

THE CHANG–SKJELBRED LEMMA AND GENERALIZATIONS

MATTHIAS FRANZ

ABSTRACT. We review the Chang–Skjelbred lemma for torus-equivariant cohomology and discuss several generalizations of it: to other coefficients, other groups and also to syzygies in equivariant cohomology and the Atiyah–Bredon sequence.

1. THE CHANG–SKJELBRED LEMMA

Let $T \cong (S^1)^n$ be a torus of rank $n \geq 0$, and let X be a “sufficiently nice” T -space. For example, X can be a compact smooth T -manifold, possibly with boundary, or a complex algebraic variety with an action of the complexification $T_{\mathbb{C}} = (\mathbb{C}^\times)^n$.

Let $ET \rightarrow BT$ be the universal T -bundle. By definition, the T -equivariant cohomology of X is the cohomology of the Borel construction (or homotopy quotient) $X_T = ET \times_T X$,

$$(1.1) \quad H_T^*(X) = H^*(X_T).$$

Unless specified otherwise, we take cohomology with coefficients in \mathbb{R} .

Recall that $R = H^*(BT)$ is a polynomial ring in generators t_1, \dots, t_n of degree 2. Via the canonical projection $X_T \rightarrow BT$, $H_T^*(X)$ becomes a module (even an algebra) over R . Moreover, under our assumptions on X , $H_T^*(X)$ is a finitely generated R -module. If T acts trivially on X , then $X_T = BT \times X$, so that we get an isomorphism of R -algebras $H_T^*(X) \cong R \otimes H^*(X)$.

The T -space X is said to be *equivariantly formal* if $H_T^*(X)$ is a free R -module. There are several equivalent conditions for equivariant formality:

- (1) Serre spectral sequence for the bundle $X \hookrightarrow X_T \rightarrow BT$ degenerates on the second page $E_2 = R \otimes H^*(X)$.
- (2) There is an isomorphism of R -modules

$$(1.2) \quad H_T^*(X) \cong R \otimes H^*(X).$$

(which is *not* multiplicative in general).

- (3) The canonical restriction map $H_T^*(X) \rightarrow H^*(X)$ induced by the inclusion of the fibre is surjective. (In traditional terms, “ X is totally non-homologous to 0 in X_T ”.)
- (4) There is an isomorphism of graded algebras

$$(1.3) \quad H^*(X) \cong H_T^*(X) / \mathfrak{m} \cdot H_T^*(X),$$

where $\mathfrak{m} = (t_1, \dots, t_n) \triangleleft R$ is the maximal homogeneous ideal.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 55N91; secondary 13D02.
The author was supported by an NSERC Discovery Grant.

(5) The sum of the Betti numbers of the fixed point set X^T agrees with that of X ,

$$(1.4) \quad \dim H^*(X^T) = \dim H^*(X),$$

cf. [4, Thm. 3.10.4].

We additionally list the following sufficient criteria: X is equivariantly formal if

- (6) $H^*(X)$ vanishes in odd degrees (because all differentials in the Serre spectral sequence must be zero),
- (7) X is a compact Kähler manifold and $X^T \neq \emptyset$ (Blanchard [7, Sec. II.1]),
- (8) X is a compact symplectic manifold with a Hamiltonian T -action (Frankel [14], Kirwan [26, Prop. 5.8]), or
- (9) X is a complete (for instance, projective) smooth complex algebraic variety with an algebraic action of the algebraic torus $T_{\mathbb{C}}$ (Goresky–Kottwitz–MacPherson [24, Thm. 14.1(2)], Weber [30]).

The *equivariant 1-skeleton* $X_1 \subset X$ is the union of the fixed points and the orbits of dimension 1. In other words, it consists of all $x \in X$ whose isotropy group $T_x \subset T$ has rank at least $n - 1$. Note that even for smooth actions, X_1 is not smooth in general. For systematic reasons, we also write $X_0 = X^T$.

Theorem 1.1 (Chang–Skjelbred lemma). *If X is equivariantly formal, then the Chang–Skjelbred sequence*

$$0 \longrightarrow H_T^*(X) \xrightarrow{\iota^*} H_T^*(X_0) \xrightarrow{\delta} H_T^{*+1}(X_1, X_0)$$

is exact. Here $\iota: X_0 \hookrightarrow X$ is the inclusion map, and δ is the connecting homomorphism in the long exact sequence for the pair (X_1, X_0) .

