

MULTIPLICITY RESULTS FOR CONSTANT Q -CURVATURE CONFORMAL METRICS

SALOMÓN ALARCÓN, JIMMY PETEAN, AND CAROLINA REY

ABSTRACT. We prove that certain subcritical Paneitz-Branson type equations on a closed Riemannian manifold (M, g) have at least $\text{Cat}(M)$ positive solutions, where $\text{Cat}(M)$ is the Lusternik-Schnirelmann category of M . This implies that if (X, h) is a closed positive Einstein manifold then for $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough there are at least $\text{Cat}(M)$ metrics of constant Q -curvature in the conformal class of the Riemannian product $g + \varepsilon h$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Consider a closed Riemannian manifold $(\mathbf{M}, \mathbf{g})$ of dimension $N \geq 5$. The Paneitz operator $P_{\mathbf{g}}$ is defined using a local $\mathbf{g}$ -orthonormal frame $(e_i)_{i=1}^n$, as

$$(1.1) \quad P_{\mathbf{g}}\psi := \Delta_{\mathbf{g}}^2\psi + \frac{4}{N-2} \operatorname{div}_{\mathbf{g}}(\operatorname{Ric}_{\mathbf{g}}(\nabla\psi, e_i)e_i) - \frac{N^2 - 4N + 8}{2(N-1)(N-2)} \operatorname{div}_{\mathbf{g}}(\operatorname{scal}_{\mathbf{g}} \nabla\psi) + \frac{N-4}{2} Q_{\mathbf{g}}\psi,$$

where $\Delta_{\mathbf{g}}u = \operatorname{div}_{\mathbf{g}}(\nabla_{\mathbf{g}}u)$ is the (negative) Laplace operator on $(\mathbf{M}, \mathbf{g})$, $\operatorname{div}_{\mathbf{g}}$ is the divergence, $\operatorname{scal}_{\mathbf{g}}$ is the scalar curvature and $\operatorname{Ric}_{\mathbf{g}}$ is the Ricci tensor. $Q_{\mathbf{g}}$ is the Q -curvature of $\mathbf{g}$, which is given by:

$$(1.2) \quad Q_{\mathbf{g}} := -\frac{1}{2(N-1)} \Delta_{\mathbf{g}} \operatorname{scal}_{\mathbf{g}} - \frac{2}{(N-2)^2} |\operatorname{Ric}_{\mathbf{g}}|^2 + \frac{N^3 - 4N^2 + 16N - 16}{8(N-1)^2(N-2)^2} \operatorname{scal}_{\mathbf{g}}^2.$$

The Paneitz operator and the related Q -curvature were introduced by Stephen Paneitz [24] and by Thomas Branson [8]. We denote by $[\mathbf{g}_0]$ the conformal class of a given metric $\mathbf{g}_0$ in $\mathbf{M}$. For practical reasons, we usually write a conformal metric $\mathbf{g} \in [\mathbf{g}_0]$ as $\mathbf{g} = u^{\frac{4}{N-4}} \mathbf{g}_0$, for a positive function $u : \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. The Paneitz operator is conformally invariant in the sense that for any $\psi : \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,

$$P_{\mathbf{g}}\psi = u^{-\frac{N+4}{N-4}} P_{\mathbf{g}_0}(u\psi).$$

It follows that we can express the Q -curvature of $\mathbf{g}$ in terms of $\mathbf{g}_0$ and u by:

$$Q_{\mathbf{g}} = \frac{2}{N-4} P_{\mathbf{g}}(1) = \frac{2}{N-4} u^{-\frac{N+4}{N-4}} P_{\mathbf{g}_0}(u).$$

Similarly to the Yamabe problem of finding metrics of constant scalar curvature in a conformal class, there has been great interest in studying the problem of finding metrics of constant Q -curvature in a conformal class.

It follows from the previous comments that finding a conformal metric $\mathbf{g} = u^{\frac{4}{N-4}} \mathbf{g}_0$ with constant Q -curvature amounts to finding a positive function u which solves the fourth order equation

$$(1.3) \quad P_{\mathbf{g}_0}u = \lambda u^{\frac{N+4}{N-4}}, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R}.$$

We call this equation the Paneitz-Branson Equation.

Note that the Q -curvature is defined if $\mathbf{M}$ has dimension greater than 2. However, when the dimension is 3 or 4, the constant curvature equation has a different form. Some significant results obtained in the 4-dimensional case are given, for instance, by Sun-Yung A. Chang and Paul C. Yang [10], by Simon Brendle [9] and by Zindine Djadli and Andrea Malchiodi [12]. In this work, we restrict our discussion to the case when the dimension is at least 5.

Previous research has considered the problem of the existence of metrics of constant Q -curvature in dimension $N \geq 5$. For example, Jie Qing and David Raske in [26] proved the existence of a positive solution of the Paneitz-Branson equation on a locally conformally flat manifold of positive scalar curvature and Poincaré exponent less than $\frac{N-4}{2}$. Meanwhile, Emmanuel Hebey and Frédéric Robert in [11] also proved the existence of a positive solution for locally conformally flat manifolds of positive scalar curvature, assuming that the Paneitz operator and the corresponding Green function were positive. Matthew J. Gursky and Andrea Malchiodi in [15] proved the existence of a positive solution under the conditions that Q_g is non-negative and positive somewhere in M and $\text{scal}_g \geq 0$.

Solutions of the Paneitz-Branson equation are the critical points of the functional $\mathcal{P}_g : H^2(\mathbf{M}) \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, given by

$$\mathcal{P}_g(u) := \frac{2}{N-4} \frac{\int_{\mathbf{M}} u P_g(u) dv_g}{\left(\int_{\mathbf{M}} |u|^{\frac{2N}{N-4}} dv_g \right)^{\frac{N-4}{N}}}.$$

Now consider the constant

$$Y_4(g) = \inf_{u \in C^\infty(M), u > 0} \mathcal{P}_g(u).$$

Fengbo Hang and Paul C. Yang proved in [17] that if $Y_4(g)$ is positive, and also $Q_g > 0$ and $\text{scal}_g > 0$ (under some weaker hypotheses, it is only needed that the Yamabe invariant of the conformal class of g is positive and that $Q_g \geq 0$ and positive somewhere) then $Y_4(g) \leq Y_4(g_0^N)$, where g_0^N is the round metric on the N -sphere, and the infimum is always realized by a positive function, which is a solution of the Paneitz-Branson equation. Other related existence results can be found in [11, 12, 13, 14, 16, 27].

In the case of the round sphere $(\mathbb{S}^N, g_0)$, there is a non-compact family of solutions to the Paneitz-Branson equation that comes from the family of conformal diffeomorphisms of the sphere. These are all the solutions of the Paneitz-Branson equation on the sphere by a theorem of Chang-Shou Lin [21].

There are also important results about the compactness of the space of solutions, for instance see [18, 19, 20, 22]. Examples of non-compactness of the space of positive solutions, different from the constant curvature metric on the sphere, were constructed in high dimensions by Juncheng Wei and Chunyi Zhao [30]. Naturally, if g is Einstein it has constant Q -curvature. Moreover, Jérôme Vétois [29] proved that if g is Einstein and it is not isometric to the constant curvature metric on the sphere, then it is, up to multiplication by a constant, the only metric of constant Q -curvature in its conformal class. This result is an analogue of the classical due to Morio Obata [23] about constant scalar curvature.

There are a few other cases where one can show the non-uniqueness of conformal metrics of constant Q -curvature. One case, which also appears for the Yamabe problem, is that of Riemannian products. If (M, g) and (X, h) are closed Einstein manifolds with positive Einstein constant, of dimension at least 3 then for any $\delta > 0$, the Riemannian product $(M \times X, g + \delta h)$ has constant positive Q -curvature and constant positive scalar curvature. It is easy to compute that as $\delta \rightarrow 0$ or $\delta \rightarrow \infty$ we have that $\mathcal{P}_{g+\delta h}(1) \rightarrow \infty$. We are in the conditions of the result mentioned above [17], and therefore in the conformal class of $g + \delta h$, there must be at least one other metric of constant Q -curvature, which minimizes $\mathcal{P}_{g+\delta h}$. Note that a similar argument works for the Yamabe problem, but in that case, one only needs that the scalar curvatures are constant and positive.

Renato Bettiol, Paolo Piccione and Yannick Sire [6] considered appropriate Riemannian submersions and reduce the equation to basic functions (functions which are constant along the fibers of the submersion). Using bifurcation theory for this reduced equation on the canonical variation obtained by varying the size of the fibers (under certain conditions the total spaces of these variations have

constant Q -curvature), they prove the existence of bifurcation instants, so proving the existence of metrics in the family for which there are other conformal metrics of constant Q -curvature.

This paper aims to provide a lower bound for the number of metrics of constant Q -curvature for a Riemannian product $(M \times X, g + \delta h)$ within the family of conformal metrics to $g + \delta h$. Using the Lusternik-Schnirelmann category, we prove the following multiplicity result.

Theorem 1.1. *Let (M, g) be a closed n -dimensional Riemannian manifold and (X, h) a closed m -dimensional Einstein manifold with Einstein constant $\Lambda_0 > 0$. Consider the Riemannian product $(M \times X, \mathbf{g}_\varepsilon := g + \varepsilon^2 h)$. Assume that $m \geq 3$ or, if $m = 2$, that $n \geq 7$. Then there exists $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that for any $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$ the Paneitz-Branson equation on $(M \times X, \mathbf{g}_\varepsilon)$ has at least $\text{Cat}(M)$ positive solutions.*

Here $\text{Cat}(M)$ denotes the Lusternik-Schnirelmann category of M , a topological invariant defined as the minimum number of contractible open sets needed to cover it. If M is a manifold, $\text{Cat}(M)$ coincides with the minimal number of critical points among all smooth scalar maps $M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ (see [28]). The solutions given in the theorem are functions on M . We will see in Section 2 that a function $u : M \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ solves the Paneitz-Branson equation on $(M \times X, \mathbf{g}_\varepsilon)$ if and only if

$$(1.4) \quad \Delta_g^2 u - \frac{N^2 - 4N + 8}{2(N-1)(N-2)}(m\Lambda_0\varepsilon^{-2})\Delta_g u + \frac{N-4}{2}\varepsilon^{-4}A_{N,m}u + \varphi(u) + \frac{N-4}{2}(f_0 + \varepsilon^{-2}f_2)u = u^{\frac{N+4}{N-4}}$$

where $N = n + m$ is the dimension of the product manifold $M \times X$, $\varphi(u)$ is a second order operator on u which verifies

$$\int_M \varphi(u) u \, dv_g \leq C \int_M |\nabla u|^2 \, dv_g,$$

for some constant C , $A_{N,m}$ is a positive constant that depends on N, m , and f_0 and f_2 are certain functions on M .

In general, for a closed n -dimensional Riemannian manifold (M, g) we can consider an equation of the form

$$\Delta_g^2 u + f(x)\Delta_g u + \phi(u) + g(x)u = h(x)u^q,$$

for functions f, g, h on M , $q \in (1, \infty)$, and a second order operator ϕ . This equation is called critical if $q = \frac{n+4}{n-4}$, subcritical if $q < \frac{n+4}{n-4}$ and supercritical if $q > \frac{n+4}{n-4}$ (if $n \leq 4$ it is considered subcritical for any $q > 1$). For instance, in [11, Section 2] the authors study the critical case when f, g are constant, $\phi = 0$.

Consider the equation

$$(1.5) \quad \Delta_g^2 u - b\varepsilon^{-2}\Delta_g u + a\varepsilon^{-4}u = u^q \quad \text{on } M$$

Assume that $a, b > 0$. For ε small Equation (1.4) is, in certain sense, close to Equation 1.5. It will be easy to check along the proof of Theorem 1.1 that it also gives a proof of

Theorem 1.2. *If $b^2 \geq 4a$ and $q < \frac{n+4}{n-4}$ (if $n > 4$), then there exists $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that for any $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$, Equation (1.5) has at least $\text{Cat}(M)$ positive solutions.*

In Section 2 we will give the explicit formula for the Paneitz operator $P_{\mathbf{g}_\varepsilon}$. In Section 3 we will see that the positive solutions of the Paneitz-Branson equation for $\mathbf{g}_\varepsilon$ are precisely the critical points of the functional

$$J_{\varepsilon,q}^{g,+}(u) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^n} \int_M \left(\frac{\varepsilon^4}{2}(\Delta_g u)^2 + \varepsilon^2 \frac{b_{N,m}}{2} |\nabla u|^2 + \frac{a_{N,m}}{2} u^2 + \varepsilon^4 \varphi(u)u + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2}(\varepsilon^2 f_0(x) + f_2(x))u^2 - \frac{(u^+)^{q+1}}{q+1} \right) dv_g$$

restricted to the associated Nehari manifold $\mathcal{N}_\varepsilon^g$. In the functional $u^+ = \max\{u, 0\}$. We will also show that $J_{\varepsilon,q}^{g,+}$ restricted to $\mathcal{N}_\varepsilon^g$ is bounded from below and satisfies the Palais-Smale condition.

By Lusternik-Schnirelmann theory it follows that for any d the number of positive solutions of the Paneitz-Branson equation is at least $\text{Cat}(\Sigma_{\varepsilon,d})$, where $\Sigma_{\varepsilon,d} = \{u \in \mathcal{N}_{\varepsilon}^g : J_{\varepsilon,q}^{g,+}(u) \leq d\}$. Let

$$\mathbf{m}_{\varepsilon} := \inf_{u \in \mathcal{N}_{\varepsilon}^g} J_{\varepsilon,q}^{g,+}(u).$$

The rest of the article is devoted to prove that for ε, δ small enough we have that $\text{Cat}(\Sigma_{\varepsilon, \mathbf{m}_{\varepsilon} + \delta}) \geq \text{Cat}(M)$. To prove this inequality we will use a method introduced by Vieri Benci and Giovanna Cerami in [3] to study multiplicity results for solutions of nonlinear elliptic problems in Euclidean domains. The method was later used also to study similar problems in Riemannian manifolds, for instance in [2]. It is sometimes called the photography method, see for instance [5] where there is a very clear and general description of the method.

In Section 4 we study the limit equation and functionals on $\mathbb{R}^n$. A positive, minimizing, solution of this limit equation is used later to construct, for any $x \in M$ a function in $\mathbf{p}(x) \in \Sigma_{\varepsilon, \mathbf{m}_{\varepsilon} + \delta}$, which is concentrated around x .

In Section 6 we show that for ε, δ small enough, functions $u \in \Sigma_{\varepsilon, \mathbf{m}_{\varepsilon} + \delta}$ are concentrated around some point. This is used in Section 7 to show that there is a well defined center of mass function $\mathbf{b} : \Sigma_{\varepsilon, \mathbf{m}_{\varepsilon} + \delta} \rightarrow M$. It is easily checked that $\mathbf{b} \circ \mathbf{p} : M \rightarrow M$ is close, and therefore homotopic to the identity. This implies the inequality $\text{Cat}(\Sigma_{\varepsilon, \mathbf{m}_{\varepsilon} + \delta}) \geq \text{Cat}(M)$.

