admit an affine Weyl group symmetry of type $D_l^{(1)}$ as Bäcklund transformations.
- (3) They can be considered as higher order analogues of the Painlevé equations P_V and P_{VI} .
- (4) They have several symplectic coordinate systems on which the Hamiltonian are polynomial.

In this paper we study the integrability of the following fourth-order Painlevé system

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{x} &= \frac{2x^2y}{t} + x^2 - \frac{2xy}{t} - \left(1 + \frac{\beta}{t}\right)x + \frac{\alpha_2 + \alpha_5}{t} + \frac{2z((z-1)w + \alpha_3)}{t}, \\
\dot{y} &= -\frac{2xy^2}{t} + \frac{y^2}{t} - 2xy + \left(1 + \frac{\beta}{t}\right)y - \alpha_1, \\
\dot{z} &= \frac{2z^2w}{t} + z^2 - \frac{2zw}{t} - \left(1 + \frac{\alpha_5 + \alpha_4}{t}\right)z + \frac{\alpha_5}{t} + \frac{2yz(z-1)}{t}, \\
\dot{w} &= -\frac{2zw^2}{t} + \frac{w^2}{t} - 2zw + \left(1 + \frac{\alpha_5 + \alpha_4}{t}\right)w - \alpha_3 - \frac{2y(-w + 2zw + \alpha_3)}{t},
\end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

with $\beta = 2\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5$ where $\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_5$ are complex parameters which satisfy the following relation

$$\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5 = 1. \tag{1.2}$$

The system (1.1) is one of the system introduced by Sasano in [10]. It admits the extended affine Weyl group $\widetilde{W}(D_5^{(1)})$ as a group of Bäcklund transformations. For these reasons throughout this paper we call the system (1.1) the Sasano system of type $D_5^{(1)}$ or in short the Sasano system. The system (1.1) is a non-autonomous Hamiltonian system of $2 + 1/2$ degrees of freedom with the Hamiltonian

$$\begin{aligned}
H &= H_V(x, y, t; \alpha_2 + \alpha_5, \alpha_1, \alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 + \alpha_4) + H_V(z, w, t; \alpha_5, \alpha_3, \alpha_4) \\
&\quad + \frac{2yz((z-1)w + \alpha_3)}{t},
\end{aligned} \tag{1.3}$$

where $H_V(q, p, t; \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3)$ is the Hamiltonian associated with the fifth Painlevé equation, i.e.

$$H_V(q, p, t; \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3) = \frac{q(q-1)p(p+t) - (\gamma_1 + \gamma_3)qp + \gamma_1p + \gamma_2tq}{t}.$$

Hence the system (1.1) is considered as a coupled Painlevé V equation in dimension 4. The non-autonomous Hamiltonian system (1.1) can be turned into an autonomous one with three degrees of freedom by introducing two new dynamical variables : t and its conjugate variable $-F$. The new Hamiltonian becomes

$$\widetilde{H} = H + F,$$

where H is given by (2.5). Then the extended Hamiltonian system (1.1) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{dx}{ds} &= \frac{\partial \widetilde{H}}{\partial y}, & \frac{dy}{ds} &= -\frac{\partial \widetilde{H}}{\partial x}, \\
\frac{dz}{ds} &= \frac{\partial \widetilde{H}}{\partial w}, & \frac{dw}{ds} &= -\frac{\partial \widetilde{H}}{\partial z}, \\
\frac{dt}{ds} &= \frac{\partial \widetilde{H}}{\partial F}, & \frac{dF}{ds} &= -\frac{\partial \widetilde{H}}{\partial t}.
\end{aligned} \tag{1.4}$$

The symplectic structure ω is canonical in the variables (x, y, z, w, t, F) , i.e. $\omega = dx \wedge dy + dz \wedge dw + dt \wedge dF$.

In this paper we are interested in the non-integrability of the Hamiltonian system (1.4) by meromorphic first integrals. Recall that from the theorem of Liouville-Arnold [1] this means the non-existence of three meromorphic first integrals $f_1 = \widetilde{H}, f_2, f_3$ functionally independent and in involution. We prove that one family of the Sasano system (1.1) is non

integrable by meromorphic first integrals which are rational functions in t . Our approach comes under the frame of the Morales-Ramis-Simó theory. This theory reduces the problem of integrability of a given analytic Hamiltonian system to the problem of integrability of the variational equation of this Hamiltonian system along one its particular non-stationary solution. Since the variational equations are linear ordinary differential equations their integrability is well defined in the context of the differential Galois theory.

In this paper we study the extended Sasano system (1.4) when $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \alpha_3 = 0, \alpha_4 = 1, \alpha_0 = -\alpha_5$ with a particular solution $x = z = \frac{\alpha_5}{s}, y = w = 0, t = s, F = 0$. It turns out that the differential Galois group of the first variational equations along this particular solution is a commutative group. To establish a non-integrable result we find an obstruction to integrability studying a subsystem of the linearized second normal variational equations $(\text{LNVE})_2$ of the system (1.4). It turns out that the solution at $1/t = \tau = 0$ of this subsystem contains the divergent power series

$$\hat{\varphi}(\tau) = 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\alpha_5 - 1)_n \tau^n$$

when $\alpha_5 \notin \mathbb{N}$ and

$$\hat{\phi}(\tau) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_5^{(n)} \tau^{n+1}$$

when $\alpha_5 \notin \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}$. Here $(a)_n$ and $a^{(n)}$ are the falling and the rising factorials, respectively, defined by

$$\begin{aligned} (a)_n &= a(a-1)(a-2)\dots(a-n+1), & (a)_0 &= 1, \\ a^{(n)} &= a(a+1)(a+2)\dots(a+n-1), & a^{(0)} &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

The existence of such elements in the solution at an irregular singularity ensures the observation of non-trivial Stokes phenomena. These phenomena imply non-trivial Stokes matrices which generate non-commutative differential Galois group of this subsystem of the $(\text{LNVE})_2$ and hence non-commutative differential Galois group of the very $(\text{LNVE})_2$. Then the key result of this paper (Theorem 3.13 in section 3) states

Theorem 1.1. *Assume that $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \alpha_3 = 0, \alpha_4 = 1, \alpha_0 = -\alpha_5$ where α_5 is arbitrary. Then the Sasano system (1.1) is not integrable in the Liouville-Arnold sense by meromorphic first integrals which are rational functions in t .*

Using Bäcklund transformations of the Sasano system (1.1) which are rational canonical transformations [11] we can extend the result of the key Theorem 1.1 to the main results of this paper (Theorem 4.6 and Theorem 4.7 in section 4).

Theorem 1.2. *Let α be an arbitrary complex parameter which is not an integer. Assume that the parameters α_j are either of the kind $\pm\alpha + n_j$ or of the kind $l_j, n_j, l_j \in \mathbb{Z}$ in such a way that $M_1 = 1 - \alpha_0 - \alpha_1$ and $M_2 = \alpha_4 + \alpha_5$ are together of the kind $\pm\alpha + m_i, m_i \in \mathbb{Z}, i = 1, 2$. Then the Sasano system (1.1) is not integrable in the Liouville-Arnold sense by meromorphic first integrals which are rational functions in t .*

Theorem 1.3. *Assume that all of the parameters $\alpha_j, 0 \leq j \leq 5$ are integer in such a way that $1 - \alpha_0 - \alpha_1$ and $\alpha_4 + \alpha_5$ are together either even or odd integer. Then the Sasano system (1.1) is not integrable in the Liouville-Arnold sense by meromorphic first integrals which are rational functions in t .*

In fact the result of Theorem 1.2 contains the result of Theorem 1.3. We present Theorem 1.3 as an independent result because of the additional specification of the parameters α_j when $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$.

This paper is organized as follows. In the next section we briefly review the basics of the Morales-Rams-Simó theory of the non-integrability of the Hamiltonian systems and the relation of the differential Galois theory to the linear systems of ordinary differential equations. In section 3 we prove non-integrability of the Sasano system (1.1) when $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \alpha_3 = 0, \alpha_4 = 1$ and $\alpha_0 = -\alpha_5$. In section 4 using Bäcklund transformations of the Sasano system (1.1) we extend the result of the section 3 to the entire orbit of the parameters α_j and establish the main theorems of this paper. The paper contains also an Appendix where we discuss the singularities of the Bäcklund transformations of the system (1.1).

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Non-integrability of Hamiltonian systems and differential Galois theory. In this paragraph we briefly recall Morales-Ruiz - Ramis - Simó theory on non-integrability of Hamiltonian systems following [6, 7].

Let M be a symplectic analytical complex manifold of complex dimension $2n$. Consider on M a Hamiltonian system

$$\dot{x} = X_H(x) \tag{2.5}$$

with a Hamiltonian $H : M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Let $x(t)$ be a particular solution of (2.5) which is not an equilibrium point. Denote by Γ the phase curve corresponding to this solution. The first variational equations $(\text{VE})_1$ of (2.5) along Γ writes

$$\dot{\xi} = \frac{\partial X_H}{\partial x}(x(t))\xi, \quad \xi \in T_\Gamma M. \tag{2.6}$$

Using the Hamiltonian H we can always reduce the degrees of freedom of the variational equations (2.6) by one in the following sense. Consider the normal bundle of Γ on the level variety $M_h = \{x \mid H(x) = h\}$. The projection of the variational equations (2.6) on this bundle induces the so called first normal variational equations $(\text{NVE})_1$ along Γ . The dimension of the $(\text{NVE})_1$ is $2n - 2$. The entries of a fundamental matrix solution of $(\text{NVE})_1$ define a Picard-Vessiot extension L_1 of the field K of the coefficients of $(\text{NVE})_1$. This in its turn defines a differential Galois group $G_1 = \text{Gal}(L_1/K)$ which is a subgroup of $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$. Then the main theorem of the Morales-Ruiz - Ramis theory states [6, 7]

Theorem 2.1. (Morales - Ramis) *Assume that the Hamiltonian system (2.5) is completely integrable with meromorphic first integrals in a neighbourhood of Γ , not necessarily independent on Γ itself. Then the identity component $(G_1)^0$ of the differential Galois group $G_1 = \text{Gal}(L_1/K)$ is Abelian.*

The problem considered in this paper is one among many examples illustrating that the opposite is not true in general. That is if the connected component $(G_1)^0$ of the unit element of the differential Galois group $\text{Gal}(L_1/K)$ is Abelian, one cannot deduce that the corresponding Hamiltonian system (2.5) is completely integrable. Beyond the first variational equations Morales-Ruiz, Ramis and Simó suggest in [7] to use higher order variational equations to solve such integrability problems. Let as above $x(t)$ be a particular non stationary solution of the Hamiltonian system (2.5). We write the general solution as

$x(t, z)$ where z parametrizes it near $x(t)$ as $x(t, z_0) = x(t)$. Then we can write the system (2.5) as

$$\dot{x}(t, z) = X_H(x(t, z)). \quad (2.7)$$

Denote by $x^{(k)}(t, z)$, $k \geq 1$ the derivatives of $x(t, z)$ with respect to z and by $X_H^{(k)}(x)$, $k \geq 1$ the derivatives of $X_H(x)$ with respect to x . By successive derivations of (2.7) with respect to z and evaluations at z_0 we obtain the so called k -th variational equations (VE) $_k$ along the solution $x(t)$

$$\dot{x}^{(k)}(t) = X_H^{(1)}(x(t)) x^{(k)}(t) + P \left(x^{(1)}(t), x^{(2)}(t), \dots, x^{(k-1)}(t) \right). \quad (2.8)$$

Here P denotes polynomial terms in the monomials of order $|k|$ of the components of its arguments. The coefficients of P depend on t through $X_H^{(j)}(x(t))$, $j < k$. For every $k > 1$ the linear non-homogeneous system (2.8) can be arranged as a linear homogeneous system of higher dimension by making the monomials of order $|k|$ in P new variables and adding to (2.8) their differential equations. If we restrict the system (2.8) to the variables that define the (NVE) $_1$ the corresponding linear homogeneous system is the so called k -th linearized normal variational equations (LNVE) $_k$. The solutions of the chain of (LNVE) $_k$ define a chain of Picard-Vessiot extension of the main field K of the coefficients of (NVE) $_1$, i.e. we have $K \subset L_1 \subset L_2 \subset \dots \subset L_k$ where L_1 is above, L_2 is the Picard-Vessiot extension of K associated with (LNVE) $_2$, etc. Then we can define the differential Galois group $G_1 = \text{Gal}(L_1/K)$, $G_2 = \text{Gal}(L_2/K)$, \dots , $G_k = \text{Gal}(L_k/K)$. Then the main theorem of the Morales-Ruiz - Rams - Simó theory states

Theorem 2.2. (Morales-Ramis-Simó) *If the Hamiltonian system (2.5) is completely integrable with meromorphic first integrals then for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ the connected component of the unit element $(G_k)^0$ of the differential Galois group $G_k = \text{Gal}(L_k/K)$ is Abelian.*

From Theorem 2.2 it follows that when Morales-Ramis Theorem 2.1 fails we have to find a group $(G_k)^0$ which is not Abelian in order to establish a non-integrable result. This non-commutative group will be a solvable group. In this way non-integrability in the sense of the Hamiltonian dynamics will correspond to integrability in the Picard-Vessiot sense.

2.2. Differential Galois group of a linear system of ordinary differential equations. In this paragraph we briefly recall some facts, notations and definitions from the differential Galois theory needed to compute the differential Galois group of a linear system with one irregular and one regular singularity. We follow the works of van der Put, Singer, Ramis and Mitschi [5, 8, 9, 13, 14].

Consider a linear system of ordinary differential equations of order n

$$\dot{v} = A(t)v, \quad (2.9)$$

where $A(t) \in \text{gl}_n(\mathbb{C}(t))$. Assume that the system (2.9) has two singular points over \mathbb{CP}^1 taken at $t = 0$ and $t = \infty$. Assume that the origin is a regular singularity while $t = \infty$ is a non-resonant irregular singularity of Poincaré rank 1. In what follows we determine the local differential Galois groups of the system (2.9) at each singular points.

