

APPLICATIONS OF P-FUNCTIONS TO QUASI-LINEAR EQUATIONS: GRADIENT BOUNDS AND LIOUVILLE-TYPE PROPERTIES

DIMITRIOS GAZOULIS

ABSTRACT. We introduce the notion of P -functions for fully-nonlinear equations and obtain some abstract consequences. We study P -functions for a class of quasi-linear equations and establish some general criterion for obtaining such quantities. Some applications are gradient bounds, De Giorgi-type properties of entire solutions and Liouville-type theorems. As a special case we obtain a gradient bound that differs from the Modica inequality. In addition, we provide examples of such quantities for the Monge-Ampere and for higher order nonlinear equations. One application for equations of order greater than two is pointwise estimates for the Laplacian.

1. INTRODUCTION

P -functions can be thought as quantities of a function u and its higher order derivatives that is related to an elliptic or more general differential equation or to a differential inequality and has the property that satisfies the maximum principle. Perhaps the most well-known example is $P(u, x) = \frac{1}{2}|\nabla u|^2 - W(u)$ that is related to the Allen-Cahn equation

$$(1.1) \quad \Delta u = W'(u) \quad , \quad u : \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

and Modica in [14] proved the well-known gradient bound

$$(1.2) \quad \frac{1}{2}|\nabla u|^2 \leq W(u)$$

for every solution of (1.1).

Later, Caffarelli et al in [6] generalized this gradient bound for a class of variational quasi-linear equations and proved Liouville-type and De Giorgi-type properties for a particular choice of P -function related to the equation $\operatorname{div}(\Phi'(|\nabla u|^2)\nabla u) = F'(u)$. This bound was generalized for anisotropic partial differential equations in [9].

Furthermore, P -functions had been already studied by Sperb in [18], Payne and Philippin in [15] and [16] who studied other types of quasilinear equations for the form $\operatorname{div}(A(u, |\nabla u|^2)\nabla u) = B(u, |\nabla u|^2)$, which are not necessarily Euler-Lagrange equations of an elliptic integrand. They derived maximum principles for some appropriate P -functions. Due to the greater generality, however, the relevant P and the conditions under which satisfies an elliptic differential inequality are rather implicitly given while in [6] and [7] are given explicitly. Nevertheless, one advantage of being given implicitly rather than explicitly is that one can extract many examples of P -functions that satisfy such conditions.

There are many other applications of P -functions that can be found in [18], among others, such as lower bounds for eigenvalue problems. One additional important application is in [1], where they showed that the monotonicity assumption $u_{x_n} > 0$, that is also stated in the De Giorgi's conjecture, does in fact imply the local minimality of u . Such implication is by no means trivial and it is based on the construction of a so-called *calibration* associated to the energy functional. Such notion is intimately connected to the theory of null-Lagrangians, see [11], chapter 1 and chapter 4, section 2.4. In Theorem 4.4 in [1], then carry the construction of the appropriate calibration for general integrands of the calculus of variations and such construction relies explicitly on the P -function.

Last but not least, there are applications such as gradient bounds similar to (1.2) and Liouville-type properties for vector equations. To be more precise, in Theorem 3.5 in [17], there is a gradient bound for the Ginzburg–Landau system of equations.

In this paper, we introduce the notion of P -function for general fully-nonlinear differential equations or differential inequalities and we incorporate the “ P -function technique” in a general setting. Assuming that we have a P -function with a particular form associated to a general type of fully nonlinear equation that attains its supremum at a point, we can determine the smooth entire solutions without excluding a priori some potential singularities.

Moreover, we extract some implicit conditions for obtaining P -functions for a general class of quasi-linear equations. Additionally, we prove some gradient bounds, Liouville-type properties and De Giorgi-type properties for smooth entire solutions, utilizing techniques from [6] and we also obtain as a special case an a priori gradient bound for the Allen-Cahn equation for general potentials that is different from the Modica inequality. These bounds hold for any P -function that satisfy some implicit conditions, so in fact, for any explicit example we have a different gradient bound. This method allow us to obtain many different types of gradient bounds, each one for every explicit example of P -function that satisfy the implicit conditions mentioned above. We also illustrate this claim more generally by proving gradient bounds from the examples of P -functions in [15]. One such bound, generalizes the one in [6] for a more general class of quasi-linear equations. Another consequence of determining many types of P -functions is that we can obtain a Liouville-type theorem for the equation in [6], when $F'' \geq 0$, in which case we have stability of solutions. For a different class of equations, such Liouville-type properties imply non existence of solutions and we give some examples.

We also provide a class of such quantities for the Monge-Ampere's equation and for higher order nonlinear equations together with some applications. For instance, we establish a mean value-type theorem for the Monge-Ampere equation and for nonlinear equations of order greater than two. One additional application for higher order equations is an a priori bound for the Laplacian and pointwise estimates through the mean value properties. Finally, some Liouville-type properties can be extended for nonlinear equations of order greater than two. In this setting, we believe that one can obtain many other types of bounds for any order of derivatives, assuming a $C^{k,\alpha}$ a priori estimate and that we have an appropriate P -function related to the respective equation.

2. THE NOTION OF P -FUNCTION AND ABSTRACT CONSEQUENCES

We begin by defining the notion of P -function

Definition 2.1. Let $u : \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ be a smooth solution or subsolution of

$$(2.1) \quad F(u, \nabla u, \dots, \nabla^m u) = 0$$

where F is a continuous function.

We say that $P = P(u, \nabla u, \dots, \nabla^{m-1}u)$ is a P -function of (2.1) if there exists an elliptic operator L and a non negative function $\mu = \mu(x) \geq 0$

$$(2.2) \quad L = - \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} \partial_{x_i x_j} + \sum_{i=1}^n b_i \partial_{x_i} + c \quad , \quad \text{with } c \geq 0$$

such that $\mu L P \leq 0$, in Ω .

an immediate corollary is that any P -function related to an equation or to a differential inequality attains its maximum at the boundary $\partial\Omega$ or at a point $x \in \Omega$ such that $\mu(x) = 0$.

The independence from the x -variables is needed in order the equation (2.1) to be translation invariant. This ingredient is necessary for the gradient bounds and similar applications. We initially state as a direct consequence a strong maximum principle that holds in general (see Theorem 2.2 in [6] or Theorem 4.7 in [7]).

Theorem 2.2. Let u be a smooth solution or subsolution of

$$(2.3) \quad F(u, \nabla u, \dots, \nabla^m u) = 0 \quad , \quad u : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$$

where Ω is a connected, bounded subset of \mathbb{R}^n

such that $\inf_{\overline{\Omega}} g(\nabla^k u) > 0$ for some $g : \mathbb{R}^{n^k \times d} \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$, $k \in \{1, \dots, m-1\}$ and suppose that $P = P(u, \nabla u, \dots, \nabla^{m-1}u)$ is a P -function of (2.3) with $\mu = \mu(g(\nabla^k u))$, $\mu(t) > 0$, $\forall t > 0$.

If there exists $x_0 \in \Omega$ such that

$$(2.4) \quad P(u(x_0), \dots, \nabla^{m-1}u(x_0)) = \sup_{\Omega} P(u, \dots, \nabla^{m-1}u)$$

then $P(u, \nabla u, \dots, \nabla^{m-1}u)$ is constant in Ω .

Proof. The proof is an immediate consequence of the strong maximum principle since $\mu(g(\nabla^k u)) > 0$ in Ω . □

The most common choice of g in Theorem 2.2 above is the Euclidean norm. For example, if $k = 1$, $g(\nabla u) = |\nabla u|$. If $\mu > 0$, $\forall t \geq 0$, then the assumption $\inf_{\overline{\Omega}} g(\nabla^k u) > 0$ is dismissed.

Remark 2.3. *The constancy of P -functions with a particular form hides geometric information on the level sets $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid u(x) = t\}$ of the solution u , such as the property of being surfaces of zero mean curvature (see Proposition 4.11 in [7]).*

Next, we have a De Giorgi type result as in Theorem 5.1 in [6], without excluding a priori potential singularities. We denote as \mathcal{H}^1 the 1-Hausdorff measure in \mathbb{R}^n .

Theorem 2.4. *Let u be a smooth entire solution (or subsolution) of*

$$(2.5) \quad F(u, \nabla u, \nabla^2 u) = 0 \quad , \quad u : \mathbb{R}^n \setminus S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

with $u_{x_n} > 0$, except perhaps on a closed set S of potential singularities such that $\mathcal{H}^1(S) = 0$ and $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus S$ is connected. Assume that $P = P(u, |\nabla u|)$ is a P -function of (2.5) with $\mu = \mu(|\nabla u|)$, $\mu(t) > 0$, $\forall t > 0$, such that $P_t > 0$ for $t > 0$ ($P = P(s, t)$).

