

# QUANTUM BOLTZMANN DYNAMICS AND BOSONIZED PARTICLE-HOLE INTERACTIONS IN FERMION GASES

ESTEBAN CÁRDENAS AND THOMAS CHEN

**ABSTRACT.** In this paper, we study a cold gas of  $N \gg 1$  weakly interacting fermions. We describe the time evolution of the momentum distribution of states close to the Fermi ball by simultaneously analyzing the dynamical behavior of excited particles and holes. Our main result states that, for small values of the coupling constant, and for appropriate initial data, the effective dynamics of the above system is driven by an energy-mollified quantum Boltzmann collision operator, plus an interaction term with virtual bosonized particle-hole pairs around the Fermi surface.

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Main results	5
3. Preliminaries	13
4. Tool Box I: Analysis of $b$ - and $D$ -operators	17
5. Tool Box II: Excitation Estimates	23
6. Leading Order Terms I: Emergence of $Q$	31
7. Leading Order Terms II: Emergence of $B$	38
8. Subleading Order Terms	50
9. Proof of Theorem 1	60
10. The Fixed Volume Case	63
References	68

## 1. INTRODUCTION

**1.1. Historical background.** In this work, we consider a collection of  $N$  identical fermions, moving in the  $d$ -dimensional torus  $\Lambda \equiv (\mathbb{R}/L\mathbb{Z})^d$ , where  $L > 0$  is its linear length. Pure quantum-mechanical states then belong to the Hilbert space of antisymmetric wave functions  $L_a^2(\Lambda^N)$ , on which we consider the interacting Hamiltonian

$$H \equiv \frac{\hbar^2}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N (-\Delta_{x_i}) + \lambda \sum_{i < j}^N V(x_i - x_j) . \quad (1.1)$$

Here, we have chosen units for which the mass of the fermions  $m_F$  has unit value. The parameter  $\lambda \geq 0$  corresponds to the coupling strength of the two-body interaction, mediated by the real-valued function  $V : \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ .

Understanding the dynamics of many-body fermionic systems has been of key physical interest since the beginning of quantum mechanics in the early twentieth century. In particular, it is well-known that the ground state of  $H$  for non-interacting systems ( $\lambda = 0$ ) is given by the Slater determinant of eigenvectors of the kinetic energy operator

$$\psi_{\text{Slater}}(x_1, \dots, x_N) \equiv (1/\sqrt{N!}) \det[e_{k_i}(x_j)]_{i,j=1}^N \quad (1.2)$$

where we denote  $e_k(x) \equiv L^{-d/2} \exp(ix \cdot k)$ . The collection of wave-vectors  $\{k_1, \dots, k_N\}$  in (1.2) minimize the kinetic energy in compliance with the Pauli Exclusion Principle by filling the *Fermi ball* (or, *Fermi sea*)

$$\mathcal{B} \equiv \{k \in (2\pi\mathbb{Z}/L)^d : |k| \leq k_F\}, \quad \text{where} \quad k_F \equiv \kappa(N/|\Lambda|)^{\frac{1}{d}}. \quad (1.3)$$

The parameter  $k_F$  is called the *Fermi wave-vector*, and the factor  $\kappa = 1/|\mathbb{S}_{d-1}|^{\frac{1}{d}} + o(1)$  is chosen so that  $|\mathcal{B}| = N$ . Of course, as soon as  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $\psi_{\text{Slater}}$  is no longer the ground state of the Hamiltonian, nor a stationary solution of the associated Schrödinger equation. It is then of interest to find a non-trivial scaling regime between the parameters of the theory in which one can describe effectively the dynamics generated by  $H$ , provided the particle number  $N$  is large, and the coupling constant  $\lambda$  is small enough.

In the mathematical literature, extensive research has been carried out focusing on the mean-field scaling regime. In this approximation, the two-body potential is replaced by an averaged interaction over the position density, and the Hartree system of equations emerges as the *leading* order term

$$i\hbar\partial_t\omega = [-\hbar^2/2\Delta + \lambda N(V * \rho), \omega] \quad \text{where} \quad \rho(t, x) \equiv N^{-1}\omega(t; x, x). \quad (1.4)$$

Here,  $\omega(t) \in \mathcal{L}^1(L^2(E))$  is a trace-class operator with  $\text{Tr}\omega = N$  that approximates the one-particle reduced density matrix of the original  $N$ -particle system;  $E = \Lambda$  or  $E = \mathbb{R}^d$  depending on the situation. In the existing literature, the values of the coupling constant  $\lambda$  for which the Hartree equation (1.4) is derived are adapted to the physical system under consideration. The microscopic scaling regime in which  $\hbar = 1$  (or, is taken independent of  $N$ ) has been studied in [1, 2, 23, 30] in several physical situations. On the other hand, in the *semi-classical regime* for which  $\hbar = 1/N^{1/d}$ , one chooses  $\lambda = 1/N$  and semi-classical initial data. For results in this direction, we refer the reader to [11, 12, 20, 22, 31]. Finally, we note that in this regime, the  $\hbar \downarrow 0$  limit of  $\omega(t)$  is linked with the solution of the Vlasov equation  $f(t) \in L^1_{x,p}$ . The reader is referred to [11, 26, 29] and the references therein.

More recently, in [10], the authors have determined the *subleading* order term of the many-body fermionic dynamics in three dimensions, again in the semi-classical regime  $\hbar = 1/N^{1/3}$  with fixed macroscopic volume  $L = 2\pi$ , and for initial data close to  $\psi_{\text{Slater}}$ . Let us informally describe these results. The leading order term corresponds to the translation-invariant, stationary solution with kernel

$$\omega(t; x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^N e_{k_i}(x - y) \quad (1.5)$$

where the right hand side corresponds to the the one-particle reduced density matrix of  $\psi_{\text{Slater}}$ , that is, the Fermi ball. The authors of [10] then focus on the subleading

order dynamics describing the time evolution of a relatively small number of fermions that have been excited outside of the Fermi ball, together with the holes that they leave behind. If these particle-hole pairs are sufficiently close to the Fermi surface, they approximately obey Bose statistics—the closeness being measured in the scale determined by the decay rate of the interaction  $\hat{V}(k)$ . Roughly speaking, one can then consider bosonic creation- and annihilation- operators  $b_\alpha^*(k)$  and  $b_\alpha(k)$  that create and destroy a bosonized particle-hole pair, with relative momentum  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^3$  and  $\alpha$  labels their position in the Fermi surface. The dynamics of these pairs is then determined (in norm approximation!) by the Bogoliubov transformation of an effective Hamiltonian, quadratic in  $b$  and  $b^*$ . Now, the initial data in this description corresponds to states for which *no* fermion far away from the Fermi surface has been excited, since this is not an energetically favorable configuration. In fact, the machinery with which these states are built has been successfully used to rigorously determine the subleading order correction to the associated ground state energy in the so-called *Random Phase Approximation* (RPA), see [8, 10, 13, 18, 24]. One can then understand these states as being generated naturally as thermal fluctuations around the non-interacting ground state.

Besides mean-field theory, physicists have for almost a century speculated that yet another approximation should be valid in the *kinetic scaling regime*. Here, one re-scales microscopic position  $x$  and time  $t$  (that is, variables for which  $\hbar = 1$ ) in terms of the macroscopic variables

$$X = \lambda^2 x \quad \text{and} \quad T = \lambda^2 t . \quad (1.6)$$

One is then interested in the limit  $\lambda \downarrow 0$ . Heuristically, in this picture the Hartree equation (1.4) becomes the free Schrödinger equation and, for microscopic times  $t \simeq 1$ , free motion dominates. However, at longer time-scales  $T \simeq 1$ , rare but strong, short-ranged two-particle interactions—that is, collisions—dominate the dynamics. It is believed that the quantum Boltzmann equation then emerges from the  $N$ -body fermion problem

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial_T + P \cdot \nabla_X)F &= 4\pi \int dP_2 dP_3 dP_4 \delta(P + P_2 - P_3 - P_4) \delta(P^2 + P_2^2 - P_3^2 - P_4^2) \\ &\quad \times |\hat{V}(P - P_3) - \hat{V}(P - P_4)|^2 (F F_2 \tilde{F}_3 \tilde{F}_4 - F_4 F_3 \tilde{F}_2 \tilde{F}) . \end{aligned} \quad (1.7)$$

Here,  $F = F(T, X, P)$  is the one-particle phase space distribution,  $F_i$  is short-hand notation for  $F(T, X, P_i)$ , and we denote  $\tilde{F} = 1 - F$ . So far, the derivation of Eq. (1.7) has yet to be proved rigorously, and it remains an open problem from the mathematical point of view. For results in this direction, the reader is referred to [3, 4, 5, 6, 17, 21, 27, 32] and the references therein.

**1.2. Our contribution.** The main goal of the present article is to describe the effective dynamics of holes and particles around the Fermi ball, but which are found *away* from its surface—this is complementary to the situation described by the authors in [10], where their focus is on bosonized particle-hole pairs near the same surface. We explore the microscopic scaling regime  $\hbar = 1$ , and consider boxes of size  $|\Lambda| \ll N$ . In particular, the *Fermi momentum*  $p_F \equiv \hbar k_F$  becomes large, of order  $(N/|\Lambda|)^{1/d} \gg 1$ . Our main result is Theorem 1, which addresses the case of arbitrary dimension  $d$  and box size

$|\Lambda|$ . As a corollary, by looking at large time-scales  $T \simeq 1$ , we are able to determine the effective dynamics of the system for dimension  $d = 3$  and box size  $|\Lambda| = (2\pi)^3$ . Our parameter window includes the scaling regime given by the relations

$$\lambda = \frac{1}{N^2} \quad \text{and} \quad t = N^{1/3}T \quad (1.8)$$

provided the number of holes and particles is not very large. In Remark 2.6, we make a comparison between the physical scales given by (1.8) and the combined mean-field and semi-classical regime.

Let us now describe in physical terms our main results. We regard our system as a very cold gas of  $N$  weakly interacting fermions, in which we *externally* excite  $n \ll N$  particles outside of the Fermi ball (say, by a beam of light). The holes left behind behave like the anti-particles of those fermions that have been excited outside of  $\mathcal{B}$ . On the other hand, as mentioned above, the collective excitation of particle-hole pairs near the Fermi surface displays boson-like behavior. Hence, one can identify three subsystems: holes deep inside the bulk; excited particles away from the Fermi ball; and particle-hole pairs near the Fermi surface. Such system shares formal similarities with the theory of Quantum Electrodynamics (QED), in which electrons and positrons interact via mediation of photons. We push this analogy in a rigorous way, by looking at the dynamics of the momentum distribution of holes and particles. It turns out that, besides the expected two-body interactions generated by the potential  $V(x - y)$ , *virtual* particle-hole pairs around the Fermi surface can mediate interactions between holes/hole and particle/particle—just as photons do in QED! Essentially, we prove in Theorem 1 that in a well-chosen scaling regime, and for appropriate initial data, the following equation emerges from the original  $N$ -particle dynamics

$$f_t = f_0 + \lambda^2 t Q_t[f_0] + \lambda^2 t B_t[f_0] \quad (1.9)$$

up to an error that we have control of. Here,  $f_t(p)$  corresponds to the momentum distribution of holes and excited particles, measured in the microscopic variables  $(t, p)$ . The operator  $Q_t$  describes interactions of quantum Boltzmann-type and includes collisions of the form hole/hole, particle/particle and hole/particle. On the other hand, the operator  $B_t$  represents interactions between holes/holes and particle/particle, respectively, that are mediated by virtual bosons around the Fermi surface—these can be interpreted as self-energy terms.

The best of our knowledge, this is the first rigorous result in which the dynamics of holes “deep in the bulk” of the Fermi ball has been analyzed. In particular, while the emergence of the operator  $Q_t$  could have been intuitively guessed based on previous work in quantum Boltzmann dynamics, the emergence of the operator  $B_t$  seems to be entirely new. We believe that this phenomenon contains not only mathematical value, but physical value as well. In particular, we hope that it will give shed some light into what will hopefully be the first derivation of the quantum Boltzmann equation.

**1.3. Organization of this article.** In Section 2 we state the main result of this article, and in Section 3 we introduce the preliminaries that are needed to set up the proof. In Sections 4 and 5 we introduce and develop the machinery that we use in our analysis.

In Section 6 and 7 we show how the operators  $Q$  and  $B$ , respectively, emerge from the many-body dynamics, giving rise to the *leading order terms*. In Section 8 we estimate *subleading order terms* and in Section 9 we prove our main result, Theorem 1. Finally, in Section 10 we analyze the fixed volume case.

**1.4. Notation.** The following notation is going to be used throughout this article.

- $\Lambda^* \equiv (2\pi\mathbb{Z}/L)^d$  denotes the dual lattice of  $\Lambda$ .
- We write  $\int_{\Lambda^*} F(p)dp \equiv |\Lambda|^{-1} \sum_{p \in \Lambda^*} F(p)$  for any function  $F : \Lambda^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ .
- $\delta_{p,q}$  denotes the standard Kronecker delta.
- $\ell^p(\Lambda^*)$  denotes the space of functions with finite norm  $\|f\|_{\ell^p} \equiv (\int_{\Lambda^*} |f(p)|^p dp)^{1/p}$ .
- $B(X)$  denotes the space of bounded linear operators acting on  $X$ .
- We denote  $\tilde{f} \equiv 1 - f$  for any function  $f : \Lambda^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ .
- $\widehat{G}(k) \equiv (2\pi)^{-d/2} \int_{\Lambda} e^{-ik \cdot x} G(x) dx$  denotes the Fourier transform of  $G : \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ .
- We say that a positive real number  $C > 0$  is a *constant*, if it is independent of the physical parameters  $N$ ,  $|\Lambda|$ ,  $\lambda$ ,  $n$  and  $t$ .
- Given two real-valued quantities  $A$  and  $B$ , we say that  $A \lesssim B$  if there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that

$$A \leq C B . \quad (1.10)$$

Additionally, we say that  $A \simeq B$  if both  $A \lesssim B$  and  $B \lesssim A$  hold true.

- We shall frequently omit subscripts from Hilbert spaces norms throughout proofs.

## 2. MAIN RESULTS

The main result of this article is a rigorous interpretation of the emergence of Eq. (1.9) from the many-body fermionic dynamics governed by the Hamiltonian  $H$ . In this section, we first rigorously introduce the model from which we shall derive this equation. Secondly, we present our main result in Theorem 1. It contains an estimate in a weighted  $\ell^\infty$  norm for the difference between the momentum distribution of the full dynamics, and the dynamics associated to the leading order  $Q$  and  $B$  terms. Finally, we discuss the consequences of this estimate in a particular scaling regime.

**2.1. The model.** We will work with the grand canonical ensemble associated to the  $N$ -body Hamiltonian  $H$ . Namely, we study its second quantization which we now proceed to define. Consider the fermionic Fock space associated to the the 1-particle space  $L^2(\Lambda)$

$$\mathcal{F} \equiv \mathbb{C} \oplus \bigoplus_{n \geq 1} \mathcal{F}_n \quad \text{where} \quad \mathcal{F}_n \equiv \bigwedge_{i=1}^n L^2(\Lambda), \quad \forall n \geq 1 , \quad (2.1)$$

which we endow it with creation and annihilation operators  $a_p$  and  $a_q^*$ . In wave-vector space, they satisfy the Canonical Anticommutation Relations (CAR)

$$\{a_p, a_q^*\} = \delta(p - q) \equiv |\Lambda| \delta_{p,q} \quad \text{and} \quad \{a_p, a_q\} = \{a_p^*, a_q^*\} = 0 , \quad p, q \in \Lambda^* . \quad (2.2)$$

Here,  $\Lambda^* = (2\pi\mathbb{Z}/L)^d$  is the corresponding dual lattice,  $\delta_{p,q}$  stands for the Kronecker delta, and  $\{\cdot, \cdot\}$  denotes the anticommutator. The Fock vacuum vector will be denoted

by  $\Omega \in \mathcal{F}$ . The Hamiltonian  $H$  introduced in (1.1) is now conveniently described in second quantization, written in terms of creation- and annihilation operators as

$$\mathcal{H} \equiv \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Lambda^*} |p|^2 a_p^* a_p dp + \frac{\lambda}{2} \int_{(\Lambda^*)^3} \hat{V}(k) a_{p+k}^* a_{q-k}^* a_q a_p dp dq dk \quad (2.3)$$

where we work in microscopic units such that  $\hbar = m_F = 1$ . Note that in these units we identify momenta and wave-vectors. In particular, we work extensively with the Fermi momentum  $p_F = k_F \simeq (N/|\Lambda|)^{1/d}$ , as given in Eq. (1.3).

*Interaction potentials.* We shall always assume that  $V : \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is regular enough so that  $\mathcal{H}$  is self-adjoint in its natural domain—the one-parameter unitary group  $(e^{-it\mathcal{H}})_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  is then well-defined in  $\mathcal{F}$ . To be more precise, we assume that  $\hat{V}(k)$  satisfies the following assumptions:

- (i) It has compact support in a ball of radius  $r > 0$ .
- (ii)  $\hat{V}(-k) = \hat{V}(k)$  for all  $k \in \Lambda^*$ . Thus,  $\hat{V}$  is real-valued.
- (iii)  $\hat{V}(0) = 0$ .
- (iv)  $V$  is chosen relative to the box  $\Lambda$  so that  $\sup_{|\Lambda| > 0} \|\hat{V}\|_{\ell^1(\Lambda^*)} < \infty$ .

We recall that the ground state of the non-interacting system is conveniently described as a Slater determinant (1.2) associated to the Fermi ball  $\mathcal{B}$ , defined in (1.3). Small perturbations of such states can be understood as fermions being excited out of the Fermi ball, together with the holes they leave behind. We implement this point of view as follows. First, we introduce the following notation that we will be using extensively for the rest of the article

$$\chi(p) \equiv \begin{cases} 1, & p \in \mathcal{B}^c \\ 0, & p \in \mathcal{B} \end{cases} \quad \text{together with} \quad \chi^\perp(p) \equiv 1 - \chi(p). \quad (2.4)$$

Next, we define the following *particle-hole transformation*

$$\mathcal{R} : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \quad (2.5)$$

defined as the Bogoliubov transformation satisfying for all  $p \in \Lambda^*$

$$\mathcal{R}^* a_p^* \mathcal{R} = \chi^\perp(p) a_p^* + \chi(p) a_p \quad (2.6)$$

together with  $\mathcal{R}^* \Omega = \Psi_{\text{Slater}} \equiv (0, \dots, 0, \psi_{\text{Slater}}, 0, \dots) \in \mathcal{F}$ . In particular, the momentum distribution of the non-interacting  $N$ -particle ground state in  $\mathcal{F}$  can be written as

$$\langle \Psi_{\text{Slater}}, a_p^* a_q \Psi_{\text{Slater}} \rangle = \delta(p - q) \chi(p), \quad \forall p, q \in \Lambda^*. \quad (2.7)$$

*Remark 2.1.* One should understand the particle-hole transformation  $\mathcal{R}$  as a change of variables. Thus, unless stated otherwise, for the rest of the article  $\mathcal{F}$ ,  $a$  and  $a^*$  refer to the variables associated to particles and holes. Namely, if  $p \in \mathcal{B}^c$  then  $a_p$  and  $a_p^*$  are creation- and annihilation- operators for excited particles outside of the Fermi ball. On the other hand, if  $h \in \mathcal{B}$  then  $a_h$  and  $a_h^*$  are creation- and annihilation- operators for holes inside of the Fermi ball.

We are mostly interested in describing the time evolution of the momentum distribution of states close to the Fermi ball. In particle-hole space, the dynamics of these states is driven by the *particle-hole Hamiltonian*

$$\mathfrak{h} \equiv \mathcal{R}^* \mathcal{H} \mathcal{R} . \quad (2.8)$$

A more explicit representation of the Hamiltonian  $\mathfrak{h}$  will be given in the next section. Thus, we shall study the evolution-in-time of the corresponding momentum distribution, defined as follows.

**Definition 1.** *Given an initial state  $\nu : B(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , we define*

$$f_t(p) \equiv \frac{\nu(e^{it\mathfrak{h}} a_p^* a_p e^{-it\mathfrak{h}})}{|\Lambda|} \quad (2.9)$$

for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $p \in \Lambda^*$ .

*Remark 2.2.* Let us relate the momentum distribution of particles and holes  $f_t(p)$  to the dynamics generated by  $\mathcal{H}$ . Let  $\rho : B(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  to be a translation-invariant initial state, and consider its time evolution

$$\rho_t(\mathcal{O}) \equiv \rho(e^{-it\mathcal{H}} \mathcal{O} e^{it\mathcal{H}}) , \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \mathcal{O} \in B(\mathcal{F}) . \quad (2.10)$$

The associated two-point function of the system can be expressed in terms of the particle-hole transformation  $\mathcal{R}$  and the relative state  $\nu(\mathcal{O}) \equiv \rho(\mathcal{R}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{R}^*)$  as

$$\rho_t(a_p^* a_q) = \delta(p - q) \left( \chi(p) + [\chi(p) - \chi^\perp(p)] f_t(p) \right) \quad (2.11)$$

for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , and  $p \in \Lambda^*$ . Thus, Eq. (2.11) expresses the time evolution of the original many-body dynamics in terms of the momentum distribution of holes and particles, as given in Definition 1.

Let us now describe the conditions that the initial data will satisfy. In order to motivate them, let us recall that thermal fluctuations around the non-interacting ground state induce a collective bosonization of particle-hole pairs around the boundary of the Fermi ball; the modes of excitation of these quasiparticles belong to the support of the interaction potential  $\hat{V}$ , which we denote by  $r > 0$ . Since such phenomena will arise in our analysis, it is convenient to introduce the following strip

$$\mathcal{S} \equiv \{p \in \Lambda^* : p_F - 3r \leq |p| \leq p_F + 3r\} \quad (2.12)$$

which (under a slight abuse of notation) we shall refer to as the *Fermi surface*. The pre-factor 3 is included for technical reasons. The conditions for the initial data are as follows.

**Condition 1.** *The initial state  $\nu : B(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  verifies:*

(C1)  *$\nu$  is quasi-free: for all  $k, k' \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $p_1, \dots, p_k \in \Lambda^*$  and  $q_1, \dots, q_{k'} \in \Lambda^*$  there holds*

$$\nu \left( \prod_{i=1}^k a_{p_i}^* \prod_{j=1}^{k'} a_{q_j} \right) = \delta_{k,k'} (-1)^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}} \det [\nu(a_{p_i}^* a_{q_j})]_{1 \leq i, j \leq k} . \quad (2.13)$$

(C2)  *$\nu$  is translation invariant: for all  $p, q \in \Lambda^*$  there holds  $\nu(a_p^* a_q) = \delta(p - q) \nu(a_p^* a_p)$ .*

(C3)  *$\nu$  has zero charge:  $\int_{\mathcal{B}} \nu(a_p^* a_p) dp = \int_{\mathcal{B}^c} \nu(a_p^* a_p) dp$ .*

(C4) There exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that  $\int_{\mathcal{S}} \nu(a_p^* a_p) dp \leq C(\lambda|\Lambda|p_F^{d-1})^2$ .

*Example.* We can easily construct a state  $\nu$  that verifies Condition 1 as follows. Given  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $h_1, \dots, h_n \in \mathcal{B} \setminus \mathcal{S}$  and  $p_1, \dots, p_n \in \mathcal{B}^c \setminus \mathcal{S}$ . Then, we consider

$$\nu(\mathcal{O}) \equiv \frac{\langle \Psi_0, \mathcal{O} \Psi_0 \rangle_{\mathcal{F}}}{\|\Psi_0\|_{\mathcal{F}}^2} \quad \text{where} \quad \Psi_0 \equiv \prod_{i=1}^n a_{h_i}^* a_{p_i}^* \Omega. \quad (2.14)$$

The state  $\nu$  is a pure state corresponding to the Slater determinant  $\Psi_0$ . Since Slater determinants are always quasi-free, this verifies (C1). One may verify that translation invariance in (C2) is satisfied by direct computation of the two-point function

$$\nu(a_p^* a_q) = \delta(p - q) \left( \delta(p - h_1) + \dots + \delta(p - h_n) + \delta(p - p_1) + \dots + \delta(p - p_n) \right). \quad (2.15)$$

The state  $\nu$  has zero charge in (C3) because we have chosen an equal number of  $h_i$ 's and  $p_i$ 's in  $\mathcal{B}$  and  $\mathcal{B}^c$ , respectively. Finally we note that (C4) is verified because  $\nu(a_p^* a_p) = 0$  for all  $p \in \mathcal{S}$ .

**2.2. Statement of the main theorem.** Our main result identifies the time evolution of the momentum distribution  $f_t(p)$  in terms of two non-linear operators that act on functions on  $\Lambda^*$ . In order to define them we introduce the following two objects

(1) For  $p \in \Lambda^*$ , the *dispersion relation* of holes and particles is given by

$$E_p \equiv -\chi(p) \left( \frac{p^2}{2} + \frac{\lambda}{2} (\hat{V} * \chi^\perp)(p) \right) + \chi^\perp(p) \left( \frac{p^2}{2} - \frac{\lambda}{2} (\hat{V} * \chi)(p) \right). \quad (2.16)$$

(2) For  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  we define the following *mollified Delta function*

$$\delta_t(x) \equiv t \delta_1(tx) \quad \text{where} \quad \delta_1(x) \equiv \frac{2 \sin^2\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)}{\pi x^2} \quad (2.17)$$

The following operator describes Boltzmann-type interactions between particles/particles, particles/holes and holes/holes. For convenience, for any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , we will write  $\chi(p_1, \dots, p_k) = \chi(p_1) \cdots \chi(p_k)$  for  $p_1, \dots, p_k \in \Lambda^*$  and similarly for  $\chi^\perp$ .

**Definition 2.** For  $f \in \ell^1(\Lambda^*)$  and  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  we define

$$\begin{aligned} Q_t[f](p) &\equiv \pi \int_{\Lambda^{*4}} d\vec{p} \sigma(\vec{p}) \left[ \delta(p - p_1) + \delta(p - p_2) - \delta(p - p_3) - \delta(p - p_4) \right] \\ &\times \delta_t[E_{p_1} + E_{p_2} - E_{p_3} - E_{p_4}] \left( f(p_3) f(p_4) \tilde{f}(p_1) \tilde{f}(p_2) - f(p_1) f(p_2) \tilde{f}(p_3) \tilde{f}(p_4) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.18)$$

The coefficient function  $\sigma : (\Lambda^*)^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is decomposed as

$$\sigma = \sigma_{HH} + \sigma_{PP} + \sigma_{HP} + \sigma_{PH} \quad (2.19)$$



where the coefficient functions are defined for  $\vec{p} = (p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4) \in (\Lambda^*)^4$  as follows

$$\sigma_{HH}(\vec{p}) = \chi(p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4) \delta(p_1 + p_2 - p_3 - p_4) |\hat{V}(p_1 - p_4) - \hat{V}(p_1 - p_3)|^2 \quad (2.20)$$

$$\sigma_{PP}(\vec{p}) = \chi^\perp(p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4) \delta(p_1 + p_2 - p_3 - p_4) |\hat{V}(p_1 - p_4) - \hat{V}(p_1 - p_3)|^2 \quad (2.21)$$

$$\sigma_{HP}(\vec{p}) = 2\chi(p_1, p_3) \chi^\perp(p_2, p_4) \delta(p_1 - p_2 - p_3 + p_4) |\hat{V}(p_1 - p_3)|^2 \quad (2.22)$$

$$\sigma_{PH}(\vec{p}) = 2\chi^\perp(p_1, p_3) \chi(p_2, p_4) \delta(p_1 - p_2 - p_3 + p_4) |\hat{V}(p_1 - p_3)|^2. \quad (2.23)$$

The following operator describes the effect of the bosonized excitations around the Fermi surface, into holes and particles.

**Definition 3.** For all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  we define in terms of particle and hole interactions

$$B_t \equiv B_t^{(H)} + B_t^{(P)} : \ell^1(\Lambda^*) \rightarrow \ell^1(\Lambda^*) \quad (2.24)$$

where  $B_t^{(H)} : \ell^1(\Lambda^*) \rightarrow \ell^1(\Lambda^*)$  and  $B_t^{(P)} : \ell^1(\Lambda^*) \rightarrow \ell^1(\Lambda^*)$  are defined as follows

$$B_t^{(H)}[f](h) \equiv 2\pi \int_{\Lambda^*} |\hat{V}(k)|^2 \left( \alpha_t^H(h-k, k) f(h-k) \tilde{f}(h) - \alpha_t^H(h, k) f(h) \tilde{f}(h+k) \right) dh,$$

$$B_t^{(P)}[f](p) \equiv 2\pi \int_{\Lambda^*} |\hat{V}(k)|^2 \left( \alpha_t^P(p+k, k) f(p+k) \tilde{f}(p) - \alpha_t^P(p, k) f(p) \tilde{f}(p-k) \right) dp,$$

for  $f \in \ell^1$  and  $p, h \in \Lambda^*$ . Here, the coefficients  $\alpha_t^H$  and  $\alpha_t^P$  are defined as

$$\alpha_t^H(h, k) \equiv \chi(h) \chi(h+k) \int_{\Lambda^*} \chi(r) \chi^\perp(r+k) \delta_t [E_h - E_{h+k} - E_r - E_{r+k}] dr, \quad (2.25)$$

$$\alpha_t^P(p, k) \equiv \chi^\perp(p) \chi^\perp(p-k) \int_{\Lambda^*} \chi(r) \chi^\perp(r+k) \delta_t [E_p - E_{p-k} - E_r - E_{r+k}] dr, \quad (2.26)$$

for all  $p, h, k \in \Lambda^*$ .

Finally, let us now introduce an appropriate space of functions. Indeed, for  $m > 0$  we introduce the following weight

$$w_m(p) \equiv \begin{cases} \langle p \rangle^m, & p \in \mathcal{S} \\ 1, & p \in \Lambda^* \setminus \mathcal{S} \end{cases}. \quad (2.27)$$

where  $\langle p \rangle \equiv (1 + p^2)^{1/2}$  denotes the standard Japanese bracket. We define the Banach space  $\ell_m^1 \equiv \ell_m^1(\Lambda^*)$  of functions  $\varphi : \Lambda^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  for which the norm

$$\|\varphi\|_{\ell_m^1} \equiv \int_{\Lambda^*} |\varphi(p)| w_m(p) dp \quad (2.28)$$

is finite. We will measure distances in the norm associated to the dual space of  $\ell_m^1(\Lambda^*)$ . Namely, we regard  $\ell_m^{1*} \equiv [\ell_m^1(\Lambda^*)]^*$  as the Banach space of functions  $f : \Lambda^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  endowed with the norm

$$\|f\|_{\ell_m^{1*}} \equiv \sup_{p \in \Lambda^*} w_m(p)^{-1} |f(p)| = \sup_{\varphi \in \ell_m^1} \frac{|\langle \varphi, f \rangle|}{\|\varphi\|_{\ell_m^1}} \quad (2.29)$$

where we denote by  $\langle \varphi, f \rangle \equiv \int_{\Lambda^*} \overline{\varphi(p)} f(p) dp$  the coupling between  $\ell_m^1$  and  $\ell_m^{1*}$ .

*Remark 2.3.* As vector spaces,  $\ell_m^1(\Lambda^*) = \ell^1(\Lambda^*)$  and  $\ell_m^{1*}(\Lambda^*) = \ell^\infty(\Lambda^*)$  for all  $m > 0$ . However, we choose to equip these spaces with the norms  $\|\cdot\|_{\ell_m^1}$  and  $\|\cdot\|_{\ell_m^{1*}}$  since the weight  $w_m(p)$  appropriately records the decay near the Fermi surface  $\mathcal{S}$ —this point will be crucial in our analysis. For completeness, we record here the following inequality

$$\|f\|_{\ell^\infty(\Lambda^* \setminus \mathcal{S})} \leq \|f\|_{\ell_m^{1*}} \leq \|f\|_{\ell^\infty(\Lambda^*)}, \quad \forall f \in \ell^\infty(\Lambda^*) \quad (2.30)$$

which we shall make use of, when studying the fixed volume case in the next subsection.

*Remark 2.4.* If  $f \in \ell_m^{1*}$  is real-valued, one may restrict the supremum over  $\varphi \in \ell_m^1$  on the right hand side of (2.29) to be real-valued as well.

The following theorem is our main result. It contains an estimate in  $\ell_m^{1*}$ -norm that collects the leading order terms of the evolution-in-time of the momentum distributions of particles and holes.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $\mathfrak{h}$  the particle-hole Hamiltonian defined in (2.8). Assume the initial state of the system  $\nu$  satisfies Condition 1, and consider the momentum distribution  $f_t(p)$  defined in (2.9). We denote by*

$$n \equiv |\Lambda| \int_{\Lambda^*} f_0(p) dp \quad (2.31)$$

the initial number of particles/holes in the system, and introduce the recurring parameter

$$R \equiv |\Lambda| p_F^{d-1} \simeq LN^{\frac{d-1}{d}}. \quad (2.32)$$

Assume that  $1 \lesssim n \lesssim R^{1/2}$ . Then, for all  $m > 0$  there exists  $C = C(m, d) > 0$  such that for all  $t \geq 0$  there holds

$$\|f_t - f_0 - \lambda^2 t Q_t[f_0] - \lambda^2 t B_t[f_0]\|_{\ell_m^{1*}} \leq C \lambda^2 t (\theta_1 t \langle t \rangle + \theta_2 t) \exp(C \lambda R \langle t \rangle) \quad (2.33)$$

where the positive parameters  $\theta_1$  and  $\theta_2$  are defined as

$$\theta_1 \equiv \lambda R^2 (R^{\frac{1}{2}} + n^2) \quad \text{and} \quad \theta_2 \equiv \frac{R^3}{p_F^m}. \quad (2.34)$$

*Remark 2.5* (Finite volume). In the next subsection, we study the fixed volume case  $L = 2\pi$  in three dimensions. We consider macroscopic time scales  $T \simeq 1$ , and construct appropriate initial data for which the large time limit of  $Q_t$  and  $B_t$  describe the time evolution of holes in the Fermi ball  $\mathcal{B}$ . Our parameter range includes the following scaling regime as an example

$$n \simeq N^{\frac{2}{3}}, \quad \lambda = \frac{1}{N^2}, \quad t = N^{\frac{1}{3}} T \quad \text{and} \quad m = 3. \quad (2.35)$$

*Remark 2.6* (Comparison of physical scales). The physical situation given by (2.35) can be compared with the combined mean-field  $\lambda = 1/N$  and semi-classical regime  $\hbar = N^{1/3}$  as follows. When both are measured in microscopic units:

- (i) The strength of out interaction is  $\mathcal{O}(1/N)$  weaker, and its range is  $\mathcal{O}(N^{1/3})$  shorter;
- (ii) Both time scales are  $\mathcal{O}(N^{1/3})$ ; and

- (iii) The size of our box is  $\mathcal{O}(N)$  smaller than in the semi-classical case. Consequently, the Fermi momentum  $p_F$  in our regime is of order  $\mathcal{O}(N^{1/3})$ —much larger than its  $\mathcal{O}(1)$  semi-classical analog.