2. CONSTANT Q -CURVATURE ON A PRODUCT MANIFOLD

In this section, we study the Paneitz operator and the Q -curvature in the case of a product manifold. Here we establish the necessary hypotheses to apply the techniques mentioned above.

Let (M, g) be a closed n -dimensional Riemannian manifold and (X, h) a closed m -dimensional Einstein manifold with positive Einstein constant Λ_0 , *i.e.*, its scalar curvature is $\text{scal}_h = m\Lambda_0$. We are interested in positive solutions of the constant Q -curvature equation (1.3) for the product manifold $(M \times X, \mathbf{g}_{\varepsilon} := g + \varepsilon^2 h)$, which is given by

$$P_{\mathbf{g}_{\varepsilon}} u = \lambda_{\varepsilon} u^{\frac{n+m+4}{n+m-4}}, \quad \lambda_{\varepsilon} \in \mathbb{R},$$

where $P_{\mathbf{g}_{\varepsilon}}$ is the Paneitz operator defined in (1.1). We consider the case $\lambda_{\varepsilon} > 0$ and so we can renormalize the previous equation as

$$P_{\mathbf{g}_{\varepsilon}} u = u^{\frac{n+m+4}{n+m-4}}.$$

We put $N := n + m$ and compute the Q -curvature for the product metric.

Lemma 2.1. *Let (M, g) be a closed n -dimensional Riemannian manifold and let (X, h) be a closed m -dimensional Einstein manifold with positive Einstein constant Λ_0 . If $N = n + m$, then the Q -curvature for the product manifold $(M \times X, \mathbf{g}_{\varepsilon})$ is*

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{\mathbf{g}_{\varepsilon}} = & -\frac{1}{2(N-1)} \Delta_g \text{scal}_g - \frac{2}{(N-2)^2} |\text{Ric}_g|^2 + \frac{N^3 - 4N^2 + 16N - 16}{8(N-1)^2(N-2)^2} \text{scal}_g^2 \\ & + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \frac{N^3 - 4N^2 + 16N - 16}{8(N-1)^2(N-2)^2} 2m \text{scal}_g \Lambda_0 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^4} \left(-\frac{2m\Lambda_0^2}{(N-2)^2} + \frac{N^3 - 4N^2 + 16N - 16}{8(N-1)^2(N-2)^2} m^2 \Lambda_0^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By using (1.2) and the fact that

$$|\text{Ric}_{\mathbf{g}_{\varepsilon}}|^2 = |\text{Ric}_g|^2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^4} |\text{Ric}_h|^2 = |\text{Ric}_g|^2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^4} m\Lambda_0^2,$$

straightforward calculations leads to the claim. $\square$

Now, calling

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} f_0 &:= -\frac{1}{2(N-1)}\Delta_g \text{scal}_g - \frac{2}{(N-2)^2} |\text{Ric}_g|^2 + \frac{N^3 - 4N^2 + 16N - 16}{8(N-1)^2(N-2)^2} (\text{scal}_g^2), \\ f_2 &:= \frac{N^3 - 4N^2 + 16N - 16}{4(N-1)^2(N-2)^2} m \text{scal}_g \Lambda_0, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(2.2) \quad A_{N,m} := \frac{m\Lambda_0^2}{(N-2)^2} \left(\frac{N^3 - 4N^2 + 16N - 16}{8(N-1)^2} m - 2 \right),$$

we get

$$Q_{g_\varepsilon} = f_0 + \varepsilon^{-2} f_2 + \varepsilon^{-4} A_{N,m}.$$

We get the following simple computation:

Lemma 2.2. *If $m = 2$ then $A_{N,2} < 0$ for $N = 5, 6, 7, 8$ and $A_{N,2} > 0$ for $N \geq 9$. If $m \geq 3$, then $A_{N,m} > 0$.*

Proof. Since we have a closed m -dimensional Einstein manifold with positive Einstein constant Λ_0 , we must have $m \geq 2$.

Assume first that $m = 2$. From (2.2), we have that $A_{N,2} > 0$ if and only if

$$N^3 - 4N^2 + 16N - 16 > 8(N-1)^2.$$

This inequality clearly holds if $N \geq 9$, whereas if $N = 5, 6, 7, 8$, we get $A_{N,2} < 0$.

Now, let $m \geq 3$. Then, $A_{N,m} > 0$ if and only if

$$m(N^3 - 4N^2 + 16N - 16) > 16(N-1)^2.$$

The expression on the left is clearly increasing with m and the lemma follows from straightforward calculations. $\square$

By restricting our study to functions that depend only on the first factor, $u : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we obtain the following expression for the restricted Paneitz operator:

$$P_{g_\varepsilon} u = \Delta_g^2 u + \frac{4}{N-2} \text{div}_g (\text{Ric}_g (\nabla u, e_i) e_i) - \frac{N^2 - 4N + 8}{2(N-1)(N-2)} \text{div}_{g_\varepsilon} (\text{scal}_{g_\varepsilon} \nabla u) + \frac{N-4}{2} Q_{g_\varepsilon} u$$

for a g -orthonormal frame $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^n$. Then, since $g_\varepsilon = g + \varepsilon^2 h$, we get

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} P_{g+\varepsilon^2 h} u &= \Delta_g^2 u + \frac{4}{N-2} \text{div}_g (\text{Ric}_g (\nabla u, e_i) e_i) - \frac{N^2 - 4N + 8}{2(N-1)(N-2)} \left(\text{div}_g (\text{scal}_g \nabla u) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \varepsilon^{-2} m \Lambda_0 \Delta_g u \right) + \frac{N-4}{2} (f_0 + \varepsilon^{-2} f_2 + \varepsilon^{-4} A_{N,m}) u. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, the constant Q -curvature equation (1.3) for the product manifold $(M \times X, g + \varepsilon^2 h)$ for a function $u : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is

$$(2.4) \quad \Delta_g^2 u - \frac{N^2 - 4N + 8}{2(N-1)(N-2)} \frac{m\Lambda_0}{\varepsilon^2} \Delta_g u + \frac{N-4}{2} \frac{A_{N,m}}{\varepsilon^4} u + \varphi(u) + \frac{N-4}{2} (f_0 + \varepsilon^{-2} f_2) u = u^{\frac{N+4}{N-4}},$$

where φ is a linear second order operator given by

$$(2.5) \quad \varphi(u) := \frac{4}{N-2} \text{div}_g (\text{Ric}_g (\nabla u, e_i) e_i) - \frac{N^2 - 4N + 8}{2(N-1)(N-2)} \text{div}_g (\text{scal}_g \nabla u).$$

Next we prove an elementary property of this operator.

Lemma 2.3. *Let φ be the operator defined in (2.5). There exists a positive constant C such that*

$$\int_M \varphi(u) u dv_g \leq C \int_M |\nabla u|^2 dv_g.$$

Proof. Using the divergence theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M \varphi(u) u dv_g &= \int_M \operatorname{div}_g \left(\frac{4}{N-2} (\operatorname{Ric}_g (\nabla u, e_i) e_i) - \frac{N^2 - 4N + 8}{2(N-1)(N-2)} \operatorname{scal}_g \nabla u \right) u dv_g \\ &= \int_M \left(\frac{4}{N-2} g_{ij} \operatorname{Ric}_g (\nabla u, e_i) (\nabla u)^j - \frac{N^2 - 4N + 8}{2(N-1)(N-2)} \operatorname{scal}_g |\nabla u|^2 \right) dv_g \\ &\leq C \int_M |\nabla u|^2 dv_g, \end{aligned}$$

where $C = C(m, n, g)$. □

Let

$$(2.6) \quad b_{N,m} := \frac{N^2 - 4N + 8}{2(N-1)(N-2)} m \Lambda_0, \quad \text{and} \quad a_{N,m} := \frac{N-4}{2} A_{N,m},$$

with $A_{N,m}$ as in (2.2). Then, from (2.3), we get

$$P_{g+\varepsilon^2 h} u = \Delta_g^2 u - b_{N,m} \varepsilon^{-2} \Delta_g u + a_{N,m} \varepsilon^{-4} u + \varphi(u) + \frac{N-4}{2} (f_0 + \varepsilon^{-2} f_2) u,$$

where f_0 and f_2 are given by (2.1). Consequently, after renormalization, we can rewrite the equation (2.4) as

$$(2.7) \quad \varepsilon^4 \Delta_g^2 u - \varepsilon^2 b_{N,m} \Delta_g u + a_{N,m} u + \varepsilon^4 \varphi(u) + \frac{N-4}{2} (\varepsilon^4 f_0 + \varepsilon^2 f_2) u = u^{\frac{N+4}{N-4}}.$$

Observe that if $\Lambda_0 > 0$ then $b_{N,m} > 0$, and by Lemma 2.2, $a_{N,m} > 0$ if $m \geq 3$ or $m = 2$ and $N \geq 9$. We also get the following result.

Lemma 2.4. *Let $b_{N,m}$ and $a_{N,m}$ be the constants defined in (2.6). Then $m \geq 3$, or $m = 2$ and $N \geq 9$, imply that $b_{N,m} > 2\sqrt{a_{N,m}}$.*

Proof. We have

$$b_{N,m}^2 - 4a_{N,m} \frac{16N\Lambda_0^2 m^2}{4(N-1)^2(N-2)^2} > 0.$$

The proof finishes from the previous calculation and bearing in mind that $b_{N,m} > 0$ and $a_{N,m} > 0$. □

Remark 2.5. *It is crucial for the computations throughout the rest of this paper to highlight that*

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon^4 \int_M u P_{g+\varepsilon^2 h} u dv_g &= \varepsilon^4 \int_M (\Delta_g u)^2 dv_g + \varepsilon^2 \int_M b_{N,m} |\nabla u|^2 dv_g + \int_M a_{N,m} u^2 dv_g \\ &\quad + \varepsilon^4 \int_M u \varphi(u) dv_g + \frac{N-4}{2} \int_M (\varepsilon^4 f_0 + \varepsilon^2 f_2) u^2 dv_g. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see then that for any $\delta > 0$, we can pick $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that for any $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$ and for any $u \in H^2(M)$ we have that

$$\begin{aligned} (1+\delta)^{-1} &\left(\varepsilon^4 \int_M (\Delta_g u)^2 dv_g + \varepsilon^2 \int_M b_{N,m} |\nabla u|^2 dv_g + \int_M a_{N,m} u^2 dv_g \right) \\ &\leq \varepsilon^4 \int_M u P_{g+\varepsilon^2 h} u dv_g \\ &\leq (1+\delta) \left(\varepsilon^4 \int_M (\Delta_g u)^2 dv_g + \varepsilon^2 \int_M b_{N,m} |\nabla u|^2 dv_g + \int_M a_{N,m} u^2 dv_g \right). \end{aligned}$$

We will use the previous remark in next sections to obtain estimates for $\varepsilon^4 \int_M u P_{g+\varepsilon^2 h} u \, dv_g$ by using estimates for the simpler expression $\varepsilon^4 \int_M (\Delta_g u)^2 dv_g + \varepsilon^2 \int_M b_{N,m} |\nabla u|^2 dv_g + \int_M a_{N,m} u^2 \, dv_g$.

3. PANEITZ FUNCTIONAL AND THE VARIATIONAL SETTING

In this section we establish some assumptions in order to study the problem of constant Q -curvature on a product manifold. Again, we consider a closed n -dimensional Riemannian manifold (M, g) and a closed m -dimensional Einstein manifold (X, h) with positive Einstein constant Λ_0 , and we denote by P_g to the Paneitz operator defined in (1.1) and by Q_g to the Q -curvature of g given by (1.2).

We denote by $\|\cdot\|_p$ the standard $L^p(M)$ -norm for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. We consider $H^2(M)$ endowed with the usual norm

$$\|u\|_{H^2(M)}^2 = \|\Delta_g u\|_2^2 + \|\nabla u\|_2^2 + \|u\|_2^2,$$

which is induced by the inner product

$$\langle u, v \rangle = \int_M \Delta_g u \Delta_g v \, dv_g + \int_M \nabla u \nabla v \, dv_g + \int_M u v \, dv_g.$$

A maximum principle for the Paneitz operator and an affirmative answer to the problem of finding metrics of constant Q -curvature in a closed Riemannian manifold was given by M. Gursky and A. Malchiodi in [15, Theorems A, D], and it reads as

Theorem [Gursky and Malchiodi]. *Let (M, g) be a closed Riemannian manifold of dimension $n \geq 5$. Assume*

- (i) Q_g is semi-positive,
- (ii) $\text{scal}_g \geq 0$.

If $u \in C^4$ satisfies

$$P_g u \geq 0,$$

then either $u > 0$ or $u \equiv 0$ on M . There exists a conformal metric $h = u^{\frac{4}{n-4}} g$ with constant positive Q -curvature and positive scalar curvature.

Consider the normalized total Q -curvature functional defined on the space of Riemannian metrics g on the n -dimensional manifold M , which is given by

$$\mathcal{Q}(g) := \frac{\int_M Q_g \, dv_g}{\text{Vol}(M, g)^{\frac{n-4}{n}}}.$$

Consider the restriction of the functional to the conformal class $[g]$ and the Paneitz functional $\mathcal{P}_g$ given by

$$\mathcal{P}_g(u) := \mathcal{Q}(u^{\frac{4}{n-4}} g) = \frac{2}{n-4} \frac{\int_M u P_g(u) \, dv_g}{\left(\int_M |u|^{\frac{2n}{n-4}} \, dv_g \right)^{\frac{n-4}{n}}}.$$

Then we define the following constants as in [14]:

$$Y_4(M, g) = \inf_{u \in C^\infty(M)} \mathcal{P}_g(u) \quad \text{and} \quad Y_4^+(M, g) = \inf_{h \in [g]} \mathcal{Q}(h) = \inf_{u > 0} \mathcal{P}_g(u).$$

We consider $m \geq 3$ and $n \geq 2$, or $m = 2$ and $n \geq 7$, so the Riemannian product $(X \times M, g_\varepsilon := g + \varepsilon^2 h)$ satisfies the conditions of Lemma 2.2. It follows from Lemma 2.1 that:

Lemma 3.1. *There exists $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that if $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$ then $\text{scal}_{g_\varepsilon} > 0$ and $Q_{g_\varepsilon} > 0$.*

In particular, for $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$, we are under the conditions of the Gursky-Malchiodi Theorem, and then the equality $Y_4(M, \mathbf{g}_\varepsilon) = Y_4^+(M, \mathbf{g}_\varepsilon)$ is achieved by a metric of constant Q -curvature.

