Spanning subdivisions in dense digraphs

Hyunwoo Lee*

June 13, 2023

Abstract

We prove that an *n*-vertex digraph D with minimum semi-degree at least $(\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon)n$ and $n = \Omega_{\varepsilon}(m)$ contains a subdivision of all *m*-arc digraphs. This is the best possible and settles a conjecture raised by Pavez-Singé [11] in a stronger form.

1. Introduction

Embedding a spanning sparse (di)graph into a dense (di)graph has been extensively studied over decades. Finding a sufficient condition that ensures the existence of a spanning structure is one of the central topics in this theme. Arguably, the most natural condition is the minimum degree condition. For example, a classical result of Dirac asserts that every *n*-vertex graph G with minimum degree at least $\frac{n}{2}$ contains a Hamiltonian cycle.

Inspired by Dirac's theorem, there were various problems and results regarding the minimum degree threshold for the existence of certain spanning structures. One direction is a perfect tiling problem. For graphs G and H, we say G has a perfect H-tiling if G contains a collection of vertex disjoint copies of H that covers every vertex of G. For a complete graph K_r on r vertices, Hajnal and Szemerédi [4] proved that every n-vertex graph G with minimum degree at least $\frac{r-1}{r}n$, where n divisible by r, contains a perfect K_r -tiling. For a general graph H, Kühn and Osthus [8] determines the exact minimum degree threshold for the existence of perfect H-tiling up to an additive constant.

Since then, many variants of the Kühn-Osthus theorem on graph tiling has been considered (for instance, see [1, 5, 6, 10]). Recently, the author [9] considered a new variant of the tiling problem, subdivision tilings. For graphs G and H we say that G has a perfect H-subdivision tiling if G contains a collection of vertex disjoint copies of subdivisions of H that covers all vertices of G. Here, we say that H' is a subdivision of H if H' is obtained by replacing each edge of H with vertex-disjoint path. The asymptotic behavior of the tight minimum degree threshold for the existence of perfect H-subdivision tiling was determined for all graph H in [9].

We note that indeed, Dirac's theorem determined the minimum degree threshold for the existence of spanning K_3 -subdivision. On the other hand, for a graph H, [9] determined the minimum degree threshold for the existence of spanning structure that each can be partitioned into vertex disjoint copies of subdivisions of H. Comparing these two results, it is very natural to ask the minimum degree threshold for the existence of a spanning subdivision of general graphs H. Very recently, Pavez-Singé [11] proved that for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a constant C such that every graph G on $n \ge Ck^2$ vertices with minimum degree at least $(\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon)n$ contains a spanning subdivision of K_k for any positive integer k. More generally,

^{*}Department of Mathematical Sciences, KAIST, South Korea and Extremal Combinatorics and Probability Group (ECOPRO), Institute for Basic Science (IBS). E-mail: hyunwoo.lee@kaist.ac.kr. Supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) grant funded by the Korea government(MSIT) No. RS-2023-00210430, and the Institute for Basic Science (IBS-R029-C4).

they showed that if H is an k-vertex d-regular graph with $\log k \leq d \leq k$, then the same result holds for a spanning subdivision of H as long as $n \geq Cdk$. For general graphs, they propose the following natural conjecture.

Conjecture 1.1 ([11]). For every $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a constant C such that the following holds. For every graph G on $n \ge Cm$ vertices, if the minimum degree of G is at least $(\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon)n$, then G contains a spanning subdivision of any m-edge graph H with no isolated vertices.

In this article, we prove Conjecture 1.1 in a stronger form considering digraphs. Let H be a digraph. We say a digraph H' is a subdivision of H if H' is obtained by replacing each arc of H' with a vertexdisjoint directed path with the same consistent orientation. For a digraph D and $v \in D$, the semi-degree of v in D is the minimum of the out-degree of v and in-degree of v in D.

Theorem 1.2. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be a positive real number. Then there is a constant C > 0 such that the following holds. Let D be a digraph on $n \ge Cm$ vertices with minimum degree at least $(\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon)n$. Then D contains a spanning subdivision of any m-arc digraph H with no isolated vertices.

