

---

# REDUCTIVE SHAFAREVICH CONJECTURE

by

Ya Deng & Katsutoshi Yamanoi

---

*With an appendix joint with Ludmil Katzarkov*

**Abstract.** — In this paper, we prove the holomorphic convexity of the covering of a complex projective *normal* variety  $X$ , which corresponds to the intersection of kernels of reductive representations  $\varrho : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_N(\mathbb{C})$ , therefore answering a question by Eyssidieux, Katzarkov, Pantev, and Ramachandran in 2012. It is worth noting that Eyssidieux had previously proven this result in 2004 when  $X$  is smooth. While our approach follows the general strategy employed in Eyssidieux’s proof, it introduces several improvements and simplifications. Notably, it avoids the necessity of using the reduction mod  $p$  method in Eyssidieux’s original proof.

Additionally, we construct the Shafarevich morphism for complex reductive representations of fundamental groups of complex quasi-projective varieties unconditionally, and proving its algebraic nature at the function field level.

## Contents

0. Introduction .....	2
0.1. Shafarevich conjecture .....	2
0.2. Main theorems .....	3
0.3. Comparison with [Eys04] and Novelty .....	5
0.4. Further developments .....	5
Convention and notation .....	5
Acknowledgments .....	6
1. Technical preliminary .....	6
1.1. Admissible coordinates .....	6
1.2. Tame and pure imaginary harmonic bundles .....	6
1.3. Positive currents on normal complex spaces .....	7
1.4. Holomorphic forms on complex normal spaces .....	8
1.5. The criterion for Kähler classes .....	9
1.6. Some criterion for Stein space .....	9
1.7. Some facts on moduli spaces of rank 1 local systems .....	9
1.8. Absolutely constructible subsets (I) .....	10
1.9. Katzarkov-Eyssidieux reduction and canonical currents .....	11
1.10. The generalization of Katzarkov-Eyssidieux reduction to quasi-projective varieties .....	12
1.11. Simultaneous Sten factorization .....	13
2. Some non-abelian Hodge theories .....	13
2.1. Regular filtered Higgs bundles .....	14
2.2. Pullback of parabolic bundles .....	14
2.3. Functoriality of pullback of regular filtered Higgs bundle .....	15

---

**2000 Mathematics Subject Classification.** — 32Q30, 32E05, 14D07, 14F35.

**Key words and phrases.** — Reductive Shafarevich conjecture, Harmonic mapping to Bruhat-Tits buildings, period mappings and period domain, Higgs bundles, non-abelian Hodge theory, variation of Hodge structures, Holomorphic convexity.

2.4. $\mathbb{C}^*$ -action and $\mathbb{R}^*$ -action on character varieties . . . . .	17
2.5. Pullback of reductive representations commutes with $\mathbb{C}^*$ -action . . . . .	18
3. Construction of the Shafarevich morphism . . . . .	19
3.1. Factorizing through non-rigidity . . . . .	19
3.2. Infinite monodromy at infinity . . . . .	25
3.3. Some lemmas on finitely generated linear groups . . . . .	28
3.4. Construction of Shafarevich morphism (I) . . . . .	29
3.5. Construction of Shafarevich morphism (II) . . . . .	35
3.6. On the algebraicity of the Shafarevich morphism . . . . .	40
3.7. Construction of Shafarevich morphism (III) . . . . .	44
4. Proof of the reductive Shafarevich conjecture . . . . .	47
4.1. Reduction map of representation into algebraic tori . . . . .	47
4.2. Some criterion for representation into tori . . . . .	50
4.3. Eyssidieux-Simpson Lefschetz theorem and its application . . . . .	51
4.4. A factorization theorem . . . . .	54
4.5. Constructing Kähler classes via representations into non-archimedean fields . . . . .	55
4.6. Holomorphic convexity of Galois coverings . . . . .	58
4.7. Steiness of infinite Galois coverings . . . . .	60
Appendix A. Shafarevich conjecture for projective normal varieties . . . . .	60
A.1. Absolutely constructible subset (II) . . . . .	61
A.2. Reductive Shafarevich conjecture for projective normal varieties . . . . .	61
References . . . . .	63

## 0. Introduction

**0.1. Shafarevich conjecture.** — In his famous textbook “Basic Algebraic Geometry” [Sha77, p 407], Shafarevich raised the following tantalizing conjecture.

**Conjecture 0.1 (Shafarevich).** — *Let  $X$  be a complex projective variety. Then its universal covering is holomorphically convex.*

Recall that a complex normal space  $X$  is *holomorphically convex* if it satisfies the following condition: for each compact  $K \subset X$ , its *holomorphic hull*

$$\left\{ x \in X \mid |f(x)| \leq \sup_K |f|, \forall f \in \mathcal{O}(X) \right\},$$

is compact.  $X$  is *Stein* if it is holomorphically convex and holomorphically separable, i.e. for distinct  $x$  and  $y$  in  $X$ , there exists  $f \in \mathcal{O}(X)$  such that  $f(x) \neq f(y)$ . By the Cartan-Remmert theorem, a complex space  $X$  is holomorphically convex if and only if it admits a proper surjective holomorphic mapping onto some Stein space.

The study of Conjecture 0.1 for smooth projective surfaces has been a subject of extensive research since the mid-1980s. Gurjar-Shastri [GS85] and Napier [Nap90] initiated this investigation, while Kollár [Kol93] and Campana [Cam94] independently explored the conjecture in the 1990s, employing the tools of Hilbert schemes and Barlet cycle spaces. In 1994, Katzarkov discovered that non-abelian Hodge theories developed by Simpson [Sim92] and Gromov-Schoen [GS92] can be utilized to prove Conjecture 0.1. His initial work [Kat97] demonstrated Conjecture 0.1 for projective varieties with nilpotent fundamental groups. Shortly thereafter, he and Ramachandran [KR98] successfully established Conjecture 0.1 for smooth projective surfaces whose fundamental groups admit a faithful Zariski-dense representation in a reductive complex algebraic group. Building upon the ideas presented in [KR98] and [Mok92], Eyssidieux further developed non-abelian Hodge theoretic arguments in higher dimensions. In [Eys04] he proved that Conjecture 0.1 holds for any smooth projective variety whose fundamental group possesses a faithful representation that is Zariski dense in a reductive complex algebraic group. This result is commonly referred to as the “*Reductive Shafarevich conjecture*”. It is worth emphasizing that the work of Eyssidieux [Eys04]

is not only ingenious but also highly significant in subsequent research. It serves as a foundational basis for advancements in the linear Shafarevich conjecture [EKPR12] and the exploration of compact Kähler cases [CCE15]. More recently, there have been significant advancements in the quasi-projective setting by Green-Griffiths-Katzarkov [GGK22] and Aguilar-Campana [AC23], particularly when considering the case of nilpotent fundamental groups.

**0.2. Main theorems.** — The aim of this paper is to present a relatively comprehensible proof of Eyssidieux’s results on the reductive Shafarevich conjecture and its associated problems, as originally discussed in [Eys04]. Additionally, we aim to extend these results to the cases of quasi-projective and singular varieties, thus answering a question raised in [EKPR12, p. 1549]. Let us first give the definition of the Shafarevich morphism for linear representations of fundamental groups.

**Definition 0.2 (Shafarevich morphism).** — Let  $X$  be a quasi-projective normal variety, and let  $\varrho : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_N(\mathbb{C})$  be a linear representation. A dominant holomorphic map  $\mathrm{sh}_\varrho : X \rightarrow \mathrm{Sh}_\varrho(X)$  to a complex normal space  $\mathrm{Sh}_\varrho(X)$  whose general fibers are connected is called the *Shafarevich morphism* of  $\varrho$  if for any closed subvariety  $Z \subset X$ ,  $\mathrm{sh}_\varrho(Z)$  is a point if and only if  $\varrho(\mathrm{Im}[\pi_1(Z^{\mathrm{norm}}) \rightarrow \pi_1(X)])$  is finite. Here  $Z^{\mathrm{norm}}$  denotes the normalization of  $Z$ .

Our first main result is the *unconditional* construction of the *Shafarevich morphism* for reductive representations. Additionally, we establish the algebraicity of the Shafarevich morphism at the function field level.

**Theorem A (=Theorems 3.49 and 3.59 and Lemma 3.66).** — *Let  $X$  be a quasi-projective normal variety, and let  $\varrho : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_N(\mathbb{C})$  be a reductive representation. Then*

- (i) *there exists a dominant holomorphic map  $\mathrm{sh}_\varrho : X \rightarrow \mathrm{Sh}_\varrho(X)$  to a complex normal space  $\mathrm{Sh}_\varrho(X)$  whose general fibers are connected such that for any connected Zariski closed subset  $Z \subset X$ , the following properties are equivalent:*
  - (a)  $\mathrm{sh}_\varrho(Z)$  is a point;
  - (b)  $\varrho(\mathrm{Im}[\pi_1(Z) \rightarrow \pi_1(X)])$  is finite;
  - (c) for any irreducible component  $Z_o$  of  $Z$ ,  $\varrho(\mathrm{Im}[\pi_1(Z_o^{\mathrm{norm}}) \rightarrow \pi_1(X)])$  is finite.
- (ii) *There exists*
  - (a) *a proper bimeromorphic morphism  $\sigma : S \rightarrow \mathrm{Sh}_\varrho(X)$  from a smooth quasi-projective variety  $S$ ;*
  - (b) *a proper birational morphism  $\mu : Y \rightarrow X$  from a smooth quasi-projective variety  $Y$ ;*
  - (c) *an algebraic morphism  $f : Y \rightarrow S$  with general fibers connected;*

*such that we have the following commutative diagram:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y & \xrightarrow{\mu} & X \\ \downarrow f & & \downarrow \mathrm{sh}_\varrho \\ S & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & \mathrm{Sh}_\varrho(X) \end{array}$$

- (iii) *If  $X$  is smooth, then there exists a smooth partial compactification  $X'$  of  $X$  and a proper surjective holomorphic fibration  $\overline{\mathrm{sh}}_\varrho : X' \rightarrow \mathrm{Sh}_\varrho(X)$  such that its restriction on  $X$  is the Shafarevich morphism of  $\varrho$ :*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \hookrightarrow & X' \\ \mathrm{sh}_\varrho \downarrow & & \downarrow \overline{\mathrm{sh}}_\varrho \\ \mathrm{Sh}_\varrho(X) & \xlongequal{\quad} & \mathrm{Sh}_\varrho(X) \end{array}$$

The proof of Theorem A.(i) relies on a more technical result but with richer information, cf. Theorem 3.29.

**Remark 0.3.** — We conjecture that  $\mathrm{Sh}_\varrho(X)$  is quasi-projective and  $\mathrm{sh}_\varrho$  is an algebraic morphism (cf. Conjecture 3.55). Our conjecture is motivated by Griffiths’ conjecture, which predicted

the same result when  $\varrho$  underlies a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -VHS. Consequently, we can interpret the results presented in Theorem A.(ii) as supporting evidence for our conjecture at the function field level. It is worth noting that Sommesse, in [Som78], proved Theorem A.(ii) when  $\varrho$  underlies a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -VHS and  $\varrho(\pi_1(X))$  is torsion free, using  $L^2$ -methods. In contrast, we employ a different approach to establish Theorem A.(ii), which notably provides a simpler proof of Sommesse's theorem. Griffiths' conjecture was recently proved by Baker-Brunebarbe-Tsimerman [BBT23] using o-minimal theory.

Based on Theorem A, we construct the Shafarevich morphism for families of representations.

**Corollary B (=Corollary 3.72).** — *Let  $X$  be a quasi-projective normal variety. Let  $\Sigma$  be a (non-empty) set of reductive representations  $\varrho : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{N_\varrho}(\mathbb{C})$ . Then there is a dominant holomorphic map  $\mathrm{sh}_\Sigma : X \rightarrow \mathrm{Sh}_\Sigma(X)$  with general fibers connected onto a complex normal space such that for closed subvariety  $Z \subset X$ ,  $\mathrm{sh}_\Sigma(Z)$  is a point if and only if  $\varrho(\mathrm{Im}[\pi_1(Z^{\mathrm{norm}}) \rightarrow \pi_1(X)])$  is finite for every  $\varrho \in \Sigma$ .*

Our second main result focuses on the holomorphic convexity of topological Galois coverings associated with reductive representations of fundamental groups within *absolutely constructible subsets* of character varieties  $M_B(\pi_1(X), \mathrm{GL}_N)$ , where  $X$  represents a projective normal variety.

**Theorem C (=Theorems 4.31 and A.3).** — *Let  $X$  be a projective normal variety, and let  $\mathfrak{C}$  be an absolutely constructible subset of  $M_B(\pi_1(X), \mathrm{GL}_N)(\mathbb{C})$  as defined in Definitions 1.17 and A.1. We assume that  $\mathfrak{C}$  is defined on  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Set  $H := \bigcap_{\varrho} \ker \varrho$ , where  $\varrho : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_N(\mathbb{C})$  ranges over all reductive representations such that  $[\varrho] \in \mathfrak{C}(\mathbb{C})$ . Let  $\tilde{X}$  be the universal covering of  $X$ , and denote  $\tilde{X}_{\mathfrak{C}} := \tilde{X}/H$ . Then the complex space  $\tilde{X}_{\mathfrak{C}}$  is holomorphically convex. In particular, we have*

- (i) *the covering of  $X$  corresponding to the intersections of the kernels of all reductive representations of  $\pi_1(X)$  in  $\mathrm{GL}_N(\mathbb{C})$  is holomorphically convex;*
- (ii) *if  $\pi_1(X)$  is a subgroup of  $\mathrm{GL}_N(\mathbb{C})$  whose Zariski closure is reductive, then the universal covering  $\tilde{X}$  of  $X$  is holomorphically convex.*

For large representations, we have the following result.

**Theorem D (=Theorems 4.32 and A.5).** — *Let  $X$  and  $\mathfrak{C}$  be as described in Theorem C. If  $\mathfrak{C}$  is large, meaning that for any closed subvariety  $Z$  of  $X$ , there exists a reductive representation  $\varrho : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_N(\mathbb{C})$  such that  $[\varrho] \in \mathfrak{C}(\mathbb{C})$  and  $\varrho(\mathrm{Im}[\pi_1(Z^{\mathrm{norm}}) \rightarrow \pi_1(X)])$  is infinite, then all intermediate coverings of  $X$  between  $\tilde{X}$  and  $\tilde{X}_{\mathfrak{C}}$  are Stein spaces.*

In addition to employing new methods for the proof of Theorems C and D, it yields a stronger result compared to [Eys04] in two aspects:

- (a) The definition of absolutely constructible subsets (cf. Definitions 1.17 and A.1) in our proof is more general than the one provided in [Eys04]. This generality is crucial for proving Theorem C.(ii) for singular varieties since the original definition of absolutely constructible subsets, as introduced by Simpson [Sim93], pertains specifically to the moduli spaces of semistable Higgs bundles with zero characteristic numbers over *smooth* projective varieties [Sim94a, Sim94b]. However, such moduli spaces are not constructed for singular or quasi-projective varieties. Our broader definition allows for a wider range of applications, including the potential extension of Conjecture 0.1 to quasi-projective varieties. We are currently engaged in an ongoing project to explore this extension further.
- (b) Our result extends to the case where  $X$  is a singular variety, whereas in [Eys04], the result is limited to smooth varieties. This expansion of our result answers a question raised by Eyssidieux, Katzarkov, Pantev and Ramachandran in their celebrated work on linear Shafarevich conjecture for smooth projective varieties (cf. [EKPR12, p. 1549]).

We remark that Theorem D is not a direct consequence of Theorem C. It is important to note that Theorem D holds significant practical value in the context of singular varieties. Indeed, finding a large representation over a *smooth* projective variety can be quite difficult. In practice, the usual approach involves constructing large representations using the Shafarevich morphism in Theorem A, resulting in large representations of fundamental groups of *singular normal* varieties. Therefore, the extension of Theorem D to singular varieties allows for more practical applicability.

**0.3. Comparison with [Eys04] and Novelty.** — It is worth noting that Eyssidieux [Eys04] does not explicitly require absolutely constructible subsets  $\mathfrak{C}$  to be defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , although it may seem to be an essential condition (cf. Remark 3.14). Regarding Theorem A, it represents a new result that significantly builds upon our previous work [CDY22]. While Theorem D is not explicitly stated in [Eys04], it should be possible to derive it for smooth projective varieties  $X$  based on the proof provided therein. However, it is worth noting that the original proof in [Eys04] is known for its notoriously difficult and involved nature. One of the main goals of this paper is to provide a relatively accessible proof for Theorem C by incorporating more detailed explanations. We draw inspiration from some of the methods introduced in our recent work [CDY22], which aids in presenting a more comprehensible proof. Our proofs of Theorems C and D require us to apply Eyssidieux’s Lefschetz theorem from [Eys04]. We also owe many ideas to Eyssidieux’s work in [Eys04] and frequently draw upon them without explicit citation.

Despite this debt, there are some novelties in our approach, including:

- (a) An avoidance of the reduction mod  $p$  method used in [Eys04].
- (b) A new and more canonical construction of the Shafarevich morphism that incorporates both rigid and non-rigid cases, previously treated separately in [Eys04].
- (c) We relax the definition of absolutely constructible subsets in [Sim93, Eys04], enabling us to extend our results to projective normal varieties and establish the reductive Shafarevich conjecture for such varieties. We observe that adopting the original definition of absolutely constructible subsets by Simpson and Eyssidieux would pose significant challenges in extending the Shafarevich conjecture to the singular setting.
- (d) The construction of the Shafarevich morphism for reductive representations over quasi-projective varieties, along with a proof of its algebraic property at the function field level.
- (e) A detailed exposition of the application of Simpson’s absolutely constructible subsets to the proof of holomorphic convexity in Theorems C and D (cf. § 4.1 and Theorem 4.22). This application was briefly outlined in [Eys04, Proof of Proposition 5.4.6], but we present a more comprehensive approach, providing complete details.

The main part of this paper was completed in February 2023 and was subsequently shared with several experts in the field in April for feedback. During the revision process, it came to our attention that Brunebarbe [Bru23] recently announced a result similar to Theorem A.(i). In [Bru23, Theorem B] Brunebarbe claims the existence of the Shafarevich morphism under a stronger assumption of infinite monodromy at infinity and torsion-freeness of the representation, and he does not address the algebraicity of Shafarevich morphisms. It seems that some crucial aspects of the arguments in [Bru23] need to be carefully addressed, particularly those related to non-abelian Hodge theories may have been overlooked (cf. Remark 3.45).

**0.4. Further developments.** — More recently, the techniques and results in this paper have applications in the following works.

- In [DY24], we constructed the Shafarevich morphism for any representation  $\varrho : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_N(K)$ , where  $X$  is a complex normal quasi-projective variety and  $K$  is a field of positive characteristic. We also proved the generalized Green-Griffiths-Lang conjecture for such  $X$  provided that  $\varrho$  is big. When  $\varrho$  is faithful and  $X$  is a projective normal surface, we prove that the universal cover of  $X$  is holomorphically convex.
- In [DW24], the first author and Botong Wang proved the linear Singer-Hopf conjecture: let  $X$  be a smooth complex projective variety which is aspherical, i.e. its universal cover is contractible. If there is an almost faithful representation  $\pi_1(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_N(K)$  with  $K$  any field, then  $(-1)^{\dim X} \chi(X) \geq 0$ , where  $\chi(X)$  is the Euler characteristic of  $X$ .

**Convention and notation.** — In this paper, we use the following conventions and notations:

- Quasi-projective varieties and their closed subvarieties are assumed to be positive-dimensional and irreducible unless specifically mentioned otherwise. Zariski closed subsets, however, may be reducible.
- Fundamental groups are always referred to as topological fundamental groups.

- If  $X$  is a complex space, its normalization is denoted by  $X^{\text{norm}}$ .
- The bold letter Greek letter  $\varrho$  (or  $\tau, \sigma \dots$ ) denotes a family of finite reductive representations  $\{\varrho_i : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \text{GL}_N(K)\}_{i=1, \dots, k}$  where  $K$  is some non-archimedean local field or complex number field.
- A *proper holomorphic fibration* between complex spaces  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is *surjective* and each fiber of  $f$  is *connected*.
- Let  $X$  be a compact normal Kähler space and let  $V \subset H^0(X, \Omega_X^1)$  be a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear subspace. The *generic rank* of  $V$  is the largest integer  $r$  such that  $\text{Im}[\Lambda^r V \rightarrow H^0(X, \Omega_X^r)] \neq 0$ .
- For a quasi-projective variety  $X$ , we denote by  $M_B(X, N)$  the  $\text{GL}_N$ -character variety of  $\pi_1(X)$  in characteristic zero and  $M_B(X, N)_{\mathbb{F}_p}$  the  $\text{GL}_N$ -character variety of  $\pi_1(X)$  in characteristic  $p > 0$ .
- For a finitely presented group  $\Gamma$  and an algebraically closed field  $K$ , a representation  $\varrho : \Gamma \rightarrow \text{GL}_N(K)$  is *semisimple* if it is a direct sum of irreducible representations, and is *reductive* if the Zariski closure of  $\varrho(\Gamma)$  is a reductive group over  $K$ .
- $\mathbb{D}$  denotes the unit disk in  $\mathbb{C}$ , and  $\mathbb{D}^*$  denotes the puncture unit disk.

**Acknowledgments.** — We would like to thank Daniel Barlet, Sébastien Boucksom, Michel Brion, Frédéric Campana, Philippe Eyssidieux, Ludmil Katzarkov, Bruno Klingler, János Kollár, Mihai Păun, Carlos Simpson, Botong Wang and Mingchen Xia for answering our questions and helpful discussions. The impact of Eyssidieux’s work [Eys04] on this paper cannot be overstated. This work was completed during YD’s visit at the University of Miami in February 2023. He would like to extend special thanks to Ludmil Katzarkov for the warm invitation and fruitful discussions that ultimately led to the collaborative development of the joint appendix.

## 1. Technical preliminary

**1.1. Admissible coordinates.** — The following definition of *admissible coordinates* introduced in [Moc07a] will be used throughout the paper.

**Definition 1.1.** — (Admissible coordinates) Let  $X$  be a complex manifold and let  $D$  be a simple normal crossing divisor. Let  $x$  be a point of  $D$ , and assume that  $\{D_j\}_{j=1, \dots, \ell}$  be components of  $D$  containing  $x$ . An *admissible coordinate* centered at  $x$  is the tuple  $(U; z_1, \dots, z_n; \varphi)$  (or simply  $(U; z_1, \dots, z_n)$  if no confusion arises) where

- $U$  is an open subset of  $X$  containing  $x$ .
- there is a holomorphic isomorphism  $\varphi : U \rightarrow \mathbb{D}^n$  so that  $\varphi(D_j) = (z_j = 0)$  for any  $j = 1, \dots, \ell$ .

**1.2. Tame and pure imaginary harmonic bundles.** — Let  $\overline{X}$  be a compact complex manifold,  $D = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} D_i$  be a simple normal crossing divisor,  $X = \overline{X} \setminus D$  be the complement of  $D$  and  $j : X \rightarrow \overline{X}$  be the inclusion.

**Definition 1.2 (Higgs bundle).** — A *Higgs bundle* on  $X$  is a pair  $(E, \theta)$  where  $E$  is a holomorphic vector bundle, and  $\theta : E \rightarrow E \otimes \Omega_X^1$  is a holomorphic one form with value in  $\text{End}(E)$ , called the *Higgs field*, satisfying  $\theta \wedge \theta = 0$ .

Let  $(E, \theta)$  be a Higgs bundle over a complex manifold  $X$ . Suppose that  $h$  is a smooth hermitian metric of  $E$ . Denote by  $\nabla_h$  the Chern connection of  $(E, h)$ , and by  $\theta_h^\dagger$  the adjoint of  $\theta$  with respect to  $h$ . We write  $\theta^\dagger$  for  $\theta_h^\dagger$  for short if no confusion arises. The metric  $h$  is *harmonic* if the connection  $\nabla_h + \theta + \theta^\dagger$  is flat.

**Definition 1.3 (Harmonic bundle).** — A *harmonic bundle* on  $X$  is a Higgs bundle  $(E, \theta)$  endowed with a harmonic metric  $h$ .

Let  $(E, \theta, h)$  be a harmonic bundle on  $X$ . Let  $p$  be any point of  $D$ , and  $(U; z_1, \dots, z_n)$  be an admissible coordinate centered at  $p$ . On  $U$ , we have the description:

$$(1.1) \quad \theta = \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} f_j d \log z_j + \sum_{k=\ell+1}^n f_k dz_k.$$

**Definition 1.4 (Tameness).** — Let  $t$  be a formal variable. For any  $j = 1, \dots, \ell$ , the characteristic polynomial  $\det(f_j - t) \in \mathcal{O}(U \setminus D)[t]$ , is a polynomial in  $t$  whose coefficients are holomorphic functions. If those functions can be extended to the holomorphic functions over  $U$  for all  $j$ , then the harmonic bundle is called *tame* at  $p$ . A harmonic bundle is *tame* if it is tame at each point.

For a tame harmonic bundle  $(E, \theta, h)$  over  $\bar{X} \setminus D$ , we prolong  $E$  over  $\bar{X}$  by a sheaf of  $\mathcal{O}_{\bar{X}}$ -module  ${}^\diamond E_h$  as follows:

$${}^\diamond E_h(U) = \{ \sigma \in \Gamma(U \setminus D, E|_{U \setminus D}) \mid |\sigma|_h \lesssim \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} |z_i|^{-\varepsilon} \text{ for all } \varepsilon > 0 \}.$$

In [Moc07a] Mochizuki proved that  ${}^\diamond E_h$  is locally free and that  $\theta$  extends to a morphism

$${}^\diamond E_h \rightarrow {}^\diamond E_h \otimes \Omega_{\bar{X}}^1(\log D),$$

which we still denote by  $\theta$ .

**Definition 1.5 (Pure imaginary).** — Let  $(E, h, \theta)$  be a tame harmonic bundle on  $\bar{X} \setminus D$ . The residue  $\text{Res}_{D_i} \theta$  induces an endomorphism of  ${}^\diamond E_h|_{D_i}$ . Its characteristic polynomial has constant coefficients, and thus the eigenvalues are all constant. We say that  $(E, \theta, h)$  is *pure imaginary* if for each component  $D_i$  of  $D$ , the eigenvalues of  $\text{Res}_{D_i} \theta$  are all pure imaginary.

One can verify that Definition 1.5 does not depend on the compactification  $\bar{X}$  of  $\bar{X} \setminus D$ .

**Theorem 1.6 (Mochizuki [Moc07b, Theorem 25.21]).** — Let  $\bar{X}$  be a projective manifold and let  $D$  be a simple normal crossing divisor on  $\bar{X}$ . Let  $(E, \theta, h)$  be a tame pure imaginary harmonic bundle on  $X := \bar{X} \setminus D$ . Then the flat bundle  $(E, \nabla_h + \theta + \theta^\dagger)$  is semi-simple. Conversely, if  $(V, \nabla)$  is a semisimple flat bundle on  $X$ , then there is a tame pure imaginary harmonic bundle  $(E, \theta, h)$  on  $X$  so that  $(E, \nabla_h + \theta + \theta^\dagger) \simeq (V, \nabla)$ . Moreover, when  $\nabla$  is simple, then any such harmonic metric  $h$  is unique up to positive multiplication.

The following important theorem by Mochizuki will be used throughout the paper.

**Theorem 1.7.** — Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a morphism of quasi-projective varieties, where  $Y$  is smooth and  $X$  is normal. For any reductive representation  $\varrho : \pi_1(Y) \rightarrow \text{GL}_N(K)$ , where  $K$  is a non-archimedean local field of characteristic zero or the complex number field, the pullback  $f^* \varrho : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \text{GL}_N(K)$  is also reductive.

*Proof.* — If  $K$  is a non-archimedean local field of characteristic zero, then there is an abstract embedding  $K \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . Therefore, it suffices to prove the theorem for  $K = \mathbb{C}$ .

Let  $\mu : X' \rightarrow X$  be a desingularization of  $X$ . By [Moc07b, Theorem 25.30],  $(f \circ \mu)^* \varrho : \pi_1(X') \rightarrow \text{GL}_N(\mathbb{C})$  is reductive. Since  $\mu_* : \pi_1(X') \rightarrow \pi_1(X)$  is surjective, it follows that  $(f \circ \mu)^* \varrho(\pi_1(X')) = f^* \varrho(\pi_1(X))$ . Hence,  $f^* \varrho$  is also reductive.  $\square$

**1.3. Positive currents on normal complex spaces.** — For this subsection, we refer to [Dem85] for more details.

**Definition 1.8.** — Let  $Z$  be an irreducible normal complex space. A upper semi continuous function  $\phi : Z \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\}$  is plurisubharmonic if it is not identically  $-\infty$  and every point  $z \in Z$  has a neighborhood  $U$  embeddable as a closed subvariety of the unit ball  $B$  of some  $\mathbb{C}^M$  in such a way that  $\phi|_U$  extends to a psh function on  $B$ .

A closed positive current with continuous potentials  $\omega$  on  $Z$  is specified by a data  $\{U_i, \phi_i\}_i$  of an open covering  $\{U_i\}_i$  of  $Z$ , a continuous psh function  $\phi_i$  defined on  $U_i$  such that  $\phi_i - \phi_j$  is pluriharmonic on  $U_i \cap U_j$ .

A closed positive current with continuous potentials  $Z$  is a Kähler form iff its local potentials can be chosen smooth and strongly plurisubharmonic.

A psh function  $\phi$  on  $Z$  is said to satisfy  $\text{dd}^c \phi \geq \omega$  iff  $\phi - \phi_i$  is psh on  $U_i$  for every  $i$ .

In other words, a closed positive current with continuous potentials is a section of the sheaf  $C^0 \cap \text{PSH}_Z / \text{Re}(O_Z)$ .

**Definition 1.9.** — Assume  $Z$  to be compact. The class of a closed positive current with continuous potentials is its image in  $H^1(Z, \text{Re}(O_Z))$ .

A class in  $H^1(Z, \text{Re}(O_Z))$  is said to be Kähler if it is the image of a Kähler form.

To make contact with the usual terminology observe that if  $Z$  is a compact Kähler manifold  $H^1(Z, \text{Re}(O_Z)) = H^{1,1}(Z, \mathbb{R})$ . Hence we use abuse of notation to write  $H^{1,1}(Z, \mathbb{R})$  instead of  $H^1(Z, \text{Re}(O_Z))$  in this paper.

**Lemma 1.10.** — Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a finite Galois cover with Galois group  $G$ , where  $X$  and  $Y$  are both irreducible normal complex spaces. Let  $T$  be a positive  $(1, 1)$ -current on  $X$  with continuous potential. Assume that  $T$  is invariant under  $G$ . Then there is a closed positive  $(1, 1)$ -current  $S$  on  $Y$  with continuous potential such that  $T = f^*S$ .

*Proof.* — Since the statement is local, we may assume that  $T = \text{dd}^c \varphi$  such that  $\varphi \in C^0(X)$ . Define a function on  $Y$  by

$$f_*\varphi(y) := \sum_{x \in f^{-1}(y)} \varphi(x)$$

here the sums are counted with multiplicity. By [Dem85, Proposition 1.13.(b)], we know that  $f_*\varphi$  is a psh function on  $Y$  and

$$\text{dd}^c f_*\varphi = f_*T.$$

One can see that  $f_*\varphi$  is also continuous. Define a current  $S := \frac{1}{\deg f} f_*T$ . Since  $T$  is  $G$ -invariant, it follows that  $f^*S = T$  outside the branch locus of  $f$ . Since  $f^*S = \frac{1}{\deg f} \text{dd}^c(f_*\varphi) \circ f$ , the potential of  $f^*S$  is continuous. It follows that  $f^*S = T$  over the whole  $X$ .  $\square$

**1.4. Holomorphic forms on complex normal spaces.** — There are many ways to define holomorphic forms on complex normal spaces. For our purpose of the current paper, we use the following definition in [Fer70].

**Definition 1.11.** — Let  $X$  be a normal complex space. Let  $(A_i)_{i \in I}$  be an open finite covering of  $X$  such that each subset  $A_i$  is an analytic subset of some open subset  $\Omega_i \subset \mathbb{C}^{N_i}$ . The space of holomorphic  $p$ -forms, denoted by  $\Omega_X^p$ , is defined by local restrictions of holomorphic  $p$ -forms on the sets  $\Omega_i$  above to  $A_i^{\text{reg}}$ , where  $A_i^{\text{reg}}$  is the smooth locus of  $A_i$ .

The following fact will be used throughout the paper.

**Lemma 1.12.** — Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a holomorphic map between normal complex spaces. Then for any holomorphic  $p$ -form  $\omega$  on  $Y$ ,  $f^*\omega$  a holomorphic  $p$ -form on  $X$ .

*Proof.* — By Definition 1.11, for any  $x \in X$ , there exist

- a neighborhood  $A$  (resp.  $B$ ) of  $x$  (resp.  $f(x)$ ) such that  $A$  (resp.  $B$ ) is an analytic subset of some open  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^m$  (resp.  $\Omega' \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ ).
- a holomorphic map  $\tilde{f} : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega'$  such that  $\tilde{f}|_A = f|_A$ .
- A holomorphic  $p$ -form  $\tilde{\omega}$  on  $\Omega'$  such that  $\omega = \tilde{\omega}|_B$ .

Therefore, we can define  $f^*\omega|_A := \tilde{f}^*\tilde{\omega}|_A$ . One can check that this does not depend on the choice of local embeddings of  $X$  and  $Y$ .  $\square$



**1.5. The criterion for Kähler classes.** — We will need the following extension of the celebrated Demailly-Păun's theorem [DP04] on characterization of Kähler classes on complex compact normal Kähler spaces by Das-Hacon-Păun in [DHP22].

**Theorem 1.13** ([DHP22, Corollary 2.39]). — *Let  $X$  be a projective normal variety,  $\omega$  a Kähler form on  $X$ , and  $\alpha \in H_{\text{BC}}^{1,1}(X)$ . Then  $\alpha$  is Kähler if and only if  $\int_V \alpha^{\dim V} > 0$  for every positive dimensional closed subvariety  $V \subset X$ .*

**1.6. Some criterion for Stein space.** — We require the following criterion for the Stein property of a topological Galois covering of a compact complex normal space.

**Proposition 1.14** ([Eys04, Proposition 4.1.1]). — *Let  $X$  be a compact complex normal space and let  $\pi : \tilde{X}' \rightarrow X$  be some topological Galois covering. Let  $T$  be a positive current on  $X$  with continuous potential such that  $\{T\}$  is a Kähler class. Assume that there exists a continuous plurisubharmonic function  $\phi : \tilde{X}' \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$  such that  $\text{dd}^c \phi \geq \pi^* T$ . Then  $\tilde{X}'$  is a Stein space.*

**1.7. Some facts on moduli spaces of rank 1 local systems.** — For this subsection we refer the readers to [Sim93] for a systematic treatment. Let  $X$  be a smooth projective variety defined over a field  $K \subset \mathbb{C}$ . Let  $M = M(X)$  denote the moduli space of complex local systems of rank one over  $X$ . We consider  $M$  as a real analytic group under the operation of tensor product. There are three natural algebraic groups  $M_{\text{B}}$ ,  $M_{\text{DR}}$  and  $M_{\text{Dol}}$  whose underlying real analytic groups are canonically isomorphic to  $M$ . The first is Betti moduli space  $M_{\text{B}} := \text{Hom}(\pi_1(X), \mathbb{C}^*)$ . The second is De Rham moduli space  $M_{\text{DR}}$  which consists of pairs  $(L, \nabla)$  where  $L$  is a holomorphic line bundle on  $X$  and  $\nabla$  is an integrable algebraic connection on  $L$ . The last one  $M_{\text{Dol}}$  is moduli spaces of rank one Higgs bundles on  $X$ . Let  $\text{Pic}^\tau(X)$  be the group of line bundles on  $X$  whose first Chern classes are torsion. We have

$$M_{\text{Dol}} = \text{Pic}^\tau(X) \times H^0(X, \Omega_X^1)$$

For any subset  $S \subset M$ , let  $S_{\text{B}}$ ,  $S_{\text{Dol}}$  and  $S_{\text{DR}}$  denote the corresponding subsets of  $M_{\text{B}}$ ,  $M_{\text{DR}}$  and  $M_{\text{Dol}}$ .

**Definition 1.15 (Triple torus).** — A triple torus is a closed, connected real analytic subgroup  $N \subset M$  such that  $N_{\text{B}}$ ,  $N_{\text{DR}}$ , and  $N_{\text{Dol}}$  are algebraic subgroups defined over  $\mathbb{C}$ . We say that a closed real analytic subspace  $S \subset M$  is a translate of a triple torus if there exists a triple torus  $N \subset M$  and a point  $v \in M$  such that  $S = \{v \otimes w, w \in N\}$ . Note that, in this case, any choice of  $v \in M$  will do.

We say that a point  $v \in M$  is torsion if there exists an integer  $a > 0$  such that  $v^{\otimes a} = 1$ . Let  $M^{\text{tor}}$  denote the set of torsion points. Note that for a given integer  $a$ , there are only finitely many solutions of  $v^{\otimes a} = 1$ . Hence, the points of  $M_{\text{B}}^{\text{tor}}$  are defined over  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ , and the points of  $M_{\text{DR}}^{\text{tor}}$  and  $M_{\text{Dol}}^{\text{tor}}$  are defined over  $\overline{K}$ .

We say that a closed subspace  $S$  is a torsion translate of a triple torus if  $S$  is a translate of a triple torus  $N$  by an element  $v \in M^{\text{tor}}$ . This is equivalent to asking that  $S$  be a translate of a triple torus, and contain a torsion point.

Let  $A$  be the Albanese variety of  $X$  (which can be defined as  $H^0(X, \Omega_X^1)^*/H_1(X, \mathbb{Z})$ ). Let  $X \rightarrow A$  be the map from  $X$  into  $A$  given by integration (from a basepoint, which will be suppressed in the notation but assumed to be defined over  $\overline{K}$ ). Pullback of local systems gives a natural map from  $M(A)$  to  $M(X)$ , which is an isomorphism

$$M(A) \cong M^0(X),$$

where  $M^0(X)$  is the connected component of  $M(X)$  containing the trivial rank one local system. The Albanese variety  $A$  is defined over  $\overline{K}$ . We recall the following result in [Sim93, Lemma 2.1].

**Lemma 1.16 (Simpson).** — *Let  $N \subset M$  be a closed connected subgroup such that  $N_{\text{B}} \subset M_{\text{B}}$  is complex analytic and  $N_{\text{Dol}} \subset M_{\text{Dol}}$  is an algebraic subgroup. Then there is a connected abelian subvariety  $P \subset A$ , defined over  $\overline{K}$ , such that  $N$  is the image in  $M$  of  $M(A/P)$ . In particular,  $N$  is a triple torus in  $M$ .  $\square$*

**1.8. Absolutely constructible subsets (I).** — In this section we will recall some facts on *absolutely constructible subsets* (resp. *absolutely closed subsets*) introduced by Simpson in [Sim93, §6] and later developed by Budur-Wang [WB20].

Let  $X$  be a smooth projective variety defined over a subfield  $\ell$  of  $\mathbb{C}$ . Let  $G$  be a reductive group defined over  $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$ . The representation scheme of  $\pi_1(X)$  is an affine  $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$ -algebraic scheme described by its functor of points:

$$R(X, G)(\text{Spec } A) := \text{Hom}(\pi_1(X), G(A))$$

for any  $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$ -algebra  $A$ . The character scheme of  $\pi_1(X)$  with values in  $G$  is the finite type affine scheme  $M_B(X, G) := R(X, G) // G$ , where “//” denotes the GIT quotient. If  $G = \text{GL}_N$ , we simply write  $M_B(X, N) := M_B(X, \text{GL}_N)$ . Simpson constructed a quasi-projective scheme  $M_{\text{DR}}(X, G)$ , and  $M_{\text{Dol}}(X, G)$  over  $\ell$ . The  $\mathbb{C}$ -points of  $M_{\text{DR}}(X, G)$  are in bijection with the equivalence classes of flat  $G$ -connections with reductive monodromy. There are natural isomorphisms

$$\psi : M_B(X, G)(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow M_{\text{DR}}(X, G)(\mathbb{C})$$

such that  $\psi$  is an isomorphism of complex analytic spaces. For each automorphism  $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Q})$ , let  $X^\sigma := X \times_{\sigma} \mathbb{C}$  be the conjugate variety of  $X$ , which is also smooth projective. There is a natural map

$$p_\sigma : M_{\text{DR}}(X, G) \rightarrow M_{\text{DR}}(X^\sigma, G^\sigma).$$

Let us now introduce the following definition of absolutely constructible subsets.

**Definition 1.17 (Absolutely constructible subset).** — A subset  $\mathfrak{C} \subset M_B(X, G)(\mathbb{C})$  is an *absolutely constructible subset* (resp. *absolutely closed subset*) if the following conditions are satisfied.

- (i)  $\mathfrak{C}$  is the a  $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$ -constructible (resp.  $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$ -closed) subset of  $M_B(X, G)$ .
- (ii) For each  $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Q})$ , there exists a  $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$ -constructible (resp.  $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$ -closed) set  $\mathfrak{C}^\sigma \subset M_B(X^\sigma, G^\sigma)(\mathbb{C})$  such that  $\psi^{-1} \circ p_\sigma \circ \psi(\mathfrak{C}) = \mathfrak{C}^\sigma$ .
- (iii)  $\mathfrak{C}(\mathbb{C})$  is preserved by the action of  $\mathbb{R}^*$  defined in § 2.4.

**Remark 1.18.** — (i) Note that this definition is significantly weaker than the notion of absolutely constructible sets defined in [Sim93, Eys04], as it does not consider moduli spaces of semistable Higgs bundles with trivial characteristic numbers, and it does not require that  $\psi(\mathfrak{C})$  is  $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$ -constructible in  $M_{\text{DR}}(X, G)(\mathbb{C})$ . This revised definition allows for a broader range of applications, including quasi-projective varieties. In [Sim93, Eys04], the preservation of  $\mathfrak{C}(\mathbb{C})$  under the action of  $\mathbb{C}^*$  is a necessary condition. It is important to emphasize that our definition only requires  $\mathbb{R}^*$ -invariance, which is weaker than  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -invariance. Our definition corresponds to the *absolutely constructible subset* as defined in [WB20, Definition 6.3.1], with the additional condition that  $\mathfrak{C}(\mathbb{C})$  is preserved by the action of  $\mathbb{R}^*$ .

- (ii) It is crucial to emphasize the flexibility of our weaker definition of absolutely constructible subsets, as defined in Definition 1.17, which allows for its extension to singular varieties, as will be shown in Definition A.1. This extension plays a crucial role in establishing the reductive Shafarevich conjecture for projective normal varieties, thereby generalizing the previous findings by Eyssidieux [Eys04].

By [WB20, Theorem 9.1.2.(2) & Proposition 7.4.4.(2)] we have the following result, which generalizes [Sim93].

**Theorem 1.19 (Budur-Wang, Simpson).** — *Let  $X$  be a smooth projective variety over  $\mathbb{C}$ . An absolute locally closed subset in  $M_B(X, 1)(\mathbb{C})$  is the complement of a finite union of torsion translates of triple tori, within another finite union of torsion translates of triple tori. An absolute constructible subset in  $M_B(X, 1)(\mathbb{C})$  is a finite union of absolute locally closed subset.*  $\square$

Absolute constructible subsets are preserved by the following operations:

**Theorem 1.20 (Simpson).** — *Let  $f : Z \rightarrow X$  be a morphism between smooth projective varieties over  $\mathbb{C}$  and let  $g : G \rightarrow G'$  be a morphism of reductive groups over  $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$ . Consider the natural map  $i : M_B(X, G) \rightarrow M_B(X, G')$  and  $j : M_B(X, G) \rightarrow M_B(Z, G)$ . Then for any absolutely constructible subsets  $\mathfrak{C} \subset M_B(X, G)(\mathbb{C})$  and  $\mathfrak{C}' \subset M_B(X, G')(\mathbb{C})$ , we have  $i(\mathfrak{C})$ ,  $i^{-1}(\mathfrak{C}')$  and  $j(\mathfrak{C})$  are all absolutely constructible.*  $\square$

**Example 1.21.** —  $M_{\mathbb{B}}(X, G)(\mathbb{C})$ , the isolated point in  $M_{\mathbb{B}}(X, G)(\mathbb{C})$ , and the class of trivial representation in  $M_{\mathbb{B}}(X, G)(\mathbb{C})$  are all absolutely constructible.

