

On Induced Subgraph of Cartesian Product of Paths

Jiasheng Zeng^a, Xinmin Hou^{b,c*}

^a School of the Gifted Young

University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, Anhui 230026, China.

^b School of Mathematical Sciences

University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, Anhui 230026, China.

^c CAS Key Laboratory of Wu Wen-Tsun Mathematics

University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, Anhui 230026, China.

Abstract

Chung, Füredi, Graham, and Seymour (JCTA, 1988) constructed an induced subgraph of the hypercube Q^n with $\alpha(Q^n) + 1$ vertices and with maximum degree smaller than $\lceil \sqrt{n} \rceil$. Subsequently, Huang (Annals of Mathematics, 2019) proved the Sensitivity Conjecture by demonstrating that the maximum degree of such an induced subgraph of hypercube Q^n is at least $\lceil \sqrt{n} \rceil$, and posed the question: Given a graph G , let $f(G)$ be the minimum of the maximum degree of an induced subgraph of G on $\alpha(G) + 1$ vertices, what can we say about $f(G)$? In this paper, we investigate this question for Cartesian product of paths P_m , denoted by P_m^k . We determine the exact values of $f(P_m^k)$ when $m = 2n + 1$ by showing that $f(P_{2n+1}^k) = 1$ for $n \geq 2$ and $f(P_3^k) = 2$, and give a nontrivial lower bound of $f(P_m^k)$ when $m = 2n$ by showing that $f(P_{2n}^k) \geq \lceil \sqrt{\beta_n k} \rceil$. In particular, when $n = 1$, we have $f(Q^k) = f(P_2^k) \geq \sqrt{k}$, which is Huang's result. The lower bounds of $f(P_3^k)$ and $f(P_{2n}^k)$ are given by using the spectral method provided by Huang.

1 Introduction

We consider only simple and finite graph in this paper. For a graph $G = (V, E)$ and a vertex $v \in V$, write $d_G(v)$ for the degree of v , the maximum degree of G is $\Delta(G) = \max\{d_G(v) : v \in V\}$. For a subset $S \subseteq V$, write $G[S]$ for the subgraph induced by S . Let $\alpha(G)$ be the independence number of G , i.e. the maximum size of an independent set of G . Define

$$f(G) = \min\{\Delta(G[S]) : S \subset V(G) \text{ with } |S| = \alpha(G) + 1\}.$$

*Email address: xmhhou@ustc.edu.cn (X. Hou)

Let G and H be two graphs. The *Cartesian product* of graphs G and H , denoted by $G \square H$, is the graph with vertex set $V(G) \times V(H)$, in which two vertices, say (x_1, x_2) and (y_1, y_2) , are adjacent if and only if $x_1 = y_1$ and x_2 is adjacent to y_2 in H , or $x_2 = y_2$ and x_1 is adjacent to y_1 in G . Write $G^k = G \square G \square \dots \square G$ for the Cartesian product of k copies of graph G . For example, the well known hypercube $Q^n = K_2^n = K_2 \square K_2 \square \dots \square K_2$.

A signed graph (Γ, σ) of a graph $G(V, E)$ is a graph with vertex set V and edge set E , together with a map $\sigma : E \rightarrow \{-1, +1\}$. The *adjacent matrix* of Γ is defined to be a symmetric $\{0, \pm 1\}$ matrix $A(\Gamma) = (a_{ij}^\sigma)$ with $a_{ij}^\sigma = \sigma(v_i v_j)$. Clearly, $A(\Gamma)$ is a matrix by putting some minus on the adjacent matrix of G , we call it a signed matrix of G . For any matrix A , define $\lambda_i(A)$ as the i -th largest eigenvalue of A .

Chung et al. [2] provided a construction proof to show that $f(Q^n) \leq \sqrt{n}$ in 1988, and Huang [9] proved that (Huang-Theorem) $f(Q^n) \geq \lceil \sqrt{n} \rceil$ by defining a group of signed graphs of Q^n . Huang-Theorem is well known since then as the fact that it is equivalent to the Sensitivity Conjecture (for readers interested, one can refer to two excellent surveys provided by Hatami, Kulkarni, and Pankratov [7] and by Karthikeyan, Sinha, and Patil [10], respectively). In [9], Huang also proposed the following interesting problem.

