

KUNZ LANGUAGES FOR NUMERICAL SEMIGROUPS ARE CONTEXT SENSITIVE

MANUEL DELGADO AND JAUME USÓ I CUBERTORER

ABSTRACT. There is a one-to-one and onto correspondence between the class of numerical semigroups of depth n , where n is an integer, and a certain language over the alphabet $\{1, \dots, n\}$ which call Kunz language of depth n . The Kunz language associated with the numerical semigroups of depth 2 is the regular language $\{1, 2\}^*2\{1, 2\}^*$. We prove that Kunz languages associated with numerical semigroups of larger depth are context-sensitive but not context-free.

1. INTRODUCTION

This paper exhibits an application of the area of formal languages in the area of numerical semigroups.

We assume that most experts in one of the referred areas are not experts in the other. This leads us to try to make the paper easily readable by non-experts.

Our motivation is to formalize in some way why certain problems in numerical semigroups may have different degrees of difficulty depending on the families of numerical semigroups under consideration.

Benefiting from the fact that we do not need to enter deep into any of these areas, we could make the paper self-contained through a short section of preliminaries. Finally, we wrote a not-so-short section due to the inclusion of some examples. These were chosen to help the reader get familiar with the concepts and have them at hand in the last section.

We have a section of preliminaries where we devote a subsection to recalling basics on numerical semigroups.

Some aspects of language theory are recalled next.

Representing numerical semigroups by words is the subject of the third section of preliminaries. There we define Kunz languages as sets of words over \mathbb{N} satisfying some conditions.

The remaining section contains our results. We discuss where Kunz languages fit into the Chomsky hierarchy.

2. PRELIMINARIES, DEFINITIONS AND MOTIVATION

In this section we introduce concepts, terminology, and notation to be used in the third section. At the same time, we explain the motivation for the research presented. Also, we include examples to illustrate the concepts introduced and prepare material to be used in the last section.

Date: June 7, 2023.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 20M14, 68Q45.

Key words and phrases. Numerical semigroup, Kunz languages, Chomsky hierarchy.

The first author was partially supported by CMUP, a member of LASI, which is financed by national funds through FCT – Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia, I.P., under the projects with reference UIDB/00144/2020 and UIDP/00144/2020. He also acknowledges the Proyecto de Excelencia de la Junta de Andalucía (ProyExcel 00868).

We divide the section into three subsections. The first contains the material on numerical semigroups needed. The second recalls, somewhat informally, the basics on formal languages to be used. In the third subsection we define Kunz words, later used to define Kunz languages.

2.1. Numerical semigroups. We denote by $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ the set of nonnegative integers. A *numerical semigroup* is a cofinite submonoid of the additive monoid \mathbb{N} . In other words, a numerical semigroup S is a subset of \mathbb{N} such that $0 \in S$, $\mathbb{N} \setminus S$ is finite, and, most importantly, S is closed under addition. As a general reference in numerical semigroups, we refer [8]. All results referred to as well-known are taken from there.

The study of numerical semigroups frequently involves investigating some notable elements and relations between them. Among the notable elements appearing in this text, there is the least positive element of the semigroup and the least element from which all integers belong to the semigroup. These are called, respectively, the *multiplicity* and the *conductor* of the semigroup. For the matter of notation, for any given numerical semigroup S , we shall use $m = m(S) = \min(S \setminus \{0\})$ and $c = c(S) = \min\{x \in S \mid x + \mathbb{N} \subseteq S\}$, respectively, for the multiplicity and the conductor of S . The argument S is usually understood and omitted when readability is unaffected.

Although not belonging to the numerical semigroup being studied, the *Frobenius number* of S , defined by $F(S) = c(S) - 1$, and the *depth* of S , defined as $\left\lfloor \frac{c(S)}{m(S)} \right\rfloor$, are also of great importance. As $c(\mathbb{N}) = 0$ and $m(\mathbb{N}) = 1 > 0$ the depth of \mathbb{N} is 0; all other numerical semigroups have positive depth.