2.2.1. *Discussion.* Note that all the physical parameters in Eq. (2.33) remain finite and non-zero. This is different from many results in the mathematical physics literature, where the goal is to prove a convergence result of the form  $f = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} f_N$ , where  $f_N$  is an object extracted from the many-body problem, all the other physical parameters remain fixed or have been expressed in terms of  $N$ , and  $f$  is a limiting object independent of  $N$ . This approach has been carried out for the derivation of mean-field equations in the quantum and classical setting, Boltzmann-type equations in the probabilistic and deterministic setting, the Euler equation in the hydrodynamical limit, and many others. Results of this kind require one to know *a priori* the scaling regime under consideration, i.e. the functional dependence of the physical parameters in terms of a single one (usually,  $N \gg 1$  or  $\lambda \ll 1$ )—this approach is highly compatible with BBGKY hierarchy methods, in which compactness arguments are often employed. This dependence is often obtained from scaling considerations, based on a solid understanding of the underlying physical scales of the system.

As we have noted in the introductory section, the derivation of the quantum Boltzmann equation has been a longstanding conjecture from the mathematical point of view. In particular, the optimal scaling regime for which it could be derived is a matter of active research. Notably, this includes various theories of interacting quantum gases, like the one studied in this paper. To explore the appropriate parameter ranges, we do not fix a strict dependence between the physical parameters of the system. The “parameter window” for which the inequality (2.33) is a meaningful approximation is then found *a posteriori*—the better the estimates, the larger the window.

Our result by no means identifies the *optimal* parameter window for which emergence of this phenomenon holds true. However, it is the first result to identify the leading order terms that drive the dynamics of holes inside of the Fermi ball, for small values of the coupling constant.

2.3. **Fixed volume.** Let us discuss in this section a scaling regime for which Theorem 1 turns into an effective approximation. Namely, the situation in which the linear length of the box is  $L = 2\pi$ , and  $d = 3$ . Here, the dual lattice becomes  $\Lambda^* = \mathbb{Z}^3$ . The physical situation in which  $|\Lambda| \gg 1$  and  $\Lambda^* \sim \mathbb{R}^3$  (that is, the continuum approximation) will not be addressed in this article.

The most important feature of this regime is that one is able to easily identify the leading order time dependence of the operators  $Q_t$  and  $B_t$ , contained in the mollified delta function  $\delta_t(\Delta E)$ . Indeed, we prove in Lemma 10.1 that for all  $x \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $y \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\delta_t(x + \lambda y) = \frac{2t}{\pi} \delta_{x,0} + \mathcal{O}(1/tx^2) + \mathcal{O}(t^3 \lambda^2 |y|^3). \quad (2.36)$$

Consequently, in Lemma 10.2 and 10.3 we are able to identify the time dependence of the operators  $Q_t$  and  $B_t$  as follows

$$\begin{aligned} Q_t[f] &= t\mathcal{Q}[f] + \mathcal{O}_{\ell^\infty}(1/t) + \mathcal{O}_{\ell^\infty}(t^3\lambda^2\|\hat{V}\|_{\ell^1}^2), \\ B_t[f] &= t\mathcal{B}[f] + \mathcal{O}_{\ell^\infty}(1/t) + \mathcal{O}_{\ell^\infty}(t^3\lambda^2\|\hat{V}\|_{\ell^1}^2). \end{aligned} \quad (2.37)$$

where  $f \in \ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^3)$ . Here, the operator  $\mathcal{Q}[f]$  is defined as in Def. 2 but with  $\delta_t(\Delta E)$  being replaced by the discrete Delta function on the lattice  $(2/\pi)\delta_{\Delta e,0}$ , where now energy conservation holds for the signed free dispersion relation:<sup>1</sup>

$$\Delta e \equiv e(p_1) + e(p_2) - e(p_3) - e(p_4), \quad \text{where} \quad e(p) \equiv [\chi^\perp(p) - \chi(p)]\frac{p^2}{2}. \quad (2.38)$$

The definition of  $\mathcal{B}$  is analogous.

In this regime, we consider now a macroscopic time scale  $T \simeq 1$  for which the right hand side of Eq. (2.37) is small, but the estimate contained in Theorem 1 is meaningful. Namely, let  $T \geq 0$  and  $F_T \in \ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^3)$  be defined through

$$T \equiv \epsilon t \quad \text{and} \quad F_T \equiv f_{\epsilon^{-1}T} \quad (2.39)$$

where  $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$  is a parameter controlling the time scale, which we now define. We fix a relationship between all the parameter as follows:

$$\lambda = 1/N^{\frac{5}{3}+\alpha} \quad 1 \leq n \leq N^{1/3}, \quad \epsilon = 1/N^\beta \quad (2.40)$$

where  $\alpha, \beta > 0$  are positive, but independent of  $N$ .

In this context, the following result now follows as a corollary of Theorem 1, Lemma 10.2 and 10.3, and the inequalities found in Eq. (2.30).

**Corollary 1** (Fixed volume. Macroscopic times). *Under the same assumptions of Theorem 1, let  $F_T$  be as in (2.39), and consider all the parameter as in (2.40). Let  $d = 3$ . Then, for all  $m > 0$  there exists  $C > 0$  such that for all  $T \in [0, 1]$  there holds*

$$F_T = F_0 + (\lambda/\epsilon)^2 T^2 \left( \mathcal{Q}[F_0] + \mathcal{B}[F_0] + \text{Rem}(N, n, T) \right) \quad (2.41)$$

where  $\text{Rem}$  is a remainder term that satisfies

$$\|\text{Rem}(N, n, T)\|_{\ell^\infty(\mathbb{Z}^3 \setminus \mathcal{S})} \leq C \left( \frac{N^{2/3}}{N^{2\beta}} + \frac{N^{2/3}}{N^{2(5/3+\alpha-\beta)}} + \frac{(N^{1/3} + n^2)}{N^{1/3+\alpha-\beta}} + \frac{1}{N^{2m/3-2}} \right) \quad (2.42)$$

*Remark 2.7.* Corollary 1 contains information about the evolution of  $F_T(p)$  for  $p \in \mathbb{Z}^3 \setminus \mathcal{S}$ . That is, away from the Fermi surface. For  $p \in \mathcal{S}$  and  $T \in [0, 1]$ , one has the following  $\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^3)$  bound:

$$\|F_T\|_{\ell^1(\mathcal{S})} \leq C(\lambda R \epsilon^{-1} \langle T \rangle)^2 \exp(C\lambda R \epsilon^{-1} T) \leq C \frac{\exp(C/N^{1+\alpha-\beta})}{N^{2(1+\alpha-\beta)}}. \quad (2.43)$$

---

<sup>1</sup>In the literature, one usually finds the dispersion relation for particles and holes written in terms of the absolute value  $|p^2/2 - p_F^2/2| = e(p) + p_F^2/2(\chi^\perp(p) - \chi(p))$ . This choice is particularly useful for linearizing the dispersion relation of holes/particles around the Fermi surface  $p \in \mathcal{S}$ , for it is then clear that  $|p^2/2 - p_F^2/2| \lesssim p_F$ . While this is important for the dynamics of bosonized particle-hole pairs, it is not relevant for the case under study—hence, we choose  $e(p)$  as above. Thanks to charge conservation, both representations are equivalent.

This bound follows as a propagation of Condition 1 for the initial data  $F_0$ —see Proposition 5.2. Thus,  $\|F_T\|_{\ell^1(\mathcal{S})} \ll 1$  provided  $1 + \alpha - \beta > 0$  and  $N \gg 1$ .

The inequality contained in Corollary 1 shows that the effective dynamics dominates over the remainder terms if we have

$$\|\mathcal{Q}[F_0]\|_{\ell^\infty(\mathbb{Z}^3 \setminus \mathcal{S})} + \|\mathcal{B}[F_0]\|_{\ell^\infty(\mathbb{Z}^3 \setminus \mathcal{S})} \gg \|\text{Rem}(N, n, T)\|_{\ell^\infty(\mathbb{Z}^3 \setminus \mathcal{S})}. \quad (2.44)$$

It turns out that the sizes of  $\mathcal{Q}[F_0]$  and  $\mathcal{B}[F_0]$  are quite sensitive to the structure of the initial data. While the operator  $\mathcal{Q}$  depends on *how many* holes there are in  $\mathcal{B}$ , the operator  $\mathcal{B}$  depends on *where* these holes are found. For illustration, given<sup>2</sup>  $\delta \in (\frac{1034}{1648}, 1)$ , in Section 10.3 we construct initial data  $F_0 \in \ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^3)$  such that

$$\|\mathcal{Q}[F_0]\|_{\ell^\infty(\mathcal{B} \setminus \mathcal{S})} \simeq n \quad \text{and} \quad \|\mathcal{B}[F_0]\|_{\ell^\infty(\mathcal{B} \setminus \mathcal{S})} \geq CN^{\frac{\delta}{3}}, \quad \forall N \gg 1, \quad (2.45)$$

where  $N^{\delta/3}$  is the momentum of the outermost hole in  $\mathcal{B}$ . With this example in mind, let us assume for concreteness that  $n \simeq N^{\delta/3}$  and  $\delta = 2/3$ . Then, a straightforward calculation shows that the inequality (2.44) is valid for  $N \gg 1$  provided

$$1/9 < \beta - 1/9 < \alpha \quad \text{and} \quad m > 8/3 \quad (2.46)$$

where the parameters  $\alpha, \beta$  were introduced in (2.40). In particular, by choosing  $\alpha = \beta = 1/3$  we get the scaling regime presented in Eq. (2.35).

### 3. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we introduce preliminaries that are needed to prove our main result. First, we give an explicit representation of the particle-hole Hamiltonian  $\mathfrak{h}$ , introduced in (2.8). Secondly, based on this representation, we introduce the interaction picture framework that we shall use to study the dynamics of the momentum distribution  $f_t(p)$ , defined in (2.9). Thirdly, we perform a double commutator expansion and identify nine terms, from which we shall extract leading order and subleading order terms. Finally, we introduce number estimates that we use to analyze the nine terms found in the double commutator expansion.

**3.1. Calculation of  $\mathfrak{h}$ .** Let us introduce two fundamental collection of operators. We shall refer to them informally as  $D$ - and  $b$ -operators, respectively.

**Definition 4.** Let  $k \in \Lambda^*$ .

(1) We define the  $D$ -operators as

$$D_k \equiv \int_{\Lambda^*} \chi^\perp(p) \chi^\perp(p-k) a_{p-k}^* a_p \, dp - \int_{\Lambda^*} \chi(h) \chi(h+k) a_{h+k}^* a_h \, dh. \quad (3.1)$$

(2) We define the  $b$ -operators as

$$b_k \equiv \int_{\Lambda^*} \chi^\perp(p) \chi(p-k) a_{p-k}^* a_p \, dp. \quad (3.2)$$

---

<sup>2</sup>The parameter  $\delta_0 \equiv 1034/1648 < 2/3$  is the current best power for the remainder term associated to the Gauss circle problem—see [16, Theorem 2]. The reader is referred to Section 10.3 for details on the connection with the problem at hand.

*Remark 3.1.* For the rest of the article, we denote the corresponding adjoint operators by  $D_k^* \equiv [D_k]^*$  and  $b_k^* \equiv [b_k]^*$ , respectively. Additionally, we shall extensively use the basic relation

$$D_k^* = D_{-k} \quad \forall k \in \Lambda^* . \quad (3.3)$$

*Remark 3.2.* One should understand the operator  $D$  as *fermionic* operators; they intertwine only holes and holes, together with particles and particles. On the other hand, the operators  $b$  should be understood as *bosonic* operators; they create/annihilate bosonized particle-hole pairs near the Fermi surface. In fact, the following commutation relation holds

$$[b_k, D_k^*] = 0 \quad \forall k \in \Lambda^* . \quad (3.4)$$

The following lemma contains the explicit representation for the particle-hole Hamiltonian, in terms of a “solvable Hamiltonian”, plus interaction terms depending on  $D$  and  $b$  operators.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $\mathfrak{h}$  be the operator defined in (2.8). Then, the following identity holds*

$$\mathfrak{h} - \mu_1 \mathbb{1} - \mu_2 \mathcal{Q} = \mathfrak{h}_0 + \lambda \mathcal{V} \quad (3.5)$$

for some real-valued constants  $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ . Here  $\mathcal{Q}$  corresponds to the charge operator

$$\mathcal{Q} \equiv \int_{\Lambda^*} \chi^\perp(p) a_p^* a_p dp - \int_{\Lambda^*} \chi(p) a_p^* a_p dp; \quad (3.6)$$

$\mathfrak{h}_0$  corresponds to the quadratic, diagonal operator

$$\mathfrak{h}_0 = \int_{\Lambda^*} E_p a_p^* a_p dp \quad (3.7)$$

with  $E_p$  the dispersion relation defined in (2.16); and  $\mathcal{V} = V_F + V_{FB} + V_B$  contains the following three interaction terms

$$V_F \equiv \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Lambda^*} \hat{V}(k) D_k^* D_k dk \quad (3.8)$$

$$V_{FB} \equiv \int_{\Lambda^*} \hat{V}(k) D_k^* [b_k + b_{-k}^*] dk \quad (3.9)$$

$$V_B \equiv \int_{\Lambda^*} \hat{V}(k) [b_k^* b_k + \frac{1}{2} b_k^* b_{-k}^* + \frac{1}{2} b_{-k} b_k] dk . \quad (3.10)$$

*Remark 3.3.* The labeling of  $V_F$ ,  $V_{FB}$  and  $V_B$  is of course related to Remark 3.2. Namely,  $V_F$  contains fermion/fermion interactions,  $V_{FB}$  contains fermion/boson interactions and  $V_B$  contains boson/boson interactions.

*Remark 3.4.* The charge operator  $\mathcal{Q}$  is irrelevant for the dynamics in the system. Indeed, one may easily check that  $[\mathfrak{h}_0, \mathcal{Q}] = [D, \mathcal{Q}] = [b, \mathcal{Q}] = 0$  and, therefore,  $[\mathfrak{h}, \mathcal{Q}] = 0$ . In other words, the charge is a constant of motion and only the right hand side of (3.5) is relevant regarding the time evolution of the momentum distribution of the system. We make this argument precise in the next subsection.

The proof of the above Lemma will not be given here, for it has already been considered in the literature in a very similar form. The reader is referred for instance to [9, pps 897-899].

**3.2. The interaction picture.** Let us now exploit the identity found in (3.5). First, recalling that the Hamiltonian  $\mathfrak{h}_0$  is quadratic and diagonal with respect to creation and annihilation operators, we may easily calculate the associated Heisenberg evolution to be given by

$$a_p(t) \equiv e^{it\mathfrak{h}_0} a_p e^{-it\mathfrak{h}_0} = e^{-itE_p} a_p , \quad (3.11)$$

$$a_p^*(t) \equiv e^{it\mathfrak{h}_0} a_p^* e^{-it\mathfrak{h}_0} = e^{+itE_p} a_p^* , \quad (3.12)$$

for all  $p \in \Lambda^*$  and  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ ; the dispersion relation  $E_p$  was defined in (2.16). Secondly, we introduce the *interaction Hamiltonian*

$$\mathfrak{h}_I(t) \equiv \lambda e^{it\mathfrak{h}_0} \mathcal{V} e^{-it\mathfrak{h}_0} \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R} , \quad (3.13)$$

where  $\mathfrak{h}_0$  and  $\mathcal{V}$  are defined in Lemma 3.5.

We now introduce the dynamics associated to the interaction picture.

**Definition 5.** *Given an initial state  $\nu : B(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , we denote by  $(\nu_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  the solution of the initial value problem*

$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t \nu_t(\mathcal{O}) = \nu_t([\mathfrak{h}_I(t), \mathcal{O}]) & \forall \mathcal{O} \in B(\mathcal{F}) \\ \nu_0 = \nu \end{cases} \quad (3.14)$$

which we shall refer to as the *interaction dynamics*.

The momentum distribution of the system  $f_t(p)$ , introduced in Def. 1, is now linked to the interaction dynamics. Indeed, a standard calculation shows that for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $p \in \Lambda^*$ , there holds

$$f_t(p) = |\Lambda|^{-1} \nu_t(a_p^* a_p) . \quad (3.15)$$

In the next subsection, we shall use Eq. (3.15) to expand  $f_t(p)$ .

**3.3. Double commutator expansion.** Let  $f_t(p)$  be as in Eq. (3.15), and let us recall that  $\nu$  is an initial state satisfying Condition 1. In particular, quasi-freeness and translation invariance imply that

$$\nu([a_p^* a_p, a_{k_1}^\# a_{k_2}^\# a_{k_3}^\# a_{k_4}^\#]) = 0 , \quad \forall k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4 \in \Lambda^* . \quad (3.16)$$

Thus, upon expressing the Hamiltonian  $\mathfrak{h}_I(t)$  in terms of creation- and annihilation operators, one finds that  $\partial_t|_{t=0} f_t(p) = i|\Lambda|^{-1} \nu([a_p^* a_p, \mathfrak{h}_I(0)]) = 0$ . Hence, the following third-order expansion holds true

$$f_t(p) = f_0(p) - |\Lambda|^{-1} \int_0^t \int_0^{t_1} \nu_{t_2} \left( [[a_p^* a_p, \mathfrak{h}_I(t_1)], \mathfrak{h}_I(t_2)] \right) dt_1 dt_2 \quad (3.17)$$

for any  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $p \in \Lambda^*$ . We dedicate the rest of this article to the study of the right-hand side of the above equation.

Let us identify all of the terms in the double commutator expansion found above. A straightforward expansion of the interaction Hamiltonian yields the decomposition

$$\mathfrak{h}_I(t) = \lambda (V_F(t) + V_{FB}(t) + V_B(t)) \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R} \quad (3.18)$$

where the interaction terms above according to the Heisenberg picture. Namely, we set

$$V_\alpha(t) \equiv e^{it\mathfrak{h}_0} V_\alpha e^{-it\mathfrak{h}_0} \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R} , \alpha \in \{F, FB, B\} . \quad (3.19)$$

Upon expanding the right hand side of (3.17), one finds the following nine terms

$$\begin{aligned} f_t - f_0 &= -\lambda^2 |\Lambda|^{-1} \left( T_{F,F}(t) + T_{F,FB}(t) + T_{F,B}(t) \right) \\ &\quad - \lambda^2 |\Lambda|^{-1} \left( T_{FB,F}(t) + T_{FB,FB}(t) + T_{FB,B}(t) \right) \\ &\quad - \lambda^2 |\Lambda|^{-1} \left( T_{B,F}(t) + T_{B,FB}(t) + T_{B,B}(t) \right) \end{aligned} \quad (3.20)$$

where we set, for  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $p \in \Lambda^*$

$$T_{\alpha,\beta}(t,p) \equiv \int_0^t \int_0^{t_1} \nu_{t_2} \left( [[a_p^* a_p, V_\alpha(t_1)], V_\beta(t_2)] \right) dt_1 dt_2 \quad \alpha, \beta \in \{F, FB, B\} . \quad (3.21)$$

We shall analyze in detail the quantities  $T_{\alpha,\beta} : \mathbb{R} \times \Lambda^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  when tested against a smooth function. To this end, let us introduce some notation we shall be using for the rest of this work. For  $\varphi : \Lambda^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  we let

$$N(\varphi) \equiv \int_{\Lambda^*} \overline{\varphi(p)} a_p^* a_p dp \quad (3.22)$$

together with

$$T_{\alpha,\beta}(t, \varphi) \equiv \langle \varphi, T_{\alpha,\beta}(t) \rangle = \int_0^t \int_0^{t_1} \nu_{t_2} \left( [[N(\varphi), V_\alpha(t_1)], V_\beta(t_2)] \right) dt_1 dt_2 . \quad (3.23)$$

**3.4. Excitation operators.** The following two operators will play a major role in our analysis. They correspond to the number operator that counts the total number of particles and holes in the system, together with the number operator that only counts the number of particles and hole in the Fermi surface  $\mathcal{S}$ . More precisely, we consider

**Definition 6.** *We define the two following operators in  $\mathcal{F}$ .*

(1) *The number operator as*

$$\mathcal{N} \equiv \int_{\Lambda^*} a_p^* a_p dp . \quad (3.24)$$

(2) *The surface-localized number operator as*

$$\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{S}} \equiv \int_{\mathcal{S}} a_p^* a_p dp \quad (3.25)$$

where  $\mathcal{S}$  is the Fermi surface, defined in (2.12) .

*Remark 3.5 (Domains).*  $\mathcal{N}$  is an unbounded self-adjoint operator in  $\mathcal{F}$  with domain  $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{N}) = \{ \Psi = (\psi_n)_{n \geq 0} \in \mathcal{F} : \sum_{n \geq 0} n^2 \|\psi_n\|_{L^2(\Lambda^n)}^2 < \infty \}$  . As initial data, the mixed states that we work with satisfy

$$\nu(\mathcal{N}) \equiv \int_{\Lambda^*} \nu(a_p^* a_p) dp = \int_{\Lambda^*} f_0(p) dp < \infty , \quad (3.26)$$

and similarly for higher powers  $\mathcal{N}^k$ . It is standard to show that the time evolution generated by the particle-hole Hamiltonian  $\mathfrak{h}$ , as defined in (2.8), preserves  $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{N})$ , in the sense that  $\nu_t(\mathcal{N}^k) < \infty$  for  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . In order to simplify the exposition, we shall purposefully not refer to the unbounded nature of the operator  $\mathcal{N}$  in the rest of the article.



The proof of Theorem 1 relies on the fact that the subleading order terms that arise from the double commutator expansion –written in terms of  $b$ - and  $D$ -operators– can be bounded above by expectations of the operators  $\mathcal{N}$  and  $\mathcal{N}_S$ , with respect to the evolution of the state  $\nu$  driven by the interaction Hamiltonian  $\mathfrak{h}_I(t)$ . This analysis is carried out in Section 4. Further, in Section 5 we prove bounds for the growth-in-time of the expectations  $\nu_t(\mathcal{N})$  and  $\nu_t(\mathcal{N}_S)$ . This two-step analysis is combined in Section 9 to prove Theorem 1.

#### 4. TOOL BOX I: ANALYSIS OF $b$ - AND $D$ -OPERATORS

In the last section, we introduced the time evolution of certain observables in the Heisenberg picture, with respect to the solvable Hamiltonian  $\mathfrak{h}_0$ , introduced in (3.7). In particular, the evolution of the creation- and annihilation- operators  $a$  and  $a^*$  take the simple form

$$a_p(t) = e^{-itE_p} a_p \quad \text{and} \quad a_p^*(t) = e^{+itE_p} a_p^*, \quad (4.1)$$

for all  $p \in \Lambda^*$  and  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ ; the dispersion relation  $E_p$  was defined in (2.16). Let us now introduce the Heisenberg evolution of the  $b$ - and  $D$ -operators as follow.

**Definition 7.** *Let  $k \in \Lambda^*$  and  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ .*

(1) *The Heisenberg evolution of the  $D$ -operators is given by*

$$D_k(t) \equiv e^{it\mathfrak{h}_0} D_k e^{-it\mathfrak{h}_0} = \int_{\Lambda^*} \chi^\perp(p, p-k) a_{p-k}^*(t) a_p(t) dp - \int_{\Lambda^*} \chi(h, h+k) a_{h+k}^*(t) a_h(t) dh$$

and  $D_k^*(t) \equiv [D_k(t)]^*$ .

(2) *The Heisenberg evolution of the  $b$ -operators is given by*

$$b_k(t) \equiv e^{it\mathfrak{h}_0} b_k e^{-it\mathfrak{h}_0} = \int_{\Lambda^*} \chi^\perp(p) \chi(p-k) a_{p-k}^*(t) a_p^*(t) dp$$

and  $b_k^*(t) \equiv [b_k(t)]^*$ .

The main goal of this section is to introduce a systematic calculus that lets us deal with combination of the operators  $b_k(t)$  and  $D_k(t)$ –together with multiple combination of their commutators– as they show up in the analysis of the double commutator expansion found in (3.20). First, we introduce many useful identities required for the upcoming analysis. Secondly, we state estimates for several combinations of  $b$ - and  $D$ -operators.

**4.1. Identities.** In this subsection, we record useful identities between operators in  $\mathcal{F}$  that we shall use extensively in the rest of this article. Most importantly, in the next subsection we shall use these identities to obtain estimates of importantes commutator observables.

*Preliminary identities.* First, we write general time-independent relations.

1) For all  $p, q, r \in \Lambda^*$  the CAR imply that

$$[a_r^* a_r, a_p^* a_q] = (\delta(r-q) - \delta(r-p)) a_p^* a_q \quad (4.2)$$

2) For all  $p, q \in \Lambda^*$  and  $\varphi \in \ell^1(\Lambda^*)$  there holds

$$[N(\varphi), a_p^* a_q] = \left( \overline{\varphi(p)} - \overline{\varphi(q)} \right) a_p^* a_q \quad (4.3)$$

where we recall  $N(\varphi) = \int_{\Lambda^*} \overline{\varphi(p)} a_p^* a_p dp$ .

*Commutator identities.* The following lemma contains useful operator identities, to be used in the next section. Since they only rely on the CAR and straightforward commutator calculations, we leave their proof to the reader.

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $k, \ell \in \Lambda^*$  and  $t, s \in \mathbb{R}$ .*

(1) *For  $p \in \mathcal{B}^c$  and  $h \in \mathcal{B}$  there holds*

$$[b_k(s), a_p^*(t)] = \chi(p-k) e^{i(t-s)E_p} a_{p-k}(s), \quad (4.4)$$

$$[b_k(s), a_h^*(t)] = -\chi^\perp(h+k) e^{i(t-s)E_h} a_{h+k}(s). \quad (4.5)$$

(2) *There holds*

$$\begin{aligned} [b_\ell(s), D_k^*(t)] &= \int_{\Lambda^*} \chi^\perp(p) \chi^\perp(p-k) \chi(p-\ell) e^{i(t-s)E_p} a_{p-\ell}(s) a_{p-k}(t) dp \\ &\quad + \int_{\Lambda^*} \chi(h) \chi(h+k) \chi^\perp(h+\ell) e^{i(t-s)E_h} a_{h+\ell}(s) a_{h+k}(t) dh. \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

*In particular,  $[b_k(t), D_k^*(s)] = 0$ .*

(3) *There holds*

$$\begin{aligned} [b_k(t), b_\ell^*(s)] &= \delta(k-\ell) \int_{\Lambda^*} \chi^\perp(p) \chi(p-k) e^{-i(t-s)(E_p+E_{p-k})} dp \\ &\quad - \int_{\Lambda^*} \chi^\perp(p) \chi^\perp(p+\ell-k) \chi(p-k) e^{-i(t-s)E_{p-k}} a_p^*(t) a_{p+\ell-k}(s) dp \\ &\quad - \int_{\Lambda^*} \chi(h) \chi(h+\ell-k) \chi^\perp(h+\ell) e^{-i(t-s)E_{h+k}} a_h^*(t) a_{h+\ell-k}(s) dh. \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

We shall contract  $b$ - and  $D$ -operators with an external function  $\varphi : \Lambda^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  by means of the following two operators

$$\begin{aligned} D_k^*(t, \varphi) &\equiv [N(\varphi), D_k^*(t)] \\ &= \int_{\Lambda^*} \chi^\perp(p) \chi^\perp(p-k) [\varphi(p) - \varphi(p-k)] a_p^*(t) a_{p-k}(t) dp \\ &\quad - \int_{\Lambda^*} \chi(h) \chi(h+k) [\varphi(h) - \varphi(h+k)] a_h^*(t) a_{h+k}(t) dh, \end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$

$$\begin{aligned} b_k(t, \varphi) &\equiv [N(\varphi), b_k(t)] \\ &= \int_{\Lambda^*} \chi^\perp(q) \chi(q-k) [\varphi(q-k) + \varphi(q)] a_{q-k}(t) a_q(t) dq. \end{aligned} \quad (4.9)$$

**Lemma 4.2.** *Let  $k, \ell \in \Lambda^*$ ,  $t, s \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\varphi \in \ell^1$ .*

(1) *There holds*

$$\begin{aligned} & [b_\ell(s), D_k^*(t, \varphi)] \\ &= \int_{\Lambda^*} \chi^\perp(p) \chi^\perp(p-k) \chi(p-\ell) [\varphi(p) - \varphi(p-k)] e^{i(t-s)E_p} a_{p-\ell}(s) a_{p-k}(t) dp \\ &+ \int_{\Lambda^*} \chi(h) \chi(h+k) \chi^\perp(h+\ell) [\varphi(h) - \varphi(h+k)] e^{i(t-s)E_h} a_{h+\ell}(s) a_{h+k}(t) dh . \end{aligned} \quad (4.10)$$

**Lemma 4.3** ( $\mathcal{N}$  commutators). *For all  $k \in \Lambda^*$  and  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  the following holds true.*

(1) *For the  $D$ -operators*

$$[D_k(t), \mathcal{N}] = [D_k^*(t), \mathcal{N}] = 0 \quad (4.11)$$

*and similarly for the contracted operators  $D_k(t, \varphi)$  and  $D_k^*(t, \varphi)$ .*

(2) *For the  $b$ -operators, for any measurable function  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  the pull-through formulae holds true*

$$f(\mathcal{N})b_k(t) = b_k(t)f(\mathcal{N} - 2) \quad \text{and} \quad f(\mathcal{N})b_k^*(t) = b_k^*(t)f(\mathcal{N} + 2) \quad (4.12)$$

*and similarly for the contracted operators  $b_k(t, \varphi)$  and  $b_k^*(t, \varphi)$ .*

**Lemma 4.4** ( $\mathcal{N}_S$  commutators). *For all  $k \in 3\text{supp}\hat{V}$  and  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  the following commutation relations hold true*

$$[\mathcal{N}_S, b_k(t)] = -2b_k(t) \quad \text{and} \quad [\mathcal{N}_S, b_k^*(t)] = +2b_k^*(t) . \quad (4.13)$$

**4.2. Estimates.** In this subsection we state estimates that shall be used extensively for the rest of this article. Most of these are operator estimates for observables in  $\mathcal{F}$  containing the fermionic creation- and annihilation- operators  $a_p$  and  $a_p^*$ . We remind the reader that these are bounded operators with norm  $\|a_p\|_{B(\mathcal{F})} = \|a_p^*\|_{B(\mathcal{F})} \leq |\Lambda|^{1/2}$  for all  $p \in \Lambda^*$ .

*Preliminary estimates.* Let us state without proof elementary estimates that we shall make use of.

1) For any function  $f : \Lambda^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ ,  $k \in \Lambda^*$  and  $\Psi \in \mathcal{F}$  there holds

$$\left\| \int_{\Lambda^*} f(p) a_{p+k}^* a_p dp \Psi \right\|_{\mathcal{F}} \leq \|f\|_{\ell^\infty} \|\mathcal{N}\Psi\|_{\mathcal{F}} . \quad (4.14)$$

2) The Heisenberg evolution of the creation- and annihilation- operators  $a_p(t)$  and  $a_p^*(t)$  are bounded operators in  $\mathcal{F}$ , with norms

$$\|a_p(t)\|_{B(\mathcal{F})} = \|a_p^*(t)\|_{B(\mathcal{F})} \leq |\Lambda|^{1/2}, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}, p \in \Lambda^* . \quad (4.15)$$

3) The Heisenberg evolution of the  $b$ -operators are bounded operators in  $\mathcal{F}$  with norms

$$\|b_k(t)\|_{B(\mathcal{F})} = \|b_k^*(t)\|_{B(\mathcal{F})} \leq |\Lambda| \int_{\Lambda^*} \chi^\perp(p) \chi(p-k) dp \lesssim R \quad (4.16)$$

for all  $k \in \text{supp}\hat{V}$  and  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  – we recall that  $R = |\Lambda| p_F^{d-1}$ .

*Commutator estimates.* Let us now describe the most important estimates concerning  $b$ - and  $D$ -operators. Essentially, commutators between  $b$ - and  $D$ -operators –together with their contracted versions  $b(\varphi)$  and  $D(\varphi)$ – can be classified into four types, depending on the estimate they verify. It turns out that these four type of estimate exhaust *all* possibilities that show up in the double commutator expansion for  $f_t(p)$ . In other words, these estimates are enough to analyze the nine terms  $\{T_{\alpha,\beta}(t,p)\}_{\alpha,\beta \in \{F,FB,B\}}$ .

We remind the reader of the relation  $D_k^*(t) = D_{-k}(t)$ , valid for all  $k \in \Lambda^*$  and  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . In particular, *all* of the upcoming inequalities are valid if we replace  $D$  by  $D^*$ . On the other hand, we warn the reader that this property *does not* hold for  $b$ -operators in general.

The first type of estimate concerns the combination of operators that are relatively bounded with respect to the number operator  $\mathcal{N} = \int_{\Lambda^*} a_p^* a_p dp$ , or any of its powers. We call these *Type-I* estimates. They are contained in the following lemma.

**Lemma 4.5** (Type-I estimates). *There exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that for any  $\Psi \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $k, \ell \in \Lambda^*$ , and  $t, s, r \in \mathbb{R}$  the following inequalities hold true*

$$\|D_k(t)\Psi\|_{\mathcal{F}} \leq C\|\mathcal{N}\Psi\|_{\mathcal{F}} \quad (4.17)$$

$$\|[D_k(t), D_\ell(s)]\Psi\|_{\mathcal{F}} \leq C\|\mathcal{N}\Psi\|_{\mathcal{F}} \quad (4.18)$$

$$\|[D_k(t), D_\ell(s)D_\ell(r)]\Psi\|_{\mathcal{F}} \leq C\|\mathcal{N}^2\Psi\|_{\mathcal{F}} . \quad (4.19)$$

The second type of estimates concerns combination of operators that can be bounded above by the surface-localized number operator  $\mathcal{N}_S = \int_S a_p^* a_p dp$ , up to pre-factors that can grow with the recurring parameter  $R = |\Lambda|p_F^{d-1}$ . We call these *Type-II* estimates, and they are contained in the following lemma

**Lemma 4.6** (Type-II estimates). *There exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that for any  $\Psi \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $k, \ell, q \in \text{supp } \hat{V}$ , and  $t, s, r \in \mathbb{R}$  the following inequalities hold true*

$$\|b_k(t)\Psi\|_{\mathcal{F}} \leq CR^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\mathcal{N}_S^{1/2}\Psi\|_{\mathcal{F}} \quad (4.20)$$

$$\|[b_\ell(t), D_k(s)]\Psi\|_{\mathcal{F}} \leq CR^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\mathcal{N}_S^{1/2}\Psi\|_{\mathcal{F}} \quad (4.21)$$

$$\|[ [b_\ell(t), D_k(s)], D_q(r) ] \Psi\|_{\mathcal{F}} \leq CR^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\mathcal{N}_S^{1/2}\Psi\|_{\mathcal{F}} . \quad (4.22)$$

*Remark 4.1.* In certain proofs, it will be convenient to use the upper bound

$$\mathcal{N}_S \leq \mathcal{N}.$$

The reader should then have in mind that the (weaker) version of the estimates contained in Lemma 4.6, in which  $\mathcal{N}_S$  is replaced by  $\mathcal{N}$ , also holds true.