Question 1.1 (Huang, [9]). *Given a “nice” graph G with high symmetry, what can we say about $f(G)$? In particular, for which graphs, the method used in proving Huang-Theorem would provide a tight bound?*

There are some results in this flavor and some extensions of Huang’s Theorem, for example, in [1, 12, 11] for Cayley graphs, Tikaradze [14] for the Cartesian product of oriented cycles, Hong, Lai and Liu [8] for product of signed bipartite graphs. The Hamming graph $H(n, k) = K_k^n = K_k \square K_k \dots \square K_k$ is a natural extension of the hypercube $Q^n = K_2^n$, where K_k is a complete graph on k vertices. Recently, Dong [3] extended the result of Chung et al [2] to Hamming graph by showing that $f(H(n, k)) \leq \lceil \sqrt{n} \rceil$ for $k \geq 3$. More recently, Tandy [13] improved Dong’s result by showing that $f(H(n, k)) = 1$ for all $k \geq 3$.

In this paper, we consider Question 1.1 when $G = P_m^k$, the Cartesian product of paths P_m , where P_m is a path on m vertices. The main result is the following.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $k \geq 1$ be an integer. Then*

$$f(P_m^k) \begin{cases} = 2, & m = 3 \\ = 1, & m = 2n + 1 \geq 5, \\ \geq \lceil \sqrt{\beta_n k} \rceil, & m = 2n \geq 2 \end{cases}$$

where $\beta_n > 0$ is a constant depending on n . In particular, $\beta_1 = 1$.

Note that when $n = 1$, $P_{2n}^k = P_2^k = Q^k$ and $\beta_1 = 1$. Therefore, Huang-Theorem is a direct corollary of Theorem 1.2.

Corollary 1.3 (Huang [9]).

$$f(P_2^n) = f(Q^n) \geq \lceil \sqrt{n} \rceil.$$

The rest of the article is arranged as follows. In Section 2, we give some preliminaries and lemmas. We prove Theorem 1.2 in Section 3 when m is odd and in Section 4 when m is even. We give some discussion and Remarks in the last section.

2 Preliminaries and lemmas

It will be convenient to view the vertices of path P_n as $[n] := \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. So the vertices of P_n^k can be written as $[n]^k := [n] \times \dots \times [n]$. Write $u \sim v$ if u and v are adjacent in P_n^k and $u \approx v$, otherwise.

Definition 1. For $a \in [n]$, define a map $Q_{n,k+1}^a : [n]^k \rightarrow [n]^{k+1}$ with

$$Q_{n,k+1}^a((x_1, \dots, x_k)) = (x_1, \dots, x_k, a).$$

The following is a simple observation from the definition of P_n^k .

Proposition 2.1. Let $u = (u_1, \dots, u_k), v = (v_1, \dots, v_k) \in [n]^k$ be two vertices of P_n^k . Then $u \sim v$ in P_n^k if and only if the 1-norm $\|u - v\|_1 = \sum_{i=1}^k |u_i - v_i| = 1$.

The following lemma shows that the map $Q_{n,k+1}^a$ preserve the independence of its preimage.

Lemma 2.2. If $I \subseteq [n]^k$ is an independent set of P_n^k , then $Q_{n,k+1}^a(I)$ is an independent set of P_n^{k+1} for all $a \in [n]$.

Proof. Let $u = (u_1, \dots, u_k, a)$ and $v = (v_1, \dots, v_k, a)$ be two vertices in $Q_{n,k+1}^a(I)$. Then $u' = (u_1, \dots, u_k), v' = (v_1, \dots, v_k) \in I$, i.e., $u' \approx v'$ in P_n^k . By Proposition 2.1, $\sum_{i=1}^k |u_i - v_i| > 1$. Hence, $\sum_{i=1}^k |u_i - v_i| + |a - a| > 1$. Therefore, $(u_1, \dots, u_k, a) \approx (v_1, \dots, v_k, a)$ in P_n^{k+1} . \square

Furthermore, we are likely to use the following theorems to build a bridge between the maximum degree of graphs and the eigenvalues of a signed graph.

