

Closed quantum surfaces from the Toeplitz extension

ARLEY SIERRA

Centro de Ciencias Matemáticas, Campus Morelia
Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México (UNAM), Morelia, México
E-mail: *arleysierra23@gmail.com*

ELMAR WAGNER

Instituto de Física y Matemáticas
Universidad Michoacana de San Nicolás de Hidalgo, Morelia, México
E-mail: *elmar@ifm.umich.mx*

Abstract

Closed quantum surfaces of any genus are defined as subalgebras of the Toeplitz algebra by mimicking the classical construction of identifying arcs on the boundary of the (quantum) unit disk. Isomorphism classes obtained from different arrangements of arcs are classified. It is shown that the K-groups are isomorphic to the classical counterparts and explicit generators of the C*-algebras and of the K-groups are given.

1 Introduction

Quantization of a compact topological space or manifold means, roughly speaking, the replacement of the C*-algebra of continuous functions by a noncommutative C*-algebra. However, there is no universal procedure that tells us how to pass from a commutative C*-algebra to a noncommutative one while maintaining certain topological features of the space. This reflects a recurrent problem in quantum physics, where no functorial method for the

quantization of classical observables or fields is known [7]. On the other hand, the relevance of noncommutative geometry [4] in mathematics and theoretical physics can only be evidenced by providing a proper amount of useful examples. The aim of this paper is to present a whole family of noncommutative topological spaces, namely quantizations of all closed two-dimensional surfaces. This can be seen as a first step of the wider project of quantizing (finite) CW-complexes [5].

Our starting point will be the Toeplitz quantization of the unit disk [9]. It replaces the continuous functions on the closed disk by their corresponding Toeplitz operators, see Section 2 for more details. The C^* -algebra \mathcal{T} generated by all these Toeplitz operators yields a non-trivial C^* -algebra extension of $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{S}^1)$ by the compact operators \mathcal{K} on a separable Hilbert space. Then the so-called symbol map $\sigma : \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}/\mathcal{K} \cong \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{S}^1)$ may be viewed as the restriction of continuous functions on the quantum disk to the boundary circle \mathbb{S}^1 . Based on the premise that the quantum disk admits a classical boundary circle, we will define in Section 3 closed quantum surfaces by considering C^* -subalgebras of \mathcal{T} that correspond to glueing pairs of arcs on the boundary circle in such a way that the commutative analog yields a C^* -algebra isomorphic to the continuous functions on a specific closed surface.

Classically, a closed surface can be obtained from different arrangements of arcs. The standard method for providing an homeomorphism is based on a “cut and glue” technique, which is not available in the quantum case. In fact, we will show in Section 4 that isomorphism classes of closed quantum surfaces are labeled by the number of projective spaces and tori that are used when the arrangements of arcs are directly interpreted as a connected sum of these building blocks. In this sense, the Toeplitz quantization decreases degeneracy. Furthermore, for each isomorphism class, we will give a essentially normal generator of the corresponding C^* -algebra in terms of unilateral and bilateral shift operators.

Since the definition of closed quantum surfaces will be given just by analogy to the classical case, there arises the question of whether the quantization changes topological invariants. The topological invariants that we consider in this paper are the K-groups of the C^* -algebras. In Section 5, we will prove that the K-groups of the closed quantum surfaces are isomorphic to the classical counterparts. Finally, for eventual future use, explicit descriptions of the generators of the K-groups are given.

2 Quantum disk view on the Toeplitz algebra

Let $\mathbb{D} := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ and $\bar{\mathbb{D}} := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| \leq 1\}$ denote the open and closed unit disk, respectively. We write $L_2(\mathbb{D})$ for the Hilbert space of square-integrable functions with respect to the Lebesgue measure and $A_2(\mathbb{D})$ for the subspace of square-integrable holomorphic functions on \mathbb{D} . Since $A_2(\mathbb{D}) \subset L_2(\mathbb{D})$ is closed, there exists an orthogonal projection, say P , from $L_2(\mathbb{D})$ onto $A_2(\mathbb{D})$. Now the Toeplitz operator $T_f \in \mathcal{B}(A_2(\mathbb{D}))$ with continuous symbol $f \in \mathcal{C}(\bar{\mathbb{D}})$ is given by

$$T_f(\psi) := P(f\psi), \quad \psi \in A_2(\mathbb{D}) \subset L_2(\mathbb{D}),$$

and the Toeplitz algebra \mathcal{T} may be defined as the C^* -subalgebra generated by all T_f in the C^* -algebra of bounded operators $\mathcal{B} := \mathcal{B}(A_2(\mathbb{D}))$.

It can be shown (see e.g. [11]) that the operator ideal of compact operators $\mathcal{K} := \mathcal{K}(A_2(\mathbb{D})) \cong \mathcal{K}(\ell_2(\mathbb{N}_0))$ belongs to \mathcal{T} and that the quotient \mathcal{T}/\mathcal{K} is isomorphic to $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{S}^1)$, where we view $\mathbb{S}^1 = \partial\bar{\mathbb{D}}$ as the boundary of $\bar{\mathbb{D}}$. This gives rise to the C^* -algebra extension

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{K} \xrightarrow{\iota} \mathcal{T} \xrightarrow{\sigma} \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{S}^1) \longrightarrow 0, \quad (1)$$

with the so-called symbol map $\sigma : \mathcal{T} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{S}^1)$ given by $\sigma(T_f) = f|_{\mathbb{S}^1}$ for all $f \in \mathcal{C}(\bar{\mathbb{D}})$.

The application $\mathcal{C}(\bar{\mathbb{D}}) \ni f \mapsto T_f \in \mathcal{B}(A_2(\mathbb{D}))$ will be viewed as a quantization of the commutative unital C^* -algebra $\mathcal{C}(\bar{\mathbb{D}})$. In agreement with [9], we refer to the Toeplitz algebra $\mathcal{T} =: \mathcal{C}(\bar{\mathbb{D}}_q)$ as the algebra of continuous functions on the quantum disk. In the commutative case, the C^* -algebra extension (1) corresponds to the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}_0(\mathbb{D}) \xrightarrow{\iota} \mathcal{C}(\bar{\mathbb{D}}) \xrightarrow{\rho} \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{S}^1) \longrightarrow 0, \quad (2)$$

where $\rho(f) = f|_{\mathbb{S}^1}$.

Let $z \in \mathcal{C}(\bar{\mathbb{D}})$, $z(x) = x$ denote the identity function. By the Stone–Weierstrass Theorem, the functions 1 , z and $z^* := \bar{z}$ generate the C^* -algebra $\mathcal{C}(\bar{\mathbb{D}})$. For this reason, 1 , T_z and $T_{\bar{z}}$ generate \mathcal{T} . On the orthonormal basis $\{e_n := \frac{\sqrt{n+1}}{\sqrt{\pi}} z^n : n \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$ of $A_2(\mathbb{D})$, the operator T_z acts by $T_z e_n = \frac{\sqrt{n+1}}{\sqrt{n+2}} e_{n+1}$. Next, consider the unilateral shift

$$S e_n := e_{n+1}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_0. \quad (3)$$

As $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sqrt{n+1}}{\sqrt{n+2}} - 1 = 0$, it follows that $T_z - S \in \mathcal{K}$. Knowing that the C*-algebra generated by 1, S and S^* contains the compact operators, it can be inferred that 1, S and S^* also generate \mathcal{T} . Moreover,

$$\sigma(S) = \sigma(T_z) =: u \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{S}^1), \quad u(e^{it}) = e^{it}. \quad (4)$$

