TIME-ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY OF GENERIC RIEMANN SOLUTIONS FOR COMPRESSIBLE NAVIER-STOKES-FOURIER EQUATIONS

MOON-JIN KANG, ALEXIS F. VASSEUR, AND YI WANG

ABSTRACT. We establish the time-asymptotic stability of solutions to the one-dimensional compressible Navier-Stokes-Fourier equations, with initial data perturbed from Riemann data that forms a generic Riemann solution. The Riemann solution under consideration is composed of a viscous shock, a viscous contact wave, and a rarefaction wave. We prove that the perturbed solution of Navier-Stokes-Fourier converges, uniformly in space as time goes to infinity, to a viscous ansatz composed of viscous shock with time-dependent shift, a viscous contact wave and an inviscid rarefaction wave. This is a first resolution of the challenging open problem associated with the generic Riemann solution. Our approach relies on the method of a-contraction with shifts, specifically applied to both the shock wave and the contact discontinuity wave. It enables the application of a global energy method for the generic combination of three waves.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
2. Preliminaries	10
2.1. Approximate rarefaction wave	11
2.2. Viscous contact wave	12
2.3. Viscous shock wave	13
2.4. Weighted Poincare inequality	16
3. Proof of Theorem 1.1	17
3.1. Local in time estimates on the solution	17
3.2. Construction of shift	18
3.3. A priori estimates	19
3.4. Conclusion	20
4. Relative entropy estimates	20
4.1. Wave interaction estimates	21
4.2. Construction of weight function	25
4.3. Relative entropy method	25
4.4. Decompositions	28
4.5. Leading order estimates	32

Date: June 12, 2023.

Key words and phrases. compressible Navier-Stokes-Fourier equations, viscous shock wave, rarefaction wave, viscous contact discontinuity, *a*-contraction with shifts, stability.

Acknowledgment. M.-J. Kang was partially supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF-2019R1C1C1009355 and NRF-2019R1A5A1028324), and the POSCO Science Fellowship of POSCO TJ Park Foundation. A. Vasseur was partially supported by the NSF grants DMS 2219434 and 2306852. Y. Wang is supported by NSFC grants No. 12090014 and 11688101.

KANG, VASSEUR, AND WANG

4.6. Proof of	f Lemma 4.1	40
5. Proof of I	Proposition 3.2	44
Appendix A.	Relative entropy	50
Appendix B.	Sharp estimate for the diffusion	51
Appendix C.	Proof of Lemma 4.5	55
References		62

1. INTRODUCTION

Consider the one-dimensional full compressible Navier-Stokes-Fourier equations. In the Lagrangian mass coordinates, the system is described as

(1.1)
$$\begin{cases} v_t - u_x = 0, \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}, \ t \ge 0, \\ u_t + p(v, \theta)_x = (\mu \frac{u_x}{v})_x, \\ E_t + (p(v, \theta)u)_x = (\kappa \frac{\theta_x}{v})_x + (\mu \frac{uu_x}{v})_x \end{cases}$$

where the unknown functions v = v(t, x) > 0, u(t, x), and $\theta(t, x)$ represent respectively the specific volume, the velocity and the temperature of the gas, $E = e + \frac{u^2}{2}$ is the total energy function. For the ideal polytropic gas, the pressure function p and the internal energy function e is given by

(1.2)
$$p(v,\theta) = \frac{R\theta}{v}, \qquad e(v,\theta) = \frac{R}{\gamma - 1}\theta + const.$$

where $R > 0, \gamma > 1$ are both constants depending on the fluid, and the constants $\mu > 0$ and $\kappa > 0$ correspond to the viscosity coefficient and the heat-conductivity coefficient. Consider initial data $(v_0(x), u_0(x), \theta_0(x))$ connecting the two different end states $(v_{\pm}, u_{\pm}, \theta_{\pm}) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_+$ (with $\mathbb{R}_+ := (0, +\infty)$) at far fields:

(1.3)
$$(v_0(x), u_0(x), \theta_0(x)) \to (v_\pm, u_\pm, \theta_\pm), \quad \text{as} \quad x \to \pm \infty.$$

We aims to prove that the large-time behavior of solutions to (1.1), with initial values verifying (1.3), is determined by the Riemann problem of the associated full Euler equations:

(1.4)
$$\begin{cases} v_t - u_x = 0, \\ u_t + p_x = 0, \\ (e + \frac{u^2}{2})_t + (pu)_x = 0, \end{cases}$$

with the Riemann initial data

(1.5)
$$(v, u, \theta)(t = 0, x) = \begin{cases} (v_-, u_-, \theta_-), & x < 0, \\ (v_+, u_+, \theta_+), & x > 0, \end{cases}$$

corresponding to the end states (1.3). To simplify the exposition, we focus on the most challenging situation, called generic, where the related Riemann problem involves a shock, a contact discontinuity, and a rarefaction. Notably, the treatment of combinations of different waves, including both a rarefaction and a shock, was, before this paper, an outstanding open problem (see, for instance, the review paper [38] and the very recent book [33]).

 $\mathbf{2}$

In 2005 [2], Bianchini-Bressan showed, for small BV initial values, the convergence at the inviscid limit of solutions to a parabolic system with "artificial" viscosity to the unique solution of the associated hyperbolic system. However, to this day, the result in full generality is still unknown for the physical Navier-Stokes system (1.1), even in the barotropic case. The study of large-time behavior of solutions to compressible Navier-Stokes equations (1.1) towards the Riemann solutions may shed some insights about the physical inviscid limit to the Euler equations.

In the case of the scalar equation (replacing the system (1.1) with a single viscous equation), the time-asymptotic stability of viscous waves and their connection to the inviscid problem were initially proven by Ilin-Oleinik in 1960 [13], with further contributions from Sattinger [46].

However, when dealing with systems like (1.1), the analysis becomes significantly more complex. Matsumura-Nishihara demonstrated in [39] the stability of viscous shock waves for the barotropic Navier-Stokes equations, and a similar result was independently obtained by Goodman for a general system with artificial diffusion in [8], where diffusion is added to all equations in the system. Both papers relied on the crucial assumption of zero mass, in order to use the anti-derivative method. Subsequently, Liu [32], Szepessy-Xin [49], and Liu-Zeng [36] eliminated the need for the zero mass condition by introducing a constant shift for the viscous shock and diffusion waves, as well as for the coupled diffusion waves in the transverse characteristic fields. Masica-Zumbrun [37] established the spectral stability of viscous shocks for the 1D compressible Navier-Stokes system, relaxing the zero mass condition to a slightly weaker spectral condition. Huang-Matsumura addressed in [11] the case of superimposed shocks for the Navier-Stokes-Fourier system. Han-Kang-Kim [9] improved the result [11] by handling two shocks with small amplitudes "independently" chosen, for the barotropic case. Matsumura-Wang investigated the asymptotic stability of viscous shocks for Navier-Stokes systems with degenerate viscosities in [42], which was further generalized in [53] to a wider range of viscosities using the BD entropy introduced by Bresch-Desjardins in [3].

Regarding the stability of rarefaction waves, a different set of techniques based on direct energy methods was employed. Matsumura-Nishihara [40, 41] initially established the timeasymptotic stability of rarefaction waves for the compressible and isentropic Navier-Stokes equations. It was later generalized to the Navier-Stokes-Fourier system (1.1) by Liu-Xin [34] and Nishihara-Yang-Zhao [44]. The time-asymptotic stability and vanishing viscosity limit of isentropic Navier-Stokes equatios/Navier-Stokes-Fourier equations to the planar rarefaction wave of 2D/3D compressible Euler equations could be found in [31, 30, 28, 29, 1]. For the linear degenerate wave in the second characteristic field, it is known that the inviscid contact discontinuity is unstable for the Euler equations time-asymptotically. While for the Euler equations with viscosities, a viscous contact wave, which is a viscous version of inviscid contact discontinuity, can be constructed and proved to be time-asymptotically stable to both 1D "artificial" viscosity system [55, 35] and the 1D physical Navier-Stokes system [12, 10]. This viscous contact wave can converge to the inviscid contact discontinuity in L^p -norm ($\forall p \geq 1$) at any finite time interval, however, they could be far away at large time, which is called the meta-stability of the inviscid contact discontinuity to the viscous system. For the composite wave, Huang-Li-Matsumura [10] proved the time-asymptotic stability of the combination of two rarefaction waves and a viscous contact wave to the full compressible Navier-Stokes-Fourier system (1.1) by introducing a new heat-kernel type estimate.

However, the time-asymptotic stability of the superposition of a viscous shock wave, a viscous contact wave, and a rarefaction wave has remained an open problem until now, [38, 33]. The main challenge in addressing the general case stems from the fact that the classical anti-derivative method, commonly employed for the stability analysis of shocks, does not align well with the energy method traditionally used for the stability analysis of rarefaction and viscous contact waves. Resolving this issue has been the primary focus of our research, and our main theorem successfully tackles this problem. The main ingredient is the theory of a-contraction with shifts first introduced in the viscous setting for the scalar case in [18], and for the barotropic Navier-Stokes equation in [19]. The method was employed for the first time in [24] to tackle the time asymptotic stability of composite waves with a shock and a rarefaction in the context of the barotropic Navier-Stokes equations by using the Bresch-Desjarding entropy to transfer the viscosity from the momentum equation to the continuity equation. However, compared with the barotropic Navier-Stokes equations, there is no Bresch-Desjarding entropy for the full compressible Navier-Stokes-Fourier system (1.1)due to the energy dissipation equation. Preferably, we carry out the stability analysis in the original Navier-Stokes-Fourier equations (1.1) by fully using the *a*-weighted functions $a(\xi)$ in (4.17). On the other hand, there are two dissipation terms in the momentum and energy equations in (1.1) and the sharp weighted Poincare inequality will be used respectively in the momentum and energy equations and so we need more accurate information about the velocity and the temperature in the viscous ansatz, in particular, for the viscous shock profile.

Riemann problem for the inviscid model: Let us first describe the well-known solution of the Riemann problem for the inviscid model (1.4)-(1.5), first proposed and solved by Riemann [45] in the 1860s, then generalized by Lax [25]. This system of conservation laws is strictly hyperbolic. This means that the derivative of the flux function (-u, p, pu) with respect to the conserved variables, about a fixed state $(v, u, E) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_+$:

$$A(U) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 \\ -\frac{p}{v} & -\frac{(\gamma-1)u}{v} & \frac{\gamma-1}{v} \\ -\frac{pu}{v} & p - \frac{(\gamma-1)u}{v} & \frac{(\gamma-1)u}{v} \end{pmatrix},$$

is diagonalizable with real distinct eigenvalues. This matrix defines the waves generated by the linearization of the system (1.4) about this fixed state $(v, u, \theta) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_+$. Its eigenvalues $\lambda_1 = \lambda_1(v, \theta) = -\sqrt{\frac{\gamma p}{v}} < 0$, $\lambda_2 = 0$ and $\lambda_3 = \lambda_3(v, \theta) = \sqrt{\frac{\gamma p}{v}} > 0$ generate two genuinely nonlinear characteristic fields, and one linearly degenerate characteristic field. Therefore, the self-similar solution, called *Riemann solution* of the Riemann problem, is determined by a combination of at most three elementary solutions from the following five families: 1-rarefaction; 3-rarefaction; 1-shock; 3-shock and 2-contact discontinuity (see for instance [5]). These families are completely defined through their associated curves in the states plane $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_+$. For any $(v_R, u_R, \theta_R) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_+$, the 1-rarefaction curve $R_1(v_R, u_R, \theta_R)$ corresponds to the integral curve of the first eigenvalue λ_1 , and is defined by (1.6)

$$R_1(v_R, u_R, \theta_R) := \left\{ (v, u, \theta) \middle| v < v_R, \ s(v, \theta) = s(v_R, \theta_R) := s_R, \ u = u_R - \int_{v_R}^v \lambda_1(s_R, v') dv' \right\}$$

where $s(v,\theta) = \frac{R}{\gamma-1} \ln(\frac{R}{A}\theta v^{\gamma-1})$ and $\lambda_1(s,v) = -\sqrt{\frac{\gamma p(v,s)}{v}}$. The 3-rarefaction curve R_3 can be defined in the same way from the second eigenvalue λ_3 . For any initial values of the Riemann problem (1.5) with $(v_-, u_-, \theta_-) = (v_L, u_L, \theta_L)$, $(v_+, u_+, \theta_+) = (v_R, u_R, \theta_R)$, such that $(v_L, u_L, \theta_L) \in R_1(v_R, u_R, \theta_R)$, the solution (v^r, u^r, θ^r) of (1.4) is the 1-rarefaction wave defined as

(1.7)
$$\lambda_1(v^r(t,x),\theta^r(t,x)) = \begin{cases} \lambda_1(v_L,\theta_L), & x < \lambda_1(v_L,\theta_L)t, \\ \frac{x}{t}, & \lambda_1(v_L,\theta_L)t \le x \le \lambda_1(v_R,\theta_R)t, \\ \lambda_1(v_R,\theta_R), & x > \lambda_1(v_R,\theta_R)t, \end{cases}$$

together with

(1.8)
$$z_1(v^r(t,x), u^r(t,x)) = z_1(v_L, u_L) = z_1(v_R, u_R),$$
$$s(v^r(t,x), \theta^r(t,x)) = s(v_L, \theta_L) = s(v_R, \theta_R),$$

where $z_1(v, u) = u + \int^v \lambda_1(s, v') dv'$ and $s(v, \theta)$ are the 1-Riemann invariants to the Euler equation (1.4). The case of 3-rarefaction wave is treated similarly from the third eigenvalue λ_3 .

The second characteristic field corresponding to the eigenvalue $\lambda_2 \equiv 0$ is linearly degenerate and corresponds to the 2-contact discontinuity. For any $(v_R, u_R, \theta_R) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_+$, the 2-contact discontinuity curve $CD_2(v_R, u_R, \theta_R)$ can be defined by

(1.9)
$$CD_2(v_R, u_R, \theta_R) := \Big\{ (v, u, \theta) \Big| u = u_R, \ p(v, \theta) = p(v_R, \theta_R) \Big\}.$$

Whenever $(v_L, u_L, \theta_L) \in CD_2(v_R, u_R, \theta_R)$, the contact discontinuity (v^c, u^c, θ^c) connecting $(v_-, u_-, \theta_-) = (v_L, u_L, \theta_L)$ with $(v_+, u_+, \theta_+) = (v_R, u_R, \theta_R)$, is uniquely determined by

(1.10)
$$(v^c, u^c, \theta^c)(t, x) = \begin{cases} (v_L, u_L, \theta_L), & x < u_R t = u_L t, \\ (v_R, u_R, \theta_R), & x > u_R t = u_L t. \end{cases}$$

as a solution of (1.4)-(1.5), that is,

(1.11)
$$\begin{cases} v_t - u_x = 0, \\ u_t + p_x = 0, \\ \left(\frac{R}{\gamma - 1}\theta + \frac{1}{2}u^2\right)_t + (pu)_x = 0, \\ (v, u, \theta)(0, x) = \begin{cases} (v_L, u_L, \theta_L), & x < 0, \\ (v_R, u_R, \theta_R), & x > 0. \end{cases}$$

We can now define the shock curves using the Rankine-Hugoniot condition, as the oneparameter family of all the (v, u, θ) such that there exists σ with:

(1.12)
$$-\sigma(v_R - v) - (u_R - u) = 0,$$

$$-\sigma(u_R - u) + (p(v_R, \theta_R) - p(v, \theta)) = 0,$$

$$-\sigma(E_R - E) + (p(v_R, \theta_R)u_R - p(v, \theta)u) = 0.$$

The general theory shows that this condition defines actually 2 curves that meet at the point (v_R, u_R, θ_R) , one for the value $\sigma = -\sqrt{-\frac{p(v_R, \theta_R) - p(v, \theta)}{v_R - v}}$ (the 1-shock curve $S_1(v_R, u_R)$) which corresponds to admissible 1-shock for $v > v_R$), and one for the value $\sigma = \sqrt{-\frac{p(v_R, \theta_R) - p(v, \theta)}{v_R - v}}$ (the 3-shock curve $S_3(v_R, u_R, \theta_R)$ with admissible 3-shock for $v < v_R$).

Whenever $(v_L, u_L, \theta_L) \in S_1(v_R, u_R, \theta_R) \cup S_3(v_R, u_R, \theta_R)$, the shock solution (v^s, u^s, θ^s) to (1.4)-(1.5) with $(v_-, u_-, \theta_-) = (v_L, u_L, \theta_L)$, $(v_+, u_+, \theta_+) = (v_R, u_R, \theta_R)$, is given by the discontinuous traveling wave defined as

(1.13)
$$(v^s, u^s, \theta^s)(t, x) = \begin{cases} (v_L, u_L, \theta_L), & x < \sigma t, \\ (v_R, u_R, \theta_R), & x > \sigma t. \end{cases}$$

For the general case of any states $(v_-, u_-, \theta_-), (v_+, u_+, \theta_+) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_+$, it can be shown that there exists two (unique) intermediate states $(v_*, u_*, \theta_*), (v^*, u^*, \theta^*) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^+$ such that (v^*, u^*, θ^*) is on a curve of the third families from (v_+, u_+, θ_+) (either $R_3(v_+, u_+, \theta_+)$ or $S_3(v_+, u_+, \theta_+)), (v_*, u_*, \theta_*) \in CD_2(v^*, u^*, \theta^*)$, that is, (v_*, u_*, θ_*) is on the second contact continuity curve from (v^*, u^*, θ^*) , and (v_-, u_-, θ_-) is on a curve of the first families from (v_*, u_*, θ_*) (either $R_1(v_*, u_*, \theta_*)$ or $S_1(v_*, u_*, \theta_*)$). The solution (v, u, θ) of (1.4)-(1.5) is then obtained by the juxtaposition of the three associated waves

$$(v, u, \theta)(t, x) = (v_1, u_1, \theta_1)(t, x) + (v_2, u_2, \theta_2)(t, x) + (v_3, u_3, \theta_3)(t, x) - (v_*, u_*, \theta_*) - (v^*, u^*, \theta^*).$$

The wave (v_1, u_1, θ_1) is 1-rarefaction fan solution to (1.7)-(1.8) if $(v_-, u_-, \theta_-) \in R_1(v_*, u_*, \theta_*)$, or 1-shock solution to (1.13) if $(v_-, u_-, \theta_-) \in S_1(v_*, u_*, \theta_*)$, with $(v_L, u_L, \theta_L) = (v_-, u_-, \theta_-)$, $(v_R, u_R, \theta_R) = (v_*, u_*, \theta_*)$. The wave (v_2, u_2, θ_2) is 2-contact discontinuity solution to (1.10) if $(v_*, u_*, \theta_*) \in CD_2(v^*, u^*, \theta^*)$ with $(v_L, u_L, \theta_L) = (v_*, u_*, \theta_*)$, $(v_R, u_R, \theta_R) = (v^*, u^*, \theta^*)$. And the shock solution to (1.13) if $(v^*, u^*, \theta^*) \in S_3(v_+, u_+, \theta_+)$, or, 3-rarefaction fan solution if $(v^*, u^*, \theta^*) \in R_3(v_+, u_+, \theta_+)$, both with the end states $(v_L, u_L, \theta_L) = (v^*, u^*, \theta^*)$, $(v_R, u_R, \theta_R) = (v_+, u_+, \theta_+)$. Note that the cases of three single waves, i.e., shock, rarefaction, and contact discontinuity, are included as degenerate cases when (v_-, u_-, θ_-) and (v_+, u_+, θ_+) are exactly on a single wave curve without intermediate states.

Viscous ansatz of the Riemann solution: The time-asymptotic behavior of the viscous solution to (1.1) depends on whether the associated Riemann solution to the associated inviscid model (1.4)-(1.5) involves shock waves, a contact discontinuity, or rarefaction waves. In the case where (1.5) is a shock, the viscous counterpart for (1.1), called viscous shock, is

the traveling wave $(v^S(x - \sigma t), u^S(x - \sigma t), \theta^S(x - \sigma t))$ to (1.1):

(1.14)
$$\begin{cases} -\sigma(v^{S})' - (u^{S})' = 0, \\ -\sigma(u^{S})' + (p^{S})' = \left(\mu \frac{(u^{S})'}{v^{S}}\right)', \\ -\sigma(E^{S})' + (p^{S}u^{S})' = \left(\kappa \frac{(\theta^{S})'}{v^{S}}\right)' + \left(\mu \frac{u^{S}(u^{S})'}{v^{S}}\right)', \\ (v^{S}, u^{S}, \theta^{S})(-\infty) = (v^{*}, u^{*}, \theta^{*}), \quad (v^{S}, u^{S}, \theta^{S})(+\infty) = (v_{+}, u_{+}, \theta_{+}) \end{cases}$$

where $E^S := \frac{R}{\gamma - 1} \theta^S + \frac{(u^S)^2}{2}$ and $p^S := p(v^S, \theta^S)$.

The viscous version of the inviscid contact discontinuity connecting (v_*, u_*, θ_*) with (v^*, u^*, θ^*) , called viscous contact wave $(v^C, u^C, \theta^C)(t, x)$ can be defined by [12]:

(1.15)
$$v^{C}(\frac{x}{\sqrt{1+t}}) = \frac{R\Theta^{\text{sim}}}{p_{*}},$$
$$u^{C}(t, \frac{x}{\sqrt{1+t}}) = u_{*} + \frac{(\gamma - 1)\kappa\Theta^{\text{sim}}_{x}}{R\gamma\Theta^{\text{sim}}},$$
$$\theta^{C}(\frac{x}{\sqrt{1+t}}) = \Theta^{\text{sim}},$$

where $\Theta^{\text{sim}} = \Theta^{\text{sim}} \left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{1+t}} \right)$ is the unique self-similar solution to the following nonlinear diffusion equation

(1.16)
$$\begin{cases} \Theta_t = \frac{(\gamma - 1)\kappa p_*}{R^2 \gamma} \left(\frac{\Theta_x}{\Theta}\right)_x, \\ \Theta(t, -\infty) = \theta_*, \quad \Theta(t, +\infty) = \theta^*. \end{cases}$$

The definition of the approximate ansatz associated with the self-similar rarefaction fan $(v^r, u^r, \theta^r)(\frac{x}{t})$ will be described in the next section (see Section 2.1).

Given the end states $(v_{\pm}, u_{\pm}, \theta_{\pm}) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_+$ in (1.3), we consider the general case that there exist two unique intermediate states (v_*, u_*, θ_*) and (v^*, u^*, θ^*) such that (1.17)

$$(v_-, u_-, \theta_-) \in R_1(v_*, u_*, \theta_*), \quad (v_*, u_*, \theta_*) \in CD_2(v^*, u^*, \theta^*), \quad (v^*, u^*, \theta^*) \in S_3(v_+, u_+, \theta_+).$$

We consider, as viscous ansatz, the superposition wave:

(1.18)
$$\begin{pmatrix} v^{r}(\frac{x}{t}) + v^{C}(\frac{x}{\sqrt{1+t}}) + v^{S}(x-\sigma t) - v_{*} - v^{*}, \\ u^{r}(\frac{x}{t}) + u^{C}(t, \frac{x}{\sqrt{1+t}}) + u^{S}(x-\sigma t) - u_{*} - u^{*}, \\ \theta^{r}(\frac{x}{t}) + \theta^{C}(\frac{x}{\sqrt{1+t}}) + \theta^{S}(x-\sigma t) - \theta_{*} - \theta^{*} \end{pmatrix}$$

where $(v^r, u^r, \theta^r)(\frac{x}{t})$ is the 1-rarefaction wave defined in (2.3) , $(v^C, \theta^C)(\frac{x}{\sqrt{1+t}}), u^C(t, \frac{x}{\sqrt{1+t}})$ is the 2-viscous contact wave defined in (1.15) and $(v^S, u^S, \theta^S)(\xi)$ ($\xi = x - \sigma t$) is the 3-viscous shock wave defined in Lemma 2.3.

For simplicity, we consider the following system in non-divergence form which is equivalent to the original system (1.1):

(1.19)
$$\begin{cases} v_t - u_x = 0, \\ u_t + p(v, \theta)_x = (\mu \frac{u_x}{v})_x, \\ \frac{R}{\gamma - 1} \theta_t + p(v, \theta) u_x = (\kappa \frac{\theta_x}{v})_x + \mu \frac{u_x^2}{v}. \end{cases}$$

Theorem 1.1. For a given constant state $(v_+, u_+, \theta_+) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_+$, there exist constants $\delta_0, \varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that the following holds true.

For any $(v^*, u^*, \theta^*) \in S_3(v_+, u_+, \theta_+)$, $(v_*, u_*, \theta_*) \in CD_2(v^*, u^*, \theta^*)$ and $(v_-, u_-, \theta_-) \in R_1(v_*, u_*, \theta_*)$ such that

$$|v_{+} - v^{*}| + |v^{*} - v_{*}| + |v_{*} - v_{-}| \le \delta_{0},$$

denote $(v^r, u^r, \theta^r)(\frac{x}{t})$ the 1-rarefaction solution to (1.4) with end states (v_-, u_-, θ_-) and (v_*, u_*, θ_*) , $(v^C, u^C, \theta^C)(\frac{x}{\sqrt{1+t}})$ the 2-viscous contact wave defined in (1.15) and (2.9) with end states (v_*, u_*, θ_*) and (v^*, u^*, θ^*) , and $(v^S, u^S, \theta^S)(x - \sigma t)$ the 3-viscous shock solution of (1.19) with end states (v^*, u^*, θ^*) and (v_+, u_+, θ_+) . Let (v_0, u_0, θ_0) be any initial data such that

(1.20)
$$\sum_{\pm} \left(\| (v_0 - v_{\pm}, u_0 - u_{\pm}, \theta_0 - \theta_{\pm}) \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_{\pm})} \right) + \| (v_{0x}, u_{0x}, \theta_{0x}) \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} < \varepsilon_0,$$

where $\mathbb{R}_{-} := -\mathbb{R}_{+} = (-\infty, 0).$

Then, the compressible Navier-Stokes-Fourier system (1.19) (and (1.1)) admits a unique global-in-time solution $(v, u, \theta)(t, x)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$. Moreover, there exists an absolutely continuous shift $\mathbf{X}(t)$ such that

(1.21)

$$\begin{aligned} v(t,x) &- \left(v^{r}(\frac{x}{t}) + v^{C}(\frac{x}{\sqrt{1+t}}) + v^{S}(x - \sigma t - \mathbf{X}(t)) - v_{*} - v^{*} \right) \in C(0, +\infty; H^{1}(\mathbb{R})), \\ u(t,x) &- \left(u^{r}(\frac{x}{t}) + u^{C}(t, \frac{x}{\sqrt{1+t}}) + u^{S}(x - \sigma t - \mathbf{X}(t)) - u_{*} - u^{*} \right) \in C(0, +\infty; H^{1}(\mathbb{R})), \\ \theta(t,x) &- \left(\theta^{r}(\frac{x}{t}) + \theta^{C}(\frac{x}{\sqrt{1+t}}) + \theta^{S}(x - \sigma t - \mathbf{X}(t)) - \theta_{*} - \theta^{*} \right) \in C(0, +\infty; H^{1}(\mathbb{R})), \\ \theta_{xx}(t,x) &- \theta^{S}_{xx}(x - \sigma t - \mathbf{X}(t)) \in L^{2}(0, +\infty; L^{2}(\mathbb{R})), \\ u_{xx}(t,x) &- u^{S}_{xx}(x - \sigma t - \mathbf{X}(t)) \in L^{2}(0, +\infty; L^{2}(\mathbb{R})). \end{aligned}$$

In addition, as $t \to +\infty$,

(1.22)
$$\begin{split} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left| (v, u, \theta)(t, x) - \left(v^r(\frac{x}{t}) + v^C(\frac{x}{\sqrt{1+t}}) + v^S(x - \sigma t - \mathbf{X}(t)) - v_* - v^*, \right. \\ \left. u^r(\frac{x}{t}) + u^C(t, \frac{x}{\sqrt{1+t}}) + u^S(x - \sigma t - \mathbf{X}(t)) - u_* - u^*, \right. \\ \left. \theta^r(\frac{x}{t}) + \theta^C(\frac{x}{\sqrt{1+t}}) + \theta^S(x - \sigma t - \mathbf{X}(t)) - \theta_* - \theta^* \right) \right| \to 0, \end{split}$$

and

(1.23)
$$\lim_{t \to +\infty} |\dot{\mathbf{X}}(t)| = 0.$$

Remark 1.1. Theorem 1.1 states that if the two far-field states $(v_{\pm}, u_{\pm}, \theta_{\pm})$ in (1.3) are connected by the superposition of a shock, a contact discontinuity, and a rarefaction wave,

then the solution to the full compressible Navier-Stokes-Fourier equations (1.1) or (1.19) converges in long time to the superposition wave made from the inviscid self-similar rarefaction wave, the viscous contact wave, and viscous shock wave with the shift $\mathbf{X}(t)$.

Remark 1.2. The shift function $\mathbf{X}(t)$ (defined in (3.12)) is proved to satisfy the timeasymptotic behavior (1.23), which implies that

$$\lim_{t \to +\infty} \frac{\mathbf{X}(t)}{t} = 0,$$

that is, the shift function $\mathbf{X}(t)$ grows at most sub-linearly w.r.t. the time t and the shifted viscous shock wave still keeps the original traveling wave profile time-asymptotically.

The main new ingredient of our proof is the use of the method of *a*-contraction with shifts [19] to track the stability of the viscous shock. The method is based on the relative entropy introduced by Dafermos [6] and DiPerna [7]. It is energy based, and so meshes seamlessly with the treatments of the rarefaction and the viscous contact wave as in [12, 10].

The method of *a*-contraction with shifts: The method of *a*-contraction with shifts was developed in [17] (see also [27]) to study the stability of extremal shocks for inviscid system of conservation laws, as for example, the Euler system (1.4). Consider the entropy of the system (which is actually the physical energy) defined for any state U = (v, u, E) as s(U). We then consider the relative entropy defined in [6] for any two states U = (v, u, E), $\overline{U} = (\overline{v}, \overline{u}, \overline{E})$:

$$s(U|\bar{U}) := s(U) - s(\bar{U}) - ds(\bar{U}) \cdot (U - \bar{U}).$$

Note that the physical entropy

$$\eta(U|\overline{U}) := -\bar{\theta} \ s(U|\bar{U})$$

is nonnegative and equal to zero if and only if $U = \overline{U}$. Therefore $\eta(U|\overline{U})$ can be used as a pseudo-distance between U and \overline{U} . It can be shown that rarefactions \overline{U} (that is solutions to (1.7)-(1.8)) have a contraction property for this pseudo-metric (see for instance [50]). Indeed, for any weak entropic solution U to (1.4), it can be shown that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta(U|\bar{U}) \, dx \le 0$$

The contraction property is not true if \overline{U} is a shock (that is traveling waves (1.13) verifying the Rankine-Hugoniot conditions (1.12)). However, the contraction property can be recovered up to a shift, after weighting the relative entropy (see [17]). Indeed, there exists weights $a_-, a_+ > 0$ (depending only on the shock \overline{U}) such that for any weak entropic solution U of (1.4) (verifying a mild condition called strong trace property) there exists a Lipschitz shift function $t \to X(t)$ such that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left\{ a_{-} \int_{-\infty}^{X(t)} \eta(U|\bar{U}) \, dx + a_{+} \int_{X(t)}^{\infty} \eta(U|\bar{U}) \, dx \right\} \le 0.$$

This was first proved in the scalar case by Leger [26] for $a_{-} = a_{+}$. It has been shown in [47] that the contraction with $a_{-} = a_{+}$ is usually false for most systems. Therefore the weighting via the coefficients a_{-}, a_{+} is essential. Note that in the case of the full Euler system, the a-contraction property up to shifts is true for all the single wave patterns, including the

1-shocks, 3-shocks (see [51]), and the 2-contact discontinuities (see [48]). Although the *a*-contraction property with shifts holds for general extremal shocks, it is not always true for intermediate shocks (see [15] for instance).

The first extension of the method to viscous models was done in the 1D scalar case [18] (see also [16]) and then in the multi-D case [22]. The case of the barotropic Navier-Stokes equation (1.1) was treated in [19] (see also [21] for the case of 2 shocks). The *a*-contraction property takes place in variables associated with the BD entropy (see [3]): U = (v, h), where h is the effective velocity defined as $h = u - (\ln v)_x$. In these variables, system (1.1) with $\mu = 1$ is transformed as

(1.24)
$$\begin{cases} v_t - h_x = (\ln v)_{xx}, \\ h_t + p(v)_x = 0. \end{cases}$$

The only nonlinear term of the hyperbolic system (1.4) is the pressure which is a function of v. The system (1.24) is then better than (1.1) since the diffusion is in the variable vcorresponding to the nonlinear term p(v). It was shown in [19] that there exists a monotonic function $x \to a(x)$ (with limits a_{\pm} at $\pm \infty$), depending only on the viscous shock $\overline{U} = (\overline{v}, \overline{h})$ solution to (in the (v, u) variables), such that for any solution U to (1.24), there exists a shift function $t \to \mathbf{X}(t)$ with

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}} a(x - \mathbf{X}(t)) \eta(U(t, x) | \bar{U}(x - \mathbf{X}(t))) \, dx \le 0.$$

Note that the *a*-contraction result of [19] provides uniform stability for viscous shocks with respect to the strength of the viscosity. This is used in [20] to obtain the stability of inviscid shocks of the Isentropic Euler equation among any inviscid limits of the associated Navier-Stokes equation (see also [52, 23] for an example of similar stability for a single 1D contact discontinuity and 3D planar contact discontinuity).

In the simplified situation of the barotropic Navier-Sotkes equation, the *a*-contraction method has been extended to composite waves made of a shock and a rarefaction in [24] (see also [54] for a 3D extension). The aim of this paper is to extend the *a*-contraction theory to the more complex Navier-Stokes-Fourier system (1.1) and to apply it to the study of the long-time stability to the composite wave made of a viscous shock wave, a viscous contact wave, and an inviscid rarefaction wave.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. We begin with preliminaries in Section 2. It includes known properties on the rarefaction and on the viscous contact and shock waves. The general set up is laid out in Section 3. We introduce the local existence of solution, the construction of the shift function and an a priori estimates result in Proposition 3.2, which together with a continuing argument implies Theorem 1.1. The last two sections are dedicated to the proof of Proposition 3.2 for the main a priori estimates . The *a*-contraction argument is set up in Section 4 where global a priori estimates are proved to conclude the proof of Proposition 3.2. Finally, three Appendixs are devoted to the derivation of relative entropy, the sharp estimate for the diffusion and the proof of Lemma 4.5, respectively.

2. Preliminaries

We gather in this section some well-known results which will be useful in the rest of the paper.