In this paper, absolutely constructible subsets are used to prove the holomorphic convexity of some topological Galois covering of  $X$  in Theorems C and D. It will not be used in the proof of Theorem A.

**1.9. Katzarkov-Eyssidieux reduction and canonical currents.** — For this subsection, we refer to the papers [Eys04, §3.3.2] or [CDY22] for a comprehensive and systematic treatment.

**Theorem 1.22 (Katzarkov, Eyssidieux).** — *Let  $X$  be a projective normal variety, and let  $K$  be a non-archimedean local field. Let  $\varrho : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_N(K)$  be a reductive representation. Then there exists a fibration  $s_{\varrho} : X \rightarrow S_{\varrho}$  to a normal projective space, such that for any subvariety  $Z$  of  $X$ , the following are equivalent:*

- (i)  $\varrho(\mathrm{Im}[\pi_1(Z^{\mathrm{norm}}) \rightarrow \pi_1(X)])$  is bounded;
- (ii)  $s_{\varrho}(Z)$  is a point;
- (iii)  $\varrho(\mathrm{Im}[\pi_1(Z) \rightarrow \pi_1(X)])$  is bounded.

We will call the above  $s_{\varrho}$  the (Katzarkov-Eyssidieux) reduction map for  $\varrho$ . When  $X$  is smooth, the equivalence of Theorem 1.22.(i) and Theorem 1.22.(ii) is proved by Katzarkov [Kat97] and Eyssidieux [Eys04]. The more general result stated in the theorem can be found in [CDY22].

We will outline the construction of certain *canonical* positive closed  $(1, 1)$ -currents over  $S_{\varrho}$ . As demonstrated in the proof of [Eys04], we can establish the existence of a finite ramified Galois cover denoted by  $\pi : X^{\mathrm{sp}} \rightarrow X$  with the Galois group  $H$ , commonly known as the *spectral covering of  $X$*  (cf. [CDY22, Definition 5.14]). This cover possesses holomorphic 1-forms  $\eta_1, \dots, \eta_m \subset H^0(X^{\mathrm{sp}}, \pi^* \Omega_X^1)$ , which can be considered as the  $(1, 0)$ -part of the complexified differential of the  $\pi^* \varrho$ -equivariant harmonic mapping from  $X^{\mathrm{sp}}$  to the Bruhat-Tits building of  $G$ . These particular 1-forms, referred to as the *spectral one-forms* (cf. [CDY22, Definition 5.16]), play a significant role in the proof of Theorems C and D. Consequently, the Stein factorization of the *partial Albanese morphism*  $a : X^{\mathrm{sp}} \rightarrow A$  (cf. [CDY22, Definition 5.19]) induced by  $\eta_1, \dots, \eta_m$  leads to the Katzarkov-Eyssidieux reduction map  $s_{\pi^* \varrho} : X^{\mathrm{sp}} \rightarrow S_{\pi^* \varrho}$  for  $\pi^* \varrho$ . Moreover, we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 X^{\mathrm{sp}} & \xrightarrow{\pi} & X \\
 \downarrow s_{\pi^* \varrho} & & \downarrow s_{\varrho} \\
 S_{\pi^* \varrho} & \xrightarrow{\sigma_{\pi}} & S_{\varrho} \\
 \downarrow b & & \\
 A & & 
 \end{array}$$

Here  $\sigma_{\pi}$  is also a finite ramified Galois cover with Galois group  $H$ . Note that there are one forms  $\{\eta'_1, \dots, \eta'_m\} \subset H^0(A, \Omega_A^1)$  such that  $a^* \eta'_i = \eta_i$ . Consider the finite morphism  $b : S_{\pi^* \varrho} \rightarrow A$ . Then we define a positive  $(1, 1)$ -current  $T_{\pi^* \varrho} := b^* \sum_{i=1}^m i \eta'_i \wedge \bar{\eta}'_i$  on  $S_{\pi^* \varrho}$ . Note that  $T_{\pi^* \varrho}$  is invariant under the Galois action  $H$ . Therefore, by Lemma 1.10 there is a positive closed  $(1, 1)$ -current  $T_{\varrho}$  defined on  $S_{\varrho}$  with continuous potential such that  $\sigma_{\pi}^* T_{\varrho} = T_{\pi^* \varrho}$ .

**Definition 1.23 (Canonical current).** — The closed positive  $(1, 1)$ -current  $T_{\varrho}$  on  $S_{\varrho}$  is called the *canonical current of  $\varrho$* .

More generally, let  $\{\varrho_i : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_N(K_i)\}_{i=1, \dots, k}$  be reductive representations where  $K_i$  is a non-archimedean local field. We shall denote by the bolded letter  $\varrho := \{\varrho_i\}_{i=1, \dots, k}$  be such family of representations. Let  $s_{\varrho} : X \rightarrow S_{\varrho}$  be the Stein factorization of  $(s_{\varrho_1}, \dots, s_{\varrho_k}) : X \rightarrow S_{\varrho_1} \times \dots \times S_{\varrho_k}$  where  $s_{\varrho_i} : X \rightarrow S_{\varrho_i}$  denotes the reduction map associated with  $\varrho_i$  and  $p_i : S_{\varrho} \rightarrow S_{\varrho_i}$  is the induced morphism.  $s_{\varrho} : X \rightarrow S_{\varrho}$  is called the *reduction map* for the family  $\varrho$  of representations.

**Definition 1.24 (Canonical current II).** — The closed positive  $(1, 1)$ -current  $T_{\varrho} := \sum_{i=1}^k p_i^* T_{\varrho_i}$  on  $S_{\varrho}$  is called the *canonical current of  $\varrho$* .

**Lemma 1.25** ([Eys04, Lemme 1.4.9 & 3.3.10]). — *Let  $f : Z \rightarrow X$  be a morphism between projective normal varieties and let  $\varrho := \{\varrho_i : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_N(K_i)\}_{i=1,\dots,k}$  be a family of reductive representations where  $K_i$  is a non-archimedean local field. Then we have*

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} Z & \xrightarrow{f} & X \\ \downarrow s_{f^*\varrho} & & \downarrow s_\varrho \\ S_{f^*\varrho} & \xrightarrow{\sigma_f} & S_\varrho \end{array}$$

where  $\sigma_f$  is a finite morphism. Here  $f^*\varrho = \{f^*\varrho_i\}_{i=1,\dots,k}$  denotes the pull back of the family of  $\varrho := \{\varrho_i : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_N(K_i)\}_{i=1,\dots,k}$ . Moreover, the following properties hold:

(i) *The local potential of  $T_\varrho$  is continuous. In particular, for any closed subvariety  $W \subset X$ , we have*

$$\{T_\varrho\}^{\dim W} \cdot W = \int_W T_\varrho^{\dim W} \geq 0.$$

(ii)  $T_{f^*\varrho} = \sigma_f^* T_\varrho$ ;

(iii) *For every closed subvariety  $\Xi \subset S_{f^*\varrho}$ ,  $\{T_\varrho\}^{\dim \Xi} \cdot (\sigma_f(\Xi)) > 0$  if and only if  $\{T_{f^*\varrho}\}^{\dim \Xi} \cdot \Xi > 0$ .  $\square$*

Note that Lemma 1.25.(iii) is a consequence of the first two assertions.

The current  $T_\varrho$  will serve as a lower bound for the complex hessian of plurisubharmonic functions constructed by the method of harmonic mappings.

**Proposition 1.26** ([Eys04, Proposition 3.3.6, Lemme 3.3.12]). — *Let  $X$  be a projective normal variety and let  $\varrho : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow G(K)$  be a Zariski dense representation where  $K$  is a non archimedean local field and  $G$  is a reductive group. Let  $x_0 \in \Delta(G)$  be an arbitrary point. Let  $u : \tilde{X} \rightarrow \Delta(G)$  be the associated the harmonic mapping, where  $\tilde{X}$  is the universal covering of  $X$ . The function  $\phi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$  defined by*

$$\phi(x) = 2d^2(u(x), u(x_0))$$

*satisfies the following properties:*

(a)  $\phi$  descends to a function  $\phi_\varrho$  on  $\tilde{X}_\varrho = \tilde{X}/\ker(\varrho)$ .

(b)  $\mathrm{dd}^c \phi_\varrho \geq (s_\varrho \circ \pi)^* T_\varrho$ , where we denote by  $\pi : \tilde{X}_\varrho \rightarrow X$  the covering map.

(c)  $\phi_\varrho$  is locally Lipschitz;

(d) *Let  $T$  be a normal complex space and  $r : \tilde{X}_\varrho \rightarrow T$  a proper holomorphic fibration such that  $s_\varrho \circ \pi : \tilde{X}_\varrho \rightarrow S_\varrho$  factorizes via a morphism  $v : T \rightarrow S_\varrho$ . The function  $\phi_\varrho$  is of the form  $\phi_\varrho = \phi_\varrho^T \circ r$  with  $\phi_\varrho^T$  being a continuous plurisubharmonic function on  $T$ ;*

(e)  $\mathrm{dd}^c \phi_\varrho^T \geq v^* T_\varrho$ .  $\square$

### 1.10. The generalization of Katzarkov-Eyssidieux reduction to quasi-projective varieties. —

In our work [CDY22] on hyperbolicity of quasi-projective varieties, we extended Theorem 1.22 to quasi-projective varieties. The theorem we established is stated below.

**Theorem 1.27** ([CDY22, Theorem H]). — *Let  $X$  be a complex smooth quasi-projective variety, and let  $\varrho : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_N(K)$  be a reductive representation where  $K$  is non-archimedean local field. Then there exists a quasi-projective normal variety  $S_\varrho$  and a dominant morphism  $s_\varrho : X \rightarrow S_\varrho$  with connected general fibers, such that for any connected Zariski closed subset  $T$  of  $X$ , the following properties are equivalent:*

(a) *the image  $\varrho(\mathrm{Im}[\pi_1(T) \rightarrow \pi_1(X)])$  is a bounded subgroup of  $G(K)$ .*

(b) *For every irreducible component  $T_o$  of  $T$ , the image  $\varrho(\mathrm{Im}[\pi_1(T_o^{\mathrm{norm}}) \rightarrow \pi_1(X)])$  is a bounded subgroup of  $G(K)$ .*

(c) *The image  $s_\varrho(T)$  is a point.*  $\square$

This result plays a crucial role in the proof of Theorem A. Its proof is built upon the work by Brotbek, Daskalopoulos, Mese, and the first named author [BDDM22], regarding the construction of  $\varrho$ -equivariant harmonic mappings from the universal covering of  $X$  to the Bruhat-Tits building  $\Delta(G)$  of  $G$ .

### 1.11. Simultaneous Stein factorization. —

**Lemma 1.28.** — *Let  $V$  be a quasi-projective normal variety and let  $(f_\lambda : V \rightarrow S_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  be a family of morphisms into quasi-projective varieties  $S_\lambda$ . Then there exist a quasi-projective normal variety  $S_\infty$  and a morphism  $f_\infty : V \rightarrow S_\infty$  such that*

- $f_\infty$  is dominant and has connected general fibers,
- for every subvariety  $Z \subset V$ ,  $f_\infty(Z)$  is a point if and only if  $f_\lambda(Z)$  is a point for every  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ , and
- there exist  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \in \Lambda$  such that  $f_\infty : V \rightarrow S_\infty$  is the quasi-Stein factorization of  $(f_1, \dots, f_n) : V \rightarrow S_{\lambda_1} \times \dots \times S_{\lambda_n}$ .

*Proof.* — We define  $E_\lambda$  by

$$E_\lambda = \{(x, x') \in V \times V; f_\lambda(x) = f_\lambda(x')\}.$$

Then  $E_\lambda \subset V \times V$  is a Zariski closed set. Indeed,  $E_\lambda = (f_\lambda, f_\lambda)^{-1}(\Delta_\lambda)$ , where  $(f_\lambda, f_\lambda) : V \times V \rightarrow S_\lambda \times S_\lambda$  is the morphism defined by  $(f_\lambda, f_\lambda)(x, x') = (f_\lambda(x), f_\lambda(x'))$  and  $\Delta_\lambda \subset S_\lambda \times S_\lambda$  is the diagonal. By Noetherian property, we may take a finite subset  $\Lambda' \subset \Lambda$  such that

$$(1.3) \quad \bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda'} E_\lambda = \bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} E_\lambda.$$

Let  $f_\infty : V \rightarrow S_\infty$  be the quasi-Stein factorization of the map  $(f_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda'} : V \rightarrow \prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda'} S_\lambda$ . Then  $S_\infty$  is normal, and  $f_\infty$  is dominant and has connected general fibers. For a closed subvariety  $Z \subset V$ , we have

$$f_\infty(Z) \text{ is a point} \iff f_\lambda(Z) \text{ is a point for all } \lambda \in \Lambda'.$$

By the definition of  $E_\lambda$ , we note that  $f_\lambda(Z)$  is a point if and only if  $Z \times Z \subset E_\lambda$ . Hence  $f_\lambda(Z)$  is a point for all  $\lambda \in \Lambda'$  if and only if  $Z \times Z \subset \bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda'} E_\lambda$ . Thus by (1.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} f_\infty(Z) \text{ is a point} &\iff Z \times Z \subset \bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} E_\lambda \\ &\iff f_\lambda(Z) \text{ is a point for all } \lambda \in \Lambda. \end{aligned}$$

The proof is completed. □

We also need the following generalized Stein factorization proved by Henri Cartan in [Car60, Theorem 3].

**Theorem 1.29.** — *Let  $X, S$  be complex spaces and  $f : X \rightarrow S$  be a morphism. Suppose a connected component  $F$  of a fibre of  $f$  is compact. Then,  $F$  has an open neighborhood  $V$  such that  $f(V)$  is a locally closed analytic subvariety  $S$  and  $V \rightarrow f(V)$  is proper.*

*Suppose furthermore that  $X$  is normal and that every connected component  $F$  of a fibre of  $f$  is compact. The set  $Y$  of connected components of fibres of  $f$  can be endowed with the structure of a normal complex space such that  $f$  factors through the natural map  $e : X \rightarrow Y$  which is a proper holomorphic fibration.* □

## 2. Some non-abelian Hodge theories

In this section, we will build upon the previous work of Simpson [Sim92], Iyer-Simpson [IS07, IS08], and Mochizuki [Moc07a, Moc06] to further develop non-abelian Hodge theories over quasi-projective varieties. We begin by establishing the functoriality of pullback for regular filtered Higgs bundles (cf. Proposition 2.5). Then we clarify the  $\mathbb{C}^*$  and  $\mathbb{R}^*$ -action on the character varieties of smooth quasi-projective varieties, following [Moc06]. Lastly, we prove Proposition 2.9, which essentially states that the natural morphisms of character varieties induced by algebraic morphisms commute with the  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -action. This section's significance lies in its essential role in establishing Propositions 3.13 and 3.44, which serves as a critical cornerstone of the whole paper.

**2.1. Regular filtered Higgs bundles.** — In this subsection, we recall the notions of regular filtered Higgs bundles (or parabolic Higgs bundles). For more details refer to [Moc06]. Let  $\overline{X}$  be a complex manifold with a reduced simple normal crossing divisor  $D = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} D_i$ , and let  $X = \overline{X} \setminus D$  be the complement of  $D$ . We denote the inclusion map of  $X$  into  $\overline{X}$  by  $j$ .

**Definition 2.1.** — A *regular filtered Higgs bundle*  $(E_*, \theta)$  on  $(\overline{X}, D)$  is holomorphic vector bundle  $E$  on  $X$ , together with an  $\mathbb{R}^{\ell}$ -indexed filtration  ${}_a E$  (so-called *parabolic structure*) by locally free subsheaves of  $j_* E$  such that

1.  $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{R}^{\ell}$  and  ${}_a E|_X = E$ .
2. For  $1 \leq i \leq \ell$ ,  ${}_{\mathbf{a}+1_i} E = {}_a E \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(D_i)$ , where  $1_i = (0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$  with 1 in the  $i$ -th component.
3.  ${}_{\mathbf{a}+\epsilon} E = {}_a E$  for any vector  $\epsilon = (\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_{\ell})$  with  $0 < \epsilon_i \ll 1$ .
4. The set of *weights*  $\{\mathbf{a} \mid {}_a E / {}_{\mathbf{a}-\epsilon} E \neq 0 \text{ for any vector } \epsilon = (\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_{\ell}) \text{ with } 0 < \epsilon_i \ll 1\}$  is discrete in  $\mathbb{R}^{\ell}$ .
5. There is a  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -linear map, so-called Higgs field,

$$\theta : E \rightarrow \Omega_X^1 \otimes E$$

such that  $\theta \wedge \theta = 0$ , and

$$\theta({}_a E) \subseteq \Omega_{\overline{X}}^1(\log D) \otimes {}_a E.$$

Denote  ${}_0 E$  by  ${}^{\circ} E$ , where  $\mathbf{0} = (0, \dots, 0)$ . When disregarding the Higgs field,  $E_*$  is referred to as a *parabolic bundle*.

A natural class of regular filtered Higgs bundles comes from prolongations of tame harmonic bundles. We first recall some notions in [Moc07a, §2.2.1]. Let  $E$  be a holomorphic vector bundle with a smooth hermitian metric  $h$  over  $X$ .

Let  $U$  be an open subset of  $\overline{X}$  with an admissible coordinate  $(U; z_1, \dots, z_n)$  with respect to  $D$ . For any section  $\sigma \in \Gamma(U \setminus D, E|_{U \setminus D})$ , let  $|\sigma|_h$  denote the norm function of  $\sigma$  with respect to the metric  $h$ . We denote  $|\sigma|_h = \mathcal{O}(\prod_{i=1}^{\ell} |z_i|^{-b_i})$  if there exists a positive number  $C$  such that  $|\sigma|_h \leq C \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} |z_i|^{-b_i}$ . For any  $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^{\ell}$ , say  $-\text{ord}(\sigma) \leq \mathbf{b}$  means the following:

$$|\sigma|_h = \mathcal{O}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{\ell} |z_i|^{-b_i - \epsilon}\right)$$

for any real number  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $0 < |z_i| \ll 1$ . For any  $\mathbf{b}$ , the sheaf  ${}_b E$  is defined as follows:

$$(2.1) \quad \Gamma(U, {}_b E) := \{\sigma \in \Gamma(U \setminus D, E|_{U \setminus D}) \mid -\text{ord}(\sigma) \leq \mathbf{b}\}.$$

The sheaf  ${}_b E$  is called the prolongment of  $E$  by an increasing order  $\mathbf{b}$ . In particular, we use the notation  ${}^{\circ} E$  in the case  $\mathbf{b} = (0, \dots, 0)$ .

According to Simpson [Sim90, Theorem 2] and Mochizuki [Moc07a, Theorem 8.58], the above prolongation gives a regular filtered Higgs bundle.

**Theorem 2.2 (Simpson, Mochizuki).** — *Let  $\overline{X}$  be a complex manifold and  $D$  be a simple normal crossing divisor on  $\overline{X}$ . If  $(E, \theta, h)$  is a tame harmonic bundle on  $\overline{X} \setminus D$ , then the corresponding filtration  ${}_b E$  defined above defines a regular filtered Higgs bundle  $(E_*, \theta)$  on  $(\overline{X}, D)$ .  $\square$*

**2.2. Pullback of parabolic bundles.** — In this subsection, we introduce the concept of pullback of parabolic bundles. We refer the readers to [IS07, IS08] for a more systematic treatment. We avoid the language of Deligne-Mumford stacks in [IS07, IS08]. This subsection is conceptual and we shall make precise computations in next subsection.

A parabolic line bundle is a parabolic sheaf  $F$  such that all the  ${}_a F$  are line bundles. An important class of examples is obtained as follows: let  $L$  be a line bundle on  $\overline{X}$ , if  $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_{\ell})$  is a  $\mathbb{R}^{\ell}$ -indexed, then we can define a parabolic line bundle denoted  $L_*^{\mathbf{a}}$  by setting

$$(2.2) \quad {}_b L^{\mathbf{a}} := L \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \lfloor a_i + b_i \rfloor D_i \right)$$

for any  $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^{\ell}$ .

**Definition 2.3 (Locally abelian parabolic bundle).** — A parabolic sheaf  $E_*$  is a *locally abelian parabolic bundle* if, in a neighborhood of any point  $x \in \overline{X}$  there is an isomorphism between  $E_*$  and a direct sum of parabolic line bundles.

Let  $f : \overline{Y} \rightarrow \overline{X}$  be a holomorphic map of complex manifolds. Let  $D' = \sum_{j=1}^k D'_j$  and  $D = \sum_{i=1}^\ell D_i$  be simple normal crossing divisors on  $\overline{Y}$  and  $\overline{X}$  respectively. Assume that  $f^{-1}(D) \subset D'$ . Denote by  $n_{ij} = \text{ord}_{D'_j} f^* D_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ . Let  $L$  be a line bundle on  $\overline{X}$  and let  $L_*^a$  be the parabolic line bundle defined in (2.2). Set

$$(2.3) \quad f^* \mathbf{a} := \left( \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} n_{i1} a_i, \dots, \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} n_{ik} a_i \right) \in \mathbb{R}^k.$$

Then  $f^*(L_*^a)$  is defined by setting

$$(2.4) \quad {}_b(f^* L)^{f^* \mathbf{a}} := f^* L \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\overline{Y}} \left( \sum_{j=1}^k \lfloor \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} n_{ij} a_i + b_j \rfloor D'_j \right)$$

for any  $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^k$ .

Let  $\overline{X}$  be a compact complex manifold. Consider a locally abelian parabolic bundle  $E_*$  defined on  $\overline{X}$ . We can cover  $\overline{X}$  with open subsets  $U_1, \dots, U_m$ , such that  $E_*|_{U_i}$  can be expressed as a direct sum of parabolic line bundles on each  $U_i$ .

Using this decomposition, we define the pullback  $f^*(E_*|_{U_i})$  as in (2.4). It can be verified that  $f^*(E_*|_{U_i})$  is compatible with  $f^*(E_*|_{U_j})$  whenever  $U_i \cap U_j \neq \emptyset$ . This allows us to extend the local pullback to a global level, resulting in the definition of the pullback of a locally abelian parabolic bundle denoted by  $f^* E_*$ . In next section, we will see an explicit description of the pullback of regular filtered Higgs bundles induced by tame harmonic bundles.

**2.3. Functoriality of pullback of regular filtered Higgs bundle.** — We recall some notions in [Moc07a, §2.2.2]. Let  $X$  be a complex manifold,  $D$  be a simple normal crossing divisor on  $X$ , and  $E$  be a holomorphic vector bundle on  $X \setminus D$  such that  $E|_{X \setminus D}$  is equipped with a hermitian metric  $h$ . Let  $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, \dots, v_r)$  be a smooth frame of  $E|_{X \setminus D}$ . We obtain the  $H(r)$ -valued function  $H(h, \mathbf{v})$  defined over  $X \setminus D$ , whose  $(i, j)$ -component is given by  $h(v_i, v_j)$ .

Let us consider the case  $X = \mathbb{D}^n$ , and  $D = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} D_i$  with  $D_i = (z_i = 0)$ . We have the coordinate  $(z_1, \dots, z_n)$ . Let  $h, E$  and  $\mathbf{v}$  be as above.

**Definition 2.4.** — A smooth frame  $\mathbf{v}$  on  $X \setminus D$  is called *adapted up to log order*, if the following inequalities hold over  $X \setminus D$ :

$$C^{-1} \left( - \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \log |z_i| \right)^{-M} \leq H(h, \mathbf{v}) \leq C \left( - \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \log |z_i| \right)^M$$

for some positive numbers  $M$  and  $C$ .

The goal of this subsection is to establish the following result concerning the functoriality of the pullback of a regular filtered Higgs bundle. This result will play a crucial role in proving Proposition 3.44.

**Proposition 2.5.** — Consider a morphism  $f : \overline{Y} \rightarrow \overline{X}$  of smooth projective varieties  $\overline{X}$  and  $\overline{Y}$ . Let  $D$  and  $D'$  be simple normal crossing divisors on  $\overline{X}$  and  $\overline{Y}$  respectively. Assume that  $f^{-1}(D) \subset D'$ . Let  $(E, \theta, h)$  be a tame harmonic bundle on  $X := \overline{X} \setminus D$ . Let  $(E_*, \theta)$  be the regular filtered Higgs bundle defined in § 2.1. Consider the pullback of  $f^* E_*$  defined in § 2.2, which is also a parabolic bundle over  $(\overline{Y}, D')$ . Then

- (i)  $f^* E_*$  is the prolongation  $\tilde{E}_*$  of  $f^* E$  using the norm growth with respect to the metric  $f^* h$  as defined in (2.1).
- (ii)  $(f^* E_*, f^* \theta)$  is a filtered regular Higgs bundle.

*Proof.* — Since this is a local result, we assume that  $\overline{X} := \mathbb{D}^n$  and  $D := \bigcup_{i=1}^{\ell} \{z_i = 0\}$ . Let  $\overline{Y} := \mathbb{D}^m$  and  $D' := \bigcup_{j=1}^k \{w_j = 0\}$ . Then,  $f^*(z_i) = \prod_{j=1}^k w_j^{n_{ij}} g_i$  for some invertible functions  $\{g_i\}_{i=1, \dots, \ell} \subset \mathcal{O}(\overline{Y})$ .

By [Moc07a, Proposition 8.70], there exists a holomorphic frame  $v = (v_1, \dots, v_r)$  of  ${}^\circ E|_{\overline{X}}$  and  $\{a_{ij}\}_{i=1, \dots, r; j=1, \dots, \ell} \subset \mathbb{R}$  such that if we put  $\tilde{v}_i := v_i \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{\ell} |z_j|^{-a_{ij}}$ , then for the smooth frame  $\tilde{v} = (\tilde{v}_1, \dots, \tilde{v}_r)$  over  $X = \overline{X} \setminus D$ ,  $H(h, \tilde{v})$  is adapted to log order in the sense of Definition 2.4.

Define  $L_i$  to be the sub-line bundle of  ${}^\circ E$  generated by  $v_i$ . Write  $\mathbf{a}_i := (a_{i1}, \dots, a_{i\ell}) \in \mathbb{R}^{\ell}$ . Consider the parabolic line bundle  $(L_i)_*^{\mathbf{a}_i}$  over  $(\overline{X}, D)$  defined in (2.2), namely,

$$(2.5) \quad \mathbf{b}(L_i)^{\mathbf{a}_i} := L_i \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \lfloor a_{ij} + b_j \rfloor D_j \right)$$

for any  $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^{\ell}$ .

**Claim 2.6.** — *The parabolic bundles  $\mathbf{E}_*$  and  $\bigoplus_{i=1}^r (L_i)_*^{\mathbf{a}_i}$  are the same. In particular,  $\mathbf{E}_*$  is locally abelian.*

*Proof.* — By (2.1), for any  $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^{\ell}$ , any holomorphic section  $\sigma \in \Gamma(\overline{X}, \mathbf{b}E)$  satisfies

$$|\sigma|_h = \mathcal{O}\left(\prod_{j=1}^{\ell} |z_j|^{-b_j - \varepsilon}\right) \quad \text{for all real } \varepsilon > 0.$$

As  $v$  is a frame for  ${}^\circ E$ , one can write  $\sigma = \sum_{i=1}^r g_i v_i$  where  $g_i$  is a holomorphic function defined on  $X$ . Write  $\mathbf{g} := (g_1, \dots, g_r)$ . Since  $H(h, \tilde{v})$  is adapted to log order, it follows that

$$C^{-1} \left( -\sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \log |z_j| \right)^{-M} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^r |g_i|^2 \prod_{j=1}^{\ell} |z_j|^{2a_{ij}} \leq \overline{\mathbf{g}} H(h, v) \mathbf{g}^T = |\sigma|_h^2 = \mathcal{O}\left(\prod_{j=1}^{\ell} |z_j|^{-2b_j - \varepsilon}\right)$$

for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Hence for each  $i$  and any  $\varepsilon > 0$  we have

$$|g_i|^2 = \mathcal{O}\left(\prod_{j=1}^{\ell} |z_j|^{-2(b_j + a_{ij}) - \varepsilon}\right).$$

Therefore,  $\text{ord}_{D_j} g_i \geq -\lfloor b_j + a_{ij} \rfloor$ . This proves that

$$\mathbf{b}E \subset \bigoplus_{i=1}^r \mathbf{b}(L_i)^{\mathbf{a}_i}.$$

On the other hand, we consider any section  $\sigma \in \Gamma(\overline{X}, \mathbf{b}(L_i)^{\mathbf{a}_i})$ . Then  $\sigma = g v_i$  for some meromorphic function  $g$  defined over  $\overline{X}$  such that  $\text{ord}_{D_j} g_i \geq -\lfloor b_j + a_{ij} \rfloor$  by (2.5). Therefore, there exists some positive constant  $C > 0$  such that

$$|\sigma|_h^2 = |g|^2 |v_i|_h^2 \leq C \prod_{j=1}^{\ell} |z_j|^{-2(b_j + a_{ij})} \cdot |\tilde{v}_i|_h^2 \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{\ell} |z_j|^{2a_{ij}} = C \prod_{j=1}^{\ell} |z_j|^{-2b_j} \cdot |\tilde{v}_i|_h^2 = \mathcal{O}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{\ell} |z_i|^{-b_i - \varepsilon}\right).$$

holds for any every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , as we have  $|\tilde{v}_i|_h^2 \leq C \left(-\sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \log |z_j|\right)^M$  for some  $C, M > 0$ . This implies that

$$\bigoplus_{i=1}^r \mathbf{b}(L_i)^{\mathbf{a}_i} \subset \mathbf{b}E.$$

The claim is proved.  $\square$

Consider the pullback  $f^*v := (f^*v_1, \dots, f^*v_m)$ . Then it is a holomorphic frame of  $f^*E|_Y$  where  $Y := \overline{Y} \setminus D'$ . Note that we have

$$f^* \tilde{v}_i := f^* v_i \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{\ell} |f^* z_j|^{-a_{ij}} = f^* v_i \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{\ell} \prod_{q=1}^k |w_q|^{-n_{jq} a_{ij}} \cdot g'_i$$



for some invertible holomorphic function  $g'_i \in \mathcal{O}(\overline{Y})$ . Similar to (2.3), we set

$$f^* \mathbf{a}_i := \left( \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} n_{j1} a_{ij}, \dots, \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} n_{jk} a_{ij} \right) \in \mathbb{R}^k.$$

Then we have

$$f^* \tilde{v}_i := f^* v_i \cdot |w^{-f^* \mathbf{a}_i}| \cdot g'_i.$$

Since  $H(h, \tilde{v})$  is adapted to log order, it is easy to check that  $H(f^* h, f^* \tilde{v})$  also is adapted to log order. Set  $e_i := f^* v_i \cdot |w^{-f^* \mathbf{a}_i}|$  for  $i = 1, \dots, r$  and  $e := (e_1, \dots, e_r)$ . Then  $e$  is a smooth frame for  $f^* E|_Y$ . Since  $g'_i$  is invertible, it follows that  $H(f^* h, e)$  is also adapted to log order. Consider the prolongation  $(\tilde{E}_*, \tilde{\theta})$  of the tame harmonic bundle  $(f^* E, f^* \theta, f^* h)$  using the norm growth as defined in (2.1). Applying the result from Claim 2.6 to  $(f^* E, f^* \theta, f^* h)$ , we can conclude that the parabolic bundle  $\tilde{E}_*$  is given by

$$(2.6) \quad \tilde{E}_* = \bigoplus_{i=1}^r (f^* L_i)_{*}^{f^* \mathbf{a}_i},$$

where  $(f^* L_i)_{*}^{f^* \mathbf{a}_i}$  are parabolic line bundles defined by

$$(2.7) \quad \mathfrak{b}(f^* L_i)_{*}^{f^* \mathbf{a}_i} := f^* L_i \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\overline{Y}} \left( \sum_{j=1}^k \lfloor \sum_{q=1}^{\ell} n_{qj} a_{iq} + b_j \rfloor D'_j \right).$$

On the other hand, by our definition of pullback of parabolic bundles and Claim 2.6, we have

$$f^* \mathbf{E}_* := \bigoplus_{i=1}^r f^* (L_i)_{*}^{\mathbf{a}_i}$$

where  $f^* (L_i)_{*}^{\mathbf{a}_i}$  is the pullback of parabolic line bundle  $(L_i)_{*}^{\mathbf{a}_i}$  defined in (2.4). By performing a straightforward computation, we find that

$$\mathfrak{b}(f^* (L_i)_{*}^{\mathbf{a}_i}) = f^* L_i \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\overline{Y}} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \lfloor \sum_{q=1}^{\ell} n_{qj} a_{iq} + b_j \rfloor D'_j \right)$$

for every  $\mathfrak{b} \in \mathbb{R}^{\ell}$ . This equality together with (2.6) and (2.7) yields  $\tilde{E}_* = f^* \mathbf{E}_*$ . We prove our first assertion. The second assertion can be deduced from the first one, combined with Theorem 2.2.  $\square$

**2.4.  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -action and  $\mathbb{R}^*$ -action on character varieties.** — Consider a smooth projective variety  $\overline{X}$  equipped with a simple normal crossing divisor  $D$ . We define  $X$  as the complement of  $D$  in  $\overline{X}$ . Additionally, we fix an ample line bundle  $L$  on  $\overline{X}$ . Let  $\varrho : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_N(\mathbb{C})$  be a reductive representation.

According to Theorem 1.6, there exists a tame pure imaginary harmonic bundle  $(E, \theta, h)$  on  $X$  such that  $(E, \nabla_h + \theta + \theta_h^\dagger)$  is flat, with the monodromy representation being precisely  $\varrho$ . Here  $\nabla_h$  is the Chern connection of  $(E, h)$  and  $\theta_h^\dagger$  is the adjoint of  $\theta$  with respect to  $h$ . Let  $(\mathbf{E}_*, \theta)$  be the prolongation of  $(E, \theta)$  on  $\overline{X}$  defined in § 2.1. By [Moc06, Theorem 1.4],  $(\mathbf{E}_*, \theta)$  is a  $\mu_L$ -polystable regular filtered Higgs bundle on  $(\overline{X}, D)$  with trivial characteristic numbers. Therefore, for any  $t \in \mathbb{C}^*$ ,  $(\mathbf{E}_*, t\theta)$  be also a  $\mu_L$ -polystable regular filtered Higgs bundle on  $(\overline{X}, D)$  with trivial characteristic numbers. By [Moc06, Theorem 9.4], there is a pluriharmonic metric  $h_t$  for  $(E, t\theta)$  adapted to the parabolic structures of  $(\mathbf{E}_*, t\theta)$ . Then  $(E, t\theta, h_t)$  is a harmonic bundle and thus the connection  $\nabla_{h_t} + t\theta + \bar{t}\theta_{h_t}^\dagger$  is flat. Here  $\nabla_{h_t}$  is the Chern connection for  $(E, h_t)$  and  $\theta_{h_t}^\dagger$  is the adjoint of  $\theta$  with respect to  $h_t$ . Let us denote by  $\varrho_t : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_N(\mathbb{C})$  the monodromy representation of  $\nabla_{h_t} + t\theta + \bar{t}\theta_{h_t}^\dagger$ . It should be noted that the representation  $\varrho_t$  is well-defined up to conjugation. As a result, the  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -action is only well-defined over  $M_B(X, N)$  and we shall denote it by

$$t \cdot [\varrho] := [\varrho_t] \quad \text{for any } t \in \mathbb{C}^*.$$

It is important to observe that unlike the compact case,  $\varrho_t$  is not necessarily reductive in general, even if the original representation  $\varrho$  is reductive. However, if  $t \in \mathbb{R}^*$ ,  $(E, t\theta)$  is also pure imaginary and by Theorem 1.6,  $\varrho_t$  is reductive. Nonetheless, we can obtain a family of (might not be semisimple) representations  $\{\varrho_t : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_N(\mathbb{C})\}_{t \in \mathbb{C}^*}$ . By [Moc06, Proofs of Theorem 10.1 and Lemma 10.2] we have

**Lemma 2.7.** — *The map*

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi : \mathbb{R}^* &\rightarrow M_{\mathbb{B}}(\pi_1(X), N) \\ t &\mapsto [\varrho_t] \end{aligned}$$

is continuous.  $\Phi(\{t \in \mathbb{R}^* \mid |t| < 1\})$  is relatively compact in  $M_{\mathbb{B}}(\pi_1(X), N)$ . □

Note that Lemma 2.7 can not be seen directly from [Moc06, Lemma 10.2] as he did not treat the character variety in his paper. Indeed, based on Uhlenbeck's compactness in Gauge theory, Mochizuki's proof can be read as follows: for any  $t_n \in \mathbb{R}^*$  converging to 0, after subtracting to a subsequence, there exists some  $\varrho_0 : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_N(\mathbb{C})$  and  $g_n \in \mathrm{GL}_N(\mathbb{C})$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g_n^* \varrho_{t_n} = \varrho_0$  in the representation variety  $R(\pi_1(X), \mathrm{GL}_N(\mathbb{C}))$ . Moreover, one can check that  $\varrho_0$  corresponds to some tame pure imaginary harmonic bundle, and thus by Theorem 1.6 it is reductive (cf. [BDDM22] for a more detailed study). For this reason, we can see that it will be more practical to work with  $\mathbb{R}^*$ -action instead of  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -action as the representations we encounter are all reductive.

When  $X$  is compact, Simpson proved that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \Phi(t)$  exists and underlies a  $\mathbb{C}$ -VHS. However, this result is current unknown in the quasi-projective setting. Instead, Mochizuki proved that, we achieve a  $\mathbb{C}$ -VHS after finite steps of deformations. Let us recall it briefly and the readers can refer to [Moc06, §10.1] for more details.

Let  $\varrho : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_N(\mathbb{C})$  be a reductive representation. Then there exists a tame and pure imaginary harmonic bundle  $(E, \theta, h)$  corresponding to  $\varrho$ . Then the induced regular filtered Higgs bundle  $(\mathbf{E}_*, \theta)$  on  $(\bar{X}, D)$  is  $\mu_L$ -polystable with trivial characteristic numbers. Hence we have a decomposition

$$(\mathbf{E}_*, \theta) = \bigoplus_{j \in \Lambda} (\mathbf{E}_{j^*}, \theta_j) \otimes \mathbb{C}^{m_j}$$

where  $(\mathbf{E}_{j^*}, \theta_j)$  is  $\mu_L$ -stable regular filtered Higgs bundle with trivial characteristic numbers. Put  $r(\varrho) := \sum_{j \in \Lambda} m_j$ . Then  $r(\varrho) \leq \mathrm{rank} E$ . For any  $t \in \mathbb{R}^*$ , we know that  $(E, t\theta)$  is still tame and pure imaginary and thus  $\varrho_t$  is also reductive. Since  $\varrho(\{t \in \mathbb{R}^* \mid |t| < 1\})$  is relatively compact, then there exists some  $t_n \in \mathbb{R}^*$  which converges to zero such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [\varrho_{t_n}]$  exists, denoting by  $[\varrho_0]$ . Moreover,  $\varrho_0$  corresponds to some tame harmonic bundle. There are two possibilities:

- For each  $j \in \Lambda$ ,  $(\mathbf{E}_{j^*}, t_n \theta_j)$  converges to some  $\mu_L$ -stable regular filtered Higgs sheaf (cf. [Moc06, p. 96] for the definition of convergence). Then by [Moc06, Proposition 10.3],  $\varrho_0$  underlies a  $\mathbb{C}$ -VHS.
- For some  $i \in \Lambda$ ,  $(\mathbf{E}_{i^*}, t_n \theta_i)$  converges to some  $\mu_L$ -semistable regular filtered Higgs sheaf, but not  $\mu_L$ -stable. Then by [Moc06, Lemma 10.4], we have  $r(\varrho) < r(\varrho_0)$ . In other words, letting  $\varrho_i$  be the representation corresponding to  $(\mathbf{E}_{j^*}, \theta_j)$  and  $\varrho_{i,t}$  be the deformation under  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -action. Then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varrho_{i,t_n}$  exists, denoted by  $\varrho_{i,0}$ . Then  $\varrho_{i,0}$  corresponds to some tame harmonic bundle, and thus also a  $\mu_L$ -polystable regular filtered Higgs bundle which is not stable. In this case, we further deform  $\varrho_0$  until we achieve Case 1.

In summary, Mochizuki's result implies the following, which we shall refer to as *Mochizuki's ubiquity*, analogous to the term *Simpson's ubiquity* for the compact case (cf. [Sim91]).

**Theorem 2.8.** — *Let  $X$  be a smooth quasi-projective variety. Consider  $\mathfrak{C}$ , a Zariski closed subset of  $M_{\mathbb{B}}(X, G)(\mathbb{C})$ , where  $G$  denotes a complex reductive group. If  $\mathfrak{C}$  is invariant under the action of  $\mathbb{R}^*$  defined above, then each geometrically connected component of  $\mathfrak{C}(\mathbb{C})$  contains a  $\mathbb{C}$ -point  $[\varrho]$  such that  $\varrho : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_N(\mathbb{C})$  is a reductive representation that underlies a  $\mathbb{C}$ -variation of Hodge structure. □*

**2.5. Pullback of reductive representations commutes with  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -action.** — In this section, we prove that the  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -action on character varieties commutes with the pullback.

**Proposition 2.9.** — *Let  $f : Y \rightarrow X$  be a morphism of smooth quasi-projective varieties. If  $\varrho : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_N(\mathbb{C})$  is a reductive representation, then for any  $t \in \mathbb{C}^*$ , we have*

$$(2.8) \quad f^*(t \cdot [\varrho]) = t \cdot [f^* \varrho].$$

*Proof.* — Let  $\overline{X}$  and  $\overline{Y}$  be smooth projective compactifications of  $X$  and  $Y$  such that  $D := \overline{X} \setminus X$  and  $D' := \overline{Y} \setminus Y$  are simple normal crossing divisors. We may assume that  $f$  extends to a morphism  $f : \overline{Y} \rightarrow \overline{X}$ .

By Theorem 1.6, there is a tame pure imaginary harmonic bundle  $(E, \theta, h)$  on  $X$  such that  $\varrho$  is the monodromy representation of the flat connection  $\nabla_h + \theta + \theta_h^\dagger$ . Then  $f^*\varrho$  is the monodromy representation of  $f^*(\nabla_h + \theta + \theta_h^\dagger)$ , which is the flat connection corresponding to the harmonic bundle  $(f^*E, f^*\theta, f^*h)$ .

Let  $(E_*, \theta)$  be the induced regular filtered Higgs bundle on  $(\overline{X}, D)$  by  $(E, \theta, h)$  defined in § 2.1. According to §§ 2.2 and 2.3 we can define the pullback  $(f^*E_*, f^*\theta)$ , which also forms a regular filtered Higgs bundle on  $(\overline{Y}, D')$  with trivial characteristic numbers.

Fix some ample line bundle  $L$  on  $\overline{X}$ . It is worth noting that for any  $t \in \mathbb{C}^*$ ,  $(E_*, t\theta)$  is  $\mu_L$ -polystable with trivial characteristic numbers. By [Moc06, Theorem 9.4], there is a pluriharmonic metric  $h_t$  for  $(E, t\theta)$  adapted to the parabolic structures of  $(E_*, t\theta)$ . Recall that in § 2.4,  $\varrho_t$  is defined to be the monodromy representation of the flat connection  $\nabla_{h_t} + t\theta + \bar{t}\theta_{h_t}^\dagger$ . It follows that  $f^*\varrho_t$  is the monodromy representation of the flat connection  $f^*(\nabla_{h_t} + t\theta + \bar{t}\theta_{h_t}^\dagger)$ .