The third type of estimate corresponds to combination of operators that have been contracted with a test function  $\varphi \in \ell_m^1$ , and their operator norm can be bounded above in terms of the integral

$$\int_S |\varphi(p)| dp \lesssim p_F^{-m} \|\varphi\|_{\ell_m^1} . \quad (4.23)$$

We call these *Type-III* estimates, and they are contained in the following lemma.

**Lemma 4.7** (Type-III estimates). *Let  $m > 0$ . There exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that for all  $k, \ell, q \in \text{supp} \hat{V}$ ,  $t, s, r \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\varphi \in \ell_m^1(\Lambda^*)$  the following inequalities true*

$$\|b_k(t, \varphi)\|_{B(\mathcal{F})} \leq C |\Lambda| p_F^{-m} \|\varphi\|_{\ell_m^1} \quad (4.24)$$

$$\|[b_\ell(t), D_k(s, \varphi)]\|_{B(\mathcal{F})} \leq C |\Lambda| p_F^{-m} \|\varphi\|_{\ell_m^1} \quad (4.25)$$

$$\|[ [b_k(t), D_\ell(s)], D_q(r, \varphi) ]\|_{B(\mathcal{F})} \leq C |\Lambda| p_F^{-m} \|\varphi\|_{\ell_m^1} \quad (4.26)$$

*Remark 4.2.* Type-III estimates are symmetric with respect to the exchange of  $b$  and  $b^*$ . This property follows from the relation  $\|\mathcal{O}\|_{B(\mathcal{F})} = \|\mathcal{O}^*\|_{B(\mathcal{F})}$  and the symmetry  $D_k^*(t) = D_{-k}(t)$ .

The fourth and final type of estimate corresponds to combination of operators that have been contracted with a test function  $\varphi \in \ell_m^1$ , and their operator norm can be bounded above in terms of the integral

$$\int_{\Lambda^*} |\varphi(p)| dp = \|\varphi\|_{\ell^1} \lesssim \|\varphi\|_{\ell_m^1}, \quad (4.27)$$

and a pre-factor, depending on the volume of the box  $|\Lambda|$ . We call these *Type-IV* estimates, and they are contained in the following lemma.

**Lemma 4.8** (Type-IV estimates). *There exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that for all  $k, \ell, q \in \Lambda^*$ ,  $t, s, r \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\varphi \in \ell^1(\Lambda^*)$  the following inequalities true the following holds true*

$$\|D_k(t, \varphi)\|_{B(\mathcal{F})} \leq C |\Lambda| \|\varphi\|_{\ell^1} \quad (4.28)$$

$$\|[D_k(t, \varphi), D_\ell(s)]\|_{B(\mathcal{F})} \leq C |\Lambda| \|\varphi\|_{\ell^1}. \quad (4.29)$$

4.2.1. *Proof of Lemmata.* In this subsection, we provide sketches for the proofs of Lemmas 4.5, 4.6, 4.7 and 4.8.

*Sketch of Proof of Lemma 4.5.* Let us fix  $\Psi \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $k, \ell \in \Lambda^*$ , and  $t, s, r \in \mathbb{R}$ .

*Proof of (1).* We shall make use of the elementary estimate found in (4.14). To this end, starting from (7) we decompose

$$D_k(t) = \int_{\Lambda^*} f^{(1)}(t, k, p) a_{p-k}^* a_p dp + \int_{\Lambda^*} f^{(2)}(t, k, h) a_{h+k}^* a_h dh \quad (4.30)$$

where  $f^{(1)}(t, k, p) = \chi^\perp(p, p-k) e^{it(E_{p-k} - E_p)}$  and  $f^{(2)}(t, k, h) = \chi(h, h+k) e^{it(E_{h+k} - E_h)}$ . Clearly,  $\|f^{(1)}(t, k)\|_{\ell^\infty} = \|f^{(2)}(t, k)\|_{\ell^\infty} = 1$ . Hence, it follows that  $\|D_k(t)\Psi\|_{\mathcal{F}} \leq 2\|\mathcal{N}\Psi\|_{\mathcal{F}}$ .

*Proof of (2)* The proof is extremely similar—it suffices to note that the commutator can be calculated explicitly to be

$$\begin{aligned}
[D_k(t), D_\ell(s)] &= \int_{\Lambda^*} \chi^\perp(p, p-\ell, p-k-\ell) e^{i(s-t)E_{p-\ell}} a_{p-k-\ell}^*(t) a_p(s) dp \\
&\quad - \int_{\Lambda^*} \chi^\perp(p, p-k, p-k-\ell) e^{i(t-s)E_{p-k}} a_{p-k-\ell}^*(s) a_p(t) dp \\
&\quad + \int_{\Lambda^*} \chi(h, h+\ell, h+k+\ell) e^{i(s-t)E_{h+\ell}} a_{h+k+\ell}^*(t) a_h(s) dh \\
&\quad - \int_{\Lambda^*} \chi(h, h+k, h+k+\ell) e^{i(t-s)E_{h+k}} a_{h+k+\ell}^*(s) a_h(t) dh . \quad (4.31)
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, the same argument shows that  $\|[D_k(t), D_\ell(s)]\Psi\|_{\mathcal{F}} \leq 4\|\mathcal{N}\Psi\|_{\mathcal{F}}$ .

*Proof of (3).* For simplicity, let us suppress the time labels, and the momentum variables. In what follows  $C > 0$  is a constant whose value may change from line to line. We calculate using the previous results, and the commutation relations  $[\mathcal{N}, D] = 0$

$$\begin{aligned}
\|[D, DD]\Psi\|_{\mathcal{F}} &\leq \|D[D, D]\Psi\|_{\mathcal{F}} + \|[D, D]D\Psi\|_{\mathcal{F}} \\
&\leq C\|\mathcal{N}[D, D]\Psi\|_{\mathcal{F}} + C\|\mathcal{N}D\Psi\|_{\mathcal{F}} \\
&= C\|[D, D]\mathcal{N}\Psi\|_{\mathcal{F}} + \|CD\mathcal{N}\Psi\|_{\mathcal{F}} \\
&\leq C\|\mathcal{N}^2\Psi\|_{\mathcal{F}} . \quad (4.32)
\end{aligned}$$

This finishes the proof.  $\square$

*Sketch of Proof of Lemma 4.6.* Let us fix  $\Psi \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $k, \ell, q \in \text{supp } \hat{V}$ , and  $t, s, r \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Let us give the main ideas behind the proof. Let us recall that  $\text{supp } \hat{V}$  is contained in a ball of radius  $r > 0$ . For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , define the Fermi surfaces

$$\mathcal{S}(n) \equiv \{p \in \Lambda^* : p_F - nr \leq |p| \leq p_F + nr\}, \quad (4.33)$$

and the number operators  $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{S}(n)} \equiv \int_{\mathcal{S}(n)} a_p^* a_p dp$ . In particular, we are denoting  $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}(3)$  in (1.3). Given  $k, \ell \in \text{supp } \hat{V}$ , consider operators of the form

$$\beta_k \equiv \int_{\Lambda^*} \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{S}(1)}(p) a_{p+k} a_p dp, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{D}_\ell \equiv \int_{\Lambda^*} a_{p+\ell}^* a_p dp . \quad (4.34)$$

One should think generically of  $\beta_k$  as  $b_k(t)$  and  $\mathcal{D}_\ell$  as  $D_\ell(s)$ . We make the following two observations. First,  $\beta_k$  can be controlled by  $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{S}(1)}$  in the following sense

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\beta_k \Psi\|_{\mathcal{F}} &\leq |\Lambda|^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{\Lambda^*} \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{S}(1)}(p) \|a_p \Psi\|_{\mathcal{F}} \\
&\leq |\Lambda|^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{\Lambda^*} \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{S}(1)}(p) dp \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{\Lambda^*} \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{S}(1)}(p) \|a_p \Psi\|_{\mathcal{F}}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\lesssim |\Lambda|^{\frac{1}{2}} p_F^{\frac{d-1}{2}} \|\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{S}(1)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \Psi\|_{\mathcal{F}} = R^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{S}(1)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \Psi\|_{\mathcal{F}} , \quad (4.35)
\end{aligned}$$

where we used a basic geometric estimate to find that  $\int_{\Lambda^*} \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{S}(1)}(p) dp \lesssim p_F^{d-1}$ . Secondly, the commutator between  $\beta_k$  and  $\mathcal{D}_\ell$  can be calculated to be

$$[\beta_k, \mathcal{D}_\ell] = \int_{\Lambda^*} \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{S}(1)}(p - \ell) a_{p+k-\ell} a_p dp + \int_{\Lambda^*} \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{S}(1)}(p) a_{p+k-\ell} a_p dp . \quad (4.36)$$

Since both  $k, \ell \in \text{supp} \hat{V}$ , it holds that  $\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{S}(1)}(p - \ell) \leq \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{S}(2)}(p)$ , and of course  $\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{S}(1)}(p) \leq \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{S}(2)}(p)$ . Consequently, the same argument that we used to obtain (4.35) can now be repeated on each term of the above equation to obtain

$$\|[\beta_k, \mathcal{D}_\ell] \Psi\|_{\mathcal{F}} \lesssim R^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{S}(2)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \Psi\|_{\mathcal{F}} . \quad (4.37)$$

The same argument can be repeated for the next commutator with  $\mathcal{D}_q$ , provided one enlarges the Fermi surface from  $\mathcal{S}(2)$  to  $\mathcal{S}(3)$ . In other words, it holds that

$$\|[[\beta_k, \mathcal{D}_\ell], \mathcal{D}_q] \Psi\|_{\mathcal{F}} \lesssim R^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{S}(3)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \Psi\|_{\mathcal{F}} . \quad (4.38)$$

The above motivation contains the main ideas for the proof of the lemma. One merely has to include additional bounded coefficients in the definition of  $\beta_k$  and  $\mathcal{D}_\ell$  to account for the dependence on  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $k \in \Lambda^*$ , that comes from  $b_k(t)$  and  $D_\ell(s)$ . We leave the details to the reader.  $\square$

*Sketch of Proof of Lemma 4.7.* Let us fix  $m > 0$ ,  $k, \ell, q \in \text{supp} \hat{V}$ ,  $t, s, r \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\varphi \in \ell_m^1(\Lambda^*)$ . Starting from Eq. (4.9) we easily estimate that

$$\|b_k(t, \varphi)\|_{B(\mathcal{F})} \leq 2|\Lambda| \int_{\Lambda^*} \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{S}}(p) |\varphi(p)| dp . \quad (4.39)$$

It suffices then to note that  $\int_{\mathcal{S}} |\varphi(p)| dp \lesssim p_F^{-m} \|\varphi\|_{\ell_m^1}$ . For the next estimate, the same analysis can be carried out, starting from the commutator identity found in Eq. (4.10). For the last estimate, one has to calculate the upcoming commutators and bound each term in the same way.  $\square$

*Sketch of Proof of Lemma 4.8.* Let us fix  $k \in \Lambda^*$  and  $\varphi \in \ell^1$ . Starting from Eq. (4.8) we use  $0 \leq \chi, \chi^\perp \leq 1$  and  $\|a_p(t)\|_{B(\mathcal{F})} = \|a_p^*(t)\|_{B(\mathcal{F})} \leq |\Lambda|^{\frac{1}{2}}$  to find

$$\|D_k(t, \varphi)\|_{B(\mathcal{F})} \leq 4|\Lambda| \int_{\Lambda^*} |\varphi(p)| dp . \quad (4.40)$$

A similar inequality can be found upon calculation of the commutator  $[D_k(t), D_\ell(s, \varphi)]$ . This finishes the proof.  $\square$

## 5. TOOL BOX II: EXCITATION ESTIMATES

In Section 3 we introduced the two following observables:

$$\mathcal{N} = \int_{\Lambda^*} a_p^* a_p dp \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{S}} = \int_{\mathcal{S}} a_p^* a_p dp \quad (5.1)$$

corresponding to the the Number Operator and Surface-Localized Number Operator, respectively. The main purpose of this section is to prove estimates that control the growth-in-time of the expectation of  $\mathcal{N}$  and  $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{S}}$  with respect to the interaction dynamics

$(\nu_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ , defined in (3.14). These estimates are precisely stated in the following two propositions, which we prove in the remainder of this section.

**Proposition 5.1.** *Let  $(\nu_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  solve the interaction dynamics defined in (3.14), with initial data  $\nu_0 \equiv \nu$  satisfying Condition 1. Assume that  $n = \nu(\mathcal{N}) \geq 1$ . Then, for all  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$  there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that*

$$\nu_t(\mathcal{N}^\ell) \leq C n^\ell \exp(C \lambda R t), \quad \forall t \geq 0. \quad (5.2)$$

**Proposition 5.2.** *Let  $(\nu_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  solve the interaction dynamics defined in (3.14), with initial data  $\nu_0 \equiv \nu$  satisfying Condition 1. Further, assume that  $n = \nu(\mathcal{N}) \lesssim R^{1/2}$ . Then, there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that*

$$\nu_t(\mathcal{N}_S) \leq C(\lambda R \langle t \rangle)^2 \exp(C \lambda R t), \quad \forall t \geq 0, \quad (5.3)$$

where  $\langle t \rangle = (1 + t^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ .

The idea behind the proof of our estimates relies on a standard Grönwall argument, in which we bound expectations of commutators  $[\mathcal{N}, \mathfrak{h}_I(t)]$  and  $[\mathcal{N}_S, \mathfrak{h}_I(t)]$  in terms of combinations of expectations of  $\mathcal{N}$  and  $\mathcal{N}_S$ . This proof relies heavily in the fact that the interaction Hamiltonian decomposes into three parts, corresponding to fermion-fermion, fermion-boson and boson-boson interactions. Namely, there holds

$$\mathfrak{h}_I(t) = \lambda (V_F(t) + V_{F,B}(t) + V_B(t)), \quad \forall t \geq 0. \quad (5.4)$$

Here, time-dependence corresponds to the Heisenberg evolution associated to the solvable Hamiltonian  $\mathfrak{h}_0$ —see Eq. (3.19). In particular, using the formulae (3.8), (3.9) and (3.10) for  $V_F$ ,  $V_{F,B}$  and  $V_B$ , respectively, we may write that for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$

$$V_F(t) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Lambda^*} \hat{V}(k) D_k^*(t) D_k(t) dk \quad (5.5)$$

$$V_{FB}(t) = \int_{\Lambda^*} \hat{V}(k) D_k^*(t) [b_k(t) + b_{-k}^*(t)] dk \quad (5.6)$$

$$V_B(t) = \int_{\Lambda^*} \hat{V}(k) [b_k^*(t) b_k(t) + \frac{1}{2} b_k^*(t) b_{-k}(t) + \frac{1}{2} b_{-k}(t) b_k(t)] dk \quad (5.7)$$

where  $b_k(t)$  and  $D_k(t)$  correspond to the Heisenberg evolution of the  $b$ - and  $D$ -operators, respectively, as given in Definition 7.

**5.1. Number Operator Estimates.** The main purpose of this section is to prove the Proposition 5.1. The first step in this direction is to prove appropriate commutator estimates between  $\mathcal{N}$  and the generator of the interaction dynamics,  $\mathfrak{h}_I(t)$ . The commutator estimates that we prove are contained in the upcoming Lemma. We recall that  $R = |\Lambda| p_F^{d-1}$ .

**Lemma 5.1** (Commutator Estimates for  $\mathcal{N}$ ). *For all  $\ell \geq 1$  there exists a constant  $C = C(\ell) > 0$  such that:*

(1) *For all  $\Psi \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $t \geq 0$  there holds*

$$\langle \Psi, [\mathcal{N}^\ell, V_F(t)] \Psi \rangle_{\mathcal{F}} = 0$$



(2) For all  $\Psi \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $t \geq 0$  there holds

$$|\langle \Psi, [\mathcal{N}^\ell, V_{F,B}(t)]\Psi \rangle_{\mathcal{F}}| \leq CR \langle \Psi, (\mathcal{N}^\ell + \mathbb{1})\Psi \rangle_{\mathcal{F}}$$

(3) For all  $\Psi \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $t \geq 0$  there holds

$$|\langle \Psi, [\mathcal{N}^\ell, V_B(t)]\Psi \rangle_{\mathcal{F}}| \leq CR \langle \Psi, (\mathcal{N}^\ell + \mathbb{1})\Psi \rangle_{\mathcal{F}}$$

*Remark 5.1.* We recall that every state  $\nu : B(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is a convex combination of pure states. Namely, there exists sequences  $(\lambda_n)_{n=0}^\infty \subset (0, \infty)$  and  $(\Psi_n)_{n=0}^\infty \subset \mathcal{F}$  satisfying the normalization condition  $\sum_{n=0}^\infty \lambda_n = 1$  and  $\|\Psi_n\|_{\mathcal{F}} = 1$ , respectively, such that the following decomposition holds true

$$\nu(\mathcal{O}) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty \lambda_n \langle \Psi_n, \mathcal{O}\Psi_n \rangle_{\mathcal{F}}, \quad \forall \mathcal{O} \in B(\mathcal{F}). \quad (5.8)$$

In particular, the estimates contained in Lemma 5.1 can be easily converted into estimates for mixed states. For instance, if  $\mathcal{O}_1, \mathcal{O}_2, \mathcal{O}_3$  are operators such that

$$|\langle \Psi, \mathcal{O}_1\Psi \rangle_{\mathcal{F}}| \leq C \|\mathcal{O}_2\Psi\|_{\mathcal{F}} \|\mathcal{O}_3\Psi\|_{\mathcal{F}}, \quad \forall \Psi \in \mathcal{F} \quad (5.9)$$

for a constant  $C > 0$ , then it follows from the above decomposition of  $\nu$  and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality that

$$|\nu(\mathcal{O}_1)| \leq C \nu(\mathcal{O}_2^*\mathcal{O}_2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \nu(\mathcal{O}_3^*\mathcal{O}_3)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (5.10)$$

In most applications,  $\mathcal{O}_2$  and  $\mathcal{O}_3$  shall correspond to either  $\mathcal{N}$  or  $\mathcal{N}_S$ .

Let us briefly postpone the proof of the above Lemma to the next subsection. First, we turn to the proof of the important Proposition 5.1.

*Proof of Proposition 5.1.* The decomposition for  $\mathfrak{h}_I(t)$  from (5.4) combined with the commutator estimates from Lemma 5.1 imply that for all  $\ell \geq 1$  there exists  $C = C(\ell) > 0$  such that

$$\partial_t \nu_t(\mathcal{N}^\ell + \mathbb{1}) = \nu_t(i[\mathfrak{h}_I(t), \mathcal{N}^\ell]) \leq C\lambda R \nu_t(\mathcal{N}^\ell + \mathbb{1}), \quad \forall t \geq 0. \quad (5.11)$$

Gronwall's inequality now easily implies that there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that

$$\nu_t(\mathcal{N}^\ell) \leq C\lambda R \nu_t(\mathcal{N}^\ell + \mathbb{1}) e^{C\lambda R t}, \quad \forall t \geq 0. \quad (5.12)$$

To finalize the proof, we use the fact that for quasi-free states it holds true that  $\nu(\mathcal{N}^\ell) \lesssim \nu(\mathcal{N})^\ell$ , together with the assumption  $\nu(\mathcal{N}) = n \geq 1$ .  $\square$

### 5.1.1. Commutator Estimates for $\mathcal{N}$ .

*Proof of Lemma 5.1.* Throughout this proof,  $\Psi \in \mathcal{F}$  denotes an element in  $\cap_{k=1}^\infty D(\mathcal{N}^k)$ , which will justify all of the upcoming calculations. Let us now fix  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ .

*Proof of (1).* This is an immediate consequence of the fact that  $[D_k(t), \mathcal{N}] = 0$  for all  $k \in \Lambda^*$  and  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  – see Lemma 4.3.

*Proof of (2).* Using the fact that  $D_k^*(t) = D_{-k}(t)$  and  $[D_k^*(t), b_k(t)] = 0$  we may re-write the fermion-boson interaction term as

$$V_{FB}(t) = \int_{\Lambda^*} \hat{V}(k) D_k^*(t) b_k(t) dk + \text{h.c.} \quad (5.13)$$

Thus, we find that for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\langle \Psi, [\mathcal{N}^\ell, V_{FB}(t)]\Psi \rangle = 2\text{Im} \int_{\Lambda^*} \hat{V}(k) \langle \Psi, [\mathcal{N}^\ell, D_k^*(t)b_k(t)]\Psi \rangle . \quad (5.14)$$

In view of Lemma 4.3, we see that  $[D_k^*(t), \mathcal{N}^\ell] = 0$ . Further, using the pull-through formulae for  $b$ -operators with  $f(x) = x^\ell$  we find the following useful identity

$$[\mathcal{N}^\ell, b_k(t)] = \sum_{n=0}^{\ell-1} \binom{\ell}{n} (-2)^{\ell-n} \mathcal{N}^n b_k(t) , \quad \forall k \in \Lambda^*, t \in \mathbb{R} . \quad (5.15)$$

Consequently, we can estimate that

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle \Psi, [\mathcal{N}^\ell, V_{FB}]\Psi \rangle| &\leq \sum_{n=0}^{\ell-1} \binom{\ell}{n} (-2)^{\ell-n} \int_{\Lambda^*} |\hat{V}(k)| |\langle \Psi, D_k^*(t) \mathcal{N}^n b_k(t) \Psi \rangle| dk \\ &\leq \sum_{n=0}^{\ell-1} \binom{\ell}{n} (-2)^{\ell-n} \int_{\Lambda^*} |\hat{V}(k)| \|\mathcal{N}^{\frac{n-1}{2}} D_k(t) \Psi\| \|\mathcal{N}^{\frac{n+1}{2}} b_k(t) \Psi\| dk . \end{aligned} \quad (5.16)$$

We can now combine Lemma 4.3, the Type-I estimate (4.17) and the norm bound (4.16) to find that there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that

$$\|\mathcal{N}^{\frac{n-1}{2}} D_k(t) \Psi\| \|\mathcal{N}^{\frac{n+1}{2}} b_k(t) \Psi\| \leq CR \|\mathcal{N}^{\frac{n+1}{2}} \Psi\|^2 , \quad \forall n \geq 0 . \quad (5.17)$$

Finally, we put the two above estimates together and use the elementary fact  $\mathcal{N}^{\frac{n+1}{2}} \lesssim \mathcal{N}^\ell + 1$  (valid for  $n \leq \ell - 1$ ) to find that for some  $C > 0$  there holds

$$|\langle \Psi, [\mathcal{N}^\ell, V_{FB}]\Psi \rangle| \leq CR \|\hat{V}\|_{\ell^1} \|(\mathcal{N}^\ell + 1)\Psi\|^2 , \quad \forall t \geq 0 \quad (5.18)$$

which gives the desired estimate.

*Proof of (3).* First, we note that  $[\mathcal{N}, b_k^*(t)b_k(t)] = 0$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $k \in \Lambda^*$ . Hence, we can readily check that

$$\langle \Psi, [\mathcal{N}^\ell, V_B(t)]\Psi \rangle = \text{Im} \int \hat{V}(k) \langle \Psi, [\mathcal{N}^\ell, b_k(t)b_{-k}(t)]\Psi \rangle dk \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R} . \quad (5.19)$$

In view of the commutation relation  $\mathcal{N}b_k(t)b_{-k}(t) = b_k(t)b_{-k}(t)(\mathcal{N} - 4)$  we can calculate using the pull-through formula for  $f(x) = x^\ell$  that

$$[\mathcal{N}^\ell, b_k(t)b_{-k}(t)] = \sum_{n=0}^{\ell-1} \binom{\ell}{n} 4^{\ell-n} (\mathcal{N} + 4)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} b_k(t)b_{-k}(t) \mathcal{N}^{\frac{n+1}{2}} . \quad (5.20)$$

Consequently, putting the last two displayed equations together one finds that for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$

$$|\langle \Psi, [\mathcal{N}^\ell, V_B(t)]\Psi \rangle| \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\ell-1} \binom{\ell}{n} 4^{\ell-n} \int_{\Lambda^*} |\hat{V}(k)| \|(\mathcal{N} + 4)^{\frac{n+1}{2}} \Psi\| \|b_k(t)b_{-k}(t) \mathcal{N}^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \Psi\| dk . \quad (5.21)$$

We estimate the right hand side as follows. First, we note that  $\|(\mathcal{N} + 4)^{\frac{n+1}{2}}\Psi\| \leq C(\ell)\|(\mathcal{N} + 1)^{\ell/2}\Psi\|$  for all  $0 \leq n \leq \ell - 1$ . Secondly, we use the Type-II estimate (4.20) and the commutation relation (4.12) for  $f \equiv 1$  to find that

$$\begin{aligned} \|b_k(t)b_{-k}(t)\mathcal{N}^{\frac{n-1}{2}}\Psi\| &\lesssim R^{\frac{1}{2}}\|(\mathcal{N} + 2)^{\frac{1}{2}}b_{-k}(t)\mathcal{N}^{\frac{n-1}{2}}\Psi\| \\ &= R^{\frac{1}{2}}\|b_{-k}(t)\mathcal{N}^{\frac{1}{2}}\mathcal{N}^{\frac{n-1}{2}}\Psi\| \\ &\lesssim R\|\mathcal{N}^{\frac{1}{2}}\mathcal{N}^{\frac{1}{2}}\mathcal{N}^{\frac{n-1}{2}}\Psi\| \\ &\lesssim R\|(\mathcal{N} + 1)^{\frac{\ell}{2}}\Psi\| \end{aligned} \tag{5.22}$$

where again we used the fact that  $n \leq \ell - 1$ . The proof of the Lemma is easily finished after we put together the last two displayed estimates.  $\square$

**5.2. Surface-localized Number Operator Estimates.** The main purpose of this section is proving Proposition 5.2. In order to control the time evolution of  $\mathcal{N}_S$  with respect to  $\mathfrak{h}_I(t)$ , we establish the following commutator estimates. Recall that  $R = |\Lambda|p_F^{d-1}$ .

**Lemma 5.2.** *There exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that the following estimates hold true*

(1) For all  $\Psi \in \mathcal{F}$

$$|\langle \Psi, [\mathcal{N}_S, V_F(t)]\Psi \rangle_{\mathcal{F}}| \leq C\|\mathcal{N}_S^{1/2}\Psi\|_{\mathcal{F}}\|\mathcal{N}^{3/2}\Psi\|_{\mathcal{F}}. \tag{5.23}$$

(2) For all  $\Psi \in \mathcal{F}$

$$|\langle \Psi, [\mathcal{N}_S, V_{FB}(t)]\Psi \rangle_{\mathcal{F}}| \leq CR^{1/2}\|\mathcal{N}_S^{1/2}\Psi\|_{\mathcal{F}}\|\mathcal{N}\Psi\|_{\mathcal{F}}.$$

(3) For all  $\Psi \in \mathcal{F}$

$$|\langle \Psi, [\mathcal{N}_S, V_B(t)]\Psi \rangle_{\mathcal{F}}| \leq CR\|\mathcal{N}_S^{1/2}\Psi\|_{\mathcal{F}}^2 + CR\|\mathcal{N}_S^{1/2}\Psi\|_{\mathcal{F}}\|\Psi\|_{\mathcal{F}}.$$

We shall defer the proof of Lemma 5.2 to next subsection. Now we turn our attention to the proof of Proposition 5.2.

*Proof of Proposition 5.2.* Throughout the proof,  $C > 0$  is a constant whose value may change from line to line. First, in view of the decomposition of  $\mathfrak{h}_I(t)$  given in (5.4), Lemma 5.2 and Remark 5.1, there holds for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}\nu_t(\mathcal{N}_S) = \nu_t(i[\mathfrak{h}_I(t), \mathcal{N}_S]) &\leq C\lambda[\nu_t(\mathcal{N}_S)]^{\frac{1}{2}}[\nu_t(\mathcal{N}^3)]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\quad + C\lambda R^{\frac{1}{2}}[\nu_t(\mathcal{N}_S)]^{\frac{1}{2}}[\nu_t(\mathcal{N}^2)]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\quad + C\lambda R[\nu_t(\mathcal{N}_S)] \\ &\quad + C\lambda R[\nu_t(\mathcal{N}_S)]^{\frac{1}{2}}[\nu_t(\mathbf{1})]^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.24}$$

Thus, we divide<sup>3</sup> by  $\nu_t(\mathcal{N}_S)^{1/2}$  to find that thanks to Proposition 5.1

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}\nu_t(\mathcal{N}_S)^{\frac{1}{2}} &\leq C\lambda R\nu_t(\mathcal{N}_S)^{\frac{1}{2}} + C\lambda R\left(\nu_t(\mathcal{N}^3)^{\frac{1}{2}}/R + \nu_t(\mathcal{N}^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}/R^{\frac{1}{2}} + 1\right) \\ &\leq C\lambda R\nu_t(\mathcal{N}_S)^{\frac{1}{2}} + C\lambda R\exp(\lambda Rt)\left(n^{\frac{3}{2}}/R + n/R^{\frac{1}{2}} + 1\right). \end{aligned} \quad (5.25)$$

The Grönwall inequality now implies that for all  $t \geq 0$

$$\nu_t(\mathcal{N}_S)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C\exp(C\lambda Rt)\left(\nu_0(\mathcal{N}_S)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \lambda Rt\left(n^{\frac{3}{2}}/R + n/R^{\frac{1}{2}} + 1\right)\right). \quad (5.26)$$

Finally, we notice that in view of Condition 1 we have  $\nu_0(\mathcal{N}_S) \lesssim (\lambda R)^2$ . The proof is then finished once we simplify the right hand side using the bound  $n \lesssim R^{1/2}$ , and take squares on both sides of the inequality.  $\square$

5.2.1. *Commutator Estimates for  $\mathcal{N}_S$ .* In order to prove Lemma 5.2, we shall first establish the following useful lemma. Here and in the sequel,  $\mathbb{1}_S$  denotes the characteristic function of the Fermi surface  $\mathcal{S}$ .

**Lemma 5.3.** *For all  $k \in \Lambda^*$  and  $g \in \ell^\infty$  the operator*

$$\mathcal{O}(k) := \int_{\Lambda^*} \mathbb{1}_S(p)g(p)a_{p+k}^*a_p dp \quad (5.27)$$

*satisfies the following estimate*

$$|\langle \Phi, \mathcal{O}(k)\Psi \rangle_{\mathcal{F}}| \leq \|g\|_{\ell^\infty} \|\mathcal{N}^{1/2}\Phi\| \|\mathcal{N}_S^{1/2}\Psi\|, \quad \forall \Phi, \Psi \in \mathcal{F}. \quad (5.28)$$

*Proof.* Let  $\Phi, \Psi \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $k \in \Lambda^*$  and  $g \in \ell^\infty$ . Then, we calculate

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle \Phi, \mathcal{O}(k)\Psi \rangle_{\mathcal{F}}| &= \left| \int_{\Lambda^*} \mathbb{1}_S(p)g(p) \langle a_{p+k}\Phi, a_p\Psi \rangle_{\mathcal{F}} dp \right| \\ &\leq \int_{\Lambda^*} \mathbb{1}_S(p)|g(p)| \|a_{p+k}\Phi\|_{\mathcal{F}} \|a_p\Psi\|_{\mathcal{F}} dp \\ &\leq \|g\|_{\ell^\infty} \left( \int_{\Lambda^*} \|a_{p+k}\Phi\|_{\mathcal{F}}^2 dp \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{\Lambda^*} \mathbb{1}_S(p) \|a_p\Psi\|_{\mathcal{F}}^2 dp \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= \|g\|_{\ell^\infty} \|\mathcal{N}^{\frac{1}{2}}\Phi\|_{\mathcal{F}} \|\mathcal{N}_S^{\frac{1}{2}}\Psi\|_{\mathcal{F}}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.29)$$

In the last line we used the fact that  $\|a_p\Phi\|_{\mathcal{F}}^2 = \langle \Phi, a_p^*a_p\Phi \rangle_{\mathcal{F}}$  for all  $p \in \Lambda^*$ , plus a change of variables  $p \mapsto p - k$ . A similar argument holds for the term containing  $\Psi$ . This finishes the proof.  $\square$

*Proof of Lemma 5.2.* Throughout this proof,  $\Psi \in \mathcal{F}$  is fixed. In addition, in order to ease the notation, we shall drop the explicit time dependence in our estimates – since the estimates are uniform in  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , there is no risk of confusion. Let us now fix  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ .

<sup>3</sup>Technically, one should introduce a regularization  $u_\delta(t) \equiv (\delta + \nu_t(\mathcal{N}_S))^{1/2}$  in order to avoid possible singularities whenever  $\nu_t(\mathcal{N}_S) = 0$ . One should then close the estimates after taking the limit  $\delta \downarrow 0$ . We leave the details to the reader

*Proof of (1).* Starting from (3.8) we can first calculate that

$$\langle \Psi, [\mathcal{N}_S, V_F] \Psi \rangle = 2i \int_{\Lambda^*} \hat{V}(k) \operatorname{Im} \langle \Psi, [\mathcal{N}_S, D^*(k)] D(k) \Psi \rangle dk. \quad (5.30)$$

We now put the above commutator in an appropriate form. Using the explicit expression of  $D^*(k)$  in terms of creation- and annihilation- operators (see Def. 7) together with the CAR, we find that for all  $k \in \Lambda^*$  there holds

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathcal{N}_S, D^*(k)] &= \int_{\Lambda^*} \left( \mathbb{1}_S(p) - \mathbb{1}_S(p-k) \right) \chi^\perp(p) \chi^\perp(p-k) a_p^* a_{p-k} dp \\ &\quad - \int_{\Lambda^*} \left( \mathbb{1}_S(h) - \mathbb{1}_S(h+k) \right) \chi(h) \chi(h+k) a_h^* a_{h+k} dh \\ &\equiv \mathcal{O}_1(k) + \mathcal{O}_2(k) \end{aligned} \quad (5.31)$$

where we introduce the two following auxiliary operators (notice the change of variables  $p \mapsto p+k$  and  $h \mapsto h-k$  in the second operator)

$$\mathcal{O}_1(k) := \int_{\Lambda^*} \mathbb{1}_S(p) \chi^\perp(p, p-k) a_p^* a_{p-k} dp - \int_{\Lambda^*} \mathbb{1}_S(h) \chi(h, h+k) a_h^* a_{h+k} dh \quad (5.32)$$

$$\mathcal{O}_2(k) := - \int_{\Lambda^*} \mathbb{1}_S(p) \chi^\perp(p, p+k) a_{p+k}^* a_p dp + \int_{\Lambda^*} \mathbb{1}_S(h) \chi(h, h-k) a_{h-k}^* a_h dh \quad (5.33)$$

where for simplicity we denote  $\chi^\perp(p, p-k) \equiv \chi^\perp(p) \chi^\perp(p-k)$  and similarly for  $\chi(h, h+k)$ . We are now able to write

$$\langle \Psi, [\mathcal{N}_S, V_F] \Psi \rangle = 2i \int_{\Lambda^*} \hat{V}(k) \operatorname{Im} \langle \Psi, \mathcal{O}_1(k) D(k) \Psi \rangle dk \quad (5.34)$$

$$+ 2i \int_{\Lambda^*} \hat{V}(k) \operatorname{Im} \langle \Psi, D(k) \mathcal{O}_2(k) \Psi \rangle dk \quad (5.35)$$

$$+ 2i \int_{\Lambda^*} \hat{V}(k) \operatorname{Im} \langle \Psi, [\mathcal{O}_2(k), D(k)] \Psi \rangle dk. \quad (5.36)$$

The first term in the above equation can be estimated using Lemma 5.3 for  $\mathcal{O}(k) = \mathcal{O}_1(k)$ . Namely,

$$|2i \int_{\Lambda^*} \hat{V}(k) \operatorname{Im} \langle \Psi, \mathcal{O}_1(k) D(k) \Psi \rangle dk| \leq 2 \|\hat{V}\|_{\ell^1} \|\mathcal{N}_S^{1/2} \Psi\| \|\mathcal{N}_S^{3/2} \Psi\| \quad (5.37)$$

The second term in the above equation is estimated using Lemma 5.3 for  $\mathcal{O}(k) = \mathcal{O}_2(k)$ . We get

$$|2i \int_{\Lambda^*} \hat{V}(k) \operatorname{Im} \langle \Psi, D(k) \mathcal{O}_2(k) \Psi \rangle dk| \leq 2 \|\hat{V}\|_{\ell^1} \|\mathcal{N}_S^{3/2} \Psi\| \|\mathcal{N}_S^{1/2} \Psi\| \quad (5.38)$$

The third term in the above equation is actually zero. This comes from the fact that the commutator between  $\mathcal{O}_2(k)$  and  $D(k)$  is self-adjoint. More precisely, we can calculate

using the CAR

$$[\mathcal{O}_2(k), D(k)] = \int_{\Lambda^*} \left( \mathbf{1}_S(p+k) - \mathbf{1}_S(p) \right) \chi^\perp(p, p+k) a_p^* a_p dp \quad (5.39)$$

$$- \int_{\Lambda^*} \left( \mathbf{1}_S(h-k) - \mathbf{1}_S(h) \right) \chi(h, h-k) a_h^* a_h dh . \quad (5.40)$$

We put our results together to find that

$$|\langle \Psi, [\mathcal{N}_S, V_F] \Psi \rangle| \leq 4 \|\hat{V}\|_{\ell^1} \|\mathcal{N}_S^{1/2} \Psi\| \|\mathcal{N}^{3/2} \Psi\| . \quad (5.41)$$

*Proof of (2).* Starting from (3.9) we can calculate that

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle \Psi, [\mathcal{N}_S, V_{FB}] \Psi \rangle| &\leq 2 \int_{\Lambda^*} |\hat{V}(k)| |\langle \Psi, [\mathcal{N}_S, D^*(k)b(k)] \Psi \rangle| dk , \\ &\leq 2 \int_{\Lambda^*} |\hat{V}(k)| \|[\mathcal{N}_S, D(k)] \Psi\| \|b(k) \Psi\| dk \\ &\quad + 2 \int_{\Lambda^*} |\hat{V}(k)| \|[\mathcal{N}_S, b(k)] \Psi\| \|D(k) \Psi\| dk . \end{aligned} \quad (5.42)$$

Let us estimate the first term contained in the right hand side of (5.42). In view of  $D^*(k) = D(-k)$  and (5.31) we have that  $[\mathcal{N}_S, D(k)] = \mathcal{O}_1(-k) + \mathcal{O}_2(-k)$ . Each  $\mathcal{O}_i(k)$  can be estimated using (4.14) –we conclude that  $\|[\mathcal{N}_S, D(k)] \Psi\| \lesssim \|\mathcal{N} \Psi\|$ . On the other hand, we use the Type-II estimate (4.20) on  $b(k)$ . We conclude that

$$\int_{\Lambda^*} |\hat{V}(k)| \|[\mathcal{N}_S, D(k)] \Psi\| \|b(k) \Psi\| dk \lesssim R^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\mathcal{N} \Psi\| \|\mathcal{N}_S^{1/2} \Psi\| . \quad (5.43)$$

Let us now look at the second term contained in (5.42). First, we recall that for  $k \in \text{supp} \hat{V}$  there holds  $[\mathcal{N}_S, b(k)] = -2b(k)$ , see Lemma 4.4. Consequently, using the Type-II estimate (4.20) we see that  $\|[\mathcal{N}_S, b(k)] \Psi\| \lesssim R^{1/2} \|\mathcal{N}_S^{1/2} \Psi\|$ . On the other hand, we can use the Type-I estimate (4.17) to find  $\|D(k) \Psi\| \lesssim \|\mathcal{N} \Psi\|$ . These upper bounds can be put together to find that

$$\int_{\Lambda^*} |\hat{V}(k)| \|[\mathcal{N}_S, b(k)] \Psi\| \|D(k) \Psi\| dk \lesssim R^{1/2} \|\mathcal{N}_S^{1/2} \Psi\| \|\mathcal{N} \Psi\| . \quad (5.44)$$

A direct combination of the last three displayed estimates finish the proof of (2).

*Proof of (3).* Starting from (3.10) we decompose the boson-boson interaction into a diagonal, and off-diagonal part. Namely, we write  $V_B = V_1 + V_2$ , where we set

$$V_1 \equiv \int_{\Lambda^*} \hat{V}(k) b^*(k) b(k) dk \quad \text{and} \quad V_2 \equiv \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Lambda^*} \hat{V}(k) (b(k) b(-k) + \text{h.c.}) dk . \quad (5.45)$$

For  $V_1$  we can quickly verify that its commutator with  $\mathcal{N}_S$  vanishes. Indeed, thanks to Lemma 4.4 we find that  $[\mathcal{N}_S, b^*(k)b(k)] = +2b^*(k)b(k) - 2b^*(k)b(k) = 0$  for all  $k \in \text{supp} \hat{V}$ . Hence,  $[\mathcal{N}_S, V_1] = 0$  upon summing over  $k \in \Lambda^*$ .

For  $V_2$ , we have the preliminary upper bound as our starting point

$$|\langle \Psi, [\mathcal{N}_S, V_2] \Psi \rangle| \leq 2 \int_{\Lambda^*} |\hat{V}(k)| |\langle \Psi, b(k)b(-k) \Psi \rangle| dk. \quad (5.46)$$

We estimate the integrand of the right hand side as follows –let us fix  $k \in \text{supp} \hat{V}$ . First, recalling that  $[\mathcal{N}_S, b_k] = 0$  (see Lemma 4.4) we find that for any measurable function  $\varphi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  the following *pull-through formula* holds true

$$\varphi(\mathcal{N}_S) b(k) = b(k) \varphi(\mathcal{N}_S - 2) \quad (5.47)$$

Thus, using  $\varphi(x) = (x + 5)^{1/2}$  we find

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle \Psi, b(k)b(-k) \Psi \rangle| &= |\langle (\mathcal{N}_S + 5)^{1/2} \Psi, b(k)b(-k)(\mathcal{N}_S + 1)^{-1/2} \Psi \rangle| \\ &\leq \|(\mathcal{N}_S + 5)^{1/2} \Psi\| \|b(k)b(-k)(\mathcal{N}_S + 1)^{-1/2} \Psi\|. \end{aligned} \quad (5.48)$$

We use again the commutation relation  $[\mathcal{N}_S, b_k] = 0$  and the Type-II estimate (4.20) for  $b$ -operators to find that

$$\begin{aligned} \|b(k)b(-k)(\mathcal{N}_S + 1)^{-1/2} \Psi\| &\lesssim R^{1/2} \|\mathcal{N}_S^{1/2} b(-k)(\mathcal{N}_S + 1)^{-1/2} \Psi\| \\ &\leq R^{1/2} \|(\mathcal{N}_S + 2)^{1/2} b(-k)(\mathcal{N}_S + 1)^{-1/2} \Psi\| \\ &= R^{1/2} \|b(-k) \mathcal{N}_S^{1/2} (\mathcal{N}_S + 1)^{-1/2} \Psi\| \\ &\lesssim R \|\mathcal{N}_S^{1/2} \mathcal{N}_S^{1/2} (\mathcal{N}_S + 1)^{-1/2} \Psi\| \\ &\leq R \|\mathcal{N}_S^{1/2} \Psi\|. \end{aligned} \quad (5.49)$$

On the other hand, the other term multiplying in (5.48) can be bounded as follows  $\|(\mathcal{N}_S + 5)^{1/2} \Psi\| \lesssim \|\mathcal{N}_S^{1/2} \Psi\| + \|\Psi\|$ . A straightforward combination of the estimates contained in (5.46), (5.48) and (5.49) now finish the proof.  $\square$

## 6. LEADING ORDER TERMS I: EMERGENCE OF $Q$

In Section 3 we considered a double commutator expansion (3.20) for the momentum distribution of particles and holes,  $f_t(p)$ . This expansion is expressed in terms of the nine quantities  $\{T_{\alpha,\beta}(t)\}$  that arise from the three different interacting potentials  $V_F$ ,  $V_{FB}$  and  $V_B$ , respectively. The main goal of this section is analyzing the single term  $T_{F,F}$ . In particular, we prove that one may extract the mollified collision operator  $Q_t$  –originally introduced in Def. 2– up to reminder terms that we have control of. A precise statement is given in the following proposition. We remind the reader that  $R = |\Lambda| p_F^{d-1}$

**Proposition 6.1** (Analysis of  $T_{F,F}$ ). *Let  $T_{F,F}(t, p)$  be the quantity defined in Eq. (3.21) for  $\alpha = \beta = F$ , and let  $m > 0$ . Then, there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that for all  $\varphi \in \ell_m^1$  and  $t \geq 0$  the following inequality holds true*

$$|T_{F,F}(t, \varphi) + |\Lambda| t \langle \varphi, Q_t[f_0] \rangle| \leq C |\Lambda| \lambda t^3 \|\hat{V}\|_{\ell^1}^3 \|\varphi\|_{\ell^1} \sup_{\tau \leq t} \left( R^2 \nu_\tau(\mathcal{N}^4)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \nu_\tau(\mathcal{N}^4) \right) \quad (6.1)$$

where  $T_{F,F}(t, \varphi) \equiv \langle \varphi, T_{F,F}(t) \rangle$  and  $Q_t$  is given in Def. 2.

In order to prove Proposition 6.1 we shall perform an additional expansion of  $\nu_t$  with respect to the interaction Hamiltonian  $\mathfrak{h}_I(t)$ . Namely, we consider

$$\begin{aligned} T_{F,F}(t, \varphi) &= \int_0^t \int_0^{t_1} \nu([N(\varphi), V_F(t_1)], V_F(t_2)) dt_1 dt_2 \\ &\quad - i \int_0^t \int_0^{t_1} \int_0^{t_2} \nu_{t_2}([[[N(\varphi), V_F(t_1)], V_F(t_2)], \mathfrak{h}_I(t_3)]) dt_1 dt_2 dt_3, \end{aligned} \quad (6.2)$$

where we recall  $N(\varphi) \equiv \int_{\Lambda^*} \overline{\varphi(p)} a_p^* a_p dp$ . We then analyze the two terms of the right hand side of (6.2) separately. Thus, we split the proof into two parts, which are contained in the following two lemmas.

**Lemma 6.1.** *Let  $\nu : B(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be an initial state satisfying Condition 1, and let  $f_0(p) = |\Lambda|^{-1} \nu(a_p^* a_p)$  for all  $p \in \Lambda^*$ . Let  $V_F(t)$  be the Heisenberg evolution of the fermion-fermion interaction, defined in (3.19) for  $\alpha = F$ . Then, for all  $\varphi \in \ell^1$  and  $t \geq 0$*

$$\int_0^t \int_0^{t_1} \nu([N(\varphi), V_F(t_1)], V_F(t_2)) dt_1 dt_2 = -t |\Lambda| \langle \varphi, Q_t[f_0] \rangle. \quad (6.3)$$

The proof of the identity contained in Lemma 6.1 will be heavily inspired by the work of Erdős, Salmhofer and Yau [21], on a heuristic derivation of the quantum Boltzmann equation. In fact, we shall make use of some of their algebraic relations.

**Lemma 6.2.** *Let  $(\nu_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  be the interaction dynamics as given in Def. 5, with initial data  $\nu = \nu_0$  satisfying Condition 1. Let  $V_F(t)$  be the Heisenberg evolution of the fermion-fermion interaction, defined in (3.19) for  $\alpha = F$ . Then, there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that for all  $\varphi \in \ell^1$  and  $t \geq 0$*

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \int_0^t \int_0^{t_1} \int_0^{t_2} \nu_{t_2}([[[N(\varphi), V_F(t_1)], V_F(t_2)], \mathfrak{h}_I(t_3)]) dt_1 dt_2 dt_3 \right| \\ &\leq C \lambda t^3 \|\hat{V}\|_{\ell^1}^3 |\Lambda| \|\varphi\|_{\ell^1} \sup_{\tau \leq t} \left( \nu_\tau(\mathcal{N}^4) + R^2 \nu_\tau(\mathcal{N}^4)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (6.4)$$

We remind the reader that the interaction Hamiltonian  $\mathfrak{h}_I(t)$  admits the decomposition given in (5.4) in terms of the Heisenberg evolution of  $b$  and  $D$ -operators—see (5.5), (5.6) and (5.7).

*Proof of Proposition 6.1.* It suffices to put together Eq. (6.2) and Lemmas 6.1 and 6.2.  $\square$

We dedicate the rest of this section to the proof of Lemmas 6.1 and 6.2.

**6.1. Proof of Lemma 6.1.** Before we jump into the proof of Lemma 6.1, we shall re-write the fermion-fermion interaction term  $V_F(t)$  in a form that will be suitable for our analysis. This representation is recorded in Lemma 6.3, which we study in the next subsection.



6.1.1. *Normal ordering of  $V_F(t)$ .* Let us fix the time label  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . First, we see from (5.5) that  $V_F(t) = \int_{\Lambda^*} \hat{V}(k) D_k^*(t) D_k(t) dk$  can be written in terms of the Heisenberg evolution of the  $D$ -operators, as given in Def. 7. These can be written explicitly in terms of creation- and annihilation- in the following way

$$D_k(t) = \int_{(\Lambda^*)^2} d_t(k, p, q) a_p^* a_q dpdq \quad (6.5)$$

where the coefficients in the above expression are given as follows

$$d_k(t, p, q) \equiv e^{it(E_p - E_q)} [\chi^\perp(p) \chi^\perp(q) \delta(p - q + k) - \chi(p) \chi(q) \delta(p - q - k)] \quad (6.6)$$

for all  $k, p, q \in \Lambda^*$ . Since  $D_k^*(t) = D_{-k}(t)$  it readily follows that we can write the fermion-fermion interaction in the following form

$$V_F(t) = \int_{\Lambda^{*4}} \left[ \int_{\Lambda^*} \hat{V}(k) d_t(-k, p_1, q_1) d_t(k, p_2, q_2) dk \right] a_{p_1}^* a_{q_1} a_{p_2}^* a_{q_2} dp_1 dp_2 dq_1 dq_2. \quad (6.7)$$

Clearly, the expression in (6.7) is *not* normally ordered. Our next goal is then to put  $V_F(t)$  in normal order, with explicit coefficients. To this end, we introduce the following coefficient function

$$\phi_t(\vec{p}) \equiv \int_{\Lambda^*} \hat{V}(k) d_t(-k, p_1, p_4) d_t(k, p_2, p_3) dk \quad (6.8)$$

where  $\vec{p} = (p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4) \in (\Lambda^*)^4$ . A straightforward calculation using the CAR in Eq. (6.7) now yields

$$\begin{aligned} V_F(t) &= \int_{\Lambda^{*4}} \phi_t(p_1, p_2, q_2, q_1) a_{p_1}^* a_{p_2}^* a_{q_2} a_{q_1} dp_1 dp_2 dq_1 dq_2 \\ &\quad + \int_{\Lambda^{*2}} \left[ \int_{\Lambda^{*2}} \phi_t(p_1, p_2, q_2, q_1) \delta(q_1 - p_2) dp_2 dq_1 \right] a_{p_1}^* a_{q_2} dp_1 dq_2. \end{aligned} \quad (6.9)$$

We shall denote by  $: V_F(t) :$  the normal ordering of  $V_F(t)$ , that is, the first term in Eq. (6.9).

Next, we shall put the above normal order form in a more explicit representation by calculating explicitly the coefficient function  $\phi_t$ , together with its contraction for  $q_1 = p_2$ . Before we do so, let us introduce some convenient notation:

□ When  $\vec{p} = (p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4) \in (\Lambda^*)^4$  is known from context, we let

$$\chi_{1234} \equiv \chi(p_1) \chi(p_2) \chi(p_3) \chi(p_4) \quad \text{and} \quad \chi_{1234}^\perp \equiv 1 - \chi_{1234}$$

and similarly for  $\chi_{ij}$  and  $\chi_{ij}^\perp$  for any combination of  $i, j \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ .

□ For any  $\vec{p} = (p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4) \in (\Lambda^*)^4$  we let

$$\Delta E(\vec{p}) \equiv E_{p_1} + E_{p_2} - E_{p_3} - E_{p_4} \quad (6.10)$$

where  $E_p$  is the dispersion relation of the system—see (2.16).

Starting from (6.8) and using the definition of  $d_t(k, p, q)$  we may explicitly calculate that for all  $\vec{p} \in (\Lambda^*)^4$  there holds

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_t(\vec{p}) &= e^{it\Delta E(\vec{p})} \delta(p_1 + p_2 - p_3 - p_4) \hat{V}(p_1 - p_4) (\chi_{1234} + \chi_{1234}^\perp) \\ &\quad - e^{it\Delta E(\vec{p})} \delta(p_1 - p_2 + p_3 - p_4) \hat{V}(p_1 - p_4) (\chi_{13}\chi_{24}^\perp + \chi_{13}^\perp\chi_{24}) . \end{aligned} \quad (6.11)$$

In particular, a straightforward calculation using (6.11) shows that the integrand of the quadratic term in (6.9) can be written as

$$\int_{\Lambda^{*2}} \phi_t(p_1, p_2, q_2, q_1) \delta(q_1 - p_2) dp_2 dq_1 = \delta(p_1 - p_3) g(p_1) \quad (6.12)$$

where  $g(p) \equiv \chi(p)(\hat{V} * \chi)(p) + \chi^\perp(p)(\hat{V} * \chi^\perp)(p)$ —the explicit form of  $g(p)$  is not important, but the  $\delta(p_1 - p_3)$  dependence in the last equation implies that the second term in (6.9) commutes with  $a_p^* a_p$ . This fact we shall use in the proof of Lemma 6.1.

Finally, thanks to the CAR, the coefficients  $\phi_t(p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4)$  inside of  $:V_F(t):$  can be antisymmetrized with respect to the permutation of the variables  $(p_1, p_2) \mapsto (p_2, p_1)$  and  $(p_3, p_4) \mapsto (p_4, p_3)$ , respectively. Namely, the coefficients  $\phi_t$  in  $:V_F(t):$  may be replaced by

$$\Phi_t(\vec{p}) \equiv \frac{1}{4} \left( \phi_t(p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4) - \phi_t(p_2, p_1, p_3, p_4) + \phi_t(p_2, p_1, p_4, p_3) - \phi_t(p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4) \right) , \quad (6.13)$$

which can be put in an explicit form, using (6.11). We record all these results in the following lemma.

**Lemma 6.3** (Normal ordering). *Let  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $V_F(t)$  the Heisenberg evolution of the fermion-fermion interaction. Then, the following identity holds*

$$V_F(t) = :V_F(t): + N(g) . \quad (6.14)$$

Here,  $:V_F(t): = \int_{\Lambda^{*4}} \Phi_t(p_1 \cdots p_4) a_{p_1}^* a_{p_2}^* a_{p_3} a_{p_4} dp_1 \cdots dp_4$  is the normal ordering of  $V_F(t)$ , and  $N(g) = \int_{\Lambda^*} g(p) a_p^* a_p dp$ , where  $g(p) \equiv \chi(p)(\hat{V} * \chi)(p) + \chi^\perp(p)(\hat{V} * \chi^\perp)(p)$ .

The coefficient function  $\Phi_t : (\Lambda^*)^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is partially antisymmetric

$$\Phi_t(p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4) = -\Phi_t(p_2, p_1, p_3, p_4) = +\Phi_t(p_2, p_1, p_4, p_3) = -\Phi_t(p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4) \quad (6.15)$$

and admits the following decomposition

$$\Phi_t = \Phi_t^{(1)} + \Phi_t^{(2)}$$

where  $\Phi_t^{(1)}$  is given by

$$\Phi_t^{(1)}(\vec{p}) = \frac{1}{2} e^{it\Delta E(\vec{p})} \delta(p_1 + p_2 - p_3 - p_4) (\hat{V}(p_1 - p_4) - \hat{V}(p_1 - p_3)) (\chi_{1234} + \chi_{1234}^\perp) \quad (6.16)$$

and  $\Phi_t^{(2)}$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_t^{(2)}(\vec{p}) &= \frac{1}{2} e^{it\Delta E(\vec{p})} \delta(p_1 + p_3 - p_2 - p_4) \hat{V}(p_1 - p_4) (\chi_{14}^\perp \chi_{23} + \chi_{23}^\perp \chi_{14}) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} e^{it\Delta E(\vec{p})} \delta(p_1 + p_4 - p_2 - p_3) \hat{V}(p_1 - p_3) (\chi_{13}^\perp \chi_{24} + \chi_{24}^\perp \chi_{13}) . \end{aligned} \quad (6.17)$$

6.1.2. *Proof of Lemma 6.1.*

*Proof.* We start with the normal ordering of  $V_F(t)$  found in Lemma 6.3.

First, we observe that we may disregard the quadratic term  $N(g) \equiv \int_{\Lambda^*} g(t, p) a_p^* a_p dp$ . Indeed, since  $[a_p^* a_p, N(g)] = 0$  we find that for any  $p \in \Lambda^*$

$$\nu([a_p^* a_p, V_F(t)], V_F(s)) = \nu([a_p^* a_p, :V_F(t):], V_F(s)) \quad (6.18)$$

Furthermore, since  $\nu$  is quasi-free and translation invariant, it verifies the identities (3.16). Thus, since  $[a_p^* a_p, :V_F(t):]$  is quartic in creation- and annihilation operators, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \nu([a_p^* a_p, V_F(t)], V_F(s)) &= \nu([a_p^* a_p, :V_F(t):], V_F(s)) \\ &= \nu([a_p^* a_p, :V_F(t):], :V_F(s):) - \nu([N(g), [a_p^* a_p, :V_F(t):]]) \\ &= \nu([a_p^* a_p, :V_F(t):], :V_F(s):) , \end{aligned} \quad (6.19)$$

for all  $p \in \Lambda^*$ .

Secondly, we note that a standard calculation using the CAR implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \nu([a_p^* a_p, V_F(t)], V_F(s)) &= \int_{\Lambda^{*4} \times \Lambda^{*4}} (\delta(p - k_1) + \delta(p - k_2) - \delta(p - k_3) - \delta(p - k_4)) \\ &\quad \times \Phi_t(\vec{k}) \Phi_s(\vec{\ell}) \nu([a_{k_1}^* a_{k_2}^* a_{k_3} a_{k_4}, a_{\ell_1}^* a_{\ell_2}^* a_{\ell_3} a_{\ell_4}]) d\vec{k} d\vec{\ell} \\ &= \int_{\Lambda^{*4} \times \Lambda^{*4}} M_p(\vec{k}, \vec{\ell}) \nu(a_{k_1}^* a_{k_2}^* a_{k_3} a_{k_4} a_{\ell_1}^* a_{\ell_2}^* a_{\ell_3} a_{\ell_4}) d\vec{k} d\vec{\ell} \end{aligned} \quad (6.20)$$

where (supressing the explicit  $t, s \in \mathbb{R}$  dependence)

$$\begin{aligned} M_p(\vec{k}, \vec{\ell}) &\equiv \Phi_t(\vec{k}) \Phi_s(\vec{\ell}) (\delta(p - k_1) + \delta(p - k_2) - \delta(p - k_3) - \delta(p - k_4)) \\ &\quad - \Phi_t(\vec{\ell}) \Phi_s(\vec{k}) (\delta(p - \ell_1) + \delta(p - \ell_2) - \delta(p - \ell_3) - \delta(p - \ell_4)) . \end{aligned}$$

A change of variables  $(k_3, k_4, \ell_1, \ell_2, \ell_3, \ell_4) \mapsto (\ell_3, \ell_4, k_3, k_4, \ell_1, \ell_2)$  now yields

$$\begin{aligned} \nu([a_p^* a_p, V_F(t)], V_F(s)) &= \int_{\Lambda^{*4} \times \Lambda^{*4}} M_p(k_1 k_2 \ell_3 \ell_4, k_3 k_4 \ell_1 \ell_2) \nu(a_{k_1}^* a_{k_2}^* a_{\ell_4} a_{\ell_3} a_{k_3}^* a_{k_4}^* a_{\ell_2} a_{\ell_1}) d\vec{k} d\vec{\ell} . \end{aligned} \quad (6.21)$$

It is important to note that the coefficient function  $M_p(\vec{k}, \vec{\ell})$  is antisymmetric with respect to  $k_1 \mapsto k_2, k_3 \mapsto k_4, \ell_1 \mapsto \ell_2$  and  $\ell_3 \mapsto \ell_4$  respectively. In addition,  $M_p(\vec{k}, \vec{\ell}) = -M_p(\vec{\ell}, \vec{k})$ . Indeed, these symmetries allow to simplify the right hand side of the last equation as follows. First, quasi-freeness of the state  $\nu$  implies that

$$\nu(a_{k_1}^* a_{k_2}^* a_{\ell_4} a_{\ell_3} a_{k_3}^* a_{k_4}^* a_{\ell_2} a_{\ell_1}) = \det \begin{pmatrix} \nu_{11} & \nu_{12} & \nu_{13} & \nu_{14} \\ \nu_{21} & \tilde{\nu}_{22} & \tilde{\nu}_{23} & \tilde{\nu}_{24} \\ \nu_{31} & \tilde{\nu}_{32} & \tilde{\nu}_{33} & \tilde{\nu}_{34} \\ \nu_{41} & \tilde{\nu}_{42} & \tilde{\nu}_{43} & \tilde{\nu}_{44} \end{pmatrix} \quad (6.22)$$

where we denote  $\nu_{ij} \equiv \nu(a_{k_i}^* a_{\ell_j})$  and  $\tilde{\nu}_{ij} \equiv \delta(k_i - \ell_j) - \nu_{ij}$ . Secondly, based on the symmetries of  $M_p(\vec{k}, \vec{\ell})$ , we may follow the algebraic analysis carried out in [21, pps 374–375] to find that

$$\begin{aligned} & \nu([\![a_p^* a_p, V_F(t)], V_F(s)\!]]) \\ &= \int_{\Lambda^{*4} \times \Lambda^{*4}} M_p(k_1 k_2 \ell_3 \ell_4, k_3 k_4 \ell_1 \ell_2) 4(\nu_{11} \nu_{22} \tilde{\nu}_{33} \tilde{\nu}_{44} + 4\nu_{11} \nu_{23} \nu_{42} \tilde{\nu}_{34}) d\vec{k} d\vec{\ell}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.23)$$

Thirdly, translation invariance  $\nu(a_p^* a_q) = \delta(p - q) f_0(p)$  now yields two terms

$$\begin{aligned} & \nu([\![a_p^* a_p, V_F(t)], V_F(s)\!]]) \\ &= 4 \int_{\Lambda^*} M_p(k_1 k_2 k_3 k_4, k_3 k_4 k_1 k_2) f_0(k_1) f_0(k_2) \tilde{f}_0(k_3) \tilde{f}_0(k_4) d\vec{k} \\ &+ 16 \int_{\Lambda^*} M_p(k_1 k_2 k_2 k_3, k_3 k_4 k_1 k_4) f_0(k_1) f_0(k_2) f_0(k_3) \tilde{f}_0(k_4) d\vec{k}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.24)$$

Similarly as in [21], we look at the two terms of the right hand side of (6.24) by evaluating the function  $M_p$  in the different cases.

*The second term of (6.24).* Let us show that the second term vanishes. Indeed, we use the fact that  $\Phi_t(k_3 k_4 k_1 k_2) = \Phi_{-t}(k_1 k_2 k_3 k_4)$  together with antisymmetry with respect to  $k_1 \mapsto k_2$  and  $k_3 \mapsto k_4$  to find that

$$\begin{aligned} & M_p(k_1 k_2 k_2 k_3, k_3 k_4 k_1 k_4) \\ &= 2 \cos[(t - s)(E_1 - E_3)] (\delta(p - k_3) - \delta(p - k_1)) \Phi(k_1 k_2 k_3 k_2) \Phi(k_1 k_4 k_3 k_4) \end{aligned} \quad (6.25)$$

where we denote  $\Phi(\vec{k}) \equiv \Phi_0(\vec{k})$ . One may verify that  $\Phi(k_1 k_2 k_3 k_2)$  is proportional to  $\delta(k_1 - k_3)$  and, consequently, it holds that  $(\delta(p - k_3) - \delta(p - k_1)) \Phi(k_1 k_2 k_3 k_2) = 0$ .

*The first term of (6.24).* Using the fact that  $\Phi_t(k_3 k_4 k_1 k_2) = \Phi_{-t}(k_1 k_2 k_3 k_4)$  one finds

$$\begin{aligned} & M_p(k_1 k_2 k_3 k_4, k_3 k_4 k_1 k_2) \\ &= 2 \cos[(t - s)\Delta E(\vec{k})] |\Phi(\vec{k})|^2 (\delta(p - k_1) + \delta(p - k_2) - \delta(p - k_3) - \delta(p - k_4)). \end{aligned} \quad (6.26)$$

We plug this result back in (6.24) to find that after a change of variables  $(k_1 k_2) \mapsto (k_3 k_4)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \nu([\![a_p^* a_p, V_F(t)], V_F(s)\!]]) \\ &= 4 \int_{\Lambda^*} (\delta(p - k_1) + \delta(p - k_2) - \delta(p - k_3) - \delta(p - k_4)) |\Phi(\vec{k})|^2 \\ &\times \cos[(t - s)\Delta E(\vec{k})] \left( f_0(k_1) f_0(k_2) \tilde{f}_0(k_3) \tilde{f}_0(k_4) - f_0(k_3) f_0(k_4) \tilde{f}_0(k_1) \tilde{f}_0(k_2) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (6.27)$$

Finally, we integrate against time and a test function  $\varphi(p)$  to find that

$$\int_0^t \int_0^{t_1} \nu([\![N(\varphi), V_F(t_1)], V_F(t_2)\!]]) dt_1 dt_2 = -t |\Lambda| \int_{\Lambda^*} \varphi(p) Q_t[f_0](p) dp \quad (6.28)$$

where  $Q_t[f_0]$  is the expression given by

$$Q_t[f_0](p) = 4\pi \int_{\Lambda^{*4}} \frac{|\Phi(\vec{k})|^2}{|\Lambda|} \left[ \delta(p - k_1) + \delta(p - k_2) - \delta(p - k_3) - \delta(p - k_4) \right] \quad (6.29)$$

$$\times \delta_t[\Delta E(\vec{k})] \left( f(k_3)f(k_4)\tilde{f}(k_1)\tilde{f}(k_2) - f(k_1)f(k_2)\tilde{f}(k_3)\tilde{f}(k_4) \right) d\vec{k} .$$

where we recall  $\delta_1(x) = \frac{2}{\pi} \frac{\sin^2(x/2)}{x^2}$  and  $\delta_t(x) = t\delta_1(tx)$ . Upon expanding  $\Phi = \Phi^{(1)} + \Phi^{(2)}$  in the above expression with respect to the decomposition found in Lemma 6.3, one may check that the formula is in agreement with the operator  $Q_t$ , as given by Def. 2. This finishes the proof of the lemma.  $\square$

## 6.2. Proof of Lemma 6.2.

*Proof.* Let  $\varphi \in \ell^1$  and  $t, s \in \mathbb{R}$ , let us introduce the following notation for the fermion-fermion double commutator

$$C_F(\varphi, t, s) := [[N(\varphi), V_F(t)], V_F(s)]$$

$$= \int_{\Lambda^{*2}} \hat{V}(k)\hat{V}(\ell) \left[ [N(\varphi), D_k^*(t)D_k(t)], D_\ell^*(s)D_\ell(s) \right] dk d\ell \quad (6.30)$$

where we have written  $V_F(t)$  in terms of  $D$ -operators, see (5.5). For simplicity, we shall assume that  $\varphi$  is real-valued so that  $C_F(\varphi, t, s)$  is self-adjoint (in the general case, one may decompose  $\varphi = \operatorname{Re}\varphi + i\operatorname{Im}\varphi$  and apply linearity of the commutator). We claim that there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that

$$\|C_F(\varphi, t, s)\Psi\| \leq C \|\hat{V}\|_{\ell^1}^2 \|\Lambda\| \|\varphi\|_{\ell^1} \|\mathcal{N}^2\Psi\| , \quad (6.31)$$

for all  $\Psi \in \mathcal{F}$ . To see this, we shall expand the double commutator of the right hand side of (6.30) into eight terms. In order to ease the notation, we shall drop the time labels  $t, s \in \mathbb{R}$ —since our estimates are uniform in time, there is no risk in doing so. In terms of the contraction operators  $D_k^+(\varphi) \equiv [N(\varphi), D_k^*]$  and  $D_k(\varphi) \equiv [N(\varphi), D_k]$  we find

$$\begin{aligned} \left[ [N(\varphi), D_k^*D_k], D_\ell^*D_\ell \right] &= D_k^+(\varphi) \left[ D_k, D_\ell^* \right] D_\ell + D_k^+(\varphi) D_\ell^* \left[ D_k, D_\ell \right] \\ &+ \left[ D_k^+(\varphi), D_\ell^* \right] D_\ell D_k + D_\ell^* \left[ D_k^+(\varphi), D_\ell \right] D_k \\ &+ D_k^* D_\ell^* \left[ D_k(\varphi), D_\ell \right] + D_k^* \left[ D_k(\varphi), D_\ell^* \right] D_\ell \\ &+ D_\ell^* \left[ D_k^*, D_\ell \right] D_k(\varphi) + \left[ D_k^*, D_\ell^* \right] D_\ell D_k(\varphi) . \end{aligned} \quad (6.32)$$

All these operators can be controlled using the Type-I and Type-IV estimates, found in Lemma 4.5 and Lemma 4.8, respectively, together with the commutator identities  $[D_k, \mathcal{N}] = [D_k(\varphi), \mathcal{N}] = 0$ —see Lemma 4.3. For instance, given  $\Psi \in \mathcal{F}$  the first term

can be estimated as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
\|D_k^+(\varphi)[D_k, D_\ell^*]D_\ell\Psi\| &\leq \|D_k^+(\varphi)\|\|[D_k, D_\ell^*]D_\ell\Psi\| \\
&\leq C\|\varphi\|_{\ell^1}|\Lambda|\|\mathcal{N}D_\ell\Psi\| \\
&= C\|\varphi\|_{\ell^1}|\Lambda|\|D_\ell\mathcal{N}\Psi\| \\
&\leq C\|\varphi\|_{\ell^1}|\Lambda|\|\mathcal{N}^2\Psi\|
\end{aligned} \tag{6.33}$$

for a constant  $C > 0$ . Every other term in the expansion (6.32) can be analyzed in the same fashion, and satisfy the same bound –we leave the details to the reader. Thus, we plug the estimate (6.33) back in the expansion (6.32) and integrate over  $k, \ell \in \Lambda^*$ . One then obtains (6.31).