2.1. Approximate rarefaction wave. We now recall important properties of the 1rarefaction waves. Consider a (v_*, u_*, θ_*) in (1.3), and $(v_-, u_-, \theta_-) \in R_1(v_*, u_*, \theta_*)$. Set $w_- = \lambda_1(v_-, \theta_-), w_* = \lambda_1(v_*, \theta_*)$, and consider the Riemann problem for the inviscid Burgers equation:

(2.1)
$$\begin{cases} w_t + ww_x = 0, \\ w(0, x) = w_0^r(x) = \begin{cases} w_-, & x < 0, \\ w_*, & x > 0. \end{cases} \end{cases}$$

If $w_{-} < w_{*}$, then (2.1) has the rarefaction wave fan $w^{r}(t, x) = w^{r}(x/t)$ given by

(2.2)
$$w^{r}(t,x) = w^{r}(\frac{x}{t}) = \begin{cases} w_{-}, & x < w_{-}t, \\ \frac{x}{t}, & w_{-}t \le x \le w_{*}t, \\ w_{*}, & x > w_{*}t. \end{cases}$$

It is easy to check that the 1-rarefaction wave $(v^r, u^r, \theta)(t, x) = (v^r, u^r, \theta^r)(x/t)$ to the Riemann problem (1.4)-(1.5), defined in (1.7)-(1.8), is given explicitly by

(2.3)
$$\lambda_1(v^r(\frac{x}{t}), \theta^r(\frac{x}{t})) = w^r(\frac{x}{t}), \\ z_1(v^r(\frac{x}{t}), u^r(\frac{x}{t})) = z_1(v_-, u_-) = z_1(v_*, u_*), \\ s(v^r(\frac{x}{t}), \theta^r(\frac{x}{t})) = s(v_-, \theta_-) = s(v_*, \theta_*).$$

The self-similar 1-rarefaction wave $(v^r, u^r, \theta^r)(x/t)$ is Lipschitz continuous and satisfies the Euler system a.e. for t > 0,

(2.4)
$$\begin{cases} v_t^r - u_x^r = 0, \\ u_t^r + p(v^r, \theta^r)_x = 0, \\ \frac{R}{\gamma - 1} \theta_t^r + p(v^r, \theta^r) u_x^r = 0. \end{cases}$$

Let $\delta_R := |v_* - v_-|$ denote the strength of the rarefaction wave. Notice that $\delta_R \sim |u_* - u_-| \sim |\theta_* - \theta_-|$ by (2.3)₂.

As in [40], by using the smooth solution to the Burgers equation:

(2.5)
$$\begin{cases} w_t + ww_x = 0, \\ w(0, x) = w_0(x) = \frac{w_* + w_-}{2} + \frac{w_* - w_-}{2} \tanh x. \end{cases}$$

we will consider the smooth approximate 1-rarefaction wave $(v^R, u^R, \theta^R)(t, x)$ of the 1-rarefaction wave fan $(v^r, u^r, \theta^r)(\frac{x}{t})$ by

(2.6)
$$\lambda_{1-} := \lambda_1(v_-, \theta_-) = w_-, \ \lambda_{1*} := \lambda_1(v_*, \theta_*) = w_*, \\\lambda_1(v^R, \theta^R)(t, x) = w(1+t, x), \\z_1(v^R, u^R)(t, x) = z_1(v_-, u_-) = z_1(v_*, u_*), \\s(v^R, \theta^R)(t, x) = s(v_-, \theta_-) = s(v_*, \theta_*),$$

where w(t, x) is the smooth solution to the Burgers equation in (2.5). One can easily check that the above approximate rarefaction wave (v^R, u^R, θ^R) satisfies the Euler system:

(2.7)
$$\begin{cases} v_t^R - u_x^R = 0, \\ u_t^R + p(v^R, \theta^R)_x = 0, \\ \frac{R}{\gamma - 1} \theta_t^R + p(v^R, \theta^R) u_x^R = 0 \end{cases}$$

The following lemma comes from [40].

Lemma 2.1. The smooth approximate 1-rarefaction wave $(v^R, u^R, \theta^R)(t, x)$ defined in (2.6) satisfies the following properties. Let δ_R denote the rarefaction wave strength as $\delta_R := |v_* - v_-| \sim |u_* - u_-| \sim |\theta_* - \theta_-|$.

(1)
$$u_x^R = \frac{2v^R}{(\gamma+1)}w_x > 0, \ v_x^R = \frac{v^R}{\sqrt{R\gamma\theta^R}}u_x^R > 0 \ and \ \theta_x^R = -\frac{(\gamma-1)\theta^R}{v^R}v_x^R < 0, \ \forall x \in \mathbb{R}, \ t \ge 0.$$

(2) The following estimates hold for all $t \ge 0$ and $p \in [1, +\infty]$:

$$\begin{aligned} \| (v_x^R, u_x^R, \theta_x^R) \|_{L^p} &\leq C \min\{\delta_R, \delta_R^{1/p} (1+t)^{-1+1/p}\}, \\ \| (v_{xx}^R, u_{xx}^R, \theta_{xx}^R) \|_{L^p} &\leq C \min\{\delta_R, (1+t)^{-1}\}, \\ \| u_{xx}^R &| \leq C |u_x^R|, \quad |\theta_{xx}^R| \leq C |\theta_x^R|, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}. \end{aligned}$$

(3) For $x \ge \lambda_{1*}(1+t), t \ge 0$, it holds that

$$|(v^{R}, u^{R}, \theta^{R})(t, x) - (v_{*}, u_{*}, \theta_{*})| \leq C\delta_{R} \ e^{-2|x - \lambda_{1*}(1+t)|},$$

$$|(v^{R}_{x}, u^{R}_{x}, \theta^{R}_{x})(t, x)| \leq C\delta_{R} \ e^{-2|x - \lambda_{1*}(1+t)|}.$$

(4) For $x \leq \lambda_{1-}(1+t), t \geq 0$, it holds that

$$|(v^{R}, u^{R}, \theta^{R})(t, x) - (v_{-}, u_{-}, \theta_{-})| \le C\delta_{R} \ e^{-2|x - \lambda_{1-}(1+t)|},$$
$$|(v^{R}_{x}, u^{R}_{x}, \theta^{R}_{x})(t, x)| \le C\delta_{R} \ e^{-2|x - \lambda_{1-}(1+t)|}.$$

(5)
$$\lim_{t \to +\infty} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |(v^R, u^R, \theta^R)(t, x) - (v^r, u^r, \theta^r)(\frac{x}{t})| = 0.$$

2.2. Viscous contact wave. It is known that the inviscid contact discontinuity is timeasymptotically unstable for the compressible Euler equations (1.4). However, a viscous contact wave, which is a viscous version of inviscid contact discontinuity, can be constructed and proved to be time-asymptotically stable to both "artificial viscosity" system [55, 35] and the physical Navier-Stokes system [12, 10].

Lemma 2.2. ([12]) The viscous contact wave $(v^C, u^C, \theta^C)(t, x)$ defined in (1.15) satisfies

$$(2.8) \qquad \begin{aligned} & \left(v^C - v_*, u^C - u_*, \theta^C - \theta_*\right) = O(1)\delta_C e^{-\frac{C_1 x^2}{1+t}}, \quad \forall x < 0; \\ & \left(v^C - v^*, u^C - u^*, \theta^C - \theta^*\right) = O(1)\delta_C e^{-\frac{C_1 x^2}{1+t}}, \quad \forall x > 0; \\ & \left(\partial_x^n v^C, \partial_x^n \theta^C\right)(t, x) = O(1)\delta_C (1+t)^{-\frac{n}{2}} e^{-\frac{C_1 x^2}{1+t}}, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad n = 1, 2, \cdots; \\ & \partial_x^n u^C(t, x) = O(1)\delta_C (1+t)^{-\frac{1+n}{2}} e^{-\frac{C_1 x^2}{1+t}}, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad n = 1, 2, \cdots; \end{aligned}$$

where $\delta_{c} = |v^{*} - v_{*}| \sim |\theta^{*} - \theta_{*}|$ is the amplitude of the viscous contact wave, and $C_{1} > 0$ is generic constant.

STABILITY OF GENERIC COMPOSITE WAVES FOR NAVIER-STOKES-FOURIER EQUATIONS 13

Then the viscous contact wave $(v^C, u^C, \theta^C)(t, x)$ defined in (1.15) satisfies the system

(2.9)
$$\begin{cases} v_t^C - u_x^C = 0, \\ u_t^C + p_x^C = \mu \left(\frac{u_x^C}{v^C}\right)_x + Q_1^C, \\ \frac{R}{\gamma - 1} \theta_t^C + p^C u_x^C = \kappa \left(\frac{\theta_x^C}{v^C}\right)_x + \mu \frac{(u_x^C)^2}{v^C} + Q_2^C \end{cases}$$

where $p^{C} = p(v^{C}, \theta^{C})$ and

(2.10)
$$Q_{1}^{C} = u_{t}^{C} - \mu \left(\frac{u_{x}^{C}}{v^{C}}\right)_{x} = O(1)\delta_{C}(1+t)^{-\frac{3}{2}}e^{-\frac{2C_{1}x^{2}}{1+t}},$$
$$Q_{2}^{C} = -\mu \frac{(u_{x}^{C})^{2}}{v^{C}} = O(1)\delta_{C}(1+t)^{-2}e^{-\frac{2C_{1}x^{2}}{1+t}},$$

as $x \to \pm \infty$ due to Lemma 2.2. Moreover, from (1.15) and Lemma 2.2, it holds that for $\forall p \ge 1$,

(2.11)
$$\| (v^C, u^C, \theta^C)(t, \cdot) - (v^c, u^c, \theta^c)(t, \cdot) \|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})} = O(1) \kappa^{\frac{1}{2p}} (1+t)^{\frac{1}{2p}},$$

which implies that viscous contact wave $(v^C, u^C, \theta^C)(t, x)$ can converge to the inviscid contact discontinuity $(v^c, u^c, \theta^c)(t, x)$ in L^p -norm $(\forall p \ge 1)$ at any finite time interval as the heat conductivity coefficient $\kappa \to 0+$, however, they could be far away at large time.

2.3. Viscous shock wave. We turn to the 3-viscous shock wave connecting (v^*, u^*, θ^*) and (v_+, u_+, θ_+) such that $(v^*, u^*, \theta^*) \in S_3(v_+, u_+, \theta_+)$. Recall the Rankine-Hugoniot condition (1.12) and the Lax entropy condition

(2.12)
$$\lambda_3(v_+,\theta_+) < \sigma < \lambda_3(v^*,\theta^*).$$

The Riemann problem (1.4)-(1.5) admits a unique 3-shock solution

(2.13)
$$(v^s, u^s, \theta^s)(t, x) = \begin{cases} (v^*, u^*, \theta^*), & x < \sigma t, \\ (v_+, u_+, \theta_+), & x > \sigma t, \end{cases}$$

where it follows from (1.12) that

(2.14)
$$\sigma = \sqrt{-\frac{p(v_+, \theta_+) - p(v^*, \theta^*)}{v_+ - v^*}}.$$

As a traveling wave solution of (1.19) (equivalently, of (1.1)), the 3-viscous shock wave $(v^S, u^S, \theta^S)(\xi)$ satisfies the system of ODEs (1.14).

Integrating the system (1.14) over $(\pm \infty, \xi]$ gives that

(2.15)
$$\begin{cases} -\sigma(v^{S} - v_{+}) - (u^{S} - u_{+}) = -\sigma(v^{S} - v^{*}) - (u^{S} - u^{*}) = 0, \\ \mu \frac{(u^{S})'}{v^{S}} = -\sigma(u^{S} - u_{+}) + (p^{S} - p_{+}) = -\sigma(u^{S} - u^{*}) + (p^{S} - p^{*}), \\ \kappa \frac{(\theta^{S})'}{v^{S}} + \mu \frac{u^{S}(u^{S})'}{v^{S}} = -\sigma(E^{S} - E_{+}) + (p^{S}u^{S} - p_{+}u_{+}) \\ = -\sigma(E^{S} - E^{*}) + (p^{S}u^{S} - p^{*}u^{*}). \end{cases}$$

Then the existence of shock profiles $(v^S, u^S, \theta^S)(\xi)$ is equivalent to the existence of solution to the following autonomous system of ODEs:

(2.16)
$$\begin{cases} \mu \sigma \frac{(v^S)'}{v^S} = (p^S - p_+) + \sigma^2 (v^S - v_+) = (p^S - p^*) + \sigma^2 (v^S - v^*), \\ \kappa \frac{(\theta^S)'}{\sigma v^S} = \frac{R}{\gamma - 1} (\theta^S - \theta_+) + p_+ (v^S - v_+) - \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 (v^s - v_+)^2 \\ = \frac{R}{\gamma - 1} (\theta^S - \theta^*) + p^* (v^S - v^*) - \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 (v^s - v^*)^2. \end{cases}$$

a. .

The properties of the 3-viscous shock wave $(v^S, u^S, \theta^S)(\xi)$ can be listed as follows.

Lemma 2.3. For any state (v_+, u_+, θ_+) , there exists a constant C > 0 such that the following is true. For any end state such that $(v^*, u^*, \theta^*) \in S_3(v_+, u_+, \theta_+)$, there exists a unique solution $(v^S, u^S, \theta^S)(\xi)$ to (1.14). Let δ_S denote the strength of the shock as $\delta_S := |v_+ - v^*| \sim |u_+ - u^*| \sim |\theta_+ - \theta^*|$. Then, it holds that

$$u_{\xi}^{S} < 0, \qquad v_{\xi}^{S} > 0, \qquad \theta_{\xi}^{S} < 0, \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}, \\ |(v^{S}(\xi) - v^{*}, u^{S}(\xi) - u^{*}, \theta^{S}(\xi) - \theta^{*})| \leq C\delta_{S} \ e^{-C\delta_{S}|\xi|}, \quad \xi < 0, \\ |(v^{S}(\xi) - v_{+}, u^{S}(\xi) - u_{+}, \theta^{S}(\xi) - \theta_{+})| \leq C\delta_{S} \ e^{-C\delta_{S}|\xi|}, \quad \xi > 0, \\ |(v_{\xi}^{S}, u_{\xi}^{S}, \theta_{\xi}^{S})| \leq C\delta_{S}^{2} \ e^{-C\delta_{S}|\xi|}, \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}, \\ |(v_{\xi\xi}^{S}, u_{\xi\xi}^{S}, \theta_{\xi\xi}^{S})| \leq C\delta_{S}|(v_{\xi}^{S}, u_{\xi}^{S}, \theta_{\xi}^{S})|, \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}. \end{cases}$$

In particular, $|v_{\xi}^{S}| \sim |u_{\xi}^{S}| \sim |\theta_{\xi}^{S}|$ for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$, more explicitly,

(2.18)
$$\left| (u^S)_{\xi} + \sigma^* (v^S)_{\xi} \right| \le C \delta_S |(v^S)_{\xi}|, \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R},$$

and

(

(2.19)
$$\left| (\theta^S)_{\xi} + \frac{(\gamma - 1)p^*}{R} (v^S)_{\xi} \right| \le C\delta_S |(v^S)_{\xi}|, \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R},$$

where

(2.20)
$$p^* := p(v^*, \theta^*) = \frac{R\theta^*}{v^*} \quad and \quad \sigma^* := \sqrt{\frac{\gamma p^*}{v^*}} = \frac{\sqrt{\gamma R\theta^*}}{v^*},$$

which satisfies

$$(2.21) |\sigma - \sigma^*| \le C\delta_S$$

Proof. We here prove the last estimate of (2.17), and the explicit estimates (2.18)-(2.19). The remaining estimates can be found in [11] and [39] (see also [19]).

• **Proof of** (2.18)-(2.19):

The estimate (2.18) is easily shown by (2.21) and the equation $(u^S)_{\xi} = -\sigma(v^S)_{\xi}$. To prove (2.19), we use the following fraction from (2.16) that

(2.22)
$$\frac{\theta_{\xi}^{S}}{v_{\xi}^{S}} = \frac{\mu\sigma^{2}}{\kappa} \frac{\frac{R}{\gamma-1}(\theta^{S}-\theta^{*}) + p^{*}(v^{S}-v^{*}) - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^{2}(v^{S}-v^{*})^{2}}{(p^{S}-p^{*}) + \sigma^{2}(v^{S}-v^{*})}.$$

Since $\theta_{\xi}^{S} < 0$ and $v_{\xi}^{S} > 0$ for all ξ , we regard θ^{S} as a smooth function of v^{S} by considering $\theta^{S} \circ (v^{S})^{-1} : [v^{*}, v_{+}] \to [\theta_{+}, \theta^{*}]$ with $\theta^{*} = \theta^{S}(v^{*})$ and $\theta_{+} = \theta^{S}(v_{+})$. Then, we define a smooth function $F(v^{S})$ by the right-hand side of (2.22), that is,

$$F(v^S) := \frac{\mu\sigma^2}{\kappa} \frac{\frac{R}{\gamma - 1}(\theta^S - \theta^*) + p^*(v^S - v^*) - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2(v^S - v^*)^2}{(p^S - p^*) + \sigma^2(v^S - v^*)}.$$

We will estimate $F(v_{-})$ as below. Since

$$F(v_{-}) = \lim_{\xi \to -\infty} \frac{\theta_{\xi}^S}{v_{\xi}^S} = \lim_{v^S \to v^*} \frac{d\theta^S}{dv^S} = \lim_{v^S \to v^*} \frac{\theta^S - \theta^*}{v^S - v^*} =: l^* < 0,$$

and

$$\lim_{v^{S} \to v^{*}} \frac{p^{S} - p^{*}}{v^{S} - v^{*}} = \lim_{v^{S} \to v^{*}} \left(\frac{R}{v^{S}} \frac{\theta^{S} - \theta^{*}}{v^{S} - v^{*}} - \frac{p^{*}}{v^{S}} \right) = \frac{Rl^{*} - p^{*}}{v^{*}}$$

 $v^S \rightarrow v^* \ v^S - v^*$ we take $v^S \rightarrow v^*$ on (2.22) to have

$$l^{*} = \lim_{\xi \to -\infty} \frac{\theta_{\xi}^{S}}{v_{\xi}^{S}} = \frac{\mu \sigma^{2}}{\kappa} \frac{\frac{R}{\gamma - 1} \lim_{v^{S} \to v^{*}} \frac{\theta^{S} - \theta^{*}}{v^{S} - v^{*}} + p^{*}}{\lim_{v^{S} \to v^{*}} \frac{p^{S} - p^{*}}{v^{S} - v^{*}} + \sigma^{2}} = \frac{\mu \sigma^{2}}{\kappa} \frac{\frac{R}{\gamma - 1} l^{*} + p^{*}}{\frac{Rl^{*} - p^{*}}{v^{*}} + \sigma^{2}},$$

and so,

$$(l^*)^2 - \left(\frac{p^* - \sigma^2 v^*}{R} + \frac{\mu \sigma^2 v^*}{\kappa (\gamma - 1)}\right) l^* - \frac{\mu \sigma^2 \theta^*}{\kappa} = 0.$$

But, since $l_* := -\frac{(\gamma-1)p^*}{R}$ satisfies

$$(l_*)^2 - \left(\frac{p^* - (\sigma^*)^2 v^*}{R} + \frac{\mu(\sigma^*)^2 v^*}{\kappa(\gamma - 1)}\right) l_* - \frac{\mu(\sigma^*)^2 \theta^*}{\kappa} = 0.$$

we use (2.21) to have

$$|l^* - l_*| \le C\delta_S,$$

and so,

$$\left|F(v_{-}) + \frac{(\gamma - 1)p^*}{R}\right| \le C\delta_S.$$

This together with $|F(v^S) - F(v_-)| \le C|v^S - v_-| \le C\delta_S$ for all ξ implies

$$\left|\frac{\theta_{\xi}^{S}}{v_{\xi}^{S}} + \frac{(\gamma - 1)p^{*}}{R}\right| \le C\delta_{S}, \quad \forall \xi,$$

which proves (2.19). • **Proof of** $|(v_{\xi\xi}^S, u_{\xi\xi}^S, \theta_{\xi\xi}^S)| \leq C\delta_S |(v_{\xi}^S, u_{\xi}^S, \theta_{\xi}^S)|, \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}$: First, it holds from (1.14) that

$$\mu \left(\frac{u_{\xi}^S}{v^S}\right)_{\xi} = \sigma^2 v_{\xi}^S + p_{\xi}^S,$$

and so,

(2.23)
$$\mu \frac{u_{\xi\xi}^S}{v^S} = \mu \frac{u_{\xi}^S v_{\xi}^S}{(v^S)^2} + \sigma^2 v_{\xi}^S + \frac{R\theta_{\xi}^S}{v^S} - \frac{p^S v_{\xi}^S}{v^S}$$

Then, using (2.19) and (2.21), we have

$$\begin{split} \mu \left| \frac{u_{\xi\xi}^S}{v^S} \right| &\leq \mu \frac{|u_{\xi}^S| |v_{\xi}^S|}{(v^S)^2} + \underbrace{\left| (\sigma^*)^2 - \frac{(\gamma - 1)p^*}{v^*} - \frac{p^*}{v^*} \right|}_{=0} |v_{\xi}^S| + |\sigma^2 - (\sigma^*)^2| |v_{\xi}^S| \\ &+ \frac{|R\theta_{\xi}^S - (\gamma - 1)p^* v_{\xi}^S|}{v^S} + (\gamma - 1)p^* \left| \frac{1}{v^S} - \frac{1}{v^*} \right| |v_{\xi}^S| + \left| \frac{p^*}{v^*} - \frac{p^S}{v^S} \right| |v_{\xi}^S| \\ &\leq C\delta_S |v_{\xi}^S|, \end{split}$$

which yields

$$(2.24) |u_{\xi\xi}^S| \le C\delta_S |v_{\xi}^S|.$$

To estimate $|\theta_{\xi\xi}^S|$, we first find from (1.14) that (v^S, u^S, θ^S) satisfies (as a solution of (1.19))

(2.25)
$$-\frac{R\sigma}{\gamma-1}(\theta^S)_{\xi} + p^S(u^S)_{\xi} = \kappa \left(\frac{(\theta^S)_{\xi}}{v^S}\right)_{\xi} + \mu \frac{((u^S)_{\xi})^2}{v^S}.$$

This together with (2.23) gives

$$\kappa \frac{\theta_{\xi\xi}^S}{v^S} = \kappa \frac{\theta_{\xi}^S v_{\xi}^S}{(v^S)^2} - \mu \frac{(u_{\xi}^S)^2}{v^S} - \frac{\sigma}{\gamma - 1} \left(\mu u_{\xi\xi}^S - \mu \frac{u_{\xi}^S v_{\xi}^S}{v^S} - \sigma^2 v^S v_{\xi}^S + p^S v_{\xi}^S \right) + p^S u_{\xi}^S.$$

Then, using (2.24), we have

$$\left|\kappa \frac{\theta_{\xi\xi}^S}{v^S}\right| \le C\delta_S |v_\xi^S| + \frac{\sigma}{\gamma - 1} \left| -\sigma^2 v^S v_\xi^S + p^S v_\xi^S - \frac{\gamma - 1}{\sigma} p^S u_\xi^S \right|.$$

In addition, since $u_{\xi}^{S} = -\sigma v_{\xi}^{S}$ and (2.21) imply

$$\left| -\sigma^2 v^S v_{\xi}^S + p^S v_{\xi}^S - \frac{\gamma - 1}{\sigma} p^S u_{\xi}^S \right| \leq \underbrace{| -\sigma^* v^* + p^* + (\gamma - 1)p^* |}_{=0} |v_{\xi}^S| + C\delta_S |v_{\xi}^S|,$$

we have

$$|\theta_{\xi\xi}^S| \le C\delta_S |v_{\xi}^S|.$$

Finally, using $u_{\xi}^{S} = -\sigma v_{\xi}^{S}$ and (2.18)-(2.19), we complete the desired estimates.

2.4. Weighted Poincare inequality. The method of *a*-contraction with shift in the viscous cases relies on the following Poincaré type inequality (see [19, Lemma 2.9]).

Lemma 2.4. For any $f:[0,1] \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfying $\int_0^1 y(1-y)|f'|^2 dy < \infty$,

(2.26)
$$\int_0^1 \left| f - \int_0^1 f \, dy \right|^2 dy \le \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 y(1-y) |f'|^2 dy.$$

3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

3.1. Local in time estimates on the solution. For simplicity, we rewrite the system (1.19) into the following system, based on the change of variable associated to the speed of propagation of the shock $(t, x) \mapsto (t, \xi = x - \sigma t)$:

(3.1)
$$\begin{cases} v_t - \sigma v_{\xi} - u_{\xi} = 0, \\ u_t - \sigma u_{\xi} + p(v, \theta)_{\xi} = (\mu \frac{u_{\xi}}{v})_{\xi}, \\ \frac{R}{\gamma - 1} \theta_t - \frac{R\sigma}{\gamma - 1} \theta_{\xi} + p(v, \theta) u_{\xi} = (\kappa \frac{\theta_{\xi}}{v})_{\xi} + \mu \frac{u_{\xi}^2}{v}. \end{cases}$$

It follows from (2.7) that the approximate rarefaction wave $(v, u, \theta)(t, \xi) = (v^R, u^R, \theta^R)(t, \xi + \sigma t)$ verifies

(3.2)
$$\begin{cases} v_t - \sigma v_{\xi} - u_{\xi} = 0, \\ u_t - \sigma u_{\xi} + p(v, \theta)_{\xi} = 0, \\ \frac{R}{\gamma - 1} \theta_t - \frac{R\sigma}{\gamma - 1} \theta_{\xi} + p(v, \theta) u_{\xi} = 0. \end{cases}$$

and from (2.9) that the viscous contact wave $(v, u, \theta)(t, \xi) = (v^C, u^C, \theta^C)(t, \xi + \sigma t)$ satisfies the system

(3.3)
$$\begin{cases} v_t - \sigma v_{\xi} - u_{\xi} = 0, \\ u_t - \sigma u_{\xi} + p_{\xi} = \mu \left(\frac{u_{\xi}}{v}\right)_{\xi} + Q_1^C, \\ \frac{R}{\gamma - 1}\theta_t - \frac{R\sigma}{\gamma - 1}\theta_{\xi} + pu_{\xi} = \kappa \left(\frac{\theta_{\xi}}{v}\right)_{\xi} + \mu \frac{(u_{\xi})^2}{v} + Q_2^C, \end{cases}$$

with the error terms Q_i^C (i = 1, 2) defined in (2.10). We will consider stability of the solution to (3.1) around the superposition wave of the approximate rarefaction wave, the viscous contact wave and the viscous shock wave shifted by $\mathbf{X}(t)$ (to be defined in (3.12)) :

(3.4)

$$(\bar{v}, \bar{u}, \bar{\theta})(t, \xi) := \left(v^{R}(t, \xi + \sigma t) + v^{C}(\xi + \sigma t) + v^{S}(\xi - \mathbf{X}(t)) - v_{*} - v^{*}, u^{R}(t, \xi + \sigma t) + u^{C}(t, \xi + \sigma t) + u^{S}(\xi - \mathbf{X}(t)) - u_{*} - u^{*}, \theta^{R}(t, \xi + \sigma t) + \theta^{C}(\xi + \sigma t) + \theta^{S}(\xi - \mathbf{X}(t)) - \theta_{*} - \theta^{*}\right).$$

Then the superposition wave $(\bar{v}, \bar{u}, \bar{\theta})(t, \xi)$ satisfies the system

(3.5)
$$\begin{cases} \bar{v}_t - \sigma \bar{v}_{\xi} + \dot{\mathbf{X}}(t)(v^S)_{\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}} - \bar{u}_{\xi} = 0, \\ \bar{u}_t - \sigma \bar{u}_{\xi} + \dot{\mathbf{X}}(t)(u^S)_{\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}} + \bar{p}_{\xi} = \mu \left(\frac{\bar{u}_{\xi}}{\bar{v}}\right)_{\xi} + Q_1, \\ \frac{R}{\gamma - 1}\bar{\theta}_t - \frac{R\sigma}{\gamma - 1}\bar{\theta}_{\xi} + \frac{R}{\gamma - 1}\dot{\mathbf{X}}(t)(\theta^S)_{\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}} + \bar{p}\bar{u}_{\xi} = \kappa \left(\frac{\bar{\theta}_{\xi}}{\bar{v}}\right)_{\xi} + \mu \frac{\bar{u}_{\xi}^2}{\bar{v}} + Q_2, \end{cases}$$

where $\bar{p} = \frac{R\bar{\theta}}{\bar{v}}$ and the error terms

(3.6)
$$Q_i := Q_i^I + Q_i^R + Q_i^C, \quad i = 1, 2,$$

with the wave interactions terms

(3.7)
$$Q_1^I := \left(\bar{p} - p^R - p^C - (p^S)^{-\mathbf{X}}\right)_{\xi} - \mu \left(\frac{\bar{u}_{\xi}}{\bar{v}} - \frac{u_{\xi}^R}{v^R} - \frac{u_{\xi}^C}{v^C} - \frac{(u^S)_{\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}}}{(v^S)^{-\mathbf{X}}}\right)_{\xi},$$

(3.8)
$$Q_{2}^{I} := \left(\bar{p}\bar{u}_{\xi} - p^{R}u_{\xi}^{R} - p^{C}u_{\xi}^{C} - (p^{S})^{-\mathbf{X}}(u^{S})_{\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}}\right) - \kappa \left(\frac{\bar{\theta}_{\xi}}{\bar{v}} - \frac{\theta_{\xi}^{R}}{v^{R}} - \frac{\theta_{\xi}^{C}}{v^{C}} - \frac{(\theta^{S})_{\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}}}{(v^{S})^{-\mathbf{X}}}\right)_{\xi} - \mu \left(\frac{\bar{u}_{\xi}^{2}}{\bar{v}} - \frac{(u_{\xi}^{R})^{2}}{v^{R}} - \frac{(u_{\xi}^{C})^{2}}{v^{C}} - \frac{((u^{S})_{\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}})^{2}}{(v^{S})^{-\mathbf{X}}}\right),$$

and the error terms due to the inviscid rarefaction wave

(3.9)
$$Q_1^R := -\mu \left(\frac{u_{\xi}^R}{v^R}\right)_{\xi}, \qquad Q_2^R := -\kappa \left(\frac{\theta_{\xi}^R}{v^R}\right)_{\xi} - \mu \frac{(u_{\xi}^R)^2}{v^R}$$

and the error terms Q_1^C, Q_2^C due to the viscous contact wave are given in (2.10). For any initial H^1 perturbation of the superposition of waves (3.4), there exists a global strong solution to (3.1) (see for instance [14]). We will use a standard argument of continuation process to show the global in time control of this perturbation. For that, we first recall local in time estimates for strong solutions to (1.1) (and so also for (3.1)). They can be found in [43] in the general setting.

Proposition 3.1. Let $\underline{v}, \underline{u}$ and $\underline{\theta}$ be smooth monotone functions such that

(3.10)
$$(\underline{v}(x), \underline{u}(x), \underline{\theta}(x)) = (v_{\pm}, u_{\pm}, \theta_{\pm}), \quad for \ \pm x \ge 1$$

For any constants $M_0, M_1, \underline{\kappa}_0, \overline{\kappa}_0, \underline{\kappa}_1, \overline{\kappa}_1$ with $M_1 > M_0 > 0$ and $\overline{\kappa}_1 > \overline{\kappa}_0 > \underline{\kappa}_0 > \underline{\kappa}_1 > 0$, there exists a constant $T_0 > 0$ such that if

$$\begin{aligned} \|(v_0 - \underline{v}, u_0 - \underline{u}, \theta_0 - \underline{\theta})\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})} &\leq M_0, \\ 0 &< \underline{\kappa}_0 \leq v_0(x), \theta_0(x) \leq \overline{\kappa}_0, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}, \end{aligned}$$

then (3.1) has a unique solution (v, u) on $[0, T_0]$ such that

$$v - \underline{v} \in C([0, T_0]; H^1(\mathbb{R})),$$

$$u - \underline{u}, \theta - \underline{\theta} \in C([0, T_0]; H^1(\mathbb{R})) \cap L^2(0, T_0; H^2(\mathbb{R})).$$

and

$$\|(v - \underline{v}, u - \underline{u}, \theta - \underline{\theta})\|_{L^{\infty}(0, T_0; H^1(\mathbb{R}))} \le M_1.$$

Moreover:

(3.11)
$$\underline{\kappa}_1 \le v(t, x), \theta(t, x) \le \overline{\kappa}_1, \qquad \forall (t, x) \in [0, T_0] \times \mathbb{R}.$$

3.2. Construction of shift. For the continuation argument, the main tool is the a priori estimates of Proposition 3.2. These estimates depend on the shift function, and for this reason, we are giving its definition right now. The definition depends on the weight function $a:\mathbb{R}\to\mathbb{R}$ defined in (4.17). For now, we will only use the fact that $||a||_{C^1(\mathbb{R})}\leq 2$. We then define the shift \mathbf{X} as a solution to the ODE: C

(3.12)
$$\begin{cases} \dot{\mathbf{X}}(t) = -\frac{M}{\delta_S} \Big[\int_{\mathbb{R}} a(\xi - \mathbf{X}) \Big[u_{\xi}^S(\xi - \mathbf{X})(u - \bar{u}) + \frac{R\theta_{\xi}^S(\xi - \mathbf{X})}{(\gamma - 1)\bar{\theta}}(\theta - \bar{\theta}) \\ + \frac{\bar{p}v_{\xi}^S(\xi - \mathbf{X})}{\bar{v}}(v - \bar{v}) \Big] d\xi, \\ \mathbf{X}(0) = 0, \end{cases}$$

where M is the specific constant chosen as $M := \frac{\gamma(\gamma+1)p^*}{2(v^*)^2(\sigma^*)^3} \left(1 + \frac{2\kappa(\gamma-1)^2}{\mu R\gamma}\right)$, which will be used in the proof of Lemma 4.4.

The following lemma ensures that (3.12) has a unique absolutely continuous solution defined on any interval in time [0, T] for which (3.11) is verified.

Lemma 3.1. For any $c_1, c_2 > 0$, there exists a constant C > 0 such that the following is true. For any T > 0, and any function $v \in L^{\infty}((0,T) \times \mathbb{R})$ verifying

(3.13)
$$c_1 \le v(t, x), \theta(t, x) \le c_2, \qquad \forall (t, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R},$$

the ODE (3.12) has a unique absolutely continuous solution \mathbf{X} on [0,T]. Moreover,

$$|\mathbf{X}(t)| \le Ct, \quad \forall t \le T.$$

Proof. We will use the following lemma as a simple adaptation of the well-known Cauchy-Lipschitz theorem.

Lemma 3.2. [4, Lemma A.1] Let p > 1 and T > 0. Suppose that a function $F : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfies

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |F(t,x)| \le f(t) \quad and \quad \sup_{x,y \in \mathbb{R}, x \ne y} \left| \frac{F(t,x) - F(t,y)}{x - y} \right| \le g(t) \quad for \ t \in [0,T]$$

for some functions $f \in L^1(0,T)$ and $g \in L^p(0,T)$. Then for any $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, there exists a unique absolutely continuous function $\mathbf{X} : [0,T] \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfying

(3.15)
$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{X}(t) = F(t, \mathbf{X}(t)) & \text{for a.e. } t \in [0, T], \\ \mathbf{X}(0) = x_0. \end{cases}$$

To apply the above lemma, let $F(t, \mathbf{X})$ denote the right-hand side of the ODE (3.12). Then the sufficient conditions of the above lemma are verified thanks to the facts that $||a||_{C^1(\mathbb{R})} \leq 2$, $||(v^S, u^S, \theta^S)||_{C^2(\mathbb{R})} \leq C$, and $||(v^S_{\xi}, u^S_{\xi}, \theta^S_{\xi})||_{L^1} \leq C\delta_S$. Indeed, using (3.13), we find that for some constant C > 0,

(3.16)
$$\sup_{\mathbf{X}\in\mathbb{R}}|F(t,\mathbf{X})| \leq \frac{C}{\delta_S} \| (v-\bar{v},u-\bar{u},\theta-\bar{\theta}) \|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(v_{\xi}^S,u_{\xi}^S,\theta_{\xi}^S)| d\xi \leq C,$$

and

$$\sup_{\mathbf{X}\in\mathbb{R}} |\partial_{\mathbf{X}}F(t,\mathbf{X})| \leq \frac{C}{\delta_S} ||a||_{C^1} ||(v-\bar{v},u-\bar{u},\theta-\bar{\theta})||_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(v_{\xi}^S,u_{\xi}^S,\theta_{\xi}^S)| d\xi$$
$$\leq C.$$

Especially, since $|\mathbf{X}(t)| \leq C$ by (3.16), we have (3.14).