Comparing the C*-algebra extensions (1) and (2), it seems that the Toeplitz quantization $f \mapsto T_f$ amounts to replacing $\mathcal{C}_0(\mathbb{D})$ by \mathcal{K} . The following chain of K-theoretic identities gives an additional motivation for this interpretation:

$$K_i(\mathcal{C}_0(\mathbb{D})) \cong K_i(\Sigma^2 \mathbb{C}) \cong K_i(\mathbb{C}) \cong K_i(\mathcal{K} \otimes \mathbb{C}) \cong K_i(\mathcal{K}), \quad i = 0, 1.$$

Here, $\Sigma \mathcal{A}$ denotes the suspension of a C*-algebra \mathcal{A} . The first isomorphism comes from an isomorphism of C*-algebras, the second one from Bott periodicity, the third one holds by stabilization, and the last one is trivial. Using $K_0(\mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{Z}[1]$, $K_1(\mathbb{C}) = 0$, $K_0(\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{S}^1)) = \mathbb{Z}[1]$ and $K_1(\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{S}^1)) = \mathbb{Z}[u]$, with u being the unitary defined in (4), the K-groups of \mathcal{T} can easily be computed from the 6-term exact sequence of K-theory:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathbb{Z}[1 - SS^*] \cong K_0(\mathcal{K}) & \xrightarrow{\iota_*} & K_0(\mathcal{T}) & \xrightarrow{\sigma_*} & K_0(\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{S}^1)) \cong \mathbb{Z}[1] \\ & & & & \downarrow \text{exp} \\ \mathbb{Z}[u] \cong K_1(\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{S}^1)) & \xleftarrow{\sigma_*} & K_1(\mathcal{T}) & \xleftarrow{\iota_*} & K_1(\mathcal{K}) \cong 0. \end{array} \quad (5)$$

The index map $\text{ind} : K_1(\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{S}^1)) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \cong K_0(\mathcal{K})$ relates closely to the winding number $\text{wind}(\Phi) \in \mathbb{Z}$ of continuous functions $\Phi : \mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^1$ and to the Fredholm index $\text{Ind}(F) \in \mathbb{Z}$ of invertible elements $[F] \in \mathfrak{C}$ in the Calkin algebra $\mathfrak{C} := \mathcal{B}/\mathcal{K}$. Applying the fact that $K_0(\mathcal{B}) = K_1(\mathcal{B}) = 0$, the index map $\text{ind} : K_1(\mathfrak{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \cong K_0(\mathcal{K})$ in the 6-term exact sequence corresponding to the extension $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow 0$ yields an isomorphism. Moreover, the unitary element $u = \sigma(S) \in \mathfrak{C}$ admits obviously a lift by the isometry S from (3). By [12, Ex. 8.C], $\text{ind}[u] = -[1 - SS^*]$, where $[1 - SS^*]$ represents a generator of $K_0(\mathcal{K})$. Since ind is a group homomorphism, we may write, for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$k = \text{wind}[u^k] = -\text{ind}[u^k] = \begin{cases} -\text{Ind}(S^{*|k|}), & k < 0, \\ -\text{Ind}(S^k), & k \geq 0, \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

and since the K-theory of C*-algebras is homotopy invariant, we get

$$\text{ind}[\Phi] = \text{Ind}(F_\Phi) = -\text{wind}[\Phi] \quad (7)$$

for any invertible function $\Phi \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{S}^1) \cong \mathcal{T}/\mathcal{K} \subset \mathfrak{C}$, where F_Φ denotes a lift of Φ .

There is also an analogy to the famous Bott projection of $\mathcal{C}_0(\mathbb{D})$, which illustrates nicely the interpretation of \mathcal{T} as a quantization of $\mathcal{C}(\bar{\mathbb{D}})$. Given an unitary function $v \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{S}^1)$, let $\zeta := rv \in \mathcal{C}(\bar{\mathbb{D}})$ be an extension to a continuous function on the closed disk, where r denotes the radius function of the points in $\bar{\mathbb{D}}$. Then, by [12, Ex. 8.D],

$$\text{ind}[v] = \left[\begin{pmatrix} \zeta \bar{\zeta} & \zeta \sqrt{1 - \bar{\zeta} \zeta} \\ \sqrt{1 - \bar{\zeta} \zeta} \bar{\zeta} & 1 - \bar{\zeta} \zeta \end{pmatrix} \right] - \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] \in K_0(\mathcal{C}_0(\mathbb{D}))$$

and

$$\text{ind}[v] = \left[\begin{pmatrix} T_\zeta T_\zeta^* & T_\zeta \sqrt{1 - T_\zeta^* T_\zeta} \\ \sqrt{1 - T_\zeta^* T_\zeta} T_\zeta^* & 1 - T_\zeta^* T_\zeta \end{pmatrix} \right] - \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] \in K_0(\mathcal{K}). \quad (8)$$

Note the striking similarity between these projections. For $v = u \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{S}^1)$ and $\zeta = ru = z \in \mathcal{C}(\bar{\mathbb{D}})$ (the identity function on $\bar{\mathbb{D}}$), the first formula renders the Bott projection of $\mathcal{C}_0(\mathbb{D})$.

3 Definition of closed quantum surfaces

Classical closed surfaces (compact and without boundary) can be described by simply connected polygons in the 2-dimensional plane with a prescribed identification of the boundary edges [6]. There is no loss in generality if we replace the polygon by the closed unit disk $\bar{\mathbb{D}} \subset \mathbb{C}$ and turn the edges into arcs on the boundary circle maintaining their orientations. These arcs may be labeled by pairs of letters a_1, a_2, \dots and b_1, b_2, \dots if they have the same orientation, or by pairs of letters a_1, a_2, \dots and $a_{k+1}^{-1}, a_{k+2}^{-1}, \dots$ if they are given the opposite orientation. Assume that these arcs are parametrized by continuous curves on the interval $[0, 1]$, e.g. $[0, 1] \ni t \mapsto a_j(t) \in \partial\bar{\mathbb{D}}$, always in the direction of their orientation. Then glueing pairs of the arcs means identifying the points $a_j(t)$ and $b_j(t)$ if two numbered sets of letters correspond to each other, or the points $a_j(t)$ and $a_j^{-1}(t)$ if the arc a_j occurs exactly once with its negative orientation a_j^{-1} and has thus no companion b_j .

In this paper, only the following arrangements will be considered. Given $g \in \mathbb{N}$, we use the notation \mathbb{T}^g if the boundary $\partial\bar{\mathbb{D}} \cong \mathbb{S}^1$ is divided into $4g$ arcs $a_1, \dots, a_{2g}, a_1^{-1}, \dots, a_{2g}^{-1}$ such that the topological quotient $\bar{\mathbb{D}}/\sim$ under the equivalence relations

$$z \sim z, \quad \forall z \in \bar{\mathbb{D}}, \quad a_j(t) \sim a_j^{-1}(t), \quad j = 1, \dots, 2g, \quad t \in [0, 1], \quad (9)$$

yields a realization of a closed orientable surface of genus g .