Let $\Phi(t)$ be a local fundamental matrix solution near the origin of the system (2.9). The following result of Schlesinger [12] describes the local differential Galois group at the origin of the system (2.10)

Theorem 2.3. (Schlesinger) *Under the above assumptions the monodromy group around the origin with respect to the fundamental matrix solution $\Phi(t)$ is a Zariski dense subgroup of the differential Galois group of the system (2.10) over $\mathbb{C}(t)$.*

Since we prefer to work with an irregular singularity at the origin to at $t = \infty$ we make the change $t = 1/\tau$ in the system (2.9). This transformation takes the system (2.9) into the system

$$v' = A(\tau)v, \quad ' = \frac{d}{d\tau}, \quad (2.10)$$

for which the origin is a non-resonant irregular singularity of Poincaré rank 1. Denote by $\mathbb{C}(\tau)$, $\mathbb{C}((\tau))$ and $\mathbb{C}\{\tau\}$ the differential fields of rational functions, formal power series and convergent power series, respectively. Note that

$$\mathbb{C}(\tau) \subset \mathbb{C}\{\tau\} \subset \mathbb{C}((\tau)).$$

From the Hukuhara-Turrittin theorem [15] it follows that the system (2.10) admits a formal fundamental matrix solution at the origin of the form

$$\hat{\Psi}(\tau) = \hat{H}(\tau) \tau^\Lambda \exp\left(\frac{Q}{\tau}\right), \quad (2.11)$$

where

$$\Lambda = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n), \quad Q = \text{diag}(q_1, q_2, \dots, q_n), \quad \hat{H}(t) \in \text{gl}_n(\mathbb{C}((t)))$$

with $\lambda_j, q_j \in \mathbb{C}$, $j = 1 \div n$. Consider the system (2.10) and its formal fundamental matrix solution $\hat{\Psi}(\tau)$ over the field $\mathbb{C}((\tau))$.

Definition 2.4. *With respect to the formal fundamental matrix solution $\hat{\Psi}(\tau)$ from (2.11) we define the formal monodromy matrix $\hat{M}_0 \in GL_n(\mathbb{C})$ around the origin as*

$$\hat{\Psi}(\tau.e^{2\pi i}) = \hat{\Psi}(\tau) \hat{M}_0.$$

In particular,

$$\hat{M}_0 = e^{2\pi i \Lambda}.$$

Definition 2.5. *With respect to the formal fundamental matrix solution $\hat{\Psi}(\tau)$ from (2.11) we define the exponential torus \mathcal{T} as the differential Galois group $\text{Gal}(E/F)$ where $F = \mathbb{C}((\tau))(\tau^{\lambda_1}, \tau^{\lambda_2}, \dots, \tau^{\lambda_n})$ and $E = F(e^{q_1/\tau}, e^{q_2/\tau}, \dots, e^{q_n/\tau})$.*

We may consider \mathcal{T} as a subgroup of $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$. The Zariski closure of the group generated by the formal monodromy matrix and exponential torus yields the so called formal differential Galois group at the origin of the system (2.10) (see [13, 14]).

Consider now the system (2.10) over the field $\mathbb{C}\{\tau\}$. In general the entries $\hat{h}_{ij}(\tau)$, $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ of the matrix $\hat{H}(\tau)$ in (2.11) are either divergent or convergent power series in τ . The existence of divergent power series entries in $\hat{H}(\tau)$ ensures observation of non-trivial Stokes phenomenon at the origin.

Definition 2.6. *Under the above notations for every divergent power series $\hat{h}_{ij}(\tau)$ we define a set Θ_j of admissible singular directions θ_{ji} , $0 \leq \theta_{ji} < 2\pi$ where θ_{ji} is the bisector of the maximal angular sector $\left\{ \text{Re}\left(\frac{q_i - q_j}{\tau}\right) < 0 \right\}$. In particular*

$$\Theta_j = \{\theta_{ji}, 0 \leq \theta_{ji} < 2\pi, \theta_{ji} = \arg(q_j - q_i), 1 \leq i, j \leq n, i \neq j\}.$$

In order to compute the analytic invariants at the origin of the system (2.10) we have to lift the formal fundamental matrix solution $\hat{\Psi}(\tau)$ from (2.11) to such an actual. To solve this problem in this paper we utilize the summability theory. The application of the summability theory to ordinary differential equations generalizes the theorem of Hukuhara-Turrittin to the following theorem of Ramis [8]

Theorem 2.7. *In the formal fundamental matrix solution at the origin $\hat{\Psi}(\tau)$ from (2.11) the entries of the matrix $\hat{H}(\tau)$ are 1-summable along any non-singular direction θ . If we denote by $H_\theta(\tau)$ the 1-sum of the matrix $\hat{H}(\tau)$ along θ then $\Psi_\theta(\tau) = H_\theta(\tau) \tau^\Lambda \exp(Q/\tau)$ is an actual fundamental matrix solution at the origin of the system (2.10).*

Since this paper is not devoted to the summability theory rather we only use it we will not consider it in details. For the needed facts, notation and definitions we refer to the works of Loday-Richaud [4], as well as the works of Ramis [8, 9].

Let $\epsilon > 0$ be a small number. Let $\theta - \epsilon$ and $\theta + \epsilon$ be two non-singular neighboring directions to the singular direction $\theta \in \Theta_j$. Let $\Psi_{\theta - \epsilon}(\tau)$ and $\Psi_{\theta + \epsilon}(\tau)$ be the actual fundamental matrix solutions at the origin of the system (2.10) corresponding to the directions $\theta - \epsilon$ and $\theta + \epsilon$ in the sense of Theorem 2.7. Then

Definition 2.8. *With respect to the actual fundamental matrix solutions $\Psi_{\theta - \epsilon}(\tau)$ and $\Psi_{\theta + \epsilon}(\tau)$ the Stokes matrix $St_\theta \in GL_n(\mathbb{C})$ related to the singular direction θ is defined as*

$$St_\theta = (\Psi_{\theta + \epsilon}(\tau))^{-1} \Psi_{\theta - \epsilon}(\tau).$$

The next theorem of Ramis [9] determines the differential Galois group at the origin of the system (2.10) over the field $\mathbb{C}\{\tau\}$.

Theorem 2.9. (Ramis) *The differential Galois group at the origin of the system (2.10) over $\mathbb{C}\{\tau\}$ is the Zariski closure of the group generated by the formal differential Galois group at the origin and the collection of the Stokes matrices $\{St_\theta\}$ for all singular directions θ .*

Mitschi generalizes the theorems of Schlesinger and Ramis describing the global differential Galois group of the system (2.9) [5]

Theorem 2.10. (Mitschi) *The global differential Galois group G of the system (2.9) is topologically generated in $GL_n(\mathbb{C})$ by the local differential Galois groups G_a where a runs over the set of the singularities of the system (2.9).*

3. NON-INTEGRABILITY FOR $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \alpha_3 = 0, \alpha_4 = 1, \alpha_0 = -\alpha_5$

In this section we deal with the non-integrability of the Hamiltonian system (1.1) when $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \alpha_3 = 0, \alpha_4 = 1, \alpha_0 = -\alpha_5$. Denote $\alpha = \alpha_5$. For these values of the parameters

the autonomous Hamiltonian system (1.4) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{dx}{ds} &= \frac{2x^2y}{t} + x^2 - \frac{2xy}{t} - \left(1 + \frac{1+\alpha}{t}\right)x + \frac{\alpha}{t} + \frac{2z(z-1)w}{t}, \\
\frac{dy}{ds} &= -\frac{2xy^2}{t} + \frac{y^2}{t} - 2xy + \left(1 + \frac{1+\alpha}{t}\right)y, \\
\frac{dz}{ds} &= \frac{2z^2w}{t} + z^2 - \frac{2zw}{t} - \left(1 + \frac{1+\alpha}{t}\right)z + \frac{\alpha}{t} + \frac{2yz(z-1)}{t}, \\
\frac{dw}{ds} &= -\frac{2zw^2}{t} + \frac{w^2}{t} - 2zw + \left(1 + \frac{1+\alpha}{t}\right)w - \frac{2y(-w+2zw)}{t}, \\
\frac{dt}{ds} &= 1, \\
\frac{dF}{ds} &= \frac{1}{t^2} (x(x-1)y(y+t) + z(z-1)w(w+t) - (1+\alpha)(xy+zw) + \alpha(y+w)) \\
&\quad - \frac{x(x-1)y}{t} - \frac{z(z-1)w}{t}.
\end{aligned}$$

We chose

$$x = z = \frac{\alpha}{s}, \quad y = w = 0, \quad t = s, \quad F = 0 \quad (3.12)$$

as a non-equilibrium particular solution along which we will write the variational equations. Because of the equation $\frac{dt}{ds} = 1$ from here on we use t instead of s .

For the first normal variational equations $(NVE)_1$ along the solution (3.12) we obtain the system

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{x}_1 &= \left(-1 + \frac{\alpha-1}{t}\right)x_1 + \left(\frac{2\alpha^2}{t^3} - \frac{2\alpha}{t^2}\right)y_1 + \left(\frac{2\alpha^2}{t^3} - \frac{2\alpha}{t^2}\right)w_1, \\
\dot{z}_1 &= \left(-1 + \frac{\alpha-1}{t}\right)z_1 + \left(\frac{2\alpha^2}{t^3} - \frac{2\alpha}{t^2}\right)w_1 + \left(\frac{2\alpha^2}{t^3} - \frac{2\alpha}{t^2}\right)y_1, \\
\dot{w}_1 &= \left(1 - \frac{\alpha-1}{t}\right)w_1, \\
\dot{y}_1 &= \left(1 - \frac{\alpha-1}{t}\right)y_1.
\end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

The system (3.13) is solvable in quadratures and therefore its differential Galois group G_1 is a solvable subgroup in $GL_4(\mathbb{C})$. More precisely

Theorem 3.1. *The connected component $(G_1)^0$ of the unit element of the differential Galois group G_1 of the $(NVE)_1$ is Abelian.*

Proof. The system (3.13) admits a fundamental matrix solution $\Phi(t)$ in the form

$$\Phi(t) = \begin{pmatrix} e^{-t}t^{\alpha-1} & 0 & -\alpha e^t t^{-\alpha-1} & -\alpha e^t t^{-\alpha-1} \\ 0 & e^{-t}t^{\alpha-1} & -\alpha e^t t^{-\alpha-1} & -\alpha e^t t^{-\alpha-1} \\ 0 & 0 & e^t t^{-\alpha+1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & e^t t^{-\alpha+1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

With respect to this fundamental matrix solution the connected component of the unit element $(G_1)^0$ of the differential Galois group of the $(NVE)_1$ is given by

$$(G_1)^0 = \text{diag}(\lambda^{-1}, \lambda^{-1}, \lambda, \lambda), \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*,$$

which is an Abelian group.

This ends the proof. \square

For the second normal variational equations $(\text{NVE})_2$ along the solution (3.12) we obtain the non-homogeneous system

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x}_2 &= \left(-1 + \frac{\alpha-1}{t}\right) x_2 + \left(\frac{2\alpha^2}{t^3} - \frac{2\alpha}{t^2}\right) y_2 + \left(\frac{2\alpha^2}{t^3} - \frac{2\alpha}{t^2}\right) w_2 + x_1^2 \\ &+ \left(\frac{4\alpha}{t^2} - \frac{2}{t}\right) x_1 y_1 + \left(\frac{4\alpha}{t^2} - \frac{2}{t}\right) w_1 z_1, \\ \dot{y}_2 &= \left(1 - \frac{\alpha-1}{t}\right) y_2 - 2x_1 y_1 + \left(\frac{1}{t} - \frac{2\alpha}{t^2}\right) y_1^2, \\ \dot{z}_2 &= \left(-1 + \frac{\alpha-1}{t}\right) z_2 + \left(\frac{2\alpha^2}{t^3} - \frac{2\alpha}{t^2}\right) w_2 + \left(\frac{2\alpha^2}{t^3} - \frac{2\alpha}{t^2}\right) y_2 + z_1^2 \\ &+ \left(\frac{4\alpha}{t^2} - \frac{2}{t}\right) y_1 z_1 + \left(\frac{4\alpha}{t^2} - \frac{2}{t}\right) w_1 z_1, \\ \dot{w}_2 &= \left(1 - \frac{\alpha-1}{t}\right) w_2 - \left(\frac{4\alpha}{t^2} - \frac{2}{t}\right) y_1 w_1 - 2w_1 z_1 + \left(\frac{1}{t} - \frac{2\alpha}{t^2}\right) w_1^2. \end{aligned}$$

This system can be extended to a linear homogeneous system of thirteenth order by introducing more 9 new variables $x_1^2, x_1 y_1, x_1 w_1, w_1 z_1, w_1^2, w_1 y_1, y_1^2, z_1^2, z_1 y_1$. Following [7] we call this thirteenth order homogeneous system the linearized second normal variational equations or in short $(\text{LNVE})_2$. The very high order of the $(\text{LNVE})_2$ make the problem of the description of its differential Galois group too complicated. Fortunately, it is not necessary to study the whole $(\text{LNVE})_2$. If we find a subsystem of $(\text{LNVE})_2$ for which the connected component G^0 of the unit element of the corresponding differential Galois group is not Abelian so is $(G_2)^0$.

For this reason from here on we study the differential Galois group of the following fourth order linear homogeneous system

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{w}_2 &= \left(1 - \frac{\alpha-1}{t}\right) w_2 - 2p - \left(\frac{4\alpha}{t^2} - \frac{2}{t}\right) q + \left(\frac{1}{t} - \frac{2\alpha}{t^2}\right) v, \\ \dot{p} &= \left(\frac{2\alpha^2}{t^3} - \frac{2\alpha}{t^2}\right) q + \left(\frac{2\alpha^2}{t^3} - \frac{2\alpha}{t^2}\right) v, \\ \dot{q} &= 2\left(1 - \frac{\alpha-1}{t}\right) q, \\ \dot{v} &= 2\left(1 - \frac{\alpha-1}{t}\right) v, \end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

where we have denoted $p := w_1 z_1, q := y_1 w_1, v := w_1^2$. The system (3.14) as the $(\text{NVE})_1$ has two singular points over \mathbb{CP}^1 : $t = 0$ and $t = \infty$. The origin is a regular singularity, while $t = \infty$ is an irregular singularity of Poincaré rank 1. We begin our study by determining the local differential Galois group at $t = 0$.