3.3. A priori estimates. We now state the key step for the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Proposition 3.2. For a given point $(v_+, u_+, \theta_+) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_+$, there exist positive constants $C_0, \delta_0, \varepsilon_1$ such that the following holds.

Suppose that (v, u, θ) is the solution to (3.1) on [0, T] for some T > 0, and $(\bar{v}, \bar{u}, \bar{\theta})$ is the superposition wave defined in (3.4) with the shift **X** only performed in the viscous shock and being the absolutely continuous solution to (3.12) with weight function a defined in (4.17). Assume that both the rarefaction and shock waves strength satisfy $\delta_R, \delta_C, \delta_S < \delta_0$ and that

$$v - \bar{v} \in C([0, T]; H^1(\mathbb{R})),$$

 $(u - \bar{u}, \theta - \bar{\theta}) \in C([0, T]; H^1(\mathbb{R})) \cap L^2(0, T; H^2(\mathbb{R})),$

and

(3.17)
$$\|(v - \bar{v}, u - \bar{u}, \theta - \theta)\|_{L^{\infty}(0,T;H^1(\mathbb{R}))} \le \varepsilon_1$$

Then, for all $t \leq T$,

(3.18)

$$\sup_{t \in [0,T]} \left[\| (v - \bar{v}, u - \bar{u}, \theta - \bar{\theta}) \|_{H^{1}(\mathbb{R})} \right] + \sqrt{\delta_{S}} \int_{0}^{t} |\dot{\mathbf{X}}(\tau)|^{2} d\tau + \sqrt{\int_{0}^{t} (\mathcal{G}^{R}(U) + \mathcal{G}^{S}(U)) d\tau} + \sqrt{\int_{0}^{t} \| (v - \bar{v})_{x} \|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2} d\tau + \int_{0}^{t} \| (u - \bar{u}, \theta - \bar{\theta})_{x} \|_{H^{1}(\mathbb{R})}^{2} d\tau} \\ \leq C_{0} \| \left(v_{0} - \bar{v}(0, \cdot), u_{0} - \bar{u}(0, \cdot), \theta - \bar{\theta}(0, \cdot) \right) \|_{H^{1}(\mathbb{R})} + C_{0} \delta_{0}^{1/4},$$

where

(3.19)
$$\mathcal{G}^{R}(U) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} |v_{\xi}^{R}| |(v - \bar{v}, \theta - \bar{\theta})|^{2} d\xi,$$
$$\mathcal{G}^{S}(U) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(v^{S})_{\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}}| |(v - \bar{v}, u - \bar{u}, \theta - \bar{\theta})|^{2} d\xi.$$

In addition, by (3.12),

(3.20)
$$|\dot{\mathbf{X}}(t)| \le C_0 ||(v - \bar{v}, u - \bar{u}, \theta - \bar{\theta})(t, \cdot)||_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})}, \qquad t \le T$$

We postpone the proof of this key proposition to Sections 4 and 5. We are proving in the rest of this section how Proposition 3.2 implies Theorem 1.1.

3.4. Conclusion. Based on Propositions 3.1 and 3.2, we use the continuation argument to prove (1.21) for the global-in-time existence of perturbations. We can also use Proposition 3.2 to prove (1.22) for the long-time behavior. Those proofs are typical and use the same arguments as in the previous paper [24]. Therefore, we omit those details, and complete the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Hence, the remaining part of this paper is dedicated to the proof of Proposition 3.2. • Notations: In what follows, we use the following notations for notational simplicity. 1. C denotes a positive O(1)-constant which may change from line to line, but which is independent of the small constants $\delta_0, \varepsilon_1, \delta_S, \delta_R, \lambda$ (to appear in (4.17)) and the time T. 2. For any function $f : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ and any time-dependent shift $\mathbf{X}(t)$,

$$f^{\pm \mathbf{X}}(t,\xi) := f(t,\xi \pm \mathbf{X}(t)).$$

For simplicity, we also omit the arguments of the waves without confusion: for example,

$$\begin{split} v^R &:= v^R(t, \xi + \sigma t), \quad (v^R)^{\mathbf{X}} := v^R(t, \xi + \sigma t + \mathbf{X}(t)), \\ v^C &:= v^C(t, \xi + \sigma t), \quad (v^C)^{\mathbf{X}} := v^C(t, \xi + \sigma t + \mathbf{X}(t)), \\ \bar{v}^{\mathbf{X}} &:= v^R(t, \xi + \sigma t + \mathbf{X}(t)) + v^C(\frac{\xi + \sigma t + \mathbf{X}(t)}{\sqrt{1 + t}}) + v^S(\xi) - v_* - v^*. \end{split}$$

4. Relative entropy estimates

This section is dedicated to the proof of the following lemma.

Lemma 4.1. Under the hypotheses of Proposition 3.2, there exists C > 0 (independent of $\delta_0, \varepsilon_1, T$) such that for all $t \in [0, T]$,

$$\sup_{t \in [0,T]} \left[\| (v - \bar{v}, u - \bar{u}, \theta - \bar{\theta})(t, \cdot) \|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2} \right] + \delta_{S} \int_{0}^{t} |\dot{\mathbf{X}}(\tau)|^{2} d\tau
+ \int_{0}^{t} (\mathcal{G}^{R}(U) + \mathcal{G}^{S}(U)) d\tau + \int_{0}^{t} \| (u - \bar{u}, \theta - \bar{\theta})_{x} \|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2} d\tau
\leq C \| (v_{0} - \bar{v}(0, \cdot), u_{0} - \bar{u}(0, \cdot), \theta_{0} - \bar{\theta}(0, \cdot)) \|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2} + C \delta_{0} \int_{0}^{t} \| (v - \bar{v})_{x} \|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2} d\tau + C \delta_{0}^{1/2},$$

where the good terms $\mathcal{G}^{R}(U), \mathcal{G}^{S}(U)$ are as in (3.19).

4.1. Wave interaction estimates. We first present useful estimates for the wave interaction terms such as Q_i^I in (3.7). Notice that the a priori assumption (3.17) with the Sobolev embedding implies

(4.2)
$$\|(v - \bar{v}, u - \bar{u}, \theta - \bar{\theta})\|_{L^{\infty}((0,T) \times \mathbb{R})} \le C\varepsilon_1, \text{ and so } v, u, \theta \in L^{\infty}((0,T) \times \mathbb{R}).$$

Then, the ODE (3.12) together with Lemma 2.3 yields that

(4.3)
$$\begin{aligned} |\dot{\mathbf{X}}(t)| &\leq \frac{C}{\delta_S} \| (v - \bar{v}, u - \bar{u}, \theta - \bar{\theta})(t, \cdot) \|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (v^S)_{\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}} d\xi \\ &\leq C \| (v - \bar{v}, u - \bar{u}, \theta - \bar{\theta})(t, \cdot) \|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})}. \end{aligned}$$

This especially proves (3.20), and will be used to get the wave interaction estimates in Lemma 4.2.

Lemma 4.2. Let **X** be the shift defined by (3.12) and $Q_i^I(i = 1, 2)$ is defined in (3.7) and (3.8). Under the same hypotheses as in Proposition 3.2, the following holds: for i = 1, 2, and $\forall t \leq T$, \exists a constant C > 0 independent of T, δ_R, δ_S and δ_C such that

$$\|Q_i^I\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \le C\delta_S(\delta_R + \delta_C)e^{-C\delta_S t} + C\delta_R\delta_C e^{-Ct},$$

(4.4)
$$\| |(v^S)_{\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}}|| (v^R - v_*, \theta^R - \theta_*) |\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} + \| |(v^S)_{\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}}|| (v^C - v^*, \theta^C - \theta^*) |\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}$$

$$\leq C \delta_S^{3/2} (\delta_R + \delta_C) e^{-C\delta_S t}.$$

Proof. For brevity, we only estimate $||Q_1^I||_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}$, since the proof for $||Q_2^I||_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}$ is almost the same. Recall

$$Q_{1}^{I} := \left(\bar{p} - p^{R} - p^{C} - (p^{S})^{-\mathbf{X}}\right)_{\xi} - \mu \left(\frac{\bar{u}_{\xi}}{\bar{v}} - \frac{u_{\xi}^{R}}{v^{R}} - \frac{u_{\xi}^{C}}{v^{C}} - \frac{(u^{S})_{\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}}}{(v^{S})^{-\mathbf{X}}}\right)_{\xi}.$$

Since $\bar{p} = \frac{R\bar{\theta}}{\bar{v}}$, $\bar{\theta}_{\xi} = \theta_{\xi}^{R} + \theta_{\xi}^{C} + \theta_{\xi}^{S}$ and $\bar{v}_{\xi} = v_{\xi}^{R} + v_{\xi}^{C} + v_{\xi}^{S}$, the first term of Q_{1}^{I} can be written as $(\bar{p} - p^{R} - p^{C} - (p^{S})^{-\mathbf{X}}).$

$$= R\theta_{\xi}^{R}(\frac{1}{\bar{v}} - \frac{1}{v^{R}}) + R\theta_{\xi}^{C}(\frac{1}{\bar{v}} - \frac{1}{v^{C}}) + R(\theta^{S})_{\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}}(\frac{1}{\bar{v}} - \frac{1}{(v^{S})^{-\mathbf{X}}}) - v_{\xi}^{R}(\frac{\bar{p}}{\bar{v}} - \frac{p^{R}}{v^{R}}) - v_{\xi}^{C}(\frac{\bar{p}}{\bar{v}} - \frac{p^{C}}{v^{C}}) - (v^{S})_{\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}}(\frac{\bar{p}}{\bar{v}} - \frac{(p^{S})^{-\mathbf{X}}}{(v^{S})^{-\mathbf{X}}}).$$

Thus, we have

(4.5)
$$\left|\left(\bar{p}-p^R-p^C-(p^S)^{-\mathbf{X}}\right)_{\xi}\right| \le C(R_1+R_2+R_3),$$

where

$$R_{1} := |(v_{\xi}^{R}, \theta_{\xi}^{R})||(v^{C} - v_{*}, \theta^{C} - \theta_{*}, (v^{S})^{-\mathbf{X}} - v^{*}, (\theta^{S})^{-\mathbf{X}} - \theta^{*})|,$$

$$R_{2} := |(v_{\xi}^{C}, \theta_{\xi}^{C})||(v^{R} - v_{*}, \theta^{R} - \theta_{*}, (v^{S})^{-\mathbf{X}} - v^{*}, (\theta^{S})^{-\mathbf{X}} - \theta^{*})|,$$

$$R_{3} := |((v^{S})_{\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}}, (\theta^{S})_{\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}})||(v^{R} - v_{*}, \theta^{R} - \theta_{*}, v^{C} - v^{*}, \theta^{C} - \theta^{*})|.$$

First, for fixed $t \in [0,T]$ and $\lambda_{1*} := \lambda_1(v_*, \theta_*) < 0$, we have

$$||R_1||_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \le \left[\left(\int_{\left\{ \xi + \sigma t \le \frac{\lambda_{1*}}{2} (1+t) \right\}} + \int_{\left\{ \xi + \sigma t > \frac{\lambda_{1*}}{2} (1+t) \right\}} \right) |(v_{\xi}^R, \theta_{\xi}^R)|^2 \right]$$

$$\cdot \left| (v^{C} - v_{*}, \theta^{C} - \theta_{*}, (v^{S})^{-\mathbf{X}} - v^{*}, (\theta^{S})^{-\mathbf{X}} - \theta^{*}) \right|^{2} d\xi \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

=: $C\sqrt{R_{11} + R_{12}}$

By (4.2) and (4.3), it holds that

 $|\dot{\mathbf{X}}(t)| \le C\varepsilon_1, \qquad 0 \le t \le T,$

which together with $\mathbf{X}(0) = 0$ yields

 $|\mathbf{X}(t)| \le C\varepsilon_1 t, \qquad 0 \le t \le T.$

Let us take ε_1 so small such that the above bound is less than $\frac{\sigma t}{4}$, that is,

(4.7)
$$|\mathbf{X}(t)| \le C\varepsilon_1 t < \frac{\sigma t}{4}.$$

Since

$$\xi + \sigma t \le \frac{\lambda_{1*}}{2}(1+t) \quad \Rightarrow \quad \xi - \mathbf{X}(t) \le \frac{\lambda_{1*}}{2}(1+t) - \sigma t - \mathbf{X}(t) \le \frac{\lambda_{1*}}{2}(1+t) - \frac{3}{4}\sigma t < -\frac{3}{4}\sigma t < 0,$$

and so $|\xi - \mathbf{X}(t)| \ge \frac{3}{2}\sigma t$ it follows from Lemma 2.3 and Lemma 2.2 that for all ξ with

and so $|\xi - \mathbf{X}(t)| \ge \frac{3}{4}\sigma t$, it follows from Lemma 2.3 and Lemma 2.2 that for all ξ with $\xi + \sigma t \le \frac{\lambda_{1*}}{2}(1+t) < 0$,

(4.8)
$$\left| \left((v^S)^{-\mathbf{X}} - v^*, (\theta^S)^{-\mathbf{X}} - \theta^* \right) \right| \leq C\delta_S e^{-C\delta_S |\xi - \mathbf{X}(t)|} \leq C\delta_S e^{-\frac{C\delta_S |\xi - \mathbf{X}(t)|}{2}} e^{-C\delta_S t},$$

and

(4.9)
$$|(v^C - v_*, \theta^C - \theta_*)| + |(v^C_{\xi}, \theta^C_{\xi})| \le C\delta_C e^{-\frac{C|\xi + \sigma t|^2}{1+t}} \le C\delta_C e^{-\frac{C|\xi + \sigma t|^2}{2(1+t)}} e^{-Ct}.$$

Thus,

$$R_{11} \le C \left[(\delta_S)^2 e^{-2C\delta_S t} + (\delta_C)^2 e^{-2Ct} \right] \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| (v_{\xi}^R, \theta_{\xi}^R) \right|^2 d\xi,$$

which together with Lemma 2.1 (1) yields

$$R_{11} \le C \delta_R^2 \Big[(\delta_S)^2 e^{-2C\delta_S t} + (\delta_C)^2 e^{-2Ct} \Big].$$

On the other hand, since

$$\xi + \sigma t > \frac{\lambda_{1*}}{2}(1+t) \quad \Rightarrow \quad \xi + \sigma t - \lambda_{1*}(1+t) > -\frac{\lambda_{1*}}{2}(1+t) = \frac{|\lambda_{1*}|}{2}(1+t) > 0,$$

it follows from Lemma 2.1 (3) that for all ξ with $\xi + \sigma t > \frac{\lambda_{1*}}{2}(1+t)$,

(4.10)
$$|(v^R - v_*, \theta^R - \theta_*)| + |(v^R_{\xi}, \theta^R_{\xi})| \le C\delta_R e^{-2|\xi + \sigma t - \lambda_{1*}(1+t)|}.$$

In addition, for $\xi + \sigma t > \frac{\lambda_{1*}}{2}(1+t)$,

(4.11)
$$e^{-2|\xi+\sigma t-\lambda_{1*}(1+t)|} = e^{-2(\xi+\sigma t-\frac{\lambda_{1*}}{2}(1+t))}e^{\lambda_{1*}(1+t)} = e^{-2|\xi+\sigma t-\frac{\lambda_{1*}}{2}(1+t)|}e^{-|\lambda_{1*}|(1+t)}.$$

This together with Lemma 2.3 and Lemma 2.2 implies

$$R_{12} \leq C \delta_R^2 (\delta_S^2 + \delta_C^2) e^{-|\lambda_{1*}|(1+t)} \int_{\left\{\xi + \sigma t > \frac{\lambda_{1*}}{2}(1+t)\right\}} e^{-2|\xi + \sigma t - \frac{\lambda_{1*}}{2}(1+t)|} d\xi$$
$$\leq C \delta_R^2 (\delta_S^2 + \delta_C^2) e^{-Ct}$$

Thus,

$$\|R_1\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \le C\delta_R \delta_S e^{-C\delta_S t} + C\delta_R (\delta_S + \delta_C) e^{-Ct}.$$

To estimate $||R_2||_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}$, consider (4.12)

$$\begin{aligned} \|R_2\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} &\leq C \bigg[\bigg(\int_{\left\{ \xi + \sigma t \leq \frac{\lambda_{1*}}{2} (1+t) \right\}} + \int_{\left\{ \xi + \sigma t > \frac{\lambda_{1*}}{2} (1+t) \right\}} \bigg) |(v_{\xi}^C, \theta_{\xi}^C)|^2 |(v^R - v_*, \theta^R - \theta_*)|^2 d\xi \\ &+ \bigg(\int_{\left\{ \xi \leq -\frac{\sigma}{2} t \right\}} + \int_{\left\{ \xi > -\frac{\sigma}{2} t \right\}} \bigg) |(v_{\xi}^C, \theta_{\xi}^C)|^2 |((v^S)^{-\mathbf{X}} - v^*, (\theta^S)^{-\mathbf{X}} - \theta^*)|^2 d\xi \bigg]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &=: C \sqrt{R_{21} + R_{22} + R_{23} + R_{24}}. \end{aligned}$$

First, using (4.9), we have

$$R_{21} \le C\delta_R^2 \delta_C^2 e^{-Ct} \int_{\left\{\xi + \sigma t \le \frac{\lambda_{1*}}{2}(1+t)\right\}} e^{-\frac{C|\xi + \sigma t|^2}{2(1+t)}} d\xi \le C\delta_R^2 \delta_C^2 e^{-Ct} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-C|\xi + \sigma t|} d\xi \le C\delta_R^2 \delta_C^2 e^{-Ct}.$$

Using the same estimates on R_{12} with (4.10),

$$R_{22} \le C \delta_R^2 \delta_C^2 e^{-Ct}.$$

For R_{23} , since

$$\begin{aligned} \forall \xi \leq -\frac{\sigma t}{2} < 0, \quad \xi - \mathbf{X}(t) \leq -\frac{\sigma t}{2} + C\varepsilon_1 t < -\frac{\sigma t}{4} < 0 \quad \text{and then} \\ |\xi - \mathbf{X}(t)| \geq \frac{\sigma t}{4}, \end{aligned}$$

it holds from Lemma 2.3 that $\forall \xi \leq -\frac{\sigma t}{2}$,

$$\left| \left((v^S)^{-\mathbf{X}} - v^*, (\theta^S)^{-\mathbf{X}} - \theta^* \right) \right| \leq C \delta_S e^{-C\delta_S |\xi - \mathbf{X}(t)|} \\ \leq C \delta_S e^{-\frac{C\delta_S |\xi - \mathbf{X}(t)|}{2}} e^{-C\delta_S t},$$

$$(4.13)$$

$$|((v^S)_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}^{-\mathbf{X}}, (\theta^S)_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}^{-\mathbf{X}})| \le C\delta_S^2 e^{-C\delta_S|\boldsymbol{\xi}-\mathbf{X}(t)|}$$
$$\le C\delta_S^2 e^{-\frac{C\delta_S|\boldsymbol{\xi}-\mathbf{X}(t)|}{2}} e^{-C\delta_S t}.$$

This and Lemma 2.2 imply

$$R_{23} \le C\delta_S^2 e^{-C\delta_S t} \left\| (v_{\xi}^C, \theta_{\xi}^C) \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 \le C\delta_S^2 \delta_C^2 e^{-C\delta_S t}.$$

On the other hand, since

$$\forall \xi > -\frac{\sigma t}{2}, \quad \xi + \sigma t > \frac{\sigma t}{2} > 0,$$

it holds from Lemma 2.2 that $\forall \xi > -\frac{\sigma t}{2}$,

(4.14)
$$|(v^C - v_*, \theta^C - \theta_*)| + |(v^C_{\xi}, \theta^C_{\xi})| = O(1)\delta_C e^{-\frac{C|\xi + \sigma t|^2}{1+t}} = O(1)\delta_C e^{-\frac{C|\xi + \sigma t|^2}{2(1+t)}}e^{-Ct}.$$

Then,

$$R_{24} \le C\delta_S^2 \delta_C^2 e^{-Ct} \int_{\left\{\xi + \sigma t > \frac{\sigma t}{2}\right\}} e^{-\frac{C|\xi + \sigma t|^2}{2(1+t)}} d\xi \le C\delta_S^2 \delta_C^2 e^{-Ct}.$$

Thus,

$$R_2 \le C\delta_C\delta_S e^{-C\delta_S t} + C\delta_C\delta_R e^{-Ct}.$$

As in R_{23}, R_{24} , decompose R_3 as

(4.15)
$$\|R_3\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} = \left[\left(\int_{\left\{ \xi \le -\frac{\sigma}{2}t \right\}} + \int_{\left\{ \xi > -\frac{\sigma}{2}t \right\}} \right) \left| ((v^S)_{\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}}, (\theta^S)_{\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}}) \right|^2 \\ \cdot \left| (v^R - v_*, \theta^R - \theta_*, v^C - v^*, \theta^C - \theta^*) \right|^2 d\xi \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$=: C\sqrt{R_{31}+R_{32}}.$$

Using (4.13),

$$R_{31} \le C\left(\delta_R^2 + \delta_C^2\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\delta_S)^4 e^{-C\delta_S |\xi - \mathbf{X}(t)|} e^{-C\delta_S t} d\xi \le C\delta_S^3\left(\delta_R^2 + \delta_C^2\right) e^{-C\delta_S t}.$$

Since

$$\forall \xi > -\frac{\sigma t}{2}, \quad \xi + \sigma t > \frac{\sigma t}{2} > 0 > \frac{\lambda_{1*}}{2}(1+t),$$

using (4.10) with (4.11), and (4.14), we have

$$R_{32} \le C\delta_{S}^{2} \left(\delta_{R}^{2} + \delta_{C}^{2}\right) e^{-Ct} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| \left((v^{S})_{\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}}, (\theta^{S})_{\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}} \right) \right| d\xi \le C\delta_{S}^{3} \left(\delta_{R}^{2} + \delta_{C}^{2}\right) e^{-Ct}.$$

Thus,

$$R_3 \le C \delta_S^{3/2} (\delta_R + \delta_C) e^{-C \delta_S t},$$

which gives $(4.4)_2$. Combining the above estimates, we have

(4.16)
$$\left\| \left(\bar{p} - p^R - p^C - (p^S)^{-\mathbf{X}} \right)_{\xi} \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \le C \delta_S(\delta_R + \delta_C) e^{-C\delta_S t} + C \delta_R \delta_C e^{-Ct}.$$

Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \mu \left(\frac{\bar{u}_{\xi}}{\bar{v}} - \frac{u_{\xi}^{R}}{v^{R}} - \frac{u_{\xi}^{C}}{v^{C}} - \frac{(u^{S})_{\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}}}{(v^{S})^{-\mathbf{X}}} \right)_{\xi} \right| \\ &\leq C \Big[|(u_{\xi\xi}^{R}, u_{\xi}^{R} v_{\xi}^{R})||(v^{C} - v_{*}, (v^{S})^{-\mathbf{X}} - v^{*})| + |(u_{\xi\xi}^{C}, u_{\xi}^{C} v_{\xi}^{C})| \\ &\quad \cdot |(v^{R} - v_{*}, (v^{S})^{-\mathbf{X}} - v^{*})| + |(u^{S})_{\xi\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}}, (u^{S})_{\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}} (v^{S})_{\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}})||(v^{R} - v_{*}, v^{C} - v^{*})| \\ &\quad + |u_{\xi}^{R}||(v_{\xi}^{C}, (v^{S})_{\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}})| + |u_{\xi}^{C}||(v_{\xi}^{R}, (v^{S})_{\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}})| + |(u^{S})_{\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}}||(v_{\xi}^{R}, v_{\xi}^{C})|\Big], \end{aligned}$$

which can be bounded by the same bound as in (4.16), by using the same techniques as above. Therefore, the wave interactions estimate $(4.4)_1$ holds true.

4.2. Construction of weight function. We define the weight function a by

(4.17)
$$a(\xi) := 1 + \frac{\lambda}{\delta_S} (v^S(\xi) - v^*),$$

where the constant λ is chosen to be so small but far bigger than $\delta_S := v_+ - v^*$ such that

(4.18)
$$\delta_S \ll \lambda \le C\sqrt{\delta_S}$$

Notice that

(4.19)
$$1 < a(\xi) < 1 + \lambda$$

and

(4.20)
$$a'(\xi) = \frac{\lambda}{\delta_S} v_{\xi}^S > 0,$$

and so,

(4.21)
$$|a'| \sim \frac{\lambda}{\delta_S} |u_{\xi}^S| \sim \frac{\lambda}{\delta_S} |\theta_{\xi}^S|.$$

4.3. Relative entropy method. For simplicity of computations on the evolution of the relative entropy, we will use the non-conserved quantities $U = (v, u, \theta)^t$ and $\overline{U} = (\overline{v}, \overline{u}, \overline{\theta})^t$, where $U = (v, u, \theta)^t$ is the solution to the system (3.1), and $\overline{U} = (\overline{v}, \overline{u}, \overline{\theta})^t$ the superposition of 1-rarefaction wave, 2-viscous contact wave and 3-viscous shock wave shifted by **X**. Then, by (3.4),

(4.22)

$$\bar{U}(t,\xi) = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{v}(t,\xi) \\ \bar{u}(t,\xi) \\ \bar{\theta}(t,\xi) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} v^R(t,\xi+\sigma t) + v^C(\xi+\sigma t) + v^S(\xi-\mathbf{X}(t)) - v_* - v^* \\ u^R(t,\xi+\sigma t) + u^C(t,\xi+\sigma t) + u^S(\xi-\mathbf{X}(t)) - u_* - u^* \\ \theta^R(t,\xi+\sigma t) + \theta^C(\xi+\sigma t) + \theta^S(\xi-\mathbf{X}(t)) - \theta_* - \theta^* \end{pmatrix}.$$

First of all, it follows from Appendix A that for the (mathematical) entropy $\eta := -s$, the relative entropy of U and \overline{U} is given by

$$\eta(U|\bar{U}) = R\left(\frac{v}{\bar{v}} - 1 - \log\frac{v}{\bar{v}}\right) + \frac{R}{\gamma - 1}\left(\frac{\theta}{\bar{\theta}} - 1 - \log\frac{\theta}{\bar{\theta}}\right) + \frac{(u - \bar{u})^2}{2\bar{\theta}}.$$

Then, using the convex function $\Phi(z) := z - 1 - \ln z$, we have

$$\eta\left(U|\bar{U}\right) = R\Phi\left(\frac{v}{\bar{v}}\right) + \frac{R}{\gamma - 1}\Phi\left(\frac{\theta}{\bar{\theta}}\right) + \frac{(u - \bar{u})^2}{2\bar{\theta}}$$

So, the relative entropy weighted by $\bar{\theta}$ is given by

(4.23)
$$\bar{\theta}\eta(U|\bar{U}) = R\bar{\theta}\Phi\left(\frac{v}{\bar{v}}\right) + \frac{R\bar{\theta}}{\gamma - 1}\Phi\left(\frac{\theta}{\bar{\theta}}\right) + \frac{(u - \bar{u})^2}{2}.$$

Below, we will compute the evolution of the relative entropy of U and \overline{U} weighted by $a(\xi - \mathbf{X})\overline{\theta}(t,\xi)$:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} a^{-\mathbf{X}}(\xi)\bar{\theta}(t,\xi)\eta\big(U(t,\xi)|\bar{U}(t,\xi)\big)d\xi.$$

Lemma 4.3. Let a be the weight function defined by (4.17). Let U be a solution to (3.1), and \overline{U} the shifted wave given by (4.22). Then,

(4.24)
$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}} a^{-\mathbf{X}}(\xi) \bar{\theta}(t,\xi) \eta \big(U(t,\xi) | \bar{U}(t,\xi) \big) d\xi = \dot{\mathbf{X}}(t) \mathbf{Y}(U) + \mathcal{J}^{bad}(U) - \mathcal{J}^{good}(U),$$

where

(4.26)

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{J}^{bad}(U) &:= \int_{\mathbb{R}} a_{\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}} (u - \bar{u})(p - \bar{p}) d\xi \\ &+ \int_{\mathbb{R}} a^{-\mathbf{X}} \Big[R\Big((\theta_{t}^{R} - \sigma \theta_{\xi}^{R}) + (\theta_{t}^{C} - \sigma \theta_{\xi}^{C}) - \sigma (\theta^{S})_{\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}} \Big) \Phi(\frac{v}{\bar{v}}) - \frac{\bar{p}\bar{u}_{\xi}}{v\bar{v}} (v - \bar{v})^{2} \Big] d\xi \\ &+ \int_{\mathbb{R}} a^{-\mathbf{X}} \Big[\frac{R}{\gamma - 1} \Big((\theta_{t}^{R} - \sigma \theta_{\xi}^{R}) + (\theta_{t}^{C} - \sigma \theta_{\xi}^{C}) - \sigma (\theta^{S})_{\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}} \Big) \Phi\left(\frac{\theta}{\bar{\theta}}\right) - \frac{\bar{u}_{\xi}}{\theta} (\theta - \bar{\theta})(p - \bar{p}) + \bar{p}\bar{u}_{\xi} \frac{(\theta - \bar{\theta})^{2}}{\theta\bar{\theta}} \Big] d\xi \\ &+ \int_{\mathbb{R}} a^{-\mathbf{X}} \Big[\mu (u - \bar{u}) \Big(\frac{u_{\xi}}{v} - \frac{\bar{u}_{\xi}}{\bar{v}} \Big) + \kappa \frac{\theta - \bar{\theta}}{\theta} \Big(\frac{\theta_{\xi}}{v} - \frac{\bar{\theta}_{\xi}}{\bar{v}} \Big) \Big]_{\xi} \\ &+ \int_{\mathbb{R}} a^{-\mathbf{X}} \Big[- \mu \bar{u}_{\xi} \Big(\frac{1}{v} - \frac{1}{\bar{v}} \Big) (u - \bar{u})_{\xi} + \kappa \frac{\theta - \bar{\theta}}{\theta^{2}} \theta_{\xi} \Big(\frac{\theta_{\xi}}{v} - \frac{\bar{\theta}_{\xi}}{\bar{v}} \Big) - \kappa \frac{(\theta - \bar{\theta})_{\xi}}{\theta} \bar{\theta}_{\xi} \Big(\frac{1}{v} - \frac{1}{\bar{v}} \Big) \\ &+ \mu \frac{\theta - \bar{\theta}}{\theta} \Big(\frac{u_{\xi}^{2}}{v} - \frac{\bar{u}_{\xi}^{2}}{\bar{v}} \Big) - Q_{1}(u - \bar{u}) - Q_{2}(1 - \frac{\bar{\theta}}{\theta}) \Big] d\xi, \end{split}$$

and

(4.27)
$$\mathcal{J}^{good}(U) := \sigma \int_{\mathbb{R}} a_{\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}} \bar{\theta} \eta(U|\bar{U}) d\xi + \int_{\mathbb{R}} a^{-\mathbf{X}} \Big[\frac{\mu}{v} |(u-\bar{u})_{\xi}|^2 + \frac{\kappa}{v\theta} |(\theta-\bar{\theta})_{\xi}|^2 \Big] d\xi.$$

Remark 4.1. Since $\sigma a'(\xi) > 0$, \mathcal{J}^{good} consists of nonnegative terms.