In words, this means that $H_T^*(X)$ embeds into $H_T^*(X_0)$ via ι^* and that the image equals the kernel of δ . It entails that the equivariant cohomology of an equivariantly formal T -space X can be computed out of the equivariant 1-skeleton X_1 alone. This often provides an efficient way to compute $H_T^*(X)$ and, via the isomorphism (1.3), also $H^*(X)$. Note that we even obtain the product structure in $H_T^*(X)$ and $H^*(X)$ this way because the map ι^* is multiplicative and the image of $H_T^*(X)$ therefore a subring of $H_T^*(X_0) \cong R \otimes H^*(X_0)$. As mentioned above, the product structure of the latter is componentwise and therefore comparatively easy to understand.

Similarly, if $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is a map between equivariantly formal T -spaces, then the commutative diagram

$$(1.5) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} H_T^*(Y) & \xleftarrow{\iota^*} & H_T^*(Y_0) \\ f^* \downarrow & & \downarrow f^* \\ H_T^*(X) & \xleftarrow{\iota^*} & H_T^*(X_0) \end{array}$$

allows to reconstruct the induced map $f^*: H_T^*(Y) \rightarrow H_T^*(X)$ from the restriction of f to the fixed point sets.

Remark 1.2. Given the long exact sequence of the pair (X_1, X_0) , the exactness of the Chang–Skjelbred sequence is equivalent to the condition that the images of $H_T^*(X)$ and $H_T^*(X_1)$ in $H_T^*(X_0)$ coincide. The latter image moreover is the intersection of all images $H_T^*(X^K) \rightarrow H_T^*(X_0)$, where $K \subset T$ runs through the

(finitely many¹) codimension-1 subtori that occur as the identity components of some isotropy group in X . This is because one has an isomorphism

$$(1.6) \quad H_T^*(X_1, X_0) = \bigoplus_K H_T^*(X^K, X_0)$$

where K runs over the same subtori as before. (Note that $X^K = X_0$ for a codimension-1 subtorus that does not occur as the identity component of an isotropy group in X .)

Remark 1.3. The Chang–Skjelbred lemma appeared in [12, Lemma 2.3] (with a slightly weaker assumption on $H_T^*(X)$ than freeness). Around the same time, Atiyah established a longer exact sequence in the context of equivariant K -theory, see Section 6. Several decades later Goresky–Kottwitz–MacPherson rediscovered the Chang–Skjelbred lemma and popularized it. In particular, they pointed out its computational power in the special case where X_0 consists of finitely many points and X_1 of finitely many 2-spheres that are rotated via characters $T \rightarrow S^1$ and glued together at their poles. This is now called “GKM theory” and has numerous applications in symplectic geometry, algebraic geometry and combinatorics. For more about the theory and applications of GKM theory, see the articles [25] and [28] as well as the forthcoming papers [23] and [29].

2. EXAMPLES

Example 2.1. We consider the standard action of $T = (S^1)^2$ on $X = \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$ given by $(g_1, g_2) \cdot [x_0 : x_1 : x_2] = [x_0 : g_1 x_1 : g_2 x_2]$. This space is equivariantly formal by any of the three necessary conditions listed in Section 1.

The fixed point set X_0 consists of the three points

$$(2.1) \quad x_0 = [1, 0, 0], \quad x_1 = [0, 1, 0], \quad x_2 = [0, 0, 1]$$

and the equivariant 1-skeleton X_1 is the union of three 2-spheres,

$$(2.2) \quad S(\chi_1) = [*, *, 0], \quad S(\chi_2) = [*, 0, *], \quad S(\chi_2/\chi_1) = [0, *, *].$$

Here $S(\chi)$ denotes a 2-sphere on which T acts by rotations via the character $\chi: T \rightarrow S^1$. The characters χ_1 and χ_2 are the canonical projections. The left picture in Figure 1 shows how these three spheres are glued together at their fixed points. The quotient X_1/T is a graph, displayed next to it.

Recall that a character χ can be identified with an element of $H^1(T; \mathbb{Z})$ and also with a linear polynomial $\ell \in H^2(BT; \mathbb{Z})$. For $S = S(\chi)$ one has under this identification


$$H_T^{*+1}(S, S_0) \cong R/(\ell),$$

and for a fixed point $x \in S_0$ the map $\delta: H_T^*({x}) \rightarrow H_T^{*+1}(S, S_0)$ corresponds up to sign to the canonical projection $R \rightarrow R/(\ell)$.