Lemma 2.3 (Cauchy Interlace Theorem [4]). Let A be a symmetric $n \times n$ matrix and B be a $m \times m$ principle submatrix of A . If the eigenvalues of A are $\lambda_1 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n$ and the eigenvalues of B are $\mu_1 \geq \dots \geq \mu_m$, then for all $1 \leq i \leq m$,

$$\lambda_i \geq \mu_i \geq \lambda_{n-m+i}.$$

Lemma 2.4 (Huang [9]). *Suppose H is an m -vertex undirected graph, and A is a symmetric matrix whose entries are in $\{0, \pm 1\}$ and whose rows and columns are indexed by $V(H)$, and whenever u and v are non-adjacent in H , $A_{uv} = 0$. Then*

$$\Delta(H) \geq \lambda_1(A).$$

Some properties about Cartesian product are also needed:

Lemma 2.5 (Germina et al. [5]). *Let A be an $n \times n$ matrix and B be an $m \times m$ matrix. If the eigenvalues of A are $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ and the eigenvalues of B are μ_1, \dots, μ_m , then all eigenvalues of $I_m \otimes A + B \otimes I_n$ are $\{\lambda_i + \mu_j, 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq m\}$.*

Lemma 2.6 (Hammack et al. [6]). *The Cartesian product graph $G_1 \square G_2$ is bipartite if and only if G_1 and G_2 are bipartite.*

As a direct corollary of Lemma 2.6, we have the following proposition.

Proposition 2.7. *P_n^k is a bipartite graph.*

The following lemma shows that the non-zero eigenvalues of signed matrix of a bipartite graph are pairwise symmetry with respect to 0.

Lemma 2.8. *Suppose that (Γ, σ) is a signed graph of a bipartite graph G . Then all of the non-zero eigenvalues of $A(\Gamma)$ are pairwise symmetry with respect to 0.*

Proof. According to the definition of a bipartite graph and the adjacent matrix of a signed graph, $A = A(\Gamma)$ is symmetric and can be presented as $A = \begin{pmatrix} O & B \\ B^T & O \end{pmatrix}$ if we relabel the vertex of G . Suppose A has an eigenvalue $\lambda \neq 0$ and $x = (x_1, x_2)^T \in \mathbb{R}^{|V(G)|}$ is one of its eigenvector. Then

$$Ax = \lambda x, \text{ i.e. } \begin{cases} Bx_2 = \lambda x_1 \\ B^T x_1 = \lambda x_2 \end{cases}.$$

Now let $x' = (x_1, -x_2)^T$. Then

$$Ax' = \begin{pmatrix} -Bx_2 \\ B^T x_1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -\lambda x_1 \\ \lambda x_2 \end{pmatrix} = -\lambda x',$$

i.e., $-\lambda$ is also a non-zero eigenvalue of A . □

Now, we determine the independence number $\alpha(P_m^k)$.

Definition 2. Let $V_1 = \{i \in [m] : i \equiv 1 \pmod{2}\} \subset V(P_m)$. For $k \geq 2$, we recursively define

$$V_k = Q_{m,k}^1(V_{k-1}) \cup Q_{m,k}^2(\overline{V_{k-1}}) \cup \cdots \cup Q_{m,k}^m(V_{k-1}), \text{ if } 2 \nmid m$$

or

$$V_k = Q_{m,k}^1(V_{k-1}) \cup Q_{m,k}^2(\overline{V_{k-1}}) \cup \cdots \cup Q_{m,k}^m(\overline{V_{k-1}}), \text{ if } 2 \mid m$$

where $\overline{V_{k-1}} = [m]^{k-1} - V_{k-1}$.

In fact, the vertex set V_k defined above is an independent set of P_m^k .

