# AN UPPER BOUND OF THE MINIMAL ASYMPTOTIC TRANSLATION LENGTH OF RIGHT-ANGLED ARTIN GROUPS ON EXTENSION GRAPHS

EON-KYUNG LEE AND SANG-JIN LEE

ABSTRACT. For the right-angled Artin group action on the extension graph, it is known that the minimal asymptotic translation length is bounded above by 2 provided that the defining graph has diameter at least 3. In this paper, we show that the same result holds without any assumption. This is done by exploring some graph theoretic properties of biconnected graphs, i.e. connected graphs whose complement is also connected.

*Keywords*: right-angled Artin groups, extension graphs, translation length, biconnected graphs

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 20F36, 20F65, 20F69, 57M15, 57M60

## 1. INTRODUCTION

For a simplicial graph  $\Gamma = (V(\Gamma), E(\Gamma))$ , let  $d_{\Gamma}(v_1, v_2)$  denote the graph metric on  $V(\Gamma)$ , i.e. the length of the shortest path in  $\Gamma$  from  $v_1$  to  $v_2$ . Let diam( $\Gamma$ ) denote the *diameter* of  $\Gamma$ , i.e. diam( $\Gamma$ ) = max{ $d_{\Gamma}(v_1, v_2) : v_1, v_2 \in V(\Gamma)$ }. Let  $\overline{\Gamma}$  denote the *complement graph* of  $\Gamma$ , i.e.  $V(\overline{\Gamma}) = V(\Gamma)$  and  $E(\overline{\Gamma}) = \{\{v_1, v_2\} \subset V(\Gamma) : v_1 \neq v_2, \{v_1, v_2\} \notin E(\Gamma)\}$ .  $\Gamma$  is called *nontrivial* if  $|V(\Gamma)| \ge 2$ .  $\Gamma$  is called *biconnected* if both  $\Gamma$  and  $\overline{\Gamma}$  are connected.

The right-angled Artin group  $A(\Gamma)$  on a finite simplicial graph  $\Gamma$  is the group generated by the vertices of  $\Gamma$  such that two generators commute if they are adjacent, hence

$$A(\Gamma) = \langle v \in V(\Gamma) : v_i v_j = v_j v_i \text{ if } \{v_i, v_j\} \in E(\Gamma) \rangle.$$

The extension graph  $\Gamma^e$  of  $\Gamma$  is the graph such that the vertex set  $V(\Gamma^e)$  is the set of all elements of  $A(\Gamma)$  that are conjugate to a vertex of  $\Gamma$ , and two vertices  $v_1^{g_1}$  and  $v_2^{g_2}$  are adjacent in  $\Gamma^e$  if and only if they commute as elements of  $A(\Gamma)$ . (Here,  $v^g$  denotes the conjugate  $g^{-1}vg$ .) Therefore

$$\begin{split} V(\Gamma^e) &= \{ v^g : v \in V(\Gamma), \ g \in A(\Gamma) \}, \\ E(\Gamma^e) &= \{ \{ v_1^{g_1}, v_2^{g_2} \} : v_1^{g_1}, v_2^{g_2} \in V(\Gamma^e), \ v_1^{g_1} v_2^{g_2} = v_2^{g_2} v_1^{g_1} \text{ in } A(\Gamma) \}. \end{split}$$

Extension graphs are usually infinite and locally infinite. They are very useful in the study of right-angled Artin groups such as the embeddability problem between right-angled Artin groups [KK13, KK14a, LL16, LL18]. Let  $d_e$  denote the graph metric  $d_{\Gamma^e}$ . It is known that  $(\Gamma^e, d_e)$  is a quasi-tree, and hence a hyperbolic graph [KK13].

Date: June 7, 2023.

Throughout this paper, all graphs are simplicial, and assumed to be finite except for extension graphs.

**Definition 1.1.** Suppose a group G acts on a connected metric space (X, d) by isometries from right. The *asymptotic translation length* of an element  $g \in G$ , denoted  $\tau_{(X,d)}(g)$  or  $\tau(g)$ , is defined by

$$\tau(g) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{d(xg^n, x)}{n},$$

where  $x \in X$ . This limit always exists, is independent of the choice of x, and satisfies  $\tau(g^n) = |n|\tau(g)$  and  $\tau(h^{-1}gh) = \tau(g)$  for all  $g, h \in G$  and  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . If  $\tau(g) > 0$ , g is called *loxodromic*. If  $\{d(xg^n, x)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is bounded, g is called *elliptic*. If  $\tau(g) = 0$  and  $\{d(xg^n, x)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is unbounded, g is called *parabolic*. The *minimal asymptotic translation* length of G for the action on (X, d), denoted  $\mathcal{L}_{(X,d)}(G)$ , is defined by

$$\mathcal{L}_{(X,d)}(G) = \min\{\tau_{(X,d)}(g) : g \in G, \ \tau_{(X,d)}(g) > 0\}$$

The (minimal) asymptotic translation lengths have been studied for the actions of various geometric groups (see [LL07, GT11, KS19, BS20, Gen22, BSS23] for example). For the action of  $A(\Gamma)$  on  $(\Gamma^e, d_e)$ , Baik, Seo and Shin showed the following which is an analogue of the theorem of Bowditch [Bow08, Theorem 1.4].

**Theorem 1.2** ([BSS23, Theorem A]). Let  $\Gamma$  be a nontrivial biconnected graph. For the action of  $A(\Gamma)$  on  $(\Gamma^e, d_e)$ , all loxodromic elements have rational asymptotic translation lengths. If  $\Gamma$  has girth at least 6 in addition, the asymptotic translation lengths have a common denominator.