Proposition 3.2. *The system (3.14) admits a fundamental matrix solution $\Phi(t)$ which is represented near the origin as*

$$\Phi(t) = B(t) t^A t^J.$$

The matrix $B(t)$ is a holomorphic matrix function near the origin. The constant matrices A and J are defined as follows:

(1) If $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}$ then

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\frac{2(-1)^{-\alpha}}{(-\alpha)!} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad A = \begin{pmatrix} -(\alpha-1) & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -2\alpha & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -\alpha \end{pmatrix}.$$

(2) If $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$ then

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{(\alpha-1)!} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad A = \begin{pmatrix} -(\alpha-1) & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -(\alpha-1) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -2\alpha & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -2\alpha \end{pmatrix}.$$

(3) If $\alpha \notin \mathbb{Z}$ the matrix J is the zero matrix and

$$A = \text{diag}(-(\alpha-1), 0, -2\alpha, -2\alpha).$$

Proof. The general solutions of the last three equations of the system (3.14) are given by the formulas

$$p(t) = C_3 - C_2 \alpha e^{2t} t^{-2\alpha} - C_1 \alpha e^{2t} t^{-2\alpha}, \quad q(t) = C_2 e^{2t} t^{-2(\alpha-1)}, \quad v(t) = C_1 e^{2t} t^{-2(\alpha-1)},$$

where C_1, C_2, C_3 are constants of integration. To build a local fundamental matrix solution $\Phi(t)$ near the origin we use that each column of such a matrix is a solution of the system (3.14). Denote by $\Phi_j(t), j = 1, 2, 3, 4$ the columns of the matrix $\Phi(t)$. Then the first column $\Phi_1(t)$ has the form

$$\Phi_1(t) = \begin{pmatrix} w_2^{(1)}(t) \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $w_2^{(1)}(t)$ is a solution of the equation

$$\dot{w}_2 = \left(1 - \frac{\alpha-1}{t}\right) w_2.$$

We take $w_2^{(1)}(t) = e^t t^{-(\alpha-1)}$. For the second column $\Phi_2(t)$ we have

$$\Phi_2(t) = \begin{pmatrix} w_2^{(2)}(t) \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $w_2^{(2)}(t)$ solves the equation

$$\dot{w}_2 = \left(1 - \frac{\alpha-1}{t}\right) w_2 - 2.$$

We choose $w_2^{(2)}(t)$ to be

$$w_2^{(2)}(t) = -2e^t t^{-(\alpha-1)} \int e^{-t} t^{\alpha-1} dt,$$

For the next column we have

$$\Phi_3(t) = \begin{pmatrix} w_2^{(3)}(t) \\ -\alpha e^{2t} t^{-2\alpha} \\ e^{2t} t^{-2(\alpha-1)} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $w_2^{(3)}(t)$ is a solution of the equation

$$\dot{w}_2 = \left(1 - \frac{\alpha-1}{t}\right) w_2 - 2\alpha e^{2t} t^{-2\alpha} + 2e^{2t} t^{-2\alpha+1}.$$

We choose

$$w_2^{(3)}(t) = 2e^{2t} t^{-2\alpha+1}.$$

For the last column we have

$$\Phi_4(t) = \begin{pmatrix} w_2^{(4)}(t) \\ -\alpha e^{2t} t^{-2\alpha} \\ 0 \\ e^{2t} t^{-2(\alpha-1)} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $w_2^{(4)}(t)$ is a solution of the equation

$$\dot{w}_2 = \left(1 - \frac{\alpha-1}{t}\right) w_2 + e^{2t} t^{-2\alpha+1}.$$

We choose

$$w_2^{(4)}(t) = e^t t^{-(\alpha-1)} \int e^t t^{-\alpha} dt.$$

The integrals defining $w_2^{(2)}(t)$ and $w_2^{(4)}(t)$ are taken in the same path, which does not contain the singular points $t = 0$ and $t = \infty$.

Consider the function $w_2^{(2)}(t)$. When $1 - \alpha \in \mathbb{N}$ it is reduced to

$$w_1^{(2)}(t) = -\frac{2(-1)^{-\alpha}}{(-\alpha)!} e^t t^{-(\alpha-1)} \log t - 2t e^t h_1(t),$$

where $h_1(t)$ is a holomorphic function near the origin. When $\alpha - 1 \in \mathbb{N}_0$ the solution $w_2^{(2)}(t)$ is reduced to

$$w_2^{(2)}(t) = -2t^{-(\alpha-1)} P_1(t),$$

where $P_1(t)$ is a polynomial of degree $\alpha - 1$. When $\alpha - 1 \notin \mathbb{Z}$ the function $w_2^{(2)}(t)$ is represented near the origin as

$$w_2^{(2)}(t) = -2t e^t f_1(t),$$

where $f_1(t)$ is a holomorphic function near the origin.

Consider now the solution $w_2^{(4)}(t)$. When $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$ it is presented near the origin as

$$w_2^{(4)}(t) = \frac{1}{(\alpha-1)!} e^t t^{-(\alpha-1)} \log t + e^t t^{-2(\alpha-1)} h_2(t),$$

where $h_2(t)$ is a holomorphic function near the origin. When $-\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0$ the solution $w_2^{(4)}(t)$ is reduced to

$$w_2^{(4)}(t) = e^{2t} t^{-(\alpha-1)} P_2(t),$$

where $P_2(t)$ is a polynomial of degree $-\alpha$. Finally, when $\alpha \notin \mathbb{Z}$ the function $w_2^{(4)}(t)$ is represented near the origin as

$$w_2^{(4)}(t) = e^t t^{-2(\alpha-1)} f_2(t),$$

where $f_2(t)$ is a holomorphic function near the origin.

Now building the fundamental matrix $\Phi(t)$ by the so obtained columns $W_j(t), j = 1, 2, 3, 4$ we complete the proof. \square

The next theorem describes the local differential Galois group at the origin of the system (3.14).

Theorem 3.3. *With respect to the fundamental matrix solution $\Phi(t)$ introduced by Proposition 3.2 the connected component G^0 of the unit element of the local differential Galois group at the origin of the system (3.14) is defined as follows:*

- (1) If $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}$ but $\alpha \notin \mathbb{Z}$ then $G^0 = I_4$.
- (2) If $\alpha \notin \mathbb{Q}$ then

$$G^0 = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \lambda^2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C}.$$

- (3) if $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$ then

$$G^0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \mu \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mu \in \mathbb{C}.$$

- (4) If $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}$ then

$$G^0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \mu & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mu \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Proof. From Theorem 2.3 of Schlesinger it follows that the local differential Galois group at the origin of the system (3.14) is generated topologically by the monodromy matrix M_0 around the origin. With respect to the fundamental matrix solution $\Phi(t)$ given by Proposition 3.2 when $\alpha \notin \mathbb{Z}$ the monodromy matrix M_0 is defined as

$$M_0 = e^{2\pi i A} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{-2\pi i \alpha} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e^{-4\pi i \alpha} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & e^{-4\pi i \alpha} \end{pmatrix}.$$

When $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}$ the group generated by the matrix M_0 is not connected, but it is finite and cyclic group. Therefore for the differential Galois group G at the origin we have

$$G = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \lambda^2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \lambda \text{ is a root of unity} \right\}, \quad G^0 = I_4$$

where I_4 is the identity matrix. When $\alpha \notin \mathbb{Q}$ the differential Galois group and its connected component of the unit element coincide and

$$G = G^0 = \text{diag}(\lambda, 1, \lambda^2, \lambda^2),$$

where $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$.

When $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$ the monodromy matrix M_0 is given by

$$M_0 = e^{2\pi i J}$$

since $e^{2\pi i A} = I_4$. Hence when $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$ the differential Galois group and G^0 coincide and they are generated topologically by the matrix

$$M_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \frac{2\pi i}{(\alpha-1)!} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

when $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$, and by the matrix

$$M_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\frac{4\pi i (-1)^{-\alpha}}{(-\alpha)!} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

when $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}$.

This ends the proof. \square

In order to determine the local differential Galois group at $t = \infty$ of the system (3.14) we make the change $t = 1/\tau$. This transformation takes the system (3.14) into the system

$$\begin{aligned} w_2' &= \left(\frac{\alpha-1}{\tau} - \frac{1}{\tau^2} \right) w_2 + \frac{2}{\tau^2} p + \left(4\alpha - \frac{2}{\tau} \right) q + \left(2\alpha - \frac{1}{\tau} \right) v, \\ p' &= (2\alpha - 2\alpha^2 \tau) q + (2\alpha - 2\alpha^2 \tau) v, \\ q' &= 2 \left(\frac{\alpha-1}{\tau} - \frac{1}{\tau^2} \right) q, \\ v' &= 2 \left(\frac{\alpha-1}{\tau} - \frac{1}{\tau^2} \right) v, \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

where $' = \frac{d}{d\tau}$. Now the origin is an irregular singularity of Poincaré rank 1 for the system (3.15).

Proposition 3.4. *The system (3.15) possesses an unique formal fundamental matrix solution at the origin in the form*

$$\hat{\Psi}(\tau) = \hat{H}(\tau) \tau^\Lambda \exp\left(\frac{Q}{\tau}\right),$$

where the matrices Λ and Q are given by

$$\Lambda = \text{diag}(\alpha - 1, 0, 2\alpha - 2, 2\alpha - 2), \quad Q = \text{diag}(1, 0, 2, 2). \quad (3.16)$$

The matrix $\hat{H}(\tau)$ is defined as

$$\hat{H}(\tau) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 + \hat{\varphi}(\tau) & 2\tau & \hat{\phi}(\tau) \\ 0 & 1 & -\alpha \tau^2 & -\alpha \tau^2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The elements $\hat{\varphi}(\tau)$ and $\hat{\phi}(\tau)$ are defined as follows:

- (1) If $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$ the function $\hat{\varphi}(\tau)$ is a holomorphic function near the origin which is given by the finite power series

$$\hat{\varphi}(\tau) = 2(\alpha - 1)\tau + 2(\alpha - 1)(\alpha - 2)\tau^2 + \cdots + 2(\alpha - 1)!\tau^{\alpha-1}. \quad (3.17)$$

Otherwise, $\hat{\varphi}(\tau)$ is given by the following divergent power series

$$\hat{\varphi}(\tau) = 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\alpha - 1)_n \tau^n.$$

- (2) If $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}$ the function $\hat{\phi}(\tau)$ is a holomorphic function near the origin, which is given by the finite power series

$$\hat{\phi}(\tau) = \tau + \alpha \tau^2 + \alpha(\alpha + 1)\tau^3 + \cdots + (-1)^{-\alpha}(-\alpha)!\tau^{-\alpha+1}. \quad (3.18)$$

Otherwise, $\hat{\phi}(\tau)$ is given by the following divergent power series

$$\hat{\phi}(\tau) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha^{(n)} \tau^{n+1}.$$

Proof. In order to build a formal fundamental matrix solution $\hat{\Psi}(\tau)$ at the origin of the system (3.15) we apply the same approach as in the proof of Proposition 3.2. The formulas

$$p(\tau) = C_3 - C_2 \alpha e^{\frac{2}{\tau}} \tau^{2\alpha} - C_1 \alpha e^{\frac{2}{\tau}} \tau^{2\alpha}, \quad q(\tau) = C_2 e^{\frac{2}{\tau}} \tau^{2(\alpha-1)}, \quad v(\tau) = C_1 e^{\frac{2}{\tau}} \tau^{2(\alpha-1)},$$

where C_1, C_2, C_3 are constant of integration, give the general solutions of the last three equations of the system (3.15). Denote by $\hat{\Psi}_j(\tau), j = 1, 2, 3, 4$ the columns of the matrix $\hat{\Psi}(\tau)$. Then

$$\hat{\Psi}_1(\tau) = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{w}_2^{(1)}(\tau) \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\hat{w}_2^{(1)}(\tau)$ is a solution of the equation

$$w_2' = \left(\frac{\alpha - 1}{\tau} - \frac{1}{\tau^2} \right) w_2.$$

We choose $\hat{w}_2^{(1)}(\tau) = e^{\frac{1}{\tau}} \tau^{\alpha-1}$. For the second column $\hat{\Psi}_2(\tau)$ we have

$$\hat{\Psi}_2(\tau) = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{w}_2^{(2)}(\tau) \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\hat{w}_2^{(2)}(\tau)$ is a formal solution of the equation

$$w_2' = \left(\frac{\alpha - 1}{\tau} - \frac{1}{\tau^2} \right) w_2 + \frac{2}{\tau^2}. \quad (3.19)$$

If $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$ then the equation (3.19) has a solution $\hat{w}_2^{(2)}(\tau)$ which is expressed as a finite power series in τ . In particular,

$$\hat{w}_2^{(2)}(\tau) = 2 + 2(\alpha - 1)\tau + 2(\alpha - 1)(\alpha - 2)\tau^2 + \cdots + 2(\alpha - 1)!\tau^{\alpha-1}.$$

If $\alpha \notin \mathbb{N}$ then the equation (3.19) has a solution which is expressed as an infinite divergent power series in τ . In particular, in this case

$$\hat{w}_2^{(2)}(\tau) = 2 + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\alpha - 1)_n \tau^n.$$

For the next column we have

$$\hat{\Psi}_3(\tau) = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{w}_2^{(3)}(\tau) \\ -\alpha e^{\frac{2}{\tau}} \tau^{2\alpha} \\ e^{\frac{2}{\tau}} \tau^{2(\alpha-1)} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\hat{w}_2^{(3)}(\tau)$ is a solution of the equation

$$w_2' = \left(\frac{\alpha - 1}{\tau} - \frac{1}{\tau^2} \right) w_2 + 2\alpha e^{\frac{2}{\tau}} \tau^{2\alpha-2} - 2e^{\frac{2}{\tau}} \tau^{2\alpha-3}. \quad (3.20)$$

Looking for a solution $\hat{w}_2^{(3)}(\tau)$ in the form $\hat{w}_2^{(3)}(\tau) = e^{\frac{2}{\tau}} \tau^{2\alpha-3} \hat{f}(\tau)$ we find that $\hat{f}(\tau)$ must satisfy the equation

$$\hat{f}' = \left(\frac{1}{\tau^2} - \frac{\alpha - 2}{\tau} \right) \hat{f} + 2\alpha \tau - 2.$$

It is not difficult to show that $\hat{f}(\tau) = 2\tau^2$ solves this equation. Then $\hat{w}_2^{(3)}(\tau) = 2e^{\frac{2}{\tau}} \tau^{2\alpha-1}$.