If there exists $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus S$ such that

$$(2.6) \quad P(u(x_0), |\nabla u(x_0)|) = \sup_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus S} P(u, |\nabla u|) < +\infty$$

then there exists a function $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with $|a| = 1$ and $b \in \mathbb{R}$, such that

$$(2.7) \quad u(x) = g(a \cdot x + b) \quad , \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n \quad \text{and} \quad S = \emptyset.$$

Proof. Let $c_0 = \sup_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus S} P(u, |\nabla u|)$ and consider the set

$$(2.8) \quad A = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus S : P(u, |\nabla u|) = c_0\}$$

A is closed and by the assumption $A \neq \emptyset$. We are going to prove that A is open.

Let $x_1 \in A$, we take $\delta > 0$ such that $B_\delta(x_1) \subset \mathbb{R}^n \setminus S$. Since $u_{x_n} > 0$, we have $\inf_{\overline{B_\delta(x_1)}} |\nabla u| > 0$ and by Theorem 2.2 we conclude that $P(u, |\nabla u|) \equiv c_0$ in $B_\delta(x_1)$ and therefore A is open.

Since $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus S$ is connected, we have that $A = \mathbb{R}^n \setminus S$, that is,

$$(2.9) \quad P(u, |\nabla u|) \equiv c_0 \quad , \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus S$$

and $P_t > 0$, thus

$$(2.10) \quad |\nabla u| = Q(u) \quad , \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus S \quad , \quad \text{for some function } Q : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

Now, if there exists $x_2 \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus S$ such that $Q(u(x_2)) = 0$, so $|\nabla u(x_2)| = 0$, that contradicts the fact the $u_{x_n} > 0$.

So, $Q(u) > 0$, $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus S$ and set

$$(2.11) \quad \begin{aligned} v &= G(u) \quad , \quad \text{where } G'(s) = \frac{1}{Q(s)} \\ &\text{and } |\nabla v|^2 = 1 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus S \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by the result in [5], we have that

$$(2.12) \quad \begin{aligned} &\text{either } v(x) = a \cdot x + b \quad , \quad a \in \mathbb{R}^n \text{ with } |a| = 1 \text{ and } b \in \mathbb{R} \\ &\text{or } v(x) = |x - z_0| + c \quad , \quad z_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n \text{ and } c \in \mathbb{R} \end{aligned}$$

and we conclude

$$(2.13) \quad u(x) = g(a \cdot x + b) \quad , \quad a \in \mathbb{R}^n \text{ with } |a| = 1 \quad , \quad b \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and } g(s) = G^{-1}(s)$$

The radially symmetric solutions with respect to a point $z_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ are excluded since u is monotone in x_n . \square

3. GENERAL CRITERION FOR OBTAINING P -FUNCTIONS

We now provide a general criterion for obtaining P -functions for a class of nonlinear elliptic equations of the form $\Delta u = F(u, |\nabla u|^2)$ that do not in general arise as variational problems. A special case for $F(u, |\nabla u|^2) = W'(u)$, is the Allen-Cahn equation.

For any function $P = P(s, t)$ and consider $F = F(s, t)$, we define the quantity

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} I(s, t) &= P_t(s, t^2)P_s(s, t^2)F(s, t^2) + \frac{P_s^2(s, t^2)}{2} + 2t^2 P_t^2(s, t^2)F_s(s, t^2) \\ &\quad - 2t^2 P_t(s, t^2)P_s(s, t^2)F_t(s, t^2) \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 3.1. *Let $u : \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth solution of*

$$(3.2) \quad \Delta u = F(u, |\nabla u|^2)$$

and $P(s, t) = P : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $P_t > 0$ for $t > 0$ and either

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{cases} \text{Hes}_{(s,t)} P \text{ is positive semidefinite and} \\ I(s, t) \geq 0 \quad , \quad \forall (s, t) \in \mathbb{R} \times [0, +\infty) \end{cases}$$

where $I = I(s, t)$ is defined in (3.1)

or

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{cases} P_{st} = 0 \quad , \quad P_{tt} \geq 0 \text{ and} \\ t^2 P_{ss}(s, t^2)P_t(s, t^2) + I(s, t) \geq 0 \quad , \quad \forall (s, t) \in \mathbb{R} \times [0, +\infty) \end{cases}$$

hold.

Then $P = P(u, |\nabla u|^2)$ is a P -function of (3.2).

Proof.

$$(3.5) \quad P_{x_i} = P_s u_{x_i} + 2P_t \sum_{j=1}^n u_{x_j} u_{x_j x_i}$$

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^n (P_{x_i} - P_s u_{x_i})^2 &= \sum_{i=1}^n (2P_t \sum_{j=1}^n u_{x_j} u_{x_j x_i})^2 \leq 4P_t^2 |\nabla u|^2 \sum_{i,j=1}^n u_{x_i x_j}^2 \\ &\Rightarrow |\nabla P|^2 - 2P_s \nabla P \nabla u + P_s^2 |\nabla u|^2 \leq 4P_t^2 |\nabla u|^2 |Hes u|^2 \end{aligned}$$

and in addition,

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{aligned} P_{x_i} u_{x_i} &= P_s u_{x_i}^2 + 2P_t \sum_{j=1}^n u_{x_i} u_{x_j} u_{x_i x_j} \\ \Rightarrow 2P_t \sum_{i,j=1}^n u_{x_i} u_{x_j} u_{x_i x_j} &= \nabla P \nabla u - P_s |\nabla u|^2 \end{aligned}$$

Also by (3.2) it holds that,

$$(3.8) \quad \Delta u_{x_j} = F_s u_{x_j} + 2F_t \sum_{k=1}^n u_{x_k} u_{x_k x_j}$$

Now, by (3.5) we have

$$(3.9) \quad \begin{aligned} P_{x_i x_i} &= P_{ss} u_{x_i}^2 + 4P_{st} u_{x_i} \sum_{j=1}^n u_{x_j} u_{x_j x_i} + 4P_{tt} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n u_{x_j} u_{x_j x_i} \right)^2 \\ &\quad + P_s u_{x_i x_i} + 2P_t \left[\sum_{j=1}^n (u_{x_i x_j}^2 + u_{x_j} u_{x_j x_i x_i}) \right] \end{aligned}$$

So, if we assume (3.3) (since the hessian of P is positive semidefinite), utilizing (3.6), we have

$$(3.10) \quad \begin{aligned} \Delta P &\geq P_s \Delta u + 2P_t |Hes u|^2 + 2P_t \sum_{j=1}^n u_{x_j} \Delta u_{x_j} \\ P_t |\nabla u|^2 \Delta P &\geq P_t P_s |\nabla u|^2 \Delta u + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla P|^2 - P_s \nabla P \nabla u + \frac{P_s^2}{2} |\nabla u|^2 \\ &\quad + 2P_t^2 |\nabla u|^2 \sum_{j=1}^n u_{x_j} \Delta u_{x_j} \end{aligned}$$

and by (3.2) and (3.8) we obtain

$$(3.11) \quad \begin{aligned} P_t |\nabla u|^2 \Delta P &\geq P_t P_s |\nabla u|^2 F(u, |\nabla u|^2) + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla P|^2 - P_s \nabla P \nabla u + \frac{P_s^2}{2} |\nabla u|^2 \\ &\quad + 2P_t^2 |\nabla u|^2 [F_s |\nabla u|^2 + 2F_t \sum_{j,k=1}^n u_{x_k} u_{x_j} u_{x_j x_k}] \end{aligned}$$

In addition, by (3.7) we have

$$(3.12) \quad \begin{aligned} P_t |\nabla u|^2 \Delta P &\geq P_t P_s |\nabla u|^2 F(u, |\nabla u|^2) + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla P|^2 - P_s \nabla P \nabla u + \frac{P_s^2}{2} |\nabla u|^2 \\ &\quad + 2P_t^2 F_s |\nabla u|^4 + 2P_t |\nabla u|^2 F_t \nabla P \nabla u - 2P_t P_s F_t |\nabla u|^4 \\ \Rightarrow P_t |\nabla u|^2 \Delta P &\geq \frac{1}{2} |\nabla P|^2 + (2P_t |\nabla u|^2 F_t - P_s) \nabla P \nabla u + |\nabla u|^2 I(u, |\nabla u|) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, since by (3.1) it holds that $I(u, |\nabla u|) \geq 0$, we conclude

$$(3.13) \quad P_t |\nabla u|^2 \Delta P - (2P_t |\nabla u|^2 F_t - P_s) \nabla P \nabla u \geq \frac{1}{2} |\nabla P|^2 \geq 0$$

