# ALGEBRAIC FRAMES IN PRIESTLEY DUALITY

G. BEZHANISHVILI AND S. MELZER

ABSTRACT. We characterize Priestley spaces of algebraic, arithmetic, coherent, and Stone frames. As a corollary, we derive the well-known dual equivalences in pointfree topology involving various categories of algebraic frames.

### CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Continuous and algebraic frames	2
3. Priestley duality for continuous frames	7
4. Priestley duality for algebraic frames	11
5. Priestley duality for arithmetic, coherent, and Stone frames	15
5.1. Arithmetic frames	15
5.2. Coherent frames	17
5.3. Stone frames	17
References	21

### 1. INTRODUCTION

A complete lattice is algebraic provided every element is a join of compact elements. Algebraic lattices arise naturally in different contexts. For example, the lattice of subalgebras as well as the lattice of congruences of any algebra is algebraic, and up to isomorphism, every algebraic lattice arises this way (see, e.g., [15]). It is a well-known result of Nachbin [29] (see also [14]) that algebraic lattices are exactly the ideal lattices of join-semilattices. If an algebraic lattice L is distributive, then the infinite distributive law  $a \land \bigvee S = \bigvee \{a \land s \mid s \in S\}$ holds, and hence L is a frame. Such frames are known as algebraic frames and have been the subject of study in pointfree topology and domain theory (see, e.g., [19, 30]).

There is a well-developed duality theory for the category AlgFrm of algebraic frames and its various subcategories such as the categories of arithmetic frames (also known as M-frames), coherent frames, and Stone frames. Indeed, a frame L is algebraic iff it is the frame of opens of a compactly based sober space X [19, p. 423]. In addition, L is arithmetic

<sup>2020</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 18F70; 06D22; 06D50; 06E15; 18F60.

Key words and phrases. Pointfree topology, Priestley duality, algebraic frame, coherent frame, Stone frame, spectral space, Stone space.

iff X is stably compactly based, L is coherent iff X is spectral, and L is a Stone frame iff X is a Stone space (see Section 2 for details).

The duality theory for algebraic frames is a restriction of the well-known Hofmann– Lawson duality [23]. We recall (see, e.g., [30, p. 135]) that a frame L is continuous if the way-below relation  $\ll$  is approximating. In addition, L is stably continuous if  $\ll$  is stable  $(a \ll b, c \text{ implies } a \ll b \land c)$ , L is stably compact if moreover L is compact, and L is compact regular if furthermore  $\ll$  coincides with the well-inside relation  $\prec$ . We thus obtain the following correspondence between various categories of continuous and algebraic frames, where the categories are defined in Tables 1 and 2 and  $\leqslant$  stands for being a full subcategory of.

 $\begin{array}{cccc} {\sf ConFrm} & \geqslant & {\sf StCFrm} & \geqslant & {\sf StKFrm} & \geqslant & {\sf KRFrm} \\ & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & \\ {\sf AlgFrm} & \geqslant & {\sf AriFrm} & \geqslant & {\sf CohFrm} & \geqslant & {\sf StoneFrm} \end{array}$ 

FIGURE 1. Inclusion relationships between categories of continuous and algebraic frames.

By the well-known Priestley duality [31, 32], the category of bounded distributive lattices is dually equivalent to the category of Priestley spaces. Pultr and Sichler [33] provided a restricted version of Priestley duality for the category Frm of frames and frame homomorphisms. This line of research was further developed by several authors (see, e.g., [34, 10, 8, 9, 1, 2]). In [12], we obtained Priestley duality for ConFrm and its subcategories listed in the first row of Figure 1. The resulting (dual) equivalences are outlined in Figure 5. The aim of this paper is to further study Priestley duality for AlgFrm and its subcategories listed in the second row of Figure 1. This in requires characterizing Priestley spaces of algebraic, coherent, arithmetic, and Stone frames.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we describe the above categories of continuous and algebraic frames, as well as the corresponding categories of locally compact and compactly based sober spaces. Section 3 recalls Priestley duality for various categories of continuous frames. In Section 4, we characterize Priestley spaces of algebraic frames. Consequently, we obtain a new proof of the duality between AlgFrm and KBSob. Finally, in Section 5, we characterize Priestley spaces of arithmetic, coherent, and Stone frames. In each case, this yields a new proof of the duality between the corresponding categories of algebraic frames and compactly based spaces. We conclude the paper by connecting Priestley spaces of coherent frames and Stone frames to Priestley duality for bounded distributive lattices and Stone duality for boolean algebras.

## 2. Continuous and algebraic frames

A frame is a complete lattice L satisfying the join-infinite distributive law

$$a \land \bigvee S = \bigvee \{a \land s \mid s \in S\}$$

for every  $a \in L$  and  $S \subseteq L$ . A frame homomorphism is a map between frames that preserves finite meets and arbitrary joins. Let Frm be the category of frames and frame homomorphisms. A frame is *spatial* if completely prime filters separate elements of L. Let SFrm be the full subcategory of Frm consisting of spatial frames.

As usual, we write  $\ll$  for the *way below* relation in a frame L and recall that  $a \ll b$  provided for each  $S \subseteq L$  we have  $b \leq \bigvee S$  implies  $a \leq \bigvee T$  for some finite  $T \subseteq S$ . We call  $a \in L$  compact if  $a \ll a$  and L compact if its top element is compact. We write K(L) for the collection of compact elements of L.

In the introduction we recalled the definitions of continuous, stably continuous, stably compact, and compact regular frames. A frame homomorphism  $h: L \to M$  between continuous frames is *proper* if it preserves  $\ll$ ; that is,  $a \ll b$  implies  $h(a) \ll h(b)$  for all  $a, b \in L$ . Let ConFrm be the category of continuous frames and proper frame homomorphisms. We write StCFrm and StKFrm for the full subcategories of ConFrm consisting of stably continuous and stably compact frames, respectively. We also let KRFrm be the full subcategory of Frm consisting of compact regular frames. Since every frame homomorphism between compact regular frames is proper, KRFrm is a full subcategory of StKFrm.

We have the following categories of continuous frames.

Category	Objects	Morphisms
ConFrm	continuous frames	proper frame homomorphisms
StCFrm	stably continuous frames	proper frame homomorphisms
StKFrm	stably compact frames	proper frame homomorphisms
KRFrm	compact regular frames	frame homomorphisms

TABLE 1. Categories of continuous frames.

## Definition 2.1.

- (1) ([30, p. 142]) A frame L is algebraic if  $a = \bigvee \{b \in K(L) \mid b \le a\}$  for all  $a \in L$ .
- (2) ([28, p. 64]) A frame homomorphism  $h: L \to M$  is coherent if  $a \in K(L)$  implies  $h(a) \in K(M)$ .
- (3) Let AlgFrm be the category of algebraic frames and coherent frame homomorphisms.

**Remark 2.2.** It is easy to see that every algebraic frame is continuous, and that a frame homomorphism between coherent frames is coherent iff it is proper. Consequently, AlgFrm is a full subcategory of ConFrm.

## Definition 2.3.

- (1) A frame L is arithmetic if it is algebraic and  $\ll$  is stable.
- (2) Let AriFrm be the full subcategory of AlgFrm consisting of arithmetic frames.

#### Remark 2.4.

 In [19] a lattice is called arithmetic if the binary meet of compact elements is compact. For algebraic lattices this is equivalent to ≪ being stable (see, e.g. [19, Proposition I-4.8]). (2) Arithmetic frames are also called M-frames; see, e.g., [24, 13].

## Definition 2.5.

- (1) ([28, p. 63–64]) A frame L is coherent if L is arithmetic and compact.
- (2) Let CohFrm be the full subcategory of AriFrm consisting of coherent frames.

Let L be a frame. We recall that the *well-inside* relation on L is defined by  $a \prec b$  if  $a^* \lor b = 1$ , where  $a^* := \bigvee \{x \in L \mid a \land x = 0\}$  is the pseudocomplement of a. An element  $a \in L$  is *complemented* if  $a \prec a$ . Let C(L) be the collection of complemented elements of L. It is well known that if L is compact, then  $a \prec b$  implies  $a \ll b$ ; and if L is regular, then  $a \ll b$  implies  $a \prec b$ . Thus, in compact regular frames, the two relations  $\ll$  and  $\prec$  coincide, and hence K(L) = C(L).