Proof. First, we use (4.23) to have

(4.28)
$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}} a^{-\mathbf{X}}(\xi)\bar{\theta}(t,\xi)\eta\left(U(t,\xi)|\bar{U}(t,\xi)\right)d\xi \\ = -\dot{\mathbf{X}}(t) \int_{\mathbb{R}} a_{\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}}\bar{\theta}\eta(U|\bar{U})d\xi + \int_{\mathbb{R}} a^{-\mathbf{X}}\partial_t \left[R\bar{\theta}\Phi\left(\frac{v}{\bar{v}}\right) + \frac{R\bar{\theta}}{\gamma - 1}\Phi\left(\frac{\theta}{\bar{\theta}}\right) + \frac{(u - \bar{u})^2}{2}\right]d\xi$$

To compute the second term above, we first use the two systems (3.1) and (3.5) (satisfied by \overline{U}) to find

(4.29)
$$\begin{cases} (v-\bar{v})_t - \sigma(v-\bar{v})_{\xi} - \dot{\mathbf{X}}(t)(v^S)_{\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}} - (u-\bar{u})_{\xi} = 0, \\ (u-\bar{u})_t - \sigma(u-\bar{u})_{\xi} - \dot{\mathbf{X}}(t)(u^S)_{\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}} + (p-\bar{p})_{\xi} = \mu \left(\frac{u_{\xi}}{v} - \frac{\bar{u}_{\xi}}{\bar{v}}\right)_{\xi} - Q_1, \\ \frac{R}{\gamma-1}(\theta-\bar{\theta})_t - \frac{R\sigma}{\gamma-1}(\theta-\bar{\theta})_{\xi} - \frac{R}{\gamma-1}\dot{\mathbf{X}}(t)(\theta^S)_{\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}} + (pu_{\xi}-\bar{p}\bar{u}_{\xi}) \\ = \kappa \left(\frac{\theta_{\xi}}{v} - \frac{\bar{\theta}_{\xi}}{\bar{v}}\right)_{\xi} + \mu \left(\frac{u_{\xi}^2}{v} - \frac{\bar{u}_{\xi}^2}{\bar{v}}\right) - Q_2. \end{cases}$$

Using $\Phi'(z) = 1 - 1/z$ and $(4.29)_1$ and $(3.5)_1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \left[\bar{\theta} \Phi \left(\frac{v}{\bar{v}} \right) \right] &= \bar{\theta}_t \Phi \left(\frac{v}{\bar{v}} \right) + \bar{\theta} \Phi' \left(\frac{v}{\bar{v}} \right) \left(\frac{v}{\bar{v}} \right)_t \\ &= \bar{\theta}_t \Phi \left(\frac{v}{\bar{v}} \right) + \bar{\theta} \left(\frac{1}{\bar{v}} - \frac{1}{v} \right) \left[(v - \bar{v})_t + \bar{v}_t \left(1 - \frac{v}{\bar{v}} \right) \right] \\ &= \bar{\theta}_t \Phi \left(\frac{v}{\bar{v}} \right) + \bar{\theta} \left(\frac{1}{\bar{v}} - \frac{1}{v} \right) \left[\sigma(v - \bar{v})_{\xi} + \dot{\mathbf{X}}(t)(v^S)_{\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}} + (u - \bar{u})_{\xi} \right] \\ &+ \bar{\theta} \left(\frac{1}{\bar{v}} - \frac{1}{v} \right) \left(1 - \frac{v}{\bar{v}} \right) \left[\sigma \bar{v}_{\xi} - \dot{\mathbf{X}}(t)(v^S)_{\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}} + \bar{u}_{\xi} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

In addition, since

$$\partial_{\xi} \left[\sigma \bar{\theta} \Phi \left(\frac{v}{\bar{v}} \right) \right] = \sigma \bar{\theta}_{\xi} \Phi \left(\frac{v}{\bar{v}} \right) + \sigma \bar{\theta} \left(\frac{1}{\bar{v}} - \frac{1}{v} \right) \left[(v - \bar{v})_{\xi} + \bar{v}_{\xi} \left(1 - \frac{v}{\bar{v}} \right) \right],$$

we have

(4.30)
$$\partial_t \left[R\bar{\theta}\Phi\left(\frac{v}{\bar{v}}\right) \right] - \partial_{\xi} \left[R\sigma\bar{\theta}\Phi\left(\frac{v}{\bar{v}}\right) \right] \\= R(\bar{\theta}_t - \sigma\bar{\theta}_{\xi})\Phi\left(\frac{v}{\bar{v}}\right) + \dot{\mathbf{X}}(t)(v^S)_{\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}}\frac{\bar{p}}{\bar{v}}(v-\bar{v}) \\- \frac{\bar{p}\bar{u}_{\xi}}{v\bar{v}}(v-\bar{v})^2 + R\bar{\theta}\left(\frac{1}{\bar{v}} - \frac{1}{v}\right)(u-\bar{u})_{\xi}.$$

Likewise, using $(4.29)_3$ and $(3.5)_3$, we have

$$(4.31) \qquad \begin{aligned} \partial_t \left[\frac{R\bar{\theta}}{\gamma - 1} \Phi\left(\frac{\theta}{\bar{\theta}}\right) \right] &- \partial_{\xi} \left[\frac{R\sigma\bar{\theta}}{\gamma - 1} \Phi\left(\frac{\theta}{\bar{\theta}}\right) \right] \\ &= \frac{R}{\gamma - 1} (\bar{\theta}_t - \sigma\bar{\theta}_{\xi}) \Phi\left(\frac{\theta}{\bar{\theta}}\right) + \dot{\mathbf{X}}(t) \frac{R}{\gamma - 1} \frac{(\theta^S)_{\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}}}{\bar{\theta}} (\theta - \bar{\theta}) \\ &+ \frac{R}{v} (\bar{\theta} - \theta) (u - \bar{u})_{\xi} - \frac{\bar{u}_{\xi}}{\theta} (\theta - \bar{\theta}) (p - \bar{p}) + \bar{p}\bar{u}_{\xi} \frac{(\theta - \bar{\theta})^2}{\theta\bar{\theta}} \\ &+ \left[\kappa \frac{\theta - \bar{\theta}}{\theta} \left(\frac{\theta_{\xi}}{v} - \frac{\bar{\theta}_{\xi}}{\bar{v}} \right) \right]_{\xi} - \frac{\kappa}{v\theta} |(\theta - \bar{\theta})_{\xi}|^2 + \kappa \frac{\theta - \bar{\theta}}{\theta^2} \theta_{\xi} (\frac{\theta_{\xi}}{v} - \frac{\bar{\theta}_{\xi}}{\bar{v}}) \\ &- \kappa \frac{(\theta - \bar{\theta})_{\xi}}{\theta} \bar{\theta}_{\xi} (\frac{1}{v} - \frac{1}{\bar{v}}) + \mu \frac{\theta - \bar{\theta}}{\theta} (\frac{u_{\xi}^2}{v} - \frac{\bar{u}_{\xi}^2}{\bar{v}}) - Q_2 (1 - \frac{\bar{\theta}}{\theta}). \end{aligned}$$

Using $(4.29)_2$, we find

$$\partial_t \left[\frac{(u-\bar{u})^2}{2} \right] - \partial_{\xi} \left[\sigma \frac{(u-\bar{u})^2}{2} \right]$$

$$(4.32) = \dot{\mathbf{X}}(t)(u^S)_{\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}}(u-\bar{u}) - \left[(p-\bar{p})(u-\bar{u}) \right]_{\xi} + (p-\bar{p})(u-\bar{u})_{\xi}$$

$$+ \left[\mu \left(\frac{u_{\xi}}{v} - \frac{\bar{u}_{\xi}}{\bar{v}} \right) (u-\bar{u}) \right]_{\xi} - \frac{\mu}{v} |(u-\bar{u})_{\xi}|^2 - \mu (u-\bar{u})_{\xi} \bar{u}_{\xi} \left(\frac{1}{v} - \frac{1}{\bar{v}} \right) - Q_1 (u-\bar{u})$$

Therefore, combining (4.30), (4.31) and (4.32), we have

$$\begin{split} \partial_t \left[R\bar{\theta}\Phi\left(\frac{v}{\bar{v}}\right) + \frac{R\bar{\theta}}{\gamma - 1}\Phi\left(\frac{\theta}{\bar{\theta}}\right) + \frac{(u - \bar{u})^2}{2} \right] \\ &= \sigma\partial_{\xi} \left[\bar{\theta}\eta(U|\bar{U}) \right] + (\bar{\theta}_t - \sigma\bar{\theta}_{\xi}) \left[R\Phi\left(\frac{v}{\bar{v}}\right) + \frac{R}{\gamma - 1}\Phi\left(\frac{\theta}{\bar{\theta}}\right) \right] \\ &+ \dot{\mathbf{X}}(t) \left[\frac{(v^S)_{\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}}\bar{p}}{\bar{v}}(v - \bar{v}) + \frac{R}{\gamma - 1}\frac{(\theta^S)_{\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}}}{\bar{\theta}}(\theta - \bar{\theta}) + (u^S)_{\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}}(u - \bar{u}) \right] \\ &- \frac{\bar{p}\bar{u}_{\xi}}{v\bar{v}}(v - \bar{v})^2 - \frac{\bar{u}_{\xi}}{\theta}(\theta - \bar{\theta})(p - \bar{p}) + \partial_{\xi} \left[\mu(u - \bar{u})\left(\frac{u_{\xi}}{v} - \frac{\bar{u}_{\xi}}{\bar{v}}\right) + \kappa\frac{\theta - \bar{\theta}}{\theta}\left(\frac{\theta_{\xi}}{v} - \frac{\bar{\theta}_{\xi}}{\bar{v}}\right) \right] \\ &- \mu(u - \bar{u})_{\xi}\bar{u}_{\xi}\left(\frac{1}{v} - \frac{1}{\bar{v}}\right) + \kappa\frac{\theta - \bar{\theta}}{\theta^2}\theta_{\xi}(\frac{\theta_{\xi}}{v} - \frac{\bar{\theta}_{\xi}}{\bar{v}}) - \kappa\frac{(\theta - \bar{\theta})_{\xi}}{\theta}\bar{\theta}_{\xi}(\frac{1}{v} - \frac{1}{\bar{v}}) \\ &+ \mu\frac{\theta - \bar{\theta}}{\theta}(\frac{u_{\xi}^2}{v} - \frac{\bar{u}_{\xi}^2}{\bar{v}}) - Q_1(u - \bar{u}) - Q_2(1 - \frac{\bar{\theta}}{\theta}) - \frac{\mu}{v}|(u - \bar{u})_{\xi}|^2 - \frac{\kappa}{v\theta}|(\theta - \bar{\theta})_{\xi}|^2 \end{split}$$

In addition, since

$$\bar{\theta}_t - \sigma \bar{\theta}_{\xi} = (\theta_t^R - \sigma \theta_{\xi}^R) + (\theta_t^C - \sigma \theta_{\xi}^C) - \sigma (\theta^S)_{\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}} - \dot{\mathbf{X}}(t)(\theta^S)_{\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}},$$

we substitute the above relations into (4.28) to get the desired representation.

4.4. **Decompositions.** First of all, we will decompose the second and third terms of \mathcal{J}^{bad} into the main term \mathbf{B}_1 of leading order, and some lower order terms, together with an additional good term \mathcal{G}^R as below:

$$\mathbf{B}_{1}(U) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} a^{-\mathbf{X}} (v^{S})_{\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}} \Big[\frac{(\gamma+1)p^{*}\sigma^{*}}{2(v^{*})^{2}} (v-\bar{v})^{2} + \frac{R\sigma^{*}}{2v^{*}\theta^{*}} (\theta-\bar{\theta})^{2} - \frac{p^{*}\sigma^{*}}{v^{*}\theta^{*}} (v-\bar{v})(\theta-\bar{\theta}) \Big] d\xi,$$
$$\mathcal{G}^{R}(U) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} |v_{\xi}^{R}| |(v-\bar{v}, \theta-\bar{\theta})|^{2} d\xi,$$

where the constant p^* and σ^* are as in (2.20), that is,

$$p^* := p(v^*, \theta^*) = \frac{R\theta^*}{v^*}$$
 and $\sigma^* := \sqrt{\frac{\gamma p^*}{v^*}} = \frac{\sqrt{\gamma R\theta^*}}{v^*}$

For that, we first handle the second term of \mathcal{J}^{bad} :

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} a^{-\mathbf{X}} \underbrace{\left[R\left((\theta_t^R - \sigma \theta_{\xi}^R) + (\theta_t^C - \sigma \theta_{\xi}^C) - \sigma (\theta^S)_{\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}} \right) \Phi(\frac{v}{\bar{v}}) - \frac{\bar{p}\bar{u}_{\xi}}{v\bar{v}}(v-\bar{v})^2 \right]}_{=:J_2} d\xi.$$

Since $\bar{u}_{\xi} = u_{\xi}^R + u_{\xi}^C + u_{\xi}^S$ and it holds from $(3.2)_3$ that

$$\theta_t^R - \sigma \theta_\xi^R = -\frac{\gamma - 1}{R} p^R u_\xi^R,$$

we have

$$J_{2} = \underbrace{-u_{\xi}^{R} \Big[(\gamma - 1) p^{R} \Phi(\frac{v}{\bar{v}}) + \frac{\bar{p}(v - \bar{v})^{2}}{v\bar{v}} \Big]}_{=:J_{21}} + \underbrace{R(\theta_{t}^{C} - \sigma \theta_{\xi}^{C}) \Phi(\frac{v}{\bar{v}}) - \frac{\bar{p}u_{\xi}^{C}}{v\bar{v}}(v - \bar{v})^{2}}_{=:J_{22}} + \underbrace{R(\theta_{t}^{C} - \sigma \theta_{\xi}^{C}) \Phi(\frac{v}{\bar{v}}) - \frac{\bar{p}u_{\xi}^{C}}{v\bar{v}}(v - \bar{v})^{2}}_{=:J_{23}} + \underbrace{R(\theta_{t}^{C} - \sigma \theta_{\xi}^{C}) \Phi(\frac{v}{\bar{v}}) - \frac{\bar{p}u_{\xi}^{C}}{v\bar{v}}(v - \bar{v})^{2}}_{=:J_{23}} + \underbrace{R(\theta_{t}^{C} - \sigma \theta_{\xi}^{C}) \Phi(\frac{v}{\bar{v}}) - \frac{\bar{p}u_{\xi}^{C}}{v\bar{v}}(v - \bar{v})^{2}}_{=:J_{23}} + \underbrace{R(\theta_{t}^{C} - \sigma \theta_{\xi}^{C}) \Phi(\frac{v}{\bar{v}}) - \frac{\bar{p}u_{\xi}^{C}}{v\bar{v}}(v - \bar{v})^{2}}_{=:J_{23}} + \underbrace{R(\theta_{t}^{C} - \sigma \theta_{\xi}^{C}) \Phi(\frac{v}{\bar{v}}) - \frac{\bar{p}u_{\xi}^{C}}{v\bar{v}}(v - \bar{v})^{2}}_{=:J_{23}} + \underbrace{R(\theta_{t}^{C} - \sigma \theta_{\xi}^{C}) \Phi(\frac{v}{\bar{v}}) - \frac{\bar{p}u_{\xi}^{C}}{v\bar{v}}(v - \bar{v})^{2}}_{=:J_{23}} + \underbrace{R(\theta_{t}^{C} - \sigma \theta_{\xi}^{C}) \Phi(\frac{v}{\bar{v}}) - \frac{\bar{p}u_{\xi}^{C}}{v\bar{v}}(v - \bar{v})^{2}}_{=:J_{23}} + \underbrace{R(\theta_{t}^{C} - \sigma \theta_{\xi}^{C}) \Phi(\frac{v}{\bar{v}}) - \frac{\bar{p}u_{\xi}^{C}}{v\bar{v}}(v - \bar{v})^{2}}_{=:J_{23}} + \underbrace{R(\theta_{t}^{C} - \sigma \theta_{\xi}^{C}) \Phi(\frac{v}{\bar{v}}) - \frac{\bar{p}u_{\xi}^{C}}{v\bar{v}}(v - \bar{v})^{2}}_{=:J_{23}} + \underbrace{R(\theta_{t}^{C} - \sigma \theta_{\xi}^{C}) \Phi(\frac{v}{\bar{v}}) - \frac{\bar{p}u_{\xi}^{C}}{v\bar{v}}(v - \bar{v})^{2}}_{=:J_{23}} + \underbrace{R(\theta_{t}^{C} - \sigma \theta_{\xi}^{C}) \Phi(\frac{v}{\bar{v}}) - \frac{\bar{p}u_{\xi}^{C}}{v\bar{v}}(v - \bar{v})^{2}}_{=:J_{23}} + \underbrace{R(\theta_{t}^{C} - \sigma \theta_{\xi}^{C}) \Phi(\frac{v}{\bar{v}}) - \frac{\bar{p}u_{\xi}^{C}}{v\bar{v}}(v - \bar{v})^{2}}_{=:J_{23}} + \underbrace{R(\theta_{t}^{C} - \sigma \theta_{\xi}^{C}) \Phi(\frac{v}{\bar{v}}) - \frac{\bar{p}u_{\xi}^{C}}{v\bar{v}}(v - \bar{v})^{2}}_{=:J_{23}} + \underbrace{R(\theta_{t}^{C} - \sigma \theta_{\xi}^{C}) \Phi(\frac{v}{\bar{v}}) - \frac{\bar{p}u_{\xi}^{C}}{v\bar{v}}(v - \bar{v})^{2}}_{=:J_{23}} + \underbrace{R(\theta_{t}^{C} - \sigma \theta_{\xi}^{C}) \Phi(\frac{v}{\bar{v}}) - \frac{\bar{p}u_{\xi}^{C}}{v\bar{v}}(v - \bar{v})^{2}}_{=:J_{23}} + \underbrace{R(\theta_{t}^{C} - \sigma \theta_{\xi}^{C}) \Phi(\frac{v}{\bar{v}}) - \underbrace{R(\theta_{t}^{C} - \sigma \theta_{\xi}^{C}) \Phi(\frac$$

Using the fact $|v - \bar{v}| \le C\varepsilon_1$, and by $\Phi(1) = \Phi'(1) = 0, \Phi''(1) = 1$,

(4.34)
$$\Phi(\frac{v}{\bar{v}}) = \frac{(v-\bar{v})^2}{2\bar{v}^2} + O(|v-\bar{v}|^3),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |\bar{p} - p^{R}| &\leq C(|\bar{v} - v^{R}| + |\bar{\theta} - \theta^{R}|) \\ &\leq C(|v^{S} - v^{*}| + |v^{C} - v_{*}| + |\theta^{S} - \theta^{*}| + |\theta^{C} - \theta_{*}|) \leq C(\delta_{S} + \delta_{C}), \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$J_{21} \le -\frac{(\gamma+1)\bar{p}}{2\bar{v}^2} u_{\xi}^R (v-\bar{v})^2 + C(\delta_0 + \varepsilon_1) |u_{\xi}^R| |v-\bar{v}|^2.$$

Using $(3.3)_3$, we have

$$J_{22} \le C(|u_{\xi}^{C}| + |\theta_{\xi\xi}^{C}| + |\theta_{\xi}^{C}||v_{\xi}^{C}| + |Q_{2}^{C}|)(v - \bar{v})^{2},$$

which together with (2.8) and (2.10) yields

$$J_{22} \le C\delta_C (1+t)^{-1} e^{-\frac{C_1 |\xi + \sigma t|^2}{1+t}} (v - \bar{v})^2.$$

Using (2.18), (2.19), (2.21) and

$$\begin{aligned} |\bar{p} - p^*| &\leq C(|\bar{v} - v^*| + |\bar{\theta} - \theta^*|) \\ &\leq C(|v^R - v_*| + |v^S - v^*| + |v^C - v^*| + |\theta^R - \theta_*| + |\theta^S - \theta^*| + |\theta^C - \theta^*|) \\ &\leq C(\delta_R + \delta_S + \delta_C) \leq C\delta_0, \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$J_{23} \le \frac{(\gamma+1)p^*\sigma^*}{2(v^*)^2} (v^S)_{\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}} (v-\bar{v})^2 + C(\delta_0 + \varepsilon_1) |(v^S)_{\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}}| |v-\bar{v}|^2.$$

Similarly, we handle the third term of \mathcal{J}^{bad} :

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} a^{-\mathbf{X}} \underbrace{\left[\frac{R}{\gamma-1} \left((\theta_t^R - \sigma \theta_{\xi}^R) + (\theta_t^C - \sigma \theta_{\xi}^C) - \sigma (\theta^S)_{\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}} \right) \Phi \left(\frac{\theta}{\bar{\theta}}\right) - \frac{\bar{u}_{\xi}}{\theta} (\theta - \bar{\theta}) (p - \bar{p}) + \bar{p} \bar{u}_{\xi} \frac{(\theta - \bar{\theta})^2}{\theta \bar{\theta}} \right]}_{=:J_3} d\xi,$$

as follows: Using $p - \bar{p} = \frac{R}{v}(\theta - \bar{\theta}) - \frac{\bar{p}}{v}(v - \bar{v})$ and $(u^S)_{\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}} < 0$, we have

$$\begin{split} J_{3} &= u_{\xi}^{R} \Big[-p^{R} \Phi \left(\frac{\theta}{\bar{\theta}} \right) - \frac{\theta - \bar{\theta}}{\theta} \Big(\frac{R}{v} (\theta - \bar{\theta}) - \frac{\bar{p}}{v} (v - \bar{v}) \Big) + \bar{p} \frac{(\theta - \bar{\theta})^{2}}{\theta \bar{\theta}} \Big] \\ &- \Big[\frac{R}{\gamma - 1} (\theta_{t}^{C} - \sigma \theta_{\xi}^{C}) \Phi (\frac{\bar{\theta}}{\theta}) + u_{\xi}^{C} \frac{\theta - \bar{\theta}}{\theta} \Big(\frac{R}{v} (\theta - \bar{\theta}) - \frac{\bar{p}}{v} (v - \bar{v}) \Big) - \bar{p} u_{\xi}^{C} \frac{(\theta - \bar{\theta})^{2}}{\theta \bar{\theta}} \Big] \\ &+ \Big[\frac{R\sigma}{\gamma - 1} (\theta^{S})_{\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}} \Phi (\frac{\bar{\theta}}{\theta}) - (u^{S})_{\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}} \frac{\theta - \bar{\theta}}{\theta} \Big(\frac{R}{v} (\theta - \bar{\theta}) - \frac{\bar{p}}{v} (v - \bar{v}) \Big) \Big] + \bar{p} (u^{S})_{\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}} \frac{(\theta - \bar{\theta})^{2}}{\theta \bar{\theta}} \Big] \\ &\leq u_{\xi}^{R} \Big[- \frac{R}{2\bar{v}\bar{\theta}} (\theta - \bar{\theta}) + \frac{\bar{p}}{\bar{v}\bar{\theta}} (v - \bar{v}) \Big] (\theta - \bar{\theta}) + C\delta_{C} (1 + t)^{-1} e^{-\frac{C_{1}|\xi + \sigma t|^{2}}{1 + t}} |(v - \bar{v}, \theta - \bar{\theta})|^{2} \\ &+ \frac{\sigma^{*} (v^{S})_{\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}}}{2v^{*}\theta^{*}} \Big(R(\theta - \bar{\theta}) - 2p^{*} (v - \bar{v}) \Big) (\theta - \bar{\theta}) \\ &+ C(\delta_{0} + \varepsilon_{1}) (|u_{\xi}^{R}| + |(v^{S})_{\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}}|) |(v - \bar{v}, \theta - \bar{\theta})|^{2}. \end{split}$$

Therefore, by combining the above estimates together with $\bar{p} = \frac{R\bar{\theta}}{\bar{v}}$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} a^{-\mathbf{X}} (J_2 + J_3) d\xi
\leq \mathbf{B}_1(U) - \underbrace{\int_{\mathbb{R}} a^{-\mathbf{X}} \frac{Ru_{\xi}^R}{2\bar{v}^2} \Big[\frac{\gamma\bar{\theta}}{\bar{v}} (v - \bar{v})^2 + \Big(\sqrt{\frac{\bar{\theta}}{\bar{v}}} (v - \bar{v}) - \sqrt{\frac{\bar{v}}{\bar{\theta}}} (\theta - \bar{\theta})\Big)^2 \Big] d\xi}_{=:K}
+ C\delta_C (1+t)^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} a^{-\mathbf{X}} e^{-\frac{C_1|\xi + \sigma t|^2}{1+t}} |(v - \bar{v}, \theta - \bar{\theta})|^2 d\xi
+ C(\delta_0 + \varepsilon_1) \int_{\mathbb{R}} a^{-\mathbf{X}} (|u_{\xi}^R| + |(v^S)_{\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}}|)|(v - \bar{v}, \theta - \bar{\theta})|^2 d\xi.$$

We now derive the simpler form \mathcal{G}^R from the above good term K as follows. Using

$$2(v-\bar{v})(\theta-\bar{\theta}) \le \frac{2\bar{\theta}}{\bar{v}}(v-\bar{v})^2 + \frac{\bar{v}}{2\bar{\theta}}(\theta-\bar{\theta})^2,$$

and $u^R_{\xi} \sim v^R_{\xi} > 0$ by Lemma 2.1 (1), we have

$$K \ge \int_{\mathbb{R}} a^{-\mathbf{X}} \frac{Ru_{\xi}^{R}}{2\bar{v}^{2}} \Big[\frac{(\gamma - 1)\bar{\theta}}{\bar{v}} (v - \bar{v})^{2} + \frac{\bar{v}}{2\bar{\theta}} (\theta - \bar{\theta})^{2} \Big] d\xi \ge C\mathcal{G}^{R}(U).$$

Thus,

$$\begin{split} \int_{\mathbb{R}} a^{-\mathbf{X}} (J_2 + J_3) d\xi &\leq \mathbf{B}_1(U) + C\mathcal{G}^R(U) \\ &+ C\delta_C (1+t)^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} a^{-\mathbf{X}} e^{-\frac{C_1 |\xi + \sigma t|^2}{1+t}} |(v - \bar{v}, \theta - \bar{\theta})|^2 d\xi \\ &+ C(\delta_0 + \varepsilon_1) \int_{\mathbb{R}} a^{-\mathbf{X}} (|u_{\xi}^R| + |(v^S)_{\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}}|) |(v - \bar{v}, \theta - \bar{\theta})|^2 d\xi. \end{split}$$

Therefore, it holds from Lemma 4.3 that

(4.36)
$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}} a^{-\mathbf{X}}(\xi) \bar{\theta}(t,\xi) \eta \left(U(t,\xi) | \bar{U}(t,\xi) \right) d\xi$$
$$\leq \dot{\mathbf{X}}(t) \mathbf{Y}(U) + \sum_{i=1}^{5} \mathbf{B}_{i}(U) + \mathbf{S}_{1}(U) + \mathbf{S}_{2}(U) - C\mathcal{G}^{R}(U) - \mathbf{G}(U) - \mathbf{D}(U),$$

where $\mathbf{B}_1, \mathcal{G}^R$ are as in (4.33), and

and

(4.38)

$$\mathbf{G}(U) := \sigma \int_{\mathbb{R}} a_{\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}} \bar{\theta} \eta(U|\bar{U}) d\xi,$$

$$\mathbf{D}(U) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} a^{-\mathbf{X}} \Big[\frac{\mu}{v} |(u-\bar{u})_{\xi}|^{2} + \frac{\kappa}{v\theta} |(\theta-\bar{\theta})_{\xi}|^{2} \Big] d\xi.$$

We decompose the functional ${\bf Y}$ as follows:

$$\mathbf{Y} := \sum_{i=1}^{6} \mathbf{Y}_{i},$$

where

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{Y}_{1}(U) &:= \int_{\mathbb{R}} a^{-\mathbf{X}} (u^{S})_{\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}} (u - \bar{u}) d\xi, \\ \mathbf{Y}_{2}(U) &:= \int_{\mathbb{R}} a^{-\mathbf{X}} \frac{(v^{S})_{\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}} \bar{p}}{\bar{v}} (v - \bar{v}) d\xi, \\ \mathbf{Y}_{3}(U) &:= \int_{\mathbb{R}} a^{-\mathbf{X}} \frac{R}{\gamma - 1} \frac{(\theta^{S})_{\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}}}{\bar{\theta}} (\theta - \bar{\theta}) d\xi, \\ \mathbf{Y}_{4}(U) &:= -\int_{\mathbb{R}} a^{-\mathbf{X}} R(\theta^{S})_{\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}} \Phi(\frac{v}{\bar{v}}) d\xi, \\ \mathbf{Y}_{5}(U) &:= \int_{\mathbb{R}} a^{-\mathbf{X}} \frac{R}{\gamma - 1} (\theta^{S})_{\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}} \Phi(\frac{\bar{\theta}}{\theta}) d\xi, \\ \mathbf{Y}_{6}(U) &:= -\int_{\mathbb{R}} a_{\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}} \bar{\theta} \eta(U | \bar{U}) d\xi. \end{split}$$

Notice from (3.12) that

(4.39)
$$\dot{\mathbf{X}}(t) = -\frac{M}{\delta_S} (\mathbf{Y}_1 + \mathbf{Y}_2 + \mathbf{Y}_3),$$

and so,

(4.40)
$$\dot{\mathbf{X}}(t)\mathbf{Y} = -\frac{\delta_S}{M}|\dot{\mathbf{X}}(t)|^2 + \dot{\mathbf{X}}(t)\sum_{i=4}^{6}\mathbf{Y}_i.$$

4.5. Leading order estimates.

Lemma 4.4. There exists C > 0 such that

$$\begin{aligned} &-\frac{\delta_S}{2M} |\dot{\mathbf{X}}|^2 + \mathbf{B}_1 + \mathbf{B}_2 - \mathbf{G} - \frac{3}{4} \mathbf{D} \\ &\leq -C\mathcal{G}^S(U) + C(\delta_R^{4/3} + \delta_C^{4/3}) \delta_S^{4/3} e^{-C\delta_S t}, \end{aligned}$$

where

(4.41)
$$\mathcal{G}^{S}(U) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(v^{S})_{\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}}||(v-\bar{v},u-\bar{u},\theta-\bar{\theta})|^{2}d\xi,$$

Proof. We first rewrite the main terms in terms of the new variables y and w:

(4.42)
$$w := u - \bar{u}^{\mathbf{X}},$$

and

(4.43)
$$y := \frac{v^S(\xi - \mathbf{X}(t)) - v^*}{\delta_S}$$

Since $\mathbf{X}(t)$ is bounded on [0, T] by (4.3), it follows from $\delta_S := v_+ - v^* > 0$ and $v_{\xi}^S > 0$ that for any fixed t, the change of variable $\xi \in \mathbb{R} \mapsto y \in (0, 1)$ is well-defined, together with

(4.44)
$$\frac{dy}{d\xi} = \frac{(v^S)_{\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}}}{\delta_S} > 0.$$

Note also that $a(\xi) = 1 + \lambda y$ and $a'(\xi) = \lambda (dy/d\xi) > 0$. To perform the sharp estimates, we will use the O(1)-constants p^*, σ^* defined in (2.20), which are indeed independent of the small constants δ_0, ε_1 , since $\frac{v_+}{2} \leq v^* \leq v_+$ and $\frac{\theta_+}{2} \leq \theta^* \leq \theta_+$.

• Estimates on $\mathbf{B}_2 - \mathbf{G}$: First, by (4.23),

 $\begin{aligned} (u-\bar{u})(p-\bar{p}) &- \sigma \bar{\theta} \eta \big(U(t,\xi) | \bar{U}(t,\xi) \big) \\ &= (u-\bar{u})(p-\bar{p}) - \sigma \Big[R \bar{\theta} \Phi(\frac{v}{\bar{v}}) + \frac{R \bar{\theta}}{\gamma-1} \Phi(\frac{\theta}{\bar{\theta}}) + \frac{(u-\bar{u})^2}{2} \Big]. \end{aligned}$

Using $p - \bar{p} = \frac{R}{v}(\theta - \bar{\theta}) - \frac{\bar{p}}{v}(v - \bar{v})$, and (2.21), (4.34), (4.35), we have $(u - \bar{u})(p - \bar{p}) - \sigma \bar{\theta} \eta (U(t,\xi) | \bar{U}(t,\xi))$ $= (u - \bar{u}) \left(\frac{R}{(\theta - \bar{\theta})} - \frac{\bar{p}}{(v - \bar{v})} \right) - \sigma \left[R \bar{\theta} \Phi(\frac{v}{t}) + \frac{R \bar{\theta}}{2} \Phi(\frac{\theta}{\bar{z}}) + \frac{(u - \bar{u})^2}{2} \right]$

$$= (u - \bar{u}) \left(\frac{R}{v} (\theta - \bar{\theta}) - \frac{P}{v} (v - \bar{v}) \right) - \sigma \left[R\bar{\theta}\Phi(\frac{v}{\bar{v}}) + \frac{Rv}{\gamma - 1}\Phi(\frac{v}{\bar{\theta}}) + \frac{(u - \bar{u})}{2} \right]$$

$$\leq (u - \bar{u}) \left[\frac{R}{v^*} (\theta - \bar{\theta}) - \frac{P^*}{v^*} (v - \bar{v}) \right] - \frac{R\sigma^*\theta^*}{2(v^*)^2} (v - \bar{v})^2 - \frac{R\sigma^*}{2(\gamma - 1)\theta^*} (\theta - \bar{\theta})^2$$

$$- \frac{\sigma^*}{2} (u - \bar{u})^2 + C \left(|v - \bar{v}| + |\bar{v} - v^*| + |\bar{\theta} - \theta^*| \right) |(v - \bar{v}, u - \bar{u}, \theta - \bar{\theta})|^2$$

$$= -\frac{R\sigma^*\theta^*}{2(v^*)^2} \left[(v - \bar{v}) + \frac{u - \bar{u}}{\sigma^*} \right]^2 - \frac{R\sigma^*}{2(\gamma - 1)\theta^*} \left[(\theta - \bar{\theta}) - \frac{(\gamma - 1)\theta^*}{v^*\sigma^*} (u - \bar{u}) \right]^2$$

$$+ C \left(|v - \bar{v}| + \delta_S + |(v^R - v_*, \theta^R - \theta_*)| + |(v^C - v^*, \theta^C - \theta^*)| \right) |(v - \bar{v}, u - \bar{u}, \theta - \bar{\theta})|^2$$

where the last equality is obtained by using

$$\frac{R\theta^*}{2(v^*)^2\sigma^*} + \frac{R(\gamma - 1)\theta^*}{2(v^*)^2\sigma^*} = \frac{R\gamma\theta^*}{2(v^*)^2\sigma^*} = \frac{\sigma^*}{2} \quad \text{by } \sigma^* = \frac{\sqrt{\gamma R\theta^*}}{v^*},$$

,

Therefore, we have

(4.45)
$$\mathbf{B}_2(U) - \mathbf{G}(U) \le -\mathbf{G}_1(U) - \mathbf{G}_2(U) + \mathbf{B}_{new}(U),$$

where (4.46)

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{G}_{1}(U) &:= \frac{R\sigma^{*}\theta^{*}}{2(v^{*})^{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} a_{\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}} \Big[(v-\bar{v}) + \frac{u-\bar{u}}{\sigma^{*}} \Big]^{2} d\xi, \\ \mathbf{G}_{2}(U) &:= \frac{R\sigma^{*}}{2(\gamma-1)\theta^{*}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} a_{\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}} \Big[(\theta-\bar{\theta}) - \frac{(\gamma-1)\theta^{*}}{v^{*}\sigma^{*}} (u-\bar{u}) \Big]^{2} d\xi, \\ \mathbf{B}_{new}(U) &:= C\delta_{S} \int_{\mathbb{R}} a_{\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}} |(v-\bar{v},u-\bar{u},\theta-\bar{\theta})|^{2} d\xi \\ &+ C \int_{\mathbb{R}} a_{\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}} |(v-\bar{v},u-\bar{u},\theta-\bar{\theta})|^{3} d\xi \\ &+ C \int_{\mathbb{R}} a_{\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}} \big(|(v^{R}-v_{*},\theta^{R}-\theta_{*})| + |(v^{C}-v^{*},\theta^{C}-\theta^{*})| \big) |(v-\bar{v},u-\bar{u},\theta-\bar{\theta})|^{2} d\xi. \end{split}$$

The two good terms $\mathbf{G}_1, \mathbf{G}_2$ will be used in the remaining estimates, while the bad term \mathbf{B}_{new} can be controlled by the three good terms $\mathbf{G}_1, \mathbf{G}_2, \mathbf{D}$ and \mathcal{G}^S , as follows. First, using (4.20),

$$\delta_S \int_{\mathbb{R}} a_{\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}} |(v - \bar{v}, u - \bar{u}, \theta - \bar{\theta})|^2 d\xi \le C \lambda \mathcal{G}^S.$$

Using (4.20) and the interpolation inequality, and $\lambda \leq C\sqrt{\delta_S}$ by (4.18), we have

$$\begin{split} &\int_{\mathbb{R}} a_{\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}} |(v - \bar{v}, u - \bar{u}, \theta - \bar{\theta})|^{3} d\xi \\ &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}} |a_{\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}}| \left| (v - \bar{v}) + \frac{u - \bar{u}}{\sigma^{*}} \right|^{3} d\xi + C \int_{\mathbb{R}} |a_{\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}}| |w|^{3} d\xi \\ &+ C \int_{\mathbb{R}} |a_{\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}}| \left| (\theta - \bar{\theta}) - \frac{(\gamma - 1)\theta^{*}}{v^{*}\sigma^{*}} (u - \bar{u}) \right|^{3} d\xi \\ &\leq C \varepsilon_{1}(\mathbf{G}_{1} + \mathbf{G}_{2}) + C \frac{\lambda}{\delta_{S}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(v^{S})_{\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}}| ||w||_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})}^{2} |w| d\xi \\ &\leq C \varepsilon_{1}(\mathbf{G}_{1} + \mathbf{G}_{2}) + C \frac{\lambda}{\delta_{S}} ||w_{\xi}||_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})} ||w||_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})} \sqrt{\int_{\mathbb{R}} |(v^{S})_{\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}}| w^{2} d\xi} \sqrt{\int_{\mathbb{R}} |(v^{S})_{\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}}| d\xi} \\ &\leq C \varepsilon_{1}(\mathbf{G}_{1} + \mathbf{G}_{2}) + C \varepsilon_{1} \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{\delta_{S}}} \sqrt{\mathbf{D}} \sqrt{\mathcal{G}^{S}} \\ &\leq C \varepsilon_{1}(\mathbf{G}_{1} + \mathbf{G}_{2} + \mathbf{D} + \mathcal{G}^{S}), \end{split}$$

