

PWR-Align: Leveraging Part-Whole Relationships for Part-wise Rigid Point Cloud Registration in Mixed Reality Applications

Manorama Jha
GridRaster Inc.

manorama@gridraster.com

Bhaskar Banerjee
GridRaster Inc.

bhaskar@gridraster.com

Abstract

We present an efficient and robust point cloud registration (PCR) workflow for part-wise rigid point cloud alignment using the Microsoft HoloLens 2. Point Cloud Registration (PCR) is an important problem in Augmented and Mixed Reality use cases, and we present a study for a special class of non-rigid transformations. Many commonly encountered objects are composed of rigid parts that move relative to one another about joints resulting in non-rigid deformation of the whole object such as, robots with manipulators, and machines with hinges. The workflow presented allows us to register the point cloud with various configurations of the point cloud.

1. Introduction

Mixed Reality (MR) [1] is used to create immersive experiences by blending digital entities with the real-world environment. Point Cloud Registration (PCR) [2], also called alignment, is the task of finding a spatial transformation (rotation and translation) which when applied to a source point cloud results in point-wise superposition with a destination point cloud of the same object and/or scene. One of the primary use cases of PCR in MR is to overlay a virtual reference model of an object on top of the corresponding real object. For example, in manufacturing processes a virtual reference model of a machine part can be overlaid on a damaged instance for inspection [3], repair [4, 5] and training [6–8]. Real world applications of PCR face several challenges like lack of order or structure [9–13], sparsity [14], noise and outliers, [15–18] and partial overlap [13, 19–21] of the source and target point clouds.

1.1. Background and Related Work

Traditionally, PCR involves two steps - a) feature extraction [13, 22, 23] from the source and target point clouds and




Figure 1. Sample registration results for (a) NASA Viking Lander [29] and (b) Cubebot [30] models. The target point cloud is scanned using Microsoft HoloLens 2 MR headset under real-world conditions. Our workflow achieves robust and accurate performance even in the presence of sparse, noisy, and partial data.

b) feature matching [17, 18, 24–26] to detect corresponding pairs of points in the two clouds. The correspondence matches are then used to derive a transformation function that maps the source to the target such that the corresponding points overlap. For rigid objects, this transformation function is an affine transformation consisting of rotation and translation. For non-rigid objects, this transformation function is more complex and it involves warping the surface of the source point cloud on to the target. Non-rigid Iterative Closest Point [27, 28] is a state-of-the-art algorithm that applies a locally affine regularization that assigns an affine transformation to each vertex and minimizes the difference in the transformation of neighboring vertices. With this regularization, the optimal deformation for fixed correspondences and fixed stiffness can be determined precisely and efficiently. The algorithm loops over a series of decreasing stiffness weights that results in incremental deformation of the template surface towards the target.

The scope of this paper is limited to finding a non-rigid

transformation using the set of predicted correspondences. In the general case, this involves finding a transformation for each point on the source mesh and the computational requirement can blow up for large meshes. Additional challenges are posed by noise, holes and missing parts in real world 3D scans. Computational efficiency also becomes crucial in MR applications to produce a lag-free immersive experience.

1.2. Contributions

In this paper, we study PCR for a special class of non-rigid transformations that happen in objects composed of rigid parts that can move relative to one another (e.g., about a hinge). These are commonly encountered in enterprise MR applications, e.g. machine parts, doors, wheels, antennas, etc. For such objects, the non-rigid adjustment step after rigid fitting requires finding a rigid transformation for each part that can move relative to one another. We begin by constructing a graph to represent the part-whole relationships in the source object. We present a novel approach to derive a rigid transformation for each part, leveraging this graph to – a) make sure that the object model does not disintegrate after applying part-wise registration; b) search for a given part in the correct part of the target point cloud; and c) handle outliers in the correspondence map.

2. Proposed Method - PWR-Align

In this section, we present PWR-Align, our proposed workflow that leverages Part-Whole Relationships for Alignment. We start with **constructing a bidirectional graph** of the source point cloud (from a CAD model or digital twin). Each node of this graph represents one of the rigid parts. Each pair of adjacent parts is connected with an edge. The next step is **finding corresponding points** in the source and target point clouds for which we use the Leopard [31] non-rigid correspondence matching algorithm. The third step is the **whole body rigid fitting**, where we derive the rigid body transformation for the whole source point cloud. This aligns the source and target point clouds with possible misalignments of movable parts. The final step involves **part-wise tuning** where each part is tuned in two steps - first using RANSAC [17, 18] and then using the Iterative Closest Point (ICP) [32] algorithms. The output of this step is a rotation and translation matrix for the part.

To prevent the object model from disintegrating upon part-wise transformation we take the following steps:

1. We adjust the parts in decreasing order of sizes.
2. When using RANSAC, we add extra correspondences in the form of points that are at the junction of the cur-

rent part and its larger neighbor that must remain at the same position where they were before the adjustment.