The next definition is well known (see, e.g., [28, 5, 26]). We thank Joanne Walters-Wayland for pointing out to us that the terminology of Stone frames originated from Banaschewski's University of Cape Town lecture notes (1988).

## Definition 2.6.

- (1) A frame L is zero-dimensional if  $a = \bigvee \{b \in C(L) \mid b \leq a\}$  for all  $a \in L$ .
- (2) A Stone frame is a compact zero-dimensional frame.
- (3) Let StoneFrm be the full subcategory of Frm consisting of Stone frames.

**Remark 2.7.** Clearly StoneFrm is a full subcategory of KRFrm. Moreover, since every frame homomorphism preserves  $\prec$  and in Stone frames  $\prec$  coincides with  $\ll$ , we have that StoneFrm is a full subcategory of CohFrm.

Category	Objects	Morphisms
AlgFrm	algebraic frames	coherent frame homomorphisms
AriFrm	arithmetic frames	coherent frame homomorphisms
CohFrm	coherent frames	coherent frame homomorphisms
StoneFrm	Stone frames	frame homomorphisms

We have the following categories of algebraic frames.

TABLE 2. Categories of algebraic frames.

The categories of algebraic and continuous frames relate to each other as shown in Figure 1. We next turn our attention to the corresponding categories of topological spaces. The following definitions are well known (see, e.g., [19, pp. 43–44]). A closed subset of a topological space X is *irreducible* if it cannot be written as the union of two proper subsets. We call X sober if each irreducible closed subset is the closure of a unique point in X, and *locally compact* if for every open set U and  $x \in U$  there are an open set V and a compact set K such that  $x \in V \subseteq K \subseteq U$ .

Following [19, Lemma VI-6.21], we call a continuous map  $f : X \to Y$  between locally compact sober spaces *proper* if  $f^{-1}(K)$  is compact for each compact saturated set  $K \subseteq Y$ . Let LKSob be the category of locally compact sober spaces and proper maps between them.

A topological space X is *coherent* if the intersection of two compact saturated sets is compact ([19, p. 474]), and X is *stably locally compact* if it is locally compact, sober, and coherent. Let StLKSp be the full subcategory of LKSob consisting of stably locally compact spaces.

A compact stably locally compact space is a *stably compact* space ([19, p. 476]). We write StKSp for the full subcategory of StLKSp consisting of stably compact spaces. Also, we denote by KHaus the category of compact Hausdorff spaces and continuous maps. Since a continuous map between compact Hausdorff spaces is proper, KHaus is a full subcategory of StKSp.

Category	Objects	Morphisms
LKSob	locally compact sober spaces	proper maps
StLKSp	stably locally compact spaces	proper maps
StKSp	stably compact spaces	proper maps
KHaus	compact Hausdorff spaces	continuous maps

We have the following categories of locally compact sober spaces.

TABLE 3. Categories of locally compact sober spaces.

We now shift our focus to compactly based spaces. We recall that a continuous map  $f: X \to Y$  is *coherent* if  $f^{-1}(U)$  is compact for each compact open  $U \subseteq Y$ .

## Definition 2.8.

- (1) ([16, p. 2063]) A topological space X is *compactly based* if it has a basis of compact open sets. Let KBSob be the category of compactly based sober spaces and coherent maps.
- (2) A compactly based space X is *stably compactly based* if it is sober and the intersection of two compact opens is compact. Let StKBSp be the full subcategory of KBSob consisting of stably compactly based spaces.
- (3) ([21, p. 43]) A stably compactly based space X is a *spectral* space if it is compact. Let Spec be the full subcategory of StKBSp consisting of spectral spaces.
- (4) ([28, p. 70]) A *Stone* space is a zero-dimensional compact Hausdorff space. Let **Stone** be the category of Stone spaces and continuous maps.

Category	Objects	Morphisms
KBSob	compactly based sober spaces	coherent maps
StKBSp	stably compactly based spaces	coherent maps
Spec	spectral spaces	coherent maps
Stone	Stone spaces	continuous maps

We have the following categories of compactly based sober spaces.

TABLE 4. Categories of compactly based sober spaces.

**Remark 2.9.** It is easy to see that Stone is a full subcategory of Spec (see, e.g., [28, p. 71]). To see that KBSob is a full subcategory of LKSob, it is sufficient to observe that a continuous map between compactly based sober spaces is coherent iff it is proper. For this it is enough to observe that in a compactly based space X, every compact saturated set is an intersection of compact opens. To see this, let  $K \subseteq X$  be compact saturated. It suffices to show that for each  $x \notin K$  there is a compact open U containing K and missing x. For each  $y \in K$  there is a compact open  $U_y$  such that  $y \in U_y$  and  $x \notin U_y$ . Therefore,  $K \subseteq \bigcup \{U_y \mid y \in K\}$ . By compactness of K and the fact that a finite union of compact sets is compact, there is a compact open U such that  $K \subseteq U$  and  $x \notin U$ .

We thus obtain the following correspondence between various categories of locally compact and compactly based sober spaces.

> LKSob  $\geq$ StLKSp StKSp KHaus  $\geq$  $\geq$  $\bigvee$  $\bigvee$  $\vee$  $\bigvee$ KBSob  $\geq$ StKBSp  $\geq$ Spec  $\geq$ Stone

FIGURE 2. Inclusion relationships between categories of locally compact and compactly based sober spaces.

There is a well-known dual adjunction between Top and Frm, which restricts to a dual equivalence between Sob and SFrm (see, e.g., [28, Section II-1]). Further restrictions of this equivalence yield the following well-known duality results for continuous frames:

# Theorem 2.10.

- (1) ConFrm is dually equivalent to LKSob.
- (2) StCFrm is dually equivalent to StLKSp.
- (3) StKFrm is dually equivalent to StKSp.
- (4) KRFrm is dually equivalent to KHaus.

We thus arrive at the following diagram, where  $\leftrightarrow \rightarrow$  represents dual equivalence.

ConFrm	$\geq$	StCFrm	$\geq$	StKFrm	$\geq$	KRFrm
<b>←</b> ~~~→		<b>↑</b> →→		<b>^</b> →→→		<b>^</b> →→→
LKSob	$\geq$	StLKSp	$\geq$	StKSp	≥	KHaus

FIGURE 3. Correspondence between categories of continuous frames and locally compact spaces.

**Remark 2.11.** Theorem 2.10(1) is known as Hofmann–Lawson duality [23] (see also [19, Proposition V-5.20]). The origins of Theorems 2.10(2) and 2.10(3) can be traced back to [20, 27, 35, 4] (see also [19, Section VI-7.4]). Finally, Theorem 2.10(4) is known as Isbell duality [25] (see also [6] or [28, Section VII-4]).

We next describe the duality results for algebraic frames. One of the earliest references is probably [22, Theorem 5.7] (see also [19, p. 423]), where the dualities for AlgFrm, AriFrm, and CohFrm are stated. The duality for CohFrm is also described in [3, 4]. This further reduces to the duality for StoneFrm (see, e.g., [26, Chapter IV]).

## Theorem 2.12.

- (1) AlgFrm is dually equivalent to KBSob.
- (2) AriFrm is dually equivalent to StKBSp.
- (3) CohFrm is dually equivalent to Spec.
- (4) StoneFrm is dually equivalent to Stone.

We thus arrive at the following diagram.

AlgFrm	$\geq$	AriFrm	$\geq$	CohFrm	$\geq$	StoneFrm
<b>{</b> ~~~→		<b>←</b> ~~~→		<b>{</b> ~~~→		<b>^</b> ~~~→
KBSob	$\geq$	StKBSp	≥	Spec	$\geq$	Stone

FIGURE 4. Correspondence between categories of algebraic frames and compactly based spaces.

**Remark 2.13.** The proof of Theorem 2.12 can easily be deduced from Theorem 2.10 and the fact that AlgFrm and KBSob are full subcategories of ConFrm and LKSob, respectively. But it is easy to give a direct proof of Theorem 2.12 which does not rely on Theorem 2.10. For this it is sufficient to observe that every algebraic frame is spatial. Let L be an algebraic frame. Then Scott-open filters separate elements of L. To see this, if  $a \not\leq b$ , then there is  $k \in K(L)$  such that  $k \leq a$  but  $k \not\leq b$ . Thus,  $\uparrow k$  is a Scott-open filter containing a and missing b. It is left to observe that the Prime Ideal Theorem implies that L is spatial iff Scott-open filters separate elements of L (see, e.g., [11, Corollary 5.9(2)]).