For $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $k \leq n$, we write \mathbb{P}_k^n for a division of the boundary $\partial\bar{\mathbb{D}} \cong \mathbb{S}^1$ into $2n$ arcs $a_1, \dots, a_k, b_1, \dots, b_k, a_{k+1}, \dots, a_n, a_{k+1}^{-1}, \dots, a_n^{-1}$ such that the topological quotient $\bar{\mathbb{D}}/\sim$,

$$z \sim z, \quad \forall z \in \bar{\mathbb{D}}, \quad a_i(t) \sim b_i(t), \quad a_j(t) \sim a_j^{-1}(t), \quad i \leq k, \quad j > k, \quad t \in [0, 1], \quad (10)$$

is homeomorphic to a closed non-orientable surface of Euler genus n . Shrinking the arcs $a_{k+1}, \dots, a_n, a_{k+1}^{-1}, \dots, a_n^{-1}$ to a point yields a closed non-orientable surface of Euler genus $n - k$, whereas shrinking the arcs $a_1, \dots, a_k, b_1, \dots, b_k$ to a point yields an orientable surface of genus $(n - k)/2$. Obviously, $n - k$ has to be an even number. In this case, the equivalence relation in (10) corresponds to the connected sum

$$\mathbb{P}_k^n := \bar{\mathbb{D}}/\sim \cong \underbrace{\mathbb{P}_1^1 \# \dots \# \mathbb{P}_1^1}_{k \text{ times}} \# \underbrace{\mathbb{T}^1 \# \dots \# \mathbb{T}^1}_{(n-k)/2 \text{ times}} \cong \mathbb{P}_k^k \# \mathbb{T}^{(n-k)/2}, \quad (11)$$

which is known to be homeomorphic to the closed non-orientable surface $\mathbb{P}^n := \mathbb{P}_n^n$ of Euler genus n .

The C^* -algebras of continuous functions $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}^g)$ and $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{P}_k^n)$ on the surfaces \mathbb{T}^g and \mathbb{P}_k^n are then isomorphic to the respective subalgebras of all functions $f \in C(\bar{\mathbb{D}})$ such that $f(x) = f(y)$ whenever $x \sim y$, where the equivalence relations are given in (9) and (10), respectively. Comparing the C^* -algebra extensions (1) and (2), and viewing the symbol map $\sigma : \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{S}^1)$ as the restriction of continuous functions on the quantum disk to the boundary, the next definition of closed quantum surfaces becomes fairly obvious.

Definition 1. For $g \in \mathbb{N}$, let the boundary $\partial\bar{\mathbb{D}} \cong \mathbb{S}^1$ be divided into $4g$ arcs $a_1, \dots, a_{2g}, a_1^{-1}, \dots, a_{2g}^{-1}$ such that the topological quotient $\mathbb{T}^g := \bar{\mathbb{D}}/\sim$ with the equivalence relation given in (9) yields a realization of a closed orientable surface of genus g . Then an orientable closed quantum surface of genus g is defined by the C^* -algebra

$$\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}_q^g) := \{f \in \mathcal{T} : \sigma(f)(x) = \sigma(f)(y), \quad \forall x, y \in \partial\bar{\mathbb{D}} \text{ such that } x \sim y\}, \quad (12)$$

where $\sigma : \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{S}^1)$ denotes the symbol map. Likewise, a quantum 2-sphere is given by

$$\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{S}_q^2) := \{f \in \mathcal{T} : \sigma(f)(e^{\pi it}) = \sigma(f)(e^{-\pi it}), t \in [0, 1]\}. \quad (13)$$

Next, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k \leq n$, assume that the boundary $\partial\bar{\mathbb{D}}$ is divided into $2n$ arcs $a_1, \dots, a_k, b_1, \dots, b_k, a_{k+1}, \dots, a_n, a_{k+1}^{-1}, \dots, a_n^{-1}$ such that the topological quotient $\mathbb{P}_k^n := \bar{\mathbb{D}} / \sim$ with the equivalence relation (10) is homeomorphic to a closed non-orientable surface of Euler genus n . Then the C*-algebra

$$\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{P}_{k,q}^n) := \{f \in \mathcal{T} : \sigma(f)(x) = \sigma(f)(y), \forall x, y \in \partial\bar{\mathbb{D}} \text{ such that } x \sim y\} \quad (14)$$

defines a non-orientable closed quantum surface of Euler genus n .

Formally, we have defined a collection of quantum surfaces of the same genus. That is, all different arrangements with the same number of oriented arcs that give classically the same surface define different quantum versions. For instance, the two different orders $a_1 a_2 a_1^{-1} a_2^{-1} \dots a_{2g-1} a_{2g} a_{2g-1}^{-1} a_{2g}^{-1}$ and $a_1 a_2 \dots a_{2g-1} a_{2g} a_1^{-1} a_2^{-1} \dots a_{2g-1}^{-1} a_{2g}^{-1}$ yield different subalgebras of \mathcal{T} , but an orientable quantum surfaces of the same genus. The classical cut-and-glue procedure for the classification of closed surfaces does not apply here because the simple C*-algebra $\mathcal{C}_0(\mathbb{D}_q) := \mathcal{K}$ has no closed ideals, so it cannot be divided into two pieces with a common boundary. In particular, we don't have any topological technique at our disposal to prove that $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{P}_{k,q}^n)$ and $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{P}_{k',q}^n)$ are isomorphic for $k \neq k'$. In fact, we shall show in Section 4 that these C*-algebras are isomorphic if and only if $k = k'$. On the other hand, all C*-algebras associated to the same *orientable* quantum surface are actually isomorphic.

As illustrative examples and for the convenience of the reader, we will give an explicit description of a closed quantum surfaces for each genus. For $g \in \mathbb{N}$, define $4g$ arcs on the circle \mathbb{S}^1 by

$$a_k, a_k^{-1} : [0, 1] \longrightarrow \mathbb{S}^1, \quad a_k(t) := e^{\pi i \frac{k-1+t}{2g}}, \quad a_k^{-1}(t) := e^{\pi i \frac{2g+k-t}{2g}}, \quad k = 1, \dots, 2g.$$

Apparently, this arrangement differs from the usual "normal form" [6]. Nevertheless $\mathbb{T}^g := \bar{\mathbb{D}} / \sim$ with the equivalence relation given in (9) yields a closed orientable surface of genus g and therefore (12) defines an orientable closed quantum surface of genus g . For an example of a non-orientable closed quantum surface of Euler genus n , we may consider the $2n$ arcs

$$a_k, b_k : [0, 1] \longrightarrow \mathbb{S}^1, \quad a_k(t) := e^{\pi i \frac{k-1+t}{n}}, \quad b_k(t) := e^{\pi i \frac{-k+t}{n}}, \quad k = 1, \dots, n.$$

In both cases, the sign before t determines the orientation of the arcs.

Note that, as σ is a *-homomorphism and therefore norm decreasing, our definitions yield indeed C*-subalgebras of \mathcal{T} . Moreover, $\mathcal{C}_0(\mathbb{D}_q) \subset \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}_q^g)$ and $\mathcal{C}_0(\mathbb{D}_q) \subset \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{P}_{k,q}^n)$ since $\mathcal{C}_0(\mathbb{D}_q) := \mathcal{K} = \ker \sigma$. On the boundary, the functions $\sigma(\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}_q^g)) \subset \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{S}^1)$ and $\sigma(\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{P}_{k,q}^n)) \subset \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{S}^1)$ do not separate the identified points along two equivalent arcs. For $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}_q^g)$ and $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{P}_{k,q}^n)$, it can be checked that all arcs start and end at the same point. Hence $\sigma(\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}_q^g))$ and $\sigma(\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{P}_{k,q}^n))$ separate the points of $2g$ and n arcs, respectively, all starting and ending at the same point. As a consequence,

$$\sigma(\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}_q^g)) \cong C\left(\bigvee_{k=1}^{2g} \mathbb{S}^1\right), \quad \sigma(\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{P}_{k,q}^n)) \cong C\left(\bigvee_{k=1}^n \mathbb{S}^1\right),$$

where $\bigvee_{k=1}^N \mathbb{S}^1$ denotes the wedge product of N circles. In case of $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{S}_q^2)$, the image of the symbol map yields only the continuous functions on a half circle $\mathbb{S}_+^1 := \{x \in \mathbb{S}^1 : \text{Im}(x) \geq 0\}$. This observation leads to the following C*-algebra extensions:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{K} \xrightarrow{\iota} \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}_q^g) \xrightarrow{\sigma} C\left(\bigvee_{k=1}^{2g} \mathbb{S}^1\right) \longrightarrow 0, \quad (15)$$