Lemma 2.9. *The vertex sets V_k and $\overline{V_k}$ are independent sets of P_m^k , respectively. Moreover, $|V_k| = \lceil \frac{m^k}{2} \rceil$ and $|\overline{V_k}| = \lfloor \frac{m^k}{2} \rfloor$.*

Proof. We just give the proof when $m = 2n + 1$, the other case can be proved similarly. By induction on k . When $k = 1$, $V_1 = \{1, 3, \dots, 2n+1\}$ and $\overline{V_1} = \{2, 4, \dots, 2n\}$ are independent sets of P_{2n+1} . The result holds trivially. Now assume that V_{k-1} and $\overline{V_{k-1}}$ are independent sets of P_{2n+1}^{k-1} for $k \geq 2$ and $|V_{k-1}| = \frac{(2n+1)^{k-1} + 1}{2}$. Then $|\overline{V_{k-1}}| = \frac{(2n+1)^{k-1} - 1}{2}$. Therefore,

$$|V_k| = (n+1) \cdot |V_{k-1}| + n \cdot |\overline{V_{k-1}}| = \frac{(2n+1)^k + 1}{2}.$$

According to Lemma 2.2, $Q_{2n+1,k}^i(V_{k-1})$ and $Q_{2n+1,k}^i(\overline{V_{k-1}})$ are both independent sets of P_{2n+1}^k for $i \in [2n+1]$. Furthermore, for all $1 \leq i \neq j \leq n+1$, there is no edge between $Q_{2n+1,k}^{2i-1}(V_{k-1})$ and $Q_{2n+1,k}^{2j-1}(V_{k-1})$ since $\|u - v\|_1 \geq 2$ for any $u \in Q_{2n+1,k}^{2i-1}(V_{k-1})$ and $v \in Q_{2n+1,k}^{2j-1}(V_{k-1})$. With similar reason there is no edge between $Q_{2n+1,k}^{2i}(\overline{V_{k-1}})$ and $Q_{2n+1,k}^{2j}(\overline{V_{k-1}})$, and between $Q_{2n+1,k}^{2i-1}(V_{k-1})$ and $Q_{2n+1,k}^{2i}(\overline{V_{k-1}})$. Therefore, V_k is an independent set of P_{2n+1}^k .

With the same discussion, we have

$$\overline{V_k} = Q_{2n+1,k}^1(\overline{V_{k-1}}) \cup Q_{2n+1,k}^2(V_{k-1}) \cup \cdots \cup Q_{2n+1,k}^{2n+1}(\overline{V_{k-1}})$$

is also an independent set of P_{2n+1}^k and

$$|\overline{V_k}| = (n+1) \cdot |\overline{V_{k-1}}| + n \cdot |V_{k-1}| = \frac{(2n+1)^k - 1}{2}.$$

□

The following theorem shows that V_k , in fact, is a maximum independent set of P_m^k .

Theorem 2.10. *The independence number of P_m^k is $\lceil \frac{m^k}{2} \rceil$.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.9, we have $\alpha(P_m^k) \geq |V_k| = \lceil \frac{m^k}{2} \rceil$. Now we show that $\alpha(P_m^k) \leq \lceil \frac{m^k}{2} \rceil$ by induction on k . When $k = 1$, we are done from the fact that $\alpha(P_m) = \lceil \frac{m}{2} \rceil$. Assume that all vertex sets of $V(P_m^s)$ with more than $\lceil \frac{m^s}{2} \rceil$ vertices have two adjacent vertices for $1 \leq s \leq k - 1$.

Now suppose $V \subseteq V(P_m^k)$ and $|V| \geq \lceil \frac{m^k}{2} \rceil + 1$. Define

$$V(a) = \{(1, a), (2, a), \dots, (m, a)\}, a \in [m]^{k-1}.$$

Then $V(a)$ induces a path with m vertices in P_m^k . We write $V(a)$ for $P_m^k[V(a)]$ for convenience in the following. Note that

$$V(P_m^k) = \bigcup_{a \in [m]^{k-1}} V(a) \text{ and } V(a) \cap V(b) = \emptyset \text{ for all distinct } a, b \in [m]^{k-1}.$$

If $|V \cap V(a)| \leq \lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor$, for all $a \in [m]^{k-1}$, then

$$|V| = \sum_{a \in [m]^{k-1}} |V \cap V(a)| \leq (m)^{k-1} \cdot \lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor < \left\lceil \frac{m^k}{2} \right\rceil + 1,$$

a contradiction. Thus there must exist $a \in [m]^{k-1}$ with $|V \cap V(a)| \geq \lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor + 1$.

For $m = 2n$, such a $V \cap V(a)$ with $|V \cap V(a)| \geq n + 1$ cannot be an independent set because $\alpha(V(a)) = n$. Thus V is not an independent set of P_{2n}^k and we have $\alpha(P_{2n}^k) = \lceil \frac{m^k}{2} \rceil = n(2n)^{k-1}$.