Let us now estimate the integral reminder term, we fix  $0 \leq t_3 \leq t_2 \leq t_1$ . As a first step, since  $C_F$  and  $\mathfrak{h}_I$  are self-adjoint, we use the following rough upper bound

$$\nu_{t_3}(\mathfrak{h}_I([N(\varphi), V_F(t_1)]V_F(t_2)), \mathfrak{h}_I(t_3)) \leq 2\nu_{t_3}\left(C_F(\varphi, t_1, t_2)^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\nu_{t_3}\left(\mathfrak{h}_I(t_3)^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{6.34}$$

In view of Remark 5.1, we can turn the estimate (6.31) into the upper bound

$$\nu_{t_3}\left(C_F(\varphi, t_1, t_2)^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C\|\hat{V}\|_{\ell^1}^2|\Lambda|\|\varphi\|_{\ell^1}\nu_{t_3}(\mathcal{N}^4)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \tag{6.35}$$

On the other hand, using the operator norm estimates (4.16), a simple but rough estimate for the interaction Hamiltonian is found to be

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\mathfrak{h}_I(t)\Psi\| &\leq \lambda\|V_F(t)\Psi\| + \lambda\|V_{FB}(t)\Psi\| + \lambda\|V_{BB}(t)\Psi\| \\
&\lesssim \lambda\|\hat{V}\|_{\ell^1}\|\mathcal{N}^2\Psi\| + \lambda\|\hat{V}\|_{\ell^1}R\|\mathcal{N}\Psi\| + \lambda\|\hat{V}\|_{\ell^1}R^2\|\Psi\| \\
&\lesssim \lambda\|\hat{V}\|_{\ell^1}(\|\mathcal{N}^2\Psi\| + R^2\|\Psi\|)
\end{aligned}$$

where we recall that  $R = |\Lambda|p_F^{d-1}$ . Consequently, in view of Remark 5.1 we find that

$$\nu_{t_3}\left(\mathfrak{h}_I(t_3)^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C\lambda\|\hat{V}\|_{\ell^1}^2\left(\nu_{t_3}(\mathcal{N}^4)^{\frac{1}{2}} + R^2\right) \tag{6.36}$$

where we used the fact that  $\nu_t(\mathbf{1}) = 1$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . The proof of the lemma is now finished once we combine Eqs. (6.34), (6.35) and (6.36), and integrate over the time variables  $0 \leq t_3 \leq t_2 \leq t_1 \leq t$ .  $\square$

## 7. LEADING ORDER TERMS II: EMERGENCE OF $B$

The main purpose of this section is to analyze the term  $T_{FB,FB}(t)$  found in the double commutator expansion (3.20), introduced in Section 3. In particular, we show that this term gives rise to the operator  $B_t$ , as given in Def. 3, corresponding to the second leading order term describing the dynamics of  $f_t(p)$ . It describes interactions between particles/holes and *virtual bosons* around the Fermi surface. This is manifest in the fact that, as we shall see, it contains the *propagator* of free bosons

$$G_k(t-s) \equiv \langle \Omega, [b_k(t), b_k^*(s)]\Omega \rangle_{\mathcal{F}} \tag{7.1}$$

defined for  $k \in \Lambda^*$ , and  $t, s \in \mathbb{R}$ .

We state the main result of this section in the following proposition, which we prove in the remainder of the section.

**Proposition 7.1** (Analysis of  $T_{FB,FB}$ ). *Let  $T_{FB,FB}(t, p)$  be the quantity defined in Eq. (3.21) for  $\alpha = \beta = FB$ , and let  $m > 0$ . Then, there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that for all  $\varphi \in \ell_m^1$  and  $t \geq 0$  the following inequality holds true*

$$\begin{aligned} & |T_{FB,FB}(t, \varphi) + |\Lambda|t \langle \varphi, B_t[f_0] \rangle| \\ & \leq C|\Lambda|t^2 \|\varphi\|_{\ell^1} \|\hat{V}\|_{\ell_1}^2 \sup_{\tau \leq t} \left( R^{\frac{1}{2}} \nu_\tau(\mathcal{N}_S)^{\frac{1}{2}} \nu_\tau(\mathcal{N})^{\frac{1}{2}} + CR^{\frac{3}{2}} \nu_\tau(\mathcal{N}_S)^{\frac{1}{2}} + Rp_F^{-m} \nu_\tau(\mathcal{N}_1^2) \right) \\ & \quad + |\Lambda|t^3 \lambda R \|\varphi\|_{\ell^1} \|\hat{V}\|_{\ell_1}^3 \sup_{\tau \leq t} \left( R^{\frac{3}{2}} \nu_\tau(\mathcal{N}_S)^{\frac{1}{2}} + R \nu_\tau(\mathcal{N}_S) + Rp_F^{-m} \nu_\tau(\mathcal{N})^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (7.2)$$

where  $T_{FB,FB}(t, \varphi) \equiv \langle \varphi, T_{FB,FB}(t) \rangle$  and  $B_t$  is given in Def. 3.

*Remark 7.1.* In order to prove Proposition 7.1, we expand  $T_{FB,FB}$  into several terms and analyze each one separately. This expansion is based in the following two observations: (i) For any self-adjoint operators  $N, T, S$  and state  $\mu$ , there holds:

$$\mu([[N, T + T^*], S]) = 2\text{Re} \mu([[N, T], S]) . \quad (7.3)$$

(ii) Thanks to the symmetries  $D_k = D_{-k}^*$ ,  $\hat{V}(-k) = \hat{V}(k)$  and the vanishing commutator  $[D_k^*, b_k] = 0$ , starting from the representation (5.6) we may re-write the fermion-boson interaction term as

$$V_{FB}(t) = \int_{\Lambda^*} \hat{V}(k) B_k^*(t) D_k(t) dk \quad \text{where} \quad B_k^*(t) \equiv b_k^*(t) + b_{-k}(t) . \quad (7.4)$$

Starting from (3.21), based on these two observations we are able to re-write the term  $T_{FB,FB}$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\varphi \in \ell^1$  in the following form

$$\begin{aligned} & T_{FB,FB}(t, \varphi) \\ & = 2\text{Re} \int_0^t \int_0^{t_1} \int_{\Lambda^{*2}} \hat{V}(k) \hat{V}(\ell) \nu_{t_2} \left( [[N(\varphi), D_k^*(t_1) b_k(t_1)], B_\ell^*(t_2) D_\ell(t_2)] \right) dt_1 dt_2 dk d\ell \\ & \equiv M(t, \varphi) + R^{(1)}(t, \varphi) + R^{(2)}(t, \varphi) + R^{(3)}(t, \varphi) + R^{(4)}(t, \varphi) \end{aligned} \quad (7.5)$$

where in the second line we have expanded the commutator into five terms. The first one we shall refer to as the *main term*, and is defined as follows

$$M(t, \varphi) = 2\text{Re} \int_0^t \int_0^{t_1} \int_{\Lambda^{*2}} \hat{V}(k) \hat{V}(\ell) \nu_{t_2} \left( D_k^*(t_1, \varphi) [b_k(t_1), b_\ell^*(t_2)] D_\ell(t_2) \right) dt_1 dt_2 dk d\ell . \quad (7.6)$$

The last four, which we shall refer to as the *remainder terms*, are defined as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
R^{(1)}(t, \varphi) &= 2\text{Re} \int_0^t \int_0^{t_1} \int_{\Lambda^{*2}} \hat{V}(k) \hat{V}(\ell) \nu_{t_2} \left( D_k^*(t_1, \varphi) B_\ell^*(t_2) [b_k(t_1), D_\ell(t_2)] \right) dt_1 dt_2 dk d\ell \\
R^{(2)}(t, \varphi) &= 2\text{Re} \int_0^t \int_0^{t_1} \int_{\Lambda^{*2}} \hat{V}(k) \hat{V}(\ell) \nu_{t_2} \left( [D_k^*(t_1, \varphi), B_\ell^*(t_2)] D_\ell(t_2) b_k(t_1) \right) dt_1 dt_2 dk d\ell \\
R^{(3)}(t, \varphi) &= 2\text{Re} \int_0^t \int_0^{t_1} \int_{\Lambda^{*2}} \hat{V}(k) \hat{V}(\ell) \nu_{t_2} \left( B_\ell^*(t_2) [D_k(t_1, \varphi), D_\ell(t_2)] b_k(t_1) \right) dt_1 dt_2 dk d\ell \\
R^{(4)}(t, \varphi) &= 2\text{Re} \int_0^t \int_0^{t_1} \int_{\Lambda^{*2}} \hat{V}(k) \hat{V}(\ell) \nu_{t_2} \left( [D_k(t_1) b_k(t_1, \varphi), B_\ell^*(t_2) D_\ell(t_2)] \right) dt_1 dt_2 dk d\ell .
\end{aligned} \tag{7.7}$$

*Remark 7.2.* We remind the reader that we have previously introduced the notation

$$D_k^*(t, \varphi) = [N(\varphi), D_k^*(t)] \quad \text{and} \quad b_k(t, \varphi) = [N(\varphi), b_k(t)] \tag{7.8}$$

for any  $k \in \Lambda^*$  and  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . We have also used the fact that  $[b_k(t), b_\ell(s)] = 0$ .

In the remainder of this section, we shall study these five terms separately. The proof of Proposition 7.1 follows directly from the following two lemmas. Here, we remind the reader that  $R = |\Lambda| p_F^{d-1}$  is our recurring parameter.

**Lemma 7.1** (The main term). *Let  $M$  be the quantity defined in (7.6), and let  $m > 0$ . Then, there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that for all  $\varphi \in \ell_m^1$  and  $t \geq 0$  the following estimate holds true*

$$\begin{aligned}
& |M(t, \varphi) + |\Lambda| t \langle \varphi, B_t[f_0] \rangle| \\
& \leq Ct^2 \|\hat{V}\|_{\ell^1}^2 |\Lambda| \|\varphi\|_{\ell_m^1} \sup_{\tau \leq t} \left( R^{\frac{1}{2}} \nu_\tau(\mathcal{N}_S)^{\frac{1}{2}} + p_F^{-m} \right) \nu_\tau(\mathcal{N}^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \quad + C\lambda t^3 R |\Lambda| \|\varphi\|_{\ell_m^1} \|\hat{V}\|_{\ell^1}^3 \sup_{0 \leq \tau \leq t} \left( R^{\frac{3}{2}} \nu_\tau(\mathcal{N}_S)^{\frac{1}{2}} + R \nu_\tau(\mathcal{N}_S) + R p_F^{-m} \nu_\tau(\mathcal{N})^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)
\end{aligned} \tag{7.9}$$

where the operator  $B_t$  was introduced in Def. 3.

**Lemma 7.2** (The remainder terms). *Let  $R^{(1)}$ ,  $R^{(2)}$ ,  $R^{(3)}$  and  $R^{(4)}$  be the quantities defined in (7.7), and let  $m > 0$ . Then, there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that for all  $\varphi \in \ell_m^1$  and  $t \geq 0$*

(1) *There holds*

$$|R^{(1)}(t, \varphi)| \leq Ct^2 \|\hat{V}\|_{\ell^1}^2 \|\varphi\|_{\ell^1} |\Lambda| R^{\frac{3}{2}} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq \tau} \nu_\tau(\mathcal{N}_S)^{\frac{1}{2}} . \tag{7.10}$$

(2) *There holds*

$$|R^{(2)}(t, \varphi)| \leq Ct^2 \|\hat{V}\|_{\ell^1}^2 \|\varphi\|_{\ell_m^1} |\Lambda| \frac{R}{p_F^m} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq \tau} \nu_\tau(\mathcal{N}^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} . \tag{7.11}$$

(3) *There holds*

$$|R^{(3)}(t, \varphi)| \leq Ct^2 \|\hat{V}\|_{\ell^1}^2 \|\varphi\|_{\ell^1} |\Lambda| R^{\frac{3}{2}} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq \tau} \nu_\tau(\mathcal{N}_S)^{\frac{1}{2}} . \tag{7.12}$$



(4) *There holds*

$$|R^{(4)}(t, \varphi)| \leq Ct^2 \|\hat{V}\|_{\ell^1}^2 \|\varphi\|_{\ell_m^1} |\Lambda| \frac{R}{p_F^m} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq \tau} \nu_\tau(\mathcal{N}^2). \quad (7.13)$$

*Proof of Proposition 7.1.* Straightforward combination of the expansion given in Eq. (7.5), and the estimates contained in Lemmas 7.1 and 7.2.  $\square$

We dedicate the rest of the section to the proof of Lemma 7.1 and 7.2, respectively. This is done in the two following subsections.

**7.1. Analysis of the main term.** The main goal of this subsection is to prove Lemma 7.1 by analyzing the main term  $M$ . Our first step in this direction is to give an additional decomposition of  $M$ . Indeed, we start by noting that the commutator of the bosonic operators may be written as (see (4.7) in Section 4)

$$[b_k(t), b_\ell^*(s)] = \delta(k - \ell) G_k(t - s) \mathbb{1} - \mathcal{R}_{k,\ell}(t, s), \quad (7.14)$$

which corresponds to a decomposition into its “diagonal” and “off-diagonal” parts, with respect to the variables  $k, \ell \in \Lambda^*$ . Here,  $G_k(t - s)$  is a scalar that corresponds to the *propagator* of the boson field—it can be explicitly calculated to be

$$G_k(t - s) = \langle \Omega, [b_k(t), b_k^*(s)], \Omega \rangle_{\mathcal{F}} = \int_{\Lambda^*} \chi^\perp(p) \chi(p - k) e^{-i(t-s)(E_p + E_{p-k})} dp. \quad (7.15)$$

for all  $k \in \Lambda^*$  and  $t, s \in \mathbb{R}$ . On the other hand, the second term of (7.14) corresponds to an operator remainder term

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}_{k,\ell}(t, s) &\equiv \int_{\Lambda^*} \chi^\perp(p) \chi^\perp(p + \ell - k) \chi(p - k) e^{-i(t-s)E_{p-k}} a_p^*(t) a_{p+\ell-k}(s) dp \\ &+ \int_{\Lambda^*} \chi(h) \chi(h + \ell - k) \chi^\perp(h + \ell) e^{-i(t-s)E_{h+k}} a_h^*(t) a_{h+\ell-k}(s) dh. \end{aligned} \quad (7.16)$$

The decomposition of the bosonic commutator given in (7.14) now suggests that we split the main term into two parts. The first one contains the  $\delta(k - \ell)$  function, and the second one contains the operator  $\mathcal{R}_{k,\ell}$ . In other words, we shall consider

$$M(t, \varphi) = M^\delta(t, \varphi) + M^{\mathcal{R}}(t, \varphi). \quad (7.17)$$

We shall analyze  $M^\delta$  and  $M^{\mathcal{R}}$  separately in the two next subsections. The proof of Lemma 7.1 is given in the third subsection.

**7.1.1. Analysis of  $M^\delta$ .** Upon expanding the bosonic commutator (7.14) in (7.6), we evaluate the  $\delta(k - \ell)$  function to find that

$$M^\delta(t, \varphi) = 2\text{Re} \int_{\Lambda^*} |\hat{V}(k)|^2 \int_0^t \int_0^{t_1} G_k(t_1 - t_2) \nu_{t_2} \left( D_k^*(t_1, \varphi) D_k(t_2) \right) dt_1 dt_2 dk. \quad (7.18)$$

In order to analyze the above expectation value, we shall expand  $\nu_{t_2}$  with respect to the interaction dynamics (3.14). Namely, we consider

$$M^\delta = M_0^\delta + M_1^\delta \quad (7.19)$$

where for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\varphi \in \ell^1$  we define

$$M_0^\delta(t, \varphi) \equiv 2\text{Re} \int_{\Lambda^{*2}} |\hat{V}(k)|^2 \int_0^t \int_0^{t_1} G_k(t_1 - t_2) \nu(D_k^*(t_1, \varphi) D_k(t_2)) dt_1 dt_2 dk \quad (7.20)$$

together with

$$\begin{aligned} M_1^\delta(t, \varphi) & \quad (7.21) \\ & \equiv 2\text{Im} \int_{\Lambda^*} |\hat{V}(k)|^2 \int_0^t \int_0^{t_1} \int_0^{t_2} G_k(t_1 - t_2) \nu_{t_3}([D_k^*(t_1, \varphi) D_k(t_2), \mathfrak{h}_I(t_3)]) dt_1 dt_2 dt_3 dk . \end{aligned}$$

First, we identify that from the first term in the above expansion will the  $B_t$  operator emerge. Namely, we claim that

**Claim 1.** *For all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  and real-valued  $\varphi \in \ell^1$ , the following identity holds true*

$$M_0^\delta(t, \varphi) = -t \langle \varphi, B_t[f_0] \rangle \quad (7.22)$$

where  $B_t$  is the operator given in Def. 3.

Once this is established, it suffices to control the second term in the expansion of  $M^\delta$ , that is, the extra integral reminder term in (7.19),  $M_1^\delta$ .

**Claim 2.** *For all  $m > 0$  there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that for all  $t \geq 0$  and  $\varphi \in \ell^1$  the following estimate holds true*

$$|M_1^\delta(t, \varphi)| \leq C \lambda t^3 R |\Lambda| \|\varphi\|_{\ell_m^1} \|\hat{V}\|_{\ell^1} \|\hat{V}\|_{\ell^2}^2 \sup_{0 \leq \tau \leq t} \left[ R^{\frac{3}{2}} \nu_\tau(\mathcal{N}_S)^{\frac{1}{2}} + R \nu_\tau(\mathcal{N}_S) + \frac{R}{p_F} \nu_\tau(\mathcal{N})^{\frac{1}{2}} \right]. \quad (7.23)$$

The proof of the above claims are given as follows.

*Proof of Claim 1.* Let us fix  $k \in \Lambda^*$ ,  $t, s \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\varphi \in \ell^1$ , which we assume is real-valued in the reminder of the proof. In order to prove our claim, we write

$$\begin{aligned} D_k^*(t, \varphi) &= \int_{\Lambda^*} \chi^\perp(p_1, p_1 - k) [\varphi(p_1) - \varphi(p_1 - k)] a_{p_1}^*(t) a_{p_1 - k}(t) dp_1 \\ &\quad - \int_{\Lambda^*} \chi(h_1, h_1 + k) [\varphi(h_1) - \varphi(h_1 + k)] a_{h_1}^*(t) a_{h_1 + k}(t) dh_1 , \end{aligned} \quad (7.24)$$

$$\begin{aligned} D_k(s) &= \int_{\Lambda^*} \chi^\perp(p_2, p_2 + k) a_{p_2}^*(s) a_{p_2 + k}(s) dp_2 \\ &\quad - \int_{\Lambda^*} \chi(h_2, h_2 - k) a_{h_2}^*(s) a_{h_2 - k}(s) dh_2 . \end{aligned} \quad (7.25)$$

Thus we are able to calculate that the following four terms arise

$$\begin{aligned}
 \nu(D_k^*(t, \varphi)D_k(s)) &= \int_{\Lambda^{*2}} \chi^\perp(p_1, p_2, p_1 - k, p_2 + k)[\varphi(p_1) - \varphi(p_1 - k)] \\
 &\quad \times \nu\left(a_{p_1}^*(t)a_{p_1-k}(t)a_{p_2}^*(s)a_{p_2+k}(s)\right)dp_1dp_2 \\
 &+ \int_{\Lambda^{*2}} \chi(h_1, h_2, h_1 + k, h_2 - k)[\varphi(h_1) - \varphi(h_1 + k)] \\
 &\quad \times \nu\left(a_{h_1}^*(t)a_{h_1+k}(t)a_{h_2}^*(s)a_{h_2-k}(s)\right)dh_1dh_2 \\
 &- \int_{\Lambda^{*2}} \chi^\perp(p_1, p_1 - k)\chi(h_2, h_2 - k)[\varphi(p_1) - \varphi(p_1 - k)] \\
 &\quad \times \nu\left(a_{p_1}^*(t)a_{p_1-k}(t)a_{h_2}^*(s)a_{h_2-k}(s)\right)dp_1dh_2 \\
 &- \int_{\Lambda^{*2}} \chi(h_1, h_1 + k)\chi^\perp(p_2, p_2 + k)[\varphi(h_1) - \varphi(h_1 + k)] \\
 &\quad \times \nu\left(a_{h_1}^*(t)a_{h_1+k}(t)a_{p_2}^*(s)a_{p_2+k}(s)\right)dh_1dp_2. \tag{7.26}
 \end{aligned}$$

In order to calculate the four terms displayed in the right hand side of (7.26) we use the fact that  $\nu$  is translation invariant and quasi-free. In particular, it is possible to calculate that for any  $p_1, p_2, q_1, q_2 \in \Lambda^*$  the following relation hold true

$$\begin{aligned}
 \nu\left(a_{p_1}^*(t)a_{q_1}(t)a_{p_2}^*(s)a_{q_2}(s)\right) &= \delta(q_1 - p_1)\delta(q_2 - p_2)f_0(p_1)f_0(p_2) \\
 &\quad + \delta(q_1 - p_2)\delta(q_2 - p_1)e^{i(t-s)(E_{p_1} - E_{p_2})}f_0(p_1)\tilde{f}_0(p_2). \tag{7.27}
 \end{aligned}$$

We note that this implies that the third and fourth term in (7.26) are zero. Indeed, for the third term we choose in (7.27)  $p_1 = p_1$ ,  $q_1 = p_1 - k$ ,  $p_2 = h_2$  and  $q_2 = h_2 - k$  to find that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \nu\left(a_{p_1}^*(t)a_{p_1-k}(t)a_{h_2}^*(s)a_{h_2-k}(s)\right) &= |\Lambda|\delta(k)f_0(p_1)f_0(h_2) \\
 &\quad + |\Lambda|\delta(k)\delta(p_1 - h_2)e^{i(t-s)(E_{p_1} - E_{h_2})}f_0(p_1)\tilde{f}_0(h_2). \tag{7.28}
 \end{aligned}$$

It suffices to note that the right hand side is proportional to  $\delta(k)$ , and that  $[\varphi(p_1) - \varphi(p_1 - k)]\delta(k) = 0$ . This shows that the third term has a null contribution—the same analysis holds for the fourth term in (7.26).

In a similar fashion, the first and second term in (7.26) can be collected and re-written thanks to (7.27) to find that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \nu(D_k^*(t, \varphi)D_k(s)) &\tag{7.29} \\
 &= |\Lambda| \int_{\Lambda^*} \chi^\perp(p, p - k)[\varphi(p) - \varphi(p - k)]e^{i(t-s)(E_p - E_{p-k})}f_0(p)\tilde{f}_0(p - k)dp \\
 &\quad + |\Lambda| \int_{\Lambda^*} \chi(h, h + k)[\varphi(h) - \varphi(h + k)]e^{i(t-s)(E_h - E_{h+k})}f_0(h)\tilde{f}_0(h + k)dh
 \end{aligned}$$

where we have dropped all terms in (7.27) containing  $\delta(k)$ . Now, we integrate in time the above equation to find that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^t \int_0^{t_1} \nu_{t_2} \left( G_k(t_1 - t_2) D_k^*(t_1, \varphi) D_k(t_2) \right) dt_2 dt_1 \\
&= |\Lambda| \int_{\Lambda^*} \chi^\perp(p, p - k) \left( \int_0^t \int_0^{t_1} G_k(t_2) e^{it_2(E_p - E_{p-k})} dt_2 dt_1 \right) \\
&\quad \times [\varphi(p) - \varphi(p - k)] f_0(p) \tilde{f}_0(p - k) dp \\
&+ |\Lambda| \int_{\Lambda^*} \chi(h, h + k) \left( \int_0^t \int_0^{t_1} G_k(t_2) e^{it_2(E_h - E_{h+k})} dt_2 dt_1 \right) \\
&\quad \times [\varphi(h) - \varphi(h + k)] f_0(h) \tilde{f}_0(h + k) dh \quad (7.30)
\end{aligned}$$

To finalize the proof, let us identify the right hand side of the last displayed equation, with the operator  $B_t$  as given by Def. 3. Indeed, consider the second term of Eq. (7.30). We may calculate explicitly the integrals with respect to time as follows. First, we rewrite  $G_k(t)$  in terms of the variables  $r = p - k$

$$G_k(t - s) = \int_{\Lambda^*} \chi(r) \chi^\perp(r + k) e^{-i(t-s)(E_r + E_{r+k})} dr. \quad (7.31)$$

Let  $h \in \mathcal{B} \cap \mathcal{B} - k$ . After integration in time and taking the real part we find

$$\begin{aligned}
2\text{Re} \int_0^t \int_0^{t_1} G_k(t_2) e^{it_2(E_h - E_{h+k})} dt_2 dt_1 \\
&= \int_{\Lambda^*} \chi(r) \chi^\perp(r + k) 2\text{Re} \int_0^t \int_0^{t_1} e^{it_2(E_h - E_{h+k} - E_r - E_{r+k})} dt_2 dt_1 dr \\
&= \int_{\Lambda^*} \chi(r) \chi^\perp(r + k) 2\pi t \delta_t(E_h - E_{h+k} - E_r - E_{r+k}) dr \\
&= 2\pi t \alpha_t^H(h, k). \quad (7.32)
\end{aligned}$$

Here,  $\delta_t(x)$  corresponds to the mollified Delta function defined as  $\delta_t(x) = t\delta_1(tx)$  where  $\delta_1(x) = \frac{2}{\pi} \sin^2(x/2)/x^2$ . On the other hand,  $\alpha_t^H$  corresponds to the object defining  $B_t$ , see (2.25) in Def. 3. A similar calculation shows that the first term of the right hand side of Eq. (7.30) can be put in the following form

$$\chi^\perp(p, p - k) 2\text{Re} \int_0^t \int_0^{t_1} G_k(t_2) e^{it_2(E_p - E_{p-k})} dt_2 dt_1 = 2\pi t \alpha_t^P(p, k)$$

where  $\alpha_P$  is the quantity given in (2.26), see Def. 3. We integrate against  $|\hat{V}(k)|^2$  and change variables  $h \mapsto h - k$ ,  $p \mapsto p + k$  in the ‘‘gain term’’ of (7.30) to find that

$$2\text{Re} \int_{\Lambda^{*2}} |\hat{V}(k)|^2 \int_0^t \int_0^{t_1} \nu \left( G_k(t_1 - t_2) D_k^*(t_1, \varphi) D_k(t_2) \right) dt_1 dt_2 dk = -t \langle \varphi, B_t[f_0] \rangle$$

where  $B_t$  is the operator given in Eq. (2.24). This finishes the proof.  $\square$

*Proof of Claim 2.* Let us fix throughout the proof the time label  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , the parameter  $m > 0$  and the test function  $\varphi \in \ell^1$ . Based on the fact that  $\|G_k(\tau)\|_{B(\mathcal{F})} \lesssim R$  for all  $k \in \Lambda^*$  and  $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ , our starting point is the following elementary inequality

$$|M_1^\delta(t, \varphi)| \lesssim R \|\hat{V}\|_{\ell^2}^2 t^3 \sup_{k \in \text{supp} \hat{V}, t_i \in [0, t]} \left| \nu_{t_3} \left( \left[ D_k^*(t_1, \varphi) D_k(t_2), \mathfrak{h}_I(t_3) \right] \right) \right|. \quad (7.33)$$

Thus, it suffices to estimate the sup quantity in Eq. (7.33). For notational convenience we do not write explicitly the time variables  $t_i \in [0, t]$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3$ —since our estimates are uniform in these variables, there is no risk in doing so. In addition, we shall only give estimates for pure states  $\langle \Psi, \cdot \Psi \rangle$  and then apply Remark 5.1 to conclude estimates for the mixed state  $\nu$ . Finally, we shall extensively use the results contained in Section 4—that is, the estimates of Type-I, Type-II, Type-III and Type-IV, contained in Lemma 4.5, 4.6, 4.7 and 4.8, respectively, together with the several commutation relations.

Let us fix  $k \in \text{supp} \hat{V}$ . We begin by expanding the commutator in (7.33) as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \nu([D_k^*(\varphi) D_k, \mathfrak{h}_I]) \\ = \lambda \nu([D_k^*(\varphi) D_k, V_F]) + \lambda \nu([D_k^*(\varphi) D_k, V_{FB}]) + \lambda \nu([D_k^*(\varphi) D_k, V_B]) \end{aligned} \quad (7.34)$$

Let us estimate the three terms on the right hand side of Eq. (7.34), separately.

*The F term of (7.34).* A straightforward expansion of  $V_F$  based on the representation (5.5) yields

$$\begin{aligned} [D_k^*(\varphi) D_k, V_F] &= \int_{\Lambda^*} \hat{V}(\ell) D_k^*(\varphi) [D_k, D_\ell^*] D_\ell \, d\ell + \int_{\Lambda^*} \hat{V}(\ell) D_k^*(\varphi) D_\ell^* [D_k, D_\ell] \, d\ell \\ &\quad + \int_{\Lambda^*} \hat{V}(\ell) D_\ell^* [D_k^*(\varphi), D_\ell] D_k \, d\ell + \int_{\Lambda^*} \hat{V}(\ell) [D_k^*(\varphi), D_\ell^*] D_\ell D_k \, d\ell. \end{aligned} \quad (7.35)$$

Each of the four terms in the right hand side above is estimated in the same way. Let us look in detail at the first one. For  $\Psi \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $\ell \in \Lambda^*$ , we find using the Type-I estimate for  $D_\ell$  and  $[D_\ell, D_k]$ , the Type-IV estimate for  $D_k(\varphi)$  and the commutation relation  $[\mathcal{N}, D(\varphi)] = 0$

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle \Psi, D_k^*(\varphi) [D_k, D_\ell^*] D_\ell \Psi \rangle| &= |\langle [D_\ell, D_k] D_k(\varphi) \Psi, D_\ell \Psi \rangle| \\ &\leq \| \mathcal{N} D_k(\varphi) \Psi \| \| \mathcal{N} \Psi \| \\ &= \| D_k(\varphi) \mathcal{N} \Psi \| \| \mathcal{N} \Psi \| \\ &\leq \| D_k(\varphi) \| \| \mathcal{N} \Psi \|^2 \\ &\leq |\Lambda| \| \varphi \|_{\ell^1} \| \mathcal{N} \Psi \|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (7.36)$$

We conclude that there is a constant  $C > 0$  such that

$$\nu([D_k^*(\varphi) D_k, V_F]) \leq C |\Lambda| \| \hat{V} \|_{\ell^1} \| \varphi \|_{\ell^1} \nu(\mathcal{N}^2). \quad (7.37)$$

The FB term of (7.34). The relation  $\overline{\nu(O)} = \nu(O^*)$  and a straightforward expansion shows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \nu([D_k^*(\varphi)D_k, V_{FB}]) \\ &= \int_{\Lambda^*} \hat{V}(\ell) \nu([D_k^*(\varphi)D_k, D_\ell^* b_\ell]) \, d\ell - \int_{\Lambda^*} \hat{V}(\ell) \overline{\nu([D_k^*D_k(\varphi), D_\ell^* b_\ell])} \, d\ell. \end{aligned} \quad (7.38)$$

We only estimate the first term in (7.38), since the second one is analogous. Indeed, we expand the commutator to find that

$$\nu([D_k^*(\varphi)D_k, D_\ell^* b_\ell]) = \nu(D_k^*(\varphi)D_\ell^*[D_k, b_\ell]) + \nu(D_k^*(\varphi)[D_k, D_\ell^*]b_\ell) \quad (7.39)$$

$$+ \nu(D_\ell^*[D_k^*(\varphi), b_\ell]D_k) + \nu([D_k^*(\varphi), D_\ell^*]b_\ell D_k). \quad (7.40)$$

We bound these four terms in the bullet points below.

- Since both  $[D_k, b_\ell]$  and  $b_\ell$  satisfy Type-II estimates, the two terms in (7.39) are bounded above in the same way. Let us look at the first one in detail. Indeed, for  $\Psi \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $\ell \in \text{supp} \hat{V}$  we find

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle \Psi, D_k^*(\varphi)D_\ell^*[D_k, b_\ell]\Psi \rangle| &= |\langle D_\ell D_k(\varphi)\Psi, [D_k, b_\ell]\Psi \rangle| \\ &\leq \|D_k(\varphi)\| \|\mathcal{N}\Psi\| \|[D_k, b_\ell]\Psi\| \\ &\lesssim |\Lambda| \|\varphi\|_{\ell^1} \|\mathcal{N}\Psi\| R^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\mathcal{N}_S^{1/2}\Psi\| \end{aligned} \quad (7.41)$$

where we have used the Type-I estimate for  $D_\ell$ , the Type-II estimate for  $[D_k, b_\ell]$ , the Type-IV estimate for  $D_k(\varphi)$ , and the commutation relation  $[\mathcal{N}, D_k(\varphi)] = 0$ .

- For the first term in (7.40) we consider  $\Psi \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $\ell \in \text{supp} \hat{V}$ . We find

$$|\langle \Psi, D_\ell^*[D_k^*(\varphi), b_\ell]D_k\Psi \rangle| \leq \|[D_k^*(\varphi), b_\ell]\| \|\mathcal{N}\Psi\|^2 \lesssim |\Lambda| p_F^{-m} \|\varphi\|_{\ell_m^1} \|\mathcal{N}\Psi\|^2. \quad (7.42)$$

where we have used the Type-I estimate for  $D_k$  and  $D_\ell$ , and the Type-III estimate  $[D_k^*(\varphi), b_\ell]$ .