By virtue of Proposition 2.5, the regular filtered Higgs bundle  $(f^*E_*, t f^*\theta)$  is the prolongation of the tame harmonic bundle  $(f^*E, t f^*\theta, f^*h_t)$  using norm growth defined in § 2.1. By the definition of  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -action,  $(f^*\varrho)_t$  is the monodromy representation of the flat connection  $\nabla_{f^*h_t} + t f^*\theta + \bar{t}(f^*\theta)_{f^*h_t}^\dagger$ , which is equal to  $f^*(\nabla_{h_t} + t\theta + \bar{t}\theta_{h_t}^\dagger)$ . It follows that  $(f^*\varrho)_t = f^*\varrho_t$ . This concludes (2.8).  $\square$

As a direct consequence of Proposition 2.9, we have the following result.

**Corollary 2.10.** — *Let  $f : Y \rightarrow X$  be a morphism of smooth quasi-projective varieties. Let  $M \subset M_B(X, N)(\mathbb{C})$  be a subset which is invariant by  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -action (or  $\mathbb{R}^*$ -action). Then for the morphism  $f^* : M_B(X, N) \rightarrow M_B(Y, N)$  between character varieties,  $f^*M$  is also invariant by  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -action (or  $\mathbb{R}^*$ -action).*  $\square$

### 3. Construction of the Shafarevich morphism

The aim of this section is to establish the proofs of Theorem A. Additionally, the techniques developed in this section will play a crucial role in § 4 dedicated to the proof of the reductive Shafarevich conjecture.

**3.1. Factorizing through non-rigidity.** — In this subsection,  $X$  is assumed to be a smooth quasi-projective variety. Let  $\mathfrak{C} \subset M_B(X, N)(\mathbb{C})$  be a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -constructible subset. Since  $M_B(X, N)$  is a finite type affine scheme defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$ ,  $\mathfrak{C}$  is defined over some number field  $k$ .

Let us utilize Lemma 1.28 and Theorem 1.27 to construct a reduction map  $s_{\mathfrak{C}} : X \rightarrow S_{\mathfrak{C}}$  associated with  $\mathfrak{C}$ , which allows us to factorize non-rigid representations into those underlying  $\mathbb{C}$ -VHS with discrete monodromy.

**Definition 3.1.** — The reduction map  $s_{\mathfrak{C}} : X \rightarrow S_{\mathfrak{C}}$  is obtained through the simultaneous Stein factorization of the reductions  $\{s_\tau : X \rightarrow S_\tau\}_{[\tau] \in \mathfrak{C}(K)}$ , employing Lemma 1.28. Here  $\tau : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_N(K)$  ranges over all reductive representations with  $K$  a non-archimedean local field containing  $k$  such that  $[\tau] \in \mathfrak{C}(K)$  and  $s_\tau : X \rightarrow S_\tau$  is the reduction map constructed in Theorem 1.27.

Note that  $s_{\mathfrak{C}} : X \rightarrow S_{\mathfrak{C}}$  is a dominant morphism with connected general fibers. For every subvariety  $Z \subset X$ ,  $s_{\mathfrak{C}}(Z)$  is a point if and only if  $s_\tau(Z)$  is a point for any reductive representation  $\tau : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_N(K)$  with  $K$  a non-archimedean local field containing  $k$  such that  $[\tau] \in \mathfrak{C}(K)$ .

The reduction map  $s_{\mathfrak{C}} : X \rightarrow S_{\mathfrak{C}}$  employs the following crucial property, thanks to Theorem 1.27.

**Lemma 3.2.** — *Let  $F \subset X$  be a connected Zariski closed subset such that  $s_{\mathfrak{C}}(F)$  is a single point in  $S_{\mathfrak{C}}$ . Then for any non-archimedean local field  $L$  and any reductive representation  $\tau : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_N(L)$ , the image  $\tau(\mathrm{Im}[\pi_1(F) \rightarrow \pi_1(X)])$  is a bounded subgroup of  $\mathrm{GL}_N(L)$ .*

*Proof.* — By our construction of  $s_{\mathfrak{C}}$ ,  $s_{\tau}(F)$  is a single point. Hence by Theorem 1.27,  $\tau(\text{Im}[\pi_1(F) \rightarrow \pi_1(X)])$  is bounded.  $\square$

Recall the following definition in [KP23, Definition 2.2.1].

**Definition 3.3 (Bounded set).** — Let  $K$  be a non-archimedean local field. Let  $X$  be an affine  $K$ -scheme of finite type. A subset  $B \subset X(K)$  is *bounded* if for every  $f \in K[X]$ , the set  $\{v(f(b)) \mid b \in B\}$  is bounded below, where  $v : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is the valuation of  $K$ .

We have the following lemma in [KP23, Fact 2.2.3].

**Lemma 3.4.** — *If  $B \subset X(K)$  is closed, then  $B$  is bounded if and only if  $B$  is compact with respect to the analytic topology of  $X(K)$ . If  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is a morphism of affine  $K$ -schemes of finite type, then  $f$  carries bounded subsets of  $X(K)$  to bounded subsets in  $Y(K)$ .*  $\square$

**Definition 3.5.** — Let  $k$  be an algebraically closed field and let  $V$  be a finite dimensional  $k$ -vector space. Let  $G$  be a group and  $\varrho : G \rightarrow \text{GL}(V)$  be a representation. A filtration  $0 = V_0 \subsetneq V_1 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq V_k = V$  is called a Jordan-Holder series if all the  $V_j$  are subrepresentations of  $\varrho$  and the induced representation  $\varrho_j : G \rightarrow \text{GL}(V_j/V_{j-1})$  by  $\varrho$  is irreducible. The *semisimplification* of  $\varrho$  is  $\bigoplus_{i=j}^k \varrho_j$ . By the Jordan-Holder theorem, the semisimplification of  $\varrho$  exists and it is independent of the choice of Jordan-Holder filtration.

We will establish a lemma that plays a crucial role in the proof of Proposition 3.10 and is also noteworthy in its own regard.

**Lemma 3.6.** — *Let  $\varrho : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \text{GL}_N(K)$  be a (un)bounded representation. Then its semisimplification  $\varrho^{ss} : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \text{GL}_N(\bar{K})$  is also (un)bounded.*

*Proof.* — Note that there exists some  $g \in \text{GL}_N(\bar{K})$  such that

$$(3.1) \quad g\varrho g^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \varrho_1 & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ 0 & \varrho_2 & \cdots & a_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \varrho_n \end{bmatrix}$$

where  $\varrho_i : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \text{GL}_{N_i}(\bar{K})$  is an irreducible representation such that  $\sum_{i=1}^n N_i = N$  and  $a_{ij}$  is a map from  $\pi_1(X)$  to the set of  $N_i \times N_j$  matrices  $M_{N_i \times N_j}(\bar{K})$ . Note that  $g\varrho g^{-1}$  is unbounded if and only if  $\varrho$  is unbounded. Hence we may assume at the beginning that  $\varrho$  has the form of (3.1). The semisimplification of  $\varrho$  is defined by

$$\varrho^{ss} = \begin{bmatrix} \varrho_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \varrho_2 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \varrho_n \end{bmatrix}$$

It is obvious that if  $\varrho$  is bounded, then  $\varrho^{ss}$  is bounded.

Assume now  $\varrho^{ss}$  is bounded. Then each  $\varrho_i$  is bounded. Let  $L$  be a finite extension of  $K$  such that  $\varrho$  is defined over  $L$ . Then  $\varrho_i(\pi_1(X))$  is contained in some maximal compact subgroup of  $\text{GL}_{N_i}(L)$ . Since all maximal compact subgroups of  $\text{GL}_{N_i}(L)$  are conjugate to  $\text{GL}_{N_i}(\mathcal{O}_L)$ , then there exists  $g_i \in \text{GL}_{N_i}(L)$  such that  $g_i\varrho_i g_i^{-1} : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \text{GL}_{N_i}(\mathcal{O}_L)$ . Define

$$(3.2) \quad \tau := \begin{bmatrix} g_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & g_2 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & g_n \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \varrho_1 & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ 0 & \varrho_2 & \cdots & a_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \varrho_n \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} g_1^{-1} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & g_2^{-1} & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & g_n^{-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

which is conjugate to  $\varrho$ . Then  $\tau$  can be written as

$$\tau = \begin{bmatrix} g_1 \varrho_1 g_1^{-1} & h_{12} & \cdots & h_{1n} \\ 0 & g_2 \varrho_2 g_2^{-1} & \cdots & h_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & g_n \varrho_n g_n^{-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

such that  $g_i \varrho_i g_i^{-1} : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_N(\mathcal{O}_L)$  is irreducible. Write

$$\tau_1 := \begin{bmatrix} g_1 \varrho_1 g_1^{-1} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & g_2 \varrho_2 g_2^{-1} & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & g_n \varrho_n g_n^{-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$\tau_2 := \begin{bmatrix} 0 & h_{12} & \cdots & h_{1n} \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & h_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Note that  $\tau_2$  is not a group homomorphism but only a map from  $\pi_1(X)$  to  $\mathrm{GL}_N(L)$ .

For any matrix  $B$  with values in  $L$ , we shall write  $v(B)$  the matrix whose entries are the valuation of the corresponding entries in  $B$  by  $v : L \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . Let us define  $M(B)$  the lower bound of the entries of  $v(B)$ . Then for another matrix  $A$  with values in  $L$ , one has  $M(A+B) \geq \min\{M(A), M(B)\}$ .

Let  $x_1, \dots, x_m$  be a generator of  $\pi_1(X)$ . Let  $C$  be the lower bound of the entries of  $\{v(h_{ij}(x_k))\}_{i,j=1,\dots,n;k=1,\dots,m}$ . We assume that  $C < 0$ , or else it is easy to see that  $\tau$  is bounded. Note that  $\min_{i=1,\dots,m} M(g_i \varrho_i g_i^{-1}(x_i)) \geq 0$ . It follows that  $M(\tau_1(x_i)) \geq 0$  for each  $x_i$ . Then for any  $x = x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_\ell}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} M(\tau(x)) &= M\left(\sum_{j_1, \dots, j_\ell=1,2} \tau_{j_1}(x_{i_1}) \cdots \tau_{j_\ell}(x_{i_\ell})\right) \\ &\geq \min_{j_1, \dots, j_\ell=1,2} \{M(\tau_{j_1}(x_{i_1}) \cdots \tau_{j_\ell}(x_{i_\ell}))\}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $\tau_{j_1}(x_{i_1}) \cdots \tau_{j_\ell}(x_{i_\ell}) = 0$  if  $\#\{k \mid j_k = 2\} \geq n$  since  $\tau_2(x_i)$  is nilpotent. Hence

$$M(\tau(x)) \geq \min_{j_1, \dots, j_\ell=1,2; \#\{k \mid j_k=2\} < n} \{M(\tau_{j_1}(x_{i_1}) \cdots \tau_{j_\ell}(x_{i_\ell}))\}.$$

Since  $M(\tau_1(x_i)) \geq 0$  for each  $x_i$ , it follows that  $M(\tau_{j_1}(x_{i_1}) \cdots \tau_{j_\ell}(x_{i_\ell})) \geq (n-1)C$  if  $\#\{k \mid j_k = 2\} < n$ . Therefore,  $M(\tau(x)) \geq (n-1)C$  for any  $x \in \pi_1(X)$ .  $\tau$  is thus bounded. Since  $\varrho$  is conjugate to  $\tau$ ,  $\varrho$  is also bounded. We finish the proof of the lemma.  $\square$

We recall the following facts of character varieties (cf. [LM85, Theorem 1.28]).

**Lemma 3.7.** — *Let  $K$  be an algebraically closed field. Then the  $K$ -points  $M_{\mathbb{B}}(X, N)$  are in one-to-one correspondence with the conjugate classes of semisimple representations  $\pi_1(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_N(K)$ . More precisely, if  $\{\varrho_i : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_N(K)\}_{i=1,2}$  are two linear representations such that  $[\varrho_1] = [\varrho_2] \in M_{\mathbb{B}}(X, N)(K)$ , then the semisimplification of  $\varrho_1$  and  $\varrho_2$  are conjugate.*  $\square$

The following result is thus a consequence of Lemma 3.6.

**Lemma 3.8.** — *Let  $K$  be a non-archimedean local field. Let  $x \in M_{\mathbb{B}}(X, N)(K)$ . If  $\{\varrho_i : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_N(\bar{K})\}_{i=1,2}$  are two linear representations such that  $[\varrho_1] = [\varrho_2] = x \in M_{\mathbb{B}}(X, N)(\bar{K})$ , then  $\varrho_1$  is bounded if and only if  $\varrho_2$  is bounded. In other words, for the GIT quotient  $\pi : R(X, N) \rightarrow M_{\mathbb{B}}(X, N)$  where  $R(X, N)$  is the representation variety of  $\pi_1(X)$  into  $\mathrm{GL}_N$ , for any  $x \in M_{\mathbb{B}}(X, N)(\bar{K})$ , the representations in  $\pi^{-1}(x) \subset R(X, N)(\bar{K})$  are either all bounded or all unbounded.*

*Proof.* — By the assumption and Lemma 3.7, we know that the semisimplifications  $\varrho_1^{ss} : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_N(\bar{K})$  of  $\varrho_2^{ss} : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_N(\bar{K})$  are conjugate by an element  $g \in \mathrm{GL}_N(\bar{K})$ . Therefore, there exists a finite extension  $L$  of  $K$  such that  $\varrho_i^{ss}$  and  $\varrho_i$  are all defined in  $L$  and  $g \in \mathrm{GL}_N(L)$ . Hence

$\varrho_1^{ss}$  is bounded if and only if  $\varrho_2^{ss}$  is bounded. By Lemma 3.6, we know that  $\varrho_i^{ss}$  is bounded if and only if  $\varrho_i$  is bounded. Therefore, the lemma follows.  $\square$

We thus can make the following definition.

**Definition 3.9 (Class of bounded representations).** — Let  $K$  be a non-archimedean local field of characteristic zero. A point  $x \in M_{\mathbb{B}}(X, N)(\bar{K})$  is called a *class of bounded representations* if there exist some  $\varrho : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_N(\bar{K})$  (thus any  $\varrho$  by Lemma 3.8) such that  $[\varrho] = x$  and  $\varrho$  is bounded.

**Proposition 3.10.** — Let  $X$  be a smooth quasi-projective variety and let  $\mathfrak{C}$  be a  $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$ -constructible subset of  $M_{\mathbb{B}}(X, N)$ . Let  $f : F \rightarrow X$  be a morphism from a quasi-projective normal variety  $F$  such that  $s_{\mathfrak{C}} \circ f(F)$  is a point. Let  $\{\tau_i : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_N(\mathbb{C})\}_{i=1,2}$  be reductive representations such that  $[\tau_1]$  and  $[\tau_2]$  are in the same geometric connected component of  $\mathfrak{C}(\mathbb{C})$ . Then  $\tau_1 \circ \iota$  is conjugate to  $\tau_2 \circ \iota$ , where  $\iota : \pi_1(F) \rightarrow \pi_1(X)$  is the homomorphism of fundamental groups induced by  $f$ . In other words,  $j(\mathfrak{C})$  is zero-dimensional, where  $j : M_{\mathbb{B}}(X, N) \rightarrow M_{\mathbb{B}}(F, N)$  is the natural morphism of character varieties induced by  $\iota : \pi_1(F) \rightarrow \pi_1(X)$ .

*Proof.* — Let  $M_X$  (resp.  $M$ ) be the moduli space of representations of  $\pi_1(X)$  (resp.  $\pi_1(F)$ ) in  $\mathrm{GL}_N$ . Note that  $M_X$  and  $M$  are both affine schemes of finite type defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Let  $R_X$  (resp.  $R$ ) be the affine scheme of finite type defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$  such that  $R_X(L) = \mathrm{Hom}(\pi_1(X), \mathrm{GL}_N(L))$  (resp.  $R(L) = \mathrm{Hom}(\pi_1(F), \mathrm{GL}_N(L))$ ) for any field  $L/\mathbb{Q}$ . Then we have

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} R_X & \xrightarrow{\pi} & M_X \\ \downarrow \iota^* & & \downarrow j \\ R & \xrightarrow{p} & M \end{array}$$

where  $\pi : R_X \rightarrow M_X$  and  $p : R \rightarrow M$  are the GIT quotient that are both surjective. For any field extension  $K/\mathbb{Q}$  and any  $\varrho \in R_X(K)$ , we write  $[\varrho] := \pi(\varrho) \in M_X(K)$ . Let  $\mathfrak{R} := \pi^{-1}(\mathfrak{C})$  that is a constructible subset defined over some number field  $k$ . Then  $\tau_i \in \mathfrak{R}(\mathbb{C})$ .

**Claim 3.11.** — Let  $\mathfrak{R}'$  be any geometric irreducible component of  $\mathfrak{R}$ . Then  $j \circ \pi(\mathfrak{R}')$  is zero dimensional.

*Proof.* — Assume, for the sake of contradiction, that  $j \circ \pi(\mathfrak{R}')$  is positive-dimensional. If we replace  $k$  by a finite extension, we may assume that  $\mathfrak{R}'$  is defined over  $k$ . Since  $M$  is an affine  $\mathbb{Q}$ -scheme of finite type, it follows that there exist a  $k$ -morphism  $\psi : M \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$  such that the image  $\psi \circ j \circ \pi(\mathfrak{R}')$  is Zariski dense in  $\mathbb{A}^1$ . After replacing  $k$  by a finite extension, we can find a locally closed irreducible curve  $C \subset \mathfrak{R}'$  such that the restriction  $\psi \circ j \circ \pi|_C : C \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$  is a generically finite  $k$ -morphism. We take a Zariski open subset  $U \subset \mathbb{A}^1$  such that  $\psi \circ j \circ \pi|_C$  is finite over  $U$ . Let  $\mathfrak{p}$  be a prime ideal of the ring of integer  $\mathcal{O}_k$  and let  $K$  be its non-archimedean completion. In the following, we shall work over  $K$ .

Let  $x \in U(K)$  be a point, and let  $y \in C(\bar{K})$  be a point over  $x$ . Then  $y$  is defined over some extension of  $K$  whose extension degree is bounded by the degree of  $\psi \circ j \circ \pi|_C : C \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$ . Note that there are only finitely many such field extensions. Hence there exists a finite extension  $L/K$  such that the points over  $U(K)$  are all contained in  $C(L)$ . Since  $U(K) \subset \mathbb{A}^1(L)$  is unbounded, the image  $\psi \circ j \circ \pi(C(L)) \subset \mathbb{A}^1(L)$  is unbounded.

Let  $R_0$  be the set of bounded representations in  $R(L)$ . Recall that by [Yam10],  $M_0 := p(R_0)$  is compact in  $M(L)$  with respect to analytic topology, hence  $M_0$  is bounded by Lemma 3.4. By Lemma 3.4 once again,  $\psi(M_0)$  is a bounded subset in  $\mathbb{A}^1(L)$ . Recall that  $\psi \circ j \circ \pi(C(L)) \subset \mathbb{A}^1(L)$  is unbounded. Therefore, there exists  $\varrho \in C(L)$  such that  $\psi \circ j([\varrho]) \notin \psi(M_0)$ . Note that  $[\varrho \circ \iota] = j([\varrho])$  by (3.3). Hence  $[\varrho \circ \iota] \notin M_0$  which implies that  $\varrho \circ \iota \notin R_0$ . By the definition of  $R_0$ ,  $\varrho \circ \iota$  is unbounded.

Let  $\varrho^{ss} : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_N(\bar{L})$  be the semisimplification of  $\varrho$ . Then  $[\varrho] = [\varrho^{ss}] \in \mathfrak{C}(\bar{L})$  by Lemma 3.7. Therefore,  $[\varrho \circ \iota] = [\varrho^{ss} \circ \iota] \in M(\bar{L})$  by (3.3). By Lemma 3.8,  $\varrho^{ss} \circ \iota : \pi_1(F) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_N(\bar{L})$  is also unbounded. Note that  $\varrho^{ss} \circ \iota$  is reductive by Theorem 1.7. Since  $\pi_1(F)$  is finitely generated, there exist a finite extension  $L'$  of  $L$  such that  $\varrho^{ss}$  is defined over  $L'$ . However, by

Lemma 3.2,  $\varrho^{ss} \circ \iota$  is always bounded. We obtain a contradiction and thus  $j \circ \pi(\mathfrak{R}')$  is zero dimensional.

We can also apply [Kem78, Corollary 4.3] instead of Lemma 3.8. As  $\varrho \in C(L)$ , its image  $[\varrho] \in M_X(L)$ . Consider the fiber  $\pi^{-1}([\varrho])$  which is an  $L$ -variety. Its closed orbit is defined over  $L$  by Galois descent. As  $\pi^{-1}([\varrho])$  contains the  $L$ -point  $\varrho$ , the closed orbit in  $\pi^{-1}([\varrho])$  has an  $L$ -point  $\varrho' : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_N(L)$  as well by [Kem78, Corollary 4.3]. By Lemma 3.7,  $\varrho'$  is reductive and  $[\varrho'] = [\varrho]$ . Hence  $[\varrho' \circ \iota] = [\varrho \circ \iota] \notin M_0$ . Therefore,  $\varrho' \circ \iota : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_N(L)$  is unbounded by our definition of  $M_0$ . However, by the definition of  $s_{\mathfrak{C}} : X \rightarrow S_{\mathfrak{C}}$  in Definition 3.1,  $\varrho' \circ \iota$  is always bounded. We obtain a contradiction and thus  $j \circ \pi(\mathfrak{R}')$  is zero dimensional.  $\square$

Let  $\{\tau_i : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_N(\mathbb{C})\}_{i=1,2}$  be reductive representations such that  $[\tau_1]$  and  $[\tau_2]$  are contained in the same geometric connected component  $\mathfrak{C}'$  of  $\mathfrak{C}(\mathbb{C})$ . We aim to prove that  $j(\mathfrak{C}')$  is a point in  $M(\mathbb{C})$ .

Consider a geometric irreducible component  $\mathfrak{C}''$  of  $\mathfrak{C}'$ . We can choose a geometric irreducible component  $Z$  of  $\pi^{-1}(\mathfrak{C}'')$  such that  $\pi(Z)$  is dense in  $\mathfrak{C}''$ . It follows that  $Z$  is an irreducible component of  $\mathfrak{R}(\mathbb{C})$ . By Claim 3.11, we know that  $j \circ \pi(Z)$  is a point in  $M(\mathbb{C})$ . Thus,  $j(\mathfrak{C}'')$  is also a point in  $M(\mathbb{C})$ .

Consequently,  $j(\mathfrak{C}')$  is a point in  $M(\mathbb{C})$ . As a result, we have  $[\tau_1 \circ \iota] = j([\tau_1]) = j([\tau_2]) = [\tau_2 \circ \iota]$ . By Theorem 1.7,  $\tau_1 \circ \iota$  and  $\tau_2 \circ \iota$  are reductive, and according to Lemma 3.7, they are conjugate to each other. We have established the proposition.  $\square$

We will need the following lemma on the intersection of kernels of representations.

**Lemma 3.12.** — *Let  $X$  be a quasi-projective normal variety and let  $\mathfrak{C}$  be a constructible subset of  $M_{\mathbb{B}}(X, N)(\mathbb{C})$ . Then we have*

$$(3.4) \quad \bigcap_{[\varrho] \in \mathfrak{C}} \ker \varrho = \bigcap_{[\varrho] \in \overline{\mathfrak{C}}} \ker \varrho,$$

where  $\varrho$ 's are reductive representations of  $\pi_1(X)$  into  $\mathrm{GL}_N(\mathbb{C})$ .

*Proof.* — Let  $M_X$  be the moduli space of representation of  $\pi_1(X)$  in  $\mathrm{GL}_N$ . Let  $R_X$  be the affine scheme of finite type such that  $R(L) = \mathrm{Hom}(\pi_1(X), N)(L)$  for any field  $\mathbb{Q} \subset L$ . We write  $M := M_X(\mathbb{C})$  and  $R := R_X(\mathbb{C})$ . Then the GIT quotient  $\pi : R \rightarrow M$  is a surjective morphism. It follows that  $\pi^{-1}(\mathfrak{C})$  is a  $\mathrm{GL}_N(\mathbb{C})$ -invariant subset where  $\mathrm{GL}_N(\mathbb{C})$  acts on  $R$  by the conjugation. Define  $H := \bigcap_{[\varrho] \in \mathfrak{C}} \ker \varrho$ , where  $\varrho$ 's are reductive representations of  $\pi_1(X)$  into  $\mathrm{GL}_N(\mathbb{C})$ . Pick any  $\gamma \in H$ . Then the set  $Z_\gamma := \{\varrho \in R \mid \varrho(\gamma) = 1\}$  is a Zariski closed subset of  $R$ . Moreover,  $Z_\gamma$  is  $\mathrm{GL}_N(\mathbb{C})$ -invariant. Define  $Z := \bigcap_{\gamma \in H} Z_\gamma$ . Then  $Z$  is also  $\mathrm{GL}_N(\mathbb{C})$ -invariant. Therefore,  $\pi(Z)$  is also a Zariski closed subset of  $M$  by [Muk03, Proposition 5.10]. Note that  $\mathfrak{C} \subset \pi(Z)$ . Therefore,  $\overline{\mathfrak{C}} \subset \pi(Z)$ . Note that for any reductive  $\varrho : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_N(\mathbb{C})$  such that  $[\varrho] \in \pi(Z)$ , we have  $\varrho(\gamma) = 1$  for any  $\gamma \in H$ . It follows that (3.4) holds.  $\square$

Lastly, let us prove the main result of this subsection. This result will serve as a crucial cornerstone in the proofs of Theorems A, C and D.

**Proposition 3.13.** — *Let  $X$  be a smooth quasi-projective variety. Let  $\mathfrak{C}$  be a constructible subset of  $M_{\mathbb{B}}(X, N)(\mathbb{C})$ , defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , such that  $\mathfrak{C}$  is invariant under  $\mathbb{R}^*$ -action. When  $X$  is non-compact, we further assume that  $\mathfrak{C}$  is closed. Then there exist reductive representations  $\{\sigma_i^{\mathrm{VHS}} : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_N(\mathbb{C})\}_{i=1, \dots, m}$  such that each  $\sigma_i^{\mathrm{VHS}}$  underlies a  $\mathbb{C}$ -VHS, and for a morphism  $\iota : Z \rightarrow X$  from any quasi-projective normal variety  $Z$  with  $s_{\mathfrak{C}} \circ \iota(Z)$  being a point, the following properties hold:*

- (i) For  $\sigma := \bigoplus_{i=1}^m \sigma_i^{\mathrm{VHS}}$ ,  $\iota^* \sigma(\pi_1(Z))$  is discrete in  $\prod_{i=1}^m \mathrm{GL}_N(\mathbb{C})$ .
- (ii) For each reductive representation  $\tau : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_N(\mathbb{C})$  with  $[\tau] \in \mathfrak{C}(\mathbb{C})$ ,  $\iota^* \tau$  is conjugate to some  $\iota^* \sigma_i^{\mathrm{VHS}}$ .
- (iii) For each  $\sigma_i^{\mathrm{VHS}}$ , there exists a reductive representation  $\tau : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_N(\mathbb{C})$  with  $[\tau] \in \mathfrak{C}(\mathbb{C})$  such that  $\iota^* \tau$  is conjugate to  $\iota^* \sigma_i^{\mathrm{VHS}}$ .
- (iv) For every  $i = 1, \dots, m$ , we have

$$(3.5) \quad \bigcap_{[\varrho] \in \mathfrak{C}} \ker \varrho \subset \ker \sigma_i^{\mathrm{VHS}}$$

where  $\varrho : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_N(\mathbb{C})$  varies among all reductive representations such that  $[\varrho] \in \mathfrak{C}(\mathbb{C})$ .

*Proof.* — Let  $\mathfrak{C}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{C}_\ell$  be all geometric connected components of  $\mathfrak{C}$  which are defined over  $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$ . We can pick reductive representations  $\{\varrho_i : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_N(\bar{\mathbb{Q}})\}_{i=1, \dots, \ell}$  such that  $[\varrho_i] \in \mathfrak{C}_i(\bar{\mathbb{Q}})$  for every  $i$ . Since  $\pi_1(X)$  is finitely generated, there exists a number field  $k$  which is a Galois extension of  $\mathbb{Q}$  such that  $\varrho_i : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_N(k)$  for every  $\varrho_i$ .

Let  $\mathrm{Ar}(k)$  be all archimedean places of  $k$  with  $w_1$  the identity map. Then for any  $w \in \mathrm{Ar}(k)$  there exists  $a \in \mathrm{Gal}(k/\mathbb{Q})$  such that  $w = w_1 \circ a$ . Note that  $\mathfrak{C}$  is defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Then  $\mathfrak{C}$  is invariant under the conjugation  $a$ . Therefore, for any  $w : k \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  in  $\mathrm{Ar}(k)$ , letting  $\varrho_{i,w} : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_N(\mathbb{C})$  be the composition  $w \circ \varrho_i$ , we have  $[\varrho_{i,w}] \in \mathfrak{C}(\mathbb{C})$ .

For any  $t \in \mathbb{R}^*$ , we consider the  $\mathbb{R}^*$ -action  $\varrho_{i,w,t} : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_N(\mathbb{C})$  of  $\varrho_{i,w}$  defined in § 2.4. Then  $\varrho_{i,w,t}$  is also reductive by the arguments in § 2.4. Since we assume that  $\mathfrak{C}(\mathbb{C})$  is invariant under  $\mathbb{R}^*$ -action, it follows that  $[\varrho_{i,w,t}] \in \mathfrak{C}(\mathbb{C})$ . By Lemma 2.7,  $[\varrho_{i,w,t}]$  is a continuous deformation of  $[\varrho_{i,w}]$ . Hence they are in the same geometric connected component of  $\mathfrak{C}(\mathbb{C})$ , and by Proposition 3.10 we conclude that  $[t^* \varrho_{i,w,t}] = [t^* \varrho_{i,w}]$  for any  $t \in \mathbb{R}^*$ .

We first assume that  $X$  is compact. According to [Sim92],  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} [\varrho_{i,w,t}]$  exists, and there exists a reductive  $\varrho_{i,w}^{\mathrm{VHS}} : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_N(\mathbb{C})$  such that  $[\varrho_{i,w}^{\mathrm{VHS}}] = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} [\varrho_{i,w,t}]$ . Moreover,  $\varrho_{i,w}^{\mathrm{VHS}}$  underlies a  $\mathbb{C}$ -VHS. Therefore,  $[t^* \varrho_{i,w}] = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} [t^* \varrho_{i,w,t}] = [t^* \varrho_{i,w}^{\mathrm{VHS}}]$ . Since  $[\varrho_{i,w,t}] \in \mathfrak{C}(\mathbb{C})$  for any  $t \in \mathbb{R}^*$ , it follows that  $[\varrho_{i,w}^{\mathrm{VHS}}] \in \bar{\mathfrak{C}}(\mathbb{C})$ . By eq. (3.4), we conclude

$$(3.6) \quad \bigcap_{[\varrho] \in \mathfrak{C}} \ker \varrho \subset \ker \varrho_{i,w}^{\mathrm{VHS}}.$$

Assume now  $X$  is non-compact. As we assume that  $\mathfrak{C}$  is closed and invariant under  $\mathbb{R}^*$ -action, by Theorem 2.8, we can choose a reductive representation  $\varrho_{i,w}^{\mathrm{VHS}} : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_N(\mathbb{C})$  such that

- it underlies a  $\mathbb{C}$ -VHS;
- $[\varrho_{i,w}^{\mathrm{VHS}}]$  and  $[\varrho_{i,w}]$  are in the same geometric connected component of  $\mathfrak{C}(\mathbb{C})$ .

Note that (3.6) is satisfied automatically. By Proposition 3.10, we have  $[t^* \varrho_{i,w}] = [t^* \varrho_{i,w}^{\mathrm{VHS}}]$ .

In summary, we construct reductive representations  $\{\varrho_{i,w}^{\mathrm{VHS}} : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_N(\mathbb{C})\}_{i=1, \dots, \ell; w \in \mathrm{Ar}(k)}$  in both compact and non-compact cases. Each of these representations underlies a  $\mathbb{C}$ -VHS and satisfies  $[t^* \varrho_{i,w}] = [t^* \varrho_{i,w}^{\mathrm{VHS}}]$  and (3.6).

Let  $v$  be any non-archimedean place of  $k$  and  $k_v$  be the non-archimedean completion of  $k$  with respect to  $v$ . Write  $\varrho_{i,v} : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_N(k_v)$  the induced representation by  $\varrho_i$ . By the construction of  $s_{\mathfrak{C}}$ , it follows that  $t^* \varrho_{i,v}(\pi_1(Z))$  is bounded. Therefore, we have a factorization

$$t^* \varrho_i : \pi_1(Z) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_N(\mathcal{O}_k).$$

Note that  $\mathrm{GL}_N(\mathcal{O}_k) \rightarrow \prod_{w \in \mathrm{Ar}(k)} \mathrm{GL}_N(\mathbb{C})$  is a discrete subgroup by [Zim84, Proposition 6.1.3]. It follows that for the product representation

$$\prod_{w \in \mathrm{Ar}(k)} t^* \varrho_{i,w} : \pi_1(Z) \rightarrow \prod_{w \in \mathrm{Ar}(k)} \mathrm{GL}_N(\mathbb{C}),$$

its image is discrete.

Since  $Z$  is normal, by Theorem 1.7, both  $t^* \varrho_{i,w}$  and  $t^* \varrho_{i,w}^{\mathrm{VHS}}$  are reductive. Recall that  $[t^* \varrho_{i,w}] = [t^* \varrho_{i,w}^{\mathrm{VHS}}]$ . It follows that  $t^* \varrho_{i,w}$  is conjugate to  $t^* \varrho_{i,w}^{\mathrm{VHS}}$  by Lemma 3.7. Consequently,  $\prod_{w \in \mathrm{Ar}(k)} t^* \varrho_{i,w}^{\mathrm{VHS}} : \pi_1(Z) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_N(\mathbb{C})$  has discrete image. Consider the product representation of  $\varrho_{i,w}^{\mathrm{VHS}}$

$$\sigma := \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} \prod_{w \in \mathrm{Ar}(k)} \varrho_{i,w}^{\mathrm{VHS}} : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} \prod_{w \in \mathrm{Ar}(k)} \mathrm{GL}_N(\mathbb{C}).$$

Then  $\sigma$  underlies a  $\mathbb{C}$ -VHS and  $t^* \sigma : \pi_1(Z) \rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} \prod_{w \in \mathrm{Ar}(k)} \mathrm{GL}_N(\mathbb{C})$  has discrete image.

Let  $\tau : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_N(\mathbb{C})$  be any reductive representation such that  $[\tau] \in \mathfrak{C}(\mathbb{C})$ . Then  $[\tau] \in \mathfrak{C}_i(\mathbb{C})$  for some  $i$ . By Proposition 3.10, it follows that  $[t^* \tau] = [t^* \varrho_{i,w_1}] = [t^* \varrho_{i,w_1}^{\mathrm{VHS}}]$ . By



Theorem 1.7 and Lemma 3.7 once again,  $\iota^* \tau$  is conjugate to  $\iota^* \varrho_{i,w_1}^{VHS}$ . The proposition is proved if we let  $\{\sigma_i^{VHS} : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_N(\mathbb{C})\}_{i=1,\dots,m}$  be  $\{\varrho_{i,w}^{VHS} : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_N(\mathbb{C})\}_{i=1,\dots,\ell; w \in \mathrm{Ar}(k)}$ .  $\square$

**Remark 3.14.** — In the proof of Proposition 3.13, we take the Galois conjugate of  $\mathfrak{C} \subset M_{\mathbb{B}}(X, N)$  under  $a \in \mathrm{Gal}(k/\mathbb{Q})$ . If  $\mathfrak{C}$  is not defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , it is not known that  $a(\mathfrak{C}) \subset M_{\mathbb{B}}(X, N)$  is  $\mathbb{R}^*$ -invariant. This is why we include the assumption that  $\mathfrak{C}$  is defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$  in our proof, whereas Eyssidieux disregarded such a condition in [Eys04]. It seems that this condition should also be necessary in [Eys04].

**3.2. Infinite monodromy at infinity.** — When considering a non-compact quasi-projective variety  $X$ , it is important to note that the Shafarevich conjecture fails in simple examples. For instance, take  $X := A \setminus \{0\}$ , where  $A$  is an abelian surface. Its universal covering  $\tilde{X}$  is  $\mathbb{C}^2 - \Gamma$ , where  $\Gamma$  is a lattice in  $\mathbb{C}^2$ . Then  $\tilde{X}$  is not holomorphically convex. Therefore, additional conditions on the fundamental groups at infinity are necessary to address this issue.

**Definition 3.15 (Infinity monodromy at infinity).** — Let  $X$  be a quasi-projective normal variety and let  $\bar{X}$  be a projective compactification of  $X$ . We say a subset  $M \subset M_{\mathbb{B}}(X, N)(\mathbb{C})$  has *infinite monodromy at infinity* if for any holomorphic map  $\gamma : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \bar{X}$  with  $\gamma^{-1}(\bar{X} \setminus X) = \{0\}$ , there exists a reductive  $\varrho : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_N(\mathbb{C})$  such that  $[\varrho] \in M$  and  $\gamma^* \varrho : \pi_1(\mathbb{D}^*) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_N(\mathbb{C})$  has infinite image.

**Definition 3.16 (quasi-infinite monodromy at infinity).** — Let  $X$  be a smooth quasi-projective variety. Let  $\varrho : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_N(\mathbb{C})$  be a representation. Say  $\varrho$  has *quasi-infinite monodromy at infinity* with respect to the partial compactification  $X'$  of  $X$  if for every boundary divisor  $D \subset X' \setminus X$  the local monodromy is finite and for every  $f : \mathbb{D}^* \rightarrow X$  such that  $f(0) \notin X'$ ,  $f^* \varrho : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \pi_1(X)$  is infinite.

Note that Definition 3.15 does not depend on the projective compactification of  $X$ .

**Lemma 3.17.** — *Let  $f : Y \rightarrow X$  be a proper morphism between quasi-projective normal varieties. If  $M \subset M_{\mathbb{B}}(X, N)(\mathbb{C})$  has infinite monodromy at infinity, then  $f^* M \subset M_{\mathbb{B}}(Y, N)(\mathbb{C})$  also has infinite monodromy at infinity.*

*Proof.* — We take projective compactification  $\bar{X}$  and  $\bar{Y}$  of  $X$  and  $Y$  respectively such that  $f$  extends to a morphism  $\bar{f} : \bar{Y} \rightarrow \bar{X}$ . Let  $\gamma : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \bar{Y}$  be any holomorphic map with  $\gamma^{-1}(\bar{Y} \setminus Y) = \{0\}$ . Then  $\bar{f} \circ \gamma : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \bar{X}$  satisfies  $(\bar{f} \circ \gamma)^{-1}(\bar{X} \setminus X) = \{0\}$  as  $f$  is proper. Then by Definition 3.15 there exists a reductive  $\varrho : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_N(\mathbb{C})$  such that  $[\varrho] \in M$  and  $\gamma^*(f^* \varrho) = (\bar{f} \circ \gamma)^* \varrho : \pi_1(\mathbb{D}^*) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_N(\mathbb{C})$  has infinite image. The lemma follows.  $\square$

We have a precise local characterization of a representation with infinite monodromy at infinity.

**Lemma 3.18.** — *Consider a smooth quasi-projective variety  $X$  along with a smooth projective compactification  $\bar{X}$ , where  $D := \bar{X} \setminus X$  is a simple normal crossing divisor. A set  $M \subset M_{\mathbb{B}}(X, N)(\mathbb{C})$  has infinite monodromy at infinity is equivalent to the following: for any  $x \in D$ , there exists an admissible coordinate  $(U; z_1, \dots, z_n)$  centered at  $x$  with  $U \cap D = (z_1 \cdots z_k = 0)$  such that for any  $k$ -tuple  $(i_1, \dots, i_k) \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}^k$ , there exists a reductive  $\varrho : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_N(\mathbb{C})$  such that  $[\varrho] \in M(\mathbb{C})$  and  $\varrho(\gamma_1^{i_1} \cdots \gamma_k^{i_k}) \neq 0$ , where  $\gamma_i$  is the anti-clockwise loop around the origin in the  $i$ -th factor of  $U \setminus D \simeq (\mathbb{D}^*)^k \times \mathbb{D}^{n-k}$ . For such condition we will say that  $\varrho$  has infinite monodromy at  $x$ .*

*Proof.* — For any holomorphic map  $f : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \bar{X}$  with  $f^{-1}(D) = \{0\}$ , let  $x := f(0)$  which lies on  $D$ . We take an admissible coordinate  $(U; z_1, \dots, z_n)$  centered at  $x$  in the lemma. Then  $f(\mathbb{D}_{2\varepsilon}) \subset U$  for some small  $\varepsilon > 0$ . We can write  $f(t) = (f_1(t), \dots, f_n(t))$  such that  $f_1(0) = \cdots = f_k(0) = 0$  and  $f_i(0) \neq 0$  for  $i = k+1, \dots, n$ . Denote by  $m_i := \mathrm{ord}_0 f_i$  the vanishing order of  $f_i(t)$  at 0. Consider the anti-clockwise loop  $\gamma$  defined by  $\theta \mapsto \varepsilon e^{i\theta}$  which generates  $\pi_1(\mathbb{D}_{2\varepsilon}^*)$ . Then  $f \circ \gamma$  is homotopy equivalent to  $\gamma_1^{m_1} \cdots \gamma_k^{m_k}$  in  $\pi_1(U \setminus D)$ . If  $M$  has infinite monodromy at infinity, by Definition 3.15 there exists a reductive  $\varrho : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_N(\mathbb{C})$  such that  $[\varrho] \in M(\mathbb{C})$  and  $f^* \varrho(\gamma) \neq 0$ . This is equivalent to that  $\varrho(\gamma_1^{m_1} \cdots \gamma_k^{m_k}) \neq 0$ . The lemma is proved.  $\square$

Definition 3.15 presents a stringent condition that is not be practically applicable in many situations. To address this issue, we establish the following result:

**Proposition 3.19.** — *Let  $X$  be a smooth quasi-projective variety. Assume that  $\varrho : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_N(\mathbb{C})$  is a linear representation. Then there exists a smooth partial compactification  $X'$  of  $X$  such that*

- (i)  $\varrho$  has quasi-infinite monodromy at infinity with respect to the partial compactification  $X'$  of  $X$ ;
- (ii)  $X' \setminus X$  is simple normal crossing divisor.

*In particular, if  $\varrho(\pi_1(X))$  is torsion free, then  $\varrho$  extends to a representation  $\varrho' : \pi_1(X') \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_N(\mathbb{C})$  with infinite monodromy at infinity.*

*Proof.* — We first introduce the definition of *representation systems*. Let  $\overline{X}$  be a smooth projective compactification such that the boundary  $\overline{X} \setminus X$  is a simple normal crossing divisor  $\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} D_i$ . If  $D_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap D_{i_n} \neq \emptyset$  for some  $\{i_1, \dots, i_n\} \subset \{1, \dots, \ell\}$ , then for a generic point  $x \in D_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap D_{i_n}$ , locally we have an coordinate system  $(U; z_1, \dots, z_d)$  centered at  $x$  such that  $\overline{X} \cap U$  is a polydisk with  $D_{i_k} \cap U = (z_k = 0)$ . We then have  $U \cap X = (\mathbb{D}^*)^n \times \mathbb{D}^{d-n}$  such that  $\pi_1(U \cap X) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^n$ , and the local monodromy of  $\varrho$  around  $x$  is a representation  $\varrho : \mathbb{Z}^n \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_N(\mathbb{C})$ . Note that  $\varrho(\mathbb{Z}^n)$  may have torsion  $T \subset \varrho(\mathbb{Z}^n)$ . Taking the quotient, we have  $\mathbb{Z}^m \simeq \varrho(\mathbb{Z}^n)/T$  for some  $m$ . Then the local monodromy  $\varrho$  induces a linear map  $\mathbb{Z}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^m$ , which is represented by a matrix in  $M_{m,n}(\mathbb{Z})$ .