Now assume $m = 2n + 1$. If there exists an $a \in [2n + 1]^{k-1}$ such that $|V \cap V(a)| \geq n + 2$, then there are two adjacent vertices in $V \cap V(a)$ because $\alpha(V(a)) = n + 1$. Thus there must exist $a \in [2n + 1]^{k-1}$ with $|V \cap V(a)| = n + 1$ and $V \cap V(a)$ is an independent set of $V(a)$, we assume that there are ℓ such $a \in [2n + 1]^{k-1}$, say $\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_\ell\}$, i.e. $V \cap V(a_t) = \{(1, a_t), (3, a_t), \dots, (2n + 1, a_t)\}$ for all $t \in [\ell]$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{(2n+1)^k + 1}{2} + 1 &\leq |V| = \sum_{a \in [2n+1]^{k-1}} |V \cap V(a)| \\ &\leq \ell \cdot (n+1) + ((2n+1)^{k-1} - \ell) \cdot n \\ &= n(2n+1)^{k-1} + \ell, \end{aligned}$$

we have $\ell \geq \frac{(2n+1)^{k-1} + 1}{2} + 1$. Define

$$\tilde{V} = \{(1, a_1), (1, a_2), \dots, (1, a_\ell)\}.$$

Then $\tilde{V} \subseteq V$. Let $U = \{(1, a) : a \in [2n + 1]^{k-1}\}$. Then $G = P_{2n+1}^k[U]$ is an induced subgraph of P_{2n+1}^k and $G \cong P_{2n+1}^{k-1}$. Note that $|\tilde{V}| = \ell \geq \frac{(2n+1)^{k-1} + 1}{2} + 1$. By inductive hypothesis, there are two vertices, say $u = (1, a_i)$ and $v = (1, a_j)$, such that u and v are adjacent in $G \subseteq P_{2n+1}^k$. Therefore, we have $\alpha(P_{2n+1}^k) = |V| - 1 = \lceil \frac{(2n+1)^k}{2} \rceil$.

□

3 Proof of Theorem 1.2 when $m = 2n + 1$

Inspired by the construction of V_k in P_{2n+1}^k , we define a vertex set in P_{2n+1}^k recursively with size $\alpha(P_{2n+1}^k) + 1$.

Definition 3. *Let*

$$X_1 = \{2, 4, \dots, 2n\} \cup \{1, 2n + 1\} \subseteq V(P_{2n+1}),$$

and, for $k \geq 2$, define

$$X_k = Q_{2n+1,k}^1(X_{k-1}) \cup Q_{2n+1,k}^2(\overline{X_{k-1}}) \cup \dots \cup Q_{2n+1,k}^{2n+1}(X_{k-1}).$$

We first show that $|X_k| = \alpha(P_{2n+1}^k) + 1 = \frac{(2n+1)^k + 1}{2} + 1$.

Proposition 3.1. $|X_k| = \alpha(P_{2n+1}^k) + 1 = \frac{(2n+1)^k + 1}{2} + 1$.

Proof. We prove by induction on k . When $k = 1$, we have $|X_1| = n + 2 = \alpha(P_{2n+1}^1) + 1$. Now suppose that for $k \geq 2$, $|X_{k-1}| = \alpha(P_{2n+1}^{k-1}) + 1 = \frac{(2n+1)^{k-1} + 1}{2} + 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} |X_k| &= (n + 1) \cdot |X_{k-1}| + n \cdot |\overline{X_{k-1}}| \\ &= n \cdot (2n + 1)^{k-1} + |X_{k-1}| \\ &= n \cdot (2n + 1)^{k-1} + \frac{(2n + 1)^{k-1} + 1}{2} + 1 \\ &= \frac{(2n + 1)^k + 1}{2} + 1. \end{aligned}$$

□

Next, we show that the induced subgraph $P_{2n+1}^k[X_k]$ minimizes the maximum degree among the subgraphs induced by vertex set of size $\alpha(P_{2n+1}^k) + 1$.