As in the above theorem, it is natural to require that " $\Gamma$  is a nontrivial biconnected graph" when we consider the action of  $A(\Gamma)$  on  $\Gamma^e$ , because  $\Gamma^e$  is connected with infinite diameter if and only if  $\Gamma$  is nontrivial and biconnected [KK13, Lemma 3.5]. Observe that if  $|V(\Gamma)| \in \{2,3\}$  then either  $\Gamma$  or  $\overline{\Gamma}$  is disconnected. Hence the statement that " $\Gamma$  is a nontrivial biconnected graph" implies  $|V(\Gamma)| \ge 4$ .

For the lower bound of the minimal asymptotic translation length of  $A(\Gamma)$  on  $(\Gamma^e, d_e)$ , it follows from a result of Kim and Koberda [KK14b, Lemma 33] that  $\mathcal{L}_{(\Gamma^e, d_e)}(A(\Gamma)) \geq \frac{1}{2|V(\Gamma)|^2}$ . This lower bound is improved to  $\mathcal{L}_{(\Gamma^e, d_e)}(A(\Gamma)) \geq \frac{1}{|V(\Gamma)|^{-2}}$  in [LL22, Theorem 6.5].

For the upper bound, Baik, Seo and Shin showed the following.

**Theorem 1.3** ([BSS23, Theorem F]). Let  $\Gamma$  be a nontrivial biconnected graph. If diam( $\Gamma$ )  $\geq$  3, then

$$\mathcal{L}_{(\Gamma^e, d_e)}(A(\Gamma)) \leqslant 2$$

The graphs with diameter 2 form a large class of graphs. Therefore it would be natural to ask whether the same upper bound holds for these graphs. The following is the main result of this paper, which shows that the above upper bound holds without any assumption.

**Theorem A** (Theorem 4.3) For any nontrivial biconnected graph  $\Gamma$ ,

$$\mathcal{L}_{(\Gamma^e, d_e)}(A(\Gamma)) \leqslant 2.$$

If diam( $\overline{\Gamma}$ ) is large, we obtain a better upper bound as follows.

**Theorem B** (Theorem 4.5) Let  $\Gamma$  be a biconnected graph. If  $d = \operatorname{diam}(\overline{\Gamma}) \geq 3$ , then

$$\mathcal{L}_{(\Gamma^e, d_e)}(A(\Gamma)) \leqslant \frac{2}{d-2}.$$

Theorem B says that if  $d = \operatorname{diam}(\overline{\Gamma})$  is large, then  $\mathcal{L}_{(\Gamma^e, d_e)}(A(\Gamma))$  is small. One may ask whether the converse holds, i.e. if  $\mathcal{L}_{(\Gamma^e, d_e)}$  is small, then  $\operatorname{diam}(\overline{\Gamma})$  is large. The following theorem shows that this is not the case.

**Theorem C** (Theorem 4.6) For any  $n \ge 4$ , there exists a biconnected graph  $\Gamma_n$  such that

$$|V(\Gamma_n)| = n+2, \quad \operatorname{diam}(\Gamma_n) = \operatorname{diam}(\overline{\Gamma_n}) = 2, \quad \mathcal{L}_{(\Gamma_n^e, d_e)}(A(\Gamma_n)) \leqslant \frac{2}{n-3}$$

## 2. Preliminaries

2.1. Notations on graphs. For  $v \in V(\Gamma)$  and  $A \subset V(\Gamma)$ , the stars  $\operatorname{St}_{\Gamma}(v)$  and  $\operatorname{St}_{\Gamma}(A)$  are defined as

$$St_{\Gamma}(v) = \{ u \in V(\Gamma) : d_{\Gamma}(u, v) \leq 1 \},$$
  
$$St_{\Gamma}(A) = \bigcup_{v \in A} St_{\Gamma}(v) = \{ u \in V(\Gamma) : d_{\Gamma}(u, v) \leq 1 \text{ for some } v \in A \}.$$

For an edge  $e = \{v_1, v_2\}$ , the star  $St_{\Gamma}(\{v_1, v_2\})$  is also denoted by  $St_{\Gamma}(e)$ .

**Definition 2.1** (dominate). Let A and B be subsets of  $V(\Gamma)$ . If  $B \subset St_{\Gamma}(A)$  (i.e. each vertex  $v \in B$  is either contained in A or adjacent to a vertex in A), then we say that A dominates B in  $\Gamma$ . If A dominates  $V(\Gamma)$  in  $\Gamma$ , then we simply say that A dominates  $\Gamma$ .

From the above definition, the statement that A dominates  $\overline{\Gamma}$  means  $\operatorname{St}_{\overline{\Gamma}}(A) = V(\Gamma)$ . For  $A \subset V(\Gamma)$ ,  $\Gamma[A]$  denotes the subgraph of  $\Gamma$  induced by A, i.e.

 $V(\Gamma[A]) = A, \qquad E(\Gamma[A]) = \{ \{v_1, v_2\} : v_1, v_2 \in A, \ \{v_1, v_2\} \in E(\Gamma) \}.$ 

A graph  $\Gamma_0$  is called an *induced subgraph* of  $\Gamma$  if  $\Gamma_0 = \Gamma[A]$  for some  $A \subset V(\Gamma)$ . Notice the following.

- (i) For  $A \subset V(\Gamma)$ ,  $\overline{\Gamma}[A] = \Gamma[A]$ , i.e. the subgraph of  $\overline{\Gamma}$  induced by A is the same as the complement of the subgraph of  $\Gamma$  induced by A.
- (ii) If  $\Gamma_0$  is an induced subgraph of  $\Gamma$  and  $B \subset V(\Gamma_0)$ , then  $\Gamma[B] = \Gamma_0[B]$ , i.e. B induces the same subgraph in  $\Gamma$  and in  $\Gamma_0$ .