Finally, if we assume (3.4) instead of (3.3), equation (3.9) similarly becomes

$$(3.14) \quad \begin{aligned} P_t |\nabla u|^2 \Delta P - (2P_t |\nabla u|^2 F_t - P_s) \nabla P \nabla u &\geq \frac{1}{2} |\nabla P|^2 \\ + P_{ss} P_t |\nabla u|^4 + |\nabla u|^2 I(u, |\nabla u|) &\geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 3.2. *Let $u : \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth solution of*

$$(3.15) \quad \Delta u = f(u)$$

and $P(s, t) = P : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is such that $P_t > 0$ for $t > 0$ and either

$$(3.16) \quad \begin{cases} \text{Hes}_{(s,t)} P \text{ is positive semidefinite and} \\ I(s, t) := P_s(s, t^2) f(s) + \frac{P_s^2(s, t^2)}{2P_t(s, t^2)} + 2P_t(s, t^2) t^2 f'(s) \geq 0 \end{cases}, \quad \forall (s, t) \in \mathbb{R} \times [0, +\infty)$$

or

$$(3.17) \quad \begin{cases} P_{st} = 0, P_{tt} \geq 0 \text{ and} \\ t^2 P_{ss}(s, t^2) + P_s(s, t^2) f(s) + \frac{P_s^2(s, t^2)}{2P_t(s, t^2)} + 2P_t(s, t^2) t^2 f'(s) \geq 0 \end{cases}$$

hold.

Then $P = P(u, |\nabla u|^2)$ is a P -function of (3.15).

3.1. Examples of P -functions.

(1) The well known P -function of (3.15) is

$$(3.18) \quad P(u, |\nabla u|^2) = \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{2} - F(u)$$

where $F'(u) = f(u)$

(see [14] or Chapter 5 in [18]).

It is easy to see that (3.18) satisfies (3.17) in Corollary 3.2.

The major application is the well known gradient bound

$$(3.19) \quad |\nabla u|^2 \leq 2F(u)$$

that holds for every smooth and bounded entire solution of (3.15) and $F \geq 0$ (see [14]).

However we cannot obtain gradient bounds for P -functions in general. Consider for example

$$\Delta u = e^u \quad \text{and} \quad P(s, t) = \frac{t}{2} - e^s + e^{-s} \quad (\text{i.e. } P(u, |\nabla u|^2) = \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{2} - e^u + e^{-u})$$

It is easy to see that $P = \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{2} - e^u + e^{-u}$ satisfies (3.17), but the gradient bound $\frac{|\nabla u|^2}{2} \leq e^u - e^{-u}$ does not hold: if we take solutions of $\Delta u = e^u$ such that $|\nabla u|^2 = 2e^u$ (i.e. that satisfy the equipartition of the energy), then we have a contradiction.

(2) Another general example of P -function of (3.15) is

$$(3.20) \quad P(u, |\nabla u|^2) = \frac{|\nabla u|^4}{2} + 2 \int_0^u \left(\int_0^y \sqrt{f(z)f'(z)} dz \right)^2 dy \quad , \quad \text{if } f(t)f'(t) \geq 0, \forall t \in \mathbb{R}$$

$$P(u, |\nabla u|^2) = \frac{|\nabla u|^4}{2} - 2 \int_0^u \left(\int_0^y \sqrt{-f(z)f'(z)} dz \right)^2 dy \quad , \quad \text{if } f(t)f'(t) \leq 0$$

and satisfies condition (3.17) of Corollary 3.2.

Note that the above example is not in the form $P = g(u)|\nabla u|^2 + h(u)$ that we see in [18] as general form for P related to equation (3.15).

(3) The next example can be found in [15]. Let u be a solution of

$$(3.21) \quad \Delta u = u(k|\nabla u|^2 + \lambda e^{-cu^2})$$

and let $P(s, t) = \begin{cases} te^{-ks^2} + \frac{\lambda}{k+c} e^{-s^2(k+c)} & , k \neq -c \\ te^{cs^2} - \lambda s^2 & , k = -c \end{cases}$

Then $P = P(u, |\nabla u|^2)$ is a P -function of (3.21).

(4) Let u be a solution of

$$(3.22) \quad \Delta u = G(|\nabla u|^2 - u) \text{ , where } G(z) \leq \frac{1}{2} \text{ , } \forall z \in \mathbb{R}$$

Then $P = P(u, |\nabla u|^2) = |\nabla u|^2 - u$ is a P -function of (3.22). It is easy to see that P satisfies condition (3.4) of Theorem 3.1.

(5) The following example is in [16] (see Theorem 1).

Let u be a solution of

$$(3.23) \quad \operatorname{div}(\Phi'(|\nabla u|^2)\nabla u) = \rho(|\nabla u|^2)F'(u)$$

with $\Phi'(t), \rho(t) > 0$ and $\Phi'(t) + 2t\Phi''(t) > 0$, $\forall t \geq 0$.

Consider the function

$$(3.24) \quad P(s, t) = \int_0^t \frac{\Phi'(y) + 2y\Phi''(y)}{\rho(y)} dy - 2F(s)$$

Then $P = P(u, |\nabla u|^2)$ is a P -function of (3.23).

Note that for $\rho \equiv 1$, the above example generalizes the one in [6].

4. GRADIENT BOUNDS AND PROPERTIES OF ENTIRE SOLUTIONS OF QUASI-LINEAR EQUATIONS

In this section we will see that utilizing the techniques of [6], we can obtain gradient bounds for solutions of equations of the form (3.2) and for more general class of quasi-linear equations. To be more precise, for any explicit example of P -function with a specific property, we obtain a particular gradient bound. Also, it holds an analogous of Theorem 2.4 that gives De Giorgi-type results and additionally, if P have a more specific form, we can drop the assumption $u_{x_n} > 0$.

Some of the regularity assumptions can be lessened in some cases, i.e. by assuming a priori that $u \in W_{loc}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, as in assumption (i) in Theorem 1.6 in [6] and utilizing regularity results in [19] afterwards. However, our main goal is not the optimal regularity assumptions since we state the results in an abstract form. Therefore, we will assume that the solutions are smooth and satisfy an analog of assumption (ii) in Theorem 1.6 in [6].

Assumption.

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{aligned} & u \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n), \nabla u \in C^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n) \text{ for some } \alpha \in (0, 1) \\ & \text{and there exists } C = C(\|u\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}) > 0 \text{ such that } |\nabla u(x)| \leq C, \text{ for any } x \in \mathbb{R}^n \end{aligned}$$

The next theorem provides an a priori pointwise estimate for solutions of (3.2). In contrast to the gradient bounds in [6] and [7], the theorem below holds for any P -function that satisfies $P(u, 0) \leq 0$. When P is of the form $P = P(u, \nabla u)$ we use the notation $P(u, 0)$ instead of $P(u, 0, \dots, 0)$ and also we sometimes we write $P = P(u; x)$ for simplicity.

Theorem 4.1. *Let u be an entire solution of*

$$(4.2) \quad F(u, \nabla u, \nabla^2 u) = 0$$

that satisfy assumption (4.1). If $P = P(u, \nabla u)$ is a P -function of (4.2), with $\mu = \mu(|\nabla u|)$, $\mu(t) > 0$, $\forall t > 0$, such that $P(s, 0) \leq 0$,

Then

$$(4.3) \quad P(u(x), \nabla u(x)) \leq 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

Proof. Let u be a solution of (4.2) that satisfies assumption (4.1) and consider the set

$$(4.4) \quad \mathcal{F} = \{v \text{ is a solution of (4.2) that satisfies (4.1) : } |v(x)| \leq \|u\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n\}$$

\mathcal{F} is non empty since $u \in \mathcal{F}$.

Let P be a P -function of (4.2), with $\mu = \mu(|\nabla u|)$, $\mu(t) > 0$, $\forall t > 0$, such that $P(u, 0) \leq 0$. For simplicity, we denote $P = P(u; x)$ instead of $P = P(u(x), \nabla u(x))$.

Consider now

$$(4.5) \quad P_0 = \sup\{P(v; x) \mid v \in \mathcal{F}, x \in \mathbb{R}^n\}$$

We claim that $P_0 \leq 0$ and from this we conclude.

