

# INVERSE PROBLEM OF DETERMINING TIME-DEPENDENT LEADING COEFFICIENT IN THE TIME-FRACTIONAL HEAT EQUATION

DAURENBEK SERIKBAEV, MICHAEL RUZHANSKY, AND NIYAZ TOKMAGAMBETOV

**ABSTRACT.** In this paper, we investigate direct and inverse problems for the time-fractional heat equation with a time-dependent diffusion coefficient for positive operators. First, we consider the direct problem, and the unique existence of the generalized solution is established. We also deduce some regularity results. Here, our proofs are based on the eigenfunction expansion method. Second, we consider the inverse problem of determining the diffusion coefficient. The well-posedness of this inverse problem is shown by reducing the problem to an operator equation for the diffusion coefficient.

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
2. Preliminary materials	5
2.1. Mittag-Leffler function	5
2.2. Fractional calculus	7
2.3. Mean value theorem	7
2.4. Gronwall type lemma	7
2.5. Sobolev spaces	8
3. Direct problem	8
3.1. Existence and Uniqueness	9
3.2. Sign of $u_\xi(t; a)$	12
3.3. Regularity	13
3.4. Main theorem for the direct problem	24
4. Inverse problem of diffusion coefficient identification	24

---

*Date:* June 9, 2023.

*Key words and phrases.* Heat equation; direct problem; coefficient inverse problem; well-posedness; positive operator; Caputo fractional derivative.

This research was funded by the Science Committee of the Ministry of Education and Science of the Republic of Kazakhstan (Grant No. AP14872042), by the FWO Odysseus 1 grant G.0H94.18N: Analysis and Partial Differential Equations, and by the Methusalem programme of the Ghent University Special Research Fund (BOF) (Grant number 01M01021). MR is also supported by EPSRC grant EP/R003025/2. NT is also supported by the Beatriu de Pinós programme and by AGAUR (Generalitat de Catalunya) grant 2021 SGR 00087.

4.1. Operator $K$	28
4.2. Existence	32
4.3. Monotonicity of the operator $K$	35
4.4. Uniqueness	35
4.5. Continuous dependence of $(a, u)$ on the data	37
4.6. Main theorem for the inverse problem	43
5. Examples of operator $\mathcal{L}$	43
6. Examples of functional $F$	47
7. Value of $\gamma$ in particular cases of $\mathcal{L}$ and $F$	47
8. Appendix A	48
References	49

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $T$  be a positive constant and let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a separable Hilbert space. In this paper, we consider the following time-fractional heat equation

$$(1.1) \quad \mathcal{D}_t^\alpha u(t) + a(t)\mathcal{L}u(t) = f(t) \text{ in } \mathcal{H},$$

for  $0 < t \leq T$ . Here  $\mathcal{D}_t^\alpha$  is the Caputo derivative of the order  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  defined by

$$\mathcal{D}_t^\alpha u(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{-\alpha} \frac{d}{d\tau} u(\tau) d\tau,$$

with Gamma function  $\Gamma(\cdot)$ , and  $\mathcal{L}$  is the positive self-adjoint operator with the discrete spectrum  $\{\lambda_\xi\}_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}}$  such that  $\lambda_\xi \rightarrow \infty$  as  $|\xi| \rightarrow \infty$  and the system of eigenfunctions  $\{\omega_\xi\}_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}}$  forming an orthonormal basis in the space  $\mathcal{H}$ , where  $\mathcal{I}$  is a countable set.

This paper consists of two parts, the direct problem and the inverse problem.

First, we start by stating the direct problem, the properties of which we are going to use in studying the inverse problem of determining the coefficient  $a(t)$ .

**Problem 1.1** (Direct Problem). *Given  $a(t)$  and  $f(t)$ , find a function  $u(t)$  such that  $u : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$  satisfies the equation (1.1) and the initial condition*

$$(1.2) \quad u(0) = h \text{ in } \mathcal{H}.$$

For this direct problem, we establish the existence and uniqueness for the generalised solution and deduce some regularity results in Section 3. In the main part of the paper, based on Problem 1.1, we will consider the following inverse problem of finding the coefficient  $a(t)$  in the equation (1.1) for the operator  $\mathcal{L}$  with the positive discrete spectrum such that  $\inf_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} \lambda_\xi > 0$ , from the given additional information.

**Problem 1.2** (Inverse Problem). *Given  $f(t)$  and  $h$ , find a pair of functions  $\{a(t), u(t)\}$  satisfying the problem (1.1)–(1.2) and the additional condition*

$$(1.3) \quad F[u(t)] = E(t), \quad t \in [0, T].$$

In (1.3),  $F$  is a **linear bounded functional**

$$F : \mathcal{H}^{1+\gamma} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}.$$

Here  $\mathcal{H}^{1+\gamma} = \{u \in \mathcal{H} : \mathcal{L}^{1+\gamma}u \in \mathcal{H}\}$  and  $\gamma \geq 0$ , and  $F$  satisfies the following assumption:

$$(1.4) \quad \left\{ \frac{F[\omega_\xi]}{\lambda_\xi^\gamma} \right\} \in l^2(\mathcal{I}).$$

For example, let  $\mathcal{H}$  be  $L^2(0, 1)$  and  $\mathcal{L}u = -u_{xx}$ ,  $x \in (0, 1)$ , with homogeneous Dirichlet boundary condition. Then the operator has the eigensystem  $\{(\pi k)^2, \sqrt{2} \sin k\pi x\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ , with  $\mathcal{I} = \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $F[u(t, \cdot)] := \int_0^1 u(t, x) dx$ . Since

$$F[\omega_k] = \int_0^1 \sqrt{2} \sin k\pi x dx = \frac{\sqrt{2}(1 + (-1)^{k+1})}{k\pi} = \begin{cases} \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{k\pi}, & \text{if } k = 2n - 1 \ (n \in \mathbb{N}); \\ 0, & \text{if } k = 2n \ (n \in \mathbb{N}), \end{cases}$$

we have

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} |F[\omega_k]|^2 < \infty.$$

From this we see that  $\gamma$  in (1.4) can be taken to be 0.

Without loss of generality, we can suppose

$$(1.5) \quad \text{that } F \not\equiv 0 \text{ and that } F[\omega_\xi] \geq 0, \text{ for all } \xi \in \mathcal{I}.$$

Otherwise, if  $F[\omega_\zeta] < 0$  for some  $\zeta \in \mathcal{I}$ , we can replace  $\omega_\zeta$  by  $-\omega_\zeta$ . Then such  $-\omega_\zeta$  satisfy all the properties we need, such as it is still an eigenfunction of  $\mathcal{L}$  corresponding to the eigenvalue  $\lambda_\zeta$ , giving an orthonormal basis of  $\mathcal{H}$ , and  $F[-\omega_\zeta] \geq 0$ .

For this inverse problem, we obtain the following results:

- The existence of the solution;
- The uniqueness of the solution;
- The continuous dependence on the data.

To prove the well-posedness of Problem 1.2 we reduce this inverse problem to the operator equation for the diffusion coefficient  $a(t)$ . Then we show the monotonicity of this operator and prove the existence and uniqueness of the solution to this operator equation. Moreover, we show continuous dependence of  $a = a(t)$  on the data. More precisely, we show the following:

i) We prove the existence of the solution of the operator equation using Schauder's fixed point theorem.

When  $\alpha = 1$ , Schauder's fixed point theorem is used in many works, here we only note a few of them [I93, KI12, IO16, HLI16]. To the best of our knowledge, in this paper we use Schauder's fixed point theorem to prove the existence of the solution to the obtained operator equation for the first time. That is why we will show the existence of the solution of the obtained operator equation analogously to the proof of the above-mentioned papers. Using Schauder's fixed point theorem to prove the existence result is valuable since it can be extended to other inverse problems for time-fractional differential equations.

ii) We show the uniqueness of the solution of the operator equation using the monotonicity of the operator similarly to [Zh17].

iii) To show the continuous dependence of the solution on the data, we use Gronwall type inequality [H81, Lemma 7.1.1].

In recent years, the direct and inverse problems of time-fractional diffusion have drawn much attention. Some classical papers by several authors have shown various aspects of this problem.

Here we will mention only the closest scientific works to our research which were done for particular cases of the operator  $\mathcal{L}$ .

For instance, Sakamoto and Yamamoto [SY11] studied the problem (1.1)–(1.2) when  $a(t) = 1$ . Under suitable assumptions on given functions, the authors proved the existence and uniqueness of weak solutions to the problem (1.1)–(1.2). Moreover, the authors proved other stability and uniqueness results for some related inverse problems. This was one of the first mathematical works concerning fractional inverse problems. The maximum principle for the time-fractional diffusion equation was established by Luchko in [L09].

For the one dimensional case, the model (1.1)–(1.2) was considered in [Zh16] with a homogeneous right-hand side and trivial initial condition. Z. Zhang established the existence and uniqueness of the weak solution and deduced some regularity results. This is the first rigorous theoretical work on the direct problem of model (1.1)–(1.2) for  $d = 1$ . Moreover, Z. Zhang considered the inverse problem of recovering  $a(t)$  in [Zh16]. For this inverse problem, he showed the uniqueness of  $a(t)$ .

Using the above-mentioned results, Z. Zhang in [Zh17] analyzed the direct problem (1.1)–(1.2). In particular, one established the existence, uniqueness, and some regularity properties. Also in [Zh17] Z. Zhang considered an inverse problem for recovering  $a(t)$ . This work is an extension of the earlier work of Z. Zhang [Zh16] from a simple space domain  $\Omega$  to  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , considering the more general analysis for the direct problem, and containing an existence argument for the inverse problem of recovering  $a(t)$ .

In [Zh17], for the inverse problem one used the single point flux data

$$a(t) \frac{\partial u}{\partial \vec{n}}(x_0, t; a) = E(t), \quad x_0 \in \partial\Omega,$$

to recover the coefficient  $a(t)$ . In order to recover  $a(t)$ , Z. Zhang introduced a suitable operator  $K$  and showed its monotonicity. This property implied the uniqueness and existence of  $a(t)$ . In other words, the author established the uniqueness and existence of the solution of the considered inverse problem by using the monotonicity of the operator  $K$ . This method is also applicable for Problem 1.2. The disadvantage of this method is that it works only for the inverse problem of identification of a time-dependent diffusion coefficient, but not for other types of inverse problems for time-fractional differential equations. For example, this method does not work for inverse source problems. Moreover, for the model as considered in [Zh16] with a fractional  $\alpha \in (0, 2)$ , Lopushanskyi and Lopushanska [LL14] have used the Green function to give the representation of solution  $u$ , and an operator for  $a$ , which ensure the existence and uniqueness of  $(a, u)$ .

The rest of this paper follows the following structure. In Section 2, we collect some preliminary results about fractional calculus, the mean value theorem, Gronwall type lemma, and definitions of spaces. The direct problem is discussed in Section 3, i.e. we establish the existence, uniqueness, and some regularity results of the weak solution for (1.1)–(1.2). Then Section 4 deals with the inverse problem of recovering the pair  $(a, u)$ . Specifically, an operator  $K$  is introduced in this section, then its monotonicity and uniqueness, the existence of its fixed points are proved. Moreover, continuous dependence of the solution to Problem 1.2 is included in this section. In Sections 5 and 6, we give examples of the operator  $\mathcal{L}$  and of the functional  $F$ , respectively. In Section 7, for the particular cases of  $\mathcal{L}$  and  $F$  we show how to find the value of  $\gamma$  in (1.4). In the last section, we include as an Appendix some classical theorems which are used in this paper.

## 2. PRELIMINARY MATERIALS

**2.1. Mittag-Leffler function.** In this subsection, we will recall the definition of the Mittag-Leffler function and give its necessary properties which we will use in our investigation. The Mittag-Leffler function is a two-parameter function defined as

$$E_{\alpha, \beta}(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{\Gamma(k\alpha + \beta)}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}.$$

It generalizes the natural exponential function in the sense that  $E_{1,1} = e^z$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** [Pod99, Theorem 1.6] *Suppose that  $0 < \alpha < 2$ ,  $\beta$  is an arbitrary real number and,  $\pi\alpha/2 < \mu < \min\{\pi, \pi\alpha\}$ . Then there exists a positive constant  $C' > 0$  such that*

$$|E_{\alpha, \beta}(z)| \leq \frac{C'}{1 + |z|},$$

for all  $\mu \leq |\arg(z)| \leq \pi$  and  $|z| \geq 0$ .

Note that in [Sim14] the following estimate for the Mittag-Leffler function is proved, when  $0 < \alpha < 1$  (not true for  $\alpha \geq 1$ )

$$\frac{1}{1 + \Gamma(1 - \alpha)z} \leq E_{\alpha,1}(-z) \leq \frac{1}{1 + \Gamma(1 + \alpha)^{-1}z}, \quad z > 0,$$

Thus, it follows that

$$(2.1) \quad 0 < E_{\alpha,1}(-z) < 1, \quad z > 0.$$

**Lemma 2.2.** *If  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$  and  $\beta \geq \alpha$ . Then the generalized Mittag-Leffler function  $E_{\alpha,\beta}(-z)$ ,  $z \geq 0$ , is completely monotonic, that is,*

$$(-1)^n \frac{d^n}{dz^n} E_{\alpha,\beta}(-z) \geq 0, \quad \text{for } z \geq 0 \text{ and } n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

*Proof.* This proof can be found in [P48, Sch96, MS97, MS01]. □

**Lemma 2.3.** *For  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$  and  $\beta \geq \alpha$ , the Mittag-Leffler type function  $E_{\alpha,\beta}(-\lambda_\xi t^\alpha)$  satisfies*

$$0 \leq E_{\alpha,\beta}(-\lambda_\xi t^\alpha) \leq E_{\alpha,\beta}(-\inf_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} \lambda_\xi t^\alpha) \leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)}, \quad t \geq 0.$$

*Proof.* In view of Lemma 2.2, we have

$$E_{\alpha,\beta}(-z) \geq 0, \quad \forall z \geq 0,$$

and

$$\frac{d}{dz} E_{\alpha,\beta}(-z) \leq 0, \quad \forall z \geq 0.$$

These estimates mean that the function  $E_{\alpha,\beta}(-z)$  is positive and non-increasing on the interval  $[0, \infty)$ , i.e. it satisfies the following inequality for all  $x, y$  such that  $x \geq y \geq 0$

$$0 \leq E_{\alpha,\beta}(-x) \leq E_{\alpha,\beta}(-y) \leq E_{\alpha,\beta}(0) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)}.$$

These yield the desired results and complete the proof. □

**Lemma 2.4.** *For  $\alpha > 0$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$ , we have*

$$\frac{d^n}{dt^n} E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_\xi t^\alpha) = -\lambda_\xi t^{\alpha-n} E_{\alpha,\alpha-n+1}(-\lambda_\xi t^\alpha), \quad t > 0.$$

*In particular, if we set  $n = 1$ , then we have*

$$\frac{d}{dt} E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_\xi t^\alpha) = -\lambda_\xi t^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-\lambda_\xi t^\alpha), \quad t > 0.$$

*Proof.* See [SY11, Lemma 3.2]. □

**2.2. Fractional calculus.** In this part, we collect some results from the fractional calculus. The next lemma states the extremal principle for  $\mathcal{D}_t^\alpha$ .

**Lemma 2.5.** [Zh17, Lemma 2.5] *Fix  $0 < \alpha < 1$  and let  $v = v(t) \in C[0, T]$  with  $\mathcal{D}_t^\alpha v \in C[0, T]$ . If  $v$  attains its maximum (minimum) over the interval  $[0, T]$  at the point  $t = t_0$ ,  $t_0 \in (0, T]$ , then  $\mathcal{D}_t^\alpha v \geq (\leq) 0$ , respectively.*

The following lemma about the composition between  $\mathcal{D}_t^\alpha$  and the fractional integral  $\mathcal{I}_t^\alpha$  is presented in [SKM93].

**Lemma 2.6.** *Define the Riemann-Liouville  $\alpha$ -th order integral  $\mathcal{I}_t^\alpha$  as*

$$\mathcal{I}_t^\alpha u = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t - \tau)^{\alpha-1} u(\tau) d\tau.$$

For  $0 < \alpha < 1$ ,  $u(t)$ ,  $\mathcal{D}_t^\alpha u \in C[0, T]$ , we have

$$(\mathcal{D}_t^\alpha \circ \mathcal{I}_t^\alpha u)(t) = u(t), \quad (\mathcal{I}_t^\alpha \circ \mathcal{D}_t^\alpha u)(t) = u(t) - u(0), \quad t \in [0, T].$$

**2.3. Mean value theorem.** In this part, we present the generalized mean value theorem.

**Theorem 2.7.** [OSh07, Theorem 1] *Let  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ ,  $a < b$  and  $g \in C[a, b]$  be such that  ${}_a\mathcal{D}_t^\alpha g \in C[a, b]$ . Then, there exists some  $t \in (a, b)$  such that*

$$g(b) - g(a) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} {}_a\mathcal{D}_t^\alpha g(t) \cdot (b - a)^\alpha.$$

Here  ${}_a\mathcal{D}_t^\alpha$  is the Caputo derivative defined by

$${}_a\mathcal{D}_t^\alpha g = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1 - \alpha)} \int_a^t (t - \tau)^{-\alpha} \frac{d}{d\tau} g(\tau) d\tau.$$

Theorem 2.7 plays an important role in proving the existence of a solution to our inverse problems.

**2.4. Gronwall type lemma.** We present, an inequality of Gronwall type with weakly singular kernel  $(t - \tau)^{\alpha-1}$  (see [H81, Lemma 7.1.1]).

**Lemma 2.8.** *Suppose  $c \geq 0$ ,  $0 < \alpha < 1$ , and  $z(t)$  is a non-negative function locally integrable on  $[0, b)$  (for some  $b \leq \infty$ ), and suppose  $y(t)$  is non-negative and locally integrable on  $[0, b)$  with*

$$y(t) \leq z(t) + c \int_0^t (t - \tau)^{\alpha-1} y(\tau) d\tau, \quad \forall t \in [0, b).$$

Then

$$y(t) \leq z(t) + c\Gamma(\alpha) \int_0^t \frac{d}{d\tau} E_{\alpha,1}(c\Gamma(\alpha)(t - \tau)^\alpha) z(\tau) d\tau, \quad \forall t \in [0, b).$$

If  $z(t) \equiv z$  is constant, then

$$y(t) \leq zE_{\alpha,1}(c\Gamma(\alpha)t^\alpha), \quad \forall t \in [0, b).$$

**2.5. Sobolev spaces.** In this section, we fix a few definitions concerning the generalized Sobolev space over  $\mathcal{H}$ .

**Definition 2.9.** Let  $\rho \in \mathbb{R}$ . We denote the Sobolev space by  $\mathcal{H}^\rho := \{u \in \mathcal{H} : \mathcal{L}^\rho u \in \mathcal{H}\}$  with the norm

$$\|u\|_{\mathcal{H}^\rho} = \left( \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} |(1 + \lambda_\xi)^\rho (u, \omega_\xi)_\mathcal{H}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

**Definition 2.10.** Let  $\rho \in \mathbb{R}$ . For  $0 < \alpha < 1$  we denote by  $X^\alpha([0, T]; \mathcal{H}^\rho)$  the space of all continuous functions  $g : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^\rho$  with also continuous  $\mathcal{D}_t^\alpha g : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^\rho$ , such that

$$\|g\|_{X^\alpha([0, T]; \mathcal{H}^\rho)} := \|g\|_{C([0, T]; \mathcal{H}^\rho)} + \|\mathcal{D}_t^\alpha g\|_{C([0, T]; \mathcal{H}^\rho)} < \infty.$$

The space  $X^\alpha([0, T]; \mathcal{H}^\rho)$  equipped with the norm above is a Banach space.