For the last column $\hat{\Psi}_3(\tau)$ we have

$$\hat{\Psi}_3(\tau) = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{w}_2^{(4)}(\tau) \\ -\alpha e^{\frac{2}{\tau}} \tau^{2\alpha} \\ 0 \\ e^{\frac{2}{\tau}} \tau^{2(\alpha-1)} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\hat{w}_2^{(4)}(\tau)$ is a solution of the equation

$$w_2' = \left(\frac{\alpha - 1}{\tau} - \frac{1}{\tau^2} \right) w_2 - e^{\frac{2}{\tau}} \tau^{2\alpha-3}. \quad (3.21)$$

Looking for a solution of the equation (3.21) in the form $\hat{w}_2^{(4)}(\tau) = e^{\frac{2}{\tau}} \tau^{2\alpha-3} \hat{g}(\tau)$ we find that $\hat{g}(\tau)$ must satisfy the equation

$$\tau^2 \hat{g}' = (1 - (\alpha - 2)) \hat{g} - \tau^2. \quad (3.22)$$

If $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}$ then the equation (3.22) admits a solution which is expressed as a finite series in τ . In particular, in this case

$$\hat{g}(\tau) = \tau^2 + \alpha \tau^3 + \alpha(\alpha + 1)\tau^4 + \cdots + (-1)^{-\alpha} (-\alpha)! \tau^{-\alpha+2}.$$

If $\alpha \notin \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}$ then the equation (3.22) admits a solution which is expressed as an infinite divergent power series. In particular, in this case

$$\hat{g}(\tau) = \tau \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha^{(n)} \tau^{n+1}.$$

Fitting together the so building columns $\hat{\Psi}_j(\tau)$, $j = 1, 2, 3, 4$ we complete the proof. \square
Now we can determine explicitly the formal invariants at the origin of the system (3.15).

Proposition 3.5. *With respect to the formal fundamental matrix solution $\hat{\Psi}(\tau)$ introduced by Proposition 3.4 the exponential torus \mathcal{T} and the formal monodromy \hat{M}_0 at the origin of the system (3.15) are given by*

$$\mathcal{T} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \lambda^2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \hat{M}_0 = e^{2\pi i \Lambda} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{2\pi i \alpha} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e^{4\pi i \alpha} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & e^{4\pi i \alpha} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*$.

The application of Definition 2.6 to the divergent power series $\hat{\varphi}(\tau)$ and $\hat{\phi}(\tau)$ gives the following sets of admissible singular directions

$$\Theta_2 = \{\theta = \arg(0 - 1) = \arg(0 - 2) = \pi\}$$

for the series $\hat{\varphi}(\tau)$ and

$$\Theta_3 = \{\theta = \arg(2 - 1) = \arg(2 - 0) = 0\}$$

for the series $\hat{\phi}(\tau)$.

In the next lemma we compute the 1-sums of the divergent power series $\hat{\varphi}(\tau)$ and $\hat{\phi}(\tau)$. These 1-sums illustrate explicitly the dependence of the power series $\hat{\varphi}(\psi)$ and $\hat{\phi}(\tau)$ on the suggested admissible singular direction. Denote $a = \operatorname{Re}(\alpha)$ and by $[|a|]$, $[a]$ the integer parts of the real numbers $|a|$ and a , respectively.

Lemma 3.6. *Under the above notations we have:*

- (1) *Assume that $\alpha \notin \mathbb{N}$. Then for every direction $\theta \neq \pi$ the function*

$$\varphi_{\theta}(\tau) = 2(\alpha - 1) \int_0^{+\infty e^{i\theta}} (1 + \zeta)^{\alpha-2} e^{-\frac{\zeta}{\tau}} d\zeta$$

defines the 1-sum of the power series $\hat{\varphi}(\tau)$ in such a direction.

- (2) *Assume that $\alpha \notin \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}$. Then for every direction $\theta \neq 0$ the function*

$$\phi_{\theta}(\tau) = \int_0^{+\infty e^{i\theta}} (1 - \zeta)^{-\alpha} e^{-\frac{\zeta}{\tau}} d\zeta$$

defines the 1-sum of the power series $\hat{\phi}(\tau)$ in such a direction.

When $\operatorname{Re}(\alpha) \leq 2$ (resp. $\operatorname{Re}(\alpha) \geq 0$) the function $\varphi_{\theta}(\tau)$ (resp. $\phi_{\theta}(\theta)$) is a holomorphic function in the open unlimited disc

$$\mathcal{D}_{\theta} = \left\{ \tau \in \mathbb{C} \mid \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{e^{i\theta}}{\tau} \right) > 0 \right\}$$

for any direction $\theta \neq \pi$ (resp. $\theta \neq 0$). Otherwise they are holomorphic functions in the open bounded disc

$$\mathcal{D}_\theta(1) = \left\{ \tau \in \mathbb{C} \mid \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{e^{i\theta}}{\tau} \right) > 1 \right\}.$$

Proof. Consider the divergent power series $\hat{\varphi}(\tau)$ and $\hat{\phi}(\tau)$ defined by Proposition 3.4. For the corresponding formal Borel transforms we obtain the power series

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mathcal{B}}_1 \hat{\varphi}(\zeta) &= 2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\alpha - 1)_{n+1} \frac{\zeta^n}{n!} = 2(\alpha - 1) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\alpha - 2)_n \frac{\zeta^n}{n!}, \\ \hat{\mathcal{B}}_1 \hat{\phi}(\zeta) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha^{(n)} \frac{\zeta^n}{n!}. \end{aligned}$$

Both of the series $\hat{\mathcal{B}}_1 \hat{\varphi}(\zeta)$ and $\hat{\mathcal{B}}_1 \hat{\phi}(\zeta)$ are convergent near the origin of the Borel ζ -plane with finite radiuses of convergence. Therefore both of the divergent series $\hat{\varphi}(\tau)$ and $\hat{\phi}(\tau)$ are Gevrey series of order 1. The functions

$$\varphi(\zeta) = 2(\alpha - 1)(1 + \zeta)^{\alpha-2}, \quad \phi(\zeta) = (1 - \zeta)^{-\alpha}$$

present the sums of the power series $\hat{\mathcal{B}}_1 \hat{\varphi}(\zeta)$ and $\hat{\mathcal{B}}_1 \hat{\phi}(\zeta)$, respectively.

Consider the function $(1 + \zeta)^{\alpha-2}$. We have that $|(1 + \zeta)^{\alpha-2}| = A|1 + \zeta|^{Re(\alpha)-2}$, where $A = e^{-Im(\alpha) \arg(1+\zeta)}$. Let $\theta = \arg(\zeta)$. If $Re(\alpha) - 2 \leq 0$ then

$$\frac{A}{|1 + \zeta|^{2-Re(\alpha)}} \leq A$$

when $\cos \theta \geq 0$, while

$$\frac{A}{|1 + \zeta|^{2-Re(\alpha)}} \leq \frac{A}{|\sin \theta|^{2-Re(\alpha)}}$$

when $\cos \alpha < 0$. If $Re(\alpha) - 2 > 0$ then

$$A|1 + \zeta|^{Re(\alpha)-2} \leq B e^{|\zeta|}$$

for an appropriate constant $B > 0$. Therefore the function $\varphi(\zeta)$ is of exponential size at most 1 at ∞ along any direction $\theta \neq \pi$ from 0 to $+\infty e^{i\theta}$. Moreover, the function $\varphi(\zeta)$ is continued analytically along any such a direction. Then the corresponding Laplace transform $(\mathcal{L}_\theta \varphi)(\tau)$ is well defined and gives the 1-sum of the divergent power series $\hat{\varphi}(\tau)$ in such a direction. If we denote by $\varphi_\theta(\tau)$ this 1-sum we have that

$$\varphi_\theta(\tau) = 2(\alpha - 1) \int_0^{+\infty e^{i\theta}} (1 + \zeta)^{\alpha-2} e^{-\frac{\zeta}{\tau}} d\zeta$$

for every $\theta \neq \pi$. From the above estimates it follows that when $Re(\alpha) - 2 \leq 0$ the 1-sum $\varphi_\theta(\tau)$ is a holomorphic function in the open unlimited disc

$$\mathcal{D}_\theta = \left\{ \tau \in \mathbb{C} \mid \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{e^{i\theta}}{\tau} \right) > 0 \right\}, \quad (3.23)$$

whose opening is π . When $Re(\alpha) - 2 > 0$ the 1-sum is a holomorphic function in the open bounded disc

$$\mathcal{D}_\theta(1) = \left\{ \tau \in \mathbb{C} \mid \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{e^{i\theta}}{\tau} \right) > 1 \right\} \quad (3.24)$$

with opening $< \pi$.

Using similar arguments we find that for any direction $\theta \neq 0$ the Laplace transform

$$\phi_\theta(\tau) = \int_0^{+\infty e^{i\theta}} (1 - \zeta)^{-\alpha} e^{-\frac{\zeta}{\tau}} d\zeta$$

defines the 1-sum of the divergent power series $\hat{\phi}(\tau)$ in such a direction. When $Re(\alpha) \geq 0$ the 1-sum $\phi_\theta(\tau)$ is a holomorphic function in the disc \mathcal{D}_θ from (3.23). Otherwise, the 1-sum is a holomorphic function in the disc $\mathcal{D}_\theta(1)$ from (3.24).

This ends the proof. \square

Remark 3.7. Let $I = (-\pi, \pi) \subset \mathbb{R}$ and $J = (0, 2\pi) \subset \mathbb{R}$. When we move the direction $\theta \in I$ the holomorphic functions $\varphi_\theta(\tau)$ glue together analytically and define a holomorphic function $\tilde{\varphi}(\tau)$ on a sector $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_1$ with opening 3π , $-\frac{3\pi}{2} < \arg(\tau) < \frac{3\pi}{2}$ when $Re(\alpha) \leq 2$ or on a sector

$$\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_1(1) = \bigcup_{\theta \in I} \mathcal{D}_\theta(1)$$

with opening $> \pi$ when $Re(\alpha) > 2$. Similarly, when we move the direction $\theta \in J$ the holomorphic functions $\phi_\theta(\tau)$ glue together analytically and define a holomorphic function $\tilde{\phi}(\tau)$ on a sector $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_2$ with opening 3π , $-\frac{\pi}{2} < \arg(\tau) < \frac{5\pi}{2}$ when $Re(\alpha) \geq 0$ or on a sector

$$\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_2(1) = \bigcup_{\theta \in J} \mathcal{D}_\theta(1)$$

with opening $> \pi$ when $Re(\alpha) < 0$. On these sectors the functions $\tilde{\varphi}(\tau)$ and $\tilde{\phi}(\tau)$ are asymptotic to the power series $\hat{\varphi}(\tau)$ and $\hat{\phi}(\tau)$, respectively, in Gevrey 1-sense and define the 1-sums of these power series there. Their restrictions on \mathbb{C}^* are multivalued functions. In any direction $\theta \neq \pi$ (resp. $\theta \neq 0$) the function $\tilde{\varphi}(\tau)$ (resp. $\tilde{\phi}(\tau)$) has only one value which coincides with the function $\varphi_\theta(\tau)$ (resp. $\phi_\theta(\tau)$) defined by Lemma 3.6. Near the singular direction $\theta = \pi$ (resp. $\theta = 0$) the function $\tilde{\varphi}(\tau)$ (resp. $\tilde{\phi}(\tau)$) has two different values: $\varphi_\pi^+(\tau) = \varphi_{\pi+\epsilon}(\tau)$ (resp. $\phi_0^+(\tau) = \phi_{0+\epsilon}(\tau)$) and $\varphi_\pi^-(\tau) = \varphi_{\pi-\epsilon}(\tau)$ (resp. $\phi_0^-(\tau) = \phi_{0-\epsilon}(\tau)$) for a small number $\epsilon > 0$.

Replacing the divergent power series entries of the matrix $\hat{H}(\tau)$ with their 1-sums we get an actual fundamental matrix solution at the origin of the system (3.15). More precisely, denote $F(\tau) = \tau^\Lambda \exp\left(\frac{Q}{\tau}\right)$. Then

Proposition 3.8. *For every non-singular direction θ the system (3.15) possesses an unique actual fundamental matrix solution at the origin in the form*

$$\Psi_\theta(\tau) = H_\theta(\tau) F_\theta(\tau), \quad (3.25)$$

where $F_\theta(\tau)$ is the branch of the matrix $F(\tau)$ for $\theta = \arg(\tau)$. The matrix $H_\theta(\tau)$ is given by

$$H_\theta(\tau) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 + h_{12}(\tau) & 2\tau & h_{14}(\tau) \\ 0 & 1 & -\alpha\tau^2 & -\alpha\tau^2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The entries $h_{12}(\tau)$ and $h_{14}(\tau)$ of the matrix $H_\theta(\tau)$ are defined as follows:

- (1) If $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$ then $h_{14}(\tau) = \phi_\theta(\tau)$ where $\phi_\theta(\tau)$ is defined by Lemma 3.6 and extended by Remark 3.7. The element $h_{12}(\tau)$ coincides with the function $\hat{\varphi}(\tau)$ from (3.17).

- (2) If $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}$ then $h_{12}(\tau) = \varphi_\theta(\tau)$ where $\varphi_\theta(\tau)$ is defined by Lemma 3.6 and extended by Remark 3.7. The element $h_{14}(\tau)$ coincides with the function $\hat{\phi}(\tau)$ from (3.18).
- (3) If $\alpha \notin \mathbb{Z}$ then $h_{12}(\tau) = \varphi_\theta(\tau)$, $h_{14}(\tau) = \phi_\theta(\tau)$ where $\varphi_\theta(\tau)$ and $\phi_\theta(\tau)$ are defined by Lemma 3.6 and extended by Remark 3.7.