We argue by contradiction. Supposet that $P_0 > 0$, by (4.5) there exist two sequences, $(v_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ in \mathcal{F} and $(x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ in \mathbb{R}^n such that

$$(4.6) \quad P_0 - \frac{1}{k} \leq P(v_k; x_k) \leq P_0, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}$$

Let $\tilde{v}_k(x) = v_k(x + x_k)$. Since the equation (4.2) is translation invariant, we have that $\tilde{v}_k \in \mathcal{F}$ and $P(\tilde{v}_k; 0) = P(v_k; x_k)$, so that (4.6) can be rewritten as

$$(4.7) \quad P_0 - \frac{1}{k} \leq P(\tilde{v}_k; 0) \leq P_0, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}$$

Since $\nabla \tilde{v}_k \in C^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$, by the Ascoli-Arzelà theorem since we have equicontinuity of $\nabla \tilde{v}_k$ in any compact subset of \mathbb{R}^n together with a diagonal argument, we can extract from $(\tilde{v}_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ a subsequence, denoted by $(\tilde{v}_k^{(k)})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ that converges with its first-order derivatives, uniformly on compact subsets of \mathbb{R}^n . Denote by \tilde{v} the limit function. Then $\tilde{v} \in \mathcal{F}$ and $P(\tilde{v}_k^{(k)}; 0) \rightarrow P(\tilde{v}; 0)$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. From (4.7) we have $P(\tilde{v}; 0) = P_0$.

Consider now the set

$$(4.8) \quad U = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid P(\tilde{v}; x) = P_0\}$$

U is closed since P is continuous on \mathbb{R}^n and non empty since $0 \in U$. We will prove that U is also open. Let $x_0 \in U$, we observe that $|\nabla \tilde{v}(x_0)| \neq 0$, otherwise we would have

$$P_0 = P(\tilde{v}; x_0) = P(\tilde{v}(x_0), \nabla \tilde{v}(x_0)) = P(\tilde{v}(x_0), 0) \leq 0$$

against the fact that $P_0 > 0$.

By continuity, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that

$$(4.9) \quad \inf_{\overline{B_\delta(x_0)}} |\nabla \tilde{v}| > 0 \text{ and thus } \inf_{\overline{B_\delta(x_0)}} \mu(|\nabla \tilde{v}|) > 0$$

and we conclude that $P(\tilde{v}; x) \equiv P_0$ in $B_\delta(x_0)$ by Theorem 2.2. So U is open and it follows that $U = \mathbb{R}^n$ by connectedness.

On the other hand, since $|\tilde{v}| \leq \|u\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}$, it holds that $\inf_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla \tilde{v}| = 0$. Let $(y_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in \mathbb{R}^n such that $|\nabla \tilde{v}(y_j)| \rightarrow 0$ as $j \rightarrow +\infty$. By the boundedness of \tilde{v} we also have $\tilde{v}(y_j) = \tilde{v}_j \rightarrow v_0$ up to a subsequence that we still denote as y_j , and so we obtain

$$0 < P_0 = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} P(\tilde{v}(y_j), \nabla \tilde{v}(y_j)) = P(v_0, 0)$$

which contradicts the assumption $P(s, 0) \leq 0$. Therefore $P_0 \leq 0$ and we conclude. \square

Next, we explore some additional consequences when P related to (4.2) is of the form

$$(4.10) \quad \begin{aligned} P(s, t) &= B(t) - \Gamma(s) \\ \text{such that } B'(t) &> 0, B''(t) \geq 0, \text{ for } t > 0, B(0) = 0 \text{ and } \Gamma(s) \geq 0 \\ &\text{and } \mu = \mu(|\nabla u|) \end{aligned}$$

then, the condition (3.4) becomes

$$(4.11) \quad \begin{aligned} -t^2 \Gamma''(s) B'(t^2) - B'(t^2) \Gamma'(s) F(s, t^2) + \frac{(\Gamma'(s))^2}{2} + 2t^2 (B'(t^2))^2 F_s(s, t^2) \\ + 2t^2 B'(t^2) \Gamma'(s) F_t(s, t^2) \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

for P as in (4.10), the gradient bound in Theorem 4.1 becomes

$$(4.12) \quad |\nabla u|^2 \leq \Psi(u) \quad , \quad \text{where } \Psi(u) = B^{-1}(\Gamma(u))$$

and we observe that in Example (2) above, for solutions of (3.15),

$$(4.13) \quad P(u, |\nabla u|^2) = \frac{|\nabla u|^4}{2} - 2 \int_0^u \left(\int_0^y \sqrt{-f(z)f'(z)} dz \right)^2 dy \quad , \quad \text{if } f(t)f'(t) \leq 0$$

satisfies $P(u, 0) \leq 0$.

Therefore, we have

Corollary 4.2. *Let u be a smooth and bounded entire solution to*

$$(4.14) \quad \begin{aligned} \Delta u &= f(u) \\ \text{where } f &\in C^{1,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n) \text{ and } f(t)f'(t) \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$(4.15) \quad \frac{|\nabla u|^4}{4} \leq \int_0^u \left(\int_0^y \sqrt{-f(z)f'(z)} dz \right)^2 dy$$

Proof. By elliptic regularity theory we have that $u \in C^{2,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and that $|\nabla u|$ is bounded in \mathbb{R}^n . It suffices to prove that P defined in (4.13) is a P -function of (4.14) and then the conclusion is direct application of Theorem 4.1.

We have that P satisfies (3.17), $P(s, t) = \frac{t^2}{2} - 2 \int_0^s \left(\int_0^y \sqrt{-f(z)f'(z)} dz \right)^2 dy = \frac{t^2}{2} + q(s)$, so $P_t = t > 0$ for $t > 0$, $P_{tt} \geq 0$, $P_{st} = 0$ and $\mu = P_t(u, |\nabla u|^2) |\nabla u|^2 = \frac{1}{2} |\nabla u|^4$.

Finally,

$$t^2 P_{ss}(s, t^2) + P_s(s, t^2) f(s) + 2P_t(s, t^2) t^2 f'(s) = 2t^4 f'(s) + t^2 q''(s) + q'(s) f(s) \geq 0$$

since the above polynomial has zero discriminant. \square

Remark 4.3. (1) Note that in Example (1) above, $P = \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{2} - e^u + e^{-u}$ which does not satisfy the gradient bound, fails to satisfy the condition $P(s, 0) \leq 0$ for $s < 0$.

(2) We also observe that there are cases where the bound (4.15) is more optimal than (1.2). Consider for example the potential $W(u) = au^k$, $a > 0$ and $k \in (0, \frac{3-\sqrt{3}}{6}) \cup (\frac{3+\sqrt{3}}{6}, 1)$ and for positive solutions of (1.1), with $f(u) = W'(u)$. Then we have

$$\int_0^u \left(\int_0^y \sqrt{-f(z)f'(z)} dz \right)^2 dy = \frac{a^2 k(1-k)}{2(k-\frac{1}{2})^2} u^{2k} < a^2 u^{2k} = W^2(u)$$

that is,

$$\frac{1}{2} |\nabla u|^2 \leq \frac{a\sqrt{k(1-k)}}{\sqrt{2}|k-\frac{1}{2}|} u^k < au^k = W(u) \quad , \quad \text{for } k \in (0, \frac{3-\sqrt{3}}{6}) \cup (\frac{3+\sqrt{3}}{6}, 1)$$

In addition, we have the following gradient bounds for Examples (3) and (4)

Corollary 4.4. *Let u be an entire solution of*

$$(4.16) \quad \Delta u = u(k|\nabla u|^2 + \lambda e^{-cu^2})$$

that satisfy (4.1).

Then

$$(4.17) \quad |\nabla u|^2 \leq \begin{cases} -\frac{\lambda}{k+c}e^{-cu^2} & , \text{ if } \lambda(k+c) < 0 \\ \lambda u^2 e^{-cu^2} & , \text{ if } k = -c \text{ and } \lambda \geq 0 \end{cases}$$

Proof. By [15], we have that

$$(4.18) \quad P(s, t) = \begin{cases} te^{-ks^2} + \frac{\lambda}{k+c}e^{-s^2(k+c)} & , k \neq -c \\ te^{cs^2} - \lambda s^2 & , k = -c \end{cases}$$

is a P -function of (4.16) with $\mu(t) > 0$, $\forall t \geq 0$ and $P(s, 0) \leq 0$ in both cases since either $\lambda(k+c) < 0$ or $k = -c$ and $\lambda \geq 0$. Therefore by Theorem 4.1 we conclude that $P(u, |\nabla u|^2) \leq 0 \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and we obtain the gradient bound (4.17). \square

Remark 4.5. *For $\lambda = 0$, Corollary 4.4 says that $|\nabla u| \equiv 0$ and thus u is a constant. That is a Liouville-type result and can also be obtained by Liouville's theorem by setting $v = g(u)$, where $g(z) = \int_0^y e^{-kz^2} dz$ and then $\Delta v = 0$ and v is bounded since u is bounded.*

Corollary 4.6. *Let u be a non negative entire solution of*

$$(4.19) \quad \Delta u = G(|\nabla u|^2 - u)$$

where $G : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is such that $G(z) \leq \frac{1}{2}$, $z \in \mathbb{R}$,

that satisfy (4.1).