**Definition 2.11.** For  $\theta \in (0, 1)$  we set

$$C^\theta([0, T]; \mathcal{H}) = \left\{ f \in C([0, T]; \mathcal{H}) : \sup_{0 \leq t < s \leq T} \frac{\|f(t) - f(s)\|_\mathcal{H}}{|t - s|^\theta} < \infty \right\}$$

and

$$\|f\|_{C^\theta([0, T]; \mathcal{H})} = \|f\|_{C([0, T]; \mathcal{H})} + \sup_{0 \leq t < s \leq T} \frac{\|f(t) - f(s)\|_\mathcal{H}}{|t - s|^\theta}.$$

### 3. DIRECT PROBLEM

In this section, we consider Problem 1.1 and prove the existence and uniqueness of the generalized solution and deduce some regularity results.

Throughout this section, we suppose that  $a$ ,  $h$  and  $f$  satisfy the following assumptions:

**Assumption 3.1.** (a)  $a \in C^+[0, T] := \{a \in C[0, T] : a(t) \geq q_a > 0, t \in [0, T]\}$ ;  
 (b)  $f \in C([0, T]; \mathcal{H})$ ;  
 (c)  $h \in \mathcal{H}^1$ .

Now we give the following definition of the generalised solution to the direct problem.

**Definition 3.2.** We call  $u(t; a)$  a generalized solution of Problem 1.1 in  $\mathcal{H}$  corresponding to the coefficient  $a(t)$  if  $u(t; a) \in \mathcal{H}^1$  for all  $t \in (0, T]$ , and for any  $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}^1$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{D}_t^\alpha u(t; a), \varphi) + (a(t)\mathcal{L}u(t; a), \varphi) &= (f(t), \varphi), \quad t \in (0, T]; \\ (u(0; a), \varphi) &= (h, \varphi), \end{aligned}$$



where  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  is the inner product of  $\mathcal{H}$ .

With the above definition, we give a spectral representation for the generalized solution in the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.3.** *Define  $h_\xi = (h, \omega_\xi)$ ,  $f_\xi(t) = (f(t), \omega_\xi)$ ,  $\xi \in \mathcal{I}$ . The spectral representation of the generalized solution of Problem 1.1 is*

$$(3.1) \quad u(t; a) = \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} u_\xi(t; a) \omega_\xi, \quad t \in [0, T],$$

where  $u_\xi(t; a)$  satisfies the fractional equation

$$(3.2) \quad \mathcal{D}_t^\alpha u_\xi(t; a) + \lambda_\xi a(t) u_\xi(t; a) = f_\xi(t), \quad u_\xi(0; a) = h_\xi, \quad \xi \in \mathcal{I}.$$

*Proof.* The proof is verified by analogy to [Zh17, Lemma 3.3].  $\square$

**3.1. Existence and Uniqueness.** In this subsection, we show the existence and uniqueness of the generalized solution of Problem 1.1.

**Theorem 3.4.** *(Existence and Uniqueness). Let Assumption 3.1 hold. Then the following statements hold true:*

1) *For each  $\xi \in \mathcal{I}$  there is a unique solution  $u_\xi(t; a)$  of (3.2) which belongs to  $X^\alpha[0, T]$ ;*

2) *Problem 1.1 has a unique generalised solution  $u(t; a)$  with the spectral representation (3.1).*

*Proof.* Let us first prove the existence and uniqueness of the solution  $u_\xi(\cdot; a) \in C[0, T]$  of (3.2) for each  $\xi \in \mathcal{I}$ . To this end for each  $\xi \in \mathcal{I}$  we rewrite the Cauchy-type problem (3.2) in the following form:

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{cases} \mathcal{D}_t^\alpha u_\xi(t; a) + \lambda_\xi Q_a u_\xi(t; a) = f_\xi(t) + \lambda_\xi (Q_a - a(t)) u_\xi(t; a), & t \in (0, T], \\ u_\xi(0; a) = h_\xi, \end{cases}$$

where  $Q_a$  is a constant such that

$$(3.4) \quad 0 < q_a \leq a(t) < Q_a \text{ on } [0, T].$$

Assumption 3.1 (a) guaranties the existence of  $Q_a > q_a$ . According to [LG99], the solution of equation (3.3) can be represented in the form

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{aligned} u_\xi(t; a) &= h_\xi E_{\alpha, 1}(-\lambda_\xi Q_a t^\alpha) \\ &+ \int_0^t [f_\xi(s) + \lambda_\xi (Q_a - a(s)) u_\xi(s; a)] (t-s)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha, \alpha}(-\lambda_\xi Q_a (t-s)^\alpha) ds, \end{aligned}$$

for each  $\xi \in \mathcal{I}$ . Since the solution  $u_\xi(t; a)$  of (3.3) is represented as (3.5), to prove the existence and uniqueness of the solution  $u_\xi(\cdot; a) \in C[0, T]$  of (3.2) it is sufficient to prove the existence of a unique solution  $u_\xi(\cdot; a) \in C[0, T]$  of the equation (3.5).

For this, we use the Banach fixed point Theorem 8.1 for the space  $C[0, T]$ . We rewrite the integral equation (3.5) in the form  $u_\xi(t; a) = (Au_\xi)(t; a)$ , where

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{aligned} (Au_\xi)(t; a) &= h_\xi E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_\xi Q_a t^\alpha) \\ &+ \int_0^t [f_\xi(s) + \lambda_\xi(Q_a - a(s))u_\xi(s; a)](t-s)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-\lambda_\xi Q_a(t-s)^\alpha) ds. \end{aligned}$$

To apply the Banach fixed point Theorem 8.1, we have to prove the following: *i*) if  $u_\xi \in C[0, T]$ , then  $Au_\xi \in C[0, T]$ ; *ii*) for any  $v_\xi, w_\xi \in C[0, T]$ , the following estimate holds:

$$(3.7) \quad \|Av_\xi - Aw_\xi\|_{C[0,T]} \leq \beta \|v_\xi - w_\xi\|_{C[0,T]}, \quad \beta \in (0, 1).$$

We first prove *i*). To this end we take  $u_\xi(\cdot; a) \in C[0, T]$  and we denote

$$(3.8) \quad (A_1 f_\xi)(t) = \int_0^t f_\xi(s)(t-s)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-\lambda_\xi Q_a(t-s)^\alpha) ds$$

and

$$(3.9) \quad (A_2 u_\xi)(t; a) = \int_0^t \lambda_\xi(Q_a - a(s))u_\xi(s; a)(t-s)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-\lambda_\xi Q_a(t-s)^\alpha) ds.$$

Let us formulate the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.5.** *Let Assumption 3.1 (b) hold true. Then for each  $\xi \in \mathcal{I}$  the coefficient function  $f_\xi(t)$  belongs to  $C[0, T]$ .*

*Proof.* In view of Assumption 3.1 (b) for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  exists  $\delta = \delta(\varepsilon)$  such that  $|t_1 - t_2| < \delta \forall t_1, t_2 \in [0, T]$ :

$$\|f(t_1) - f(t_2)\|_{\mathcal{H}} < \varepsilon.$$

This with the Bessel inequality gives us

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon > \|f(t_1) - f(t_2)\|_{\mathcal{H}} &\geq \left( \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} |f_\xi(t_1) - f_\xi(t_2)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\geq |f_\xi(t_1) - f_\xi(t_2)|. \end{aligned}$$

This implies  $f_\xi \in C[0, T]$  for each  $\xi \in \mathcal{I}$ . □

In view of Lemma 2.2, the function  $E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-z)$ ,  $z \geq 0$  possesses of derivatives  $\frac{d^n}{dz^n} E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-z)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . This implies that  $E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-\lambda_\xi Q_a t^\alpha)$  belongs to  $C[0, T]$ . Hence, the function  $t^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-\lambda_\xi Q_a t^\alpha)$  is continuous in  $t \in (0, T]$ . This together with Lemma 3.5 tell us that  $(A_1 f_\xi)(t)$  which is given by (3.8) belongs to  $C[0, T]$ . At the beginning of proof *i*) we suppose that  $u_\xi(\cdot; a) \in C[0, T]$  this in view of Lemma

2.4 gives us that  $(A_2 u_\xi)(t; a)$  which is given by (3.9) belongs to  $C[0, T]$ . Since the first term of (3.6) also belongs to  $C[0, T]$ , we have  $Au_\xi \in C[0, T]$ .

Now we proceed to prove the estimate (3.7). In view of (3.4) and using (3.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |Av_\xi(t; a) - Aw_\xi(t; a)| &\leq \\ &\int_0^t |Q_a - a(s)| |v_\xi(s; a) - w_\xi(s; a)| \lambda_\xi(t-s)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha, \alpha}(-\lambda_\xi Q_a(t-s)^\alpha) ds \\ &\leq (Q_a - q_a) \|v_\xi - w_\xi\|_{C[0, T]} \int_0^t \lambda_\xi(t-s)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha, \alpha}(-\lambda_\xi Q_a(t-s)^\alpha) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.4 gives

$$\int_0^t \lambda_\xi(t-s)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha, \alpha}(-\lambda_\xi Q_a(t-s)^\alpha) ds = \frac{1}{Q_a} (1 - E_{\alpha, 1}(-\lambda_\xi Q_a t^\alpha)) \leq \frac{1}{Q_a}.$$

Hence,

$$\|Av_\xi - Aw_\xi\|_{C[0, T]} \leq \frac{Q_a - q_a}{Q_a} \|v_\xi - w_\xi\|_{C[0, T]}.$$

Here  $\beta = \frac{Q_a - q_a}{Q_a} < 1$ . Hence by Banach fixed point Theorem 8.1 there exists a unique solution  $u_\xi = u_\xi^* \in C[0, T]$  for each  $\xi \in \mathcal{I}$  to the equation (3.5).

To complete the proof of Theorem 3.4 1) we must show that such a unique solution  $u_\xi \in C[0, T]$  belongs to the space  $X^\alpha[0, T]$ . For this it is sufficient to prove that  $\mathcal{D}_t^\alpha u_\xi \in C[0, T]$ .

Applying  $\mathcal{D}_t^\alpha$  to (3.5), we have  
(3.10)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_t^\alpha u_\xi(t; a) &= \mathcal{D}_t^\alpha \left( h_\xi E_{\alpha, 1}(-\lambda_\xi Q_a t^\alpha) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_0^t [f_\xi(s) + \lambda_\xi(Q_a - a(s))u_\xi(s; a)] (t-s)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha, \alpha}(-\lambda_\xi Q_a(t-s)^\alpha) ds \right) \\ &= \mathcal{D}_t^\alpha \left( h_\xi E_{\alpha, 1}(-\lambda_\xi Q_a t^\alpha) \right) \\ &\quad + \mathcal{D}_t^\alpha \left( \int_0^t [f_\xi(s) + \lambda_\xi(Q_a - a(s))u_\xi(s; a)] (t-s)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha, \alpha}(-\lambda_\xi Q_a(t-s)^\alpha) ds \right) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $t \in [0, T]$ . We calculate each term of (3.10) separately. According to [LG99], we have

$$(3.11) \quad \mathcal{D}_t^\alpha \left( h_\xi E_{\alpha, 1}(-\lambda_\xi Q_a t^\alpha) \right) = -\lambda_\xi Q_a (h_\xi E_{\alpha, 1}(-\lambda_\xi Q_a t^\alpha)).$$

Using [SY11, (3.8)], we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.12) \quad & \mathcal{D}_t^\alpha \left( \int_0^t [f_\xi(s) + \lambda_\xi(Q_a - a(s))u_\xi(s; a)] (t-s)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha, \alpha}(-\lambda_\xi Q_a(t-s)^\alpha) ds \right) \\
 &= -\lambda_\xi Q_a \int_0^t [f_\xi(s) + \lambda_\xi(Q_a - a(s))u_\xi(s; a)] (t-s)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha, \alpha}(-\lambda_\xi Q_a(t-s)^\alpha) ds \\
 &+ f_\xi(t) + \lambda_\xi(Q_a - a(t))u_\xi(t; a).
 \end{aligned}$$

Substituting (3.11), (3.12) into (3.10) and taking into account (3.5), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{D}_t^\alpha u_\xi(t; a) &= -\lambda_\xi Q_a \left( h_\xi E_{\alpha, 1}(-\lambda_\xi Q_a t^\alpha) \right. \\
 &+ \left. \int_0^t [f_\xi(s) + \lambda_\xi(Q_a - a(s))u_\xi(s; a)] (t-s)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha, \alpha}(-\lambda_\xi Q_a(t-s)^\alpha) ds \right) \\
 &+ f_\xi(t) + \lambda_\xi(Q_a - a(t))u_\xi(t; a) \\
 &= -\lambda_\xi Q_a u_\xi(t; a) + f_\xi(t) + \lambda_\xi(Q_a - a(t))u_\xi(t; a) \\
 &= f_\xi(t) - \lambda_\xi a(t)u_\xi(t; a),
 \end{aligned}$$

for all  $t \in [0, T]$ . Since  $f_\xi, u_\xi(\cdot; a) \in C[0, T]$ , we have  $\mathcal{D}_t^\alpha u_\xi \in C[0, T]$ . Hence, for each  $\xi \in \mathcal{I}$ , there exists a unique continuous solution  $u_\xi(t; a)$  of (3.2) with  $\mathcal{D}_t^\alpha u_\xi \in C[0, T]$ . With the spectral representation (3.1), the existence and uniqueness of each  $u_\xi(t; a)$  lead to the existence and uniqueness of the generalized solution  $u(t; a)$  of Problem 1.1. This completes the proof.  $\square$

**3.2. Sign of  $u_\xi(t; a)$ .** In this subsection, we formulate the property of  $u_\xi(t; a)$  which plays an important role in the investigation of the regularity of  $u(t; a)$ .

**Lemma 3.6.** *Set  $u_\xi(t; a)$  to be the unique solution of the problem (3.2). Then  $f_\xi(t) \leq (\geq) 0$  on  $[0, T]$  and  $h_\xi \leq (\geq) 0$  imply  $u_\xi(t; a) \leq (\geq) 0$  on  $[0, T]$ ,  $\xi \in \mathcal{I}$ , respectively.*

*Proof.* Let  $\xi \in \mathcal{I}$  be such that  $\lambda_\xi > 0$ . For each  $a \in C^+[0, T]$  and such  $\xi \in \mathcal{I}$ , Theorem 3.4 gives that  $u_\xi(\cdot; a) \in C[0, T]$ , which leads to  $u_\xi(t; a)$  attaining its maximum over  $[0, T]$  at some  $t = t_0 \in [0, T]$ .

If  $t_0 = 0$ , then  $u_\xi(t; a) \leq u_\xi(0; a) = h_\xi \leq 0$ . If  $t_0 \in (0, T]$ , with Lemma 2.5, we have  $\mathcal{D}_t^\alpha u_\xi(t_0; a) \geq 0$ , which yields  $\lambda_\xi a(t_0)u_\xi(t_0; a) = -\mathcal{D}_t^\alpha u_\xi(t_0; a) + f_\xi(t_0) \leq 0$ , i.e.  $u_\xi(t_0; a) \leq 0$  due to  $a > 0$  on  $[0, T]$ . The definition of  $t_0$  assures  $u_\xi(t; a) \leq 0$ .

Let  $\eta \in \mathcal{I}$  be such that  $\lambda_\eta = 0$ . By (3.2), for each such  $\eta \in \mathcal{I}$  and for  $t \in [0, T]$  we have

$$(3.13) \quad \mathcal{D}_t^\alpha u_\eta(t; a) = f_\eta(t), \quad u_\eta(0; a) = h_\eta.$$

Applying  $I_t^\alpha$  to (3.13) and taking into account Lemma 2.6 we have the solution of (3.13) in the following form

$$u_\eta(t; a) = h_\eta + I_t^\alpha f_\eta,$$

which yields  $u_\eta(t; a) \leq 0$ .

For the case of “ $\geq$ ”, let  $\bar{u}_\xi(t; a) = -u_\xi(t; a)$ ,  $\forall \xi \in \mathcal{I}$ , then the above proof gives  $\bar{u}_\xi(t; a) \leq 0$ , for all  $\xi \in \mathcal{I}$  i.e.  $u_\xi(t; a) \geq 0$ .  $\square$

**3.3. Regularity.** In this part, we establish the regularity of  $u(t; a)$ . To this end, we split the equation (1.1) and the initial condition (1.2) into

$$(3.14) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_t^\alpha u(t) + a(t)\mathcal{L}u(t) &= f(t), \text{ in } \mathcal{H} \text{ for } t \in (0, T]; \\ u(0) &= 0 \text{ in } \mathcal{H}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(3.15) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_t^\alpha u(t) + a(t)\mathcal{L}u(t) &= 0, \text{ in } \mathcal{H} \text{ for } t \in (0, T]; \\ u(0, x) &= h \text{ in } \mathcal{H}. \end{aligned}$$

Denote the generalised solutions of the problems (3.14) and (3.15) by  $u^r(t; a)$  and  $u^i(t; a)$ , respectively (“ $r$ ” and “ $i$ ” stand for “right-hand side” and “initial condition”). The following lemma about  $u^r(t; a)$  and  $u^i(t; a)$  follows from Lemma 3.3 and Theorem 3.4.

**Lemma 3.7.** *Suppose Assumption 3.1 holds. Then  $u^r(t; a)$  and  $u^i(t; a)$  are the unique solutions for the problems (3.14) and (3.15), respectively, with the spectral representations as*

$$(3.16) \quad u^r(t; a) = \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} u_\xi^r(t; a) \omega_\xi, \quad u^i(t; a) = \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} u_\xi^i(t; a) \omega_\xi,$$

where  $u_\xi^r(t; a)$  and  $u_\xi^i(t; a)$  satisfy the following fractional equations

$$(3.17) \quad \mathcal{D}_t^\alpha u_\xi^r(t; a) + \lambda_\xi a(t) u_\xi^r(t; a) = f_\xi(t), \quad u_\xi^r(0; a) = 0, \quad \xi \in \mathcal{I};$$

$$(3.18) \quad \mathcal{D}_t^\alpha u_\xi^i(t; a) + \lambda_\xi a(t) u_\xi^i(t; a) = 0, \quad u_\xi^i(0; a) = h_\xi, \quad \xi \in \mathcal{I}.$$

Moreover, Theorem 3.4 ensures that the generalized solution  $u(t; a)$  of Problem 1.1 can be written as  $u(t; a) = u^r(t; a) + u^i(t; a)$ , i.e.  $u_\xi(t; a) = u_\xi^r(t; a) + u_\xi^i(t; a)$ ,  $\xi \in \mathcal{I}$ .

**3.3.1. Regularity of  $u^r$ .** For each  $\xi \in \mathcal{I}$ , define

$$(3.19) \quad f_\xi^+(t) = \begin{cases} f_\xi(t), & \text{if } f_\xi(t) \geq 0; \\ 0, & \text{if } f_\xi(t) < 0, \end{cases} \quad f_\xi^-(t) = \begin{cases} f_\xi(t), & \text{if } f_\xi(t) < 0; \\ 0, & \text{if } f_\xi(t) \geq 0. \end{cases}$$

It is obvious that  $f_\xi = f_\xi^+ + f_\xi^-$ .