Near the singular direction $\theta = 0$ or $\theta = \pi$ the system (3.15) possesses two different actual fundamental matrix solutions at the origin in the form

$$\Psi_0^+(\tau) = \Psi_{0+\epsilon}(\tau) \quad \text{and} \quad \Psi_0^-(\tau) = \Psi_{0-\epsilon}(\tau)$$

or

$$\Psi_\pi^+(\tau) = \Psi_{\pi+\epsilon}(\tau) \quad \text{and} \quad \Psi_\pi^-(\tau) = \Psi_{\pi-\epsilon}(\tau)$$

where $\Psi_{0\pm\epsilon}(\tau)$ and $\Psi_{\pi\pm\epsilon}(\tau)$ are defined by (3.25) for a small number $\epsilon > 0$.

Now we can compute the analytic invariants at the origin of the system (3.15).

Theorem 3.9. *With respect to the actual fundamental matrix solution at the origin defined by Proposition 3.8 the system (3.15) has a Stokes matrix St_π in the form*

$$St_\pi = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \mu_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The multiplier μ_1 is defined as follows:

- (1) If $\text{Re}(\alpha - 1) > 0$ then

$$\mu_1 = 4i(\alpha - 1)(-1)^{\alpha-1} \sin((2 - \alpha)\pi) \Gamma(\alpha - 1).$$

- (2) If $\text{Re}(\alpha - 1) \leq 0$ but $\alpha \notin \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}$ then

$$\mu_1 = \frac{4\pi i(\alpha - 1)(-1)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(2 - \alpha)}.$$

- (3) If $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}$ then

$$\mu_1 = \frac{4\pi i(-1)^{-\alpha}}{(-\alpha)!}.$$

Similarly, with respect to the actual fundamental matrix solution at the origin defined by Proposition 3.8 the system (3.15) has a Stokes matrix St_0 in the form

$$St_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \mu_2 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The multiplier μ_2 is defined as follows:

- (1) If $\text{Re}(1 - \alpha) > 0$ then

$$\mu_2 = -2i \sin((1 - \alpha)\pi) \Gamma(1 - \alpha).$$

- (2) If $\text{Re}(1 - \alpha) \leq 0$ but $\alpha \notin \mathbb{N}$ then

$$\mu_2 = -\frac{2\pi i}{\Gamma(\alpha)}.$$

- (3) If $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$ then

$$\mu_2 = -\frac{2\pi i}{(\alpha - 1)!}.$$

Proof. From the Definition 2.8 it follows that the multiplier μ_1 is computed by comparing the solutions $\varphi_\pi^-(\tau)$ and $\varphi_\pi^+(\tau)$. Denote

$$J_1 = \varphi_\pi^-(\tau) - \varphi_\pi^+(\tau).$$

Then

$$J_1 = 2(\alpha - 1) \int_\gamma (1 + \zeta)^{\alpha-2} e^{-\zeta/\tau} d\zeta,$$

where $\gamma = (\pi - \epsilon) - (\pi + \epsilon)$ for a small number $\epsilon > 0$. Assume that $\alpha \notin \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}$. Then without changing the integral we can deform the path γ into a Hankel type contour γ_1 winding around the branch cut on \mathbb{R}^- of the function $(1 + \zeta)^{\alpha-2}$, starting on $-\infty$, encircling -1 in the positive sense and returning to $-\infty$. Then J_1 becomes

$$J_1 = 2(\alpha - 1) \int_{\gamma_1} (1 + \zeta)^{\alpha-2} e^{-\zeta/\tau} d\zeta.$$

The transformation $1 + \zeta = u$ takes the contour γ_1 into a Hankel type contour γ_2 going along the branch cut on \mathbb{R}^- of the function $u^{\alpha-2}$, starting on $-\infty$, encircling 0 in the positive sense and backing to $-\infty$. Then we have

$$J_1 = 2(\alpha - 1) e^{1/\tau} \int_{\gamma_2} u^{\alpha-2} e^{-u/\tau} du.$$

Now the change $u/\tau = -\eta$ takes the contour γ_2 into itself and we find that

$$J_1 = 2(\alpha - 1) (-1)^{\alpha-1} \tau^{\alpha-1} e^{1/\tau} \int_{\gamma_2} \eta^{\alpha-2} e^\eta d\eta.$$

To obtain the formula for μ_1 we use the well known Hankel's representation of the Gamma function $\Gamma(1 - \alpha)$ and reciprocal Gamma function $1/\Gamma(\alpha)$ when $\alpha \neq 0, -1, -2, \dots$ as a contour integral (see 1.6(1) and 1.6(2) in [2])

$$\int_{\gamma_2} \eta^{-\alpha} e^\eta d\eta = 2i \sin(\alpha\pi) \Gamma(1 - \alpha), \quad \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma_2} \eta^{-\alpha} e^\eta d\eta = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)}. \quad (3.26)$$

Hence

$$\varphi_\pi^-(\tau) - \varphi_\pi^+(\tau) = 4i(\alpha - 1) (-1)^{\alpha-1} \sin((2 - \alpha)\pi) \Gamma(\alpha - 1) \tau^{\alpha-1} e^{1/\tau}$$

when $\operatorname{Re}(\alpha - 1) > 0$, and

$$\varphi_\pi^-(\tau) - \varphi_\pi^+(\tau) = \frac{4\pi i(\alpha - 1) (-1)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(2 - \alpha)} \tau^{\alpha-1} e^{1/\tau}$$

when $\operatorname{Re}(\alpha - 1) \leq 0$ but $\alpha \notin \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}$.

Assume now that $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}$. Then from the Cauchy's differential formula it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_\pi^-(\tau) - \varphi_\pi^+(\tau) &= \frac{4(\alpha - 1)\pi i}{(1 - \alpha)!} \left[D_\zeta^{1-\alpha} \left(e^{-\zeta/\tau} \right) \Big|_{\zeta=-1} \right] \\ &= \frac{4\pi i (-1)^{-\alpha}}{(-\alpha)!} \tau^{\alpha-1} e^{\frac{1}{\tau}}, \end{aligned}$$

where $D_\zeta^n = \frac{d^n}{d\zeta^n}$.

Let us compute the multiplier μ_2 . Denote

$$I_1 = \tau^{2\alpha-2} e^{2/\tau} [\phi_0^-(\tau) - \phi_0^+(\tau)].$$

Then

$$I_1 = \tau^{2\alpha-2} e^{2/\tau} \int_{\delta} (1-\zeta)^{-\alpha} e^{-\zeta/\tau} d\zeta,$$

where δ is a Hankel type contour going along the branch cut on \mathbb{R}^+ of the function $(1-\zeta)^{-\alpha}$, starting on $+\infty$, encircling 1 in the positive sense and returning to $+\infty$. Assume that $\alpha \notin \mathbb{N}$. The transformation $1-\zeta = -u$ changes the contour δ into a Henkel type contour δ_1 winding around the branch cut on \mathbb{R}^+ of the function $(-u)^{-\alpha}$, starting on $+\infty$, encircling 0 in the positive sense and backing to $+\infty$. Then I_1 becomes

$$I_1 = \tau^{2\alpha-2} e^{1/\tau} \int_{\delta_1} (-u)^{-\alpha} e^{-u/\tau} du.$$

The change $u/\tau = \eta$ takes the contour δ_1 into itself. Then for I_1 we have

$$I_1 = \tau^{\alpha-1} e^{1/\tau} \int_{\delta_1} (-\eta)^{-\alpha} e^{-\eta} d\eta.$$

The integral representations (3.26) of the Gamma function are equivalent to the following integral representations on the contour δ_1 , [2]

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\delta_1} (-\eta)^{-\alpha} e^{-\eta} d\eta &= -2i \sin((1-\alpha)\pi) \Gamma(1-\alpha), \\ -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\delta_1} (-\eta)^{\alpha-1} e^{-\eta} d\eta &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.27)$$

Now from formulas (3.27) it follows that

$$\tau^{2\alpha-2} e^{2/\tau} [\phi_0^-(\tau) - \phi_0^+(\tau)] = -2i \sin((1-\alpha)\pi) \Gamma(1-\alpha) \tau^{\alpha-1} e^{1/\tau}$$

when $\operatorname{Re}(1-\alpha) > 0$, and

$$\tau^{2\alpha-2} e^{2/\tau} [\phi_0^-(\tau) - \phi_0^+(\tau)] = -\frac{2\pi i}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \tau^{\alpha-1} e^{1/\tau}$$

when $\operatorname{Re}(1-\alpha) \leq 0$.

As above, applying the Cauchy's differential formula, we find that when $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\tau^{2\alpha-2} e^{\frac{2}{\tau}} [\phi_0^-(\tau) - \phi_0^+(\tau)] = -\frac{2\pi i}{(\alpha-1)!} \tau^{\alpha-1} e^{\frac{1}{\tau}}.$$

This ends the proof. \square

Now we can describe the local differential Galois group G at the origin of the system (3.15).

Theorem 3.10. *With respect to the formal and actual fundamental matrix solutions given by Proposition 3.4 and Proposition 3.8 the connected component G^0 of the unit element of the local differential Galois group G at the origin of the system (3.15) is defined as follows:*

(1) If $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$ then

$$G^0 = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 & 0 & \mu \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \lambda^2 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{where } \lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*, \mu \in \mathbb{C}.$$

(2) If $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}$ then

$$G^0 = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & \mu & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \lambda^2 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{where } \lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*, \mu \in \mathbb{C}.$$

(3) If $\alpha \notin \mathbb{Z}$ then

$$G^0 = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & \mu & 0 & \nu \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \lambda^2 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{where } \lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*, \mu, \nu \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Proof. From Theorem 2.9 of Ramis it follows that the local differential Galois group G at $\tau = 0$ of the system (3.15) is the Zariski closure of the group generated by the formal differential Galois group and the Stokes matrices St_π and St_0 . Since when $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$ the formal monodromy \hat{M}_0 is equal to the identity matrix I_4 then the formal differential Galois group coincides with the exponential torus \mathcal{T} . Therefore in this case G is generated by the exponential torus and the Stokes matrices St_π and St_0 . Since when $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$ the Stokes matrix St_π is equal to I_4 the Galois group G is generated by the exponential torus \mathcal{T} and the Stokes matrix St_0 . Hence for $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$ the differential Galois group coincides with its connected component G^0 of the unit element and

$$G = G^0 = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 & 0 & \mu \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \lambda^2 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*$, $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$. Similarly, when $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}$ we have that G is generated topologically by \mathcal{T} and St_π and

$$G = G^0 = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & \mu & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \lambda^2 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*$, $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$.

When $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}$ but $\alpha \notin \mathbb{Z}$ the formal differential Galois group is not connected since the group generated by \hat{M}_0 is not connected. However, in this case the connected component of the unit element of the formal differential Galois group coincides with \mathcal{T} . Therefore in this case G does not coincides with G^0 but G^0 is generated by \mathcal{T} and the Stokes matrices St_π and St_0 . Hence

$$G^0 = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & \mu & 0 & \nu \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \lambda^2 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*$, $\mu, \nu \in \mathbb{C}$.

In the last case when $\alpha \notin \mathbb{Q}$ we have that

$$G = G^0 = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & \mu & 0 & \nu \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \lambda^2 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*$, $\mu, \nu \in \mathbb{C}$.

This ends the proof. \square

Combining Theorem 3.3 and Theorem 3.10 we obtain the following important result

Theorem 3.11. *The connected component of the unit element of the differential Galois group of the system (3.14) is not Abelian.*

Proof. From Theorem 3.3 and Theorem 3.10 it follows that the local differential Galois group at the origin of the system (3.14) is a subgroup of the local differential Galois group at $t = \infty$ of the same system. The latter is in fact the local differential Galois group at the origin of the system (3.15). Then from Theorem 2.10 of Mitschi it follows that the global differential Galois group G of the system (3.14) is the local differential Galois group at the origin of the system (3.15). Therefore its connected component of the unit element G^0 is defined by Theorem 3.10. To prove that G^0 is not an Abelian group it is enough to show that the matrices

$$T_\lambda = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \lambda^2 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad S_{\mu,\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \mu & 0 & \nu \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

do not commute.

When μ and ν do not vanish together the commutator between $S_{\mu,\nu}$ and T_λ

$$S_{\mu,\nu} T_\lambda S_{\mu,\nu}^{-1} T_\lambda^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \mu(1-\lambda) & 0 & \nu(1-\lambda^{-1}) \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

is not identically equal to the identity matrix. The condition $\lambda = 1$ implies that for every element σ of the differential Galois group we have that $\sigma(e^{\frac{1}{\tau}}) = e^{\frac{1}{\tau}}$, i.e. $e^{\frac{1}{\tau}} \in \mathbb{C}(\tau)$, which is a contradiction. Thus the connected component of the unit element of the differential Galois group of the system (3.14) is not Abelian.

This ends the proof. \square

As a consequence of Theorem 3.11 we have

Corollary 3.12. *The connected component $(G_2)^0$ of the unit element of the differential Galois group of the $(LNVE)_2$ is not Abelian.*

Proof. We always can put the independent variables in $(LNVE)_2$ in such an order that the variables $w_2, w_1 z_1, y_1 w_1, w_1^2$ stay in a block. Let for example the system (3.14) forms the tail of the $(LNVE)_2$. Then after the transformation $t = 1/\tau$ the $(LNVE)_2$ admit such formal $\widehat{\Psi}_{(LNVE)_2}(\tau)$ and actual $\Psi_{(LNVE)_2}^\theta(\tau)$ fundamental matrix solutions at $\tau = 0$ which contain the matrices $\widehat{\Psi}(\tau)$ and $\Psi_\theta(\tau)$ from Proposition 3.4 and Proposition 3.8, respectively, as right-hand lower corner blocks. The differential Galois group G_2 of the $(LNVE)_2$ is a subgroup of $GL_{13}(\mathbb{C})$, so is $(G_2)^0$. With respect to the fundamental matrix solutions $\widehat{\Psi}_{(LNVE)_2}(\tau)$ and $\Psi_{(LNVE)_2}^\theta(\tau)$ the connected component of the unit element $(G_2)^0$ of G_2 is not Abelian since it has a proper subgroup which is not Abelian.