An element of $H_T^*(X_0)$ is a triple $(f_0, f_1, f_2) \in R \oplus R \oplus R$ of polynomials. Such a triple is in the kernel of δ if and only if

$$(2.3) \quad f_1 \equiv f_0 \pmod{t_2}, \quad f_2 \equiv f_0 \pmod{t_1}, \quad f_2 \equiv f_1 \pmod{t_2 - t_1}.$$

¹Torus representations have only finitely many orbit types, hence so do compact smooth T -manifolds by the slice theorem. An algebraic $T_{\mathbb{C}}$ -variety can be decomposed into finitely many smooth $T_{\mathbb{C}}$ -varieties, which according to Sumihiro’s theorem can be covered by finitely many affine $T_{\mathbb{C}}$ -varieties. The latter can be embedded into representation spaces, which forces the number of orbit types to be finite.

FIGURE 1. Equivariant 1-skeleton, GKM graph and fan for $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$


In the graph above, we have labelled the edges by the polynomials giving the divisibility conditions. Such a graph is called a *GKM graph*; from it one can directly read off the description of $H_T^*(X)$ we have given.

The projective space $X = \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$ is actually a complete smooth toric variety, given by the fan Σ on the right of Figure 1. Hence we see that

$$(2.4) \quad H_T^*(X) \cong PP(\Sigma) = \{ f: |\Sigma| \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid f \text{ piecewise polynomial} \},$$

where $|\Sigma|$ is the support of the fan (equal to \mathbb{R}^2 in this case), and “piecewise polynomial” means that f restricts to a polynomial function on each (closed) cone. The congruences (2.3) amount to the condition that the function $|\Sigma| \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by the f_i is well-defined on the 1-dimensional pairwise intersections of the 2-dimensional cones.

Example 2.2. Now consider $X = \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus \{[1, 0, 0]\}$. It equivariantly deformation-retracts to $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$, so that is again equivariantly formal. The equivariant 1-skeleton consists of the sphere $\{[0, *, *]\}$ and two punctured spheres.

FIGURE 2. Equivariant 1-skeleton, GKM graph and fan for $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus \{x_0\}$

A punctured sphere can be equivariantly deformation-retracted to the other pole, so that the relative equivariant cohomology of the pair vanishes. This implies that the two punctured spheres can be ignored when computing the kernel of δ . Hence $H_T^*(X)$ is isomorphic to the algebra of pairs $(f_1, f_2) \in R \oplus R$ satisfying the relation

$$(2.5) \quad f_2 \equiv f_1 \pmod{t_2 - t_1}.$$

Note that we are again looking at a smooth toric variety. Its fan Σ is obtained from the one for $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$ by removing the cone covering the first quadrant. We therefore find again that $H_T^*(X)$ is isomorphic to the piecewise polynomials on the support of Σ . By a result of Brion [8, Sec. 2.2], this holds in fact for *all* smooth toric varieties, including those which are not equivariantly formal.

3. INTEGER COEFFICIENTS

Let us indicate what happens if we take cohomology with integer coefficients. Then $R = H^*(BT) = \mathbb{Z}[t_1, \dots, t_n]$ is still a polynomial ring, but of course with integer coefficients this time. There are several ways to generalize the notion of equivariant formality to integer coefficients:

- (1) $H_T^*(X)$ is free over R .
- (2) There is an isomorphism of R -modules $H_T^*(X) \cong R \otimes H^*(X)$.
- (3) The restriction map $H_T^*(X) \rightarrow H^*(X)$ is surjective.

Then (1) \Rightarrow (2) \Rightarrow (3), but the reverse implications do not hold in general. (To see that (2) does not imply (1), consider a trivial T -space with torsion in its cohomology. For an example where (3) holds, but not (2) we refer to [19, Example 5.2].) If $H^*(X)$ is free over \mathbb{Z} , however, then all three conditions are equivalent.

Theorem 3.1 (Franz–Puppe [19, Thm. 1.1]). *Assume that condition (3) holds and that all isotropy groups in X are connected. Then the Chang–Skjelbred sequence is exact over \mathbb{Z} .*

The connectivity assumption on the isotropy groups can be weakened, in particular if (1) holds, see [20, Cor. 2.2] and also Anderson–Fulton [5, Thm. 3.4].