**Corollary 3.8.** *Let Assumption 3.1 (b) hold true. Then for each  $\xi \in \mathcal{I}$  the coefficient functions  $f_\xi^+(t), f_\xi^-(t)$  belong to  $C[0, T]$ .*

*Proof.* In view of (3.19), we can write  $f_\xi^+(t)$  and  $f_\xi^-(t)$  in the following forms for each  $\xi \in \mathcal{I}$  and for all  $t \in [0, T]$  :

$$(3.20) \quad f_\xi^+(t) = \frac{f_\xi(t) + |f_\xi(t)|}{2}, \quad f_\xi^-(t) = \frac{f_\xi(t) - |f_\xi(t)|}{2}.$$

Using (3.20) we have the following inequality for all  $t_1, t_2 \in [0, T]$  :

$$\begin{aligned} |f_\xi^+(t_1) - f_\xi^+(t_2)| &\leq \left| \frac{f_\xi(t_1) + |f_\xi(t_1)|}{2} - \frac{f_\xi(t_2) + |f_\xi(t_2)|}{2} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} |f_\xi(t_1) - f_\xi(t_2)| + \frac{1}{2} ||f_\xi(t_1)| - |f_\xi(t_2)|| \\ &\leq |f_\xi(t_1) - f_\xi(t_2)|. \end{aligned}$$

This implies with Lemma 3.5 that  $f_\xi^+ \in C[0, T]$ . Similarly we can prove that  $f_\xi^- \in C[0, T]$ .  $\square$

Let us split  $u_\xi^r(t; a)$  as  $u_\xi^r(t; a) = u_\xi^{r,+}(t; a) + u_\xi^{r,-}(t; a)$ , where  $u_\xi^{r,+}(t; a)$ ,  $u_\xi^{r,-}(t; a)$  satisfy

$$(3.21) \quad \mathcal{D}_t^\alpha u_\xi^{r,+}(t; a) + \lambda_\xi a(t) u_\xi^{r,+}(t; a) = f_\xi^+(t), \quad u_\xi^{r,+}(0; a) = 0, \quad \xi \in \mathcal{I};$$

$$(3.22) \quad \mathcal{D}_t^\alpha u_\xi^{r,-}(t; a) + \lambda_\xi a(t) u_\xi^{r,-}(t; a) = f_\xi^-(t), \quad u_\xi^{r,-}(0; a) = 0, \quad \xi \in \mathcal{I},$$

respectively. The existence and uniqueness of  $u_\xi^{r,+}(t; a)$  and  $u_\xi^{r,-}(t; a)$ , hold due to Theorem 3.4 and we can write

$$(3.23) \quad u_\xi^r(t; a) = u_\xi^{r,+}(t; a) + u_\xi^{r,-}(t; a),$$

where

$$u^{r,+}(t; a) = \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} u_\xi^{r,+}(t; a) \omega_\xi, \quad u^{r,-}(t; a) = \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} u_\xi^{r,-}(t; a) \omega_\xi.$$

Then we state some properties of  $u_\xi^{r,+}(t; a)$  and  $u_\xi^{r,-}(t; a)$ .

**Lemma 3.9.** *For any  $\xi \in \mathcal{I}$ ,  $u_\xi^{r,+}(t; a) \geq 0$  and  $u_\xi^{r,-}(t; a) \leq 0$  on  $[0, T]$ .*

*Proof.* This proof follows directly from Lemma 3.6.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.10.** *Given  $a_1, a_2 \in C^+[0, T]$  with  $a_1 \leq a_2$  on  $[0, T]$ , we have*

$$0 \leq u_\xi^{r,+}(t; a_2) \leq u_\xi^{r,+}(t; a_1), \quad u_\xi^{r,-}(t; a_1) \leq u_\xi^{r,-}(t; a_2) \leq 0, \quad t \in [0, T], \quad \xi \in \mathcal{I}.$$

*Proof.* For  $\xi \in \mathcal{I}$ ,  $u_\xi^{r,+}(t; a_1)$  and  $u_\xi^{r,+}(t; a_2)$  satisfy the following system:

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{D}_t^\alpha u_\xi^{r,+}(t; a_1) + \lambda_\xi a_1(t) u_\xi^{r,+}(t; a_1) = f_\xi^+(t); \\ \mathcal{D}_t^\alpha u_\xi^{r,+}(t; a_2) + \lambda_\xi a_2(t) u_\xi^{r,+}(t; a_2) = f_\xi^+(t); \\ u_\xi^{r,+}(0; a_1) = u_\xi^{r,+}(0; a_2) = 0, \end{cases}$$

which leads to

$$\mathcal{D}_t^\alpha w + \lambda_\xi a_1(t)w(t) = \lambda_\xi u_\xi^{r,+}(t; a_2)(a_2(t) - a_1(t)) \geq 0, \quad w(0) = 0,$$

where  $w(t) = u_\xi^{r,+}(t; a_1) - u_\xi^{r,+}(t; a_2)$  and the last inequality follows from  $a_1 \leq a_2$ . Hence, Lemma 3.6 shows that  $w(t) \geq 0$ , i.e.  $u_\xi^{r,+}(t; a_2) \leq u_\xi^{r,+}(t; a_1)$  and Lemma 3.9 gives  $0 \leq u_\xi^{r,+}(t; a_2) \leq u_\xi^{r,+}(t; a_1)$ ,  $t \in [0, T]$ . Similarly, we have  $u_\xi^{r,-}(t; a_1) \leq u_\xi^{r,-}(t; a_2) \leq 0$ ,  $t \in [0, T]$ , completing the proof.  $\square$

Lemma 3.10 together with (3.4) yield that

$$(3.24) \quad |u_\xi^{r,+}(t; a)| \leq |u_\xi^{r,+}(t; q_a)|, \quad |u_\xi^{r,-}(t; a)| \leq |u_\xi^{r,-}(t; q_a)| \quad \text{on } [0, T], \quad \xi \in \mathcal{I},$$

where  $u_\xi^{r,+}(t; q_a)$ ,  $u_\xi^{r,-}(t; q_a)$  are the unique solutions of problems (3.21) and (3.22) respectively with  $a(t) \equiv q_a$  on  $[0, T]$ . According to [LG99], the solutions  $u_\xi^{r,+}(t; q_a)$  and  $u_\xi^{r,-}(t; q_a)$  can be presented by the following formulas

$$u_\xi^{r,+}(t; q_a) = \int_0^t f_\xi^+(s)(t-s)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-\lambda_\xi q_a(t-s)^\alpha) ds$$

and

$$u_\xi^{r,-}(t; q_a) = \int_0^t f_\xi^-(s)(t-s)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-\lambda_\xi q_a(t-s)^\alpha) ds$$

for each  $\xi \in \mathcal{I}$ .

**Corollary 3.11.** *Let Assumption 3.1 (a) hold true. Then we have*

$$|u_\xi^r(t; a)| \leq \int_0^t |f_\xi(s)|(t-s)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-\lambda_\xi q_a(t-s)^\alpha) ds \quad \text{on } [0, T], \quad \xi \in \mathcal{I}.$$

*Proof.* Using (3.23) and taking into account (3.24), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |u_\xi^r(t; a)| &\leq |u_\xi^{r,+}(t; a)| + |u_\xi^{r,-}(t; a)| \leq |u_\xi^{r,+}(t; q_a)| + |u_\xi^{r,-}(t; q_a)| \\ &\leq \int_0^t (|f_\xi^+(s)| + |f_\xi^-(s)|)(t-s)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-\lambda_\xi q_a(t-s)^\alpha) ds \\ &= \int_0^t (f_\xi^+(s) - f_\xi^-(s))(t-s)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-\lambda_\xi q_a(t-s)^\alpha) ds \\ &= \int_0^t |f_\xi(s)|(t-s)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-\lambda_\xi q_a(t-s)^\alpha) ds, \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality is obtained by using (3.20).  $\square$

**Corollary 3.12.** *For  $0 < \alpha < 1$ , the Mittag-Leffler type function  $E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-\lambda_\xi q_a t^\alpha)$  satisfies*

$$0 \leq E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-\lambda_\xi q_a t^\alpha) \leq E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-\inf_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} \lambda_\xi q_a t^\alpha) \leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)}, \quad t > 0.$$

*Proof.* This proof follows directly from Lemma 2.3.  $\square$

If we impose a higher regularity on  $f$ , we can obtain the regularity estimate of  $\|u^r\|_{C([0,T];\mathcal{H}^1)}$ .

**Lemma 3.13.** *Let Assumption 3.1 (a) hold.*

i) *If  $f \in C^\alpha([0, T]; \mathcal{H})$ , then we have*

$$\|u^r\|_{C([0,T];\mathcal{H}^1)} + \|\mathcal{D}_t^\alpha u^r\|_{C([0,T];\mathcal{H})} \leq C(T^\alpha + 1)\|f\|_{C^\alpha([0,T];\mathcal{H})}.$$

ii) *If  $f \in C([0, T]; \mathcal{H}^{\frac{1}{2}})$ , then we have*

$$\|u^r\|_{C([0,T];\mathcal{H}^1)} + \|\mathcal{D}_t^\alpha u^r\|_{C([0,T];\mathcal{H})} \leq C(T^\alpha + T^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} + 1)\|f\|_{C([0,T];\mathcal{H}^{\frac{1}{2}})}.$$

iii) *If  $f \in C([0, T]; \mathcal{H}^{\frac{1}{2}})$  and  $\inf_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} \lambda_\xi > 0$ , then we have*

$$\|u^r\|_{C([0,T];\mathcal{H}^1)} + \|\mathcal{D}_t^\alpha u^r\|_{C([0,T];\mathcal{H})} \leq C\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\inf_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} \lambda_\xi}} + 1\right)\|f\|_{C([0,T];\mathcal{H}^{\frac{1}{2}})},$$

where  $C > 0$  does not depend on  $T$ .

*Proof.* First, we prove Lemma 3.13 i). For each  $t \in [0, T]$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|u^r(t; a)\|_{\mathcal{H}^1}^2 &= \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} |(1 + \lambda_\xi)u_\xi^r(t; a)|^2 \\ &\leq C \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} |u_\xi^r(t; a)|^2 + C \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} |\lambda_\xi u_\xi^r(t; a)|^2 \\ (3.25) \quad &\leq C \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} \left| \int_0^t |f_\xi(\tau)| (t - \tau)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha, \alpha}(-\lambda_\xi q_a(t - \tau)^\alpha) d\tau \right|^2 \\ &\quad + C \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} \left| \lambda_\xi \int_0^t |f_\xi(\tau)| (t - \tau)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha, \alpha}(-\lambda_\xi q_a(t - \tau)^\alpha) d\tau \right|^2, \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality is obtained by using Corollary 3.11. Below we estimate each term of (3.25) separately. Corollary 3.12 gives us

$$\begin{aligned} (3.26) \quad &\sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} \left| \int_0^t |f_\xi(\tau)| (t - \tau)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha, \alpha}(-\lambda_\xi q_a(t - \tau)^\alpha) d\tau \right|^2 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{(\Gamma(\alpha))^2} \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} \left| \int_0^t |f_\xi(\tau)| (t - \tau)^{\alpha-1} d\tau \right|^2. \end{aligned}$$



Using the Hölder inequality for  $\left| \int_0^t |f_\xi(\tau)|(t-\tau)^{\alpha-1} d\tau \right|^2$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} \left| \int_0^t |f_\xi(\tau)|(t-\tau)^{\alpha-1} d\tau \right|^2 \\
& \leq \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} \left( \int_0^t |f_\xi(\tau)|^2 (t-\tau)^{\alpha-1} d\tau \right) \left( \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{\alpha-1} d\tau \right) \\
& = \left( \int_0^t \left\{ \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} |f_\xi(\tau)|^2 \right\} (t-\tau)^{\alpha-1} d\tau \right) \left( \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{\alpha-1} d\tau \right) \\
& \leq \|f\|_{C([0,T];\mathcal{H})}^2 \left( \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{\alpha-1} d\tau \right)^2 \leq CT^{2\alpha} \|f\|_{C([0,T];\mathcal{H})}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

This together with (3.26) gives

$$(3.27) \quad \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} \left| \int_0^t |f_\xi(\tau)|(t-\tau)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-\lambda_\xi q_a(t-\tau)^\alpha) d\tau \right|^2 \leq CT^{2\alpha} \|f\|_{C([0,T];\mathcal{H})}^2.$$

And for the second term of (3.25), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} \left| \lambda_\xi \int_0^t |f_\xi(\tau)|(t-\tau)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-\lambda_\xi q_a(t-\tau)^\alpha) d\tau \right|^2 \\
& \leq C \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} \left| \lambda_\xi \int_0^t |f_\xi(\tau) - f_\xi(t)|(t-\tau)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-\lambda_\xi q_a(t-\tau)^\alpha) d\tau \right|^2 \\
& + C \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} \left| |f_\xi(t)| \int_0^t \lambda_\xi (t-\tau)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-\lambda_\xi q_a(t-\tau)^\alpha) d\tau \right|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.4 together with (2.1) gives

$$(3.28) \quad 0 \leq \int_0^t \lambda_\xi (t-\tau)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-\lambda_\xi q_a(t-\tau)^\alpha) d\tau = \frac{1}{q_a} (1 - E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_\xi q_a t^\alpha)) < \frac{1}{q_a}.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.29) \quad & \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} \left| \lambda_\xi \int_0^t |f_\xi(\tau)| (t-\tau)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-\lambda_\xi q_a(t-\tau)^\alpha) d\tau \right|^2 \\
 & \leq \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} \left| \lambda_\xi \int_0^t |f_\xi(\tau) - f_\xi(t)| (t-\tau)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-\lambda_\xi q_a(t-\tau)^\alpha) d\tau \right|^2 \\
 & \quad + \frac{1}{q_a^2} \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} |f_\xi(t)|^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Now, we estimate the first term of (3.29). By Lemma 2.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} \left| \lambda_\xi \int_0^t |f_\xi(\tau) - f_\xi(t)| (t-\tau)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-\lambda_\xi q_a(t-\tau)^\alpha) d\tau \right|^2 \\
 & \leq \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} \int_0^t (f_\xi(\tau) - f_\xi(t))^2 (t-\tau)^{-\alpha-1} d\tau \\
 & \quad \times \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{3\alpha-1} \left( \frac{C' \lambda_\xi}{1 + \lambda_\xi q_a(t-\tau)^\alpha} \right)^2 d\tau \\
 & = \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} \int_0^t \frac{(f_\xi(\tau) - f_\xi(t))^2}{(t-\tau)^{2\alpha}} (t-\tau)^{\alpha-1} d\tau \\
 & \quad \times \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{\alpha-1} \frac{C'^2}{q_a^2} \left( \frac{\lambda_\xi q_a(t-\tau)^\alpha}{1 + \lambda_\xi q_a(t-\tau)^\alpha} \right)^2 d\tau \\
 & \leq \frac{C'^2}{q_a^2} \left( \int_0^t \frac{\sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} (f_\xi(\tau) - f_\xi(t))^2}{(t-\tau)^{2\alpha}} (t-\tau)^{\alpha-1} d\tau \right) \left( \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{\alpha-1} d\tau \right) \\
 & \leq \frac{C'^2}{q_a^2} \left( \int_0^t \frac{\|f(\tau) - f(t)\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2}{(t-\tau)^{2\alpha}} (t-\tau)^{\alpha-1} d\tau \right) \left( \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{\alpha-1} d\tau \right) \\
 & \leq \frac{C'^2}{q_a^2} \|f\|_{C^\alpha([0,T];\mathcal{H})}^2 \left( \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{\alpha-1} d\tau \right)^2 \\
 & \leq \frac{C'^2 T^{2\alpha}}{\alpha^2 q_a^2} \|f\|_{C^\alpha([0,T];\mathcal{H})}^2 \leq CT^{2\alpha} \|f\|_{C^\alpha([0,T];\mathcal{H})}^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

This with (3.29) gives

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.30) \quad & \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} \left| \lambda_\xi \int_0^t |f_\xi(\tau)| (t-\tau)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-\lambda_\xi q_a(t-\tau)^\alpha) d\tau \right|^2 \\
 & \leq CT^{2\alpha} \|f\|_{C^\alpha([0,T];\mathcal{H})}^2 + C \|f\|_{C([0,T];\mathcal{H})}^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Substituting (3.27), (3.30) into (3.25), we have

$$(3.31) \quad \|u^r(t; a)\|_{\mathcal{H}^1}^2 \leq CT^{2\alpha} \|f\|_{C^\alpha([0,T]; \mathcal{H})}^2 + C \|f\|_{C([0,T]; \mathcal{H})}^2, \quad t \in [0, T],$$

which gives

$$\|u^r\|_{C([0,T]; \mathcal{H}^1)} \leq C(T^\alpha + 1) \|f\|_{C^\alpha([0,T]; \mathcal{H})}.$$

For  $\mathcal{D}_t^\alpha u^r$ , by (3.17), we have  $\mathcal{D}_t^\alpha u^r = \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} [-\lambda_\xi a(t) u_\xi^r(t; a) + f_\xi(t)] \omega_\xi(x)$ . Then for each  $t \in [0, T]$ ,

$$(3.32) \quad \begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{D}_t^\alpha u^r\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 &\leq C \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} Q_a^2 |\lambda_\xi u_\xi^r(t; a)|^2 + C \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} |f_\xi(t)|^2 \\ &\leq C \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} |\lambda_\xi u_\xi^r(t; a)|^2 + C \|f(t, \cdot)\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Using estimate (3.31), we have

$$\|\mathcal{D}_t^\alpha u^r\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \leq CT^{2\alpha} \|f\|_{C^\alpha([0,T]; \mathcal{H})}^2 + C \|f\|_{C([0,T]; \mathcal{H})}^2, \quad t \in [0, T],$$

which gives

$$\|\mathcal{D}_t^\alpha u^r\|_{C([0,T]; \mathcal{H})} \leq C(T^\alpha + 1) \|f\|_{C^\alpha([0,T]; \mathcal{H})}.$$

The estimates of  $u^r$  and  $\mathcal{D}_t^\alpha u^r$  lead to the desired result and complete the proof of Lemma 3.13 i).