For $q > 1$ consider the functional $J_{\varepsilon,q}^{g,+} : H^2(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$(3.1) \quad J_{\varepsilon,q}^{g,+}(u) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^n} \int_M \left(\varepsilon^4 \frac{1}{2} (\Delta_g u)^2 + \varepsilon^2 \frac{b_{N,m}}{2} |\nabla u|^2 + \frac{a_{N,m}}{2} u^2 + \varepsilon^4 \Phi(u) + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2} F(x, \varepsilon) u^2 - \frac{1}{q+1} (u^+)^{q+1} \right) dv_g$$

where

$$\Phi(u) = \varphi(u)u \quad \text{and} \quad F(x, \varepsilon) = \varepsilon^2 f_0(x) + f_2(x),$$

and f_0 and f_2 are defined in (2.1).

Also consider the associated Nehari manifold $\mathcal{N}_\varepsilon^g$, given by

$$(3.2) \quad \mathcal{N}_\varepsilon^g := \left\{ u \in H^2(M) \setminus \{0\} : \int_M (\varepsilon^4 (\Delta u)^2 + \varepsilon^2 b_{N,m} |\nabla u|^2 + a_{N,m} u^2 + \varepsilon^4 \Phi(u) + \varepsilon^2 F(x, \varepsilon) u^2) dv_g = \int_M (u^+)^{q+1} dv_g \right\}$$

Lemma 3.2. *Assume that $q > 1$ and if $n > 4$ that $q < \frac{n+4}{n-4}$. The functional $J_{\varepsilon,q}^{g,+} \in C^1(H^2(M), \mathbb{R})$ and*

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \langle (J_{\varepsilon,q}^{g,+})'(u), v \rangle &= \frac{1}{\varepsilon^n} \left(\varepsilon^4 \int_M \Delta_g u \Delta_g v dv_g + b_{N,m} \varepsilon^2 \int_M \nabla u \nabla v dv_g + a_{N,m} \int_M u v dv_g \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^n} \left(\varepsilon^4 \int_M \varphi(u) v dv_g + \varepsilon^2 \int_M (\varepsilon^2 f_0 + f_2) u v dv_g - \int_M (u^+)^{q-1} u v dv_g \right), \end{aligned}$$

for all $u, v \in H^2(M)$. Moreover, if $u \in H^2(M)$, then $(J_{\varepsilon,q}^{g,+})'(u) \in (H^2(M))^*$, where $(H^2(M))^*$ denotes the dual space of $H^2(M)$.

Proof. First, we write $J_{\varepsilon,q}^{g,+} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^n} (I_\varepsilon^1 - I_\varepsilon^2)$, where

$$I_\varepsilon^2(u) = \frac{1}{q+1} \int_M (u^+)^{q+1} dv_g,$$

and $I_\varepsilon^1 = J_{\varepsilon,q}^{g,+} + I_\varepsilon^2$. It is easy to see that for all $u, v \in H^2(M)$, (3.3) holds and that $I_\varepsilon^1 \in C^1(H^2(M), \mathbb{R})$.

Next, we show $I_\varepsilon^2 \in C^1(H^2(M), \mathbb{R})$. Let $\{u_j\} \subset H^2(M)$ a sequence such that $u_j \rightarrow u$ for some $u \in H^2(M)$, strongly in $H^2(M)$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$. Since $1 < q+1 < 2_n^\#$, there exists a subsequence of $\{u_j\}$ still denoted by $\{u_j\}$ such that $u_j \rightarrow u$ a.e. in M . By Hölder's inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \langle I_\varepsilon^{2'}(u_j), v \rangle \right| &\leq \int_M |(u_j^+)^{q-1} u_j v| dv_g \\ &\leq \int_M |u_j|^q |v| dv_g \\ &\leq \|u_j\|_{L^q(M)}^{1-1/q} \|v\|_{L^q(M)}^q \\ &\leq C \|u_j\|_{H^2(M)} \|v\|_{H^2(M)} \end{aligned}$$

since $H^2(M) \hookrightarrow L^q(M)$. Additionally, the fact that $u_j \rightarrow u$ strongly in $H^2(M)$ implies

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_j - u\|_{H^2(M)} = 0.$$

Then we have

$$\left\| I_\varepsilon^{2'}(u_j) - I_\varepsilon^{2'}(u) \right\| = \sup_{v \in H^2(M), \|v\|_{H^2(M)} \leq 1} \left| \langle I_\varepsilon^{2'}(u_j) - I_\varepsilon^{2'}(u), v \rangle \right| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } j \rightarrow \infty.$$

□

From the previous lemma, it follows that positive solutions of (2.7) belong to $\mathcal{N}_\varepsilon^g$ and are critical points for $J_{\varepsilon,q}^{g,+}$. Moreover, we get the following result.

Lemma 3.3. *For ε small enough, the critical points of $J_{\varepsilon,q}^{g,+}$ restricted to $\mathcal{N}_\varepsilon^g$ are the positive solutions of Equation (2.7).*

Proof. Let $u \in \mathcal{N}_\varepsilon^g$ be a critical point of $J_{\varepsilon,q}^{g,+}$ restricted to $\mathcal{N}_\varepsilon^g$. Then u must be nonnegative and a weak solution of (2.7). Since the embedding of $H^2(M)$ in $L^q(M)$ is compact, we know from the classical bootstrap argument that $u \in L^s(M)$ for all $s \geq 1$. By regularity theory (see [27]), we get $u \in C^4(M)$.

Finally, by the theorem of Gursky and Malchiodi [15, Theorem A] that we mentioned above we know that for ε small enough, if $u \in C^4(M \times X)$ satisfies

$$P_{g_\varepsilon} u \geq 0,$$

then either $u > 0$ or $u \equiv 0$ on $M \times X$. In consequence, u is positive and smooth. □

By definition, a sequence (u_i) of functions in $\mathcal{N}_\varepsilon^g$ is said to be a Palais-Smale sequence for the functional $J_{\varepsilon,q}^{g,+}$ in (3.1) if:

(PS1) $J_{\varepsilon,q}^{g,+}(u_i) = O(1)$, when $i \rightarrow \infty$, and

(PS2) $(J_{\varepsilon,q}^{g,+})'(u_i) \rightarrow 0$ in $(H^2(M))^*$ as $i \rightarrow +\infty$, where $(H^2(M))^*$ denotes the dual space of $H^2(M)$.

We say that $J_{\varepsilon,q}^{g,+}$ satisfies Palais-Smale condition in $\mathcal{N}_\varepsilon^g$, if for any Palais-Smale sequence $\{u_i\} \subset \mathcal{N}_\varepsilon^g$, there exists a convergent subsequence of $\{u_i\}$.

Theorem 3.4. *Assume that $q > 1$ and if $n > 4$ that $q < \frac{n+4}{n-4}$. Then, $J_{\varepsilon,q}^{g,+}$ restricted to $\mathcal{N}_\varepsilon^g$ is bounded below and it satisfies the Palais-Smale condition for ε small enough.*

Proof. Note that if $u \in \mathcal{N}_\varepsilon^g$ then $J_{\varepsilon,q}^{g,+}(u) \geq 0$. Let $\{u_i\}$ be a Palais-Smale sequence in $\mathcal{N}_\varepsilon^g$ for $J_{\varepsilon,q}^{g,+}$. Then, for ε small enough, we have

$$\begin{aligned} O(1) + o(1)\|u_i\|_{H^2(M)} &= (q+1)J_{\varepsilon,q}^{g,+}(u_i) - \langle (J_{\varepsilon,q}^{g,+})'(u_i), u_i \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{\varepsilon^n} \left(\frac{q-1}{2} \int_M (\varepsilon^4 (\Delta u)^2 + \varepsilon^2 b_{N,m} |\nabla u|^2 + a_{N,m} u^2) dv_g \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \varepsilon^4 q \int_M \varphi(u) u dv_g + \frac{q-1}{2} \int_M \varepsilon^2 F(\varepsilon, x) u^2 dv_g \right) \\ &\geq C \|u_i\|_{H^2(M)}^2, \end{aligned}$$

where $C = C(N, m, q, \varepsilon)$, due to Remark 2.5. It follows that $\|u_i\|_{H^2(M)}$ is bounded in $H^2(M)$ and in consequence, there is a subsequence, still denoted (u_i) which converges weakly to a function $u \in H^2(M)$ and strongly in $H^1(M)$. Set $v_i = u - u_i \in H^2(M)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} (3.4) \quad &\varepsilon^4 \int_M |\Delta_g u_i|^2 dv_g + b_{N,m} \varepsilon^2 \int_M |\nabla u_i|^2 dv_g + a_{N,m} \int_M u_i^2 dv_g \\ &= \varepsilon^4 \int_M (\Delta_g u)^2 dv_g + b_{N,m} \varepsilon^2 \int_M |\nabla u|^2 dv_g + a_{N,m} \int_M u^2 dv_g \\ &\quad - 2 \left(\varepsilon^4 \int_M \Delta_g u \Delta_g v_i dv_g + b_{N,m} \varepsilon^2 \int_M \nabla u \nabla v_i dv_g + a_{N,m} \int_M u v_i dv_g \right) \\ &\quad + \varepsilon^4 \int_M (\Delta_g v_i)^2 dv_g + b_{N,m} \varepsilon^2 \int_M |\nabla v_i|^2 dv_g + a_{N,m} \int_M v_i^2 dv_g \end{aligned}$$

Because of the weakly convergence in $H^2(M)$, we know that

$$o(1) = \int_M \Delta_g w \Delta_g v_i dv_g + \int_M \nabla w \nabla v_i dv_g + \int_M w v_i dv_g,$$

for all $w \in H^2(M)$, and the strong convergence in $H^1(M)$ implies

$$\int_M (|\nabla v_i|^2 + v_i^2) dv_g = o(1).$$

Then, from (3.4). we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \varepsilon^4 \int_M (\Delta_g u_i)^2 dv_g + b_{N,m} \varepsilon^2 \int_M |\nabla u_i|^2 dv_g + a_{N,m} \int_M u_i^2 dv_g \\ &= \varepsilon^4 \int_M (\Delta_g u)^2 dv_g + b_{N,m} \varepsilon^2 \int_M |\nabla u|^2 dv_g + a_{N,m} \int_M u^2 dv_g + \varepsilon^4 \int_M (\Delta_g v_i)^2 dv_g + o(1). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, since $2 \leq q+1 < 2_n^\sharp$ and the embedding $H^2(M) \hookrightarrow L^q(M)$ is compact, we can assume that $\lim_{i \rightarrow +\infty} u_i = u$ in $L^q(M)$. Consequently, we get that

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow +\infty} \int_M (u_i^+)^{q+1} dv_g = \int_M (u^+)^{q+1} dv_g.$$

Plugging this equalities in $\langle (J_{\varepsilon,q}^{g,+})'(u_i), v_i \rangle$, one gets that

$$\int_M (\Delta_g v_i)^2 dv_g = o(1)$$

which means, that the convergence in $H^2(M)$ is strong. $\square$

We are now in the position to apply the well known result from Lusternik-Schnirelmann theory, which says that a C^1 -functional J on a Banach manifold N which is bounded from below and satisfies the Palais-Smale condition has at least $\text{Cat}(\{x \in N : J(x) \leq a\})$ critical points, for any a (see for instance [1, Chapter 9] or [4]).

Let $\Sigma_{\varepsilon,d} = \{u \in \mathcal{N}_{\varepsilon}^g : J_{\varepsilon,q}^{g,+}(u) \leq d\}$. Then Lemma 3.3 and Theorem 3.4 imply:

Corollary 3.5. *There exists $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that for any $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$ and any $d > 0$ Equation (2.7) has at least $\text{Cat}(\Sigma_{\varepsilon,d})$ positive solutions.*

4. THE LIMIT EQUATION AND FUNCTIONALS ON $\mathbb{R}^n$

In this section we introduce the limit problem. Recall that in the equation $2 < q+1 < 2_n^\sharp$, where $2_n^\sharp = \infty$ if $n \leq 4$ and $2_n^\sharp = \frac{2n}{n-4}$ if $n > 4$. For $\alpha, \beta > 0$, consider $J_{\alpha,\beta} : H^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, given by

$$J_{\alpha,\beta}(u) := \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} ((\Delta u)^2 + \beta |\nabla u|^2 + \alpha u^2) dx.$$

Let $E_{\alpha,\beta,q} : H^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, defined by

$$E_{\alpha,\beta,q}(u) := \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} ((\Delta u)^2 + \beta |\nabla u|^2 + \alpha u^2) dx - \frac{1}{q+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u|^{q+1} dx.$$

Also let $Y_{\alpha,\beta,q} : H^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,

$$Y_{\alpha,\beta,q}(u) := \frac{J_{\alpha,\beta}(u)}{\|u\|_{q+1}^2}.$$

Consider

$$\mathcal{N}(\alpha, \beta, q) := \left\{ u \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \setminus \{0\} : 2J_{\alpha,\beta}(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u|^{q+1} dx \right\}.$$

Let

$$m_{\alpha,\beta} := \inf_{u \in \mathcal{N}(\alpha,\beta,q)} E_{\alpha,\beta,q}(u) = \inf_{u \in \mathcal{N}(\alpha,\beta,q)} \frac{q-1}{2(q+1)} \|u\|_{q+1}^{q+1} \geq 0.$$

Note that for any $u \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \setminus \{0\}$ there exists exactly one $\lambda = \lambda(u) > 0$ such that $\lambda(u)u \in \mathcal{N}(\alpha,\beta,q)$ and that for any constant $c \neq 0$, $Y_{\alpha,\beta,q}(cu) = Y_{\alpha,\beta,q}(u)$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} m_{\alpha,\beta} &= \inf_{u \in \mathcal{N}(\alpha,\beta,q)} \frac{q-1}{2(q+1)} \|u\|_{q+1}^{q+1} \\ &= \frac{q-1}{2(q+1)} \left(\inf_{u \in \mathcal{N}(\alpha,\beta,q)} \|u\|_{q+1}^{q-1} \right)^{\frac{q+1}{q-1}} \\ &= \frac{q-1}{2(q+1)} \left(\inf_{u \in \mathcal{N}(\alpha,\beta,q)} 2Y_{\alpha,\beta,q}(u) \right)^{\frac{q+1}{q-1}} \\ &= \frac{q-1}{q+1} 2^{\frac{2}{q-1}} \left(\inf_{u \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \setminus \{0\}} Y_{\alpha,\beta,q}(u) \right)^{\frac{q+1}{q-1}} \\ &= \frac{q-1}{q+1} 2^{\frac{2}{q-1}} \left(\inf_{u \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^n), \|u\|_{q+1}=1} J_{\alpha,\beta}(u) \right)^{\frac{q+1}{q-1}}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

Let us recall an important result from [7, Theorem 1.1] about this infimum.