Let  $A = (\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2, \dots, \mathbf{a}_n) \in M_{m,n}(\mathbb{Z})$  be a matrix, where each  $\mathbf{a}_i$  is a column vector. Given  $J \subset \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ , we denote by  $A[J]$  a submatrix which consists of column vectors  $\mathbf{a}_j$  for  $j \in J$ . Let  $\{D_1, \dots, D_n\}$  be a set of irreducible components of the boundary divisors  $\overline{X} - X$ . We say that  $(A, \{D_1, \dots, D_n\})$  is a *representation system* on  $\overline{X}$  if for any  $J \subset \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  such that  $\bigcap_{j \in J} D_j \neq \emptyset$ , the local monodromy at  $\bigcap_{j \in J} D_j$  modulo the torsion is represented by the matrix  $A[J]$ .

Let  $D, D'$  be distinct irreducible components of  $\partial X$  such that  $D \cap D' \neq \emptyset$ . We consider the blow-up  $\mathrm{Bl}_{D \cap D'} \overline{X} \rightarrow \overline{X}$ . (We shall call these blow-ups *admissible blow-ups*.) Given a representation system  $S = (A, \{D_1, \dots, D_n\})$ , where  $A = (\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2, \dots, \mathbf{a}_n) \in M_{m,n}(\mathbb{Z})$ , we set a system  $S'$  on  $\mathrm{Bl}_{D \cap D'} \overline{X}$  as follows.

- When  $D, D' \in \{D_1, \dots, D_n\}$ , we take  $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , where  $i \neq j$ , such that  $D = D_i$  and  $D' = D_j$ . We set  $A' = (\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2, \dots, \mathbf{a}_n, \mathbf{a}_i + \mathbf{a}_j) \in M_{m,n+1}(\mathbb{Z})$ . Let  $D'_1, \dots, D'_n$  be the strict transforms of  $D_1, \dots, D_n$ , respectively, and let  $D'_{n+1}$  be the exceptional divisor. We set  $S' = (A', \{D'_1, \dots, D'_n, D'_{n+1}\})$ .
- Otherwise, we set  $S' = (A, \{D'_1, \dots, D'_n\})$ , where  $D'_1, \dots, D'_n$  are the strict transforms of  $D_1, \dots, D_n$ , respectively.

**Claim 3.20.** — *When  $S$  is a representation system on  $\overline{X}$ , then  $S'$  is a representation system on  $\mathrm{Bl}_{D \cap D'} \overline{X}$ .*

*Proof of Claim 3.20.* — There are two cases as above. The first case is that  $D, D' \in \{D_1, \dots, D_n\}$ . Assume  $D = D_{j_1}$  and  $D' = D_{j_2}$ , where  $j_1, j_2 \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . Let  $J \subset \{1, \dots, n+1\}$  so that  $\bigcap_{j \in J} D'_j \neq \emptyset$ . If  $J \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$ , then  $(\bigcap_{j \in J} D'_j) \setminus D'_{n+1} \neq \emptyset$ . Hence the local monodromy at  $\bigcap_{j \in J} D'_j$  coincides with  $A[J] = A'[J]$ . If  $n+1 \in J$ , we set  $J' = J \setminus \{j_1, j_2, n+1\}$ . Then we have  $\bigcap_{j \in J' \cup \{j_1, j_2\}} D_j \neq \emptyset$ . Then the local monodromy at  $\bigcap_{j \in J' \cup \{j_1, n+1\}} D'_j$  is  $A'[J' \cup \{j_1, n+1\}]$ . Similarly the local monodromy at  $\bigcap_{j \in J' \cup \{j_2, n+1\}} D'_j$  is  $A'[J' \cup \{j_2, n+1\}]$ . By  $D'_{j_1} \cap D'_{j_2} = \emptyset$ , we have  $\{j_1, j_2\} \not\subset J$ . Hence either  $J \subset J' \cup \{j_1, n+1\}$  or  $J \subset J' \cup \{j_2, n+1\}$ . Hence the local monodromy at  $\bigcap_{j \in J} D'_j$  coincides with  $A'[J]$ .

Next we consider the second case that  $\{D, D'\} \not\subset \{D_1, \dots, D_n\}$ . Then  $(\bigcap_{j \in J} D'_j) \setminus E \neq \emptyset$ , where  $E$  is the exceptional divisor. Hence the local monodromy at  $\bigcap_{j \in J} D'_j$  coincides with  $A[J] = A'[J]$ .  $\square$

Given a representation system  $S = (A, \{D_1, \dots, D_n\})$ , we introduce notations  $\mu(S) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ ,  $\alpha(S) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ ,  $\beta(S) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  and  $\gamma(S) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^3$  as follows.

- $\mu(S)$  is the maximum  $\mu$  such that there exists  $(i, j)$  with  $D_i \cap D_j \neq \emptyset$  and  $a_{\mu,i}a_{\mu,j} < 0$ . We set  $\mu(S) = 0$  if there is no such  $i, j$  for all  $\mu = 1, \dots, m$ .
- $\alpha(S)$  is the maximum of  $|a_{\mu(S),i} - a_{\mu(S),j}|$  among all  $(i, j)$  with  $D_i \cap D_j \neq \emptyset$  and  $a_{\mu(S),i}a_{\mu(S),j} < 0$ . We set  $\alpha(S) = 0$  if  $\mu(S) = 0$ .
- $\beta(S)$  is the number of  $(i, j)$  such that  $D_i \cap D_j \neq \emptyset$ ,  $a_{\mu(S),i}a_{\mu(S),j} < 0$  and  $|a_{\mu(S),i} - a_{\mu(S),j}| = \alpha(S)$ . We set  $\beta(S) = 0$  if  $\mu(S) = 0$ .
- We set  $\gamma(S) = (\mu(S), \alpha(S), \beta(S)) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^3$ .

**Claim 3.21.** — *There exists a sequence  $\overline{X}^{(n)} \rightarrow \overline{X}^{(n-1)} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \overline{X}$  of admissible blow-ups such that  $\mu(S^{(n)}) = 0$ .*

*Proof of Claim 3.21.* — If  $\mu(S) = 0$ , there is nothing to prove. So suppose  $\mu(S) > 0$ . Then we take  $i, j$  such that  $D_i \cap D_j \neq \emptyset$ ,  $a_{\mu(S),i}a_{\mu(S),j} < 0$  and  $|a_{\mu(S),i} - a_{\mu(S),j}| = \alpha(S)$ . We take an admissible blow-up  $\text{Bl}_{D_i \cap D_j} \overline{X} \rightarrow \overline{X}$ . Then  $S' = (A', \{D'_1, \dots, D'_n, D'_{n+1}\})$ .

We claim  $\gamma(S') < \gamma(S)$  under the lexicographic order in  $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^3$ . Let  $\mu > \mu(S)$ . Then for all  $D_s \cap D_t \neq \emptyset$ , we have  $a_{\mu,s}a_{\mu,t} \geq 0$ . In particular, no sign change occurs in the three numbers  $a_{\mu,i} + a_{\mu,j}$ ,  $a_{\mu,i}$  and  $a_{\mu,j}$ . This shows  $\mu(S') < \mu$ . Hence  $\mu(S') \leq \mu(S)$ . We have  $a_{\mu(S),i}a_{\mu(S),j} < 0$ . Hence either  $a_{\mu(S),i} < a_{\mu(S),i} + a_{\mu(S),j} < a_{\mu(S),j}$  or  $a_{\mu(S),i} > a_{\mu(S),i} + a_{\mu(S),j} > a_{\mu(S),j}$ . In each case, we have  $\alpha(S') \leq \alpha(S)$ . Moreover, when  $\alpha(S') = \alpha(S)$ , the condition  $D'_i \cap D'_j = \emptyset$  yields  $\beta(S') < \beta(S)$ . Thus we have proved  $\gamma(S') < \gamma(S)$ .

Now we have a sequence of admissible blow-ups  $\overline{X} \leftarrow \overline{X}^{(1)} \leftarrow \dots$  so that  $\gamma(S) > \gamma(S^{(1)}) > \dots$ . This sequence should terminate to get the desired  $\overline{X}^{(n)}$ .  $\square$

**Claim 3.22.** — *Assume  $\mu(S) = 0$ . Then after an admissible blow-up  $\overline{X}' \rightarrow \overline{X}$ , we still have  $\mu(S') = 0$ .*

*Proof of Claim 3.22.* — Let  $\overline{X}' = \text{Bl}_{D \cap D'} \overline{X}$  and  $S = (A, \{D_1, \dots, D_n\})$ . There are two cases. The first case is that  $\{D, D'\} \subset \{D_1, \dots, D_n\}$ . Then  $S' = (A', \{D'_1, \dots, D'_n, D'_{n+1}\})$ . Suppose  $D'_i \cap D'_j \neq \emptyset$ . If  $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , then  $D_i \cap D_j \neq \emptyset$ . Thus we have  $a_{\mu,i}a_{\mu,j} \geq 0$  for all  $\mu$ . If  $j = n+1$ , then  $D_i \cap D_{j_1} \neq \emptyset$  and  $D_i \cap D_{j_2} \neq \emptyset$ , where  $D = D_{j_1}$  and  $D' = D_{j_2}$ . Hence  $a_{\mu,i}a_{\mu,j_1} \geq 0$  and  $a_{\mu,i}a_{\mu,j_2} \geq 0$  for all  $\mu$ . Hence  $a_{\mu,i}a_{\mu,n+1} = a_{\mu,i}(a_{\mu,j_1} + a_{\mu,j_2}) \geq 0$  for all  $\mu$ . Hence  $\mu(S') = 0$  in the case  $D, D' \in \{D_1, \dots, D_n\}$ .

Next we assume  $\{D, D'\} \not\subset \{D_1, \dots, D_n\}$ . Then  $S' = (A, \{D'_1, \dots, D'_n\})$ . If  $D'_i \cap D'_j \neq \emptyset$ , then  $D_i \cap D_j \neq \emptyset$ . Thus we have  $a_{\mu,i}a_{\mu,j} \geq 0$  for all  $\mu$ . Hence  $\mu(S') = 0$ .  $\square$

We consider a finite set  $\Lambda = \{S_1, \dots, S_\nu\}$  of representation systems. We say that  $\Lambda$  is *maximum* if for every  $D^1, \dots, D^l$  with  $\bigcap_{j=1}^l D^j \neq \emptyset$ , there exists  $S_\lambda = (A_\lambda, \{D_1, \dots, D_{n_\lambda}\}) \in \Lambda$  such that  $D^1, \dots, D^l \in \{D_1, \dots, D_{n_\lambda}\}$ . For an admissible blow-up  $\overline{X}' \rightarrow \overline{X}$ , we get the finite set  $\Lambda' = \{S'_1, \dots, S'_\nu\}$  of representation systems on  $\overline{X}'$ .

**Claim 3.23.** — *If  $\Lambda$  is maximum, then  $\Lambda'$  is maximum.*

*Proof of Claim 3.23.* — Let  $\text{Bl}_{D \cap D'} \overline{X} \rightarrow \overline{X}$  be the admissible blow-up. Let  $F_1, \dots, F_l$  satisfy  $\bigcap_{j=1}^l F_j \neq \emptyset$ , where  $F_j$  is a boundary divisor on  $\text{Bl}_{D \cap D'} \overline{X}$ .

There are the two cases. The first case is that  $\{F_1, \dots, F_l\}$  contains the exceptional divisor, say  $F_l$ . Then  $F_1, \dots, F_{l-1}$  are the strict transforms of  $G_1, \dots, G_{l-1}$ . Then we have  $(\bigcap_{j=1}^{l-1} G_j) \cap D \cap D' \neq \emptyset$ . Hence there exists  $S_\lambda \in \Lambda$  such that  $\{G_1, \dots, G_{l-1}, D, D'\} \subset \{D_1, \dots, D_{n_\lambda}\}$ . Thus the set of divisors in  $S'_\lambda$  contains the strict transforms  $F_1, \dots, F_{l-1}$  and the exceptional divisor  $F_l$ .

The second case is that  $\{F_1, \dots, F_l\}$  does not contain the exceptional divisor. Then  $F_1, \dots, F_l$  are the strict transforms of  $G_1, \dots, G_l$ . We have  $\bigcap_{j=1}^l G_j \neq \emptyset$ . Hence there exists  $S_\lambda \in \Lambda$  such that  $\{G_1, \dots, G_l\} \subset \{D_1, \dots, D_{n_\lambda}\}$ . Thus the set of divisors on  $S'_\lambda$  contains the strict transforms  $F_1, \dots, F_l$ . Hence we have proved that  $\Lambda'$  is maximum.  $\square$

**Claim 3.24.** — *Assume that there exists a finite set  $\Lambda = \{S_1, \dots, S_\nu\}$  of representation systems which is maximum and satisfies  $\mu(S_\lambda) = 0$  for all  $S_\lambda$ . Assume that  $f : \mathbb{D}^* \rightarrow X$  has finite*

*monodromy. Then for every irreducible boundary divisor  $D$  with  $f(0) \in D$ , the local monodromy with respect to  $D$  is finite.*

*Proof of Claim 3.24.* — Let  $D^1, \dots, D^l$  be the boundary divisors which contains  $f(0)$ . Then  $\bigcap_{j=1}^l D^j \neq \emptyset$ . Hence there exists  $S_\lambda = (A_\lambda, \{D_1, \dots, D_{n_\lambda}\}) \in \Lambda$  such that  $\{D^1, \dots, D^l\} \subset \{D_1, \dots, D_{n_\lambda}\}$ . We take  $J \subset \{1, \dots, n_\lambda\}$  such that  $\{D^1, \dots, D^l\} = \{D_j\}_{j \in J}$ . We set  $b_j = \text{ord}_0 f^* D_j > 0$  for  $j \in J$ . Then since  $A_\lambda[J]$  is a local monodromy representation modulo the torsion around  $f(0)$ , we have  $\sum_{j \in J} b_j \mathbf{a}_j = 0$ , where  $A_\lambda = (\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_{n_\lambda})$ .

We claim that  $A_\lambda[J]$  is a zero matrix. Indeed otherwise, we may take  $a_{\mu_{j_1}} \neq 0$  from  $A_\lambda[J]$ . Then by  $\sum_{j \in J} b_j \mathbf{a}_j = 0$ , we may take  $a_{\mu_{j_2}} \neq 0$  so that  $a_{\mu_{j_1}} a_{\mu_{j_2}} < 0$ , for  $b_j > 0$  for all  $j \in J$ . By  $D_{j_1} \cap D_{j_2} \neq \emptyset$ , this contradicts to  $\mu(S_\lambda) = 0$ . Hence  $A_\lambda[J]$  is a zero matrix. Thus the local monodromy around  $D_j$  is finite for all  $j \in J$ .  $\square$

Let  $\bar{X}$  be a compactification such that the boundary divisor  $\bar{X} - X$  is simple normal crossing. We shall show that after a sequence  $\bar{X}^{(n)} \rightarrow \bar{X}^{(n-1)} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \bar{X}$  of admissible blow-ups,  $\bar{X}^{(n)}$  becomes a compactification with the good property.

We consider all sets  $\{D_1, \dots, D_l\}$  of boundary divisors such that  $\bigcap D_j \neq \emptyset$  and the monodromy matrices  $A$  for these  $\{D_1, \dots, D_l\}$  and construct  $\Lambda = \{S_\lambda\}$ . This  $\Lambda$  is maximum.

By Claim 3.21 and Claim 3.22, there exists a sequence  $\bar{X}^{(n)} \rightarrow \bar{X}^{(n-1)} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \bar{X}$  of admissible blow-ups such that  $\mu(S_\lambda^{(n)}) = 0$  for all  $S_\lambda$ . Note that  $\Lambda^{(n)} = \{S_\lambda^{(n)}\}$  is maximum (cf. Claim 3.23). We replace  $\bar{X}$  by  $\bar{X}^{(n)}$  and  $\Lambda$  by  $\Lambda^{(n)}$ . Then  $\bar{X}$  and  $\Lambda$  satisfy the assumption of Claim 3.24. Hence if  $D$  is a boundary divisor with infinite local monodromy and  $f : \mathbb{D}^* \rightarrow X$  satisfies  $f(0) \in D$ , then  $f$  has infinite monodromy. Now we remove all  $D$  from  $\bar{X}$  such that local monodromy at  $D$  is infinite to get a desired partial compactification  $X'$  of  $X$ .

If  $\varrho(\pi_1(X))$  is torsion free, then the local monodromy is trivial around any irreducible divisor of  $X' \setminus X$ . Hence  $\varrho$  can be extended to a representation over  $X'$  with infinite monodromy at infinity.  $\square$

### 3.3. Some lemmas on finitely generated linear groups. —

**Lemma 3.25.** — *Let  $M$  be an closed subvariety of  $M_B(X, N)$ . Let  $H := \bigcap_{\tau \in M(\mathbb{C})} \ker \tau$ , where  $\tau$  ranges over all reductive representations in  $M(\mathbb{C})$ . Then there exists a reductive  $\varrho : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \text{GL}_N(\mathbb{C})$  such that  $[\varrho] \in M(\mathbb{C})$  and  $\ker \varrho = H$ .*

*Proof.* — Pick any  $\gamma \in H$ . Then the set  $Z_\gamma := \{\varrho \in R_B(X, N) \mid \varrho(\gamma) = 1\}$  is a Zariski closed subset of the representation variety  $R_B(X, N)$ . Moreover,  $Z_\gamma$  is  $\text{GL}_N(\mathbb{C})$ -invariant. Therefore,  $W_\gamma := \pi(Z_\gamma)$  is also a Zariski closed subset of  $M$  by [Muk03, Proposition 5.10].

**Claim 3.26.** — *For any reductive  $\tau : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \text{GL}_N(\mathbb{C})$  and any  $\gamma \in \pi_1(X)$ ,  $\tau(\gamma) = 1$  if and only if  $[\tau] \in W_\gamma$ .*

*Proof.* — If  $\tau(\gamma) = 1$ , then by the definition of  $Z_\gamma$  we know that  $\tau \in Z_\gamma$ . Hence  $[\tau] \in W_\gamma$ .

If  $[\tau] \in W_\gamma$ , then there exists (possibly non-reductive)  $\tau' : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \text{GL}_N(\mathbb{C})$  such that  $\tau' \in Z_\gamma$  and  $[\tau'] = [\tau]$ . Hence  $\tau'(\gamma) = 1$  and the semisimplification of  $\tau'$  is conjugate to  $\tau$ . Hence  $\tau(\gamma) = 1$ . The claim is proved.  $\square$

**Claim 3.27.** — *For any  $\gamma \in \pi_1(X)$ ,  $M \subset W_\gamma$  if and only if  $\gamma \in H$ .*

*Proof.* — If  $\gamma \in H$ , it is easy to see that  $M \subset W_\gamma$ .

Assume now  $\gamma \notin H$ . Then there exists a reductive  $\tau : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \text{GL}_N(\mathbb{C})$  such that  $[\tau] \in M(\mathbb{C})$  and  $\tau(w) \neq 1$ . Note that for any  $\tau' : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \text{GL}_N(\mathbb{C})$ , if  $[\tau'] = [\tau]$ , then the semisimplification of  $\tau'$  is conjugate to  $\tau$ . It follows that  $\tau'(w) \neq 1$ . Therefore,  $\tau' \notin Z_\gamma$ . It follows that  $[\tau] \notin W_\gamma$ .  $\square$

Therefore,  $M \setminus \bigcup_{\gamma \notin H} W_\gamma$  is non-empty since  $M$  is irreducible. We pick a reductive  $\varrho : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \text{GL}_N(\mathbb{C})$  such that  $[\varrho] \in M \setminus \bigcup_{\gamma \notin H} W_\gamma$ . Then for any  $\gamma \notin H$ ,  $\varrho(\gamma) \neq 1$  as  $[\varrho] \notin W_\gamma$  thanks to Claim 3.26. Hence  $\ker \varrho \subset H$ . Note that  $H \subset \ker \varrho$ . The lemma is proved.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.28.** — Consider any subset  $\Sigma \subset M_{\mathbb{B}}(X, N)(\mathbb{C})$ . Let  $M$  be the Zariski closure of  $\Sigma$ . Let  $H_1 := \bigcap_{[\tau] \in M(\mathbb{C})} \ker \varrho$ , where  $\tau$  ranges over all reductive representations in  $M(\mathbb{C})$ , and  $H_2 := \bigcap_{[\tau] \in \Sigma} \ker \varrho$ , where  $\tau$  ranges over all reductive representations in  $\Sigma$ . Then  $H_1 = H_2$ . In particular,  $\pi_1(X)/H_2$  is a finitely presented linear group.

*Proof.* — For any  $\gamma \in \pi_1(X)$ , we let  $W_\gamma$  be the Zariski closed subset of  $M_{\mathbb{B}}(X, N)$  defined in the proof of Lemma 3.25. Consider  $M_0 := \bigcap_{\gamma \in H_2} W_\gamma$ . Then  $M_0$  is a Zariski closed subset of  $M_{\mathbb{B}}(X, N)$ . For any reductive  $\varrho : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_N(\mathbb{C})$  with  $[\varrho] \in \Sigma$ , by Claim 3.26 we have  $[\varrho] \in M_0(\mathbb{C})$ . Hence  $\Sigma \subset M_0(\mathbb{C})$ .

For any reductive  $\varrho : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_N(\mathbb{C})$  with  $\varrho \in M_0(\mathbb{C})$ , by Claim 3.26 again we have  $\varrho(\gamma) = 1$  for any  $\gamma \in H_2$ . Hence  $H_2 \subset \ker \varrho$ . Recall that  $\Sigma \subset M_0(\mathbb{C})$  and  $M \subset M_0$ . It follows that  $H_1 = H_2$ .

Let  $M_1, \dots, M_k$  be the geometrically irreducible components of  $M$ . By Lemma 3.25, there exists a reductive  $\varrho_i : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_N(\mathbb{C})$  such that  $[\varrho_i] \in M_i(\mathbb{C})$  and  $\ker \varrho_i = \bigcap_{[\tau] \in M_i(\mathbb{C})} \ker \tau$ , where  $\tau$  ranges over all reductive representations in  $M_i(\mathbb{C})$ . Consider the product representation  $\varrho := \prod_{i=1}^k \varrho_i : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^k \mathrm{GL}_N(\mathbb{C})$ . Then we have

$$\ker \varrho = \bigcap_{[\tau] \in M(\mathbb{C})} \ker \tau$$

where  $\tau$  ranges over all reductive representations in  $M(\mathbb{C})$ . By Lemma 3.28, we have  $\ker \varrho = H_2$ . Therefore,  $\pi_1(X)/H_2 = \varrho(\pi_1(X))$ , which is a finitely generated linear group.  $\square$

**3.4. Construction of Shafarevich morphism (I).** — Let  $X$  be a quasi-projective smooth variety. We will construct the Shafarevich morphism  $\mathrm{sh}_{\mathfrak{C}} : X \rightarrow \mathrm{sh}_{\mathfrak{C}}(X)$  associated to a Zariski closed subset  $\mathfrak{C}$  of  $M_{\mathbb{B}}(X, N)(\mathbb{C})$  defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$  that is invariant under  $\mathbb{R}^*$ -action. Then we prove the  $\mathrm{sh}_{\mathfrak{C}}$  is algebraic when  $X$  is projective.

**Theorem 3.29.** — Let  $X$  be a smooth quasi-projective variety. Let  $\mathfrak{C}$  be a Zariski closed subset of  $M_{\mathbb{B}}(X, N)(\mathbb{C})$ , defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , such that  $\mathfrak{C}$  is invariant under  $\mathbb{R}^*$ -action. Suppose that  $\mathfrak{C}$  has infinite monodromy at infinity in the sense of Definition 3.15. Then there exists a proper surjective holomorphic fibration  $\mathrm{sh}_{\mathfrak{C}} : X \rightarrow \mathrm{Sh}_{\mathfrak{C}}(X)$  over a normal complex space  $\mathrm{Sh}_{\mathfrak{C}}(X)$  such that for any connected Zariski closed subset  $Z$  of  $X$ , the following properties are equivalent:

- (i)  $\mathrm{sh}_{\mathfrak{C}}(Z)$  is a point;
- (ii)  $\varrho(\mathrm{Im}[\pi_1(Z) \rightarrow \pi_1(X)])$  is finite for any reductive representation  $\varrho : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_N(\mathbb{C})$  such that  $[\varrho] \in \mathfrak{C}(\mathbb{C})$ ;
- (iii) for any irreducible component  $Z_1$  of  $Z$ ,  $\varrho(\mathrm{Im}[\pi_1(Z_1^{\mathrm{norm}}) \rightarrow \pi_1(X)])$  is finite for any reductive representation  $\varrho : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_N(\mathbb{C})$  such that  $[\varrho] \in \mathfrak{C}(\mathbb{C})$ .

*Proof.* — By Proposition 3.13, there exist reductive representations  $\{\sigma_i^{\mathrm{VHS}} : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_N(\mathbb{C})\}_{i=1, \dots, m}$  that underlie  $\mathbb{C}$ -VHS such that, for a morphism  $\iota : Z \rightarrow X$  from any quasi-projective normal variety  $Z$  with  $s_{\mathfrak{C}} \circ \iota(Z)$  being a point, the following properties hold:

- (a) For  $\sigma := \bigoplus_{i=1}^m \sigma_i^{\mathrm{VHS}}$ , the image  $\iota^* \sigma(\pi_1(Z))$  is discrete in  $\prod_{i=1}^m \mathrm{GL}_N(\mathbb{C})$ .
- (b) For each reductive  $\tau : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_N(\mathbb{C})$  with  $[\tau] \in \mathfrak{C}(\mathbb{C})$ ,  $\iota^* \tau$  is conjugate to some  $\iota^* \sigma_i^{\mathrm{VHS}}$ . Moreover, for each  $\sigma_i^{\mathrm{VHS}}$ , there exists some reductive representation  $\tau : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_N(\mathbb{C})$  with  $[\tau] \in \mathfrak{C}(\mathbb{C})$  such that  $\iota^* \tau$  is conjugate to  $\iota^* \sigma_i^{\mathrm{VHS}}$ .
- (c) We have the following inclusion:

$$(3.7) \quad \bigcap_{[\varrho] \in \mathfrak{C}(\mathbb{C})} \ker \varrho \subset \ker \sigma_i^{\mathrm{VHS}}$$

where  $\varrho$  varies in all reductive representations such that  $[\varrho] \in \mathfrak{C}(\mathbb{C})$ .

Define  $H := \bigcap_{\varrho} \ker \varrho \cap \ker \sigma$ , where  $\varrho : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_N(\mathbb{C})$  ranges over all reductive representation such that  $[\varrho] \in \mathfrak{C}(\mathbb{C})$ . By (3.7) we have  $H = \bigcap_{\varrho} \ker \varrho$ , where  $\varrho : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_N(\mathbb{C})$  ranges over all reductive representation such that  $[\varrho] \in \mathfrak{C}(\mathbb{C})$ . Denote by  $\tilde{X}_H := \tilde{X}/H$ . Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be the period domain associated with the  $\mathbb{C}$ -VHS  $\sigma$  and let  $p : \tilde{X}_H \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  be the period mapping. We

define a holomorphic map

$$(3.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \Psi : \widetilde{X}_H &\rightarrow S_{\mathbb{C}} \times \mathcal{D}, \\ z &\mapsto (s_{\mathbb{C}} \circ \pi_H(z), p(z)) \end{aligned}$$

where  $\pi_H : \widetilde{X}_H \rightarrow X$  denotes the covering map and  $s_{\mathbb{C}} : X \rightarrow S_{\mathbb{C}}$  is the reduction map defined in Definition 3.1.

**Lemma 3.30.** — *Each connected component of any fiber of  $\Psi$  is compact.*

*Proof of Lemma 3.30.* — It is equivalent to prove that for any  $(t, o) \in S_{\mathbb{C}} \times \mathcal{D}$ , every connected component of  $\Psi^{-1}(t, o)$  is compact. We fix any  $t \in S_{\mathbb{C}}$ .

*Step 1:* We first assume that each irreducible component of  $(s_{\mathbb{C}})^{-1}(t)$  is normal. Let  $F$  be an irreducible component of  $(s_{\mathbb{C}})^{-1}(t)$ . Then the natural morphism  $\iota : F \rightarrow X$  is proper. By Item (a),  $\Gamma := \sigma(\text{Im}[\pi_1(F) \rightarrow \pi_1(X)])$  is a discrete subgroup of  $\prod_{i=1}^m \text{GL}_N(\mathbb{C})$ .

**Claim 3.31.** — *The period mapping  $F \rightarrow \mathcal{D}/\Gamma$  is proper.*

*Proof.* — Although  $F$  might be singular, we can still define its period mapping since it is normal. The definition is as follows: we begin by taking a resolution of singularities  $\mu : E \rightarrow F$ . Since  $F$  is normal, each fiber of  $\mu$  is connected, and we have  $\Gamma = \sigma(\text{Im}[\pi_1(E) \rightarrow \pi_1(X)])$ . It is worth noting that  $\mathcal{D}/\Gamma$  exists as a complex normal space since  $\Gamma$  is discrete. Now, consider the period mapping  $E \rightarrow \mathcal{D}/\Gamma$  for the  $\mathbb{C}$ -VHS induced  $\mu^*\sigma$ . This mapping then induces a holomorphic mapping  $F \rightarrow \mathcal{D}/\Gamma$ , which satisfies the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E & \xrightarrow{\mu} & F \\ \downarrow & \swarrow & \\ \mathcal{D}/\Gamma & & \end{array}$$

The resulting holomorphic map  $F \rightarrow \mathcal{D}/\Gamma$  is the period mapping for the  $\mathbb{C}$ -VHS on  $F$  induced by  $\sigma|_{\pi_1(F)}$ . To establish the properness of  $F \rightarrow \mathcal{D}/\Gamma$ , it suffices to prove that  $E \rightarrow \mathcal{D}/\Gamma$  is proper. Let  $\overline{X}$  be a smooth projective compactification such that  $D := \overline{X} \setminus X$  is a simple normal crossing divisor. Given that  $E \rightarrow X$  is a proper morphism, we can take a smooth projective compactification  $\overline{E}$  of  $E$  such that

- the complement  $D_E := \overline{E} \setminus E$  is a simple normal crossing divisor;
- there exists a morphism  $j : \overline{E} \rightarrow \overline{X}$  such that  $j^{-1}(D) = D_E$ .

We aim to prove that  $j^*\sigma : \pi_1(E) \rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^m \text{GL}_N(\mathbb{C})$  has infinite monodromy at infinity.

Consider any holomorphic map  $\gamma : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \overline{E}$  such that  $\gamma^{-1}(D_E) = \{0\}$ . Then  $(j \circ \gamma)^{-1}(D) = \{0\}$ . As we assume that  $\mathfrak{C}(\mathbb{C})$  has infinite monodromy at infinity, there exists a reductive representation  $\tau : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \text{GL}_N(\mathbb{C})$  such that  $[\tau] \in \mathfrak{C}(\mathbb{C})$  and  $(j \circ \gamma)^*\tau(\pi_1(\mathbb{D}^*))$  is infinite. Using Item (b), it follows that  $j^*\tau$  is conjugate to some  $j^*\sigma_i^{\text{VHS}}$  as  $E$  is smooth quasi-projective. As  $\sigma_i^{\text{VHS}}$  is a direct factor of  $\sigma$ , it follows that  $(j \circ \gamma)^*\sigma(\pi_1(\mathbb{D}^*))$  is also infinite. Hence, we conclude that  $j^*\sigma$  has infinite monodromy at infinity.

By a theorem of Griffiths (cf. [CMP17, Corollary 13.7.6]), we conclude that  $E \rightarrow \mathcal{D}/\Gamma$  is proper. Therefore,  $F \rightarrow \mathcal{D}/\Gamma$  is proper.  $\square$

Take any point  $o \in \mathcal{D}$ . Note that there is a real Lie group  $G_0$  which acts holomorphically and transitively on  $\mathcal{D}$ . Let  $V$  be the compact subgroup that fixes  $o$ . Thus, we have  $\mathcal{D} = G_0/V$ . Now, let  $Z$  be any connected component of the fiber of  $F \rightarrow \mathcal{D}/\Gamma$  over  $[o]$ . According to Claim 3.31,  $Z$  is guaranteed to be compact. We have that  $\sigma(\text{Im}[\pi_1(Z) \rightarrow \pi_1(X)]) \subset V \cap \Gamma$ . Notably,  $V$  is compact, and  $\Gamma$  is discrete. As a result, it follows that  $\sigma(\text{Im}[\pi_1(Z) \rightarrow \pi_1(X)])$  is finite.

**Claim 3.32.** —  *$\text{Im}[\pi_1(Z) \rightarrow \pi_1(X)] \cap H$  is a finite index subgroup of  $\text{Im}[\pi_1(Z) \rightarrow \pi_1(X)]$ .*

*Proof.* — By Item (b) and (3.7), we have

$$(3.9) \quad \ker \sigma \cap \text{Im}[\pi_1(F) \rightarrow \pi_1(X)] = H \cap \text{Im}[\pi_1(F) \rightarrow \pi_1(X)].$$

Since  $\sigma(\text{Im}[\pi_1(Z) \rightarrow \pi_1(X)])$  is finite,  $\ker \sigma \cap \text{Im}[\pi_1(Z) \rightarrow \pi_1(X)]$  is a finite index subgroup of  $\text{Im}[\pi_1(Z) \rightarrow \pi_1(X)]$ . The claim follows from (3.9).  $\square$

Pick any connected component  $Z_0$  of  $\pi_H^{-1}(Z)$ . Note that  $\text{Aut}(Z_0/Z) = \frac{\text{Im}[\pi_1(Z) \rightarrow \pi_1(X)]}{\text{Im}[\pi_1(Z) \rightarrow \pi_1(X)] \cap H}$ . According to Claim 3.32,  $\text{Aut}(Z_0/Z)$  is finite, implying that  $Z_0$  is compact. Hence,  $\pi_H^{-1}(Z)$  is a disjoint union of compact subvarieties of  $\tilde{X}_H$ , each of which is a finite étale Galois cover of  $Z$  under  $\pi_H$ , with the Galois group  $\frac{\text{Im}[\pi_1(Z) \rightarrow \pi_1(X)]}{\text{Im}[\pi_1(Z) \rightarrow \pi_1(X)] \cap H}$ . If we denote by  $\tilde{F}$  a connected component of  $\pi_H^{-1}(F)$ , then each connected component of any fiber of  $p|_{\tilde{F}} : \tilde{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  is a connected component of  $\pi_H^{-1}(Z)$ , which is compact. This can be illustrated by the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{F} & \longrightarrow & F \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{D} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{D}/\Gamma \end{array}$$

Since we have assumed that each irreducible component of  $(s_{\mathbb{C}})^{-1}(t)$  is normal, it follows that for any  $o \in \mathcal{D}$ , each connected component of  $\Psi^{-1}(t, o)$  is compact.

*Step 2: we prove the general case.* In the general case, we consider an embedded resolution of singularities  $\mu : Y \rightarrow X$  for the fiber  $(s_{\mathbb{C}})^{-1}(t)$  such that each irreducible component of  $(s_{\mathbb{C}} \circ \mu)^{-1}(t)$  is smooth. It is worth noting that  $s_{\mathbb{C}} \circ \mu : Y \rightarrow S_{\mathbb{C}}$  coincides with the reduction map  $s_{\mu^* \mathbb{C}} : Y \rightarrow S_{\mu^* \mathbb{C}}$  for  $\mu^* \mathbb{C} \subset M_B(Y, N)$ . Let  $\tilde{Y}_H := \tilde{X}_H \times_X Y$ , which is connected.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{Y}_H & \longrightarrow & Y \\ & \downarrow \tilde{\mu} & \downarrow \mu \\ S_{\mathbb{C}} \times \mathcal{D} & \xleftarrow{\Psi} \tilde{X}_H & \longrightarrow X \end{array}$$

We observe that  $\tilde{\mu}$  is a proper holomorphic fibration. We define  $H' := \bigcap_{\varrho} \ker \varrho \cap \ker \mu^* \sigma$ , where  $\varrho : \pi_1(Y) \rightarrow \text{GL}_N(\mathbb{C})$  ranges over all reductive representation such that  $[\varrho] \in \mu^* \mathbb{C}$ . Since  $\mu_* : \pi_1(Y) \rightarrow \pi_1(X)$  is an isomorphism, we have  $(\mu_*)^{-1}(H) = H'$ . Consequently,  $\tilde{Y}_H$  is the covering of  $Y$  corresponding to  $H'$ , and thus  $\text{Aut}(\tilde{Y}_H/Y) = H' \simeq H$ . It is worth noting that  $\mu^* \mathbb{C} \subset M_B(Y, N) \simeq M_B(X, N)$  satisfying all the conditions required for  $\mathbb{C}$  as stated in Theorem 3.29, unless the  $\mathbb{R}^*$ -invariance is not obvious. However, we note that  $\mu^* \mathbb{C}$  is invariant by  $\mathbb{R}^*$ -action by Corollary 2.10. This enables us to work with  $\mu^* \mathbb{C}$  instead of  $\mathbb{C}$ .

As a result,  $\mu^* \sigma = \bigoplus_{i=1}^m \mu^* \sigma_i^{\text{VHS}}$  satisfies all the properties in Items (a) and (b) and eq. (3.7). Note that  $\mu^* \sigma$  underlies a  $\mathbb{C}$ -VHS with the period mapping  $p \circ \tilde{\mu} : \tilde{Y}_H \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ . It follows that  $\Psi \circ \tilde{\mu} : \tilde{Y}_H \rightarrow S_{\mathbb{C}} \times \mathcal{D}$  is defined in the same way as (3.8), determined by  $\mu^* \mathbb{C}$  and  $\mu^* \sigma$ .

Therefore, by Step 1, we can conclude that for any  $o \in \mathcal{D}$ , each connected component of  $(\Psi \circ \tilde{\mu})^{-1}(t, o)$  is compact. Let  $Z$  be a connected component of  $\Psi^{-1}(t, o)$ . Then we claim that  $Z$  is compact. Indeed,  $\tilde{\mu}^{-1}(Z)$  is closed and connected as each fiber of  $\tilde{\mu}$  is connected. Therefore,  $\tilde{\mu}^{-1}(Z)$  is contained in some connected component of  $(\Psi \circ \tilde{\mu})^{-1}(t, o)$ . So  $\tilde{\mu}^{-1}(Z)$  is compact. As  $\tilde{\mu}$  is proper and surjective, it follows that  $Z = \tilde{\mu}(\tilde{\mu}^{-1}(Z))$  is compact. Lemma 3.30 is proved.  $\square$

As a result of Lemma 3.30 and Theorem 1.29, the set  $\tilde{S}_H$  of connected components of fibers of  $\Psi$  can be endowed with the structure of a complex normal space such that  $\Psi = g \circ \text{sh}_H$  where  $\text{sh}_H : \tilde{X}_H \rightarrow \tilde{S}_H$  is a proper holomorphic fibration and  $g : \tilde{S}_H \rightarrow \tilde{S}_{\mathbb{C}} \times \mathcal{D}$  is a holomorphic map. In Claim 3.39 below, we will prove that each fiber of  $g$  is discrete.

**Claim 3.33.** —  $\text{sh}_H$  contracts every compact subvariety of  $\tilde{X}_H$ .

*Proof.* — Let  $Z \subset \tilde{X}_H$  be a compact irreducible subvariety. Then,  $W := \pi_H(Z)$  is also a compact irreducible subvariety in  $X$  with  $\dim Z = \dim W$ . Hence  $\text{Im}[\pi_1(Z^{\text{norm}}) \rightarrow \pi_1(W^{\text{norm}})]$  is a finite index subgroup of  $\pi_1(W^{\text{norm}})$ . Note that  $W$  can be endowed with an algebraic structure induced by  $X$ . As the natural map  $Z \rightarrow W$  is finite,  $Z$  can be equipped with an algebraic structure such that the natural map  $Z \rightarrow X$  is algebraic.

For any reductive representation  $\varrho : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_N(K)$  with  $\varrho \in \mathfrak{C}(K)$  where  $K$  is a non archimedean local field, we have  $\varrho(\mathrm{Im}[\pi_1(Z) \rightarrow \pi_1(X)]) \subset \varrho(\mathrm{Im}[\pi_1(\tilde{X}_H) \rightarrow \pi_1(X)]) = \{1\}$ . Hence,  $\varrho(\mathrm{Im}[\pi_1(W^{\mathrm{norm}}) \rightarrow \pi_1(X)])$  is finite which is thus bounded. By Lemma 3.2,  $W$  is contained in a fiber of  $s_{\mathfrak{G}}$ . Consider a desingularization  $Z'$  of  $Z$  and let  $i : Z' \rightarrow X$  be the natural algebraic morphism. Note that  $i^*\sigma(\pi_1(Z')) = \{1\}$ . It follows that the variation of Hodge structure induced by  $i^*\sigma$  is trivial. Therefore,  $p(Z)$  is a point. Hence  $Z$  is contracted by  $\Psi$ . The claim follows.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.34.** — *There is an action of  $\mathrm{Aut}(\tilde{X}_H/X) = \pi_1(X)/H$  on  $\tilde{S}_H$  that is equivariant for the proper holomorphic fibration  $\mathrm{sh}_H : \tilde{X}_H \rightarrow \tilde{S}_H$ . This action is analytic and properly discontinuous. Namely, for any point  $y$  of  $\tilde{S}_H$ , there exists an open neighborhood  $V_y$  of  $y$  such that the set*

$$\{\gamma \in \pi_1(X)/H \mid \gamma \cdot V_y \cap V_y \neq \emptyset\}$$

*is finite.*

*Proof.* — Take any  $\gamma \in \pi_1(X)/H$ . We can consider  $\gamma$  as an analytic automorphism of  $\tilde{X}_H$ . According to Claim 3.33,  $\mathrm{sh}_H \circ \gamma : \tilde{X}_H \rightarrow \tilde{S}_H$  contracts each fiber of the proper holomorphic fibration  $\mathrm{sh}_H : \tilde{X}_H \rightarrow \tilde{S}_H$ . As a result, it induces a holomorphic map  $\tilde{\gamma} : \tilde{S}_H \rightarrow \tilde{S}_H$  such that we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{X}_H & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & \tilde{X}_H \\ \downarrow \mathrm{sh}_H & & \downarrow \mathrm{sh}_H \\ \tilde{S}_H & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\gamma}} & \tilde{S}_H \end{array}$$

Let us define the action of  $\gamma$  on  $\tilde{S}_H$  by  $\tilde{\gamma}$ . Then  $\gamma$  is an analytic automorphism and  $\mathrm{sh}_H$  is  $\pi_1(X)/H$ -equivariant. It is evident that  $\tilde{\gamma} : \tilde{S}_H \rightarrow \tilde{S}_H$  carries one fiber of  $\mathrm{sh}_H$  to another fiber. Thus, we have shown that  $\pi_1(X)/H$  acts on  $\tilde{S}_H$  analytically and equivariantly with respect to  $\mathrm{sh}_H : \tilde{X}_H \rightarrow \tilde{S}_H$ . Now, we will prove that this action is properly discontinuous.

Take any  $y \in \tilde{S}_H$  and let  $F := \mathrm{sh}_H^{-1}(y)$ . Consider the subgroup  $\mathcal{S}$  of  $\pi_1(X)/H$  that fixes  $y$ , i.e.

$$(3.10) \quad \mathcal{S} := \{\gamma \in \pi_1(X)/H \mid \gamma \cdot F = F\}.$$

Since  $F$  is compact,  $\mathcal{S}$  is finite.