For graphs  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_2$ , the *disjoint union*  $\Gamma_1 \sqcup \Gamma_2$  is the graph such that

$$V(\Gamma_1 \sqcup \Gamma_2) = V(\Gamma_1) \sqcup V(\Gamma_2),$$
  
$$E(\Gamma_1 \sqcup \Gamma_2) = E(\Gamma_1) \sqcup E(\Gamma_2).$$

The join  $\Gamma_1 * \Gamma_2$  is the graph such that  $\overline{\Gamma_1 * \Gamma_2} = \overline{\Gamma_1} \sqcup \overline{\Gamma_2}$ , hence

$$V(\Gamma_1 * \Gamma_2) = V(\Gamma_1) \sqcup V(\Gamma_2),$$
  

$$E(\Gamma_1 * \Gamma_2) = E(\Gamma_1) \sqcup E(\Gamma_2) \sqcup \{ \{v_1, v_2\} : v_1 \in V(\Gamma_1), v_2 \in V(\Gamma_2) \}.$$

A graph is called a *join* if it is the join of two nonempty graphs. A subgraph that is a join is called a *subjoin*.

The path graph  $P_n = P_n(v_1, \ldots, v_n)$  is the graph with  $V(P_n) = \{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$  and  $E(P_n) = \{\{v_i, v_{i+1}\} : 1 \leq i \leq n-1\}$ . For example,  $P_4(v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4)$  looks like  $v_1 \quad v_2 \quad v_3 \quad v_4$ and its complement is  $P_4(v_3, v_1, v_4, v_2)$ . Notice that  $P_n$  is biconnected for all  $n \geq 4$  and that  $P_4$  is the only biconnected graph with four vertices.

2.2. Right-angled Artin groups. An element of  $V(\Gamma)^{\pm 1} = V(\Gamma) \cup V(\Gamma)^{-1}$  is called a *letter*. A word means a finite sequence of letters. Suppose that  $g \in A(\Gamma)$  is expressed as a word w. The word w is called *reduced* if w is a shortest word among all the words representing g. In this case, the length of w is called the *word length* of g.

**Definition 2.2** (support). For  $g \in A(\Gamma)$ , the support of g, denoted supp(g), is the set of generators that appear in a reduced word representing g.

It is known that supp(g) is well defined (see [HM95]), i.e. it does not depend on the choice of a reduced word representing g.

**Definition 2.3** (cyclically reduced). An element  $g \in A(\Gamma)$  is called *cyclically reduced* if it has the minimal word length in its conjugacy class.

Notice that every positive word (i.e. a word composed of only letters in  $V(\Gamma)$ ) is both reduced and cyclically reduced.

The following equivalences are well known (see [KK14b, LL22] for example).

**Lemma 2.4.** Let  $\Gamma$  be a nontrivial biconnected graph. For a cyclically reduced element  $g \in A(\Gamma) \setminus \{1\}$ , the following are equivalent:

- (i) g is loxodromic on  $(\Gamma^e, d_e)$ ;
- (ii)  $\operatorname{supp}(g)$  is not contained in a subjoin of  $\Gamma$ ;
- (iii)  $\Gamma[\operatorname{supp}(g)]$  is connected and  $\operatorname{supp}(g)$  dominates  $\Gamma$ .

### 3. BICONNECTED GRAPHS

In this section we study biconnected graphs. Theorem 3.5 is the main result of this section. We begin with three easy lemmas.

A vertex v of  $\Gamma$  is called a *cut vertex* of  $\Gamma$  if  $\Gamma \setminus v$  is disconnected.



FIGURE 1. Figure for Lemma 3.1.

**Lemma 3.1.** Let  $\Gamma$  be a biconnected graph. If  $\Gamma$  has a cut vertex, then diam $(\Gamma) \ge 3$ .

Proof. Let  $v_0$  be a cut vertex of  $\Gamma$ . Then  $\Gamma \setminus v_0$  is a disjoint union  $\Gamma_1 \sqcup \Gamma_1$  for some nontrivial subgraphs  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_2$ . (See Figure 1.) If  $d_{\Gamma}(v_0, v) \leq 1$  for all  $v \in V(\Gamma)$ , then  $\Gamma = \{v_0\} * (\Gamma \setminus v_0)$ , which contradicts that  $\overline{\Gamma}$  is connected. Therefore there exists a vertex  $v_1$  such that  $d_{\Gamma}(v_0, v_1) \geq 2$ . We may assume  $v_1 \in \Gamma_1$ . Choose a vertex  $v_2$  of  $\Gamma_2$ . Because  $v_0$  is a cut vertex, each path from  $v_1$  to  $v_2$  must pass through  $v_0$ . Therefore  $d_{\Gamma}(v_1, v_2) = d_{\Gamma}(v_1, v_0) + d_{\Gamma}(v_0, v_2) \geq 2 + 1 = 3$ , hence diam $(\Gamma) \geq 3$ .

**Lemma 3.2.** Let A and B be subsets of  $V(\Gamma)$  such that  $A \subset B \subset St_{\Gamma}(A)$ . If  $\Gamma[A]$  is connected then  $\Gamma[B]$  is also connected.

*Proof.* Since  $A \subset B$ , the graph  $\Gamma[B]$  is obtained from the connected graph  $\Gamma[A]$  by adding some vertices and some edges. Since  $B \subset \operatorname{St}_{\Gamma}(A)$ , each vertex in  $\Gamma[B] \setminus \Gamma[A]$  is adjacent to a vertex in  $\Gamma[A]$ , hence  $\Gamma[B]$  is connected.  $\Box$ 

**Lemma 3.3.** For any  $v \in V(\Gamma)$ ,  $\operatorname{St}_{\Gamma}(v)$  dominates  $\overline{\Gamma}$ . For any  $e \in E(\Gamma)$ ,  $\operatorname{St}_{\Gamma}(e)$  dominates  $\overline{\Gamma}$ .