- For the second term in (7.40) we consider  $\Psi \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $\ell \in \text{supp} \hat{V}$ . We find

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle \Psi, [D_k^*(\varphi), D_\ell^*] b_\ell D_k \Psi \rangle| &\leq |\langle [D_\ell, D_k(\varphi)]\Psi, [b_\ell, D_k]\Psi \rangle| + |\langle D_k^*[D_\ell, D_k(\varphi)]\Psi, b_\ell \Psi \rangle| \\ &\lesssim \|[D_\ell, D_k(\varphi)]\| \|\Psi\| \|[b_\ell, D_k]\Psi\| + \|[D_\ell, D_k(\varphi)]\| \|\mathcal{N}\Psi\| \|b_\ell \Psi\| \\ &\lesssim |\Lambda| \|\varphi\|_{\ell^1} R^{\frac{1}{2}} (\mathcal{N} + 1) \|\Psi\| \|\mathcal{N}_S^{1/2}\Psi\|, \end{aligned} \quad (7.43)$$

where, we have used the Type-I estimate for  $D_k^*$ , Type-II estimates for  $[b_\ell, D_k]$  and  $b_\ell$ , Type-IV estimates for  $[D_\ell, D_k(\varphi)]$ .

We put back the three estimates found in the bullet points above to find that there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that

$$\nu([D_k^*(\varphi)D_k, V_{FB}]) \leq C \|\hat{V}\|_{\ell^1} \|\varphi\|_{\ell_m^1} |\Lambda| \left[ R^{\frac{1}{2}} \nu(\mathcal{N}_S)^{\frac{1}{2}} + p_F^{-m} \nu(\mathcal{N}^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right] \nu(\mathcal{N}^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (7.44)$$

The  $B$  term of (7.34). Similarly as we dealt with the second term, we expand

$$\nu([D_k^*(\varphi)D_k, V_B]) = \int_{\Lambda^*} \hat{V}(\ell)\nu([D_k^*(\varphi)D_k, b_\ell^*b_\ell]d\ell) \quad (7.45)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Lambda^*} \hat{V}(\ell)\nu([D_k^*(\varphi)D_k, b_{-\ell}b_\ell]d\ell) \quad (7.46)$$

$$- \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Lambda^*} \hat{V}(\ell)\nu(\overline{[D_k^*(\varphi)D_k, b_{-\ell}b_\ell]})d\ell. \quad (7.47)$$

We only present a proof of the estimates for the terms in (7.45) and (7.46)– the third one is analogous to the second one. In order to ease the notation we shall omit the indices  $k, \ell \in \text{supp}\hat{V}$ .

- *Analysis of (7.45).* We expand the commutator to find that

$$[D^*(\varphi)D, b^*b] = D^*(\varphi)b^*[D, b] + D^*(\varphi)[D, b^*]b + [D^*(\varphi), b^*b]D \quad (7.48)$$

and estimate each term separately. Let us fix a  $\Psi \in \mathcal{F}$ .

• The first term in (7.48) may be estimated as

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle \Psi, D^*(\varphi)b^*[D, b]\Psi \rangle| & \quad (7.49) \\ & \leq \| [b, D(\varphi)] \| \|\Psi\| \| [D, b]\Psi \| + \| D(\varphi) \| \| b\Psi \| \| [D, b]\Psi \| \\ & \lesssim |\Lambda| p_F^{-m} \|\varphi\|_{\ell_m^1} \|\Psi\| R^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\mathcal{N}_S^{1/2}\Psi\| + |\Lambda| \|\varphi\|_{\ell^1} R \|\mathcal{N}_S^{1/2}\Psi\|^2 \\ & \leq \|\varphi\|_{\ell_m^1} |\Lambda| \left( p_F^{-m} \|\Psi\| + R^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\mathcal{N}_S^{1/2}\Psi\| \right) R^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\mathcal{N}_S^{1/2}\Psi\|. \end{aligned}$$

Here, we have used Type-II estimates for  $[D, b]$  and  $b$ , Type-III estimates for  $[b, D(\varphi)]$ , and Type-IV estimates for  $D(\varphi)$ .

• The second term in (7.48) may be estimated as

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle \Psi, D^*(\varphi)[D, b^*]b\Psi \rangle| & \quad (7.50) \\ & \leq \| D(\varphi) \| \| [b, D^*]\Psi \| \| b\Psi \| + \| [[b, D^*], D(\varphi)] \| \|\Psi\| \| b\Psi \| \\ & \lesssim |\Lambda| \|\varphi\|_{\ell^1} R \|\mathcal{N}_S\Psi\|^2 + |\Lambda| p_F^{-m} \|\varphi\|_{\ell_m^1} \|\Psi\| R^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\mathcal{N}_S^{\frac{1}{2}}\Psi\| \\ & \lesssim \|\varphi\|_{\ell_m^1} |\Lambda| \left( p_F^{-m} \|\Psi\| + R^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\mathcal{N}_S^{1/2}\Psi\| \right) R^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\mathcal{N}_S^{1/2}\Psi\| \end{aligned}$$

Here, we have used Type-II estimates for  $[b, D^*]$  and  $b$ , the Type-III estimate for  $[[b, D^*], D(\varphi)]$ , and Type-IV estimates for  $D(\varphi)$ .

• The third term in (7.48) may be estimated as

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle \Psi, [D^*(\varphi), b^*b]D\Psi \rangle| & \leq \| [D^*(\varphi), b^*b] \| \|\Psi\| \| D\Psi \| \\ & \lesssim R |\Lambda| p_F^{-m} \|\varphi\|_{\ell_m^1} \|\Psi\| \|\mathcal{N}\Psi\| \quad (7.51) \end{aligned}$$

Here, we have used the Type-I estimate for  $D$ , and Type-III estimates and the operator norm bound for  $b$  (see (4.16)) for  $\| [D^*(\varphi), b^*b] \| \leq \| b^* \| \| [D^*(\varphi), b] \| + \| [D^*(\varphi), b^*] \| \| b \|$ .

- *Analysis of (7.46)*. Similarly as before, we expand the commutator

$$[D^*(\varphi)D, bb] = D^*(\varphi)b[D, b] + D^*(\varphi)[D, b]b + [D^*(\varphi), bb]D . \quad (7.52)$$

and estimate each term separately. We let  $\Psi \in \mathcal{F}$ .

• The first term in (7.52) may be estimated as

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle \Psi, D^*(\varphi)b[D, b]\Psi \rangle| &\leq \|D(\varphi)\| \|b\| \|\Psi\| \|[D, b]\Psi\| \\ &\lesssim |\Lambda| \|\varphi\|_{\ell^1} R^{\frac{3}{2}} \|\Psi\| \|\mathcal{N}_S^{1/2}\Psi\| . \end{aligned} \quad (7.53)$$

Here, we have used the Type-II estimate for  $[D, b]$ , the Type-IV estimate for  $D(\varphi)$ , and the operator norm bound  $\|b\| \lesssim R$ .

• The second term in (7.52) may be estimated as

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle \Psi, D^*(\varphi)[D, b]b\Psi \rangle| &\leq \|D(\varphi)\| \|[D, b]\Psi\| \|b\Psi\| \\ &\lesssim |\Lambda| \|\varphi\|_{\ell^1} R^{\frac{3}{2}} \|\Psi\| \|\mathcal{N}_S^{1/2}\Psi\| . \end{aligned} \quad (7.54)$$

Here, we have used the Type-II estimate for  $b$ , the Type-IV estimate for  $D(\varphi)$ , and the operator norm bound  $\|[D, b]\| \lesssim R$ .

• The third term in (7.52) may be estimated as

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle \Psi, D[D^*(\varphi), bb]\Psi \rangle| &\leq \|[D^*(\varphi), bb]\| \|\Psi\| \|D^*\Psi\| \\ &\lesssim R |\Lambda| p_F^{-m} \|\varphi\|_{\ell_m^1} \|\Psi\| \|\mathcal{N}\Psi\| . \end{aligned} \quad (7.55)$$

Here, we have used the Type-I estimate for  $D^*$ , and Type-III estimates and the operator norm bound for  $b$  (see (4.16)) for  $\|[D^*(\varphi), bb]\| \leq \|b\| \|[D^*(\varphi), b]\| + \|[D^*(\varphi), b]\| \|b\|$ .

Putting together the estimates found in the six bullet points above, we find that there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that for all  $k \in \text{supp} \hat{V}$

$$\nu([D_k^*(\varphi)D_k, V_B]) \leq C |\Lambda| \|\varphi\|_{\ell_m^1} \|\hat{V}\|_{\ell^1} \left[ R^{\frac{3}{2}} \nu(\mathcal{N}_S)^{\frac{1}{2}} + R \nu(\mathcal{N}_S) + \frac{R^{\frac{1}{2}}}{p_F^m} \nu(\mathcal{N}_S)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{R}{p_F^m} \nu(\mathcal{N}^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right] . \quad (7.56)$$

Finally, we can go back to the original decomposition found in (7.34), plug it back in the starting point (7.33), and use the estimates found in Eqs. (7.37), (7.44) and (7.56) to find that there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} |M_1^\delta(t, \varphi)| &\leq C |\Lambda| \lambda t^3 R \|\varphi\|_{\ell_m^1} \|\hat{V}\|_{\ell^2}^2 \|\hat{V}\|_{\ell^1} \\ &\quad \times \sup_{0 \leq \tau \leq t} \left( R^{\frac{3}{2}} \nu_\tau(\mathcal{N}_S)^{\frac{1}{2}} + R \nu_\tau(\mathcal{N}_S) + \frac{R^{\frac{1}{2}}}{p_F^m} \nu_\tau(\mathcal{N}_S)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{R}{p_F^m} \nu_\tau(\mathcal{N})^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) . \end{aligned} \quad (7.57)$$

To conclude, we note that  $\nu(\mathcal{N}_S) \leq R^{1/2} \nu(\mathcal{N})$  so that the third term in the right hand side above can be absorbed into the fourth one. This finishes the proof.  $\square$



7.1.2. *Analysis of  $M^{\mathcal{R}}$ .* Let us estimate the second term of the right hand side in (7.17).

**Claim 3.** *For all  $m > 0$  there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that for all  $t \geq 0$  and  $\varphi \in \ell^1$  the following estimate holds true*

$$|M^{\mathcal{R}}(t, \varphi)| \leq Ct^2 \|\hat{V}\|_{\ell^1}^2 |\Lambda| \|\varphi\|_{\ell_m^1} \sup_{\tau \leq t} \left( R^{\frac{1}{2}} \nu_{\tau}(\mathcal{N}_S)^{\frac{1}{2}} + p_F^{-m} \right) \nu_{\tau}(\mathcal{N}^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (7.58)$$

*Proof.* Let us fix  $m > 0$ ,  $t \geq 0$  and  $\varphi \in \ell^1$ . Going back to the definition of the main term in (7.6), we plug the reminder operator  $\mathcal{R}_{k,\ell}$  defined in (7.16), from which the elementary inequality follows

$$|M^{\mathcal{R}}(t, \varphi)| \lesssim t^2 \|\hat{V}\|_{\ell^1}^2 \sup_{k, \ell \in \text{supp} \hat{V}, t_i \in [0, t]} \left| \nu_{t_2} \left( D_{t_1}^*(k, \varphi) \mathcal{R}_{k,\ell}(t_1, t_2) D_{t_2}(\ell) \right) \right|. \quad (7.59)$$

Let us estimate the supremum quantity in the above equation. Since our estimates are uniform in  $t_1, t_2$  we shall omit them. Letting  $\Psi \in \mathcal{F}$ , we find that

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle \Psi, D_k^*(\varphi) \mathcal{R}_{k,\ell} D_{\ell} \Psi \rangle| &\leq |\langle D_k^*(\varphi) \mathcal{R}_{k,\ell}^* \Psi, D_{\ell} \Psi \rangle| + |\langle \Psi, [D_k^*(\varphi), \mathcal{R}_{k,\ell}] D_{\ell} \Psi \rangle| \\ &\leq \|D_k^*(\varphi)\| \|\mathcal{R}_{k,\ell} \Psi\| \|D_{\ell} \Psi\| + \|\Psi\| \|[D_k^*(\varphi), \mathcal{R}_{k,\ell}]\| \|D_{\ell} \Psi\|. \end{aligned} \quad (7.60)$$

Letting  $k, \ell \in \text{supp} \hat{V}$ , we find the following estimates for the quantities containing  $\mathcal{R}_{k,\ell}$

$$\|\mathcal{R}_{k,\ell} \Psi\| \lesssim R^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\mathcal{N}_S^{1/2} \Psi\| \quad \text{and} \quad \|[D_k^*(\varphi), \mathcal{R}_{k,\ell}]\| \lesssim |\Lambda| p_F^{-m} \|\varphi\|_{\ell_m^1}. \quad (7.61)$$

The proof of these estimates follows the same lines of the proof of Lemma 4.6 and 4.7, so we shall omit it. We combine the last three displayed equations together with Remark 5.1 to conclude the proof of the estimate contained in Eq. (7.58)  $\square$

7.1.3. *Proof of Lemma 7.1.*

*Proof of Lemma 7.1.* The triangle inequality and the decomposition  $M = M_0^{\delta} + M_1^{\delta} + M^{\mathcal{R}}$  gives  $|M - M_0^{\delta}| \leq |M_1^{\delta}| + |M^{\mathcal{R}}|$ . It suffices then to use the results contained in Claims 1, 2 and 3.  $\square$

7.2. **Analysis of the remainder terms.** In this subsection, we estimate the remainder terms  $R^{(i)}$  (see (7.7)) and give a proof of Lemma 7.2.

*Proof of Lemma 7.2.* Throughout the proof, we fix  $m > 0$ ,  $t \geq 0$  and  $\varphi \in \ell_m^1$ . We make extensive use of the Type-I, Type-II, Type-III and Type-IV estimates contained in Lemmas 4.5, 4.6, 4.7, and 4.8, respectively, together with the operator bound  $\|b\| \leq R$ —see (4.16). Due to the similarities, we only show all the details for the proof of (1), and only give the key estimates for the proofs of (2), (3), and (4).

*Proof of (1)* Our starting point is the elementary estimate

$$|R^{(1)}(t, \varphi)| \lesssim t^2 \|\hat{V}\|_{\ell^1}^2 \sup_{k, \ell \in \text{supp} \hat{V}, t_i \in [0, t]} \left| \nu_{t_2} \left( D_k^*(t_1, \varphi) B_{\ell}^*(t_2) [b_k(t_1), D_{\ell}(t_2)] \right) \right|. \quad (7.62)$$

In view of Remark 5.1, it is sufficient to give estimates for pure states  $\Psi \in \mathcal{F}$ . In order to ease the notation, we shall drop the time variables  $t_1, t_2 \in [0, t]$ , together with the

momentum labels  $k, \ell \in \text{supp} \hat{V}$ . Letting  $\Psi \in \mathcal{F}$ , we find that

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle \Psi, D^*(\varphi) B^* [b, D] \Psi \rangle| &\leq \|D(\varphi)\| \|\Psi\| \|B^*\| \| [b, D] \Psi \| \\ &\lesssim |\Lambda| \|\varphi\|_{\ell^1} \|\Psi\| R^{\frac{3}{2}} \|\mathcal{N}_S^{1/2} \Psi\|, \end{aligned} \quad (7.63)$$

where we used the Type-II estimate for  $[b, D]$ , the Type-IV estimate for  $D^*(\varphi)$ , and the norm bound  $\|B\| \leq 2\|b\| \lesssim R$ . The estimate in Eq. (7.10) now follows from the last two displayed equations, and  $\nu(\mathbf{1}) = 1$ .

*Proof of (2)* Letting  $\Psi \in \mathcal{F}$ , we find that

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle \Psi, [D^*(\varphi), B^*] D b \Psi \rangle| &\leq \|[D^*(\varphi), b]\| \|\Psi\| \|D b \Psi\| \\ &\lesssim \|[D^*(\varphi), b]\| \|\Psi\| \|\mathcal{N} b \Psi\| \\ &\lesssim |\Lambda| p_F^{-m} \|\varphi\|_{\ell_m^1} R \|\Psi\| \|\mathcal{N} \Psi\|, \end{aligned} \quad (7.64)$$

where we have used the Type-II estimate, commutation relations and the norm bound for  $b$  to obtain  $\|D b \Psi\| \leq \|\mathcal{N} b \Psi\| \leq \|b \mathcal{N} \Psi\| \lesssim R \|\mathcal{N} \Psi\|$ ; and the Type-III estimate for  $[D^*(\varphi), b]$ . The proof is finished after one follows the same argument we used for (1).

*Proof of (3)* Letting  $\Psi \in \mathcal{F}$ , we find that

$$|\langle \Psi, B^* [D(\varphi), D] b \Psi \rangle| \leq \|B^*\| \|\Psi\| \|[D(\varphi), D]\| \|b \Psi\| \quad (7.65)$$

$$\lesssim R^{\frac{3}{2}} \|\Psi\| |\Lambda| \|\varphi\|_{\ell^1} \|\mathcal{N}_S^{\frac{1}{2}} \Psi\|, \quad (7.66)$$

where we used the Type-II estimate for  $b$ , the Type-IV estimate for  $[D(\varphi), D]$ , and the norm bound  $\|B\| \lesssim R$ . The proof is finished after one follows the same argument we used for (1).

*Proof of (4)* Letting  $\Psi \in \mathcal{F}$ , we find that

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle \Psi, [D b(\varphi), B^* D] \Psi \rangle| &\leq 2 |\langle \Psi, D b(\varphi) B^* D \Psi \rangle| \\ &\lesssim \|D^* \Psi\| \|b(\varphi)\| \|B^*\| \|D \Psi\| \\ &\lesssim p_F^{-m} |\Lambda| \|\varphi\|_{\ell_m^1} R \|\mathcal{N} \Psi\|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (7.67)$$

where we used the Type-I estimate for  $D$  and  $D^*$ , the Type-III estimate for  $b(\varphi)$ , and the norm bound  $\|B\| \lesssim R$ . The proof is finished after one follows the same argument we used for (1).  $\square$

## 8. SUBLEADING ORDER TERMS

In this section we analyze the  $T_{\alpha, \beta}(t, p)$  terms of the double commutator expansion (3.20) that we regard as subleading order terms. So far, out of the nine terms we have analyzed two leading order terms:  $T_{F, F}$  in Section 6 and  $T_{F B, F B}$  in Section 7. Thus, we shall analyze the reminding seven. We do this in the following five subsections.

**8.1. Analysis of  $T_{F, F B}$ .** The main result of this subsection is the following proposition, that gives an estimate on the size of  $T_{F, F B}$ .

**Proposition 8.1** (Analysis of  $T_{F,FB}$ ). *Let  $T_{F,FB}(t, p)$  be the quantity defined in (3.21) with  $\alpha = F$  and  $\beta = FB$ , and let  $m > 0$ . Then, there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that for all  $\varphi \in \ell_m^1$  and  $t \geq 0$  the following estimate holds true*

$$|T_{F,FB}(t, \varphi)| \leq Ct^2 \|\hat{V}\|_{\ell^1}^2 |\Lambda| \|\varphi\|_{\ell_m^1} \sup_{0 \leq \tau \leq t} \left( R^{\frac{1}{2}} \nu_\tau(\mathcal{N}^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \nu_\tau(\mathcal{N}_S)^{\frac{1}{2}} + p_F^{-m} \nu_\tau(\mathcal{N}^2) \right) \quad (8.1)$$

where we recall  $T_{F,FB}(t, \varphi) = \langle \varphi, T_{F,FB}(t) \rangle$  and  $R = |\Lambda| p_F^{d-1}$ .

*Proof.* For simplicity, we assume  $\varphi$  is real-valued—in the general case, one may expand into real and imaginary parts and use linearity of the commutators. Starting from (3.23) we use the self-adjointness of  $V_F(t)$  and  $N(\varphi) = \int_{\Lambda^*} \varphi(p) a_p^* a_p dp$  to get the elementary inequality

$$\begin{aligned} & |T_{F,FB}(t, \varphi)| \\ &= \left| \int_0^t \int_0^{t_1} \int_{\Lambda^{*2}} \hat{V}(k) \hat{V}(\ell) 2 \operatorname{Re} \nu_{t_2} \left( [[N(\varphi), D_k^*(t_1) D_k(t_1)], D_\ell^*(t_2) b_\ell(t_2)] \right) dt_1 dt_2 dk d\ell \right| \\ &\lesssim t^2 \|\hat{V}\|_{\ell^1}^2 \sup_{k, \ell \in \operatorname{supp} \hat{V}, t_i \in [0, t]} \left| \nu_{t_2} \left( [[N(\varphi), D_k^*(t_1) D_k(t_1)], D_\ell^*(t_2) b_\ell(t_2)] \right) \right|. \end{aligned} \quad (8.2)$$

It suffices now to estimate the supremum quantity in the above equation. In order to ease the notation, we shall drop the time labels  $t_1, t_2 \in [0, t]$ , together with the momentum variables  $k, \ell \in \operatorname{supp} \hat{V}$ . Using the notation  $D^*(\varphi) \equiv [N(\varphi), D^*]$  we compute the commutator

$$[N(\varphi), D^* D] = D^*(\varphi) D + D^* D(\varphi). \quad (8.3)$$

We shall only show how to estimate the contribution that arises from the first term on the right hand side of (8.3); the second one is analogous. To this end, we expand

$$\begin{aligned} & [D^*(\varphi) D, D^* b] \\ &= D^*(\varphi) [D, D^*] b + D^*(\varphi) D^* [D, b] + [D^*(\varphi), D^*] b D + D^* [D^*(\varphi), b] D. \end{aligned} \quad (8.4)$$

Next, we estimate the expectation of each term in (8.4) separately. In view of Remark 5.1, it suffices to provide estimates for pure states  $\Psi \in \mathcal{F}$ . We shall make extensive use of Type-I to Type-IV estimates contained in Lemma 4.5–4.8, the commutation relations from Lemmas 4.3 and 4.4, and operator bounds of the form  $\|b\|_{B(\mathcal{F})} \lesssim R$ .

*The first term in (8.4).* Using the Type-I estimate for  $[D^*, D]$ , the Type-II estimate for  $b$ , the Type-IV estimate for  $D(\varphi)$  and the commutation relation  $[\mathcal{N}, D(\varphi)] = 0$  we find

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle \Psi, D^*(\varphi) [D, D^*] b \Psi \rangle| &\leq \|[D^*, D] D(\varphi) \Psi\| \|b \Psi\| \\ &\lesssim \|\mathcal{N} D(\varphi) \Psi\| R^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\mathcal{N}_S^{\frac{1}{2}} \Psi\| \\ &\lesssim |\Lambda| \|\varphi\|_{\ell^1} \|\mathcal{N} \Psi\| R^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\mathcal{N}_S^{\frac{1}{2}} \Psi\|. \end{aligned} \quad (8.5)$$

*The second term in (8.4).* Using the Type-I estimate for  $D$ , the Type-II estimate for  $[D, b]$ , the Type-IV estimate for  $D(\varphi)$  and the commutation relation  $[\mathcal{N}, D(\varphi)] = 0$  we

find

$$\begin{aligned}
|\langle \Psi, D^*(\varphi)D^*[D, b]\Psi \rangle| &\leq \|DD(\varphi)\Psi\| \|[D, b]\Psi\| \\
&\lesssim \|\mathcal{N}D(\varphi)\Psi\| R^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\mathcal{N}_S^{\frac{1}{2}}\Psi\| \\
&\lesssim |\Lambda| \|\varphi\|_{\ell^1} \|\mathcal{N}\Psi\| R^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\mathcal{N}_S^{\frac{1}{2}}\Psi\|. \tag{8.6}
\end{aligned}$$

The third term in (8.4). Using the Type-I estimate for  $D^*$ , the Type-II estimate for both  $b$  and  $[D, b]$ , the Type-IV estimate for  $[D, D(\varphi)]$  and the commutation relation  $[\mathcal{N}, [D, D(\varphi)]] = 0$  we find

$$\begin{aligned}
|\langle \Psi, [D^*(\varphi), D^*]bD\Psi \rangle| &\leq |\langle [D, D(\varphi)]\Psi, [b, D]\Psi \rangle| + |\langle [D, D(\varphi)]\Psi, Db\Psi \rangle| \\
&\leq \|[D, D(\varphi)]\Psi\| \|[b, D]\Psi\| + \|D^*[D, D(\varphi)]\Psi\| \|b\Psi\| \\
&\lesssim |\Lambda| \|\varphi\|_{\ell^1} (\mathcal{N} + 1) \|\Psi\| R^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\mathcal{N}_S^{\frac{1}{2}}\Psi\|. \tag{8.7}
\end{aligned}$$

The fourth term in (8.4). Using the Type-I estimate for  $D$  and the Type-III estimate for  $[D^*(\varphi), b]$  we find

$$|\langle \Psi, D^*[D^*(\varphi), b]D\Psi \rangle| \leq \|[D^*(\varphi), b]\| \|D\Psi\|^2 \lesssim |\Lambda| p_F^{-m} \|\varphi\|_{\ell_m^1} \|\mathcal{N}\Psi\|^2. \tag{8.8}$$

The proof now follows by collecting the previous four estimates in the expansion (8.4), and plugging them back in (8.2).  $\square$

8.2. **Analysis of  $T_{F,B}$ .** The main result of this subsection is the following proposition, that gives an estimate on the size of  $T_{F,B}$ .

**Proposition 8.2** (Analysis of  $T_{F,B}$ ). *Let  $T_{F,B}(t, p)$  be the quantity defined in (3.21) with  $\alpha = F$  and  $\beta = B$ , and let  $m > 0$ . Then, there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that for all  $\varphi \in \ell_m^1$  and  $t \geq 0$  the following estimate holds true*

$$|T_{F,B}(t, \varphi)| \leq Ct^2 \|\hat{V}\|_{\ell^1}^2 |\Lambda| \|\varphi\|_{\ell_m^1} \sup_{0 \leq \tau \leq t} \left( R^{\frac{3}{2}} \nu_\tau(\mathcal{N}_S)^{\frac{1}{2}} + Rp_F^{-m} \nu(\mathcal{N}^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} + R\nu_\tau(\mathcal{N}_S) \right) \tag{8.9}$$

where we recall  $T_{F,B}(t, \varphi) = \langle \varphi, T_{F,B}(t) \rangle$  and  $R = |\Lambda| p_F^{d-1}$ .

*Proof.* For simplicity, we assume  $\varphi$  is real-valued—in the general case, one may expand into real and imaginary parts and use linearity of the commutators. Starting from (3.23) we use the self-adjointness of  $V_F(t)$ ,  $V_B(t)$  and  $N(\varphi) = \int_{\Lambda^*} \varphi(p) a_p^* a_p dp$  to get the elementary inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
|T_{F,B}(t, \varphi)| &= \left| \int_0^t \int_0^{t_1} \operatorname{Re} \nu_{t_2} \left( [[N(\varphi), V_F(t_1)], V_B(t_2)] \right) dt_1 dt_2 \right| \tag{8.10} \\
&\lesssim t^2 \|\hat{V}\|_{\ell^1}^2 \sup_{k, \ell \in \operatorname{supp} \hat{V}, t_i \in [0, t]} \left| \nu_{t_2} \left( [[N(\varphi), D_k^*(t_1)D_k(t_1)], b_\ell^*(t_2)b_\ell(t_2)] \right) \right| \\
&\quad + t^2 \|\hat{V}\|_{\ell^1}^2 \sup_{k, \ell \in \operatorname{supp} \hat{V}, t_i \in [0, t]} \left| \nu_{t_2} \left( [[N(\varphi), D_k^*(t_1)D_k(t_1)], b_\ell(t_2)b_{-\ell}(t_2)] \right) \right|,
\end{aligned}$$

where in the last line we used the representation of  $V_F(t)$  and  $V_B(t)$  in terms of  $b$ - and  $D$ -operators found in Eqs. (5.5) and (5.7) —the  $b^*b^*$  term is re-written in terms of  $bb$  upon taking the real part of  $\nu$ . Next, we estimate the two supremum quantities in (8.10),

which we shall refer to as an *off-diagonal contribution*, and a *diagonal contribution*, with respect to the operators  $b$  and  $b^*$ . In view of Remark 5.1, it suffices to provide estimates for pure states  $\Psi \in \mathcal{F}$ . Further, in order to ease the notation, we omit the time labels  $t_1, t_2 \in [0, t]$  and the momentum variables  $k, \ell \in \text{supp} \hat{V}$ . We make extensive use of Type-I to Type-IV estimates contained in Lemma 4.5–4.8, the commutation relations from Lemmas 4.3 and 4.4, and operator bounds of the form  $\|b\|_{B(\mathcal{F})} \lesssim R$ .

The *off-diagonal contribution of (8.10)*. We expand the first commutator as follows

$$[[N(\varphi), D^*D], bb] = [D^*(\varphi)D, bb] + [D^*D(\varphi), bb], \quad (8.11)$$

where we recall we use the notation  $D^*(\varphi) = [N(\varphi), D]$ . We shall only show in detail how to estimate the first term in (8.11)—the second term can be estimated in the same spirit. We expand the second commutator as follows

$$[D^*(\varphi)D, bb] = D^*(\varphi)b[D, b] + D^*(\varphi)[D, b]b + [D^*(\varphi), bb]D. \quad (8.12)$$

We now estimate the three terms in the right hand side of (8.12).

- *The first term of (8.12)*. Letting  $\Psi \in \mathcal{F}$ , we find that

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle \Psi, D^*(\varphi)b[D, b]\Psi \rangle| &\leq \|D(\varphi)\| \|\Psi\| \|b\| \|[D, b]\Psi\| \\ &\lesssim |\Lambda| \|\varphi\|_{\ell^1} \|\Psi\| R^{\frac{3}{2}} \|\mathcal{N}_S^{\frac{1}{2}}\Psi\|, \end{aligned} \quad (8.13)$$

where we used the Type-II estimate for  $[D, b]$ , the Type-IV estimate for  $D(\varphi)$ , and the norm bound  $\|b\| \lesssim R$ .

- *The second term of (8.12)*. Letting  $\Psi \in \mathcal{F}$ , we find that

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle \Psi, D^*(\varphi)[D, b]b\Psi \rangle| &\leq \|D(\varphi)\| \|\Psi\| \|[D, b]\| \|b\Psi\| \\ &\lesssim |\Lambda| \|\varphi\|_{\ell^1} \|\Psi\| R^{\frac{3}{2}} \|\mathcal{N}_S^{\frac{1}{2}}\Psi\|, \end{aligned} \quad (8.14)$$

where we used the Type-II estimate for  $b$ , the Type-IV estimate for  $D^*(\varphi)$ , and the norm bound  $\|[D, b]\| \lesssim R$ ,

- *The third term of (8.12)*. Letting  $\Psi \in \mathcal{F}$ , we find that

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle \Psi, [D^*(\varphi), bb]D\Psi \rangle| &\leq \|[D^*(\varphi), bb]\| \|\Psi\| \|\mathcal{N}\Psi\| \\ &\lesssim |\Lambda| \|\varphi\|_{\ell_m^1} p_F^{-m} R \|\Psi\| \|\mathcal{N}\Psi\|, \end{aligned} \quad (8.15)$$

where we used the Type-I estimate for  $D$ , the Type-III estimate for  $[D^*(\varphi), b]$  and the norm bound  $\|b\| \lesssim R$ .

We collect the four estimates found above and put them back in (8.11) to find that the off-diagonal contribution satisfies the following upper bound

$$\left| \nu \left( [[N(\varphi), D^*D], bb] \right) \right| \lesssim |\Lambda| \|\varphi\|_{\ell_m^1} \left( R^{\frac{3}{2}} \nu(\mathcal{N}_S)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{R}{p_F^m} \nu(\mathcal{N}^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right). \quad (8.16)$$

The *diagonal contribution of (8.10)*. Similarly as before, we shall expand the commutator as follows.

$$[D^*(\varphi)D, b^*b] = D^*(\varphi)b^*[D, b] + D^*(\varphi)[D, b^*]b + [D^*(\varphi), b^*b]D. \quad (8.17)$$

These three terms are estimates as follows.

- *The first term of (8.17).* Letting  $\Psi \in \mathcal{F}$ , we find that

$$\begin{aligned}
& |\langle \Psi, D^*(\varphi)b^*[D, b]\Psi \rangle| \\
& \leq |\langle D(\varphi)b\Psi, [D, b]\Psi \rangle| + |\langle [D(\varphi), b]\Psi, [D, b]\Psi \rangle| \\
& \lesssim \|D(\varphi)\| \|b\Psi\| \| [D, b]\Psi \| + \| [D(\varphi), b] \Psi \| \| [D, b]\Psi \| \\
& \lesssim |\Lambda| \|\varphi\|_{\ell_m^1} \left( R \|\mathcal{N}_S^{\frac{1}{2}}\Psi\|^2 + p_F^{-m} R \|\Psi\|^2 \right). \tag{8.18}
\end{aligned}$$

where we used the Type-II estimate for  $b$  and  $[D, b]$ , the Type-III estimate for  $[D(\varphi), b]$ , the Type-IV estimate for  $D(\varphi)$ .

- *The second term of (8.17).* Letting  $\Psi \in \mathcal{F}$ , we find that

$$\begin{aligned}
& |\langle \Psi, D^*(\varphi)[D, b^*]b\Psi \rangle| \\
& \leq |\langle D(\varphi)[D^*, b]\Psi, b\Psi \rangle| + |\langle [D(\varphi), [D^*, b]]\Psi, b\Psi \rangle| \\
& \lesssim \|D(\varphi)\| \| [D^*, b]\Psi \| \| b\Psi \| + \| [D(\varphi), [D^*, b]] \Psi \| \| b\Psi \| \\
& \lesssim |\Lambda| \|\varphi\|_{\ell_m^1} \left( R \|\mathcal{N}_S^{\frac{1}{2}}\Psi\|^2 + p_F^{-m} R \|\Psi\|^2 \right), \tag{8.19}
\end{aligned}$$

where we used the Type-II estimate for  $b$  and  $[D^*, b]$ , the Type-III estimate for  $[D(\varphi), [D^*, b]]$ , and the Type-IV estimate for  $D(\varphi)$ .

- *The third term of (8.17).* Letting  $\Psi \in \mathcal{F}$ , we find that

$$\begin{aligned}
& |\langle \Psi, [D^*(\varphi), b^*b]D\Psi \rangle| \leq \|\Psi\| \| [D^*(\varphi), b^*b] \| \| D\Psi \| \\
& \leq \left( \| b^* \| \| [D^*(\varphi), b] \| + \| [D^*(\varphi), b^*] \| \| b \| \right) \|\Psi\| \|\mathcal{N}\Psi\| \\
& \lesssim |\Lambda| \|\varphi\|_{\ell_m^1} p_F^{-m} R \|\Psi\| \|\mathcal{N}\Psi\|, \tag{8.20}
\end{aligned}$$

where we used the Type-I estimate  $D$ , the Type-III estimate for  $[D^*(\varphi), b]$  and  $[D^*[\varphi], b^*]$ , and the norm bound  $\|b\| \lesssim R$ .