This ends the proof. \square

Combining Corollary 3.12 with the Morales-Ramis-Simó theory we establish the main result of this section

Theorem 3.13. *Assume that $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \alpha_3 = 0, \alpha_4 = 1, \alpha_0 = -\alpha_5$ where α_5 is arbitrary. Then the Sasano system (1.1) is not integrable in the Liouville-Arnold sense by meromorphic first integrals which are rational functions in t .*

4. BÄCKLUND TRANSFORMATIONS AND GENERALIZATION

The Sasano system (1.1) has an affine Weyl group symmetry of type $D_5^{(1)}$ which acts on it as Bäcklund transformations. In this section with the aid of the Bäcklund transformation we extend the result of Theorem 3.13 to the entire orbit of the parameters $\alpha_j, j = 0 \div n$ and establish the main result of this paper.

Denote $(*) = (x, y, z, w, t; \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4, \alpha_5)$. The action of the generators of the extended affine Weyl group $\widetilde{W}(D_5^{(1)})$ on $(*)$ is defined as follows (see [10]):

$$\begin{aligned}
s_0(*) &= \left(x + \frac{\alpha_0}{y+t}, y, z, w, t; -\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2 + \alpha_0, \alpha_3, \alpha_4, \alpha_5 \right), \\
s_1(*) &= \left(x + \frac{\alpha_1}{y}, y, z, w, t; \alpha_0, -\alpha_1, \alpha_2 + \alpha_1, \alpha_3, \alpha_4, \alpha_5 \right), \\
s_2(*) &= \left(x, y - \frac{\alpha_2}{x-z}, z, w + \frac{\alpha_2}{x-z}, t; \alpha_0 + \alpha_2, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2, -\alpha_2, \alpha_3 + \alpha_2, \alpha_4, \alpha_5 \right), \\
s_3(*) &= \left(x, y, z + \frac{\alpha_3}{w}, w, t; \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2 + \alpha_3, -\alpha_3, \alpha_4 + \alpha_3, \alpha_5 + \alpha_3 \right), \\
s_4(*) &= \left(x, y, z, w - \frac{\alpha_4}{z-1}, t; \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3 + \alpha_4, -\alpha_4, \alpha_5 \right), \\
s_5(*) &= \left(x, y, z, w - \frac{\alpha_5}{z}, t; \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3 + \alpha_5, \alpha_4, -\alpha_5 \right), \\
\pi_1(*) &= (1-x, -y-t, 1-z, -w, t; \alpha_1, \alpha_0, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_5, \alpha_4), \\
\pi_2(*) &= \left(\frac{y+w+t}{t}, -t(z-1), \frac{y+t}{t}, -t(x-z), -t; \alpha_5, \alpha_4, \alpha_3, \alpha_2, \alpha_1, \alpha_0 \right), \\
\pi_3(*) &= (1-x, -y, 1-z, -w, -t; \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_5, \alpha_4), \\
\pi_4(*) &= (x, y+t, z, w, -t; \alpha_1, \alpha_0, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4, \alpha_5).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.28}$$

In fact the actions $s_j, 0 \leq j \leq 5$ define a representation of the affine Weyl group $W(D_5^{(1)})$.

Remark 4.1. When $y = -t$ is a particular solution of the Sasano system (1.1) then the parameter α_0 must be equal to zero. So, when $y = -t$ we consider the transformation s_0 as an identity transformation. Similarly, when $y = 0$ is a particular solution we have that $\alpha_1 = 0$. So, when $y = 0$ we consider the transformation s_1 as an identity transformation. Note that $\alpha_1 = 0$ does not imply $y = 0$ of necessity. For example, if $\alpha_1 = 0$ the function $y = -t$ is a particular solution of the system (1.1) provided that $\alpha_0 = 0$. Next, when $z = 0$ (resp. $z = 1$) is a particular solution of (1.1) we find that $\alpha_5 = 0$ (resp. $\alpha_4 = 0$). In this case we also consider the transformation s_5 (resp. s_4) as an identity transformation. Note that $\alpha_5 = 0$ does not imply $z = 0$ of necessity. For example, when $\alpha_5 = 0$ the function $z = t$ is a particular solution of the system (1.1) provided that $\alpha_4 = -1$ and $y = -\frac{t}{2}, w = 0$ solve the same system. When $w = 0, \alpha_3 = 0$ we consider the transformation s_3 as an identity transformation. Finally, when $x = z, \alpha_2 = 0$. the transformation s_2 is considered as an identity transformation. Therefore $y = -t, y = 0$ and $z = 1$ are only apparent singularities for the Bäcklund transformations (4.28). Unfortunately, from $w = 0$ or $x = z$ be particular solutions of the system (1.1) does not follow that $\alpha_3 = 0$ or $\alpha_2 = 0$, respectively. In the

Appendix we find all of the particular solutions of the system (1.1) which are true singular for the transformations s_2 and s_3 and we will define the actions of s_2 and s_3 on them.

Denote by $V := (\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_5) = (-\alpha, 0, 0, 0, 1, \alpha)$ the parameters vector corresponds to the particular solution $\text{Sol} := (x, y, z, w) = \left(\frac{\alpha}{t}, 0, \frac{\alpha}{t}, 0\right)$. In order to describe the orbit of the vector V under the action of the group $\widetilde{W}(D_5^{(1)})$ we define using the ideas of Sasano the following translation operators :

$$\begin{aligned} T_1 &= \pi_1 s_5 s_3 s_2 s_1 s_0 s_2 s_3 s_5, & T_2 &= \pi_2 T_1 \pi_2, & T_3 &= s_1 s_4 T_1 s_4 s_1, \\ T_4 &= s_2 s_3 T_3 s_3 s_2, & T_5 &= s_1 T_4 s_1, & T_6 &= s_3 T_3 s_3. \end{aligned}$$

These operators act on the parameters as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} T_1(\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_5) &= (\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_5) + (0, 0, 0, 0, 1, -1), \\ T_2(\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_5) &= (\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_5) + (-1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0), \\ T_3(\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_5) &= (\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_5) + (0, 0, 0, 1, -1, -1), \\ T_4(\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_5) &= (\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_5) + (1, 1, -1, 0, 0, 0), \\ T_5(\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_5) &= (\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_5) + (1, -1, 0, 0, 0, 0), \\ T_6(\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_5) &= (\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_5) + (0, 0, 1, -1, 0, 0). \end{aligned}$$

Note that the particular solution Sol is transformed under the transformations T_j as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} T_1(\text{Sol}) &= \left(\frac{\alpha}{t} - \frac{1}{t - \alpha + 1}, 0, \frac{\alpha}{t} - \frac{1}{t - \alpha + 1}, 0\right), \\ T_2(\text{Sol}) &= \left(1 - \frac{1}{\alpha - t + 1}, -1 + \frac{\alpha}{\alpha - t}, 1 - \frac{1}{\alpha - t + 1}, 0\right), \\ T_3(\text{Sol}) &= (1, 0, 1, -t + \alpha - 1), \\ T_4(\text{Sol}) &= \left(1, -t + \alpha - 1, 1 - \frac{1}{t - \alpha + 1}, 0\right), \\ T_5(\text{Sol}) &= \left(1 - \frac{1}{t - \alpha + 1}, -t + \alpha - 1, 1 - \frac{1}{t - \alpha + 1}, 0\right), \\ T_6(\text{Sol}) &= \left(1, 0, 1 - \frac{1}{t - \alpha + 1}, -t + \alpha - 1\right). \end{aligned}$$

Denote $M_1 = 1 - \alpha_0 - \alpha_1$, $M_2 = \alpha_4 + \alpha_5$. The next two lemmas describe the orbit of the parameters vector V under the group $\widetilde{W}(D_5^{(1)})$ with generators (4.28).

Lemma 4.2. *Assume that $\alpha \notin \mathbb{Z}$. Let $(x, y, z, t) = \left(\frac{\alpha}{t}, 0, \frac{\alpha}{t}, 0\right)$ be a particular rational solution of the Sasano system (1.1) with parameters vector V . Then applying Bäcklund transformations (4.28) to this solution we obtain rational solutions of (1.1) with parameters $\alpha_j, 0 \leq j \leq 5$ which are either of the kind $\pm\alpha + n_j, n_j \in \mathbb{Z}$ or of the kind $l_j, l_j \in \mathbb{Z}$ in such a way that M_1 and M_2 are together of the kind $\pm\alpha + m_j, m_j \in \mathbb{Z}, j = 1, 2$.*

Proof. This lemma is proved by an induction on the numbers of the applied transformations (4.28) on the vector V and with the aid of above translation operators $T_j, 1 \leq j \leq 6$. \square

Remark 4.3. The conditions imposed on the parameters α_j by Lemma 4.2 ensure that when $\alpha \notin \mathbb{Z}$ at least two of the new-obtained parameters α_j are integer numbers and at least two of them are not integer numbers.

With the next lemma we specify the orbit of the parameters vector V when $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Lemma 4.4. *Assume that $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let $(x, y, z, t) = (\frac{\alpha}{t}, 0, \frac{\alpha}{t}, 0)$ be a particular rational solution of the Sasano system (1.1) with parameters vector V . Then applying Bäcklund transformations (4.28) to this solution we obtain rational solutions of (1.1) with all of the parameters $\alpha_j \in \mathbb{Z}, 0 \leq j \leq 5$ in such a way that M_1 and M_2 are together either even or odd integer.*

Proof. This lemma is proved inductively. □ From Remark 4.1 it follows that all of the Bäcklund transformations (4.28) make sense for the particular solution Sol. Moreover, in the Appendix we show that the orbit of the vector V does not cross the orbit of any parameters vector $(\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_5)$ which corresponds to a particular solution true singular to the Bäcklund transformations. Hence the orbit of the vector V correctly defines corresponding chain of particular rational solutions of the Sasano system (1.1).

Following [11] we define the symplectic transformations $r_i, 0 \leq i \leq 5$ which correspond to the symmetries $s_i, 0 \leq i \leq 5$ from (4.28).

$$\begin{aligned} r_0(x, y, z, w) &= \left(\frac{1}{x}, -t - x(x(y+t) + \alpha_0), z, w \right), \\ r_1(x, y, z, w) &= \left(\frac{1}{x}, -x(xy + \alpha_1), z, w \right), \\ r_2(x, y, z, w) &= \left(z - y(y(x-z) - \alpha_2), \frac{1}{y}, z, w + y - \frac{1}{y} \right), \\ r_3(x, y, z, w) &= \left(x, y\frac{1}{z}, -z(zw + \alpha_3) \right), \\ r_4(x, y, z, w) &= \left(x, y, 1 - w(w(z-1) - \alpha_4), \frac{1}{w} \right), \\ r_5(x, y, z, w) &= \left(x, y, -w(wz - \alpha_5), \frac{1}{w} \right). \end{aligned}$$

The transformations $\pi_j, 1 \leq j \leq 5$ from (4.28) are also canonical symplectic transformations since

$$dx \wedge dy + dz \wedge dw = d\pi_j(x) \wedge d\pi_j(y) + d\pi_j(z) \wedge d\pi_j(w).$$

Denote, in short, by $r_i, 0 \leq i \leq 5$ and $\pi_j, 1 \leq j \leq 4$ the image of the canonical coordinates x, y, z, w under the action of r_i and π_j , respectively. Then the following important result is an extension of the Theorem 4.1 in [11]

Theorem 4.5. *There exists an unique polynomial Hamiltonian system of degree 4, which is holomorphic in each coordinates $r_i, 0 \leq i \leq 5$ and $\pi_j, 1 \leq j \leq 5$. This system is invariant under the extended Weyl group $\widetilde{W}(D_5^{(1)})$ and coincides with the system (1.1).*

Theorem 4.5 says that the transformations $s_i, 0 \leq i \leq 5$ and $\pi_j, 1 \leq j \leq 4$ from (4.28) are canonical transformation which are rational on the functions x, y, z, w . Using this fact we establish the main results of this paper.

Theorem 4.6. *Let α be an arbitrary complex parameter which is not an integer. Assume that the parameters α_j are either of the kind $\pm\alpha + n_j$ or of the kind $l_j, n_j, l_j \in \mathbb{Z}$ in such a way that M_1 and M_2 are together of the kind $\pm\alpha + m_i, m_i \in \mathbb{Z}, i = 1, 2$. Then the Sasano system (1.1) is not integrable in the Liouville-Arnold sense by meromorphic first integrals which are rational functions in t .*

Theorem 4.7. *Assume that all of the parameters $\alpha_j, 0 \leq j \leq 5$ are integer in such a way that M_1 and M_2 are together either even or odd integer. Then the Sasano system (1.1) is not integrable in the Liouville-Arnold sense by meromorphic first integrals which are rational functions in t .*

Acknowledgments. The author was partially supported by Grant KP-06-N 62/5 of the Bulgarian Found "Scientific research".

APPENDIX. SINGULARITIES OF THE BÄCKLUND TRANSFORMATIONS

In this section we determine the singularities of the Bäcklund transformations s_2 and s_3 of the Sasano system (1.1). In the next two propositions we describe the condition under which the system (1.1) admits $w = 0$ or $x = z$ as a particular solution provided that $\alpha_3 \neq 0$ or $\alpha_2 \neq 0$, respectively.