Then

$$(4.20) \quad |\nabla u|^2 \leq u$$

Proof. We have that the function

$$P(s, t) = t - s$$

satisfy the condition (3.4) with $\mu = P_t(u, |\nabla u|^2)|\nabla u|^2 = |\nabla u|^2$ and also, $P(u, 0) = -u \leq 0$ since u is non negative by assumption. Therefore we conclude by the Theorem 4.1. \square

Another important application of Theorem 4.1, utilizing the Example (5), is the following gradient bound

Corollary 4.7. *Let u be an entire solution of*

$$(4.21) \quad \operatorname{div}(\Phi'(|\nabla u|^2)\nabla u) = \rho(|\nabla u|^2)F'(u) \quad , \quad F \geq 0$$

that satisfy assumption (4.1), with $\Phi'(t), \rho(t) > 0$ and $\Phi'(t) + 2t\Phi''(t) > 0$, $\forall t \geq 0$.

Then

$$(4.22) \quad |\nabla u|^2 \leq \Psi(u) \quad , \quad \text{where } \Psi(u) = Q^{-1}(2F(u))$$

$$\text{and } Q(t) = \int_0^t \frac{\Phi'(y) + 2y\Phi''(y)}{\rho(y)} dy$$

Proof. By Theorem 1 in [16], we have that $P(u, |\nabla u|^2) = Q(|\nabla u|^2) - 2F(u)$ is a P -function of (4.21) with $\mu(t) > 0$, $\forall t \geq 0$ and satisfies $P(u, 0) \leq 0$ since $F \geq 0$. Thus we apply Theorem 4.1 and we conclude. \square

Remark 4.8. *Note that the gradient bound in Corollary 4.7 is a quite more general form of the gradient bound in [6].*

For P -functions of the form (4.10), we have a Liouville-type result

Theorem 4.9. *Let u be an entire solution of (4.2) that satisfies assumption (4.1) and P is a P -function of the form (4.10). If there exists $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $\Gamma(u(x_0)) = 0$, then $u \equiv \text{const.}$ in \mathbb{R}^n .*

Proof. We argue as in the proof of Theorem 1.8 in [6] with slight modifications. For the convenience of the reader we provide the details.

Suppose that $\Gamma(u(x_0)) = 0$, let $u_0 = u(x_0)$ and consider the set

$$(4.23) \quad V = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid u(x) = u_0\}$$

V is a closed set and by the assumption, non empty. Let $x_1 \in V$ and consider the function $\phi(t) = u(x_1 + t\omega) - u_0$, where $|\omega| = 1$ is arbitrarily fixed. We have $|\phi'(t)| = |\nabla u(x_1 + t\omega)|$. By the gradient bound in Theorem 4.1 we have,

$$(4.24) \quad |\nabla u|^2 \leq \Psi(u) \quad , \quad \text{where } \Psi(s) = B^{-1}(\Gamma(s))$$

Since $\Psi \in C^2(\mathbb{R})$ and $\Psi(u_0) = 0$, we have $\Psi(u) = O(|u - u_0|^2)$, as $|u - u_0| \rightarrow 0$. So, we conclude from (4.24) that $|\phi'(t)| \leq C|\phi(t)|$ for t small enough. Since $\phi(0) = 0$, we must have $\phi \equiv 0$ on $[-\delta, \delta]$, for some $\delta > 0$. Thus V is open, which gives that $V = \mathbb{R}^n$. \square

Finally, we now prove a different version of Theorem 2.4 for the equation (3.2), assuming that P is of the form (4.10). In this case we can drop the assumption that u is monotone with respect to x_n , but we do not allow any a priori singularities.

Theorem 4.10. *Let u be an entire solution of*

$$(4.25) \quad F(u, \nabla u, \nabla^2 u) = 0$$

that satisfy assumption (4.1) and let $P = P(u, |\nabla u|^2)$ be a P -function of (4.25) of the form (4.10). If there exists $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that

$$(4.26) \quad P(u(x_0), |\nabla u(x_0)|^2) = 0$$

then there exists a function $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$(4.27) \quad \begin{aligned} &\text{either } u(x) = g(a \cdot x + b), \quad a \in \mathbb{R}^n \text{ with } |a| = 1, \quad b \in \mathbb{R} \\ &\text{or } u(x) = g(|x - z_0| + c), \quad z_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n \text{ and } c \in \mathbb{R} \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By Theorem 4.1, we have that $P(u, |\nabla u|^2) \leq 0$.

As in the proof of Theorem 2.4, we begin by considering the set

$$(4.28) \quad A = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : P(u, |\nabla u|^2) = 0\}$$

A is closed and by the assumption $A \neq \emptyset$. We are going to prove that A is open.

Let $x_1 \in A$, If $\nabla u(x_1) = 0$, we obtain by the form $P(s, t) = B(t) - \Gamma(s)$ that $P(u(x_1), 0) = -\Gamma(u(x_1)) = 0$. By Theorem 4.9, we conclude that $u \equiv u(x_1)$ and $\nabla u \equiv 0$ and hence $P \equiv 0$.

On the other hand, if $\nabla u(x_1) \neq 0$, we have $\inf_{\overline{B}_{\delta_1}(x_1)} |\nabla u| > 0$ for some $\delta_1 > 0$ and by Theorem 2.2 we conclude that $P(u, |\nabla u|^2) \equiv 0$ in $B_{\delta_1}(x_1)$ and therefore A is open.

By connectedness, we have that $A = \mathbb{R}^n$, that is,

$$(4.29) \quad P(u, |\nabla u|^2) \equiv 0, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

and $P_t = B'(t) > 0$, thus

$$(4.30) \quad |\nabla u|^2 = \Psi(u), \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n, \quad \text{where } \Psi(u) = B^{-1}(\Gamma(u))$$

Now, if there exists $x_2 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $\Phi(u(x_2)) = 0$, so $|\nabla u(x_2)| = 0$, again by Theorem 4.9 we have that $u \equiv u(x_2)$.

If, on the other hand $\Psi(u(x)) > 0, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we set

$$(4.31) \quad \begin{aligned} v = G(u), \quad \text{where } G'(s) &= \frac{1}{\Psi(s)} \\ \text{and } |\nabla v|^2 &= 1 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by the result in [5], we have that

$$(4.32) \quad \begin{aligned} &\text{either } v(x) = a \cdot x + b, \quad a \in \mathbb{R}^n \text{ with } |a| = 1 \text{ and } b \in \mathbb{R} \\ &\text{or } v(x) = |x - z_0| + c, \quad z_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n \text{ and } c \in \mathbb{R} \end{aligned}$$

So we conclude that

$$(4.33) \quad \begin{aligned} &\text{either } u(x) = g(a \cdot x + b), \quad a \in \mathbb{R}^n \text{ with } |a| = 1, \quad b \in \mathbb{R} \text{ where } g(s) = G^{-1}(s) \\ &\text{or } u(x) = g(|x - z_0| + c), \quad z_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n \text{ and } c \in \mathbb{R} \end{aligned}$$

□

5. A LIOUVILLE-TYPE PROPERTY AND NONEXISTENCE RESULTS

In this section we will see that if we are able to find a P -function, related to any equation (4.2), of the form $P = g(\nabla u)$, where $g \geq 0$ and $g(t_1, \dots, t_n) = 0$ iff $(t_1, \dots, t_n) = (0, \dots, 0)$ then either the solutions are constant or there are no solutions that satisfy assumption (4.1). The most common example is when $P = |\nabla u|^2$.

In particular we have

Theorem 5.1. *Let u be an entire solution of*

$$(5.1) \quad F(u, \nabla u, \nabla^2 u) = 0$$

that satisfy assumption (4.1). If $P = P(u, \nabla u)$ is a P -function of (5.1), with $\mu = \mu(|\nabla u|)$, $\mu(t) > 0$, $\forall t > 0$ and P is such that

$$(5.2) \quad P = g(\nabla u) \quad , \quad \text{where } g : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow [0, +\infty) \text{ and } \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : g(x) = 0\} = \{(0, \dots, 0)\}$$

Then u is constant.