Let S be a numerical semigroup with multiplicity m . The *Apéry set* of S , with respect to its multiplicity, is

$$\text{Ap}(S) = \{s \in S \mid s - m \notin S\}.$$

It is well known and easy to see that $\text{Ap}(S)$ has m elements, one per residue class modulo m . To be more precise, we can write $\text{Ap}(S) = \{0, a_1, \dots, a_{m-1}\}$, where $a_i = \min\{x \in S \mid x \equiv i \pmod{m}\}$, so that each $a_i = k_i m + i$ for some positive integer k_i ($0 < i < m$). Each of these k_i is called a *Kunz coordinate of S* (see below for the reason of the terminology).

It is also well-known that $\text{Ap}(S) \cup \{m\}$ generates S . That is,

$$S = \{n_0 m + n_1 a_1 + \dots + n_{m-1} a_{m-1} \mid n_0, n_1, \dots, n_{m-1} \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

This shows, in particular, that numerical semigroups are finitely generated.

Sometimes it is used the notation $S = \langle m, a_1, \dots, a_{m-1} \rangle$, even when $\text{Ap}(S) \cup \{m\}$ is not a minimal set of generators.

Let S be a numerical semigroup with multiplicity m . We write $\mathcal{K}(S) = (k_1, k_2, \dots, k_{m-1})$ and use the convention $\mathcal{K}(\mathbb{N}) = ()$. The (possibly empty) tuple $\mathcal{K}(S)$ is said the *Kunz coordinates tuple* of S .

It can be shown (see [7]) that an integer tuple (x_1, \dots, x_{m-1}) is the Kunz coordinates tuple of a numerical semigroup with multiplicity m if and only if

$$(1) \quad \begin{array}{ll} x_i \geq 1 & \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq m-1 \\ x_i + x_j \geq x_{i+j} & \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq j \leq m-1 \text{ with } i+j < m, \\ x_i + x_j + 1 \geq x_{i+j-m} & \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq j \leq m-1 \text{ with } i+j > m \end{array}$$

It follows that the map \mathcal{K} is a bijection between numerical semigroups and Kunz coordinate tuples. Due to this bijection, which provides a (natural) representation of a numerical semigroup, we will sometimes identify a numerical semigroup with its Kunz coordinates tuple.

Kunz coordinates play a fundamental role in various aspects of the theory of numerical semigroups. As an example, we point out that the inequalities in (1) define a polyhedron (which was introduced independently in [5] and [7]). Its interior points with integer coordinates are in a natural bijection (via the map \mathcal{K} defined above) with numerical semigroups with multiplicity m . This fact was explored in [2] (respectively, [4]) to show that there is no counterexample to a long-standing conjecture among numerical semigroups with multiplicity up to 18 (respectively, 19). This conjecture, known as Wilf's conjecture, was raised as a question in [10] and is nowadays an important topic of research in the area of numerical semigroups; see [3] for a survey.

Let $q = q(S)$ be the maximum of the Kunz coordinates of S . Notice that there exists an integer r such that $0 < r < m$ and $\max \text{Ap}(S) = qm + r$. It is well known and easy to observe that $\max \text{Ap}(S) = F(S) + m = c(S) + m - 1$. Thus $qm + r = c + m - 1$, which implies that $q = \left\lfloor \frac{c}{m} \right\rfloor$. Therefore the depth of S is its maximum Kunz coordinate.

Let q be a positive integer. Denote by S_q the class of numerical semigroups of depth q .

2.2. Formal languages. Here we quickly overview formal languages, grammars, and machines. The reader can find further details in any introductory book on the theme. For example, the book by Linz [6] serves these purposes.

Given a nonempty set of symbols Σ , we denote by Σ^* the set consisting of all possible results of concatenating a finite number of symbols of Σ , along with the empty word. Then, a language L over an alphabet Σ is a subset of Σ^* . Sometimes, we will refer to all possible nonempty words over an alphabet for which the notation Σ^+ will be used.