Theorem [Bonheure and Nascimento]. *If $\beta \geq 2\sqrt{\alpha}$ then $m_{\alpha,\beta}$ is realized by a positive, radial (around some point), radially decreasing function.*

Assume from now on that $\beta \geq 2\sqrt{\alpha}$ and let $U_{\alpha,\beta} \in \mathcal{N}(\alpha,\beta,q)$ be a minimizer like in the theorem. Similarly consider

$$E_{\alpha,\beta,q}^+(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} ((\Delta u)^2 + \beta |\nabla u|^2 + \alpha u^2) dx - \frac{1}{q+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (u^+)^{q+1} dx$$

and $Y_{\alpha,\beta,q}^+ : \{u \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^n) : u^+ \neq 0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,

$$Y_{\alpha,\beta,q}^+(u) = \frac{J_{\alpha,\beta}(u)}{\|u^+\|_{q+1}^2}.$$

Note that if $u \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $u^+ \neq 0$, then $Y_{\alpha,\beta,q}^+(u) \geq Y_{\alpha,\beta,q}(u)$.

Let

$$\mathcal{N}^+(\alpha,\beta,q) = \left\{ u \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \setminus \{0\} : 2J_{\alpha,\beta}(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (u^+)^{q+1} dx \right\}.$$

In the same way as before, we can see that for any $u \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $u^+ \neq 0$ there exists exactly one $\lambda^+ = \lambda^+(u) > 0$ such that $\lambda^+(u)u \in \mathcal{N}^+(\alpha,\beta,q)$ and that for any positive constant c , $Y_{\alpha,\beta,q}^+(cu) = Y_{\alpha,\beta,q}^+(u)$. If we define

$$m_{\alpha,\beta}^+ := \inf_{u \in \mathcal{N}^+(\alpha,\beta,q)} E_{\alpha,\beta,q}^+(u) = \inf_{u \in \mathcal{N}^+(\alpha,\beta,q)} \frac{q-1}{2(q+1)} \|u^+\|_{q+1}^{q+1} \geq 0,$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} m_{\alpha,\beta}^+ &= \frac{q-1}{2(q+1)} \left(\inf_{u \in \mathcal{N}^+(\alpha,\beta,q)} \|u^+\|_{q+1}^{q-1} \right)^{\frac{q+1}{q-1}} \\ &= \frac{q-1}{q+1} 2^{\frac{2}{q-1}} \left(\inf_{u \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^n), u^+ \neq 0} Y_{\alpha,\beta,q}^+(u) \right)^{\frac{q+1}{q-1}} \\ &= \frac{q-1}{q+1} 2^{\frac{2}{q-1}} \left(\inf_{u \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^n), \|u^+\|_{q+1}=1} J_{\alpha,\beta}(u) \right)^{\frac{q+1}{q-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 4.1. *It follows easily from the previous observations that $m_{\alpha,\beta} \leq m_{\alpha,\beta}^+$. But since we have a minimizer for $m_{\alpha,\beta}$ which is a positive function it follows that actually $m_{\alpha,\beta} = m_{\alpha,\beta}^+$.*

Throughout the paper, we will use the following notation: $B(0, r)$ is the ball in $\mathbb{R}^N$ centered at 0 with radius r .

Given $\varepsilon > 0$ and $u : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, the function $u_\varepsilon(x) = u(\varepsilon^{-1}x)$ satisfies the following properties:

$$\Delta u_\varepsilon(x) = \varepsilon^{-2} \Delta u(\varepsilon^{-1}x), \quad \text{and} \quad |\nabla u_\varepsilon(x)|^2 = \varepsilon^{-2} |\nabla u(\varepsilon^{-1}x)|^2.$$

Note also that for any function f we have for any $r > 0$,

$$\int_{B(0, \varepsilon r)} f_\varepsilon(x) dx = \varepsilon^n \int_{B(0, r)} f(x) dx,$$

and, in consequence,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f_\varepsilon(x) dx = \varepsilon^n \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) dx.$$

Therefore

$$\varepsilon^{-n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\varepsilon^4 (\Delta u_\varepsilon)^2 + \varepsilon^2 \beta |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2 + \alpha u_\varepsilon^2) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} ((\Delta u)^2 + \beta |\nabla u|^2 + \alpha u^2) dx.$$

Now, consider the functionals

$$J_{\alpha,\beta}^\varepsilon(u) := \frac{\varepsilon^{-n}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\varepsilon^4 (\Delta u)^2 + \beta \varepsilon^2 |\nabla u|^2 + \alpha u^2) dx$$

and

$$E_{\alpha,\beta,q}^\varepsilon(u) := \frac{\varepsilon^{-n}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\varepsilon^4 (\Delta u)^2 + \beta \varepsilon^2 |\nabla u|^2 + \alpha u^2) dx - \frac{\varepsilon^{-n}}{q+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u|^{q+1} dx.$$

Consider

$$\mathcal{N}(\alpha, \beta, q, \varepsilon) := \left\{ u \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \setminus \{0\} : 2J_{\alpha,\beta}^\varepsilon(u) = \varepsilon^{-n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u|^{q+1} dx \right\}.$$

Note that $u \in \mathcal{N}(\alpha, \beta, q)$ if and only if $u_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{N}(\alpha, \beta, q, \varepsilon)$ and $J_{\alpha,\beta}(u) = J_{\alpha,\beta}^\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon)$, $E_{\alpha,\beta,q}(u) = E_{\alpha,\beta,q}^\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon)$. Therefore, from (4.1), for any $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$(4.2) \quad m_{\alpha,\beta} = \inf_{u \in \mathcal{N}(\alpha, \beta, q, \varepsilon)} E_{\alpha,\beta,q}^\varepsilon(u).$$

Let

$$(4.3) \quad U_{\alpha,\beta}^\varepsilon(x) = U_{\alpha,\beta}(\varepsilon^{-1}x),$$

where $U_{\alpha,\beta} \in \mathcal{N}(\alpha, \beta, q)$ is a minimizer like in the Theorem of Bonheure and Nascimento. Hence, $U_{\alpha,\beta}^\varepsilon \in \mathcal{N}(\alpha, \beta, q, \varepsilon)$ and realizes $\inf_{u \in \mathcal{N}(\alpha, \beta, q, \varepsilon)} E_{\alpha,\beta,q}^\varepsilon(u)$.

Define now $Y_{\alpha,\beta,q}^\varepsilon : H^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,

$$Y_{\alpha,\beta,q}^\varepsilon(u) := \frac{J_{\alpha,\beta}^\varepsilon(u)}{\varepsilon^{\frac{-2n}{q+1}} \|u\|_{q+1}^2}.$$

and note that from (4.1) and (4.2) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
m_{\alpha,\beta} &= \inf_{u \in \mathcal{N}(\alpha,\beta,q,\varepsilon)} \frac{q-1}{2q+2} \varepsilon^{-n} \|u\|_{q+1}^{q+1} \\
&= \frac{q-1}{2(q+1)} \varepsilon^{-n} \left(\inf_{u \in \mathcal{N}(\alpha,\beta,q,\varepsilon)} \|u\|_{q+1}^{q-1} \right)^{\frac{q+1}{q-1}} \\
&= \frac{q-1}{q+1} 2^{\frac{2}{q-1}} \left(\inf_{u \in \mathcal{N}(\alpha,\beta,q,\varepsilon)} Y_{\alpha,\beta,q}^\varepsilon(u) \right)^{\frac{q+1}{q-1}} \\
&= \frac{q-1}{q+1} 2^{\frac{2}{q-1}} \left(\inf_{u \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \setminus \{0\}} Y_{\alpha,\beta,q}^\varepsilon(u) \right)^{\frac{q+1}{q-1}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Definition 4.2. Let $\mathbf{Y}_{\alpha,\beta,q}^\varepsilon := \inf_{u \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \setminus \{0\}} Y_{\alpha,\beta,q}^\varepsilon(u)$.

Then we have that

$$(4.4) \quad \mathbf{Y}_{\alpha,\beta,q}^\varepsilon = 2^{\frac{-2}{q+1}} \left(\frac{q+1}{q-1} m_{\alpha,\beta} \right)^{\frac{q-1}{q+1}},$$

and, for any $u \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$(4.5) \quad J_{\alpha,\beta}^\varepsilon(u) \geq \mathbf{Y}_{\alpha,\beta,q}^\varepsilon \varepsilon^{\frac{-2n}{q+1}} \|u\|_{q+1}^2.$$

Similarly, let

$$E_{\alpha,\beta,q}^{\varepsilon,+}(u) = \frac{\varepsilon^{-n}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\varepsilon^4 (\Delta u)^2 + \varepsilon^2 \beta |\nabla u|^2 + \alpha u^2) dx - \frac{\varepsilon^{-n}}{q+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (u^+)^{q+1} dx.$$

Consider

$$\mathcal{N}^+(\alpha, \beta, q, \varepsilon) = \left\{ u \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \setminus \{0\} : 2J_{\alpha,\beta,\varepsilon}(u) = \varepsilon^{-n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (u^+)^{q+1} dx \right\}.$$

Note that $u \in \mathcal{N}^+(\alpha, \beta, q)$ if and only if $u_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{N}^+(\alpha, \beta, q, \varepsilon)$ and $J_{\alpha,\beta}(u) = J_{\alpha,\beta}^\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon)$, $E_{\alpha,\beta,q}^+(u) = E_{\alpha,\beta,q}^{\varepsilon,+}(u_\varepsilon)$, where

$$E_{\alpha,\beta,q}^+(u) := \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} ((\Delta u)^2 + \beta |\nabla u|^2 + \alpha u^2) dx - \frac{1}{q+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (u^+)^{q+1} dx.$$

Therefore, we also have that for any $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$m_{\alpha,\beta} = \inf_{u \in \mathcal{N}^+(\alpha,\beta,q,\varepsilon)} E_{\alpha,\beta,q}^{\varepsilon,+}(u).$$

Note that if $u \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $u^+ \neq 0$, there exists a unique $\lambda^{+,\varepsilon}(u) > 0$ such that $\lambda^{+,\varepsilon}(u) u \in \mathcal{N}^+(\alpha, \beta, q, \varepsilon)$.

Let $Y_{\alpha,\beta,q}^{\varepsilon,+} : \{u \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^n) : u^+ \neq 0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,

$$Y_{\alpha,\beta,q}^{\varepsilon,+}(u) := \frac{J_{\alpha,\beta}^\varepsilon(u)}{\varepsilon^{\frac{-2n}{q+1}} \|u^+\|_{q+1}^2}.$$

Then we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
m_{\alpha,\beta} &= \inf_{u \in \mathcal{N}^+(\alpha,\beta,q,\varepsilon)} E_{\alpha,\beta,q}^{\varepsilon,+}(u) \\
&= \inf_{u \in \mathcal{N}^+(\alpha,\beta,q,\varepsilon)} \frac{q-1}{2(q+1)} \varepsilon^{-n} \|u^+\|_{q+1}^{q+1} \\
&= \frac{q-1}{2(q+1)} \varepsilon^{-n} \left(\inf_{u \in \mathcal{N}^+(\alpha,\beta,q,\varepsilon)} \|u^+\|_{q+1}^{q-1} \right)^{\frac{q+1}{q-1}} \\
&= \frac{q-1}{q+1} 2^{\frac{2}{q-1}} \left(\inf_{u \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^n), u^+ \neq 0} Y_{\alpha,\beta,q}^{\varepsilon,+}(u) \right)^{\frac{q+1}{q-1}} \\
&= \frac{q-1}{q+1} 2^{\frac{2}{q-1}} \left(\inf_{u \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^n), \|u^+\|_{q+1}=1} J_{\alpha,\beta}^{\varepsilon}(u) \right)^{\frac{q+1}{q-1}}.
\end{aligned}$$

On $\mathbb{R}^n$ consider a smooth radial cut-off function $\varphi_s : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow [0, 1]$ supported in $B(0, s/2)$ such that it is equal to 1 on $B(0, s/4)$. Assume that $|\nabla \varphi_s|^2, (\Delta \varphi_s)^2 \leq D$, for some positive constant D (which depends on s). Then, for $\varepsilon > 0$ small, we define

$$(4.6) \quad U_{\alpha,\beta}^{\varepsilon,s} := \varphi_s U_{\alpha,\beta}^{\varepsilon}$$

where $U_{\alpha,\beta}^{\varepsilon}$ is given by (4.3).

Lemma 4.3. $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \lambda^{+,\varepsilon}(U_{\alpha,\beta}^{\varepsilon,s}) = 1$ and $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} E_{\alpha,\beta,q}^{\varepsilon,+}(\lambda^{+,\varepsilon}(U_{\alpha,\beta}^{\varepsilon,s})U_{\alpha,\beta}^{\varepsilon,s}) = m_{\alpha,\beta}$.

Proof. Note that

$$\lambda^{+,\varepsilon}(u) = \left(\frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\varepsilon^4 (\Delta u)^2 + \varepsilon^2 \beta |\nabla u|^2 + \alpha u^2) dx}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (u^+)^{q+1} dx} \right)^{\frac{1}{q-1}}$$

We have that $\Delta U_{\alpha,\beta}^{\varepsilon,s} = \Delta(U_{\alpha,\beta}^{\varepsilon} \varphi_s) = \varphi_s \Delta U_{\alpha,\beta}^{\varepsilon} + 2\langle \nabla U_{\alpha,\beta}^{\varepsilon}, \nabla \varphi_s \rangle + U_{\alpha,\beta}^{\varepsilon} \Delta \varphi_s$.