4. REFLEXIVE MODULES

We again take cohomology with real coefficients, so that $R = \mathbb{R}[t_1, \dots, t_n]$.

Let M be a finitely generated R -module. We write $M^\vee = \text{Hom}_R(M, R)$ for the dual module. Recall that a (graded) commutative bilinear pairing $M \times M \rightarrow R$ is called *perfect* if it identifies M with M^\vee .

Moreover, M is called *reflexive* if the canonical map to its double dual,

$$(4.1) \quad M \rightarrow M^{\vee\vee}, \quad m \mapsto (\gamma \mapsto \gamma(m)),$$

is an isomorphism. (This is actually equivalent to M being isomorphic to the R -dual of some finitely generated R -module N .) This condition is weaker than freeness, but stronger than torsion-freeness over R . For example, the maximal homogeneous ideal $\mathfrak{m} \triangleleft R$ is torsion-free (like any other submodule of a free R -module), but it is not reflexive for $n \geq 2$: The restriction map $R \cong R^\vee \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}^\vee$ is an isomorphism in this case, hence $\mathfrak{m}^{\vee\vee} \cong R$ with the map (4.1) corresponding to the canonical inclusion $\mathfrak{m} \hookrightarrow R$. For $n \geq 3$, the second syzygy of the Koszul resolution \mathbf{K}^* of \mathbb{R} over R (that is, the kernel of the map $\mathbf{K}^{-2} \rightarrow \mathbf{K}^{-1}$) is an example of a reflexive R -module that is not free.

Theorem 4.1 (Allday–Franz–Puppe [1, Thm. 1.1, Cor. 1.3]). *The following two conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) *The Chang–Skjelbred sequence is exact.*
- (2) *$H_T^*(X)$ is a reflexive R -module.*

If X satisfies Poincaré duality, then they are also equivalent to:

- (3) *The equivariant Poincaré pairing*

$$H_T^*(X) \times H_T^*(X) \rightarrow R, \quad (\alpha, \beta) \mapsto \langle \alpha \cup \beta, [X] \rangle$$

is perfect.

We give two examples of T -manifolds whose equivariant cohomology is reflexive, but not free.

Example 4.2 ([1, Sec. 6.1]). Let $T = (S^1)^n$, and let N and S be the north and south pole of the sphere S^2 , respectively. Then

$$(4.2) \quad X = (S^2)^n \setminus \{(N, \dots, N), (S, \dots, S)\}$$

is a non-compact orientable T -manifold (in fact, a smooth toric variety).² Its equivariant cohomology is reflexive for $n \geq 3$, but never free. The latter claim can be verified by comparing Betti sums as in (1.4): We have

$$\dim H^*(X_0) = 2^n - 2 < 2^n - 1 = \dim H^*(X).$$

Compact orientable T -manifolds with reflexive, but not free equivariant cohomology are much harder to find. According to [1, Cor. 1.4], this is only possible for $n \geq 5$. All known examples are variations of the following construction, see [15] and [18].

Example 4.3. The S^1 -action on S^3 given by $g(z, u) = (gz, u)$ for $g \in S^1$ and $(z, u) \in S^3 \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ induces an action of $T = (S^1)^n$ on $(S^3)^n$. The *big polygon space*

$$(4.3) \quad X = \{((z_1, u_1), \dots, (z_n, u_n)) \in (S^3)^n \mid u_1 + \dots + u_n = 0\}$$

is a compact orientable T -manifold provided that $n = 2m + 1$ is odd. Its equivariant cohomology is reflexive for $m \geq 2$, but not free over R .

The fixed point set is

$$X_0 = \{(u_1, \dots, u_n) \in (S^1)^n \mid u_1 + \dots + u_n = 0\}.$$

The diagonal circle action on it is free. The quotient X_0/S^1 is called an equilateral *planar polygon space*. See [13] and the references given therein for more about these spaces.