There are two essential ways to describe a language: giving a set of rules that explain how to build the strings in that language (grammars) and recognizing which words belong to the language and which do not (automata).

A grammar G is defined as a quadruple $G = (V, T, S, P)$ where V is a finite set of variables, T is a finite set of terminal symbols $S \in V$ is the start variable and P is a finite set of productions of the form $x \rightarrow y$ (read x produces y), where $x \in (V \cup T)^+$ and $y \in (V \cup T)^*$ such that V and T are nonempty and disjoint.

Definition 1. Let $G = (V, T, S, P)$ be a grammar.

- (1) The grammar G is said to be *right linear* if all its productions are of the form

$$A \rightarrow xB \mid x$$

with $A, B \in V$ and $x \in T^*$;

- (2) The grammar G is said to be *context-free* if all its productions are of the form

$$A \rightarrow x$$

with $A \in V, x \in (V \cup T)^*$.

(3) The grammar G is said to be *context-sensitive* if all its productions are of the form

$$xAy \rightarrow xvy$$

with $A \in V$, $x, y \in ((V \cup T) \setminus \{S\})^*$ and $v \in ((V \cup T) \setminus \{S\})^+$.

The name context-sensitive is justified by the fact that x and y form the context of A : they determine whether A can be replaced with v . Notice the contrast with context-free grammars, where no context is present: the left-hand side of a production is just a non-terminal.

If we have a production $x \rightarrow y$ and a word $w = uxv$, our production is applicable to w and lets us replace x by y in w obtaining the new word $z = uyv$, this is denoted by $w \Rightarrow z$ and we say that w derives z . The language defined by a grammar G is $L(G) = \{w \in T^* \mid S \overset{*}{\Rightarrow} w\}$.

During the 1950s, Noam Chomsky introduced a hierarchy of four types of grammars, each with more restricted production rules than the previous one. This hierarchy is known as the *Chomsky* or *Chomsky-Schützenberger* hierarchy. As each grammar produces a language, this hierarchy of grammars translates to a hierarchy in languages, which we will use to classify numerical semigroups. These four classes of languages in Chomsky's hierarchy are, in increasing order of complexity: regular, context-free, context-sensitive and recursively enumerable.

Example 2. Regular languages are defined by right-linear grammars; context-free languages are defined by context-free grammars, and context-sensitive languages are defined by context-sensitive grammars.

The same classes of languages mentioned can be obtained using automata; each of these families of languages is recognized by some kind of automata. The approach using automata is the one used in this paper, but some results we used are easier to prove using grammars.

At the bottom of the Chomsky hierarchy, we have regular languages. These are languages recognized by deterministic finite accepters, or dfa, which are the simplest kind of machines. Deterministic finite accepters simply consist of a finite number of states and instructions about how to move between them. These automata have no memory. Frequently, dfa's are thought of as transition graphs.

Example 3. The transition graph depicted in Figure 1 represents a dfa with two states (q_0 is the initial state, and q_1 is the final state) and its alphabet is $\{1, 2\}$. The words that can be read from the initial state to the final one are precisely those that contain the letter 2 at least once.




FIGURE 1. dfa recognizing $\{1, 2\}^*2\{1, 2\}^*$.

A step higher on the hierarchy, we find context-free languages, which are recognized by a type of automata known as nondeterministic pushdown automata or ndpa. These machines have a higher capability than dfa's because they have a memory in the form of a stack and are nondeterministic.

We will not dedicate any time to these machines in the article. The arguments used to reason about context-free languages will rely on a theorem whose proof depends on grammars rather than automata.

On top of these languages, we have recursively enumerable languages. Turing machines accept the languages in this family. And if instead of regular Turing machines, we look at the languages accepted by nondeterministic Turing machines with the tape limited to the input we get to context-sensitive languages. These automata are known as linear bounded automata or lba and play an essential role in the article. It is worth noting that as long as the tape is limited to a number of cells that depends linearly on the input, the kind of language defined is the same.