We gather the three above estimates to find that the diagonal contribution satisfies the following upper bound

$$\left| \nu \left( [[N(\varphi), D^*D], b^*b] \right) \right| \lesssim |\Lambda| \|\varphi\|_{\ell_m^1} \left( R \nu(\mathcal{N}_S) + \frac{R}{p_F^m} \nu(\mathcal{N}^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right). \tag{8.21}$$

The proof of the proposition is finished once we gather the diagonal and off-diagonal contributions and plug them back in (8.10).  $\square$

**8.3. Analysis of  $T_{FB,F}$ .** In this subsection, we analyze the term  $T_{FB,F}$ . Our main result is the estimate contained in the next proposition.

**Proposition 8.3** (Analysis of  $T_{FB,F}$ ). *Let  $T_{FB,F}(t, p)$  be the quantity defined in (3.21) with  $\alpha = FB$  and  $\beta = F$ , and let  $m > 0$ . Then, there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that for all  $\varphi \in \ell_m^1$  and  $t \geq 0$  the following estimate holds true*

$$|T_{FB,F}(t, \varphi)| \leq C t^2 \|\hat{V}\|_{\ell^1}^2 |\Lambda| \|\varphi\|_{\ell_m^1} \sup_{0 \leq \tau \leq t} \left( R^{\frac{1}{2}} \nu_\tau(\mathcal{N}_S)^{\frac{1}{2}} + p_F^{-m} \nu_\tau(\mathcal{N}^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) \nu_\tau(\mathcal{N}^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{8.22}$$

where we recall  $T_{FB,F}(t, \varphi) = \langle \varphi, T_{FB,F}(t) \rangle$  and  $R = |\Lambda| p_F^{d-1}$ .

*Proof.* For simplicity, we assume  $\varphi$  is real-valued—in the general case, one may expand into real and imaginary parts and use linearity of the commutators. Starting from (3.23) we use the self-adjointness of  $V_{FB}(t)$ ,  $V_F(t)$  and  $N(\varphi) = \int_{\Lambda^*} \varphi(p) a_p^* a_p dp$  to get the elementary inequality

$$\begin{aligned} |T_{FB,F}(t, \varphi)| &= \left| \int_0^t \int_0^{t_1} \operatorname{Re} \nu_{t_2} \left( [[N(\varphi), V_{FB}(t_1)], V_F(t_2)] \right) dt_1 dt_2 \right| \\ &\lesssim t^2 \|\hat{V}\|_{\ell_1}^2 \sup_{k, \ell \in \operatorname{supp} \hat{V}, t_i \in [0, t]} \left| \nu_{t_2} \left( [[N(\varphi), D_k^*(t_1) b_k(t_1)], D_\ell^*(t_2) D_\ell(t_2)] \right) \right| \end{aligned} \quad (8.23)$$

where in the last line we used the representation of  $V_F(t)$  and  $V_B(t)$  in terms of  $b$ - and  $D$ -operators found in Eqs. (5.5) and (5.7) —the  $D_k^* b_{-k}^*$  term is re-written in terms of  $D_k^* b_k$  upon taking the real part of  $\nu$ . Next, we estimate the two supremum quantity in (8.23). In view of Remark 5.1, it suffices to provide estimates for pure states  $\Psi \in \mathcal{F}$ . In order to ease the notation, we omit the variables  $t_1, t_2 \in [0, t]$  and  $k, \ell \in \operatorname{supp} \hat{V}$ . We shall make extensive use of Type-I to Type-IV estimates contained in Lemma 4.5–4.8, and the commutation relations from Lemmas 4.3 and 4.4.

We expand the first commutator in terms of  $D^*(\varphi) = [N(\varphi), D]$  and  $b(\varphi) = [N(\varphi), b]$  as follows

$$[[N(\varphi), D^* b], D^* D] = [D^*(\varphi) b, D^* D] + [D^* b(\varphi), D^* D]. \quad (8.24)$$

We dedicate the rest of the proof to estimate the expectation of the two terms in the right hand side of (8.24).

*The first term of (8.24)* We break up the second commutator into three pieces

$$[D^*(\varphi) b, D^* D] = D^*(\varphi) D^* [b, D] + D^*(\varphi) [b, D^*] D + [D^*(\varphi), D^* D] b \quad (8.25)$$

which we now estimate separately.

- *The first term of (8.25).* Letting  $\Psi \in \mathcal{F}$ , we find that

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle \Psi, D^*(\varphi) D^* [b, D] \Psi \rangle| &\leq \|DD(\varphi)\Psi\| \| [b, D] \Psi \| \\ &\lesssim |\Lambda| \|\varphi\|_{\ell^1} R^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\mathcal{N}\Psi\| \|\mathcal{N}_S^{1/2}\Psi\|, \end{aligned} \quad (8.26)$$

where we used the Type-I estimate for  $D$ , the Type-II estimate for  $[D, b]$ , the Type-IV estimate for  $D(\varphi)$ , and the commutation relation  $[\mathcal{N}, D(\varphi)] = 0$ .

- *The second term of (8.25).* Letting  $\Psi \in \mathcal{F}$ , we find that

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle \Psi, D^*(\varphi) [b, D^*] D \Psi \rangle| &\leq |\langle \Psi, D^*(\varphi) D [b, D^*] \Psi \rangle| + |\langle \Psi, D^*(\varphi) [[b, D^*], D] \Psi \rangle| \\ &\lesssim \|D^* D(\varphi)\Psi\| \| [b, D^*] \Psi \| + \|D(\varphi)\| \|\Psi\| \| [[b, D^*], D] \Psi \| \\ &\lesssim |\Lambda| \|\varphi\|_{\ell^1} R^{\frac{1}{2}} \|(\mathcal{N} + \mathbb{1})\Psi\| \|\mathcal{N}_S^{1/2}\Psi\|, \end{aligned} \quad (8.27)$$

where we used the Type-I estimate for  $D^*$ , the Type-II estimate for  $[b, D^*]$  and  $[[b, D^*], D]$ , the Type-IV estimate for  $D(\varphi)$ , and the commutation relation  $[\mathcal{N}, D(\varphi)] = 0$ .

- *The third term of (8.25).* Letting  $\Psi \in \mathcal{F}$ , we find that

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle \Psi, [D^*(\varphi), D^*D]b\Psi \rangle| &\leq \| [D(\varphi), D^*D]\Psi \| \| b\Psi \| \\ &\lesssim |\Lambda| \|\varphi\|_{\ell^1} R^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\mathcal{N}\Psi\| \|\mathcal{N}_S^{1/2}\Psi\|, \end{aligned} \quad (8.28)$$

where we used the Type-I estimates for  $D$  and  $D^*$ , the Type-II estimate for  $b$ , the Type-IV estimate for  $[D(\varphi), D]$  and  $[D(\varphi), D^*]$ , and the commutation relation  $[\mathcal{N}, [D(\varphi), D]] = 0$ .

Upon gathering the last three estimates, we find that the first term of (8.24) satisfies the following upper bound

$$|\nu([D^*(\varphi)b, D^*D])| \lesssim |\Lambda| \|\varphi\|_{\ell_m^1} R^{\frac{1}{2}} \nu(\mathcal{N}^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \nu(\mathcal{N}_S)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (8.29)$$

*The second term of (8.24).* Similarly as before, we break up the double second into three pieces

$$[D^*b(\varphi), D^*D] = D^*D^*[b(\varphi), D] + D^*[b(\varphi), D^*]D + b(\varphi)[D^*, D^*D] \quad (8.30)$$

which can be estimated as follows.

- *The first term in (8.30).* Letting  $\Psi \in \mathcal{F}$ , we find that

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle \Psi, D^*D^*[b(\varphi), D]\Psi \rangle| &\leq \| DD(\mathcal{N} + 2)^{-1}\Psi \| \| (\mathcal{N} + 2)[b(\varphi), D]\Psi \| \\ &\lesssim |\Lambda| \|\varphi\|_{\ell_m^1} p_F^{-m} \|\mathcal{N}\Psi\|^2, \end{aligned} \quad (8.31)$$

where we used the Type-I estimate for  $D$ , the Type-III estimate for  $[b(\varphi), D]$  and the pull-through formula  $(\mathcal{N} + 2)[b(\varphi), D] = [b(\varphi), D]\mathcal{N}$ .

- Letting  $\Psi \in \mathcal{F}$ , we find that

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle \Psi, D^*[b(\varphi), D^*]D\Psi \rangle| &\leq \| D\Psi \| \| [b(\varphi), D^*] \| \| D\Psi \| \\ &\lesssim |\Lambda| \|\varphi\|_{\ell_m^1} p_F^{-m} \|\mathcal{N}\Psi\|^2, \end{aligned} \quad (8.32)$$

where we used the Type-I estimate for  $D$ , and the Type-III estimate for  $[b(\varphi), D^*]$ .

- Letting  $\Psi \in \mathcal{F}$ , we find that

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle \Psi, b(\varphi)[D^*, D^*D]\Psi \rangle| &\leq \| b^*(\varphi)\mathcal{N}\Psi \| \| [D^*, D^*D](\mathcal{N} + 2)^{-1}\Psi \| \\ &\lesssim |\Lambda| \|\varphi\|_{\ell_m^1} p_F^{-m} \|\mathcal{N}\Psi\|^2, \end{aligned} \quad (8.33)$$

where we used the Type-I estimate for  $[D^*, D^*D]$ , the Type-III estimate for  $b^*(\varphi)$ , the pull-through formula  $(\mathcal{N} + 2)b(\varphi) = b(\varphi)\mathcal{N}$  and the commutation relation  $[D^*, \mathcal{N}] = 0$ .

Upon gathering the last three estimates, we find that the second term of (8.4) satisfies the following upper bound

$$\left| \nu\left([D^*b(\varphi), D^*D]\right) \right| \lesssim |\Lambda| \|\varphi\|_{\ell_m^1} p_F^{-m} \nu(\mathcal{N}^2). \quad (8.34)$$

The proof of the proposition is finished once we put together the estimates found in Eqs. (8.29) and (8.34) back in (8.24).  $\square$



8.4. **Analysis of  $T_{FB,B}$ .** The main result of this subsection is the following proposition. It contains an estimate on the size of  $T_{FB,B}$ .

**Proposition 8.4** (Analysis of  $T_{FB,B}$ ). *Let  $T_{FB,B}(t, p)$  be the quantity defined in (3.21) with  $\alpha = FB$ , and  $\beta = B$ . Further, let  $m > 0$ . Then, there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that for all  $\varphi \in \ell_m^1$  and  $t \geq 0$  such that*

$$|T_{FB,B}(t, \varphi)| \leq Ct^2 \|\hat{V}\|_{\ell^1}^2 \|\Lambda\| \|\varphi\|_{\ell_m^1} \sup_{0 \leq \tau \leq t} \left( R^{\frac{3}{2}} \nu_\tau(\mathcal{N}_S)^{\frac{1}{2}} + R^2 p_F^{-m} \nu_\tau(\mathcal{N}_1^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) \quad (8.35)$$

where we recall  $T_{FB,B}(t, \varphi) = \langle \varphi, T_{FB,B}(t) \rangle$  and  $R = |\Lambda| p_F^{d-1}$ .

*Proof.* For simplicity, we assume  $\varphi$  is real-valued—in the general case, one may expand into real and imaginary parts and use linearity of the commutators. Starting from (3.23) we use the self-adjointness of  $V_{FB}(t)$ ,  $V_B(t)$  and  $N(\varphi) = \int_{\Lambda^*} \varphi(p) a_p^* a_p dp$  to get the elementary inequality

$$\begin{aligned} |T_{FB,B}(t, \varphi)| &= \left| \int_0^t \int_0^{t_1} \operatorname{Re} \nu_{t_2} \left( [[N(\varphi), V_{FB}(t_1)], V_B(t_2)] \right) dt_1 dt_2 \right| \\ &\lesssim t^2 \|\hat{V}\|_{\ell^1} \sup_{k \in \operatorname{supp} \hat{V}, t_i \in [0, t]} \left| \nu_{t_2} \left( [[N(\varphi), D_k^*(t_1) b_k(t_1)], V_B(t_2)] \right) \right| \end{aligned} \quad (8.36)$$

where in the last line we used the representation of  $V_{FB}(t)$  in terms of  $b$ - and  $D$ -operators found in (5.6)—the  $D_k^* b_{-k}^*$  term is re-written in terms of  $D_k^* b_k$  upon taking the real part of  $\nu$ . Next, we estimate the two supremum quantity in (8.36). In view of Remark 5.1, it suffices to provide estimates for pure states  $\Psi \in \mathcal{F}$ . In order to ease the notation, we omit the variables  $t_1, t_2 \in [0, t]$ . We shall make extensive use of Type-I to Type-IV estimates contained in Lemma 4.5–4.8, and the commutation relations from Lemmas 4.3 and 4.4.

In terms of  $D_k^*(\varphi) = [N(\varphi), D_k]$  and  $b_k(\varphi) = [N(\varphi), b_k]$  we calculate the first commutator to be

$$[[N(\varphi), D_k^* b_k], V_B] = [D_k^*(\varphi) b_k, V_B] + [D_k^* b_k(\varphi), V_B], \quad \forall k \in \operatorname{supp} \hat{V}. \quad (8.37)$$

We shall estimate the expectation of the two terms in (8.37) separately.

*The first term of (8.37).* We expand  $V_B$  into three additional terms. Namely

$$\begin{aligned} [D_k^*(\varphi) b_k, V_B] &= \int_{\Lambda^*} \hat{V}(\ell) \left( [D_k^*(\varphi) b_k, b_\ell^* b_\ell] + \frac{1}{2} [D_k^*(\varphi) b_k, b_\ell b_{-\ell}] + \frac{1}{2} [D_k^*(\varphi) b_k, b_{-\ell}^* b_\ell^*] \right) d\ell \\ &\equiv \int_{\Lambda^*} \hat{V}(\ell) \left( C_1(k, \ell) + C_2(k, \ell) + C_3(k, \ell) \right) d\ell. \end{aligned} \quad (8.38)$$

Next, we proceed to analyze the commutators  $C_j$  for  $j = 1, 2, 3$  separately.

- *Analysis of  $C_1$ .* We expand the commutator

$$C_1(k, \ell) = D_k^*(\varphi) [b_k, b_\ell^*] b_\ell + [D_k^*(\varphi), b_\ell^*] b_\ell b_k. \quad (8.39)$$

Let us recall that the  $[b_k(t), b_\ell^*(s)]$  can be calculated explicitly – see (4.7). In particular, it can be easily verified that for  $k, \ell \in \operatorname{supp} \hat{V}$  it satisfies the estimate

$$\|[b_k(t), b_\ell^*(s)]\|_{B(\mathcal{F})} \lesssim R \quad (8.40)$$

Consequently,  $C_1$  can be estimated as follows. Omitting momentarily the variables  $k, \ell \in \text{supp} \hat{V}$  we find

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle \Psi, C_1 \Psi \rangle| &\leq |\langle [b, b^*] D(\varphi) \Psi, b \Psi \rangle| + |\langle \Psi, [D^*(\varphi), b^* b] b \Psi \rangle| \\ &\leq \|[b, b^*]\| \|D^*(\varphi) \Psi\| \|b \Psi\| + \|[D^*(\varphi), b^* b]\| \|\Psi\| \|b \Psi\| \\ &\lesssim R |\Lambda| \|\varphi\|_{\ell^1} \|\Psi\| R^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{S}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \Psi\| + |\Lambda| p_F^{-m} \|\varphi\|_{\ell_m^1} R^2 \|\Psi\|^2, \end{aligned} \quad (8.41)$$

where we used the Type-II estimate for  $b$ , the Type-III estimate for  $[D^*(\varphi), b]$  and  $[D^*(\varphi), b^*]$ , the Type-IV estimate for  $D^*(\varphi)$ , the norm bound  $\|b\| \lesssim R$  and the commutator bound (8.40).

- *Analysis of  $C_2$ .* This one is easier to estimate, because we do not pick a non-zero commutator between the  $b$  operators. Namely, there holds  $C_2(k, \ell) = [D_k^*(\varphi), b_\ell b_{-\ell}] b_k$ . Thus, we find (ommitting the  $k, \ell \in \text{supp} \hat{V}$  variables)

$$|\langle \Psi, C_2 \Psi \rangle| \lesssim |\Lambda| \|\varphi\|_{\ell^1} \|\hat{V}\|_{\ell^1}^2 R^2 p_F^{-m} \|\Psi\|^2. \quad (8.42)$$

- *Analysis of  $C_3$ .* This is the most intricate term among the three terms we analyze, because it involves higher-order commutators. First we decompose

$$\begin{aligned} C_3(k, \ell) &= D_k^*(\varphi) b_{-\ell}^* [b_k, b_\ell^*] + D_k^*(\varphi) [b_k, b_{-\ell}^*] b_\ell^* + [D_k^*(\varphi), b_{-\ell}^* b_\ell^*] b_k \\ &\equiv C_{3,1}(k, \ell) + C_{3,2}(k, \ell) + C_{3,3}(k, \ell) \end{aligned} \quad (8.43)$$

and analyze each term separately.

Let us look at the first one. Omitting the  $k, \ell \in \text{supp} \hat{V}$  variables we find

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle \Psi, C_{3,1} \Psi \rangle| &= |\langle b D(\varphi) \Psi, [b, b^*] \Psi \rangle| \\ &\leq \|b D(\varphi) \Psi\| \|[b, b^*] \Psi\| \\ &\leq \|[b, D(\varphi)]\| \|\Psi\| \|[b, b^*] \Psi\| + \|D(\varphi)\| \|b \Psi\| \|[b, b^*] \Psi\| \\ &\lesssim (|\Lambda| p_F^{-m} \|\varphi\|_{\ell_m^1}) R \|\Psi\|^2 + |\Lambda| \|\varphi\|_{\ell^1} R^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{S}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \Psi\| R \|\Psi\| \\ &\leq |\Lambda| \|\varphi\|_{\ell_m^1} \left( R^{\frac{3}{2}} \|\Psi\| \|\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{S}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \Psi\| + R p_F^{-m} \|\Psi\|^2 \right) \end{aligned} \quad (8.44)$$

where we used the Type-II estimates for  $b$ , the Type-III estimate for  $[b, D(\varphi)]$ , and the commutator bound  $\|[b, b^*]\| \leq R$ , see Eq. (8.40).

Let us now look at the second one. Let us recall that the boson commutator can be written as  $[b_k, b_\ell^*] = \delta(k - \ell) G_k \mathbf{1} + \mathcal{R}_{k, \ell}$  where  $G_k$  is a scalar, and  $\mathcal{R}_{k, \ell}$  is a reminder operator (see (7.14) for details). Thus, we find

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle \Psi, C_{3,2}(k, \ell) \Psi \rangle| &\leq |\langle \Psi, C_{3,1}(k, -\ell) \Psi \rangle| + |\langle \Psi, D_k^*(\varphi) [\mathcal{R}_{k, -\ell}, b_\ell] \Psi \rangle| \\ &\leq |\Lambda| \|\varphi\|_{\ell_m^1} \left( R^{\frac{3}{2}} \|\Psi\| \|\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{S}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \Psi\| + R p_F^{-m} \|\Psi\|^2 \right) \\ &\quad + |\Lambda| \|\varphi\|_{\ell^1} R^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\Psi\| \|\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{S}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \Psi\|. \end{aligned} \quad (8.45)$$

where in the last line we used the upper bound for  $C_{3,1}(k, \ell)$ , the Type-IV estimate for  $D_k^*(\varphi)$ , and the following commutator estimate

$$\|[\mathcal{R}_{k, \ell}, b_{-\ell}] \Psi\| \lesssim R^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{S}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \Psi\| \quad (8.46)$$

valid for  $k, \ell \in \text{supp} \hat{V}$ .

Let us now look at the third one. Omitting the  $k, \ell \in \text{supp} \hat{V}$  variables we find

$$|\langle \Psi, C_{3,3} \Psi \rangle| \leq 2 \|b^*\| \| [D^*(\varphi), b^*] \| \| \Psi \| \| b \Psi \| \lesssim |\Lambda| \| \varphi \|_{\ell_m^1} R^2 p_F^{-m} \| \Psi \|^2. \quad (8.47)$$

where we used the Type-III estimate for  $[D^*(\varphi), b^*]$ , and the norm bounds  $\|b\|, \|b^*\| \lesssim R$ .

Putting the estimates for  $C_{3,1}$ ,  $C_{3,2}$  and  $C_{3,3}$  we finally find that for all  $k, \ell \in \text{supp} \hat{V}$  there holds

$$|\langle \Psi, C_3(k, \ell) \Psi \rangle| \lesssim |\Lambda| \| \varphi \|_{\ell^1} \left( R^{\frac{3}{2}} \| \Psi \| \| \mathcal{N}_S^{\frac{1}{2}} \Psi \| + R^2 p_F^{-m} \| \Psi \|^2 \right). \quad (8.48)$$

Finally, we put the estimates (8.41), (8.42) and (8.48) for  $C_1$ ,  $C_2$  and  $C_3$ , respectively, to find that the expectation of the first term in (8.37) is bounded above by

$$\left| \nu([D_k^*(\varphi) b_k, V_B]) \right| \leq |\Lambda| \| \varphi \|_{\ell_m^1} \| \hat{V} \|_{\ell^1} \left( R^{\frac{3}{2}} \nu(\mathbb{1})^{\frac{1}{2}} \nu(\mathcal{N}_S)^{\frac{1}{2}} + R^2 p_F^{-m} \nu(\mathbb{1}) \right). \quad (8.49)$$

The second term of (8.37). This one is easy, we use the brutal estimate

$$\left| \nu([D_k^* b_k(\varphi), V_B]) \right| \leq |\nu(D_k^* b_k(\varphi) V_B)| + |\nu(V_B D_k^* b_k(\varphi))| \quad (8.50)$$

We estimate these terms as follows. In view of  $\|V_B\|_{B(\mathcal{F})} \lesssim \|\hat{V}\|_{\ell^1} R^2$  we find for the first term in (8.50) that

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle \Psi, D_k^* b_k(\varphi) V_B \Psi \rangle| &\leq \|b_k^*(\varphi) D_k \Psi\| \|V_B \Psi\| \\ &\leq \|\hat{V}\|_{\ell^1} \|b_k^*(\varphi)\| \| \mathcal{N} \Psi \| R^2 \| \Psi \| \leq |\Lambda| \|\hat{V}\|_{\ell^1} p_F^{-m} \| \varphi \|_{\ell_m^1} R^2 \| \mathcal{N} \Psi \| \| \Psi \|, \end{aligned} \quad (8.51)$$

where we used the Type-I estimate for  $D_k$ , and the Type-III estimate for  $b_k^*(\varphi)$ . For the second term in (8.50), we use the same bound for  $V_B$ , together with the pull through formula  $\mathcal{N} b(\varphi) = b(\varphi)(\mathcal{N} - 2)$  to find that

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle \Psi, V_B D_k^* b_k(\varphi) \Psi \rangle| &\leq \|V_B \Psi\| \|D_k^*(\mathcal{N} + 2)^{-1}\| \|(\mathcal{N} + 2) b_k(\varphi) \Psi\| \\ &\leq \|\hat{V}\|_{\ell^1} R^2 \| \Psi \| \| b_k(\varphi) \mathcal{N} \Psi \| \leq \|\hat{V}\|_{\ell^1} R^2 |\Lambda| \| \varphi \|_{\ell_m^1} p_F^{-m} \| \Psi \| \| \mathcal{N} \Psi \|, \end{aligned} \quad (8.52)$$

where we used the Type-I estimate for  $D_k^*$ , and the Type-III estimate for  $b_k(\varphi)$ . These last two estimates combined together then imply that

$$\left| \nu([D_k^* b_k(\varphi), V_B]) \right| \leq \|\hat{V}\|_{\ell^1} R^2 |\Lambda| \| \varphi \|_{\ell_m^1} p_F^{-m} \nu(\mathbb{1})^{\frac{1}{2}} \nu(\mathcal{N}^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (8.53)$$

*Conclusion.* The proof of the proposition is finished once we gather the estimates contained in (8.49) and (8.53), and plug them back in (8.36).  $\square$

**8.5. Analysis of  $T_{B,\alpha}$ .** Out of the nine terms  $T_{\alpha,\beta}(t, \varphi)$ , those with  $\alpha = B$  are the easiest ones to deal with. The main result of this subsection is contained in the following proposition. It contains an estimate for the three terms  $T_{B,F}$ ,  $T_{B,FB}$  and  $T_{B,B}$ .

**Proposition 8.5** (Analysis of  $T_{B,F}$ ,  $T_{B,FB}$  and  $T_{B,B}$ ). *Let  $T_{B,F}(t, p)$ ,  $T_{B,FB}(t, p)$  and  $T_{B,FB}(t, p)$  be the quantities defined in (3.21), for  $\alpha = B$  and  $\beta = F$ ,  $\beta = FB$  and*

$\beta = B$ , respectively. Further, let  $m > 0$ . Then, there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that for all  $\varphi \in \ell_m^1$  and  $t \geq 0$  there holds

$$\begin{aligned} & |T_{B,F}(t, \varphi)| + |T_{B,FB}(t, \varphi)| + |T_{B,B}(t, \varphi)| \\ & \leq Ct^2 \|\hat{V}\|_{\ell^1}^2 |\Lambda| \|\varphi\|_{\ell_m^1} R^3 p_F^{-m} \sup_{0 \leq \tau \leq t} \left(1 + R^{-2} \nu_\tau(\mathcal{N}^4)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right), \end{aligned} \quad (8.54)$$

where we recall  $T_{\alpha,\beta}(t, \varphi) = \langle \varphi, T_{\alpha,\beta}(t) \rangle$  and  $R = |\Lambda| p_F^{d-1}$ .

*Proof.* In what follows, we let  $\alpha$  be either  $F$ ,  $FB$  or  $B$ , and we fix  $m > 0$ ,  $t \geq 0$  and  $\varphi \in \ell_m^1$ . Starting from (3.21) one finds the following elementary bound

$$|T_{B,\alpha}(t, \varphi)| \lesssim t^2 \sup_{t_i \in [0, t]} |\nu_{t_2}([N(\varphi), V_B(t_1)], V_\alpha(t_2))| \quad (8.55)$$

and so it suffices to estimate the supremum quantity in the above inequality. In view of Remark 5.1, it suffices to consider estimates on pure states  $\Psi \in \mathcal{F}$ . In order to ease the notation, we drop the time variables  $t_1, t_2 \in [0, t]$ . Thus, we find that

$$|\langle \Psi, [[N(\varphi), V_B], V_\alpha] \Psi \rangle| \leq 2 |\langle \Psi, [[N(\varphi), V_B] V_\alpha \Psi] \rangle| \leq 2 \| [N(\varphi), V_B] \| \| \Psi \| \| V_\alpha \Psi \| \quad (8.56)$$

Using the expansion of  $V_B$  in terms of  $b$ -operators (see (5.7)), it is straightforward to find that, in terms of  $b_k(\varphi) = [N(\varphi), b_k]$ ,

$$\| [N(\varphi), V_B] \| \leq 2 \|\hat{V}\|_{\ell^1} \|b\| \|b_k(\varphi)\| \lesssim \|\hat{V}\|_{\ell^1} R |\Lambda| p_F^{-m} \|\varphi\|_{\ell_m^1} \quad (8.57)$$

where we used the Type-III estimate on  $b_k(\varphi)$  (see Lemma 4.7), together with the norm bound  $\|b_k\| \lesssim R$ . On the other hand, we have previously established the estimate

$$\|V_\alpha \Psi\| \lesssim \|\hat{V}\|_{\ell^1} \left( \|\mathcal{N}^2 \Psi\| + R^2 \|\Psi\| \right). \quad (8.58)$$

The proof is finished once we gather the last four estimates.  $\square$

## 9. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

We are now ready to give a proof of our main result, Theorem 1. We shall make extensive use of the excitation estimates established in Section 5. Namely, letting  $(\nu_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  be the interaction dynamics (3.14) with initial data satisfying Condition 1, we know that for all  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$  exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that for all  $t \geq 0$  there holds

$$\nu_t(\mathcal{N}^\ell) \leq C n^\ell \exp(C \lambda R t), \quad (9.1)$$

$$\nu_t(\mathcal{N}_S) \leq (\lambda R \langle t \rangle)^2 \exp(C \lambda R t). \quad (9.2)$$

Here,  $n = \nu_0(\mathcal{N}) \lesssim R^{1/2}$  is the initial number of particles/holes in the system, and  $R = |\Lambda| p_F^{d-1}$  is our recurrent parameter.

*Proof.* Throughout the proof, we shall fix the parameter  $m > 0$ . Let  $f_t(p)$  be the momentum distribution of the system, as defined in Def. 1. In Section 3, we performed a double commutator expansion of  $f_t(p)$ , given in (3.20), in terms of the quantities

$T_{\alpha,\beta}(t, p)$ , defined in Eq. (3.21). It then follows by the triangle inequality that for all  $t \geq 0$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \|f_t - f_0 - \lambda^2 t (Q_t[f_0] + B_t[f_0])\|_{\ell_m^{1*}} \\
 & \leq \frac{\lambda^2}{|\Lambda|} \left( \|T_{F,F}(t) + t|\Lambda|Q_t[f_0]\|_{\ell_m^{1*}} + \|T_{FB,FB}(t) + t|\Lambda|B_t[f_0]\|_{\ell_m^{1*}} \right) \\
 & \quad + \frac{\lambda^2}{|\Lambda|} \left( \|T_{F,FB}(t)\|_{\ell_m^{1*}} + \|T_{F,B}(t)\|_{\ell_m^{1*}} \right) \\
 & \quad + \frac{\lambda^2}{|\Lambda|} \left( \|T_{FB,F}(t)\|_{\ell_m^{1*}} + \|T_{FB,B}(t)\|_{\ell_m^{1*}} \right) \\
 & \quad + \frac{\lambda^2}{|\Lambda|} \left( \|T_{B,F}(t)\|_{\ell_m^{1*}} + \|T_{B,FB}(t)\|_{\ell_m^{1*}} + \|T_{B,B}(t)\|_{\ell_m^{1*}} \right) \quad (9.3)
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $Q_t$  and  $B_t$  are the operators defined in Def. 2 and 3, respectively. We shall now estimate the right hand side of (9.3). First, we estimate the leading order terms, previously analyzed in Section 6 and 7. Secondly, we describe the subleading order terms, previously analyzed in Section 8.

**LEADING ORDER TERMS.** First, we collect the Boltzmann-like dynamics. This term emerges from  $T_{F,F}$ . Indeed, it follows from Proposition 6.1 and Eq. (9.1) that there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that for all  $t \geq 0$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|T_{F,F}(t) + t|\Lambda|Q_t[f_0]\|_{\ell_m^{1*}} & \leq C|\Lambda|t^3\lambda \sup_{\tau \leq t} \left( R^2\nu_\tau(\mathcal{N}^4)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \nu_\tau(\mathcal{N}^4) \right) \\
 & \leq C|\Lambda|t^3\lambda(R^2 + n^2)n^2 \exp(C\lambda Rt) \\
 & \leq C|\Lambda|t^3\lambda R^2 n^2 \exp(C\lambda Rt) , \quad (9.4)
 \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the assumption  $n \lesssim R$ .

Now, we collect the interactions between holes/particles and bosonized particle-hole pairs around the Fermi surface. In view of Proposition 7.1 and Eqs. (9.1) and (9.2) we

find that there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that for all  $t \geq 0$  there holds

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|T_{FB,FB}(t) + t|\Lambda|B_t[f_0]\|_{\ell_m^{1*}} \\
& \leq C|\Lambda|t^2 \sup_{\tau \leq t} \left[ R^{\frac{1}{2}}\nu_\tau(\mathcal{N}_S)^{\frac{1}{2}}\nu_\tau(\mathcal{N})^{\frac{1}{2}} + R^{\frac{3}{2}}\nu_\tau(\mathcal{N}_S)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{R}{p_F^m}\nu_\tau(\mathcal{N}^2) \right] \\
& \quad + C|\Lambda|t^3\lambda R \sup_{\tau \leq t} \left[ R^{\frac{3}{2}}\nu_\tau(\mathcal{N}_S)^{\frac{1}{2}} + R\nu_\tau(\mathcal{N}_S) + \frac{R}{p_F^m}\nu_\tau(\mathcal{N})^{\frac{1}{2}} \right], \\
& \leq C|\Lambda|t^2 \left[ R^{\frac{1}{2}}\lambda R \langle t \rangle n^{\frac{1}{2}} + R^{\frac{3}{2}}\lambda R \langle t \rangle + \frac{Rn^2}{p_F^m} \right] e^{C\lambda R t} \\
& \quad + C|\Lambda|t^3\lambda R \left[ R^{\frac{3}{2}}\lambda R \langle t \rangle + R(\lambda R \langle t \rangle)^2 + \frac{Rn^{\frac{1}{2}}}{p_F^m} \right] e^{C\lambda R t}, \\
& \leq C|\Lambda| \left[ t^2 \langle t \rangle \lambda R^{\frac{3}{2}} n^{\frac{1}{2}} + t^2 \langle t \rangle \lambda R^{\frac{5}{2}} + t^2 \frac{Rn^2}{p_F^m} \right] e^{C\lambda R t} \\
& \quad + C|\Lambda| \left[ t^3 \langle t \rangle \lambda^2 R^{\frac{7}{2}} + t^3 \langle t \rangle^2 \lambda^3 R^4 + t^3 \frac{\lambda R^2 n^{\frac{1}{2}}}{p_F^m} \right] e^{C\lambda R t}. \quad (9.5)
\end{aligned}$$

Under the assumptions  $1 \lesssim n \lesssim R$  we find the following upper bound, for some constant  $C > 0$ . Note that we absorb polynomials on the variable  $\lambda R \langle t \rangle$  into the exponential factor  $\exp(C\lambda R \langle t \rangle)$ , after updating the constant  $C$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|T_{FB,FB}(t) + t|\Lambda|B_t[f_0]\|_{\ell_m^{1*}} \\
& \leq C|\Lambda| \left[ \lambda t^2 \langle t \rangle R^{\frac{5}{2}} \left( 1 + \lambda R \langle t \rangle + R^{-\frac{1}{2}} (\lambda R \langle t \rangle)^2 \right) + \frac{t^2 R n^2}{p_F^m} (1 + \lambda R t) \right] e^{C\lambda R \langle t \rangle} \\
& \leq C|\Lambda| \left( \lambda t^2 \langle t \rangle R^{\frac{5}{2}} + \frac{t^2 R n^2}{p_F^m} \right) e^{C\lambda R \langle t \rangle}. \quad (9.6)
\end{aligned}$$

**SUBLEADING ORDER TERMS.** In the expansion given by (3.20) we have already analyzed the leading order terms given by  $T_{F,F}(t)$  and  $T_{FB,FB}(t)$ . The remaining seven terms are regarded as subleading order terms. These can be estimated as follows.