By replacing edges of G with two arcs in both directions, it is straightforward to check that Theorem 1.2 implies Conjecture 1.1.

The minimum semi-degree condition in Theorem 1.2 is asymptotically best possible. Let H be an m-arc digraph on k vertices without isolated vertices. We note that $k \leq 2m$. Let A and B be disjoint sets with $|A| = \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor - (m+k)$ and |B| = n - |A|. Let D be a digraph on the vertex set $A \cup B$ such that $A(D) = \{\overline{uv}, \overline{vu} : (u, v) \in A \times B\}$. Then the minimum semi-degree of D is at least the size of A, that is $\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor - (m+k)$. We now claim that D does not contains a spanning subdivision of H. Assume D has a spanning subdivision of H. Then at least n - k vertices of D covered by at most m pairwise vertex disjoint directed paths. Since the underlying graph of D is a bipartite graph on the bipartition (A, B), the inequality $||A| - |B|| \leq m + k$ must hold, a contradiction. Thus, D does not contain a spanning subdivision of H.

Since a directed Hamiltonian cycle is a spanning subdivision of a directed 2-cycle, we can consider Theorem 1.3 as the asymptotic generalization of the following classical digraph analog to Dirac's theorem.

Theorem 1.3 (Ghouila-Houri [3]). Let D be an n-vertex digraph with minimum semi-degree at least $\frac{n}{2}$. Then D contains a directed Hamiltonian cycle.

The proof of the main theorem uses the absorption method introduced by Rödl, Ruciński, and Szemerédi [12] and implicitly used in [2, 7]. The absorption method is an extremely useful tool for finding spanning structures. In order to get more information about the absorption method, we recommend seeing the survey [13].

2. Preliminaries

2.1. Notations

We write $[n] = \{1, 2, ..., n\}$. For a statement regarding some parameters $\beta, \alpha_1, ..., \alpha_t$, we say that it holds when $0 < \beta \ll \alpha_1, ..., \alpha_t < 1$ if there exists a function f such that for every $0 < \beta \leq f(\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_t)$ the statement holds. In this article, we will not compute these functions explicitly.

We use standard notations in digraph theory. For a digraph D, we let V(D) be the vertex set of Dand A(D) be the arc set of D. For a vertex v in a directed graph D, we denote by $N_D^+(v)$ and $N_D^-(v)$ the out-neighborhood and in-neighborhood of v, respectively. We write $d_D^+(v)$ and $d_D^-(v)$ as the size of the out-neighborhood and in-neighborhood of v and we call them out-degree and in-degree of v, respectively. We denote by $\delta^0(D)$ the minimum semi-degree, that is $\min\{\delta^+(D), \delta^-(D)\}$.

For a vertex subset X and Y, we let $A_D[X, Y]$ be the set of arcs starting from X and ending at Y. We note that X and Y do not need to be disjoint. For a pair of vertices $(u, v) \in V(D) \times V(D)$, we define $N_D(u, v) := \{w \in V(D) : \overrightarrow{uv}, \overrightarrow{wv} \in A(D)\}$. We denote by $d_D(u, v)$ the number $|N_D(u, v)|$.

For a digraph D and vertex subsets $X, Y \subseteq V(D)$, let D - X + Y be a digraph that is an induced digraph of D on the vertex subset $(V(D) \setminus X) \cup Y$.

Let X be a set and t be a positive integer. We write X^t be the t-fold cartesian product of X, that is $X \times \cdots \times X$. We say two element $(y_1, \ldots, y_t), (z_1, \ldots, z_t)$ of X^t are tuple-disjoint if $\{y_1, \ldots, y_t\} \cap \{z_1, \ldots, z_t\} = \emptyset$.

3. (n, t, d)-tuple systems

Definition 3.1. Let n and t be a two positive integers and let d be a real number lies on (0, 1). For two sets X and Y where $|X| \le n^2$ and |Y| = n, we say a collection of pairs $\mathcal{P} \subseteq X \times Y^t$ is an (n, t, d)-tuple system on (X, Y) if \mathcal{P} satisfies the following: For all element x in X, the size of the set $\{Z \subset Y : (x, Z) \in \mathcal{P}\}$ is at least dn^t .

