

Extremal properties of the first eigenvalue and the fundamental gap of a sub-elliptic operator

Hongli Sun¹, Weijia Wu^{1*}, Donghui Yang¹

¹ School of Mathematics and Statistics, Central South University, Changsha 410083, China.

Abstract

We consider the problems of extreming the first eigenvalue and the fundamental gap of a sub-elliptic operator with Dirichlet boundary condition, when the potential V is subjected to a p -norm constraint. The existence results for weak solutions, compact embedding theorem and spectral theory for sub-elliptic equation are given. Moreover, we provide the specific characteristics of the corresponding optimal potential function.

Keywords: first eigenvalue, fundamental gap, sub-elliptic

AMS subject classifications: 35P15; 47A75

1 Introduction

For the extremal properties of the first eigenvalue and the fundamental gap, it seems to be a broader focus on Schrödinger equation. In general, consider the operator $-\Delta + V(x)$ with the Dirichlet boundary condition, where V is a potential, a multiplication operator, $x \in \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, Ω is a fixed bounded smooth domain. For reasonable potentials V , the spectrum is discrete and consists of non-negative eigenvalues which can be numbered in an increasing order as follows

$$0 < \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 \leq \lambda_3 \cdots \leq \lambda_m \leq \cdots . \quad (1.1)$$

In quantum mechanics, these eigenvalues correspond to the energy levels, in atomic units, of a quantum particle in the potential energy V imagined as $+\infty$ outside Ω . The first eigenvalue $\lambda_1(V)$ is generally referred as the ground state, the second $\lambda_2(V)$ is the first excited state and the difference $\Gamma(V) = \lambda_2(V) - \lambda_1(V)$ is the fundamental gap.

The fundamental gap typically has profound physical implications and mathematical senses. Simultaneously, the gap is also a core topic of interest in statistical mechanics and quantum field theory, especially, if it is small enough of the size for the fundamental gap, it can produce the well-known tunnelling effect, hence, the problem of minimizing the fundamental gap seems very crucial. Moreover, the gap plays an important role in both numerical calculation and analysis, such as in the improvement of Poincaré inequality, a priori estimates. For more information, we can refer to [3, 24].

¹Corresponding author: weijiawu@yeah.net

The problem of maximizing the first eigenvalue of a Schrödinger operator among potentials V of given L^p norm was initiated at least in the early 1960s [32]. Subsequently, in one-dimensional case, detailed descriptions were given on [18, 46, 47, 9, 30], in particular, the eigenvalue problem of unbounded interval was considered in [47, 9]. In a situation of multidimension, [22, 17, 5] provided the effective solution to ideas and methods for maximizing the first eigenvalue. The problem of extreming eigenvalues of Schrödinger operators on manifolds was considered, for example in [45, 42, 20]. For other types of operators, the interesting problem about the eigenvalue was also discussed, for instance, the Dirichlet eigenvalue problem for degenerate elliptic operator $-\Delta_X$ on Ω was considered on [13], where $X = (X_1, X_2, \dots, X_m)$ be a system of real smooth vector fields defined on Ω with the boundary $\partial\Omega$ which is non-characteristic for X . We also refer to [36, 11] for similar topics.

Van den Berg put forward in 1983 [16] that the fundamental gap $\Gamma(V)$ is bounded below $3\pi^2/d^2$, where d is the diameter of the convex domain. One can find more results in [3]. Beyond the work [16], the recent history of the fundamental gap problem mainly comes from [44], which obtained that $\Gamma(V) \geq \pi^2/4d^2$ of the general Schrödinger problem for all dimensions n . This result has been improved in many subsequent works [51, 48, 35], it was not until 2011 that Andrews and Clutterbuck [2] completely solved this conjecture. For one-dimensional case, there are also many excellent works, we can refer to [4, 26, 27, 1, 33, 6]. Notably, the problem of extreming the fundamental gap of a Schrödinger operator among potentials V of given L^p norm was studied on [7, 29]. For more general operators, the gap of a second order self-adjoint operator was considered in a domain [10], the boundary is partitioned into two parts with Dirichlet boundary condition on one of them, and Neumann condition on the other one. Wolfson [50] gave a estimate the eigenvalue gap for a class of nonsymmetric second-order linear elliptic operators. The eigenvalue gap of the p -Laplacian eigenvalue problem was introduced in [14].

Inspired by these works, in this paper we consider the extremal properties of the eigenvalue problem for the following degenerate sub-elliptic equation:

$$\begin{cases} -(y^{2\alpha_1} \partial_x^2 u + x^{2\alpha_2} \partial_y^2 u) + Vu = \lambda u, & x \in \Omega, \\ u = 0, & x \in \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

where $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$,

$$\Omega = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid 0 < x < 1, 0 < y < 1\},$$

and

$$V \in S_p = \{V \in L^p(\Omega) \mid V \geq 0 \text{ a.e.}, \|V\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \leq M\},$$

M is a positive constant, $1 < p < \infty$. We shall discuss the solvability of the differential equation, and prove the corresponding compact embedding theorem. Based on these, the characteristics of spectrum are described as (1.1), which shows that it is meaningful to study the fundamental gap.

The aim of the paper is to find optimal potentials associated to $\sup_{V \in S_p} \lambda_1(V)$ and $\inf_{V \in S_p} \Gamma(V)$ for some p .

In this paper, we proceed as follows. In Section 2, we introduce weak solution space and provide the corresponding compact embedding theorem. The local boundedness and regularity of the weak solution are established in Section 3. In Section 4, we consider the maximum of the first eigenvalue problem (1.2) when $V \in S_p$, $1 < p < \infty$. In Section 5, the minimum problem of fundamental gap is characterized when $V \in S_p$, $2 < p < \infty$.

2 Solution space

Our overall plan is first to define and then construct proper weak solution u with respect to (2.1) and only later to explore other properties of u . The space we mentioned below may be involved in many works such as [37, 41, 12, 8, 34], but we will introduce more detailed results. Consider

$$\begin{cases} -(y^{2\alpha_1} \partial_x^2 u + x^{2\alpha_2} \partial_y^2 u) + Vu = f, & x \in \Omega, \\ u = 0, & x \in \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

where $f \in L^2(\Omega)$, $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$.

Define

$$\mathcal{H}^1(\Omega) = \left\{ u \in L^2(\Omega) \mid y^{\alpha_1} \partial_x u \in L^2(\Omega), x^{\alpha_2} \partial_y u \in L^2(\Omega) \right\},$$

with scalar product

$$(u, v)_{\mathcal{H}^1(\Omega)} = \iint_{\Omega} uv + (y^{\alpha_1} \partial_x u)(y^{\alpha_1} \partial_x v) + (x^{\alpha_2} \partial_y u)(x^{\alpha_2} \partial_y v) dx dy,$$

endowed with the norm

$$\|u\|_{\mathcal{H}^1(\Omega)} = \left(\|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|y^{\alpha_1} \partial_x u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|x^{\alpha_2} \partial_y u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

It is not hard to find that $\|u\|_{\mathcal{H}^1(\Omega)} = \sqrt{(\cdot, \cdot)_{\mathcal{H}^1(\Omega)}}$.

Throughout this paper, let $\|\cdot\|$ and (\cdot, \cdot) denote the norm and the inner product of $L^2(\Omega)$, $H^1(\Omega)$ is the classical Sobolev space.

Let $\mathcal{H}_0^1(\Omega)$ denotes the closure of $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ in the space $\mathcal{H}^1(\Omega)$, that is,

$$\mathcal{H}_0^1(\Omega) = \overline{C_0^\infty(\Omega)}^{\mathcal{H}^1(\Omega)}.$$

Lemma 2.1. *The space $(\mathcal{H}^1(\Omega), (\cdot, \cdot)_{\mathcal{H}^1(\Omega)})$ is a Hilbert space.*

Proof. Firstly, we easily verify that $(\mathcal{H}^1(\Omega), (\cdot, \cdot)_{\mathcal{H}^1(\Omega)})$ is an inner space. Let $\{u_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{H}^1(\Omega)$ be a Cauchy sequence, so that $\{u_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, \{y^{\alpha_1} \partial_x u_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, \{x^{\alpha_2} \partial_y u_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ are Cauchy sequences in $L^2(\Omega)$. Then there exist $u, v, w \in L^2(\Omega)$ such that

$$u_n \rightarrow u, y^{\alpha_1} \partial_x u_n \rightarrow v, x^{\alpha_2} \partial_y u_n \rightarrow w \text{ strongly in } L^2(\Omega).$$

For each $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$, we have

$$\iint_{\Omega} v \varphi dx dy \leftarrow \iint_{\Omega} (y^{\alpha_1} \partial_x u_n) \varphi dx dy = - \iint_{\Omega} u_n (y^{\alpha_1} \partial_x \varphi) dx dy \rightarrow - \iint_{\Omega} u (y^{\alpha_1} \partial_x \varphi) dx dy, \quad n \rightarrow \infty$$

in the sense of distribution, which implies that

$$v = y^{\alpha_1} \partial_x u$$

in the sense of distribution. Naturally, $v = y^{\alpha_1} \partial_x u$ in $L^2(\Omega)$ since $w \in L^2(\Omega)$. Implement the same method again then $w = x^{\alpha_2} \partial_y u$ in $L^2(\Omega)$ is attained. All these imply that

$$u_n \rightarrow u \text{ in } \mathcal{H}^1(\Omega). \quad \square$$

Lemma 2.2. *The space $\mathcal{H}^1(\Omega)$ is separable and reflexive.*

Proof. Define $L^2_3(\Omega) = L^2(\Omega) \times L^2(\Omega) \times L^2(\Omega)$, where

$$\|u\|_{L^2_3(\Omega)} = \left(\iint_{\Omega} |u_1|^2 + |u_2|^2 + |u_3|^2 dx dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

for $u = (u_1, u_2, u_3) \in L^2_3(\Omega)$ as the norm of space $L^2_3(\Omega)$. It is evident that $L^2_3(\Omega)$ is a separable space. Set

$$Pu = (u, x^{\alpha_2} \partial_y u, y^{\alpha_1} \partial_x u), u \in \mathcal{H}^1(\Omega),$$

clearly, $W = \{Pu \mid u \in \mathcal{H}^1(\Omega)\}$ is a subspace of $L^2_3(\Omega)$. It is found that P is an isometric isomorphism of mapping $\mathcal{H}^1(\Omega)$ to W in view of $\|Pu\|_{L^2_3(\Omega)} = \|u\|_{\mathcal{H}^1(\Omega)}$. Given that $\mathcal{H}^1(\Omega)$ is complete, W is a closed subspace of $L^2_3(\Omega)$, it implies that W is separable. Note that P is an isometric linear isomorphism, then $\mathcal{H}^1(\Omega)$ has the same properties. The reflexivity can be obtained in the same way. \square

Definition 2.3. We define the space

$$H(\Omega) = \left\{ u \in \mathcal{H}^1(\Omega) \mid \iint_{\Omega} V u^2 dx dy < \infty \right\},$$

with scalar product

$$(u, v)_{H(\Omega)} = \iint_{\Omega} uv + (y^{\alpha_1} \partial_x u)(y^{\alpha_1} \partial_x v) + (x^{\alpha_2} \partial_y u)(x^{\alpha_2} \partial_y v) + (V^{\frac{1}{2}} u)(V^{\frac{1}{2}} v) dx dy,$$

and the associated norm

$$\|u\|_{H(\Omega)} = \left(\|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|y^{\alpha_1} \partial_x u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|x^{\alpha_2} \partial_y u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|V^{\frac{1}{2}} u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

We also define the space $H_0(\Omega) = \{u \in \mathcal{H}_0^1(\Omega) \mid \iint_{\Omega} V u^2 dx dy < \infty\}$.

Lemma 2.4. *The space $(H(\Omega), (\cdot, \cdot)_{H(\Omega)})$ is a Hilbert space.*

Proof. Let $\{u_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset H(\Omega)$ be a Cauchy sequence, thus $\{V^{\frac{1}{2}} u_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $L^2(\Omega)$, i.e., there exists $g \in L^2(\Omega)$ such that

$$V^{\frac{1}{2}} u_n \rightarrow g \text{ in } L^2(\Omega).$$

From Lemma 2.1 it follows that $\|u_n - u\|_{\mathcal{H}^1(\Omega)} \rightarrow 0$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, it is sufficient to prove that

$$g = V^{\frac{1}{2}}u \text{ in } L^2(\Omega). \quad (2.2)$$

Since $\{V^{\frac{1}{2}}u_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a Cauchy sequence, one has for $\forall \epsilon > 0$, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $\|V^{\frac{1}{2}}u_m - V^{\frac{1}{2}}u_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)} < \epsilon$ for any $m, n \geq N$. Moreover, there is a subsequence of $\{u_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, denoted by $\{u_{n_j}\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$, such that

$$u_{n_j} \rightarrow u \text{ a.e. as } j \rightarrow \infty,$$

given that $u_n \rightarrow u$ in $L^2(\Omega)$. This implies that $V^{\frac{1}{2}}u_{n_j} \rightarrow V^{\frac{1}{2}}u$ a.e. as $j \rightarrow \infty$. Fixed $n \geq N$, applying Fatou Theorem

$$\begin{aligned} \iint_{\Omega} \left| V^{\frac{1}{2}}u - V^{\frac{1}{2}}u_n \right|^2 dx dy &= \iint_{\Omega} \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \left| V^{\frac{1}{2}}u_{n_j}(x) - V^{\frac{1}{2}}u_n(x) \right|^2 dx dy \\ &\leq \liminf_{j \rightarrow \infty} \iint_{\Omega} \left| V^{\frac{1}{2}}u_{n_j} - V^{\frac{1}{2}}u_n \right|^2 dx dy \leq \epsilon^2. \end{aligned}$$

So far, (2.2) is verified. \square

Lemma 2.5. $\mathcal{H}^1(\Omega)$ is continuously embedded into $W^{1,1}(\Omega)$.

Proof. For any $u \in \mathcal{H}^1(\Omega)$, using Hölder inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} \iint_{\Omega} |\partial_x u| dx dy &\leq \left(\iint_{\Omega} y^{-2\alpha_1} dx dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\iint_{\Omega} |y^{\alpha_1} \partial_x u|^2 dx dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq C \|y^{\alpha_1} \partial_x u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}. \end{aligned}$$

The same procedure is implemented again, we have $\|\partial_y u\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \leq C \|x^{\alpha_2} \partial_y u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$. \square

Lemma 2.6. $H_0^1(\Omega) \subset \mathcal{H}_0^1(\Omega) \subset \mathcal{H}^1(\Omega) \cap W_0^{1,1}(\Omega)$.

Proof. For $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$, there is a sequence $\{u_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ such that $\|u_n - u\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \rightarrow 0$, $n \rightarrow \infty$, and we observe that

$$\|u_n - u\|_{\mathcal{H}^1(\Omega)} \leq C \|u_n - u\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty,$$

therefore $u \in \mathcal{H}_0^1(\Omega)$.

For $u \in \mathcal{H}_0^1(\Omega)$, there is a sequence $\{u_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ such that $\|u_n - u\|_{\mathcal{H}^1(\Omega)} \rightarrow 0$, $n \rightarrow \infty$. And from Lemma 2.5

$$\|u_n - u\|_{W^{1,1}(\Omega)} \leq C \|u_n - u\|_{\mathcal{H}^1(\Omega)} \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty,$$

so that we have $\mathcal{H}_0^1(\Omega) \subset \mathcal{H}^1(\Omega) \cap W_0^{1,1}(\Omega)$. \square

From Lemma 2.6, we know that it makes sense to consider Dirichlet condition problem (2.1).