Likewise, using the interpolation inequality and Lemma 4.2, we have

$$\begin{split} &\int_{\mathbb{R}} a_{\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}} \left(|(v^{R} - v_{*}, \theta^{R} - \theta_{*})| + |(v^{C} - v^{*}, \theta^{C} - \theta^{*})| \right) |(v - \bar{v}, u - \bar{u}, \theta - \bar{\theta})|^{2} d\xi \\ &\leq C(\delta_{R} + \delta_{C})(\mathbf{G}_{1} + \mathbf{G}_{2}) \\ &+ C\frac{\lambda}{\delta_{S}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(v^{S})_{\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}}| \left(|(v^{R} - v_{*}, \theta^{R} - \theta_{*})| + |(v^{C} - v^{*}, \theta^{C} - \theta^{*})| \right) |w|^{2} d\xi \\ &\leq C(\delta_{R} + \delta_{C})(\mathbf{G}_{1} + \mathbf{G}_{2}) + C\frac{\lambda}{\delta_{S}} ||w||_{L^{4}(\mathbb{R})}^{2} \left[|||(v^{S})_{\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}}||(v^{R} - v_{*}, \theta^{R} - \theta_{*})||_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})} \right] \\ &+ |||(v^{S})_{\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}}||(v^{C} - v^{*}, \theta^{C} - \theta^{*})||_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})} \right] \\ &\leq C\delta_{0}(\mathbf{G}_{1} + \mathbf{G}_{2}) + C\frac{1}{\sqrt{\delta_{S}}} ||w_{\xi}||_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{1/2} ||w||_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{3/2} \delta_{S}^{\frac{3}{2}}(\delta_{R} + \delta_{C})e^{-C\delta_{S}t} \\ &\leq C\delta_{0}(\mathbf{G}_{1} + \mathbf{G}_{2}) + C\mathbf{D}^{\frac{1}{4}}\varepsilon_{1}^{\frac{3}{2}}\delta_{S}(\delta_{R} + \delta_{C})e^{-C\delta_{S}t} \\ &\leq C\delta_{0}(\mathbf{G}_{1} + \mathbf{G}_{2}) + C\varepsilon_{1}\mathbf{D} + C\delta_{S}^{4/3}(\delta_{R}^{4/3} + \delta_{C}^{4/3})e^{-C\delta_{S}t}. \end{split}$$

Therefore,

(4.47)
$$\mathbf{B}_{new} \le C(\lambda + \varepsilon_1 + \delta_0)(\mathbf{G}_1 + \mathbf{G}_2 + \mathbf{D} + \mathcal{G}^S) + C\delta_S^{4/3}(\delta_R^{4/3} + \delta_C^{4/3})e^{-C\delta_S t}$$

• Estimates on $-\frac{\delta_S}{2M} |\dot{\mathbf{X}}|^2$: First, to estimate the term $-\frac{\delta_S}{2M} |\dot{\mathbf{X}}|^2$, we will estimate $\mathbf{Y}_1, \mathbf{Y}_2, \mathbf{Y}_3$ due to (4.39). By the change of variable (4.44) and (1.14) we have

By the change of variable (4.44) and $(1.14)_1$, we have

$$\mathbf{Y}_1 = \int_{\mathbb{R}} a^{-\mathbf{X}} (u^S)_{\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}} (u - \bar{u}) d\xi = -\delta_S \sigma \int_0^1 a^{-\mathbf{X}} w dy.$$

Using (2.21) and $|a - 1| \leq \lambda$, we have

(4.48)
$$\left|\mathbf{Y}_{1}+\delta_{S}\sigma^{*}\int_{0}^{1}wdy\right|\leq C\delta_{S}\lambda\int_{0}^{1}|w|dy.$$

For

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Y}_2 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} a^{-\mathbf{X}} \frac{(v^S)_{\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}} \bar{p}}{\bar{v}} (v - \bar{v}) d\xi \\ &= -\int_{\mathbb{R}} a^{-\mathbf{X}} \frac{(v^S)_{\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}} \bar{p}}{\bar{v}\sigma^*} (u - \bar{u}) d\xi + \int_{\mathbb{R}} a^{-\mathbf{X}} \frac{(v^S)_{\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}} \bar{p}}{\bar{v}} \Big[(v - \bar{v}) + \frac{u - \bar{u}}{\sigma^*} \Big] d\xi, \end{aligned}$$

using (4.35) and (4.20), we have

$$(4.49) \quad \left| \mathbf{Y}_2 + \frac{p^* \delta_S}{v^* \sigma^*} \int_0^1 \omega dy \right| \le C \delta_S(\lambda + \delta_0) \int_0^1 |\omega| dy + C \frac{\delta_S}{\lambda} \int_{\mathbb{R}} a_{\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}} \left| (v - \bar{v}) + \frac{u - \bar{u}}{\sigma^*} \right| d\xi.$$

Likewise, since

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Y}_{3} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} a^{-\mathbf{X}} \frac{R}{\gamma - 1} \frac{(\theta^{S})_{\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}}}{\bar{\theta}} (\theta - \bar{\theta}) d\xi \\ &= \frac{R\theta^{*}}{v^{*}\sigma^{*}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} a^{-\mathbf{X}} \frac{(\theta^{S})_{\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}}}{\bar{\theta}} (u - \bar{u}^{\mathbf{X}}) d\xi \\ &+ \int_{\mathbb{R}} a^{-\mathbf{X}} \frac{R}{\gamma - 1} \frac{(\theta^{S})_{\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}}}{\bar{\theta}} \Big[(\theta - \bar{\theta}) - \frac{(\gamma - 1)\theta^{*}}{v^{*}\sigma^{*}} (u - \bar{u}) \Big] d\xi, \end{aligned}$$

using $|\theta - \theta^*| \leq C(\varepsilon_1 + \delta_0)$ and (2.19), (4.21), we have

(4.50)
$$\left| \mathbf{Y}_{3} + \frac{(\gamma - 1)p^{*}\delta_{S}}{v^{*}\sigma^{*}} \int_{0}^{1} \omega dy \right| \leq C\delta_{S}(\lambda + \delta_{0} + \varepsilon_{1}) \int_{0}^{1} |\omega| dy + C\frac{\delta_{S}}{\lambda} \int_{\mathbb{R}} a_{\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}} \left| (\theta - \bar{\theta}) - \frac{(\gamma - 1)\theta^{*}}{v^{*}\sigma^{*}} (u - \bar{u}) \right| d\xi.$$

Therefore, using (4.39), (4.48), (4.49) and (4.50) together with $\sigma^* = \sqrt{\frac{\gamma p^*}{v^*}}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \dot{\mathbf{X}} - 2\sigma^* M \int_0^1 \omega dy \right| &\leq C(\lambda + \delta_0 + \varepsilon_1) \int_0^1 |\omega| dy + \frac{C}{\lambda} \int_{\mathbb{R}} a_{\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}} \left| (v - \bar{v}) + \frac{u - \bar{u}}{\sigma^*} \right| d\xi \\ &+ \frac{C}{\lambda} \int_{\mathbb{R}} a_{\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}} \left| (\theta - \bar{\theta}) - \frac{(\gamma - 1)\theta^*}{v^* \sigma^*} (u - \bar{u}) \right| d\xi, \end{aligned}$$

which yields

$$\left(\left|2\sigma^*M\int_0^1\omega dy\right| - |\dot{\mathbf{X}}|\right)^2 \le C(\lambda + \delta_0 + \varepsilon_1)^2 \int_0^1 |\omega|^2 dy + \frac{C}{\lambda^2}(\mathbf{G}_1 + \mathbf{G}_2) \int_{\mathbb{R}} a_{\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}} d\xi.$$

This and the algebraic inequality $\frac{p^2}{2}-q^2 \leq (p-q)^2$ for all $p,q \geq 0$ imply

$$2(\sigma^*)^2 M^2 \left(\int_0^1 \omega dy\right)^2 - |\dot{\mathbf{X}}|^2 \le C(\lambda + \delta_0 + \varepsilon_1)^2 \int_0^1 |\omega|^2 dy + \frac{C}{\lambda} (\mathbf{G}_1 + \mathbf{G}_2).$$

Thus,

$$(4.51) \quad -\frac{\delta_S}{2M} |\dot{\mathbf{X}}|^2 \le -(\sigma^*)^2 M \delta_S \left(\int_0^1 \omega dy \right)^2 + C \delta_S (\lambda + \delta_0 + \varepsilon_1)^2 \int_0^1 \omega^2 dy + \frac{C \delta_S}{\lambda} (\mathbf{G}_1 + \mathbf{G}_2) dy + \frac{C \delta_S}{\lambda} (\mathbf{G}_2 + \mathbf{G}_2) dy + \frac{C \delta_S}{$$

 \bullet Estimates on \mathbf{B}_1 :

$$Set$$
 (4.52)

$$\mathbf{B}_{1}(U) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} a^{-\mathbf{X}} (v^{S})_{\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}} \Big[\frac{(\gamma+1)p^{*}\sigma^{*}}{2(v^{*})^{2}} (v-\bar{v})^{2} + \frac{R\sigma^{*}}{2v^{*}\theta^{*}} (\theta-\bar{\theta})^{2} - \frac{p^{*}\sigma^{*}}{v^{*}\theta^{*}} (v-\bar{v})(\theta-\bar{\theta}) \Big] d\xi$$

$$:= \mathbf{B}_{11}(U) + \mathbf{B}_{12}(U) + \mathbf{B}_{13}(U).$$

First, using Young's inequality,

$$\mathbf{B}_{11} = \frac{(\gamma+1)p^*\sigma^*}{2(v^*)^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} a^{-\mathbf{X}} (v^S)_{\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}} \Big| \Big((v-\bar{v}) + \frac{u-\bar{u}}{\sigma^*} \Big) - \frac{u-\bar{u}}{\sigma^*} \Big|^2 d\xi$$

$$\leq \frac{(\gamma+1)p^*\sigma^*}{2(v^*)^2} \left(1 + \lambda + \left(\frac{\delta_S}{\lambda}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}} (v^S)_{\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}} \Big| \frac{u-\bar{u}}{\sigma^*} \Big|^2 d\xi$$

$$+ C \Big(\frac{\delta_S}{\lambda} \Big)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (v^S)_{\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}} \Big| (v-\bar{v}) + \frac{u-\bar{u}}{\sigma^*} \Big|^2 d\xi,$$

which together with (4.20) yields

(4.53)
$$\mathbf{B}_{11} \leq \frac{(\gamma+1)p^*}{2(v^*)^2 \sigma^*} \left(1 + \lambda + \left(\frac{\delta_S}{\lambda}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) \delta_S \int_0^1 w^2 dy + C\left(\frac{\delta_S}{\lambda}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{G}_1.$$

Similarly, we estimate (using $p^* = R\theta^*/v^*)$

$$(4.54) \quad \mathbf{B}_{12} = \frac{R\sigma^*}{2v^*\theta^*} \int_{\mathbb{R}} a^{-\mathbf{X}} (v^S)_{\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}} \left| \left((\theta - \bar{\theta}) - \frac{(\gamma - 1)\theta^*}{v^*\sigma^*} (u - \bar{u}) \right) + \frac{(\gamma - 1)\theta^*}{v^*\sigma^*} (u - \bar{u}) \right|^2 d\xi$$
$$\leq \frac{(\gamma - 1)^2 p^*}{2(v^*)^2 \sigma^*} \left(1 + \lambda + \left(\frac{\delta_S}{\lambda}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) \delta_S \int_0^1 w^2 dy + C \left(\frac{\delta_S}{\lambda}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{G}_2.$$

and

$$\mathbf{B}_{13} \leq \frac{p^* \sigma^*}{v^* \theta^*} \int_{\mathbb{R}} a^{-\mathbf{X}} (v^S)_{\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}} \left| \left((v - \bar{v}) + \frac{u - \bar{u}}{\sigma^*} \right) - \frac{u - \bar{u}}{\sigma^*} \right|$$

$$(4.55) \qquad \qquad \cdot \left| \left((\theta - \bar{\theta}) - \frac{(\gamma - 1)\theta^*}{v^* \sigma^*} (u - \bar{u}) \right) + \frac{(\gamma - 1)\theta^*}{v^* \sigma^*} (u - \bar{u}) \right| d\xi$$

$$\leq \frac{(\gamma - 1)p^*}{(v^*)^2 \sigma^*} \left(1 + \lambda + \left(\frac{\delta_S}{\lambda} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) \delta_S \int_0^1 w^2 dy + C \left(\frac{\delta_S}{\lambda} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} (\mathbf{G}_1 + \mathbf{G}_2).$$

Let α^* be the O(1)-constant defined by

(4.56)
$$\alpha^* := \frac{\gamma(\gamma+1)p^*}{2(v^*)^2 \sigma^*}.$$

Substituting (4.53), (4.54) and (4.55) into (4.52), we have

(4.57)
$$\mathbf{B}_{1} \leq \alpha^{*} \left(1 + \lambda + \left(\frac{\delta_{S}}{\lambda}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) \delta_{S} \int_{0}^{1} w^{2} dy + C \left(\frac{\delta_{S}}{\lambda}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} (\mathbf{G}_{1} + \mathbf{G}_{2}).$$

• Estimates on D : Set

(4.58)
$$\mathbf{D}(U) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} a^{-\mathbf{X}} \left[\frac{\mu}{v} | (u - \bar{u})_{\xi} |^2 + \frac{\kappa}{v\theta} | (\theta - \bar{\theta})_{\xi} |^2 \right] d\xi := \mathbf{D}_1(U) + \mathbf{D}_2(U).$$

First, using $a \ge 1$ and the change of variable, we have

$$\mathbf{D}_1 \ge \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\mu}{v} |(u - \bar{u})_{\xi}|^2 d\xi = \int_0^1 |\partial_y \omega|^2 \frac{\mu}{v} \left(\frac{dy}{d\xi}\right) dy.$$

To estimate the right-hand side, set $v^S := (v^S)^{-\mathbf{X}}, p^S := p((v^S)^{-\mathbf{X}}, (\theta^S)^{-\mathbf{X}}), p_+ := p(v_+, \theta_+)$ for simplicity. Then, using $(1.14)_1$ and then integrating $(1.14)_2$ over $(-\infty, \xi]$, we have

$$\mu \frac{v_{\xi}^S}{v^S} = -\sigma(v^S - v^*) - \frac{p^S - p^*}{\sigma},$$

and so,

$$\delta_{S} \frac{\mu}{v^{S}} \frac{dy}{d\xi} = \mu \frac{v_{\xi}^{S}}{v^{S}} = -\sigma(v^{S} - v^{*}) - \frac{p^{S} - p^{*}}{\sigma}$$
$$= -\frac{1}{\sigma} \left[\sigma^{2}(v^{S} - v^{*}) + p^{S} - p^{*} \right],$$

which together with $\sigma^2 = \frac{p^* - p_+}{v_+ - v^*}$ yields

$$\delta_S \frac{\mu}{v^S} \frac{dy}{d\xi} = -\frac{1}{\sigma(v_+ - v^*)} \left[(p^* - p_+)(v^S - v^*) + (v_+ - v^*)(p^S - p^*) \right]$$
$$= -\frac{1}{\sigma(v_+ - v^*)} \left[(p^S - p_+)(v^S - v^*) + (v^S - v^*)(p^* - p^S) + (v^S - v^*)(p^S - p^*) + (v_+ - v^S)(p^S - p^*) \right]$$
$$= -\frac{1}{\sigma(v_+ - v^*)} \left[(p^S - p_+)(v^S - v^*) + (v_+ - v^S)(p^S - p^*) \right].$$

Since $y = \frac{v^S - v^*}{\delta_S}$ and $1 - y = \frac{v_+ - v^S}{\delta_S}$,

$$\frac{1}{y(1-y)}\frac{\mu}{v^S}\frac{dy}{d\xi} = \frac{1}{\sigma}\left(\frac{p^S - p_+}{v^S - v_+} - \frac{p^S - p^*}{v^S - v^*}\right).$$

By Appendix B, we have

(4.59)
$$\left|\frac{1}{y(1-y)}\frac{\mu}{v^S}\frac{dy}{d\xi} - \delta_S \alpha^* \frac{\mu R\gamma}{\mu R\gamma + \kappa(\gamma-1)^2}\right| \le C\delta_S^2,$$

This together with $|v - v^S| \leq C(\varepsilon_1 + \delta_0)$ implies

(4.60)
$$\mathbf{D}_{1} \geq \int_{0}^{1} |\partial_{y}\omega|^{2} \frac{\mu}{v^{S}} \left(\frac{dy}{d\xi}\right) dy + \int_{0}^{1} |\partial_{y}\omega|^{2} \left(\frac{v^{S}}{v} - 1\right) \frac{\mu}{v^{S}} \left(\frac{dy}{d\xi}\right) dy$$
$$\geq \alpha^{*} \frac{\mu R\gamma}{\mu R\gamma + \kappa(\gamma - 1)^{2}} \left(1 - C(\delta_{0} + \varepsilon_{1})\right) \delta_{S} \int_{0}^{1} y(1 - y) |\partial_{y}\omega|^{2} dy$$

Likewise, using the change of variable, and (4.59), we have

$$\mathbf{D}_{2} \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\kappa}{v\theta} |(\theta - \bar{\theta})_{\xi}|^{2} d\xi = \int_{0}^{1} \frac{\kappa}{v\theta} |(\theta - \bar{\theta})_{y}|^{2} \left(\frac{dy}{d\xi}\right) dy$$

$$= \int_{0}^{1} \frac{\kappa v^{S}}{\mu v \theta} |(\theta - \bar{\theta})_{y}|^{2} \frac{\mu}{v^{S}} \left(\frac{dy}{d\xi}\right) dy$$

$$= \int_{0}^{1} \frac{\kappa}{\mu \theta^{*}} |(\theta - \bar{\theta})_{y}|^{2} \frac{\mu}{v^{S}} \left(\frac{dy}{d\xi}\right) dy + \int_{0}^{1} \frac{\kappa}{\mu} \left(\frac{v^{S}}{v\theta} - \frac{1}{\theta^{*}}\right) |(\theta - \bar{\theta})_{y}|^{2} \frac{\mu}{v^{S}} \left(\frac{dy}{d\xi}\right) dy$$

$$\geq \alpha^{*} \frac{\mu R \gamma}{\mu R \gamma + \kappa (\gamma - 1)^{2}} \frac{\kappa}{\mu \theta^{*}} \left(1 - C(\delta_{0} + \varepsilon_{1})\right) \delta_{S} \int_{0}^{1} y(1 - y) |(\theta - \bar{\theta})_{y}|^{2} dy.$$

Our intention is to use the Poincare-type inequality of Lemma 2.4 to absorb the main bad term \mathbf{B}_1 by the diffusion term \mathbf{D} with (4.51). However, since

$$1 > \frac{\mu R \gamma}{\mu R \gamma + \kappa (\gamma - 1)^2} \to 0 \quad \text{as } \gamma \to \infty,$$

it follows from (4.57) and (4.60) that \mathbf{D}_1 is not enough to control \mathbf{B}_1 . Thus, we need to extract an additional good term on w from \mathbf{D}_2 , as follows. First, using Lemma 2.4 and

$$\int_0^1 |\omega - \bar{\omega}|^2 dy = \int_0^1 \omega^2 dy - \bar{\omega}^2, \quad \bar{\omega} := \int_0^1 \omega dy,$$

we have

$$\mathbf{D} \geq 2\alpha^* \frac{\mu R\gamma}{\mu R\gamma + \kappa(\gamma - 1)^2} \left(1 - C(\delta_0 + \varepsilon_1) \right) \delta_S \left[\int_0^1 \omega^2 dy - \bar{\omega}^2 + \frac{\kappa}{\mu \theta^*} \left(\int_0^1 |\theta - \bar{\theta}|^2 dy - \left(\int_0^1 (\theta - \bar{\theta}) dy \right)^2 \right) \right].$$

Observe that Young's inequality yields

$$\begin{split} \int_0^1 |\theta - \bar{\theta}|^2 dy &= \int_0^1 \left| \left((\theta - \bar{\theta}) - \frac{(\gamma - 1)\theta^*}{v^* \sigma^*} (u - \bar{u}) \right) + \frac{(\gamma - 1)\theta^*}{v^* \sigma^*} (u - \bar{u}) \right|^2 dy \\ &\geq \left(\frac{(\gamma - 1)\theta^*}{v^* \sigma^*} \right)^2 \left(1 - \left(\frac{\delta_S}{\lambda} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) \int_0^1 w^2 dy \\ &- C \left(\frac{\delta_S}{\lambda} \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^1 \left((\theta - \bar{\theta}) - \frac{(\gamma - 1)\theta^*}{v^* \sigma^*} (u - \bar{u}) \right)^2 dy, \end{split}$$

and

$$\begin{split} \left(\int_0^1 (\theta - \bar{\theta}) dy\right)^2 &\leq 2 \left(\int_0^1 \left(\frac{(\gamma - 1)\theta^*}{v^* \sigma^*} (u - \bar{u})\right) dy\right)^2 \\ &\quad + 2 \left(\int_0^1 \left((\theta - \bar{\theta}) - \frac{(\gamma - 1)\theta^*}{v^* \sigma^*} (u - \bar{u})\right) dy\right)^2 \\ &\leq 2 \left(\frac{(\gamma - 1)\theta^*}{v^* \sigma^*}\right)^2 \bar{w}^2 + 2 \int_0^1 \left((\theta - \bar{\theta}) - \frac{(\gamma - 1)\theta^*}{v^* \sigma^*} (u - \bar{u})\right)^2 dy, \end{split}$$

which together with $\sigma^* = \frac{\sqrt{\gamma R \theta^*}}{v^*}$, (4.44) and (4.20) implies (4.62)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{D} &\geq 2\alpha^* (1 - C(\delta_0 + \varepsilon_1)) \delta_S \frac{\mu R \gamma}{\mu R \gamma + \kappa (\gamma - 1)^2} \left(1 + \frac{\kappa (\gamma - 1)^2}{\mu R \gamma} \right) \left(1 - \left(\frac{\delta_S}{\lambda} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) \int_0^1 \omega^2 dy \\ &- 2\alpha^* \delta_S \left[1 + 2\frac{\kappa}{\mu \theta^*} \left(\frac{(\gamma - 1)\theta^*}{v^* \sigma^*} \right)^2 \right] \bar{w}^2 - C \left(\frac{\delta_S}{\lambda} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{G}_2 \end{aligned}$$
$$= 2\alpha^* \left(1 - C(\delta_0 + \varepsilon_1) - \left(\frac{\delta_S}{\lambda} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) \delta_S \int_0^1 w^2 dy \\ &- 2\alpha^* \delta_S \left[1 + \frac{2\kappa (\gamma - 1)^2}{\mu R \gamma} \right] \bar{w}^2 - C \left(\frac{\delta_S}{\lambda} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{G}_2.\end{aligned}$$

• Conclusion: First, combining (4.45), (4.47), (4.51), (4.57), (4.62) and using the smallness of $\frac{\delta_S}{\lambda}$, λ (as (4.18)), and δ_0 , ε_1 , we have

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{\delta_S}{2M} |\dot{\mathbf{X}}|^2 + \mathbf{B}_1 + \mathbf{B}_2 - \mathbf{G} - \frac{3}{4} \mathbf{D} &\leq C(\lambda + \varepsilon_1 + \delta_0) \mathcal{G}^S + C \delta_S^{4/3} (\delta_R^{4/3} + \delta_C^{4/3}) e^{-C\delta_S t} \\ &- \frac{\alpha^*}{4} \delta_S \int_0^1 w^2 dy - \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{G}_1 + \mathbf{G}_2) \\ &- (\sigma^*)^2 M \delta_S \bar{w}^2 + \frac{3}{2} \alpha^* \delta_S \left[1 + \frac{2\kappa(\gamma - 1)^2}{\mu R \gamma} \right] \bar{w}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Choosing the specific O(1)-constant M as in (3.12), that is,

$$M = \frac{3}{2(\sigma^*)^2} \alpha^* \left(1 + \frac{2\kappa(\gamma - 1)^2}{\mu R \gamma} \right),$$

we have

$$-\frac{\delta_S}{2M}|\dot{\mathbf{X}}|^2 + \mathbf{B}_1 + \mathbf{B}_2 - \mathbf{G} - \frac{3}{4}\mathbf{D} \le C(\lambda + \varepsilon_1 + \delta_0)\mathcal{G}^S + C\delta_S^{4/3}(\delta_R^{4/3} + \delta_C^{4/3})e^{-C\delta_S t} - \frac{\alpha^*}{4}\delta_S \int_0^1 w^2 dy - \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{G}_1 + \mathbf{G}_2).$$

Finally, using

$$\delta_S \int_0^1 w^2 dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(v^S)_{\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}}| |u - \bar{u}|^2 d\xi,$$

and

$$\begin{split} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(v^{S})_{\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}}|(v-\bar{v})^{2}d\xi &\leq 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(v^{S})_{\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}}| \Big| (v-\bar{v}) + \frac{u-\bar{u}}{\sigma^{*}} \Big|^{2}d\xi + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(v^{S})_{\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}}| \Big(\frac{u-\bar{u}}{\sigma^{*}}\Big)^{2}d\xi \\ &\leq C \frac{\delta_{S}}{\lambda} \mathbf{G}_{1} + C \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(v^{S})_{\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}}| \big(u-\bar{u}\big)^{2}d\xi, \end{split}$$

and

$$\begin{split} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\theta - \bar{\theta})^2 d\xi &\leq 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(v^S)_{\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}}| \left| (\theta - \bar{\theta}) - \frac{(\gamma - 1)\theta^*}{v^* \sigma^*} (u - \bar{u}) \right|^2 d\xi + C \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(v^S)_{\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}}| (u - \bar{u})^2 d\xi \\ &\leq C \frac{\delta_S}{\lambda} \mathbf{G}_2 + C \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(v^S)_{\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}}| (u - \bar{u})^2 d\xi, \end{split}$$

we have

$$-\frac{\delta_S}{2M}|\dot{\mathbf{X}}|^2 + \mathbf{B}_1 + \mathbf{B}_2 - \mathbf{G} - \frac{3}{4}\mathbf{D} \le -C \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(v^S)_{\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}}||(v-\bar{v}, u-\bar{u}, \theta-\bar{\theta})|^2 d\xi + C\delta_S^{4/3}(\delta_R^{4/3} + \delta_C^{4/3})e^{-C\delta_S t},$$
which implies the desired estimate in Lemma 4.4.

which implies the desired estimate in Lemma 4.4.

4.6. Proof of Lemma 4.1. First of all, it follows from (4.36) and (4.40) to have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}} a^{-\mathbf{X}} \bar{\theta} \eta \left(U | \bar{U} \right) d\xi &\leq -\frac{\delta_S}{2M} | \dot{\mathbf{X}} |^2 + \mathbf{B}_1 + \mathbf{B}_2 - \mathbf{G} - \frac{3}{4} \mathbf{D} \\ &- \frac{\delta_S}{2M} | \dot{\mathbf{X}} |^2 + \dot{\mathbf{X}} \sum_{i=4}^{6} \mathbf{Y}_i + \sum_{i=3}^{5} \mathbf{B}_i + \mathbf{S}_1 + \mathbf{S}_2 - C\mathcal{G}^R - \frac{1}{4} \mathbf{D}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, using Lemma 4.4 and Young's inequality, there exists a constant C > 0 such that

(4.63)
$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}} a^{-\mathbf{X}} \bar{\theta} \eta (U|\bar{U}) d\xi \leq -C(\mathcal{G}^{S} + \mathcal{G}^{R}) + C(\delta_{R}^{4/3} + \delta_{C}^{4/3}) \delta_{S}^{4/3} e^{-C\delta_{S}t} - \frac{\delta_{S}}{4M} |\dot{\mathbf{X}}|^{2} + \frac{C}{\delta_{S}} \sum_{i=4}^{6} |\mathbf{Y}_{i}|^{2} + \sum_{i=3}^{5} \mathbf{B}_{i} + \mathbf{S}_{1} + \mathbf{S}_{2} - \frac{1}{4} \mathbf{D}.$$

In what follows, we will control the bad term on the right-hand side of (4.63). • Estimates on the terms \mathbf{Y}_i (i = 4, 5, 6): Using (4.34) and (2.19), we have

$$|(\mathbf{Y}_4, \mathbf{Y}_5)| \le C \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(v^S)_{\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}}| |(v - \bar{v}, \theta - \bar{\theta})|^2 d\xi.$$

In addition, since Lemma 2.3 and (3.17) yields

$$|(\mathbf{Y}_4, \mathbf{Y}_5)| \le \delta_S \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(v - \bar{v}, \theta - \bar{\theta})|^2 d\xi \le \delta_S^2 \varepsilon_1^2,$$

we have

$$\frac{C}{\delta_S} |(\mathbf{Y}_4, \mathbf{Y}_5)|^2 \le C \delta_S \varepsilon_1^2 \mathcal{G}^S.$$

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{split} \frac{C}{\delta_S} |\mathbf{Y}_6|^2 &\leq \frac{C}{\delta_S} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |a_{\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}}| |(v-\bar{v}, u-\bar{u}, \theta-\bar{\theta})|^2 d\xi \right)^2 \\ &\leq \frac{C\lambda^2}{\delta_S^3} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |(v^S)_{\xi}| |(v-\bar{v}, u-\bar{u}, \theta-\bar{\theta})|^2 d\xi \right)^2 \\ &\leq \frac{C\lambda^2}{\delta_S} \| (v-\bar{v}, u-\bar{u}, \theta-\bar{\theta}) \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(v^S)_{\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}}| |(v-\bar{v}, u-\bar{u}, \theta-\bar{\theta})|^2 d\xi \\ &\leq C\varepsilon_1^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(v^S)_{\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}}| |(v-\bar{v}, u-\bar{u}, \theta-\bar{\theta})|^2 d\xi \leq C\varepsilon_1^2 \mathcal{G}^S. \end{split}$$

Thus,

(4.64)
$$\frac{C}{\delta_S} \sum_{i=4}^{6} |\mathbf{Y}_i|^2 \le C\varepsilon_1 \mathcal{G}^S.$$

• Estimates on the terms \mathbf{B}_i (i = 3, 4, 5): First, observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{B}_{3}(U) &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}} |a_{\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}}| |u - \bar{u}| \Big(|(u - \bar{u})_{\xi}| + |\bar{u}_{\xi}| |v - \bar{v}| \Big) d\xi \\ &+ C \int_{\mathbb{R}} |a_{\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}}| |\theta - \bar{\theta}| \Big(|(\theta - \bar{\theta})_{\xi}| + |\bar{\theta}_{\xi}| |v - \bar{v}| \Big) d\xi \\ &\leq \frac{1}{80} \mathbf{D} + C\lambda \delta_{S} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(v^{S})_{\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}}|^{2} |(u - \bar{u}, \theta - \bar{\theta})|^{2} d\xi + C \int_{\mathbb{R}} (|\bar{u}_{\xi}|^{2} + |\bar{\theta}_{\xi}|^{2}) |v - \bar{v}|^{2} d\xi \\ &\leq \frac{1}{80} \mathbf{D} + C\delta_{S} \mathcal{G}^{S} + C \int_{\mathbb{R}} (|\bar{u}_{\xi}|^{2} + |\bar{\theta}_{\xi}|^{2}) |v - \bar{v}|^{2} d\xi. \end{aligned}$$

Since Lemma 2.1, (2.8) and (2.17) yield

$$(4.65) \int_{\mathbb{R}} (|\bar{u}_{\xi}|^{2} + |\bar{\theta}_{\xi}|^{2})|v - \bar{v}|^{2}d\xi$$

$$\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[|(u^{R})_{\xi}|^{2} + |(u^{C})_{\xi}|^{2} + |(u^{S})_{\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}}|^{2} + |(\theta^{R})_{\xi}|^{2} + |(\theta^{C})_{\xi}|^{2} + |(\theta^{S})_{\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}}|^{2} \right]|v - \bar{v}|^{2}$$

$$\leq C \delta_{R} \mathcal{G}^{R} + C \delta_{S} \mathcal{G}^{S} + C (\delta_{C})^{2} (1 + t)^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\frac{2C_{1}|\xi + \sigma t|^{2}}{1 + t}} |v - \bar{v}|^{2} d\xi,$$

we have

$$\mathbf{B}_{3}(U) \leq \frac{1}{40}\mathbf{D} + C\delta_{R}\mathcal{G}^{R} + C\delta_{S}\mathcal{G}^{S} + C(\delta_{C})^{2}(1+t)^{-1}\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\frac{2C_{1}|\xi+\sigma t|^{2}}{1+t}}|v-\bar{v}|^{2}d\xi.$$

Likewise, we have

$$\mathbf{B}_{4} \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[|\bar{u}_{\xi}| |v - \bar{v}| |(u - \bar{u})_{\xi}| + |\theta - \bar{\theta}| \left(|(\theta - \bar{\theta})_{\xi}| + |\bar{\theta}_{\xi}| \right) \left(|(\theta - \bar{\theta})_{\xi}| + |\bar{\theta}_{\xi}| |v - \bar{v}| \right) \right] d\xi \\
+ \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[|(\theta - \bar{\theta})_{\xi}| |\bar{\theta}_{\xi}| |v - \bar{v}| + |\theta - \bar{\theta}| \left(|(u - \bar{u})_{\xi}|^{2} + |\bar{u}_{\xi}| |(u - \bar{u})_{\xi}| + |\bar{u}_{\xi}|^{2} |v - \bar{v}| \right) \right] d\xi$$

which together with (4.2) yields

$$\mathbf{B}_{4} \leq \frac{1}{80} \mathbf{D} + C \int_{\mathbb{R}} (|\bar{u}_{\xi}|^{2} + |\bar{\theta}_{\xi}|^{2}) |(v - \bar{v}, \theta - \bar{\theta})|^{2} d\xi \\
\leq \frac{1}{80} \mathbf{D} + C \delta_{R} \mathcal{G}^{R} + C \delta_{S} \mathcal{G}^{S} + C (\delta_{C})^{2} (1 + t)^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\frac{2C_{1}|\xi + \sigma t|^{2}}{1 + t}} |(v - \bar{v}, \theta - \bar{\theta})|^{2} d\xi.$$

For \mathbf{B}_6 , since $u_{\xi}^R \sim v_{\xi}^R$, we have

$$\mathbf{B}_5 \le C(\delta_0 + \varepsilon_1)(\mathcal{G}^R + \mathcal{G}^S) + C\delta_C(1+t)^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\frac{C_1|\xi + \sigma t|^2}{1+t}} |(v - \bar{v}, \theta - \bar{\theta})|^2 d\xi.$$

Therefore,

(4.66)
$$\sum_{i=3}^{5} \mathbf{B}_{i} \leq \frac{1}{20} \mathbf{D} + C(\delta_{0} + \varepsilon_{1})(\mathcal{G}^{R} + \mathcal{G}^{S}) + C\delta_{C}(1+t)^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\frac{C_{1}|\xi + \sigma t|^{2}}{1+t}} |(v - \bar{v}, \theta - \bar{\theta})|^{2} d\xi.$$

• Estimates on the terms S_i (i = 1, 2): First, by (3.9), for i = 1, 2,

(4.67)
$$|Q_{i}^{R}| \leq C \Big[|u_{\xi\xi}^{R}| + |u_{\xi}^{R}||v_{\xi}^{R}| + |\theta_{\xi\xi}^{R}| + |\theta_{\xi}^{R}||v_{\xi}^{R}| + |u_{\xi}^{R}|^{2} \Big] \\\leq C \Big[|(u_{\xi\xi}^{R}, \theta_{\xi\xi}^{R})| + |(v_{\xi}^{R}, u_{\xi}^{R}, \theta_{\xi}^{R})|^{2} \Big],$$

and then

$$\|Q_{i}^{R}\|_{L^{1}(\mathbb{R})} \leq C \Big[\| (u_{\xi\xi}^{R}, \theta_{\xi\xi}^{R}) \|_{L^{1}} + \| (v_{\xi}^{R}, u_{\xi}^{R}, \theta_{\xi}^{R}) \|_{L^{2}}^{2} \Big].$$