The following lemma is the key lemma for constructing a connector and absorber via the (n, t, d)-tuple systems.

Lemma 3.2. We fix the parameters below.

$$0 < \frac{1}{n}, \beta \ll d, \alpha, \frac{1}{t} \leq 1$$

where n and t are integers.

Let \mathcal{P} be an (n, t, d)-tuple system on the pair of sets (X, Y). Then there is a collection of subsets $\mathcal{Y} \subseteq Y^t$ satisfies the followings:

- Elements in \mathcal{Y} are pairwise tuple-disjoint,
- The size of \mathcal{Y} are at most $\frac{\alpha}{t}n$,
- For every $x \in X$, the number of elements Z in \mathcal{Y} where $(x, Z) \in \mathcal{P}$ is at least βn .

Indeed, the similar notion with (n, t, d)-tuple systems and Lemma 3.2 were already considered in [9] in the notion of (n, t, d)-systems. The proof of Lemma 3.2 is almost the same as the proof of Lemma 4.9 in [9]. As the proof of Lemma 3.2 is almost identical to the proof of Lemma 4.9 in [9] up to straightforward modification, we omit the proof here.

We want to remark that the notion of (n, t, d)-systems, (n, t, d)-tuple systems, and Lemma 3.2 provide systematic frameworks for the absorption method that appeared in [12].

4. Proof of Theorem 1.2

In this section, we will prove Theorem 1.2.

Lemma 4.1. We fix the parameters as follows.

$$0 < \frac{1}{n} \ll \beta \ll \alpha \ll \varepsilon < 1.$$

Let D an n-vertex digraph with $\delta^0(D) \ge (\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon)n$. Then there is a set $R \subseteq V(D)$ with size $|R| \le \alpha n$ such that the following holds. For any distinct vertices $u, v \in V(D)$, we have $|N_D(u, v) \cap R| \ge \beta n$. Proof. Let $X = V(D) \times V(D) \setminus \{(v, v) : v \in V(D)\}$ and let Y = V(D). Let $\mathcal{P} = \{((u, v), w) \in X \times Y : w \in N_D(u, v)\}$. By the pigeonhole principle, every distinct pair of vertices (u, v) of V(G), we have $d_D(u, v) \ge 2\varepsilon n$. This implies that \mathcal{P} is a $(n, 1, 2\varepsilon)$ -system on (X, Y). By Lemma 3.2, there is $\mathcal{Y} \subseteq Y$ with $|\mathcal{Y}| \le \alpha n$ and for every $(u, v) \in X$, we have $|\{w\} \in \mathcal{Y} : ((u, v), w) \in \mathcal{P}| \ge \beta n$. This implies that $R = \mathcal{Y}$ is the desired set.

Lemma 4.2. We fix the positive parameters as follows.

$$0 < \frac{1}{n} \ll \beta \ll \alpha \ll \varepsilon < 1$$

Then the following holds. Let D be an n-vertex graph with $\delta^0(D) \ge (\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon)n$. Then there is a directed path A in D satisfying $|V(A)| \le \alpha n$ such that for every $U \subseteq V(G) \setminus A$ with $|U| \le \beta n$, there is a directed path P in D on the vertex set $V(A) \cup U$ that has the same endpoints with the path A.

Proof. For a vertex $u \in V(D)$, we say a tuple $(v, w) \in V(D) \times V(D)$ is a good tuple for u if $v \neq w$ and $\overrightarrow{vu}, \overrightarrow{vw} \in A(D)$. We claim that every vertex of D has many good tuples.

Claim 1. For every $u \in V(D)$, there are at least $4\varepsilon^2 n^2$ good tuples for u.

Proof. Let U be a subsets of V(D) with size at least $(\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon)n$ and U' be a subset of U with size at least $2\varepsilon n$. Then for every $u' \in U'$, we have $|N_D^+(u') \cap U| \ge 2\varepsilon n$. Thus, the inequality $|A_D[U', U]| = \sum_{u' \in U'} |N_D^+(u') \cap U| \ge 4\varepsilon^2 n^2$ holds. Take $U = N^+(u)$ and $U' = U \cap N^-(u)$. Then we have $|U| \ge (\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon)n$ and $|U'| \ge 2\varepsilon n$. Then for every $\overrightarrow{vw} \in A_D[U', U]$, the tuple (v, w) is good tuple for u. Since $A_D[U', U] \ge 4\varepsilon^2 n^2$, the claim holds.