2.3. Kunz words. We shall translate the representation referred to in Section 2.1 of numerical semigroups by tuples of integers into a representation by words over the alphabet $\mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}$ of positive integers.

This will lead us to associate a language to the class S_q of numerical semigroups of depth q and then study it in the light of the classical theory of formal languages, namely where it fits in the Chomsky Hierarchy of languages.

The Kunz coordinate tuple $\mathcal{K}(S) = (k_1, k_2, \dots, k_{m-1})$ associated with a numerical semigroup of depth q can be seen as a word $\mathcal{W}(S) = k_1 k_2 \dots k_{m-1}$ over the alphabet $\{1, \dots, n\}$, where $n \geq q$. This association already appears in the literature (see, for instance, [11]). Notice that $m(S) = |\mathcal{W}(S)| + 1$. The word $\mathcal{W}(S)$ satisfies a number of relations imposed by the inequalities the Kunz coordinates tuple of S satisfies (see page 2(1)). This leads us to the following formal definition:

Definition 4. A word $u = u_1 \dots u_\ell \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}^*$ is said a *Kunz word* if

$$\begin{aligned} u_i + u_j &\geq u_{i+j} \\ u_i + u_j + 1 &\geq u_{i+j-(|u|+1)} \end{aligned}$$

for all indices for which the above inequalities are defined.

In this context, we say that the empty word is the *0-Kunz word* and we say that a Kunz word $u = u_1 \dots u_\ell$ is a *q-Kunz word* if $\max\{u_1, \dots, u_\ell\} = q$.

The inequalities in the above definition will be referred to as Kunz conditions.

From the above discussion, we have that a numerical semigroup of depth q and multiplicity m corresponds, through \mathcal{W} , to exactly one q -Kunz word and length $m - 1$. Notice that the 0-Kunz word corresponds to \mathbb{N} .

It follows that the map \mathcal{W} is a bijection between the class of all numerical semigroups (respectively, numerical semigroups of depth q) and the class of all Kunz words (respectively, q -Kunz words). Thus \mathcal{W} provides a representation of numerical semigroups by words.

Example 5. (1) $\{1, 2\}^*$ consists of Kunz words.

(2) $1^n 2^n 3$ is a Kunz word of depth 3.

(3) $1^{n+1} 2^n 3$ is not a Kunz word. Let $u = 1^{n+1} 2^n 3$, if we take $i = j = n + 1$, we have that $u_i + u_j = 2$, but $u_{i+j} = u_{2n+2} = 3$, so u does not meet the first condition to be a Kunz word.

Definition 6. Let $q \geq 0$ be an integer. We define the *q-Kunz language* (sometimes referred to as *Kunz language of depth q*) as the set of q -Kunz words over the alphabet $\{1, \dots, q\}$. We denote this

language by K_q . Using the language of sets, we can write:

$$K_q = \{u = u_1 \cdots u_r \in \{1, \dots, q\}^* \mid q \in \{u_1, \dots, u_r\}, u_i + u_j \geq u_{i+j} \text{ and } u_i + u_j + 1 \geq u_{i+j-(|u|+1)}, \\ \text{for all indices for which these inequalities are defined}\}$$

We say that a language is a *Kunz language* if it is a q -Kunz language for some nonnegative integer q .

The map \mathcal{W} gives a bijection between the set of numerical semigroups of depth q and the q -Kunz language.

Whether Kunz languages have some interesting properties is a question we will try to answer positively in this article. The most basic is the word problem.