Notice that by Lemma 2.1,

$$\left\| \left(u_{\xi\xi}^R, \theta_{\xi\xi}^R \right) \right\|_{L^1} \le \begin{cases} C\delta_R & \text{if } 1+t \le \delta_R^{-1} \\ C\frac{1}{1+t} & \text{if } 1+t \ge \delta_R^{-1}, \end{cases}$$

and

(4.68)
$$\| \left(v_{\xi}^{R}, u_{\xi}^{R}, \theta_{\xi}^{R} \right) \|_{L^{2}} \leq \begin{cases} C \delta_{R} & \text{if } 1 + t \leq \delta_{R}^{-1} \\ C \delta_{R}^{1/2} \frac{1}{(1+t)^{1/2}} & \text{if } 1 + t \geq \delta_{R}^{-1}, \end{cases}$$

Thus,

(4.69)
$$\int_0^\infty \|Q_i^R\|_{L^1}^{4/3} ds \le C\left(\delta_R^{1/3} + \delta_R^{5/3}\right) \le C\delta_R^{1/3}, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

By (2.10), it holds that

(4.70)
$$\|Q_1^C\|_{L^2} \le C\delta_C (1+t)^{-\frac{5}{4}}, \qquad \|Q_2^C\|_{L^2} \le C\delta_C (1+t)^{-\frac{7}{4}}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{S}_{1} + \mathbf{S}_{2} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} a^{\mathbf{X}} Q_{1}(u - \bar{u}) d\xi + \int_{\mathbb{R}} a^{\mathbf{X}} Q_{2}(1 - \frac{\bar{\theta}}{\theta}) d\xi \\ &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(|Q_{1}^{I}| + |Q_{1}^{R}| + |Q_{1}^{C}| \right) |u - \bar{u}| d\xi + C \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(|Q_{2}^{I}| + |Q_{2}^{R}| + |Q_{2}^{C}| \right) |\theta - \bar{\theta}| d\xi \\ &\leq C \left(\|Q_{1}^{I}\|_{L^{2}} + \|Q_{1}^{C}\|_{L^{2}} \right) \|u - \bar{u}\|_{L^{2}} + C \|Q_{1}^{R}\|_{L^{1}(\mathbb{R})} \|u - \bar{u}\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})} \\ &+ C \left(\|Q_{2}^{I}\|_{L^{2}} + \|Q_{2}^{C}\|_{L^{2}} \right) \|\theta - \bar{\theta}\|_{L^{2}} + C \|Q_{2}^{R}\|_{L^{1}(\mathbb{R})} \|\theta - \bar{\theta}\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})}, \end{aligned}$$

which together with Lemma 4.2 and (4.70)

$$(4.71) \begin{aligned} \mathbf{S}_{1} + \mathbf{S}_{2} \\ &\leq C \Big[\delta_{S}(\delta_{R} + \delta_{C}) e^{-C\delta_{S}t} + \delta_{R}\delta_{C}e^{-Ct} + \delta_{C}(1+t)^{-\frac{5}{4}} \Big] \Big(\|u - \bar{u}\|_{L^{2}} + \|\theta - \bar{\theta}\|_{L^{2}} \Big) \\ &+ C \| (Q_{1}^{R}, Q_{2}^{R})\|_{L^{1}} \| (u - \bar{u}, \theta - \bar{\theta})_{\xi} \|_{L^{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \| (u - \bar{u}, \theta - \bar{\theta}) \|_{L^{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq C \Big[\delta_{S}(\delta_{R} + \delta_{C})e^{-C\delta_{S}t} + \delta_{R}\delta_{C}e^{-Ct} + \delta_{C}(1+t)^{-\frac{5}{4}} \Big] \| (u - \bar{u}, \theta - \bar{\theta}) \|_{L^{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &+ \frac{1}{20}\mathbf{D} + C \| (Q_{1}^{R}, Q_{2}^{R}) \|_{L^{1}}^{\frac{4}{3}} \| (u - \bar{u}, \theta - \bar{\theta}) \|_{L^{2}}^{\frac{2}{3}}. \end{aligned}$$

• <u>Conclusion</u>: From (4.63), (4.64), (4.66) and (4.71), with the smallness of δ_0, ε_1 , we have

$$\begin{split} &\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}} a^{-\mathbf{X}} \bar{\theta} \eta \left(U | \bar{U} \right) d\xi \\ &\leq -\frac{\delta_S}{4M} |\dot{\mathbf{X}}|^2 - \frac{C}{2} (\mathcal{G}^S + \mathcal{G}^R) - \frac{1}{8} \mathbf{D} + C (\delta_R^{4/3} + \delta_C^{4/3}) \delta_S^{4/3} e^{-C\delta_S t} \\ &+ C \delta_C (1+t)^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\frac{C_1 | \xi + \sigma t |^2}{1+t}} |(v - \bar{v}, \theta - \bar{\theta})|^2 d\xi \\ &+ C \left[\delta_S (\delta_R + \delta_C) e^{-C\delta_S t} + \delta_R \delta_C e^{-Ct} + \delta_C (1+t)^{-\frac{5}{4}} \right] \| (u - \bar{u}, \theta - \bar{\theta}) \|_{L^{\infty}(0,T;L^2(\mathbb{R}))} \\ &+ C \| (Q_1^R, Q_2^R) \|_{L^1}^{\frac{4}{3}} \| (u - \bar{u}, \theta - \bar{\theta}) \|_{L^{\infty}(0,T;L^2(\mathbb{R}))}^2. \end{split}$$

Integrating the above inequality over [0, t] for any $t \leq T$, we have

$$\begin{split} \sup_{t\in[0,T]} &\int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta \left(U|\bar{U} \right) d\xi + \delta_{S} \int_{0}^{t} |\dot{\mathbf{X}}|^{2} d\tau + \int_{0}^{t} (\mathcal{G}^{S} + \mathcal{G}^{R} + \mathbf{D}) d\tau \\ &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta \left(U_{0}|\bar{U}(0,\xi) \right) d\xi + C(\delta_{R}^{4/3} + \delta_{C}^{4/3}) \delta_{S}^{1/3} \\ &+ C(\delta_{R} + \delta_{C}) \| (u - \bar{u}, \theta - \bar{\theta}) \|_{L^{\infty}(0,T;L^{2}(\mathbb{R}))} + C \delta_{R}^{1/3} \| (u - \bar{u}, \theta - \bar{\theta}) \|_{L^{\infty}(0,T;L^{2}(\mathbb{R}))}^{\frac{2}{3}} \\ &+ C \delta_{C} \int_{0}^{t} (1 + \tau)^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\frac{C_{1}|\xi + \sigma\tau|^{2}}{1 + \tau}} | (v - \bar{v}, \theta - \bar{\theta}) |^{2} d\xi d\tau. \end{split}$$

Then, using Young's inequality with the fact that

$$\|U - \bar{U}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 \sim \int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta(U|\bar{U}) d\xi, \quad \forall t \in [0,T],$$

we have

(4.72)
$$\sup_{t \in [0,T]} \|U - \bar{U}\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2} + \delta_{S} \int_{0}^{t} |\dot{\mathbf{X}}|^{2} d\tau + \int_{0}^{t} (\mathcal{G}^{S} + \mathcal{G}^{R} + \mathbf{D}) d\tau$$
$$\leq \|U_{0} - \bar{U}(0, \cdot)\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2} + C\delta_{0}^{1/2} + C\delta_{0}^{1/2} + C\delta_{0} \int_{0}^{t} (1 + \tau)^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\frac{C_{1}|\xi + \sigma\tau|^{2}}{1 + \tau}} |(v - \bar{v}, \theta - \bar{\theta})|^{2} d\xi d\tau.$$

Finally, using the following Lemma 4.5 with $\delta_0 \ll 1$, we have

$$\sup_{t \in [0,T]} \|U - \bar{U}\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2} + \delta_{S} \int_{0}^{t} |\dot{\mathbf{X}}|^{2} d\tau + \int_{0}^{t} (\mathcal{G}^{S} + \mathcal{G}^{R} + \mathbf{D}) d\tau$$
$$\leq \|U_{0} - \bar{U}(0, \cdot)\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2} + C \delta_{0}^{1/2} + C \int_{0}^{t} \|(v - \bar{v})_{\xi}\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2} d\tau,$$

which completes the proof of Lemma 4.1.

Lemma 4.5. It holds that

(4.73)
$$\int_{0}^{t} (1+\tau)^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\frac{C_{1}|\xi+\sigma\tau|^{2}}{1+\tau}} |(v-\bar{v},u-\bar{u},\theta-\bar{\theta})|^{2} d\xi d\tau \leq C \sup_{t\in[0,T]} ||U-\bar{U}||^{2}_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})} + C\delta_{S} \int_{0}^{t} |\dot{\mathbf{X}}(\tau)|^{2} d\tau + C \int_{0}^{t} (\mathcal{G}^{S}+\mathcal{G}^{R}+\mathbf{D}) d\tau + C \int_{0}^{t} ||(v-\bar{v})_{\xi}||^{2}_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})} d\tau + C\delta_{0}^{\frac{1}{3}}.$$

Remark 4.2. In the above estimate (4.72), Lemma 4.5 was used to control the last term in terms of the v and θ variables only. However, Lemma 4.5 also provides the estimate on the u variable: $\int_0^t (1+\tau)^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\frac{C_1|\xi+\sigma\tau|^2}{1+\tau}} |u-\bar{u}|^2 d\xi d\tau$, which will be used in Section 5.

Proof. The proof uses the same argument as in the paper [10] that handles the stability of the composition of two rarefaction and a viscous contact wave. Here we should additionally handle the viscous shock wave with shift. However, since the proof is lengthy and mainly follows the argument of [10], we present the proof in Appendix C for completeness. \Box

5. Proof of Proposition 3.2

We here complete the proof of Proposition 3.2, by the following lemma.

Lemma 5.1. Under the hypotheses of Proposition 3.2, there exists C > 0 (independent of $\delta_0, \varepsilon_1, T$) such that for all $t \in (0, T]$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|(v-\bar{v},u-\bar{u},\theta-\bar{\theta})(t,\cdot)\|_{H^{1}(\mathbb{R})}^{2} + \delta_{S} \int_{0}^{t} |\dot{\mathbf{X}}(\tau)|^{2} d\tau \\ &+ \int_{0}^{t} \left(\mathcal{G}^{S}(U) + \mathcal{G}^{R}(U) + \|(v-\bar{v},u-\bar{u},\theta-\bar{\theta})_{\xi}\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2} + \|(u-\bar{u},\theta-\bar{\theta})_{\xi\xi}\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2} \right) d\tau \\ &\leq C \|(v-\bar{v},u-\bar{u},\theta-\bar{\theta})(0,\cdot)\|_{H^{1}(\mathbb{R})}^{2} + C\delta_{0}^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. As in the proof of Lemma 4.5, we use the following notations

$$\phi(t,\xi) := v(t,\xi) - \bar{v}(t,\xi), \quad \psi(t,\xi) := u(t,\xi) - \bar{u}(t,\xi), \quad \vartheta(t,\xi) := \theta(t,\xi) - \bar{\theta}(t,\xi),$$

$$W(t,\xi) := (1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{\beta|\xi+\sigma t|^2}{1+t}}, \quad \beta := \frac{C_1}{2}.$$

First, it follows from (3.1) and (3.5) that (as in (C.17))

(5.1)
$$\phi_t - \sigma \phi_{\xi} - \dot{\mathbf{X}}(t)(v^S)_{\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}} - \psi_{\xi} = 0,$$

and

(5.2)
$$\psi_t - \sigma \psi_{\xi} - \dot{\mathbf{X}}(t)(u^S)_{\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}} + (p - \bar{p})_{\xi} = \mu \left(\frac{u_{\xi}}{v} - \frac{\bar{u}_{\xi}}{\bar{v}}\right)_{\xi} - Q_1.$$

Differentiating (5.1) w.r.t. ξ and multiplying the result by $\mu\phi_{\xi}$, we have

(5.3)
$$\mu\left(\frac{\phi_{\xi}^2}{2}\right)_t - \sigma\mu\left(\frac{\phi_{\xi}^2}{2}\right)_{\xi} - \dot{\mathbf{X}}(t)(v^S)_{\xi\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}}\mu\phi_{\xi} = \mu\phi_{\xi}\psi_{\xi\xi}.$$

Multiplying (5.2) by $-v\phi_{\xi}$ yields that

$$-v\phi_{\xi}\psi_{t} + \sigma v\phi_{\xi}\psi_{\xi} + v\phi_{\xi}\dot{\mathbf{X}}(t)(u^{S})_{\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}}$$
$$= v\phi_{\xi}(p-\bar{p})_{\xi} - v\phi_{\xi}\mu\left(\frac{u_{\xi}}{v} - \frac{\bar{u}_{\xi}}{\bar{v}}\right)_{\xi} + v\phi_{\xi}Q_{1}.$$

Then, using the above equation and (5.3) together with

(5.4)
$$(p-\bar{p})_{\xi} = \frac{R\vartheta_{\xi}}{v} - \frac{R\theta\phi_{\xi}}{v^2} + R\bar{\theta}_{\xi}\left(\frac{1}{v} - \frac{1}{\bar{v}}\right) + R\bar{v}_{\xi}\left(\frac{\bar{\theta}}{\bar{v}^2} - \frac{\theta}{v^2}\right),$$

and

(5.5)
$$\left(\frac{u_{\xi}}{v} - \frac{\bar{u}_{\xi}}{\bar{v}}\right)_{\xi} = \frac{\psi_{\xi\xi}}{v} - \frac{\psi_{\xi}v_{\xi}}{v^2} + \bar{u}_{\xi\xi}\left(\frac{1}{v} - \frac{1}{\bar{v}}\right) + \bar{u}_{\xi}\left(\frac{\bar{v}_{\xi}}{\bar{v}^2} - \frac{v_{\xi}}{v^2}\right),$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{\mu\phi_{\xi}^{2}}{2}-v\psi\phi_{\xi}\right)_{t} &-\sigma\left(\frac{\mu\phi_{\xi}^{2}}{2}-v\psi\phi_{\xi}\right)_{\xi}+\frac{R\theta\phi_{\xi}^{2}}{v}+(v_{t}-\sigma v_{\xi})\psi\phi_{\xi}+\left(v\psi\phi_{t}-\sigma v\psi\phi_{\xi}\right)_{\xi}\\ &-\left(v_{\xi}\psi+v\psi_{\xi}\right)\left(\phi_{t}-\sigma\phi_{\xi}\right)+\dot{\mathbf{X}}(t)\phi_{\xi}\left[v(u^{S})_{\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}}-\mu(v^{S})_{\xi\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}}\right]\\ &=R\vartheta_{\xi}\phi_{\xi}+Rv\phi_{\xi}\bar{\theta}_{\xi}\left(\frac{1}{v}-\frac{1}{\bar{v}}\right)+Rv\phi_{\xi}\bar{v}_{\xi}\left(\frac{\bar{\theta}}{\bar{v}^{2}}-\frac{\theta}{v^{2}}\right)-\mu v\phi_{\xi}\bar{u}_{\xi\xi}\left(\frac{1}{v}-\frac{1}{\bar{v}}\right)\\ &-\mu v\phi_{\xi}\bar{u}_{\xi}\left(\frac{\bar{v}_{\xi}}{\bar{v}^{2}}-\frac{v_{\xi}}{v^{2}}\right)+\mu\frac{\phi_{\xi}\psi_{\xi}v_{\xi}}{v}+v\phi_{\xi}Q_{1}.\end{aligned}$$

Integrating the above equation over $\mathbb{R}\times [0,t]$ with respect to ξ and t, we have

$$\begin{split} \frac{\mu}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi_{\xi}^{2} d\xi + \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{R\theta \phi_{\xi}^{2}}{v} d\xi d\tau &\leq C \|\phi_{0\xi}\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2} + \int_{\mathbb{R}} v\psi\phi_{\xi} d\xi - \int_{\mathbb{R}} v_{0}\psi_{0}\phi_{0\xi} d\xi \\ &+ C \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(v_{t} - \sigma v_{\xi})\psi\phi_{\xi}| d\xi d\tau + C \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(v_{\xi}\psi + v\psi_{\xi})(\phi_{t} - \sigma\phi_{\xi})| d\xi d\tau \\ &+ C \int_{0}^{t} |\dot{\mathbf{X}}(\tau)| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left|\phi_{\xi} \left[v(u^{S})_{\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}} - \mu(v^{S})_{\xi\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}}\right] \right| d\xi d\tau + C \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |R\vartheta_{\xi}\phi_{\xi}| d\xi d\tau \\ &+ C \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left|Rv\phi_{\xi}\bar{\theta}_{\xi}\left(\frac{1}{v} - \frac{1}{\bar{v}}\right) + Rv\phi_{\xi}\bar{v}_{\xi}\left(\frac{\bar{\theta}}{\bar{v}^{2}} - \frac{\theta}{v^{2}}\right) - \mu v\phi_{\xi}\bar{u}_{\xi\xi}\left(\frac{1}{v} - \frac{1}{\bar{v}}\right) \\ &+ \mu v\phi_{\xi}\bar{u}_{\xi}\left(\frac{\bar{v}_{\xi}}{\bar{v}^{2}} - \frac{v_{\xi}}{v^{2}}\right) \left|d\xi d\tau + C \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left|\mu\frac{\phi_{\xi}\psi_{\xi}v_{\xi}}{v}\right| d\xi d\tau \\ &+ C \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |v\phi_{\xi}Q_{1}| d\xi d\tau. \end{split}$$

In addition, using

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} v\psi\phi_{\xi}d\xi - \int_{\mathbb{R}} v_{0}\psi_{0}\phi_{0\xi}d\xi \leq C(\|\psi\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}\|\phi_{\xi}\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})} + \|\psi_{0}\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}\|\phi_{0\xi}\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})})$$
$$\leq \frac{\mu}{4}\|\phi_{\xi}\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2} + C\|\psi\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2} + \|(\phi_{0\xi},\psi_{0})\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2},$$

we have

$$\|\phi_{\xi}(t,\cdot)\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2} + \int_{0}^{t} \|\phi_{\xi}(\tau,\cdot)\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2} d\tau \leq C \Big[\|(\phi_{0\xi},\psi_{0})\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2} + \|\psi(t,\cdot)\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2}\Big] + \sum_{j=1}^{7} L_{j},$$

where

$$\begin{split} L_1 &:= C \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(v_t - \sigma v_\xi) \psi \phi_\xi| d\xi d\tau, \\ L_2 &:= C \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(v_\xi \psi + v \psi_\xi) (\phi_t - \sigma \phi_\xi)| d\xi d\tau, \\ L_3 &:= C \int_0^t |\dot{\mathbf{X}}(\tau)| \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\phi_\xi [v(u^S)_\xi^{-\mathbf{X}} - \mu(v^S)_{\xi\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}}] |d\xi d\tau, \\ L_4 &:= C \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} |R \vartheta_\xi \phi_\xi| d\xi d\tau, \\ L_5 &:= C \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| Rv \phi_\xi \bar{\theta}_\xi \left(\frac{1}{v} - \frac{1}{\bar{v}} \right) + Rv \phi_\xi \bar{v}_\xi \left(\frac{\bar{\theta}}{\bar{v}^2} - \frac{\theta}{v^2} \right) - \mu v \phi_\xi \bar{u}_{\xi\xi} \left(\frac{1}{v} - \frac{1}{\bar{v}} \right) \\ &+ \mu v \phi_\xi \bar{u}_\xi \left(\frac{\bar{v}_\xi}{\bar{v}^2} - \frac{v_\xi}{v^2} \right) \right| d\xi d\tau, \\ L_6 &:= C \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| \mu \frac{\phi_\xi \psi_\xi v_\xi}{v} \right| d\xi d\tau, \\ L_7 &:= C \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} |v \phi_\xi Q_1| d\xi d\tau. \end{split}$$

Now we estimate L_j , $j = 1, 2, \dots, 7$. First, using $(3.1)_1$ and the same estimate as in (4.65), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |L_{1}| &\leq C \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |u_{\xi}\psi\phi_{\xi}| d\xi d\tau \leq C \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(|\psi_{\xi}| + |\bar{u}_{\xi}| \right) |\psi| |\phi_{\xi}| d\xi d\tau \\ &\leq C \varepsilon_{1} \int_{0}^{t} \left(\|\psi_{\xi}\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2} + \|\phi_{\xi}\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2} \right) d\tau + \frac{1}{8} \int_{0}^{t} \|\phi_{\xi}\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2} d\tau + C \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\bar{u}_{\xi}|^{2} |\psi|^{2} d\xi d\tau \\ &\leq C \varepsilon_{1} \int_{0}^{t} \left(\|\psi_{\xi}\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2} + \|\phi_{\xi}\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2} \right) d\tau + \frac{1}{8} \int_{0}^{t} \|\phi_{\xi}\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2} d\tau + C \int_{0}^{t} \left(\delta_{S} \mathcal{G}^{S} + \delta_{R} \mathcal{G}^{R} \right) d\tau \\ &+ C (\delta_{C})^{2} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} W^{2} \psi^{2} d\xi d\tau, \end{aligned}$$

where the last term is obtained by $(1+t)^{-2}e^{-\frac{2C_1|\xi+\sigma t|^2}{1+t}} \leq W^2$. Likewise, we use (C.17) and $v_{\xi} = \phi_{\xi} + \bar{v}_{\xi}$ to have

$$\begin{aligned} |L_2| &\leq C \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left((|\phi_{\xi}| + |\bar{v}_{\xi}|) |\psi| + |\psi_{\xi}| \right) \left| \dot{\mathbf{X}}(t) (v^S)_{\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}} + \psi_{\xi} \right| d\xi d\tau \\ &\leq C \varepsilon_1 \int_0^t \|\phi_{\xi}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 d\tau + C \int_0^t \|\psi_{\xi}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 d\tau + C \delta_0 \delta_S \int_0^t |\dot{\mathbf{X}}(\tau)|^2 d\tau \\ &+ C \delta_0 \int_0^t \left(\mathcal{G}^S + \mathcal{G}^R \right) d\tau + C \delta_0 \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} W^2 |\psi|^2 d\xi d\tau, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |L_{5}| &\leq C \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| \phi_{\xi} \right| \left| (\bar{\theta}_{\xi}, \bar{v}_{\xi}, \bar{u}_{\xi\xi}, \bar{u}_{\xi}\bar{v}_{\xi}) \right| \left| (\phi, \vartheta) \right| d\xi d\tau + C \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\bar{u}_{\xi}| |\phi_{\xi}| (|\phi_{\xi}| + |\bar{v}_{\xi}| |\phi|) d\xi d\tau \\ &\leq \frac{1}{8} \int_{0}^{t} \|\phi_{\xi}\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2} d\tau + C \delta_{0} \int_{0}^{t} \left(\mathcal{G}^{S} + \mathcal{G}^{R} \right) d\tau + C \delta_{0} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} W^{2} |(\phi, \vartheta)|^{2} d\xi d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

We easily have

$$|L_3| \le C\delta_S \int_0^t |\dot{\mathbf{X}}(\tau)|^2 d\tau + C\delta_0 \int_0^t \|\phi_{\xi}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 d\tau,$$

and

$$|L_4| \le \frac{1}{8} \int_0^t \|\phi_{\xi}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 d\tau + C \int_0^t \|\vartheta_{\xi}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 d\tau.$$

For L_6 , we use the interpolation inequality to have

$$\begin{aligned} |L_{6}| &\leq C \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\phi_{\xi}| |\psi_{\xi}| |\phi_{\xi} + \bar{v}_{\xi}| d\xi d\tau \\ &\leq C \int_{0}^{t} \|\psi_{\xi\xi}\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})} \|\psi_{\xi}\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})} \|\phi_{\xi}\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2} d\tau + C \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\bar{v}_{\xi}| |\phi_{\xi}| |\psi_{\xi}| d\xi d\tau \\ &\leq C \varepsilon_{1}^{2} \int_{0}^{t} \|\psi_{\xi\xi}\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2} d\tau + C(\delta_{0} + \varepsilon_{1}^{2}) \int_{0}^{t} \|(\phi_{\xi}, \psi_{\xi})\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2} d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

Since (4.67) and Lemma 2.1 (with the same estimates as in (4.68)) imply that for each i = 1, 2,

(5.6)
$$\int_{0}^{t} \|Q_{i}^{R}\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2} d\tau \leq C \int_{0}^{t} \left[\left\| \left(u_{\xi\xi}^{R}, \theta_{\xi\xi}^{R} \right) \right\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2} + \left\| \left(v_{\xi}^{R}, u_{\xi}^{R}, \theta_{\xi}^{R} \right) \right\|_{L^{4}}^{4} \right] d\tau \leq C \delta_{R},$$

we use Lemma 4.2 and (4.70) to have (5.7)

$$\begin{aligned} |L_{7}| &\leq C \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\phi_{\xi}| |Q_{1}| d\xi d\tau \leq \frac{1}{8} \int_{0}^{t} \|\phi_{\xi}\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2} d\tau + C \int_{0}^{t} \|Q_{1}\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2} d\tau \\ &\leq \frac{1}{8} \int_{0}^{t} \|\phi_{\xi}\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2} d\tau + C \int_{0}^{t} \left[\|Q_{1}^{I}\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2} + \|Q_{1}^{R}\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2} + \|Q_{1}^{C}\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2} \right] d\tau \\ &\leq \frac{1}{8} \int_{0}^{t} \|\phi_{\xi}\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2} d\tau + C \int_{0}^{t} \left[C\delta_{0}\delta_{S}e^{-C\delta_{S}\tau} + C\delta_{0}^{2}e^{-C\tau} + \delta_{C}(1+\tau)^{-\frac{5}{2}} \right] d\tau + C\delta_{R} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{8} \int_{0}^{t} \|\phi_{\xi}\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2} d\tau + C\delta_{0}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, combining the above estimates, we have

$$\begin{split} \|\phi_{\xi}\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2} &+ \int_{0}^{t} \|\phi_{\xi}\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2} d\tau \\ &\leq C \|(\phi_{0\xi},\psi_{0})\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2} + C \|\psi\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2} + C \int_{0}^{t} \|(\psi_{\xi},\vartheta_{\xi})\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2} d\tau + C\varepsilon_{1}^{2} \int_{0}^{t} \|\psi_{\xi\xi}\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2} d\tau \\ &+ C\delta_{S} \int_{0}^{t} |\dot{\mathbf{X}}(\tau)|^{2} d\tau + C\delta_{0} \int_{0}^{t} \left(\mathcal{G}^{S} + \mathcal{G}^{R}\right) d\tau + C\delta_{0} \underbrace{\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} W^{2} |(\phi,\psi,\vartheta)|^{2} d\xi d\tau}_{=:\mathbf{W}} + C\delta_{0} \underbrace{\int_{0}^{t} \left(\mathcal{G}^{S} + \mathcal{G}^{R}\right) d\tau}_{=:\mathbf{W}} + C\delta_{0} \underbrace{\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} W^{2} |(\phi,\psi,\vartheta)|^{2} d\xi d\tau}_{=:\mathbf{W}} + C\delta_{0} \underbrace{\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} W^{2} |(\phi,\psi,\psi,\vartheta)|^{2} d\xi d\tau}_{=:\mathbf{W}} + C\delta_{0} \underbrace{\int_{0}^{t} (\psi,\psi,\psi,\psi,\psi)|^{2} d\xi d\tau}_{=:\mathbf{W}} + C\delta_{0} \underbrace{\int_{0}^{t} (\psi,\psi,\psi,\psi)|^{2} d\xi d\tau}_{=:\mathbf{W}} + C\delta_{0} \underbrace{\int_{0}^{t} (\psi,\psi,\psi)|^{2} (\psi,\psi,\psi)|^{2} d\xi d\tau}_{=:\mathbf{W}} + C\delta_{0} \underbrace{\int_{0}^{t} (\psi,\psi,\psi)|^{2} (\psi,\psi)|^{2} (\psi,\psi)|^{2}$$

Applying Lemma 4.5 to the above term **W** that is the same as the left-hand side of (4.73), and using $\mathbf{D} \leq C \|(\psi_{\xi}, \vartheta_{\xi})\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\phi_{\xi}\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2} + \int_{0}^{t} \|\phi_{\xi}\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2} d\tau \\ &\leq C \|(\phi_{0\xi},\psi_{0})\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2} + C \|(\phi,\psi,\vartheta)\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2} + C \int_{0}^{t} \|(\psi_{\xi},\vartheta_{\xi})\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2} d\tau + C\varepsilon_{1}^{2} \int_{0}^{t} \|\psi_{\xi\xi}\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2} d\tau \\ &+ C\delta_{S} \int_{0}^{t} |\dot{\mathbf{X}}(\tau)|^{2} d\tau + C\delta_{0} \int_{0}^{t} \left(\mathcal{G}^{S} + \mathcal{G}^{R}\right) d\tau + C\delta_{0}. \end{aligned}$$

To estimate ψ_{ξ} , multiplying the equation (5.2) by $-\psi_{\xi\xi}$ and integrating the result w.r.t. ξ , we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{|\psi_{\xi}|^2}{2} d\xi = -\dot{\mathbf{X}}(t) \int_{\mathbb{R}} (u^S)_{\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}} \psi_{\xi\xi} d\xi + \int_{\mathbb{R}} (p-\bar{p})_{\xi} \psi_{\xi\xi} d\xi - \mu \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\frac{u_{\xi}}{v} - \frac{\bar{u}_{\xi}}{\bar{v}}\right)_{\xi} \psi_{\xi\xi} d\xi + \int_{\mathbb{R}} Q_1 \psi_{\xi\xi} d\xi := K_1 + K_2 + K_3 + K_4.$$

First, we find a good term

$$\mathbf{D}_{\psi} := \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\mu}{v} |\psi_{\xi\xi}|^2 d\xi$$

from K_3 as follows: (using (5.5))

$$K_{3} = -\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\mu}{v} |\psi_{\xi\xi}|^{2} d\xi - \mu \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\frac{1}{v}\right)_{\xi} \psi_{\xi} \psi_{\xi\xi} d\xi - \mu \int_{\mathbb{R}} \bar{u}_{\xi\xi} \left(\frac{1}{v} - \frac{1}{\bar{v}}\right) \psi_{\xi\xi} d\xi$$
$$- \mu \int_{\mathbb{R}} \bar{u}_{\xi} \left(\frac{1}{v} - \frac{1}{\bar{v}}\right)_{\xi} \psi_{\xi\xi} d\xi =: -\mathbf{D}_{\psi} + K_{31} + K_{32} + K_{33}.$$

Using $v_{\xi} = \phi_{\xi} + \bar{v}_{\xi}$ and the interpolation inequality with (3.17), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |K_{31}| &\leq \|\phi_{\xi}\|_{L^{2}} \|\psi_{\xi}\|_{L^{\infty}} \|\psi_{\xi\xi}\|_{L^{2}} + \|\bar{v}_{\xi}\|_{L^{\infty}} \|\psi_{\xi}\|_{L^{2}} \|\psi_{\xi\xi}\|_{L^{2}} \\ &\leq C\varepsilon_{1} \|\psi_{\xi}\|_{L^{2}}^{1/2} \|\psi_{\xi\xi}\|_{L^{2}}^{1/2} \|\psi_{\xi\xi}\|_{L^{2}} + C\delta_{0} \|\psi_{\xi}\|_{L^{2}} \|\psi_{\xi\xi}\|_{L^{2}} \\ &\leq C(\varepsilon_{1} + \delta_{0}) (\|\psi_{\xi}\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + \|\psi_{\xi\xi}\|_{L^{2}}^{2}) \leq \frac{1}{8} \mathbf{D}_{\psi} + C(\varepsilon_{1} + \delta_{0}) \mathbf{D} \end{aligned}$$

Using $|\bar{u}^R_{\xi\xi}| \leq C |\bar{u}^R_{\xi}|$ (by Lemma 2.1), we have

$$|K_{32}| \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}} (|u_{\xi\xi}^{R}| + |u_{\xi\xi}^{C}| + |(u^{S})_{\xi\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}}|)|\phi||\psi_{\xi\xi}|d\xi$$
$$\leq \frac{1}{8}\mathbf{D}_{\psi} + C\delta_{0} \Big(\mathcal{G}^{S} + \mathcal{G}^{R} + \int_{\mathbb{R}} W^{2}\phi^{2}d\xi\Big),$$

and

$$|K_{33}| \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\bar{u}_{\xi}| (|\phi_{\xi}| + |\bar{v}_{\xi}||\phi|) |\psi_{\xi\xi}| d\xi$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{8} \mathbf{D}_{\psi} + C\delta_0 \|\phi_{\xi}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 + C\delta_0 (\mathcal{G}^S + \mathcal{G}^R + \int_{\mathbb{R}} W^2 \phi^2 d\xi).$$

We easily have

$$|K_{1}| \leq |\dot{\mathbf{X}}(t)| \| (u^{S})_{\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}} \|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})} \| \psi_{\xi\xi} \|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})} \leq \delta_{S} |\dot{\mathbf{X}}(t)|^{2} + C \delta_{S} \mathbf{D}_{\psi} \leq \delta_{S} |\dot{\mathbf{X}}(t)|^{2} + \frac{1}{8} \mathbf{D}_{\psi},$$

and

$$|K_4| \le \frac{1}{8} \mathbf{D}_{\psi} + C ||Q_1||^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}.$$

Using (5.4),

$$\begin{aligned} |K_2| &\leq \frac{1}{8} \mathbf{D}_{\psi} + C \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(p - \bar{p})_{\xi}|^2 d\xi \\ &\leq \frac{1}{8} \mathbf{D}_{\psi} + C \big(\|\phi_{\xi}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 + \mathbf{D} \big) + C \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(\bar{v}_{\xi}, \bar{\theta}_{\xi})|^2 |(\phi, \vartheta)|^2 d\xi \\ &\leq \frac{1}{8} \mathbf{D}_{\psi} + C \big(\|\phi_{\xi}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 + \mathbf{D} \big) + C \delta_0 \Big(\mathcal{G}^S + \mathcal{G}^R + \int_{\mathbb{R}} W^2 \phi^2 d\xi \Big). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we find that

(5.9)
$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{|\psi_{\xi}|^2}{2} d\xi + \frac{1}{8} \mathbf{D}_{\psi} \leq \delta_S |\dot{\mathbf{X}}|^2 + C \left(\|\phi_{\xi}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 + \mathbf{D} \right) + C \|Q_1\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2$$

$$+ C\delta_0 \Big(\mathcal{G}^S + \mathcal{G}^R + \int_{\mathbb{R}} W^2 \phi^2 d\xi \Big).$$

Similarly, we estimate ϑ_{ξ} . Multiplying the equation (C.16) by $-\vartheta_{\xi\xi}$ and integrating the result w.r.t. ξ , we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{|\vartheta_{\xi}|^{2}}{2} d\xi = \frac{R}{\gamma - 1} \dot{\mathbf{X}}(t) \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\theta^{S})_{\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}} \vartheta_{\xi\xi} d\xi + \int_{\mathbb{R}} (pu_{\xi} - \bar{p}\bar{u}_{\xi}) \vartheta_{\xi\xi} d\xi$$
$$\underbrace{-\int_{\mathbb{R}} \kappa \left(\frac{\theta_{\xi}}{v} - \frac{\bar{\theta}_{\xi}}{\bar{v}}\right)_{\xi} \vartheta_{\xi\xi} d\xi}_{=:K_{5}} - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mu \left(\frac{u_{\xi}^{2}}{v} - \frac{\bar{u}_{\xi}^{2}}{\bar{v}}\right) \vartheta_{\xi\xi} d\xi + \int_{\mathbb{R}} Q_{2} \vartheta_{\xi\xi} d\xi$$

As above, we find a good term

$$\mathbf{D}_{\theta} := \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\kappa}{v} |\vartheta_{\xi\xi}|^2 d\xi$$

from K_5 as follows:

$$K_{7} = -\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\kappa}{v} |\vartheta_{\xi\xi}|^{2} d\xi - \kappa \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\frac{1}{v}\right)_{\xi} \vartheta_{\xi} \vartheta_{\xi\xi} d\xi - \kappa \int_{\mathbb{R}} \bar{\theta}_{\xi\xi} \left(\frac{1}{v} - \frac{1}{\bar{v}}\right) \vartheta_{\xi\xi} d\xi$$
$$- \kappa \int_{\mathbb{R}} \bar{\theta}_{\xi} \left(\frac{1}{v} - \frac{1}{\bar{v}}\right)_{\xi} \vartheta_{\xi\xi} d\xi.$$

The all terms above can be estimated in a similar way as before. Therefore, we get

(5.10)
$$\frac{\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{|\vartheta_{\xi}|^2}{2} d\xi + \frac{1}{8} \mathbf{D}_{\theta} \leq \delta_S |\dot{\mathbf{X}}|^2 + C \left(\|\phi_{\xi}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 + \mathbf{D} \right) + C \|Q_1\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 + C \delta_0 \left(\mathcal{G}^S + \mathcal{G}^R + \int_{\mathbb{R}} W^2 \phi^2 d\xi \right).$$

Adding (5.9) and (5.10) and then integrating the result over [0, t], together with using (4.73) as in (5.8), we find that for some constants $C_*, C > 0$, (5.11)

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\psi_{\xi},\vartheta_{\xi})\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2} + \int_{0}^{t} \|(\psi_{\xi\xi},\vartheta_{\xi\xi})\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2} d\tau \\ &\leq C\|(\psi_{0\xi},\vartheta_{0\xi})\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2} + C\delta_{S}\int_{0}^{t} |\dot{\mathbf{X}}|^{2} d\tau + C_{*}\int_{0}^{t} \|\phi_{\xi}\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2} d\tau + C\int_{0}^{t} \|(\psi_{\xi},\vartheta_{\xi})\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2} d\tau \\ &+ C\int_{0}^{t} \|(Q_{1},Q_{2})\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2} d\tau + C\delta_{0}\int_{0}^{t} \left(\mathcal{G}^{S} + \mathcal{G}^{R}\right) d\tau + C\delta_{0} \|(\phi,\psi,\vartheta)\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2} + C\delta_{0}. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that by (5.6), Lemma 4.2 and (4.70) with the same estimates as in (5.7),

$$\int_0^t \|(Q_1, Q_2)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 d\tau \le C\delta_R.$$

Finally, multiplying (5.11) by $\frac{1}{2C_*}$ and then adding the result and (5.8), we have

$$\|(\phi_{\xi},\psi_{\xi},\vartheta_{\xi})\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2} + \int_{0}^{t} \|\phi_{\xi}\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2} d\tau + \int_{0}^{t} \|(\psi_{\xi\xi},\vartheta_{\xi\xi})\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2} d\tau$$

$$(5.12) \qquad \leq C \|(\phi_{0\xi},\psi_{0\xi},\vartheta_{0\xi},\psi_{0})\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2} + C_{**}\|(\phi,\psi,\vartheta)\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2} + C_{**}\int_{0}^{t} \|(\psi_{\xi},\vartheta_{\xi})\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2} d\tau$$

$$+ C_{**}\delta_{S}\int_{0}^{t} |\dot{\mathbf{X}}(\tau)|^{2} d\tau + C\delta_{0}\int_{0}^{t} \left(\mathcal{G}^{S} + \mathcal{G}^{R}\right) d\tau + C\delta_{0}.$$

Finally, multiplying (5.12) by $\frac{1}{2C_{**}}$ and then adding the result with the L^2 -estimates (4.1) of Lemma 4.1, we have the desired estimates.