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{K} \xrightarrow{\iota} \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{P}_{k,q}^n) \xrightarrow{\sigma} C\left(\bigvee_{k=1}^n \mathbb{S}^1\right) \longrightarrow 0, \quad (16)$$

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{K} \xrightarrow{\iota} \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{S}_q^2) \xrightarrow{\sigma} C(\mathbb{S}_+^1) \longrightarrow 0. \quad (17)$$

The surjectivity can be verified by lifting a function $f \in C\left(\bigvee_{k=1}^N \mathbb{S}^1\right) \subset \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{S}^1)$ (or $f \in C(\mathbb{S}_+^1) \subset \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{S}^1)$) to a continuous function $\hat{f} \in C(\bar{\mathbb{D}})$, $\hat{f}(re^{i\theta}) := rf(e^{i\theta})$, and recalling that $\sigma(T_{\hat{f}}) = f$.

It is well known (see e.g. [12]) that a C*-extension gives rise to an isomorphic description as a pullback of C*-algebras via the Busby invariant. Let

$$\rho_N : \mathbb{S}^1 \longrightarrow (\mathbb{S}^1/\sim) \cong \bigvee_{k=1}^N \mathbb{S}^1 \quad (18)$$

denote the quotient map defined by restricting the quotients in (9) and (10) to the boundary, where $N = 2g$ and $N = n$, respectively. Then the inclusion $C\left(\bigvee_{k=1}^N \mathbb{S}^1\right) \subset \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{S}^1)$ corresponds to the pullback $\rho_N^* : C\left(\bigvee_{k=1}^N \mathbb{S}^1\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{S}^1)$ and the Busby invariant is determined by

$$\tau_N : C\left(\bigvee_{k=1}^N \mathbb{S}^1\right) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{E} = \mathcal{B}/\mathcal{K}, \quad \tau_N(f) = \sigma(T_{\widehat{\rho_N^*(f)}}),$$

where $\widehat{\rho_N^*(f)}$ stands for the extension of $\rho_N^*(f) \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{S}^1)$ to the closed disk as described below (17). By [12, Prop. 3.2.11], our closed quantum surfaces are naturally isomorphic to the pullback

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & \mathcal{B} \oplus \mathcal{C}\left(\bigvee_{k=1}^N \mathbb{S}^1\right) & \\
 & (\sigma, \tau_N) & \\
 \mathcal{B} & \begin{array}{l} \swarrow \text{pr}_1 \\ \searrow \sigma \end{array} & \begin{array}{l} \swarrow \text{pr}_2 \\ \searrow \tau_N \end{array} & \mathcal{C}\left(\bigvee_{k=1}^N \mathbb{S}^1\right), \\
 & \searrow \sigma & \swarrow \tau_N & \\
 & \mathcal{B}/\mathcal{K} & &
 \end{array} \tag{19}$$

where $\sigma : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}/\mathcal{K}$ denotes the quotient map. As the image of τ_N lies in $\sigma(\mathcal{T}) = \mathcal{T}/\mathcal{K} \cong \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{S}^1)$, we obtain the same pullback C*-algebra by the reduced pullback diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & \mathcal{C}(\bar{\mathbb{D}}_q) \oplus \mathcal{C}\left(\bigvee_{k=1}^N \mathbb{S}^1\right) & \\
 & (\sigma, \rho_N^*) & \\
 \mathcal{C}(\bar{\mathbb{D}}_q) & \begin{array}{l} \swarrow \text{pr}_1 \\ \searrow \sigma \end{array} & \begin{array}{l} \swarrow \text{pr}_2 \\ \searrow \rho_N^* \end{array} & \mathcal{C}\left(\bigvee_{k=1}^N \mathbb{S}^1\right), \\
 & \searrow \sigma & \swarrow \rho_N^* & \\
 & \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{S}^1) & &
 \end{array} \tag{20}$$

where we made use of the quantum disk picture $\mathcal{C}(\bar{\mathbb{D}}_q) := \mathcal{T}$.

The pullback diagram (20) allows a nice interpretation of closed quantum surfaces as noncommutative CW-complexes [5]. Classically, we may view (20) as a dualization of the pushout diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & \mathbb{T}^g & \\
 & \swarrow \quad \nwarrow & \\
 \bar{\mathbb{D}} & & \bigvee_{k=1}^{2g} \mathbb{S}^1, \\
 & \swarrow \iota \quad \searrow \rho_{2g} & \\
 & \mathbb{S}^1 &
 \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc}
 & \mathbb{P}^n & \\
 & \swarrow \quad \nwarrow & \\
 \bar{\mathbb{D}} & & \bigvee_{k=1}^n \mathbb{S}^1, \\
 & \swarrow \iota \quad \searrow \rho_n & \\
 & \mathbb{S}^1 &
 \end{array} \tag{21}$$

where ρ_{2g} in the left diagram and ρ_n in the right diagram are given by the restriction to the boundary of the topological quotients defined by the equivalence relations in (9) and (10), respectively, and $\iota : \mathbb{S}^1 \cong \partial\bar{\mathbb{D}} \hookrightarrow \bar{\mathbb{D}}$ denotes the inclusion. Clearly, we may view $\bigvee_{k=1}^N \mathbb{S}^1$ as a 1-skeleton obtained by attaching N arcs to a 0-skeleton consisting of a single point. Then the diagrams

in (21) amount to attaching a 2-cell to the 1-skeletons, so that (20) becomes a dualized and quantized version of it. A generalization of this construction to higher dimensions, including K-theoretic computations by using spectral sequences, will be given in [10].

4 Isomorphism classes of quantum surfaces

In section we address the question of isomorphism classes of closed quantum surfaces. As in Definition 1, we will only allow the assignment of $4g$ arcs for $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}_q^g)$ and $2n$ arcs for $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{P}_{k,q}^n)$ in such a way that the construction yields the classical counterpart if the quantum disk gets replaced by the closed unit disk. Thus, in the orientable case, only arcs of opposite orientation are pairwise identified, and in the non-orientable case, there exists at least one pair of identified arcs having the same orientation on the boundary circle.

For the purpose of applying Brown-Douglas-Fillmore theory [2, 3], we will use the pullback diagram (19) and characterize the C*-algebra extension (15) and (16) by a single, essentially normal generator. To begin, we describe $\bigvee_{k=1}^N \mathbb{S}^1$ homeomorphically as a compact subset in \mathbb{C} , for instance as a finite Hawaiian earring:

$$\varphi_N : \bigvee_{k=1}^N \mathbb{S}^1 \xrightarrow{\cong} X_N := \bigcup_{k=1}^N \mathbb{S}_{\frac{k+1}{k}}^1(-\frac{1}{k}) = \bigcup_{k=1}^N \{x \in \mathbb{C} : |x + \frac{1}{k}| = \frac{k+1}{k}\}. \quad (22)$$