Fact 7. *Any Kunz language has a solvable word problem.*

Proof. Algorithm 1 is a naive algorithm that tests whether a word over $\mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}$ is a Kunz word. \square

```

Data:  $v = v_1 \cdots v_\ell \in (\mathbb{N}_{\geq 1})^*$ 
Result: true if  $v$  is a Kunz word and false otherwise
if  $|v|=0$  then
   $\lfloor$  return true;
 $pairs = \{(i, j) \in \{1, \dots, |v|\}^2 \mid i \leq j\}$ 
for  $(i, j) \in pairs$  do
   $\lfloor$  if  $i + j \leq |v|$  then
     $\lfloor$  if  $v_i + v_j < v_{i+j}$  then
       $\lfloor$  return false;
    else
       $\lfloor$  if  $v_i + v_j + 1 < v_{i+j-(|v|+1)}$  then
         $\lfloor$  return false;
   $\lfloor$ 
return true

```

Algorithm 1: Algorithm to test whether a word is Kunz

3. KUNZ LANGUAGES

Along this section, we aim to prove that q -Kunz languages are regular if $q < 3$ and they are not even context-free when $q \geq 3$. Moreover, we will observe that for $q \geq 3$ the language K_q is context sensitive.

This suggests that problems in numerical semigroups of depth up to 2 should be more accessible than the same problems for numerical semigroups of higher depth. This seems to be the case. Problems such as counting numerical semigroups or whether Wilf's conjecture holds for numerical semigroups of depth up to 2 are solved for a long time.

It is reasonable to expect a growth in the difficulty of problems in classes of numerical semigroups as the depth grows. Still, our results do not provide a distinction between the languages K_q as long as $q \geq 3$. To support our expectation, we refer to the fact that it is known that Wilf's conjecture holds for numerical semigroups of depth 3, but the proof is considerably more involving than the

one for numerical semigroups of depth 2. It is still unknown whether Wilf's conjecture holds for numerical semigroups of depth 4.

As our results do not distinguish between certain languages (thus between the corresponding classes of numerical semigroups), having them suggests considering intermediate classes of languages and their correspondence with classes of semigroups of distinct depths as a future research topic.

Fact 8. (1) $K_1 = \{1\}^+$;
(2) $K_2 = \{u2v \mid u, v \in \{1, 2\}^*\} = \{1, 2\}^*2\{1, 2\}^*$

Proof. It is immediate to verify that a word consisting entirely of 1 and 2 satisfies Kunz conditions since the left members of the inequalities are at least 2, while the second members are at most 2. This proves the inclusions from right to left. The inclusions from left to right are immediate. \square

As K_0 consists of the empty word, we can state the following:

Corollary 9. *The Kunz languages K_0 , K_1 and K_2 are regular.*

Proof. Observe that the languages can be given through regular expressions. \square

The language K_3 is more complex. It does not belong to the class of languages at the bottom of the Chomsky hierarchy, as stated in Proposition 10. We shall even prove (Proposition 12) that it is not context-free (which implies non-regularity). We prove the non-regularity as a warm-up since the arguments used in proofs are increasingly more complex as long as we rise in Chomsky's hierarchy.

In the proof of the following proposition we make use of Example 5, which provides examples of Kunz words and examples of non-Kunz words.

Proposition 10. *K_3 the Kunz language of depth $q = 3$ is not regular.*

Proof. For the sake of contradiction, let us suppose that K_3 is a regular language. Then, there has to be a dfa M that recognizes it. Let n be the number of states of such dfa.

The word $w = 1^n 2^n 3$ is a Kunz word of depth $q = 3$, so it has to be accepted by M , but since M has only n states while reading the first n 1s of w a M has to come at least twice to the same state q_1 due to the pigeonhole principle. In other words, while reading the 1s in w , a cycle $q_1 \rightarrow q_2 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow q_i \rightarrow q_1$ of length i is walked. Consequently, any word adding any multiple of i 1s will also be accepted. Then for $i > 0$ the word $1^{n+i} 2^n 3$ is accepted by M which is a contradiction since $1^{n+i} 2^n 3$ is not a Kunz word. \square

Given a language L and a word $w \in L$, we may label some positions (letters) in w as *distinguished* and some others as *excluded*. A particular position can be both distinguished and excluded, or maybe neither. When labeling positions of w we will denote $d(u)$ (respectively, $e(v)$) as the number of distinguished (respectively, *excluded*) positions of a substring u of w .