Lemma 2.7. For any $u \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$, we have

$$\|u\|_{L^{\frac{2}{1-\delta}}(\Omega)} \leq \left(\iint_{\Omega} |y^{\alpha_1} \partial_x u|^2 + |x^{\alpha_2} \partial_y u|^2 dx dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (2.3)$$

for $\frac{1}{2} \leq \delta < \min \left\{ \frac{1}{\alpha_1+1}, \frac{1}{\alpha_2+1} \right\}$.

Proof. For any $u \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$, we observe that

$$u(x, y) = \int_0^x \partial_x(s, y) ds,$$

then

$$|u(x, y)| \leq \int_0^1 |\partial_x u(s, y)| ds. \quad (2.4)$$

Similarly, we have $|u(x, y)| \leq \int_0^1 |\partial_y u(x, t)| dt$, furthermore, for $0 < \delta < 1$,

$$|u(x, y)|^\delta \leq \left(\int_0^1 |\partial_x(s, y)| ds \right)^\delta, \quad |u(x, y)|^\delta \leq \left(\int_0^1 |\partial_y(x, t)| dt \right)^\delta. \quad (2.5)$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^1 \int_0^1 |u(x, y)|^{2\delta} dx dy \\ & \leq \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \left\{ \left(\int_0^1 |\partial_x(s, y)| ds \right)^\delta \left(\int_0^1 |\partial_y(x, t)| dt \right)^\delta \right\} dx dy \\ & = \int_0^1 \left(\int_0^1 |\partial_y(x, t)| dt \right)^\delta \left\{ \int_0^1 \left(\int_0^1 |\partial_x(s, y)| ds \right)^\delta dy \right\} dx \\ & = \int_0^1 \left(\int_0^1 |\partial_x(s, y)| ds \right)^\delta dy \cdot \int_0^1 \left(\int_0^1 |\partial_y(x, t)| dt \right)^\delta dx \\ & = \int_0^1 \left(\int_0^1 |\partial_x u| dx \right)^\delta y^{-\alpha_1 \delta} y^{\alpha_1 \delta} dy \cdot \int_0^1 \left(\int_0^1 |\partial_y u| dy \right)^\delta x^{-\alpha_2 \delta} x^{\alpha_2 \delta} dx \\ & \leq \left\{ \int_0^1 y^{-\frac{\alpha_1 \delta}{1-\delta}} dy \right\}^{1-\delta} \left\{ \int_0^1 y^{\alpha_1} \int_0^1 |\partial_x u| dy dx \right\}^\delta \cdot \left\{ \int_0^1 x^{-\frac{\alpha_2 \delta}{1-\delta}} dx \right\}^{1-\delta} \left\{ \int_0^1 x^{\alpha_2} \int_0^1 |\partial_y u| dy dx \right\}^\delta. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\frac{1}{2} \leq \delta < \min \left\{ \frac{1}{\alpha_1+1}, \frac{1}{\alpha_2+1} \right\}$, we have

$$\int_0^1 \int_0^1 |u(x, y)|^{2\delta} dx dy \leq C \|y^{\alpha_1} \partial_x u\|_{L^1(\Omega)}^\delta \|x^{\alpha_2} \partial_y u\|_{L^1(\Omega)}^\delta,$$

that is,

$$\|u\|_{L^{2\delta}(\Omega)} \leq C \|y^{\alpha_1} \partial_x u\|_{L^1(\Omega)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|x^{\alpha_2} \partial_y u\|_{L^1(\Omega)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C (\|y^{\alpha_1} \partial_x u\|_{L^1(\Omega)} + \|x^{\alpha_2} \partial_y u\|_{L^1(\Omega)}). \quad (2.6)$$

We put $|u|^\gamma$ ($\gamma > 1$) into (2.6) and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \| |u|^\gamma \|_{L^{2\delta}(\Omega)} & \leq C \left(\| |u|^{\gamma-1} y^{\alpha_1} \partial_x u \|_{L^1(\Omega)} + \| |u|^{\gamma-1} x^{\alpha_2} \partial_y u \|_{L^1(\Omega)} \right) \\ & \leq C \| |u|^{\gamma-1} \|_{L^2(\Omega)} \left(\| y^{\alpha_1} \partial_x u \|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \| x^{\alpha_2} \partial_y u \|_{L^2(\Omega)} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

Taking $\gamma = \frac{1}{1-\delta}$,

$$\| |u|^\gamma \|_{L^{2\delta}(\Omega)} = \left(\| u \|_{L^{\frac{2\delta}{1-\delta}}(\Omega)} \right)^{\frac{1}{1-\delta}}, \quad \| |u|^{\gamma-1} \|_{L^2(\Omega)} = \left(\| u \|_{L^{\frac{2\delta}{1-\delta}}(\Omega)} \right)^{\frac{\delta}{1-\delta}},$$

the inequality (2.7) becomes:

$$\left(\|u\|_{L^{\frac{2\delta}{1-\delta}}(\Omega)} \right)^{\frac{1}{1-\delta}} \leq C \left(\|u\|_{L^{\frac{2\delta}{1-\delta}}(\Omega)} \right)^{\frac{\delta}{1-\delta}} \left(\|y^{\alpha_1} \partial_x u\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|x^{\alpha_2} \partial_y u\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \right),$$

therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{L^{\frac{2\delta}{1-\delta}}(\Omega)} &\leq C \left(\|y^{\alpha_1} \partial_x u\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|x^{\alpha_2} \partial_y u\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \right) \\ &\leq C \left(\iint_{\Omega} |y^{\alpha_1} \partial_x u|^2 + |x^{\alpha_2} \partial_y u|^2 dx dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Remark 2.8. We easily obtain that the inequality (2.7) is established for all $u \in \mathcal{H}_0^1(\Omega)$ when $\frac{1}{2} \leq \delta < \min \left\{ \frac{1}{\alpha_1+1}, \frac{1}{\alpha_2+1} \right\}$. Moreover, the Poincaré inequality is received by taking $\delta = \frac{1}{2}$. Hence, the equivalent norm of $\mathcal{H}_0^1(\Omega)$ and $H_0(\Omega)$ are

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{\mathcal{H}_0^1(\Omega)} &= \left(\|y^{\alpha_1} \partial_x u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|x^{\alpha_2} \partial_y u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \\ \|u\|_{H_0(\Omega)} &= \left(\|y^{\alpha_1} \partial_x u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|x^{\alpha_2} \partial_y u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|V^{\frac{1}{2}} u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 2.9. $\mathcal{H}_0^1(\Omega)$ is compactly embedded in $L^m(\Omega)$, where $m \in [1, 4)$.

Proof. Since $W^{1,1}(\Omega)$ is compactly embedded into $L^1(\Omega)$, we find that the embedding $\mathcal{H}_0^1(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^1(\Omega)$ is compact by Lemma 2.5. In other words, let $\{u_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{H}_0^1(\Omega)$ be a bounded sequence with a upper bound M_1 , there exists a subsequence of $\{u_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, still denoted by itself, converge in $L^1(\Omega)$.

By Lemma 2.7, we obtain that $\{u_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is bounded in $L^4(\Omega)$ since $\min \left\{ \frac{1}{\alpha_1+1}, \frac{1}{\alpha_2+1} \right\} > \frac{2}{3}$. Through the interpolation inequality for $m \in (1, 4)$, we have

$$\|u_n - u_m\|_{L^m(\Omega)} \leq \|u_n - u_m\|_{L^1(\Omega)}^\alpha \|u_n - u_m\|_{L^4(\Omega)}^{1-\alpha} \leq (2M_1)^{1-\alpha} \|u_n - u_m\|_{L^1(\Omega)}^\alpha$$

for some $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, given that $\{u_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converge in $L^1(\Omega)$, choosing n, m sufficiently large shows that $\{u_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converge in $L^m(\Omega)$. □

Remark 2.10. Since the embedding

$$H_0(\Omega) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{H}_0^1(\Omega)$$

is continuous, we have that the embedding

$$H_0(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^2(\Omega)$$

is compact by invoking the Theorem 2.9.

Definition 2.11. The bilinear form $a(\cdot, \cdot)$ associated with the sub-elliptic operator L is

$$a(u, v) = \iint_{\Omega} (y^{\alpha_1} \partial_x u)(y^{\alpha_1} \partial_x v) + (x^{\alpha_2} \partial_y u)(x^{\alpha_2} \partial_y v) + V u v dx dy$$

for $u, v \in H_0(\Omega)$. We call $u \in H_0(\Omega)$ is a *weak solution* for the problem (2.1) if

$$a(u, v) = (f, v)_{L^2(\Omega)} \quad (2.8)$$

for all $v \in H_0(\Omega)$.

Theorem 2.12. *The boundary value problem (2.1) has a unique weak solution $u \in H_0(\Omega)$ for any given $f \in L^2(\Omega)$.*

Proof. For any $v \in H_0(\Omega)$, we deduce that $f : H_0(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a linear continuous functional on $H_0(\Omega)$ based on the fact that

$$(f, v)_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq \|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \|v\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq \|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \|v\|_{H_0(\Omega)}.$$

Thanks to the Hölder inequality, we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} a(u, v) &= \iint_{\Omega} (y^{\alpha_1} \partial_x u)(y^{\alpha_1} \partial_x v) + (x^{\alpha_2} \partial_y u)(x^{\alpha_2} \partial_y v) + V u v dx dy, \\ &\leq \|y^{\alpha_1} \partial_x u\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \|y^{\alpha_1} \partial_x v\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|x^{\alpha_2} \partial_y u\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \|x^{\alpha_2} \partial_y v\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|V^{\frac{1}{2}} u\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \|V^{\frac{1}{2}} v\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \\ &\leq \left(\|y^{\alpha_1} \partial_x u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|x^{\alpha_2} \partial_y u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|V^{\frac{1}{2}} u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\quad \cdot \left(\|y^{\alpha_1} \partial_x v\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|x^{\alpha_2} \partial_y v\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|V^{\frac{1}{2}} v\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq C \|u\|_{H_0(\Omega)} \|v\|_{H_0(\Omega)} \end{aligned}$$

for any $u, v \in H_0(\Omega)$. Additionally, for any $u \in H_0(\Omega)$

$$a(u, u) = \iint_{\Omega} |y^{\alpha_1} \partial_x u|^2 + |x^{\alpha_2} \partial_y u|^2 + |V^{\frac{1}{2}} u|^2 dx dy \geq \frac{1}{2} \|u\|_{H_0(\Omega)}^2. \quad (2.9)$$

The desired result is proved by employing the Lax Milgram theorem. \square

3 Local properties of weak solutions

We have established the existence and uniqueness of the solution of problem (2.1) and explored the compact embedding theorem. The purpose of this section is to reveal that weak solutions of equation (2.1) are locally bounded and locally Hölder continuous. To demonstrate this we will follow the technique by [21, 43, 52]. In what follows, for $1 < p < \infty$, let us denote by q its conjugate ($\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$), and consider

$$L = - (y^{2\alpha_1} \partial_x^2 + x^{2\alpha_2} \partial_y^2) + V. \quad (3.1)$$

For any $s_0 = (x_0, y_0) \in \Omega$, for convenience, write B_r for $B_r(s_0)$.

Theorem 3.1. Let $u \in H_0(\Omega)$ be a weak solution of $Lu = f$ defined in Ω as in Definition 2.11, where $f \in L^2(\Omega)$ and $V(x) \in L^p(\Omega)$, $1 < p < \infty$, $V(x) \geq 0$. For any $s_0 = (x_0, y_0) \in \Omega$ with $B_{4r} \subset \subset \Omega$, then there is a positive C , we have

$$\sup_{B_r} |u| \leq C(\|u\|_{L^2(B_{2r})} + \|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)}). \quad (3.2)$$

Proof. Setting

$$w = |u| + h,$$

and

$$F(w) = \begin{cases} w^z, & h \leq w \leq l, \\ zl^{z-1}w - (z-1)l^z, & l \leq w, \end{cases} \quad (3.3)$$

where $z \geq 1$, $l > h$, h is a positive number that will be determined later. Let us define the function

$$\begin{aligned} G(u) &= \text{sign}(u) (F(w)F'(w) - zh^{2z-1}) \\ &= \begin{cases} \text{sign}(u) (zw^{2z-1} - zh^{2z-1}), & h \leq w \leq l, \\ \text{sign}(u) (z^2l^{2z-2}w - z(z-1)l^{2z-1} - zh^{2z-1}), & w \geq l, \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

it is easy to verify that

$$G' = \begin{cases} (2-1/z)|F'|^2, & |u| < l-h, \\ |F'|^2, & |u| > l-h, \end{cases} \quad (3.5)$$

and

$$|G| \leq FF', \quad wF' \leq zF. \quad (3.6)$$

For any open set $\Omega' \subset \subset \Omega$ and choose an open set W such that $\Omega' \subset \subset W \subset \subset \Omega$. Consider the function $v = \eta^2 G(u)$, where $\eta \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and $0 \leq \eta \leq 1$, $\eta \equiv 1$ on Ω' , $\eta \equiv 0$ on $\mathbb{R}^2 - W$. Since $Dv = 2\eta D\eta G(u) + \eta^2 G'(u) Du$ for $|u| \neq l-h$, $Dv = 2\eta D\eta G(u)$ and $Du = 0$ a.e. [43] when $|u| = l-h$, thus $v \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ can be obtained by (3.3), (3.4), (3.5) and (3.6). Let $\Omega_1 = \{x \in \Omega : |u| \leq l-h\}$, $\Omega_2 = \{x \in \Omega : |u| > l-h\}$, from (3.6) we naturally know

$$\begin{aligned} |G(u)| &\leq FF' \leq zl^{2z-1} \text{ in } \Omega_1, \\ |G(u)| &\leq z^2l^{2z-2}|u| + z^2l^{2z-2}h - z(z-1)l^{2z-1} \text{ in } \Omega_2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \iint_{\Omega_1} Vv^2 dx dy &\leq (zl^{2z-1})^2 \|V\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \leq (zl^{2z-1})^2 \|V\|_{L^p(\Omega)}, \\ \iint_{\Omega_2} Vv^2 dx dy &\leq 2z^4l^{4z-4} \iint_{\Omega_2} V|u|^2 dx dy + C(z, l, h) \|V\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \\ &\leq 2z^4l^{4z-4} \left\| V^{\frac{1}{2}}|u| \right\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + C(z, l, h) \|V\|_{L^p(\Omega)}, \end{aligned}$$

here $C(z, l, h) = 2(z^2l^{2z-2}h - z(z-1)l^{2z-1})^2$. These display that v is a legitimate test function.