Proof. For a vertex v, each  $u \in V(\Gamma) \setminus \{v\}$  is adjacent to v either in  $\Gamma$  or in  $\overline{\Gamma}$ , hence  $V(\Gamma) = \operatorname{St}_{\Gamma}(v) \cup \operatorname{St}_{\overline{\Gamma}}(v) \subset \operatorname{St}_{\overline{\Gamma}}(\operatorname{St}_{\Gamma}(v))$ . This means that  $\operatorname{St}_{\Gamma}(v)$  dominates  $\overline{\Gamma}$ . For an edge  $e = \{v_1, v_2\}$ ,  $\operatorname{St}_{\Gamma}(e)$  dominates  $\overline{\Gamma}$  because  $\operatorname{St}_{\Gamma}(v_1) \subset \operatorname{St}_{\Gamma}(e)$  and  $\operatorname{St}_{\Gamma}(v_1)$  dominates  $\overline{\Gamma}$ .  $\Box$ 

**Lemma 3.4.** Let  $\Gamma$  be a biconnected graph. If either diam $(\Gamma) \ge 3$  or diam $(\Gamma) \ge 3$ , then there exists an edge e in  $\Gamma$  such that  $\Gamma[St_{\Gamma}(e)]$  is biconnected.

*Proof.* Since  $\Gamma[\operatorname{St}_{\Gamma}(e)]$  is connected for any edge e, it suffices to show that  $\Gamma[\operatorname{St}_{\Gamma}(e)]$  is connected for some edge e of  $\Gamma$ . We use the following claim.

Claim. Let  $v_1, v_2 \in V(\Gamma)$ .

- (i) If  $d_{\overline{\Gamma}}(v_1, v_2) \ge 3$ , then  $\{v_1, v_2\}$  dominates  $\Gamma$ , i.e.  $\operatorname{St}_{\Gamma}(\{v_1, v_2\}) = V(\Gamma)$ .
- (ii) If  $d_{\Gamma}(v_1, v_2) \ge 3$ , then  $\{v_1, v_2\}$  dominates  $\overline{\Gamma}$ , i.e.  $\operatorname{St}_{\overline{\Gamma}}(\{v_1, v_2\}) = V(\Gamma)$ .

Proof of Claim. Since (i) and (ii) are equivalent, we prove only (i). Suppose  $d_{\overline{\Gamma}}(v_1, v_2) \ge 3$ . For each  $v \in V(\Gamma)$ , either  $d_{\overline{\Gamma}}(v, v_1) \ge 2$  or  $d_{\overline{\Gamma}}(v, v_2) \ge 2$  because otherwise  $d_{\overline{\Gamma}}(v_1, v_2) \le d_{\overline{\Gamma}}(v_1, v) + d_{\overline{\Gamma}}(v, v_2) \le 1 + 1 = 2$ . Therefore either  $\{v, v_1\} \in E(\Gamma)$  or  $\{v, v_2\} \in E(\Gamma)$ . This means that  $\operatorname{St}_{\Gamma}(\{v_1, v_2\}) = V(\Gamma)$ .



FIGURE 2. Graphs for Example 3.6

We first assume diam $(\overline{\Gamma}) \geq 3$ . Choose  $v_1, v_2 \in V(\Gamma)$  such that  $d_{\overline{\Gamma}}(v_1, v_2) \geq 3$ , and let  $e = \{v_1, v_2\}$ . By the above claim,  $\operatorname{St}_{\Gamma}(e) = V(\Gamma)$ , hence  $\overline{\Gamma}[\operatorname{St}_{\Gamma}(e)] = \overline{\Gamma}$  and it is connected.

We now assume diam( $\Gamma$ )  $\geq 3$ . Choose  $v_1, v_4 \in V(\Gamma)$  such that  $d_{\Gamma}(v_1, v_4) = 3$ . Choose a path  $(v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4)$  from  $v_1$  to  $v_4$ , and let  $e = \{v_2, v_3\}$  and  $A = \{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4\}$ . Then  $\Gamma[A]$  is the path graph  $P_4(v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4)$ , hence  $\overline{\Gamma}[A]$  is the path graph  $P_4(v_2, v_4, v_1, v_3)$ , which is connected.

Notice that  $\{v_1, v_4\} \subset A \subset \operatorname{St}_{\Gamma}(e)$ . Since  $d_{\Gamma}(v_1, v_4) \ge 3$ ,  $\{v_1, v_4\}$  dominates  $\overline{\Gamma}$  by the above claim, hence A also dominates  $\overline{\Gamma}$ . Therefore

$$A \subset \operatorname{St}_{\Gamma}(e) \subset V(\Gamma) = \operatorname{St}_{\overline{\Gamma}}(A).$$

Since  $\overline{\Gamma}[A]$  is connected,  $\overline{\Gamma}[\operatorname{St}_{\Gamma}(e)]$  is also connected (by Lemma 3.2).

Using the above lemmas, we establish the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.5.** For any nontrivial biconnected graph  $\Gamma$ , there exists an edge e of  $\Gamma$  such that  $\Gamma[St_{\Gamma}(e)]$  is biconnected.

Before proving the theorem, let us see examples.

**Example 3.6.** Let  $\Gamma$  be the path graph  $P_6$  in Figure 2(a). If  $e = \{v_1, v_2\}$ , then  $\Gamma[\operatorname{St}_{\Gamma}(e)]$  is the path graph  $P_3(v_1, v_2, v_3)$ , which is not biconnected. If  $e = \{v_2, v_3\}$ , then  $\Gamma[\operatorname{St}_{\Gamma}(e)]$  is the path graph  $P_4(v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4)$ , which is biconnected. It is easy to see that  $\Gamma[\operatorname{St}_{\Gamma}(e)]$  is biconnected if and only if  $e = \{v_i, v_{i+1}\}$  for  $2 \leq i \leq 4$ .