Here, $\mathbb{S}_{\frac{k+1}{k}}^1(-\frac{1}{k})$ stands for the circle with radius $\frac{k+1}{k}$ and centre $-\frac{1}{k} \in \mathbb{C}$. All these circles have a common base point at $1 \in \mathbb{C}$. Let $z : X_N \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, $z(x) = x$ denote the identity function. Clearly, z separates the points of X_N , hence z , \bar{z} and 1 generate the C*-algebra $\mathcal{C}(X_N)$ by the Stone-Weierstrass theorem. Thus the function $\zeta_N \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{S}^1)$,

$$\zeta_N := (\varphi_N \circ \rho_N)^* z : \mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow X_N \subset \mathbb{C} \quad (23)$$

separates exactly the points of the arcs after the identification by ρ_N . Hence any function $h \in \mathcal{C}(\bigvee_{k=1}^N \mathbb{S}^1) \cong \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{S}^1/\sim) \subset \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{S}^1) \cong \sigma(\mathcal{T})$ satisfying the same “boundary conditions” from Definition 1 as the function ζ_N can be approximated by polynomials in ζ_N and $\bar{\zeta}_N$. This means that any such h can be approximated by polynomials in $\sigma(T_{\widehat{\zeta}_N})$ and $\sigma(T_{\widehat{\zeta}_N}^*)$ with the usual extension of $\zeta_N \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{S}^1)$ to a continuous function

$$\widehat{\zeta}_N \in \mathcal{C}(\overline{\mathbb{D}}), \quad \widehat{\zeta}_N(re^{i\theta}) = r\zeta_N(e^{i\theta}), \quad r \in [0, 1], \quad \theta \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (24)$$

Thus $\sigma(\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}_q^g)) \cong \mathcal{C}(X_{2g})$ and $\sigma(\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{P}_{k,q}^n)) \cong \mathcal{C}(X_n)$, so the closure of the $*$ -algebra generated by $T_{\widehat{\zeta}_N}, T_{\widehat{\zeta}_N}^*, 1$ and \mathcal{K} defines a C^* -algebra extension which has a Busby invariant that is isomorphic to τ_N in (19) via the homeomorphisms $X_N \cong \bigvee_{k=1}^N \mathbb{S}^1 \cong (\mathbb{S}^1/\sim)$. Therefore the C^* -algebra extensions are isomorphic, see Equation (25) below. By definition, the generator $T_{\widehat{\zeta}_N}$ yields an essentially normal operator with essential spectrum $X_N \subset \mathbb{C}$.

Assume now that there exists an isomorphism of closed quantum surfaces $\alpha : \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{M}_q) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{M}'_q)$, where $\mathbb{M}_q, \mathbb{M}'_q \in \{\mathbb{T}_q^g, \mathbb{P}_{k,q}^n : g, n, k \in \mathbb{N}, k \leq n\}$. As all considered C^* -algebras are subalgebras of \mathcal{T} and contain the Jacobson radical $\mathcal{K} = \ker(\sigma)$, we get an isomorphism $\alpha : \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$. Any such isomorphism can be implemented by a unitary operator $U_\alpha \in \mathcal{B}$ [8, Remark 2.5.3]. Moreover, each isomorphism $\alpha : \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$ has a unique extension to its multiplier algebra $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{K})$. Therefore the isomorphism $\alpha : \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{M}_q) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{M}'_q)$ can be given by $\alpha(t) = U_\alpha t U_\alpha^*$ with a unique unitary operator U_α . As a consequence, the C^* -algebras $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{M}_q), \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{M}'_q) \subset \mathcal{T}$ are isomorphic if and only if there exist essentially normal operators $T \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{M}_q)$ and $T' \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{M}'_q)$ that generate together with 1 and \mathcal{K} the corresponding C^* -algebras and are unitarily equivalent up to a compact perturbation. The question of the existence of such a unitary equivalence is exactly the starting point of Brown-Douglas-Fillmore theory. This theory provides the principal tools for the classification of isomorphism classes in the next theorem.

Theorem 2. *For $g \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}_q^g)$ denote an orientable closed quantum surface as defined in Definition 1. Then $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}_q^g)$ is isomorphic to the C^* -algebra generated by 1, T_g, T_g^* and the compact operators $\mathcal{K} := \mathcal{K}(H_g)$, where*

$$H_g := \bigoplus_{j=1}^{2g} \ell_2(\mathbb{Z}), \quad T_g := \bigoplus_{j=1}^{2g} \left(\frac{j+1}{j} U - \frac{1}{j} \right),$$

and U stands for the unitary bilateral shift on $\ell_2(\mathbb{Z})$.

Given $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$ with $k \leq n$, let $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{P}_{k,q}^n)$ denote a non-orientable closed quantum surface from Definition 1. Then $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{P}_{k,q}^n)$ is isomorphic to the C^* -algebra generated by 1, $T_{n,k}, T_{n,k}^*$ and the compact operators $\mathcal{K} := \mathcal{K}(H_{n,k})$, where

$$H_{n,k} := \bigoplus_{j=1}^k \ell_2(\mathbb{N}_0) \oplus \bigoplus_{j=k+1}^n \ell_2(\mathbb{Z}), \quad T_{n,k} := \bigoplus_{j=1}^k \left(\frac{j+1}{j} S^2 - \frac{1}{j} \right) \oplus \bigoplus_{j=k+1}^n \left(\frac{j+1}{j} U - \frac{1}{j} \right),$$

and S stands for the unilateral shift from (3).

In particular, $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}_q^g)$ and $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}_q^{g'})$ are isomorphic if and only if $g = g'$. Furthermore, $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{P}_{k,q}^n)$ and $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{P}_{k',q}^{n'})$ are isomorphic if and only if $n = n'$ and $k = k'$. Moreover, $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}_q^g)$ is never isomorphic to $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{P}_{k,q}^n)$, and $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{S}_q^2)$ is neither isomorphic to $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}_q^g)$ nor to $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{P}_{k,q}^n)$.

Proof. First observe that, if we replace in the diagram (20) the C*-algebra $\mathcal{C}(\bigvee_{j=1}^N \mathbb{S}^1)$ by its isomorphic image $(\varphi_N^*)^{-1} : \mathcal{C}(\bigvee_{j=1}^N \mathbb{S}^1) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{C}(X_N)$, with φ_N given in (22), then we obtain isomorphic pullbacks

$$\phi_N : \mathcal{C}(\bar{\mathbb{D}}_q) \oplus_{(\sigma, \rho_N^* \circ \varphi_N^*)} \mathcal{C}(X_N) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{C}(\bar{\mathbb{D}}_q) \oplus_{(\sigma, \rho_N^*)} \mathcal{C}(\bigvee_{j=1}^N \mathbb{S}^1), \quad \phi_N((t, f)) := (t, \varphi_N^*(f)). \quad (25)$$

Hence we can use the homeomorphism φ_N from (22) to order the circles from the wedge product in a certain “normal form”. Suppose therefore without loss of generality that the closed quantum surface is defined by the pairwise identification of $2N$ arcs such that the first k circles correspond to pairs of arcs that had the same *positive* orientation, and the remaining $N - k$ circles correspond to pairs of arcs that have been identified with opposite orientation. Here the homeomorphism φ_N may be used to flip the orientation and to change the order of the arcs. As our assignment of arcs leads in the classical case to a closed surface, we get $\bar{\mathbb{D}}/\sim \cong \mathbb{P}_k^N$ similar to (11) and $\mathbb{P}_0^N = \mathbb{T}^{N/2}$ for $k = 0$ by definition.