Proposition 4.8. *Assume that $\alpha_3 \neq 0$. Then the Sasano system (1.1) admits $w = 0$ as a particular solution if and only if*

(A₁) $\alpha_2 = \frac{1}{2}, \alpha_1 + \alpha_0 = 0$. *The corresponding particular solution x, y, z, w of (1.1) is*

$$x = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{2\alpha_1}{t}, \quad y = -\frac{t}{2}, \quad z = -\frac{\alpha_5}{2\alpha_3}, \quad w = 0.$$

(A₂) $\alpha_4 = \alpha_5, \alpha_1 + \alpha_0 = 0$. *The corresponding particular solution x, y, z, w is*

$$x = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{2\alpha_1}{t}, \quad y = -\frac{t}{2}, \quad z = \frac{1}{2}, \quad w = 0.$$

(A₃) $\alpha_4 = \alpha_5, \alpha_1 = \alpha_0$. *The corresponding particular solution x, y, z, w is*

$$x = z = \frac{1}{2}, \quad y = -\frac{t}{2}, \quad w = 0.$$

(A₄) $\alpha_1 = \alpha_0, \alpha_4 + \alpha_5 + 2\alpha_3 = 0$. *The corresponding particular solution x, y, z, w is*

$$x = \frac{1}{2}, \quad y = -\frac{t}{2}, \quad z = -\frac{\alpha_5}{2\alpha_3}, \quad w = 0.$$

(A₅) $\alpha_4 = \alpha_5 = \frac{1}{2}$. *The corresponding particular solution x, y, z, t is*

$$x = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\alpha_1 - \alpha_0}{t}, \quad y = -\frac{t}{2}, \quad z = -\frac{\alpha_1^2 - \alpha_0^2}{2\alpha_3} \frac{1}{t} + \frac{1}{2}, \quad w = 0.$$

(A₆) $\alpha_4 + \alpha_5 = 1, \alpha_3 = -\frac{1}{2}$. *The corresponding particular solution x, y, z, t is*

$$x = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\alpha_1 - \alpha_0}{t}, \quad y = -\frac{t}{2}, \quad z = \frac{\alpha_1^2 - \alpha_0^2}{t} + \alpha_5, \quad w = 0.$$

Proof. Assume that $\alpha_3 \neq 0$. Then from the last equation of the Sasano system (1.1) we obtain that $w = 0$ is a particular solution of it if and only if

$$-\alpha_3 - \frac{2\alpha_3 y}{t} = 0 \iff y = -\frac{t}{2}.$$

Putting this solution into the second equation we find that $y = -\frac{t}{2}$ solves it if and only if

$$\frac{xt}{2} = \frac{t}{4} + \frac{\alpha_1 - \alpha_0}{2} \iff x = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\alpha_1 - \alpha_0}{t}.$$

Putting the obtained x into the first equation of (1.1) we find that x solves this equation if and only if

$$z = -\frac{\alpha_1^2 - \alpha_0^2}{2\alpha_3} \frac{1}{t} - \frac{2\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_5 - 1 + \alpha_0 + \alpha_1}{4\alpha_3}. \quad (4.29)$$

Under the above restriction the equation for z becomes

$$\dot{z} = -\frac{\alpha_4 + \alpha_5}{t} z + \frac{\alpha_5}{t}. \quad (4.30)$$

Finally, we find that the equation (4.30) admits z from (4.29) as a particular solution if and only if the parameters $\alpha_j, 0 \leq j \leq 5$ satisfy the system

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{(\alpha_1^2 - \alpha_0^2)(1 - \alpha_4 - \alpha_5)}{2\alpha_3} &= 0, \\ \frac{(\alpha_4 + \alpha_5)(2\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_5 - 1 + \alpha_0 + \alpha_1)}{4\alpha_3} + \alpha_5 &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Solving this system under the restriction (1.2) we complete the proof. \square

Proposition 4.9. *Assume that $\alpha_2 \neq 0$. Then the Sasano system (1.1) admits a particular solution for which $x = z$ if and only if*

(W₁) $\alpha_4 + \alpha_5 = 0, \alpha_1 = \alpha_0$. *The corresponding particular solution is*

$$x = z = \frac{1}{2}, \quad y = -\frac{t}{2}, \quad w = 2\alpha_5.$$

(W₂) $\alpha_4 + \alpha_5 = 0, \alpha_3 = \frac{1}{2}$. *The corresponding particular solution is*

$$x = z = \frac{1}{2}, \quad y = \frac{\alpha_1}{2\alpha_2} t, \quad w = 2\alpha_5 - \frac{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}{2\alpha_2} t.$$

(W₃) $\alpha_4 = \alpha_5, \alpha_1 = \alpha_0$. *The corresponding particular solution is*

$$x = z = \frac{1}{2}, \quad y = -\frac{t}{2}, \quad w = 0.$$

(W₄) $\alpha_4 = \alpha_5, \alpha_1 + \alpha_0 + 2\alpha_2 = 0$. *The corresponding particular solution is*

$$x = z = \frac{1}{2}, \quad y = \frac{\alpha_1}{2\alpha_2} t, \quad w = -\frac{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}{2\alpha_2} t.$$

(W₅) $\alpha_0 = \alpha_1 = \frac{1}{2}$. *The corresponding particular solution is*

$$x = z = \frac{1}{2}, \quad y = -\frac{t}{2} + \frac{\alpha_4^2 - \alpha_5^2}{2\alpha_2}, \quad w = \frac{\alpha_3(\alpha_4 - \alpha_5)}{\alpha_2}.$$

(W₆) $\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 = 1, \alpha_2 = -\frac{1}{2}$. *The corresponding particular solution is*

$$x = z = \frac{1}{2}, \quad y = -\alpha_1 t - (\alpha_4^2 - \alpha_5^2), \quad w = (\alpha_4 - \alpha_5)(\alpha_4 + \alpha_5 - 1) + \frac{2\alpha_1 - 1}{2} t.$$

Proof. The proof is similar to the proof of Proposition 4.8. \square

The Bäcklund transformations s_3 and s_2 become singular when they are applied to the particular solutions of type A_j and W_j , respectively. In the next two theorems we describe the action of the transformations s_3 and s_2 on the particular solutions defined by Proposition 4.8 and Proposition 4.9 provided that $s_3(w) = w$ and $s_2(\{x = z\}) = (\{x = z\})$, respectively. For clarity we denote by the same letter $A_j, W_j, 1 \leq j \leq 6$ the image of the action of the transformations s_3 and s_2 on the particular solutions $A_j, W_j, 1 \leq j \leq 6$ from Proposition 4.8 and Proposition 4.9, respectively.

Theorem 4.10. *Assume that $\alpha_3 \neq 0$. Assume also that the action on the transformation s_3 on the parameters α_j given by (4.28) preserves $w = 0$. Then the transformation s_3 acts on the particular solutions $A_j, 1 \leq j \leq 6$ introduced by Proposition 4.8 as a Bäcklund transformation as follows:*

(A₁)

$$\begin{aligned} & s_3 \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{2\alpha_1}{t}, -\frac{t}{2}, -\frac{\alpha_5}{2\alpha_3}, 0, t; -\alpha_1, \alpha_1, \frac{1}{2}, \alpha_3, \alpha_4, \alpha_5 \right) \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{2\alpha_1}{t}, -\frac{t}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 0, t; -\alpha_1, \alpha_1, \frac{1}{2} + \alpha_3, -\alpha_3, 0, 0 \right), \end{aligned}$$

(A₂)

$$\begin{aligned} & s_3 \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{2\alpha_1}{t}, -\frac{t}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 0, t; -\alpha_1, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4, \alpha_4 \right) \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{2\alpha_1}{t}, -\frac{t}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 0, t; -\alpha_1, \alpha_1, \alpha_2 + \alpha_3, -\alpha_3, \alpha_4 + \alpha_3, \alpha_4 + \alpha_3 \right), \end{aligned}$$

(A₃)

$$\begin{aligned} & s_3 \left(\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{t}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 0, t; \alpha_1, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4, \alpha_4 \right) \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{t}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 0, t; \alpha_1, \alpha_1, \alpha_2 + \alpha_3, -\alpha_3, \alpha_4 + \alpha_3, \alpha_4 + \alpha_3 \right), \end{aligned}$$

(A₄)

$$\begin{aligned} & s_3 \left(\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{t}{2}, -\frac{\alpha_5}{2\alpha_3}, 0, t; \alpha_1, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4, \alpha_5 \right) \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{t}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 0, t; \alpha_1, \alpha_1, \alpha_2 + \alpha_3, -\alpha_3, 0, 0 \right), \end{aligned}$$

(A₅₁)

$$\begin{aligned} & s_3 \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\alpha_1 - \alpha_0}{t}, -\frac{t}{2}, -\frac{\alpha_1^2 - \alpha_0^2}{2\alpha_3} \frac{1}{t} + \frac{1}{2}, 0, t; \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} \right) \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{2\alpha_1}{t}, -\frac{t}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 0, t; -\alpha_1, \alpha_1, \alpha_2 + \alpha_3, -\alpha_3, \alpha_3 + \frac{1}{2}, \alpha_3 + \frac{1}{2} \right), \end{aligned}$$

(A₅₂)

$$\begin{aligned} & s_3 \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\alpha_1 - \alpha_0}{t}, -\frac{t}{2}, -\frac{\alpha_1^2 - \alpha_0^2}{2\alpha_3} \frac{1}{t} + \frac{1}{2}, 0, t; \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} \right) \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{t}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 0, t; \alpha_1, \alpha_1, \alpha_2 + \alpha_3, -\alpha_3, \alpha_3 + \frac{1}{2}, \alpha_3 + \frac{1}{2} \right), \end{aligned}$$

(A₆₁)

$$\begin{aligned} & s_3 \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\alpha_1 - \alpha_0}{t}, -\frac{t}{2}, \frac{\alpha_1^2 - \alpha_0^2}{t} + \alpha_5, 0, t; \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, -\frac{1}{2}, 1 - \alpha_5, \alpha_5 \right) \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{t}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 0, t; \alpha_1, \alpha_1, \alpha_2 - \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 0, 0 \right). \end{aligned}$$

(A₆₂)

$$\begin{aligned} & s_3 \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\alpha_1 - \alpha_0}{t}, -\frac{t}{2}, \frac{\alpha_1^2 - \alpha_0^2}{t} + \alpha_5, 0, t; \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, -\frac{1}{2}, 1 - \alpha_5, \alpha_5 \right) \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{2\alpha_1}{t}, -\frac{t}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 0, t; -\alpha_1, \alpha_1, \alpha_2 - \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 0, 0 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Suppose that $\alpha_3 \neq 0$ and that s_3 acts on the parameters α_j as follows

$$\begin{aligned} s_3(\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4, \alpha_5) &= (\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2 + \alpha_3, -\alpha_3, \alpha_4 + \alpha_3, \alpha_5 + \alpha_3) \\ &= (\tilde{\alpha}_0, \tilde{\alpha}_1, \tilde{\alpha}_2, \tilde{\alpha}_3, \tilde{\alpha}_4, \tilde{\alpha}_5). \end{aligned} \quad (4.31)$$

Suppose also that s_3 acts on the solutions x, y, z, w, t as follows

$$s_3(x, y, z, w, t) = (\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{z}, 0, t).$$

We have to determine \tilde{x}, \tilde{y} and \tilde{z} , provided that the parameters α_j satisfy the conditions (A_j), $1 \leq j \leq 6$ from Proposition 4.8. We will trace back in details only the transformations under s_3 of the particular solutions (A₁) and (A₅). We leave to the reader the derivation of the result under the action of s_3 on the rest of the particular solutions as an easy exercise.

From the last equation of the Sasano system it follows that

$$s_3(y) = \tilde{y} = -\frac{t}{2}$$

since $s_3(w) = w = 0$. Under the transformation (4.31) the equation for \tilde{y} becomes

$$\dot{\tilde{y}} = -\frac{2\tilde{x}\tilde{y}^2}{t} + \frac{\tilde{y}^2}{t} - 2\tilde{x}\tilde{y} + \left(1 + \frac{2\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 + \alpha_5 + \alpha_4}{t}\right)\tilde{y} - \alpha_1. \quad (4.32)$$

Consider the conditions that determine the particular solution (A₁). When they hold the equation (4.32) becomes

$$\dot{\tilde{y}} = -\frac{2\tilde{x}\tilde{y}^2}{t} + \frac{\tilde{y}^2}{t} - 2\tilde{x}\tilde{y} + \left(1 + \frac{1}{t}\right)\tilde{y} - \alpha_1.$$

The function $\tilde{y} = -\frac{t}{2}$ solves this equation if and only if $\tilde{x} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{2\alpha_1}{t}$. Therefore for the solution (A₁) we have that

$$\tilde{x} = s_3(x) = x.$$

Under the transformation (4.31) and the condition that define the solution (A_1) the equation for \tilde{x} becomes

$$\dot{\tilde{x}} = \frac{2\tilde{x}^2\tilde{y}}{t} + \tilde{x}^2 - \frac{2\tilde{x}\tilde{y}}{t} - \left(1 + \frac{1}{t}\right)\tilde{x} + \frac{\frac{1}{2} - \alpha_4}{t} - \frac{2\alpha_3\tilde{z}}{t}.$$

The functions $\tilde{y} = -\frac{t}{2}$, $\tilde{x} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{2\alpha_1}{t}$ solve this equation if and only if $\tilde{z} = -\frac{\alpha_4}{2\alpha_3}$. Finally, the equation for \tilde{z} becomes

$$\dot{\tilde{z}} = \tilde{z}^2 - \tilde{z} + \frac{\alpha_3 + \alpha_5}{t} + \frac{2\tilde{y}\tilde{z}(\tilde{z} - 1)}{t}.$$

The so obtained functions $\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{z}$ solve this equation if and only if $\alpha_3 + \alpha_5 = 0$. Combining this condition with the condition $2\alpha_3 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5 = 0$ we find that $\alpha_3 = -\alpha_4 = -\alpha_5$. Therefore $\tilde{z} = \frac{1}{2}$. Note also that for the new parameters we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{\alpha}_0, \tilde{\alpha}_1, \tilde{\alpha}_2, \tilde{\alpha}_3, \tilde{\alpha}_4, \tilde{\alpha}_5) &= (\alpha_0, -\alpha_0, \frac{1}{2} + \alpha_3, -\alpha_3, 0, 0), \\ \tilde{\alpha}_2 + \tilde{\alpha}_3 + \tilde{\alpha}_4 &= \frac{1}{2} + \alpha_3 - \alpha_3 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_3 = \frac{1}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $s_3(A_1) = (A_2)$.