Let  $\Gamma$  be the graph with 7 vertices in Figure 2(b). If  $e = \{v_3, v_4\}$ , then  $\Gamma[\operatorname{St}_{\Gamma}(e)]$  is the join  $\{v_0\} * P_4(v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5)$ , which is not biconnected. If  $e = \{v_0, v_6\}$ , then  $\Gamma[\operatorname{St}_{\Gamma}(e)]$  is the join  $\{v_0\} * P_5(v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5, v_6)$ , which is not biconnected. It is easy to see that  $\Gamma[\operatorname{St}_{\Gamma}(e)]$  is biconnected if and only if e is  $\{v_0, v_2\}$  or  $\{v_2, v_3\}$ .

Let  $\Gamma$  be the pentagon  $C_5$  in Figure 2(c). Then  $\Gamma[St_{\Gamma}(e)]$  is biconnected for any edge e.

Figures 3 and 4 are for the proof of Theorem 3.5, where the solid (resp. dotted) lines between two vertices indicate edges (resp. non-edges) in  $\Gamma$ .

Proof of Theorem 3.5. Since  $\Gamma[St_{\Gamma}(e)]$  is connected for any edge e, it suffices to show that  $\overline{\Gamma}[St_{\Gamma}(e)]$  is connected for some edge e.

We use an induction on  $|V(\Gamma)|$ .



FIGURE 3. Figure for Case 1 in the proof of Theorem 3.5

If  $|V(\Gamma)| = 4$ , then  $\Gamma$  must be the path graph  $P_4$ , hence we are done (by Lemma 3.4). We now assume  $|V(\Gamma)| \ge 5$ . Choose a vertex  $v_0$ , and let  $\Gamma_0 = \Gamma \setminus v_0$ .

By Lemma 3.1, if  $\Gamma_0$  is disconnected then diam $(\Gamma) \ge 3$ , and if  $\overline{\Gamma_0}$  is disconnected then  $\operatorname{diam}(\Gamma) \geq 3$ . In either case, we are done (by Lemma 3.4). Therefore we may assume that  $\Gamma_0$  is biconnected.

By induction hypothesis, there exists an edge  $e_0 = \{v_1, v_2\}$  of  $\Gamma_0$  such that  $\Gamma_0[St_{\Gamma_0}(e_0)]$ is biconnected. Notice the following:

- $\operatorname{St}_{\Gamma}(e_0)$  is either  $\operatorname{St}_{\Gamma_0}(e_0)$  or  $\operatorname{St}_{\Gamma_0}(e_0) \cup \{v_0\};$   $\overline{\Gamma}[\operatorname{St}_{\Gamma_0}(e_0)] = \overline{\Gamma_0}[\operatorname{St}_{\Gamma_0}(e_0)] = \overline{\Gamma_0}[\operatorname{St}_{\Gamma_0}(e_0)];$
- $\overline{\Gamma_0}[\operatorname{St}_{\Gamma_0}(e_0)]$  is connected because  $\Gamma_0[\operatorname{St}_{\Gamma_0}(e_0)]$  is biconnected.

Case 1.  $v_0$  does not dominate  $\operatorname{St}_{\Gamma_0}(e_0)$  in  $\Gamma$ .

We will show that in this case  $\overline{\Gamma}[\operatorname{St}_{\Gamma}(e_0)]$  is connected. Then we are done by taking  $e = e_0$ .

If  $v_0$  is adjacent to neither  $v_1$  nor  $v_2$  in  $\Gamma$ , then  $\operatorname{St}_{\Gamma}(e_0) = \operatorname{St}_{\Gamma_0}(e_0)$ , hence

$$\overline{\Gamma}[\operatorname{St}_{\Gamma}(e_0)] = \overline{\Gamma}[\operatorname{St}_{\Gamma_0}(e_0)] = \overline{\Gamma_0}[\operatorname{St}_{\Gamma_0}(e_0)].$$

Since  $\overline{\Gamma_0}[\operatorname{St}_{\Gamma_0}(e_0)]$  is connected, we are done.

If  $v_0$  is adjacent to either  $v_1$  or  $v_2$  in  $\Gamma$ , then  $\operatorname{St}_{\Gamma}(e_0) = \operatorname{St}_{\Gamma_0}(e_0) \cup \{v_0\}$ . Since  $v_0$  does not dominate  $\operatorname{St}_{\Gamma_0}(e_0)$  in  $\Gamma$ , there is a vertex  $v_3 \in \operatorname{St}_{\Gamma_0}(e_0)$  that is not adjacent to  $v_0$  in  $\Gamma$  (hence  $v_0$  is adjacent to  $v_3$  in  $\overline{\Gamma}$ ). See Figure 3. Since  $\overline{\Gamma}[\operatorname{St}_{\Gamma}(e_0)]$  is obtained from  $\overline{\Gamma}_0[\operatorname{St}_{\Gamma_0}(e_0)]$  by adding a vertex  $v_0$  and adding at least one edge  $\{v_0, v_3\}$ , the graph  $\overline{\Gamma}[\operatorname{St}_{\Gamma}(e_0)]$  is connected, hence we are done.

Case 2.  $v_0$  dominates  $\operatorname{St}_{\Gamma_0}(e_0)$  in  $\Gamma$ .