Proposition 3.2. *Let $H_k = P_{2n+1}^k[X_k]$. Then*

$$\Delta(H_k) = \begin{cases} 2, & \text{if } n = 1, \\ 1, & \text{if } n \geq 2. \end{cases}$$

Proof. It sufficient to show that $\Delta(H_k) = \Delta(H_{k-1})$. Thus we have

$$\Delta(H_k) = \Delta(H_{k-1}) = \dots = \Delta(H_1) = \Delta(P_{2n+1}[X_1]) = \begin{cases} 2, & \text{if } n = 1, \\ 1, & \text{if } n \geq 2, \end{cases}$$

as desired.

Recall that

$$X_k = Q_{2n+1,k}^1(X_{k-1}) \cup Q_{2n+1,k}^2(\overline{X_{k-1}}) \cup \cdots \cup Q_{2n+1,k}^{2n+1}(X_{k-1}),$$

and

$$\overline{X_k} = Q_{2n+1,k}^1(\overline{X_{k-1}}) \cup Q_{2n+1,k}^2(X_{k-1}) \cup \cdots \cup Q_{2n+1,k}^{2n+1}(\overline{X_{k-1}}).$$

Let $H'_i = P_{2n+1}^i[\overline{X_i}]$. By Proposition 2.1, $E(Q_{2n+1,k}^i(X_{k-1}), Q_{2n+1,k}^j(\overline{X_{k-1}})) = \emptyset$ for all $i \in \{1, 3, \dots, 2n+1\}$ and $j \in \{2, 4, \dots, 2n\}$, and $E(Q_{2n+1,k}^i(X_{k-1}), Q_{2n+1,k}^j(X_{k-1})) = \emptyset$ for all $j \neq i$ and $i, j \in \{1, 3, \dots, 2n+1\}$. Therefore, H_k only has edges in $Q_{2n+1,k}^i(X_{k-1})$ and in $Q_{2n+1,k}^j(\overline{X_{k-1}})$. Hence we have

$$\Delta(H_k) = \max\{\Delta(H_{k-1}), \Delta(H'_{k-1})\}.$$

Similarly, we have

$$\Delta(H'_k) = \max\{\Delta(H_{k-1}), \Delta(H'_{K-1})\}.$$

Thus $\Delta(H_k) = \Delta(H'_k)$ is true for all $k \geq 2$ and hence $\Delta(H_k) = \max\{\Delta(H_{k-1}), \Delta(H'_{K-1})\} = \Delta(H_{k-1})$ for all $k \geq 3$. When $k = 1$, it can be checked directly by the definition of X_1 that $\Delta(H_1) = 1 > \Delta(H'_1) = 0$. Therefore, $\Delta(H_2) = \max\{\Delta(H_1), \Delta(H'_1)\} = \Delta(H_1)$. Consequently, we obtain that

$$\Delta(H_k) = \Delta(H_{k-1}) = \dots = \Delta(H_1) = \begin{cases} 2, & \text{if } n = 1, \\ 1, & \text{if } n \geq 2. \end{cases}$$

□

Combining Propositions 3.1 and 3.2, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 3.3. *For $n \geq 2$,*

$$f(P_{2n+1}^k) = 1.$$

By Proposition 3.2, we have $f(P_3^k) \leq 2$. Next, we show that 2 is also the lower bound of $f(P_3^k)$ using the properties of signed matrices.

Definition 4. *Let*

$$A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and for $k \geq 1$, define

$$A_{k+1} = \begin{pmatrix} A_k & I & O \\ I & -A_k & -I \\ O & -I & A_k \end{pmatrix}.$$

Clearly, A_k is a signed matrix of P_3^k , with order 3^k .

Proposition 3.4. *The matrix A_k has eigenvalue 0 with multiplicity 1, and the non-zero eigenvalues are pairwise symmetric with respect to 0. The minimum positive eigenvalue of A_k is $\sqrt{2}$.*

Proof. The proof is by induction on k . When $k = 1$, the characteristic polynomial

$$P_{A_1}(x) = \det \begin{pmatrix} x & -1 & O \\ -1 & x & 1 \\ O & 1 & x \end{pmatrix} = x(x + \sqrt{2})(x - \sqrt{2}).$$