The operators $\sigma(U), \sigma(S^2) \in \mathfrak{C} = \mathcal{B}/\mathcal{K}$ have both the spectrum $\mathbb{S}^1 \subset \mathbb{C}$, therefore $\text{spec}(T_{N,k}) = X_N \cong \bigvee_{j=1}^N \mathbb{S}^1$. However, $\text{Ind}(U) = 0$ and $\text{Ind}(S^2) = -2$. Further, $S^* \oplus S + K \cong U$, where $K \in \mathcal{K}(\ell_2(\mathbb{N}_0) \oplus \ell_2(\mathbb{N}_0)) \cong \mathcal{K}(\ell_2(\mathbb{Z}))$ maps $\ker(S^*)$ unitarily onto $\text{Im}(S)^\perp \cong \text{coker}(S)$. On the other hand, it was explained in the beginning of this section that the C*-algebra of the closed quantum surface is generated by $T_{\hat{\zeta}_N}, T_{\hat{\zeta}_N}^*, 1$ and \mathcal{K} , where ζ_N has been defined in (23) and $\hat{\zeta}_N$ denotes its extension to the closed disk as in (24). So the proof of the theorem boils down to the question of when $T_{\hat{\zeta}_N}$ is unitarily equivalent to a compact perturbation of $T_{N,k}$.

Recall, e.g. from [1, Section 16.2], that the essentially normal operators with essential spectrum $X_N \subset \mathbb{C}$ are classified, up to compact perturbations, by $K^1(X_N) \cong K_1(\mathcal{C}(X_N))$. By (22), $K_1(\mathcal{C}(X_N)) \cong K_1(\mathcal{C}(\bigvee_{j=1}^N \mathbb{S}^1))$, and since the wedge sum of circles $\bigvee_{j=1}^N \mathbb{S}^1$ can be obtained by the one-point compacti-

fication of N open, disjoint intervals, we have that $K_1(\mathcal{C}(X_N)) = \bigoplus_{j=1}^N \mathbb{Z}[u_j]$, where $u_j \in \mathcal{C}(X_N)$ is any invertible function with winding number 1 (or -1) on the j -th circle and winding number 0 on all the others. Moreover, the winding number of an invertible Im function $\Phi \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{S}^1)$ is related to the Fredholm index of the Toeplitz operator $T_{\widehat{\Phi}}$ by (7), and Brown-Douglas-Fillmore theory tells us that the Fredholm index is a principal obstruction for unitary equivalence of essentially normal operators up to compacts.

Let $\zeta_N \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{S}^1)$ and $\widehat{\zeta}_N \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{D})$ be given by (23) and (24), respectively. Then the essentially normal generator $T_{\widehat{\zeta}_N} \in \mathcal{T}$ of the corresponding closed quantum surface has essential spectrum $\text{Im}(\sigma(T_{\widehat{\zeta}_N})) = \text{Im}(\zeta_N) = X_N$. After applying φ_N from (22) to bring the circles into normal form, the function ζ_N from (23) winds along an arc $a_j \subset \mathbb{S}^1$ once around the circle $\mathbb{S}_{\frac{j}{j+1}}^1(-\frac{1}{j})$ in positive direction, and along the arc $a_j^{-1} \subset \mathbb{S}^1$ once around the same circle $\mathbb{S}_{\frac{j}{j+1}}^1(-\frac{1}{j})$, but in negative direction. As the circles are ordered in normal form, and there are $2k$ arcs corresponding in the classical case to the connected sum $\mathbb{P}^1 \# \dots \# \mathbb{P}^1$ of k projective spaces, the function ζ_N winds exactly twice in positive direction around each of the first k circles. For the remaining $N - k$ circles, illustrated in (11) as connected sum $\mathbb{T}^1 \# \dots \# \mathbb{T}^1$ of $(N - k)/2$ tori, any arc occurs also in the opposite direction, so the function ζ_N has winding number 0 around each of these circles. From the classification of essentially normal operators by winding numbers in [1, Theorem 16.2.1 and Example 16.2.4], together with the relation between winding numbers and the Fredholm index of shift operators in (6) and (7), it follows that the generator $T_{\widehat{\zeta}_N}$ is unitarily equivalent to a compact perturbation of $T_{N,k}$ defined in the theorem. Consequently the C*-algebra generated by $T_{\widehat{\zeta}_N}$, $T_{\widehat{\zeta}_N}^*$, 1 and \mathcal{K} is isomorphic to the C*-algebra generated by $T_{N,k}$, $T_{N,k}^*$, 1 and \mathcal{K} .

Finally, two operators $T_{N,k}$ and $T_{N',k'}$ are unitarily equivalent up to a perturbation by a compact operator if and only if they have the same essential spectrum, and $\sigma(T_{N,k})$ and $\sigma(T_{N',k'})$ have the same winding numbers, i.e., $N = N'$ and $k = k'$. This implies the last claims of the theorem. \square

Theorem 2 has two interesting consequences. First, we did not use in the proof the condition that the classical counterpart yields a closed surface. So there are assignments of arcs, always with starting and endpoint identified, that do not give rise to a 2-dimensional manifold in the classical case, but define a C*-algebra isomorphic to a closed quantum surface. Thus, on the

one hand the Toeplitz quantization decreases degeneracy by distinguishing between $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{P}_{k,q}^n)$ and $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{P}_{k',q}^n)$ for $k \neq k'$, and on the other hand it increases degeneracy by allowing for “non-admissible” prescriptions of arcs that do not even yield topological manifolds in the classical case.

Second, there is an abuse of notation in Definition 1. Equations (12) and (14) define actually families of different C*-subalgebras of \mathcal{T} , i.e., different arrangements yield different subalgebras. However, Theorem 2 shows that each admissible arrangement leads to a C*-algebra that is isomorphic to exactly one from Definition 1.

5 K-theory of closed quantum surfaces

In Section 3, closed quantum surfaces were defined by analogy to the classical case. In this section, we will show that the topological invariants in the disguise of K-groups are not changed by the quantization process. A motivation for this fact was already given at the end of Section 2.

Theorem 3. *Let $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}_q^g)$, $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{S}_q^2)$ and $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{P}_{k,q}^n)$ be as defined in Definition 1. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} K_0(\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}_q^g)) &\cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}, & K_1(\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}_q^g)) &\cong \bigoplus_{j=1}^{2g} \mathbb{Z}, \\ K_0(\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{S}_q^2)) &\cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}, & K_1(\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{S}_q^2)) &\cong 0, \\ K_0(\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{P}_{k,q}^n)) &\cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}, & K_1(\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{P}_{k,q}^n)) &\cong \bigoplus_{j=1}^{n-1} \mathbb{Z}. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, all closed quantum surfaces from Definition 1 have the same K-groups as their classical counterparts.

Proof. The K-groups can easily be computed by applying the 6-term exact sequence of to the C*-algebra extensions (15)–(17):

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} K_0(\mathcal{K}) & \xrightarrow{\iota_*} & K_0(\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{M}_q)) & \xrightarrow{\sigma_*} & K_0(\mathcal{C}(\bigvee_{k=1}^N \mathbb{S}^1)) & (26) \\ \uparrow \text{ind} & & & & \downarrow \text{exp} \\ K_1(\mathcal{C}(\bigvee_{k=1}^N \mathbb{S}^1)) & \xleftarrow{\sigma_*} & K_1(\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{M}_q)) & \xleftarrow{\iota_*} & K_1(\mathcal{K}), \end{array}$$

where $\mathbb{M}_q \in \{\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}_q^g), \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{P}_{k,q}^n), \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{S}_q^2) : g, n, k \in \mathbb{N}, k \leq n\}$. As discussed in Section 2, $K_1(\mathcal{K}) = 0$ and $K_0(\mathcal{K}) = \mathbb{Z}[1 - SS^*]$. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} K_0(\mathcal{C}(\bigvee_{k=1}^N \mathbb{S}^1)) &= K_0((\bigoplus_{k=1}^N \mathcal{C}_0(0, 1)) \dot{+} \mathbb{C}1) = (\bigoplus_{k=1}^N K_0(\Sigma \mathbb{C})) \oplus \mathbb{Z}[1] = \mathbb{Z}[1], \\ K_1(\mathcal{C}(\bigvee_{k=1}^N \mathbb{S}^1)) &= K_1((\bigoplus_{k=1}^N \mathcal{C}_0(0, 1)) \dot{+} \mathbb{C}1) = \bigoplus_{k=1}^N K_1(\mathcal{C}_0(0, 1)) = \bigoplus_{j=1}^N \mathbb{Z}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{A} \dot{+} \mathbb{C}1$ means adjoining a unity to the non-unital C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} and $\Sigma \mathcal{A}$ denotes the suspension of \mathcal{A} . Inserting these K-groups into (26) yields