Theorem 11. [1, pg 405] *For any context-free language L , exists $p \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for every $w \in L$, if $d(w)$ positions are labeled as distinguished and $e(w)$ are labeled as excluded with $d(w) > p^{e(w)+1}$, then there exist u, v, x, y, z such that $w = uvxyz$ and:*

- (1) $d(vy) \geq 1$ and $e(vy) = 0$.
- (2) $d(vxy) \leq p^{e(vxy)+1}$.
- (3) $uv^i xy^i z \in L$ for every $i \geq 0$.

Using this result, we can now prove that K_3 is not context-free.

Proposition 12. *Kunz language of depth $q = 3$ is not context-free.*

Proof. Let's prove this by contradiction. Suppose K_3 , the Kunz language of depth $q = 3$, is context-free. Then by 11, there exists a $p \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfying the conditions of the theorem. Let $n = p^3 + 1$, the word $w = 1^n 2^3$ belongs to K_3 .

Now we label every position with a 2 as distinguished and the positions with the 3 and the last 1 as excluded. Next, we can see w represented with the distinguished positions in green and the excluded ones in red.

$$\underbrace{11 \dots 11}_{p^3+1} \underbrace{2 \dots 2}_{p^3+1} 3$$

In the word w we have $d(w) = p^3 + 1$ and $e(w) = 2$, hence $d(w) = p^3 + 1 > p^3 = p^{e(w)+1}$ and as a consequence the three statements of Theorem 11 must hold. Then we will have $z = uvxyz$ where vxy is completely made of 2s since by the first statement $e(vy) = 0$, and moreover the same statement guarantees that either v or y are not empty. Now, due to the third statement, we have that $uv^0xy^0z \in K_3$. Notice that this is a word consisting of p^3+1 number 1s followed by a strictly inferior number of 2s and at the end a 3, so there exist i, j such that $w_i = w_j = 1$, and $w_{i+j} = 3$ which would mean that $uv^0xy^0z \notin K_3$ which contradicts the fact that K_3 is a context-free language. \square

So far, we have seen that K_3 is not regular nor context-free. In fact, Propositions 10 and 12 can be adapted to show the same result for depth $q > 3$ by simply changing the 3 in the counterexample word by q .

Next, we will see how K_3 is context-sensitive by giving an lba capable of accepting it. Since the machine required for this task is pretty complex, we will not give a formal definition; instead, a high-level description of the automaton will be provided. In this high-level description, we will specify some steps that an lba can do, hence describing its behaviour but not its states and moves. Then, similarly, we will give an idea of how to do the same thing for any Kunz language K_n for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and, as a consequence, prove that all Kunz languages are context-sensitive. Full details will appear in the second author's master thesis [9].

Proposition 13. *Kunz language of depth $q = 3$ is context-sensitive.*

Idea of an lba for K_3 . We give a high-level description of an lba with five tracks that accepts K_3 . The first track contains the input, and the second and third tracks will be used to store in unary notation indices of 1s in the word w . The fourth track is used to eventually compute and store the result of the sum of the indices of the previous tracks. Finally, the last one just stores the length of the word w in unary notation. The amount of tape used will be inferior to 6ℓ where $\ell = |w|$ is the length of the input word. So at the very beginning, the first track will be $\square w_1 w_2 \dots w_\ell \square \dots \square$ and the other tracks will be completely filled with blanks. The first blank right before w_1 will remain untouched since it marks the left end of the tape. We could substitute it with by a symbol distinguishing the left end of the tape, such as the symbol [used by some authors to define an lba. The same happens with the rightmost \square ; it serves as a] ; we just used blanks to simplify the notation. Notice that in order for a word $w = w_1 w_2 \dots w_n \in \{1, 2, 3\}^*$ to be in Kunz it is necessary and sufficient that $w_i + w_j \geq w_{i+j}$. To contradict this fact, the only possible way is that $w_i = w_j = 1$ and $w_{i+j} = 3$. Then in order for w to be in K_3 there is the additional condition that $3 \in w$.