The conclusion holds for $k = 1$. Now suppose the eigenvalues of A_k are

$$\lambda_{3^k} \leq \dots \leq \lambda_{\frac{3^k+3}{2}} = -\sqrt{2} < \lambda_{\frac{3^k+1}{2}} = 0 < \sqrt{2} = \lambda_{\frac{3^k-1}{2}} \leq \dots \leq \lambda_1.$$

Then the characteristic polynomial of A_{k+1} is

$$\begin{aligned} P_{A_{k+1}}(x) &= \det \begin{pmatrix} xI - A_k & -I & O \\ -I & xI + A_k & I \\ O & I & xI - A_k \end{pmatrix} = \det \begin{pmatrix} xI - A_k & -I & xI - A_k \\ -I & xI + A_k & O \\ O & I & xI - A_k \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \det \begin{pmatrix} xI - A_k & -2I & O \\ -I & xI + A_k & O \\ O & I & xI - A_k \end{pmatrix} = \det(xI - A_k) \det \begin{pmatrix} xI - A_k & -2I \\ -I & xI + A_k \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \det(xI - A_k) \det [(xI - A_k)(xI + A_k) - 2I] = \det(xI - A_k) \det [(x^2 - 2)I - A_k^2] \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^{3^k} (x - \lambda_i) \prod_{i=1}^{3^k} (x^2 - 2 - \lambda_i^2) = \prod_{i=1}^{3^k} (x - \lambda_i) \left(x + \sqrt{2 + \lambda_i^2} \right) \left(x - \sqrt{2 + \lambda_i^2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus the eigenvalues of A_{k+1} are

$$\{\lambda_i, \sqrt{2 + \lambda_i^2}, -\sqrt{2 + \lambda_i^2} : i = 1, \dots, 3^k\}.$$

By the induction hypothesis of λ_i , we know that the A_{k+1} has eigenvalue 0 with multiplicity 1, the non-zero eigenvalues are pairwise symmetric with respect to 0 and the minimum positive eigenvalue is $\lambda_{\frac{3^{k+1}-1}{2}} = \sqrt{2}$. \square

Corollary 3.5. $f(P_3^k) \geq 2$.

Proof. By Theorem 2.10, $\alpha(P_3^k) = \frac{3^k+1}{2}$. Choose arbitrarily an induced subgraph, say H , of P_3^k , with $\frac{3^k+3}{2}$ vertices. Suppose the principle submatrix of H in A_k is B . Then by Lemmas 2.4 and 2.3

$$\Delta(H) \geq \lambda_1(B) \geq \lambda_{3^k - \frac{3^k+3}{2} + 1}(A_k) = \lambda_{\frac{3^k-1}{2}}(A_k) = \sqrt{2}.$$

Therefore, $f(P_3^k) \geq \lceil \sqrt{2} \rceil = 2$. \square

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^{2n} k_i a_i = 0 &\Leftrightarrow (k_2, k_1 - k_3, -k_2 + k_4, \dots, -k_{2n-1} + k_{2n}, k_{2n-1}) = 0 \\ &\Leftrightarrow k_1 = k_3 = \dots = k_{2n-1} = 0 = k_2 = k_4 = \dots = k_{2n}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{2n} are linearly independent and thus $\text{rank}(A_1) = 2n$.

For $k > 1$, since $A_1^2 > 0$ and $A_k^2 = I(1) \otimes A_{k-1}^2 + A_1^2 \otimes I(k-1)$, we have $A_k^2 > 0$. \square

If we can find the minimum eigenvalue of A_1^2 , we can give the minimum eigenvalue of A_k^2 by Lemma 2.5. In order to do this, we first give the characteristic polynomial of A_k^2 . To begin with, we define some polynomials below:

Definition 6.

$$\begin{aligned} f_0(x) &= 1, f_1(x) = x - 2; f_k(x) = (x - 2)f_{k-1}(x) - f_{k-2}(x); \\ g_0(x) &= 1, g_1(x) = x - 1; g_k(x) = (x - 2)g_{k-1}(x) - g_{k-2}(x). \end{aligned}$$

The following proposition can be checked from the definitions.

Proposition 4.2. (i) $x - 2 - \frac{g_{k-2}}{g_{k-1}} = \frac{g_k}{g_{k-1}}$; $x - 2 - \frac{f_{k-2}}{f_{k-1}} = \frac{f_k}{f_{k-1}}$.
(ii) $g_k = f_k + f_{k-1}$, $k \geq 1$.