3. If application of a transformation to a part causes a joint to be broken, we skip the adjustment.
4. If the number of detected correspondences is too few for a part, we skip the RANSAC step for that part.

To make sure that a part is searched for in the correct part of the target point cloud, we take the following measures:

1. We perform whole body rigid fitting as the first step to roughly align the two point clouds.
2. We search for a given part only within a Region-of-Interest (RoI) of the target point cloud. The RoI is calculated as the smallest axis-aligned bounding box that contains the part in the source point cloud after whole body rigid fitting and all the corresponding points in the target point cloud for the feature points of the part.

Finally, in order to improve robustness against outliers, we take the following measures:

1. KPConv applies a uniform downsampling to prevent the compute load (quadratic in the number of samples) from blowing up. If the density of a point cloud is low, this leads to serious loss in detail and significant degradation in performance. We make sure there are a minimum of 50 points in each part of the input point clouds.
2. We perform ICP after RANSAC to correct for the effect of spurious correspondences.

3. Results and Discussion

We evaluated our workflow on data collected using Microsoft HoloLens 2 MR headset [33] under real world conditions. Fig.1 shows two sample outcomes for NASA Viking Lander [29] and Cubebot [30]. We observe that our workflow is able to perform accurately even in the presence of noise, sparsity and missing parts in the target point cloud scan. Performance degrades gracefully as sparsity increases. Fig.1 (b) presents an extreme case where the target point cloud is a single-view scan. As expected, we can observe some misalignment near the deformed hand of the Cubebot. Please refer to the full thesis [34] for a detailed discussion of the experiments and results.

4. Conclusion

In this paper, we study the problem of point cloud registration for a special class of non-rigid deformations that are encountered in objects composed of rigid parts that move about joints. We propose an efficient and robust workflow

called PWR-Align that leverages part-whole relationships. We evaluate our method on real world MR data and demonstrate its robustness and viability as a faster alternative to non-rigid ICP.

References

- [1] Maximilian Speicher, Brian D Hall, and Michael Nebeling. What is mixed reality? In *Proceedings of the 2019 CHI conference on human factors in computing systems*, pages 1–15, 2019. 1
- [2] François Pomerleau, Francis Colas, Roland Siegwart, et al. A review of point cloud registration algorithms for mobile robotics. *Foundations and Trends® in Robotics*, 4(1):1–104, 2015. 1
- [3] Adolfo Munoz, Xavier Mahiques, J Ernesto Solanes, Ana Martí, Luis Gracia, and Josep Tornero. Mixed reality-based user interface for quality control inspection of car body surfaces. *Journal of Manufacturing Systems*, 53:75–92, 2019. 1
- [4] Andrea F Abate, Fabio Narducci, and Stefano Ricciardi. Mixed reality environment for mission critical systems servicing and repair. In *International Conference on Virtual, Augmented and Mixed Reality*, pages 201–210. Springer, 2013. 1
- [5] Simone Borsci, Glyn Lawson, and Simon Broome. Empirical evidence, evaluation criteria and challenges for the effectiveness of virtual and mixed reality tools for training operators of car service maintenance. *Computers in Industry*, 67:17–26, 2015. 1
- [6] Dieter Mueller and José Martins Ferreira. Marvel: A mixed-reality learning environment for vocational training in mechatronics. In *Proceedings of the Technology Enhanced Learning International Conference (TEL 03)*, 2003. 1
- [7] Sonny E Kirkley and Jamie R Kirkley. Creating next generation blended learning environments using mixed reality, video games and simulations. *TechTrends*, 49(3):42–53, 2005. 1
- [8] Xiangyu Wang, Phillip S Dunston, and M Skiniewski. Mixed reality technology applications in construction equipment operator training. In *Proceedings of the 21st International Symposium on Automation and Robotics in Construction (IS-ARC 2004)*, pages 21–25, 2004. 1
- [9] Felix Järemo Lawin, Martin Danelljan, Patrik Tosteberg, Goutam Bhat, Fahad Shahbaz Khan, and Michael Felsberg. Deep projective 3d semantic segmentation. In *International Conference on Computer Analysis of Images and Patterns*, pages 95–107. Springer, 2017. 1
- [10] Daniel Maturana and Sebastian Scherer. Voxnet: A 3d convolutional neural network for real-time object recognition. In *2015 IEEE/RSJ international conference on intelligent robots and systems (IROS)*, pages 922–928. IEEE, 2015. 1
- [11] Xavier Roynard, Jean-Emmanuel Deschaud, and François Goulette. Classification of point cloud scenes with multi-scale voxel deep network. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1804.03583*, 2018. 1
- [12] Yizhak Ben-Shabat, Michael Lindenbaum, and Anath Fischer. 3dmfv: Three-dimensional point cloud classification in real-time using convolutional neural networks. *IEEE Robotics and Automation Letters*, 3(4):3145–3152, 2018. 1
- [13] Hugues Thomas, Charles R Qi, Jean-Emmanuel Deschaud, Beatriz Marcotegui, François Goulette, and Leonidas J Guibas. Kpconv: Flexible and deformable convolution for point clouds. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF international conference on computer vision*, pages 6411–6420, 2019. 1
- [14] Christopher Choy, JunYoung Gwak, and Silvio Savarese. 4d spatio-temporal convnets: Minkowski convolutional neural networks. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 3075–3084, 2019. 1
- [15] Xuyang Bai, Zixin Luo, Lei Zhou, Hongkai Chen, Lei Li, Zeyu Hu, Hongbo Fu, and Chiew-Lan Tai. Pointdsc: Robust point cloud registration using deep spatial consistency. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 15859–15869, 2021. 1
- [16] G Dias Pais, Srikumar Ramalingam, Venu Madhav Govindu, Jacinto C Nascimento, Rama Chellappa, and Pedro Miraldo. 3dregnet: A deep neural network for 3d point registration. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 7193–7203, 2020. 1
- [17] Dirk Holz, Alexandru E Ichim, Federico Tombari, Radu B Rusu, and Sven Behnke. Registration with the point cloud library: A modular framework for aligning in 3-d. *IEEE Robotics & Automation Magazine*, 22(4):110–124, 2015. 1, 2
- [18] Ruwen Schnabel, Roland Wahl, and Reinhard Klein. Efficient ransac for point-cloud shape detection. In *Computer graphics forum*, volume 26, pages 214–226. Wiley Online Library, 2007. 1, 2
- [19] Hao Xu, Shuaicheng Liu, Guangfu Wang, Guanghui Liu, and Bing Zeng. Omnet: Learning overlapping mask for partial-to-partial point cloud registration. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 3132–3141, 2021. 1
- [20] Souhaib Attaiki, Gautam Pai, and Maks Ovsjanikov. Dpfm: Deep partial functional maps. In *2021 International Conference on 3D Vision (3DV)*, pages 175–185. IEEE, 2021. 1
- [21] E Rodolà, L Cosmo, MM Bronstein, A Torsello, and D Cremers. Partial functional correspondence in computer graphics forum, 2017. 1
- [22] Ma Weinmann, Boris Jutzi, Clément Mallet, and Mi Weinmann. Geometric features and their relevance for 3d point cloud classification. *ISPRS Annals of Photogrammetry, Remote Sensing & Spatial Information Sciences*, 4, 2017. 1
- [23] Charles R Qi, Hao Su, Kaichun Mo, and Leonidas J Guibas. Pointnet: Deep learning on point sets for 3d classification and segmentation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 652–660, 2017. 1