Now we on the way to proving the ii) part of Lemma 3.13. Since we assumed that  $f \in C([0, T]; \mathcal{H}^{\frac{1}{2}})$ , we estimate the second term of (3.25) differently from i) part of Lemma 3.13. Using the Hölder inequality for the second term of (3.25), one can get

$$(3.33) \quad \begin{aligned} &\sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} \left| \lambda_\xi \int_0^t |f_\xi(\tau)| (t - \tau)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha, \alpha}(-\lambda_\xi q_a(t - \tau)^\alpha) d\tau \right|^2 \\ &\leq \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} \left( \int_0^t |\lambda_\xi^{\frac{1}{2}} f_\xi(\tau)|^2 (t - \tau)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha, \alpha}(-\lambda_\xi q_a(t - \tau)^\alpha) d\tau \right) \\ &\quad \times \left( \int_0^t \lambda_\xi (t - \tau)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha, \alpha}(-\lambda_\xi q_a(t - \tau)^\alpha) d\tau \right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{q_a} \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} \int_0^t |\lambda_\xi^{\frac{1}{2}} f_\xi(\tau)|^2 (t - \tau)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha, \alpha}(-\lambda_\xi q_a(t - \tau)^\alpha) d\tau, \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality is obtained by using (3.28). In view of Corollary 3.12, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} \int_0^t |\lambda_{\xi}^{\frac{1}{2}} f_{\xi}(\tau)|^2 (t - \tau)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha, \alpha}(-\lambda_{\xi} q_a (t - \tau)^{\alpha}) d\tau \\
& \leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} \int_0^t |\lambda_{\xi}^{\frac{1}{2}} f_{\xi}(\tau)|^2 (t - \tau)^{\alpha-1} d\tau \\
& = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t \left\{ \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} |\lambda_{\xi}^{\frac{1}{2}} f_{\xi}(\tau)|^2 \right\} (t - \tau)^{\alpha-1} d\tau \\
& \leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \|f\|_{C([0, T]; \mathcal{H}^{\frac{1}{2}})}^2 \int_0^t (t - \tau)^{\alpha-1} d\tau \leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} T^{\alpha} \|f\|_{C([0, T]; \mathcal{H}^{\frac{1}{2}})}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

This together with (3.33) give us

$$(3.34) \quad \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} \left| \lambda_{\xi} \int_0^t |f_{\xi}(\tau)| (t - \tau)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha, \alpha}(-\lambda_{\xi} q_a (t - \tau)^{\alpha}) d\tau \right|^2 \leq CT^{\alpha} \|f\|_{C([0, T]; \mathcal{H}^{\frac{1}{2}})}^2.$$

Substituting (3.27) and (3.34) into (3.25), we have

$$(3.35) \quad \|u^r(t; a)\|_{\mathcal{H}^1}^2 \leq CT^{2\alpha} \|f\|_{C([0, T]; \mathcal{H})}^2 + CT^{\alpha} \|f\|_{C([0, T]; \mathcal{H}^{\frac{1}{2}})}^2, \quad t \in [0, T],$$

which gives

$$(3.36) \quad \|u^r\|_{C([0, T]; \mathcal{H}^1)} \leq C(T^{\alpha} + T^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}) \|f\|_{C([0, T]; \mathcal{H}^{\frac{1}{2}})}.$$

In view of (3.32) and using (3.35) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\mathcal{D}_t^{\alpha} u^r\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 & \leq CT^{2\alpha} \|f\|_{C([0, T]; \mathcal{H})}^2 + CT^{\alpha} \|f\|_{C([0, T]; \mathcal{H}^{\frac{1}{2}})}^2 + C\|f(t, \cdot)\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \\
& \leq CT^{2\alpha} \|f\|_{C([0, T]; \mathcal{H}^{\frac{1}{2}})}^2 + CT^{\alpha} \|f\|_{C([0, T]; \mathcal{H}^{\frac{1}{2}})}^2 + C\|f(t, \cdot)\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}}^2,
\end{aligned}$$

which gives

$$(3.37) \quad \|\mathcal{D}_t^{\alpha} u^r\|_{C([0, T]; \mathcal{H})} \leq C(T^{\alpha} + T^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} + 1) \|f\|_{C([0, T]; \mathcal{H}^{\frac{1}{2}})}.$$

Combining the estimates (3.36) and (3.37) yields the claimed result and complete the proof of Lemma 3.13 *ii*).

To complete the proof of Lemma 3.13 now we are going to prove the *iii*) part. For each  $t \in [0, T]$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|u^r(t; a)\|_{\mathcal{H}^1}^2 &= \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} |(1 + \lambda_\xi)u^r(t; a)|^2 = \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} \left| \left(1 + \frac{1}{\lambda_\xi}\right) \lambda_\xi u^r(t; a) \right|^2 \\
 &\leq \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} \left| \left(1 + \frac{1}{\inf_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} \lambda_\xi}\right) \lambda_\xi u^r(t; a) \right|^2 \leq \left(1 + \frac{1}{\inf_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} \lambda_\xi}\right)^2 \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} |\lambda_\xi u^r(t; a)|^2 \\
 (3.38) \quad &\leq \left(1 + \frac{1}{\inf_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} \lambda_\xi}\right)^2 \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} \left| \lambda_\xi \int_0^t |f_\xi(\tau)| (t - \tau)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha, \alpha}(-\lambda_\xi q_a(t - \tau)^\alpha) d\tau \right|^2 \\
 &\leq C \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} \left| \lambda_\xi \int_0^t |f_\xi(\tau)| (t - \tau)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha, \alpha}(-\lambda_\xi q_a(t - \tau)^\alpha) d\tau \right|^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Using the Hölder inequality for  $\left| \lambda_\xi \int_0^t |f_\xi(\tau)| (t - \tau)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha, \alpha}(-\lambda_\xi q_a(t - \tau)^\alpha) d\tau \right|^2$  and taking into account Corollary 3.12 and (3.28), one can get

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} \left| \int_0^t |f_\xi(\tau)| \lambda_\xi (t - \tau)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha, \alpha}(-\lambda_\xi q_a(t - \tau)^\alpha) d\tau \right|^2 \\
 &\leq \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} \left( \int_0^t |f_\xi(\tau)|^2 \lambda_\xi (t - \tau)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha, \alpha}(-\lambda_\xi q_a(t - \tau)^\alpha) d\tau \right) \\
 &\quad \times \left( \int_0^t \lambda_\xi (t - \tau)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha, \alpha}(-\lambda_\xi q_a(t - \tau)^\alpha) d\tau \right) \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{q_a} \int_0^t \left\{ \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} |\lambda_\xi^{\frac{1}{2}} f_\xi(\tau)|^2 \right\} (t - \tau)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha, \alpha}(-\inf_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} \lambda_\xi q_a(t - \tau)^\alpha) d\tau \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{q_a} \|f\|_{C([0, T]; \mathcal{H}^{\frac{1}{2}})}^2 \int_0^t (t - \tau)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha, \alpha}(-\inf_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} \lambda_\xi q_a(t - \tau)^\alpha) d\tau.
 \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.4 together with (2.1) gives

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.39) \quad &\int_0^t (t - \tau)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha, \alpha}(-\inf_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} \lambda_\xi q_a(t - \tau)^\alpha) d\tau \\
 &= \frac{1}{q_a \inf_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} \lambda_\xi} (1 - E_{\alpha, 1}(-\inf_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} \lambda_\xi q_a t^\alpha)) < \frac{1}{q_a \inf_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} \lambda_\xi}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} \left| \int_0^t |f_\xi(\tau)| \lambda_\xi (t - \tau)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha, \alpha}(-\lambda_\xi q_a(t - \tau)^\alpha) d\tau \right|^2 \leq \frac{1}{q_a^2 \inf_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} \lambda_\xi} \|f\|_{C([0, T]; \mathcal{H}^{\frac{1}{2}})}^2.$$

This together with (3.38) give us

$$(3.40) \quad \|u^r(t; a)\|_{\mathcal{H}^1}^2 \leq C \frac{1}{\inf_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} \lambda_\xi} \|f\|_{C([0, T]; \mathcal{H}^{\frac{1}{2}})}^2,$$

which implies that

$$(3.41) \quad \|u^r\|_{C([0, T]; \mathcal{H}^1)} \leq C \frac{1}{\sqrt{\inf_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} \lambda_\xi}} \|f\|_{C([0, T]; \mathcal{H}^{\frac{1}{2}})}.$$

In view of (3.32) and using (3.40), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{D}_t^\alpha u^r\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 &\leq C \frac{1}{\inf_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} \lambda_\xi} \|f\|_{C([0, T]; \mathcal{H}^{\frac{1}{2}})}^2 + C \|f(t, \cdot)\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \\ &\leq C \frac{1}{\inf_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} \lambda_\xi} \|f\|_{C([0, T]; \mathcal{H}^{\frac{1}{2}})}^2 + C \|f(t, \cdot)\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}}^2, \end{aligned}$$

which gives

$$\|\mathcal{D}_t^\alpha u^r\|_{C([0, T]; \mathcal{H})} \leq C \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{\inf_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} \lambda_\xi}} + 1 \right) \|f\|_{C([0, T]; \mathcal{H}^{\frac{1}{2}})}.$$

The estimates of  $u^r$  and  $\mathcal{D}_t^\alpha u^r$  yield the desired result and complete this proof of Lemma 3.13 iii).  $\square$

**3.3.2. Regularity of  $u^i$ .** In this part we consider the regularity of  $u^i$ . Just as in the regularity results for  $u^r$ , we first state two lemmas which concern the positivity and monotonicity of  $u^i$ , respectively.

**Lemma 3.14.** *With the representation (3.16) and the problem (3.18), for each  $\xi \in \mathcal{I}$ ,  $h_\xi \leq (\geq) 0$  implies that  $u_\xi^i(t; a) \leq (\geq) 0$  in  $[0, T]$ .*

*Proof.* This is a direct consequence of Lemma 3.6.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.15.** *Given  $a_1, a_2 \in C^+[0, T]$  with  $a_1 \leq a_2$  on  $[0, T]$ , for each  $\xi \in \mathcal{I}$ , we have*

$$(3.42) \quad \begin{cases} 0 \leq u_\xi^i(t; a_2) \leq u_\xi^i(t; a_1), & \text{if } h_\xi \geq 0; \\ u_\xi^i(t; a_1) \leq u_\xi^i(t; a_2) \leq 0, & \text{if } h_\xi \leq 0. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* Fix  $\xi \in \mathcal{I}$ , from the problem (3.18), the functions  $u_\xi^i(t; a_1)$  and  $u_\xi^i(t; a_2)$  satisfy the following system

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{D}_t^\alpha u_\xi^i(t; a_1) + \lambda_\xi a_1(t) u_\xi^i(t; a_1) = 0; \\ \mathcal{D}_t^\alpha u_\xi^i(t; a_2) + \lambda_\xi a_2(t) u_\xi^i(t; a_2) = 0; \\ u_\xi^i(0; a_1) = u_\xi^i(0; a_2) = h_\xi. \end{cases}$$

This gives

$$(3.43) \quad \mathcal{D}_t^\alpha w + \lambda_\xi a_1(t) w(t) = \lambda_\xi u_\xi^i(t; a_2) (a_2(t) - a_1(t)), \quad w(0) = 0,$$

where  $w(t) = u_\xi^i(t; a_1) - u_\xi^i(t; a_2)$ .

If  $h_\xi \geq 0$ , Lemma 3.6 shows that  $u_\xi^i(t; a_1), u_\xi^i(t; a_2) \geq 0$ . Also, Lemma 3.14 and  $a_1 \leq a_2$  ensures the right side of (3.43) is non-negative, which together with Lemma 3.6 implies  $w \geq 0$ , i.e.  $0 \leq u_\xi^i(t; a_2) \leq u_\xi^i(t; a_1)$ . Then similar argument yields  $u_\xi^i(t; a_1) \leq u_\xi^i(t; a_2) \leq 0$  for the case  $h_\xi \leq 0$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 3.16.** *Let Assumption 3.1 (a) hold true. Then we have*

$$|u_\xi^i(t; a)| \leq |h_\xi| E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_\xi q_a t^\alpha), \text{ on } [0, T], \xi \in \mathcal{I}.$$

*Proof.* If we take  $a_1(t) = q_a$  and  $a_2 = a$  on  $[0, T]$ , then by (3.42) we obtain that

$$\begin{cases} 0 \leq u_\xi^i(t; a) \leq h_\xi E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_\xi q_a t^\alpha), & \text{if } h_\xi \geq 0; \\ h_\xi E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_\xi q_a t^\alpha) \leq u_\xi^i(t; a) \leq 0, & \text{if } h_\xi \leq 0. \end{cases}$$

Therefore, we have  $E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_\xi q_a t^\alpha) > 0, \forall t \geq 0$ . Hence, we deduce that

$$|u_\xi^i(t; a)| \leq |h_\xi| E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_\xi q_a t^\alpha), \forall t \in [0, T], \xi \in \mathcal{I},$$

completing the proof.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.17.** *For  $0 < \alpha < 1$ , the Mittag-Leffler type function  $E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_\xi q_a t^\alpha)$  satisfies*

$$0 < E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_\xi q_a t^\alpha) \leq 1, t \geq 0.$$

*In particular, if  $t = 0$ , then we have*

$$E_{\alpha,1}(0) = 1.$$

*Proof.* This proof follows directly from Lemma 2.3 and (2.1).  $\square$

**Lemma 3.18.** *Let Assumption 3.1 (a) and (c) be satisfied. Then*

$$\|u^i\|_{C([0,T];\mathcal{H}^1)} + \|\mathcal{D}_t^\alpha u^i\|_{C([0,T];\mathcal{H})} \leq C \|h\|_{\mathcal{H}^1},$$

where  $C > 0$  does not depend on  $T$ .

*Proof.* Corollaries 3.16 and 3.17 yield that

$$\begin{aligned} \|u^i(t; a)\|_{\mathcal{H}^1}^2 &= \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} |(1 + \lambda_\xi) u_\xi^i(t; a)|^2 \\ &\leq \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} |(1 + \lambda_\xi) h_\xi E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_\xi q_a t^\alpha)|^2 \\ &\leq \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} |(1 + \lambda_\xi) h_\xi|^2 = \|h\|_{\mathcal{H}^1}^2, \end{aligned}$$

which gives

$$(3.44) \quad \|u^i\|_{C([0,T];\mathcal{H}^1)} \leq \|h\|_{\mathcal{H}^1}.$$

In view of (3.15), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|\mathcal{D}_t^\alpha u^i(t; a)\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 &= \|-a(t)\mathcal{L}u^i(t; a)\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \leq Q_a^2 \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} |\lambda_\xi u_\xi^i(t; a)|^2 \\ &\leq Q_a^2 \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} |(1 + \lambda_\xi)u_\xi^i(t; a)|^2 = Q_a^2 \|u^i(t; a)\|_{\mathcal{H}^1}^2.\end{aligned}$$

This implies

$$\|\mathcal{D}_t^\alpha u^i\|_{C([0, T]; \mathcal{H}^1)} \leq C \|h\|_{\mathcal{H}^1}.$$

Hence, it holds that

$$\|u^i\|_{C([0, T]; \mathcal{H}^1)} + \|\mathcal{D}_t^\alpha u^i\|_{C([0, T]; \mathcal{H}^1)} \leq C \|h\|_{\mathcal{H}^1},$$

which leads to the claimed result.  $\square$

**3.4. Main theorem for the direct problem.** The main theorem for the direct problem follows from Theorem 3.4, Lemmas 3.13 and 3.18, and the relation  $u(t; a) = u^r(t; a) + u^i(t; a)$ .

**Theorem 3.19.** *Let Assumption 3.1 be valid. Then, under Definition 3.2, there exists a unique generalized solution  $u(t; a)$  of Problem 1.1 with spectral representation (3.1).*

Moreover, if we impose higher regularity on  $f$ , we have the following regularity estimates:

i) If  $f \in C^\alpha([0, T]; \mathcal{H})$ , then we have

$$\|u\|_{C([0, T]; \mathcal{H}^1)} + \|\mathcal{D}_t^\alpha u\|_{C([0, T]; \mathcal{H})} \leq C(\|h\|_{\mathcal{H}^1} + (T^\alpha + 1)\|f\|_{C^\alpha([0, T]; \mathcal{H})}).$$

ii) If  $f \in C([0, T]; \mathcal{H}^{\frac{1}{2}})$ , then we have

$$\|u\|_{C([0, T]; \mathcal{H}^1)} + \|\mathcal{D}_t^\alpha u\|_{C([0, T]; \mathcal{H})} \leq C(\|h\|_{\mathcal{H}^1} + (T^\alpha + T^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} + 1)\|f\|_{C([0, T]; \mathcal{H}^{\frac{1}{2}})}).$$

iii) If  $f \in C([0, T]; \mathcal{H}^{\frac{1}{2}})$  and  $\inf_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} \lambda_\xi > 0$ , then we have

$$\|u\|_{C([0, T]; \mathcal{H}^1)} + \|\mathcal{D}_t^\alpha u\|_{C([0, T]; \mathcal{H})} \leq C(\|h\|_{\mathcal{H}^1} + (\frac{1}{\sqrt{\inf_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} \lambda_\xi}} + 1)\|f\|_{C([0, T]; \mathcal{H}^{\frac{1}{2}})}),$$

where  $C > 0$  does not depend on  $T$ .

#### 4. INVERSE PROBLEM OF DIFFUSION COEFFICIENT IDENTIFICATION

In this section, we consider Problem 1.2 for the operator  $\mathcal{L}$  with a positive discrete spectrum such that  $\inf_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} \lambda_\xi > 0$  and prove the existence and uniqueness of the solution to this inverse problem. Moreover, we show a continuous dependence result.

The existence and uniqueness of  $a \in C^+[0; T]$  with Theorem 3.4 give us the existence and uniqueness of the solution  $u(t)$ . These results tell us the existence and uniqueness of the pair of functions  $(a, u)$ , which is the solution of Problem 1.2. In view of this conclusion, it suffices to show the existence and uniqueness



of  $a(t)$  that belongs to  $C^+[0; T]$ . To this end in this section, we actually deal with recovering the coefficient  $a(t)$  through the additional data

$$F[u(t)] = E(t), \quad t \in [0, T].$$

The recovering process of the coefficient  $a(t)$  follows the following steps:

**Step 1**, (subsection 4.1) we introduce the operator  $K$  and reduce Problem 1.2 to an operator equation for  $a(t)$ , i.e

$$K[a(t)] = a(t), \quad t \in [0, T].$$

Then we determine the domain  $D$  of  $K$  and formulate as lemmas the properties of the operator  $K$ ;

**Step 2**, (subsection 4.2) we prove the existence of the fixed point  $a^*(t)$  of  $K$  in  $D$ , by using Schauder's fixed point Theorem 8.3;

**Step 3**, (subsection 4.3) we show the monotonicity of the operator  $K$ ;

**Step 4**, (subsection 4.4) we prove the uniqueness of the fixed point  $a^*(t)$  of  $K$  in  $D$ , using the monotonicity of the operator  $K$ .

The given  $h$ ,  $f$  and  $E$  should satisfy the following restrictions:

**Assumption 4.1.** Let  $\gamma$  be a constant satisfying (1.4).

- (a)  $h \in \mathcal{H}^{2+\gamma}$  with  $h_\xi := (h, \omega_\xi) \geq 0$  for each  $\xi \in \mathcal{I}$  whenever  $F[\omega_\xi] \neq 0$ ;
- (b)  $f \in C([0, T]; \mathcal{H}^{\frac{3}{2}+\gamma})$  with  $f_\xi(t) := (f(t, \cdot), \omega_\xi) \geq 0$  on  $[0, T]$  for each  $\xi \in \mathcal{I}$  whenever  $F[\omega_\xi] \neq 0$ ;
- (c)  $\exists \eta \in \mathcal{I}$  such that  $h_\eta > 0$  and  $f_\eta(t) > 0$  on  $[0, T]$  and  $F[\omega_\eta] \neq 0$ ;
- (d)  $E \in \Psi = \{E \in X^\alpha[0, T] \mid E(t) \geq c > 0, \mathcal{D}_t^\alpha E(t) < F[f(t)]\}$ .

For clarity, we present the following remarks which will explain Assumption 4.1 (a), (b) and (c) by examples.

**Remark 4.2.** Let  $\mathcal{H} = L^2(0, 1)$ , and let  $\mathcal{L}u = -u_{xx}$ ,  $x \in (0, 1)$ , with homogeneous Dirichlet boundary condition. Then the operator has the eigensystem  $\{(\pi k)^2, \sqrt{2} \sin k\pi x\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ . Let  $F[u(t, \cdot)] := \int_0^1 u(t, x) dx$ . Since

$$F[\omega_k] = \int_0^1 \sqrt{2} \sin k\pi x dx = \frac{1 + (-1)^{k+1}}{k\pi} = \begin{cases} \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{k\pi}, & \text{if, } k = 2n - 1 \ (n \in \mathbb{N}); \\ 0, & \text{if, } k = 2n \ (n \in \mathbb{N}), \end{cases}$$

we have

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} |F[\omega_k]|^2 < \infty.$$

From this we see that  $\gamma$  in (1.4) can be taken to be  $\gamma = 0$ . From (4.1) we see that in Assumption 4.1 (a) and (b) it is enough to assume that for odd  $k = 2n - 1$ , ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ),

$$h_{2n-1} \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad f_{2n-1}(t) \geq 0 \quad \forall t \in [0, T].$$

That is why in Assumption 4.1 (a), (b) and (c) we write  $F[\omega_{2n-1}] \neq 0$ .