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathbb{Z} & \xrightarrow{\iota_*} & K_0(\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{M}_q)) & \xrightarrow{\sigma_*} & \mathbb{Z}[1] \\ \text{ind} \uparrow & & & & \downarrow \text{exp} \\ \mathbb{Z}^N & \xleftarrow{\sigma_*} & K_1(\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{M}_q)) & \xleftarrow{\iota_*} & 0, \end{array} \quad (27)$$

Now $0 \rightarrow \ker(\sigma_*) \rightarrow K_0(\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{M}_q)) \xrightarrow{\sigma_*} \mathbb{Z}[1] \rightarrow 0$ is split exact with a splitting homomorphism given by $[1] \mapsto [1]$. Thus it follows from the exactness of (27) that

$$K_0(\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{M}_q)) \cong \mathbb{Z}/\text{Im}(\text{ind}) \oplus \mathbb{Z}[1], \quad K_1(\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{M}_q)) \cong \text{Ker}(\text{ind}). \quad (28)$$

Hence it remains to determine the index map $\text{ind} : K_1(\mathcal{C}(\bigvee_{k=1}^N \mathbb{S}^1)) \rightarrow K_0(\mathcal{K})$.

Recall that $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{M}_q) \subset \mathcal{T}$ and $\mathcal{C}(\bigvee_{j=1}^N \mathbb{S}^1) \subset \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{S}^1)$ by Definition 1 and Equation (1). As explained at the end of Section 2, describing the index map amounts to lifting a unitary (matrix) Φ in $\mathcal{C}(\bigvee_{j=1}^N \mathbb{S}^1)$ to a Fredholm operator F_Φ in $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{M}_q) \subset \mathcal{T}$ and computing its Fredholm index $\text{Ind}(F_\Phi) \in \mathbb{Z} \cong K_0(\mathcal{K})$. Moreover, the Fredholm index $\text{Ind}(F_\Phi)$ coincides with the negative winding number $-\text{wind}[\Phi]$, see (7). We mentioned in the proof of Theorem 2 that $K_1(\mathcal{C}(X_N)) = \bigoplus_{j=1}^N \mathbb{Z}[u_j]$, where $X_N \cong \bigvee_{j=1}^N \mathbb{S}^1$ and u_j is any invertible function that has winding number 1 (or -1) on the j -th circle and winding number 0 on all the others. Moreover, it was stated below (18) that the inclusion $\mathcal{C}(\bigvee_{j=1}^N \mathbb{S}^1) \subset \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{S}^1)$ corresponds to the pullback $\rho_N^* : \mathcal{C}(\bigvee_{j=1}^N \mathbb{S}^1) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{S}^1)$ with ρ_N from (18).

Now let $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{M}_q) = \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}_q^g)$ and $N = 2g$. Then, by (9), each arc, say a_j , occurs exactly once more with its negative orientation a_j^{-1} . As a consequence,

the winding numbers of all invertible functions $\Phi \in \mathcal{C}\left(\bigvee_{k=1}^{2g} \mathbb{S}^1\right) \subset \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{S}^1)$ are 0, so $\text{ind} \equiv 0$ and thus $K_0(\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}_q^g)) \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}[1]$ and $K_1(\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{M}_q)) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{2g}$ by (27) and (28).

Next we consider $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{P}_{k,q}^n)$, $N = n$ and $1 \leq k \leq n$. Assume that the circles of $\bigvee_{j=1}^n \mathbb{S}^1$ are ordered in such a way that the first k circles correspond to arcs that occur twice with the same orientation (i.e. $a_j(t) \sim b_j(t)$) and the other pairs with opposite orientations (i.e. $a_j(t) \sim a_j^{-1}(t)$). Therefore, an invertible function $u \in \mathcal{C}\left(\bigvee_{k=1}^n \mathbb{S}^1\right) \subset \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{S}^1)$ with winding number $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ along a_j has also winding number m along b_j if $j \leq k$. On the other hand, if $j > k$, then a function with winding number $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ along a_j will have winding number $-m$ along a_j^{-1} so that these winding numbers add up to 0. For the generators $[u_j]$ of $K_1(\mathcal{C}(\bigvee_{j=1}^n \mathbb{S}^1)) \cong \bigoplus_{j=1}^N \mathbb{Z}[u_j]$ described above, we get

$$\text{ind}[u_j] = 2, \quad j \leq k, \quad \text{ind}[u_j] = 0, \quad j > k,$$

so that $\text{ind}(m_1, \dots, m_n) = 2(m_1 + \dots + m_k)$ in the exact sequence (27). In particular, $\text{Im}(\text{ind}) = 2\mathbb{Z}$ and $\text{Ker}(\text{ind}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{n-1}$ from which the result follows by (28).

In the case of $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{S}_q^2)$ from (13), a complex number $e^{\pi it} \in \mathbb{S}^1$ is identified with its complex conjugate $e^{-\pi it} \in \mathbb{S}^1$. The resulting quotient space \mathbb{S}^1 / \sim is homeomorphic to an closed interval and therefore contractable. Replacing $\mathcal{C}(\bigvee_{j=1}^N \mathbb{S}^1)$ by \mathbb{C} in (26), the lower row becomes 0 and the upper row becomes exact, which yields the stated K-groups for $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{S}_q^2)$.

The last claim follows by comparing with the classical K-groups. \square

For concrete calculations, it is convenient to have a suitable description of the generators of the K-groups. Let $u \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{S}^1)$ be the identity function $u(e^{it}) := e^{it}$. Then the identity function $z \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{D})$, $z(re^{it}) := re^{it}$ is an extension of u to the closed disk. Moreover, $[u]$ generates $K_1(\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{S}^1)) \cong \mathbb{Z}[u]$. Set

$$P_{\text{Bott}} := \begin{pmatrix} T_z T_z^* & T_z \sqrt{1 - T_z^* T_z} \\ \sqrt{1 - T_z^* T_z} T_z^* & 1 - T_z^* T_z \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} T_z \\ \sqrt{1 - T_z^* T_z} \end{pmatrix} \circ (T_z^*, \sqrt{1 - T_z^* T_z})$$

Since the index map in (5) is an isomorphism, it follows from (8) with z instead of ζ that $\text{ind}[u] = [P_{\text{Bott}}] - [1]$ generates $K_0(\mathcal{K})$. Note that $[P_{\text{Bott}}] - [1]$

is never in the image of the index map from (26). Hence, for any closed quantum surface from Definition 1, the K_0 -group is generated by $[1]$ and $[P_{\text{Bott}}]$. However, in the case of non-orientable quantum surfaces, we have the relation $2([P_{\text{Bott}}] - [1]) = 0$ as this element belongs to the image of the index map. On the other hand, we can lift $u \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{S}^1)$ to the shift operator $S \in \mathcal{T}$, see (4). As described in the paragraph before (6), $\text{ind}[u] = -[1 - SS^*]$, thus the relation $[1] - [P_{\text{Bott}}] = [1 - SS^*]$ holds in $K_0(\mathcal{K})$.