APPENDIX A. RELATIVE ENTROPY

Let U = (v, u, E) with $E = e + \frac{u^2}{2}$ and $e = \frac{R}{\gamma - 1}\theta + \text{const.}$ We here compute the relative entropy defined by the entropy

$$s(U) := R \log v + \frac{R}{\gamma - 1} \log \theta.$$

Note that the entropy is computed from the Gibbs relation $\theta ds = de + pdv$ and (1.2). Using the Gibbs relation and $E = e + \frac{u^2}{2}$, we have

$$\theta ds = dE - udu + pdv,$$

and so,

$$\nabla_U s(U) = \left(\frac{p}{\theta}, -\frac{u}{\theta}, \frac{1}{\theta}\right)$$

Thus, for any $\bar{U} := (\bar{v}, \bar{u}, \bar{E})$ with $\bar{E} := \bar{e} + \frac{\bar{u}^2}{2}$, $\bar{e} = \frac{R}{\gamma - 1}\bar{\theta} + \text{const}$, and $\bar{p} = \frac{R\bar{\theta}}{\bar{v}}$,

$$(-s)(U|\bar{U}) = -s(U) + s(\bar{U}) + \nabla_U s(\bar{U}) \cdot (U - \bar{U})$$

$$= -R\log\frac{v}{\bar{v}} - \frac{R}{\gamma - 1}\log\frac{\theta}{\bar{\theta}} + \frac{\bar{p}}{\bar{\theta}}(v - \bar{v}) - \frac{\bar{u}}{\bar{\theta}}(u - \bar{u}) + \frac{1}{\bar{\theta}}(E - \bar{E})$$

$$= R\left(\frac{v}{\bar{v}} - 1 - \log\frac{v}{\bar{v}}\right) + \frac{R}{\gamma - 1}\left(\frac{\theta}{\bar{\theta}} - 1 - \log\frac{\theta}{\bar{\theta}}\right) + \frac{(u - \bar{u})^2}{2\bar{\theta}}.$$

APPENDIX B. SHARP ESTIMATE FOR THE DIFFUSION

Lemma B.1. Let

$$p^{S} := \frac{R\theta^{S}}{v^{S}}, \, p_{+} := \frac{R\theta_{+}}{v_{+}}, \, p^{*} := \frac{R\theta^{*}}{v^{*}}.$$

Then, it holds that

(B.1)
$$\left| \frac{p^{S} - p_{+}}{v^{S} - v_{+}} - \frac{p^{S} - p^{*}}{v^{S} - v^{*}} - \sigma^{*} \alpha^{*} \frac{\mu R \gamma}{\mu R \gamma + \kappa (\gamma - 1)^{2}} (v_{+} - v^{*}) \right| \leq C \delta_{S}^{2},$$

where

$$\alpha^* := \frac{\gamma(\gamma+1)p^*}{2(v^*)^2\sigma^*}.$$

Proof. First of all, by Taylor expansion with $\frac{\partial^2 p^S}{\partial (\theta^S)^2} = 0$,

$$p^{S} - p_{+} = \frac{\partial p^{S}}{\partial v^{S}}\Big|_{(v_{+},\theta_{+})}(v^{S} - v_{+}) + \frac{\partial p^{S}}{\partial \theta^{S}}\Big|_{(v_{+},\theta_{+})}(\theta^{S} - \theta_{+}) + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial^{2}p^{S}}{\partial (v^{S})^{2}}\Big|_{(v_{+},\theta_{+})}(v^{S} - v_{+})^{2} + \frac{\partial^{2}p^{S}}{\partial v^{S}\partial \theta^{S}}\Big|_{(v_{+},\theta_{+})}(v^{S} - v_{+})(\theta^{S} - \theta_{+}) + O((\delta_{S})^{3}),$$

and

$$p^{S} - p^{*} = \frac{\partial p^{S}}{\partial v^{S}}\Big|_{(v^{*},\theta^{*})}(v^{S} - v^{*}) + \frac{\partial p^{S}}{\partial \theta^{S}}\Big|_{(v^{*},\theta^{*})}(\theta^{S} - \theta^{*}) + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial^{2}p^{S}}{\partial (v^{S})^{2}}\Big|_{(v^{*},\theta^{*})}(v^{S} - v^{*})^{2} + \frac{\partial^{2}p^{S}}{\partial v^{S}\partial \theta^{S}}\Big|_{(v^{*},\theta^{*})}(v^{S} - v^{*})(\theta^{S} - \theta^{*}) + O((\delta_{S})^{3}).$$

Then, we have

$$\begin{split} \frac{p^{S}-p_{+}}{v^{S}-v_{+}} &- \frac{p^{S}-p^{*}}{v^{S}-v^{*}} = \left(\frac{\partial p^{S}}{\partial v^{S}}\Big|_{(v_{+},\theta_{+})} - \frac{\partial p^{S}}{\partial v^{S}}\Big|_{(v^{*},\theta^{*})}\right) \\ &+ \left(\frac{\partial p^{S}}{\partial \theta^{S}}\Big|_{(v_{+},\theta_{+})} \frac{\theta^{S}-\theta_{+}}{v^{S}-v_{+}} - \frac{\partial p^{S}}{\partial \theta^{S}}\Big|_{(v^{*},\theta^{*})} \frac{\theta^{S}-\theta^{*}}{v^{S}-v^{*}}\right) \\ &+ \left(\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial^{2}p^{S}}{\partial (v^{S})^{2}}\Big|_{(v_{+},\theta_{+})} (v^{S}-v_{+}) - \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial^{2}p^{S}}{\partial (v^{S})^{2}}\Big|_{(v^{*},\theta^{*})} (v^{S}-v^{*})\right) \\ &+ \left(\frac{\partial^{2}p^{S}}{\partial v^{S}\partial \theta^{S}}\Big|_{(v_{+},\theta_{+})} (\theta^{S}-\theta_{+}) - \frac{\partial^{2}p^{S}}{\partial v^{S}\partial \theta^{S}}\Big|_{(v^{*},\theta^{*})} (\theta^{S}-\theta^{*})\right) + O((\delta_{S})^{2}) \\ &=: J_{1} + J_{2} + J_{3} + J_{4} + O((\delta_{S})^{2}). \end{split}$$

Since

$$\begin{split} J_{1} &= \frac{\partial^{2} p^{S}}{\partial (v^{S})^{2}} \Big|_{(v^{*},\theta^{*})} (v_{+} - v^{*}) + \frac{\partial^{2} p^{S}}{\partial \theta^{S} \partial v^{S}} \Big|_{(v^{*},\theta^{*})} (\theta_{+} - \theta^{*}) + O((\delta_{S})^{2}), \\ J_{3} &= -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^{2} p^{S}}{\partial (v^{S})^{2}} \Big|_{(v^{*},\theta^{*})} (v_{+} - v^{*}) + \left(\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^{2} p^{S}}{\partial (v^{S})^{2}} \Big|_{(v_{+},\theta_{+})} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^{2} p^{S}}{\partial (v^{S})^{2}} \Big|_{(v^{*},\theta^{*})}\right) (v^{S} - v_{+}) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^{2} p^{S}}{\partial (v^{S})^{2}} \Big|_{(v^{*},\theta^{*})} (v_{+} - v^{*}) + O((\delta_{S})^{2}), \end{split}$$

and

$$J_{4} = -\frac{\partial^{2} p^{S}}{\partial v^{S} \partial \theta^{S}}\Big|_{(v^{*},\theta^{*})} (\theta_{+} - \theta^{*}) + \left(\frac{\partial^{2} p^{S}}{\partial v^{S} \partial \theta^{S}}\Big|_{(v_{+},\theta_{+})} - \frac{\partial^{2} p^{S}}{\partial v^{S} \partial \theta^{S}}\Big|_{(v^{*},\theta^{*})}\right) (\theta^{S} - \theta_{+})$$
$$= -\frac{\partial^{2} p^{S}}{\partial v^{S} \partial \theta^{S}}\Big|_{(v^{*},\theta^{*})} (\theta_{+} - \theta^{*}) + O((\delta_{S})^{2}),$$

we have

$$\frac{p^S - p_+}{v^S - v_+} - \frac{p^S - p^*}{v^S - v^*} = \left(\frac{\partial p^S}{\partial \theta^S}\Big|_{(v_+,\theta_+)} \frac{\theta^S - \theta_+}{v^S - v_+} - \frac{\partial p^S}{\partial \theta^S}\Big|_{(v^*,\theta^*)} \frac{\theta^S - \theta^*}{v^S - v^*}\right) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 p^S}{\partial (v^S)^2}\Big|_{(v^*,\theta^*)} (v_+ - v^*) + O((\delta_S)^2).$$

Thus, using

$$\frac{\partial p^S}{\partial \theta^S} = \frac{R}{v^S}, \quad \frac{\partial^2 p^S}{\partial (v^S)^2} = \frac{2p^S}{(v^S)^2},$$

we have

$$\frac{p^S - p_+}{v^S - v_+} - \frac{p^S - p^*}{v^S - v^*} = \left(\frac{R}{v_+} \frac{\theta^S - \theta_+}{v^S - v_+} - \frac{R}{v^*} \frac{\theta^S - \theta^*}{v^S - v^*}\right) + \frac{p^*}{(v^*)^2} (v_+ - v^*) + O((\delta_S)^2)$$

Observe

$$\frac{R}{v_+}\frac{\theta^S-\theta_+}{v^S-v_+} - \frac{R}{v^*}\frac{\theta^S-\theta^*}{v^S-v^*} = \frac{\theta^S-\theta_+}{v^S-v_+}\left(\frac{R}{v_+}-\frac{R}{v^*}\right) + \left(\frac{\theta^S-\theta_+}{v^S-v_+}-\frac{\theta^S-\theta^*}{v^S-v^*}\right)\frac{R}{v^*}.$$

To estimate the first term of the right-hand side, we find from (2.19) that

$$\left|\theta^{S} - \theta_{+} + \frac{(\gamma - 1)p^{*}}{R}(v^{S} - v_{+})\right| \leq \int_{\xi}^{\infty} \left|(\theta^{S})_{\xi} + \frac{(\gamma - 1)p^{*}}{R}(v^{S})_{\xi}\right| d\xi \leq C\delta_{S}^{2},$$

and so,

$$\frac{\theta^S - \theta_+}{v^S - v_+} = -\frac{(\gamma - 1)p^*}{R} + O(\delta_S).$$

In addition, using

$$\frac{R}{v_{+}} - \frac{R}{v^{*}} = -\frac{R}{(v^{*})^{2}}(v_{+} - v^{*}) + O((\delta_{S})^{2}),$$

we have

(B.2)
$$\frac{p^S - p_+}{v^S - v_+} - \frac{p^S - p^*}{v^S - v^*} = \left(\frac{\theta^S - \theta_+}{v^S - v_+} - \frac{\theta^S - \theta^*}{v^S - v^*}\right) \frac{R}{v^*} + \frac{\gamma p^*}{(v^*)^2} (v_+ - v^*) + O((\delta_S)^2).$$

Now it remains to estimate

(B.3)
$$\frac{\theta^S - \theta_+}{v^S - v_+} - \frac{\theta^S - \theta^*}{v^S - v^*}.$$

For that, we consider the smooth function $\theta^S = \theta^S(v^S)$ as mentioned in the proof for (2.19) of Lemma 2.3. Then, using the Taylor expansions of θ^S at points v_+ and v^* , we have

$$\theta^{S} - \theta_{+} - \frac{d\theta^{S}}{dv^{S}}\Big|_{v^{S} = v_{+}} (v^{S} - v_{+}) - \frac{1}{2} \frac{d^{2}\theta^{S}}{d(v^{S})^{2}}\Big|_{v^{S} = v_{+}} (v^{S} - v_{+})^{2} = O(|v^{S} - v_{+}|^{3}),$$

and

$$\theta^{S} - \theta^{*} - \frac{d\theta^{S}}{dv^{S}}\Big|_{v^{S} = v^{*}} (v^{S} - v^{*}) - \frac{1}{2} \frac{d^{2}\theta^{S}}{d(v^{S})^{2}}\Big|_{v^{S} = v^{*}} (v^{S} - v^{*})^{2} = O(|v^{S} - v^{*}|^{3}).$$

52

Thus,

$$\frac{\theta^S - \theta_+}{v^S - v_+} - \frac{d\theta^S}{dv^S}\Big|_{v^S = v_+} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2 \theta^S}{d(v^S)^2}\Big|_{v^S = v_+} (v^S - v_+) = O((\delta_S)^2),$$

and

$$\frac{\theta^S - \theta^*}{v^S - v^*} - \frac{d\theta^S}{dv^S}\Big|_{v^S = v^*} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2 \theta^S}{d(v^S)^2}\Big|_{v^S = v^*} (v^S - v^*) = O((\delta_S)^2).$$

Consequently,

(B.4)
$$\left| \frac{\theta^{S} - \theta_{+}}{v^{S} - v_{+}} - \frac{\theta^{S} - \theta^{*}}{v^{S} - v^{*}} + \frac{d\theta^{S}}{dv^{S}} \right|_{v^{S} = v^{*}} - \frac{d\theta^{S}}{dv^{S}} \Big|_{v^{S} = v_{+}} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{d^{2} \theta^{S}}{d(v^{S})^{2}} \Big|_{v^{S} = v^{*}} (v^{S} - v^{*}) - \frac{1}{2} \frac{d^{2} \theta^{S}}{d(v^{S})^{2}} \Big|_{v^{S} = v_{+}} (v^{S} - v_{+}) \right| = O((\delta_{S})^{2}).$$

Using

$$\frac{d\theta^{S}}{dv^{S}}\Big|_{v^{S}=v^{*}} - \frac{d\theta^{S}}{dv^{S}}\Big|_{v^{S}=v_{+}} - \frac{d^{2}\theta^{S}}{d(v^{S})^{2}}\Big|_{v^{S}=v_{+}} (v^{*}-v_{+}) = O((\delta_{S})^{2}),$$

and

$$\begin{split} &\frac{1}{2} \bigg[\frac{d^2 \theta^S}{d(v^S)^2} \bigg|_{v^S = v^*} (v^S - v^*) - \frac{d^2 \theta^S}{d(v^S)^2} \bigg|_{v^S = v_+} (v^S - v_+) + \frac{d^2 \theta^S}{d(v^S)^2} \bigg|_{v^S = v_+} (v^* - v_+) \bigg] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \bigg[\frac{d^2 \theta^S}{d(v^S)^2} \bigg|_{v^S = v^*} (v^S - v^*) - \frac{d^2 \theta^S}{d(v^S)^2} \bigg|_{v^S = v_+} (v^S - v^*) \bigg] = O((\delta_S)^2), \end{split}$$

we have from (B.4) that

$$\left|\frac{\theta^S - \theta_+}{v^S - v_+} - \frac{\theta^S - \theta^*}{v^S - v^*} + \frac{1}{2}\frac{d^2\theta^S}{d(v^S)^2}\right|_{v^S = v_+} (v^* - v_+)\right| = O((\delta_S)^2),$$

which implies

(B.5)
$$\left| \frac{\theta^S - \theta_+}{v^S - v_+} - \frac{\theta^S - \theta^*}{v^S - v^*} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2 \theta^S}{d(v^S)^2} \right|_{v^S = v^*} (v_+ - v^*) \right| = O((\delta_S)^2).$$

Therefore, it remains to compute

$$\left. \frac{d^2 \theta^S}{d(v^S)^2} \right|_{v^S = v^*}.$$

To this end, we use the following facts as in the proof for (2.19) of Lemma 2.3:

(B.6)
$$\frac{d\theta^S}{dv^S} = \frac{\theta^S_{\xi}}{v^S_{\xi}} = \frac{\mu\sigma^2}{\kappa} \frac{\frac{R}{\gamma-1}(\theta^S - \theta^*) + p^*(v^S - v^*) - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2(v^S - v^*)^2}{(p^S - p^*) + \sigma^2(v^S - v^*)},$$

(B.7)
$$\frac{d\theta^S}{dv^S}\Big|_{v^S=v^*} = \lim_{v^S \to v^*} \frac{d\theta^S}{dv^S} = \lim_{v^S \to v^*} \frac{\theta^S - \theta^*}{v^S - v^*} =: l^* < 0,$$

(B.8)
$$\lim_{v^S \to v^*} \frac{p^S - p^*}{v^S - v^*} = \lim_{v^S \to v^*} \left(\frac{R}{v^S} \frac{\theta^S - \theta^*}{v^S - v^*} - \frac{p^*}{v^S}\right) = \frac{Rl^* - p^*}{v^*}$$

and

(B.9)
$$(l^*)^2 - \left(\frac{p^* - \sigma^2 v^*}{R} + \frac{\mu \sigma^2 v^*}{\kappa (\gamma - 1)}\right) l^* - \frac{\mu \sigma^2 \theta^*}{\kappa} = 0.$$

It holds from (B.6) that (B.10)

$$\begin{split} \frac{d^2\theta^S}{d(v^S)^2} &= \frac{d}{dv^S} \left(\frac{d\theta^S}{dv^S} \right) = \frac{\mu\sigma^2}{\kappa} \frac{\frac{R}{\gamma-1} \frac{d\theta^S}{dv^S} + p^* - \sigma^2(v^S - v^*)}{(p^S - p^*) + \sigma^2(v^S - v^*)} \\ &- \frac{\mu\sigma^2}{\kappa} \frac{\frac{R}{\gamma-1} (\theta^S - \theta^*) + p^*(v^S - v^*) - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2(v^S - v^*)^2}{\left[(p^S - p^*) + \sigma^2(v^S - v^*) \right]^2} \left(\frac{R \frac{d\theta^S}{dv^S}}{v^S} - \frac{R\theta^S}{(v^S)^2} + \sigma^2 \right) \\ &= \frac{\mu\sigma^2}{\kappa} \frac{\frac{R}{\gamma-1} \frac{d\theta^S}{dv^S} + p^* - \sigma^2(v^S - v^*)}{(p^S - p^*) + \sigma^2(v^S - v^*)} - \frac{\frac{d\theta^S}{dv^S}}{(p^S - p^*) + \sigma^2(v^S - v^*)} \left(\frac{R \frac{d\theta^S}{dv^S}}{v^S} - \frac{R\theta^S}{(v^S)^2} + \sigma^2 \right) \\ &= -\frac{R}{v^S [(p^S - p^*) + \sigma^2(v^S - v^*)]} \left[\left(\frac{d\theta^S}{dv^S} \right)^2 - \left(\frac{p^S - \sigma^2 v^S}{R} + \frac{\mu\sigma^2 v^S}{\kappa(\gamma - 1)} \right) \frac{d\theta^S}{dv^S} - \frac{v^S}{R} \left(\frac{\mu\sigma^2 p^*}{\kappa} - \frac{\mu\sigma^4}{\kappa} (v^S - v^*) \right) \right]. \end{split}$$

Then, using (B.9),

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^2\theta^S}{d(v^S)^2} &= -\frac{R}{v^S \left[\left(p^S - p^* \right) + \sigma^2 (v^S - v^*) \right]} \left[\left(\frac{d\theta^S}{dv^S} \right)^2 - \left(\frac{p^S - \sigma^2 v^S}{R} + \frac{\mu \sigma^2 v^S}{\kappa (\gamma - 1)} \right) \frac{d\theta^S}{dv^S} \right. \\ &\left. - \frac{v^S}{R} \left(\frac{\mu \sigma^2 p^*}{\kappa} - \frac{\mu \sigma^4}{\kappa} (v^S - v^*) \right) - \left((l^*)^2 - \left(\frac{p^* - \sigma^2 v^*}{R} + \frac{\mu \sigma^2 v^*}{\kappa (\gamma - 1)} \right) l^* - \frac{\mu \sigma^2 \theta^*}{\kappa} \right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, since

$$-\frac{v^S}{R}\left(\frac{\mu\sigma^2 p^*}{\kappa} - \frac{\mu\sigma^4}{\kappa}(v^S - v^*)\right) + \frac{\mu\sigma^2\theta^*}{\kappa} = -\frac{\mu\sigma^2(p^* - \sigma^2 v^S)}{R\kappa}(v^S - v^*),$$

we have

$$\begin{split} v^{S} \big[(p^{S} - p^{*}) + \sigma^{2} (v^{S} - v^{*}) \big] \frac{d^{2} \theta^{S}}{d(v^{S})^{2}} \\ &= -R \Big[\left(\frac{d\theta^{S}}{dv^{S}} \right)^{2} - (l^{*})^{2} - \left(\frac{p^{*} - \sigma^{2} v^{*}}{R} + \frac{\mu \sigma^{2} v^{*}}{\kappa(\gamma - 1)} \right) \left(\frac{d\theta^{S}}{dv^{S}} - l^{*} \right) \\ &- \left(\frac{(p^{S} - p^{*}) - \sigma^{2} (v^{S} - v^{*})}{R} + \frac{\mu \sigma^{2} (v^{S} - v^{*})}{\kappa(\gamma - 1)} \right) \frac{d\theta^{S}}{dv^{S}} - \frac{\mu \sigma^{2} (p^{*} - \sigma^{2} v^{S})}{R\kappa} (v^{S} - v^{*}) \Big], \end{split}$$

and so,

$$\begin{split} v^{S} \Big(\frac{p^{S} - p^{*}}{v^{S} - v^{*}} + \sigma^{2} \Big) \frac{d^{2} \theta^{S}}{d(v^{S})^{2}} \\ &= -R \bigg[\frac{d\theta^{S}}{dv^{S}} - l^{*}}{v^{S} - v^{*}} \Big(\frac{d\theta^{S}}{dv^{S}} + l^{*} \Big) - \Big(\frac{p^{*} - \sigma^{2} v^{*}}{R} + \frac{\mu \sigma^{2} v^{*}}{\kappa(\gamma - 1)} \Big) \frac{d\theta^{S}}{v^{S} - v^{*}} \\ &- \Big(\frac{\frac{p^{S} - p^{*}}{v^{S} - v^{*}} - \sigma^{2}}{R} + \frac{\mu \sigma^{2}}{\kappa(\gamma - 1)} \Big) \frac{d\theta^{S}}{dv^{S}} - \frac{\mu \sigma^{2} (p^{*} - \sigma^{2} v^{S})}{R\kappa} \bigg]. \end{split}$$

STABILITY OF GENERIC COMPOSITE WAVES FOR NAVIER-STOKES-FOURIER EQUATIONS 55

Taking $v^S \to v^*$ on the both sides of the above equality and using (B.8), we have

$$\begin{split} \left(Rl^* - p^* + \sigma^2 v^*\right) \frac{d^2 \theta^S}{d(v^S)^2} \bigg|_{v^S = v^*} &= -R \left(2l^* - \frac{p^* - \sigma^2 v^*}{R} - \frac{\mu \sigma^2 v^*}{\kappa(\gamma - 1)}\right) \frac{d^2 \theta^S}{d(v^S)^2} \bigg|_{v^S = v^*} \\ &+ \left(\frac{Rl^* - p^*}{v^*} - \sigma^2 + \frac{R\mu \sigma^2}{\kappa(\gamma - 1)}\right) l^* + \frac{\mu \sigma^2 (p^* - \sigma^2 v^*)}{\kappa}, \end{split}$$

equivalently,

$$\begin{split} \left(3Rl^* - 2(p^* - \sigma^2 v^*) - \frac{R\mu\sigma^2 v^*}{\kappa(\gamma - 1)} \right) \frac{d^2\theta^S}{d(v^S)^2} \bigg|_{v^S = v^*} \\ &= \left(\frac{Rl^* - p^*}{v^*} - \sigma^2 + \frac{R\mu\sigma^2}{\kappa(\gamma - 1)} \right) l^* + \frac{\mu\sigma^2(p^* - \sigma^2 v^*)}{\kappa}, \end{split}$$

Using (B.7) and $\sigma^2 = \frac{\gamma p^*}{v^*} + O(\delta_S)$ by (2.21), we have

$$3Rl^* - 2(p^* - \sigma^2 v^*) - \frac{R\mu\sigma^2 v^*}{\kappa(\gamma - 1)} = -3(\gamma - 1)p^* - 2(1 - \gamma)p^* - \frac{R\mu\gamma p^*}{\kappa(\gamma - 1)} + O(\delta_S)$$
$$= -\frac{\kappa(\gamma - 1)^2 + R\mu\gamma}{\kappa(\gamma - 1)}p^* + O(\delta_S),$$

and

$$\left(\frac{Rl^* - p^*}{v^*} - \sigma^2 + \frac{R\mu\sigma^2}{\kappa(\gamma - 1)}\right)l^* + \frac{\mu\sigma^2(p^* - \sigma^2v^*)}{\kappa} = \frac{2\gamma(\gamma - 1)(p^*)^2}{Rv^*} - \frac{\mu\gamma^2(p^*)^2}{\kappa v^*} + O(\delta_S),$$

which yields

$$\frac{d^2\theta^S}{d(v^S)^2}\Big|_{v^S=v^*} = \frac{(\gamma-1)[R\mu\gamma^2 - 2\kappa\gamma(\gamma-1)]}{R[\kappa(\gamma-1)^2 + R\mu\gamma]}\frac{p^*}{v^*} + O(\delta_S).$$

This together with (B.2) and (B.5) yields

$$\frac{p^{S} - p_{+}}{v^{S} - v_{+}} - \frac{p^{S} - p^{*}}{v^{S} - v^{*}} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{d^{2} \theta^{S}}{d(v^{S})^{2}} \Big|_{v^{S} = v^{*}} (v_{+} - v^{*}) \frac{R}{v^{*}} + \frac{\gamma p^{*}}{(v^{*})^{2}} (v_{+} - v^{*}) + O((\delta_{S})^{2}) \\ = \frac{\gamma^{2} (\gamma + 1) \mu R p^{*}}{2[\kappa(\gamma - 1)^{2} + R \mu \gamma] (v^{*})^{2}} (v_{+} - v^{*}) + O((\delta_{S})^{2}),$$

which completes the proof.

Appendix C. Proof of Lemma 4.5

For simplicity, let us introduce the following notations:

$$\phi(t,\xi) := v(t,\xi) - \bar{v}(t,\xi), \quad \psi(t,\xi) := u(t,\xi) - \bar{u}(t,\xi), \quad \vartheta(t,\xi) := \theta(t,\xi) - \bar{\theta}(t,\xi),$$

(C.11)
$$W(t,\xi) := (1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{\beta|\xi+\sigma t|^2}{1+t}}, \quad \beta := \frac{C_1}{2},$$

and

(C.12)
$$h(t,\xi) := \int_{-\infty}^{\xi} W(t,\zeta) d\zeta, \qquad H(t,\xi) := \int_{-\infty}^{\xi} W^2(t,\zeta) d\zeta.$$

Notice that $W(t,\xi) = \Phi(t,\xi + \sigma t)$ for the fundamental solution Φ of the heat equation $\Phi_t = \frac{1}{4\beta} \Phi_{xx}$, and so it holds that

(C.13)
$$h_t - \sigma h_{\xi} = \frac{1}{4\beta} h_{\xi\xi} = \frac{1}{4\beta} W_{\xi}$$
, and note that $h_{\xi} = W$, $H_{\xi} = W^2$.

We will show the two estimates:

(C.14)
$$\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\bar{p}\phi + \frac{R}{\gamma - 1} \vartheta \right)^{2} W^{2} d\xi d\tau \leq C \sup_{t \in [0,T]} \|(\phi, \vartheta)(t, \cdot)\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2} \\ + C\delta_{S} \int_{0}^{t} |\dot{\mathbf{X}}(\tau)|^{2} d\tau + (\nu + C\delta_{0}) \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} W^{2} |(\phi, \vartheta)|^{2} d\xi d\tau \\ + C_{\nu} \int_{0}^{t} \|(\phi_{\xi}, \psi_{\xi}, \vartheta_{\xi})\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2} d\tau + C \int_{0}^{t} (\mathbf{G}^{S} + \mathbf{G}^{R}) d\tau + C\delta_{0}^{\frac{1}{3}},$$

where ν is a small positive constant to be determined below, and C_{ν} depends on ν , and

$$(C.15) \qquad \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[\frac{(R\vartheta - \bar{p}\phi)^{2}}{2\upsilon} + \frac{(\gamma + 1)\bar{p}\psi^{2}}{2} \right] W^{2} d\xi d\tau \leq C \sup_{t \in [0,T]} \|(\phi, \psi, \vartheta)(t, \cdot)\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2}$$
$$+ C\delta_{S} \int_{0}^{t} |\dot{\mathbf{X}}(\tau)|^{2} d\tau + C\delta_{0} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} W^{2} |(\phi, \vartheta)|^{2} d\xi d\tau$$
$$+ C \int_{0}^{t} \|(\phi_{\xi}, \psi_{\xi}, \vartheta_{\xi})\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2} d\tau + C(\delta_{0} + \varepsilon_{1}) \int_{0}^{t} \left(\mathbf{G}^{S} + \mathbf{G}^{R}\right) d\tau + C\delta_{R}^{\frac{1}{3}}.$$

Then, the above estimates (C.14) and (C.15) imply the desired result (4.73). Indeed, since $F := \bar{p}\phi + \frac{R}{\gamma - 1}\vartheta$ satisfies

$$R\vartheta - \bar{p}\phi = (\gamma - 1)F - \gamma \bar{p}\phi,$$

it follows from (C.14) and (C.15) that

$$\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[F^{2} + \frac{\left((\gamma - 1)F - \gamma \bar{p}\phi \right)^{2}}{2v} \right] W^{2} d\xi d\tau + \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{(\gamma + 1)\bar{p}\psi^{2}}{2} W^{2} d\xi d\tau \\ \leq [\text{r.h.s. of (C.14)}] + [\text{r.h.s. of (C.15)}].$$

Here, using

$$F^{2} + \frac{\left((\gamma - 1)F - \gamma \bar{p}\phi\right)^{2}}{2v} \ge C^{-1}(F^{2} + \phi^{2}) \quad \text{for some } C > 0 \text{ by Young's inequality,}$$

we have

$$\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} (F^2 + \phi^2 + \psi^2) W^2 d\xi d\tau \le C([\text{r.h.s. of (C.14)}] + [\text{r.h.s. of (C.15)}]),$$

which together with $F^2 \ge C(\vartheta^2 - \phi^2)$ implies

$$\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\vartheta^2 + \phi^2 + \psi^2) W^2 d\xi d\tau \le C([\text{r.h.s. of (C.14)}] + [\text{r.h.s. of (C.15)}]).$$

Now, use the smallness of δ_0, ε_1 and choose ν small enough such that the third terms on the r.h.s. of (C.14) and (C.15) can be absorbed into the above left-hand side, which completes the proof of (4.73). Therefore, it remains to prove (C.14) and (C.15).