Now we calculate the characteristic polynomial of A_1^2 .

Proposition 4.3. $P_{A_1^2}(x) = g_n^2(x)$.

Proof. Let $\Delta_{2n-2i}(f_i(x), g_i(x)) = \det$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{g_{i+1}(x)}{g_i(x)} & 0 & 1 & & & & \\ 0 & \frac{f_{i+1}(x)}{f_i(x)} & 0 & \ddots & & & \\ 1 & 0 & x-2 & \ddots & \ddots & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & x-2 & 0 & 1 \\ & & & \ddots & 0 & x-2 & 0 \\ & & & & 1 & 0 & x-1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then

Thus $f(P_{2n}^k) \geq \lceil \sqrt{k\beta_n} \rceil$, where β_n , a positive constant depending on n , is the minimum positive root of $g_n(x)$. \square

5 Discussion and Remarks

In this note, we determine the exact value of $f(P_{2n+1}^k)$ and provide a lower bound of $f(P_{2n}^k)$, but we are not sure whether the lower bound $f(P_{2n}^k) \geq \lceil \sqrt{k\beta_n} \rceil$ is tight for $n \geq 3$. We leave this as a problem. Another interesting problem proposed by Huang (oral communication):

Question 5.1. *Given a graph G , let $f_k(G)$ be minimum of the maximum degree of the induced subgraph with $\alpha(G) + k$ vertices, what can we say about $f_k(G)$?*

6 Acknowledgment

The work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 12071453), the National Key R and D Program of China (2020YFA0713100), and the Innovation Program for Quantum Science and Technology, China (2021ZD0302902).

References

- [1] N. Alon and K. Zheng, Unitary signings and induced subgraphs of Cayley graphs of Z_n , Advances in Combinatorics, 2020: 11, 12pp.
- [2] F. R. K. Chung, Z. Füredi, R. L. Graham, and P. Seymour, On induced subgraphs of the cube, J. Comb. Theory Ser. A 49 (1988), no. 1, 180-187.
- [3] D. Dong, On induced subgraphs of the Hamming graph, J. Graph Theory 96 (2021), no. 1, 160-166.
- [4] S. Fisk, A very short proof of Cauchy's interlace theorem for eigenvalues of Hermitian matrices, Amer. Math. Monthly, 112 (2005), no.2, 118.
- [5] K. A. Germina, S. Hameed K and T. Zaslavsky, On products and line graphs of signed graphs: their eigenvalues and energy, Linear Alg. Appl., 435 (2011), no.10, 2432-2450.
- [6] R. Hammack, W. Imrich and S. Klavžar, Handbook of product graphs, CRC press, 2011.
- [7] P. Hatami, R. Kulkarni, and D. Pankratov, Variations on the Sensitivity Conjecture, Theory Comput. 4 (2011), 1-27.

- [8] Z.-M. Hong, H.-J. Lai, J. Liu, Induced subgraphs of product graphs and a generalization of Huang’s theorem, *J. Graph Theory*, 98 (2021), no.2, 285-308.
- [9] H. Huang, Induced subgraphs of hypercubes and a proof of the Sensitivity Conjecture, *Ann. Math.* 190 (2019), no. 3, 949-955.
- [10] R. Karthikeyan, S. Sinha, and V. Patil, On the resolution of the sensitivity conjecture, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* 57 (2020), no. 4, 615-638.
- [11] F. Lehner, G. Verret, Counterexamples to “A conjecture on induced sub-graphs of Cayley graphs”, *Ars Math. Contemp.*, 19 (2020), no.1, 77–82.
- [12] A. Potechin and H. Y. Tsang, A conjecture on induced subgraphs of Cayley graphs, [arXiv:2003.13166](https://arxiv.org/abs/2003.13166).
- [13] V. Tandy, An induced subgraph of the Hamming graph with maximum degree 1, *J. Graph Theory*, 101 (2022), no.2, 311-317.
- [14] A. Tikaradze, Induced subgraphs of powers of oriented cycles, *Linear Multilinear Algebra*, 70 (2020), no.17, 3301-3303.