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
|\Delta U_{\alpha,\beta}^{\varepsilon,s}|^2 &= |\varphi_s \Delta U_{\alpha,\beta}^{\varepsilon}|^2 + |2\langle \nabla U_{\alpha,\beta}^{\varepsilon}, \nabla \varphi_s \rangle + U_{\alpha,\beta}^{\varepsilon} \Delta \varphi_s|^2 \\
&\quad + 2\varphi_s \Delta U_{\alpha,\beta}^{\varepsilon} (2\langle \nabla U_{\alpha,\beta}^{\varepsilon}, \nabla \varphi_s \rangle + U_{\alpha,\beta}^{\varepsilon} \Delta \varphi_s).
\end{aligned}$$

Note that for any $\delta > 0$ we have that

$$|2\varphi_s \Delta U_{\alpha,\beta}^{\varepsilon} (2\langle \nabla U_{\alpha,\beta}^{\varepsilon}, \nabla \varphi_s \rangle + U_{\alpha,\beta}^{\varepsilon} \Delta \varphi_s)| \leq \delta |\varphi_s^2 \Delta U_{\alpha,\beta}^{\varepsilon}|^2 + \delta^{-1} |2\langle \nabla U_{\alpha,\beta}^{\varepsilon}, \nabla \varphi_s \rangle + U_{\alpha,\beta}^{\varepsilon} \Delta \varphi_s|^2.$$

It follows that we can pick δ small and write

$$\begin{aligned}
&\varphi_s^2 (1 - \delta) (\varepsilon^4 (\Delta U_{\alpha,\beta}^{\varepsilon})^2 + O(\varepsilon^4) (|\nabla U_{\alpha,\beta}^{\varepsilon}|^2 + (U_{\alpha,\beta}^{\varepsilon})^2)) \\
&\leq \varepsilon^4 (\Delta U_{\alpha,\beta}^{\varepsilon,s})^2 \\
&\leq \varphi_s^2 (1 + \delta) (\varepsilon^4 (\Delta U_{\alpha,\beta}^{\varepsilon})^2 + O(\varepsilon^4) (|\nabla U_{\alpha,\beta}^{\varepsilon}|^2 + (U_{\alpha,\beta}^{\varepsilon})^2)).
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly

$$|\nabla U_{\alpha,\beta}^{\varepsilon,s}|^2 = |\varphi_s \nabla U_{\alpha,\beta}^{\varepsilon} + U_{\alpha,\beta}^{\varepsilon} \nabla \varphi_s|^2 \leq (1 + \delta) \varphi_s^2 |\nabla U_{\alpha,\beta}^{\varepsilon}|^2 + (1 + \delta^{-1}) |\nabla \varphi_s|^2 (U_{\alpha,\beta}^{\varepsilon})^2$$

and

$$|\nabla U_{\alpha,\beta}^{\varepsilon,s}|^2 \geq (1 - \delta) \varphi_s^2 |\nabla U_{\alpha,\beta}^{\varepsilon}|^2 + (1 - \delta^{-1}) |\nabla \varphi_s|^2 (U_{\alpha,\beta}^{\varepsilon})^2.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\varepsilon^4 (\Delta U_{\alpha,\beta}^{\varepsilon,s})^2 + \varepsilon^2 \beta |\nabla U_{\alpha,\beta}^{\varepsilon,s}|^2 + \alpha (U_{\alpha,\beta}^{\varepsilon,s})^2) dx \\
&\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\varepsilon^4 (1 + \delta) \varphi_s^2 (\Delta U_{\alpha,\beta}^{\varepsilon})^2 + \varepsilon^2 (1 + \delta) \beta \varphi_s^2 (1 + o(\varepsilon^2)) |\nabla U_{\alpha,\beta}^{\varepsilon}|^2 + \alpha \varphi_s^2 (1 + o(\varepsilon^2)) (U_{\alpha,\beta}^{\varepsilon})^2) dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore for any $\delta > 0$ we can choose $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that for every $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$ we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.7) \quad & \varepsilon^{-n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\varepsilon^4 (\Delta U_{\alpha,\beta}^{\varepsilon,s})^2 + \varepsilon^2 \beta |\nabla U_{\alpha,\beta}^{\varepsilon,s}|^2 + \alpha (U_{\alpha,\beta}^{\varepsilon,s})^2) dx \\
 & \leq \varepsilon^{-n} (1 + 2\delta) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\varepsilon^4 (\Delta U_{\alpha,\beta}^\varepsilon)^2 + \varepsilon^2 \beta |\nabla U_{\alpha,\beta}^\varepsilon|^2 + \alpha (U_{\alpha,\beta}^\varepsilon)^2) dx \\
 & = (1 + 2\delta) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} ((\Delta U_{\alpha,\beta})^2 + \beta |\nabla U_{\alpha,\beta}|^2 + \alpha (U_{\alpha,\beta})^2) dx.
 \end{aligned}$$

In the same way we can assume that for the same values of δ, ε_0 , and any $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$ to get

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.8) \quad & \varepsilon^{-n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\varepsilon^4 (\Delta U_{\alpha,\beta}^{\varepsilon,s})^2 + \varepsilon^2 \beta |\nabla U_{\alpha,\beta}^{\varepsilon,s}|^2 + \alpha (U_{\alpha,\beta}^{\varepsilon,s})^2) dx \\
 & \geq (1 - 2\delta) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\Delta (U_{\alpha,\beta})^2 + \beta |\nabla U_{\alpha,\beta}|^2 + \alpha (U_{\alpha,\beta})^2) dx.
 \end{aligned}$$

We can also see from (4.3) and (4.6), that

$$(4.9) \quad \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon^{-n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (U_{\alpha,\beta}^{\varepsilon,s})^{q+1} dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (U_{\alpha,\beta})^{q+1}.$$

Since $U_{\alpha,\beta} \in \mathcal{N}(\alpha, \beta, q)$ we have that $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \lambda^{+, \varepsilon}(U_{\alpha,\beta}^{\varepsilon,s}) = 1$.

Therefore we are only left to prove that $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} E_{\alpha,\beta,q}^{\varepsilon,+}(U_{\alpha,\beta}^{\varepsilon,s}) = m_{\alpha,\beta}$. But this also follows directly from (4.7), (4.8), (4.9). $\square$

5. THE VARIATIONAL SETTING ON A GENERAL RIEMANNIAN MANIFOLD

Consider a closed n -dimensional Riemannian manifold (M, g) . Let α, β be positive constants, $\beta^2 \geq 4\alpha$. As defined in the previous section, for Euclidean space, we consider $J_{\alpha,\beta}^{g,\varepsilon} : H^2(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ where

$$J_{\alpha,\beta}^{g,\varepsilon}(u) = \frac{\varepsilon^{-n}}{2} \int_M (\varepsilon^4 (\Delta_g u)^2 + \beta \varepsilon^2 |\nabla u|^2 + \alpha u^2) dv_g.$$

For $q > 1$ such that if $n \geq 5$, $q < \frac{n+4}{n-4}$, let

$$E_{\alpha,\beta,q}^{g,\varepsilon,+}(u) = \frac{\varepsilon^{-n}}{2} \int_M (\varepsilon^4 (\Delta_g u)^2 + \beta \varepsilon^2 |\nabla u|^2 + \alpha u^2) dv_g - \frac{\varepsilon^{-n}}{q+1} \int_M (u^+)^{q+1} dv_g.$$

Let

$$\mathcal{N}_g^+(\alpha, \beta, q, \varepsilon) = \left\{ u \in H^2(M) \setminus \{0\} : 2J_{\alpha,\beta}^\varepsilon(u) = \varepsilon^{-n} \int_M (u^+)^{q+1} dv_g \right\},$$

$$m_{\alpha,\beta,\varepsilon}^{g,+} = \inf_{u \in \mathcal{N}_g^+(\alpha, \beta, q, \varepsilon)} E_{\alpha,\beta,q}^{g,\varepsilon,+}(u) = \inf_{u \in \mathcal{N}_g^+(\alpha, \beta, q, \varepsilon)} \varepsilon^{-n} \frac{q-1}{2(q+1)} \|u^+\|_{L^{q+1}(M)}^{q+1} \geq 0.$$

Note as before that for any $u \in H^2(M)$ such that $u^+ \neq 0$ there is a unique positive number $\lambda^{g,\varepsilon,+}(u)$ such that $\lambda^{g,\varepsilon,+}(u) \cdot u \in \mathcal{N}_g^+(\alpha, \beta, q, \varepsilon)$.

Also define $Y_{\alpha,\beta,q}^{g,\varepsilon,+} : \{u \in H^2(M) : u^+ \neq 0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 Y_{\alpha,\beta,q}^{g,\varepsilon,+}(u) &= \frac{\frac{\varepsilon^{-n}}{2} \int_M (\varepsilon^4 (\Delta_g u)^2 + \beta \varepsilon^2 |\nabla u|^2 + \alpha u^2) dv_g}{\left(\varepsilon^{-n} \int_M (u^+)^{q+1} dv_g \right)^{\frac{2}{q+1}}} \\
 &= \varepsilon^{-n \frac{q-1}{q+1}} \frac{\frac{1}{2} \int_M (\varepsilon^4 (\Delta_g u)^2 + \beta \varepsilon^2 |\nabla u|^2 + \alpha u^2) dv_g}{\left(\int_M (u^+)^{q+1} dv_g \right)^{\frac{2}{q+1}}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Note that if $u \in \mathcal{N}_g^+(\alpha, \beta, q, \varepsilon)$ then $Y_{\alpha,\beta,q}^{g,\varepsilon,+}(u) = (1/2) \varepsilon^{-n \frac{q-1}{q+1}} \|u^+\|_{L^{q+1}(M)}^{q-1}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.1) \quad m_{\alpha,\beta,\varepsilon}^{g,+} &= \inf_{u \in \mathcal{N}_g^+(\alpha,\beta,q,\varepsilon)} \varepsilon^{-n} \frac{q-1}{2(q+1)} \|u^+\|_{L^{q+1}(M)}^{q+1} \\
&= \frac{q-1}{2(q+1)} \left(\inf_{u \in \mathcal{N}_g^+(\alpha,\beta,q,\varepsilon)} \varepsilon^{-n \frac{q-1}{q+1}} \|u^+\|_{L^{q+1}(M)}^{q-1} \right)^{\frac{q+1}{q-1}} \\
&= \frac{q-1}{q+1} 2^{\frac{q+1}{q-1}} \left(\inf_{u \in \mathcal{N}^+(\alpha,\beta,q,\varepsilon)} Y_{\alpha,\beta,q}^{g,\varepsilon,+}(u) \right)^{\frac{q+1}{q-1}} \\
&= \frac{q-1}{q+1} 2^{\frac{2}{q-1}} \left(\inf_{u \in \{u \in H^2(M): u^+ \neq 0\}} Y_{\alpha,\beta,q}^{g,\varepsilon,+}(u) \right)^{\frac{q+1}{q-1}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Remark 5.1. For any $x \in M$ identify the geodesic ball of some small radius r with the ball of radius r centered at 0 in $\mathbb{R}^n$ using the exponential map $\exp_x$. Let $\overline{U}_{\alpha,\beta,x}^{\varepsilon,s} = \exp_x \circ U_{\alpha,\beta}^{\varepsilon,s}$. Note that for any $\delta > 0$ we can find $r > 0$ such that on the ball $B_g(0, r)$ is δ -close to the Euclidean metric in the C^1 -topology. It follows that the volume elements, gradients, and Laplacian corresponding to g and to the Euclidean metric are δ -close.

Since for ε small enough we can get that $U_{\alpha,\beta}^{\varepsilon,s}$ is concentrated in any small ball it follows directly from the previous remark and Lemma 4.3 that:

Proposition 5.2. *We have*

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \lambda^{g,\varepsilon,+}(\overline{U}_{\alpha,\beta,x}^{\varepsilon,s}) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} E_{\alpha,\beta,q}^{\varepsilon,+}(\lambda^{g,\varepsilon,+}(\overline{U}_{\alpha,\beta,x}^{\varepsilon,s}) \overline{U}_{\alpha,\beta,x}^{\varepsilon,s}) = m_{\alpha,\beta}.$$

Definition 5.3. *With the notations from the previous remark define $\mathbf{i}: M \rightarrow \mathcal{N}(\alpha, \beta, q, \varepsilon)$ by*

$$\mathbf{i}(x) := \lambda^{g,\varepsilon,+}(\overline{U}_{\alpha,\beta,x}^{\varepsilon,s}) \overline{U}_{\alpha,\beta,x}^{\varepsilon,s}.$$

We prove

Theorem 5.4. *For any n -dimensional closed Riemannian manifold (M, g) we have that*

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} m_{\alpha,\beta,\varepsilon}^{g,+} = m_{\alpha,\beta}.$$

Proof. Since for any $x \in M$, $m_{\alpha,\beta,\varepsilon}^{g,+} \leq E_{\alpha,\beta,q}^{\varepsilon,+}(\mathbf{i}(x))$ it follows from the previous proposition that $\limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} m_{\alpha,\beta,\varepsilon}^{g,+} \leq m_{\alpha,\beta}$.

To complete the proof of the theorem we prove that $\liminf_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} m_{\alpha,\beta,\varepsilon}^{g,+} \geq m_{\alpha,\beta}$.

For any $\delta \in (0, 1)$ we can pick normal neighborhoods $U_1, \dots, U_L$ such that in normal coordinates g is C^1 -close to the Euclidean metric. Namely

$$(1 + \delta)^{-1} \leq g_{ii} \leq (1 + \delta)$$

$$|g_{ij}| \leq \delta, \quad i \neq j$$

$$|g_{ij,k}| \leq \delta$$

and

$$(1 + \delta)^{-1} dx \leq dv_g \leq (1 + \delta) dx$$

Let φ_k^2 , $k = 1, \dots, L$ be a partition of unity subordinate to $U_1, \dots, U_L$. Let D be an upper bound for $|\nabla \varphi_k|^2, (\Delta \varphi_k)^2$, $k = 1, \dots, L$.