Substituting v in (2.8) yields

$$\begin{aligned} &\iint_{\Omega} y^{2\alpha_1} \eta^2 G'(u) \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right|^2 + x^{2\alpha_2} \eta^2 G'(u) \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right|^2 + 2y^{2\alpha_1} \eta G(u) \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial x} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + 2x^{2\alpha_2} \eta G(u) \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial y} \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} dx dy \\ &= \iint_{\Omega} \eta^2 f G(u) dx dy - \iint_{\Omega} \eta^2 V u G(u) dx dy. \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

According to the definition of η and (3.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \iint_{\Omega} y^{2\alpha_1} \eta^2 G'(u) \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right|^2 + x^{2\alpha_2} \eta^2 G'(u) \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right|^2 dx dy \\
& \geq \frac{1}{2} \min_W \{x^{2\alpha_2}, y^{2\alpha_1}\} \iint_W \eta^2 G'(u) \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right|^2 + \eta^2 G'(u) \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right|^2 dx dy \\
& = \frac{1}{2} \min_W \{x^{2\alpha_2}, y^{2\alpha_1}\} \iint_{\Omega} \eta^2 G'(u) |Du|^2 dx dy \\
& \geq \frac{1}{2} \min_W \{x^{2\alpha_2}, y^{2\alpha_1}\} \iint_{\Omega} \eta^2 |F'|^2 |Dw|^2 dx dy.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

Let $\vartheta = \frac{1}{2} \min_W \{x^{2\alpha_2}, y^{2\alpha_1}\}$, utilizing (3.6), (3.7), (3.8) and $DF(w) = F' Dw$, by the Young inequality we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \iint_{\Omega} \eta^2 |DF(w)|^2 dx dy \\
& \leq 2\vartheta^{-1} \iint_{\Omega} (\eta |D\eta| |Dw| |G(u)| + \eta^2 |f| |G(u)| + \eta^2 V |u| |G(u)|) dx dy \\
& \leq 2\vartheta^{-1} \iint_{\Omega} (\eta |D\eta| |F F'| |Dw| + z h^{-1} |f| \eta^2 |F|^2 + z \eta^2 V |F|^2) dx dy \\
& \leq 2\vartheta^{-1} \iint_{\Omega} \left(\frac{\epsilon}{2} \eta^2 |F'|^2 |Dw|^2 + \frac{1}{2\epsilon} |D\eta|^2 |F|^2 + z h^{-1} |f| \eta^2 |F|^2 + z \eta^2 V |F|^2 \right) dx dy,
\end{aligned}$$

where the second inequality we have used

$$|G(u)| \leq \frac{|u| + h}{h} |G(u)| = \frac{w}{h} |G(u)| \leq \frac{1}{h} F w F' \leq \frac{z}{h} |F|^2$$

and

$$|u| |G(u)| \leq |u| F F' \leq F w F' \leq z |F|^2.$$

Selecting the $\epsilon = \frac{\vartheta}{2}$, we know

$$\iint_{\Omega} \eta^2 |DF(w)|^2 dx dy \leq C \iint_{\Omega} |D\eta|^2 |F(w)|^2 + z h^{-1} |f| \eta^2 |F(w)|^2 + z \eta^2 |V| |F(w)|^2 dx dy, \tag{3.9}$$

here and in the following content in this proof, C is independent on z . Since $\eta F(w) \in H_0^1(\Omega)$, for any $1 \leq 2X < \infty$, from Sobolev imbedding theorems and inequality (3.9) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\eta F(w)\|_{L^{2X}(\Omega)}^2 & \leq C \|\eta F(w)\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 \leq C \iint_{\Omega} |D\eta|^2 |F(w)|^2 + \eta^2 |DF(w)|^2 dx dy \\
& \leq C \iint_{\Omega} (z + 1) |D\eta|^2 |F(w)|^2 + z h^{-1} \eta^2 |f| |F(w)|^2 + z \eta^2 |V| |F(w)|^2 dx dy
\end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

due to $z \geq 1$. Moreover, we choose $\max\{2, q\} < X < \infty$, for any $\epsilon > 0$ it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\iint_{\Omega} \eta^2 |f| |F|^2 dx dy & \leq \|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \|\eta F(w)\|_{L^4(\Omega)}^2 \\
& \leq \|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \left(\epsilon \|\eta F(w)\|_{L^{2X}(\Omega)} + \epsilon^{-\mu_1} \|\eta F(w)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \right)^2, \\
\iint_{\Omega} \eta^2 V |F(w)|^2 dx dy & \leq \|V\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \|\eta F(w)\|_{L^{2q}(\Omega)}^2 \\
& \leq \|V\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \left(\epsilon \|\eta F(w)\|_{L^{2X}(\Omega)} + \epsilon^{-\mu_2} \|\eta F(w)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \right)^2,
\end{aligned}$$

where $\mu_1 = \frac{X}{X-2}$, $\mu_2 = \frac{X(q-1)}{X-q}$. Setting $h = \|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$ (if $f = 0$, we may take $h > 0$, eventually let $h \rightarrow 0$), and choosing the proper μ such that $\epsilon^{-2\mu} \geq \max\{\epsilon^{-2\mu_1}, \epsilon^{-2\mu_2}\}$, then the inequality (3.10) becomes

$$\|\eta F(w)\|_{L^{2X}(\Omega)}^2 \leq C \iint_{\Omega} (z+1) |D\eta|^2 |F(w)|^2 dx dy + C\epsilon^2 z \|\eta F(w)\|_{L^{2X}(\Omega)}^2 + C\epsilon^{-2\mu} z \|\eta F(w)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2. \quad (3.11)$$

Now, choosing the appropriate ϵ , in fact we may select $\epsilon^2 = \frac{1}{2zC}$, thus

$$\begin{aligned} \|\eta F(w)\|_{L^{2X}(\Omega)}^2 &\leq C \iint_{\Omega} (z+1) |D\eta|^2 |F(w)|^2 dx dy + Cz^{\mu+1} \|\eta F(w)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \\ &\leq C(z+1)^{2(\mu+1)} \iint_{\Omega} (|D\eta| + \eta)^2 |F(w)|^2 dx dy, \end{aligned}$$

that is,

$$\|\eta F(w)\|_{L^{2X}(\Omega)} \leq C(z+1)^{\mu+1} \|(|D\eta| + \eta)F(w)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}. \quad (3.12)$$

It is now desirable to specify the cut-off function η more precisely. Let r_1 and r_2 be such that $r \leq r_1 < r_2 \leq 3r$, selecting η in such a way that $0 \leq \eta \leq 1$, $\eta|_{B_{r_1}} = 1$ and $\eta|_{\mathbb{R}^2 - B_{r_2}} = 0$, $|D\eta| \leq \frac{2}{r_2 - r_1}$. By (3.12),

$$\|F(w)\|_{L^{2X}(B_{r_1})} \leq C \frac{(z+1)^{\mu+1}}{r_2 - r_1} \|F\|_{L^2(B_{r_2})}. \quad (3.13)$$

Setting $\Phi(p, r) = \left(\iint_{B_r} |w|^p dx dy\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$, letting $l \rightarrow \infty$, by (3.13), then

$$\Phi(2zX, r_1) \leq \left(C \frac{(z+1)^{\mu+1}}{r_2 - r_1}\right)^{\frac{1}{z}} \Phi(2z, r_2) \leq \left(C \frac{(2z)^{\mu+1}}{r_2 - r_1}\right)^{\frac{1}{z}} \Phi(2z, r_2).$$

Taking $\theta = 2z$, then the above inequality is transformed into

$$\Phi(\theta X, r_1) \leq \left(C \frac{\theta^{\mu+1}}{r_2 - r_1}\right)^{\frac{2}{\theta}} \Phi(\theta, r_2). \quad (3.14)$$

This inequality can now be iterated to receive the desired results. Indeed, we may choose $\theta = \theta^m = 2X^m$, and $r^m = r + \frac{r}{2^m}$, $m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, the iteration yields

$$\Phi(2X^m, r^m) \leq (CX)^{2(\mu+1)\Sigma m X^{-m}} \Phi(p, 2r) \leq C\Phi(2, 2r). \quad (3.15)$$

Consequently, letting $m \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain

$$\sup_{B_r} w \leq C \|w\|_{L^2(B_{2r})}. \quad (3.16)$$

Following the definition of w , we can see that

$$\sup_{B_r} |u| \leq C (\|u\|_{L^2(B_{2r})} + \|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)}). \quad (3.17)$$

□

Theorem 3.2. Let $u \geq 0$, $u \in H_0(\Omega)$ be a weak solution of $Lu - cu = 0$ defined in Ω as in Definition 2.11, $s_0 = (x_0, y_0) \in \Omega$, where $f \in L^2(\Omega)$ and $V(x) \in L^p(\Omega)$, $1 < p < \infty$, $V(x) \geq 0$, c is a constant. Then for any $B_{4r} \subset \subset \Omega$, there is a positive C such that

$$\sup_{B_r} u \leq C \inf_{B_r} u. \quad (3.18)$$

Proof. From the Section 2 we know that $u \in H_{\text{loc}}^1(\Omega)$ and $\iint_{\Omega} Vu^2 dx < \infty$, and $u \in L^\infty(B_{4r})$ by Theorem 3.1. Define

$$\bar{u} = u + k,$$

where we may choose arbitrary $k > 0$ and eventually let $k \rightarrow 0$. Consider the function $v = \eta^2 \bar{u}^\beta$, where $\eta \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and $0 \leq \eta \leq 1$, $\text{supp } \eta \subset B_{3r}(s_0)$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$. Through simple verification, we can get v is a valid test function.

Since $Dv = 2\eta D\eta \bar{u}^\beta + \beta \eta^2 \bar{u}^{\beta-1} Du$, by substitution into (2.8) for $\beta \neq 0$, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} & \iint_{\Omega} \eta^2 y^{2\alpha_1} \bar{u}^{\beta-1} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right|^2 + \eta^2 x^{2\alpha_2} \bar{u}^{\beta-1} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right|^2 dx dy \\ &= \frac{1}{\beta} \iint_{\Omega} cuv - Vuv dx dy - \frac{2}{\beta} \iint_{\Omega} \eta y^{2\alpha_1} \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial x} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \bar{u}^\beta - \eta x^{2\alpha_2} \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial y} \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \bar{u}^\beta dx dy \\ &\leq \frac{1}{|\beta|} \iint_{\Omega} (|c| + V) \eta^2 \bar{u}^{\beta+1} dx dy + \frac{\epsilon}{|\beta|} \iint_{\Omega} \eta^2 y^{2\alpha_1} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right|^2 \bar{u}^{\beta-1} + \eta^2 x^{2\alpha_2} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right|^2 \bar{u}^{\beta-1} dx dy \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{|\beta|\epsilon} \iint_{\Omega} y^{2\alpha_1} \left| \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial x} \right|^2 \bar{u}^{\beta+1} + x^{2\alpha_2} \left| \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial y} \right|^2 \bar{u}^{\beta+1} dx dy. \end{aligned}$$

Selecting $\epsilon = \min\{1, \frac{|\beta|}{4}\}$ and utilizing the feature of η , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \iint_{\Omega} \eta^2 y^{2\alpha_1} \bar{u}^{\beta-1} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right|^2 + \eta^2 x^{2\alpha_2} \bar{u}^{\beta-1} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right|^2 dx dy \\ &\leq C(|\beta|) \iint_{\Omega} |D\eta|^2 \bar{u}^{\beta+1} + (|c| + V) \eta^2 \bar{u}^{\beta+1} dx dy, \end{aligned} \quad (3.19)$$

and as in (3.8) we find that

$$\iint_{\Omega} \eta^2 y^{2\alpha_1} \bar{u}^{\beta-1} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right|^2 + \eta^2 x^{2\alpha_2} \bar{u}^{\beta-1} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right|^2 dx dy \geq C \iint_{\Omega} \eta^2 \bar{u}^{\beta-1} |Du|^2 dx dy. \quad (3.20)$$

Combining (3.19) and (3.20) yields

$$\iint_{\Omega} \eta^2 \bar{u}^{\beta-1} |Du|^2 dx dy \leq C(|\beta|) \iint_{\Omega} |D\eta|^2 \bar{u}^{\beta+1} + (|c| + V) \eta^2 \bar{u}^{\beta+1} dx dy. \quad (3.21)$$

To proceed further, setting

$$\Theta = \begin{cases} \bar{u}^{\frac{\beta+1}{2}}, & \beta \neq -1, \\ \log \bar{u}, & \beta = -1, \end{cases}$$

we may rewrite (3.21) by

$$\begin{cases} \iint_{\Omega} |\eta D\Theta|^2 dx dy \leq C(|\beta|)(\beta+1)^2 \iint_{\Omega} (|D\eta|^2 \bar{u}^{\beta+1} + (|c| + V) \eta^2 \bar{u}^{\beta+1}) dx dy, & \beta \neq -1, \\ \iint_{\Omega} |\eta D\Theta|^2 dx dy \leq C \iint_{\Omega} (|D\eta|^2 + (|c| + V) \eta^2) dx dy, & \beta = -1. \end{cases} \quad (3.22)$$

We may apply Sobolev imbedding theorems to obtain for any $1 \leq 2X < \infty$

$$\|\eta\Theta\|_{L^{2X}(\Omega)}^2 \leq C\|\eta\Theta\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)}^2 \leq C \iint_{\Omega} (|D\eta|^2|\Theta|^2 + |\eta D\Theta|^2) dx dy. \quad (3.23)$$

Consider $q < X < \infty$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \iint_{\Omega} \eta^2(|c| + V)|\Theta|^2 dx dy &\leq \|(|c| + V)\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \|\eta\Theta\|_{L^{2q}(\Omega)}^2 \\ &\leq \|(|c| + V)\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \left(\epsilon \|\eta\Theta\|_{L^{2X}(\Omega)} + \epsilon^{-\mu} \|\eta\Theta\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \right)^2, \end{aligned}$$

where $\mu = \frac{X(q-1)}{X-q}$. Letting $\gamma = \beta + 1$, by (3.23) it then follows that

$$\|\eta\Theta\|_{L^{2X}(\Omega)}^2 \leq C \left((\gamma^2 + 1) \|D\eta\Theta\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \gamma^2 \epsilon^2 \|\eta\Theta\|_{L^{2X}(\Omega)}^2 + \gamma^2 \epsilon^{-2\mu} \|\eta\Theta\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right),$$

where $C = C(|\beta|)$ is bounded when $|\beta|$ is bounded away from zero. Furthermore, choosing a suitable ϵ , we then get

$$\|\eta\Theta\|_{L^{2X}(\Omega)} \leq C(|\gamma| + 1)^{\mu+1} \|(D\eta + \eta)\Theta\|_{L^2(\Omega)}. \quad (3.24)$$

Now, the more accurate cut-off function η will be given, let $r \leq r_1 < r_2 \leq 3r$ such that $\eta|_{B_{r_1}(s_0)} \equiv 1$, $\eta|_{\mathbb{R}-B_{r_2}(s_0)} \equiv 0$ with $|D\eta| \leq \frac{2}{r_2-r_1}$. Hence, in the light of (3.24),

$$\|\Theta\|_{L^{2X}(B_{r_1}(s_0))} \leq C \frac{(|\gamma| + 1)^{\mu+1}}{r_2 - r_1} \|\Theta\|_{L^2(B_{r_2}(s_0))}. \quad (3.25)$$

For any $B_{4r}(s_0) \subset \Omega$ and $p \neq 0$, now we introduce

$$\Psi(p, r) = \left(\iint_{B_r(s_0)} |\bar{u}|^p dx dy \right)^{\frac{1}{p}},$$

in fact,

$$\Psi(\infty, r) = \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \Psi(p, r) = \sup_{B_r(s_0)} \bar{u},$$

$$\Psi(-\infty, r) = \lim_{p \rightarrow -\infty} \Psi(p, r) = \inf_{B_r(s_0)} \bar{u}.$$

From (3.25),

$$\begin{cases} \Psi(\gamma X, r_1) \leq \left(\frac{(C|\gamma|+1)^{\mu+1}}{r_2-r_1} \right)^{2/|\gamma|} \Psi(\gamma, r_2), & \gamma > 0, \\ \Psi(\gamma, r_2) \leq \left(\frac{(C|\gamma|+1)^{\mu+1}}{r_2-r_1} \right)^{2/|\gamma|} \Psi(\gamma X, r_1), & \gamma < 0. \end{cases} \quad (3.26)$$

When $\beta > 0$, we have $\gamma > 1$, taking $p > 1$, $\gamma = \gamma^m = X^m p$ and $r^m = r + \frac{r}{2^m}$, $m = 0, 1, \dots$, consequently, by inequality (3.26),

$$\Psi(X^m p, r^m) \leq (CX)^{2(\mu+1)\Sigma m X^{-m}} \Psi(p, 2r) \leq C\Psi(p, 2r),$$

letting $m \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\sup_{B_r} \bar{u} \leq C \|\bar{u}\|_{L^p(B_{2r})}. \quad (3.27)$$

For $\beta < 0$, then $\gamma < 1$, in a similar manner, we can prove that for any p_0, p such that $0 < p_0 < p < X$,

$$\begin{cases} \Psi(p, 2r) \leq C\Psi(p_0, 3r), \\ \Psi(-p_0, 3r) \leq C\Psi(-\infty, r). \end{cases} \quad (3.28)$$

In reality, we only require to prove that

$$\Psi(p_0, 3r) \leq C\Psi(-p_0, 3r) \quad (3.29)$$

for some p_0 . Now, to verify (3.29), we turn to the second estimate of (3.22).