• **Proof of** (C.14): By the energy equations $(3.1)_3$ and $(3.5)_3$, we have

(C.16)
$$\frac{\frac{R}{\gamma-1}\vartheta_t - \frac{R\sigma}{\gamma-1}\vartheta_{\xi} - \frac{R}{\gamma-1}\dot{\mathbf{X}}(t)(\theta^S)_{\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}} + (pu_{\xi} - \bar{p}\bar{u}_{\xi})}{=\kappa\left(\frac{\theta_{\xi}}{v} - \frac{\bar{\theta}_{\xi}}{\bar{v}}\right)_{\xi} + \mu\left(\frac{(u_{\xi})^2}{v} - \frac{(\bar{u}_{\xi})^2}{\bar{v}}\right) - Q_2.$$

Observe that since the mass equations $(3.1)_1$ and $(3.5)_1$ yield

(C.17)
$$\psi_{\xi} = \phi_t - \sigma \phi_{\xi} - \dot{\mathbf{X}}(t) (v^S)_{\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}},$$

we have

$$pu_{\xi} - \bar{p}\bar{u}_{\xi} = \bar{p}\psi_{\xi} + (p - \bar{p})\psi_{\xi} + \bar{u}_{\xi}(p - \bar{p})$$
(C.18)

$$= \bar{p}(\phi_t - \sigma\phi_{\xi}) - \dot{\mathbf{X}}(t)(v^S)_{\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}}\bar{p} + (p - \bar{p})\psi_{\xi} + \bar{u}_{\xi}(p - \bar{p})$$

$$= (\bar{p}\phi)_t - \sigma(\bar{p}\phi)_{\xi} - \dot{\mathbf{X}}(t)(v^S)_{\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}}\bar{p} - (\bar{p}_t - \sigma\bar{p}_{\xi})\phi + (p - \bar{p})\psi_{\xi} + \bar{u}_{\xi}(p - \bar{p}).$$

Substituting (C.18) into (C.16) yields that

(C.19)
$$\begin{aligned} \left(\bar{p}\phi + \frac{R}{\gamma - 1}\vartheta\right)_t &- \sigma \left(\bar{p}\phi + \frac{R}{\gamma - 1}\vartheta\right)_{\xi} - \dot{\mathbf{X}}(t) \left((v^S)_{\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}}\bar{p} + \frac{R}{\gamma - 1}(\theta^S)_{\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}}\right) \\ &+ (p - \bar{p})\psi_{\xi} = (\bar{p}_t - \sigma\bar{p}_{\xi})\phi - \bar{u}_{\xi}(p - \bar{p}) + \kappa \left(\frac{\theta_{\xi}}{v} - \frac{\bar{\theta}_{\xi}}{\bar{v}}\right)_{\xi} + \mu \left(\frac{(u_{\xi})^2}{v} - \frac{(\bar{u}_{\xi})^2}{\bar{v}}\right) - Q_2. \end{aligned}$$

Use the notation $F := \bar{p}\phi + \frac{R}{\gamma-1}\vartheta$ as above for simplicity. Then, multiplying the equation (C.19) by Fh^2 , and using (C.13), we have

$$[F^{2}\frac{h^{2}}{2}]_{t} - [F^{2}\frac{\sigma h^{2}}{2}]_{\xi} - \frac{1}{4\beta}F^{2}hW_{\xi}$$

$$(C.20) \qquad -\dot{\mathbf{X}}(t)\big((v^{S})_{\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}}\bar{p} + \frac{R}{\gamma - 1}(\theta^{S})_{\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}}\big)Fh^{2} + (p - \bar{p})\psi_{\xi}Fh^{2}$$

$$= \kappa\big(\frac{\theta_{\xi}}{v} - \frac{\bar{\theta}_{\xi}}{\bar{v}}\big)_{\xi}Fh^{2} + \big[(\bar{p}_{t} - \sigma\bar{p}_{\xi})\phi - \bar{u}_{\xi}(p - \bar{p}) + \mu\big(\frac{(u_{\xi})^{2}}{v} - \frac{(\bar{u}_{\xi})^{2}}{\bar{v}}\big) - Q_{2}\big]Fh^{2}.$$

Observe that

$$-\frac{1}{4\beta}F^{2}hW_{\xi} = \left[-\frac{1}{4\beta}F^{2}hW\right]_{\xi} + \frac{1}{4\beta}F^{2}W^{2} + \frac{1}{4\beta}\left(F^{2}\right)_{\xi}hW,$$

where notice that the second term of the right-hand side is a good term as desired. Substituting the above relation into (C.20) and then integrating the result over $\mathbb{R} \times [0, t]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{4\beta} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} F^2 W^2 d\xi d\tau &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} F(0,\xi)^2 \frac{h_0^2}{2} d\xi \\ &- \int_{\mathbb{R}} F^2 \frac{h^2}{2} d\xi + \int_0^t \dot{\mathbf{X}}(\tau) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left((v^S)_{\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}} \bar{p} + \frac{R}{\gamma - 1} (\theta^S)_{\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}} \right) F h^2 d\xi d\tau \\ &- \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{4\beta} [F^2]_{\xi} h W d\xi d\tau - \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} (p - \bar{p}) \psi_{\xi} F h^2 d\xi d\tau \\ &+ \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[(\bar{p}_t - \sigma \bar{p}_{\xi}) \phi - \bar{u}_{\xi} (p - \bar{p}) + \mu \left(\frac{(u_{\xi})^2}{v} - \frac{(\bar{u}_{\xi})^2}{\bar{v}} \right) - Q_2 \right] F h^2 d\xi d\tau \\ &- \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \kappa \left(\frac{\theta_{\xi}}{v} - \frac{\bar{\theta}_{\xi}}{\bar{v}} \right) [F h^2]_{\xi} d\xi d\tau := \sum_{i=1}^7 J_i. \end{aligned}$$

Using the fact that h is bounded as $|h(t,\xi)| \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} W d\xi = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{\beta}}$ for all ξ, t , we estimate the right hand sides of (C.21) one by one. First we have

$$|J_1 + J_2| \le C \big[\|(\phi_0, \vartheta_0)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 + \|(\phi, \vartheta)(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 \big],$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |J_3| &\leq \delta_S \int_0^t |\dot{\mathbf{X}}(\tau)|^2 d\tau + \frac{C}{\delta_S} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(v^S)_{\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}}|^2 |(\phi, \vartheta)|^2 d\xi d\tau \\ &\leq \delta_S \int_0^t |\dot{\mathbf{X}}(\tau)|^2 d\tau + C \delta_S \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(v^S)_{\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}}| |(\phi, \vartheta)|^2 d\xi d\tau \\ &= \delta_S \int_0^t |\dot{\mathbf{X}}(\tau)|^2 d\tau + C \delta_S \int_0^t \mathcal{G}^S d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$J_4 = -\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{2\beta} FF_{\xi} hW d\xi d\tau$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{16\beta} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} F^2 W^2 d\xi d\tau + C \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[|(\phi_{\xi}, \vartheta_{\xi})|^2 + |\bar{p}_{\xi}\phi|^2 \right] d\xi d\tau,$$

and $|\bar{p}_{\xi}| \leq C(|\bar{\theta}_{\xi}| + |\bar{v}_{\xi}|)$, we use the same estimates as in (4.65), and

$$(1+t)^{-1}e^{-\frac{2C_1|\xi+\sigma t|^2}{1+t}} \le W^2,$$

to have

$$J_{4} \leq \frac{1}{16\beta} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} F^{2} W^{2} d\xi d\tau + C \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(\phi_{\xi}, \vartheta_{\xi})|^{2} d\xi d\tau + C \delta_{0} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[W^{2} |\phi|^{2} + \mathcal{G}^{R} + \mathcal{G}^{S} \right] d\xi d\tau.$$

For J_5 , we first use (C.17) to have

$$\begin{aligned} -(p-\bar{p})\psi_{\xi}Fh^{2} &= \frac{\bar{p}\phi - R\vartheta}{v} \big(\phi_{t} - \sigma\phi_{\xi} - \dot{\mathbf{X}}(t)(v^{S})_{\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}}\big)Fh^{2} \\ &= \frac{1}{v} \big[\gamma\bar{p}\phi - (\gamma-1)F\big] \big(\phi_{t} - \sigma\phi_{\xi}\big)Fh^{2} - \dot{\mathbf{X}}(t)(v^{S})_{\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}}\frac{\bar{p}\phi - R\vartheta}{v}Fh^{2} \\ &= \frac{\gamma\bar{p}}{v} \big[(\frac{\phi^{2}}{2})_{t} - \sigma(\frac{\phi^{2}}{2})_{\xi}\big]Fh^{2} - \frac{\gamma-1}{v} \big(\phi_{t} - \sigma\phi_{\xi}\big)F^{2}h^{2} - \dot{\mathbf{X}}(t)(v^{S})_{\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}}\frac{\bar{p}\phi - R\vartheta}{v}Fh^{2} \\ &=: -\sigma \Big[\frac{\gamma\bar{p}}{2v}\phi^{2}Fh^{2} - \frac{\gamma-1}{v}\phi F^{2}h^{2}\Big]_{\xi} + \sum_{i=1}^{5}J_{5i}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{split} J_{51} &:= \left[\frac{\gamma \bar{p}}{2v} \phi^2 F h^2 - \frac{\gamma - 1}{v} \phi F^2 h^2\right]_t, \\ J_{52} &:= -\left[\frac{\gamma \bar{p}}{v} \phi^2 F - \frac{\gamma - 1}{v} \phi F^2\right] h(h_t - \sigma h_\xi), \\ J_{53} &:= -\left[\left(\frac{\gamma \bar{p}}{v} F\right)_t - \sigma \left(\frac{\gamma \bar{p}}{v} F\right)_\xi\right] \frac{\phi^2}{2} h^2, \\ J_{54} &:= \left[\left(\frac{\gamma - 1}{v} F^2\right)_t - \sigma \left(\frac{\gamma - 1}{v} F^2\right)_\xi\right] \phi h^2, \\ J_{55} &:= -\dot{\mathbf{X}}(t) (v^S)_\xi^{-\mathbf{X}} \frac{\bar{p}\phi - R\vartheta}{v} F h^2. \end{split}$$

So, J_5 can be written as

$$J_{5} = -\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (p - \bar{p}) \psi_{\xi} F h^{2} d\tau := \sum_{i=1}^{5} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} J_{5i},$$

where the integration of the first term of the right-hand side is zero. We use (4.2) to have

$$\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} |J_{51}| \le C \big[\|(\phi, \vartheta)(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 + \|(\phi_0, \vartheta_0)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 \big] \le C \sup_{t \in [0,T]} \|(\phi, \vartheta)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2,$$

Using (C.13) with $|W_{\xi}| \leq \frac{C}{1+t}$ for all ξ, t , we have

$$\begin{split} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |J_{52}| &\leq C \int_{0}^{t} (1+\tau)^{-1} \|\phi\|_{L^{\infty}} \|(\phi,\vartheta)\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2} d\tau \\ &\leq C \int_{0}^{t} (1+\tau)^{-1} \|\phi\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\phi_{\xi}\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|(\phi,\vartheta)\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2} d\tau \\ &\leq C \int_{0}^{t} \|\phi_{\xi}\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2} d\tau + C\varepsilon_{1}^{\frac{4}{3}} \int_{0}^{t} (1+\tau)^{-\frac{4}{3}} \|(\phi,\vartheta)\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2} d\tau \\ &\leq C \int_{0}^{t} \|\phi_{\xi}\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2} d\tau + C\varepsilon_{1}^{\frac{4}{3}} \sup_{t\in[0,T]} \|(\phi,\vartheta)\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2}. \end{split}$$

Using the equation (C.19) for F, we first have

$$\begin{split} J_{53} &= -\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[F_{t} - \sigma F_{\xi} \right] \frac{\gamma \bar{p} \phi^{2} h^{2}}{2v} d\xi d\tau \\ &- \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[\left(\frac{\bar{p}}{v} \right)_{t} - \sigma \left(\frac{\bar{p}}{v} \right)_{\xi} \right) \right] F \frac{\gamma \phi^{2} h^{2}}{2} d\xi d\tau \\ &= -\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \dot{\mathbf{X}}(\tau) \left[(v^{S})_{\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}} \bar{p} + \frac{R}{\gamma - 1} (\theta^{S})_{\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}} \right] \frac{\gamma \bar{p}^{2} \phi^{2} h^{2}}{2v} d\xi d\tau \\ &+ \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \kappa \left(\frac{\theta_{\xi}}{v} - \frac{\bar{\theta}_{\xi}}{\bar{v}} \right) \left(\frac{\gamma \bar{p} \phi^{2} h^{2}}{2v} \right)_{\xi} d\xi d\tau \\ &- \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[-(p - \bar{p}) \psi_{\xi} - \bar{u}_{\xi}(p - \bar{p}) + \mu \left(\frac{(u_{\xi})^{2}}{v} - \frac{(\bar{u}_{\xi})^{2}}{\bar{v}} \right) - Q_{2} \right] \frac{\gamma \bar{p} \phi^{2} h^{2}}{2v} d\xi d\tau \\ &+ O(1) \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[|\bar{p}_{t} - \sigma \bar{p}_{\xi}| + |v_{t} - \sigma v_{\xi}| \right] |(\phi, \vartheta)||\phi|^{2} d\xi d\tau. \end{split}$$

Using

$$\begin{split} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} (p-\bar{p}) \psi_{\xi} \frac{\gamma \bar{p}^2 \phi^2 h^2}{2v} d\xi d\tau \bigg| &\leq C \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\psi_{\xi}| (|\phi|^3 + |\vartheta|^3) d\xi d\tau \\ &\leq C \int_0^t \|\psi_{\xi}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \|(\phi, \vartheta)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \|(\phi, \vartheta)\|_{L_{\infty}}^2 d\tau \\ &\leq C \int_0^t \|\psi_{\xi}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \|(\phi_{\xi}, \vartheta_{\xi})\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \|(\phi, \vartheta)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 d\tau \\ &\leq C \varepsilon_1^2 \int_0^t (\mathbf{D} + \|\phi_{\xi}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2) d\tau, \end{split}$$

and

(C.22)
$$\bar{p}_t - \sigma \bar{p}_{\xi} = \frac{R(\bar{\theta}_t - \sigma \bar{\theta}_{\xi})}{\bar{v}} - \frac{R\bar{\theta}(\bar{v}_t - \sigma \bar{v}_{\xi})}{\bar{v}^2}$$
$$= \frac{R[(\theta_t^R - \sigma \theta_{\xi}^R) + (\theta_t^C - \sigma \theta_{\xi}^C) - (\dot{\mathbf{X}}(t) + \sigma)(\theta^S)_{\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}}]}{\bar{v}} - \frac{R\bar{\theta}[(v_t^R - \sigma v_{\xi}^R) + (v_t^C - \sigma v_{\xi}^C) - (\dot{\mathbf{X}}(t) + \sigma)(v^S)_{\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}}]}{\bar{v}^2},$$

and $v_t + \sigma v_{\xi} = u_{\xi} = \psi_{\xi} + \bar{u}_{\xi}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |J_{53}| &\leq C\delta_S \int_0^t |\dot{\mathbf{X}}(\tau)|^2 d\tau + C(\delta_0 + \varepsilon_1) \int_0^t \left(\mathcal{G}^R + \mathcal{G}^S + \mathbf{D} + \|\phi_{\xi}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2\right) d\tau \\ &+ C\delta_C \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} W^2 |(\phi, \vartheta)|^2 d\xi d\tau + C(\delta_R^{\frac{1}{3}} + \delta_C) \end{aligned}$$

Similar estimates hold for J_{54} and J_6 . We easily have

$$|J_{55}| \leq \delta_S \int_0^t |\dot{\mathbf{X}}(\tau)|^2 d\tau + C(\delta_0 + \varepsilon_1) \int_0^t \mathcal{G}^S d\tau.$$

Using Young's inequality with any small $\nu > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} |J_{7}| &\leq C \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(|\vartheta_{\xi}| + |\bar{\theta}_{\xi}| |\phi| \right) \left(|\bar{p}_{\xi}| |\phi| + |(\phi_{\xi}, \vartheta_{\xi})| + W|(\phi, \vartheta)| \right) d\xi d\tau \\ &\leq (\nu + C\delta_{0}) \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} W^{2} |(\phi, \vartheta)|^{2} d\xi d\tau \\ &+ C_{\nu} \int_{0}^{t} \|(\phi_{\xi}, \vartheta_{\xi})\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2} d\tau + C\delta_{0} \int_{0}^{t} \left(\mathcal{G}^{S} + \mathcal{G}^{R} \right) d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

• **Proof of** (C.15): From the momentum equations $(3.1)_2$ and $(3.5)_2$ and the fact $p - \bar{p} = \frac{R\vartheta - \bar{p}\phi}{v}$, we have

$$\frac{(R\vartheta - \bar{p}\phi)_{\xi}}{v} - v_{\xi}\frac{R\vartheta - \bar{p}\phi}{v^2} = -(\psi_t - \sigma\psi_{\xi}) + \dot{\mathbf{X}}(t)(u^S)_{\xi}^{\mathbf{X}} + \mu\left(\frac{u_{\xi}}{v} - \frac{\bar{u}_{\xi}}{\bar{v}}\right)_{\xi} - Q_1.$$

Multiplying the above equation by $H(R\vartheta - \bar{p}\phi)$ with H defined in (C.12) implies that

(C.23)
$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{H(R\vartheta - \bar{p}\phi)^2}{2v} \end{bmatrix}_{\xi} - H_{\xi} \frac{(R\vartheta - \bar{p}\phi)^2}{2v} + v_{\xi} \frac{H(R\vartheta - \bar{p}\phi)^2}{2v^2} \\ = \left[-(\psi_t - \sigma\psi_{\xi}) + \dot{\mathbf{X}}(t)(u^S)_{\xi}^{\mathbf{X}} + \mu \left(\frac{u_{\xi}}{v} - \frac{\bar{u}_{\xi}}{\bar{v}}\right)_{\xi} - Q_1 \right] H(R\vartheta - \bar{p}\phi).$$

By $H_{\xi} = W^2$ and the facts that

$$\begin{split} (\psi_t - \sigma\psi_\xi)H(R\vartheta - \bar{p}\phi) &= \left[H\psi(R\vartheta - \bar{p}\phi)\right]_t - \sigma\left[H\psi(R\vartheta - \bar{p}\phi)\right]_\xi \\ &-\psi(R\vartheta - \bar{p}\phi)(H_t - \sigma H_\xi) - H\psi\left[(R\vartheta - \bar{p}\phi)_t - \sigma(R\vartheta - \bar{p}\phi)_\xi\right], \end{split}$$

and

$$(R\vartheta - \bar{p}\phi)_t - \sigma(R\vartheta - \bar{p}\phi)_{\xi}$$

= $(\gamma - 1) \Big[\dot{\mathbf{X}}(t) R(\theta^S)_{\xi}^{\mathbf{X}} - (pu_{\xi} - \bar{p}\bar{u}_{\xi}) + \kappa \Big(\frac{\theta_{\xi}}{v} - \frac{\bar{\theta}_{\xi}}{\bar{v}} \Big)_{\xi} + \mu \Big(\frac{(u_{\xi})^2}{v} - \frac{(\bar{u}_{\xi})^2}{\bar{v}} \Big) - Q_2 \Big]$
 $-\bar{p} \Big(\psi_{\xi} + \dot{\mathbf{X}}(t) (v^S)_{\xi}^{\mathbf{X}} \Big) - (\bar{p}_t - \sigma \bar{p}_{\xi}) \phi,$

it follows from (C.23) that

$$W^{2} \frac{(R\vartheta - \bar{p}\phi)^{2}}{2v} = (\cdots)_{\xi} + v_{\xi} \frac{H(R\vartheta - \bar{p}\phi)^{2}}{2v^{2}} + \left[H\psi(R\vartheta - \bar{p}\phi)\right]_{t}$$

- $\psi(R\vartheta - \bar{p}\phi)(H_{t} - \sigma H_{\xi}) + \bar{p}H\psi(\psi_{\xi} + \dot{\mathbf{X}}(t)(v^{S})_{\xi}^{\mathbf{X}}) + H\psi\phi(\bar{p}_{t} - \sigma \bar{p}_{\xi})$
(C.24)
- $(\gamma - 1)H\psi\left[\dot{\mathbf{X}}(t)R(\theta^{S})_{\xi}^{\mathbf{X}} - (pu_{\xi} - \bar{p}\bar{u}_{\xi}) + \kappa\left(\frac{\theta_{\xi}}{v} - \frac{\bar{\theta}_{\xi}}{\bar{v}}\right)_{\xi} + \mu\left(\frac{(u_{\xi})^{2}}{v} - \frac{(\bar{u}_{\xi})^{2}}{\bar{v}}\right)$
- $Q_{2}\right] + H(R\vartheta - \bar{p}\phi)\left[-\dot{\mathbf{X}}(t)(u^{S})_{\xi}^{\mathbf{X}} + \mu\left(\frac{u_{\xi}}{v} - \frac{\bar{u}_{\xi}}{\bar{v}}\right)_{\xi} + Q_{1}\right].$

Noting that

$$\bar{p}H\psi\psi_{\xi} = (\cdots)_{\xi} - (\bar{p}H)_{\xi}\frac{\psi^2}{2} = (\cdots)_{\xi} - W^2\frac{\bar{p}\psi^2}{2} - \bar{p}_{\xi}H\frac{\psi^2}{2},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (\gamma - 1)H\psi(pu_{\xi} - \bar{p}\bar{u}_{\xi}) &= (\gamma - 1)H\psi\big[\bar{p}\psi_{\xi} + (p - \bar{p})\psi_{\xi} + \bar{u}_{\xi}(p - \bar{p})\big] \\ &= (\cdots)_{\xi} - (\bar{p}H)_{\xi}\frac{(\gamma - 1)\psi^{2}}{2} + (\gamma - 1)H\psi\big[(p - \bar{p})\psi_{\xi} + \bar{u}_{\xi}(p - \bar{p})\big] \\ &= (\cdots)_{\xi} - W^{2}\frac{(\gamma - 1)\bar{p}\psi^{2}}{2} - \bar{p}_{\xi}H\frac{(\gamma - 1)\psi^{2}}{2} + (\gamma - 1)H\psi\big[(p - \bar{p})\psi_{\xi} + \bar{u}_{\xi}(p - \bar{p})\big], \end{aligned}$$

and then integrating the resulting equation over $\mathbb{R} \times [0, t]$ give that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} W^{2} \Big[\frac{(R\vartheta - \bar{p}\phi)^{2}}{2v} + \frac{(\gamma + 1)\bar{p}\psi^{2}}{2} \Big] d\xi d\tau &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} H\psi(R\vartheta - \bar{p}\phi)|_{\tau=0}^{\tau=t} d\xi \\ &+ \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} v_{\xi} \frac{H(R\vartheta - \bar{p}\phi)^{2}}{2v^{2}} d\xi d\tau - \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi(R\vartheta - \bar{p}\phi)(H_{t} - \sigma H_{\xi}) d\xi d\tau \\ &+ \int_{0}^{t} \dot{\mathbf{X}}(\tau) \int_{\mathbb{R}} H[\bar{p}\psi(v^{S})_{\xi}^{\mathbf{X}} - R(\gamma - 1)\psi(\theta^{S})_{\xi}^{\mathbf{X}} - (R\vartheta - \bar{p}\phi)(u^{S})_{\xi}^{\mathbf{X}}] d\xi d\tau \\ (C.25) &- \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \bar{p}_{\xi} H\frac{(\gamma + 1)\psi^{2}}{2} d\xi d\tau + \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\gamma - 1)H\psi[(p - \bar{p})\psi_{\xi} + \bar{u}_{\xi}(p - \bar{p})] d\xi d\tau \\ &+ \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} H\psi\phi(\bar{p}_{t} - \sigma \bar{p}_{\xi})d\xi d\tau + \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mu(\gamma - 1)H\psi(\frac{(u_{\xi})^{2}}{v} - \frac{(\bar{u}_{\xi})^{2}}{\bar{v}})d\xi d\tau \\ &+ \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (H(R\vartheta - \bar{p}\phi))_{\xi}\mu(\frac{u_{\xi}}{v} - \frac{\bar{u}_{\xi}}{\bar{v}})d\xi d\tau + \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \kappa(\gamma - 1)(H\psi)_{\xi}(\frac{\theta_{\xi}}{v} - \frac{\bar{\theta}_{\xi}}{\bar{v}})d\xi d\tau \\ &+ \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} [(\gamma - 1)HQ_{2} + H(R\vartheta - \bar{p}\phi)Q_{1}]d\xi d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

The estimations for the right hand side of (C.25) is almost same as (C.21) and even we have some better estimates since $|H(t,\xi)| \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ and $|H_t - \sigma H_{\xi}| \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{3}{2}}$, and we omit the details.

Conflict of Interest: The authors declared that they have no conflicts of interest to this work.

References

- X. X. Bian, Y. Wang, and L. L. Xie. Vanishing viscosity limit to planar rarefaction wave with vacuum for 3d compressible navier-stokes equations. *Commun. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 2(1):21–69, 2023.
- [2] S. Bianchini and A. Bressan. Vanishing viscosity solutions to nolinear hyperbolic systems. Ann. of Math., 166:223–342, 2005.
- [3] D. Bresch and B. Desjardins. On the construction of approximate solutions for the 2D viscous shallow water model and for compressible Navier-Stokes models. J. Math. Pures Appl., 86(9):362–368, 2006.
- [4] K. Choi, M.-J. Kang, Y. Kwon, and A. Vasseur. Contraction for large perturbations of traveling waves in a hyperbolic-parabolic system arising from a chemotaxis model. *Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci.*, 30(2):387–437, 2020.
- [5] C. M. Dafermos. The second law of thermodynamics and stability. Arch. Rational Mech. Anal., 70(2):167–179, 1979.
- [6] C. M. Dafermos. Entropy and the stability of classical solutions of hyperbolic systems of conservation laws. In *Recent mathematical methods in nonlinear wave propagation (Montecatini Terme, 1994)*, volume 1640 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 48–69. Springer, Berlin, 1996.
- [7] R. J. DiPerna. Uniqueness of solutions to hyperbolic conservation laws. Indiana Univ. Math. J., 28(1):137–188, 1979.
- [8] J. Goodman. Nonlinear asymptotic stability of viscous shock profiles for conservation laws. Arch. Rational Mech. Anal., 95(4):325–344, 1986.

62

- [9] S. Han, M.-J. Kang, and J. Kim. Large-time behavior of composite waves of viscous shocks for the barotropic Navier-Stokes equations. SIAM Math. Anal. To appear, 2023.
- [10] F. Huang, J. Li, and A. Matsumura. Asymptotic stability of combination of viscous contact wave with rarefaction waves for one-dimensional compressible Navier-Stokes systems. Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal., 197:89–116, 2010.
- [11] F. Huang and A. Matsumura. Stability of a composite wave of two viscous shock waves for the full compressible Navier-Stokes equation. Comm. Math. Phys., 289(3):841–861, 2009.
- [12] F. Huang, Z. Xin, and T. Yang. Contact discontinuity with general perturbations for gas motions. Adv. Math., 219:1246–1297, 2008.
- [13] A. M. Ilin and O. A. Oleĭnik. Asymptotic behavior of solutions of the Cauchy problem for some quasilinear equations for large values of the time. Mat. Sb. (N.S.), 51 (93):191–216, 1960.
- [14] Ja. I. Kanel. The cauchy problem for equations of gas dynamics with viscosity (russian). Sibirsk. Mat. Zh., 20:293–306, 1979.
- [15] M.-J. Kang. Non-contraction of intermediate admissible discontinuities for 3-D planar isentropic magnetohydrodynamics. *Kinet. Relat. Models*, 11(1):107–118, 2018.
- [16] M.-J. Kang. L²-type contraction for shocks of scalar viscous conservation laws with strictly convex flux. J. Math. Pures Appl. (9), 145:1–43, 2021.
- [17] M.-J. Kang and A. Vasseur. Criteria on contractions for entropic discontinuities of systems of conservation laws. Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal., 222(1):343–391, 2016.
- [18] M.-J. Kang and A. Vasseur. L²-contraction for shock waves of scalar viscous conservation laws. Annales de l'Institut Henri Poincaré (C) : Analyse non linéaire, 34(1):139–156, 2017.
- [19] M.-J. Kang and A. Vasseur. Contraction property for large perturbations of shocks of the barotropic Navier-Stokes system. J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS), 23(2):585–638, 2021.
- [20] M.-J. Kang and A. Vasseur. Uniqueness and stability of entropy shocks to the isentropic Euler system in a class of inviscid limits from a large family of Navier-Stokes systems. *Invent. Math.*, 224(1):55–146, 2021.
- [21] M.-J. Kang and A. Vasseur. Well-posedness of the Riemann problem with two shocks for the isentropic Euler system in a class of vanishing physical viscosity limits. J. Differential Equations, 338:128–226, 2022.
- [22] M.-J. Kang, A. Vasseur, and Y. Wang. L²-contraction for planar shock waves of multi-dimensional scalar viscous conservation laws. J. Differential Equations, 267(5):2737–2791, 2019.
- [23] M.-J. Kang, A. Vasseur, and Y. Wang. Uniqueness of a planar contact discontinuity for 3D compressible Euler system in a class of zero dissipation limits from Navier-Stokes-Fourier system. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 384(3):1751–1782, 2021.
- [24] M.-J. Kang, A. Vasseur, and Y. Wang. Time-asymptotic stability of composite waves of viscous shock and rarefaction for barotropic Navier-Stokes equations. Adv. Math., 419:Paper No. 108963, 66, 2023.
- [25] P. D. Lax. Hyperbolic systems of conservation laws. II. Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 10:537–566, 1957.
- [26] N. Leger. L² stability estimates for shock solutions of scalar conservation laws using the relative entropy method. Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal., 199(3):761–778, 2011.
- [27] N. Leger and A. Vasseur. Relative entropy and the stability of shocks and contact discontinuities for systems of conservation laws with non-BV perturbations. Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal., 201(1):271–302, 2011.
- [28] L.-A. Li, D. H. Wang, and Y. Wang. Vanishing viscosity limit to the planar rarefaction wave for the two-dimensional compressible Navier-Stokes equations. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 376(1):353–384, 2020.
- [29] L.-A. Li, D. H. Wang, and Y. Wang. Vanishing dissipation limit to the planar rarefaction wave for the three-dimensional compressible Navier-Stokes-Fourier equations. J. Funct. Anal., 283(2):Paper No. 109499, 2022.
- [30] L.-A. Li, T. Wang, and Y. Wang. Stability of planar rarefaction wave to 3D full compressible Navier-Stokes equations. Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal., 230(3):911–937, 2018.
- [31] L.-A. Li and Y. Wang. Stability of planar rarefaction wave to two-dimensional compressible Navier-Stokes equations. SIAM J. Math. Anal., 50(5):4937–4963, 2018.
- [32] T. Liu. Nonlinear stability of shock waves for viscous conservation laws. Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., 56(328):v+108, 1985.
- [33] T. Liu. Shock Waves. Graduate Studies in Mathematics. Volume: 215. American Mathematical Society, 2021.

- [34] T. Liu and Z. Xin. Nonlinear stability of rarefaction waves for compressible Navier-Stokes equations. Comm. Math. Phys., 118(3):451–465, 1988.
- [35] T. Liu and Z. Xin. Pointwise decay to contact discontinuities for systems of viscous conservation laws. Asian J. Math., 1:34–84, 1997.
- [36] T. Liu and Y. Zeng. Shock waves in conservation laws with physical viscosity. Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., 234(1105):vi+168, 2015.
- [37] C. Mascia and K. Zumbrun. Stability of small-amplitude shock profiles of symmetric hyperbolicparabolic systems. Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 57:841–876, 2004.
- [38] A. Matsumura. Waves in compressible fluids: viscous shock, rarefaction, and contact waves. In *Handbook* of mathematical analysis in mechanics of viscous fluids, pages 2495–2548. Springer, Cham, 2018.
- [39] A. Matsumura and K. Nishihara. On the stability of traveling wave solutions of a one-dimensional model system for compressible viscous gas. Japan J. Appl. Math., 2:17–25, 1985.
- [40] A. Matsumura and K. Nishihara. Asymptotics toward the rarefaction waves of the solutions of a onedimensional model system for compressible viscous gas. Japan J. Appl. Math., 3:1–13, 1986.
- [41] A. Matsumura and K. Nishihara. Global stability of the rarefaction wave of a one-dimensional model system for compressible viscous gas. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 144:325–335, 1992.
- [42] A. Matsumura and Yang Wang. Asymptotic stability of viscous shock wave for a one-dimensional isentropic model of viscous gas with density dependent viscosity. *Methods Appl. Anal.*, 17(3):279–290, 2010.
- [43] J. Nash. Le problème de cauchy pour les équations diffèrentielles d'un fluide général (french). Bull. Soc. Math. France, 90:487–497, 1962.
- [44] K. Nishihara, T. Yang, and H. Zhao. Nonlinear stability of strong rarefaction waves for compressible Navier-Stokes equations. SIAM J. Math. Anal., 35(6):1561–1597, 2004.
- [45] B. Riemann. Uberdie fortpflanzung ebener luftwellen von endlicher schwingungsweite. Abhandlungen der Koniglichen Gesellschaft der Wissenschaften zu Gottingen, 8:43–65, 1860.
- [46] D. H. Sattinger. On the stability of waves of nonlinear parabolic systems. Advances in Math., 22(3):312– 355, 1976.
- [47] D. Serre and A. Vasseur. L²-type contraction for systems of conservation laws. J. Éc. polytech. Math., 1:1–28, 2014.
- [48] D. Serre and A. Vasseur. About the relative entropy method for hyperbolic systems of conservation laws. Contemp. Math. AMS, 658:237–248, 2016.
- [49] A. Szepessy and Z. P. Xin. Nonlinear stability of viscous shock waves. Arch. Rational Mech. Anal., 122(1):53–103, 1993.
- [50] A. Vasseur. Recent results on hydrodynamic limits. Handb. Differ. Equ. Elsevier/North-Holland, Amsterdam, 2008.
- [51] A. Vasseur. Relative entropy and contraction for extremal shocks of conservation laws up to a shift. In Recent advances in partial differential equations and applications, volume 666 of Contemp. Math., pages 385–404. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2016.
- [52] A. Vasseur and Y. Wang. The inviscid limit to a contact discontinuity for the compressible navierstokes-fourier system using the relative entropy method. SIAM J. Math. Anal., 47(6):4350–4359, 2015.
- [53] A. Vasseur and L. Yao. Nonlinear stability of viscous shock wave to one-dimensional compressible isentropic Navier-Stokes equations with density dependent viscous coefficient. *Commun. Math. Sci.*, 14(8):2215–2228, 2016.
- [54] T. Wang and Y. Wang. Nonlinear stability of planar viscous shock wave to three-dimensional compressible Navier-Stokes equations. arXiv:2204.09428, 2022.
- [55] Z. Xin. On nonlinear stability of contact discontinuities. Hyperbolic problems: theory, numerics, applications (Stony Brook, NY, 1994), World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ, pages 249–257, 1996.

(Moon-Jin Kang) Department of Mathematical Sciences, Korea Advanced Institute of Science and Technology, Daejeon 34141, Korea *Email address:* moonjinkang@kaist.ac.kr

(Alexis F. Vasseur) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS AT AUSTIN, AUSTIN, TX 78712, USA Email address: vasseur@math.utexas.edu

(Yi Wang)

INSTITUTE OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS, AMSS, CAS, BEIJING 100190, P. R. CHINA AND SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF CHINESE ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, BEIJING 100049, P. R. CHINA *Email address:* wangyi@amss.ac.cn