**Remark 4.3.** For the inverse problem, the right-hand side  $f(t)$  and the initial condition  $h$  are input data, which, at least in some circumstances, can be assumed to be controlled. Even though Assumption 4.1 (a), (b) and (c) appear restrictive, it is not hard to notice many functions that satisfy them. For example, in (a) if  $h = c\omega_\xi$  for some  $c > 0$ , then Assumption 4.1 (a) will be satisfied. This will also be true if for  $\mathcal{J} \subset \mathcal{I}$ ,  $h = \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{J}} c_\xi \omega_\xi$  with all  $c_\xi > 0$ . Similarly, (b) is satisfied if  $f(t)$  is also a linear combination of  $\{\omega_\xi\}_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}}$  with positive coefficients. This example also satisfies to Assumption 4.1 (c) when  $\eta \in \mathcal{J}$ , where  $\eta$  such that  $F[\omega_\eta] \neq 0$ .

Now we state some properties of  $u_\xi(t; a)$ , which play an important role in the proof of the well-posedness of the inverse problem.

**Corollary 4.4.** *Let Assumption 4.1 (a) and (b) hold true. If  $a \in C^+[0, T]$ , then for any  $\xi \in \mathcal{I}$ ,  $u_\xi(t; a) \geq 0$  on  $[0, T]$ .*

*Proof.* In view of (3.2), Lemma 3.6 and Assumption 4.1 (a), (b), we have  $u_\xi(t; a) \geq 0$  on  $[0, T]$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 4.5.** *Given  $a_1, a_2 \in C^+[0, T]$  with  $a_1(t) \leq a_2(t)$  on  $[0, T]$ , we have*

$$u_\xi(t; a_2) \leq u_\xi(t; a_1), \quad t \in [0, T], \quad \xi \in \mathcal{I}.$$

*Proof.* For  $\xi \in \mathcal{I}$ ,  $u_\xi(t; a_1)$  and  $u_\xi(t; a_2)$  satisfy the following system:

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{D}_t^\alpha u_\xi(t; a_1) + \lambda_\xi a_1(t) u_\xi(t; a_1) = f_\xi(t); \\ \mathcal{D}_t^\alpha u_\xi(t; a_2) + \lambda_\xi a_2(t) u_\xi(t; a_2) = f_\xi(t); \\ u_\xi(t; a_1) = u_\xi(t; a_2) = h_\xi, \end{cases}$$

which leads to

$$(4.2) \quad \mathcal{D}_t^\alpha w + \lambda_\xi a_1(t) w(t) = \lambda_\xi u_\xi(t; a_2) (a_2(t) - a_1(t)) \geq 0, \quad w(0) = 0,$$

where  $w(t) = u_\xi(t; a_1) - u_\xi(t; a_2)$  and the last inequality follows from Corollary 4.4 and  $a_1 \leq a_2$ . Hence, Lemma 3.6 shows that  $w(t) \geq 0$ , i.e.  $u_\xi(t; a_2) \leq u_\xi(t; a_1)$  and Corollary 4.4 gives  $0 \leq u_\xi(t; a_2) \leq u_\xi(t; a_1)$ ,  $t \in [0, T]$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 4.6.** *Let Assumption 4.1 (a) and (b) hold true. If  $a \in C^+[0, T]$ , then*

$$(4.3) \quad \|u\|_{C([0, T]; \mathcal{H}^{2+\gamma})} \leq \left( \frac{1}{\inf_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} \lambda_\xi} + 1 \right)^{2+\gamma} \left( \|f\|_{C([0, T]; \mathcal{H}^{\frac{3}{2}+\gamma})} + \|h\|_{\mathcal{H}^{2+\gamma}} \right).$$

*Proof.* Following the proof of Lemma 3.13 iii) and taking into account Corollaries 4.4 and 4.5, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|u^r(t; a)\|_{\mathcal{H}^{2+\gamma}}^2 &= \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} |(1 + \lambda_\xi)^{2+\gamma} u_\xi^r(t; a)|^2 \\
&\leq \left( \frac{1}{\inf_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} \lambda_\xi} + 1 \right)^{2(2+\gamma)} \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} \left| \lambda_\xi^{2+\gamma} \int_0^t f_\xi(\tau) (t - \tau)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha, \alpha}(-\lambda_\xi q_a (t - \tau)^\alpha) d\tau \right|^2 \\
&\leq \left( \frac{1}{\inf_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} \lambda_\xi} + 1 \right)^{2(2+\gamma)} \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} \left( \int_0^t |\lambda_\xi^{\frac{3}{2}+\gamma} f_\xi(\tau)|^2 (t - \tau)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha, \alpha}(-\lambda_\xi q_a (t - \tau)^\alpha) d\tau \right) \\
&\quad \times \left( \int_0^t \lambda_\xi (t - \tau)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha, \alpha}(-\lambda_\xi q_a (t - \tau)^\alpha) d\tau \right) \\
&\leq \left( \frac{1}{\inf_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} \lambda_\xi} + 1 \right)^{2(2+\gamma)} \frac{1}{q_a} \int_0^t \left\{ \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} |\lambda_\xi^{\frac{3}{2}+\gamma} f_\xi(\tau)|^2 \right\} (t - \tau)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha, \alpha}(-\inf_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} \lambda_\xi q_a (t - \tau)^\alpha) d\tau \\
&\leq \left( \frac{1}{\inf_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} \lambda_\xi} + 1 \right)^{2(2+\gamma)} \frac{1}{q_a} \|f\|_{C([0, T]; \mathcal{H}^{\frac{3}{2}+\gamma})}^2 \int_0^t (t - \tau)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha, \alpha}(-\inf_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} \lambda_\xi q_a (t - \tau)^\alpha) d\tau \\
&\leq \frac{\left( \frac{1}{\inf_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} \lambda_\xi} + 1 \right)^{2(2+\gamma)}}{q_a^2 \inf_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} \lambda_\xi} \|f\|_{C([0, T]; \mathcal{H}^{\frac{3}{2}+\gamma})}^2,
\end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality is obtained by (3.39). This gives

$$(4.4) \quad \|u^r(t; a)\|_{C([0, T]; \mathcal{H}^{2+\gamma})} \leq \frac{\left( \frac{1}{\inf_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} \lambda_\xi} + 1 \right)^{2+\gamma}}{q_a \sqrt{\inf_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} \lambda_\xi}} \|f\|_{C([0, T]; \mathcal{H}^{\frac{3}{2}+\gamma})}.$$

Following the proof of Lemma 3.18, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|u^i(t; a)\|_{\mathcal{H}^{2+\gamma}}^2 &= \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} |(1 + \lambda_\xi)^{2+\gamma} u_\xi^i(t; a)|^2 \\
&\leq \left( \frac{1}{\inf_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} \lambda_\xi} + 1 \right)^{2(2+\gamma)} \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} |\lambda_\xi^{2+\gamma} h_\xi E_{\alpha, 1}(-\lambda_\xi q_a t^\alpha)|^2 \\
&\leq \left( \frac{1}{\inf_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} \lambda_\xi} + 1 \right)^{2(2+\gamma)} \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} |\lambda_\xi^{2+\gamma} h_\xi|^2 \\
&\leq \left( \frac{1}{\inf_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} \lambda_\xi} + 1 \right)^{2(2+\gamma)} \|h\|_{\mathcal{H}^{2+\gamma}}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

This gives us

$$(4.5) \quad \|u^i(t; a)\|_{\mathcal{H}^{2+\gamma}} \leq \left( \frac{1}{\inf_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} \lambda_\xi} + 1 \right)^{2+\gamma} \|h\|_{\mathcal{H}^{2+\gamma}}.$$

Then (4.4) and (4.5) give us

$$\|u\|_{C([0,T]; \mathcal{H}^{2+\gamma})} \leq \left( \frac{1}{\inf_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} \lambda_\xi} + 1 \right)^{2+\gamma} \left( \|h\|_{\mathcal{H}^{2+\gamma}} + \frac{1}{q_a \sqrt{\inf_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} \lambda_\xi}} \|f\|_{C([0,T]; \mathcal{H}^{\frac{3}{2}+\gamma})} \right),$$

proving (4.3).  $\square$

**4.1. Operator  $K$ .** The functional  $F$  is linear and bounded on  $\mathcal{H}^{1+\gamma}$ . We assumed the linearity and boundedness of  $F$ , when we introduce the additional condition (1.3). Acting by the functional  $F$  on (3.1) we get

$$(4.6) \quad F[u(t)] = \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} u_\xi(t; a) F[\omega_\xi].$$

The linearity and boundedness of  $F$  on  $\mathcal{H}^{1+\gamma}$  in view of Theorem 8.4 give us continuity of  $F$  on  $\mathcal{H}^{1+\gamma}$ . Here we use the continuity and linearity of  $F$  to put functional  $F$  under the sum and to get (4.6). Applying the operator  $\mathcal{D}_t^\alpha$  to (4.6), we have the following

$$(4.7) \quad \mathcal{D}_t^\alpha F[u(t)] = \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} \mathcal{D}_t^\alpha u_\xi(t; a) F[\omega_\xi].$$

Assumption 4.1 (d) together with (1.3) allow the acting by  $\mathcal{D}_t^\alpha$  to  $F[u(t)]$  and guarantee its meaningfulness. Acting by the operator  $\mathcal{D}_t^\alpha$  to (3.1) under Theorem 3.19 we have

$$(4.8) \quad \mathcal{D}_t^\alpha u(t; a) = \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} \mathcal{D}_t^\alpha u_\xi(t; a) \omega_\xi.$$

Applying the functional  $F$  to (4.8) and taking into account (4.7) we have

$$(4.9) \quad F[\mathcal{D}_t^\alpha u(t)] = \mathcal{D}_t^\alpha F[u(t)].$$

Acting by the operator  $\mathcal{D}_t^\alpha$  to (1.3) under Assumption 4.1 (d) and taking into account (4.9), we obtain the following

$$(4.10) \quad F[\mathcal{D}_t^\alpha u(t)] = \mathcal{D}_t^\alpha E(t), \quad t \in [0, T].$$

Applying  $F$  to both sides of (1.1), we get

$$a(t) = \frac{F[f(t)] - F[\mathcal{D}_t^\alpha u(t)]}{F[\mathcal{L}u(t)]}.$$

We will now show that the expression on the right hand side is well-defined, and we analyse its properties.

Using the expansion form of  $f(t)$  that is,  $f(t) = \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} f_\xi(t) \omega_\xi$  and taking into account (3.1), (4.10), we have

$$(4.11) \quad a(t) = \frac{\sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} F[\omega_\xi] f_\xi(t) - \mathcal{D}_t^\alpha E(t)}{\sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} \lambda_\xi F[\omega_\xi] u_\xi(t; a)}.$$

Showing the existence and uniqueness of the solution  $a(t)$  of (4.11), we use the Schauder fixed point theorem. For this, we rewrite equation (4.11) in the form  $a(t) = K[a](t)$ , where

$$(4.12) \quad K[a](t) = \frac{\sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} F[\omega_\xi] f_\xi(t) - \mathcal{D}_t^\alpha E(t)}{\sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} \lambda_\xi F[\omega_\xi] u_\xi(t; a)}.$$

Now we are going to determine the domain of the operator  $K$  in (4.12). To this end, we will denote

$$C_0 = \inf_{t \in [0, T]} \left( \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} F[\omega_\xi] f_\xi(t) - \mathcal{D}_t^\alpha E(t) \right),$$

$$C_1 = \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \left( \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} F[\omega_\xi] f_\xi(t) - \mathcal{D}_t^\alpha E(t) \right),$$

$$C_2 = \inf_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} \lambda_\xi \cdot \inf_{t \in [0, T]} E(t),$$

$$C_3 = \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} \lambda_\xi F[\omega_\xi] h_\xi + \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \left( \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} \lambda_\xi F[\omega_\xi] I_t^\alpha [f_\xi(t)] \right).$$

It is easy to verify that  $0 < C_i < \infty$ , ( $i = 0, 1, 2, 3$ ) by Assumption 4.1 and the definition of  $I_t^\alpha$ . Here we only need to show the convergence of the series  $\sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} \lambda_\xi F[\omega_\xi] I_t^\alpha [f_\xi(t)]$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} \lambda_\xi F[\omega_\xi] I_t^\alpha[f_\xi(t)] &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} \lambda_\xi F[\omega_\xi] \int_0^t f_\xi(s) (t-s)^{\alpha-1} ds \\
&= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} \left\{ \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} \lambda_\xi F[\omega_\xi] f_\xi(s) \right\} ds \\
&\leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} \left( \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} \left| \frac{F[\omega_\xi]}{\lambda_\xi^\gamma} \right|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} |\lambda_\xi^{1+\gamma} f_\xi(s)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} ds \\
&\leq C_F \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} \|f(s)\|_{\mathcal{H}^{1+\gamma}} ds \\
&\leq C_F \|f\|_{C([0,T]; \mathcal{H}^{1+\gamma})} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} ds \\
&\leq C_F \|f\|_{C([0,T]; \mathcal{H}^{1+\gamma})} \frac{t^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} \leq \frac{C_F T^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} \|f\|_{C([0,T]; \mathcal{H}^{1+\gamma})},
\end{aligned}$$

where  $C_F = \left( \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} \left| \frac{F[\omega_\xi]}{\lambda_\xi^\gamma} \right|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ , finite by assumption (1.4).

We can easily see that  $C_0 \leq C_1$  and that the numerator of (4.12) belongs to the segment  $[C_0, C_1]$ , that is,

$$(4.13) \quad C_0 \leq \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} F[\omega_\xi] f_\xi(t) - \mathcal{D}_t^\alpha E(t) \leq C_1.$$

Now we show that  $C_2 \leq C_3$  and that the denominator of (4.12) belongs to the segment  $[C_2, C_3]$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &< \inf_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} \lambda_\xi \inf_{t \in [0,T]} E(t) = C_2 = \inf_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} \lambda_\xi \inf_{t \in [0,T]} \left( \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} F[\omega_\xi] u_\xi(t; a) \right) \leq \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} \lambda_\xi F[\omega_\xi] u_\xi(t; a) \\
&\leq \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} \lambda_\xi F[\omega_\xi] (h_\xi + I_t^\alpha[f_\xi(t)]) \leq \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} \lambda_\xi F[\omega_\xi] h_\xi + \sup_{t \in [0,T]} \left( \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} \lambda_\xi F[\omega_\xi] I_t^\alpha[f_\xi(t)] \right) = C_3,
\end{aligned}$$

that is,

$$(4.14) \quad C_2 \leq \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} \lambda_\xi F[\omega_\xi] u_\xi(t; a) \leq C_3.$$

Here we used the following estimate: Taking  $I_t^\alpha$  on both sides of (3.2) and using Lemma 2.6 yield that

$$u_\xi(t; a) + \lambda_\xi I_t^\alpha[a(t)u_\xi(t; a)] = I_t^\alpha f_\xi(t) + h_\xi.$$

We have  $u_\xi(t; a) \geq 0$  on  $[0, T]$ , which together with  $\lambda_\xi > 0$ , the positivity of  $a$  and the definition of  $I_t^\alpha$  yields that  $\lambda_\xi I_t^\alpha[a(t)u_\xi(t; a)] \geq 0$ . Since  $u_\xi(t; a) \geq 0$  and  $\lambda_\xi I_t^\alpha[a(t)u_\xi(t; a)] \geq 0$ , we deduce that  $0 \leq u_\xi(t; a) \leq I_t^\alpha f_\xi(t) + h_\xi$  on  $[0, T]$ . Hence, with (1.5) and Assumption 4.1 (a) and (b) the following inequality holds

$$\sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} \lambda_\xi F[\omega_\xi] u_\xi(t; a) \leq \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} \lambda_\xi F[\omega_\xi] (h_\xi + I_t^\alpha[f_\xi(t)]).$$

Using (4.11) and taking into account (4.13), (4.14), we have

$$0 < \frac{C_0}{C_3} \leq a(t) \leq \frac{C_1}{C_2}.$$

Let us introduce now the domain of the operator  $K$  as

$$D = \left\{ a \in C^+[0, T] \mid \frac{C_0}{C_3} \leq a(t) \leq \frac{C_1}{C_2} \right\}.$$

For the operator  $K$ , we have the following lemmas.

**Lemma 4.7.** *The operator  $K$  well-defined on the domain  $D$ .*

*Proof.* For each  $a \in D$ , Theorem 3.4 ensures that there exists a unique  $u_\xi(t; a)$  for  $\xi \in \mathcal{I}$ , that implies the existence and uniqueness of  $Ka$ .

Then it is sufficient to show that the denominator  $\sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} \lambda_\xi F[\omega_\xi] u_\xi(t; a) > 0$  on  $[0, T]$ . Since,  $\lambda_\xi > 0$ , it is enough to prove that  $\sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} F[\omega_\xi] u_\xi(t; a) > 0$  on  $[0, T]$ . In view of Corollary 4.4 we have  $u_\xi(t; a) \geq 0$  on  $[0, T]$ , which together with (1.5) gives  $\sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} F[\omega_\xi] u_\xi(t; a) \geq F[\omega_\eta] u_\eta(t; a)$ . We take  $\eta$  as in Assumption 4.1 (c). Under the assumption  $F[\omega_\eta] > 0$ , we claim that  $u_\eta(t; a) > 0$ . Assume the opposite, that is,  $\exists t_0 \in [0, T]$  such that  $u_\eta(t_0; a) \leq 0$ . The condition  $u_\eta \geq 0$  shows that  $u_\eta(t_0; a) = 0$  so that  $u_\eta(t; a)$  reaches its minimum at  $t = t_0$ . The condition  $u_\eta(0; a) = h_\eta > 0$  implies that  $t_0 \neq 0$ , that is,  $t_0 \in (0, T]$ . Since  $u_\eta(t_0; a) = 0$  from the equality (3.2), we get that  $\mathcal{D}_t^\alpha u_\eta(t_0; a) = f_\eta(t_0)$ . This together with Lemma 2.5 yield that  $f_\eta(t_0) \leq 0$ , which contradicts Assumption 4.1 (c) and confirms the claim. Hence,

$$(4.15) \quad \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} F[\omega_\xi] u_\xi(t; a) \geq F[\omega_\eta] u_\eta(t; a) > 0,$$

which implies

$$(4.16) \quad \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} \lambda_\xi F[\omega_\xi] u_\xi(t; a) > 0.$$

This completes the proof. □

**Remark 4.8.** Inequality (4.15) with (1.3) and (3.1) imply that

$$E(t) = \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} F[\omega_\xi] u_\xi(t; a) > 0.$$

Since we reconstruct the coefficient  $a(t)$  that belongs to  $C^+[0, T]$ , the equality (4.11) together with (4.16) give us  $\sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} f_\xi(t) F[\omega_\xi] - \mathcal{D}_t^\alpha E(t) > 0$ , that is

$$\mathcal{D}_t^\alpha E(t) < F[f(t)].$$

That is why we needed Assumption 4.1 (d). In view of Assumption 4.1 (b) and (c), we always have  $\sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} f_\xi(t) F[\omega_\xi] > 0$ . Taking into account this we see that any function from the set  $\{E \in X^\alpha[0, T] \mid E(t) \geq c > 0, \mathcal{D}_t^\alpha E(t) \leq 0\}$  satisfy Assumption 4.1 (d). There are many functions that satisfy Assumption 4.1 (d). For example, we can take  $E(t) = \frac{c}{2\pi} \exp(-t)$ ,  $c > 0$ .