## 3. PRIESTLEY DUALITY FOR CONTINUOUS FRAMES

As we pointed out in the Introduction, Pultr and Sichler [33] restricted Priestley duality for bounded distributive lattices to the category of frames. In this section we briefly recall Pultr–Sichler duality and its restriction to various categories of continuous frames.

A Priestley space is a Stone space X with a partial order  $\leq$  such that clopen upsets separate points. An Esakia space is a Priestley space with the additional property that the partial order  $\leq$  is continuous (the downset of each clopen is clopen). By Esakia duality [17], Esakia spaces are exactly the Priestley spaces of Heyting algebras. An important feature of Esakia spaces is that the closure of each upset is an upset. Esakia duals of complete Heyting algebras have the additional property that the closure of each open upset is open. Such Esakia spaces are called *extremally order-disconnected* as they generalize extremally disconnected Stone spaces. Since frames are complete Heyting algebras, Priestley duals of frames are exactly the extremally order-disconnected Esakia spaces.

#### Definition 3.1.

- (1) An L-space (localic space) is an extremally order-disconnected Esakia space.
- (2) An *L*-morphism is a continuous order-preserving map  $f: X \to Y$  between L-spaces such that  $f^{-1} \operatorname{cl} U = \operatorname{cl} f^{-1} U$  for every open upset U of Y.
- (3) Let LPries be the category of L-spaces and L-morphisms.

**Theorem 3.2** (Pultr–Sichler [33, Corollary 2.5]). Frm is dually equivalent to LPries.

**Remark 3.3.** The functors  $\mathscr{X} : \operatorname{Frm} \to \operatorname{LPries}$  and  $\mathscr{D} : \operatorname{LPries} \to \operatorname{Frm}$  establishing Pultr-Sichler duality are the restrictions of the functors establishing Priestley duality. We recall that the *Priestley space* of a frame L is the set  $X_L$  of prime filters of L ordered by inclusion and topologized by the subbases  $\{\varphi(a) \mid a \in L\} \cup \{\varphi(a)^c \mid a \in L\}$ , where  $\varphi$  is the Stone map given by  $\varphi(a) = \{x \in X_L \mid a \in x\}$  for each  $a \in L$ . The functor  $\mathscr{X}$  sends a frame L to its Priestley space  $X_L$  and a frame homomorphism  $h : L \to M$  to the Lmorphism  $h^{-1} : X_M \to X_L$ . The functor  $\mathscr{D}$  sends an L-space X to the frame  $\operatorname{ClopUp}(X)$ of clopen upsets of X and an L-morphism  $f : X \to Y$  to the frame homomorphism  $f^{-1} : \operatorname{ClopUp}(Y) \to \operatorname{ClopUp}(X)$ .

We next characterize Priestley spaces of spatial frames.

**Definition 3.4.** Let X be an L-space.

- (1) The set  $Y := \{y \in X \mid \downarrow y \text{ is clopen}\}$  is called the *spatial part of X*.
- (2) We call X an *SL*-space if Y is dense in X.
- (3) Let SLPries be the full subcategory of LPries consisting of SL-spaces.

Let L be a frame. Recall (see, e.g., [30, p. 15]) that a *point* of L is a completely prime filter, and that the set pt(L) of points of L is topologized by  $\{\varphi(a) \cap pt(L) \mid a \in L\}$ . We will refer to pt(L) as the space of points of L.

**Remark 3.5.** Let X be an L-space and Y the spatial part of X.

- (1) We view Y as a topological space, where  $V \subseteq Y$  is open iff  $V = U \cap Y$  for some  $U \in \mathsf{ClopUp}(X)$ . If X is the Priestley space of a frame L, then the spatial part Y of X is exactly the space of points of L (see, e.g., [12, Lemma 4.1]).
- (2) If X is an SL-space, then for each  $U \in \mathsf{ClopUp}(X)$  we have  $\mathsf{cl}(U \cap Y) = U$ . Therefore, the assignment  $U \mapsto U \cap Y$  is an isomorphism from the poset of clopen upsets of X to the poset of open sets of Y. This will be utilized in what follows.

**Theorem 3.6** ([12, Section 4]). SLPries is equivalent to Sob and dually equivalent to SFrm.

# Remark 3.7.

- (1) The dual equivalence between SFrm and SLPries is obtained by restricting the functors establishing Pultr–Sichler duality.
- (2) The equivalence between SLPries and Sob is obtained as follows. Let  $\mathscr{Y} : \mathsf{LPries} \to \mathsf{Sob}$  be the functor that sends an L-space X to to its spatial part Y, and an L-morphism  $f : X_1 \to X_2$  to its restriction  $g : Y_1 \to Y_2$ . Then  $\mathscr{Y}$  restricts to an equivalence between SLPries and Sob (see, e.g., [12, Corollary 4.19]).

(3) As an immediate consequence of Theorem 3.6, we obtain the well-known duality between SFrm and Sob.

We now turn our attention to Priestley spaces of continuous frames.

**Definition 3.8.** Let X be an L-space.

- (1) For  $U, V \in \mathsf{ClopUp}(X)$ , define  $V \ll U$  provided for each open upset W of X we have  $U \subseteq \mathsf{cl} W$  implies  $V \subseteq W$ .
- (2) For  $U \in \mathsf{ClopUp}(X)$ , define the kernel of U as

$$\ker U = \bigcup \{ V \in \mathsf{ClopUp}(X) \mid V \ll U \}.$$

- (3) We call X a continuous L-space if ker U is dense in U for each  $U \in \mathsf{ClopUp}(X)$ .
- (4) An L-morphism  $f : X_1 \to X_2$  is proper if  $f^{-1}(\ker U) \subseteq \ker f^{-1}(U)$  for all  $U \in \mathsf{ClopUp}(X_2)$ .
- (5) Let ConLPries be the category of continuous L-spaces and proper L-morphisms.

**Theorem 3.9** ([12, Section 5]). ConLPries is equivalent to LKSob and dually equivalent to ConFrm.

Corollary 3.10 (Hofmann-Lawson duality). ConFrm is dually equivalent to LKSob.

We thus arrive at the following diagram which commutes up to natural isomorphism, where  $\leftrightarrow$  represents equivalence.



We next describe Priestley spaces of stably continuous and stably compact frames. For the next definition see [12, Section 6]. The notion of L-compact first appeared in [34, Section 3].

## Definition 3.11.

- (1) (a) An L-space X is kernel-stable if  $\ker(U \cap V) = \ker U \cap \ker V$  for all  $U, V \in \mathsf{ClopUp}(X)$ ,
  - (b) A stably continuous L-space is a kernel-stable continuous L-space.
  - (c) Let StCLPries be the full subcategory of ConLPries consisting of stably continuous L-spaces.
- (2) (a) An L-space X is L-compact if  $X = \ker X$ .
  - (b) A stably compact L-space is an L-compact stably continuous L-space.
  - (c) Let StKLPries be the full subcategory of StCLPries consisting of stably compact L-spaces.

## **Theorem 3.12** ([12, Section 6]).

(1) StCLPries is equivalent to StLKSp and dually equivalent to StCFrm.

(2) StKLPries is equivalent to StKSp and dually equivalent to StKFrm.

As a consequence, we obtain the following well-known dualities for stably continuous frames:

# Corollary 3.13 ([19, Corollary VI-7.2]).

- (1) StCFrm is dually equivalent to StLKSp.
- (2) StKFrm is dually equivalent to StKSp.



We conclude this section by describing Priestley spaces of compact regular frames. The next definition appeared in [9, Section 3] and [12, Section 7].

# **Definition 3.14.** Let X be an L-space.

- (1) For  $U, V \in \mathsf{ClopUp}(X)$ , define  $V \prec U$  provided  $\downarrow V \subseteq U$ .
- (2) For  $U \in \mathsf{ClopUp}(X)$ , define the regular part of U as

$$\operatorname{reg} U = \bigcup \{ V \in \mathsf{ClopUp}(X) \mid V \prec U \}.$$

- (3) We call X a regular L-space if reg U is dense in U for each  $U \in \mathsf{ClopUp}(X)$ .
- (4) We call X a compact regular L-space if X is a regular L-space that is L-compact.
- (5) Let KRLPries be the full subcategory of LPries consisting of compact regular L-spaces.