Proof. The result of Theorem 5.1 is an immediate application of Theorem 4.1 since $P(u, 0) = 0$ and thus $g(\nabla u) = 0$ which gives that u is constant. □

Corollary 5.2. *Let u be an entire solution of*

$$(5.3) \quad \Delta u = f(u) \quad , \quad \text{where } f'(u) \geq 0$$

that satisfies (4.1).

Then u is constant.

Proof. Consider $P(u, |\nabla u|^2) = |\nabla u|^2$ (i.e. $P(s, t) = t$) and observe that by the proof of Theorem 3.1, in particular by (3.14), we have

$$(5.4) \quad |\nabla u|^2 \Delta P \geq \frac{1}{2} |\nabla P|^2 + |\nabla u|^4 f'(u) \geq 0$$

since $I(u, |\nabla u|) = 2|\nabla u|^2 f'(u)$ and so $\mu = |\nabla u|^2$. Therefore by Theorem 5.1 we conclude that u is constant. □

Remark 5.3. *The assumption $f'(u) \geq 0$ implies stability for any solution, since*

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla \phi|^2 + f'(u) \phi^2 \geq 0 \quad , \quad \text{for any open } \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n \text{ and } \phi \in C_c^1(\Omega).$$

So this assumption makes the problem quite simple. When the condition $f' \geq 0$ is not satisfied the solutions of (5.3) are not necessarily stable and the study of stable solutions in

such cases is very important. Stable solutions have been thoroughly studied for semilinear elliptic equations in [3], [4] and [8] among others.

Note that if u is solution of (5.3) in a domain Ω , by (5.4) we have a mean value inequality for the gradient of u whenever the gradient does not vanish in the domain.

Corollary 5.3 can be generalized to the equations of the form $\operatorname{div}(\Phi'(|\nabla u|^2)\nabla u) = F'(u)$, studied in [6] assuming that $F''(u) \geq 0$ and in fact even fewer assumptions than (4.1) are needed. We can just assume (i) or (ii) from Theorem 1.6 in [6] and the Liouville-type theorem below still holds.

In particular, let Φ as in [6], we have the following

Corollary 5.4. *Let u be an entire solution of*

$$(5.5) \quad \operatorname{div}(\Phi'(|\nabla u|^2)\nabla u) = F'(u) \quad , \quad \text{where } F''(u) \geq 0$$

that satisfies (4.1).

Then u is constant.

Proof. Consider $P = |\nabla u|^2$. Arguing as in the proof of Theorem 2.2 in [6], we obtain

$$(5.6) \quad \sum_{i,j=1}^n (a_{ij}(\nabla u)P_{x_i})_{x_j} \geq 2F''(u)|\nabla u|^2 + \frac{2|\nabla u|^2\Phi''(|\nabla u|^2) + \Phi'(|\nabla u|^2)}{4|\nabla u|^2} |\nabla P|^2$$

where $a_{ij}(\sigma) = 2\Phi''(|\sigma|^2)\sigma_i\sigma_j + \Phi'(|\sigma|^2)\delta_{ij}$.

Thus, $P = |\nabla u|^2$ is a P -function of (5.5), since $F'' \geq 0$, and by Theorem 5.1 we conclude. \square

In [6], it has been proved an analogous result to that of Corollary 5.4 using a monotonicity formula for the energy for positive solutions of (5.5) that vanish at infinity (see Theorem 4.5 in [6]).

We will now see a non existence result. If (5.1) do not admit constant solutions then Theorem 5.1 states that the equation (5.1) do not admit any entire solution that satisfy assumption (4.1).

Corollary 5.5. *Consider the equation*

$$(5.7) \quad \Delta u = G(|\nabla u|^2) \quad , \quad \text{where } u : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

and $G : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is such that $G(0) \neq 0$

Then there does not exist any solution of (5.7) that satisfies assumption (4.1).

Proof. Suppose that there exist a solution of (5.7) that satisfies assumption (4.1). Let $P(u, |\nabla u|^2) = |\nabla u|^2$ i.e. $P(s, t) = t$, then P satisfies condition (3.4) since $I(u, |\nabla u|) = 0$. Therefore by Theorem 5.1 we conclude that u is a constant and we have contradiction since $G(0) \neq 0$. \square

6. P -FUNCTIONS FOR THE MONGE-AMPERE EQUATION

We will now prove that for any function such that the determinant of its hessian is positive, we can obtain a class of functions that are P -functions of this differential inequality. Then we obtain a Mean Value type property for the Monge-Ampere equation.

Proposition 6.1. *Let $u : \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth function that satisfies*

$$(6.1) \quad \det(\nabla^2 u) > 0, \text{ in } \Omega$$

and let $g = g(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ such that its hessian $Hes_t g$ is positive semi definite.

Then $g = g(u_{x_1}, \dots, u_{x_n})$ is a P -function of (6.1).

Proof. We have

$$(6.2) \quad \begin{aligned} g_{x_i} &= \sum_{j=1}^n g_{t_j} u_{x_j x_i}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n. \\ &\Leftrightarrow G_x = (\nabla^2 u) G_t \end{aligned}$$

where $G_x = (g_{x_1}, \dots, g_{x_n})^T$, $G_t = (g_{t_1}, \dots, g_{t_n})^T$ and $\nabla^2 u = Hes u$

by (6.1), $(\nabla^2 u)$ is invertible, and thus

$$(6.3) \quad \begin{aligned} G_t &= (\nabla^2 u)^{-1} G_x \\ g_{t_i} &= \sum_{k=1}^n A_k^i g_{x_k}, \quad A_k^i = A_k^i(\nabla^2 u) \end{aligned}$$

Also,

$$(6.4) \quad g_{x_i x_i} = \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n g_{t_j t_k} u_{x_j x_i} u_{x_k x_i} + \sum_{j=1}^n g_{t_j} u_{x_j x_i x_i} \geq \sum_{j=1}^n g_{t_j} u_{x_j x_i x_i}$$

since $Hes_t g$ is positive semidefinite.

So, by (6.3) we obtain

$$(6.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \Delta g &\geq \sum_{j=1}^n g_{t_j} \Delta u_{x_j} = \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n A_k^j g_{x_k} \Delta u_{x_j} = \sum_{k=1}^n g_{x_k} B_k \\ &\text{where } B_k = \sum_{j=1}^n A_k^j \Delta u_{x_j} \end{aligned}$$

and thus,

$$(6.6) \quad Lg \leq 0 \quad , \quad \text{where } L = -\Delta + \sum_{i=1}^n B_i \partial_{x_i}$$

□

Corollary 6.2. *Let $u : \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth solution of*

$$(6.7) \quad \det(\nabla^2 u) = f$$

where $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$ and let $g : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is such that its Hessian is positive semi definite. Then

$$(6.8) \quad \max_{\bar{\Omega}} g(|\nabla u|) \leq \max_{\partial\Omega} g(|\nabla u|)$$

In addition, for any $x_0 \in \Omega$ there exists an increasing family $D_R(x_0)$ which satisfies

$$B_{cR}(x_0) \subset D_R(x_0) \subset B_{CR}(x_0) \quad , \quad \text{with } c, C \text{ depending only on } n$$

and for $R < S$, we have

$$(6.9) \quad |\nabla u(x_0)|^2 \geq \frac{1}{|D_R(x_0)|} \int_{D_R(x_0)} |\nabla u|^2 \geq \frac{1}{|D_S(x_0)|} \int_{D_S(x_0)} |\nabla u|^2$$

Proof. By Proposition 6.1 and the maximum principle we conclude. For the mean value type inequality, we set $g(t) = t_1^2 + \dots + t_n^2$ and apply Theorem 6.3 in [2]. □

Remark 6.3. (1) *Such mean value type inequality hold for any P–function in general related to any equation.*

(2) *If $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^n$ and consider $g(t_1, \dots, t_n) = t_1^2 + \dots + t_n^2$ in Proposition 6.1, then as mentioned in the precious section, by Theorem 5.1 we have that that there is no solution of (6.7) that satisfies the assumption (4.1). Indeed, if we assume that u is an entire solution of (6.7) that satisfies (4.1), then by Theorem 5.1 we have that u is constant in \mathbb{R}^n , which contradicts the fact that it's Hessian has positive determinant. We can also see this as follows, if $|\nabla u|$ is bounded in \mathbb{R}^n , then ∇u can not be a global diffeomorphism and thus $\det(\nabla^2 u)$ can not be strictly positive in \mathbb{R}^n .*

(3) *Note that Theorem 2.2 holds for equation (6.7) without assuming that $\inf_{\bar{\Omega}} |\nabla u| > 0$. The conclusion says that u will be a solution of the Eikonal equation $|\nabla u|^2 = c_0$. If in addition $u_{x_n} > 0$ and $F_i = \frac{u_{x_i}}{u_{x_n}}$, by Proposition 2.1 in [10], the function $F = (F_1, \dots, F_{n-1})$ will satisfy the Isobaric Euler equation.*

7. HIGHER ORDER NONLINEAR EQUATIONS

In this last section, we will provide examples of P -functions for higher order nonlinear equations and their applications. In particular, an analogous version of Theorems 4.1 and 5.1, allow us to obtain properties and pointwise estimates of entire solutions even in this case. Moreover, we establish a method of extracting pointwise estimates for nonlinear equations of order greater than two, through the mean value properties of the P -functions or utilizing an analogous bound to that of Theorem 4.1 for higher order equations. This method can be applied to many other classes of higher order nonlinear equations.