**Lemma 4.9.**  *$K$  maps  $D$  to  $D$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $a \in D$ . The continuity of  $K[a]$  in  $t$  follows from the continuity of  $f_\xi$ ,  $E$  and  $u_\xi(t; a)$  for each  $\xi \in \mathcal{I}$ . Since the inequalities (4.14) do not depend on  $a$ , the equality (4.12) together with (4.13), (4.14) give us that

$$(4.17) \quad 0 < \frac{C_0}{C_3} \leq K[a] \leq \frac{C_1}{C_2}, \quad \forall a \in D,$$

completing the proof.  $\square$

**4.2. Existence.** In order to show the existence of solution  $a$  of the equation (4.11), we state the following lemmas.

**Lemma 4.10.** *The set  $K(D) = \{K[a] : a \in D\}$  is uniformly bounded.*

*Proof.* The estimate (4.17) yields that

$$K[a] \leq \frac{C_1}{C_2},$$

for all  $a \in D$  and for all  $K[a] \in K(D)$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.11.** *The set  $K(D) = \{K[a] : a \in D\}$  is equicontinuous.*

*Proof.* In view of (4.12) we have for any  $a \in D$  and  $\forall t_1, t_2 \in [0, T]$ ,

$$(4.18) \quad \left| K[a](t_1) - K[a](t_2) \right| \leq \frac{|M(t_1) - M(t_2)|}{N(t_2)} + \frac{M(t_1)|N(t_1) - N(t_2)|}{N(t_1)N(t_2)},$$

where

$$M(t) = \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} F[\omega_\xi] f_\xi(t) - \mathcal{D}_t^\alpha E(t),$$

$$N(t) = \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} \lambda_\xi F[\omega_\xi] u_\xi(t; a).$$

Since the difference (4.18) is estimated using the differences of the functions  $M(t)$  and  $N(t)$ , we further evaluate these differences. First, we obtain an estimate of the difference of  $N(t)$ . Therefore, we will deal with the function  $M(t)$ .



Without loss of generality, let us take  $t_1 < t_2$ . Then by Theorem 2.7 for  $\xi \in \mathcal{I}$  there exists  $t \in (t_1, t_2)$  such that

$$(4.19) \quad |u_\xi(t_1; a) - u_\xi(t_2; a)| = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} |{}_t\mathcal{D}_t^\alpha u_\xi(t; a)| \cdot |t_1 - t_2|^\alpha.$$

Here  ${}_t\mathcal{D}_t^\alpha u_\xi(t; a)$  is defined in the following way:

Let us pick  $\xi \in \mathcal{I}$ , and then the definition of the Caputo derivative yields the following

$$\begin{aligned} {}_{t_1}\mathcal{D}_t^\alpha u_\xi(t; a) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(1 - \alpha)} \int_{t_1}^t (t - \tau)^{-\alpha} \frac{d}{d\tau} u_\xi(\tau; a) d\tau \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(1 - \alpha)} \int_0^t (t - \tau)^{-\alpha} \frac{d}{d\tau} u_\xi(\tau; a) d\tau - \frac{1}{\Gamma(1 - \alpha)} \int_0^{t_1} (t - \tau)^{-\alpha} \frac{d}{d\tau} u_\xi(\tau; a) d\tau \\ &= \mathcal{D}_t^\alpha u_\xi(t; a) - \mathcal{D}_{t_1}^\alpha u_\xi(t_1; a). \end{aligned}$$

We note that in the case  $t_1 = 0$  the following equality holds:

$${}_t\mathcal{D}_t^\alpha u_\xi(t; a) = \mathcal{D}_t^\alpha u_\xi(t; a).$$

Now in view of (3.2) we express  ${}_t\mathcal{D}_t^\alpha u_\xi(t; a)$  for  $t_1 > 0$  as follows

$$\mathcal{D}_t^\alpha u_\xi(t; a) + \lambda_\xi a(t) u_\xi(t; a) = f_\xi(t), \text{ for } t \in (t_1, t_2);$$

$$\mathcal{D}_{t_1}^\alpha u_\xi(t_1; a) + \lambda_\xi a(t_1) u_\xi(t_1; a) = f_\xi(t_1), \text{ for } t_1 \in (0, T].$$

Subtracting these equations from each other we get

$${}_t\mathcal{D}_t^\alpha u_\xi(t; a) = f_\xi(t) - \lambda_\xi a(t) u_\xi(t; a) - (f_\xi(t_1) - \lambda_\xi a(t_1) u_\xi(t_1; a)).$$

Then in view of Assumption 4.1 (b) and Corollary 4.4, we have for all  $t_1 \geq 0$  and  $t \in (t_1, t_2)$  that

$$|{}_t\mathcal{D}_t^\alpha u_\xi(t; a)| \leq f_\xi(t) + \lambda_\xi Q_a u_\xi(t; a) + f_\xi(t_1) + \lambda_\xi Q_a u_\xi(t_1; a).$$

Here and further we can take  $q_a = \frac{C_0}{C_3}$ ,  $Q_a = \frac{C_1}{C_2}$ . This together with (4.19) gives

$$\begin{aligned} |N(t_1) - N(t_2)| &\leq \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} \lambda_\xi F[\omega_\xi] |u_\xi(t_1; a) - u_\xi(t_2; a)| \\ &= \frac{|t_1 - t_2|^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} \lambda_\xi F[\omega_\xi] \cdot |{}_t\mathcal{D}_t^\alpha u_\xi(t; a)| \\ &\leq \frac{|t_1 - t_2|^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} \left( \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} \lambda_\xi F[\omega_\xi] f_\xi(t) + Q_a \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} \lambda_\xi^2 F[\omega_\xi] u_\xi(t; a) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} \lambda_\xi F[\omega_\xi] f_\xi(t_1) + Q_a \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} \lambda_\xi^2 F[\omega_\xi] u_\xi(t_1; a) \right). \end{aligned}$$

By using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality for each term, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& |N(t_1) - N(t_2)| \\
& \leq \frac{|t_1 - t_2|^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} \left[ C_F \left( \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} |\lambda_\xi^{1+\gamma} f_\xi(t)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + C_F \left( \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} |\lambda_\xi^{1+\gamma} f_\xi(t_1)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right. \\
(4.20) \quad & \left. + Q_a C_F \left( \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} |\lambda_\xi^{2+\gamma} u_\xi(t; a)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + Q_a C_F \left( \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} |\lambda_\xi^{2+\gamma} u_\xi(t_1; a)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right] \\
& \leq \frac{|t_1 - t_2|^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} \left( 2C_F \|f\|_{C([0, T]; \mathcal{H}^{1+\gamma})} + 2Q_a C_F \|u\|_{C([0, T]; \mathcal{H}^{2+\gamma})} \right) \\
& \leq C_4 |t_1 - t_2|^\alpha,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
C_4 = & \frac{2C_F}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} \left[ \|f\|_{C([0, T]; \mathcal{H}^{1+\gamma})} \right. \\
& \left. + Q_a \left( \frac{1}{\inf_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} \lambda_\xi} + 1 \right)^{2+\gamma} \left( \|h\|_{\mathcal{H}^{2+\gamma}} + \frac{1}{q_a \sqrt{\inf_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} \lambda_\xi}} \|f\|_{C([0, T]; \mathcal{H}^{\frac{3}{2}+\gamma})} \right) \right]
\end{aligned}$$

and the last inequality is obtained by using Lemma 4.6.

Fix an arbitrary  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Since  $M(t)$  is continuous in  $[0, T]$ , then  $\exists \delta_1 = \delta_1(\varepsilon)$ ,  $\forall t_1, t_2 \in [0, T]$  ( $|t_1 - t_2| < \delta_1$ ):

$$(4.21) \quad |M(t_1) - M(t_2)| < \frac{C_2 \varepsilon}{2}.$$

Let

$$\delta = \min \left\{ \delta_1(\varepsilon), \left( \frac{C_2^2}{2C_1 C_4} \varepsilon \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \right\}.$$

From (4.20) for  $|t_1 - t_2| < \delta$ , we obtain

$$(4.22) \quad |N(t_1) - N(t_2)| < \frac{C_2^2}{2C_1} \varepsilon.$$

Substituting (4.21) and (4.22) into (4.18), we get

$$|K[a](t_1) - K[a](t_2)| < \varepsilon.$$

Therefore, the set  $K(D)$  is equicontinuous.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.12.** *Suppose that Assumption 4.1 holds. Then there exists a fixed point of  $K$  in  $D$ .*

*Proof.* In view of the Arzela-Ascoli Theorem 8.2 and using Lemmas 4.10 and 4.11 we see that  $K(D)$  is relatively compact in  $C[0, T]$ . Moreover,  $K : D \rightarrow D$  and  $D$  is a closed convex subset of  $C[0, T]$ . According to the Shauder fixed point Theorem 8.3, the equation

$$K[a] = a, \quad a \in D,$$

has a solution  $a = a^* \in D$ .  $\square$

**4.3. Monotonicity of the operator  $K$ .** In this part, we show the monotonicity of the operator  $K$ .

**Theorem 4.13.** *Given  $a_1, a_2 \in D$  with  $a_1 \leq a_2$ , we have that  $K[a_1] \leq K[a_2]$  on  $[0, T]$ .*

*Proof.* The direct calculation of  $K[a_1](t) - K[a_2](t)$  by using (4.12) gives us

$$\begin{aligned} & K[a_1](t) - K[a_2](t) \\ &= \frac{\left( \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} F[\omega_\xi] f_\xi(t) - \mathcal{D}_t^\alpha E(t) \right) \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} \lambda_\xi F[\omega_\xi] (u_\xi(t; a_2) - u_\xi(t; a_1))}{\sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} \lambda_\xi F[\omega_\xi] u_\xi(t; a_1) \cdot \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} \lambda_\xi F[\omega_\xi] u_\xi(t; a_2)}. \end{aligned}$$

In view of  $\lambda_\xi > 0$ ,  $F[\omega_\xi] \geq 0$ , Assumption 4.1 (d), Corollary 4.5 together with the positiveness of the denominator of (4.12) gives us  $K[a_1] \leq K[a_2]$ .  $\square$

**4.4. Uniqueness.** In this part, we formulate two lemmas that play an important role in obtaining the uniqueness result for the fixed point of  $K$ .

**Lemma 4.14.** *Let  $a_1, a_2 \in D$  be two fixed points of  $K$  with  $a_1 \leq a_2$ , then  $a_1 \equiv a_2$ .*

*Proof.* Taking the fixed points  $a_1(t), a_2(t)$  with  $a_1 \leq a_2$ , they satisfy (1.3), that is,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} F[\omega_\xi] u_\xi(t; a_1) &= E(t), \\ \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} F[\omega_\xi] u_\xi(t; a_2) &= E(t). \end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$\sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} F[\omega_\xi] (u_\xi(t; a_1) - u_\xi(t; a_2)) = 0.$$

We know that  $F[\omega_\xi] \geq 0$  and  $(u_\xi(t; a_1) - u_\xi(t; a_2)) \geq 0$ , so that we deduce that

$$F[\omega_\xi] (u_\xi(t; a_1) - u_\xi(t; a_2)) = 0, \quad \text{for every } \xi \in \mathcal{I}.$$

Let us take  $\xi = \eta$ , then in view of Assumption 4.1 (c) we have  $F[\omega_\eta] > 0$ , which implies that

$$u_\eta(t; a_1) - u_\eta(t; a_2) = 0 \quad \text{on } [0, T].$$

Set  $v(t) = u_\eta(t; a_1) - u_\eta(t; a_2) = 0$ . Then (4.2) gives

$$0 = \mathcal{D}_t^\alpha v(t) + \lambda_\eta a_1(t)v(t) = \lambda_\eta u_\eta(t; a_2)(a_2(t) - a_1(t)),$$

i.e.  $u_\eta(t; a_2)(a_2(t) - a_1(t)) \equiv 0$  on  $[0, T]$ . We know that  $u_\eta(t; a_2) > 0$ . Hence, we have  $a_1 = a_2$  on  $[0, T]$ , which completes the proof.  $\square$

Before showing uniqueness, we introduce a successive iteration procedure that will generate a sequence converging to a fixed point if it exists. Set

$$\bar{a}_0(t) = \frac{C_0}{C_3}, \quad \bar{a}_{n+1} = K[\bar{a}_n], \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

This iteration reproduces a sequence  $\{\bar{a}_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  which is contained in  $D$  since the operator  $K$  maps the set  $D$  on itself.

**Lemma 4.15.** *Let  $a \in D$  be a fixed point of the operator  $K$ . Then the sequence  $\{\bar{a}_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  converges to  $a$ .*

*Proof.* Function  $\bar{a}_0$  is the lower bound of  $D$  and  $\{\bar{a}_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset D$  produce that  $\bar{a}_0 \leq \bar{a}_1$ . Using the monotonicity of  $K$ , we have  $\bar{a}_1 = K[\bar{a}_0] \leq K[\bar{a}_1] = \bar{a}_2$ , that is  $\bar{a}_1 \leq \bar{a}_2$ . The same argument gives  $\bar{a}_2 = K[\bar{a}_1] \leq K[\bar{a}_2] = \bar{a}_3$ . Continuing this process, we can deduce that  $\bar{a}_0 \leq \bar{a}_1 \leq \bar{a}_2 \leq \dots$ , which means that  $\{\bar{a}_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is increasing. Since we assumed that  $\bar{a}_0$  is the lower bound of  $D$  and  $a \in D$ , it holds  $\bar{a}_0 \leq a$ . Applying Theorem 4.13 to this inequality, we obtain  $\bar{a}_1 = K[\bar{a}_0] \leq K[a] = a$ , i.e.  $\bar{a}_1 \leq a$ . This argument implies that  $\bar{a}_n \leq a$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , which means that  $a$  is an upper bound of  $\{\bar{a}_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ .

We have proved that  $\{\bar{a}_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is an increasing sequence in  $D$  with an upper bound  $a$ , which leads to  $\{\bar{a}_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  being convergent (pointwise) in  $D$ , and the limit is smaller than  $a$ . Denote the limit of  $\{\bar{a}_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  by  $\bar{a}$ . We have  $\bar{a} \in D$ ,  $\bar{a} \leq a$  and we see that

$$\bar{a} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \bar{a}_{n+1} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} K\bar{a}_n = K\bar{a},$$

i.e.  $\bar{a}$  is a fixed point of  $K$  in  $D$ . Hence, Lemma 4.14 yields  $\bar{a} = a$ , which is the desired result.  $\square$

Now, we are able to prove the uniqueness of the fixed points of  $K$ .

**Theorem 4.16.** *There is at most one fixed point of  $K$  in  $D$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $a_1, a_2 \in D$  be both fixed points of  $K$ . Lemma 4.15 implies that  $\bar{a}_n \rightarrow a_1$  and  $\bar{a}_n \rightarrow a_2$ , which leads to  $a_1 = a_2$  and completes this proof.  $\square$

**4.5. Continuous dependence of  $(a, u)$  on the data.** In this section we investigate the dependence of  $(a, u)$  on the data.

**Theorem 4.17.** *Let Assumption 4.1 hold true. Then the solution  $(a, u)$  of the problem (1.1)–(1.3) depends continuously on the data, that is, there exist positive constants  $M_4$  and  $M_9$ , such that*

$$\|\tilde{a} - a\|_{C[0,T]} \leq M_4 (\|\tilde{h} - h\|_{\mathcal{H}^{2+\gamma}} + \|\tilde{f} - f\|_{C([0,T];\mathcal{H}^{\frac{3}{2}+\gamma})} + \|\tilde{E} - E\|_{X^\alpha[0,T]}),$$

and

$$\|\tilde{u} - u\|_{C([0,T];\mathcal{H})} \leq M_9 (\|\tilde{h} - h\|_{\mathcal{H}^{2+\gamma}} + \|\tilde{f} - f\|_{C([0,T];\mathcal{H}^{\frac{3}{2}+\gamma})} + \|\tilde{E} - E\|_{X^\alpha[0,T]}),$$

where  $(\tilde{a}, \tilde{u})$  is the solution of the inverse problem (1.1)–(1.3) corresponding to the set of data  $\{\tilde{h}, \tilde{f}, \tilde{E}\}$ , that satisfy Assumption 4.1.

*Proof.* Let  $\Upsilon = \{h, f, E\}$  and  $\tilde{\Upsilon} = \{\tilde{h}, \tilde{f}, \tilde{E}\}$  be two sets of data that satisfy Assumption 4.1. Let  $(a, u)$  and  $(\tilde{a}, \tilde{u})$  be solutions of the inverse problem (1.1)–(1.3) corresponding to the data  $\Upsilon$  and  $\tilde{\Upsilon}$ , i.e.

$$(4.23) \quad \begin{cases} \mathcal{D}_t^\alpha u(t; a) + a(t)\mathcal{L}u(t; a) = f(t), \\ u(0; a) = h, \\ F[u(t; a)] = E(t), \end{cases}$$

and

$$(4.24) \quad \begin{cases} \mathcal{D}_t^\alpha \tilde{u}(t; \tilde{a}) + \tilde{a}(t)\mathcal{L}\tilde{u}(t; \tilde{a}) = \tilde{f}(t), \\ \tilde{u}(0; \tilde{a}) = \tilde{h}, \\ F[\tilde{u}(t; \tilde{a})] = \tilde{E}(t), \end{cases}$$

respectively.

Subtracting equations (4.23) and (4.24) from each other we have

$$(4.25) \quad \begin{cases} \mathcal{D}_t^\alpha w + \tilde{a}(t)\mathcal{L}w(t) = g(t), \\ w(0) = \tilde{h} - h, \\ F[w(t)] = \tilde{E}(t) - E(t), \end{cases}$$

where  $w(t) = \tilde{u}(t; \tilde{a}) - u(t; a)$  and  $g(t) = \tilde{f}(t) - f(t) - (\tilde{a}(t) - a(t))\mathcal{L}u(t; a)$ .

Similarly to (3.1), we can write the solution  $u(t; \tilde{a})$  of the problem (4.24) in the form  $u(t; \tilde{a}) = \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} u_\xi(t; \tilde{a})\omega_\xi$ . This together with (3.1) give us

$$(4.26) \quad w(t) = \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} w_\xi(t; \tilde{a})\omega_\xi, \quad t \in [0, T],$$

where  $w_\xi(t; \tilde{a}) = \tilde{u}_\xi(t; \tilde{a}) - u_\xi(t; a)$ , and it satisfies the fractional equation

$$\mathcal{D}_t^\alpha w_\xi(t; \tilde{a}) + \lambda_\xi \tilde{a}(t)w_\xi(t; \tilde{a}) = g_\xi(t), \quad w_\xi(0; \tilde{a}) = \tilde{h}_\xi - h_\xi, \quad \xi \in \mathcal{I},$$

where  $g_\xi(t) = (g(t), \omega_\xi)_\mathcal{H}$ .