Choosing η in such a way that $\eta|_{B_d(s_0)} \equiv 1$, $\text{supp } \eta \subset B_{2d}(s_0) \subset B_{4r}(s_0)$, and $|D\eta| \leq C$, where $B_d(s_0)$ is an arbitrary open ball contained in $B_{2r}(s_0)$. Thanks to Hölder inequality, from (3.22) we then have

$$\iint_{B_d(z_0)} |D\Theta| dx dy \leq Cd,$$

by invoking the Theorem 7.21 [21], there exists a constant $p_0 > 0$ such that for

$$\Theta_0 = \frac{1}{|B_{3r}(s_0)|} \iint_{B_{3r}(s_0)} \Theta dx dy,$$

we have

$$\iint_{B_{3r}(s_0)} e^{p_0|\Theta - \Theta_0|} dx dy \leq C.$$

Linking with the definition of Θ , the desired result (3.29) is obtained. Combining (3.28) and (3.29), we know that

$$\|\bar{u}\|_{L^p(B_{2r}(s_0))} \leq C \inf_{B_r(s_0)} \bar{u}. \quad (3.30)$$

Now, recall the inequalities (3.27) and (3.30), that imply

$$\sup_{B_r} \bar{u} \leq C \inf_{B_r} \bar{u}. \quad (3.31)$$

□

Lemma 3.3. *Let $s = (x, y)$, $D_i^l u(s) = \frac{u(s+le_i) - u(s)}{l}$ ($i = 1, 2$) denote the i -th difference quotient of size l for $s \in \Omega'$, $l \in \mathbb{R}$, $0 < |l| < \text{dist}(\Omega', \partial\Omega)$, $\Omega' \subset\subset \Omega$. Suppose $u \in \mathcal{H}_0^1(\Omega)$, then for any $\Omega' \subset\subset \Omega$, we have*

$$\|D^l u\|_{L^2(\Omega')}^2 \leq \iint_{\Omega} y^{2\alpha_1} |\partial_x u|^2 + x^{2\alpha_2} |\partial_y u|^2 dx dy \leq C \|u\|_{\mathcal{H}_0^1(\Omega)}^2$$

for some constant C and all $0 < |l| < \frac{1}{2} \text{dist}(\Omega', \partial\Omega)$, where $D^l u = (D_1^l u, D_2^l u)$.

Proof. Suppose $u \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$, for any $s \in \Omega'$, $i = 1, 2$, $0 < |l| < \frac{1}{2} \text{dist}(\Omega', \partial\Omega)$, we see that

$$u(x+l, y) - u(x, y) = l \int_0^1 \partial_x u(x+tl, y) dt,$$

so that

$$|u(x+l, y) - u(x, y)| \leq |l| \int_0^1 |\partial_x u(x+l, y)| dt,$$

i.e.

$$|D_1^l u(x, y)| \leq \int_0^1 |\partial_x u(x + tl, y)| dt.$$

Similarly, we have $|D_2^l u(x, y)| \leq \int_0^1 |\partial_y u(x, y + tl)| dt$. Hence, for any $\Omega' \subset\subset \Omega$, by Cauchy inequality, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} c_1 \iint_{\Omega'} |D^l u(x)|^2 dx dy &\leq \iint_{\Omega'} \left(y^{2\alpha_1} |D_1^l u(x)|^2 + x^{2\alpha_2} |D_2^l u(x)|^2 \right) dx dy \\ &\leq \iint_{\Omega'} y^{2\alpha_1} \left(\int_0^1 |\partial_x u(x + tl, y)| dt \right)^2 + x^{2\alpha_2} \left(\int_0^1 |\partial_y u(x, y + tl)| dt \right)^2 dx dy \\ &\leq \int_0^1 \iint_{\Omega'} \left(y^{2\alpha_1} |\partial_x u(x + tl, y)|^2 + x^{2\alpha_2} |\partial_y u(x, y + tl)|^2 \right) dx dy dt \\ &\leq \iint_{\Omega} y^{2\alpha_1} |\partial_x u(x, y)|^2 + x^{2\alpha_2} |\partial_y u(x, y)|^2 dx dy, \end{aligned}$$

where $c_1 = \frac{1}{2} \min_{\Omega'} \{x^{2\alpha_2}, y^{2\alpha_1}\} > 0$, so that

$$\iint_{\Omega'} |D^l u(x)|^2 dx dy \leq C \left(\iint_{\Omega} y^{2\alpha_1} |\partial_x u|^2 + x^{2\alpha_2} |\partial_y u|^2 dx dy \right).$$

Since $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ is dense in $\mathcal{H}_0^1(\Omega)$, then the above inequality is established for any $u \in \mathcal{H}_0^1(\Omega)$. \square

Theorem 3.4. *Suppose that $u \in H_0(\Omega)$ is the weak solution for the problem (2.1) on Ω , where $V \in L^p(\Omega)$, $2 \leq p < \infty$, $f \in L^2(\Omega)$, then $u \in H_{\text{loc}}^2(\Omega)$. Furthermore, $u \in C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega'})$, where $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, $\Omega' \subset\subset \Omega$.*

Proof. 1. For any subset $\Omega' \subset\subset \Omega$, we may choose an open set W such that $\Omega' \subset\subset W \subset\subset \Omega$. In addition, we select a function $\eta \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$ such that $\eta \equiv 1$ on Ω' , $\eta \equiv 0$ on $\mathbb{R}^2 - W$ and $0 \leq \eta \leq 1$. Note that u is the weak solution for $Lu = f$, then for any $v \in \mathcal{H}_0^1(\Omega)$:

$$\iint_{\Omega} y^{2\alpha_1} \partial_x u \partial_x v + x^{2\alpha_2} \partial_y u \partial_y v dx dy = \iint_{\Omega} (f v - V u v) dx dy. \quad (3.32)$$

Let

$$A = \iint_{\Omega} y^{2\alpha_1} \partial_x u \partial_x v + x^{2\alpha_2} \partial_y u \partial_y v dx dy, \quad B = \iint_{\Omega} (f v - V u v) dx dy,$$

then $A = B$.

2. Let $0 < |l| < \frac{1}{2} \min\{\text{dist}(\Omega', \partial W), \text{dist}(W, \partial \Omega)\}$ and consider that $|l|$ be sufficiently small, then substitute $v = -D_k^{-l}(\eta^2 D_k^l u)$ into (3.32), $k \in \{1, 2\}$. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} v &= -\frac{1}{l} D_k^{-l} (\eta^2(x) [u(x + le_k) - u(x)]) \\ &= \frac{1}{l^2} (\eta^2(x - le_k) [u(x) - u(x - le_k)] - \eta^2(x) [u(x + le_k) - u(x)]), \end{aligned}$$

since $u \in H_{\text{loc}}^1(\Omega)$, $V^{\frac{1}{2}} u \in L^2(\Omega)$ and $\text{supp } \eta \subset W$, thus $v \in H_0^1(\Omega) \subset \mathcal{H}_0^1(\Omega)$, and $V^{\frac{1}{2}} v \in L^2(\Omega)$.

Then

$$A = - \left(\iint_{\Omega} y^{2\alpha_1} \partial_x u \partial_x \left(D_k^{-l}(\eta^2 D_k^l u) \right) + x^{2\alpha_2} \partial_y u \partial_y \left(D_k^{-l}(\eta^2 D_k^l u) \right) dx dy \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \iint_{\Omega} D_k^l(y^{2\alpha_1} \partial_x u) \partial_x (\eta^2 D_k^l u) + D_k^l(x^{2\alpha_2} \partial_y u) \partial_y (\eta^2 D_k^l u) dx dy \\
&= \iint_{\Omega} (y^{2\alpha_1})_k^l (D_k^l \partial_x u) \partial_x (\eta^2 D_k^l u) + (D_k^l y^{2\alpha_1}) \partial_x u \partial_x (\eta^2 D_k^l u) \\
&\quad + (x^{2\alpha_2})_k^l (D_k^l \partial_y u) \partial_y (\eta^2 D_k^l u) + (D_k^l x^{2\alpha_2}) \partial_y u \partial_y (\eta^2 D_k^l u) dx dy \\
&= \iint_{\Omega} (y^{2\alpha_1})_k^l (D_k^l \partial_x u) (D_k^l \partial_x u) \eta^2 + (x^{2\alpha_2})_k^l (D_k^l \partial_y u) (D_k^l \partial_y u) \eta^2 dx dy \\
&\quad + \iint_{\Omega} 2\eta (y^{2\alpha_1})_k^l (\partial_x \eta) (D_k^l \partial_x u) (D_k^l u) + 2\eta (\partial_x \eta) (D_k^l y^{2\alpha_1}) \partial_x u (D_k^l u) + \eta^2 (D_k^l y^{2\alpha_1}) \partial_x u \partial_x (D_k^l u) \\
&\quad + 2\eta (x^{2\alpha_2})_k^l (\partial_y \eta) (D_k^l \partial_y u) (D_k^l u) + 2\eta (\partial_y \eta) (D_k^l x^{2\alpha_2}) \partial_y u (D_k^l u) + \eta^2 (D_k^l x^{2\alpha_2}) \partial_y u \partial_y (D_k^l u) dx dy \\
&= A_1 + A_2,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
(y^{2\alpha_1})_k^l &= \begin{cases} y^{2\alpha_1}, & k = 1, \\ (y+l)^{2\alpha_1}, & k = 2, \end{cases} \\
(x^{2\alpha_2})_k^l &= \begin{cases} (x+l)^{2\alpha_2}, & k = 1, \\ x^{2\alpha_2}, & k = 2. \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

According to the definition of η , we have

$$A_1 \geq \delta \iint_{\Omega} \eta^2 |D_k^l Du|^2 dx dy \geq \theta \iint_{\Omega} \eta^2 |D_k^l Du|^2 dx dy \quad (3.33)$$

for some proper constant $\theta, \delta \in (0, 1)$, and given that $y^{2\alpha_1}, x^{2\alpha_2} \in C^1(0, 1]$

$$|A_2| \leq C \iint_{\Omega} \eta |D_k^l Du| |D_k^l u| + \eta |D_k^l Du| |Du| + \eta |D_k^l u| |Du| dx dy.$$

Furthermore, by $\text{supp } \eta \subset \overline{W}$ and Cauchy's inequality with ϵ , then

$$|A_2| \leq \epsilon \iint_{\Omega} \eta^2 |D_k^l Du|^2 dx + \frac{C}{\epsilon} \iint_W (|D_k^l u|^2 + |Du|^2) dx dy.$$

By invoking the result of Lemma 3.3, we see that $\iint_W |D_k^l u|^2 dx dy \leq C \|u\|_{\mathcal{H}_0^1(\Omega)}^2$. Moreover,

$$\iint_W |Du|^2 dx dy \leq (\min_W \{x^{2\alpha_2}, y^{2\alpha_1}\})^{-1} \|u\|_{\mathcal{H}_0^1(\Omega)}^2 \leq C \|u\|_{\mathcal{H}_0^1(\Omega)}^2.$$

We may choose $\epsilon = \frac{\theta}{2}$, hence

$$|A_2| \leq \frac{\theta}{2} \iint_{\Omega} \eta^2 |D_k^l Du|^2 dx dy + C \|u\|_{\mathcal{H}_0^1(\Omega)}^2. \quad (3.34)$$

Combining (3.33) with (3.34), we obtain

$$A \geq \frac{\theta}{2} \iint_{\Omega} \eta^2 |D_k^l Du|^2 dx dy - C \|u\|_{\mathcal{H}_0^1(\Omega)}^2. \quad (3.35)$$

3. Since $v = -D_k^{-l}(\eta^2 D_k^l u)$, by Lemma 3.3 we know

$$\begin{aligned} \iint_{\Omega} |v|^2 dx &\leq C \iint_{\Omega} y^{2\alpha_1} |\partial_x(\eta^2 D_k^l u)|^2 + x^{2\alpha_2} |\partial_y(\eta^2 D_k^l u)|^2 dx dy \\ &\leq C \iint_W |D_k^l u|^2 + \eta^2 |D_k^l Du|^2 dx dy \\ &\leq C \|u\|_{\mathcal{H}_0^1(\Omega)}^2 + C \iint_{\Omega} \eta^2 |D_k^l Du|^2 dx dy. \end{aligned}$$

Applying the Cauchy's inequality with ϵ and Theorem 3.1, and based on the fact $V \in L^p(\Omega)$, $2 \leq p < \infty$,

$$\begin{aligned} |B| &\leq \iint_{\Omega} (|f||v| + V|u||v|) dx dy \\ &\leq \|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \|v\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|u\|_{L^\infty(W)} \iint_{\Omega} V|v| dx dy \\ &\leq \|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \|v\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + C(\|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}) \iint_{\Omega} V|v| dx dy \\ &\leq \|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \|v\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + C(\|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}) \|V\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \|v\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \\ &\leq \|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \|v\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + C(\|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}) \|V\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \|v\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \\ &\leq C(\|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}) \|v\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \\ &\leq \epsilon \iint_{\Omega} \eta^2 |D_k^l Du|^2 dx dy + \frac{C}{\epsilon} \iint_{\Omega} |f|^2 + |u|^2 dx dy + \frac{C}{\epsilon} \|u\|_{\mathcal{H}_0^1(\Omega)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we choose $\epsilon = \frac{\theta}{4}$, then

$$|B| \leq \frac{\theta}{4} \iint_{\Omega} \eta^2 |D_k^l Du|^2 dx dy + C \iint_{\Omega} |f|^2 + |u|^2 dx dy + C \|u\|_{\mathcal{H}_0^1(\Omega)}^2. \quad (3.36)$$

4. Thanks to (3.35) and (3.36), we observe that for $k = 1, 2$,

$$\frac{\theta}{4} \iint_{\Omega'} \eta^2 |D_k^l Du|^2 dx dy \leq C \iint_{\Omega} |f|^2 + |u|^2 dx dy + C \|u\|_{\mathcal{H}_0^1(\Omega)}^2$$

for any sufficiently small $|l| \neq 0$. Furthermore, since $u \in H^1(\Omega')$, utilizing the result (2) of Theorem 3 [19, Chapter 5.8.2], we know $Du \in H^1(\Omega')$, hence $u \in H_{\text{loc}}^2(\Omega)$. By the classical Sobolev compact embedding theorem, we know $u \in C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega'})$, where $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. \square

4 The first eigenvalue

In this section, we are interested in extremum problems involving the first eigenvalue of problem (1.2) when $V \in S_p$, $1 < p < \infty$. In particular, we first discuss the properties of the spectrum, which paves the way for finding the optimal potential function. Finally, we characterize the optimal potential function and prove its uniqueness, where some of ideas were developed in [38, 17]. In order to analyze the properties of the spectrum, we rely on the following lemmas, the similar results may be displayed on [19, 21, 23], for the sake of clarity, we will provide specific proof.