**Claim 3.35.** —  *$F$  is a connected component of  $\pi_H^{-1}(\pi_H(F))$ .*

*Proof of Claim 3.35.* — Let  $x \in \pi_H^{-1}(\pi_H(F))$ . Then there exists  $x_0 \in F$  such that  $\pi_H(x) = \pi_H(x_0)$ . Therefore, there exists  $\gamma \in \pi_1(X)/H$  such that  $\gamma \cdot x_0 = x$ . It follows that  $\pi_H^{-1}(\pi_H(F)) = \cup_{\gamma \in \pi_1(X)/H} \gamma \cdot F$ . Since  $\gamma$  carries one fiber of  $\mathrm{Sh}_H$  to another fiber, and the group  $\pi_1(X)/H$  is finitely presented, it follows that  $\cup_{\gamma \in \pi_1(X)/H} \gamma \cdot F$  are countable union of fibers of  $\mathrm{Sh}_H$ . It follows that  $F$  is a connected component of  $\pi_H^{-1}(\pi_H(F))$ .  $\square$

Claim 3.35 implies that  $\pi_H : F \rightarrow \pi_H(F)$  is a finite étale cover. Denote by  $Z := \pi_H(F)$  which is a connected Zariski closed subset of  $X$ . Then  $\mathrm{Im}[\pi_1(F) \rightarrow \pi_1(Z)]$  is finite. As a consequence of [Hof09, Theorem 4.5], there is a connected open neighborhood  $U$  of  $Z$  such that  $\pi_1(Z) \rightarrow \pi_1(U)$  is an isomorphism. Therefore,  $\mathrm{Im}[\pi_1(U) \rightarrow \pi_1(W)] = \mathrm{Im}[\pi_1(F) \rightarrow \pi_1(W)]$  is also finite. As a result,  $\pi_H^{-1}(U)$  is a disjoint union of connected open sets  $\{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I}$  such that

- (a) For each  $U_\alpha$ ,  $\pi_H|_{U_\alpha} : U_\alpha \rightarrow U$  is a finite étale covering.
- (b) Each  $U_\alpha$  contains exactly one connected component of  $\pi_H^{-1}(Z)$ .

We may assume that  $F \subset U_{\alpha_1}$  for some  $\alpha_1 \in I$ . By Item (b), for any  $\gamma \in \pi_1(X, z)/H \simeq \mathrm{Aut}(\tilde{X}_H/X)$ ,  $\gamma \cdot U_{\alpha_1} \cap U_{\alpha_1} = \emptyset$  if and only if  $\gamma \notin \mathcal{S}$ .

Since  $\mathrm{sh}_H$  is a proper holomorphic fibration, we can take a neighborhood  $V_y$  of  $y$  such that  $\mathrm{sh}_H^{-1}(V_y) \subset U_{\alpha_1}$ . Since  $\gamma \cdot U_{\alpha_1} \cap U_{\alpha_1} = \emptyset$  if and only if  $\gamma \notin \mathcal{S}$ , it follows that  $\gamma \cdot V_y \cap V_y = \emptyset$  if  $\gamma \notin \mathcal{S}$ . Since  $\mathcal{S}$  is finite and  $y$  was chosen arbitrarily, we have shown that the action of  $\pi_1(X)/H$  on  $\tilde{S}_H$  is properly discontinuous. Thus Lemma 3.34 is proven.  $\square$



Let  $\nu : \pi_1(X)/H \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\tilde{S}_H)$  be action of  $\pi_1(X)/H$  on  $\tilde{S}_H$  and let  $\Gamma_0 := \nu(\pi_1(X)/H)$ . By Lemma 3.34 and [Car60], we know that the quotient  $\text{Sh}_{\mathfrak{C}}(X) := \tilde{S}_H/\Gamma_0$  is a complex normal space, and it is compact if  $X$  is compact. Moreover, since  $\text{sh}_H : \tilde{X} \rightarrow \tilde{S}_H$  is  $\nu$ -equivariant, it induces a proper holomorphic fibration  $\text{sh}_{\mathfrak{C}} : X \rightarrow \text{Sh}_{\mathfrak{C}}(X)$  from  $X$  to a complex normal space  $\text{Sh}_{\mathfrak{C}}(X)$ .

$$(3.11) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} & & \tilde{X}_H & \xrightarrow{\pi_H} & X \\ & \swarrow \Psi & \downarrow \text{sh}_H & & \downarrow \text{sh}_{\mathfrak{C}} \\ S_{\mathfrak{C}} \times \mathcal{D} & \xleftarrow{g} & \tilde{S}_H & \xrightarrow{\mu} & \text{Sh}_{\mathfrak{C}}(X) \\ & \searrow \phi & & & \\ & & \mathcal{D} & & \end{array}$$

**Claim 3.36.** — *For any connected Zariski closed subset  $Z \subset X$ , the following properties are equivalent:*

- (a)  $\text{sh}_{\mathfrak{C}}(Z)$  is a point;
- (b)  $\varrho(\text{Im}[\pi_1(Z) \rightarrow \pi_1(X)])$  is finite for any reductive representation  $\varrho : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \text{GL}_N(\mathbb{C})$  such that  $[\varrho] \in \mathfrak{C}(\mathbb{C})$ ;
- (c) for any irreducible component  $Z_1$  of  $Z$ ,  $\varrho(\text{Im}[\pi_1(Z_1^{\text{norm}}) \rightarrow \pi_1(X)])$  is finite for any reductive representation  $\varrho : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \text{GL}_N(\mathbb{C})$  such that  $[\varrho] \in \mathfrak{C}(\mathbb{C})$ .

*Proof.* — (c)  $\Rightarrow$  (a): Let  $f : Y \rightarrow Z_1$  be a desingularization. For any non archimedean local field  $K$ , we note that there exists an abstract embedding  $K \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . Hence, for any reductive representation  $\rho : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \text{GL}_N(K)$  with  $[\rho] \in \mathfrak{C}(K)$ ,  $f^*\rho(\pi_1(Y)) \subset \varrho(\text{Im}[\pi_1(Z_1^{\text{norm}}) \rightarrow \pi_1(X)])$  is finite, and therefore bounded. Hence,  $f(Y)$  is contained in some fiber  $F$  of  $s_{\mathfrak{C}}$  by Lemma 3.2. Using Items (a) and (b), we have that  $\Gamma := f^*\sigma(\pi_1(Y))$  is also finite. Therefore,  $Y$  is mapped to one point by the period mapping  $Y \rightarrow \mathcal{D}/\Gamma$  of  $f^*\sigma$ . As a result,  $\text{sh}_{\mathfrak{C}}(Z_1)$  is a point by (3.11). Since  $Z$  is connected,  $\text{sh}_{\mathfrak{C}}(Z)$  is also a point.

(b)  $\Rightarrow$  (c): Obvious.

(a)  $\Rightarrow$  (b): We observe from (3.11) that for any connected component  $Z'$  of  $\pi_H^{-1}(Z)$ , it is contracted by  $\Psi$ . By Lemma 3.30,  $Z'$  is contained in some compact subvariety of  $\tilde{X}_H$ . Since  $Z'$  is closed, it is also compact. Therefore, the map  $Z' \rightarrow Z$  induced by  $\pi_H$  is a finite étale cover.

Let  $\varrho : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \text{GL}_N(\mathbb{C})$  be any reductive representation such that  $[\varrho] \in \mathfrak{C}(\mathbb{C})$ . Note that  $\varrho(\text{Im}[\pi_1(Z') \rightarrow \pi_1(X)])$  is a finite index subgroup of  $\varrho(\text{Im}[\pi_1(Z) \rightarrow \pi_1(X)])$ . Since  $\varrho(\text{Im}[\pi_1(Z') \rightarrow \pi_1(X)]) = \{1\}$ , it follows that  $\varrho(\text{Im}[\pi_1(Z) \rightarrow \pi_1(X)])$  is finite. The claim is proved.  $\square$

Therefore, we have constructed the desired proper holomorphic fibration  $\text{sh}_{\mathfrak{C}} : X \rightarrow \text{Sh}_{\mathfrak{C}}(X)$ . The theorem is proved.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.37.** — *Let  $X$  be a smooth projective variety and let  $\mathfrak{C}$  be a constructible subset of  $M_{\mathbb{B}}(X, N)(\mathbb{C})$  which is defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$  and is invariant under  $\mathbb{R}^*$ -action. Then  $\text{Sh}_{\mathfrak{C}}(X)$  is a projective variety and  $\text{sh}_{\mathfrak{C}}$  is algebraic.*

*Proof.* — We will use the same notations as in the proof of Theorem 3.29.

**Claim 3.38.** — *There exists a finite index normal subgroup  $N$  of  $\pi_1(X)/H$  such that its action on  $\tilde{S}_H$  does not have fixed point.*

*Proof of Claim 3.38.* — By Lemma 3.28, we know that  $\pi_1(X)/H$  is a finitely presented linear group. Therefore, by Selberg's lemma it contains a finite index normal subgroup  $N$  which is torsion free. By (3.10), we know that for any  $y \in \tilde{S}_H$ , the subgroup of  $\pi_1(X)/H$  fixing  $y$  is finite. It follows that the action of  $N$  on  $y \in \tilde{S}_H$  is torsion free.  $\square$

Let  $Y := \widetilde{X}_H/N$ , where  $N$  is the finite index torsion free normal subgroup of  $\pi_1(X)/H$ . Then  $Y \rightarrow X$  is a finite Galois étale cover with  $\text{Aut}(\widetilde{X}_H/Y) = N$ . Recall that we define  $\nu : \pi_1(X)/H \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\widetilde{S}_H)$  to be action of  $\pi_1(X)/H$  on  $\widetilde{S}_H$ . Since  $\text{sh}_H : \widetilde{X}_H \rightarrow \widetilde{S}_H$  is  $\nu$ -equivariant, the group  $N$  gives rise to a proper holomorphic fibration  $Y \rightarrow \widetilde{S}_H/\nu(N)$  over a complex normal space  $\widetilde{S}_H/\nu(N)$ . By Claims 3.31 and 3.38,  $\nu(N)$  acts on  $\widetilde{S}_H$  properly continuous and freely and thus the covering  $\widetilde{S}_H \rightarrow \widetilde{S}_H/\nu(N)$  is étale.

**Claim 3.39.** — *Each fiber of  $g : \widetilde{S}_H \rightarrow S_{\mathbb{C}} \times \mathcal{D}$  is discrete.*

*Proof.* — Let  $(t, o) \in S_{\mathbb{C}} \times \mathcal{D}$  be arbitrary point and take any point  $y \in g^{-1}((t, o))$ . Then  $Z := \text{sh}_H^{-1}(y)$  is a connected component of the fiber  $\Psi^{-1}((t, o))$ , that is compact by Lemma 3.30. By Theorem 1.29,  $Z$  has an open neighborhood  $U$  such that  $\Psi(U)$  is a locally closed analytic subvariety of  $S_{\mathbb{C}} \times \mathcal{D}$  and  $\Psi|_U : U \rightarrow \Psi(U)$  is proper. Therefore, for the Stein factorization  $U \rightarrow V \xrightarrow{\pi_V} \Psi(U)$  of  $\Psi|_U$ ,  $U \rightarrow V$  coincides with  $\text{sh}_H|_U : U \rightarrow \text{sh}_H(U)$  and  $\pi_V : V \rightarrow \Psi(U)$  is finite. Observe that  $V$  is an open neighborhood of  $y$  and  $\pi_V : V \rightarrow \Psi(U)$  coincides with  $g|_V : V \rightarrow S_{\mathbb{C}} \times \mathcal{D}$ . Therefore, the set  $V \cap g^{-1}((t, o)) = V \cap (\pi_V)^{-1}(t, o)$  is finite. As a result,  $g^{-1}((t, o))$  is discrete. The claim is proven.  $\square$

In [Gri70], Griffiths discovered a so-called *canonical bundle  $K_{\mathcal{D}}$  on the period domain  $\mathcal{D}$* , which is invariant under  $G_0$ . Here  $G_0$  is a real Lie group acting on  $\mathcal{D}$  holomorphically and transitively. It is worth noting that  $K_{\mathcal{D}}$  is endowed with a  $G_0$ -invariant smooth metric  $h_{\mathcal{D}}$  whose curvature is positive-definite in the horizontal direction. The period mapping  $p : \widetilde{X}_H \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  induces a holomorphic map  $\phi : \widetilde{S}_H \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  which is horizontal. It is important to note that the monodromy representation  $\sigma : \pi_1(X)/H \rightarrow G_0$  induces a representation  $\nu(\pi_1(X)/H) \rightarrow G_0$ , such that  $\phi$  is equivariant with respect to this representation. As a result,  $\phi^*K_{\mathcal{D}}$  descends to a line bundle on the quotient  $W := \widetilde{S}_H/\nu(N)$ , denoted by  $L_G$ . The smooth metric  $h_{\mathcal{D}}$  induces a smooth metric  $h_G$  on  $L_G$  whose curvature form is denoted by  $T$ . Let  $x \in \widetilde{S}_H$  be a smooth point of  $\widetilde{S}_H$  and let  $v \in T_{\widetilde{S}_H, x}$ . Then  $-iT(v, \bar{v}) > 0$  if  $d\phi(v) \neq 0$ .

**Claim 3.40.** —  *$\text{Sh}_{\mathbb{C}}(X)$  is a projective normal variety.*

*Proof.* — Note that  $S_{\mathbb{C}}$  is a projective normal variety. We take an ample line bundle  $L$  over  $S_{\mathbb{C}}$ . Recall that there is a line bundle  $L_G$  on  $W$  equipped with a smooth metric  $h_G$  such that its curvature form is  $T$ . Denote by  $f : W \rightarrow S_{\mathbb{C}}$  the natural morphism induced by  $g : \widetilde{S}_H \rightarrow S_{\mathbb{C}} \times \mathcal{D}$ . Let  $\mu : W' \rightarrow W$  be a resolution of singularities of  $W$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \widetilde{X}_H & \xrightarrow{\pi_H} & Y & & \\
 \swarrow \Psi & & \downarrow \text{sh}_H & & \downarrow \\
 S_{\mathbb{C}} \times \mathcal{D} & \xleftarrow{g} & \widetilde{S}_H & \longrightarrow & W \xleftarrow{\mu} W' \\
 \downarrow & \swarrow \phi & & & \downarrow f \\
 \mathcal{D} & & & & S_{\mathbb{C}}
 \end{array}$$

We take a smooth metric  $h$  on  $L$  such that its curvature form  $i\Theta_h(L)$  is Kähler. As shown in Claim 3.39, the map  $g : \widetilde{S}_H \rightarrow S_{\mathbb{C}} \times \mathcal{D}$  is discrete. Therefore,  $g$  is an immersion at general points of  $\widetilde{S}_H$ . Thus, for the line bundle  $\mu^*(L_G \otimes f^*L)$  equipped with the smooth metric  $\mu^*(h \otimes f^*h_G)$ , its curvature form is strictly positive at some points of  $W'$ . By Demailly's holomorphic Morse inequality or Siu's solution for the Grauert-Riemenschneider conjecture,  $\mu^*(L_G \otimes f^*L)$  is a big line bundle and thus  $W'$  is a Moishezon manifold. Hence  $W$  is a Moishezon variety.

Moreover, we can verify that for irreducible positive-dimensional closed subvariety  $Z$  of  $W$ , there exists a smooth point  $x$  in  $Z$  such that it has a neighborhood  $\Omega$  that can be lifted to the étale covering  $\widetilde{S}_H$  of  $W$ , and  $g|_{\Omega} : \Omega \rightarrow S_{\mathbb{C}} \times \mathcal{D}$  is an immersion. It follows that  $(if^*\Theta_h(L) + T)|_{\Omega}$  is strictly positive. Since  $if^*\Theta_h(L) + T \geq 0$ , it follows that

$$(L_G \otimes f^*L)^{\dim Z} \cdot [Z] = \int_{Z^{\text{reg}}} (if^*\Theta_h(L) + T)^{\dim Z} > 0.$$

By the Nakai-Moishezon criterion for Moishezon varieties (cf. [Kol90, Theorem 3.11]),  $L_G \otimes f^*L$  is ample, implying that  $W$  is projective. Recall that the compact complex normal space  $\mathrm{Sh}_{\mathbb{C}}(X) := \widetilde{S}_H/\Gamma_0$  is a quotient of  $W = \widetilde{S}_H/\nu(N)$  by the finite group  $\Gamma_0/\nu(N)$ . Therefore,  $\mathrm{Sh}_{\mathbb{C}}(X)$  is also projective. The claim is proved.  $\square$

We accomplish the proof of the proposition.  $\square$

**Remark 3.41.** — In Theorem 3.29, when  $X$  is compact, Proposition 3.13 allows us to assume that  $\mathbb{C}$  is constructible rather than Zariski closed. Under this weaker assumption, we can still obtain the Shafarevich morphism for  $\mathbb{C}$ .

**Remark 3.42.** — We remark that Lemma 3.34 is claimed without a proof in [Eys04, p. 524] and [Bru23, Proof of Theorem 10]. It appears to us that the proof of Lemma 3.34 is not straightforward.

It is worth noting that Claim 3.38 is implicitly used in [Eys04, Proposition 5.3.10]. In that proof, the criterion for Stein spaces (cf. Proposition 1.14) is employed, assuming Claim 3.38. Given its significance in the proofs of Theorems C and D, we provide a complete proof.

**3.5. Construction of Shafarevich morphism (II).** — In the previous subsection, we established the existence of the Shafarevich morphism associated with a constructible subset of  $M_{\mathbb{B}}(X, N)(\mathbb{C})$  defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$  that are invariant under  $\mathbb{R}^*$ -action. In this section, we focus on proving an existence theorem for the Shafarevich morphism associated with a single reductive representation, based on Theorem 3.29. Initially, we assume that the representation has infinite monodromy at infinity and that  $X$  is smooth. However, we will subsequently apply Proposition 3.19 to remove this assumption and establish the more general result.

**Proposition 3.43.** — *Let  $X$  be a smooth quasi-projective variety. Let  $\varrho : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_N(\mathbb{C})$  be a reductive representation with infinite monodromy at infinity. Then there exists a proper holomorphic fibration  $\mathrm{sh}_{\varrho} : X \rightarrow \mathrm{Sh}_{\varrho}(X)$  onto a complex normal space  $\mathrm{Sh}_{\varrho}(X)$  such that for any Zariski closed subvariety  $Z \subset X$ ,  $\varrho(\mathrm{Im}[\pi_1(Z) \rightarrow \pi_1(X)])$  is finite if and only if  $\mathrm{sh}_{\varrho}(Z)$  is a point. If  $X$  is smooth, then for any Zariski closed subset  $Z \subset X$ , the following properties are equivalent:*

- (i)  $\mathrm{sh}_{\varrho}(Z)$  is a point;
- (ii)  $\varrho(\mathrm{Im}[\pi_1(Z) \rightarrow \pi_1(X)])$  is finite;
- (iii) for each irreducible component  $Z_1$  of  $Z$ ,  $\varrho(\mathrm{Im}[\pi_1(Z_1^{\mathrm{norm}}) \rightarrow \pi_1(X)])$  is finite.

We first prove the following crucial result.

**Proposition 3.44.** — *Let  $X$  be a smooth quasi-projective variety. Let  $f : Z \rightarrow X$  be a proper morphism from a smooth quasi-projective variety  $Z$ . Let  $\varrho : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_N(\mathbb{C})$  be a reductive representation. Define  $M := j_Z^{-1}\{1\}$ , where  $1$  stands for the trivial representation, and  $j_Z : M_{\mathbb{B}}(X, N) \rightarrow M_{\mathbb{B}}(Z, N)$  is the natural morphism of  $\mathbb{Q}$ -scheme induced by  $f$ . Then  $M$  is a closed subscheme of  $M_{\mathbb{B}}(X, N)$  defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$  such that  $M(\mathbb{C})$  is invariant under  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -action.*

*Proof.* — We take a smooth projective compactification  $\overline{X}$  (resp.  $\overline{Z}$ ) of  $X$  (resp.  $Z$ ) such that  $D := \overline{X} \setminus X$  (resp.  $D_Z := \overline{Z} \setminus Z$ ) is a simple normal crossing divisor and  $f$  extends to a morphism  $\bar{f} : \overline{X} \rightarrow \overline{Z}$ . Note that the morphism  $j_Z$  is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -morphism between affine schemes of finite type  $M_{\mathbb{B}}(X, N)$  and  $M_{\mathbb{B}}(Z, N)$  defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . We remark that  $M$  is a closed subscheme of  $M_{\mathbb{B}}(X, N)$  defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$  as we have  $\{1\} \in M_{\mathbb{B}}(Z, N)(\mathbb{Q})$ . Let  $\varrho : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_N(\mathbb{C})$  be a reductive representation such that  $[\varrho] \in M(\mathbb{C})$ . By Theorem 1.6, there is a tame pure imaginary harmonic bundle  $(E, \theta, h)$  on  $X$  such that  $\varrho$  is the monodromy representation of  $\nabla_h + \theta + \theta_h^\dagger$ . By definition of  $M$ ,  $f^*\varrho$  is a trivial representation. Therefore,  $f^*\varrho$  corresponds to a trivial harmonic bundle  $(\oplus^N \mathcal{O}_Z, 0, h_0)$  where  $h_0$  is the canonical metric for the trivial vector bundle  $\oplus^N \mathcal{O}_Z$  with zero curvature. By the unicity theorem in [Moc06, Theorem 1.4],  $(\oplus^N \mathcal{O}_Z, 0, h_0)$  coincides with  $(f^*E, f^*\theta, f^*h)$  with some obvious ambiguity of  $h_0$ . Therefore,  $f^*E = \oplus^N \mathcal{O}_Z$  and  $f^*\theta = 0$ . In particular, the regular filtered Higgs bundle  $(\tilde{E}_*, \tilde{\theta})$  on  $(\overline{Z}, D_Z)$  induced by the prolongation of  $(f^*E, f^*\theta, f^*h)$  using norm growth defined in § 2.1 is trivial; namely we have  ${}_{\alpha}\tilde{E} = \mathcal{O}_{\overline{Z}}^{\otimes N} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\overline{Z}}(\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} a_i D'_i)$  for any  $\alpha = (a_1, \dots, a_{\ell}) \in \mathbb{R}^{\ell}$  and  $\tilde{\theta} = 0$ . Here we write  $D_Z = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} D'_i$ .

Let  $(E_*, \theta)$  be the induced regular filtered Higgs bundle on  $(\bar{X}, D)$  by  $(E, \theta, h)$  defined in § 2.1. According to §§ 2.2 and 2.3 we can define the pullback  $(f^*E_*, f^*\theta)$ , which also forms a regular filtered Higgs bundle on  $(\bar{Z}, D_Z)$  with trivial characteristic numbers. By virtue of Proposition 2.5, we deduce that  $(f^*E_*, f^*\theta) = (\tilde{E}_*, \tilde{\theta})$ . Consequently, it follows that  $(f^*E_*, f^*\theta)$  is trivial. Hence  $(f^*E_*, t f^*\theta)$  is trivial for any  $t \in \mathbb{C}^*$ .

Fix some ample line bundle  $L$  on  $\bar{Z}$ . It is worth noting that for any  $t \in \mathbb{C}^*$ ,  $(E_*, t\theta)$  is  $\mu_L$ -polystable with trivial characteristic numbers. By [Moc06, Theorem 9.4], there is a pluriharmonic metric  $h_t$  for  $(E, t\theta)$  adapted to the parabolic structures of  $(E_*, t\theta)$ . By Proposition 2.5 once again, the regular filtered Higgs bundle  $(f^*E_*, t f^*\theta)$  is the prolongation of the tame harmonic bundle  $(f^*E, t f^*\theta, f^*h_t)$  using norm growth defined in § 2.1. Since  $(f^*E_*, t f^*\theta)$  is trivial for any  $t \in \mathbb{C}^*$ , by the unicity theorem in [Moc06, Theorem 1.4] once again, it follows that  $(\oplus^N \mathcal{O}_Z, 0, h_0)$  coincides with  $(f^*E, t f^*\theta, f^*h_t)$  with some obvious ambiguity of  $h_0$ . Recall that in § 2.4,  $\varrho_t$  is defined to be the monodromy representation of the flat connection  $\nabla_{h_t} + t\theta + \bar{t}\theta_{h_t}^\dagger$ . It follows that  $f^*\varrho_t$  is the monodromy representation of the flat connection  $f^*(\nabla_{h_t} + t\theta + \bar{t}\theta_{h_t}^\dagger)$ . Therefore,  $f^*\varrho_t$  is a trivial representation.

However, it is worth noting that  $\varrho_t$  might not be reductive as  $(E, t\theta, h_t)$  might not be pure imaginary. Let  $\varrho_t^{ss}$  be the semisimplification of  $\varrho_t$ . Then  $[\varrho_t] = [\varrho_t^{ss}]$ . Since  $f^*\varrho_t$  is a trivial representation,  $f^*\varrho_t^{ss}$  is also trivial. Note that

$$f^*(t \cdot [\varrho]) = f^*[\varrho_t] = f^*[\varrho_t^{ss}] = [f^*\varrho_t^{ss}] = 1.$$

Therefore,  $t \cdot [\varrho] \in M(\mathbb{C})$  if  $[\varrho] \in M(\mathbb{C})$ . The proposition is proved.  $\square$

**Remark 3.45.** — It is important to note that, unlike the projective case, the proof of Proposition 3.44 becomes considerably non-trivial when  $X$  is quasi-projective. This complexity arises from the utilization of the functoriality of pullback of regular filtered Higgs bundles, which is established in Proposition 2.5. Lemma 3.44 plays a crucial role in the proof of Proposition 3.43 as it allows us to remove the condition of  $\mathbb{R}^*$ -invariance in Theorem 3.29. However, we remark that Proposition 3.44 is claimed without a proof in the proof of [Bru23, Lemma 9.3].

*Proof of Proposition 3.43.* — *Step 1: we assume that  $X$  is smooth, and  $\varrho$  has infinite monodromy at infinity.* Let  $f : Z \rightarrow X$  be a proper morphism from a smooth quasi-projective variety  $Z$ . Then  $j_Z : M_B(X, N) \rightarrow M_B(Z, N)$  is a morphism of  $\mathbb{Q}$ -scheme. Define

$$(3.12) \quad \mathfrak{C} := \bigcap_{\{f: Z \rightarrow X \mid f^*\varrho=1\}} j_Z^{-1}\{1\},$$

where 1 stands for the trivial representation, and  $f : Z \rightarrow X$  ranges over all proper morphisms from smooth quasi-projective varieties  $Z$  to  $X$ . Then  $\mathfrak{C}$  is a Zariski closed subset defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , and by Proposition 3.44,  $\mathfrak{C}(\mathbb{C})$  is invariant under  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -action. Note that  $[\varrho] \in \mathfrak{C}(\mathbb{C})$ . As we assume that  $\varrho$  has infinite monodromy at infinity, conditions in Theorem 3.29 for  $\mathfrak{C}$  are fulfilled. Therefore, we apply Theorem 3.29 to conclude that the Shafarevich morphism  $\text{sh}_{\mathfrak{C}} : X \rightarrow \text{Sh}_{\mathfrak{C}}(X)$  exists. It is a proper holomorphic fibration over a complex normal space.

**Claim 3.46.** — *For any connected Zariski closed subset  $Z \subset X$ , the following properties are equivalent:*

- (a)  $\text{sh}_{\mathfrak{C}}(Z)$  is a point;
- (b)  $\varrho(\text{Im}[\pi_1(Z) \rightarrow \pi_1(X)])$  is finite;
- (c) for each irreducible component  $Z_1$  of  $Z$ ,  $\varrho(\text{Im}[\pi_1(Z_1^{\text{norm}}) \rightarrow \pi_1(X)])$  is finite.

*Proof.* — (a)  $\Rightarrow$  (b): this follows from the fact that  $[\varrho] \in \mathfrak{C}(\mathbb{C})$  and Theorem 3.29.

(b)  $\Rightarrow$  (c): obvious.

(c)  $\Rightarrow$  (a): Consider the desingularization  $Y \rightarrow Z_1$ , and let  $f : Y \rightarrow X$  be the composite morphism. Since  $\pi_1(Y) \rightarrow \pi_1(Z_1^{\text{norm}})$  is surjective, it follows that  $f^*\varrho(\pi_1(Y))$  is finite. We can take a finite étale cover  $W \rightarrow Y$  such that  $f^*\varrho(\text{Im}[\pi_1(W) \rightarrow \pi_1(Y)])$  is trivial. Denote by  $g : W \rightarrow X$  the composition of  $f$  with  $W \rightarrow Y$ . Then  $g$  is proper and  $g^*\varrho = 1$ . Let  $\tau : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \text{GL}_N(\mathbb{C})$  be any

reductive representation such that  $[\tau] \in \mathfrak{C}(\mathbb{C})$ . Then  $g^*\tau = 1$  by (3.12). It follows that  $f^*\tau(\pi_1(Y))$  is finite. According to Theorem 3.29,  $\text{sh}_{\mathfrak{C}} \circ f(Y) = \text{sh}_{\mathfrak{C}}(Z_1)$  is a point. Since  $Z$  is connected,  $\text{sh}_{\mathfrak{C}}(Z)$  is a point.  $\square$

Let  $\text{sh}_{\varrho} : X \rightarrow \text{Sh}_{\varrho}(X)$  be  $\text{sh}_{\mathfrak{C}} : X \rightarrow \text{Sh}_{\mathfrak{C}}(X)$ . The proposition is proved.  $\square$

The conditions in Proposition 3.43 that  $\varrho$  has infinite monodromy at infinity and that  $X$  is smooth pose significant practical limitations for further applications. However, we will remove this assumption in next theorem. We first prove an important lemma.

**Lemma 3.47.** — *Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a proper surjective morphism between connected (possibly reducible) quasi-projective varieties  $X$  and  $Y$ . Assume that each fiber of  $f$  is connected. Then  $f_* : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \pi_1(Y)$  is surjective.*

*Proof.* — Let  $\tilde{Y} \rightarrow Y$  be the universal covering of  $Y$ . Consider the fiber product  $X' := X \times_Y \tilde{Y}$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X' & \xrightarrow{\pi_1} & X \\ \downarrow f' & & \downarrow f \\ \tilde{Y} & \xrightarrow{\pi_2} & Y \end{array}$$

Since  $f$  is proper and each fiber of  $f$  is connected, it follows that  $f' : X' \rightarrow \tilde{Y}$  is proper, surjective and each fiber of  $f'$  is connected.

**Claim 3.48.** —  *$X'$  is connected.*

*Proof.* — Assume by contradiction that  $X'$  is not connected. Then  $X' = \sqcup_{\alpha \in I} X_{\alpha}$ , where  $X_{\alpha}$  are connected components of  $X'$ . Since each fiber of  $f'$  is connected, it follows that any fiber of  $f'$  is contained in some  $X_{\alpha}$ . This implies that  $f'(X_{\alpha}) \cap f'(X_{\beta}) = \emptyset$  if  $\alpha \neq \beta$ . Since  $f'$  is surjective, it follows  $\sqcup_{\alpha \in I} f'(X_{\alpha}) = \tilde{Y}$ . Note that  $f'(X_{\alpha})$  and  $f'(\sqcup_{\beta \in I, \beta \neq \alpha} X_{\beta})$  are both closed since  $f'$  is proper. This contradicts with the connectedness of  $\tilde{Y}$ . Hence  $X'$  is connected.  $\square$

We choose a base point  $x \in X$  and  $y \in Y$  such that  $y = f(x)$ . Let  $x' \in X'$  and  $y' \in \tilde{Y}$  be such that  $x = \pi_1(x')$ ,  $y = \pi_2(y')$  and  $y' = f'(x')$ . Then for any element  $\gamma \in \pi_1(Y, y)$ , the lift of  $\gamma$  in  $\tilde{Y}$  starting at  $y'$  will end at some  $y''$  such that  $y = \pi_2(y'')$ . Since  $X' = X \times_Y \tilde{Y}$ , it follows that there exists a unique  $x'' \in f'^{-1}(y'')$  such that  $x = \pi_1(x'')$ . Since  $X'$  is connected, there exists a continuous path  $\sigma : [0, 1] \rightarrow X'$  such that  $x' = \sigma(0)$  and  $x'' = \sigma(1)$ . Consider the continuous path  $f' \circ \sigma : [0, 1] \rightarrow \tilde{Y}$ . Then  $y' = f' \circ \sigma(0)$  and  $y'' = f' \circ \sigma(1)$ . It follows that  $\gamma = [\pi_2 \circ f' \circ \sigma] = [f \circ \pi_1 \circ \sigma]$ . Note that  $x = \pi_1 \circ \sigma(0) = \pi_1 \circ \sigma(1)$ . This proves that  $f_*([\pi_1 \circ \sigma]) = \gamma$ . Therefore,  $f_* : \pi_1(X, x) \rightarrow \pi_1(Y, y)$  is surjective. The lemma is proved.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.49.** — *Let  $X$  be a quasi-projective normal varieties, and let  $\varrho : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \text{GL}_N(\mathbb{C})$  be a reductive representation. Then there exists a dominant holomorphic map  $\text{sh}_{\varrho} : X \rightarrow \text{Sh}_{\varrho}(X)$  to a complex normal space  $\text{Sh}_{\varrho}(X)$  whose general fibers are connected such that for any Zariski closed subset  $Z \subset X$ , the following properties are equivalent:*

- (a)  $\text{sh}_{\varrho}(Z)$  is a point;
- (b)  $\varrho(\text{Im}[\pi_1(Z) \rightarrow \pi_1(X)])$  is finite;
- (c) for any irreducible component  $Z_1$  of  $Z$ ,  $\varrho(\text{Im}[\pi_1(Z_1^{\text{norm}}) \rightarrow \pi_1(X)])$  is finite.

*Proof.* — *Step 1:* We first assume that  $X$  is smooth. By Proposition 3.19, there exists a smooth partial compactification  $X'$  of  $X$  such that  $\varrho$  has quasi-infinite monodromy at infinity with respect to  $X'$ .

**Claim 3.50.** — *There exists a finite morphism  $\nu' : \hat{X}' \rightarrow X'$  such that*

- (1)  $\hat{X}'$  is a quasi-projective smooth variety;
- (2) We denote  $\nu_0 : \hat{X} \rightarrow X$ , where  $\hat{X} = (\nu')^{-1}(X)$ . Then  $\nu_0^*\varrho$  extends to a reductive representation  $\varrho' : \pi_1(\hat{X}') \rightarrow \text{GL}_N(\mathbb{C})$  that has infinite monodromy at infinity.

*Proof.* — Let  $D_1 + \cdots + D_l = X' - X$  be the irreducible decomposition of the boundary, which is simple normal crossing. Let  $m_1, \dots, m_l$  be the orders of the local monodromy around  $D_1, \dots, D_l$ . By Kawamata's covering lemma (see [Kaw81, Theorem 17]), we may take a finite covering  $\nu' : \widehat{X}' \rightarrow X'$  such that

- (1)  $\widehat{X}'$  is smooth,
- (2)  $(\nu')^{-1}(D_1 + \cdots + D_l)$  is simple normal crossing,
- (3) let  $(\nu')^*D_i = \sum n_{ij}F_j$  be the irreducible decomposition, then  $m_i | n_{ij}$  for any  $i$  and  $j$ .

Then  $\nu_0^* \varrho : \pi_1(\widehat{X}) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_N(\mathbb{C})$  has trivial monodromy at each boundary components  $F_{ij}$ . Hence this extends to  $\varrho' : \pi_1(\widehat{X}') \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_N(\mathbb{C})$ , which has infinite monodromy at infinity.  $\square$

We proceed by finding a finite morphism  $h : Y' \rightarrow \widehat{X}'$  from a normal quasi-projective variety  $Y'$  such that the composition  $f : Y' \rightarrow X'$  of  $\widehat{X}' \rightarrow X'$  and  $Y' \rightarrow \widehat{X}'$  is a Galois cover with Galois group  $G$  (cf. [CDY22, §1.3]). By Claim 3.50 and Lemma 3.17,  $h^* \varrho' : \pi_1(Y') \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_N(\mathbb{C})$  also has infinite monodromy at infinity. Consequently, we can apply Proposition 3.43 to deduce the existence of a proper holomorphic fibration  $\mathrm{sh}_{h^* \varrho'} : Y' \rightarrow \mathrm{Sh}_{h^* \varrho'}(Y')$  such that for any closed subvariety  $Z$  of  $Y'$ ,  $\mathrm{sh}_{h^* \varrho'}(Z)$  is a point if and only if  $h^* \varrho'(\mathrm{Im}[\pi_1(Z^{\mathrm{norm}}) \rightarrow \pi_1(Y')])$  is finite.

**Claim 3.51.** — *The Galois group  $G$  acts analytically on  $\mathrm{Sh}_{h^* \varrho'}(Y')$  such that  $\mathrm{sh}_{h^* \varrho'}$  is  $G$ -equivariant.*

*Proof.* — Take any  $y \in \mathrm{Sh}_{h^* \varrho'}(Y')$  and any  $g \in G$ . Since  $\mathrm{sh}_{h^* \varrho'}$  is surjective and proper, the fiber  $\mathrm{sh}_{h^* \varrho'}^{-1}(y)$  is thus non-empty and compact. Let  $Z$  be an irreducible component of the fiber  $\mathrm{sh}_{h^* \varrho'}^{-1}(y)$ . Then  $h^* \varrho'(\mathrm{Im}[\pi_1(Z^{\mathrm{norm}}) \rightarrow \pi_1(Y')])$  is finite, implying that  $h^* \varrho'(\mathrm{Im}[\pi_1((g \cdot Z)^{\mathrm{norm}}) \rightarrow \pi_1(Y')])$  is also finite. Consequently, there exists a point  $y' \in \mathrm{Sh}_{h^* \varrho'}(Y')$  such that  $\mathrm{sh}_{h^* \varrho'}(g \cdot Z) = y'$ . Since each fiber of  $\mathrm{sh}_{h^* \varrho'}$  is connected, for any other irreducible component  $Z'$  of  $\mathrm{sh}_{h^* \varrho'}^{-1}(y)$ , we have  $\mathrm{sh}_{h^* \varrho'}(g \cdot Z') = y'$ . Consequently, it follows that  $g$  maps each fiber of  $\mathrm{sh}_{h^* \varrho'}$  to another fiber.

We consider  $g$  as an analytic automorphism of  $Y'$ . For the holomorphic map  $\mathrm{sh}_{h^* \varrho'} \circ g : Y' \rightarrow \mathrm{Sh}_{h^* \varrho'}(Y')$ , since it contracts each fiber of  $\mathrm{sh}_{h^* \varrho'} : Y' \rightarrow \mathrm{Sh}_{h^* \varrho'}(Y')$  to a point, it induces a holomorphic map  $\tilde{g} : \mathrm{Sh}_{h^* \varrho'}(Y') \rightarrow \mathrm{Sh}_{h^* \varrho'}(Y')$  such that we have the following commutative diagram:

$$(3.13) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} Y' & \xrightarrow{g} & Y' \\ \downarrow \mathrm{sh}_{h^* \varrho'} & & \downarrow \mathrm{sh}_{h^* \varrho'} \\ \mathrm{Sh}_{h^* \varrho'}(Y') & \xrightarrow{\tilde{g}} & \mathrm{Sh}_{h^* \varrho'}(Y') \end{array}$$

Let us define the holomorphic map  $\tilde{g} : \mathrm{Sh}_{h^* \varrho'}(Y') \rightarrow \mathrm{Sh}_{h^* \varrho'}(Y')$  to be the action of  $g \in G$  on  $\mathrm{Sh}_{h^* \varrho'}(Y')$ . Based on (3.13), it is clear that  $\mathrm{sh}_{h^* \varrho'}$  is  $G$ -equivariant. Therefore, the claim is proven.  $\square$

Note that  $X' := Y'/G$ . The quotient of  $\mathrm{Sh}_{h^* \varrho'}(Y')$  by  $G$ , resulting in a complex normal space denoted by  $Q$  (cf. [Car60]). Then  $\mathrm{sh}_{h^* \varrho'}$  induces a proper holomorphic fibration  $c' : X' \rightarrow Q$ . Consider the restriction  $c := c'|_X$ .

$$(3.14) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} Y & \xrightarrow{f_0} & X \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ Y' & \xrightarrow{f} & X' \\ \downarrow \mathrm{sh}_{h^* \varrho'} & & \downarrow c' \\ \mathrm{Sh}_{h^* \varrho'}(Y') & \longrightarrow & Q \end{array} \quad c$$

**Claim 3.52.** — *For any closed subvariety  $Z$  of  $X$ ,  $c(Z)$  is a point if and only if  $\varrho(\mathrm{Im}[\pi_1(Z^{\mathrm{norm}}) \rightarrow \pi_1(Y')])$  is finite.*

*Proof.* — Let  $Y := f^{-1}(X)$  and  $f_0 := f|_Y$ . Note that  $f_0 : Y \rightarrow X$  is a Galois cover with Galois group  $G$ . We have  $h^*\varrho'|_{\pi_1(Y)} = f_0^*\varrho$ . Now, consider any closed subvariety  $Z$  of  $X$ . There exists an irreducible closed subvariety  $W$  of  $Y$  such that  $f_0(W) = Z$ . Let  $\overline{W}$  be the closure of  $W$  in  $Y'$ , which is an irreducible closed subvariety of  $Y'$ .

Observe that  $c(Z)$  is a point if and only if  $\text{sh}_{h^*\varrho'}(\overline{W})$  is a point, which is equivalent to  $h^*\varrho'(\text{Im}[\pi_1(\overline{W}^{\text{norm}}) \rightarrow \pi_1(Y')])$  being finite by Proposition 3.43. Furthermore, this is equivalent to  $f_0^*\varrho(\text{Im}[\pi_1(W^{\text{norm}}) \rightarrow \pi_1(Y)])$  being finite since  $h^*\varrho'|_{\pi_1(Y)} = f_0^*\varrho$ . Since  $\text{Im}[\pi_1(W^{\text{norm}}) \rightarrow \pi_1(Z^{\text{norm}})]$  is a finite index subgroup of  $\pi_1(Z^{\text{norm}})$ , the above condition is equivalent to  $\varrho(\text{Im}[\pi_1(Z^{\text{norm}}) \rightarrow \pi_1(X)])$  being finite.  $\square$

Let  $\text{sh}_\varrho := c$  and  $\text{Sh}_\varrho(X) := Q$ . This is our construction of the Shafarevich morphism of  $\varrho$ .

*Step 2: We does not assume that  $X$  is smooth.* We take a desingularization  $\nu_1 : X_1 \rightarrow X$ . Then  $\nu_1^*\varrho : \pi_1(X_1) \rightarrow \text{GL}_N(\mathbb{C})$  is also a reductive representation. Based on Step 1, the Shafarevich morphism  $\text{sh}_{\nu_1^*\varrho} : X_1 \rightarrow \text{Sh}_{\nu_1^*\varrho}(X_1)$  exists. Let  $Z$  be an irreducible component of a fiber of  $\nu_1$ . Then  $\nu_1^*\varrho(\pi_1(Z)) = \{1\}$ . It follows that  $\text{sh}_{\nu_1^*\varrho}(Z)$  is a point. Note that each fiber of  $\nu_1$  is connected as  $X$  is normal. It follows that each fiber of  $\nu_1$  is contracted to a point by  $\text{sh}_{\nu_1^*\varrho}$ . Therefore, by the universal property of the Stein factorization, there exists a dominant holomorphic map  $\text{sh}_\varrho : X \rightarrow \text{Sh}_{\nu_1^*\varrho}(X_1)$  with connected general fibers such that we have the following commutative diagram:

$$(3.15) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} X_1 & & \\ \nu_1 \downarrow & \searrow \text{sh}_{\nu_1^*\varrho} & \\ X & \xrightarrow{\text{sh}_\varrho} & \text{Sh}_{\nu_1^*\varrho}(X_1) \end{array}$$

**Claim 3.53.** — *For any closed subvariety  $Z \subset X$ ,  $\text{sh}_\varrho(Z)$  is a point if and only if  $\varrho(\text{Im}[\pi_1(Z^{\text{norm}}) \rightarrow \pi_1(X)])$  is finite.*

*Proof.* — Let us choose an irreducible component  $W$  of  $\nu_1^{-1}(Z)$  which is surjective onto  $Z$ . Since  $\text{Im}[\pi_1(W^{\text{norm}}) \rightarrow \pi_1(Z^{\text{norm}})]$  is a finite index subgroup of  $\pi_1(Z^{\text{norm}})$ , and  $(\nu_1)_* : \pi_1(X_1) \rightarrow \pi_1(X)$  is surjective, it follows that  $\varrho(\text{Im}[\pi_1(Z^{\text{norm}}) \rightarrow \pi_1(X)])$  is finite if and only if  $\nu_1^*\varrho(\text{Im}[\pi_1(W^{\text{norm}}) \rightarrow \pi_1(X_1)])$  is finite.