We begin by stating the analogous Theorem 4.1 for equations of general order.

Assumption

$$(7.1) \quad \begin{aligned} & u \in C^m(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \quad , \quad \nabla^{m-1}u \in C^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n) \quad \text{for some } \alpha \in (0, 1) \\ & \text{and there exists } C > 0 \text{ such that } |\nabla^l u| \leq C \quad , \quad l = 1, \dots, m-1. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 7.1. *Let u be an entire solution or subsolution of*

$$(7.2) \quad F(u, \nabla u, \dots, \nabla^m u) = 0$$

that satisfies assumption (7.1) and let $P = P(u, \dots, \nabla^{m-1}u) = P(u; x)$ be a P -function of (7.2) such that one of the following holds:

- (i) $\mu = \mu(g(\nabla^k u))$ for some $g : \mathbb{R}^{n^k} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $g(z) > 0, \forall z \neq 0$, $g((0, \dots, 0)) = 0$, $\mu(t) > 0, \forall t > 0$ and $P(u; x) \leq 0$, when $\nabla^k u = (0, \dots, 0)$, $k \in \{1, \dots, m-1\}$,*
- (ii) $\mu = \mu(g(\nabla^k u))$ for some $g : \mathbb{R}^{n^k} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $g(z) > 0, \forall z \neq 0$, $g((0, \dots, 0)) = 0$, $\mu(t) > 0, \forall t > 0$, $P(u; x) \leq 0$, when $\nabla^l u = (0, \dots, 0)$, $k \neq l$, $k, l \in \{1, \dots, m-1\}$ and $g(\nabla^k u) > 0, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n$.*

Then $P(u, \dots, \nabla^{m-1}u) \leq 0 \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Theorem 4.1 with minor modifications. □

Note that Theorem 4.1 is a special case of Theorem 7.1 assuming (i), $m = 2$, $k = 1$ and $g(z) = |z|$. The additional assumption $g(\nabla^k u) > 0, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ in (ii) is necessary in order to utilize Theorem 2.2.

We now provide the analogous of Theorem 5.1 in the higher order case.

Theorem 7.2. *Let u be an entire solution of*

$$(7.3) \quad F(u, \nabla u, \dots, \nabla^m u) = 0$$

and let $P = P(u, \dots, \nabla^{m-1}u) = P(u; x)$ be a P -function of (7.2) such that $\mu = \mu(g(\nabla^k u))$ for some $g : \mathbb{R}^{n^k} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $g(z) > 0, \forall z \neq 0$, $g((0, \dots, 0)) = 0$, $\mu(t) > 0, \forall t > 0$ and

$$(7.4) \quad \begin{aligned} P &= H(\nabla^k u) \text{ , where } H : \mathbb{R}^{n^k} \rightarrow [0, +\infty) \\ \text{and } \{H = 0\} &= \{0 \in \mathbb{R}^{n^k}\} \text{ , } k \in \{1, \dots, m-1\} \end{aligned}$$

Then $\nabla^{k-1}u$ is a constant.

Proof. The proof is direct consequence of Theorem 7.1 since $P = H(\nabla^k u) = 0$ when $\nabla^k u$ vanish which gives $P \equiv 0$ in \mathbb{R}^n . \square

Furthermore, we give some examples of P -functions of the form $P = P(u, |\nabla u|, \Delta u)$ related to fourth order nonlinear equations.

Proposition 7.3. *Let u be a smooth solution of*

$$(7.5) \quad \begin{aligned} a(\Delta u)[|\nabla u|^2 \Delta^2 u - \Delta u(\nabla u \cdot \nabla \Delta u)] &= b(u)|\nabla u|^4 \\ \text{where } a, b : \mathbb{R} &\rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ and } a > 0 \text{ , } a' \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

and set $P(s, t) = A(t) - B(s)$ such that $A' = a$ and $B'' = b$.

Then $P = P(u, \Delta u) = A(\Delta u) - B(u)$ is a P -function of (7.5).

In addition, if u satisfies (7.1) with $m = 4$, $B(u) \geq 0$ and $u_{x_n} > 0$, then

$$(7.6) \quad \Delta u \leq \Gamma(u) \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \text{ where } \Gamma(u) = A^{-1}(B(u)).$$

Proof. We have

$$(7.7) \quad P_{x_i} = P_s u_{x_i} + P_t \Delta u_{x_i}$$

and so,

$$(7.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \Delta u(\nabla P \cdot \nabla u) &= P_s |\nabla u|^2 \Delta u + P_t \Delta u \sum_{i=1}^n u_{x_i} \Delta u_{x_i} \\ \Leftrightarrow -B'(u)|\nabla u|^2 \Delta u &= \Delta u(\nabla P \cdot \nabla u) - A'(\Delta u) \Delta u \sum_{i=1}^n u_{x_i} \Delta u_{x_i} \end{aligned}$$

on the other hand we have

$$(7.9) \quad \begin{aligned} P_{x_i x_i} &= P_{ss} u_{x_i}^2 + 2P_{st} u_{x_i} \Delta u_{x_i} + P_{tt} (\Delta u_{x_i})^2 + P_s u_{x_i x_i} + P_t \Delta u_{x_i x_i} \\ \Rightarrow \Delta P &= (-B''(u))|\nabla u|^2 + A''(\Delta u) \sum_{i=1}^n (\Delta u_{x_i})^2 - B'(u) \Delta u + A'(\Delta u) \Delta^2 u \end{aligned}$$

and by (7.8) and the assumptions of A and B , (7.9) becomes

$$(7.10) \quad |\nabla u|^2 \Delta P - \Delta u(\nabla P \cdot \nabla u) \geq a(\Delta u)[|\nabla u|^2 \Delta^2 u - \Delta u(\nabla u \cdot \nabla \Delta u)] - b(u)|\nabla u|^4 = 0$$

For the bound of the Laplacian, we have $P(u, 0) = -B(u) \leq 0$ and $\mu = |\nabla u|^2 > 0 \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ since $u_{x_n} > 0$, so the assumption (i) in Theorem 7.1 is satisfied and we conclude. \square

Proposition 7.4. *Let u be a smooth solution of*

$$(7.11) \quad |\text{Hes } u|^2 = F(u, |\nabla u|^2, \Delta u) + \frac{u}{2} \Delta^2 u$$

where $F : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is such that $F(s, t, w) \geq \frac{1}{2} w^2$.

Then $P = P(u, |\nabla u|^2, \Delta u) = |\nabla u|^2 - u \Delta u$ is a P -function of (7.13).

In addition, if u is non negative, convex solution of (7.13) that satisfies assumption (7.1), then

$$(7.12) \quad |\nabla u|^2 \leq u \Delta u \quad , \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Proof. We have that

$$P_{x_i} = 2 \sum_{j=1}^n u_{x_j} u_{x_j x_i} - u_{x_i} \Delta u - u \Delta u_{x_i}$$

and

$$\Delta P = 2|\text{Hes } u|^2 + 2\nabla u \nabla \Delta u - (\Delta u)^2 - 2\nabla u \nabla \Delta u - u \Delta^2 u$$

so by (7.13),

$$\Delta P = 2F(u, |\nabla u|^2, \Delta u) - (\Delta u)^2 \geq 0$$

For the gradient bound we see that $P(u, 0, \Delta u) = -u \Delta u \leq 0$ since u is non negative and convex, so the assumption (i) of Theorem 7.1 is satisfied and we conclude. \square

As a result, we have the following pointwise estimate

Corollary 7.5. *Let $u : B_2 \subset \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth solution of*

$$(7.13) \quad |\text{Hes } u|^2 = F(u, |\nabla u|^2, \Delta u) + \frac{u}{2} \Delta^2 u$$

where $F : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is such that $F(s, t, w) \geq \frac{1}{2} w^2$.