**Remark 3.15.** Every L-morphism between compact regular L-spaces is proper (see [12, Theorem 7.18(2)]), and every compact regular L-space is a stably compact L-space (see [12, Theorem 7.17]). Thus, KRLPries is a full subcategory of StKLPries.

We have the following categories of continuous L-spaces.

Category	Objects	Morphisms
ConLPries	continuous L-spaces	proper L-morphisms
StCLPries	stably continuous L-spaces	proper L-morphisms
StKLPries	stably compact L-spaces	proper L-morphisms
KRLPries	compact regular L-spaces	L-morphisms

TABLE 5. Categories of continuous L-spaces.

**Theorem 3.16** ([12, Section 7]). KRLPries is equivalent to KHaus and dually equivalent to KRFrm.

Corollary 3.17 (Isbell duality). KRFrm is dually equivalent to KHaus.



We thus have the diagram in Figure 5.



FIGURE 5. Equivalences and dual equivalences between categories of continuous frames, continuous L-spaces, and locally compact sober spaces.

In what follows, we will obtain a similar picture of equivalences and dual equivalences when the above categories of continuous frames are replaced by the corresponding full subcategories of algebraic frames.

# 4. PRIESTLEY DUALITY FOR ALGEBRAIC FRAMES

In this section we describe algebraic frames in the language of Priestley spaces. We then connect the Priestley duals of algebraic frames with compactly based sober spaces to derive the well-known duality between AlgFrm and KBSob mentioned in Theorem 2.12(1).

Let X be an L-space and Y the spatial part of X. We recall (see [11, Definition 5.2]) that a closed upset F of X is a *Scott upset* if min  $F \subseteq Y$ , where min F is the set of minimal points of F. Equivalently, F is a Scott upset of X iff

$$F \subseteq \mathsf{cl} U \implies F \subseteq U$$
 for each open upset U of X (†)

(see [11, Lemma 5.1]). We denote the collection of all clopen Scott upsets of X by  $\mathsf{ClopSUp}(X)$ .

# **Definition 4.1.** Let X be an L-space.

(1) For  $U \in \mathsf{ClopUp}(X)$ , define the *core* of U as

 $\operatorname{core} U = \bigcup \{V \subseteq U \mid V \in \mathsf{ClopSUp}(X)\}.$ 

(2) Call X an *algebraic* L-space if core U is dense in U for each  $U \in \mathsf{ClopUp}(X)$ .

**Lemma 4.2.** Let X be an L-space and  $U, V \in \mathsf{ClopUp}(X)$ .

- (1) core  $U \subseteq \ker U \subseteq U$ .
- (2)  $U \subseteq V$  implies core  $U \subseteq \operatorname{core} V$ .
- (3) If X is an algebraic L-space, then X is a continuous L-space.
- (4) U is a Scott upset iff core U = U.

*Proof.* (1) Suppose  $x \in \operatorname{core}(U)$ . Then there is  $V \in \mathsf{ClopSUp}(X)$  such that  $x \in V \subseteq U$ . Let W be an open upset such that  $U \subseteq \operatorname{cl} W$ . Then  $V \subseteq \operatorname{cl} W$ , so  $V \subseteq W$  by (†). Hence,  $V \ll U$ . Therefore,  $x \in \ker U$ , and so  $\operatorname{core} U \subseteq \ker U$ . That  $\ker U \subseteq U$  follows from [12, Lemma 5.2(1)].

(2) This is obvious from the definition of the core.

(3) Let  $U \in \mathsf{ClopUp}(X)$ . Since X is an algebraic L-space, core U is dense in U. Therefore, ker U is dense in U by (1). Thus, X is a continuous L-space.

(4) First suppose that U is a Scott upset. By (1), core  $U \subseteq U$ . Since U is a Scott upset,  $U \subseteq \text{core } U$ . Thus, core U = U. Conversely, suppose that U = core U. Since U is compact, there are clopen Scott upsets  $V_1, \ldots, V_n \subseteq U$  such that  $U = V_1 \cup \cdots \cup V_n$ . Because a finite union of Scott upsets is a Scott upset, U is a Scott upset.  $\Box$ 

We next connect algebraic frames with algebraic L-spaces. Let L be a frame, X its Priestley space, and  $a \in L$ . To simplify notation, we write core(a) for core  $\varphi(a)$  and ker(a) for ker  $\varphi(a)$ .

**Lemma 4.3** ([12, Lemma 6.10]). Let L be a frame and  $X_L$  its Priestley space. For  $a \in L$ , the following are equivalent.

- (1) a is compact.
- (2)  $\ker(a) = \varphi(a).$
- (3)  $\varphi(a)$  is a Scott upset.

In particular, L is compact iff  $X_L$  is L-compact.

**Theorem 4.4.** Let L be a frame and  $X_L$  its Priestley space.

- (1) For  $a \in L$ , we have  $a = \bigvee \{b \in K(L) \mid b \leq a\}$  iff core(a) is dense in  $\varphi(a)$ .
- (2) L is an algebraic frame iff  $X_L$  is an algebraic L-space.

*Proof.* (1) It is well known (see, e.g., [7, Lemma 2.3]) that

$$\varphi\left(\bigvee S\right) = \mathsf{cl}\left(\bigcup\{\varphi(s) \mid s \in S\}\right)$$

for each  $S \subseteq L$ . Therefore, by Lemma 4.3 we have  $a = \bigvee \{b \in K(L) \mid b \leq a\}$  iff

$$\varphi(a) = \mathsf{cl}\left(\bigcup\{\varphi(b) \in \mathsf{ClopSUp}(X_L) \mid \varphi(b) \subseteq \varphi(a)\}\right) = \mathsf{cl}(\operatorname{core}(a)).$$

(2) follows from (1).

We now turn to morphisms between algebraic L-spaces.

## Definition 4.5.

(1) We call an L-morphism  $f: X_1 \to X_2$  between L-spaces *coherent* if

$$f^{-1}(\operatorname{core} U) \subseteq \operatorname{core} f^{-1}(U)$$
 for all  $U \in \mathsf{ClopUp}(X_2)$ .

(2) Let AlgLPries be the category of algebraic L-spaces and coherent L-morphisms.

It is easy to see that the identity morphism is a coherent L-morphism and that the composition of two coherent L-morphisms is coherent. Therefore, AlgLPries is indeed a category. We show that AlgLPries is a full subcategory of ConLPries. For this we need the following two lemmas.

**Lemma 4.6.** Let X be a continuous L-space and  $U \in \mathsf{ClopUp}(X)$ . The following are equivalent.

- (1)  $\ker U = \operatorname{core} U$ .
- (2) core U is dense in U.
- (3) For each  $y \in U \cap Y$ , there is  $V \in \mathsf{ClopSUp}(X)$  such that  $y \in V \subseteq U$ .
- (4) For each Scott upset  $F \subseteq \ker U$ , there is  $V \in \mathsf{ClopSUp}(X)$  such that  $F \subseteq V \subseteq U$ .

*Proof.* (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) Since X is a continuous L-space, ker U is dense in U. Therefore, ker  $U = \operatorname{core} U$  implies that core U is dense in U.

 $(2) \Rightarrow (3)$  Suppose  $y \in U \cap Y$ . Since  $U = \mathsf{cl}(\operatorname{core} U)$ , we have  $y \in \mathsf{cl}(\operatorname{core} U) \cap Y$ . Because core U is an open upset,  $\mathsf{cl}(\operatorname{core} U) \cap Y = \operatorname{core} U \cap Y$  by [12, Lemma 4.14(1)]. Therefore,  $y \in \operatorname{core} U$ , and so there is  $V \in \mathsf{ClopSUp}(X)$  such that  $y \in V \subseteq U$ .

 $(3) \Rightarrow (4)$  Let  $F \subseteq \ker U$  be a Scott upset. Let  $y \in F \cap Y$ . Then  $y \in \ker U$ , so  $y \in U$  by Lemma 4.2(1). Therefore, by (3), there is  $V_y \in \mathsf{ClopSUp}(X)$  such that  $y \in V_y \subseteq U$ . Thus,

$$F = \bigcup \{ \uparrow y \mid y \in F \cap Y \} \subseteq \bigcup \{ V_y \mid y \in F \cap Y \} \subseteq U.$$

Because F is closed, it is compact. Therefore, since a finite union of clopen Scott upsets is a clopen Scott upset, there is  $V \in \mathsf{ClopSUp}(X)$  such that  $F \subseteq V \subseteq U$ .