For any $u \in H^2(M)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\varepsilon^{\frac{-n}{q+1}} u^+\|_{L^{q+1}(M)}^2 &\leq \|\varepsilon^{\frac{-n}{q+1}} u\|_{L^{q+1}(M)}^2 \\
&= \|\varepsilon^{\frac{-2n}{q+1}} u^2\|_{L^{\frac{q+1}{2}}(M)} \\
&= \left\| \sum_k \varepsilon^{\frac{-2n}{q+1}} \varphi_k^2 u^2 \right\|_{L^{\frac{q+1}{2}}(M)} \\
&\leq \sum_k \left(\varepsilon^{-n} \int_{U_k} |\varphi_k u|^{q+1} dv_g \right)^{\frac{2}{q+1}} \\
&\leq (1+\delta)^{\frac{2}{q+1}} \sum_k \left(\varepsilon^{-n} \int_{U_k} |\varphi_k u|^{q+1} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{q+1}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Using (4.5) we get

$$\left(\varepsilon^{-n} \int_{U_k} |\varphi_k u|^{q+1} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{q+1}} \leq (\mathbf{Y}_{\alpha,\beta,q}^\varepsilon)^{-1} J_{\alpha,\beta}^\varepsilon(\varphi_k u).$$

But

$$\begin{aligned}
J_{\alpha,\beta}^\varepsilon(\varphi_k u) &= \frac{\varepsilon^{-n}}{2} \int_{U_k} (\varepsilon^4 (\Delta(\varphi_k u))^2 + \varepsilon^2 \alpha |\nabla(\varphi_k u)|^2 + \beta (\varphi_k u)^2) dx \\
&\leq (1+\delta)^2 \frac{\varepsilon^{-n}}{2} \int_{U_k} (\varepsilon^4 (\Delta_g(\varphi_k u))^2 + \varepsilon^2 \alpha |\nabla(\varphi_k u)|^2 + \beta (\varphi_k u)^2) dv_g.
\end{aligned}$$

Arguing as in the proof of Lemma 4.3 we can now see that for ε small enough

$$J_{\alpha,\beta}^\varepsilon(\varphi_k u) \leq (1+\delta)(1+\delta)^2 \frac{\varepsilon^{-n}}{2} \int_{U_k} \varepsilon^4 \varphi_k^2 (\Delta_g u)^2 + \varepsilon^2 \alpha \varphi_k^2 |\nabla u|^2 + \beta \varphi_k^2 u^2 dv_g.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(\varepsilon^{-n} \int_M (u^+)^{q+1} dv_g \right)^{\frac{2}{q+1}} \\
&\leq (1+\delta)^{\frac{2}{q+1}} (\mathbf{Y}_{\alpha,\beta,q}^\varepsilon)^{-1} (1+\delta)^3 \sum_k \frac{\varepsilon^{-n}}{2} \int_{U_k} (\varepsilon^4 \varphi_k^2 (\Delta_g u)^2 + \varepsilon^2 \alpha \varphi_k^2 |\nabla u|^2 + \beta \varphi_k^2 u^2) dv_g \\
&= (1+\delta)^{\frac{2}{q+1}} (\mathbf{Y}_{\alpha,\beta,q}^\varepsilon)^{-1} (1+\delta)^3 J_{\alpha,\beta}^\varepsilon(u).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$Y_{\alpha,\beta,q}^{g,\varepsilon,+}(u) \geq \frac{1}{(1+\delta)^4} \mathbf{Y}_{\alpha,\beta,q}^\varepsilon.$$

Then by (4.4) and (5.1) it follows that

$$m_{\alpha,\beta,\varepsilon}^{g,+} \geq \frac{1}{(1+\delta)^4} m_{\alpha,\beta}.$$

Since this could be done for any $\delta > 0$ it follows that

$$\liminf_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} m_{\alpha,\beta,\varepsilon}^{g,+} \geq m_{\alpha,\beta}.$$

□

Now define

$$J_\varepsilon^g(u) := \frac{\varepsilon^{-n}}{2} \int_M \varepsilon^4 u P_{g+\varepsilon^2 h} u \, dv_g,$$

where $P_{g+\varepsilon^2 h}$ is given in (2.3). Observe that $\mathcal{N}_\varepsilon^g$ in (3.2) is given by

$$\mathcal{N}_\varepsilon^g = \left\{ u \in H^2(M) : \int_M (\varepsilon^4 u P_{g+\varepsilon^2 h} u) \, dv_g = \int_M (u^+)^{q+1} \, dv_g \right\},$$

and $J_{\varepsilon,q}^{g,+}(u)$ in (3.1) is given by

$$J_{\varepsilon,q}^{g,+}(u) := \frac{\varepsilon^{-n}}{2} \int_M \varepsilon^4 u P_{g+\varepsilon^2 h} u \, dv_g - \frac{\varepsilon^{-n}}{q+1} \int_M (u^+)^{q+1} \, dv_g.$$

We now put

$$\mathbf{m}_\varepsilon := \inf_{u \in \mathcal{N}_\varepsilon^g} J_{\varepsilon,q}^{g,+}(u).$$

It follows from Remark 2.5 and Theorem 5.4 that

Theorem 5.5. *It is verified that*

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \mathbf{m}_\varepsilon = m_{a_{N,m}, b_{N,m}}.$$

6. CONCENTRATION FOR FUNCTIONS ON $\Sigma_{\varepsilon, \mathbf{m}_\varepsilon + \delta}$

For any $d > 0$ we let $\Sigma_{\varepsilon,d} = \{u \in \mathcal{N}_\varepsilon^g : J_{\varepsilon,q}^{g,+}(u) < d\}$. In this section we show that for $\varepsilon > 0$, $\delta > 0$ small enough, the functions in $\Sigma_{\varepsilon, \mathbf{m}_\varepsilon + \delta}$ concentrate on a small ball. Namely, we prove:

Theorem 6.1. *Fix $\eta < 1$ and $r > 0$. There exist $\varepsilon_0, \delta_0 > 0$ such that for any $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$, $\delta \in (0, \delta_0)$ and any $u \in \Sigma_{\varepsilon, \mathbf{m}_\varepsilon + \delta}$ there exists $x \in M$ such that*

$$\int_{B_g(x,r)} (u^+)^{q+1} \, dv_g \geq \eta \int_M (u^+)^{q+1} \, dv_g.$$

Let $\alpha = a_{N,m}$, $\beta = b_{N,m}$. Consider as in the previous section

$$J_{\alpha,\beta}^{g,\varepsilon}(u) = \frac{\varepsilon^{-n}}{2} \int_M (\varepsilon^4 (\Delta_g u)^2 + \beta \varepsilon^2 |\nabla u|^2 + \alpha u^2) \, dv_g,$$

$$E_{\alpha,\beta,q}^{g,\varepsilon,+}(u) = \frac{\varepsilon^{-n}}{2} \int_M (\varepsilon^4 (\Delta_g u)^2 + \varepsilon^2 \beta |\nabla u|^2 + \alpha u^2) \, dv_g - \frac{\varepsilon^{-n}}{q+1} \int_M (u^+)^{q+1} \, dv_g,$$

$$\mathcal{N}_g^+(\alpha, \beta, q, \varepsilon) = \left\{ u \in H^2(M) \setminus \{0\} : 2J_{\alpha,\beta}^{g,\varepsilon}(u) = \varepsilon^{-n} \int_M (u^+)^{q+1} \, dv_g \right\},$$

$$\begin{aligned} (6.1) \quad m_{\alpha,\beta,\varepsilon}^{g,+} &= \inf_{u \in \mathcal{N}_g^+(\alpha,\beta,q,\varepsilon)} E_{\alpha,\beta,q}^{g,\varepsilon,+}(u) \\ &= \inf_{u \in \mathcal{N}_g^+(\alpha,\beta,q,\varepsilon)} J_{\alpha,\beta}^{g,\varepsilon}(u) \\ &= \inf_{u \in \mathcal{N}_g^+(\alpha,\beta,q,\varepsilon)} \frac{q-1}{2(q+1)} \varepsilon^{-n} \int_M (u^+)^{q+1} \, dv_g. \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$\Sigma_{\varepsilon,d}^{\alpha,\beta} = \{u \in \mathcal{N}_g^+(\alpha, \beta, q, \varepsilon) : E_{\alpha,\beta,q}^{g,\varepsilon,+}(u) \leq d\}.$$

In the rest of the paper, we will use the following notation: $B_g(x, r)$ is the ball in M centered at x with radius r with respect to the distance induced by the metric g .

Let $r_0 = r_0(M, g)$ be such that for any $0 < r < r_0$ and any $x \in M$, $B_g(x, r_0)$ is strongly convex.

Taking into account Remark 2.5 and Theorem 5.5 we see that Theorem 6.1 follows from

Theorem 6.2. Fix $r < r_0(M, g)$. For any $\eta < 1$ there exist $\varepsilon_0, \delta_0 > 0$ such that for any $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$, $\delta \in (0, \delta_0)$ and $u \in \Sigma_{\varepsilon, m_{\alpha, \beta, \varepsilon}^{g, +} + \delta}^{\alpha, \beta}$ there exists $x \in M$ such that $\int_{B_g(x, r)} (u^+)^{q+1} \geq \eta \int_M (u^+)^{q+1}$.

For any function $u \in H^2(M)$ such that $u^+ \neq 0$ we let as before

$$\lambda^{g, \varepsilon, +}(u) = \left(\frac{\int_M (\varepsilon^4 (\Delta_g u)^2 + \varepsilon^2 \beta |\nabla u|^2 + \alpha u^2) dv_g}{\int_M (u^+)^{q+1} dv_g} \right)^{\frac{1}{q-1}},$$

so that $\lambda^{g, \varepsilon, +}(u) u \in \mathcal{N}_g^+(\alpha, \beta, q, \varepsilon)$

Proposition 6.3. Let $u = u_1 + u_2 \in \mathcal{N}_g^+(\alpha, \beta, q, \varepsilon)$, where u_1 and u_2 have disjoint supports. For $i = 1, 2$ let $\varepsilon^{-n} \int_M (u_i^+)^{q+1} dv_g = a_i > 0$ and $\varepsilon^{-n} \int_M (\varepsilon^4 (\Delta_g u)^2 + \varepsilon^2 \beta |\nabla u|^2 + \alpha u^2) dv_g = b_i > 0$. Note that then $a_1 + a_2 = b_1 + b_2$ (since $u \in \mathcal{N}_g^+(\alpha, \beta, q, \varepsilon)$). Then

$$E_{\alpha, \beta, q}^{\varepsilon, +}(u) \geq m_{\alpha, \beta, \varepsilon}^{g, +} \left(\left(\frac{a_1}{b_1} \right)^{\frac{2}{q-1}} + \left(\frac{a_2}{b_2} \right)^{\frac{2}{q-1}} \right).$$

Proof. Note that $\lambda^{g, \varepsilon, +}(u_i) = \left(\frac{b_i}{a_i} \right)^{\frac{1}{q-1}}$. Then

$$m_{\alpha, \beta, \varepsilon}^{g, +} \leq E_{\alpha, \beta, q}^{\varepsilon, +} \left(\left(\frac{b_i}{a_i} \right)^{\frac{1}{q-1}} u_i \right) = \left(\frac{b_i}{a_i} \right)^{\frac{2}{q-1}} \frac{q-1}{2(q+1)} b_i,$$

or equivalently,

$$b_i \geq \frac{2(q+1)}{q-1} \left(\frac{a_i}{b_i} \right)^{\frac{2}{q-1}} m_{\alpha, \beta, \varepsilon}^{g, +}.$$

Then

$$E_{\alpha, \beta, q}^{\varepsilon, +}(u) = \frac{q-1}{2(q+1)} (b_1 + b_2) \geq m_{\alpha, \beta, \varepsilon}^{g, +} \left(\left(\frac{a_1}{b_1} \right)^{\frac{2}{q-1}} + \left(\frac{a_2}{b_2} \right)^{\frac{2}{q-1}} \right).$$

□

Now consider the following elementary result:

Lemma 6.4. Let $r > 0$ and x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2 be positive numbers such that $x_1 + x_2 = y_1 + y_2 = r$. Then

$$\varphi(x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2) = \left(\frac{x_1}{y_1} \right)^{\frac{2}{q-1}} + \left(\frac{x_2}{y_2} \right)^{\frac{2}{q-1}} > 1.$$

For any $\delta \in (0, 1)$ consider the set

$$A_\delta := \{(x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2) \in (\mathbb{R}_{>0})^4 : x_1 + x_2 = y_1 + y_2 = r, x_1, x_2 \geq \delta r\}$$

and let $\Psi(\delta) := \inf_{A_\delta} \varphi$. Then $\Psi : (0, 1) \rightarrow (1, \infty)$ is a continuous function, and Ψ is independent of r .

We can deduce

Corollary 6.5. Let $u = u_1 + u_2 \in \mathcal{N}_g^+(\alpha, \beta, q, \varepsilon)$, where the functions u_1, u_2 have disjoint supports. Moreover assume that $\varepsilon^{-n} \int_M (u_i^+)^{q+1} dv_g > \delta$ for some $\delta > 0$, $i = 1, 2$. Then

$$E_{\alpha, \beta, q}^{\varepsilon, +}(u) \geq \Psi(\delta) m_{\alpha, \beta, \varepsilon}^{g, +}.$$

Fix $r < r_0(M, g)$.

Fix a positive integer l and another positive integer i , $1 < i < l$. Consider bump functions $\varphi_{i, l}$ which is equal to 1 in $\left[0, \frac{(i-1)}{l}r\right]$ and 0 in $\left[\frac{i}{l}r, \infty\right)$, and $\sigma_{i, l}$ which is 1 in $\left[\frac{(i+1)}{l}r, \infty\right)$ and 0 in $\left[0, \frac{i}{l}r\right]$.

Remark 6.6. We can assume that $|(\varphi_{i,l})'|, |(\sigma_{i,l})'| \leq 3l/r$, $|(\varphi_{i,l})''|, |(\sigma_{i,l})''| \leq 9(l/r)^2$.

For any $x \in M$, consider the function $\varphi_{i,l}^{r,x} : M \rightarrow [0, 1]$ which is 0 away from $B_g(x, r) \subset M$ and in $B_g(x, r)$ (identified with the r -ball in $\mathbb{R}^n$) is given by $\varphi_{i,l}(d(0, -))$. Define $\sigma_{i,l}^{r,x}$ in a similar way. Now for any function $u \in \mathcal{N}_\varepsilon^g$ define $u_{1,i,l}^{r,x} = \varphi_{i,l}^{r,x} u$ and $u_{2,i,l}^{r,x} = \sigma_{i,l}^{r,x} u$. Note that since $u^+ \neq 0$ we have that $(u_{1,i,l}^{r,x} + u_{2,i,l}^{r,x})^+ \neq 0$. Note also that these two functions have disjoint supports.

Let

$$\bar{u} := \bar{u}_{i,l}^{r,x} = \lambda^{q,\varepsilon,+} (u_{1,i,l}^{r,x} + u_{2,i,l}^{r,x}) (u_{1,i,l}^{r,x} + u_{2,i,l}^{r,x}).$$

Lemma 6.7. For the closed Riemannian manifold (M, g) fix $0 < r < r_0(M, g)$ and $\delta_0 > 0$. There exists $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ and $l \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for any $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$ we have that for any $u \in \Sigma_{\varepsilon, m_{\alpha,\beta,\varepsilon}^{g,+} + \delta_0}^{\alpha,\beta}$ and any $x \in M$ there exist i , $1 < i < l$ such that $\bar{u} \in \Sigma_{\varepsilon, m_{\alpha,\beta,\varepsilon}^{g,+} + 2\delta_0}^{\alpha,\beta}$

Proof. Assume from the beginning that ε is small enough so that $m_{\alpha,\beta,\varepsilon}^{g,+}$ is close to $m_{\alpha,\beta}$ (using Theorem 5.4). Pick $\eta \in (0, 1)$, close to 1, such that (for any such ε)

$$(6.2) \quad (m_{\alpha,\beta,\varepsilon}^{g,+} + \delta_0) \left(\frac{9-8\eta}{\eta} \right)^{\frac{2}{q-1}} (9-8\eta) < m_{\alpha,\beta,\varepsilon}^{g,+} + 2\delta_0.$$

We assume that the positive integer l is large enough so that $8/l < 1 - \eta$.

Consider any ε small and $u \in \Sigma_{\varepsilon, m_{\alpha,\beta,\varepsilon}^{g,+} + \delta_0}$. Pick any $x \in M$. Assume l is even and divide $B_g(x, r)$ into $l/2$ annular regions of radius $(2/l)r$. Call A_j , $j = 1, \dots, l/2$ each of these regions.