Acting by  $\mathcal{D}_t^\alpha$  on both sides of the last equation of (4.25) and taking into account (4.9), we have

$$(4.27) \quad F[\mathcal{D}_t^\alpha w(t)] = \mathcal{D}_t^\alpha \tilde{E}(t) - \mathcal{D}_t^\alpha E(t).$$

Applying  $F$  on both sides of the first equation of (4.25), we get

$$\tilde{a}(t) - a(t) = \frac{F[\tilde{f}(t) - f(t)] - F[\mathcal{D}_t^\alpha w(t)] - \tilde{a}(t)F[\mathcal{L}w(t)]}{F[\mathcal{L}u(t; a)]}.$$

We can write  $f(t)$  and  $\tilde{f}(t)$  in the expansion forms, i.e.  $f(t) = \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} f_\xi(t) \omega_\xi$  and  $\tilde{f}(t) = \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} \tilde{f}_\xi(t) \omega_\xi$  respectively. These together with (3.1), (4.26) and (4.27) yield that

$$(4.28) \quad \begin{aligned} & \tilde{a}(t) - a(t) \\ &= \frac{\sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} F[\omega_\xi] (\tilde{f}_\xi(t) - f_\xi(t)) - (\mathcal{D}_t^\alpha \tilde{E} - \mathcal{D}_t^\alpha E) - \tilde{a}(t) \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} \lambda_\xi F[\omega_\xi] w_\xi(t; \tilde{a})}{\sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} \lambda_\xi F[\omega_\xi] u_\xi(t; a)}. \end{aligned}$$

To estimate (4.28), let us first estimate the series  $\sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} \lambda_\xi F[\omega_\xi] w_\xi(t; \tilde{a})$ . Since  $w(t; \tilde{a})$  is the solution of the problem (4.5), in view of Corollaries 3.11 and 3.16, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} |w_\xi(t; \tilde{a})| &\leq |w_\xi^i(t; \tilde{a})| + |w_\xi^r(t; \tilde{a})| \\ &\leq |\tilde{h}_\xi - h_\xi| E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_\xi q_{\tilde{a}} t^\alpha) + \int_0^t |g_\xi(s)| (t-s)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-\lambda_\xi q_{\tilde{a}} (t-s)^\alpha) ds \\ &\leq |\tilde{h}_\xi - h_\xi| E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_\xi q_{\tilde{a}} t^\alpha) \\ &\quad + \int_0^t |\tilde{f}_\xi(s) - f_\xi(s)| (t-s)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-\lambda_\xi q_{\tilde{a}} (t-s)^\alpha) ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^t |\tilde{a}(s) - a(s)| \lambda_\xi |u_\xi(s; a)| (t-s)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-\lambda_\xi q_{\tilde{a}} (t-s)^\alpha) ds, \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{where } q_{\tilde{a}} = \frac{\inf_{t \in [0, T]} \left( \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} F[\omega_\xi] \tilde{f}_\xi(t) - \mathcal{D}_t^\alpha \tilde{E}(t) \right)}{\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \left( \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} \lambda_\xi F[\omega_\xi] (\tilde{h}_\xi + I_t^\alpha [\tilde{f}_\xi(t)]) \right)}.$$

Putting this into the series  $\sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} \lambda_\xi F[\omega_\xi] w_\xi(t; \tilde{a})$ , and taking into account Corollary 4.4, we can get

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} \lambda_\xi F[\omega_\xi] |w_\xi(t; \tilde{a})| \leq \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} \lambda_\xi F[\omega_\xi] |\tilde{h}_\xi - h_\xi| E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_\xi q_{\tilde{a}} t^\alpha) \\ & + \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} F[\omega_\xi] \int_0^t |\tilde{f}_\xi(s) - f_\xi(s)| \lambda_\xi (t-s)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-\lambda_\xi q_{\tilde{a}}(t-s)^\alpha) ds \\ & + \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} \lambda_\xi^2 F[\omega_\xi] \int_0^t |\tilde{a}(s) - a(s)| u_\xi(s; a) (t-s)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-\lambda_\xi q_{\tilde{a}}(t-s)^\alpha) ds \\ & = I_1(t) + I_2(t) + I_3(t). \end{aligned}$$

We estimate each of the three terms separately.

Using Corollary 3.17 and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_1(t) & \leq \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} \lambda_\xi F[\omega_\xi] |\tilde{h}_\xi - h_\xi| \leq \left( \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} \left| \frac{F[\omega_\xi]}{\lambda_\xi^\gamma} \right|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} \lambda_\xi^{2(1+\gamma)} |\tilde{h}_\xi - h_\xi|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \leq C_F \left( \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} (1 + \lambda_\xi)^{2(1+\gamma)} |\tilde{h}_\xi - h_\xi|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C_F \|\tilde{h} - h\|_{\mathcal{H}^{1+\gamma}}. \end{aligned}$$

Using the Cauchy-Schwarz and Hölder inequalities, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_2(t) & \leq C_F \left[ \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} \left| \lambda_\xi^\gamma \int_0^t |\tilde{f}_\xi(s) - f_\xi(s)| \lambda_\xi (t-s)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-\lambda_\xi q_{\tilde{a}}(t-s)^\alpha) ds \right|^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \leq C_F \left[ \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} \left( \lambda_\xi^{2\gamma} \int_0^t |\tilde{f}_\xi(s) - f_\xi(s)|^2 \lambda_\xi (t-s)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-\lambda_\xi q_{\tilde{a}}(t-s)^\alpha) ds \right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. \times \left( \int_0^t \lambda_\xi (t-s)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-\lambda_\xi q_{\tilde{a}}(t-s)^\alpha) ds \right) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

In view of (3.39) and Corollary 3.12 we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_2(t) & \leq C_F \left( \frac{1}{q_{\tilde{a}}} \int_0^t \left\{ \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} \lambda_\xi^{2(\frac{1}{2}+\gamma)} |\tilde{f}_\xi(s) - f_\xi(s)|^2 \right\} (t-s)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-\inf_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} \lambda_\xi q_{\tilde{a}}(t-s)^\alpha) ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \leq M_1 \|\tilde{f} - f\|_{C([0,T]; \mathcal{H}^{\frac{1}{2}+\gamma})}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $M_1 = C_F \frac{1}{q_{\tilde{a}} \sqrt{\inf_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} \lambda_{\xi}}}$ . Using Corollary 3.12 and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_3(t) &\leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t \left\{ \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} \lambda_{\xi}^2 F[\omega_{\xi}] u_{\xi}(s; a) \right\} |\tilde{a}(s) - a(s)| (t-s)^{\alpha-1} ds \\ &\leq \frac{C_F}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t \left( \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} |\lambda_{\xi}^{2+\gamma} u_{\xi}(s; a)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} |\tilde{a}(s) - a(s)| (t-s)^{\alpha-1} ds \\ &\leq \frac{C_F}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \|u\|_{C([0,T]; \mathcal{H}^{2+\gamma})} \int_0^t |\tilde{a}(s) - a(s)| (t-s)^{\alpha-1} ds \\ &\leq M_2 \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t |\tilde{a}(s) - a(s)| (t-s)^{\alpha-1} ds, \end{aligned}$$

where  $M_2 = C_F \left( \frac{1}{\inf_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} \lambda_{\xi}} + 1 \right)^{2+\gamma} \left( \|f\|_{C([0,T]; \mathcal{H}^{\frac{3}{2}+\gamma})} + \|h\|_{\mathcal{H}^{2+\gamma}} \right)$  and the last inequality is obtained by (4.3). The estimates obtained above for  $I_1(t)$ ,  $I_2(t)$  and  $I_3(t)$  yield

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} \lambda_{\xi} F[\omega_{\xi}] |w_{\xi}(t; \tilde{a})| \\ &\leq C_F \|\tilde{h} - h\|_{\mathcal{H}^{1+\gamma}} + M_1 \|\tilde{f} - f\|_{C([0,T]; \mathcal{H}^{\frac{1}{2}+\gamma})} \\ &\quad + M_2 \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t |\tilde{a}(s) - a(s)| (t-s)^{\alpha-1} ds. \end{aligned}$$

Taking into account this and using (4.28) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &|\tilde{a}(t) - a(t)| \\ &\leq \frac{C_F \left( \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} \lambda_{\xi}^{2\gamma} |\tilde{f}_{\xi}(t) - f_{\xi}(t)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \|\mathcal{D}_t^{\alpha} \tilde{E} - \mathcal{D}_t^{\alpha} E\|_{C[0,T]} + Q_{\tilde{a}} \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} \lambda_{\xi} F[\omega_{\xi}] |w_{\xi}(t; \tilde{a})|}{C_2} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{C_2} (C_F \|\tilde{f} - f\|_{C([0,T]; \mathcal{H}^{\gamma})} + \|\mathcal{D}_t^{\alpha} \tilde{E} - \mathcal{D}_t^{\alpha} E\|_{C[0,T]} \\ &\quad + Q_{\tilde{a}} C_F \|\tilde{h} - h\|_{\mathcal{H}^{1+\gamma}} + Q_{\tilde{a}} M_1 \|\tilde{f} - f\|_{C([0,T]; \mathcal{H}^{\frac{1}{2}+\gamma})} \\ &\quad + Q_{\tilde{a}} M_2 \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t |\tilde{a}(s) - a(s)| (t-s)^{\alpha-1} ds) \\ &\leq M_3 (\|\tilde{h} - h\|_{\mathcal{H}^{2+\gamma}} + \|\tilde{f} - f\|_{C([0,T]; \mathcal{H}^{\frac{3}{2}+\gamma})} + \|\tilde{E} - E\|_{X^{\alpha}[0,T]} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t |\tilde{a}(s) - a(s)| (t-s)^{\alpha-1} ds), \end{aligned}$$



where  $Q_{\tilde{a}} = \frac{\sup_{t \in [0, T]} (\sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} F[\omega_\xi] \tilde{f}_\xi(t) - \mathcal{D}_t^\alpha \tilde{E}(t))}{\inf_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} \lambda_\xi \cdot \inf_{t \in [0, T]} \tilde{E}(t)}$  and  $M_3$  is some positive constant. Applying Lemma 2.8 to  $|\tilde{a}(t) - a(t)|$ , we have

$$|\tilde{a}(t) - a(t)| \leq M_3 E_{\alpha, 1}(M_3 t^\alpha) (\|\tilde{h} - h\|_{\mathcal{H}^{2+\gamma}} + \|\tilde{f} - f\|_{C([0, T]; \mathcal{H}^{\frac{3}{2}+\gamma})} + \|\tilde{E} - E\|_{X^\alpha[0, T]}).$$

Then

$$(4.29) \quad \|\tilde{a} - a\|_{C[0, T]} \leq M_4 (\|\tilde{h} - h\|_{\mathcal{H}^{2+\gamma}} + \|\tilde{f} - f\|_{C([0, T]; \mathcal{H}^{\frac{3}{2}+\gamma})} + \|\tilde{E} - E\|_{X^\alpha[0, T]}),$$

where  $M_4 = M_3 E_{\alpha, 1}(M_3 T^\alpha)$ .

Now, we are going to estimate the difference  $\tilde{u} - u$ .

In view of Corollary 3.11, we have

$$(4.30) \quad \begin{aligned} \|w^r(t; a)\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 &= \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} |w_\xi^r(t; a)|^2 \\ &= \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} \left| \int_0^t |g_\xi(\tau)| (t - \tau)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha, \alpha}(-\lambda_\xi q_{\tilde{a}}(t - \tau)^\alpha) d\tau \right|^2 \\ &\leq \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} \left| \int_0^t |g_\xi(\tau)| (t - \tau)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha, \alpha}(-\inf_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} \lambda_\xi q_{\tilde{a}}(t - \tau)^\alpha) d\tau \right|^2, \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality is obtained by using the Corollary 3.12. Using the Hölder inequality we get

$$(4.31) \quad \begin{aligned} &\sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} \left| \int_0^t |g_\xi(\tau)| (t - \tau)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha, \alpha}(-\inf_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} \lambda_\xi q_{\tilde{a}}(t - \tau)^\alpha) d\tau \right|^2 \\ &\leq \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} \left( \int_0^t |g_\xi(\tau)|^2 (t - \tau)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha, \alpha}(-\inf_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} \lambda_\xi q_{\tilde{a}}(t - \tau)^\alpha) d\tau \right) \\ &\quad \times \left( \int_0^t (t - \tau)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha, \alpha}(-\inf_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} \lambda_\xi q_{\tilde{a}}(t - \tau)^\alpha) d\tau \right) \\ &\leq \left( \int_0^t \left\{ \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} |g_\xi(\tau)|^2 \right\} (t - \tau)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha, \alpha}(-\inf_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} \lambda_\xi q_{\tilde{a}}(t - \tau)^\alpha) d\tau \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \times \left( \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-\inf_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} \lambda_\xi q_{\tilde{a}}(t-\tau)^\alpha) d\tau \right) \\
& \leq \|g\|_{C([0,T];\mathcal{H})}^2 \left( \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-\inf_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} \lambda_\xi q_{\tilde{a}}(t-\tau)^\alpha) d\tau \right)^2 \\
& \leq \left( \frac{1}{\inf_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} \lambda_\xi q_{\tilde{a}}} \right)^2 \|g\|_{C([0,T];\mathcal{H})}^2,
\end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality is obtained by using the estimate (3.28). Substituting (4.31) into (4.30), we obtain

$$\|w^r(t; a)\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \leq \left( \frac{1}{\inf_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} \lambda_\xi q_{\tilde{a}}} \right)^2 \|g\|_{C([0,T];\mathcal{H})}^2 = M_5^2 \|g\|_{C([0,T];\mathcal{H})}^2,$$

which gives

$$(4.32) \quad \|w^r\|_{C([0,T];\mathcal{H})} \leq M_5 \|g\|_{C([0,T];\mathcal{H})},$$

where  $M_5 = \frac{1}{\inf_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} \lambda_\xi q_{\tilde{a}}}$ . Using that  $g(t) = \tilde{f}(t) - f(t) - (\tilde{a}(t) - a(t))\mathcal{L}u(t; a)$  and taking into account the properties of the norm, we get from (4.32)

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.33) \quad \|w^r\|_{C([0,T];\mathcal{H})} & \leq M_5 \|g\|_{C([0,T];\mathcal{H})} \\
& \leq M_5 (\|\tilde{f} - f\|_{C([0,T];\mathcal{H})} + \|\tilde{a} - a\|_{C[0,T]} \|\mathcal{L}u\|_{C([0,T];\mathcal{H})}) \\
& \leq M_6 (\|\tilde{f} - f\|_{C([0,T];\mathcal{H})} + \|\tilde{a} - a\|_{C[0,T]} \|u\|_{C([0,T];\mathcal{H}^1)}).
\end{aligned}$$

In view of  $u(t; a) = u^i(t; a) + u^r(t; a)$  and using (3.41) and (3.44), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.34) \quad \|u\|_{C([0,T];\mathcal{H}^1)} & \leq \|u^i\|_{C([0,T];\mathcal{H}^1)} + \|u^r\|_{C([0,T];\mathcal{H}^1)} \\
& \leq M_7 (\|h\|_{\mathcal{H}^1} + \|f\|_{C([0,T];\mathcal{H}^{\frac{1}{2}})}).
\end{aligned}$$

Substituting (4.34) and (4.29) into (4.33), we get

$$(4.35) \quad \|w^r\|_{C([0,T];\mathcal{H})} \leq M_8 (\|\tilde{h} - h\|_{\mathcal{H}^{2+\gamma}} + \|\tilde{f} - f\|_{C([0,T];\mathcal{H}^{\frac{3}{2}+\gamma})} + \|\tilde{E} - E\|_{X^\alpha[0,T]}).$$

Using Corollary 3.16 and estimate (2.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|w^i(t; a)\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 & \leq \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} |\tilde{h}_\xi - h_\xi|^2 |E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_\xi q_a t^\alpha)|^2 \\
& \leq \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{I}} |\tilde{h}_\xi - h_\xi|^2 = \|\tilde{h} - h\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2,
\end{aligned}$$

which gives

$$(4.36) \quad \|w^i\|_{C([0,T];\mathcal{H})} \leq \|\tilde{h} - h\|_{\mathcal{H}}.$$

In view of  $\tilde{u}(t; a) - u(t; a) = w(t; a) = w^i(t; a) + w^r(t; a)$  the estimates (4.35) and (4.36) give us

$$\|\tilde{u} - u\|_{C([0,T];\mathcal{H})} \leq M_9(\|\tilde{h} - h\|_{\mathcal{H}^{2+\gamma}} + \|\tilde{f} - f\|_{C([0,T];\mathcal{H}^{\frac{3}{2}+\gamma})} + \|\tilde{E} - E\|_{X^\alpha[0,T]}).$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

**4.6. Main theorem for the inverse problem.** In view of Theorem 3.19, Theorems 4.12, 4.16 allow us to deduce the existence and uniqueness of solution  $(a, u)$  of Problem 1.2. This with Theorem 4.17 gives us a well-posedness of Problem 1.2. From this and taking into account Lemma 4.15 we state the following theorem for this inverse problem.

**Theorem 4.18.** *(Main theorem for the inverse problem) Suppose Assumption 4.1 holds. Then the following statements hold true:*

- (a) *There exists a unique fixed point of  $K$  in  $D$ ;*
- (b) *The inverse Problem 1.2 is well-posed.*

## 5. EXAMPLES OF OPERATOR $\mathcal{L}$

In this section, we give several examples of the settings where our direct and inverse problems are applicable. Of course, there are many other examples; here we collect those for which different types of partial differential equation are of particular importance.

### • Sturm-Liouville problem.

First, we describe the setting of the Sturm-Liouville operator. Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be the ordinary second-order differential operator in  $L^2(a, b)$  generated by the differential expression

$$(5.1) \quad \mathcal{L}(u) = -u''(x), \quad a < x < b,$$

and one of the boundary conditions

$$(5.2) \quad a_1 u'(b) + b_1 u(b) = 0, \quad a_2 u'(a) + b_2 u(a) = 0,$$

or

$$(5.3) \quad u(a) = \pm u(b), \quad u'(a) = \pm u'(b),$$

where  $a_1^2 + a_2^2 > 0$ ,  $b_1^2 + b_2^2 > 0$  and  $\alpha_j, \beta_j, j = 1, 2$ , are some real numbers.

It is known ([Nai68]) that the Sturm-Liouville problem for equation (5.1) with boundary conditions (5.2) or with boundary conditions (5.3) is self-adjoint in  $L^2(a, b)$ . It is also known that the self-adjoint problem has real eigenvalues and their eigenfunctions form a complete orthonormal basis in  $L^2(a, b)$ .

- **Differential operator with involution.**

As a next example, we consider the differential operator with involution in  $L^2(0, \pi)$  generated by the expression

$$(5.4) \quad \mathcal{L}(u) = u''(x) - \varepsilon u''(\pi - x), \quad 0 < x < \pi,$$

and homogeneous Dirichlet conditions

$$(5.5) \quad u(0) = 0, \quad u(\pi) = 0,$$

where  $|\varepsilon| < 1$  is some real number.

The non-local functional-differential operator (5.4)-(5.5) is self-adjoint [TT17]. For  $|\varepsilon| < 1$ , the operator (5.4)-(5.5) has the following eigenvalues

$$\lambda_{2k} = 4(1 + \varepsilon)k^2, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}, \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_{2k+1} = (1 - \varepsilon)(2k + 1)^2, \quad k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\},$$

and corresponding eigenfunctions

$$u_{2k}(x) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \sin 2kx, \quad k \in \mathbb{N},$$

$$u_{2k+1}(x) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \sin (2k + 1)x, \quad k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}.$$

- **Fractional Sturm-Liouville operator.**

We consider the operator generated by the integro-differential expression

$$(5.6) \quad \mathcal{L}(u) = \mathcal{D}_{a+}^\alpha D_{b-}^\alpha u, \quad a < x < b,$$

and the conditions

$$(5.7) \quad I_{b-}^{1-\alpha} u(a) = 0, \quad I_{b-}^{1-\alpha} u(b) = 0,$$

where  $\mathcal{D}_{a+}^\alpha$  is the left Caputo derivative of order  $\alpha \in (1/2, 1]$ ,  $D_{b-}^\alpha$  is the right Riemann-Liouville derivative of order  $\alpha \in (1/2, 1]$  and  $I_{b-}^\alpha$  is the right Riemann-Liouville integral of order  $\alpha \in (1/2, 1]$  (see [KST06]). The fractional Sturm-Liouville operator (5.6)-(5.7) is self-adjoint and positive in  $L^2(a, b)$  (see [TT16]). The spectrum of the fractional Sturm-Liouville operator (5.6)-(5.7) is discrete, positive, and real-valued, and the system of eigenfunctions is a complete orthogonal basis in  $L^2(a, b)$ . For more properties of the operator generated by the problem (5.6)-(5.7) we refer to [TT18, TT19].