 $(4) \Rightarrow (1)$ . By Lemma 4.2(1), core  $U \subseteq \ker U$ . For the reverse inclusion, it suffices to show that  $V \ll U$  implies there is  $W \in \mathsf{ClopSUp}(X)$  such that  $V \subseteq W \subseteq U$ . Let  $V \ll U$ . Then there is a Scott upset F such that  $V \subseteq F \subseteq U$  (see, e.g., [12, Lemma 5.7]). But  $U = \mathsf{cl}(\ker U)$ , so  $F \subseteq \ker U$  by ( $\dagger$ ). Therefore, by (4), there is  $W \in \mathsf{ClopSUp}(X)$  such that  $F \subseteq W \subseteq U$ , and hence  $V \subseteq W \subseteq U$ .

**Lemma 4.7.** Let  $f: X_1 \to X_2$  be an L-morphism between L-spaces.

- (1) If f is proper and  $X_1$  is an algebraic L-space, then f is coherent.
- (2) If f is coherent and  $X_2$  is an algebraic L-space, then f is proper.
- (3) If  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  are algebraic L-spaces, then f is coherent iff f is proper.

*Proof.* (1) Let  $U \in \mathsf{ClopUp}(X_2)$ . Then

$f^{1}(\operatorname{core} U) \subseteq f^{-1}(\ker U)$	by Lemma $4.2(1)$
$\subseteq \ker f^{-1}(U)$	since $f$ is proper
$= \operatorname{core} f^{-1}(U)$	by Lemmas $4.2(3)$ and $4.6(1)$ .

(2) Let  $U \in \mathsf{ClopUp}(X_2)$ . Then

 $f^{-}$ 

$$f^{-1}(\ker U) = f^{-1}(\operatorname{core} U) \qquad \text{by Lemmas 4.2(3) and 4.6(1)}$$
$$\subseteq \operatorname{core} f^{-1}(U) \qquad \text{since } f \text{ is coherent}$$
$$\subseteq \ker f^{-1}(U) \qquad \text{by Lemma 4.2(1).}$$

(3) follows from (1) and (2).

Putting Lemmas 4.2(3) and 4.7(3) together, we obtain:

**Theorem 4.8.** AlgLPries is a full subcategory of ConLPries.

We are ready to prove the first main result of this section.

**Theorem 4.9.** AlgFrm *is dually equivalent to* AlgLPries.

*Proof.* By Remark 2.2, AlgFrm is a full subcategory of ConFrm. By Theorem 4.8, AlgLPries is a full subcategory of ConLPries. Thus, the result follows from Theorems 3.9 and 4.4(2).

Finally, we connect AlgLPries with KBSob.

**Lemma 4.10.** Let X be an SL-space, Y its spatial part, and  $U \subseteq X$ . Then  $U \in \mathsf{ClopSUp}(X)$  iff there is a compact open set V of Y such that  $\mathsf{cl} V = U$ .

*Proof.* By [11, Theorem 5.7], the poset of Scott upsets of X is isomorphic to the poset of compact saturated sets of Y. The isomorphism is obtained by sending a Scott upset  $F \subseteq X$  to the compact saturated set  $F \cap Y$ , and a compact saturated set  $K \subseteq Y$  to the Scott upset  $\uparrow K$ .

 $(\Rightarrow)$  Suppose U is a clopen Scott upset. Then  $V := U \cap Y$  is a compact saturated subset of Y. Moreover, V is an open subset of Y since  $U \in \mathsf{ClopUp}(X)$ . Furthermore,  $\mathsf{cl} V = U$  by Remark 3.5(2) because X is an SL-space.

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Suppose there is a compact open set V of Y such that  $\operatorname{cl} V = U$ . Then  $\uparrow V$  is a Scott upset of X. Since V is open and X is an SL-space, there is  $U' \in \operatorname{ClopUp}(X)$  such that  $V = U' \cap Y$  and  $\operatorname{cl} V = U'$  (see Remark 3.5(2)). Therefore,  $U = \operatorname{cl} V = U'$ , and so U is a clopen upset of X. Moreover,

$$U = \uparrow U = \uparrow \operatorname{cl} V = \operatorname{cl} \uparrow V = \uparrow V,$$

where the third equality follows from [18, Theorem 3.1.2] since X is an Esakia space. Thus, U is a Scott upset.  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 4.11.** Let X be an SL-space and Y its spatial part. Then X is an algebraic L-space iff Y is a compactly based sober space.

*Proof.* The spatial part of an L-space is always sober (see, e.g., [12, Lemma 4.11]). Therefore, it is sufficient to show that X is an algebraic L-space iff Y is compactly based. First suppose that X is an algebraic L-space. Let  $V \subseteq Y$  be open and  $y \in V$ . Set  $U = \operatorname{cl} V$ . Then U is a clopen upset of X by Remark 3.5(2). Moreover, it follows from [12, Lemma 4.14(2)] that  $U \cap Y = \operatorname{cl} V \cap Y = V$ , so  $y \in U \cap Y$ . By Lemmas 4.2(3) and 4.6(3), there

is  $W \in \mathsf{ClopSUp}(X)$  such that  $y \in W \subseteq U$ . Therefore,  $y \in W \cap Y \subseteq U \cap Y = V$ . By Lemma 4.10,  $W \cap Y$  is a compact open subset of Y. Thus, Y is compactly based.

Conversely, suppose that Y is compactly based and  $U \in \mathsf{ClopUp}(X)$ . Since Y is locally compact, X is a continuous L-space by Theorem 3.9. Therefore, by Lemma 4.6(3), it suffices to show that for each  $y \in U \cap Y$  there is  $V \in \mathsf{ClopSUp}(X)$  such that  $y \in V \subseteq U$ . Because  $U \cap Y$  is an open subset of Y and Y is compactly based, there is a compact open  $K \subseteq Y$  such that  $y \in K \subseteq U \cap Y$ . Therefore,  $\mathsf{cl} K \in \mathsf{ClopSUp}(X)$  by Lemma 4.10. Moreover,  $y \in \mathsf{cl} K \subseteq \mathsf{cl}(U \cap Y) = U$ . Thus, X is an algebraic L-space.

By Theorem 4.8, AlgLPries is a full subcategory of ConLPries. By Remark 2.9, KBSob is a full subcategory of LKSob. Thus, as an immediate consequence of Theorems 3.9 and 4.11, we obtain:

### Corollary 4.12. AlgLPries is equivalent to KBSob.

Putting together Theorem 4.9 and Corollary 4.12, we obtain Theorem 2.12(1) that AlgFrm is dually equivalent to KBSob.

#### 5. PRIESTLEY DUALITY FOR ARITHMETIC, COHERENT, AND STONE FRAMES

In this final section we describe Priestley duals of arithmetic, coherent, and Stone frames. We also connect them to stably compactly based, spectral, and Stone spaces, thus obtaining alternative proofs of Theorem 2.12(2,3,4).

We conclude the paper by pointing out a connection to Priestley duality for bounded distributive lattices and Stone duality for boolean algebras.

5.1. Arithmetic frames. We recall (see Definition 3.11(1)(a)) that an L-space X is kernel-stable if  $\ker(U \cap V) = \ker U \cap \ker V$  for all  $U, V \in \mathsf{ClopUp}(X)$ .

## Definition 5.1.

- (1) An *arithmetic* L-space is a kernel-stable algebraic L-space.
- (2) Let AriLPries be the full subcategory of AlgLPries consisting of arithmetic L-spaces.

**Lemma 5.2.** Let X be an algebraic L-space. Then X is an arithmetic L-space iff  $U \cap V \in \mathsf{ClopSUp}(X)$  for every  $U, V \in \mathsf{ClopSUp}(X)$ .

*Proof.* For the left-to-right implication, let  $U, V \in \mathsf{ClopSUp}(X)$ . By Lemma 4.3, ker U = U and ker V = V. Therefore, since X is kernel-stable, ker $(U \cap V) = \ker U \cap \ker V = U \cap V$ . Thus,  $U \cap V \in \mathsf{ClopSUp}(X)$  using Lemma 4.3 again.