Remark 4.4. Theorem 4.1 is a special case of a more general result due to Allday–Franz–Puppe about the augmented *Atiyah–Bredon sequence*

$$(4.4) \quad 0 \longrightarrow H_T^*(X) \xrightarrow{L^*} H_T^*(X_0) \longrightarrow H_T^{*+1}(X_1, X_0) \longrightarrow \\ H_T^{*+2}(X_2, X_1) \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow H_T^{*+n}(X_n, X_{n-1}) \longrightarrow 0,$$

where $X_i = \{x \in X \mid \text{rank } T_x \geq n - i\}$ is the equivariant i -skeleton, and the maps are the connecting homomorphisms in the long exact sequences for the triples (X_{i+1}, X_i, X_{i-1}) . (In other words, apart from the leading term $H_T^*(X)$, this is the first page of the spectral sequence associated to the filtration (X_i) and converging to $H_T^*(X)$.)

The more general result alluded to characterizes the exactness of a front piece of the sequence (4.4) in terms of the syzygy order of the R -module $H_T^*(X)$. Syzygies are a notion from commutative algebra that allows to interpolate between torsion-free and free modules. (See [1, Sec. 1] for a precise definition.) The torsion-free R -modules are the first syzygies, the reflexive ones the second syzygies and the free ones the n -th syzygies. According to Allday–Franz–Puppe [1, Thm. 1.1], the sequence (4.4) is exact at the first j positions (not counting the leading 0) if and only if $H_T^*(X)$ is a j -th syzygy.

The case $j = 1$ says that $H_T^*(X)$ injects into $H_T^*(X_0)$ if and only if it is torsion-free, which is a well-known consequence of the localization theorem in equivariant cohomology. The case $j = 2$ is Theorem 4.1. The case $j = n$ (together with a small

²Strictly speaking, X does not satisfy our assumptions on T -spaces. However, if we instead removed small open balls around the two fixed points, we would get a T -manifold with boundary that satisfies our assumptions and is equivariantly homotopy-equivalent to X .

additional argument) means that the whole sequence (4.4) is exact if and only if $H_T^*(X)$ is free over R . A statement analogous to the “if” direction was proven by Atiyah in equivariant K -theory (apparently even preceding the work of Chang–Skjelbred) and translated to equivariant cohomology by Bredon, see Section 6.

5. NON-ABELIAN GROUPS

We continue to use real coefficients.

Let G be a compact connected Lie group of rank n . Then $R = H^*(BG)$ is a polynomial ring in n indeterminates of positive even degrees. Let X be a “sufficiently nice” G -space, for example a compact smooth G -manifold, possibly with boundary, or a complex algebraic variety with an action of the complexification of G . In order to get a Chang–Skjelbred lemma for X , one has to use the definitions of X_0 and X_1 that involve the ranks of the isotropy groups. We therefore set

$$(5.1) \quad X_0 = \{x \in X \mid \text{rank } G_x = n\},$$

$$(5.2) \quad X_1 = \{x \in X \mid \text{rank } G_x \geq n - 1\}.$$

Note that X_0 contains the fixed point set X^G , but is larger in general. Moreover, like X_1 , it usually has singularities even for smooth actions.

We consider the analogue of the Chang–Skjelbred sequence in this context,

$$(5.3) \quad 0 \longrightarrow H_G^*(X) \xrightarrow{\iota^*} H_G^*(X_0) \xrightarrow{\delta} H_G^{*+1}(X_1, X_0).$$

Theorem 5.1 (Goertsches–Mare [21, Thm. 2.2]). *Let X be a compact smooth G -manifold. If $H_G^*(X)$ is free over R , then the Chang–Skjelbred sequence (5.3) is exact.*

Theorem 5.2 ([16, Thm. 1.4]). *The Chang–Skjelbred sequence (5.3) is exact if and only if $H_G^*(X)$ is a reflexive R -module.*

Using induction from a maximal torus $T \subset G$ to G , one can adapt the examples of spaces with reflexive torus-equivariant cohomology given in the previous section to actions of non-abelian groups, see [16, Prop. 4.5, Example 6.13].

6. OTHER GENERALIZATIONS

We briefly mention other generalizations of the Chang–Skjelbred lemma.

Allday–Franz–Puppe [3] consider actions of p -tori $(\mathbb{Z}/p)^n$. The results are largely analogous to the torus case, with some differences between the cases $p = 2$ and p odd. The proofs given in [3] are new and work also for tori and other compact connected Lie groups. An alternative proof for the case $p = 2$ has appeared in recent work of Bourguiba–Lannes–Schwartz–Zarati [9]. Their approach is based on the action of the Steenrod algebra.