The algorithm that the machine will execute is the following: first, check that the word contains at

least a 3. Then from the beginning of the word going left to right, find the first 1, cross it, write its position (i), check if w has a 3 in position ($i + i$), go back to the 1 we just crossed, and check for every other one in position j after the x if the word contains a 3 in position $i + j$. Once all other 1s are verified for this first 1, do the same thing with the others.

The machine is described using steps. These steps are numbered and followed in order unless a step requires to move to another step that is not the one after. We will call for some subroutines, such as adding numbers, going a specific number of steps back or forth or comparing natural numbers.

Steps.

- (1) Check if there is at least a 3 and go back to the beginning of the tape, otherwise reject. At every step, add a 1 on every cell of the fifth track in order to store the length of the word in it.
- (2) Go right until the first 1 is found and at every step right, write a 1 in the same cell but in the second and third tracks. If there are not any 1s, accept the word. If a 1 is found, change it by an x (cross the 1) and still write 1s in the second and third tracks. *At this point, we will have the one we are about to check marked with an x and its index i written in the second and third tracks.*
- (3) On the fourth track compute $i + i$ and write it down on the fourth track. Then go to the position with that index ($2i$) and check if it is a 3, if so, reject the word; otherwise (or in the case ($i + i$) exceeds the length of the word) go back left to the first x .
- (4) Go right until the next 1 is found. While doing it, at each step write on the same cell but in track 3 a 1. If there is not any other 1, accept the word. In case there is another 1, change it by a y and write a 1 on track 3. At this point, we have marked with a y the 1 we are about to check along with the 1 we already marked with an x . Since the second track remained untouched, it still contains i , the index of x , while on the third track, we have j , the index of y in unary notation.
- (5) On the fourth track, compute and store the sum of the two indices ($i + j$).
- (6) Go to the position $i + j$ on the first track and check its value. If it is a 3, reject the word; otherwise (or in the case ($i + j$) exceeds the length of the tape), go back to the first y found to the left.
- (7) Look for the next 1, if it is found change it by a y and go to **step 5**. If there is not any other 1 on the tape, change all y on the tape back to 1, position the head of the tape on the rightmost x and go to **step 3**. While going left to find the rightmost x , it is important to clear all 1s up to that point in order to have the index of that x on both tracks.

What we have done previously is essentially describe the technicalities needed to implement Algorithm 1 in an lba. Another way to visualize and explain the machine needed to describe K_3 is through a transition graph like the one shown in Figure 2.

In Figure 2, the black square represents the subroutine of adding two numbers in unary notation. This corresponds to the input in the second and third tracks. So we shall imagine that when the machine enters state q_1 , it computes the addition and returns the output in track four, halting in the state q_2 . At this point, we call a second subroutine, the comparison of numbers. This subroutine is marked with the blue square and contains three states: q_2 , q_3 and q_4 . After computing the addition of indices, this comparing subroutine checks whether the result is greater than ℓ (the length of the word w) and halts in either q_3 or q_4 . Finally, in red, we represent the subroutine of going to a




FIGURE 2. lba that accepts K_3 .

specific position in the word indexed by a natural number in unary notation. This corresponds to the addition of two indices $i + j$ in which there are 1s in the case that the sum does not exceed ℓ .

Remark 14. In Figure 2, $(-,1,1)$ means leave the first track untouched and write a 1 in the second and third tracks, and similarly, $(x,1,1)$ stands for the same, but writing an x on the first track. Then, a bar over a symbol such as \bar{x} means anything but that symbol, in this particular case, anything except for an x . Then, in the case of the state q_3 , while moving left, it is not written on the graph, but the second and third tracks should be cleared as specified in step 7.