Lemma 4.1. *Suppose $F : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is C^1 with F' is bounded, then for any $u \in \mathcal{H}_0^1(\Omega)$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} F(u) &\in \mathcal{H}^1(\Omega), \\ y^{\alpha_1} \partial_x F(u) &= y^{\alpha_1} F'(u) \partial_x u, \quad x^{\alpha_2} \partial_y F(u) = x^{\alpha_2} F'(u) \partial_y u. \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

Proof. Firstly, we will show that

$$\partial_x(y^{\alpha_1} F(u)) = y^{\alpha_1} F'(u) \partial_x u. \quad (4.2)$$

In fact, for any $u \in \mathcal{H}_0^1(\Omega)$, there exists a sequence $(u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ such that

$$\|u_n - u\|_{\mathcal{H}^1(\Omega)} \rightarrow 0, \quad (4.3)$$

up to a subsequence, we know

$$u_n \rightarrow u \text{ a.e.}, \quad (4.4)$$

since F' is continuous,

$$F'(u_n) \rightarrow F'(u) \text{ a.e.} \quad (4.5)$$

Moreover, $|F(u_n) - F(0)| \leq \|F'\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} |u_n| \in L^2(\Omega)$, then

$$|F(u_n)| \leq |F(0)| + \|F'\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} |u_n|,$$

i.e. $F(u_n) \in L^2(\Omega)$. Note that

$$\iint_{\Omega} |F(u_n) - F(u)|^2 dx dy \leq \iint_{\Omega} \|F'\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}^2 |u_n - u|^2 dx dy \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

For any $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \iint_{\Omega} y^{\alpha_1} F(u) \partial_x \phi dx dy &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \iint_{\Omega} y^{\alpha_1} F(u_n) \partial_x \phi dx dy \\ &= - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \iint_{\Omega} \partial_x (y^{\alpha_1} F(u_n)) \phi dx dy = - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \iint_{\Omega} y^{\alpha_1} \partial_x F(u_n) \phi dx dy \\ &= - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \iint_{\Omega} y^{\alpha_1} F'(u_n) \partial_x u_n \phi dx dy = - \iint_{\Omega} y^{\alpha_1} F'(u) \partial_x u \phi dx dy, \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

indeed, for the last equality, applying the fact (4.3) (4.4) (4.5), and given that $F \in C^1$ and F' is bounded, using the dominated convergence theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} &\iint_{\Omega} | (y^{\alpha_1} F'(u) \partial_x u - y^{\alpha_1} F'(u_n) \partial_x u_n) \phi | dx dy \\ &\leq \iint_{\Omega} |F'(u_n) - F'(u)| |y^{\alpha_1} \partial_x u| |\phi| + |F'(u_n)| |y^{\alpha_1} \partial_x u_n - y^{\alpha_1} \partial_x u| |\phi| dx dy \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, for any $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \iint_{\Omega} (y^{\alpha_1} \partial_x F(u)) \phi dx dy &= \iint_{\Omega} \partial_x F(u) (y^{\alpha_1} \phi) dx dy = - \iint_{\Omega} F(u) (\partial_x (y^{\alpha_1} \phi)) dx dy \\ &= - \iint_{\Omega} (y^{\alpha_1} F(u)) \partial_x \phi dx dy = \iint_{\Omega} \partial_x (y^{\alpha_1} F(u)) \phi dx dy. \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

Combining (4.6) and (4.7), we see that $y^{\alpha_1} \partial_x F(u) = y^{\alpha_1} F'(u) \partial_x u$. Similarly, we can obtain that $x^{\alpha_2} \partial_y F(u) = x^{\alpha_2} F'(u) \partial_y u$. Though simple verification, the result (4.1) is obtained. \square

Lemma 4.2. Let $u^+ = \max(u, 0)$, $u^- = -\min(u, 0)$. For any $u \in \mathcal{H}_0^1(\Omega)$, we have

(1)

$$\partial_x u^+ = \begin{cases} \partial_x u & \text{a.e. on } \{u > 0\}, \\ 0, & \text{a.e. on } \{u \leq 0\}, \end{cases} \quad (4.8)$$

$$\partial_x u^- = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{a.e. on } \{u \geq 0\}, \\ -\partial_x u, & \text{a.e. on } \{u < 0\}, \end{cases} \quad (4.9)$$

$$\partial_y u^+ = \begin{cases} \partial_y u & \text{a.e. on } \{u > 0\}, \\ 0, & \text{a.e. on } \{u \leq 0\}, \end{cases} \quad (4.10)$$

$$\partial_y u^- = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{a.e. on } \{u \geq 0\}, \\ -\partial_y u, & \text{a.e. on } \{u < 0\}. \end{cases} \quad (4.11)$$

(2) For any $u \in \mathcal{H}_0^1(\Omega)$, we have

$$Du = 0 \quad \text{a.e. on the set } \{u = 0\}. \quad (4.12)$$

(3) If $u \in \mathcal{H}_0^1(\Omega)$, we have $|u| \in \mathcal{H}_0^1(\Omega)$.

Proof.

(1) For $r \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\epsilon > 0$, let

$$F_\epsilon(r) = \begin{cases} (r^2 + \epsilon^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} - \epsilon, & r \geq 0, \\ 0, & r < 0. \end{cases} \quad (4.13)$$

Then we find that $F_\epsilon \in C^1$ and F'_ϵ is bounded, and $u^+ = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} F_\epsilon(u)$. By invoking the Lemma 4.1, for any $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ we know

$$\iint_{\Omega} y^{\alpha_1} F_\epsilon(u) \partial_x \phi dx dy = - \iint_{\Omega} y^{\alpha_1} F'_\epsilon(u) \partial_x u \phi dx dy,$$

letting $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, utilizing the dominated convergence theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} \iint_{\Omega} y^{\alpha_1} F_\epsilon(u) \partial_x \phi dx dy &= \iint_{\Omega} y^{\alpha_1} u^+ \phi dx dy, \\ - \iint_{\Omega} y^{\alpha_1} F'_\epsilon(u) \partial_x u \phi dx dy &= - \iint_{\Omega} y^{\alpha_1} \partial_x u \chi_{\{u > 0\}} \phi dx dy, \end{aligned} \quad (4.14)$$

so that

$$y^{\alpha_1} \partial_x u^+ = y^{\alpha_1} \partial_x u \chi_{\{u > 0\}} \quad \text{a.e.} \quad (4.15)$$

The rest of the cases are similar to the above process, we will not give a detailed description here.

(2) From the result (1), when $x \in \{u \geq 0\}$, we have $Du^- = 0$ a.e., when $x \in \{u \leq 0\}$, we have $Du^+ = 0$ a.e.. The set $\{u = 0\} = \{u \geq 0\} \cap \{u \leq 0\}$, and $Du = Du^+ - Du^- = 0$ on set $\{u = 0\}$.

(3) For any $u \in \mathcal{H}_0^1(\Omega)$, there is a sequence $(u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ such that

$$\|u_n - u\|_{\mathcal{H}^1(\Omega)} \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty, \quad (4.16)$$

then $u_n \in \mathcal{H}^1(\Omega)$, furthermore $u_n \in H_{\text{loc}}^1(\Omega)$. Since $\text{supp } u_n \subset \Omega$, we deduce that $u_n \in H_0^1(\Omega)$. Combining the results of (1) and (2), we know that $u_n^+, u_n^- \in H_0^1(\Omega)$. Thus there is a sequence $\{v_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ such that $\|v_n - u_n^+\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \rightarrow 0$. Given that $u_n^+ = \max\{u_n, 0\} = \frac{1}{2}(u_n + |u_n|)$, $u^+ = \max\{u, 0\} = \frac{1}{2}(u + |u|)$, from (4.16) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_n^+ - u^+\|_{\mathcal{H}^1(\Omega)} &\leq \frac{1}{2}\|u_n - u\|_{\mathcal{H}^1(\Omega)} + \frac{1}{2}\left\|\left||u_n| - |u|\right|\right\|_{\mathcal{H}^1(\Omega)} \\ &\leq \|u_n - u\|_{\mathcal{H}^1(\Omega)} \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \|v_n - u^+\|_{\mathcal{H}^1(\Omega)} &\leq \|v_n - u_n^+\|_{\mathcal{H}^1(\Omega)} + \|u_n^+ - u^+\|_{\mathcal{H}^1(\Omega)} \\ &\leq \|v_n - u_n^+\|_{H^1(\Omega)} + \|u_n^+ - u^+\|_{\mathcal{H}^1(\Omega)} \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned}$$

we have $u^+ \in \mathcal{H}_0^1(\Omega)$. Similarly, $u^- \in \mathcal{H}_0^1(\Omega)$. Consider that $|u| = u^+ + u^-$, then $|u| \in \mathcal{H}_0^1(\Omega)$. \square

Lemma 4.3. (1) *All the eigenvalues of L is real and can be arranged in a monotone sequence on the basis of its (finite) multiplicity:*

$$\sigma(L) = \{\lambda_k\}_{k=1}^\infty, \quad 0 < \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \lambda_3 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_k \dots \rightarrow \infty, \quad k \rightarrow \infty.$$

(2) *There exists an orthonormal basis $\{w_k\}_{k=1}^\infty \subset L^2(\Omega)$, where $w_k \in H_0(\Omega)$ is an eigenfunction with respect to λ_k , i.e.,*

$$\begin{cases} Lw_k = \lambda_k w_k, & x \in \Omega, \\ u = 0, & x \in \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

for $k = 1, 2, \dots$.

(3) *We have*

$$\lambda_k = \inf_{\substack{E \subset H_0(\Omega) \\ \dim(E)=k}} \sup_{\substack{u \in E \\ \|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}=1}} a(u, u). \quad (4.17)$$

In particular, assuming that we have already computed u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{k-1} the $(k-1)$ -th first eigenfunctions, we also have: $\lambda_k = \inf\{a(u, u) \mid u \in H_0(\Omega), u \perp V_{k-1}, \|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)} = 1\}$, where $V_{k-1} = \text{span}\{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{k-1}\}$, the equality holds if and only if $u = w_k$.

(4) *The eigenvalue λ_1 is simple and the first eigenfunction u_1 is positive on Ω .*

Proof. Employing standard functional analysis and compactness theory (remark 2.10), the desired results (1), (2) and (3) are simply acquired. From (4.17) and Lemma 4.2, $|u|$ is the eigenfunction for λ_1 if u is. Then for any $\Omega' \subset\subset \Omega$, we have $\sup_{\Omega'} u \leq C \inf_{\Omega'} u$ for the equation $Lu - \lambda_1 u = 0$ by Theorem 3.2. Since $|u|$ is non-negative in Ω , we further obtain that $|u|$ is a positive (a.e.) eigenfunction. This indicates that the eigenfunctions of λ_1 are either positive or negative and thus it is impossible that two of them are orthogonal, hence λ_1 is simple. \square

From Lemma 4.3, we obviously have $\lambda_1(V) \geq \lambda_1(0)$, so that $\inf_{V \in S_p} \lambda_1(V)$ would be achieved by $V_0 = 0$. So, we are prefer to consider the problem $\sup_{V \in S_p} \lambda_1(V)$, $1 < p < \infty$. Besides,

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_1(V) &= \inf_{\substack{u \in H_0(\Omega) \\ u \neq 0}} \frac{a_1(u, u) + \iint_{\Omega} V u^2 dx dy}{\iint_{\Omega} |u|^2 dx dy} \\ &\leq \frac{a_1(u, u) + \|V\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \|u\|_{L^{2q}(\Omega)}^2}{\iint_{\Omega} |u|^2 dx dy} \\ &\leq \frac{a_1(u, u) + M \|u\|_{L^{2q}(\Omega)}^2}{\iint_{\Omega} |u|^2 dx dy}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.18)$$

where

$$a_1(u, u) = \iint_{\Omega} |y^{\alpha_1} \partial_x u|^2 + |x^{\alpha_2} \partial_y u|^2 dx dy.$$

Setting

$$J(u) = \frac{a_1(u, u) + M \|u\|_{L^{2q}(\Omega)}^2}{\iint_{\Omega} |u|^2 dx dy}, \quad u \neq 0,$$

hence, if $u \in \mathcal{H}_0^1(\Omega) \cap L^{2q}(\Omega) \subset H_0(\Omega)$, we immediately learn that, for all $V \in S_p$, $1 < p < \infty$,

$$\lambda_1(V) \leq \inf_{\substack{u \in \mathcal{H}_0^1(\Omega) \cap L^{2q}(\Omega) \\ u \neq 0}} J(u). \quad (4.19)$$

In the next work, for convenience we denote

$$U = \mathcal{H}_0^1(\Omega) \cap L^{2q}(\Omega), \quad L_1 u = - (y^{2\alpha_1} \partial_x^2 u + x^{2\alpha_2} \partial_y^2 u),$$

where $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$.

Lemma 4.4. *The functional $J(u)$ attains its minimum in $\mathcal{H}_0^1(\Omega) \cap L^{2q}(\Omega)$, further, the minimizers for $J(u)$ is non-negative.*

Proof. First of all, we notice that the functional $J(u)$ is not identically equal to $+\infty$. Let thus $\{u_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a minimizing sequence, i.e.,

$$J(u_n) \downarrow \inf_{u \in U} J(u).$$

So that we may assume the sequence is bounded in U , by Lemma 2.2, there is a subsequence $\{u_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, again denoted by itself, such that

$$u_n \rightharpoonup z \text{ in } U,$$

and by invoking Theorem 2.9, we further have

$$u_n \rightarrow z \text{ in } L^2(\Omega).$$

Utilizing the lower semicontinuity

$$J(z) \leq \inf_{u \in U} J(u). \quad (4.20)$$

In summary, $z \in U$ and $J(z) \leq \inf_{u \in U} J(u)$, this proves that $J(z) = \inf_{u \in U} J(u)$. In addition, by Lemma 4.2 we have $J(|u|) = J(u)$, we may assume that the minimizers are non-negative. \square

Lemma 4.5. *Let \tilde{u} be a minimizer of $J(u)$, and assume that there exists a function $\tilde{V} \in S_p$ with $1 < p < \infty$ such that*

$$L_1 \tilde{u} + \tilde{V} \tilde{u} = \lambda \tilde{u} \quad (4.21)$$

where $\lambda := J(\tilde{u})$ is the minimum value of $J(u)$. Then

$$\lambda_1(\tilde{V}) = \lambda = J(\tilde{u}).$$

Proof. Without loss of generality, we may assume $\|\tilde{u}\|_{L^2(\Omega)} = 1$. Assume that v is the first eigenfunction with respect to \tilde{V} , by Lemma 4.3 and

$$L_1 v + \tilde{V} v = \lambda_1 v. \quad (4.22)$$

we have $v > 0$ a.e. on Ω and $\lambda \geq \lambda_1$.