To describe the generators of the K_1 -groups, consider (non-unitary) generators $[v_j]$ of $K_1(\mathcal{C}(\bigvee_{k=1}^N \mathbb{S}^1)) \cong K_1(\mathcal{C}(X_N))$ with winding number 1 along the j -th circle and winding number 0 along the others. More explicitly, set

$$v_j(x) = x, \quad x \in \mathbb{S}_{\frac{j+1}{j}}^1(-\frac{1}{j}) \subset X_N \cong \bigvee_{k=1}^N \mathbb{S}^1, \quad v_j(x) = 1 \text{ otherwise}, \quad (29)$$

and $u_j := \rho_N^*(v_j) \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{S}^1)$ with ρ_N from (18). Let $\widehat{u}_j \in \mathcal{C}(\overline{\mathbb{D}})$ denote the extension of u_j to the closed disk as given in (24). In the proof of the last theorem, we have seen that $\text{ind}(T_{\widehat{u}_j}) = -\text{wind}(u_j) = 0$ in the orientable case, thus $\dim(\text{Ker}(T_{\widehat{u}_j})) = \dim(\text{Coker}(T_{\widehat{u}_j}))$. Choosing a compact isometry K_j between $\text{Ker}(T_{\widehat{u}_j})$ and $\text{Im}(T_{\widehat{u}_j})^\perp$ and defining $T_j := T_{\widehat{u}_j} + K_j$, we get an invertible operator in $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}_q^g)$ such that $\sigma(T_j) = u_j$. Hence T_j , or equivalently $U_j := T_j |T_j|^{-1}$, $j = 1, \dots, 2g$, generate $K_1(\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}_q^g)) \cong \sigma_*(K_1(\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}_q^g))) \cong K_1(\mathcal{C}(\bigvee_{k=1}^{2g} \mathbb{S}^1)) \cong K_1(\mathcal{C}(X_{2g}))$. Under the isomorphism from Theorem 2, the operator T_j corresponds to a compact perturbation of

$$\text{Id} \oplus \dots \oplus \text{Id} \oplus \left(\frac{j+1}{j}U - \frac{1}{j}\right) \oplus \text{Id} \oplus \dots \oplus \text{Id} \in \mathcal{B}(H_g)$$

as both have the same essential spectrum and the same winding numbers.

In the non-oriented case, we consider the functions $v_{1,j}$ on $X_n \cong \bigvee_{k=1}^n \mathbb{S}^1$ given by

$$v_{1,j}(x) = \bar{x}, \quad x \in \mathbb{S}_2^1(-1), \quad v_{1,j}(x) = x, \quad x \in \mathbb{S}_{\frac{j+1}{j}}^1(-\frac{1}{j}), \quad v_{1,j}(x) = 1 \text{ otherwise},$$

for $j = 2, \dots, k$, and $v_{1,j} := v_j$ for $j > k$ with v_j from (29). As before, let $u_{1,j} := \rho_n^*(v_{1,j})$ denote its pullback to $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{S}^1)$ and write $\widehat{u}_{1,j} \in \mathcal{C}(\overline{\mathbb{D}})$ for its extension to the closed disk as in (24). Note that $u_{1,j} \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{S}^1)$ has winding number 0 for all $j = 2, \dots, n$ so that $\text{ind}[v_{1,j}] = \text{ind}[u_{1,j}] = 0$. As a consequence, $\text{Ind}(T_{\widehat{u}_{1,j}}) = 0$, or equivalently, $\dim(\text{Ker}(T_{\widehat{u}_{1,j}})) = \dim(\text{Coker}(T_{\widehat{u}_{1,j}}))$.

Choosing compact isometries G_j between $\text{Ker}(T_{\widehat{u_{1,j}}})$ and $\text{Im}(T_{\widehat{u_{1,j}}})^\perp$, the operators $R_i := T_{\widehat{u_{1,i+1}}} + G_{i+1}$, $i = 1, \dots, n-1$, become invertible in $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{P}_{k,q}^n)$ and $\sigma(R_i) = u_{1,i+1}$. Thus R_i , or equivalently $V_i := R_i |R_i|^{-1}$, defines an element in $K_1(\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{P}_{k,q}^n))$. Comparing the function $u_{1,i+1}$ with the generators $[v_j]$ of $K_1(\mathcal{C}(\bigvee_{k=1}^n \mathbb{S}^1)) \cong K_1(\mathcal{C}(X_n))$ given in (29), we see that $\sigma_*([R_i]) = [u_{1,i+1}] = -[v_1] + [v_{i+1}]$ by counting the winding numbers along circles. In particular, $\sigma_*([R_i]) \in \text{Ker}(\text{ind})$. Moreover, $[v_{i+1}] - [v_1]$, $i = 1, \dots, n-1$, generate $\text{Ker}(\text{ind}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{n-1}$. Therefore $[R_1] = [V_1], \dots, [R_{n-1}] = [V_{n-1}]$ generate $K_1(\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{P}_{k,q}^n)) \cong \text{Ker}(\text{ind})$. Finally, under the isomorphism from Theorem 2, the operator R_i is a compact perturbation of

$$(2S^{*2} - 1) \oplus \text{Id} \oplus \dots \oplus \text{Id} \oplus \left(\frac{i+2}{i+1}S^2 - \frac{1}{i+1}\right) \oplus \text{Id} \oplus \dots \oplus \text{Id} \in \mathcal{B}(H_{n,k}), \quad i < k,$$

$$\text{Id} \oplus \dots \oplus \text{Id} \oplus \left(\frac{i+2}{i+1}U - \frac{1}{i+1}\right) \oplus \text{Id} \oplus \dots \oplus \text{Id} \in \mathcal{B}(H_{n,k}), \quad i \geq k,$$

as these operators (for i fixed) have the same essential spectrum and the same winding numbers.

Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge support from CIC-UMSNH, from the CONACYT project A1-S-46784 “Grupos cuánticos y geometría no conmutativa”. This research is part of the EU Staff Exchange project 101086394 “Operator Algebras That One Can See”. It was partially supported by the University of Warsaw Thematic Research Programme “Quantum Symmetries”.

References

- [1] B. Blackadar, *K-Theory for Operator Algebras*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998.
- [2] L. G. Brown, R. G. Douglas and P. A. Fillmore, *Unitary equivalence modulo the compact operators and extension of C^* -algebras*, in Proceedings of a Conference on Operator Theory, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 345, pp. 58–128, Springer, New York, 1973
- [3] L. G. Brown, R. G. Douglas and P. A. Fillmore, *Extensions of C^* -algebras and K-homology*, Ann. Math. **105** (1977), 265–324.

- [4] A. Connes, *Noncommutative geometry*, Academic Press, San Diego, 1994.
- [5] F. D'Andrea, P. M. Hajac, T. Maszczyk, A. Sheu and B. Zielinski, *The K-theory type of quantum CW-complexes*, arXiv:2002.09015 [math.KT]
- [6] W. Fulton, *Algebraic Topology*, Springer, New York, 1995.
- [7] M. J. Gotay, *On the Groenewold-Van Hove problem for \mathbb{R}^{2n}* , J. Math. Phys. **40** (1999), 2107–2116.
- [8] N. Higson and J. Roe, *Analytic K-Homology*, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2000.
- [9] S. Klimek and A. Lesniewski, *A two-parameter quantum deformation of the unit disc*, J. Funct. Anal. **115** (1993), 1–23.
- [10] A. Sierra Acosta and E. Wagner, *On the K-Theory of quantum CW complexes*, in preparation.
- [11] N. L. Vasilevski, *Commutative algebras of Toeplitz operators on the Bergman space*, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2008.
- [12] N. E. Wegge-Olsen, *K-theory and C*-Algebras: A Friendly Approach*, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1993.