For the right-to-left implication, suppose  $U_1, U_2 \in \mathsf{ClopUp}(X)$ . It suffices to show that  $W \subseteq \ker U_1 \cap \ker U_2$  iff  $W \subseteq \ker(U_1 \cap U_2)$  for each  $W \in \mathsf{ClopUp}(X)$ . Since W is compact,

by Lemma 4.6(1) and the assumption that  $V_1, V_2 \in \mathsf{ClopSUp}(X) \Rightarrow V_1 \cap V_2 \in \mathsf{ClopSUp}(X)$ ,

$$W \subseteq \ker U_1 \cap \ker U_2 \iff W \subseteq \operatorname{core} U_1 \cap \operatorname{core} U_2$$
  
$$\iff \exists V_1, V_2 \in \mathsf{ClopSUp}(X) : W \subseteq V_1 \subseteq U_1 \text{ and } W \subseteq V_2 \subseteq U_2$$
  
$$\iff \exists V \in \mathsf{ClopSUp}(X) : W \subseteq V \subseteq U_1 \cap U_2$$
  
$$\iff W \subseteq \operatorname{core}(U_1 \cap U_2)$$
  
$$\iff W \subseteq \ker(U_1 \cap U_2).$$

**Lemma 5.3.** Let Y be a compactly based sober space. Then Y is stably locally compact iff Y is stably compactly based.

*Proof.* The left-to-right implication is trivial. For the right-to-left implication, let  $A, B \subseteq Y$  be compact saturated. Since Y is compactly based, every compact saturated set is an intersection of compact open sets (see Remark 2.9). Therefore,  $A \cap B = \bigcap \mathcal{F}$ , where

$$\mathcal{F} = \{ U \cap V \mid U, V \text{ compact open with } A \subseteq U \text{ and } B \subseteq V \}.$$

Since Y is stably compactly based,  $\mathcal{F}$  is closed under finite intersections. Thus, the Hofmann–Mislove Theorem (see, e.g., [19, Corollary II-1.22.]) implies that  $\bigcap \mathcal{F}$  is compact. Consequently,  $A \cap B$  is compact.

**Theorem 5.4.** Let L be an algebraic frame,  $X_L$  its Priestley space, and  $Y_L \subseteq X_L$  the spatial part of  $X_L$ . The following are equivalent.

- (1) L is an arithmetic frame.
- (2)  $X_L$  is an arithmetic L-space.
- (3)  $Y_L$  is a stably compactly based space.

*Proof.* Since L is an algebraic frame,  $X_L$  is an algebraic L-space by Theorem 4.4, and hence  $Y_L$  is a compactly based sober space by Theorem 4.11.

(1) $\Leftrightarrow$ (2) Suppose *L* is an arithmetic frame. Let  $\varphi(a), \varphi(b) \in \mathsf{ClopSUp}(X_L)$ . Then  $a, b \in K(L)$  by Lemma 4.3. Since *L* is an arithmetic frame,  $a \wedge b \in K(L)$ . Therefore,  $\varphi(a) \cap \varphi(b) = \varphi(a \wedge b)$  is a Scott upset, again by Lemma 4.3. Thus,  $X_L$  is an arithmetic L-space by Lemma 5.2.

Conversely, suppose  $X_L$  is an arithmetic L-space. Let  $a, b \in K(L)$ . By Lemma 4.3,  $\varphi(a), \varphi(b)$  are clopen Scott upsets. By Lemma 5.2,  $\varphi(a \wedge b) = \varphi(a) \cap \varphi(b)$  is a Scott upset. Therefore,  $a \wedge b \in K(L)$ , again by Lemma 4.3. Thus, L is an arithmetic frame.

 $(2) \Leftrightarrow (3)$  Since  $X_L$  is an algebraic L-space,  $X_L$  is an arithmetic L-space iff  $X_L$  is a stably continuous L-space by Lemma 5.2. But  $X_L$  is a stably continuous L-space iff  $Y_L$  is a stably locally compact space by [12, Theorem 6.7]. However, since  $Y_L$  is a compactly based sober space,  $Y_L$  is stably locally compact iff  $Y_L$  is stably compactly based by Lemma 5.3. Thus,  $X_L$  is an arithmetic L-space iff  $Y_L$  is a stably compactly based space.  $\Box$ 

As a consequence of Theorem 4.9, Corollary 4.12, and Theorem 5.4, we arrive at the first main result of this section:

**Theorem 5.5.** AriLPries is equivalent to StKBSp and dually equivalent to AriFrm.

As a corollary we obtain Theorem 2.12(2) that AriFrm is dually equivalent to StKBSp.

5.2. Coherent frames. We next turn our attention to Priestley duals of coherent frames. Since coherent frames are exactly compact arithmetic frames, we obtain that Priestley duals of coherent frames are exactly arithmetic L-spaces that are L-compact (see Lemma 4.3). We then connect L-compact arithmetic L-spaces with spectral spaces to obtain the well-known duality between CohFrm and Spec discussed in Theorem 2.12(3).

## Definition 5.6.

- (1) A *coherent* L-space is an L-compact arithmetic L-space.
- (2) Let CohLPries be the full subcategory of AriLPries consisting of coherent L-spaces.

**Lemma 5.7** ([12, Lemma 6.15]). Let X be an SL-space and Y its spatial part. Then X is L-compact iff Y is compact.

**Theorem 5.8.** Let L be an algebraic frame,  $X_L$  its Priestley space, and  $Y_L$  the spatial part of  $X_L$ . The following are equivalent.

- (1) L is a coherent frame.
- (2)  $X_L$  is a coherent L-space.
- (3)  $Y_L$  is a spectral space.

*Proof.* (1) $\Leftrightarrow$ (2) *L* is a coherent frame iff *L* is a compact arithmetic frame. By Lemma 4.3 and Theorem 5.4, this is equivalent to  $X_L$  being a coherent L-space.

(2) $\Leftrightarrow$ (3) By Lemma 5.7 and Theorem 5.4,  $X_L$  is a coherent L-space iff  $Y_L$  is a compact stably compactly based space, hence a spectral space.

As a consequence of Theorems 5.5 and 5.8, we obtain the second main result of this section:

Corollary 5.9. CohLPries is equivalent to Spec and dually equivalent to CohFrm.

As a corollary we obtain Theorem 2.12(3) that CohFrm is dually equivalent to Spec.

5.3. **Stone frames.** Finally, we describe Priestley duals of Stone frames. Stone frames are characterized by having enough complemented elements. In the language of Priestley spaces, complemented elements correspond to clopen upsets that are also downsets (see, e.g., [9, Lemma 6.1]).

Let X be a Priestley space. Following [9, p. 377], we call a subset of X a *biset* if it is both an upset and a downset. Let  $\mathsf{ClopBi}(X)$  be the collection of clopen bisets of X.

**Definition 5.10.** Let X be an L-space.

(1) For  $U \in \mathsf{ClopUp}(X)$ , define the center of U as

$$\operatorname{cen} U = \bigcup \{ V \in \mathsf{ClopBi}(X) \mid V \subseteq U \}$$

- (2) We call X a zero-dimensional L-space if for every  $U \in \mathsf{ClopUp}(X)$  we have that cen U is dense in U.
- (3) A Stone L-space is an L-compact zero-dimensional L-space.
- (4) Let StoneLPries be the full subcategory of LPries consisting of Stone L-spaces.

**Remark 5.11.** In [9, Definition 6.2], the center of a clopen upset U is called the biregular part of U.

**Lemma 5.12.** Let X be an L-space and  $U \in \mathsf{ClopUp}(X)$ .

- (1)  $\operatorname{cen} U \subseteq \operatorname{reg} U$ .
- (2) If X is a zero-dimensional L-space, then X is a regular L-space.
- (3) If X is a Stone L-space, then X is a compact regular L-space.

*Proof.* (1) Suppose  $x \in \text{cen } U$ . Then there is  $V \in \mathsf{ClopBi}(X)$  with  $x \in V \subseteq U$ . Therefore,  $\downarrow \uparrow x \subseteq U$ , so  $x \in \text{reg } U$  by [12, Lemma 7.3(1)].

(2) Suppose  $U \in \mathsf{ClopUp}(X)$ . Since X is a zero-dimensional L-space, cen U is dense in U. But then reg U is dense in U by (1). Thus, X is a regular L-space.