Suppose $\lambda_1 < \lambda$ by (4.19), considering (4.21) and (4.22),

$$a(\tilde{u}, v) = \lambda \iint_{\Omega} \tilde{u} v dx dy, \quad a(\tilde{u}, v) = \lambda_1 \iint_{\Omega} \tilde{u} v dx dy,$$

thereby

$$(\lambda_1 - \lambda) \iint_{\Omega} \tilde{u} v dx dy = 0.$$

This together with Lemma 4.4 tell us that $\tilde{u} v = 0$ a.e., and given that $v > 0$ a.e. and \tilde{u} is non-negative by Lemma 4.4 again, we obtain $\tilde{u} = 0$ a.e., which is contradictory to the fact that $\|\tilde{u}\|_{L^2(\Omega)} = 1$. \square

Lemma 4.6. *The function $J(\cdot)$ is Gateaux-differentiable, i.e., for any $\psi \in \mathcal{H}_0^1(\Omega) \cap L^{2q}(\Omega)$ we have*

$$J'_\psi(u) = \frac{2}{\|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2} \left(a_1(u, \psi) + M \|u^2\|_{L^q(\Omega)}^{1-q} \iint_{\Omega} |u|^{2q-2} u \psi dx dy - J(u) \iint_{\Omega} u \psi dx dy \right).$$

Proof. Consider

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{J(u + t\psi) - J(u)}{t} = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{t} \left(\frac{a_1(u + t\psi, u + t\psi) + M \|u + t\psi\|_{L^{2q}(\Omega)}^2}{\|u + t\psi\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2} - \frac{a_1(u, u) + M \|u\|_{L^{2q}(\Omega)}^2}{\|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2} \right), \quad (4.23)$$

where

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{t} \left(\frac{a_1(u + t\psi, u + t\psi)}{\|u + t\psi\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2} - \frac{a_1(u, u)}{\|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2} \right) = \frac{2a_1(u, \psi)}{\|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2} - \frac{2a_1(u, u) \iint_{\Omega} u \psi dx dy}{\|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^4}. \quad (4.24)$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{t} \left(\frac{M \|u + t\psi\|_{L^{2q}(\Omega)}^2}{\|u + t\psi\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2} - \frac{M \|u\|_{L^{2q}(\Omega)}^2}{\|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2} \right) \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{M \|u + t\psi\|_{L^{2q}(\Omega)}^2 \|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 - M \|u\|_{L^{2q}(\Omega)}^2 \|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2}{t \|u + t\psi\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2} \\ & \quad - \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{2tM \|u\|_{L^{2q}(\Omega)}^2 \iint_{\Omega} u \psi dx dy + t^2 M \|u\|_{L^{2q}(\Omega)}^2 \|\psi\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2}{t \|u + t\psi\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2} \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{M \|u + t\psi\|_{L^{2q}(\Omega)}^2 - M \|u\|_{L^{2q}(\Omega)}^2}{t \|u + t\psi\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2} - \frac{2M \|u\|_{L^{2q}(\Omega)}^2 \iint_{\Omega} u \psi dx dy}{\|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^4}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.25)$$

It is not difficult to find that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\|u + t\psi\|_{L^{2q}(\Omega)}^2 - \|u\|_{L^{2q}(\Omega)}^2}{t} = 2 \left(\iint_{\Omega} |u|^{2q} dx dy \right)^{\frac{1}{q}-1} \iint_{\Omega} |u|^{2q-2} u \psi dx dy. \quad (4.26)$$

Combining equations (4.23) (4.24) (4.25) (4.26), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{J(u + t\psi) - J(u)}{t} \\ &= \frac{2}{\|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2} \left(a_1(u, \psi) - \iint_{\Omega} u \psi dx dy \frac{a_1(u, u) + M \|u\|_{L^{2q}(\Omega)}^2}{\|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2} + M \|u^2\|_{L^q(\Omega)}^{1-q} \iint_{\Omega} |u|^{2q-2} u \psi dx dy \right) \\ &\triangleq (J'(u), \psi). \end{aligned}$$

Though simple calculation, we can verify that $(J'(u), \psi) \leq C \|\psi\|_{\mathcal{H}(\Omega)}$ for any $\psi \in \mathcal{H}_0^1(\Omega) \cap L^{2q}(\Omega)$, where $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{H}(\Omega)} = \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{H}_0^1(\Omega)} + \|\cdot\|_{L^{2q}(\Omega)}$. Therefore, the desired result is proved. \square

Theorem 4.7. *Let $1 < p < \infty$ and let us denote by q its conjugate ($\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$). Then the maximum of $\lambda_1(V)$ in the class S_p is achieved by the function*

$$V_0 = M \|u_0^2\|_{L^q}^{1-q} |u_0|^{2(q-1)} \quad (4.27)$$

where u_0 is the minimizer in $\mathcal{H}_0^1(\Omega) \cap L^{2q}(\Omega) \subset H_0(\Omega)$ of $J(u)$. The function u_0 can also be characterized as the first eigenfunction of the eigenvalue problem:

$$L_1 u + V_0 u = \lambda_1(V_0) u, \quad (4.28)$$

with $\lambda_1(V_0) = J(u_0) = \sup_{V \in S_p} \lambda_1(V)$. Furthermore, V_0 is the unique maximizer.

Proof. From the Lemma 4.4, $\inf_{u \in U} J(u)$ is attained, recorded by u_0 , and the minimizers is non-negative. Then taking the supremum in (4.19) one obtains

$$\sup_{V \in S_p} \lambda_1(V) \leq J(u_0). \quad (4.29)$$

Consider $u_0 \in \mathcal{H}_0^1(\Omega) \cap L^{2q}(\Omega)$, note that the function $J(\cdot)$ is Gateaux-differentiable by Lemma 4.6, for any $\psi \in \mathcal{H}_0^1(\Omega) \cap L^{2q}(\Omega)$ we have

$$J'_\psi(u_0) = \frac{2}{\|u_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2} \left(a_1(u_0, \psi) + M \|u_0^2\|_{L^q(\Omega)}^{1-q} \iint_{\Omega} |u_0|^{2q-2} u_0 \psi dx dy - J(u_0) \iint_{\Omega} u_0 \psi dx dy \right).$$

Hence a minimizer $u_0 \geq 0$ solves the equation $J'_\psi(u_0) = 0$, i.e.,

$$L_1 u_0 + V_0 u_0 = \lambda(V_0) u_0, \quad u_0 \in \mathcal{H}_0^1(\Omega) \cap L^{2q}(\Omega)$$

with $\lambda(V_0) = J(u_0)$ and $V_0 = M \|u_0^2\|_{L^q}^{1-q} |u_0|^{2(q-1)}$, in other words,

$$a_1(u_0, \omega) + \iint_{\Omega} V_0 u_0 \omega dx dy = \lambda(V_0) \iint_{\Omega} u_0 \omega dx dy \text{ for any } \omega \in \mathcal{H}_0^1(\Omega) \cap L^{2q}(\Omega). \quad (4.30)$$

Through direct verification, $\|V_0\|_{L^p(\Omega)} = M$ can be obtained, so that $V_0 \in S_p$. Taking advantage of Lemma 4.5 and the inequality (4.29), we readily find out that $\lambda_1(V_0) = J(u_0) = \sup_{V \in S_p} \lambda_1(V)$.

Next we prove the uniqueness of the V_0 , to show this, we require to first explain that $\lambda_1(V)$ is concave. Let

$$R[u; V] = \frac{a_1(u, u) + \iint_{\Omega} V u^2 dx dy}{\iint_{\Omega} |u|^2 dx dy},$$

recalling the definition of λ_1 , for any $V_1, V_2 \in S_p$ and $0 < t < 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_1(tV_1 + (1-t)V_2) &= \inf_{\substack{u \in H_0^1(\Omega) \\ u \neq 0}} \frac{a_1(u, u) + t \iint_{\Omega} V_1 u^2 dx dy + (1-t) \iint_{\Omega} V_2 u^2 dx dy}{\iint_{\Omega} |u|^2 dx dy}, \\ &= \inf_{\substack{u \in H_0^1(\Omega) \\ u \neq 0}} (tR[u; V_1] + (1-t)R[u; V_2]) \geq t\lambda_1(V_1) + (1-t)\lambda_1(V_2). \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that V_1 and V_2 are maximizing functions, owing to the concavity we know that $V_3 = \frac{1}{2}(V_1 + V_2)$ is also a maximizing function. Let u_1, u_2 and u_3 denote their normalized first eigenfunctions, respectively. Clearly, unless $u_1 = u_2 = u_3$, since λ_1 is simple, we get a contradiction in the following

$$\lambda_1^* = \lambda_1(V_3) = R[u_3; V_3] = \frac{1}{2}(R[u_3; V_1] + R[u_3; V_2]) > \frac{1}{2}(\lambda_1(V_1) + \lambda_1(V_2)) = \lambda_1^*.$$

Hence, $u_1 = u_2$. Consider that

$$L_1 u_1 + V_1 u_1 = \lambda_1(V_1) u_1, \quad L_1 u_2 + V_2 u_2 = \lambda_1(V_2) u_2,$$

we have $\iint_{\Omega} (V_1 - V_2) u_1 \varphi dx dy = 0$ for all $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$, so that $V_1 = V_2$ a.e. due to $u_1 > 0$. \square

Theorem 4.8. *Under the assumption of Theorem 4.7, the maximizing function V_0 and the corresponding first eigenfunction u_0 satisfy*

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_0\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} &\leq \left(\frac{\lambda_1(V_0)}{M} \right)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \|u_0\|_{L^{2q}(\Omega)}, \\ \|V_0\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} &\leq \lambda_1(V_0). \end{aligned} \tag{4.31}$$

Proof. Let $c = \left(\frac{\lambda_1(V_0)}{M} \right)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \|u_0\|_{L^{2q}(\Omega)}$, and set $\zeta = u_0 - \min\{u_0, c\}$. Note that $\zeta \geq 0$ and $\zeta \in \mathcal{H}_0^1(\Omega) \cap L^{2q}(\Omega)$, and by (4.30)

$$a_1(\zeta, \zeta) = a_1(u_0, \zeta) = \iint_{\Omega} \left(\lambda_1(V_0) - M \|u_0\|_{L^q}^{1-q} |u_0|^{2(q-1)} \right) u_0 \zeta dx dy. \tag{4.32}$$

If $\zeta > 0$, that is, $u_0 > c$, it is not hard to find that

$$M \|u_0\|_{L^q}^{1-q} |u_0|^{2(q-1)} > M \|u_0\|_{L^q}^{1-q} c^{2(q-1)} = \lambda_1(V_0)$$

on the set $\{x \in \Omega \mid \zeta(x) > 0\}$, this implies $a_1(\zeta, \zeta) < 0$ from (4.32). However, $a_1(\zeta, \zeta) \geq 0$, which is a contradiction. All these tell us that $\zeta = 0$, furthermore, we have $u_0 \leq c$.

The estimate of V_0 (4.27) can be obtained by applying the estimate of u_0 . \square

5 The fundamental gap

The aim of this section is mainly to depict the optimal potentials over the class S_p , $p > 2$, some of theories are inspired by [7, 29]. Before that, we first offer the existence for optimal function.

Theorem 5.1. *The fundamental gap $\Gamma(V)$ attains its minimum in the classes of S_p by V^* , $p > 2$.*

Proof. Firstly, we obtain that $\mathcal{H}_0^1(\Omega)$ is compactly embedded in $L^m(\Omega)$, $m = [1, 4)$ from Theorem 2.9. Actually, at this situation, we deduce that for all $u \in \mathcal{H}_0^1(\Omega)$ and $V \in L^p(\Omega)$, $p > 2$, we have $\iint_{\Omega} V u^2 dx dy$ is bounded from $\|V\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \|u\|_{L^{2q}(\Omega)}^2$, where $2q = \frac{2p}{p-1} \in (2, 4)$. Now utilizing the min-max formulae, it is easy to see that $\lambda_j(V)$ is uniformly bounded on S_p , $j = 1, 2$. Furthermore, we observe that

$$\|u\|_{\mathcal{H}_0^1(\Omega)} \leq \|u\|_{H_0(\Omega)} \leq (M+1)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|u\|_{\mathcal{H}_0^1(\Omega)}$$

by Theorem 2.9, in other words, the norm $\mathcal{H}_0^1(\Omega)$ is equivalent to the norm $H_0(\Omega)$.

Let $\{V^k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in S_p$ be the minimization sequence of $\Gamma(V)$, $p > 2$, i.e.,

$$\Gamma(V^k) \downarrow \inf_{V \in S_p} \Gamma(V) = \Gamma^*.$$

Let us denote by $\lambda_j^k = \lambda_j(V^k)$ ($j = 1, 2$) and u_j^k ($j = 1, 2$) the sequence of corresponding eigenvalues and eigenfunctions. As usual, u_j^k is normalized by $\|u_j^k\|_{L^2(\Omega)} = 1$. Up to a subsequence, for which we keep the index k , by the definition of S_p and (4.19), we can assume that

$$V^k \rightharpoonup V^* \text{ in } L^p(\Omega), \quad \lambda_j^k \rightarrow \lambda_j^*. \quad (5.1)$$

Now, since

$$\|u_j^k\|_{\mathcal{H}_0^1(\Omega)}^2 \leq \iint_{\Omega} |y^{\alpha_1} \partial_x u_j^k|^2 + |x^{\alpha_2} \partial_y u_j^k|^2 + V^k |u_j^k|^2 dx dy = \lambda_j^k \leq C,$$

this implies that u_j^k is also bounded in $\mathcal{H}_0^1(\Omega)$ and we can assume that

$$u_j^k \rightharpoonup u^* \text{ in } \mathcal{H}_0^1(\Omega), \quad (5.2)$$

and

$$u_j^k \rightarrow u^* \text{ in } L^m(\Omega), \quad m \in [1, 4). \quad (5.3)$$

For each j we know for all $v \in \mathcal{H}_0^1(\Omega)$

$$\iint_{\Omega} y^{2\alpha_1} \partial_x u_j^k \partial_x v + x^{2\alpha_2} \partial_y u_j^k \partial_y v + V^k u_j^k v dx dy = \lambda_j^k \iint_{\Omega} u_j^k v dx dy, \quad (5.4)$$

choosing k sufficiently large, according to (5.1), (5.2), and (5.3), hence

$$\iint_{\Omega} y^{2\alpha_1} \partial_x u_j^* \partial_x v + x^{2\alpha_2} \partial_y u_j^* \partial_y v + V^* u_j^* v dx dy = \lambda_j^* \iint_{\Omega} u_j^* v dx dy. \quad (5.5)$$

This shows that λ_j^k converges to an element of the spectrum of the problem (1.2) given by V^* . Especially, we may extract a subsequence of $\{u_1^k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset L^2(\Omega)$ such that u_1^k is converge to u_1^* a.e. by (5.3), owing to the non-negativity of u_1^k (see Lemma 4.3 (4)), then u_1^* must be the first

eigenfunction and $\lambda_1^* = \lambda_1(V^*)$. Note that u_2^* and u_1^* are orthogonal on Ω , we know that u_2^* must change the sign on Ω , which indicates that u_2^* not to be the first eigenfunction of $\lambda_1(V^*)$ by Lemma 4.3. Hence, we know $\lambda_2^* \geq \lambda_2(V^*)$, furthermore,

$$\Gamma(V^k) \rightarrow \lambda_2^* - \lambda_1^* \geq \Gamma(V^*), k \rightarrow \infty, \quad (5.6)$$

that is, $\Gamma(V^*) \leq \inf_{V \in S_p} \Gamma(V)$. Meanwhile, $\Gamma(V^*) \geq \inf_{V \in S_p} \Gamma(V)$, then we see that the minimum value of $\Gamma(V)$ can be attained on S_p , $p > 2$. \square