- **Second order elliptic operator  $\mathcal{L}$ .**

Let  $\Omega$  be an open bounded domain in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  ( $d \geq 1$ ) with a smooth boundary (for example, of  $C^\infty$  class).

Let  $L^2(\Omega)$  be the usual  $L^2$ -space with the inner product  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  and let  $\mathcal{L}$  be the elliptic operator defined for  $g \in \mathcal{D}(-\mathcal{L}) := H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$  as

$$-\mathcal{L}g(x) = - \sum_{i,j=1}^d \partial_j(a_{ij}(x)\partial_j g(x)) + c(x)g(x), \quad x \in \Omega,$$

with Dirichlet boundary condition

$$g(x) = 0, \quad x \in \partial\Omega,$$

where  $a_{ij} = a_{ji}$  ( $1 \leq i, j \leq d$ ) and  $c \geq 0$  in  $\overline{\Omega}$ . Moreover, assume that  $a_{ij} \in C^1(\overline{\Omega})$ ,  $c \in C(\overline{\Omega})$ , and there exists a constant  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$\delta \sum_{i=1}^d \xi_i^2 \leq \sum_{i=1}^d a_{ij}(x) \xi_i \xi_j, \quad \forall x \in \overline{\Omega}, \quad \forall (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Then the elliptic operator  $-\mathcal{L}$  has the eigensystem  $\{\lambda_n, \omega_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  such that  $0 < \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots, \lambda_n \rightarrow \infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and  $\{\omega_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  forms an orthonormal basis of  $L^2(\Omega)$  ([SY11]).

- **Harmonic oscillator.**

For any dimension  $d \geq 1$ , let us consider the harmonic oscillator,

$$\mathcal{L} := -\Delta + |x|^2, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

The operator  $\mathcal{L}$  is an essentially self-adjoint operator on  $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . It has a discrete spectrum, consisting of the eigenvalues

$$\lambda_k = \sum_{j=1}^d (2k_j + 1), \quad k = (k_1, \dots, k_d) \in \mathbb{N}^d,$$

with the corresponding eigenfunctions

$$\varphi_k(x) = \prod_{j=1}^d P_{k_j}(x_j) e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{2}},$$

which form an orthogonal basis in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . Here  $P_l(\cdot)$  is the  $l$ -th order Hermite polynomial,

$$P_l(\xi) = a_l e^{\frac{|\xi|^2}{2}} \left( x - \frac{d}{d\xi} \right)^l e^{-\frac{|\xi|^2}{2}},$$

where  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$ , and

$$a_l = 2^{-l/2} (l!)^{-1/2} \pi^{-1/4}.$$

- **Anharmonic oscillator.**

Another class of examples are anharmonic oscillators (see for instance [HR82]), which are operators on  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$  of the form

$$\mathcal{L} := -\frac{d^{2k}}{dx^{2k}} + x^{2l} + p(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R},$$

for integers  $k, l \geq 1$  and with  $p(x)$  being a polynomial of degree  $\leq 2l - 1$  with real coefficients. More general case on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  where a prototype operator is of the form

$$\mathcal{L} := -(\Delta)^k + |x|^{2l},$$

where  $k, l$  are integers  $\geq 1$  see [ChDR21].

- **Landau Hamiltonian in 2D.**

The next example is one of the simplest and most interesting models of Quantum Mechanics, that is, the Landau Hamiltonian.

The Landau Hamiltonian in 2D is given by

$$\mathcal{L} := \frac{1}{2} \left( \left( i \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - By \right)^2 + \left( i \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + Bx \right)^2 \right),$$

acting on the Hilbert space  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ , where  $B > 0$  is some constant. The spectrum of  $\mathcal{L}$  consists of infinite number of eigenvalues (see [Foc28, Lan30]) with infinite multiplicity, of the form

$$\lambda_n = (2n + 1)B, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

and the corresponding system of eigenfunctions (see [ABGM15, HH13])

$$\begin{cases} e_{k,n}^1(x, y) = \sqrt{\frac{n!}{(n-k)!}} B^{\frac{k+1}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{B(x^2 + y^2)}{2}\right) (x + iy)^k L_n^{(k)}(B(x^2 + y^2)), \quad 0 \leq k, \\ e_{j,n}^2(x, y) = \sqrt{\frac{j!}{(j+n)!}} B^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{B(x^2 + y^2)}{2}\right) (x - iy)^n L_j^{(n)}(B(x^2 + y^2)), \quad 0 \leq j, \end{cases}$$

where  $L_n^{(\alpha)}$  are the Laguerre polynomials given by

$$L_n^{(\alpha)}(t) = \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k C_{n+\alpha}^{n-k} \frac{t^k}{k!}, \quad \alpha > -1.$$

- **The restricted fractional Laplacian.**

On the other hand, one can define a fractional Laplacian operator by using the integral representation in terms of hypersingular kernels,

$$(-\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^n})^s g(x) = C_{d,s} \text{P.V.} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{g(x) - g(\xi)}{|x - \xi|^{n+2s}} d\xi,$$

where  $s \in (0, 1)$ .

In this case, we realize the zero Dirichlet condition by restricting the operator to act only on functions that are zero outside of the bounded domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ . Caffarelli and Siro [CS17] called the operator defined in such a way as the restricted fractional Laplacian  $(-\Delta_\Omega)^s$ . Such,  $(-\Delta_\Omega)^s$  is a self-adjoint operator in  $L^2(\Omega)$ , with a discrete spectrum  $\lambda_{s,k} > 0$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . The

corresponding set of eigenfunctions  $\{V_{s,k}(x)\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ , normalized in  $L^2(\Omega)$ , gives an orthonormal basis.

## 6. EXAMPLES OF FUNCTIONAL $F$

In this section, as an illustration, we give several examples of the functional  $F$ . Of course, there are many other examples, but here we only collect some of them.

- **The measurement is the total energy output from the body.**

$$F[u(t, \cdot)] := \int_{\Omega} u(x, t) dx, \quad t \in [0, T],$$

where  $\Omega$  is a bounded subset of  $\mathbb{R}^d (d \geq 1)$ .

- **Measurement at an internal point.**

$$F[u(t, \cdot)] := u(t, x^*), \quad t \in [0, T], \quad x^* \in \Omega,$$

where  $\Omega$  is an open bounded subset of  $\mathbb{R}^d (d \geq 1)$ .

- **The measurement is the normal derivative of  $u$  at one of the boundary points.**

$$F[u(t, \cdot)] := \frac{\partial u}{\partial \vec{n}}(t, x^*), \quad t \in [0, T], \quad x^* \in \partial\Omega,$$

where  $\Omega$  is open bounded subset of  $\mathbb{R}^d (d \geq 1)$ .

## 7. VALUE OF $\gamma$ IN PARTICULAR CASES OF $\mathcal{L}$ AND $F$

In this section, for the particular cases of  $\mathcal{L}$  and  $F$  we show how to find the value of  $\gamma$  in (1.4).

Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be  $L^2(0, 1)$  and let  $\mathcal{L}$  be particular case of **Sturm-Liouville problem**, for example,  $\mathcal{L}u = -u_{xx}$ ,  $x \in (0, 1)$ , with homogeneous Dirichlet boundary condition. Then the operator has the eigensystem  $\{k^2, \sqrt{2} \sin k\pi x\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ .

- Let  $F$  be **the measurement is the total energy output from the body**, that is,  $F[u(t, \cdot)] := \int_0^1 u(t, x) dx$ .

Since

$$F[\omega_k] = \int_0^1 \sqrt{2} \sin k\pi x dx = \frac{1 + (-1)^{k+1}}{k\pi} = \begin{cases} \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{k\pi}, & \text{if, } k = 2n - 1 \ (n \in \mathbb{N}); \\ 0, & \text{if, } k = 2n \ (n \in \mathbb{N}), \end{cases}$$

we have

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} |F[\omega_k]|^2 < \infty.$$

From this we see that  $\gamma$  in (1.4) can be taken to be 0.

- Let  $F$  be **the measurement at an internal point**, for example,  $F[u(t, \cdot)] = u(t, \frac{1}{2})$ . Then we see that

$$F[\omega_k] = \sqrt{2} \sin \frac{k\pi}{2} = \begin{cases} -\sqrt{2}, & \text{if } k = 4n - 1, (n \in \mathbb{N}); \\ 0, & \text{if } k = 2n, (n \in \mathbb{N}); \\ \sqrt{2}, & \text{if } k = 4n - 3, (n \in \mathbb{N}). \end{cases}$$

From this we have

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{|F[\omega_k]|^2}{\lambda_k} < \infty.$$

From this we see that  $\gamma$  in (1.4) can be taken to be  $\frac{1}{2}$ .

- Let  $F$  be **the measurement is the normal derivative of  $u$  at one of the boundary points**, for example,  $F[u(t, \cdot)] = u_x(t, 1)$ . Since

$$F[\omega_k] = \sqrt{2}k\pi \cos k\pi = \begin{cases} -\sqrt{2}k\pi, & \text{if } k = 2n - 1, (n \in \mathbb{N}); \\ \sqrt{2}k\pi, & \text{if } k = 2n, (n \in \mathbb{N}), \end{cases}$$

we have

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \left| \frac{F[\omega_k]}{\lambda_k} \right|^2 < \infty.$$

From this we see that  $\gamma$  in (1.4) can be taken to be 1.

## 8. APPENDIX A

In this section, we record several classical theorems from functional analysis used in this paper.

**Theorem 8.1.** [KST06, Theorem 1.9]/[Banach fixed point theorem] *Let  $X$  be a Banach space and let  $A : X \rightarrow X$  be the map such that*

$$\|Au - Av\| \leq \beta \|u - v\| \quad (0 < \beta < 1)$$

*holds for all  $u, v \in X$ . Then the operator  $A$  has a unique fixed point  $u^* \in X$  that is,  $Au^* = u^*$ .*

**Theorem 8.2.** [KST06, Theorem 1.8]/[Arzelá-Ascoli theorem] *A necessary and sufficient condition that a subset of continuous functions  $U$ , which are defined on the closed interval  $[a, b]$ , be relatively compact in  $C[a, b]$  is that this subset be uniformly bounded and equicontinuous.*

In this statement,

- $U \subset C[a, b]$  is uniformly bounded means that there exists a number  $C$  such that

$$|\varphi(x)| \leq C$$

for all  $x \in [a, b]$  and for all  $\varphi \in U$ , and



- $U \subset C[a, b]$  is equicontinuous means that: for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is a  $\delta = \delta(\varepsilon) > 0$  such that

$$|\varphi(x_1) - \varphi(x_2)| < \varepsilon$$

holds for all  $x_1, x_2 \in [a, b]$  such that  $|x_1 - x_2| < \delta$  and for all  $\varphi \in U$ .

**Theorem 8.3.** [KST06, Theorem 1.7]/[Schauder's fixed point theorem] *Let  $U$  be a closed convex subset of  $C[a, b]$ , and let  $A : U \rightarrow U$  be the map such that the set  $\{Au : u \in U\}$  is relatively compact in  $C[a, b]$ . Then the operator  $A$  has at least one fixed point  $u^* \in U$  i.e.  $Au^* = u^*$ .*

**Theorem 8.4.** [KF, p. 77] *Let  $H$  be Hilbert space. Then linear functional  $F : H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous if and only if it is bounded on  $H$ .*

## REFERENCES

- [ABGM15] L. D. Abreu, P. Balazs, M. de Gosson, Z. Mouayn. Discrete coherent states for higher Landau levels. *Ann. Physics*, 363: 337–353, 2015.
- [CS17] L. A. Caffarelli, Y. Sire. On some pointwise inequalities involving nonlocal operators. In: S. Chanillo, B. Franchi, G. Lu, C. Perez, E. Sawyer. (eds) *Harmonic Analysis, Partial Differential Equations and Applications. Applied and Numerical Harmonic Analysis*. Birkhäuser, Cham. 2017.
- [ChDR21] M. Chatzakou, J. Delgado, M. Ruzhansky. On a class of anharmonic oscillators. *J. Math. Pures Appl.*, 153(9):1–29, 2021.
- [Foc28] V. Fock. Bemerkung zur quantelung des harmonischen oszillators im Magnetfeld. *Z. Phys. A*, 47(5–6): 446–448, 1928.
- [HH13] A. Haimi, H. Hedenmalm. The polyanalytic Ginibre ensembles. *J. Stat. Phys.*, 153(1):10–47, 2013.
- [H81] D. Henry. *Geometric theory of semilinear parabolic equations*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1981.
- [HR82] B. Helffer, D. Robert. Asymptotique des niveaux d'énergie pour des hamiltoniens à un degré de liberté. *Duke Math. J.*, 49(4):853–868, 1982.
- [HLI16] M. S. Hussein, D. Lesnic, M. I. Ismailov. An inverse problem of finding the time-dependent diffusion coefficient from an integral condition. *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.*, 39(5): 963–980, 2016.
- [IO16] M. I. Ismailov, B. Oğur. An inverse diffusion problem with nonlocal boundary conditions. *Numer. Methods Partial Differential Equations*, 32(2): 564–590, 2016.
- [I93] N. I. Ivanchov. Inverse problems for the heat-conduction equation with nonlocal boundary conditions. *Ukr. Math. J.* 45: 1186–1192, 1993.
- [KI12] F. Kanca, M. I. Ismailov. The inverse problem of finding the time-dependent diffusion coefficient of the heat equation from integral overdetermination data. *Inverse Probl Sci Eng*, 20: 463–476, 2012.
- [KST06] A. A. Kilbas, H. M. Srivastava, J. J. Trujillo. *Theory and applications of fractional differential equations*. Mathematics studies, vol. 204. North-Holland: Elsevier; 2006:vii–x.
- [KF] A. N. Kolmogorov, S. V. Fomin. *Elements of the theory of functions and functional analysis*. Vol. 1. Metric and normed spaces. Translated from the first Russian edition by Leo F. Boron. Graylock Press, Rochester, N.Y., 1957. ix+129 pp.
- [Lan30] L. Landau. Diamagnetismus der Metalle. *Z. Phys. A*, 64(9–10): 629–637, 1930.

- [LL14] A. O. Lopushanskyi, H. P. Lopushanska. One inverse problem for the diffusion-wave equation in bounded domain. *Ukrainian Math. J.*, 66: 743–757, 2014.
- [LG99] Y. Luchko, R. Gorenflo. An operational method for solving fractional differential equations with the Caputo derivatives. *Acta Math. Vietnam.*, 24:207–233, 1999.
- [L09] Y. Luchko. Maximum principle for the generalized time-fractional diffusion equation, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 351: 218–223, 2009.
- [MS97] K.S. Miller, S.G. Samko. A note on the complete monotonicity of the generalized Mittag-Leffler function. *Real Anal. Exchange*, 23: 763–766, 1997.
- [MS01] K. S. Miller, S. G. Samko. Completely monotonic functions. *Integral Transform. Spec.Funct.*, 12(4): 389–402, 2001.
- [Nai68] M. A. Naïmark. Linear differential operators. Part II: Linear differential operators in Hilbert space. With additional material by the author, and a supplement by V. È. Ljance. Translated from the Russian by E. R. Dawson. English translation edited by W. N. Everitt. Frederick Ungar Publishing Co., New York, 1968.
- [OSh07] Z.M. Odibat, N.T. Shawagfeh. Generalized Taylor’s formula. *Appl. Math. Comput.*, 186: 286–293, 2007.
- [Pod99] I. Podlubny. Fractional differential equations. An introduction to fractional derivatives, fractional differential equations, to methods of their solution and some of their applications. Mathematics in Science and Engineering, 198. Academic Press, Inc., San Diego, CA, xxiv+340 pp., 1999.
- [P48] H. Pollard. The completely monotonic character of the Mittag-Leffler function  $E_\alpha(-x)$ , *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 54: 1115–1116, 1948.
- [SY11] K. Sakamoto, M. Yamamoto. Initial value/boundary value problems for fractional diffusion-wave equations and applications to some inverse problems, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 382: 426–447, 2011.
- [SKM93] S. G. Samko, A. A. Kilbas, O. I. Marichev. Fractional integrals and derivatives, Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, Yverdon, 1993, Theory and applications, Edited and with a foreword by S. M. Nikol’skii [Russian].
- [Sch96] W. R. Schneider. Completely monotone generalized Mittag-Leffler functions. *Expo. Math.*, 14: 3–16, 1996.
- [Sim14] T. Simon. Comparing Frechet and positive stable laws. *Electron. J. Probab.*, 19:1–25, 2014.
- [TT17] B. T. Torebek, R. Tapdigoglu. Some inverse problems for the nonlocal heat equation with Caputo fractional derivative. *Mathematical Methods in the Applied Sciences*, 40(18): 6468–6479, 2017.
- [TT16] N. Tokmagambetov, B. T. Torebek. Fractional analogue of Sturm–Liouville operator. *Documenta Mathematica*, 21: 1503–1514, 2016.
- [TT18] N. Tokmagambetov, B. T. Torebek. Green’s formula for integro-differential operators. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 468(1):473–479, 2018.
- [TT19] N. Tokmagambetov, B. T. Torebek. Fractional Sturm–Liouville equations: self-adjoint extensions. *Complex Analysis and Operator Theory*, 13(5): 2259–2267, 2019.
- [Zh16] Z. Zhang. An undetermined coefficient problem for a fractional diffusion equation. *Inverse Problems* 32 (2016), no. 1, 015011, 21 pp.
- [Zh17] Z. Zhang. An undetermined time-dependent coefficient in a fractional diffusion equation. *Inverse Probl. Imaging* 11 (2017), no. 5, 875–900.

DAURENBEK SERIKBAEV:

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS: ANALYSIS, LOGIC AND DISCRETE MATHEMATICS  
GHENT UNIVERSITY, BELGIUM

AND

AL-FARABI KAZAKH NATIONAL UNIVERSITY  
ALMATY, KAZAKHSTAN

AND

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS AND MATHEMATICAL MODELING  
ALMATY, KAZAKHSTAN

*E-mail address* daurenbek.serikbaev@ugent.be

MICHAEL RUZHANSKY:

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS: ANALYSIS, LOGIC AND DISCRETE MATHEMATICS  
GHENT UNIVERSITY, BELGIUM

AND

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES  
QUEEN MARY UNIVERSITY OF LONDON  
UNITED KINGDOM

*E-mail address* michael.ruzhansky@ugent.be

NIYAZ TOKMAGAMBETOV:

CENTRE DE RECERCA MATEMÀTICA

EDIFICI C, CAMPUS BELLATERRA, 08193 BELLATERRA (BARCELONA), SPAIN

AND

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS AND MATHEMATICAL MODELING  
125 PUSHKIN STR., 050010 ALMATY, KAZAKHSTAN

*E-mail address:* tokmagambetov@crm.cat; tokmagambetov@math.kz