For any nonnegative integrable function f on $B(x, r)$ we have

$$\int_{B_g(x,r)} f dv_g = \sum_{j=1}^{l/2} \int_{A_j} f dv_g.$$

Then $\#\{j : \int_{A_j} f dv_g \geq (8/l) \int_{B_g(x,r)} f dv_g\} \leq l/8$. Applying this observation to $(u^+)^{q+1}, (\Delta_g u)^2$ and $|\nabla u|^2$ we see that there exists $j > 1$ such that

$$(6.3) \quad \int_{A_j} (\Delta_g u)^2 dv_g \leq \frac{8}{l} \int_M (\Delta_g u)^2 dv_g < (1 - \eta) \int_M (\Delta_g u)^2 dv_g,$$

$$(6.4) \quad \int_{A_j} |\nabla u|^2 dv_g \leq \frac{8}{l} \int_M |\nabla u|^2 dv_g < (1 - \eta) \int_M |\nabla u|^2 dv_g$$

and

$$(6.5) \quad \int_{A_j} (u^+)^{q+1} dv_g \leq \frac{8}{l} \int_M (u^+)^{q+1} dv_g < (1 - \eta) \int_M (u^+)^{q+1} dv_g.$$

Note that if $i = 2j - 1$ then outside of A_j we have that $u^+ = (u_{1,i,l}^{r,x})^+ + (u_{2,i,l}^{r,x})^+$. Therefore from (6.5) we get

$$(6.6) \quad \|(u_{1,i,l}^{r,x})^+ + (u_{2,i,l}^{r,x})^+\|_{q+1}^{q+1} > \eta \|u^+\|_{q+1}^{q+1}.$$

Note that the gradients and Laplacians of $u_{1,i,l}^{r,x}$ and $u_{2,i,l}^{r,x}$ also have disjoint supports. Also note that $|u_{1,i,l}^{r,x}| + |u_{2,i,l}^{r,x}| \leq |u|$ and away from A_j , $u = u_{1,i,l}^{r,x} + u_{2,i,l}^{r,x}$.

We have

$$\int_{A_j} (\Delta_g (u_{1,i,l}^{r,x} + u_{2,i,l}^{r,x}))^2 dv_g = \int_{A_j} (\Delta_g u_{1,i,l}^{r,x})^2 dv_g + \int_{A_j} (\Delta_g u_{2,i,l}^{r,x})^2 dv_g$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{A_j} (\Delta_g(u_{1,i,l}^{r,x}))^2 dv_g &= \int_{A_j} \left(\varphi_{i,l}^{x,r} \Delta_g u + u \Delta_g \varphi_{i,l}^{x,r} + 2 \langle \nabla \varphi_{i,l}^{x,r}, \nabla u \rangle \right)^2 dv_g \\
&\leq 3 \int_{A_j} \left((\varphi_{i,l}^{x,r})^2 (\Delta_g u)^2 + u^2 (\Delta_g \varphi_{i,l}^{x,r})^2 + 4 |\nabla u|^2 |\nabla \varphi_{i,l}^{x,r}|^2 \right) dv_g \\
&\leq 3 \int_{A_j} (\Delta_g u)^2 dv_g + 3 \left(9 \frac{l^2}{r^2} \right)^2 \int_{A_j} u^2 dv_g + 12 \left(3 \frac{l}{r} \right)^2 \int_{A_j} |\nabla u|^2 dv_g
\end{aligned}$$

A similar inequality is obtained for $u_{2,i,l}^{r,x}$ and we have:

$$\int_{A_j} (\Delta_g(u_{1,i,l}^{r,x} + u_{2,i,l}^{r,x}))^2 dv_g \leq 6 \int_{A_j} (\Delta_g u)^2 dv_g + 6 \left(9 \frac{l^2}{r^2} \right)^2 \int_{A_j} u^2 dv_g + 24 \left(3 \frac{l}{r} \right)^2 \int_{A_j} |\nabla u|^2 dv_g$$

Using (6.3) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_M (\Delta_g(u_{1,i,l}^{r,x} + u_{2,i,l}^{r,x}))^2 dv_g \\
&= \int_{A_j} (\Delta_g(u_{1,i,l}^{r,x} + u_{2,i,l}^{r,x}))^2 dv_g + \int_{M \setminus A_j} (\Delta_g(u_{1,i,l}^{r,x} + u_{2,i,l}^{r,x}))^2 dv_g \\
&\leq (6 - 6\eta) \int_M (\Delta u)^2 dv_g + \int_M (\Delta u)^2 dv_g + 6 \left(9 \frac{l^2}{r^2} \right)^2 \int_M u^2 dv_g + 24 \left(3 \frac{l}{r} \right)^2 \int_M |\nabla u|^2 dv_g.
\end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\int_{A_j} |\nabla(u_{1,i,l}^{r,x} + u_{2,i,l}^{r,x})|^2 dv_g = \int_{A_j} |\nabla u_{1,i,l}^{r,x}|^2 dv_g + \int_{A_j} |\nabla u_{2,i,l}^{r,x}|^2 dv_g$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{A_j} |\nabla u_{1,i,l}^{r,x}|^2 dv_g &= \int_{A_j} |\varphi_{i,l}^{x,r} \nabla u + u \nabla \varphi_{i,l}^{x,r}|^2 dv_g \\
&\leq 2 \int_{A_j} \left((\varphi_{i,l}^{x,r})^2 |\nabla u|^2 + u^2 |\nabla \varphi_{i,l}^{x,r}|^2 \right) dv_g \\
&\leq 2 \int_{A_j} \left(|\nabla u|^2 + 9 \frac{l^2}{r^2} u^2 \right) dv_g.
\end{aligned}$$

The same estimate can be carried out for $\int_{A_j} |\nabla u_{2,i,l}^{r,x}|^2 dv_g$. Hence

$$\int_{A_j} |\nabla(u_{1,i,l}^{r,x} + u_{2,i,l}^{r,x})|^2 dv_g \leq 4 \int_{A_j} \left(|\nabla u|^2 + 9 \frac{l^2}{r^2} u^2 \right) dv_g.$$

Hence, we obtain from (6.4)

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_M |\nabla(u_{1,i,l}^{r,x} + u_{2,i,l}^{r,x})|^2 dv_g &= \int_{A_j} |\nabla(u_{1,i,l}^{r,x} + u_{2,i,l}^{r,x})|^2 dv_g + \int_{M \setminus A_j} |\nabla(u_{1,i,l}^{r,x} + u_{2,i,l}^{r,x})|^2 dv_g \\
&\leq (4 - 4\eta) \int_M |\nabla u|^2 dv_g + \int_{M \setminus A_j} |\nabla u|^2 dv_g + 36 \frac{l^2}{r^2} \int_M u^2 dv_g \\
&\leq (5 - 4\eta) \int_M |\nabla u|^2 dv_g + 36 \frac{l^2}{r^2} \int_M u^2 dv_g.
\end{aligned}$$

We have:

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_M (\varepsilon^4 (\Delta_g(u_{1,i,l}^{r,x} + u_{2,i,l}^{r,x}))^2 + \beta \varepsilon^2 |\nabla(u_{1,i,l}^{r,x} + u_{2,i,l}^{r,x})|^2 + \alpha (u_{1,i,l}^{r,x} + u_{2,i,l}^{r,x})^2) dv_g \\ & \leq (7 - 6\eta) \int_M \varepsilon^4 (\Delta_g u)^2 dv_g + O(\varepsilon^4) \int_M (|\nabla u|^2 + u^2) dv_g \\ & \quad + (5 - 4\eta) \varepsilon^2 \int_M |\nabla u|^2 dv_g + O(\varepsilon^2) \int_M u^2 dv_g + \alpha \int_M u^2 dv_g. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for the values of η and l we have already fixed, there is $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that for any $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_M (\varepsilon^4 (\Delta_g(u_{1,i,l}^{r,x} + u_{2,i,l}^{r,x}))^2 + \beta \varepsilon^2 |\nabla(u_{1,i,l}^{r,x} + u_{2,i,l}^{r,x})|^2 + \alpha (u_{1,i,l}^{r,x} + u_{2,i,l}^{r,x})^2) dv_g \\ & \leq (9 - 8\eta) \int_M (\varepsilon^4 (\Delta_g u)^2 + \beta \varepsilon^2 |\nabla u|^2 + \alpha u^2) dv_g. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from the previous inequality, the formula for $\lambda^{g,\varepsilon,+}$ and (6.6) that

$$\lambda^{g,\varepsilon,+}(u_{1,i,l}^{r,x} + u_{2,i,l}^{r,x}) \leq \left(\frac{9 - 8\eta}{\eta} \right)^{\frac{1}{q-1}}.$$

Then, for $\bar{u} = \lambda^{g,\varepsilon,+}(u_{1,i,l}^{r,x} + u_{2,i,l}^{r,x})(u_{1,i,l}^{r,x} + u_{2,i,l}^{r,x}) \in \mathcal{N}_g^+(\alpha, \beta, q, \varepsilon)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & J_{\alpha,\beta}^{g,\varepsilon}(\bar{u}) = \\ & \frac{(\lambda^{g,\varepsilon,+})^2 (u_{1,i,l}^{r,x} + u_{2,i,l}^{r,x})}{2\varepsilon^n} \int_M (\varepsilon^4 (\Delta(u_{1,i,l}^{r,x} + u_{2,i,l}^{r,x}))^2 + \beta \varepsilon^2 |\nabla(u_{1,i,l}^{r,x} + u_{2,i,l}^{r,x})|^2 + \alpha (u_{1,i,l}^{r,x} + u_{2,i,l}^{r,x})^2) dv_g \\ & \leq \left(\frac{9 - 8\eta}{\eta} \right)^{\frac{2}{q-1}} (9 - 8\eta) J_{\alpha,\beta}^{g,\varepsilon}(u). \end{aligned}$$

So if $u \in \Sigma_{\varepsilon, m_{\alpha,\beta,\varepsilon}^+ + \delta_0}^{\alpha,\beta}$ we have that

$$E_{\alpha,\beta,q}^{\varepsilon,+}(\bar{u}) \leq \left(\frac{9 - 8\eta}{\eta} \right)^{\frac{2}{q-1}} (9 - 8\eta) E_{\alpha,\beta,q}^{\varepsilon,+}(u),$$

and by (6.2) we obtain

$$E_{\alpha,\beta,q}^{\varepsilon,+}(\bar{u}) < m_{\alpha,\beta,\varepsilon}^{g,+} + 2\delta_0.$$

□

Remark 6.8. On any closed Riemannian manifold for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a set of points x_j , $j = 1, \dots, K_\varepsilon$ such that the closed balls $\overline{B_g(x_j, \varepsilon)}$ are disjoint, and the set is maximal under this condition. It follows that the balls $\overline{B_g(x_j, 2\varepsilon)}$ cover M . It is easy to construct closed sets A_j , $\overline{B_g(x_j, \varepsilon)} \subset A_j \subset \overline{B_g(x_j, 2\varepsilon)}$ which cover M and only intersect in their boundaries. Moreover one can see by a volume comparison argument that if ε is small enough there is a constant K , independent of ε , such that for any point in M belongs to at most K of the balls $B_g(x_j, 3\varepsilon)$. (K would be approximately the maximal number of disjoint balls of radius $1/4$ in the Euclidean space contained in the ball of radius 1).

The next result shows that for ε small there is a small ball which contains a meaningful part of u^+ . It is similar to the results in [2, Lemma 5.3] and [25, Proposition 3.6] for a similar situation for (second order) Yamabe-type equations.

Proposition 6.9. *There exist $\gamma > 0$ and $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that for any $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$ if $u \in \mathcal{N}_g^+(\alpha, \beta, q, \varepsilon)$ then there exists $x \in M$ such that*

$$\varepsilon^{-n} \int_{B_g(x, 2\varepsilon)} (u^+)^{q+1} dv_g \geq \gamma.$$

Proof. Let us consider $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that for $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$ one can construct sets like in the previous remark.

Consider $u \in \mathcal{N}_g^+(\alpha, \beta, q, \varepsilon)$. Let $u_j = u^+ \chi_{A_j}$ be the restriction of u^+ to A_j (extended by 0 away from A_j in order to consider it as a function on M). Then

$$\begin{aligned} (6.7) \quad \varepsilon^{-n} \int_M (\varepsilon^4 (\Delta_g u)^2 + \beta \varepsilon^2 |\nabla u|^2 + \alpha u^2) dv_g &= \varepsilon^{-n} \int_M (u^+)^{q+1} dv_g \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{K_\varepsilon} (\varepsilon^{-\frac{n(q-1)}{q+1}} \|u_j\|_{q+1}^{q-1}) (\varepsilon^{-\frac{2n}{q+1}} \|u_j\|_{q+1}^2) \\ &\leq \left(\max_j \varepsilon^{-\frac{n(q-1)}{q+1}} \|u_j\|_{q+1}^{q-1} \right) \sum_j \varepsilon^{-\frac{2n}{q+1}} \|u_j\|_{q+1}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$\Gamma = \max_j \varepsilon^{-\frac{n(q-1)}{q+1}} \|u_j\|_{q+1}^{q-1}.$$

Note that we have to prove that there exists a positive constant γ , independent of ε and u such that

$$\Gamma^{\frac{q+1}{q-1}} \geq \gamma.$$

To obtain such a lower bound for Γ we have to bound from above each of the terms $\varepsilon^{-\frac{2n}{q+1}} \|u_j\|_{q+1}^2$.

We first point out that from the local, second order, Sobolev inequalities, there is a constant C independent of ε and x such that for any $x \in M$, $v \in H_0^2(B_g(x, \varepsilon))$,

$$\varepsilon^{\frac{-2n}{q+1}} \left(\int_{B_g(x, \varepsilon)} |v|^{q+1} dv_g \right)^{\frac{2}{q+1}} \leq C \varepsilon^{-n} \int_{B_g(x, \varepsilon)} (\varepsilon^4 (\Delta_g v)^2 + \beta \varepsilon^2 |\nabla v|^2 + \alpha v^2) dv_g.$$

Now, let φ_ε be a cut-off function on $\mathbb{R}^n$ which is 1 in $B_g(0, 2\varepsilon)$, vanishes away from $B_g(0, 3\varepsilon)$, $|\nabla \varphi_\varepsilon| \leq 3/\varepsilon$ and $|\Delta \varphi_\varepsilon| \leq 9/\varepsilon^2$ in the intermediate annulus.