(3) This follows from (2) and Lemma 4.3.

As an immediate consequence, we obtain that StoneLPries is a full subcategory of KRLPries. We proceed to show that StoneLPries is a full subcategory of CohLPries.

Lemma 5.13. Let X be a Stone L-space.

- (1)  $\mathsf{ClopSUp}(X) = \mathsf{ClopBi}(X).$
- (2)  $\operatorname{cen} U = \operatorname{core} U$  for each  $U \in \mathsf{ClopUp}(X)$ .

*Proof.* (1) Since X is a Stone L-space, it is a compact regular L-space by Lemma 5.12(3). Now apply [12, Lemma 7.15(4)].

(2) It suffices to show that for each clopen upset V we have  $V \subseteq \operatorname{cen} U$  iff  $V \subseteq \operatorname{core} U$ . Since V is compact, finite unions of bisets are bisets, and finite unions of Scott upsets are Scott upsets, (1) implies

$$V \subseteq \operatorname{cen} U \iff \exists W \in \mathsf{ClopBi}(X) : V \subseteq W \subseteq U$$
$$\iff \exists W \in \mathsf{ClopSUp}(X) : V \subseteq W \subseteq U$$
$$\iff V \subseteq \operatorname{core} U.$$

Theorem 5.14. StoneLPries is a full subcategory of CohLPries.

*Proof.* Every Stone L-space is a coherent L-space by Lemma 5.13(2). Also, since StoneLPries is a full subcategory of KRLPries, every L-morphism between Stone L-spaces is a proper L-morphism by [12, Theorem 7.18(2)]. Therefore, every such morphism is a coherent L-morphism by Lemma 4.7(3). Thus, StoneLPries is a full subcategory of CohLPries.  $\Box$ 

In [9, Theorem 6.3(1)] it is shown that Priestley duals of zero-dimensional frames are exactly zero-dimensional L-spaces. We connect zero-dimensional L-spaces to zero-dimensional topological spaces.

Lemma 5.15. Let X be an L-space and Y its spatial part.

- (1) If  $U \in \mathsf{ClopBi}(X)$ , then  $U \cap Y$  is clopen in Y.
- (2) If X is an SL-space and  $V \subseteq Y$  is clopen, then there is  $U \in \mathsf{ClopBi}(X)$  such that  $V = U \cap Y$ .

*Proof.* (1) This is immediate.

(2) Let  $V \subseteq Y$  be clopen. Since V is open, there is  $U \in \mathsf{ClopUp}(X)$  such that  $V = U \cap Y$ and  $\mathsf{cl} V = U$  (see Remark 3.5(2)). Similarly, since V is closed, there is  $W \in \mathsf{ClopUp}(X)$ such that  $Y \setminus V = W \cap Y$  and  $\mathsf{cl}(Y \setminus V) = W$ . We have

$$U \cap W = \mathsf{cl}(V) \cap \mathsf{cl}(Y \setminus V) = \mathsf{cl}(V \cap (Y \setminus V)) = \emptyset,$$

where the second equality follows from [12, Lemma 4.15] because  $V, Y \setminus V$  are open in Y. Also,

$$U \cup W = \mathsf{cl}\,V \cup \mathsf{cl}(Y \setminus V) = \mathsf{cl}(V \cup (Y \setminus V)) = \mathsf{cl}\,Y = X$$

Thus,  $U = X \setminus W$ , and hence  $U \in \mathsf{ClopBi}(X)$ .

**Theorem 5.16.** Let X be an L-space and Y its spatial part.

- (1) If X is a zero-dimensional L-space, then Y is zero-dimensional.
- (2) If X is an SL-space, then X is a zero-dimensional L-space iff Y is zero-dimensional.

*Proof.* (1) Suppose X is a zero-dimensional L-space. Let  $V \subseteq Y$  be open and  $y \in V$ . Then there is  $U \in \mathsf{ClopUp}(X)$  such that  $U \cap Y = V$ . Since  $\operatorname{cen} U$  is dense in U, we have  $U \cap Y = \operatorname{cl}(\operatorname{cen} U) \cap Y = \operatorname{cen} U \cap Y$ , where the last equality follows from [12, Lemma 4.14(1)] because  $\operatorname{cen} U$  is an open upset of X. Therefore, there is  $W \in \operatorname{ClopBi}(X)$  such that  $y \in W \subseteq U$ . Thus,  $y \in W \cap Y \subseteq V$  and  $W \cap Y$  is clopen in Y by Lemma 5.15(1). Consequently, Y is zero-dimensional.

(2) The left-to-right implication follows from (1). For the converse implication, suppose Y is zero-dimensional. Let  $U \in \mathsf{ClopUp}(X)$ . Since X is an SL-space,  $U \cap Y$  is dense in U. Therefore, it suffices to show that  $U \cap Y \subseteq \operatorname{cen} U$ . Let  $y \in U \cap Y$ . Since  $U \in \mathsf{ClopUp}(X)$ , we have that  $U \cap Y$  is open in Y. Because Y is zero-dimensional, there is clopen  $V \subseteq Y$  such that  $y \in V \subseteq U \cap Y$ . Since V is clopen in Y, Lemma 5.15(2) implies that there is  $W \in \mathsf{ClopBi}(X)$  such that  $V = W \cap Y$ . Because X is an SL-space,  $\mathsf{cl} V = W$ , and hence  $y \in W \subseteq U$ . Thus,  $y \in \operatorname{cen} U$ .

**Corollary 5.17.** Let L be a spatial frame,  $X_L$  its Priestley space, and  $Y_L$  the spatial part of  $X_L$ . The following are equivalent.

- (1) L is a zero-dimensional frame.
- (2)  $X_L$  is a zero-dimensional L-space.

If in addition L is spatial, then (1) and (2) are equivalent to

(3)  $Y_L$  is a zero-dimensional space.

*Proof.* That  $(1) \Leftrightarrow (2)$  follows from [9, Theorem 6.3(1)], and that  $(2) \Leftrightarrow (3)$  follows from Theorem 5.16(2).

**Corollary 5.18.** Let L be a frame,  $X_L$  its Priestley space, and  $Y_L$  the spatial part of  $X_L$ . The following are equivalent.

- (1) L is a Stone frame.
- (2)  $X_L$  is a Stone L-space.

If in addition L is spatial, then (1) and (2) are equivalent to

(3)  $Y_L$  is a Stone space.

*Proof.* (1) $\Leftrightarrow$ (2) Apply Lemma 4.3 and Corollary 5.17. (2) $\Leftrightarrow$ (3) Apply Lemma 5.7 and Corollary 5.17.

As an immediate consequence, we arrive at the last main result of this section:

**Corollary 5.19.** StoneLPries is equivalent to Stone and dually equivalent to StoneFrm.

*Proof.* This follows from Corollaries 5.9 and 5.18 and the observation that StoneFrm, StoneLPries, and Stone are full subcategories of CohFrm, CohLPries, and Spec, respectively (see Remark 2.7, Theorem 5.14, and Remark 2.9).  $\Box$ 

Theorem 2.12(4), which states that StoneFrm is dually equivalent to Stone, is now immediate from the above corollary.

**Remark 5.20.** Let L be a frame and  $X_L$  its Priestley space. As we saw in this paper, there are various maps from the clopen upsets of  $X_L$  to the open upsets of  $X_L$ , and the corresponding density conditions are responsible for various properties of L. In particular,

- L is continuous iff ker U is dense in U for each  $U \in \mathsf{ClopUp}(X)$ ;
- L is algebraic iff core U is dense in U for each  $U \in \mathsf{ClopUp}(X)$ ;
- L is regular iff reg U is dense in U for each  $U \in \mathsf{ClopUp}(X)$ ;
- L is zero-dimensional iff cen U is dense in U for each  $U \in \mathsf{ClopUp}(X)$ .

The strength of these properties of frames is then described by how these maps interact. For example, core  $U \subseteq \ker U$  for each  $U \in \mathsf{ClopUp}(X)$  indicates that every algebraic frame is continuous, etc.

To summarize, we have the following diagram, where we use the same notation as in the previous diagrams. An overview of the introduced categories of Priestley spaces is given in Table 6. The corresponding categories of frames and spaces are described in Tables 2 and 4.