- [24] K Somani Arun, Thomas S Huang, and Steven D Blostein. Least-squares fitting of two 3-d point sets. *IEEE Transactions on pattern analysis and machine intelligence*, (5):698–700, 1987. [1](#)
- [25] Paul J Besl and Neil D McKay. Method for registration of 3-d shapes. In *Sensor fusion IV: control paradigms and data structures*, volume 1611, pages 586–606. Spie, 1992. [1](#)
- [26] Zhengyou Zhang. Iterative point matching for registration of free-form curves and surfaces. *International journal of computer vision*, 13(2):119–152, 1994. [1](#)
- [27] Hao Li, Robert W Sumner, and Mark Pauly. Global correspondence optimization for non-rigid registration of depth scans. In *Computer graphics forum*, volume 27, pages 1421–1430. Wiley Online Library, 2008. [1](#)
- [28] Yang Li, Hikari Takehara, Takafumi Taketomi, Bo Zheng, and Matthias Nießner. 4dcomplete: Non-rigid motion estimation beyond the observable surface. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 12706–12716, 2021. [1](#)
- [29] Open source 3d model of nasa viking lander. <https://nasa3d.arc.nasa.gov/detail/viking-lander>. Accessed: 2023-03-03. [1](#), [2](#)
- [30] Open source 3d model of cubebot in dancing posture. <https://sketchfab.com/3d-models/dancing-cubebot-fe41ed9dce7e41b5a46fed8705a6821e>. Accessed: 2023-03-03. [1](#), [2](#)
- [31] Yang Li and Tatsuya Harada. Leopard: Learning partial point cloud matching in rigid and deformable scenes. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 5554–5564, 2022. [2](#)
- [32] Fang Wang and Zijian Zhao. A survey of iterative closest point algorithm. In *2017 Chinese Automation Congress (CAC)*, pages 4395–4399. IEEE, 2017. [2](#)
- [33] Dorin Ungureanu, Federica Bogo, Silvano Galliani, Pooja Sama, Xin Duan, Casey Meekhof, Jan Stühmer, Thomas J Cashman, Bugra Tekin, Johannes L Schönberger, et al. Hololens 2 research mode as a tool for computer vision research. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2008.11239*, 2020. [2](#)
- [34] Manorama Jha. Point cloud registration of non-rigid objects in sparse 3d scans with applications in mixed reality. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2212.03856*, 2022. [2](#)