Notice that  $\operatorname{St}_{\Gamma}(e_0) = \operatorname{St}_{\Gamma_0}(e_0) \cup \{v_0\} \subset \operatorname{St}_{\Gamma}(v_0).$ 

There exists a vertex  $v_3 \in V(\Gamma) \setminus \operatorname{St}_{\Gamma_0}(e_0)$  such that  $d_{\Gamma}(v_0, v_3) = 2$  because otherwise  $d_{\Gamma}(v_0, v) \leq 1$  for all  $v \in V(\Gamma)$ , hence  $\Gamma = \{v_0\} * \Gamma_0$ , which contradicts that  $\overline{\Gamma}$  is connected. Choose  $v_4 \in V(\Gamma)$  such that  $(v_0, v_4, v_3)$  is a path in  $\Gamma$  from  $v_0$  to  $v_3$ . See Figure 4, where  $v_4 \in \operatorname{St}_{\Gamma_0}(e_0)$  in the left and  $v_4 \notin \operatorname{St}_{\Gamma_0}(e_0)$  in the right.

Let  $e = \{v_0, v_4\}$ . We will show that  $\Gamma[St_{\Gamma}(e)]$  is connected.

Let  $A = \operatorname{St}_{\Gamma_0}(e_0) \cup \{v_0, v_3, v_4\}$ , and observe the following.



FIGURE 4. Figures for Case 2 in the proof of Theorem 3.5

• A dominates  $\overline{\Gamma}$  because  $\operatorname{St}_{\Gamma}(e_0) \subset A$  and  $\operatorname{St}_{\Gamma}(e_0)$  dominates  $\overline{\Gamma}$  (by Lemma 3.3). Therefore

$$A \subset \operatorname{St}_{\Gamma}(e) \subset V(\Gamma) = \operatorname{St}_{\overline{\Gamma}}(A).$$

• Since  $\overline{\Gamma_0}[\operatorname{St}_{\Gamma_0}(e_0)]$  is connected and since  $\{v_1, v_3\}$  and  $\{v_3, v_0\}$  are edges of  $\overline{\Gamma}$ , the graph  $\overline{\Gamma}[\operatorname{St}_{\Gamma_0}(e_0) \cup \{v_0, v_3\}]$  is connected. Since either  $v_4$  is contained in  $\operatorname{St}_{\Gamma_0}(e_0)$  (as in Figure 4(a)) or  $\{v_1, v_4\}$  is an edge of  $\overline{\Gamma}$  (as in Figure 4(b)), the graph  $\overline{\Gamma}[\operatorname{St}_{\Gamma_0}(e_0) \cup \{v_0, v_3, v_4\}] = \overline{\Gamma}[A]$  is connected.

Since  $A \subset \operatorname{St}_{\Gamma}(e) \subset \operatorname{St}_{\overline{\Gamma}}(A)$  and  $\overline{\Gamma}[A]$  is connected,  $\overline{\Gamma}[\operatorname{St}_{\Gamma}(e)]$  is connected (by Lemma 3.2).

The following proposition will be used in the proof of Theorem 4.6.

**Proposition 3.7.** For each  $n \ge 3$ , there exists a biconnected graph  $\Lambda_n$  satisfying the following:

- (i) diam $(\Lambda_n)$  = diam $(\overline{\Lambda_n})$  = 2 and  $|V(\Lambda_n)| = n + 2$ ;
- (ii)  $\Lambda_n$  contains a path graph  $P_n$  as an induced subgraph in a way that  $V(P_n)$  dominates both  $\Lambda_n$  and  $\overline{\Lambda_n}$ .

*Proof.* If n = 3, let  $\Lambda_3$  be the pentagon such that  $P_3(v_1, v_2, v_3)$  is an induced subgraph of  $\Lambda_3$  as in the left of Figure 5. It is straightforward to see that  $\Lambda_3$  has the desired properties.

If  $n \ge 4$ , let  $\Lambda_n$  be the graph obtained from  $P_n = P_n(v_1, \ldots, v_n)$  by adding two vertices x and y and by adding edges  $\{x, v_i\}$  for  $i \in \{2, \ldots, n\}$  and  $\{y, v_j\}$  for  $j \in \{1, 4, 5, \ldots, n\}$  as in the right of Figure 5 for the case of n = 7. It is straightforward to see that  $\Lambda_n$  has the desired properties.

#### 4. MINIMAL ASYMPTOTIC TRANSLATION LENGTHS

In this section, we establish our results on the minimal asymptotic translation lengths of right-angled Artin groups on extension graphs. Let us abbreviate the translation length  $\tau_{(\Gamma^e, d_e)}(g)$  to  $\tau_e(g)$ .

For  $v \in V(\Gamma)$ , let Z(v) denote the *centralizer* of v in  $A(\Gamma)$ , i.e.  $Z(v) = \{g \in A(\Gamma) : gv = vg \text{ in } A(\Gamma)\}$ . It is well known that Z(v) is generated by  $\operatorname{St}_{\Gamma}(v)$ .



FIGURE 5. Figures for Proposition 3.7



FIGURE 6. Figure for Lemma 4.1

**Lemma 4.1.** Let  $\{v_1, v_2\} \in E(\Gamma)$  and  $g_1, g_2 \in A(\Gamma)$  such that  $g_1 \in Z(v_1)$  and  $g_2 \in Z(v_2)$ , and let  $g = g_1 g_2$ . Then  $\tau_e(g) \leq 2$ .

*Proof.* Notice that  $\{v_1^h, v_2^h\} \in E(\Gamma^e)$  for any  $h \in A(\Gamma)$ . In particular,  $\{v_1^{g_2}, v_2^{g_2}\} \in E(\Gamma^e)$ .