FIGURE 6. Equivalences and dual equivalences between various categories of algebraic frames, algebraic L-spaces, and compactly based sober spaces.

Category	Objects	Morphisms
AlgLPries	algebraic L-spaces (Definition 4.1)	coherent L-morphisms (Definition 4.5)
AriLPries	arithmetic L-spaces (Definition 5.1)	coherent L-morphisms
CohLPries	coherent L-spaces (Definition 5.6)	coherent L-morphisms
StoneLPries	Stone L-spaces (Definition $5.10$ )	L-morphisms

TABLE 6. Categories of algebraic L-spaces.

We conclude the paper by connecting the results obtained above with Priestley duality for bounded distributive lattices and Stone duality for boolean algebras.

**Remark 5.21.** Let *L* be a coherent frame,  $X_L$  its Priestley space, and  $Y_L$  the spatial part of  $X_L$ . As we pointed out in the Introduction, K(L) is a bounded distributive lattice and *L* is isomorphic to the frame of ideals of K(L). Moreover,  $P \mapsto P \cap K(L)$  is an isomorphism between  $(Y_L, \subseteq)$  and the poset of prime filters of K(L). However, the Priestley topology on  $X_{K(L)}$  does not correspond to the restriction to  $Y_L$  of the Priestley topology on  $X_L$ . Indeed, let  $\varphi_{K_L} : K(L) \to \mathsf{ClopUp}(X_{K(L)})$  be the Stone map. By identifying  $X_{K(L)}$  with  $Y_L$ , we have  $\varphi_{K(L)}(k) = \varphi(k) \cap Y_L$  for each  $k \in K(L)$ . Since  $X_{K(L)}$  has  $\{\varphi_{K(L)}(k_1) \setminus \varphi_{K(L)}(k_2) \mid k_1, k_2 \in K(L)\}$  as a basis and  $\mathsf{ClopSUp}(X)$  corresponds to K(L)by Lemma 4.3, the topology on  $Y_L$  corresponding to the Priestley topology on  $X_{K(L)}$  is generated by the basis  $\{(A \setminus B) \cap Y_L \mid A, B \in \mathsf{ClopSUp}(X_L)\}$ .

If L is a Stone frame, then K(L) is the set of complemented elements of L (that is,  $a \vee a^* = 1$ ). Therefore, K(L) is a boolean algebra and  $Y_L = \min X_L$  (see, e.g., [12, Lemma 7.15(5)]). But again, the Stone topology on  $X_{K(L)}$  is not the restriction to  $Y_L$  of the Priestley topology on  $X_L$ . In fact, the restriction of the Priestley topology on  $X_L$  is the discrete topology on  $Y_L$  (because  $\downarrow y = \{y\}$  is open for each  $y \in Y_L$ ), while the topology on  $Y_L$  corresponding to the Stone topology on  $X_{K(L)}$  is generated by  $\{A \cap Y_L \mid A \in \mathsf{ClopBi}(X_L)\}$ (by the previous paragraph and Lemma 5.13(1)).

#### References

- F. Ávila, G. Bezhanishvili, P. J. Morandi, and A. Zaldívar. When is the frame of nuclei spatial: a new approach. J. Pure Appl. Algebra, 224(7):106302, 20 pp., 2020.
- [2] F. Ávila, G. Bezhanishvili, P. J. Morandi, and A. Zaldívar. The frame of nuclei on an Alexandroff space. Order, 38(1):67–78, 2021.
- [3] B. Banaschewski. The duality of distributive continuous lattices. Canadian J. Math., 32(2):385–394, 1980.
- [4] B. Banaschewski. Coherent frames. In Proceedings of the Conference on Topological and Categorical Aspects of Continuous Lattices, Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 871, pages 1–11. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1981.
- [5] B. Banaschewski. Universal zero-dimensional compactifications. In Categorical topology and its relation to analysis, algebra and combinatorics (Prague, 1988), pages 257–269. World Sci. Publ., Teaneck, NJ, 1989.
- [6] B. Banaschewski and C. J. Mulvey. Stone-Cech compactification of locales. I. Houston J. Math., 6(3):301–312, 1980.

- [7] G. Bezhanishvili and N. Bezhanishvili. Profinite Heyting algebras. Order, 25(3):211–227, 2008.
- [8] G. Bezhanishvili, D. Gabelaia, and M. Jibladze. Funayama's theorem revisited. Algebra Universalis, 70(3):271–286, 2013.
- G. Bezhanishvili, D. Gabelaia, and M. Jibladze. Spectra of compact regular frames. *Theory Appl. Categ.*, 31:Paper No. 12, 365–383, 2016.
- [10] G. Bezhanishvili and S. Ghilardi. An algebraic approach to subframe logics. Intuitionistic case. Ann. Pure Appl. Logic, 147(1-2):84–100, 2007.
- G. Bezhanishvili and S. D. Melzer. Hofmann–Mislove through the lenses of Priestley. Semigroup Forum, 105(3):825–833, 2022.
- [12] G. Bezhanishvili and S. D. Melzer. Deriving dualities in pointfree topology from Priestley duality. Appl. Categ. Structures, 31(5):1–28, 2023.
- [13] P. Bhattacharjee. Maximal d-elements of an algebraic frame. Order, 36(2):377–390, 2019.
- [14] G. Birkhoff and O. Frink, Jr. Representations of lattices by sets. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 64:299–316, 1948.
- [15] S. Burris and H. P. Sankappanavar. A course in universal algebra, volume 78 of Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1981.
- [16] M. Erné. Infinite distributive laws versus local connectedness and compactness properties. *Topology Appl.*, 156(12):2054–2069, 2009.
- [17] L. L. Esakia. Topological Kripke models. Soviet Math. Dokl., 15:147–151, 1974.
- [18] L. L. Esakia. *Heyting algebras*, volume 50 of *Trends in Logic—Studia Logica Library*. Springer, Cham, 2019. Edited by G. Bezhanishvili and W. H. Holliday, Translated from the Russian by A. Evseev.
- [19] G. Gierz, K. H. Hofmann, K. Keimel, J. D. Lawson, M. Mislove, and D. S. Scott. Continuous lattices and domains, volume 93 of Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2003.
- [20] G. Gierz and K. Keimel. A lemma on primes appearing in algebra and analysis. Houston J. Math., 3(2):207–224, 1977.
- [21] M. Hochster. Prime ideal structure in commutative rings. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 142:43–60, 1969.
- [22] K. H. Hofmann and K. Keimel. A general character theory for partially ordered sets and lattices. Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society, No. 122. American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1972.
- [23] K. H. Hofmann and J. D. Lawson. The spectral theory of distributive continuous lattices. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 246:285–310, 1978.
- [24] W. Iberkleid and W. W. McGovern. A natural equivalence for the category of coherent frames. Algebra Universalis, 62(2-3):247–258, 2009.
- [25] J. R. Isbell. Atomless parts of spaces. Math. Scand., 31:5–32, 1972.
- [26] T. Jakl. Some point-free aspects of connectedness. Master's thesis, Charles University, 2013.
- [27] P. T. Johnstone. The Gleason cover of a topos. II. J. Pure Appl. Algebra, 22(3):229–247, 1981.
- [28] P. T. Johnstone. Stone spaces, volume 3 of Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1982.
- [29] L. Nachbin. On a characterization of the lattice of all ideals of a Boolean ring. Fund. Math., 36:137–142, 1949.
- [30] J. Picado and A. Pultr. Frames and locales. Frontiers in Mathematics. Birkhäuser/Springer Basel AG, Basel, 2012.
- [31] H. A. Priestley. Representation of distributive lattices by means of ordered Stone spaces. Bull. London Math. Soc., 2:186–190, 1970.
- [32] H. A. Priestley. Ordered topological spaces and the representation of distributive lattices. Proc. London Math. Soc., 24:507–530, 1972.
- [33] A. Pultr and J. Sichler. Frames in Priestley's duality. Cahiers Topologie Géom. Différentielle Catég., 29(3):193–202, 1988.

- [34] A. Pultr and J. Sichler. A Priestley view of spatialization of frames. *Cahiers Topologie Géom.* Différentielle Catég., 41(3):225–238, 2000.
- [35] H. Simmons. A couple of triples. Topology Appl., 13(2):201–223, 1982.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY LAS CRUCES, NM 88003 USA

Email address: guram@nmsu.edu Email address: smelzer@nmsu.edu