Goertsches–Töben [22, Thm. A] have generalized the Chang–Skjelbred lemma to torus actions without fixed points. The freeness of $H_T^*(X)$ is replaced by the condition that the equivariant cohomology be Cohen–Macaulay. The role of the fixed point set X_0 is taken by X_m where m is the smallest orbit dimension occurring in X .

We finally discuss the analogue of the Chang–Skjelbred lemma for torus-equivariant K -theory (with compact supports),

$$(6.1) \quad 0 \longrightarrow K_T^*(X) \xrightarrow{\iota^*} K_T^*(X_0) \xrightarrow{\delta} K_T^{*+1}(X_1, X_0).$$

Atiyah [6] proved the exactness of this sequence under the assumption that $K_T^*(X)$ is free over the representation ring $R = \mathbb{Z}[T] = \mathbb{Z}[t_1, t_1^{-1}, \dots, t_n, t_n^{-1}]$. In fact, he proved the exactness of the K -theoretic analogue

$$(6.2) \quad 0 \longrightarrow K_T^*(X) \xrightarrow{L^*} K_T^*(X_0) \longrightarrow K_T^{*+1}(X_1, X_0) \longrightarrow \\ K_T^{*+2}(X_2, X_1) \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow K_T^{*+n}(X_n, X_{n-1}) \longrightarrow 0$$

of the Atiyah–Bredon sequence (4.4). After the publication of Goresky–Kottwitz–MacPherson’s paper [24], Rosu–Knutson [27] gave a proof of the exactness of the sequence (6.1), tensored with \mathbb{C} .

Remark 6.1. We elaborate on how Atiyah’s results give the exact sequence (6.2). In [6, Lecture 7], Atiyah works with a complex T -module E , but his arguments work equally well for the T -spaces X we consider. Assuming that $K_T^*(X)$ is free over R , he proves that for any $0 \leq i \leq n$ there is a short exact sequence

$$(6.3) \quad 0 \longrightarrow K_T^*(X, X_{i-1}) \longrightarrow K_T^*(X_i, X_{i-1}) \xrightarrow{\delta} K_T^{*+1}(X, X_i) \longrightarrow 0.$$

see [6, eq. (7.3)]. (Here $X_{-1} = \emptyset$. Also note that Atiyah uses the notation $M(i) = K_T^*(X, X_{n-i})$ and $L(i) = K_T^*(X_{n-i}, X_{n-i-1})$.) As explained in [19, Lemma 4.1], these short exact sequences can be spliced together to give the exact sequence (6.2). The latter appeared first in a paper by Bredon [11, Main Lemma], in the translation to T -equivariant cohomology.

REFERENCES

- [1] C. Allday, M. Franz, V. Puppe, Equivariant cohomology, syzygies and orbit structure, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **366** (2014), 6567–6589; doi:10.1090/S0002-9947-2014-06165-5
- [2] C. Allday, M. Franz, V. Puppe, Equivariant Poincaré–Alexander–Lefschetz duality and the Cohen–Macaulay property, *Alg. Geom. Top.* **14** (2014), 1339–1375; doi:10.2140/agt.2014.14.1339
- [3] C. Allday, M. Franz, V. Puppe, Syzygies in equivariant cohomology in positive characteristic, *Forum Math.* **33** (2021), 547–567; doi:10.1515/forum-2020-0188
- [4] C. Allday, V. Puppe, *Cohomological methods in transformation groups*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge 1993; doi:10.1017/CB09780511526275
- [5] D. Anderson, W. Fulton, *Equivariant cohomology in algebraic geometry*, book project, version 2021.8.31; available at <http://people.math.osu.edu/anderson.2804/ecag/>
- [6] M. F. Atiyah, *Elliptic operators and compact groups*, LNM **401**, Springer, Berlin 1974; doi:10.1007/BFb0057821
- [7] A. Blanchard, Sur les variétés analytiques complexes, *Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup. (3)* **73** (1956), 157–202; doi:10.24033/asens.1045
- [8] M. Brion, Piecewise polynomial functions, convex polytopes and enumerative geometry, pp. 25–44 in: P. Pragacz (ed.), *Parameter spaces*, Banach Cent. Publ. **36**, Warszawa 1996; doi:10.4064/-36-1-25-44
- [9] D. Bourguiba, J. Lannes, L. Schwartz, S. Zarati, Complexes de modules équivariants sur l’algèbre de Steenrod associés à un $(\mathbb{Z}/2)^n$ -CW-complexe fini, [arXiv:2105.10281](https://arxiv.org/abs/2105.10281)
- [10] G. E. Bredon, *Introduction to compact transformation groups*, Academic Press, New York 1972
- [11] G. E. Bredon, The free part of a torus action and related numerical equalities, *Duke Math. J.* **41** (1974), 843–854; doi:10.1215/S0012-7094-74-04184-2
- [12] T. Chang, T. Skjelbred, The topological Schur lemma and related results, *Ann. Math.* **100** (1974), 307–321; doi:10.2307/1971074
- [13] M. Farber, D. Schütz, Homology of planar polygon spaces, *Geom. Dedicata* **125** (2007), 75–92; doi:10.1007/s10711-007-9139-7
- [14] T. Frankel, Fixed points and torsion on Kähler manifolds, *Ann. Math.* **70** (1959), 1–8; doi:10.2307/1969889