*Proof of  $\Rightarrow$ :* Note that  $\text{sh}_{\nu_1^*\varrho}(W)$  is a point and thus  $\nu_1^*\varrho(\text{Im}[\pi_1(W^{\text{norm}}) \rightarrow \pi_1(X_1)])$  is finite by Claim 3.52. Hence  $\varrho(\text{Im}[\pi_1(Z^{\text{norm}}) \rightarrow \pi_1(X)])$  is finite.

*Proof of  $\Leftarrow$ :* Note that  $\nu_1^*\varrho(\text{Im}[\pi_1(W^{\text{norm}}) \rightarrow \pi_1(X_1)])$  is finite. Therefore,  $\text{sh}_{\nu_1^*\varrho}(W)$  is a point and thus

$$\text{sh}_\varrho(Z) = \text{sh}_\varrho \circ \nu_1(W) = \text{sh}_{\nu_1^*\varrho}(W)$$

is a point by Claim 3.52.  $\square$

Let us write  $\text{Sh}_\varrho(X) := \text{Sh}_{\nu_1^*\varrho}(X_1)$ . Then  $\text{sh}_\varrho : X \rightarrow \text{Sh}_\varrho(X)$  is the construction of the Shafarevich morphism associated with  $\varrho : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \text{GL}_N(\mathbb{C})$ .

*Step 3. We prove the last assertion on the three equivalent properties for  $\text{sh}_\varrho$ .* Note that the equivalence between Item (c) and Item (a) is proved in Claim 3.53. The implication of Item (b) to Item (c) is obvious. We thus only need to prove that Item (a) to Item (b). Let  $Z \subset X$  be a connected Zariski closed subset.

We first prove the following claim.

**Claim 3.54.** — *Let  $g : Y \rightarrow X$  be a dominant morphism between normal quasi-projective varieties and  $\varrho : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$  be a reductive representation. Then for every connected Zariski closed set  $Z \subset Y$ ,  $\text{sh}_\varrho \circ g(Z)$  is a point if and only if  $\text{sh}_{g^*\varrho}(Z)$  is a point.*

*Proof of Claim 3.54.* — It is enough to prove the case that  $Z$  is irreducible. Hence we need to show that for every closed subvariety  $Z \subset Y$ ,  $g^* \varrho(\mathrm{Im}[\pi_1(Z^{\mathrm{norm}}) \rightarrow \pi_1(Y)])$  is finite if and only if  $\mathrm{sh}_\varrho \circ g(Z)$  is a point. So first suppose  $g^* \varrho(\mathrm{Im}[\pi_1(Z^{\mathrm{norm}}) \rightarrow \pi_1(Y)])$  is finite. Let  $V \subset X$  be the Zariski closure of  $g(Z)$ . Then the induced map  $Z \rightarrow V$  is dominant, hence induces  $Z^{\mathrm{norm}} \rightarrow V^{\mathrm{norm}}$ . Then  $\mathrm{Im}[\pi_1(Z^{\mathrm{norm}}) \rightarrow \pi_1(V^{\mathrm{norm}})]$  is a finite index subgroup of  $\pi_1(V^{\mathrm{norm}})$ . Hence  $\varrho(\mathrm{Im}[\pi_1(V^{\mathrm{norm}}) \rightarrow \pi_1(X)])$  is finite. This shows  $\mathrm{sh}_\varrho(V)$  is a point. Hence  $\mathrm{sh}_\varrho \circ g(Z)$  is a point. Conversely, assume  $\mathrm{sh}_\varrho \circ g(Z)$  is a point. Then  $\mathrm{sh}_\varrho(V)$  is a point. Thus  $\varrho(\mathrm{Im}[\pi_1(V^{\mathrm{norm}}) \rightarrow \pi_1(X)])$  is finite. Hence  $g^* \varrho(\mathrm{Im}[\pi_1(Z^{\mathrm{norm}}) \rightarrow \pi_1(Y)])$  is finite.  $\square$

*Step 3-1. Item (a)  $\Rightarrow$  Item (b) when  $X$  is smooth.* Since  $\varrho(\pi_1(X))$  is residually finite by Malcev's theorem, we can find a finite étale cover  $\nu : \widehat{X} \rightarrow X$  such that  $\nu^* \varrho(\pi_1(\widehat{X}))$  is torsion free. By Proposition 3.19, there exists a partial smooth compactification  $\widehat{X}'$  such that  $\nu^* \varrho$  extends to a reductive representation  $\sigma : \pi_1(\widehat{X}') \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_N(\mathbb{C})$  with infinite monodromy at infinity. Let  $\widehat{Z} \subset \widehat{X}$  be a connected component of  $\nu^{-1}(Z)$ . Then  $\widehat{Z} \rightarrow Z$  is finite étale. Let  $\widehat{Z}' \subset \widehat{X}'$  be the Zariski closure. Then  $\widehat{Z}'$  is connected.

Now by Claim 3.54 applied to  $\nu : \widehat{X} \rightarrow X$ , we conclude that  $\mathrm{sh}_{\nu^* \varrho}(\widehat{Z})$  is a point. Hence by Claim 3.54 applied to  $\iota : \widehat{X} \hookrightarrow \widehat{X}'$ , we conclude that  $\mathrm{sh}_\sigma(\widehat{Z})$  is a point. Hence  $\mathrm{sh}_\sigma(\widehat{Z}')$  is a point. Thus by Proposition 3.43,  $\sigma([\pi_1(\widehat{Z}') \rightarrow \pi_1(\widehat{X}')])$  is finite. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \nu^* \varrho([\pi_1(\widehat{Z}) \rightarrow \pi_1(\widehat{X})]) &= \iota^* \sigma([\pi_1(\widehat{Z}) \rightarrow \pi_1(\widehat{X})]) \\ &= \sigma([\pi_1(\widehat{Z}) \rightarrow \pi_1(\widehat{X}')]) \subset \sigma([\pi_1(\widehat{Z}') \rightarrow \pi_1(\widehat{X}')]). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that  $\nu^* \varrho([\pi_1(\widehat{Z}) \rightarrow \pi_1(\widehat{X})])$  is finite. Since the image  $\pi_1(\widehat{Z}) \rightarrow \pi_1(Z)$  has finite index,  $\varrho([\pi_1(Z) \rightarrow \pi_1(X)])$  is finite.

*Step 3-2. Item (a)  $\Rightarrow$  Item (b) in the general case.* Let  $\nu : X_1 \rightarrow X$  be a desingularization. Let  $Z_1 := \nu^{-1}(Z)$ , which might be reducible. Since  $X$  is normal, each fiber of  $\nu$  is connected. Hence  $Z_1$  is connected, and  $\nu|_{Z_1} : Z_1 \rightarrow Z$  is a proper surjective morphism between connected (possibly reducible) quasi-projective varieties such that each fiber is connected. By Lemma 3.47, we have the surjectivity of  $\pi_1(Z_1) \twoheadrightarrow \pi_1(Z)$ . Hence  $\varrho(\mathrm{Im}[\pi_1(Z) \rightarrow \pi_1(X)])$  is finite if and only if  $\nu^* \varrho(\mathrm{Im}[\pi_1(Z_1) \rightarrow \pi_1(X_1)])$  is finite. By (3.15),  $\mathrm{sh}_{\nu^* \varrho} = \mathrm{sh}_\varrho \circ \nu$ . It then follows that  $\mathrm{sh}_{\nu^* \varrho}(Z_1)$  is a point. Hence by step 3-1,  $\nu^* \varrho(\mathrm{Im}[\pi_1(Z_1) \rightarrow \pi_1(X_1)])$  is finite. Hence  $\varrho(\mathrm{Im}[\pi_1(Z) \rightarrow \pi_1(X)])$  is finite. The theorem is proved.  $\square$

**3.6. On the algebraicity of the Shafarevich morphism.** — In Theorem 3.49, when  $X$  is compact, we proved that the image  $\mathrm{Sh}_\varrho(X)$  is projective. In general, as mentioned in Remark 0.3, we propose the following conjecture.

**Conjecture 3.55 (Algebraicity of Shafarevich morphism).** — *Let  $X$ ,  $\varrho$  and  $\mathrm{sh}_\varrho : X \rightarrow \mathrm{Sh}_\varrho(X)$  be as in Theorem 3.49. Then  $\mathrm{Sh}_\varrho(X)$  is a quasi-projective normal variety and  $\mathrm{sh}_\varrho : X \rightarrow \mathrm{Sh}_\varrho(X)$  is an algebraic morphism.*

This conjecture seems to be a difficult problem, with the special case when  $\varrho$  arises from a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -VHS known as a long-standing Griffiths conjecture. In this paper, we provide confirmation of such expectations at the function field level.

We first recall the definition of (bi)meromorphic maps of complex spaces  $X$  and  $Y$  in the sense of Remmert.

**Definition 3.56 (Meromorphic map).** — A meromorphic map  $f : X \dashrightarrow Y$  of complex spaces  $X$  and  $Y$  is a holomorphic map  $f^\circ : X^\circ \rightarrow Y$  defined on a dense open set  $X^\circ \subset X$  such that

- (i)  $X \setminus X^\circ$  is a nowhere-dense analytic subset of  $X$ ;
- (ii) the closure  $\Gamma_f$  of the graph  $\Gamma_{f^\circ}$  of  $f^\circ$  in  $X \times Y$  is an analytic subset of  $X \times Y$ ;
- (iii) the projection  $\Gamma_f \rightarrow X$  is a proper mapping.

We say  $\Gamma_f$  the *graph* of the meromorphic map  $f$ .



**Definition 3.57 (Bimeromorphic map).** — A meromorphic map  $f : X \dashrightarrow Y$  of complex spaces  $X$  and  $Y$  is *bimeromorphic* if the projection of  $\Gamma_f$  to  $Y$  is proper, and off some nowhere-dense analytic subset of  $Y$ , this projection is biholomorphic.

**Lemma 3.58.** — *Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a proper surjective holomorphic fibration between irreducible complex normal spaces  $X$  and  $Y$  of the same dimension. Then  $f$  is bimeromorphic.*

*Proof.* — Since each fiber of  $f$  is connected, and  $\dim X = \dim Y$ , there exists a nowhere-dense analytic subset  $A$  of  $Y$  such that  $f$  is a bijection outside  $A$ . Since  $X$  and  $Y$  are normal, and  $f|_{X \setminus f^{-1}(A)} : X \setminus f^{-1}(A) \rightarrow Y \setminus A$  is a proper holomorphic fibration, it follows that  $f|_{X \setminus f^{-1}(A)}$  is a biholomorphism. Consider the graph  $\Gamma_f \subset X \times Y$  of  $f$ . The projection  $p : \Gamma_f \rightarrow Y$  is proper as  $f$  is proper, and  $p$  a biholomorphism over  $Y \setminus A$ . Therefore,  $f$  is bimeromorphic.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.59.** — *Let  $X$  be a non-compact smooth quasi-projective variety and  $\varrho : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_N(\mathbb{C})$  be a reductive representation. Then there exists*

- (a) *a proper bimeromorphic morphism  $\sigma : S \rightarrow \mathrm{Sh}_\varrho(X)$  from a smooth quasi-projective variety  $S$ ;*
- (b) *a proper birational morphism  $\mu : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$  from a smooth quasi-projective variety  $\tilde{X}$ ;*
- (c) *an algebraic morphism  $f : \tilde{X} \rightarrow S$  with general fibers connected;*

*such that we have the following commutative diagram:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{X} & \xrightarrow{\mu} & X \\ \downarrow f & & \downarrow \mathrm{sh}_\varrho \\ S & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & \mathrm{Sh}_\varrho(X) \end{array}$$

*Here  $\mathrm{sh}_\varrho : X \rightarrow \mathrm{Sh}_\varrho(X)$  is the Shafarevich morphism of  $\varrho$  constructed in Theorem 3.49.*

*Proof.* — We apply [Kol93, Corollary 3.5 & Remark 4.1.1] to conclude that after replacing  $X$  by its birational model, there are a normal projective variety  $Y$  and a dominant morphism  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  so that there are at most countably many closed subvarieties  $Z_i \subsetneq X$  so that for every closed subvariety  $W \subset X$  such that  $W \not\subset \cup Z_i$ , the image  $\varrho(\mathrm{Im}[\pi_1(W^{\mathrm{norm}}) \rightarrow \pi_1(X)])$  is finite if and only if  $f(W)$  is a point.

**Claim 3.60.** — *For the general fiber  $F$  of  $f : X \rightarrow Y$ ,  $\varrho(\mathrm{Im}[\pi_1(F) \rightarrow \pi_1(X)])$  is finite.*

*Proof.* — There exists a non-empty Zariski open  $Y^\circ \subset Y$  such that the induced  $X^\circ \rightarrow Y^\circ$  is locally trivial fibration of  $C^\infty$ -manifolds. Hence  $\mathrm{Im}[\pi_1(F) \rightarrow \pi_1(X)]$  are all the same for fibers  $F$  over the points of  $Y^\circ$ . Now  $\varrho(\mathrm{Im}[\pi_1(F) \rightarrow \pi_1(X)])$  is finite for very generic fiber over  $Y$ , hence the same is true for general fibers.  $\square$

We may take a finite étale cover  $X' \rightarrow X$  such that the induced representation  $\varrho' : \pi_1(X') \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_N(\mathbb{C})$  has torsion free image. Let  $\overline{X'}$  be a partial compactification such that  $\varrho'$  extends to  $\overline{\varrho}' : \pi_1(\overline{X'}) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_N(\mathbb{C})$  and  $\overline{\varrho}'$  has infinite monodromy at infinity (cf. Proposition 3.19). By the proof of Theorem 3.49, we may assume that  $X' \rightarrow X$  extends to a finite map  $\overline{X'} \rightarrow \overline{X}$ , where  $\overline{X}$  is a partial compactification of  $X$  so that the following commutative diagram exists:

$$(3.16) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \overline{X'} & \longrightarrow & \overline{X} \\ \downarrow \mathrm{sh}_{\overline{\varrho}'} & & \downarrow \overline{\mathrm{sh}}_\varrho \\ \mathrm{Sh}_{\varrho'}(X) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Sh}_\varrho(X) \end{array}$$

Here the horizontal maps are finite and vertical maps are proper. Since we are assuming that  $Y$  is projective, we may assume that  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  extends to  $\tilde{f} : \overline{X} \rightarrow Y$ .

**Claim 3.61.** — *There is a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \hat{X} & \xrightarrow{\mu} & \overline{X} \\ \downarrow \hat{f} & & \downarrow \bar{f} \\ \hat{Y} & \xrightarrow{\nu} & Y \end{array}$$

where

- (a)  $\mu$  is a proper birational morphism from a quasi-projective variety  $\hat{X}$ ;
- (b)  $\nu$  is a birational, not necessarily proper morphism from a smooth quasi-projective variety  $\hat{Y}$ ,
- (c)  $\hat{f}$  is surjective;

such that the following property holds: Let  $g : Z \rightarrow \hat{X}$  be a proper morphism from a quasi-projective manifold  $Z$ . If  $\hat{f} \circ g$  contracts to a point, then  $\overline{\text{sh}}_{\varrho} \circ \mu \circ g : Z \rightarrow \text{Sh}_{\varrho}(X)$  contracts to a point.

*Proof.* — Let  $\bar{f}' : \overline{X}' \rightarrow Y'$  be the quasi-Stein factorization of the composite of  $\overline{X}' \rightarrow \overline{X} \rightarrow Y$ . By Claim 3.60, for the general fiber  $F$  of  $f' : X' \rightarrow Y'$ ,  $\varrho'(\text{Im}[\pi_1(F) \rightarrow \pi_1(X')])$  is finite, hence trivial. By [CDY22, Lemma 2.3], there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\overline{X}')' & \xrightarrow{\mu'} & \overline{X}' \\ \downarrow f'' & & \downarrow \bar{f}' \\ Y'' & \xrightarrow{\nu'} & Y' \end{array}$$

where

- (a)  $\mu'$  is a proper birational morphism;
- (b)  $\nu'$  is a birational, not necessarily proper morphism;
- (c)  $Y''$  is smooth,

and a representation  $\tau : \pi_1(Y'') \rightarrow \text{GL}_N(\mathbb{C})$  such that  $(f'')^* \tau = (\mu')^* \bar{\varrho}'$ .

**Claim 3.62.** —  *$f''$  is a proper morphism with each fiber connected.*

*Proof.* — If  $f''$  is not proper, we can find a partial projective compactification  $(\overline{X}')'' \supseteq (\overline{X}')'$  such that  $f''$  extends to a proper morphism  $f''' : (\overline{X}')'' \rightarrow Y$ . Then  $f'''^* \tau$  extends the representation  $f''^* \tau = (\mu')^* \bar{\varrho}'$ . It is worth noting that  $(\mu')^* \bar{\varrho}'$  also has infinite monodromy at infinity by Lemma 3.17. A contradiction is obtained, and thus  $f''$  is a proper morphism.

Since general fibers of  $f''$  is connected and  $Y''$  is smooth, it follows that each fiber of  $f$  is connected. The claim is proved.  $\square$

We have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\overline{X}')' & \longrightarrow & \overline{X}' \\ \downarrow f'' & & \downarrow \bar{f}' \\ Y'' & \longrightarrow & Y \end{array}$$

By applying Hironaka-Raynaud-Gruson's flattening theorem, we can take a birational modification  $\tilde{Y} \rightarrow Y$  such that for the main component  $\tilde{Y}''$  of  $Y'' \times_Y \tilde{Y}$ ,  $\tilde{Y}'' \rightarrow \tilde{Y}$  is flat. We may assume that  $\tilde{Y}$

is smooth. Then we get

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 ((\overline{X}')' \times_{Y''} \tilde{Y}'')_{\text{main}} & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & (\overline{X} \times_Y \tilde{Y})_{\text{main}} & & \\
 \swarrow \tilde{\mu} & & \swarrow \mu & & \downarrow \tilde{f} \\
 (\overline{X}')' & \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}''} & \overline{X} & & \downarrow \tilde{f} \\
 \downarrow f'' & & \downarrow \tilde{f} & & \downarrow \tilde{f} \\
 & & \tilde{Y}'' & \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}} & \tilde{Y} \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 Y'' & \xrightarrow{\quad} & Y & & \text{Sh}_{\varrho}(X)
 \end{array}$$

Note that  $\tilde{f}'' : ((\overline{X}')' \times_{Y''} \tilde{Y}'')_{\text{main}} \rightarrow \tilde{Y}''$  is proper. Indeed  $(\overline{X}')' \times_{Y''} \tilde{Y}'' \rightarrow \tilde{Y}''$  is proper, as it is a base change of the proper map  $f''$ . Since  $((\overline{X}')' \times_{Y''} \tilde{Y}'')_{\text{main}} \hookrightarrow (\overline{X}')' \times_{Y''} \tilde{Y}''$  is a closed immersion,  $\tilde{f}''$  is proper. Also  $\varphi$  is proper. Indeed since  $(\overline{X}')' \rightarrow \overline{X}$  is proper,  $(\overline{X}')' \times_{Y''} (Y'' \times_Y \tilde{Y}) \rightarrow \overline{X} \times_Y \tilde{Y}$  is proper. Since  $((\overline{X}')' \times_{Y''} \tilde{Y}'')_{\text{main}} \hookrightarrow (\overline{X}')' \times_{Y''} (Y'' \times_Y \tilde{Y})$  is a closed immersion,  $((\overline{X}')' \times_{Y''} \tilde{Y}'')_{\text{main}} \rightarrow \overline{X} \times_Y \tilde{Y}$  is proper. Hence  $\varphi$  is proper. We let  $\hat{Y} \subset \tilde{Y}$  to be the image, which is a smooth quasi-projective variety as  $\tilde{Y}'' \rightarrow \tilde{Y}$  is an open map. Since  $\varphi$  is surjective, we have  $\tilde{f}((\overline{X} \times_Y \tilde{Y})_{\text{main}}) \subset \hat{Y}$ . Since  $\tilde{f}''$  and  $\tilde{Y}'' \rightarrow \hat{Y}$  are surjective,  $\tilde{f} : \hat{X} = (\overline{X} \times_Y \tilde{Y})_{\text{main}} \rightarrow \hat{Y}$  is surjective. Now we set  $\hat{X} = (\overline{X} \times_Y \tilde{Y})_{\text{main}}$ . Then we have the properties (a)-(c).

Now let  $g : Z \rightarrow \hat{X}$  be a proper morphism from a quasi-projective manifold  $Z$  such that  $\hat{f} \circ g$  contracts to a point. Let  $g' : Z' \rightarrow ((\overline{X}')' \times_{Y''} \tilde{Y}'')_{\text{main}}$  be a proper map which induces a surjective map  $Z' \rightarrow Z$ . Since  $\tilde{Y}'' \rightarrow \hat{Y}$  is flat,  $\tilde{f}'' \circ g'$  contracts to a point. Hence  $(\tilde{\mu} \circ g')^*(\mu')^* \varrho'$  is trivial, where  $\tilde{\mu} : ((\overline{X}')' \times_{Y''} \tilde{Y}'')_{\text{main}} \rightarrow (\overline{X}')'$  is the natural map. Hence  $\text{sh}_{\varrho'} \circ \mu' \circ \tilde{\mu} \circ g' : Z' \rightarrow \text{Sh}_{\varrho'}(X')$  contracts to a point. Hence  $\overline{\text{sh}}_{\varrho} \circ \mu \circ g : Z \rightarrow \text{Sh}_{\varrho}(X)$  contracts to a point.  $\square$

Claim 3.61 yields  $\sigma : \hat{Y} \rightarrow \text{Sh}_{\varrho}(X)$ . Indeed, we consider  $\phi = (\hat{f}, \overline{\text{sh}}_{\varrho} \circ \mu) : \hat{X} \rightarrow \hat{Y} \times \text{Sh}_{\varrho}(X)$ . Then  $\phi$  is proper since  $\overline{\text{sh}}_{\varrho} \circ \mu$  is proper. Hence  $\Gamma = \phi(\hat{X}) \subset \hat{Y} \times \text{Sh}_{\varrho}(X)$  is an analytic subset.

**Claim 3.63.** — *The induced map  $t : \Gamma \rightarrow \hat{Y}$  is an isomorphism of analytic spaces.*

*Proof.* — By Claim 3.61, every fiber of  $t : \Gamma \rightarrow \hat{Y}$  consists of finite points. Since  $\hat{f} : \hat{X} \rightarrow \hat{Y}$  has general connected fibers,  $\Gamma \rightarrow \hat{Y}$  is injective over a non-empty Zariski open set of  $\hat{Y}$ . We prove this. Let  $E \subsetneq \hat{Y}$  be a proper Zariski closed set such that  $\Gamma \setminus t^{-1}(E) \rightarrow \hat{Y} \setminus E$  is injective. To show that  $t : \Gamma \rightarrow \hat{Y}$  is injective, we assume contrary that there exists  $y \in \hat{Y}$  such that  $t^{-1}(y) = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k\}$  consists  $k$  points with  $k \geq 2$ . Let  $U \subset \hat{Y}$  be a connected open neighbourhood of  $y$ . Then by [GR84, p. 166],  $t^{-1}(U) \setminus t^{-1}(E) \rightarrow U \setminus E$  is an isomorphism. Since  $U$  is normal,  $U \setminus E$  is connected. Hence  $t^{-1}(U) \setminus t^{-1}(E)$  is connected. In particular,  $t^{-1}(U)$  is connected. On the other hand, we may take disjoint open neighbourhoods  $V_1, \dots, V_k$  of  $x_1, \dots, x_k$ . We may assume that  $\partial V_1, \dots, \partial V_k$  are compact and  $y \notin t(\partial V_1 \cup \dots \cup \partial V_k)$ . Then we may take a connected open neighbourhood  $U$  of  $y$  such that  $\overline{U} \cap t(\partial V_1 \cup \dots \cup \partial V_k) = \emptyset$ . Then for each  $i = 1, \dots, k$ ,  $t^{-1}(U) \cap V_i (\ni x_i)$  is non-empty and  $t^{-1}(U) \cap V_i \subset V_i$ . Hence  $t^{-1}(U)$  is not connected. This is a contradiction. Hence  $t : \Gamma \rightarrow \hat{Y}$  is injective. Note that  $t$  is surjective, for  $\hat{f}$  is surjective (cf. Claim 3.61). Hence  $t$  is an isomorphism by [GR84, p. 166].  $\square$

Hence we obtain the analytic map  $\sigma : \hat{Y} \rightarrow \text{Sh}_{\varrho}(X)$  whose graph is  $\Gamma$ .

Since  $\mu$  and  $\overline{\text{sh}}_{\varrho}$  are proper, and  $\hat{f}$  is surjective,  $\sigma$  is proper. Moreover,  $\sigma$  has connected fibers since  $\overline{\text{sh}}_{\varrho} \circ \mu$  is a proper holomorphic fibration. We claim that  $\sigma : \hat{Y} \rightarrow \text{Sh}_{\varrho}(X)$  is bimeromorphic. Indeed, since  $\sigma : \hat{Y} \rightarrow \text{Sh}_{\varrho}(X)$  is a proper holomorphic fibration, it suffices to prove that  $\dim \hat{Y} = \dim \text{Sh}_{\varrho}(X)$  by Lemma 3.58. Assume, for the sake of contradiction, we have  $\dim \hat{Y} > \dim \text{Sh}_{\varrho}(X)$ . Since  $\hat{Y}$  is quasi-projective and  $\sigma$  is proper, each fiber of  $\sigma$  is thus

algebraic subset of  $\hat{Y}$ . Let  $F$  be a generic fiber of  $\sigma$ . Then  $\nu(F)$  is not a point. Let  $Z \subset X$  satisfies that the Zariski closure of  $f(Z)$  is equal to that of  $\nu(F)$ . Then  $\text{sh}_\varrho(Z)$  is a point. Therefore,  $\varrho(\text{Im}[\pi_1(Z) \rightarrow \pi_1(X)])$  is finite. On the other hand, since  $Z$  is not contracted by  $f$ ,  $\varrho(\text{Im}[\pi_1(Z) \rightarrow \pi_1(X)])$  is infinite. This is a contradiction. Hence  $\dim \hat{Y} = \dim \text{Sh}_\varrho(X)$ , therefore  $\sigma : \hat{Y} \rightarrow \text{Sh}_\varrho(X)$  is bimeromorphic.  $\square$

It is worth noting that while  $\sigma : S \rightarrow \text{Sh}_\varrho(X)$  is a proper bimeromorphic map, showing that  $\text{Sh}_\varrho(X)$  is quasi-projective requires understanding the structure of the Shafarevich morphism, as illustrated in the following example communicated with us by Kollár.

**Remark 3.64.** — Let  $g : X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$  be a family of K3 surfaces over  $\mathbb{A}^1$ , such that the generic fiber of  $g$  has Picard number 1, but infinitely many fibers  $X_{t_i} := g^{-1}(t_i)$  contain a  $(-2)$ -curve  $C_i \subset X_{t_i}$  with  $\{t_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}}$  a discrete set escaping to infinity. One can contract all the  $C_i$  to get a normal 3-fold  $Y$  with infinitely many singular points. Then  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is a proper bimeromorphic map, but not a birational morphism.

**Remark 3.65.** — In the proof of Theorem 3.59, we apply the existence of *Shafarevich maps* established by Kollár [Kol93].

Recall that when  $\varrho : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \text{GL}_N(\mathbb{C})$  underlies a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -VHS and has infinite monodromy at infinity, the Shafarevich morphism  $\text{sh}_\varrho : X \rightarrow \text{Sh}_\varrho(X)$  arises as the Stein factorization of the period mapping  $X \rightarrow \mathcal{D}/\Gamma$ . Here,  $\mathcal{D}$  denotes the period domain of the corresponding  $\mathbb{Z}$ -VHS, and  $\Gamma$  represents the monodromy group of  $\varrho$ . In this context, Sommese [Som78] proved Theorem 3.59 by utilizing Hörmander-Andreotti-Vesentini  $L^2$ -method. As a result, Theorem 3.59 represents a significant extension of the results in [Som78], while also offering a simpler proof, even when  $\varrho$  underlies a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -VHS. Notably, proving Conjecture 3.55 using the  $L^2$ -method poses a highly challenging problem.

**3.7. Construction of Shafarevich morphism (III).** — In this subsection we will prove Corollary B. Recall that in Step 1 of the proof of Theorem 3.49 we proved the following result.

**Lemma 3.66.** — *Let  $X$  be a smooth quasi-projective variety, and let  $\varrho : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \text{GL}_N(\mathbb{C})$  be a reductive representation. Let  $X'$  be a smooth partial compactification of  $X$  such that  $X'$  is quasi-infinite monodromy at infinity and  $X' - X$  is a simple normal crossing divisor. Then we may construct  $\text{sh}_\varrho : X \rightarrow \text{Sh}_\varrho(X)$  such that  $\text{sh}_\varrho$  extends to a proper map  $X' \rightarrow \text{Sh}_\varrho(X)$ .*  $\square$

**Lemma 3.67.** — *Assume  $X$  is smooth. Given a sequence of representations  $\{\varrho_i : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \text{GL}_{N_i}(\mathbb{C})\}$  such that  $\ker(\varrho_{i+1}) \subset \ker(\varrho_i)$ . Then, there exist  $i_0$  and a smooth partial compactification  $X'$  such that  $X'$  is quasi-infinite monodromy at infinity for every  $\varrho_i$  with  $i \geq i_0$ . Moreover  $X' - X$  is a simple normal crossing divisor.*

*Proof of Lemma 3.67.* — The proof is a modification of the proof of Proposition 3.19. Let  $\bar{X}$  be a compactification such that the boundary divisor  $\bar{X} - X$  is simple normal crossing. We shall show that after a sequence  $\bar{X}^{(n)} \rightarrow \bar{X}^{(n-1)} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \bar{X}$  of admissible blow-ups,  $\bar{X}^{(n)}$  becomes a compactification with the good property.

We consider all sets  $\{D_1, \dots, D_l\}$  of boundary divisors such that  $\bigcap D_j \neq \emptyset$  and the monodromy matrices  $A_{\varrho_i}$  for these  $\{D_1, \dots, D_l\}$  and construct  $\Lambda_{\varrho_i} = \{S_\lambda\}$ . This  $\Lambda_{\varrho_i}$  is maximum.

For each  $\varrho_i$ , we proceed the proof of Proposition 3.19. Namely by Claim 3.21 and Claim 3.22, there exists a sequence  $\bar{X}^{(n_i)} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \bar{X}$  of admissible blow-ups such that  $\mu(S_\lambda^{(n_i)}) = 0$  for all  $S_\lambda \in \Lambda_{\varrho_i}$ . Note that  $\Lambda^{(n_i)} = \{S_\lambda^{(n_i)}\}$  is maximum (cf. Claim 3.23).

Let  $f : \mathbb{D}^* \rightarrow X$  such that  $f(0) \in \bar{X}^{(n_i)} \setminus X$ . If  $f^* \varrho_i : \pi_1(\mathbb{D}^*) \rightarrow \text{GL}_{N_i}(\mathbb{C})$  has infinite image, then  $f^* \varrho_{i'} : \pi_1(\mathbb{D}^*) \rightarrow \text{GL}_{N_{i'}}(\mathbb{C})$  has infinite image for all  $i' \geq i$ . This follows from the assumption  $\ker(\varrho_{i'}) \subset \ker(\varrho_i)$ . So we consider the case that  $f^* \varrho_i : \pi_1(\mathbb{D}^*) \rightarrow \text{GL}_{N_i}(\mathbb{C})$  has finite image.

**Claim 3.68.** — *There exists  $i_0$  such that if  $f(0) \in \bar{X}^{(n_{i_0})} \setminus X$  is contained in only one irreducible boundary component and  $f^* \varrho_{i_0} : \pi_1(\mathbb{D}^*) \rightarrow \text{GL}_{N_{i_0}}(\mathbb{C})$  has finite image, then  $f^* \varrho_i : \pi_1(\mathbb{D}^*) \rightarrow \text{GL}_{N_i}(\mathbb{C})$  has finite image for all  $i \geq i_0$ .*

*Proof.* — Let  $\{D_1, \dots, D_l\}$  be boundary divisors on  $\partial X$  such that  $\bigcap D_j \neq \emptyset$  as above. We associate a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector space  $V = \mathbb{Q}^l$  generated by the formal basis  $(D_1), \dots, (D_l)$ . Let  $f : \mathbb{D}^* \rightarrow X$  such that  $f(0) \in \bigcap D_j$ , we associate  $(f) \in V$  by  $(f) = \sum b_j(D_j)$ , where  $b_j = \text{ord}_0 f^* D_j$ . We set  $\Sigma = \{(f) \in V\}$  and

$$\Sigma_i = \{(f) \in V; f^* \varrho_i : \pi_1(\mathbb{D}^*) \rightarrow \text{GL}_{N_i}(\mathbb{C}) \text{ has finite image}\}.$$

Let  $W_i \subset V$  be the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector space spanned by  $\Sigma_i$ . Then we have

$$(3.17) \quad \Sigma_i = \Sigma \cap W_i.$$

Indeed  $\Sigma_i \subset \Sigma \cap W_i$  is obvious. To show the converse, we take  $(f) \in \Sigma \cap W_i$ . By  $(f) \in W_i$ , there exist  $(f_1), \dots, (f_k) \in \Sigma_i$  such that  $(f) = c_1(f_1) + \dots + c_k(f_k)$ . Then using the monodromy matrix  $A_{\varrho_i}$ , we have  $A_{\varrho_i}(f) = c_1 A_{\varrho_i}(f_1) + \dots + c_k A_{\varrho_i}(f_k) = 0$ . Hence  $(f) \in \Sigma_i$ . This shows (3.17).

By the definition of  $\Sigma_i$ , we have  $\Sigma \supset \Sigma_1 \supset \Sigma_2 \supset \dots$ . Hence  $W_1 \supset W_2 \supset \dots$ . Thus there exists  $i$  such that  $W_i = W_{i+1} = \dots$ . Hence by (3.17), we have  $\Sigma_i = \Sigma_{i+1} = \dots$ . In particular, if  $f^* \varrho_i : \pi_1(\mathbb{D}^*) \rightarrow \text{GL}_{N_i}(\mathbb{C})$  has finite image, then  $f^* \varrho_{i'} : \pi_1(\mathbb{D}^*) \rightarrow \text{GL}_{N_{i'}}(\mathbb{C})$  has finite image for all  $i' \geq i$ .

So far, we have fixed  $\{D_1, \dots, D_l\}$  and chose  $i = i_{\{D_1, \dots, D_l\}}$ . Next we consider all such  $\{D_1, \dots, D_l\}$  and chose  $i_0$  big enough such that  $i_0$  is greater than every  $i_{\{D_1, \dots, D_l\}}$  above.

Now suppose  $f(0) \in \overline{X}^{(n_{i_0})} \setminus X$  is contained in only one irreducible boundary component and  $f^* \varrho_{i_0} : \pi_1(\mathbb{D}^*) \rightarrow \text{GL}_{N_{i_0}}(\mathbb{C})$  has finite image. Let  $D_1, \dots, D_l$  be boundary divisors in  $\overline{X}$  so that  $f(0) \in D \subset \overline{X}$ . Then by the choice of  $i_0$ ,  $f^* \varrho_i : \pi_1(\mathbb{D}^*) \rightarrow \text{GL}_{N_i}(\mathbb{C})$  has finite image for all  $i \geq i_0$ . The proof of Claim 3.68 is completed.  $\square$

Now we return to the proof of Lemma 3.67. We remove all boundary divisors  $D$  from  $\overline{X}^{(n_{i_0})}$  such that local monodromy with respect to  $\varrho_{i_0}$  at  $D$  is infinite to get a desired partial compactification  $X'$  of  $X$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.69.** — *Let  $A_N$  be the set of all  $\ker(\varrho) \subset \pi_1(X)$  for the reductive representations  $\varrho : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \text{GL}_N(\mathbb{C})$ . Then  $A_N$  is countable. In particular,  $\cup_N A_N$  is countable.*

*Proof.* — We use the notation in the proof of Lemma 3.25. Given a reductive representation  $\varrho$ , we define  $Z_\varrho \subset M$  by  $Z_\varrho = \bigcap_{\gamma \in \ker(\varrho)} W_\gamma$ . Then  $Z_\varrho \subset M$  is a Zariski closed set. Using  $Z_\varrho$ , we may construct  $\ker(\varrho) \subset \pi_1(X)$  by  $\ker(\varrho) = \{\gamma \in \pi_1(X); Z_\varrho \subset W_\gamma\}$ . By the Noetherian property, there exists  $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_l$  such that  $Z_\varrho = W_{\gamma_1} \cap \dots \cap W_{\gamma_l}$ . In this way, we may label  $\ker(\varrho)$  a finite subset  $\{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_l\} \subset \pi_1(X)$ . Since  $\pi_1(X)$  is countable, it has only countable finite subsets. Hence  $A_N$  is countable.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.70.** — *Let  $V$  be a quasi-projective normal variety and let  $(f_\lambda : V \rightarrow S_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  be a family of proper morphisms into normal complex spaces  $S_\lambda$ . Then there exist a normal complex space  $S_\infty$  and a proper morphism  $f_\infty : V \rightarrow S_\infty$  such that*

- (a) *for each  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ , there exists a morphism  $e_\lambda : S_\infty \rightarrow S_\lambda$  such that  $f_\lambda = e_\lambda \circ f_\infty$ ;*
- (b) *for every algebraic subvariety  $Z \subset V$ , if  $f_\lambda(Z)$  is a point for every  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ , then  $f_\infty(Z)$  is a point.*

*Proof.* — The proof is a modification of that of Lemma 1.28. Let  $E_\lambda \subset V \times V$  be defined by

$$E_\lambda = \{(x, x') \in V \times V; f_\lambda(x) = f_\lambda(x')\}.$$

Then  $E_\lambda \subset V \times V$  is an analytic subset. Indeed,  $E_\lambda = (f_\lambda, f_\lambda)^{-1}(\Delta_\lambda)$ , where  $(f_\lambda, f_\lambda) : V \times V \rightarrow S_\lambda \times S_\lambda$  is the morphism defined by  $(f_\lambda, f_\lambda)(x, x') = (f_\lambda(x), f_\lambda(x'))$  and  $\Delta_\lambda \subset S_\lambda \times S_\lambda$  is the diagonal.

Let  $K_1 \subset K_2 \subset \dots \subset V$  be a sequence of domains such that

- $\overline{K_n}$  is compact and  $\overline{K_n} \subset K_{n+1}$  for all  $n$ , and
- $V = \cup_n K_n$ .

Given  $n$ , we take a finite subset  $\Lambda_n \subset \Lambda$  as follows. Note that  $\bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} E_\lambda \cap (K_n \times K_n)$  is an analytic subset of  $K_n \times K_n$ . Since  $\overline{K_n \times K_n}$  is compact, we may take a finite subset  $\Lambda_n \subset \Lambda$  such that

$$(3.18) \quad \bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda_n} E_\lambda \cap (K_n \times K_n) = \bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} E_\lambda \cap (K_n \times K_n).$$

We may assume that  $\Lambda_1 \subset \Lambda_2 \subset \cdots \subset \Lambda$ . Let  $g_n : V \rightarrow \Sigma_n$  be the Stein factorization of the proper map  $(f_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda_n} : V \rightarrow \prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda_n} S_\lambda$ . Then  $\Sigma_n$  is normal and  $g_n : V \rightarrow \Sigma_n$  is proper, hence surjective. By (3.18), for a closed subvariety  $Z \subset V$  such that  $Z \subset K_n$ , we have

$$(3.19) \quad g_n(Z) \text{ is a point} \iff f_\lambda(Z) \text{ is a point for all } \lambda \in \Lambda.$$

By  $\Lambda_n \subset \Lambda_{n+1}$ , we have a natural morphism  $\Sigma_{n+1} \rightarrow \Sigma_n$ . We set  $\varphi_n : \Sigma_n \rightarrow \Sigma_1$  for the induced map.

Let  $H_1 \subset H_2 \subset \cdots \subset \Sigma_1$  be a sequence of domains such that

- $\overline{H_k}$  is compact and  $\overline{H_k} \subset H_{k+1}$  for all  $k$ , and
- $\Sigma_1 = \cup_k H_k$ .

We take this sequence inductively as follows. Set  $H_0 = \emptyset$ . Assume we are given  $H_0 \subset \cdots \subset H_k$ , where  $k \geq 0$ . Since  $g_1(\overline{K_{k+1}}) \cup \overline{H_k}$  is compact, we may take a domain  $H_{k+1}$  so that  $g_1(\overline{K_{k+1}}) \cup \overline{H_k} \subset H_{k+1}$  and  $\overline{H_k}$  is compact. Now since  $g_1 : V \rightarrow \Sigma_1$  is surjective, we have  $\Sigma_1 = \cup_k g_1(K_k) \subset \cup_k H_k$ .

For all  $k$ , we claim that there exists  $n_k$  such that the induced maps  $\varphi_{n_k}^{-1}(H_k) \leftarrow \varphi_{n_{k+1}}^{-1}(H_k) \leftarrow \cdots$  are all isomorphisms. Indeed since  $g_1 : V \rightarrow \Sigma_1$  is proper, we may take  $n_k$  so that  $g_1^{-1}(H_k) \subset K_{n_k}$ . Let  $n \geq n_k$ . Since every fiber of  $g_n : V \rightarrow \Sigma_n$  is connected, (3.19) yields that every fiber of  $g_n : V \rightarrow \Sigma_n$  over  $\varphi_n^{-1}(H_k) \subset \Sigma_n$  is contracted to a point by  $g_{n+1} : V \rightarrow \Sigma_{n+1}$ . Hence  $\varphi_{n+1}^{-1}(H_k) \rightarrow \varphi_n^{-1}(H_k)$  is injective. Since this is also surjective, the induced maps  $\varphi_{n_k}^{-1}(H_k) \leftarrow \varphi_{n_{k+1}}^{-1}(H_k) \leftarrow \cdots$  are all isomorphism, for  $\Sigma_n$  are normal (cf. [GR84, p. 166]). Hence  $\varphi_{n_k}^{-1}(H_k) = \varphi_{n_{k+1}}^{-1}(H_k) \subset \varphi_{n_{k+1}}^{-1}(H_{k+1})$ . We set

$$S_\infty = \cup \varphi_{n_k}^{-1}(H_k).$$

The map  $f_\infty : V \rightarrow S_\infty$  is defined by  $f_\infty|_{g_1^{-1}(H_k)} = g_{n_k}|_{g_1^{-1}(H_k)}$ . We note that  $g_{n_k}|_{g_1^{-1}(H_k)} : g_1^{-1}(H_k) \rightarrow \varphi_{n_k}^{-1}(H_k)$  is proper. Hence  $f_\infty : V \rightarrow S_\infty$  is proper.

Now we prove the property (a). We take  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ . Let  $Z \subset V$  be an algebraic subvariety such that  $f_\infty(Z)$  is a point in  $S_\infty$ . We shall show that  $f_\lambda(Z)$  is a point. Indeed we take  $k$  such that  $f_\infty(Z) \in \varphi_{n_k}^{-1}(H_k)$ . Then  $Z \subset g_1^{-1}(H_k) \subset K_{n_k}$  and  $g_{n_k}(Z)$  is a point. Hence by (3.19),  $f_\lambda(Z)$  is a point. This shows that  $f_\lambda : V \rightarrow S_\lambda$  factors through  $f_\infty : V \rightarrow S_\infty$ . Thus we get  $e_\lambda : S_\infty \rightarrow S_\lambda$ .