Let X = V(D) and Y = V(D). Let $\mathcal{P} = \{(u, (v, w)) \in X \times Y^2 : (v, w) \text{ is a good tuple for } u\}$. Then by Claim 1, \mathcal{P} is a $(n, 2, 4\varepsilon^2)$ -system on (X, Y). Thus, by Lemma 3.2, there is a set $\mathcal{Y} \subseteq V(D)^2$ with size at most $\frac{\alpha}{3}$ such that elements in \mathcal{Y} are pairwise tuple-disjoint and for every $u \in V(D)$, we have $|\{(v, w) \in$ $\mathcal{Y} : (u, (v, w)) \in \mathcal{P}\}| \ge \beta n$. Let $\mathcal{Y} = \{(v_1, w_1), \dots, (v_\ell, w_\ell)\}$. Since we have $d_D(x, y) \ge 2\varepsilon n \ge \alpha n \ge 3|\mathcal{Y}|$ for every pair of distinct vertices (x, y), we can greedily choose distinct vertices $x_1, \dots, x_{\ell-1}$ which are disjoint from $\{v_1, \dots, v_\ell, w_1, \dots, w_\ell\}$ such that $\overrightarrow{w_i x_i}, \overrightarrow{x_i v_{i+1}} \in A(D)$ for each $i \in [\ell - 1]$. This implies that there is a directed path $A = v_1 w_1 x_1 v_2 w_2 x_2 \cdots x_{\ell-1} v_\ell w_\ell$ in D.

We now show that A is a desired directed path. Note that $|V(A)| \leq 3|\mathcal{Y}| \leq \alpha n$. Let $U \subseteq V(D) \setminus V(A)$ be a vertex set with size at most βn . By our choice of \mathcal{Y} , for each $u \in U$, there are at least βn pairs of (v_i, w_i) such that $\overrightarrow{v_i u}, \overrightarrow{uw_i} \in A(D)$. Thus, we can greedily absorb all the vertices of U by replacing the arc $\overrightarrow{v_i w_i}$ with $\overrightarrow{v_i u}, \overrightarrow{uw_i}$ and still maintain the same endpoints v_1 and w_ℓ . This proves the lemma.

We now prove Theorem 1.2. We fix the parameters as follows.

$$0 < \frac{1}{C} \ll \gamma \ll \beta \ll \alpha \ll \varepsilon < 1$$

Let *D* be an *n*-vertex digraph with $\delta^0(D) \ge (\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon)n$ where $n \ge Cm$. Let *H* be an *m*-arc digraph without isolated vertices. By Lemma 4.2, there is a path *A* in *D* that satisfy the Lemma 4.2 with parameters α and β . Let w_1, w_2 be the endpoints of the path *A*. Then we have $|V(A)| \le \alpha n$ and the following holds. For every $U \subseteq V(D) \setminus V(A)$ of size at most βn , there is a directed path *P* in *D* which starts at w_1 and ends at w_2 where $V(P) = V(A) \cup U$.

Let $D_1 := D - V(A) + \{w_1, w_2\}$. Then we have $\delta^0(D_1) \ge (\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2})n$ By Lemma 4.1, there is a set $R \subseteq V(D_1)$ that satisfies the conclusions of Lemma 4.1 with parameters $\frac{ve}{2}$, β , 2γ . If $R \cap \{w_1, w_2\} \neq \emptyset$, then we simply remove w_1 and w_2 from R. Then R is disjoint from $\{w_1, w_2\}$ and we have $|R| \le \beta n$ and

for any two distinct vertices x, y in $V(D_1)$, we have $|N_D(x, y) \cap R| \ge \gamma n$. Let $D_2 := D - (V(A) \cup R)$. Then we have $\delta^0(D_2) \ge (\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{4})n$.