Consider now the conditions that determine the particular solution (A_5) . For these values of the parameters the equation (4.32) becomes

$$\dot{\tilde{y}} = -\frac{2\tilde{x}\tilde{y}^2}{t} + \frac{\tilde{y}^2}{t} - 2\tilde{x}\tilde{y} + \left(1 + \frac{1 - \alpha_0 - \alpha_1}{t}\right)\tilde{y} - \alpha_1.$$

The function $\tilde{y} = -\frac{t}{2}$ solves this equation if and only if

$$\tilde{x} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\alpha_1 - \alpha_0}{t}.$$

Next, for the new first equation of the Sasano system (1.1) we have

$$\dot{\tilde{x}} = \frac{2\tilde{x}^2\tilde{y}}{t} + \tilde{x}^2 - \frac{2\tilde{x}\tilde{y}}{t} - \left(1 + \frac{1 - \alpha_0 - \alpha_1}{t}\right)\tilde{x} + \frac{2\alpha_2 + 4\alpha_3 + 1}{2t} - \frac{2\alpha_3\tilde{z}}{t}.$$

The so obtained functions \tilde{y} and \tilde{x} solve this equation if and only if

$$\tilde{z} = \frac{\alpha_1^2 - \alpha_0^2}{2\alpha_3} \frac{1}{t} + \frac{1}{2}.$$

The new third equation of the Sasano system (1.1) becomes

$$\dot{\tilde{z}} = \tilde{z}^2 - \left(1 + \frac{1 + 2\alpha_3}{t}\right)\tilde{z} + \frac{2\alpha_3 + 1}{2t} + \frac{2\tilde{y}\tilde{z}(\tilde{z} - 1)}{t}.$$

The so obtained functions \tilde{y}, \tilde{x} and \tilde{z} solve this equation if and only if the parameters α_j satisfy the condition

$$\alpha_1^2 - \alpha_0^2 = 0.$$

When $\alpha_1 - \alpha_0 = 0$ the new particular solution becomes

$$\tilde{x} = \frac{1}{2}, \quad \tilde{y} = -\frac{t}{2}, \quad \tilde{z} = \frac{1}{2}, \quad w = 0.$$

When $\alpha_1 + \alpha_0 = 0$ the new particular solution becomes

$$\tilde{x} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{2\alpha_1}{t}, \quad \tilde{y} = -\frac{t}{2}, \quad \tilde{z} = \frac{1}{2}, \quad w = 0.$$

This ends the proof.

□

Remark 4.11. Theorem 4.10 says that on the particular solutions (A_2) and (A_3) the transformation s_3 acts as an identity Bäcklund transformation. We write in short this observation as $s_3(A_2) = A_2$ and $s_3(A_3) = A_3$. For the other particular solutions of type A_j the transformation s_3 acts on them as a Bäcklund transformation only for very special values of the parameters α_j . In particular

$$\begin{aligned} s_3(A_1) &= A_2 && \text{if } \alpha_3 + \alpha_4 = \alpha_3 + \alpha_5 = 0, \\ s_3(A_4) &= A_3 && \text{if } \alpha_3 + \alpha_4 = \alpha_3 + \alpha_5 = 0, \\ s_3(A_5) &= A_2 && \text{if } \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad s_3(A_5) = A_3 \quad \text{if } \alpha_0 = \alpha_1, \\ s_3(A_6) &= A_2 && \text{if } \alpha_0 = \alpha_1, \alpha_3 + \alpha_4 = \alpha_5 + \alpha_3 = 0 \quad \text{or} \\ s_3(A_6) &= A_3 && \text{if } \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 = 0, \alpha_3 + \alpha_4 = \alpha_3 + \alpha_5 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 4.12. Assume that $\alpha_2 \neq 0$. Assume also that the action on the transformation s_2 on the parameters α_j given by (4.28) preserves $x = z$. Then the transformation s_2 acts on the particular solutions $W_j, 1 \leq j \leq 5$ introduced by Proposition 4.9 as a Bäcklund transformation as follows:

(W₁)

$$\begin{aligned} & s_2 \left(\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{t}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 2\alpha_5, t; \alpha_1, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, -\alpha_5, \alpha_5 \right) \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{t}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 2\alpha_5, t; \alpha_1 + \alpha_2, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2, -\alpha_2, \alpha_3 + \alpha_2, -\alpha_5, \alpha_5 \right). \end{aligned}$$

(W₂)

$$\begin{aligned} & s_2 \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{\alpha_1}{2\alpha_2} t, \frac{1}{2}, 2\alpha_5 - \frac{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}{2\alpha_2}, t; \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \frac{1}{2}, -\alpha_5, \alpha_5 \right) \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{t}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 2\alpha_5, t; 0, 0, -\alpha_2, \frac{1}{2} + \alpha_2, -\alpha_5, \alpha_5 \right). \end{aligned}$$

(W₃)

$$\begin{aligned} & s_2 \left(\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{t}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 0, t; \alpha_1, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4, \alpha_4 \right) \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{t}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 0, t; \alpha_1 + \alpha_2, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2, -\alpha_2, \alpha_3 + \alpha_2, \alpha_4, \alpha_4 \right). \end{aligned}$$

(W₄)

$$\begin{aligned} & s_2 \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{\alpha_1}{2\alpha_2} t, \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}{2\alpha_2} t, t; \alpha_0, \alpha_1, -\alpha_0 - \alpha_1, \alpha_3, \alpha_5, \alpha_5 \right) \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{t}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 0, t; 0, 0, -\alpha_2, \alpha_3 + \alpha_2, \alpha_5, \alpha_5 \right). \end{aligned}$$

(W₅₁)

$$\begin{aligned} & s_2 \left(\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{t}{2} + \frac{\alpha_4^2 - \alpha_5^2}{2\alpha_2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{\alpha_3(\alpha_4 - \alpha_5)}{\alpha_2}, t; \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4, \alpha_5 \right) \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{t}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 0, t; \frac{1}{2} + \alpha_2, \frac{1}{2} + \alpha_2, -\alpha_2, \alpha_3 + \alpha_2, \alpha_5, \alpha_5 \right). \end{aligned}$$

(W₅₂)

$$\begin{aligned} & s_2 \left(\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{t}{2} + \frac{\alpha_4^2 - \alpha_5^2}{2\alpha_2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{\alpha_3(\alpha_4 - \alpha_5)}{\alpha_2}, t; \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4, \alpha_5 \right) \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{t}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 2\alpha_5, t; \frac{1}{2} + \alpha_2, \frac{1}{2} + \alpha_2, -\alpha_2, \alpha_3 + \alpha_2, -\alpha_5, \alpha_5 \right). \end{aligned}$$

(W₆₁)

$$\begin{aligned} & s_2 \left(\frac{1}{2}, -\alpha_1 t - (\alpha_4^2 - \alpha_5^2), \frac{1}{2}, (\alpha_4 - \alpha_5)(\alpha_4 + \alpha_5 - 1) + \frac{(2\alpha_1 - 1)t}{2}, t; \right. \\ & \left. 1 - \alpha_1, \alpha_1, -\frac{1}{2}, \alpha_3, \alpha_4, \alpha_5 \right) = \left(\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{t}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 2\alpha_5, t; 0, 0, \frac{1}{2}, 0, -\alpha_5, \alpha_5 \right). \end{aligned}$$

(W₆₂)

$$\begin{aligned} & s_2 \left(\frac{1}{2}, -\alpha_1 t - (\alpha_4^2 - \alpha_5^2), \frac{1}{2}, (\alpha_4 - \alpha_5)(\alpha_4 + \alpha_5 - 1) + \frac{(2\alpha_1 - 1)t}{2}, t; \right. \\ & \left. 1 - \alpha_1, \alpha_1, -\frac{1}{2}, \alpha_3, \alpha_4, \alpha_5 \right) \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{t}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 0, t; 0, 0, \frac{1}{2}, \alpha_3 - \frac{1}{2}, \alpha_5, \alpha_5 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 4.10. \square

Remark 4.13. In short Theorem 4.12 says that $s_2(W_1) = W_1$, $s_2(W_3) = W_3$ for all values of the parameters α_j which define these solutions. For the other particular solutions of type W_j we have

$$\begin{aligned} s_2(W_2) &= W_1 & \text{if } \alpha_0 + \alpha_2 &= \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = 0, \\ s_2(W_4) &= W_3 & \text{if } \alpha_0 + \alpha_2 &= \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = 0, \\ s_2(W_5) &= W_1 & \text{if } \alpha_4 + \alpha_5 &= 0 \quad \text{or} \quad s_2(W_5) = W_3 & \text{if } \alpha_4 = \alpha_5, \\ s_2(W_6) &= W_1 & \text{if } \alpha_4 + \alpha_5 &= 0, \alpha_1 = \alpha_3 = \frac{1}{2} & \text{or} \\ s_2(W_6) &= W_3 & \text{if } \alpha_1 &= \frac{1}{2}, \alpha_4 = \alpha_5. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 4.14. Note that

$$s_0(A_2) = A_3, \quad s_0(A_3) = A_2, \quad s_5(W_1) = W_3, \quad s_5(W_3) = W_1.$$

Combining this observation with Remark 4.11 and Remark 4.13 we conclude that the particular solution $A_3 = W_3$ is the basic particular solution of the Sasano system (1.1) for which $w = 0$ with $\alpha_3 \neq 0$ and $x = z$ with $\alpha_2 \neq 0$.

With the next example we show the connection between the singular solutions of the transformations s_2 and s_3 and the apparent singular solutions of the same transformations.

Example 4.15. Consider the particular solution W_3 under the additional condition $2\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_4 = 1$. Then from the relation (1.2) it follows that $\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 = 0$. From Theorem 4.12 it follows that $s_2(W_3) = W_3$ with new parameters

$$(\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_5) = (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2, -\alpha_2, 0, \alpha_4, \alpha_4).$$

In this way from a particular solution of (1.1) for which $w = 0$ but $\alpha_3 \neq 0$ we obtain such a particular solution for which $w = 0$ with $\alpha_3 = 0$.

Similarly, under the same condition, we have that $s_3(A_3) = A_3$ with new parameters

$$(\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_5) = (\alpha_1, \alpha_1, 0, -\alpha_3, \alpha_4 + \alpha_3, \alpha_4 + \alpha_3).$$

This time from a particular solution of (1.1) for which $x = z$ but $\alpha_2 \neq 0$ we obtain such a particular solution of (1.1) for which $x = z$ with $\alpha_2 = 0$.

Denote by $AW = (\alpha_1, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4, \alpha_4)$ the parameters vector which defines the particular solution $A_3 = W_3$ provided that $\alpha_2 \alpha_3 \neq 0$. The next proposition describes the relation between AW and the parameters vector V from section 4.

Proposition 4.16. *The parameters vector AW does not belong to the orbit of the vector V .*

Proof. Assume that $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then $V \in \mathbb{Z}^6$. At the same time for the relation (1.2) for the vector AW we get

$$2\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4 = 1.$$

Therefore $AW \notin \mathbb{Z}^6$.

Assume now that $\alpha \notin \mathbb{Z}$. Then from Lemma 4.2 it follows that $\alpha_4 + \alpha_5$ is of the kind $\pm\alpha + m$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ provided that α_4 and α_5 are either of the kind $\pm\alpha + n$ or l where $n, l \in \mathbb{Z}$. Hence $\alpha_4 \neq \alpha_5$ and therefore AW does not belong to the orbit of the vector V .

This ends the proof. □

Proposition 4.16 says that when $\alpha_2 \alpha_3 \neq 0$ the particular solution $x = z = \frac{1}{2}, y = -\frac{t}{2}, w = 0$ can not be obtained from the particular solution $x = z = \frac{\alpha}{t}, y = w = 0$ by Bäcklund transformations (4.28) and vice versa.

REFERENCES

- [1] Arnol'd, V. I., *Mathematical methods in classical mechanics*, 2nd ed., New York: Springer, 1989
- [2] Bateman H. and Erdélyi A., *Higher transcendental functions*, vol. 1, New York: McGraw-Hill, 1953.
- [3] Fuji, K. and Suzuki, T., Higher order Painlevé system of type $D_{2n+2}^{(1)}$ arising from integrable hierarchy, *Int. Math. Res. Not.*, 2008, vol. 2008, pp. 1-21.
- [4] Loday-Richaud, M., Divergent series, summability and resurgence II. Simple and multiple summability, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, 2016, vol. 2154, Springer, Berlin.
- [5] Mitschi, C., Differential Galois group of confluent generalized hypergeometric equations: an approach using Stokes multipliers, *Pacific J. Math.*, 1996, vol. 176, no. 2, pp. 365-405.
- [6] Morales-Ruiz J. and Ramis, J.-P., Galois obstruction to integrability of Hamiltonian systems. I, II, *Methods and Appl. Anal.*, 2001, vol. 8, no. 1, pp. 33-95, 97-111.
- [7] Morales-Ruiz, J., Ramis, J.-P. and Simó, C., Integrability of Hamiltonian systems and differential Galois groups of higher variational equations, *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup., Serie 4*, 2007, vol. 40, no. 6, pp. 845-884.
- [8] Ramis, J.-P., Gevrey asymptotics and applications to holomorphic ordinary differential equations, *Differential Equations and Asymptotic Theory in Mathematical Physics (Series in Analysis vol 2 (2004))* ed. C. Hua and R. Wong (Singapore: World Scientific) pp. 44-99
- [9] Ramis, J.-P., Phénomène de Stokes et filtration Gevrey sur le groupe de Picard-Vessiot, *C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris*, 1985, vol. 301, pp. 165-167.
- [10] Sasano, Y., Higher order Painlevé equations of type $D_l^{(1)}$, *RIMS Kôkyûroku*, 2006, vol. 1473, pp. 143-163,
- [11] Sasano Y. and Yamada Y., Symmetry and holomorphy of Painlevé type system, *RIMS Kôkyûroku Bessatsu B2*, 2007, pp. 215-225.
- [12] Schlesinger, L., *Handbuch der Theorie der linearen Differentialgleichungen*, Teubner Leipzig, 1887.
- [13] Singer, M., Introduction to the Galois theory of linear differential equations, Algebraic theory of differential equations, *London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser.*, no. 357, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2009, pp. 1-82.

- [14] van der Put, M. and Singer, M., Galois theory of linear differential equations, *Grundlehren Math. Wiss.*, vol. 328, Berlin: Springer, 2003.
- [15] Wasow, W., Asymptotic expansions for ordinary differential equations, New York:Dover, 1965.