Definition 5.2 ([7]). A real-valued, measurable and bounded function $P(x)$ on Ω is called an *admissible perturbation* of $V(x)$ if and only if $\text{dist}(V + tP, S_p) = o(t)$, i.e.,

$$\inf_{\hat{V} \in S_p} \|V + tP - \hat{V}\| = o(t).$$

It is *strongly admissible* if and only if $V(x) + tP(x) \in S_p$ for any sufficiently small t , where t can only be non-negative or non-positive, or it can be any sign. An admissible perturbation is thus either strongly admissible or tangential to ∂S_p . A perturbations admissible for both positive and negative small t are *tangential* to ∂S_p in L^p ($p > 2$) in the sense that

$$\iint_{\Omega} V^{p-1} P(x) dx dy = 0. \quad (5.7)$$

Remark 5.3. Define the functional $\rho : L^p(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ by $\rho(V) = \|V\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^p$, $p > 2$, the set ∂S_p is a level surface of ρ . The functional ρ is Fréchet differentiable [49, Proposition 1.12] and

$$d_V \rho(P) := (\rho'_V, P) = p \iint_{\Omega} |V|^{p-2} V P dx dy \quad (5.8)$$

for any $P \in L^p(\Omega)$. Referring to [28, (p153-154)], we know the surface ∂S_p is real analytic submanifold with tangent space given by

$$T_V \partial S_p = \text{Ker } d_V \rho,$$

together with (5.8), we have

$$T_V \partial S_p = \{P \in L^p(\Omega) : \iint_{\Omega} V^{p-1} P dx dy = 0\}.$$

Lemma 5.4 ([25, 31, 39, 15]). If the $\lambda_2(V)$ is simple, for any admissible perturbation $P(x)$, we have

$$\left. \frac{d\Gamma(V + tP(x))}{dt} \right|_{t=0} = \iint_{\Omega} P(x) (|u_2|^2 - |u_1|^2) dx dy, \quad (5.9)$$

where u_i is a normalized eigenfunction associated to $\lambda_i(V)$, $i = 1, 2$. Suppose $\lambda_2(V)$ were r fold degenerate, then for any admissible perturbation $P(x)$, λ_2 can split into a cluster of eigenvalues $\lambda_{2,m}$, $m = 1, 2, \dots, r$, which can be considered as a set of differentiable functions near $t = 0$, but those functions do not ordinary correspond to the ordering of eigenvalues given by the min-max principle. For example, the lowest one for $t < 0$ will typically be the highest for $t > 0$. And

$$\left. \frac{d\Gamma_m(V + tP(x))}{dt} \right|_{t=0} = \iint_{\Omega} P(x) (|u_{2,j}|^2 - |u_1|^2) dx dy, \quad (5.10)$$

where $\Gamma_m = \lambda_{2,m} - \lambda_1$, and the orthonormal eigenfunctions $u_{k,j}$ are chosen so that

$$\iint_{\Omega} u_{2,j} P u_{2,m} dx dy = 0, \quad j \neq m.$$

Proof. We will follow the idea of [15, p343-348] to prove the first order perturbation of the eigenvalue. Consider the operator $L = -(y^{2\alpha_1} \partial_x^2 u + x^{2\alpha_2} \partial_y^2 u) + V$, $V \in S_p$, $p > 2$, note that $Dom(L) = \mathcal{H}_0^1(\Omega)$ is dense in $L^2(\Omega)$, and for any $u, v \in \mathcal{H}_0^1(\Omega)$,

$$(Lu, v) = (v, Lu),$$

it implies that L is a Hermitian operator. Similarly, for $L(t) = L + tP$, where P is an admissible perturbation as defined in Definition 5.2, we observe that $L(t)$ is a Hermitian operator for real small $|t| < \epsilon$. Besides, for $u \in Dom(L^*)$, then there exists $u^* \in L^2(\Omega)$ such that for any $v \in \mathcal{H}_0^1(\Omega)$, $(u^*, v) = (u, Lv)$, where L^* is the conjugate operator of L . Since the range of operator L is $L^2(\Omega)$ (Theorem 2.12), there exists a $w \in \mathcal{H}_0^1(\Omega)$ such that $u^* = Lw$. It is not hard to see that $(w, Lv) = (Lw, v) = (u^*, v) = (u, Lv)$, then $u = w \in Dom(L)$. Furthermore, $Dom(L) \subset Dom(L^*)$, we obtain $Dom(L) = Dom(L^*)$. All these reveal that L is self-adjoint. In addition, L is also a closed operator. For $u_n \in \mathcal{H}_0^1(\Omega)$, $u_n \rightarrow u$ and $Lu_n \rightarrow g$ in $L^2(\Omega)$, we will show that $u \in \mathcal{H}_0^1(\Omega)$ and $g = Lu$. Indeed, for any $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$,

$$(Lu_n, \phi) = (u_n, L\phi) \rightarrow (u, L\phi) = (Lu, \phi),$$

given that $Lu_n \rightarrow g$, $(Lu_n, \phi) \rightarrow (g, \phi)$, we obtain $Lu = g$ in $L^2(\Omega)$ and $u \in \mathcal{H}_0^1(\Omega)$. Thus the operator $L(0) = L$ on $\mathcal{H}_0^1(\Omega)$ is hypermaximal. Consider $P \in L^\infty(\Omega)$, then the operator $L(t)$ on $\mathcal{H}_0^1(\Omega)$ is regular in a real neighborhood of $\epsilon = 0$ by Criterion 3 [40, p78].

From Lemma 4.3 and the Theorem 3 [40, p74], we know if λ is an eigenvalue of finite multiplicity r of the operator L , then there exists power series [40, p54]

$$\lambda^1(t), \dots, \lambda^r(t)$$

and power series in Hilbert space

$$u^1(t), \dots, u^r(t)$$

all convergent in a neighborhood of $t = 0$, which satisfy

$$L(t)u^i(t) = \lambda^i(t)u^i(t), \quad i = 1, \dots, r, \quad (5.11)$$

$\lambda^i(0) = \lambda$, $i = 1, \dots, r$, and $(u^i(t), u^j(t)) = \delta_{i,j}$, $i, j = 1, \dots, r$. Then we know that if λ_k is simple, $k = 1, 2$, we may assume

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_k(t) &= \lambda_k + t\mu_{k,1} + t^2\mu_{k,2} + \dots, \\ u_k(t) &= u_k + tv_{k,1} + t^2v_{k,2} + \dots. \end{aligned}$$

Substitute them into (5.11), and given that $Lu_k = \lambda_k u_k$, we obtain that

$$Lv_{k,1} + Pu_k = \mu_{k,1}u_k + \lambda_k v_{k,1}, \quad (5.12)$$

we multiply above equation by u_l and integrate on Ω , hence

$$\lambda_l \iint_{\Omega} u_l v_{k,1} dx dy - \lambda_k \iint_{\Omega} u_l v_{k,1} dx dy = \mu_{k,1} \iint_{\Omega} u_l u_k dx dy - \iint_{\Omega} P u_l u_k dx dy. \quad (5.13)$$

Letting $k = l$, we obtain the perturbations of first order $\mu_{k,1} = \iint_{\Omega} P u_k^2 dx dy$.

If the eigenvalue $\lambda_2(V) = \lambda$ were r fold degenerate, i.e., $\lambda_1 < \lambda_2 = \lambda_3 = \dots = \lambda_{r+1} < \lambda_{r+2}$, we may assume the r eigenfunctions associated with the eigenvalue λ transformed into another system of such eigenfunctions by means of an orthogonal transformation

$$u_n^* = \sum_{j=2}^{r+1} \gamma_{n,j} u_j, \quad (n = 2, \dots, r+1) \quad (5.14)$$

which will be determined later. We now assume for $n = 2, \dots, r+1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_n(t) &= \lambda_k + t\mu_{n,1} + t^2\mu_{n,2} + \dots, \\ u_n(t) &= u_n^* + tv_{n,1} + t^2v_{n,2} + \dots, \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, by $Lu_n^* = \lambda_n u_n^*$ and (5.11),

$$Lv_{n,1} + Pu_n^* = \mu_{n,1} u_n^* + \lambda_n v_{n,1},$$

multiply above equation by u_l and integrate on Ω , hence

$$(\lambda_l - \lambda_n) \iint_{\Omega} u_l v_{n,1} dx dy = \sum_{j=2}^{r+1} \gamma_{n,j} \left(\iint_{\Omega} \mu_{n,1} u_j u_l - P u_j u_l dx dy \right),$$

and hence in particular for $l = 2, \dots, r+1$ we know

$$0 = \sum_{j=2}^{r+1} (d_{j,l} - \mu_{n,1} \delta_{j,l}) \gamma_{n,j}, \quad (l, n = 2, \dots, r+1),$$

where $d_{j,l} = \iint_{\Omega} P u_j u_l dx dy$. From these r^2 equations, $\mu_{n,1}$, $n = 2, \dots, r+1$, may be uniquely determined as roots of the characteristic equation $|d_{j,l} - \mu_{n,1} \delta_{j,l}| = 0$ [15, Chapter I]. For simplicity, select a system of orthonormal eigengunctions u_2, \dots, u_{r+1} for which $d_{j,l} = 0$, $j \neq l$. Then the orthogonal matrix $(\gamma_{n,j})$ is also determined uniquely, and the u_n^* is now fixed. Let us designate these u_n^* by u_n . The matrix $(d_{j,l})$ in the new notation is a diagonal matrix with the elements $d_{n,n} = \mu_{n,1}$, the remaining elements are zero. Then the first order perturbation of the eigenvalue is given by $\mu_{n,1} = d_{n,n}$. \square

Theorem 5.5. *Let $V^* \in S_p$ ($p > 2$) be a minimizer of $\Gamma(V)$ for the eigenvalue problem (1.2). Then*

(1) $\lambda_2(V^*)$ is non-degenerate.

(2) $\text{supp } V^* \subsetneq \Omega$ and $\|V^*\|_{L^p(\Omega)} = M$, where $\text{supp } V^* \subsetneq \Omega$ means $|\Omega - \text{supp } V^*| > 0$.

(3) Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} |u_2^*|^2 - |u_1^*|^2 &\geq 0 && \text{on } \Omega \setminus \text{supp } V^*, \\ |u_2^*|^2 - |u_1^*|^2 &= c(V^*)^p && \text{a.e. on } \text{supp } V^*, \end{aligned}$$

for some constant $c < 0$, where u_1^* and u_2^* are the first and second normalized eigenfunctions with respect to V^* , respectively.

Proof. Step 1. Suppose $\lambda_2(V^*)$ is simple. We claim that the set $Q = \{x \in \Omega : |u_2^*|^2 = |u_1^*|^2\}$ can only happen on a set of zero.

By contradictory, without losing generality, we suppose the set $Q^+ = \{x \in Q : u_2^* > 0\}$ is of positive measure, we know $Q = Q^+ \cup Q^-$ in view of that $u_1^* > 0$ on Ω , where $Q^- = \{x \in Q : u_2^* < 0\}$.

Clearly, $u_1^* - u_2^* = 0$ a.e. on Q^+ and $u_1^* - u_2^* \in H_{\text{loc}}^1(\Omega)$, denote $u_e = u_1^* - u_2^*$, we have $Du_e = 0$ a.e. on Q^+ by [21]. Since $u_e \in H_{\text{loc}}^2(\Omega)$ by Theorem 3.4, we obtain that $\Delta u_e = 0$ a.e. on Q^+ by Lemma 4.2. Therefore $y^{2\alpha_1} \partial_x^2 u_e + x^{2\alpha_2} \partial_y^2 u_e = 0$ a.e. on Q^+ , substituting it into the eigenvalue problem (1.2), we see that $(\lambda_2 - \lambda_1)u_1^* = 0$ a.e. on Q^+ , which is impossible since $u_1 > 0$ on Ω .

Step 2. We exclude the situation of $\|V^*\|_{L^p(\Omega)} = 0$, i.e., $V = 0$ a.e. on Ω .

By contradictory, we assume $V^* = 0$ a.e. on Ω . Let x_0 be any point in Ω and $G_j \subset \Omega$ be any measurable sequence of subsets containing x_0 , then, perturbations of the form $P(x) = \chi_{G_j}$ are admissible for small $t \geq 0$. Then we see that

$$\left. \frac{d\Gamma(V^* + tP(x))}{dt} \right|_{t=0} = \iint_{G_j} |u_2^*|^2 - |u_1^*|^2 dx dy \geq 0. \quad (5.15)$$

Dividing the $|G_j|$ and letting G_j shrink nice to x_0 as $j \rightarrow \infty$, from the Lebesgue Differential Theorem we have $|u_2^*|^2 - |u_1^*|^2 \geq 0$ on Ω , it follows from the Step 1 that $\iint_{\Omega} |u_2^*|^2 - |u_1^*|^2 dx dy > 0$. However, this is contrary to the conditions of normalization $\iint_{\Omega} |u_2^*|^2 - |u_1^*|^2 dx dy = 0$.

Step 3. Now, we will rule out the possibility that $0 < \|V^*\|_{L^p} < M$. Suppose $0 < \|V^*\|_{L^p} < M$, consider the set $R = \text{supp } V^*$ (in the sense of distribution), then for any $x_0 \in R$, and $R_j \subset R$ be any measurable sequence of subsets containing x_0 , in fact, $P(x) = \chi_{R_j}$ are admissible for small $t \in (-\epsilon, \epsilon)$, it is not hard to discover that

$$\left. \frac{d\Gamma(V^* + tP(x))}{dt} \right|_{t=0} = \iint_{R_j} |u_2^*|^2 - |u_1^*|^2 dx dy = 0. \quad (5.16)$$

Once again dividing by $|R_j|$ and letting R_j shrink nice to x_0 as $j \rightarrow \infty$, we find by the Lebesgue Differential Theorem that $|u_2^*|^2 - |u_1^*|^2 = 0$ on R . This reveals that R is a zero measure subset by the conclusion of Step 1. One can immediately receive that $V^* = 0$ a.e., this is inconsistent with the fact $\|V^*\|_{L^p} > 0$.

Step 4. Based on the above results, we conclude that $\|V^*\|_{L^p(\Omega)} = M$. Now, we prove that the set $\Omega \setminus \text{supp } V^*$ must be a positive measure set.

Otherwise, we assume $V > 0$ a.e. on Ω . For $x_0 \in \Omega$, and $H_j \subset \Omega$ be any measurable sequence of subsets containing x_0 , $P(x) = \chi_{H_j}$ are admissible for small $t \leq 0$,

$$\left. \frac{d\Gamma(V^* + tP(x))}{dt} \right|_{t=0} = \iint_{H_j} |u_2^*|^2 - |u_1^*|^2 dx dy \leq 0, \quad (5.17)$$

so that $|u_2^*|^2 - |u_1^*|^2 \leq 0$ on Ω . Combined with the conclusion of Step 1 again, we have $\iint_{\Omega} |u_2^*|^2 - |u_1^*|^2 dx dy < 0$, this is contrary to the normalization condition. This implies that $\text{supp } V^* \subsetneq \Omega$.