Since  $v_1^{g_2} = (v_1^{g_1})^{g_2} = v_1^{g_1g_2} = v_1^g$  and  $v_2^{g_2} = v_2$ , we have  $\{v_1^g, v_2\} \in E(\Gamma^e)$ . Now  $(v_1, v_2, v_1^g)$  is a path in  $\Gamma^e$ , hence  $(v_1, v_2, v_1^g, v_2^g, v_1^{g^2}, v_2^{g^2}, v_1^{g^3}, \ldots)$  is also a path in  $\Gamma^e$ . See Figure 6. Therefore  $d_e(v_1, v_1^{g^n}) \leq 2n$  for all  $n \geq 1$ , which implies  $\tau_e(g) \leq 2$ .  $\Box$ 

In the above lemma, the element g may not be loxodromic. The following lemma gives a sufficient condition under which a loxodromic element g with  $\tau_e(g) \leq 2$  exists.

**Lemma 4.2.** Let  $\Gamma$  be a nontrivial biconnected graph. If there exists an edge e of  $\Gamma$  such that  $\Gamma[\operatorname{St}_{\Gamma}(e)]$  is biconnected, then there exists a loxodromic element  $g \in A(\Gamma)$  such that  $\tau_e(g) \leqslant 2.$ 

*Proof.* Let 
$$e = \{v_1, v_2\}$$
,  $\operatorname{St}_{\Gamma}(v_1) = \{v_1, x_1, \dots, x_p\}$  and  $\operatorname{St}_{\Gamma}(v_2) = \{v_2, y_1, \dots, y_q\}$ . Let  
 $g_1 = v_1 x_1 \cdots x_p, \quad g_2 = v_2 y_1 \cdots y_q, \quad g = g_1 g_2.$ 

Then  $g_i \in Z(v_i)$  for i = 1, 2, hence  $\tau_e(g) \leq 2$  (by Lemma 4.1). Therefore it suffices to show that q is loxodromic.

Since each  $g_i$  is represented by a positive word on generators, g is cyclically reduced. Notice that

$$\sup_{g} \sup_{0} \sup_{g} \sup_{0} \sup_{g} \sup_{0} \sup_{g} \sup_{0} \operatorname{St}_{\Gamma}(v_{1}) \cup \operatorname{St}_{\Gamma}(v_{2}) = \operatorname{St}_{\Gamma}(e).$$

Since  $\operatorname{St}_{\Gamma}(e)$  dominates  $\overline{\Gamma}$  (by Lemma 3.3) and  $\overline{\Gamma}[\operatorname{St}_{\Gamma}(e)]$  is connected by the hypothesis, g is loxodromic on  $\Gamma^e$  (by Lemma 2.4).

Combining the above lemma with Theorem 3.5 yields the following theorem.

**Theorem 4.3.** For any nontrivial biconnected graph  $\Gamma$ ,

$$\mathcal{L}_{(\Gamma^e, d_e)}(A(\Gamma)) \leqslant 2.$$

Proof. By Theorem 3.5, there exists an edge  $e = \{v_1, v_2\}$  in  $\Gamma$  such that  $\Gamma[\operatorname{St}_{\Gamma}(e)]$  is biconnected. By Lemma 4.2, there exists a loxodromic element  $g \in A(\Gamma)$  such that  $\tau_e(g) \leq 2$ .

In Theorem 4.5, we will obtain a better upper bound for the minimal asymptotic translation length for the case where diam( $\overline{\Gamma}$ ) is large. For this, we first show the following lemma.

**Lemma 4.4.** Let  $\Gamma$  be a nontrivial biconnected graph. Suppose that there exists an induced subgraph  $\Lambda$  of the complement graph  $\overline{\Gamma}$  such that

- (i)  $\Lambda$  is connected and  $d = \operatorname{diam}(\Lambda) \ge 3$ ;
- (ii)  $V(\Lambda)$  dominates  $\overline{\Gamma}$ .

Then there exists a loxodromic element  $g \in A(\Gamma)$  such that  $\tau_e(g) \leq \frac{2}{d-2}$ . Therefore

$$\mathcal{L}_{(\Gamma^e, d_e)}(A(\Gamma)) \leqslant \frac{2}{d-2}.$$

*Proof.* Since  $d = \operatorname{diam}(\Lambda) \ge 3$ , there are vertices  $v_0, v_d \in V(\Lambda)$  such that  $d_{\Lambda}(v_0, v_d) = d \ge 3$ .

For each  $0 \leq k \leq d$ , let  $V_k = \{v \in V(\Lambda) : d_{\Lambda}(v_0, v) = k\}$  (see Figure 7). Then each  $V_k$  is nonempty and  $V(\Lambda) = V_0 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup V_d$ . Let  $V_k = \{v_{k1}, v_{k2}, \ldots, v_{kr_k}\}$ . Define

$$g_{k} = v_{k1} \cdots v_{kr_{k}} \quad \text{for } 0 \leq k \leq d,$$
  

$$g = g_{0}g_{1} \cdots g_{d},$$
  

$$h_{0} = (g_{0}g_{1} \cdots g_{d-2})(g_{0}g_{1} \cdots g_{d-3}) \cdots (g_{0}g_{1}g_{2})(g_{0}g_{1}),$$
  

$$h_{d} = (g_{d-1}g_{d})(g_{d-2}g_{d-1}g_{d}) \cdots (g_{3} \cdots g_{d})(g_{2} \cdots g_{d}).$$

Observe the following.

- supp $(g_k) = V_k$  for each  $0 \leq k \leq d$ , hence  $g_i g_j = g_j g_i$  if  $|i j| \ge 2$ ;
- g is cyclically reduced and  $\operatorname{supp}(g) = V(\Lambda);$
- since  $\overline{\Gamma}[\operatorname{supp}(g)] = \Lambda$  is connected and  $\operatorname{supp}(g) = V(\Lambda)$  dominates  $\overline{\Gamma}$ , g is loxodromic on  $(\Gamma^e, d_e)$  (by Lemma 2.4);
- $g_0, \ldots, g_{d-2} \in Z(v_d)$ , hence  $h_0 \in Z(v_d)$ ;
- $g_2, g_3, \ldots, g_d \in Z(v_0)$ , hence  $h_d \in Z(v_0)$ .