To show the property (b), we take an algebraic subvariety  $Z \subset V$  such that  $f_\lambda(Z)$  is a point for every  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ . Then  $g_n(Z)$  is a point of  $\Sigma_n$  for every  $n$ . In particular,  $g_1(Z)$  is a point in  $\Sigma_1$ . We take  $k$  such that  $g_1(Z) \in H_k$ . Then  $Z \subset g_1^{-1}(H_k)$ . Since  $g_{n_k}$  and  $f_\infty$  coincide as morphisms  $g_1^{-1}(H_k) \rightarrow \varphi_{n_k}^{-1}(H_k)$ , where  $\varphi_{n_k}(H_k)$  is contained in both  $\Sigma_{n_k}$  and  $S_\infty$ . Hence  $f_\infty(Z)$  is a point of  $S_\infty$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.71.** — *Assume  $X$  is smooth. Let  $\Sigma = \{\varrho_i : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{N_i}(\mathbb{C})\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}}$  be a sequence of reductive representations such that  $\ker(\varrho_i) \subset \ker(\varrho_{i-1})$ . Then there exists a dominant holomorphic map with general fibers connected  $\mathrm{sh}_\Sigma : X \rightarrow \mathrm{Sh}_\Sigma(X)$  onto a complex normal space  $\mathrm{Sh}_\Sigma(X)$  such that for closed subvariety  $Z \subset X$ ,  $\mathrm{sh}_\Sigma(Z)$  is a point if and only if  $\varrho_i(\mathrm{Im}[\pi_1(Z^{\mathrm{norm}}) \rightarrow \pi_1(X)])$  is finite for every  $i$ .*

*Proof.* — By Lemma 3.67, there exist  $i_0$  and a smooth partial compactification  $X'$  such that  $X'$  is quasi-infinite monodromy at infinity for every  $\varrho_i$  with  $i \geq i_0$ . By Lemma 3.66, there exists a proper surjective holomorphic map  $f_i : X' \rightarrow S_i$  for each  $i \geq i_0$  such that the Shafarevich morphism  $\mathrm{sh}_{\varrho_i} : X \rightarrow \mathrm{Sh}_{\varrho_i}(X)$  of  $\varrho_i$  is the restriction  $f_i|_X$ . By Lemma 3.70, there exists a surjective proper holomorphic fibration  $f_\infty : X' \rightarrow S_\infty$  onto a complex normal space  $S_\infty$  and holomorphic maps  $e_i : S_\infty \rightarrow S_i$  such that

- (a)  $f_i = e_i \circ f_\infty$ ;
- (b) for every algebraic subvariety  $Z \subset X'$ , if  $f_i(Z)$  is a point for every  $i \geq i_0$ , then  $f_\infty(Z)$  is a point.

For an algebraic subvariety  $Z$  of  $X$  with its closure denoted as  $\overline{Z}$  in  $X'$ ,  $f_\infty(\overline{Z})$  is a point if and only if  $f_i(\overline{Z})$  is a point for any  $i \geq i_0$ . Additionally, by the property of the Shafarevich morphism the same holds true if and only if  $\varrho_i(\mathrm{Im}[\pi_1(Z^{\mathrm{norm}}) \rightarrow \pi_1(X)])$  is finite for every for any  $i \geq i_0$ , and, given that  $\ker(\varrho_i) \subset \ker(\varrho_{i-1})$ , it is equivalent to  $\varrho_i(\mathrm{Im}[\pi_1(Z^{\mathrm{norm}}) \rightarrow \pi_1(X)])$  for any  $i \geq 1$ . To conclude the proof, let  $\mathrm{sh}_\Sigma : X \rightarrow \mathrm{Sh}_\Sigma(X)$  be the restriction of  $f_\infty$  to  $X$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 3.72.** — *Let  $X$  be a quasi-projective normal variety. Let  $\Sigma$  be a (non-empty) set of reductive representations  $\varrho : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{N_\varrho}(\mathbb{C})$ . Then there is a dominant holomorphic map  $\mathrm{sh}_\Sigma : X \rightarrow \mathrm{Sh}_\Sigma(X)$  with general fibers connected onto a complex normal space such that for closed subvariety  $Z \subset X$ ,  $\mathrm{sh}_\Sigma(Z)$  is a point if and only if  $\varrho(\mathrm{Im}[\pi_1(Z^{\mathrm{norm}}) \rightarrow \pi_1(X)])$  is finite for every  $\varrho \in \Sigma$ .*

*Proof.* — By Step 2 of the proof of Theorem 3.49, we may assume that  $X$  is smooth. By Lemma 3.69, we can take a set of reductive representations  $\Sigma_1 := \{\varrho_i : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{N_i}(\mathbb{C})\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}}$  such that  $\varrho_i \in \Sigma$ , and for any  $\varrho \in \Sigma$ , there exists  $\varrho_i$  such that  $\ker \varrho_i = \ker \varrho$ . Therefore, if  $\Gamma \subset \pi_1(X)$  is a subgroup such that  $\varrho_i(\Gamma)$  is finite for each  $\varrho_i \in \Sigma_1$ , then  $\varrho(\Gamma)$  is finite for each  $\varrho \in \Sigma$ .

Define  $\tau_i$  to be the product representation

$$(\varrho_1, \dots, \varrho_i) : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \prod_{j=1}^i \mathrm{GL}_{N_j}(\mathbb{C}).$$

Then it is reductive and we have  $\ker \tau_i \subset \ker \tau_{i+1}$ . Let  $\Sigma_2 := \{\tau_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}}$ . By Lemma 3.71, there exists a dominant holomorphic map  $\mathrm{sh}_{\Sigma_2} : X \rightarrow \mathrm{Sh}_{\Sigma_2}(X)$  with general fibers connected onto a complex normal space such that for closed subvariety  $Z \subset X$ ,  $\mathrm{sh}_{\Sigma_2}(Z)$  is a point if and only if  $\tau_i(\mathrm{Im}[\pi_1(Z^{\mathrm{norm}}) \rightarrow \pi_1(X)])$  is finite for every  $\tau_i \in \Sigma_2$ .

We note that  $\tau_i(\mathrm{Im}[\pi_1(Z^{\mathrm{norm}}) \rightarrow \pi_1(X)])$  is finite if and only if  $\varrho_j(\mathrm{Im}[\pi_1(Z^{\mathrm{norm}}) \rightarrow \pi_1(X)])$  are all finite for each  $j = 1, \dots, i$ . Therefore,  $\mathrm{sh}_{\Sigma_2}(Z)$  is a point if and only if  $\varrho_i(\mathrm{Im}[\pi_1(Z^{\mathrm{norm}}) \rightarrow \pi_1(X)])$  is finite for every  $\varrho_i \in \Sigma_1$ , and if only only if  $\varrho(\mathrm{Im}[\pi_1(Z^{\mathrm{norm}}) \rightarrow \pi_1(X)])$  is finite for every  $\varrho \in \Sigma$ . Let  $\mathrm{sh}_\Sigma$  be  $\mathrm{sh}_{\Sigma_2}$ , and thus, the theorem is proven.  $\square$

#### 4. Proof of the reductive Shafarevich conjecture

The goal of this section is to provide proofs for Theorems C and D when  $X$  is a *smooth* projective variety. It is important to note that our methods differs from the approach presented in [Eys04], although we do follow the general strategy in that work.

In this section, we will use the notation  $\mathcal{D}G$  to denote the derived group of any given group  $G$ . Throughout the section, our focus is on non-archimedean local fields with characteristic zero. More precisely, we consider finite extensions of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  for some prime  $p$ .

**4.1. Reduction map of representation into algebraic tori.** — Let  $X$  be a smooth projective variety. Let  $a : X \rightarrow A$  be the Albanese morphism of  $X$ .

**Lemma 4.1.** — *Let  $P \subset A$  be an abelian subvariety of the Albanese variety  $A$  of  $X$  and  $K$  be a non-archimedean local field. If  $\tau : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_1(K)$  factors through  $\sigma : \pi_1(A/P) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_1(K)$ , then the Katzarkov-Eyssidieux reduction map  $s_\tau : X \rightarrow S_\tau$  factors through the Stein factorization of the map  $q : X \rightarrow A/P$ .*

*Proof.* — As  $\tau = q^* \sigma$ , it follows that for each connected component  $F$  of the fiber of  $q : X \rightarrow A/P$ ,  $\tau(\pi_1(F)) = \{1\}$ . Therefore,  $F$  is contracted by  $s_\tau$ . The lemma follows.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.2.** — *Let  $P \subset A$  be an abelian subvariety of  $A$ . Let  $N$  be a Zariski dense open set of the image  $j : M_{\mathbb{B}}(A/P, 1) \rightarrow M_{\mathbb{B}}(A, 1)$  where we consider  $M_{\mathbb{B}}(A/P, 1)$  and  $M_{\mathbb{B}}(A, 1)$  as algebraic tori defined over  $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$ . Then there are non-archimedean local fields  $K_i$  and a family of representations  $\tau := \{\tau_i : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_1(K_i)\}_{i=1, \dots, m}$  such that*

- $\tau_i \in N(K_i)$ , where we use the natural identification  $M_{\mathbb{B}}^0(X, 1) \simeq M_{\mathbb{B}}(A, 1)$ . Here  $M_{\mathbb{B}}^0(X, 1)$  denotes the connected component of  $M_{\mathbb{B}}^0(X, 1)$  containing the trivial representation.
- The reduction map  $s_\tau : X \rightarrow S_\tau$  is the Stein factorization of  $X \rightarrow A/P$ .
- For the canonical current  $T_\tau$  defined over  $S_\tau$ ,  $\{T_\tau\}$  is a Kähler class.

*Proof.* — Let  $e_1, \dots, e_m$  be a basis of  $\pi_1(A/P) \simeq H_1(A/P, \mathbb{Z})$ . Note that  $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$ -scheme  $M_{\mathbb{B}}(A/P, 1) \simeq (\bar{\mathbb{Q}}^\times)^m$ . Denote by  $S \subset U(1) \cap \bar{\mathbb{Q}}$  the set of roots of unity. Then  $S$  is Zariski dense in  $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}^\times$ . Since  $j^{-1}(N)$  is a Zariski dense open set of  $M_{\mathbb{B}}(A/P, 1)$ , it follows that

there are  $\{a_{ij}\}_{i,j=1,\dots,m} \in \bar{\mathbb{Q}}^\times$  and representations  $\{\varrho_i : \pi_1(A/P) \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{Q}}^\times\}_{i=1,\dots,m}$  defined by  $\varrho_i(e_j) = a_{ij}$  such that

- $[\varrho_i] \in j^{-1}(N)(\bar{\mathbb{Q}})$ ;
- If  $i = j$ ,  $a_{ij} \in \bar{\mathbb{Q}}^\times \setminus U(1)$ ;
- If  $i \neq j$ ,  $a_{ij} \in S$ .

Consider a number field  $k_i$  containing  $a_{i1}, \dots, a_{im}$  endowed with a discrete non-archimedean valuation  $v_i : k_i \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $v_i(a_{ii}) \neq 0$ . Then  $v_i(a_{ij}) = 0$  for every  $j \neq i$ . Indeed, for every  $j \neq i$ , since  $a_{ij}$  is a root of unity, there exists  $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$  such that  $a_{ij}^\ell = 1$ . It follows that  $0 = v(a_{ij}^\ell) = \ell v_i(a_{ij})$ . Let  $K_i$  be the non-archimedean local field which is the completion of  $k_i$  with respect to  $v_i$ . It follows that each  $\varrho_i : \pi_1(A/P) \rightarrow K_i^\times$  is unbounded. Consider  $v_i : \pi_1(A/P) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by composing  $\varrho_i$  with  $v_i : K_i^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . Then  $\{v_1, \dots, v_m\} \subset H^1(A/P, \mathbb{R})$  is a basis for the  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear space  $H^1(A/P, \mathbb{R})$ . It follows that  $v_i(e_j) = \delta_{ij}$  for any  $i, j$ . Let  $\eta_i \in H^0(A/P, \Omega_{A/P}^1)$  be the  $(1, 0)$ -part of the Hodge decomposition of  $v_i$ . Therefore,  $\{\eta_1, \dots, \eta_m\}$  spans the  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear space  $H^0(A/P, \Omega_{A/P}^1)$ . Hence  $\sum_{i=1}^m i\eta_i \wedge \bar{\eta}_i$  is a Kähler form on  $A/P$ . Let  $\tau_i : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow K_i^\times$  be the composition of  $\varrho_i$  with  $\pi_1(X) \rightarrow \pi_1(A/P)$ .

Let  $q : A \rightarrow A/P$  be the quotient map. Let  $P'$  the largest abelian subvariety of  $A$  such that  $q^*\eta_i|_{P'} \equiv 0$  for each  $i$ . Since  $\{\eta_1, \dots, \eta_m\}$  spans  $H^0(B, \Omega_B^1)$ , it follows that  $P' = P$ . Therefore, the reduction map  $s_\tau : X \rightarrow S_\tau$  is the Stein factorization of  $X \rightarrow A/P$  with  $g : S_\tau \rightarrow A/P$  be the finite morphism. According to Definition 1.24,  $T_\tau = g^* \sum_{i=1}^m i\eta_i \wedge \bar{\eta}_i$ . Since  $\sum_{i=1}^m i\eta_i \wedge \bar{\eta}_i$  is a Kähler form on  $A/P$ , it follows that  $\{T_\tau\}$  is a Kähler class by Theorem 1.13. The lemma is proved.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.3.** — *Let  $X$  be a smooth projective variety. If  $\mathfrak{C} \subset M_B(X, 1)$  is an absolutely constructible subset. Consider the reduction map  $s_\mathfrak{C} : X \rightarrow S_\mathfrak{C}$  defined in Definition 3.1. Then there is a family of representations  $\varrho := \{\varrho_i : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_1(K_i)\}_{i=1,\dots,\ell}$  where  $K_i$  are non-archimedean local fields such that*

- For each  $i = 1, \dots, \ell$ ,  $\varrho_i \in \mathfrak{C}(K_i)$ ;
- The reduction map  $s_\varrho : X \rightarrow S_\varrho$  of  $\varrho$  coincides with  $s_\mathfrak{C}$ .
- For the canonical current  $T_\varrho$  defined over  $S_\mathfrak{C}$ ,  $\{T_\varrho\}$  is a Kähler class.

*Proof.* — Let  $A$  be the Albanese variety of  $X$ . Since  $\mathfrak{C} \subset M_B(X, 1)$  is an absolute constructible subset, by Theorem 1.19 and Lemma 1.16, there are abelian subvarieties  $\{P_i \subset A\}_{i=1,\dots,m}$  and torsion points  $v_i \in M_B(X, 1)(\bar{\mathbb{Q}})$  such that  $\mathfrak{C} = \cup_{i=1}^m v_i \cdot N_i^\circ$ . Here  $N_i$  is the image in  $M_B^0(X, 1) \simeq M_B(A, 1)$  of the natural morphism  $M_B(A/P_i, 1) \rightarrow M_B(A, 1)$  and  $N_i^\circ$  is a Zariski dense open subset of  $N_i$  such that  $N_i \setminus N_i^\circ$  is defined over  $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$ . Let  $k$  be a number field such that  $v_i \in M_B(X, 1)(k)$  for each  $i$ .

**Claim 4.4.** — *Denote by  $P := \cap_{i=1}^m P_i$ . Then  $s_\mathfrak{C} : X \rightarrow S_\mathfrak{C}$  is the Stein factorization of  $X \rightarrow A/P$ .*

*Proof.* — Let  $\tau : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_1(K)$  be a reductive representation with  $K$  a non-archimedean local field such that  $\tau \in \mathfrak{C}(K)$ . Note that the reduction map  $s_\tau$  is the same if we replace  $K$  by a finite extension. We thus can assume that  $k \subset K$ . Note that there exists some  $i \in \{1, \dots, \ell\}$  such that  $[v_i^{-1} \cdot \tau] \in N_i(K)$ . Write  $\varrho := v_i^{-1} \cdot \tau$ . Since  $v_i$  is a torsion element, it follows that  $v_i(\pi_1(X))$  is finite, and thus the reduction map  $s_\varrho$  coincides with  $s_\tau$ . Since  $\varrho$  factors through  $\pi_1(A/P_i) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_1(K)$ , by Lemma 4.1  $s_\varrho$  factors through the Stein factorization of  $X \rightarrow A/P_i$ . Hence  $s_\varrho$  factors through the Stein factorization of  $X \rightarrow A/P$ . By Definition 3.1, it follows that  $s_\mathfrak{C} : X \rightarrow S_\mathfrak{C}$  factors through the Stein factorization of  $X \rightarrow A/P$ .

Fix any  $i$ . By Lemma 4.2 there are non-archimedean local fields  $K_j$  and a family of reductive representations  $\tau := \{\tau_j : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_1(K_j)\}_{j=1,\dots,n}$  such that

- $\tau_j \in N_i^\circ(K_j)$ .
- The reduction map  $s_\tau : X \rightarrow S_\tau$  is the Stein factorization of  $X \rightarrow A/P_i$ .
- For the canonical current  $T_\tau$  over  $S_\tau$ ,  $\{T_\tau\}$  is a Kähler class.

We can replace  $K_i$  by a finite extension such that  $k \subset K_i$  for each  $K_i$ . Then  $v_i \cdot \tau_i \in \mathfrak{C}(K_i)$  for every  $i$ . Note that the Katzarkov-Eyssidieux reduction map  $s_{v_i \cdot \tau_j} : X \rightarrow S_{v_i \cdot \tau_j}$  coincides with



$s_{\tau_j} : X \rightarrow S_{\tau_j}$ . Therefore, the Stein factorization of  $X \rightarrow A/P_i$  factors through  $s_{\mathfrak{C}}$ . Since this holds for each  $i$ , it follows that the Stein factorization  $X \rightarrow A/P_1 \times \cdots \times A/P_m$  factors through  $s_{\mathfrak{C}}$ . Note that the Stein factorization  $X \rightarrow A/P_1 \times \cdots \times A/P_m$  coincides with the Stein factorization of  $X \rightarrow A/P$ . Therefore, the Stein factorization of  $X \rightarrow A/P$  factors through  $s_{\mathfrak{C}}$ . The claim is proved.  $\square$

By the above arguments, for each  $i$ , there exists a family of reductive representations into non-archimedean local fields  $\varrho_i := \{\varrho_{ij} : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_1(K_{ij})\}_{j=1, \dots, k_i}$  such that

- $\varrho_{ij} \in \mathfrak{C}(K_{ij})$
- $s_{\varrho_i} : X \rightarrow S_{\varrho_i}$  is the Stein factorization of  $X \rightarrow A/P_i$
- For the canonical current  $T_{\varrho_i}$  defined over  $S_{\varrho_i}$ ,  $\{T_{\varrho_i}\}$  is a Kähler class.

By the above claim, we know that  $s_{\mathfrak{C}} : X \rightarrow S_{\mathfrak{C}}$  is the Stein factorization of  $X \rightarrow S_{\varrho_1} \times \cdots \times S_{\varrho_m}$ . Then for the representation  $\varrho := \{\varrho_{ij} : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_1(K_{ij})\}_{i=1, \dots, m; j=1, \dots, k_i}$ ,  $s_{\varrho} : X \rightarrow S_{\varrho}$  is the Stein factorization of  $X \rightarrow A/P$  hence  $s_{\varrho}$  coincides with  $s_{\mathfrak{C}}$ . Moreover, the canonical current  $T_{\varrho} = \sum_{i=1}^m g_i^* T_{\varrho_i}$  where  $g_i : S_{\mathfrak{C}} \rightarrow S_{\varrho_i}$  is the natural map. As  $S_{\mathfrak{C}} \rightarrow S_{\varrho_1} \times \cdots \times S_{\varrho_m}$  is finite, by Theorem 1.13  $\{T_{\varrho}\}$  is Kähler.  $\square$

Let us prove the main result in this subsection.

**Theorem 4.5.** — *Let  $X$  be a smooth projective variety and let  $T$  be an algebraic tori defined over some number field  $k$ . Let  $\mathfrak{C} \subset M_{\mathbb{B}}(X, T)(\mathbb{C})$  be an absolutely constructible subset. Consider the reduction map  $s_{\mathfrak{C}} : X \rightarrow S_{\mathfrak{C}}$ . Then there is a family of reductive representations  $\tau := \{\tau_i : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow T(K_i)\}_{i=1, \dots, N}$  where  $K_i$  are non-archimedean local fields containing  $k$  such that*

- For each  $i = 1, \dots, N$ ,  $[\tau_i] \in \mathfrak{C}(K_i)$ ;
- The reduction map  $s_{\tau} : X \rightarrow S_{\tau}$  of  $\tau$  coincides with  $s_{\mathfrak{C}}$ .
- For the canonical current  $T_{\tau}$  over  $S_{\mathfrak{C}}$  defined in Definition 1.24,  $\{T_{\tau}\}$  is a Kähler class.

*Proof.* — We replace  $k$  by a finite extension such that  $T$  is split over  $k$ . Then we have  $T \simeq \mathbb{G}_{m, k}^{\ell}$ . Note that this does not change the reduction map  $s_{\mathfrak{C}} : X \rightarrow S_{\mathfrak{C}}$ . We take  $p_i : T \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_{m, k}$  to be the  $i$ -th projection which is a  $k$ -morphism. It induces a morphism of  $k$ -schemes  $\psi_i : M_{\mathbb{B}}(X, T) \rightarrow M_{\mathbb{B}}(X, \mathrm{GL}_1)$ . By Theorem 1.20,  $\mathfrak{C}_i := \psi_i(\mathfrak{C})$  is also an absolutely constructible subset. Consider the reduction maps  $\{s_{\mathfrak{C}_i} : X \rightarrow S_{\mathfrak{C}_i}\}_{i=1, \dots, \ell}$  defined by Definition 3.1.

**Claim 4.6.** —  $s_{\mathfrak{C}} : X \rightarrow S_{\mathfrak{C}}$  is the Stein factorization of  $s_{\mathfrak{C}_1} \times \cdots \times s_{\mathfrak{C}_\ell} : X \rightarrow S_{\mathfrak{C}_1} \times \cdots \times S_{\mathfrak{C}_\ell}$ .

*Proof.* — Let  $\varrho : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow T(K)$  be any reductive representation where  $K$  is a non-archimedean local field containing  $k$  such that  $[\varrho] \in \mathfrak{C}(K)$ . Write  $\varrho_i = p_i \circ \varrho : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_1(K)$ . Then  $[\varrho_i] = \psi_i([\varrho]) \in \mathfrak{C}_i(K)$ . Note that for any subgroup  $\Gamma \subset \pi_1(X)$ ,  $\varrho(\Gamma)$  is bounded if and only if  $\varrho_i(\Gamma)$  is bounded for any  $i$ . Therefore,  $s_{\varrho} : X \rightarrow S_{\varrho}$  is the Stein factorization of  $X \rightarrow S_{\varrho_1} \times \cdots \times S_{\varrho_\ell}$ . Hence  $s_{\mathfrak{C}} : X \rightarrow S_{\mathfrak{C}}$  factors through the Stein factorization of  $X \rightarrow S_{\mathfrak{C}_1} \times \cdots \times S_{\mathfrak{C}_\ell}$ .

On the other hand, consider any  $\varrho_i \in \mathfrak{C}_i(K)$  where  $K$  is a non-archimedean local field containing  $k$ . Then there is a finite extension  $L$  of  $K$  such that

- there is a reductive representation  $\varrho : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow T(L)$  with  $[\varrho] \in \mathfrak{C}(L)$ ;
- $p_i \circ \varrho = \varrho_i$ .

By the above argument,  $s_{\varrho_i} : X \rightarrow S_{\varrho_i}$  factors through  $s_{\varrho} : X \rightarrow S_{\varrho}$ . Note that  $s_{\varrho}$  factors through  $s_{\mathfrak{C}}$ . It follows that the Stein factorization of  $X \rightarrow S_{\mathfrak{C}_1} \times \cdots \times S_{\mathfrak{C}_\ell}$  factors through  $s_{\mathfrak{C}}$ . The claim is proved.  $\square$

We now apply Corollary 4.3 to conclude that for each  $i$ , there exists a family of reductive representations into non-archimedean local fields  $\varrho_i := \{\varrho_{ij} : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_1(K_{ij})\}_{j=1, \dots, k_i}$  such that

- $\varrho_{ij} \in \mathfrak{C}_i(K_{ij})$ ;
- The reduction map  $s_{\varrho_i} : X \rightarrow S_{\varrho_i}$  of  $\varrho_i$  coincides with  $s_{\mathfrak{C}_i} : X \rightarrow S_{\mathfrak{C}_i}$ ;
- for the canonical current  $T_{\varrho_i}$  defined over  $S_{\varrho_i}$ ,  $\{T_{\varrho_i}\}$  is a Kähler class.

Denote by  $\varrho := \{\varrho_{ij}\}_{i=1,\dots,\ell; j=1,\dots,k_i}$ . Then  $s_\varrho : X \rightarrow S_\varrho$  coincides with  $s_\mathfrak{C} : X \rightarrow S_\mathfrak{C}$  by the above claim. Then  $T_\varrho$  is a Kähler class.

By the definition of  $\mathfrak{C}_i$ , we can find a finite extension  $L_{ij}$  of  $K_{ij}$  such that

- there is a reductive representation  $\tau_{ij} : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow T(L_{ij})$  with  $[\tau_{ij}] \in \mathfrak{C}(L_{ij})$ ;
- $p_i \circ \tau_{ij} = \varrho_{ij}$ .

Therefore, for the family  $\tau := \{\tau_{ij}\}_{i=1,\dots,\ell; j=1,\dots,k_i}$ ,  $s_\tau : X \rightarrow S_\tau$  coincides with  $s_\mathfrak{C}$  by the above claim. Note that for any  $i, j$ , there exists an morphism  $e_{ij} : S_{\tau_{ij}} \rightarrow S_{\varrho_{ij}}$  such that  $s_{\varrho_{ij}} : X \rightarrow S_{\varrho_{ij}}$  factors through  $e_{ij}$ . We also note that  $e_{ij}^* T_{\varrho_{ij}} \leq T_{\tau_{ij}}$  for the canonical currents. It follows that  $T_\varrho \leq T_\tau$  (note that  $S_\tau = S_\varrho = S_\mathfrak{C}$ ). Therefore,  $\{T_\tau\}$  is a Kähler class. We prove the theorem.  $\square$

**4.2. Some criterion for representation into tori.** — We recall a lemma in [CDY22, Lemma 5.3].

**Lemma 4.7.** — *Let  $G$  be an almost simple algebraic group over the non-archimedean local field  $K$ . Let  $\Gamma \subset G(K)$  be a finitely generated subgroup so that*

- *it is a Zariski dense subgroup in  $G$ ,*
- *it is not contained in any bounded subgroup of  $G(K)$ .*

*Let  $Y$  be a normal subgroup of  $\Gamma$  which is bounded. Then  $Y$  must be finite.*

This lemma enables us to prove the following result.

**Lemma 4.8.** — *Let  $G$  be a reductive algebraic group over the non-archimedean local field  $K$  of characteristic zero. Let  $X$  be a projective manifold and let  $\varrho : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow G(K)$  be a Zariski dense representation. If  $\varrho(\mathcal{D}\pi_1(X))$  is bounded, then after replacing  $K$  by some finite extension, for the reductive representation  $\tau : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow G/\mathcal{D}G(K)$  which is the composition of  $\varrho$  with  $G \rightarrow G/\mathcal{D}G$ , the reduction map  $s_\tau : X \rightarrow S_\tau$  coincides with  $s_\varrho : X \rightarrow S_\varrho$ .*

*Proof.* — Since  $G$  is reductive, then after replacing  $K$  by a finite extension, there is an isogeny  $G \rightarrow H_1 \times \cdots \times H_k \times T$ , where  $H_i$  are almost simple algebraic groups over  $K$  and  $T = G/\mathcal{D}G$  is an algebraic tori over  $K$ . Write  $G' := H_1 \times \cdots \times H_k \times T$ . We denote by  $\varrho' : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow G'(K)$  the induced representation by the above isogeny.

**Claim 4.9.** — *The Katzarkov-Eyssidieux reduction map  $s_\varrho : X \rightarrow S_\varrho$  coincides with  $s_{\varrho'} : X \rightarrow S_{\varrho'}$ .*

*Proof.* — It suffices to prove that, for any subgroup  $\Gamma$  of  $\pi_1(X)$ ,  $\varrho(\Gamma)$  is bounded if and only if  $\varrho'(\Gamma)$  is bounded. Note that we have the following short exact sequence of algebraic groups

$$0 \rightarrow \mu \rightarrow G \rightarrow G' \rightarrow 0$$

where  $\mu$  is finite. Then we have

$$0 \rightarrow \mu(K) \rightarrow G(K) \xrightarrow{f} G'(K) \rightarrow H^1(K, \mu),$$

where  $H^1(K, \mu)$  is the Galois cohomology. Note that  $\mu(K)$  is finite. Since  $K$  is a finite extension of some  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ , it follows that  $H^1(K, \mu)$  is also finite. Therefore,  $f : G(K) \rightarrow G'(K)$  has finite kernel and cokernel. Therefore,  $\varrho(\Gamma)$  is bounded if and only if  $\varrho'(\Gamma)$  is bounded.  $\square$

Set  $\Gamma := \varrho'(\pi_1(X))$  and  $Y := \varrho'(\mathcal{D}\pi_1(X))$ . Let  $Y_i \subset H_i(K)$  and  $\Gamma_i$  be the image of  $Y$  and  $\Gamma$  under the projection  $G(K) \rightarrow H_i(K)$ . Then  $\Gamma_i$  is Zariski dense in  $H_i$  and  $Y_i \triangleleft \Gamma_i$  is also bounded. Furthermore,  $\mathcal{D}\Gamma_i = Y_i$ .

**Claim 4.10.** —  *$\Gamma_i$  is bounded for every  $i$ .*

*Proof.* — Assuming a contradiction, let's suppose that some  $\Gamma_i$  is unbounded. Since  $Y_i \triangleleft \Gamma_i$  and  $Y_i$  is bounded, we can refer to Lemma 4.7 which states that  $Y_i$  must be finite. We may replace  $X$  with a finite étale cover, allowing us to assume that  $Y_i$  is trivial. Consequently,  $\Gamma_i$  becomes abelian, which contradicts the fact that  $\Gamma_i$  is Zariski dense in the almost simple algebraic group  $H_i$ .  $\square$

Based on the previous claim, it follows that the induced representations  $\tau_i : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow H_i(K)$  are all bounded for every  $i$ . Consequently, they do not contribute to the reduction map of  $s_{\varrho'} : X \rightarrow S_{\varrho'}$ . Therefore, the only contribution to  $s_{\varrho'}$  comes from  $\tau : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow T(K)$ , where  $\tau$  is the composition of  $\varrho : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow G(K)$  and  $G(K) \rightarrow T(K)$ .

According to Claim 4.9, we can conclude that  $s_{\varrho}$  coincides with the reduction map  $s_{\tau} : X \rightarrow S_{\tau}$  of  $\tau : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow T(K)$ . This establishes the lemma.  $\square$

**4.3. Eyssidieux-Simpson Lefschetz theorem and its application.** — Let  $X$  be a compact Kähler manifold and let  $V \subset H^0(X, \Omega_X^1)$  be a  $\mathbb{C}$ -subspace. Let  $a : X \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_X$  be the Albanese morphism of  $X$ . Note that  $a^* : H^0(\mathcal{A}_X, \Omega_{\mathcal{A}_X}^1) \rightarrow H^0(X, \Omega_X^1)$  is an isomorphism. Write  $V' := (a^*)^{-1}(V)$ . Define  $B(V) \subset \mathcal{A}_X$  to be the largest abelian subvariety of  $\mathcal{A}_X$  such that  $\eta|_{B(V)} = 0$  for every  $\eta \in V'$ . Set  $\mathcal{A}_{X,V} := \mathcal{A}_X/B(V)$ . The *partial Albanese morphism associated with  $V$*  is the composition of  $a$  with the quotient map  $\mathcal{A}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{X,V}$ , denoted by  $g_V : X \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{X,V}$ . Note that there exists  $V_0 \subset H^0(\mathcal{A}_{X,V}, \Omega_{\mathcal{A}_{X,V}}^1)$  with  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} V_0 = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} V$  such that  $g_V^* V_0 = V$ . Let  $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_{X,V} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{X,V}$  be the universal covering and let  $X_V$  be  $X \times_{\mathcal{A}_{X,V}} \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_{X,V}$ . Note that  $V_0$  induces a natural linear map  $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_{X,V} \rightarrow V_0^*$ . Its composition with  $X_V \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_{X,V}$  and  $g_V^* : V_0 \rightarrow V$  gives rise to a holomorphic map

$$(4.1) \quad \widetilde{g}_V : X_V \rightarrow V^*.$$

Let  $f : X \rightarrow S$  be the Stein factorization of  $g_V : X \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{X,V}$  with  $q : S \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{X,V}$  the finite morphism. Set  $\mathbb{V} := q^* V_0$ .

**Definition 4.11.** —  $V$  is called *perfect* if for any closed subvariety  $Z \subset S$  of dimension  $d \geq 1$ , one has  $\text{Im}[\Lambda^d \mathbb{V} \rightarrow H^0(Z, \Omega_Z^d)] \neq 0$ .

The terminology of “perfect  $V$ ” in Definition 4.11 is called “SSKB factorisable” in [Eys04, Lemme 5.1.6].

Let us recall the following Lefschetz theorem by Eyssidieux, which is a generalization of previous work by Simpson [Sim92]. This theorem plays a crucial role in the proofs of Theorems C and D.

**Theorem 4.12** ([Eys04, Proposition 5.1.7]). — *Let  $X$  be a compact Kähler normal space and let  $V \subset H^0(X, \Omega_X^1)$  be a subspace. Assume that*

$$\text{Im}[\Lambda^{\dim V} V \rightarrow H^0(X, \Omega_X^{\dim V})] = \eta \neq 0.$$

*Set  $(\eta = 0) = \cup_{i=1}^k Z_k$  where  $Z_i$  are proper closed subvarieties of  $X$ . For each  $Z_i$ , denote by  $V_i := \text{Im}[V \rightarrow H^0(Z_i, \Omega_{Z_i})]$ . Assume that  $V_i$  is perfect for each  $i$ . Then there are two possibilities which exclude each other:*

- *either  $V$  is perfect;*
- *or for the holomorphic map  $\widetilde{g}_V : X_V \rightarrow V^*$  defined as (4.1),  $(X_V, \widetilde{g}_V^{-1}(t))$  is 1-connected for any  $t \in V^*$ ; i.e.  $\widetilde{g}_V^{-1}(t)$  is connected and  $\pi_1(\widetilde{g}_V^{-1}(t)) \rightarrow \pi_1(X_V)$  is surjective.*

We need the following version of the Castelnuovo-De Franchis theorem proved by Catanese.

**Theorem 4.13 (Castelnuovo-De Franchis-Catanese).** — *Let  $X$  be a compact Kähler normal space and let  $W \subset H^0(X, \Omega_X)$  be the subspace of dimension  $d \geq 2$  such that*

- $\text{Im}(\Lambda^d W \rightarrow H^0(X, \Omega_X^d)) = 0$ ;
- *for every hyperplane  $W' \subset W$ ,  $\text{Im}(\Lambda^{d-1} W' \rightarrow H^0(X, \Omega_X^{d-1})) \neq 0$ .*

*Then there is a projective normal variety  $S$  of dimension  $d - 1$  and a fibration  $f : X \rightarrow S$  such that  $W \subset f^* H^0(S, \Omega_S)$ .*

To apply Theorem 4.13, we need to show the existence of a linear subspace  $W \subset H^0(X, \Omega_X)$  as in the theorem.

**Lemma 4.14.** — *Let  $X$  be a projective normal variety and let  $V \subset H^0(X, \Omega_X)$ . Let  $r$  be the largest integer such that  $\text{Im}[\Lambda^r V \rightarrow H^0(X, \Omega_X^r)] \neq 0$ . Assume that  $r < \dim_{\mathbb{C}} V$ . There exists  $W \subset H^0(X, \Omega_X)$  such that*

- (i)  $2 \leq \dim W \leq r + 1$ .
- (ii)  $\text{Im} [\Lambda^{\dim W} W \rightarrow H^0(X, \Omega_X^{\dim W})] = 0$ ;
- (iii) for every hyperplane  $W' \subsetneq W$ , we always have  $\text{Im} [\Lambda^{\dim W-1} W' \rightarrow H^0(X, \Omega_X^{\dim W-1})] \neq 0$ .

*Proof.* — By our assumption there exist  $\{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_r\} \subset V$  such that  $\omega_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_r \neq 0$ . Let  $W_0 \subset V$  be the subspace generated by  $\{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_r\}$ . Since  $r < \dim_{\mathbb{C}} V$ , there exists  $\omega \in V \setminus W_0$ .

Pick a point  $x \in X$  such that  $\omega_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_r(x) \neq 0$ . Then there exists a coordinate system  $(U; z_1, \dots, z_n)$  centered at  $x$  such that  $dz_i = \omega_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, r$ . Write  $\omega = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i(z) dz_i$ . By our choice of  $r$ , we have  $\omega_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_r \wedge \omega = 0$ . It follows that

- $a_j(z) = 0$  for  $j = r + 1, \dots, n$ ;
- at least one of  $a_1(z), \dots, a_r(z)$  is not constant.

Let  $k + 1$  be the transcendental degree of  $\{1, a_1(z), \dots, a_r(z)\} \subset \mathbb{C}(U)$ . Then  $k \geq 1$ . We assume that  $1, a_1(z), \dots, a_k(z)$  is linearly independent for the transcendental extension  $\mathbb{C}(U)/\mathbb{C}$ . One can check by an easy linear algebra that the subspace  $W$  generated  $\{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_k, \omega\}$  is an element of  $E$ . The lemma is proved.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.15.** — Let  $X$  be a projective normal variety and let  $V \subset H^0(X, \Omega_X)$ . Let  $r$  be the largest integer such that  $\text{Im} [\Lambda^r V \rightarrow H^0(X, \Omega_X^r)] \neq 0$ , which will be called generic rank of  $V$ . Consider the partial Albanese morphism  $g_V : X \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{X,V}$  induced by  $V$ . Let  $V_0 \subset H^0(\mathcal{A}_{X,V}, \Omega_{\mathcal{A}_{X,V}}^1)$  be the linear subspace such that  $g_V^* V_0 = V$ . Let  $f : X \rightarrow S$  be the Stein factorization of  $g_V$  with  $q : S \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{X,V}$  the finite morphism. Consider  $\mathbb{V} := q^* V_0$ . Assume that

$$\text{Im} [\Lambda^{\dim Z} \mathbb{V} \rightarrow H^0(Z, \Omega_Z^{\dim Z})] \neq 0$$

for every proper closed subvariety  $Z \subsetneq S$ . Then there are two possibilities.

- either

$$\text{Im} [\Lambda^{\dim S} \mathbb{V} \rightarrow H^0(S, \Omega_S^{\dim S})] \neq 0;$$

- or  $r = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} V$ .

*Proof.* — Assume that both

$$\text{Im} [\Lambda^{\dim S} \mathbb{V} \rightarrow H^0(S, \Omega_S^{\dim S})] = 0,$$

and  $r < \dim_{\mathbb{C}} V$ . Therefore,  $r < \dim S \leq \dim X$ . By Lemma 4.14 there is a subspace  $W \subset V$  with  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} W = k + 1 \leq r + 1$  such that  $\text{Im} [\Lambda^{\dim W} W \rightarrow H^0(X, \Omega_X^{\dim W})] = 0$ , and for any subspace  $W' \subsetneq W$ , we always have  $\text{Im} [\Lambda^{\dim W'} W' \rightarrow H^0(X, \Omega_X^{\dim W'})] \neq 0$ . By our assumption, we have  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} W \leq \dim X$ . By Theorem 4.13, there is a fibration  $p : X \rightarrow B$  with  $B$  a projective normal variety with  $\dim B = \dim W - 1 \leq \dim X - 1$  such that  $W \subset p^* H^0(B, \Omega_B^1)$ . In particular, the generic rank of the forms in  $W$  is  $\dim W - 1$ . Consider the partial Albanese morphism  $g_W : X \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{X,W}$  associated with  $W$ . We shall prove that  $p$  can be made as the Stein factorisation of  $g_W$ .

Note that each fiber of  $p$  is contracted by  $g_W$ . Therefore, we have a factorisation  $X \xrightarrow{p} B \xrightarrow{h} \mathcal{A}_{X,W}$ . Note that there exists a linear space  $W_0 \subset H^0(\mathcal{A}_{X,W}, \Omega_{\mathcal{A}_{X,W}}^1)$  such that  $W = g_W^* W_0$ . If  $\dim h(B) < \dim B$ , then the generic rank of  $W$  is less or equal to  $\dim h(B)$ . This contradicts with Theorem 4.13. Therefore,  $\dim h(B) = \dim B$ . Let  $X \xrightarrow{p'} B' \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{X,W}$  be the Stein factorisation of  $g_W$ . Then there exists a birational morphism  $\nu : B \rightarrow B'$  such that  $p' = \nu \circ p$ . We can thus replace  $B$  by  $B'$ , and  $p$  by  $p'$ .

Recall that  $f : X \rightarrow S$  is the Stein factorisation of the partial Albanese morphism  $g_V : X \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{X,V}$  associated with  $V$ . As  $g_W$  factors through the natural quotient map  $\mathcal{A}_{X,V} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{X,W}$ , it follows that  $p : X \rightarrow B$  factors through  $X \xrightarrow{f} S \xrightarrow{\nu} B$ .

Assume that  $\dim S = \dim B$ . Then  $\nu$  is birational. Since  $\dim B = \dim W - 1$  and the generic rank of  $W$  is  $\dim W - 1$ , it follows that

$$\text{Im} [\Lambda^{\dim S} \mathbb{V} \rightarrow H^0(S, \Omega_S^{\dim S})] \neq 0.$$

This contradicts with our assumption at the beginning. Hence  $\dim S > \dim B$ .

Let  $Z$  be a general fiber of  $\nu$  which is positive-dimensional. Since  $W \subset p^*H^0(B, \Omega_B^1)$ , and we have assumed that the generic rank of  $\mathbb{V}$  is less than  $\dim S$ , it follows that the generic rank of  $\text{Im}[\mathbb{V} \rightarrow H^0(Z, \Omega_Z^1)]$  is less than  $\dim Z$ . This implies that

$$\text{Im}[\Lambda^{\dim Z} \mathbb{V} \rightarrow H^0(Z, \Omega_Z^{\dim Z})] = 0,$$

which contradicts with our assumption. Therefore we obtain a contradiction. The lemma is proved.  $\square$

**Remark 4.16.** — Let  $Y$  be a normal projective variety. Let  $\varrho = \{\varrho_i : \pi_1(Y) \rightarrow \text{GL}_N(K_i)\}_{i=1, \dots, k}$  be a family of reductive representations where  $K_i$  are non-archimedean local field. Let  $\pi : X \rightarrow Y$  be a Galois cover dominating all spectral covers induced by  $\varrho_i$ . Let  $V \subset H^0(X, \Omega_X)$  be the set of all spectral forms (cf. § 1.9 for definitions). We use the same notations as in Lemma 4.15. Considering Katzarkov-Eyssidieux reduction maps  $s_\varrho : Y \rightarrow S_\varrho$  and  $s_{\pi^*\varrho} : X \rightarrow S_{\pi^*\varrho}$ . One can check that, for every closed subvariety  $Z \subset S_\varrho$ ,  $\{T_\varrho^{\dim Z}\} \cdot Z > 0$  if and only if for any closed subvariety  $W \subset S_{\pi^*\varrho}$  dominating  $Z$  under  $\sigma_\pi : S_{\pi^*\varrho} \rightarrow S_\varrho$  defined in (1.2), one has

$$\text{Im}[\Lambda^{\dim W} \mathbb{V} \rightarrow H^0(W, \Omega_W^{\dim W})] \neq 0.$$

In particular,  $V$  is perfect if and only if  $\{T_\varrho\}$  is a Kähler class by Theorem 1.13.