Define, for $j = 1 \dots K_\varepsilon$,

$$u_{j,\varepsilon}(x) = u(x) \varphi_\varepsilon(d(x, x_j)).$$

Since $u_j \leq |u_{j,\varepsilon}|$ we have that

$$(6.8) \quad \varepsilon^{-\frac{2n}{q+1}} \|u_j\|_{q+1}^2 \leq \varepsilon^{-\frac{2n}{q+1}} \|u_{j,\varepsilon}\|_{q+1}^2$$

and by using the Sobolev inequalities we obtain that, for some constant C ,

$$(6.9) \quad \varepsilon^{-\frac{2n}{q+1}} \|u_{j,\varepsilon}\|_{q+1}^2 \leq C \varepsilon^{-n} \int_{B_g(x_j, 3\varepsilon)} (\varepsilon^4 (\Delta_g u_{j,\varepsilon})^2 + \beta \varepsilon^2 |\nabla u_{j,\varepsilon}|^2 + \alpha u_{j,\varepsilon}^2) dv_g.$$

Since $|u_{j,\varepsilon}| \leq |u|$ we have that

$$\varepsilon^2 |\nabla u_{j,\varepsilon}|^2 \leq 2\varepsilon^2 |\nabla u|^2 + 18(u)^2$$

and

$$\varepsilon^4 (\Delta_g u_{j,\varepsilon})^2 \leq 3\varepsilon^4 (\Delta_g u)^2 + 108\varepsilon^2 |\nabla u|^2 + 243u^2.$$

Since α, β are fixed positive constants and any point $x \in M$ could be in at most K of the balls $B_g(x_j, 3\varepsilon)$ (and therefore, it has an open neighbourhood which can only intersect the support of

the corresponding $\nabla u_{j,\varepsilon}$'s), it follows from the previous inequalities that there is a constant E (independent of ε) such that

$$(6.10) \quad \sum_j \int_{B_g(x_j, 3\varepsilon)} (\varepsilon^4 (\Delta_g u_{j,\varepsilon})^2 + \varepsilon^2 \beta |\nabla u_{j,\varepsilon}|^2 + \alpha u_{j,\varepsilon}^2) dv_g \leq E \int_M (\varepsilon^4 (\Delta_g u)^2 + \varepsilon^2 \beta |\nabla u|^2 + \alpha u^2) dv_g.$$

Then, from (6.7), (6.8), (6.9) and (6.10), we get

$$\varepsilon^{-n} \int_M (\varepsilon^4 (\Delta_g u)^2 + \varepsilon^2 \beta |\nabla u|^2 + \alpha u^2) dv_g \leq \Gamma C E \varepsilon^{-n} \int_M (\varepsilon^4 (\Delta_g u)^2 + \varepsilon^2 \beta |\nabla u|^2 + \alpha u^2) dv_g$$

Therefore

$$\Gamma \geq \frac{1}{CE}$$

and we can take

$$\gamma = \left(\frac{1}{CE} \right)^{\frac{q+1}{q-1}}.$$

□

Finally we can prove Theorem 6.2

Proof of Theorem 6.2. Assume the theorem is not true. Then there exist $\eta < 1$ and sequences of positive numbers $\varepsilon_j \rightarrow 0$, $\delta_j \rightarrow 0$, and $u_j \in \Sigma_{\varepsilon_j, m_{\alpha, \beta, \varepsilon_j}^{g, +} + \delta_j}^{\alpha, \beta}$ such that for any $x \in M$ one has

$$\int_{B_g(x, r)} (u_j^+)^{q+1} < \eta \int_M (u_j^+)^{q+1}.$$

For each j large enough the previous Proposition provides $x_j \in M$ such that, for some fixed $\gamma > 0$,

$$\varepsilon_j^{-n} \int_{B_g(x_j, 2\varepsilon_j)} (u_j^+)^{q+1} dv_g \geq \gamma.$$

Lemma 6.7 then gives a function $\overline{u_j} = u_{j,1} + u_{j,2}$ such that $\overline{u_j} \in \Sigma_{\varepsilon_j, m_{\alpha, \beta, \varepsilon_j}^{g, +} + 2\delta_j}^{\alpha, \beta}$, $u_{j,1}$ is supported inside the ball of radius r centered at x_j , $u_{j,1}$ and $u_{j,2}$ have disjoint support, $u_j = u_{j,1}$ in $B_g(x_j, \varepsilon_j)$ and $u_j = u_{j,2}$ outside $B_g(x_j, r)$.

We have that

$$\varepsilon_j^{-n} \int_M (u_{j,1}^+)^{q+1} dv_g \geq \gamma$$

and, using (6.1),

$$\varepsilon_j^{-n} \int_M (u_{j,2}^+)^{q+1} dv_g > \varepsilon_j^{-n} (1 - \eta) \int_M (u_j^+)^{q+1} dv_g \geq (1 - \eta) \frac{2(q+1)}{q-1} m_{\alpha, \beta, \varepsilon_j}^{g, +}.$$

Then it follows from Corollary 6.5 that there exists $\delta > 0$, independent of j , such that

$$E_{\alpha, \beta, q}^{\varepsilon_j, +}(\overline{u_j}) \geq \Psi(\delta) m_{\alpha, \beta, \varepsilon_j}^{g, +}.$$

But for j large enough we have that

$$E_{\alpha, \beta, q}^{\varepsilon_j, +}(\overline{u_j}) < m_{\alpha, \beta, \varepsilon_j}^{g, +} + 2\delta_j < \Psi(\delta) m_{\alpha, \beta, \varepsilon_j}^{g, +},$$

reaching a contradiction. □

7. THE LUSTERNIK-SCHNIRELMANN CATEGORY OF $\Sigma_{\varepsilon, \mathbf{m}_\varepsilon + \delta}$

In this section we prove:

Theorem 7.1. *There exist $\varepsilon_0 > 0$, $\delta_0 > 0$ such that for any $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$, we have*

$$\text{Cat}(\Sigma_{\varepsilon, \mathbf{m}_\varepsilon + \delta_0}) \geq \text{Cat}(M).$$

Note that then Theorem 1.1 follows from Corollary 3.5 .

In the proof we make use of the center of mass function defined in [25, Section 5]. We briefly recall the definition. For $r > 0$, $\eta \in (0, 1)$ let

$$L_{r, \eta}^1 = \left\{ u \in L^1(M) \setminus \{0\} : \sup_{x \in M} \int_{B_g(x, r)} |u| dv_g > \eta \int_M |u| dv_g \right\}.$$

If $r_0 > 0$ is such that for any $x \in M$ the ball $B_g(x, r_0)$ is strongly convex then it is proved in [25, Theorem 5.2] for any $r < r_0/2$ and any $\eta > 1/2$ that there exist a continuous function $\mathbf{CM}(r, \mu) : L_{r, \mu}^1 \rightarrow M$ such that for any $x \in M$ such that $\int_{B_g(x, r)} |u| dv_g > \eta \int_M |u| dv_g$ we have $\mathbf{CM}(r, \eta)(u) \in B_g(x, 2r)$.

Proof of Theorem 7.1. Fix $r < r_0/2$. Let $\eta = 0.9$. Fix $\varepsilon_0 > 0$, $\delta_0 > 0$ as in Theorem 6.1 and pick any $\delta \in (0, \delta_0)$. Then for any $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$ we have that if $u \in \Sigma_{\varepsilon, \mathbf{m}_\varepsilon + \delta}$ then $(u^+)^{q+1} \in L_{r, \eta}^1$.

Define $\mathbf{b} : \Sigma_{\varepsilon, \mathbf{m}_\varepsilon + \delta} \rightarrow M$ by $\mathbf{b}(u) = \mathbf{CM}(r, 0.9)((u^+)^{q+1})$. Note that $\mathbf{b}$ is a continuous function.

Next we consider for any $x \in M$ the function $\overline{U}_{\alpha, \beta, x}^{\varepsilon, r}$ as in Remark 5.1, which is supported in $B_g(x, r)$. Consider as in Definition 5.3 the function $\mathbf{i}(x) \in \mathcal{N}(\alpha, \beta, q, \varepsilon)$.

Note that there exists $\lambda > 0$ such that $\lambda \mathbf{i}(x) \in \mathcal{N}_\varepsilon^g$, with $\mathcal{N}_\varepsilon^g$ as in (3.2), and λ is close to 1 by Remark 2.5. We can also see from Remark 2.5, Proposition 5.2, Theorem 5.4, Theorem 5.5 that with δ fixed we can choose ε_0 smaller if necessary so that for any $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$ we have that $J_\varepsilon^g(\lambda \mathbf{i}(x)) < \mathbf{m}_\varepsilon + \delta$.

Define $\mathbf{p} : M \rightarrow \Sigma_{\varepsilon, \mathbf{m}_\varepsilon + \delta}$ by $\mathbf{p}(x) = \lambda \mathbf{i}(x)$.

It follows from Theorem 5.2 in [25] that for any x , $\mathbf{b}(\mathbf{p}(x)) \in B_g(x, 2r)$. Then it follows from the election of r that for any $x \in M$, $\mathbf{b} \circ \mathbf{p}(x)$ is joined to x by a unique minimizing geodesic contained in $B_g(x, 2r)$. Then $\mathbf{b} \circ \mathbf{p}$ is homotopic to the identity of M and the theorem follows. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Ambrosetti, A. Malchiodi, *Nonlinear Analysis and Semilinear Elliptic Problems* Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 104, Cambridge University Press (2007).
- [2] V. Benci, C. Bonano, A. M. Micheletti, *On the multiplicity of solutions of a nonlinear elliptic problem on Riemannian manifolds*, J. Funct. Anal. 252 (2007), 464-489.
- [3] V. Benci, G. Cerami, *The effect of the domain topology on the number of positive solutions of nonlinear elliptic problems*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 114 (1991), 79-93.
- [4] V. Benci, G. Cerami, D. Passaseo, *On the number of the positive solutions of some nonlinear elliptic problems*, A. Ambrosetti, A. Marino (Eds.), Nonlinear Analysis, A Tribute in Honour of Giovanni Prodi, Publ. Sc. Norm. Sup. Pisa, Ed. Norm. Pisa, Pisa (1991), 93-107.
- [5] V. Benci, S. Nardulli, L. E. Osorio Acevedo, P. Piccione, *Lusternik–Schnirelman and Morse Theory for the Van der Waals–Cahn–Hilliard equation with volume constraint*, Nonlinear Analysis 220 (2022), 112851.
- [6] R. Bettiol, P. Piccione, Y. Sire, *Nonuniqueness of conformal metrics of constant Q -curvature*, IMRN 2021, vol 9 (2021), 6967-6992.
- [7] D. Bonheure, R. Nascimento, *Waveguide solutions for a nonlinear Schrödinger equation with mixed dispersion*, Contributions to nonlinear elliptic equations and systems, Progr. Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl., vol. 86, Birkhäuser/Springer, Cham, (2015), 31-53.
- [8] T. P. Branson, *Differential operators canonically associated to a conformal structure*, Math. Scand., 57 (1985), 293-345.
- [9] S. Brendle, *Global existence and convergence for a higher order flow in conformal geometry*, Ann. of Math. (2) 158 (2003), no. 1, 323-343.

- [10] S.-Y. A. Chang, P. C. Yang, *Extremal metrics of zeta function determinants on 4-manifolds*, Ann. of Math. (2) 142 (1995), no. 1, 171-212.
- [11] Z. Djadli, E. Hebey, M. Ledoux, *Paneitz-type operators and applications*, Duke Math. J. 104 (2000), 129-169
- [12] Z. Djadli, A. Malchiodi, *Existence of conformal metrics with constant Q -curvature*, Ann. Math. 168 (2008), 813-858.
- [13] P. Esposito, F. Robert, *Mountain pass critical points for Paneitz-Branson operators*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 15 (2002), 493-517.
- [14] M. J. Gursky, F. Hang, Y.-J. Lin, *Riemannian manifolds with positive Yamabe invariant and Paneitz operator*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN 5 (2016), 1348-1367.
- [15] M. J. Gursky, A. Malchiodi, *A strong maximum principle for the Paneitz operator and a non-local flow for the Q -curvature*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) 17 (2015), no. 9, 2137-2173.
- [16] F. Hang, P. C. Yang, *Sign of Green's function of Paneitz operators and the Q curvature*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN 19 (2015), 9775-9791.
- [17] F. Hang, P. C. Yang, *Q -curvature on a class of manifolds with dimension at least 5*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 69 (2016), no. 8, 1452-1491.
- [18] E. Hebey, F. Robert, *Compactness and global estimates for the geometric Paneitz equation in high dimensions*, Electron. Res. Announc. Amer. Math. Soc. 10 (2004), 135-141.
- [19] G. Li, *A compactness theorem on Branson's Q -curvature equation*, Pacific J. Math. 302 (2019), no. 1, 119-179.
- [20] Y.Y. Li and J. Xiong, *Compactness of conformal metrics with constant Q -curvature. I*, Adv. Math. 345 (2019), 116-160.
- [21] C.-S. Lin, *A classification of solutions of a conformally invariant fourth order equation in $\mathbb{R}^n$* , Comment. Math. Helv. 73 (1998), 206-231.
- [22] A. Malchiodi, *Compactness of solutions to some geometric fourth-order equations*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 594 (2006), 137-174.
- [23] M. Obata, *The conjectures on conformal transformations of Riemannian manifolds*, J. Differential Geometry 6 (1971/72), 247-258.
- [24] S. Paneitz, *A quartic conformally covariant differential operator for arbitrary pseudo-Riemannian manifolds*, preprint (1983).
- [25] J. Petean, *Multiplicity results for the Yamabe equation by Lusternik-Schnirelmann theory*, J. Functional Anal. 276 (2019), 1788-1805.
- [26] J. Qing, D. Raske, *On positive solutions to semilinear conformally invariant equations on locally conformally flat manifolds*, Int. Math. Res. Not. Art. ID 94172 (2006).
- [27] F. Robert, *Positive solutions for a fourth-order equation invariant under isometries*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 131 (2002), 1423-1431.
- [28] F. Takens, *The minimal number of critical points of a function on a compact manifold and the Lusternik-Schnirelman category*, Inventiones mathematicae, 6(3) (1968), 197-244.
- [29] J. Vetois, *Uniqueness of conformal metrics with constant Q -curvature on closed Einstein manifolds*, arXiv:2210.07444[mathDG].
- [30] J. Wei, C. Zhao, *Non-compactness of the prescribed Q -curvature problem in large dimensions*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 46 (2013), no. 1-2, 123-164.

UNIVERSIDAD TÉCNICA FEDERICO SANTA MARÍA, AV. ESPAÑA 1680, 2340000 VALPARAÍSO, VALPARAÍSO, CHILE
 Email address: `salomon.alarcon@usm.cl`

CENTRO DE INVESTIGACIÓN EN MATEMÁTICAS, CIMAT, CALLE JALISCO S/N, 36023 GUANAJUATO, GUANAJUATO, MÉXICO
 Email address: `jimmy@cimat.mx`

UNIVERSIDAD TÉCNICA FEDERICO SANTA MARÍA, AV. ESPAÑA 1680, 2340000 VALPARAÍSO, VALPARAÍSO, CHILE
 Email address: `carolina.reyr@usm.cl`