Step 5. We show that

$$|u_2^*|^2 - |u_1^*|^2 = c(V^*)^{p-1} \text{ a.e. on } \text{supp } V^*, \quad (5.18)$$

here $c < 0$ is a constant and V^* is continuous a.e..

On one hand, let us consider perturbations (tangential to ∂S_p) of the form

$$P(x) = \frac{\chi_{T_1}}{\iint_{T_1} (V^*)^{p-1} dx dy} - \frac{\chi_{T_2}}{\iint_{T_2} (V^*)^{p-1} dx dy}, \quad (5.19)$$

where T_1 and T_2 are disjoint subsets lie in $\text{supp } V^*$, we observe that $P(x)$ as in (5.19) is admissible by (5.7) for both positive and negative small t . Hence,

$$\left. \frac{d\Gamma(V^* + tP(x))}{dt} \right|_{t=0} = \frac{\iint_{T_1} |u_2^*|^2 - |u_1^*|^2 dx dy}{\iint_{T_1} (V^*)^{p-1} dx dy} - \frac{\iint_{T_2} |u_2^*|^2 - |u_1^*|^2 dx dy}{\iint_{T_2} (V^*)^{p-1} dx dy} = 0 \quad (5.20)$$

for all admissible sets T_1, T_2 , from which we have (5.18) is established.

On the other hand, we can now prove that

$$|u_2^*|^2 - |u_1^*|^2 \leq 0 \text{ a.e. on } \text{supp } V^*, \quad (5.21)$$

utilizing the same strong perturbation argument as (5.17).

In conjunction with the result (5.18),(5.21), we have $c < 0$, and V^* is continuous a.e. since u_1^* and u_2^* are locally continuous on Ω by Theorem 3.4.

Step 6. We will illustrate that $|u_2^*|^2 - |u_1^*|^2 \geq 0$ on $\Omega \setminus \text{supp } V^*$.

Indeed, let x be any point in $\Omega \setminus \text{supp } V^*$ and $F_j \subset \Omega \setminus \text{supp } V^*$ be any measurable sequence of subsets containing x , then $P(x) = \chi_{F_j}$ are admissible for small $t \geq 0$ based on (5.7), according to the same theory as before, we see that $|u_2^*|^2 - |u_1^*|^2 \geq 0$ on $\Omega \setminus \text{supp } V^*$.

Step 7. We prove $\lambda_2(V^*)$ can not be degenerate. Suppose $\lambda_2(V^*)$ are r fold degenerate, by Lemma 5.4, then for any admissible perturbation $P(x)$, the cluster of eigenvalues $\lambda_{2,m}(t)$ into which $\lambda_2(V^*)$ would split could be arranged to be analytic in t at $t = 0$, and likewise for the associated orthonormalized eigenfunctions $\{u_{2,m}\}$ (depending on P).

Suppose now u^* is any normalized vector in the eigenspace for λ_2 , then

$$u^* = \sum_{m=1}^r c_m u_{2,m}^*, \quad \sum_{m=1}^r |c_m|^2 = 1,$$

where $c_m \in \mathbb{R}$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \iint_{\Omega} P(x) (|u^*|^2 - |u_1^*|^2) dx dy &= \iint_{\Omega} P(x) \left(\sum_{m=1}^r |c_m|^2 |u_{2,m}^*|^2 - |u_1^*|^2 \right) dx dy \\ &= \sum_{m=1}^r |c_m|^2 \iint_{\Omega} P(x) (|u_{2,m}^*|^2 - |u_1^*|^2) dx dy. \end{aligned} \quad (5.22)$$

As we did in the Step 2 and Step 3, we can remove the possibility that $0 \leq \|V^*\|_{L^p} < M$. More precisely,

(a) Suppose $\|V^*\|_{L^p} = 0$, if $P(x)$ is a positive perturbation for small $t \geq 0$, then we must have

$$\left. \frac{d\Gamma_m}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \geq 0$$

for any m . Otherwise, suppose $\left. \frac{d\Gamma_m}{dt} \right|_{t=0} < 0$, we would have

$$\Gamma(t_0) \leq \Gamma_m(t_0) < \Gamma_m(0) = \Gamma(0) \quad (5.23)$$

for some $t_0 > 0$, this contradicts the fact that V^* is a minimum. Coupling with (5.22), we find

$$\iint_{\Omega} P(x) (|u^*|^2 - |u_1^*|^2) dx dy \geq 0,$$

as discussed as before, we have $|u^*|^2 - |u_1^*|^2 \geq 0$ on Ω . This will cause the same contradiction in Step 2.

(b) Suppose $0 < \|V^*\|_{L^p} < M$, consider the set $R = \text{supp } V^*$, we have

$$\left. \frac{d\Gamma_m}{dt} \right|_{t=0} = 0 \quad (5.24)$$

for all admissible perturbations $P(x)$ as $t \in (-\epsilon, \epsilon)$ and all m , where $\text{supp } P(x) \subset R$. Otherwise, if $\left. \frac{d\Gamma_m}{dt} \right|_{t=0} > 0$, then would lead to the same contradiction for some $t_0 < 0$ as (5.23). If $\left. \frac{d\Gamma_m}{dt} \right|_{t=0} < 0$, we would find the result (5.23) is established for some $t_0 > 0$, which is impossible. Based the above information, we have

$$\iint_R P(x) (|u^*|^2 - |u_1^*|^2) dx dy = 0.$$

Repeating the Step 3, we know that this case is excluded.

Step 8. We argue as Step 4-Step 6, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} |u^*|^2 - |u_1^*|^2 &\leq 0 \text{ on } \text{supp } V^*, \\ |u^*|^2 - |u_1^*|^2 &\geq 0 \text{ on } \Omega \setminus \text{supp } V^*. \end{aligned} \quad (5.25)$$

And suppose there are two orthonormal vectors, $u_{2,a}$ and $u_{2,b}$ in the second eigenspace, and that x_0 is a point on $\partial B \cap \Omega$, $B = \text{supp } V^*$, so that we may take $u_{2,a}^*(x_0) = u_{2,b}^*(x_0) = u_1(x_0)^* \neq 0$, then the normalized eigenfunction

$$u^*(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(u_{2,a}^*(x) - u_{2,b}^*(x))$$

is zero at $x = x_0$, then $u^*(x_0) < u_1^*(x_0)$. Because of the continuity for eigenfunctions, it would follow that $u_1^*(x) > u^*(x)$ on part of $\Omega \setminus B$, which is contrary to (5.25). \square

Acknowledgement

This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China, the Science-Technology Foundation of Hunan Province.

References

- [1] Z. El Allali and E. M. Harrell. Optimal bounds on the fundamental spectral gap with single-well potentials. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 150(02):575–587, 2022.
- [2] B. Andrews and J. Clutterbuck. Proof of the fundamental gap conjecture. *Journal of the American Mathematical Society*, 24(3), 2011.
- [3] M. Ashbaugh. The fundamental gap. In *Workshop on Low Eigenvalues of Laplace and Schrödinger Operators*, American Institute of Mathematics, Palo Alto, California, 2006.
- [4] M. S. Ashbaugh and R. Benguria. Optimal lower bound for the gap between the first two eigenvalues of one-dimensional Schrödinger operators with symmetric single-well potentials. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 105(2):419–424, 1989.
- [5] M .S. Ashbaugh and M. E. Harrell. Maximal and minimal eigenvalues and their associated nonlinear equations. *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, 28(8):1770–1786, 1987.
- [6] M. S. Ashbaugh and D. KIELTY. Spectral gaps of 1-D Robin Schrödinger operators with single-well potentials. *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, 61(9):091507, 2020.
- [7] M.S. Ashbaugh, E. M. Harrell, and R. Svirsky. On minimal and maximal eigenvalue gaps and their causes. *Pacific Journal of Mathematics*, 147(1):1–24, 1991.
- [8] P. Auscher and B. Ben Ali. Maximal inequalities and Riesz transform estimates on L^p spaces for Schrödinger operators with nonnegative potentials. In *Annales de l'institut Fourier*, volume 57, pages 1975–2013, 2007.
- [9] C. Bennewitz and E. JM. Veling. Optimal bounds for the spectrum of a one-dimensional Schrödinger operator. In *General Inequalities 6*, pages 257–268. Springer, 1992.
- [10] D. Borisov and I. Veselić. Spectral gaps for self-adjoint second order operators. *Zeitschrift für Analysis und ihre Anwendungen*, 31(4):473–505, 2012.
- [11] B. Brandolini, F. Chiacchio, and C. Trombetti. Optimal lower bounds for eigenvalues of linear and nonlinear Neumann problems. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of Edinburgh Section A: Mathematics*, 145(1):31–45, 2015.
- [12] A. C. Cavalheiro. Weighted Sobolev spaces and degenerate elliptic equations. *Boletim da Sociedade Paranaense de Matemática*, 26(1-2):117–132, 2008.
- [13] H. Chen and P. Luo. Lower bounds of Dirichlet eigenvalues for some degenerate elliptic operators. *Calculus of Variations and Partial Differential Equations*, 54(3):2831–2852, 2015.
- [14] Y. H. Cheng, W. C. Lian, and W. C. Wang. The dual eigenvalue problems for p-Laplacian. *Acta Mathematica Hungarica*, 142(1):132–151, 2014.

- [15] R. Courant and D. Hilbert. *Methods of Mathematical Physics*, volume 1. Wiley-VCH, 1 edition, 1989.
- [16] M. Van den Berg. On condensation in the free-boson gas and the spectrum of the Laplacian. *Journal of Statistical Physics*, 31(3):623–637, 1983.
- [17] H. Egnell. Extremal properties of the first eigenvalue of a class of elliptic eigenvalue problems. *Annali della Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa-Classe di Scienze*, 14(1):1–48, 1987.
- [18] M. Essén. On estimating eigenvalues of a second order linear differential operator. In *General Inequalities 5*, pages 347–366. Springer, 1987.
- [19] L. C. Evans. *Partial Differential Equations: Second Edition*. Graduate Studies in Mathematics. American Mathematical Society, 2 edition, 2010.
- [20] P. Freitas. On minimal eigenvalues of Schrödinger operators on manifolds. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 217(2):375–382, 2001.
- [21] D. Gilbarg and N. S. Trudinger. *Elliptic partial differential equations of second order*. Springer, 2001.
- [22] E. M. Harrell. Hamiltonian operators with maximal eigenvalues. *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, 25(1):48–51, 1984.
- [23] J. Heinonen, T. Kilpeläinen, and O. Martio. *Nonlinear Potential Theory of Degenerate Elliptic Equations*. Dover Books on Mathematics. Dover Publications, reprint edition, 2006.
- [24] A. Henrot. *Extremum problems for eigenvalues of elliptic operators*. Frontiers in Mathematics. Birkhäuser Basel, 1 edition, 2006.
- [25] P. D. Hislop and I. M. Sigal. *Introduction to spectral theory: with applications to Schrödinger operators*, volume 113. Springer Science & Business Media, 2012.
- [26] M. Horváth. On the first two eigenvalues of Sturm-Liouville operators. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 131(4):1215–1224, 2003.
- [27] M. J. Huang and T. M. Tsai. The eigenvalue gap for one-dimensional Schrödinger operators with symmetric potentials. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of Edinburgh Section A: Mathematics*, 139(2):359–366, 2009.
- [28] E. Trubowitz J. Poschel. *Inverse spectral theory*. New York: Academic Press, 1987.
- [29] S. Karaa. Extremal eigenvalue gaps for the Schrödinger operator with Dirichlet boundary conditions. *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, 39(4):2325–2332, 1998.
- [30] S. Karaa. Sharp estimates for the eigenvalues of some differential equations. *SIAM Journal on Mathematical Analysis*, 29(5):1279–1300, 1998.

- [31] T. Kato. *Perturbation theory for linear operators*. Classics in Mathematics. Springer, 1995.
- [32] J. B. Keller. Lower bounds and isoperimetric inequalities for eigenvalues of the Schrödinger equation. *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, (2):262–266, 1961.
- [33] J. Kerner. Lower bounds on the spectral gap of one-dimensional Schrödinger operators. *Archiv der Mathematik*, 119(6):613–622, 2022.
- [34] A Kufner and B Opic. How to define reasonably weighted Sobolev spaces . *Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae*, 25(3):537–554, 1984.
- [35] J. Ling. Estimates on the lower bound of the first gap. *Communications in Analysis and Geometry*, 16(3):539–563, 2008.
- [36] G. Meng and P. Yan. Optimal lower bound for the first eigenvalue of the fourth order equation. *Journal of Differential Equations*, 261(6):3149–3168, 2016.
- [37] D. D. Monticelli and K. R. Payne. Maximum principles for weak solutions of degenerate elliptic equations with a uniformly elliptic direction. *Journal of Differential Equations*, 247(7):1993–2026, 2009.
- [38] L. Notarantonio. Extremal properties of the first eigenvalue of Schrödinger-type operators. *Journal of Functional Analysis*, 156(2):333–346, 1998.
- [39] A. Pankov. *Introduction to spectral theory of Schrödinger operators*. Vinnitsa State Pedagogical University, 2006.
- [40] F. Rellich and J. Berkowitz. *Perturbation theory of eigenvalue problems*. CRC Press, 1969.
- [41] E. Sawyer and R. Wheeden. Degenerate sobolev spaces and regularity of subelliptic equations. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 362(4):1869–1906, 2010.
- [42] K. Seo. l^p harmonic 1-forms and first eigenvalue of a stable minimal hypersurface. *Pacific Journal of Mathematics*, 268(1):205–229, 2014.
- [43] J. Serrin. Local behavior of solutions of quasi-linear equations. *Acta Mathematica*, 111:247–302, 1964.
- [44] I. M. Singer, B. Wong, S. T. Yau, and S. S-T Yau. An estimate of the gap of the first two eigenvalues in the Schrödinger operator. *Annali della Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa-Classe di Scienze*, 12(2):319–333, 1985.
- [45] A. El. Soufi and N. Moukadem. Critical potentials of the eigenvalues and eigenvalue gaps of Schrödinger operators. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 314(1):195–209, 2006.

- [46] G. Talenti. Estimates for eigenvalues of Sturm-Liouville problems. In *General Inequalities 4*, pages 341–350. Springer, 1984.
- [47] E .JM. Veling. Optimal lower bounds for the spectrum of a second order linear differential equation with a p-integrable coefficient. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of Edinburgh Section A: Mathematics*, 92(1-2):95–101, 1982.
- [48] F. Y. Wang. On estimation of the Dirichlet spectral gap. *Archiv der Mathematik*, 75(6):450–455, 2000.
- [49] Michel Willem. *Minimax Theorems (Progress in Nonlinear Differential Equations and Their Applications)*. 1 edition, 1997.
- [50] J. Wolfson. Eigenvalue gap theorems for a class of nonsymmetric elliptic operators on convex domains. *Communications in Partial Differential Equations*, 40(4):601–628, 2015.
- [51] Q. H. Yu and J. Q. Zhong. Lower bounds of the gap between the first and second eigenvalues of the Schrödinger operator. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 294(1):341–349, 1986.
- [52] P. Zamboni. Hölder continuity for solutions of linear degenerate elliptic equations under minimal assumptions. *Journal of Differential Equations*, 182(1):121–140, 2002.