Let $V(H) = \{x_1, \ldots, x_k\}$. We arbitrary choose vertices $v_1, \ldots v_k$ in D_2 . Let $D_3 \coloneqq D_2 - \{v_1, \ldots v_k\}$. Note that $k \leq 2m \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{4}Cm \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{4}n$. Thus, we have $\delta^0(D_3) \geq \frac{n}{2}$. By Theorem 1.3, the digraph D_3 has a directed Hamiltonian path starts from u_1 and ends at u_2 . Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\overrightarrow{x_1x_2} \in A(H)$. By our choice of R, there are three distinct vertices $z_1, z_2, z_3 \in R$ such that $\overrightarrow{v_1z_1}, \overrightarrow{z_1u_1}, \overrightarrow{u_2z_2}, \overrightarrow{z_2w_1}, \overrightarrow{w_2z_3}, \overrightarrow{z_3v_2} \in A(D)$. This implies that there is a directed path Q starts from v_1 and ends at v_2 such that Q contains the directed path A, all vertices of D_3 , and exactly three elements of R.

Let $A(H) \setminus \{\overline{x_1 x_2}\} = \{e_1, \ldots, e_{m-1}\}$. Since $\gamma n \geq \gamma Cm \geq m+2$, for each $i \in [m-1]$ where $e_i = \overline{x_a x_b}$, we can greedily choose distinct vertices $z_{e_i} \in R$ which is disjoint from $\{z_1, z_2, z_3\}$ such that $\overline{v_a z_i}, \overline{z_i v_b} \in E(G)$. Thus, we can obtain a subdivision of H that contains the directed path A, and the remaining vertices are contained in R. Since $|R| \leq \beta n$, by our choice of A, we can absorb the remaining vertices, hence we obtain a spanning subdivision of H in D. This completes the proof.

References

- József Balogh, Lina Li, and Andrew Treglown. "Tilings in vertex ordered graphs". In: Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B 155 (2022), pp. 171–201.
- [2] Paul Erdős, András Gyárfás, and László Pyber. "Vertex coverings by monochromatic cycles and trees". In: Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B 51.1 (1991), pp. 90–95.
- [3] Alain GHOUILAHOURI. "Une condition suffisante dexistence dun circuit hamiltonien". In: COMPTES RENDUS HEBDOMADAIRES DES SEANCES DE L ACADEMIE DES SCIENCES 251.4 (1960), pp. 495–497.
- [4] András Hajnal and Endre Szemerédi. "Proof of a conjecture of P. Erdos". In: Combinatorial theory and its applications 2 (1970), pp. 601–623.
- [5] Jie Han, Patrick Morris, and Andrew Treglown. "Tilings in randomly perturbed graphs: Bridging the gap between Hajnal-Szemerédi and Johansson-Kahn-Vu". In: *Random Structures & Algorithms* 58.3 (2021), pp. 480–516.
- [6] Joseph Hyde and Andrew Treglown. "A degree sequence version of the K\" uhn-Osthus tiling theorem". In: arXiv preprint arXiv:1909.12670 (2019).
- [7] Michael Krivelevich. "Triangle factors in random graphs". In: Combinatorics, Probability and Computing 6.3 (1997), pp. 337–347.
- [8] Daniela Kühn and Deryk Osthus. "The minimum degree threshold for perfect graph packings". In: *Combinatorica* 29.1 (2009), pp. 65–107.
- [9] Hyunwoo Lee. "On perfect subdivision tilings". In: arXiv preprint arXiv:2302.09393 (2023).
- [10] Allan Lo and Klas Markström. "F-Factors in Hypergraphs Via Absorption". In: Graphs and Combinatorics 31.3 (2015), pp. 679–712.
- [11] Matías Pavez-Signé. "Spanning subdivisions in Dirac graphs". In: arXiv preprint arXiv:2306.03994 (2023).
- [12] Vojtěch Rödl, Andrzej Ruciński, and Endre Szemerédi. "A Dirac-type theorem for 3-uniform hypergraphs". In: Combinatorics, Probability and Computing 15.1-2 (2006), pp. 229–251.
- [13] Yi Zhao. "Recent advances on Dirac-type problems for hypergraphs". In: Recent trends in combinatorics (2016), pp. 145–165.