Using Proposition 8.1 and Eqs. (9.1) and (9.2), we find that there is a constant  $C > 0$  such that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|T_{F,FB}(t)\|_{\ell_m^{1*}} \leq C t^2 |\Lambda| \sup_{0 \leq \tau \leq t} \left( R^{\frac{1}{2}} \nu_\tau(\mathcal{N}^2)^{1/2} \nu_\tau(\mathcal{N}_S)^{1/2} + p_F^{-m} \nu_\tau(\mathcal{N}^2) \right) \\
& \leq C t^2 |\Lambda| \left( R^{\frac{1}{2}} n^2 (\lambda R \langle t \rangle) + p_F^{-m} n^2 \right) e^{C\lambda R t} \\
& \leq C |\Lambda| \left( \lambda t^2 \langle t \rangle R^{\frac{3}{2}} n^2 + \frac{n^2 t^2}{p_F^m} \right) e^{C\lambda R t}. \quad (9.7)
\end{aligned}$$

Using Proposition 8.2 and Eqs. (9.1) and (9.2), we find that there is a constant  $C > 0$  such that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|T_{F,B}(t)\|_{\ell_m^{1*}} &\leq Ct^2 |\Lambda| \sup_{0 \leq \tau \leq t} \left( R^{\frac{3}{2}} \nu_\tau(\mathcal{N}_S)^{\frac{1}{2}} + R \nu_\tau(\mathcal{N}_S) + R p_F^{-m} \nu(\mathcal{N}^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) . \\
 &\leq Ct^2 |\Lambda| \left( R^{\frac{3}{2}} \lambda R \langle t \rangle + R (\lambda R \langle t \rangle)^2 + \frac{Rn}{p_F^m} \right) e^{C\lambda R t} \\
 &\leq C |\Lambda| \left( \lambda t^2 \langle t \rangle R^{\frac{5}{2}} (1 + \lambda R^{\frac{1}{2}} \langle t \rangle) + \frac{Rnt^2}{p_F^m} \right) e^{C\lambda R t} \\
 &\leq C |\Lambda| \left( \lambda t^2 \langle t \rangle R^{\frac{5}{2}} + \frac{Rnt^2}{p_F^m} \right) e^{C\lambda R \langle t \rangle} . \tag{9.8}
 \end{aligned}$$

Using Proposition 8.3 and Eqs. (9.1) and (9.2), we find that there is a constant  $C > 0$  such that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|T_{FB,F}(t)\|_{\ell_m^{1*}} &\leq Ct^2 |\Lambda| \sup_{0 \leq \tau \leq t} \left( R^{\frac{1}{2}} \nu_\tau(\mathcal{N}_S)^{\frac{1}{2}} + p_F^{-m} \nu_\tau(\mathcal{N}^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) \nu_\tau(\mathcal{N}^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
 &\leq Ct^2 |\Lambda| \left( R^{1/2} (\lambda R \langle t \rangle) n + \frac{n^2}{p_F^m} \right) e^{C\lambda R t} \\
 &\leq C |\Lambda| \left( \lambda t^2 \langle t \rangle R^{\frac{3}{2}} n + \frac{n^2 t^2}{p_F^m} \right) e^{C\lambda R t} . \tag{9.9}
 \end{aligned}$$

Using Proposition 8.4 and Eqs. (9.1) and (9.2), we find that there is a constant  $C > 0$  such that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|T_{FB,B}(t)\|_{\ell_m^{1*}} &\leq Ct^2 |\Lambda| \sup_{0 \leq \tau \leq t} \left( R^{\frac{3}{2}} \nu_\tau(\mathcal{N}_S)^{\frac{1}{2}} + R^2 p_F^{-m} \nu_\tau(\mathcal{N}^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) \\
 &\leq Ct^2 |\Lambda| \left( R^{\frac{3}{2}} (\lambda R \langle t \rangle) + \frac{R^2 n}{p_F^m} \right) e^{C\lambda R t} \\
 &\leq C |\Lambda| \left( \lambda t^2 \langle t \rangle R^{\frac{5}{2}} + \frac{R^2 n t^2}{p_F^m} \right) e^{C\lambda R t} . \tag{9.10}
 \end{aligned}$$

Using Proposition and Eqs. (9.1) and (9.2), we find that there is a constant  $C > 0$  such that

$$\|T_B(t)\|_{\ell_m^{1*}} \leq C |\Lambda| t^2 R^3 p_F^{-m} \sup_{0 \leq \tau \leq t} \left( 1 + R^{-2} \nu_\tau(\mathcal{N}^4)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) \leq C |\Lambda| t^2 \frac{R^3}{p_F^m} e^{C\lambda R t} , \tag{9.11}$$

where we have additionally used the fact that  $1 \lesssim n \lesssim R$ .

**CONCLUSION.** It suffices now to gather all the estimates for the leading and subleading order terms, and plug them back in the expansion given in Eq. (9.3) for the momentum distribution of the system. This finishes the proof of our main theorem.  $\square$

## 10. THE FIXED VOLUME CASE

In this section, we prove the inequalities that were stated in Section 2 concerning the fixed volume case  $L = 2\pi$ . We recall that the dual lattice now becomes  $\Lambda^* = \mathbb{Z}^d$ , and we shall keep using the notation  $\int_{\mathbb{Z}^d} dp = (2\pi)^{-d} \sum_{p \in \mathbb{Z}^d}$ .

**10.1. The delta function.** First, we recall that  $\delta_t(x)$  is the mollified Delta function, defined in (2.17). Here, we prove the following approximation lemma.

**Lemma 10.1.** *There is  $C > 0$  such that for all  $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $y \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $t > 0$  and  $\lambda|y| \leq 1/2$*

$$|\delta_t(x + \lambda y) - (2/\pi)t\delta_{x,0}| \leq C \frac{(1 - \delta_{x,0})}{x^2} \frac{1}{t} + C\delta_{x,0}\lambda^2 t^3 |y|^2. \quad (10.1)$$

*Proof.* We consider the decomposition

$$\delta_t(x + \lambda y) = \delta_{x,0}\delta_t(\lambda y) + (1 - \delta_{x,0})\delta_t(x + \lambda y). \quad (10.2)$$

The first term in (10.2) is estimated as follows. Using  $\delta_t(0) = 2t/\pi$ , we find that

$$|\delta_t(\lambda y) - 2t/\pi| = t|\delta_1(t\lambda y) - \delta_1(0)| \leq Ct(t\lambda|y|)^2. \quad (10.3)$$

In the last line,  $C > 0$  is a constant that verifies  $|\delta_1(z) - \delta_1(0)| \leq C|z|^2$  for all  $z \in \mathbb{R}$ —the constant exists because  $\delta_1'(0) = 0$ , and  $\delta_1(z)$  is globally bounded. The second term in (10.2) is estimated as follows. For  $|x| \geq 1$  and  $\lambda|y| \leq 1/2$  we have

$$\delta_t(x + \lambda y) \leq \frac{2/\pi}{t(x + \lambda y)^2} \leq \frac{2/\pi}{tx^2(1 - |x|^{-1}\lambda|y|)^2} \leq \frac{C}{tx^2}. \quad (10.4)$$

The proof is finished once we put all the inequalities together.  $\square$

**10.2. Operator estimates.** Let us now analyze the time dependence of the operators  $Q_t$  and  $B_t$ .

Let us recall that  $Q_t$  was defined in Def. 2, and the time independent operator  $\mathcal{Q}$  is defined in the same way, but with the discrete Delta function  $(2/\pi)\delta_{\Delta e,0}$  replacing the energy mollifier  $\delta_t(\Delta E)$ . Here,  $\Delta E$  corresponds to the dispersion relation (2.16), whereas  $\Delta e$  corresponds to (signed) free dispersion  $e(p) = (\chi^\perp(p) - \chi(p))p^2/2$ . We shall prove that, under our assumptions for  $\hat{V}$ , the following result is true.

**Lemma 10.2** (Analysis of  $Q_t$ ). *Assuming that  $0 < \lambda\|\hat{V}\|_{\ell^1} \leq 1/2$ , there is  $C = C(\|\hat{V}\|_{\ell^1}) > 0$  such that for all  $f \in \ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^d)$  there holds*

$$\|Q_t[f] - t\mathcal{Q}[f]\|_{\ell^\infty} \leq Ct(1/t^2 + (\lambda t)^2)\|\tilde{f}\|_{\ell^\infty}^2\|f\|_{\ell^1}\|f\|_{\ell^\infty}, \quad \forall t > 0 \quad (10.5)$$

where we have denoted  $\ell^\infty = \ell^\infty(\mathbb{Z}^3)$  and  $\ell^1 = \ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^3)$ .

*Proof.* Starting from the definition of  $Q_t[f]$ , one finds after evaluating the delta functions  $\delta(p - p_1) + \delta(p - p_2) - \delta(p - p_3) - \delta(p - p_4)$  that

$$Q_t[f] - t\mathcal{Q}[f] = R_t^+[f] - R_t^-[f] \quad (10.6)$$

where on the right hand side we have two remainder terms, corresponding to a gain, and a loss term. Namely, for  $p \in \Lambda^*$  we have

$$R_t^+[f](p) = 4\pi \int_{\mathbb{Z}^{3d}} \sigma(\vec{p}) \left( \delta_t(\Delta E) - 2t/\pi\delta_{\Delta e,0} \right) f(p_3)f(p_4)\tilde{f}(p_2)\tilde{f}(p) dp_2 dp_3 dp_4, \quad (10.7)$$

$$R_t^-[f](p) = 4\pi \int_{\mathbb{Z}^{3d}} \sigma(\vec{p}) \left( \delta_t(\Delta E) - 2t/\pi\delta_{\Delta e,0} \right) f(p)f(p_2)\tilde{f}(p_3)\tilde{f}(p_4) dp_2 dp_3 dp_4. \quad (10.8)$$



Here, we have denoted  $\vec{p} = (p, p_2, p_3, p_4)$ ,  $\Delta E = E(p) + E(p_2) - E(p_3) - E(p_4)$  and  $\Delta e \equiv \frac{1}{2}(p^2 + p_2^2 - p_3^2 - p_4^2)$ . Lemma 10.1 with  $x = \Delta e$  and  $y = \mathcal{O}(\|\hat{V}\|_{\ell^1})$  now implies that there is  $C > 0$  such that

$$|R_t^+[f](p)| \leq C(1/t + \lambda^2 t^3 \|\hat{V}\|_{\ell^1}^2) \|\tilde{f}\|_{\ell^\infty}^2 \int_{\mathbb{Z}^{3d}} \sigma(\vec{p}) |f(p_3)| |f(p_4)| dp_2 dp_3 dp_4, \quad (10.9)$$

$$|R_t^-[f](p)| \leq C(1/t + \lambda^2 t^3 \|\hat{V}\|_{\ell^1}^2) \|\tilde{f}\|_{\ell^\infty}^2 \int_{\mathbb{Z}^{3d}} \sigma(\vec{p}) |f(p)| |f(p_2)| dp_2 dp_3 dp_4. \quad (10.10)$$

Next, we consider the following upper bound for the coefficients

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(\vec{p}) &\leq \delta(p + p_2 - p_3 - p_4) |\hat{V}(p - p_3) - \hat{V}(p - p_4)|^2 + 2\delta(p - p_2 - p_3 + p_4) |\hat{V}(p - p_3)|^2 \\ &= \delta(p + p_2 - p_3 - p_4) \left( \hat{V}(p - p_3)^2 + \hat{V}(p - p_4)^2 - 2\hat{V}(p - p_3)\hat{V}(p - p_4) \right) \\ &\quad + 2\delta(p - p_2 - p_3 + p_4) |\hat{V}(p - p_3)|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (10.11)$$

We insert the above inequality in the right hand side of (10.9), and use some elementary manipulations to obtain the crude upper bound

$$\int_{\mathbb{Z}^{3d}} \sigma(\vec{p}) |f(p_3)| |f(p_4)| dp_2 dp_3 dp_4 \leq C \|\hat{V}\|_{\ell^1} \|\hat{V}\|_{\ell^\infty} \|f\|_{\ell^\infty} \|f\|_{\ell^1}, \quad (10.12)$$

and the same bound holds for the right hand side of Eq. (10.10). This finishes the proof after we collect all the estimates, use the elementary bound  $\|\hat{V}\|_{\ell^\infty} \leq (2\pi)^d \|\hat{V}\|_{\ell^1}$  and collect the  $\hat{V}$ -dependent factors into a constant  $C > 0$ .  $\square$

Next, we analyze the operator  $B_t$ , defined in Def. 3, and its relation to the time independent operator  $\mathcal{B}$ , defined in the same way but with  $\delta_t(E_1 - E_2 - E_3 - E_4)$  being replaced by  $2/\pi \delta_{e_1 - e_2 - e_3 - e_4, 0}$ . While for the operator  $Q_t$  an upper bound can be given in terms of the number of holes  $n = (2\pi)^3 \int_{\mathbb{Z}^3} f(p) dp$ , the operator  $B_t$  depends on the total number of fermions  $N$ . Physically, this is due to the fact that a hole can interact with any of the  $N^{2/3}$  virtual particle-hole pairs around the Fermi surface.

**Lemma 10.3** (Analysis of  $B_t$ ). *Assuming that  $0 < \lambda \|\hat{V}\|_{\ell^1} \leq 1/2$ , there is  $C = C(\|\hat{V}\|_{\ell^1}) > 0$  such that for all  $f \in \ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^d)$  there holds*

$$\|B_t[f] - t\mathcal{B}[f]\|_{\ell^\infty} \leq Ct(1/t^2 + (\lambda t)^2) N^{\frac{d-1}{d}} \|\tilde{f}\|_{\ell^\infty} \|f\|_{\ell^\infty}, \quad \forall t > 0 \quad (10.13)$$

where we have denoted  $\ell^\infty = \ell^\infty(\mathbb{Z}^3)$  and  $\ell^1 = \ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^3)$ .

*Proof.* Recall that  $B = B^{(H)} + B^{(P)}$  is defined in Def. 3 in terms of the respective hole and particle interaction terms. Let us look only at the  $B^{(H)}$  term, the second one being analogous. We find in terms of  $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}^{(H)} + \mathcal{B}^{(P)}$  that for  $f \in \ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^d)$

$$B_t^{(H)}[f] - t\mathcal{B}^{(H)}[f] = L_t[f] \quad (10.14)$$

where we define the following reminder term

$$L_t[f](h) = 2\pi \int_{\mathbb{Z}^d} |\hat{V}(k)|^2 \left( \rho_t^H(h-k, k) f(h-k) \tilde{f}(h) - \rho_t^H(h, k) f(h) \tilde{f}(h+k) \right) dk.$$

Here, the new remainder coefficient  $\rho_t(h, k)$  are given by

$$\rho_t(h, k) \equiv \chi(h)\chi(h+k) \int_{\mathbb{Z}^d} \chi(r)\chi^\perp(r+k) \left( \delta_t(\widetilde{\Delta E}) - \frac{2t}{\pi} \delta_{\widetilde{\Delta e}, 0} \right) dr \quad (10.15)$$

where we denote  $\widetilde{\Delta E} = E_h - E_{h+k} - E_r - E_{r+k}$  and  $\widetilde{\Delta e} = e_h - e_{h+k} - e_r - e_{r+k}$ . Thus, it follows from Lemma 10.1 with  $x = \widetilde{\Delta e}$  and  $|y| \leq \|\hat{V}\|_{\ell^1}$  that there is  $C > 0$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|B_t[f] - t\mathcal{B}[f]\|_{\ell^\infty} &\leq C(1/t + t^3\lambda^2\|\hat{V}\|_{\ell^1}^2)\|\tilde{f}\|_{\ell^\infty}\|f\|_{\ell^\infty} \int_{\mathbb{Z}^{2d}} |\hat{V}(k)|^2 \chi(r)\chi^\perp(r+k) dr dk \\ &\leq C(1/t + t^3\lambda^2\|\hat{V}\|_{\ell^1}^2)\|\tilde{f}\|_{\ell^\infty}\|f\|_{\ell^\infty}\|\hat{V}\|_{\ell^1}^2 N^{2/3}. \end{aligned} \quad (10.16)$$

In the last line, we have used the geometric estimate  $\int_{\mathbb{Z}^{2d}} \chi(r)\chi^\perp(r+k) dr \lesssim N^{\frac{d-1}{d}}$ , valid for  $k \in \text{supp } \hat{V}$ . This finishes the proof after we absorb  $\hat{V}$  into the constant  $C > 0$ .  $\square$

**10.3. Example of Initial Data.** In the remainder of this section, we work in three spatial dimensions  $d = 3$ . The inequality contained in Corollary 1 becomes a meaningful approximation for  $F_T$  provided  $F_0$  is such that

$$\|\mathcal{Q}[F_0]\|_{\ell_m^{1*}} + \|\mathcal{B}[F_0]\|_{\ell_m^{1*}} \gg \|\text{Rem}(N, n, T)\|_{\ell_m^{1*}}. \quad (10.17)$$

Clearly, we will need a lower bound on  $\hat{V}$ . For simplicity, we assume that there exists  $r \geq 1$  such that

$$|\hat{V}(k)| > 0, \quad \forall |k| \leq r \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{V}(k) = 0, \quad \forall |k| > r. \quad (10.18)$$

*Construction of initial data.* Let us give an example of initial data  $F_0$  for which the lower bound (10.17) holds true. We recall here that we denote by  $\mathcal{S}$  the Fermi surface defined in (2.12), in terms of the parameter  $r > 0$ . We assume  $r \ll p_F$ .

We let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  be an odd integer satisfying  $1 \ll n \leq p_F - 3r \simeq N^{1/3}$ , and consider the following collection of points inside of the Fermi ball

$$\mathcal{I} = \{h_1, \dots, h_{(n-1)/2}\}, \quad \mathcal{I}' = \{h'_1, \dots, h'_{(n-1)/2}\}, \quad \text{and} \quad H = \mathcal{I} \cup \mathcal{I}' \quad (10.19)$$

where, for all  $1 \leq i \leq (n-1)/2$  we let

$$h_i \equiv (i, 0, 0) \quad \text{and} \quad h'_i \equiv (0, i, 0). \quad (10.20)$$

Note that  $H \cap \mathcal{S} = \emptyset$ . Further, we consider the singleton

$$H_* \equiv \{h_*\} \quad \text{where} \quad h_* = (0, 0, |h_*|) \in \mathcal{B} \setminus \mathcal{S}. \quad (10.21)$$

Finally, let  $P \equiv \{p_k\}_{k=1}^n$  be any set of points in  $\mathcal{B}^c \setminus \mathcal{S}$ . We consider initial data with delta-like support in the union of the sets  $H$ ,  $H_*$  and  $P$ . Namely let  $U \equiv H \cup H_* \cup P$  and define

$$F_0(p) = \sum_{q \in U} \delta(p - q). \quad (10.22)$$

One may easily construct an initial state  $\nu : B(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  with momentum distribution  $F_0$  by considering the pure state associated to the Slater determinant

$$\nu(\mathcal{O}) \equiv \frac{\langle \Psi_U, \mathcal{O}\Psi_U \rangle_{\mathcal{F}}}{\|\Psi_U\|_{\mathcal{F}}^2} \quad \text{with} \quad \Psi_U \equiv \prod_{p \in U} a_p^* \Omega. \quad (10.23)$$

As we have already argued in Section 2, the state  $\nu$  verifies Condition 1.

*Lower bound for  $\mathcal{Q}[F_0]$ .* In what follows, we only study the bulk of the Fermi ball  $p \in \mathcal{B} \setminus \mathcal{S}$ . Indeed, our first observation is that  $F_0(p)$  is either 1 or 0, depending if  $p \in H \cup H_*$  or not. In particular, the associated “loss term”  $\mathcal{Q}^- [F_0](p)$  vanishes for  $p \notin H \cup H_*$ . Hence, one finds that for all  $p \in \mathcal{B} \setminus (H \cup H_*)$ :

$$\mathcal{Q}[F_0](p) = 8 \int_{(\mathbb{Z}^3)^3} (\sigma_{HH}(\vec{p}) + \sigma_{HP}(\vec{p})) \delta_{\Delta e, 0} F_0(p_3) F_0(p_4) \tilde{F}_0(p_2) dp_2 dp_3 dp_4 . \quad (10.24)$$

Here, we have evaluated  $p_1 = p$  together with  $\tilde{F}(p) = 1$ , and we denote  $\vec{p} = (p, p_1, p_2, p_3)$  as well as  $\Delta e = e(p) + e(p_2) - e(p_3) - e(p_4)$ . Let us now look at  $p = 0$  and keep the  $\sigma_{HH}(\vec{p})$  contribution only. Upon using conservation of momentum  $p_2 = p_3 + p_4$  and realizing that  $\Delta e = 2p_3 \cdot p_4$  we find

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Q}[F_0](0) \geq 8 \int_{(\mathbb{Z}^3)^2} \chi(p_3 + p_4, p_3, p_4) \delta_{p_3 \cdot p_4, 0} |\hat{V}(p_3) - \hat{V}(p_4)|^2 \\ \times F_0(p_3) F_0(p_4) \tilde{F}_0(p_3 + p_4) dp_3 dp_4 . \end{aligned} \quad (10.25)$$

Next, we use the special structure of the initial data  $F_0$ , constructed with the set  $H = \mathcal{I} \cup \mathcal{I}'$ . Namely, we restrict the above integration only over  $p_3 \in \mathcal{I}$  and  $p_4 \in \mathcal{I}'$ . Clearly,  $\chi(p_3 + p_4, p_3, p_4) = 1$  together with  $p_3 \cdot p_4 = 0$ —hence,  $\delta_{p_3 \cdot p_4, 0} = 1$ . Further, we see that  $F_0(p_3) = F_0(p_4) = 1$  and  $\tilde{F}_0(p_3 + p_4) = 1$  since  $p_3 + p_4 \notin H \cup H_*$ . We thus find, writing in terms of the sum  $\int_{\mathbb{Z}^3} dp = (2\pi)^{-3} \sum_{p \in \mathbb{Z}^3}$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Q}[F_0](0) &\geq 8/(2\pi)^6 \sum_{p_3 \in \mathcal{I}, p_4 \in \mathcal{I}'} |\hat{V}(p_3) - \hat{V}(p_4)|^2 \\ &\geq 8/(2\pi)^6 \sum_{p_4 \in \mathcal{I}': |p_4| > r} \sum_{p_3 \in \mathcal{I}} |\hat{V}(p_3)|^2 \geq 8/(2\pi)^6 (n/2 - r) \kappa_V \simeq n . \end{aligned}$$

In the last line we have introduced  $\kappa_V > 0$  as a constant satisfying  $\kappa_V \leq \sum_{p \in H} |\hat{V}(p)|^2$ . The above inequality then concludes that  $\|\mathcal{Q}[F_0]\|_{\ell_m^1} \geq Cn$  for some constant  $C > 0$ . Furthermore, the upper bound  $\|\mathcal{Q}[F_0]\|_{\ell^\infty} \leq C \|\tilde{F}_0\|_{\ell^\infty}^2 \|F_0\|_{\ell^1} \|F_0\|_{\ell^\infty}$  can be established in an analogous way as we did for Lemma 10.2. Since  $\|F_0\|_{\ell^1} = n$ , this concludes that  $\|\mathcal{Q}[F_0]\|_{\ell_m^1} \simeq n$ .

*Lower bounds for  $\mathcal{B}[F_0]$ .* Let us now analyze the  $\mathcal{B}$  operator in the bulk of the Fermi ball, by looking at its value at the point  $h_* = (0, 0, |h_*|) \in \mathcal{B} \setminus \mathcal{S} \cap \text{supp} F_0$ . Indeed, we have  $F_0(h_*) = 1$  and  $\tilde{F}_0(h_*) = 0$ . In particular, the “gain term” vanishes. One obtains

$$\mathcal{B}[F_0](h_*) = -2\pi \int_{\mathbb{Z}^3} |\hat{V}(k)|^2 \alpha^H(h_*, k) \tilde{F}_0(h_* + k) dk . \quad (10.26)$$

The function  $\alpha^H(h, k)$  corresponds to the discrete version of the original, mollified  $\alpha_t^H(h, k)$ . Namely,

$$\alpha^H(h, k) = \frac{(2/\pi)}{(2\pi)^3} \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}^3} \chi(r) \chi^\perp(r + k) \delta_{h \cdot k, r \cdot k} . \quad (10.27)$$

The evaluation of the function  $\alpha^H(h, k)$  is subtle, for it involves counting lattice points inside of a two-dimensional annulus. Indeed, let us assume here that  $k = (1, 0, 0)|k|$  and  $h = (1, 0, 0)|h|$ . Then, a straightforward calculation shows that

$$\alpha^H(h, k) = \frac{(2/\pi)}{(2\pi)^3} |\{x \in \mathbb{Z}^2 : p_F^2 - (|h| + |k|)^2 < |x|^2 \leq p_F^2 - |h|^2\}|. \quad (10.28)$$

Note that the area of the above annulus is  $\pi(2|h||k| + |k|^2)$ . Finding the asymptotics of the above counting function is a problem in Number Theory that has received attention in the last few decades; see for instance [14, 15, 19, 25, 28] and the references therein. In particular the asymptotics depend on the relative size between  $|h|$  and  $p_F$ . In contrast, in the original Gauss circle problem, one compares  $N(r) \equiv |\{x \in \mathbb{Z}^2 : |x|^2 \leq r^2\}|$  with the area of the circle  $\pi r^2$ , as  $r \rightarrow \infty$ . In this case, it is known that the remainder  $E(r) \equiv N(r) - 4\pi r^2$  satisfies the following bound for all  $\varepsilon > 0$

$$|E(r)| \leq Cr^{\delta_0 + \varepsilon}, \quad \forall r \gg 1 \quad (10.29)$$

where  $\delta_0 \equiv 1034/1648 = 0.6274\dots < 2/3$  is, to the authors best knowledge, the current best power for the bound (10.29), see [16, Theorem 2]. We can use the above estimate for  $E(r)$  to find the asymptotics for  $\alpha^H(h, k)$ , provided we assume in addition that  $|h| \geq Cp_F^\delta$  for some  $\delta \in (\delta_0, 1)$ . Indeed, in this case, we find that as  $p_F \rightarrow \infty$

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha^H(h, k) &= \frac{1}{4\pi^4} \left( N\left(\sqrt{p_F^2 - |h|^2}\right) - N\left(\sqrt{p_F^2 - (|h| + |k|)^2}\right) \right), \\ &= \frac{1}{4\pi^4} \left( \pi(2|h||k| + |k|^2) + E\left(\sqrt{p_F^2 - |h|^2}\right) - E\left(\sqrt{p_F^2 - (|h| + |k|)^2}\right) \right), \\ &= \frac{|h||k|}{2\pi^3} \left( 1 + \mathcal{O}(p_F^{-(\delta - \delta_0 - \varepsilon)}) + \mathcal{O}(|k|p_F^{-\delta}) \right) \simeq \frac{|h||k|}{2\pi^3}. \end{aligned} \quad (10.30)$$

We are now ready to give a lower bound for the  $\mathcal{B}$  operator. Indeed, letting  $\delta \in (\delta_0, 1)$ , we find that for  $h_* \in \mathcal{B}$  with  $|h_*| \geq Cp_F^\delta$ , the following lower bound holds true for all  $k = (0, 0, |k|) \in \mathbb{Z}^3$

$$|\mathcal{B}[F_0](h_*)| \geq C|h_*||k||\hat{V}(k)|^2 \quad (10.31)$$

where we have combined (10.26), (10.30), and have used the fact that  $\tilde{F}_0(h_* + k) = 1$ . This concludes the lower bound.

**Acknowledgements.** E.C is very grateful to Michael Hott for several stimulating discussions. The work of E.C was supported by the Provost's Graduate Excellence Fellowship at The University of Texas at Austin. T.C. gratefully acknowledges support by the NSF through grants DMS-1151414 (CAREER), DMS-1716198, DMS-2009800, and the RTG Grant DMS-1840314 *Analysis of PDE*.

## REFERENCES

- [1] V. Bach, S. Breteaux, S. Petrat, P. Pickl, T. Tzaneteas, *Kinetic energy estimates for the accuracy of the time-dependent Hartree–Fock approximation with Coulomb interaction*, J. Math. Pures Appl. **105**(1), pp 1–30 (2016)
- [2] C. Bardos, F. Golse, A. D. Gottlieb, N. J. Mauser, *Mean field dynamics of fermions and the time-dependent Hartree–Fock equation*, J. Math. Pures Appl. **82**(1), pp 665–683 (2003)

- [3] D. Benedetto, M. Pulvirenti, F. Castella, R. Esposito. *On the weak-coupling limit for bosons and fermions*, Mathematical Models and Methods in Applied Sciences VOL. 15, NO. 12
- [4] D. Benedetto, F. Castella, R. Esposito, M. Pulvirenti. *Some considerations on the derivation of the nonlinear quantum Boltzmann equation II: The low density regime*, Journal of Statistical Physics, Vol. 124, Nos. 2-4. (2016).
- [5] D. Benedetto, F. Castella, R. Esposito, M. Pulvirenti. *A short review on the derivation of the nonlinear quantum Boltzmann equations*. Commun. Math. Sci. Oc 2007 International Press Supplemental Issue, pp. 55–71.
- [6] D. Benedetto, F. Castella, R. Esposito, M. Pulvirenti. *From the  $N$ -body Schrödinger equation to the quantum Boltzmann equation: a term-by-term convergence result in the weak coupling regime*, Commun. Math. Phys. **277**(1), pp 1–44 (2008).
- [7] N. Benedikter, V. Jakšić, M. Porta, C. Saffirio, B. Schlein. *Mean-Field Evolution of Fermionic Mixed States*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., **69**, pp 2250-2303 (2016).
- [8] N. Benedikter, P. T. Nam, M. Porta, B. Schlein, R. Seiringer. *Optimal upper bound for the correlation energy of a Fermi gas in the mean-field regime*, Commun. Math. Phys. **374**, pp 2097–2150 (2020).
- [9] N. Benedikter, P. T. Nam, M. Porta, B. Schlein, R. Seiringer. *Correlation energy of a weakly interacting Fermi gas*, Invent. math. **225**, pp 885–979 (2021)
- [10] N. Benedikter, P. T. Nam, M. Porta, B. Schlein, R. Seiringer. *Bosonization of Fermionic many-body dynamics*, Ann. Henri Poincaré **23**, pp 1725–1764 (2022).
- [11] N. Benedikter, M. Porta, C. Saffirio, B. Schlein. *From the Hartree dynamics to the Vlasov equation*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **221**, 273–334 (2016) .
- [12] N. Benedikter, M. Porta, B. Schlein. *Mean-field evolution of Fermionic systems*, Commun. Math. Phys. **331**, pp 1087–1131 (2014).
- [13] N. Benedikter, M. Porta, B. Schlein, R. Seiringer. *Correlation Energy of a Weakly Interacting Fermi Gas with Large Interaction Potential*, arXiv:2106.13185. (2023)
- [14] P. Bleher, J. Lebowitz. *Energy-level statistics of model quantum systems: universality and scaling in a lattice-point problem*. J. Statist. Phys. **74**, pp 167–217. (1994).
- [15] P. Bleher, J. Lebowitz. *Variance of number of lattice points in random narrow elliptic strip*. Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Probab. Statist. **31**, pp 27-58 (1995).
- [16] J. Bourgain, N. Watt. *Mean square of zeta function, circle problem and divisor problem revisited*. arXiv:1709.04340 (2017).
- [17] X. Chen, Y. Guo. *On the weak coupling limit of quantum many-body dynamics and the quantum Boltzmann equation*, Kinet. Relat. Models **8**, pp 443–465 (2015).
- [18] M. R. Christiansen, C. Hainzl, P. T. Nam. *The Random Phase Approximation for interacting Fermi gases in the mean-field regime*, arXiv:2106.11161.
- [19] L. Colzani, B. Gariboldi, G. Gigante. *Variance of Lattice Point Counting in Thin Annuli*. J Geom Anal **31**, pp 8903–8924 (2021).
- [20] A. Elgart, L. Erdős, B. Schlein, H.-T. Yau. *Nonlinear Hartree equation as the mean field limit of weakly coupled fermions*, J. Math. Pures Appl. **83**, pp 1241–1273 (2004).
- [21] L. Erdős, M. Salmhofer, H.-T. Yau. *On the quantum Boltzmann equation*, Journal of Statistical Physics **116**, pp 367–380 (2004).
- [22] L. Fresta, M. Porta, B. Schlein. *Effective dynamics of extended Fermi gases in the high-density regime*, Commun. Math. Phys. (2023). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00220-023-04677-x>.
- [23] J. Fröhlich, A. Knowles. *A microscopic derivation of the time-dependent Hartree-Fock equation with Coulomb two-body interaction*, J. Stat. Phys. **145**, pp 23–50 (2011).
- [24] C. Hainzl, M. Porta, F. Rexze. *On the correlation energy of interacting Fermionic systems in the mean-field regime*, Commun. Math. Phys. **374**, pp 485–524 (2020).
- [25] C.P. Hughes, Z. Rudnik. *On the distribution of lattice points in thin annuli*. Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN **13**, 637–657 (2004)
- [26] L. Lafleche, C. Saffirio. *Strong semiclassical limit from Hartree and Hartree-Fock to Vlasov-Poisson equation*, arXiv:2003.02926

- [27] J. Lukkarinen, H. Spohn. *Not to normal order—notes on the kinetic limit for weakly interacting quantum fluids*, J. Stat. Phys. **134**, pp 1133–1172 (2009).
- [28] P. Major. *Poisson law for the number of lattice points in a random strip with finite area*. Prob. Th. Rel. Fields **92** pp 423–464 (1992).
- [29] H. Narnhofer, G. L. Sewell. *Vlasov hydrodynamics of a quantum mechanical model*, Commun. Math. Phys. **79**, pp 9-24(1981).
- [30] S. Petrat, P. Pickl. *A new method and a new scaling for deriving Fermionic mean-field dynamics*, Math Phys Anal Geom **19**, 3 (2016). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11040-016-9204-2>
- [31] M. Porta, S. Rademacher, C. Saffirio, B. Schlein. *Mean field evolution of fermions with Coulomb interaction*, J Stat Phys **166**, pp 1345–1364 (2017)
- [32] M. Pulvirenti. *The weak-coupling limit of large classical and quantum systems*, in: International Congress of Mathematicians, Vol. III, 2006, pp. 229–256.

(Esteban Cárdenas) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS AT AUSTIN, 2515 SPEEDWAY, AUSTIN TX, 78712, USA  
*Email address:* eacardenas@utexas.edu

(Thomas Chen) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS AT AUSTIN, 2515 SPEEDWAY, AUSTIN TX, 78712, USA  
*Email address:* tc@math.utexas.edu