The version for K_3 makes it much more simple since we only need to check one of the Kunz conditions and moreover just observe positions with 1s and 3s.

Dealing with K_n for $n > 3$ requires more effort and computability, but with the same idea, one can implement a full version of Algorithm 1 on an lba.

The most important fact to take into account is that given $n \geq 3$, the computations needed to run the algorithm to decide whether or not a word w is in K_n consist of simple addition, subtraction and comparison of natural numbers and moving a specific number of cells within the tape. All these can easily be implemented on a Turing machine, and it is not hard to notice that the amount of tape

needed depends linearly on the length of the input. As we are working on an alphabet $1, 2, \dots, n$, the values of symbols in the word will not exceed n , and the values of indices will not exceed ℓ the length of the word, so using $2n\ell$ cells is enough.

REFERENCES

- [1] Christopher Bader and Arnaldo Moura. A generalization of ogden’s lemma. *J. ACM*, 29(2):404–407, 04 1982. doi : 10 . 1145/322307 . 322315.
- [2] Winfried Bruns, Pedro García-Sánchez, Christopher O’Neill, and Dane Wilburne. Wilf’s conjecture in fixed multiplicity. *Internat. J. Algebra Comput.*, 30(4):861–882, 2020. doi : 10 . 1142/S021819672050023X.
- [3] Manuel Delgado. Conjecture of Wilf: A survey. In V. Barucci, S. Chapman, M. D’Anna, and R. Fröberg, editors, *Numerical Semigroups*, volume 40 of *Springer INdAM Ser.*, pages 39–62. Springer, Cham, 2020.
- [4] Jonathan Kliem and Christian Stump. A new face iterator for polyhedra and for more general finite locally branched lattices. *Discrete Comput. Geom.*, 67(4):1147–1173, 2022. doi : 10 . 1007/s00454-021-00344-x.
- [5] Ernst Kunz. *Über die Klassifikation numerischer Halbgruppen*, volume 11 of *Regensburger Mathematische Schriften [Regensburg Mathematical Publications]*. Universität Regensburg, Fachbereich Mathematik, Regensburg, 1987.
- [6] Peter Linz. *An Introduction to Formal Languages and Automata, Fifth Edition*. Jones and Bartlett Publishers, Inc., USA, 5th edition, 2011.
- [7] J. C. Rosales, P. A. García-Sánchez, J. I. García-García, and M. B. Branco. Systems of inequalities and numerical semigroups. *J. London Math. Soc. (2)*, 65(3):611–623, 2002. doi : 10 . 1112/S0024610701003052.
- [8] J. C. Rosales and P. A. García Sánchez. *Numerical semigroups*, volume 20 of *Developments in Mathematics*. Springer, New York, 2009. URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4419-0160-6>, doi : 10 . 1007/978-1-4419-0160-6.
- [9] Jaume Usó i Cubertorer. Kunz languages, 2023. Master thesis in preparation; Faculty of Sciences, University of Porto.
- [10] Herbert S. Wilf. A circle-of-lights algorithm for the “money-changing problem”. *Amer. Math. Monthly*, 85(7):562–565, 1978. URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/2320864>, doi : 10 . 2307/2320864.
- [11] Daniel G. Zhu. Sub-fibonacci behavior in numerical semigroup enumeration, 2022. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2202.05755>, doi : 10 . 48550/ARXIV . 2202 . 05755.

CMUP–CENTRO DE MATEMÁTICA DA UNIVERSIDADE DO PORTO,, DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, FACULDADE DE CIÊNCIAS,, UNIVERSIDADE DO PORTO,, RUA DO CAMPO ALEGRE S/N, 4169– 007 PORTO, PORTUGAL
Email address: MDELGADO@FC.UP.PT
Email address: UP202004096@FC.UP.PT