FIGURE 7. Schematic diagram for the graph  $\Lambda$  in Lemma 4.4

A straightforward computation using the commutativity  $g_i g_j = g_j g_i$  for  $|i - j| \ge 2$  shows that

$$h_0 h_d = g^{d-2}$$

Since  $d_{\Lambda}(v_0, v_d) \ge 3$ ,  $\{v_0, v_d\}$  is an edge of  $\overline{\Lambda}$  and hence of  $\Gamma$ . Since  $h_0 \in Z(v_d)$ ,  $h_d \in Z(v_0)$ and  $g^{d-2} = h_0 h_d$ , we have  $\tau_e(g^{d-2}) \le 2$  (by Lemma 4.1). Therefore  $\tau_e(g) \le \frac{2}{d-2}$ . Since gis loxodromic, we are done.

By putting  $\Lambda = \overline{\Gamma}$  in the above lemma, we obtain the following theorem.

**Theorem 4.5.** Let  $\Gamma$  be a biconnected graph. If  $d = \operatorname{diam}(\overline{\Gamma}) \ge 3$ , then

$$\mathcal{L}_{(\Gamma^e, d_e)}(A(\Gamma)) \leqslant \frac{2}{d-2}$$

From the above theorem, if  $d = \operatorname{diam}(\bar{\Gamma})$  is large, then  $\mathcal{L}_{(\Gamma^e, d_e)}(A(\Gamma))$  is small. One may ask whether the converse holds, i.e. if  $\mathcal{L}_{(\Gamma^e, d_e)}(A(\Gamma))$  is small, then  $\operatorname{diam}(\bar{\Gamma})$  is large. The following theorem shows that this is not the case.

**Theorem 4.6.** For any  $n \ge 4$ , there exists a biconnected graph  $\Gamma_n$  such that

$$|V(\Gamma_n)| = n+2, \quad \operatorname{diam}(\Gamma_n) = \operatorname{diam}(\overline{\Gamma_n}) = 2, \quad \mathcal{L}_{(\Gamma_n^e, d_e)}(A(\Gamma_n)) \leqslant \frac{2}{n-3}.$$

Proof. Let  $\Lambda_n$  be the biconnected graph mentioned in Proposition 3.7, and let  $\Gamma_n = \overline{\Lambda_n}$ . Then diam $(\Gamma_n) = \text{diam}(\overline{\Gamma_n}) = 2$ ;  $|V(\Gamma_n)| = n+2$ ;  $P_n$  is an induced subgraph of  $\overline{\Gamma_n}$ ;  $V(P_n)$  dominates  $\overline{\Gamma_n}$ . Since diam $(P_n) = n - 1 \ge 3$ , there exists a loxodromic element  $g \in A(\Gamma_n)$  such that  $\tau_e(g) \le \frac{2}{\text{diam}(P_n)-2} = \frac{2}{n-3}$  (by Lemma 4.4). Therefore

$$\mathcal{L}_{(\Gamma_n^e, d_e)}(A(\Gamma_n)) \leqslant \frac{2}{n-3}.$$

#### References

- [BSS23] H. Baik, D. Seo and H. Shin, On the finiteness property of hyperbolic simplicial actions: the right-angled Artin groups and their extension graphs, *Geom. Dedicata* 217(1) (2023) 7.
- [BS20] H. Baik and H. Shin, Minimal Asymptotic Translation Lengths of Torelli Groups and Pure Braid Groups on the Curve Graph, Int. Math. Res. Notices 2020(24) (2020) 9974–9987.

[Bow08] B.H. Bowditch, Tight geodesics in the curve complex, Invent. Math. 171(2) (2008) 281-300.

- [GT11] V. Gadre and C.-Y. Tsai, Minimal pseudo-Anosov translation lengths on the complex of curves, Geom. Topol. 15(3) (2011) 1297–1312.
- [Gen22] A. Genevois, Translation lengths in crossing and contact graphs of (quasi-)median graphs, arXiv:2209.06441.
- [HM95] S. Hermiller and J. Meier, Algorithms and geometry for graph products of groups, J. Algebra 171(1) (1995) 230–257.
- [KK13] S.-h. Kim and T. Koberda, Embedability between right-angled Artin groups, Geom. Topol. 17(1) (2013) 493–530.
- [KK14a] S.-h. Kim and T. Koberda, An obstruction to embedding right-angled Artin groups in mapping class groups, Int. Math. Res. Not. 2014(14) (2014) 3912–3918.
- [KK14b] S.-h. Kim and T. Koberda, The geometry of the curve graph of a right-angled Artin group, Int. J. Algebra Comput. 24(2) (2014) 121–169.
- [KS19] E. Kin and H. Shin, Small asymptotic translation lengths of pseudo-Anosov maps on the curve complex, Groups Geom. Dyn. 13(3) (2019) 883–907.
- [LL07] E.-K. Lee and S.J. Lee, Translation numbers in a Garside group are rational with uniformly bounded denominators, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 211(3) (2007) 732–743.
- [LL16] E.-K. Lee and S.-J. Lee, Path lifting properties and embedding between RAAGs, J. Algebra 448 (2016) 575–594.
- [LL18] E.-K. Lee and S.-J. Lee, Embeddability of right-angled Artin groups on complements of trees, Int. J. Algebra Comput. 28(3) (2018) 381–394.
- [LL22] E.-K. Lee and S.-J. Lee, Acylindricity of the action of right-angled Artin groups on extension graphs, arXiv:2212.02708.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, SEJONG UNIVERSITY, SEOUL, KOREA *Email address*: eonkyung@sejong.ac.kr

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KONKUK UNIVERSITY, SEOUL, KOREA Email address: sangjin@konkuk.ac.kr