- [15] M. Franz, Big polygon spaces, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **2015** (2015), 13379–13405; doi:10.1093/imrn/rnv090
- [16] M. Franz, Syzygies in equivariant cohomology for non-abelian Lie groups, pp. 325–360 in: F. Callegaro *et al.* (eds.), Configuration spaces (Cortona, 2014), *Springer INdAM Ser.* **14**, Springer, Cham 2016; doi:10.1007/978-3-319-31580-5_14
- [17] M. Franz, A quotient criterion for syzygies in equivariant cohomology, *Transformation Groups* **22** (2017), 933–965; doi:10.1007/s00031-016-9408-3
- [18] M. Franz, J. Huang, The syzygy order of big polygon spaces, *Alg. Geom. Top.* **20** (2020), 2657–2675; doi:10.2140/agt.2020.20.2657
- [19] M. Franz, V. Puppe, Exact cohomology sequences with integral coefficients for torus actions, *Transformation Groups* **12** (2007), 65–76; doi:10.1007/s00031-005-1127-0
- [20] M. Franz, V. Puppe, Exact sequences for equivariantly formal spaces, *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Soc. R. Can.* **33** (2011), 1–10; <http://mr.math.ca/article/exact-sequences-for-equivariantly-formal-spaces/>
- [21] O. Goertsches, A.-L. Mare, Non-abelian GKM theory, *Math. Z.* **277** (2014), 1–27; doi:10.1007/s00209-013-1242-x
- [22] O. Goertsches, D. Töben, Torus actions whose equivariant cohomology is Cohen–Macaulay, *J. Topology* **3** (2010), 819–846; doi:10.1112/jtopol/jtq025
- [23] R. Goldin, Positivity for Hessenberg varieties, to appear
- [24] M. Goresky, R. Kottwitz, R. MacPherson, Equivariant cohomology, Koszul duality, and the localization theorem, *Invent. Math.* **131** (1998), 25–83; doi:10.1007/s002220050197
- [25] T. S. Holm, Act globally, compute locally: group actions, fixed points, and localization, pp. 179–195 in: M. Harada *et al.* (eds.), Toric topology (Osaka, 2006), *Contemp. Math.* **460**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2008; doi:10.1090/conm/460/09017
- [26] F. Kirwan, *Cohomology of quotients in symplectic and algebraic geometry*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 1984; doi:10.2307/j.ctv10vm2m8
- [27] A. Knutson, I. Rosu, Appendix to: I. Rosu, Equivariant K-theory and equivariant cohomology, *Math. Z.* **243** (2003), 423–448; doi:10.1007/s00209-002-0447-1
- [28] J. S. Tymoczko, An introduction to equivariant cohomology and homology, following Goresky, Kottwitz, and MacPherson, pp. 169–188 in: R. Vakil (ed.), Snowbird lectures in algebraic geometry (Snowbird, UT, 2004), *Contemp. Math.* **388**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2005; doi:10.1090/conm/388/07264
- [29] J. S. Tymoczko, A concise introduction to GKM theory, 25 years on, to appear
- [30] A. Weber, Formality of equivariant intersection cohomology of algebraic varieties, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **131** (2003), 2633–2638; doi:10.1090/S0002-9939-03-07138-7

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF WESTERN ONTARIO, LONDON, ONT. N6A 5B7,
CANADA

Email address: mfranz@uwo.ca