Then

$$(7.14) \quad |\nabla u(x)|^2 - u(x) \Delta u(x) \leq C(\|u\|_{H^1(B_2)} + \|\Delta u\|_{L^2(B_2)}) ,$$

$\forall x \in B_1 = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n : |y| < 1\}$, and C depends only on n .

Proof. By Proposition 7.4, we have that $P = |\nabla u|^2 - u\Delta u = P(u; x)$ is subharmonic. Therefore we have

$$(7.15) \quad P(u; x) \leq \frac{1}{|B(x, r)|} \int_{B(x, r)} P(u; y) dy, \quad \forall B(x, r) \subset B_2$$

Also, $P \leq |\nabla u|^2 + \frac{1}{2}(u^2 + (\Delta u)^2)$.

So,

$$(7.16) \quad \int_{B(x, r)} P(u; y) dy \leq \|u\|_{H^1(B_2)} + \|\Delta u\|_{L^2(B_2)}, \quad \forall B(x, r) \subset B_2$$

Thus, for any $x \in B_1$ (since $B(x, 1) \subset B_2$), we have

$$(7.17) \quad P(u; x) \leq \frac{1}{|B_1|} (\|u\|_{H^1(B_2)} + \|\Delta u\|_{L^2(B_2)})$$

□

Remark 7.6. Note that if $F(u, |\nabla u|^2, \Delta u) = \frac{1}{2}(\Delta u)^2$, we have a reduction of order result, that is, if u is a smooth and bounded entire solution of

$$(7.18) \quad 2|\text{Hes } u|^2 = (\Delta u)^2 + u\Delta^2 u$$

such that $\nabla u, \Delta u \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then u satisfies $u\Delta u = |\nabla u|^2 + c$ for some $c \in \mathbb{R}$. We can see this from the proof of Proposition 7.4, where $P = |\nabla u|^2 - u\Delta u$ will be harmonic for this particular equation. Also, $|P| \leq M$ for some $M = M(\|u\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \|\Delta u\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}) > 0$ and thus $P \equiv \text{constant}$.

We also provide a consequence of Theorem 7.2

Corollary 7.7. Let u be a convex subsolution of

$$(7.19) \quad c|\text{Hes } u|^2 - \Delta^2 u = 0, \quad \text{with } c \geq 0$$

that satisfies assumption (7.1) with $m = 4$.

Then u is constant.

Proof. Consider $P = P(u, \nabla u, \Delta u) = (\Delta u)^2$, so

$$(7.20) \quad \begin{aligned} P_{x_i} &= 2\Delta u \Delta u_{x_i} \\ P_{x_i x_i} &= 2(\Delta u_{x_i})^2 + 2\Delta u \Delta u_{x_i x_i} \\ \Rightarrow \Delta P &= 2|\nabla \Delta u|^2 + 2\Delta u \Delta^2 u \geq 2|\nabla \Delta u|^2 + 2c|\text{Hes } u|^2 \Delta u \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

and $P(u, \nabla u, 0) = 0$ with $\mu = 1$, so by Theorem 7.2 we obtain $\Delta u \equiv 0$ in \mathbb{R}^n and u is bounded by (7.1), so u is constant. □

Finally, we have the following De Giorgi-type property

Proposition 7.8. *Let $u : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth and bounded solution of*

$$(7.21) \quad F(u, \nabla u, \nabla^2 u, \nabla^3 u, \nabla^4 u) = 0$$

such that $u_y > 0$ and assume $P = P(u, \Delta u)$ is a P -function of (7.21), such that $P_t > 0$ ($P = P(s, t)$) with $\mu = \mu(|\nabla u|)$, $\mu(t) > 0$, $\forall t > 0$.

If there exists $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^2$ such that

$$(7.22) \quad P(u(x_0), \Delta u(x_0)) = \sup_{\mathbb{R}^n} P(u, \Delta u) < +\infty$$

then there exists a function $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$(7.23) \quad u(x) = g(ax + by) \quad , \quad \text{for } a, b \in \mathbb{R}$$

Proof. Arguing as in the proof of Theorem 2.4 we obtain that

$$(7.24) \quad P(u, \Delta u) \equiv c_0 \quad , \quad \text{where } c_0 = \sup_{\mathbb{R}^n} P(u, \Delta u)$$

since $P_t > 0$ we have

$$(7.25) \quad \Delta u = f(u) \quad , \quad \text{for some } f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

and u is bounded entire solution of (7.25) such that $u_y > 0$.

Therefore, by Theorem 1.1 in [12], we conclude that

$$(7.26) \quad u(x) = g(ax + by) \quad , \quad \text{for some } g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

□

Acknowledgments: I would like to thank my advisor professors N. Alikakos and C. Makridakis for their support. Also, I would like to thank professor N. Alikakos for his useful suggestions that improved this work.

REFERENCES

- [1] G. Alberti, L. Ambrosio and X. Cabre, *On a Lon-Standing Conjecture of E.De Giorgi Symmetry in 3D for General Nonlinearities and a Local Minimality Property*, Acta Applicandae Mathematicae 65: 9-33, 2001
- [2] Ivan Black and Zheng Hao, *The Mean Value Theorem for divergence form Elliptic Operators*, arXiv:1302.2952v5

- [3] Xavier Cabré and Antonio Capella, *On the stability of semilinear elliptic equations in all of \mathbb{R}^n* , C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I 338 (2004) 769-774
- [4] Xavier Cabré, Alessio Figalli, Xavier Ros-Oton and Joaquim Serra, *Stable solutions to semilinear elliptic equations are smooth up to dimension 9*, Acta Mathematica, Volume 224, Issue 2 (2020), pp. 187–252
- [5] Luis Caffarelli and Michael Crandall, *Distance Functions and Almost Global Solutions of Eikonal Equations*, Com. Partial Differential Equations, 35 (2010), no 3, 391-414.
- [6] Luis Caffarelli, Nicola Garofalo and Fausto Segala : *A Gradient Bound for Entire Solutions of Quasi-Linear Equations and Its Consequences*, Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. XLVII, 1457-1473 (1994)
- [7] Donatella Danielli, Nicola Garofalo, *Properties of entire solutions of non-uniformly elliptic equations arising in geometry and in phase transitions*, Calculus of Variations (2002)
- [8] Louis Dupaigne and Alberto Farina, *Classification and Liouville-type theorems for semilinear elliptic equations in unbounded domains*, Anal. PDE 15(2): 551-566 (2022)
- [9] Alberto Farina and Enrico Valdinoci, *Gradient bounds for anisotropic partial differential equations*, Calc. Var. (2013)
- [10] Dimitrios Gazoulis, *A Relation of the Allen-Cahn equations and the Euler equations and applications of the Equipartition*,(preprint) arXiv:2203.13960
- [11] Mariano Giaquinta and Stefan Hildebrandt, *Calculus of Variations I*, Springer Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1996
- [12] N. Ghoussoub, C. Gui, *On a conjecture of De Giorgi and some related problems*, Math. Ann. 311, 481-491(1998)
- [13] David Gilbarg and Neil S. Trudinger, *Elliptic Partial Differential Equations of Second Order*, Springer, Classics in Mathematics, volume 224, (2001)
- [14] Luciano Modica, *A Gradient Bound and a Liouville Theorem for Nonlinear Poisson Equations*, Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. XXXVIII 679-684 (1985)
- [15] L.E. Payne and G.A. Philippin, *On Maximum Principles for a Class of Nonlinear Second-Order Elliptic Equations*, Journal of Differential Equations 37, 39-48 (1980)
- [16] L.E. Payne and G.A. Philippin, *Some Maximum Principles for Nonlinear Elliptic Equations in divergence form with applications to capillary surfaces and to surfaces of constant mean curvature*, Nonlinear Analysis, Theory, Methods & Applications, Vol. 3, No. 2, pp. 193-211.
- [17] Panayotis Smyrnelis, *Gradient estimates for semilinear elliptic systems and other related results*, Proceedings of the Royal Society of Edinburgh 145A (2015) pp. 1313–1330
- [18] Rene P. Sperb, *Maximum Principles and their Applications*, Academic Press
- [19] Peter Tolksdorf, *Regularity for a More General Class of Quasilinear Elliptic Equations*, Journal of Differential Equations, 51, 126-150 (1984)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND APPLIED MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CRETE, 70013 HERAKLION, GREECE, AND INSTITUTE OF APPLIED AND COMPUTATIONAL MATHEMATICS, FORTH, 700 13 HERAKLION, CRETE, GREECE

Email address: dgazoulis@math.uoa.gr