**Theorem 4.17.** — Let  $X$  be a smooth projective variety and let  $\varrho := \{\varrho_i : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \text{GL}_N(K_i)\}_{i=1, \dots, k}$  be a family of reductive representations where  $K_i$  is a non-archimedean local field. Let  $s_\varrho : X \rightarrow S_\varrho$  be the Katzarkov-Eyssidieux reduction map. Let  $T_\varrho$  be the canonical  $(1, 1)$ -current on  $S_\varrho$  associated with  $\varrho$  defined in Definition 1.24. Denote by  $H_i$  the Zariski closure of  $\varrho_i(\pi_1(X))$ . Assume that for any proper closed subvariety  $\Sigma \subsetneq S_\varrho$ , one has  $\{T_\varrho\}^{\dim \Sigma} \cdot \Sigma > 0$ . Then

- either  $\{T_\varrho\}^{\dim S_\varrho} \cdot S_\varrho > 0$ ;
- or the reduction map  $s_{\sigma_i} : X \rightarrow S_{\sigma_i}$  coincides with  $s_{\varrho_i} : X \rightarrow S_{\varrho_i}$  for each  $i$ , where  $\sigma_i : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow (H_i/\mathcal{D}H_i)(K_i)$  is the composition of  $\varrho_i$  with the group homomorphism  $H_i \rightarrow H_i/\mathcal{D}H_i$ .

*Proof.* — Assume that  $\{T_\varrho\}^{\dim S_\varrho} \cdot S_\varrho = 0$ . Let  $Y \rightarrow X$  be a Galois cover which dominates all spectral covers of  $\varrho_i$ . We pull back all the spectral one forms on  $Y$  to obtain a subspace  $V \subset H^0(Y, \Omega_Y^1)$ . Consider the partial Albanese morphism  $g_V : Y \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{Y, V}$  associated to  $V$ , then  $s_{\pi^*\varrho} : Y \rightarrow S_{\pi^*\varrho}$  is its Stein factorization with  $q : S_{\pi^*\varrho} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{Y, V}$  the finite morphism. Note that there is a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear subspace  $\mathbb{V} \subset H^0(S_{\pi^*\varrho}, \Omega_{S_{\pi^*\varrho}}^1)$  such that  $s_{\pi^*\varrho}^* \mathbb{V} = V$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y & \xrightarrow{\pi} & X \\ \downarrow s_{\pi^*\varrho} & & \downarrow s_\varrho \\ S_{\pi^*\varrho} & \xrightarrow{\sigma_\pi} & S_\varrho \\ \downarrow q_i & & \downarrow p_i \\ S_{\pi^*\varrho_i} & \longrightarrow & S_{\varrho_i} \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{l} \\ \\ s_{\pi^*\varrho_i} \\ \\ \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{l} \\ \\ s_{\varrho_i} \\ \\ \end{array}$$

Note that  $\sigma_\pi$  is finite surjective morphism. By Lemma 1.25 we have  $T_{\pi^*\varrho} = \sigma_\pi^* T_\varrho$ . By our assumption, for an proper closed subvariety  $\Xi \subsetneq S_\varrho$ , one has  $\{T_\varrho\}^{\dim \Xi} \cdot \Xi > 0$ . Hence for an proper closed subvariety  $\Xi \subsetneq S_{\pi^*\varrho}$ , one has  $\{T_{\pi^*\varrho}\}^{\dim \Xi} \cdot \Xi > 0$ . According to Remark 4.16, this implies that

$$\text{Im}[\Lambda^{\dim \Xi} \mathbb{V} \rightarrow H^0(\Xi, \Omega_\Xi^{\dim \Xi})] \neq 0.$$

Since  $\{T_\varrho\}^{\dim S} \cdot S = 0$ , it follows that  $\{T_{\pi^*\varrho}\}^{\dim S_{\pi^*\varrho}} \cdot S_{\pi^*\varrho} = 0$ . This implies that

$$\text{Im}[\Lambda^{\dim S_{\pi^*\varrho}} \mathbb{V} \rightarrow H^0(S_{\pi^*\varrho}, \Omega_{S_{\pi^*\varrho}}^{\dim S_{\pi^*\varrho}})] = 0.$$

Let  $r$  be the generic rank  $V$ . According to Remark 4.16, we have  $r = \dim S_{\pi^*\varrho} - 1$ . By Lemma 4.15, we have  $r = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} V$ . Therefore,  $\text{Im}[\Lambda^r V \rightarrow H^0(Y, \Omega_Y^r)] \simeq \mathbb{C}$ .

**Claim 4.18.** — For any non-zero  $\eta \in \text{Im}[\Lambda^r V \rightarrow H^0(Y, \Omega_Y^r)]$ , each irreducible component  $Z'$  of  $(\eta = 0)$  satisfies that  $s_{\pi^*\varrho}(Z')$  is a proper subvariety of  $S_{\pi^*\varrho}$ .

*Proof.* — Assume that this is not the case. Let  $Z \rightarrow Z'$  be a desingularization. Set  $V' := \text{Im} [V \rightarrow H^0(Z, \Omega_Z^1)]$ . Denote by  $r'$  the generic rank of  $V'$ . Then  $r' < r$  as  $Z'$  is an irreducible component of  $(\eta = 0)$ . Write  $\iota : Z \rightarrow Y$  and  $g : Z \rightarrow X$  for the natural map. Then the Katzarkov-Eyssidieux reduction  $s_{g^*\varrho} : Z \rightarrow S_{g^*\varrho}$  associated with  $g^*\varrho$  is the Stein factorization of the partial Albanese morphism  $g_{V'} : Z \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{Z, V'}$ . We have the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & g & & \\
 & \nearrow & & \searrow & \\
 Z & \xrightarrow{\iota} & Y & \longrightarrow & X \\
 \downarrow s_{g^*\varrho} & & \downarrow s_{\pi^*\varrho} & & \downarrow s_\varrho \\
 S_{g^*\varrho} & \xrightarrow{\sigma_\iota} & S_{\pi^*\varrho} & \longrightarrow & S_\varrho \\
 & \searrow & & \nearrow & \\
 & & \sigma_g & & 
 \end{array}$$

such that  $\sigma_\iota$  is a finite *surjective* morphism as we assume that  $s_{\pi^*\varrho}(Z') = S_{\pi^*\varrho}$ . Let  $\Sigma \subseteq S_{g^*\varrho}$  be a proper closed subvariety. Let  $\Sigma' := \sigma_g(\Sigma)$ . Since  $\{T_\varrho\}^{\dim \Sigma'} \cdot \Sigma' > 0$  by our assumption, by Lemma 1.25  $\{T_{g^*\varrho}\}^{\dim \Sigma} \cdot \Sigma > 0$ . By Remark 4.16, it follows that the generic rank  $r'$  of  $V'$  is equal to  $\dim S_{g^*\varrho} - 1 = \dim S_{\pi^*\varrho} - 1$ . This contradicts with the fact that  $r' < r = \dim S_{\pi^*\varrho} - 1$ . The claim is proved.  $\square$

By the above claim,  $s_{\pi^*\varrho}(Z')$  is a proper subvariety of  $S_{\pi^*\varrho}$ . Therefore, we have  $\{T_\varrho\}^{\dim S_{g^*\varrho}} \cdot S_{g^*\varrho} > 0$ . Hence for each irreducible component  $Z'$  of  $(\eta = 0)$ ,  $\text{Im} [V \rightarrow H^0(Z, \Omega_Z^1)]$  is perfect by Remark 4.16 once again. We can apply Theorem 4.12 to conclude that for the holomorphic map  $\tilde{g}_V : Y_V \rightarrow V^*$  defined as (4.1),  $(Y_V, \tilde{g}_V^{-1}(t))$  is 1-connected for any  $t \in V^*$ . For the covering  $Y_V \rightarrow Y$ , we know that  $\text{Im}[\pi_1(Y_V) \rightarrow \pi_1(Y)]$  contains the derived subgroup  $\mathcal{D}\pi_1(Y)$  of  $\pi_1(Y)$ . Then  $\pi^*\varrho_i(\text{Im}[\pi_1(Y_V) \rightarrow \pi_1(Y)])$  contains  $\pi^*\varrho_i(\mathcal{D}\pi_1(Y))$ . On the other hand, since  $(Y_V, \tilde{g}_V^{-1}(t))$  is 1-connected for any  $t \in V^*$ , it follows that  $\pi^*\varrho_i(\text{Im}[\pi_1(\tilde{g}_V^{-1}(t)) \rightarrow \pi_1(Y)])$  contains  $\pi^*\varrho_i(\mathcal{D}\pi_1(Y))$ . Note that  $V$  consists of all the spectral forms of  $\pi^*\varrho_i$  for all  $i$ , hence each  $\pi^*\varrho_i$ -equivariant harmonic mapping  $u_i$  vanishes over each connected component  $p^{-1}(\tilde{g}_V^{-1}(t))$  where  $p : \tilde{Y} \rightarrow Y$  is the universal covering. Then  $\pi^*\varrho_i(\text{Im}[\pi_1(\tilde{g}_V^{-1}(t)) \rightarrow \pi_1(Y)])$  fixes a point  $P$  in the Bruhat-Tits building, which implies that it is bounded. Therefore,  $\pi^*\varrho_i(\mathcal{D}\pi_1(Y))$  is also bounded. Note that the image of  $\pi_1(Y) \rightarrow \pi_1(X)$  is a finite index subgroup of  $\pi_1(X)$ . Hence  $\varrho_i(\mathcal{D}\pi_1(X))$  is also bounded for each  $\varrho_i$ . The theorem then follows from Lemma 4.8.  $\square$

**4.4. A factorization theorem.** — As an application of Theorem 4.17, we will prove the following factorization theorem which partially generalizes previous theorem by Corlette-Simpson [CS08]. This result is also a warm-up for the proof of Theorem 4.22.

**Theorem 4.19.** — *Let  $X$  be a projective normal variety and let  $G$  be an almost simple algebraic group defined over non-archimedean local field  $K$  of characteristic zero. Assume that  $\varrho : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow G(K)$  is an unbounded, Zariski dense representation such that for any closed subvariety  $Z$  of  $X$ , the Zariski closure of  $\varrho(\text{Im}[\pi_1(Z_{\text{norm}}) \rightarrow \pi_1(X)])$  is a semisimple algebraic group (including the finite group). Then after replacing  $X$  by a finite étale cover and a birational modification, there exists a fibration  $g : X \rightarrow Y$ , a representation  $\tau : \pi_1(Y) \rightarrow G(K)$  such that  $g^*\tau = \varrho$ , and  $\dim Y \leq \text{rank}_K G$ .*

*Proof.* — By [CDY22, Proposition 2.5], after replacing  $X$  by a finite étale cover and a birational modification, there exists a fibration  $g : X \rightarrow Y$  over a smooth projective variety  $Y$ , and a big representation  $\tau : \pi_1(Y) \rightarrow G(K)$  such that we have  $g^*\tau = \varrho$ . Since  $\varrho(\pi_1(X))$  is Zariski dense, it follows that the Zariski closure  $G'$  of  $\tau(\pi_1(Y))$  contains the identity component of  $G$ , which is also almost simple. We replace  $G'$  by  $G$  and thus  $\tau$  is Zariski dense. Let  $s_\tau : Y \rightarrow S_\tau$  be the Katzarkov-Eyssidieux reduction of  $\tau$ .

**Claim 4.20.** — *The  $(1, 1)$ -class  $\{T_\tau\}$  on  $S_\tau$  is Kähler, where  $T_\tau$  is the canonical current on  $S_\tau$  associated to  $\tau$ .*

*Proof.* — By Theorem 1.13, it is equivalent to prove that for any closed subvariety  $\Sigma \subset S_\tau$ ,  $\int_\Sigma \{T_\tau\}^{\dim \Sigma} > 0$ . We will prove it by induction on  $\dim \Sigma$ .

**Induction.** Assume that for every closed subvariety  $\Sigma \subset S_\tau$  of dimension  $\leq r-1$ ,  $\{T_\tau\}^{\dim \Sigma} \cdot \Sigma > 0$ .

Let  $\Sigma$  be any closed subvariety of  $S_\tau$  with  $\dim \Sigma = r$ . Let  $Z$  be a desingularization of any irreducible component in  $s_\tau^{-1}(\Sigma)$  which is surjective over  $\Sigma$ . Denote by  $f : Z \rightarrow Y$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Z & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \\ \downarrow s_{f^*\tau} & & \downarrow s_\tau \\ S_{f^*\tau} & \xrightarrow{\sigma_f} & S_\tau \end{array}$$

By Lemma 1.25,  $\sigma_f$  is a finite morphism whose image is  $\Sigma$  and  $T_{f^*\tau} = \sigma_f^* T_\tau$ .

We first prove the induction for  $\dim \Sigma = 1$ . In this case  $\dim S_{f^*\tau} = 1$ . Since the spectral forms associated to  $f^*\tau$  are not constant, it follows that  $T_{f^*\tau}$  is big. By Lemma 1.25,  $\{T_\tau|_\Sigma\}$  is big. Therefore, we prove the induction when  $\dim \Sigma = 1$ .

Assume now the induction holds for closed subvariety  $\Sigma \subset S_\tau$  with  $\dim \Sigma \leq r-1$ . Let us treat the case  $\dim \Sigma = r$ . By Lemma 1.25 and the induction, we know that for any closed proper positive dimensional subvariety  $\Xi \subset S_{f^*\tau}$ , we have  $\{T_{f^*\tau}\}^{\dim \Xi} \cdot \Xi > 0$ . Note that the conditions in Theorem 4.17 for  $f^*\tau$  is fulfilled. Therefore, there are two possibilities:

- either  $\{T_{f^*\tau}\}^r \cdot S_{f^*\tau} > 0$ ;
- or the reduction map  $s_{f^*\tau} : Z \rightarrow S_{f^*\tau}$  coincides with  $s_\nu : Z \rightarrow S_\nu$ , where  $\nu : \pi_1(Z) \rightarrow (H/\mathcal{D}H)(K)$  is the composition of  $\tau$  with the group homomorphism  $H \rightarrow H/\mathcal{D}H$ . Here  $H$  is the Zariski closure of  $f^*\tau$ .

If the first case happens, by Lemma 1.25 again we have  $\int_\Sigma \{T_\tau\}^{\dim \Sigma} > 0$ . we finish the proof of the induction for  $\Sigma \subset S_\tau$  with  $\dim \Sigma = r$ . Assume that the second situation occurs. Since  $H$  is assumed to be semisimple, it follows that  $H/\mathcal{D}H$  finite. Therefore,  $\nu$  is bounded and thus  $S_{f^*\tau}$  is a point. This contradicts with the fact that  $\dim S_{f^*\tau} = \dim \Sigma = r > 0$ . Therefore, the second situation cannot occur. We finish the proof of the induction. The claim is proved.  $\square$

This claim in particular implies that

- ( $\diamond$ ) the *generic rank*  $r$  of the multivalued holomorphic 1-forms on  $Y$  induced by the differential of harmonic mappings of  $\tau$  is equal to  $\dim S_\tau$ .

Since  $G$  is almost simple, by [CDY22, Theorem 6.1] we know that the Katzarkov-Eyssidieux reduction map  $s_\tau : Y \rightarrow S_\tau$  is birational. Therefore  $r = \dim Y$ . On the other hand, we note that  $r$  is less than or equal to the dimension of the Bruhat-Tits building  $\Delta(G)_K$ , which is equal to  $\text{rank}_K G$ . It follows that  $\dim Y \leq \text{rank}_K G$ . The theorem is proved.  $\square$

**Remark 4.21.** — The authors do not know the proof of ( $\diamond$ ) which does not go through the stronger conclusion Claim 4.20. In [Zuo96, p. 148], Zuo claimed ( $\diamond$ ) for much weaker assumption for  $\varrho$  without providing a proof. Using our terminology, it can be stated as follows:

- ( $\spadesuit$ ) let  $X, G$  and  $K$  be as in Theorem 4.19. If  $\varrho : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow G(K)$  is a Zariski dense, unbounded, and big representation, then the generic rank of the multivalued form equals to  $\dim X$ .

Zuo's claim is essential in his proof of the result that such  $X$  is of general type. While we cannot provide a counter-example to Claim ( $\spadesuit$ ), we believe his statement is too strong. Indeed, as we will see in next subsection, we have to apply Theorem 4.5 to add sufficiently many new unbounded representations of  $\pi_1(X)$  into non-archimedean local fields such that the collection of all the induced multivalued forms have the rank equal to  $\dim X$ .

A new proof, along with a much more general statement on the hyperbolicity of  $X$ , can be found in [CDY22, Theorem I]. We emphasize that in [CDY22] we avoid the use of Claim ( $\spadesuit$ ), and use a completely different method.

**4.5. Constructing Kähler classes via representations into non-archimedean fields.** — Let  $X$  be a smooth projective variety. In this subsection we will prove a more general theorem than Theorem 4.5.

**Theorem 4.22.** — *Let  $\mathfrak{C}$  be absolutely constructible subset of  $M_B(X, N)(\mathbb{C})$ . Then there is a family of representations  $\tau := \{\tau_i : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_N(K_i)\}_{i=1, \dots, M}$  where  $K_i$  are non-archimedean local fields such that*

- *For each  $i = 1, \dots, M$ ,  $[\tau_i] \in \mathfrak{C}(K_i)$ ;*
- *The reduction map  $s_\tau : X \rightarrow S_\tau$  of  $\tau$  coincides with  $s_{\mathfrak{C}} : X \rightarrow S_{\mathfrak{C}}$  defined in Definition 3.1.*
- *For the canonical current  $T_\tau$  defined over  $S_{\mathfrak{C}}$ ,  $\{T_\tau\}$  is a Kähler class.*

To prove this theorem, we need several preparations. Let  $\tau : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_N(K)$  be a reductive representation, where  $K$  is a non-archimedean local field of characteristic zero. Then we get the spectral covering  $\pi : X^{\mathrm{sp}} \rightarrow X$ , the spectral one forms  $\eta_1, \dots, \eta_l \in H^0(X^{\mathrm{sp}}, \pi^* \Omega_X^1)$ , the reduction map  $s_\tau : X \rightarrow S_\tau$  and the canonical current  $T_\tau$  on  $S_\tau$  (cf. Definition 1.23).

We define a Zariski closed set  $W_\tau \subset TX$  as follows. Each spectral one form  $\eta_i \in H^0(X^{\mathrm{sp}}, \pi^* \Omega_X^1)$  determines a section of  $H^0(\pi^* TX, \mathcal{O}_{\pi^* TX})$ , where  $\pi^* TX = TX \times_X X^{\mathrm{sp}}$ . Hence we get the zero locus  $\{\eta_i = 0\} \subset \pi^* TX$ . We set  $W'_\tau = \bigcap_{i=1}^l \{\eta_i = 0\}$ . Then  $W'_\tau \subset \pi^* TX$  is Zariski closed. We set  $W_\tau = p(W'_\tau)$ . Since the natural map  $p : \pi^* TX \rightarrow TX$  is finite,  $W_\tau$  is Zariski closed. Note that the set  $\{\eta_1, \dots, \eta_l\}$  is invariant under the action of the Galois group  $\mathrm{Gal}(X^{\mathrm{sp}}/X)$ . Hence we have

$$(4.2) \quad p^{-1}(W_\tau) = W'_\tau.$$

Let  $f : Z \rightarrow X$  be a morphism from another smooth projective variety  $Z$ . Then we get  $W_{f^* \tau} \subset TZ$  from  $f^* \tau : \pi_1(Z) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_N(K)$ .

**Lemma 4.23.** — *Under the map  $f_* : TZ \rightarrow TX$ , we have  $W_{f^* \tau} = (f_*)^{-1} W_\tau$ .*

*Proof.* — We have a natural map  $Z^{\mathrm{sp}} \rightarrow X^{\mathrm{sp}}$  induced from  $f : Z \rightarrow X$ . This induces the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi_Z^* TZ & \xrightarrow{g} & \pi^* TX \\ \downarrow p_Z & & \downarrow p \\ TZ & \xrightarrow{f_*} & TX \end{array}$$

Let  $\{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k\} \subset H^0(\pi_Z^* TZ, \mathcal{O}_{\pi_Z^* TZ})$  be the set of spectral one forms defined by  $f^* \tau : \pi_1(Z) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_N(K)$ . Then we have  $\{\eta_1 \circ g, \dots, \eta_l \circ g\} = \{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k\}$  as sets. Hence  $g^{-1} W'_\tau = W'_{f^* \tau}$ . By (4.2), we have  $p_Z^{-1}(f_*)^{-1} W_\tau = W'_{f^* \tau}$ . Since  $\pi_Z : Z^{\mathrm{sp}} \rightarrow Z$  is surjective,  $p_Z$  is also surjective. Hence  $W_{f^* \tau} = p_Z(W'_{f^* \tau}) = (f_*)^{-1} W_\tau$ .  $\square$

Let  $\mathfrak{C}$  be an absolutely constructible subset of  $M_B(X, N)(\mathbb{C})$ . Let  $\tau = \{\tau_1, \dots, \tau_k\}$  be a set of reductive representations over non-archimedean local fields of characteristic zero such that  $[\tau_i] \in \mathfrak{C}$ . Then we get  $s_\tau : X \rightarrow S_\tau$  and  $T_\tau$  (cf. Definition 1.24). We define  $W_\tau \subset TX$  by  $W_\tau = \bigcap_{i=1}^k W_{\tau_i}$ .

**Corollary 4.24.** — *Let  $f : Z \rightarrow X$  be a morphism of smooth projective varieties. Then we have  $(f_*)^{-1}(W_\tau) = W_{f^* \tau}$  under the map  $f_* : TZ \rightarrow TX$ .*

*Proof.* — This follows directly from Lemma 4.23.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.25.** — *Assume that  $\dim S_\tau = \dim X$ . Then  $\{T_\tau\}^{\dim S_\tau} \cdot S_\tau > 0$  if and only if  $W_\tau \cap T_x X = \{0\}$  for generic points  $x \in X$ .*

*Proof.* — Let  $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$  be a Galois cover dominating all spectral covers induced by  $\tau_1, \dots, \tau_l$ . Let  $V \subset H^0(Y, \Omega_Y)$  be the set of all spectral forms. We have  $\{T_\tau\}^{\dim S_\tau} \cdot S_\tau > 0$  if and only if

$$\mathrm{Im}[\Lambda^{\dim Y} V \rightarrow H^0(Y, \Omega_Y^{\dim Y})] \neq 0.$$

This is true if and only if for generic smooth points  $y \in Y$ , the induced map  $V \rightarrow (T_y Y)^*$  is surjective, which is equivalent to  $W_\tau \cap T_x X = \{0\}$  for generic points  $x \in X$ .  $\square$

We say  $\tau$  is *full with respect to  $\mathfrak{C}$*  if the following holds:

- $s_\tau : X \rightarrow S_\tau$  coincides with  $s_{\mathfrak{C}} : X \rightarrow S_{\mathfrak{C}}$ ,
- $W_\tau$  is *minimal*, i.e., for every reductive representation  $\sigma$  such that  $[\sigma] \in \mathfrak{C}$ , we have  $W_\tau \subset W_\sigma$ .



**Lemma 4.26.** — Assume that  $s_\tau : X \rightarrow S_\tau$  coincides with  $s_{\mathfrak{C}} : X \rightarrow S_{\mathfrak{C}}$  and that  $\{T_\tau\}$  is a Kähler class. Then for every  $\tau'$  which is full with respect to  $\mathfrak{C}$ ,  $\{T_{\tau'}\}$  is a Kähler class.

*Proof.* — Let  $\Sigma \subset S_{\mathfrak{C}}$  be a closed subvariety. We shall show  $\{T_{\tau'}\}^{\dim \Sigma} \cdot \Sigma > 0$ . Let  $\Sigma' \subset X$  be a closed subvariety such that  $\dim \Sigma' = \dim \Sigma$  and  $s_\tau(\Sigma') = \Sigma$ . Let  $Z \rightarrow \Sigma'$  be a desingularization and let  $f : Z \rightarrow X$  be the induced map. We consider  $s_{f^*\tau} : Z \rightarrow S_{f^*\tau}$ . Since  $\{T_\tau\}$  is a Kähler class, we have  $\{T_{f^*\tau}\}^{\dim S_{f^*\tau}} \cdot S_{f^*\tau} > 0$  (cf. Lemma 1.25). By Lemma 4.25, we have  $W_{f^*\tau} \cap T_x Z = \{0\}$  for generic points  $x \in Z$ .

Since  $\tau'$  is full, we have  $W_{\tau'} \subset W_\tau$ . Hence by Corollary 4.24, we have  $W_{f^*\tau'} \subset W_{f^*\tau}$ . Hence we have  $W_{f^*\tau'} \cap T_x Z = \{0\}$  for generic points  $x \in Z$ . Hence by Lemma 4.25, we have  $\{T_{f^*\tau'}\}^{\dim S_{f^*\tau'}} \cdot S_{f^*\tau'} > 0$ . Thus by Lemma 1.25, we have  $\{T_{\tau'}\}^{\dim \Sigma} \cdot \Sigma > 0$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 4.27.** — Let  $\tau = \{\tau_1, \dots, \tau_k\}$  be full with respect to  $\mathfrak{C}$ . Suppose  $\{T_\tau\}^{\dim \Sigma} \cdot \Sigma > 0$  for every proper closed subvariety  $\Sigma \subsetneq S_\tau$ . Then  $\{T_\tau\}$  is a Kähler class.

*Proof.* — We will apply Theorem 4.17. We have two possibilities. Assume that the first case occurs. In this case, we have  $\{T_\tau\}^{\dim S_\tau} \cdot S_\tau > 0$  as desired and by Theorem 1.13,  $\{T_\tau\}$  is Kähler. Therefore, in the following, we assume that the second case occurs. In this case, we apply Theorem 4.5.

Let us denote by  $H_i$  the Zariski closure of  $\tau_i(\pi_1(X))$  for each  $i = 1, \dots, k$ , which is a reductive algebraic group over  $L_i$ . By [uh], there is some number field  $k_i$  and some non-archimedean place  $v_i$  of  $k_i$  such that  $L_i = (k_i)_{v_i}$  and  $H_i$  is defined over  $k_i$ . Denote  $T_i := H_i/\mathcal{D}H_i$ , which is a tori. Replacing  $k_i$  by a finite extension, we may assume that  $T_i$  is defined over  $k_i$ . Then by Theorem 4.17,  $s_{\tau_i} : X \rightarrow S_{\tau_i}$  coincides with  $s_{\sigma_i} : X \rightarrow S_{\sigma_i}$ , where  $\sigma_i : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow T_i(L_i)$  is the composition of  $\tau_i : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow H_i(L_i)$  with the group homomorphism  $H_i \rightarrow T_i$ . Consider the morphisms of affine  $k_i$ -schemes of finite type

$$(4.3) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & M_B(X, N) & \\ & \uparrow & \\ M_B(X, T_i) & \longleftarrow & M_B(X, H_i) \end{array}$$

Then by Theorem 1.20,  $\mathfrak{C} \subset M_B(X, N)(\mathbb{C})$  is transferred via the diagram (4.3) to some absolutely constructible subset  $\mathfrak{C}_i$  of  $M_B(X, T_i)$ . Consider the reduction map  $s_{\mathfrak{C}_i} : X \rightarrow S_{\mathfrak{C}_i}$  defined in Definition 3.1. Let  $f : X \rightarrow S$  be the Stein factorisation of  $s_{\mathfrak{C}_1} \times \dots \times s_{\mathfrak{C}_k} : X \rightarrow S_{\mathfrak{C}_1} \times \dots \times S_{\mathfrak{C}_k}$ .

**Claim 4.28.** — The reduction map  $s_\tau : X \rightarrow S_\tau$  coincides with  $f : X \rightarrow S$ .

*Proof.* — Note that the reduction map  $s_{\sigma_i} : X \rightarrow S_{\sigma_i}$  coincides with  $s_{\tau_i} : X \rightarrow S_{\tau_i}$ . By (4.3) and the definition of  $\mathfrak{C}_i$ ,  $[\sigma_i] \in \mathfrak{C}_i(L_i)$ . Therefore,  $s_{\sigma_i}$  factors through  $s_{\mathfrak{C}_i}$ . Hence  $s_{\tau_i} : X \rightarrow S_{\tau_i}$  factors through  $X \rightarrow S$ . Thus  $s_\tau$  factors through  $X \xrightarrow{f} S \xrightarrow{g} S_\tau$ . By the construction of  $\mathfrak{C}_i$ , the map  $s_{\mathfrak{C}_i} : X \rightarrow S_{\mathfrak{C}_i}$  factors through  $s_{\mathfrak{C}} : X \rightarrow S_{\mathfrak{C}} \rightarrow S_{\mathfrak{C}_i}$ . By  $S_{\mathfrak{C}} = S_\tau$ , we have  $X \rightarrow S_\tau \rightarrow S$ .  $\square$

Since  $T_i$  are all algebraic tori defined over number fields  $k_i$ , we apply Theorem 4.5 to conclude that there exists a family of reductive representations  $\varrho_i := \{\varrho_{ij} : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow T_i(K_{ij})\}_{j=1, \dots, n_i}$  with  $K_{ij}$  non-archimedean local field such that

- (1) For each  $i = 1, \dots, k; j = 1, \dots, n_i$ ,  $[\varrho_{ij}] \in \mathfrak{C}_i(K_{ij})$ ;
- (2) The reduction map  $s_{\varrho_i} : X \rightarrow S_{\varrho_i}$  of  $\varrho_i$  coincides with  $s_{\mathfrak{C}_i} : X \rightarrow S_{\mathfrak{C}_i}$ ;
- (3) for the canonical current  $T_{\varrho_i}$  over  $S_{\mathfrak{C}_i}$  associated with  $\varrho_i$ ,  $\{T_{\varrho_i}\}$  is a Kähler class.

By the definition of  $\mathfrak{C}_i$ , there exist a finite extension  $F_{ij}$  of  $K_{ij}$  and reductive representations  $\{\delta_{ij} : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_N(F_{ij})\}_{j=1, \dots, n_i}$  such that

- (a) For each  $i = 1, \dots, k; j = 1, \dots, n_i$ ,  $[\delta_{ij}] \in \mathfrak{C}(F_{ij})$ ;
- (b) the Zariski closure of  $\delta_{ij} : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_N(F_{ij})$  is contained in  $H_i$ ;
- (c)  $[\eta_{ij}] = [\varrho_{ij}] \in M_B(X, T_i)(F_{ij})$ , where  $\eta_{ij} : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow T_i(F_{ij})$  is the composition of  $\delta_{ij} : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow H_i(F_{ij})$  with the group homomorphism  $H_i \rightarrow T_i$ .

Therefore,  $\eta_{ij}$  is conjugate to  $\varrho_{ij}$  and thus their reduction map coincides. We have the factorization  $X \rightarrow S_{\delta_{ij}} \xrightarrow{q_{ij}} S_{\eta_{ij}}$ . By Definition 1.24, one can see that

$$(4.4) \quad q_{ij}^* T_{\varrho_{ij}} = q_{ij}^* T_{\eta_{ij}} \leq T_{\delta_{ij}}.$$

Consider the family of representations  $\delta := \{\delta_{ij} : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_N(F_{ij})\}_{i=1, \dots, k; j=1, \dots, n_i}$ . Let  $e_i : S \rightarrow S_{\mathfrak{C}_i} = S_{\varrho_i}$  be the natural map. Note that  $e_1 \times \cdots \times e_k : S \rightarrow S_{\mathfrak{C}_1} \times \cdots \times S_{\mathfrak{C}_k}$  is finite. By Items (2) and (3),  $\{\sum_{i=1}^k e_i^* T_{\varrho_i}\}$  is Kähler on  $S = S_{\mathfrak{C}}$ . By (4.4), we conclude that  $\{T_\delta\}$  is Kähler on  $S_{\mathfrak{C}}$ . According to Lemma 4.26, it implies that  $\{T_\tau\}$  is Kähler on  $S_{\mathfrak{C}}$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 4.29.** — *Let  $f : Z \rightarrow X$  be a morphism of smooth projective varieties. Let  $j : M_{\mathbb{B}}(X, N) \rightarrow M_{\mathbb{B}}(Z, N)$  be the induced map. If  $\tau$  is full with respect to  $\mathfrak{C}$ , then  $f^* \tau$  is full with respect to  $j(\mathfrak{C})$ .*

*Proof.* — Note that  $s_{j(\mathfrak{C})} : Z \rightarrow S_{j(\mathfrak{C})}$  is the Stein factorization of the composite of  $Z \rightarrow X$  and  $X \rightarrow S_{\mathfrak{C}}$ . Similarly,  $s_{f^* \tau} : Z \rightarrow S_{f^* \tau}$  is the Stein factorization of the composite of  $Z \rightarrow X$  and  $X \rightarrow S_\tau$ . Hence  $s_{f^* \tau} : Z \rightarrow S_{f^* \tau}$  coincides with  $s_{j(\mathfrak{C})} : Z \rightarrow S_{j(\mathfrak{C})}$ .

Let  $\rho : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_N(\mathbb{C})$  be a reductive representation such that  $[\rho] \in \mathfrak{C}$ . Then  $W_\tau \subset W_\rho$ . We have  $(f_*)^{-1}(W_\rho) = W_{f^* \rho}$  (cf. Lemma 4.23) and  $(f_*)^{-1}(W_\tau) = W_{f^* \tau}$  (cf. Corollary 4.24) under the map  $f_* : TZ \rightarrow TX$ . This shows that  $W_{f^* \tau}$  is minimal.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.30.** — *Suppose  $\tau$  is full with respect to  $\mathfrak{C}$ . Then  $\{T_\tau\}$  is a Kähler class.*

*Proof.* — Let  $\Sigma \subset S_\tau$  be a closed subvariety. It suffices to show  $\{T_\tau\}^{\dim \Sigma} \cdot \Sigma > 0$  by Theorem 1.13. We prove this by Noetherian induction. Hence we assume that  $\{T_\tau\}^{\dim \Sigma'} \cdot \Sigma' > 0$  for every proper closed subvarieties  $\Sigma' \subsetneq \Sigma$ .

We take a subvariety  $Z \subset X$  such that  $\dim Z = \dim \Sigma$  and  $s_\tau(Z) = \Sigma$ . Let  $Z' \rightarrow Z$  be a desingularization. Let  $f : Z' \rightarrow X$  be the induced map. Then by Lemma 4.29,  $f^* \tau$  is full with respect to  $j(\mathfrak{C})$ , where  $j : M_{\mathbb{B}}(X, N) \rightarrow M_{\mathbb{B}}(Z, N)$  is the induced map. Note that  $j(\mathfrak{C})$  is an absolutely constructible subset of  $M_{\mathbb{B}}(Z', N)(\mathbb{C})$ . By our hypothesis of Noetherian induction and Lemma 1.25,  $f^* \tau$  satisfies the assumption of Theorem 4.17; i.e., for every proper closed subvariety  $\Sigma' \subsetneq S_{f^* \tau}$ , we have  $\{T_{f^* \tau}\}^{\dim \Sigma'} \cdot \Sigma' > 0$ . Thus by Lemma 4.27,  $\{T_{f^* \tau}\}$  is a Kähler class. Hence  $\{T_\tau\}^{\dim \Sigma} \cdot \Sigma > 0$  as desired (cf. Lemma 1.25). This completes the induction step.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 4.22.* — By Definition 3.1 and Lemma 1.28, there are non-archimedean local fields  $L_1, \dots, L_\ell$  of characteristic zero and reductive representations  $\tau_i : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_N(L_i)$  such that  $[\tau_i] \in \mathfrak{C}(L_i)$  and  $s_{\mathfrak{C}} : X \rightarrow S_{\mathfrak{C}}$  is the Stein factorization of  $(s_{\tau_1}, \dots, s_{\tau_\ell}) : X \rightarrow S_{\tau_1} \times \cdots \times S_{\tau_\ell}$ . Write  $\tau := \{\tau_i\}_{i=1, \dots, \ell}$ . By the Noetherian property, we may assume that  $W_\tau$  is minimal. Hence  $\tau$  is full. By Lemma 4.30,  $\{T_\tau\}$  is a Kähler class.  $\square$

**4.6. Holomorphic convexity of Galois coverings.** — In this subsection we will prove Theorem C. We shall use the notations and results proven in § 3.4 and Theorem 3.29 without recalling the details.

**Theorem 4.31.** — *Let  $X$  be a smooth projective variety. Let  $\mathfrak{C}$  be an absolutely constructible subset of  $M_{\mathbb{B}}(X, N)(\mathbb{C})$  defined in Definition 1.17. Assume that  $\mathfrak{C}$  is defined on  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Let  $\pi : \tilde{X}_{\mathfrak{C}} \rightarrow X$  be the covering corresponding to the group  $\cap_{\varrho} \ker \varrho \subset \pi_1(X)$  where  $\varrho : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_N(\mathbb{C})$  ranges over all reductive representations such that  $[\varrho] \in \mathfrak{C}(\mathbb{C})$ . Then  $\tilde{X}_{\mathfrak{C}}$  is holomorphically convex. In particular, if  $\pi_1(X)$  is a subgroup of  $\mathrm{GL}_N(\mathbb{C})$  whose Zariski closure is reductive, then  $\tilde{X}_{\mathfrak{C}}$  is holomorphically convex.*

*Proof.* — Let  $H := \cap_{\varrho} \ker \varrho \cap \sigma$ , where  $\sigma$  is the  $\mathbb{C}$ -VHS defined in Proposition 3.13 and  $\varrho : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_N(\mathbb{C})$  ranges over all reductive representation such that  $[\varrho] \in \mathfrak{C}$ . Denote by  $\tilde{X}_H := \tilde{X}/H$ . Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be the period domain associated to the  $\mathbb{C}$ -VHS  $\sigma$  defined in Proposition 3.13 and let  $p : \tilde{X}_H \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  be the period mapping. By (3.5),  $H = \cap_{\varrho} \ker \varrho$ , where  $\varrho : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_N(\mathbb{C})$  ranges over all reductive representation such that  $[\varrho] \in \mathfrak{C}$ . Therefore,  $\tilde{X}_{\mathfrak{C}} = \tilde{X}_H$ .

Consider the product

$$\Psi = s_{\mathfrak{C}} \circ \pi_H \times p : \tilde{X}_H \rightarrow S_{\mathfrak{C}} \times \mathcal{D}$$

where  $p : \tilde{X}_H \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  is the period mapping of  $\sigma$ . Recall that  $\Psi$  factors through a proper surjective fibration  $\text{sh}_H : \tilde{X}_H \rightarrow \tilde{S}_H$ . Moreover, there is a properly discontinuous action of  $\pi_1(X)/H$  on  $\tilde{S}_H$  such that  $\text{sh}_H$  is equivariant with respect to this action. Write  $g : \tilde{S}_H \rightarrow S_{\mathcal{G}} \times \mathcal{D}$  to be the induced holomorphic map. Denote by  $\phi : \tilde{S}_H \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  the composition of  $g$  and the projection map  $S_{\mathcal{G}} \times \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ . Since the period mapping  $p$  is horizontal, and  $\text{sh}_H$  is surjective, it follows that  $\phi$  is also horizontal.

Recall that in Claim 3.38 we prove that there is a finite index normal subgroup  $N$  of  $\pi_1(X)/H$  and a homomorphism  $\nu : N \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\tilde{S}_H)$  such that  $\text{sh}_H : \tilde{X}_H \rightarrow \tilde{S}_H$  is  $\nu$ -equivariant and  $\nu(N)$  acts on  $\tilde{S}_H$  properly discontinuous and without fixed point. Let  $Y := \tilde{X}_H/N$ . Moreover,  $c : Y \rightarrow X$  is a finite Galois étale cover and  $N$  gives rise to a proper surjective fibration  $Y \rightarrow \tilde{S}_H/\nu(N)$  between compact normal complex spaces. Write  $W := \tilde{S}_H/\nu(N)$ . Then  $\tilde{S}_H \rightarrow W$  is a topological Galois unramified covering. Recall that the canonical bundle  $K_{\mathcal{D}}$  on the period domain  $\mathcal{D}$  can be endowed with a  $G_0$ -invariant smooth metric  $h_{\mathcal{D}}$  whose curvature is strictly positive-definite in the horizontal direction. As  $\phi : \tilde{S}_H \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  is  $\nu(N)$ -equivariant, it follows that  $\phi^*K_{\mathcal{D}}$  descends to a line bundle on the quotient  $W := \tilde{S}_H/\nu(N)$ , denoted by  $L_G$ . The smooth metric  $h_{\mathcal{D}}$  induces a smooth metric on  $L_G$  whose curvature form is denoted by  $T$ . Let  $x \in W$  be a smooth point of  $W$  and let  $v \in T_{\tilde{S}_H, x}$ . Then  $|v|_{\omega}^2 > 0$  if  $d\phi(v) \neq 0$ .

We fix a reference point  $x_0$  on  $\tilde{S}_H$ . Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be the symmetric space associated with  $\mathcal{D}$  endowed with the natural metric  $d_{\mathcal{S}}$ . Then there exists natural quotient map  $\mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ . It induces a  $\nu(N)$ -equivariant pluri-harmonic mapping  $u : \tilde{S}_H \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ . Define  $\phi_0 := 2d_{\mathcal{S}}^2(u(x), u(x_0))$ . By [Eys04, Proposition 3.3.2], we have

$$(4.5) \quad \text{dd}^c \phi_0 \geq \omega = q^*T,$$

where  $q : \tilde{S}_H \rightarrow \tilde{S}_H/\nu(N)$  denotes the quotient map.

We now apply Theorem 4.22 to find a family of representations  $\tau := \{\tau_i : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \text{GL}_N(K_i)\}_{i=1, \dots, m}$  where  $K_i$  are non-archimedean local fields such that

- For each  $i = 1, \dots, m$ ,  $[\tau_i] \in \mathfrak{C}(K_i)$ ;
- The reduction map  $s_{\tau} : X \rightarrow S_{\tau}$  of  $\tau$  coincides with  $s_{\mathcal{G}}$ .
- For the canonical current  $T_{\tau}$  defined over  $S_{\mathcal{G}}$ ,  $\{T_{\tau}\}$  is a Kähler class.

Consider

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 \tilde{X}_H & \longrightarrow & Y & \xrightarrow{c} & X & \xrightarrow{s_{\tau_i}} & S_{\tau_i} \\
 \downarrow \text{sh}_H & & \downarrow & & \downarrow s_{\mathcal{G}} & \searrow s_{\tau} & \\
 \tilde{S}_H & \xrightarrow{q} & W & \xrightarrow{f} & S_{\mathcal{G}} & \xlongequal{\quad} & S_{\tau} & \xrightarrow{e_i} & S_{\tau_i} \\
 & \searrow r & & & & & & & \nearrow r_i
 \end{array}$$

Note that  $p$  is a finite surjective morphism.

We fix a reference point  $x_0$  on  $\tilde{S}_H$ . For each  $i = 1, \dots, m$ , let  $u_i : \tilde{X}_H \rightarrow \Delta(\text{GL}_N)_{K_i}$  be the  $\tau_i$ -equivariant harmonic mapping from  $\tilde{X}_H$  to the Bruhat-Tits building of  $\text{GL}_N(K_i)$  whose existence was ensured by a theorem of Gromov-Schoen [GS92]. Then the function  $\tilde{\phi}_i(x) := 2d_i^2(u_i(x), u_i(x_0))$  defined over  $\tilde{X}_H$  is locally Lipschitz, where  $d_i : \Delta(\text{GL}_N)_{K_i} \times \Delta(\text{GL}_N)_{K_i} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$  is the distance function on the Bruhat-Tits building. By Proposition 1.26, it induces a continuous psh functions  $\{\phi_i : \tilde{S}_H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}\}_{i=1, \dots, m}$  such that  $\text{dd}^c \phi_i \geq r_i^*T_{\tau_i}$  for each  $i$ . By the definition of  $T_{\tau}$ , we have

$$(4.6) \quad \text{dd}^c \sum_{i=1}^m \phi_i \geq r^*T_{\tau}.$$

Therefore, putting (4.5) and (4.6) together we obtain

$$(4.7) \quad \text{dd}^c \sum_{i=0}^m \phi_i \geq q^*(f^*T_{\tau} + T).$$

As  $f$  is a finite surjective morphism,  $\{f^*T_{\tau}\}$  is also Kähler by Theorem 1.13.

By Claim 3.39, we know that  $g : \widetilde{S}_H \rightarrow S_{\mathfrak{C}} \times \mathcal{D}$  has discrete fibers. Since  $T$  is induced by the curvature form of  $(K_{\mathcal{D}}, h_{\mathcal{D}})$ , and  $\phi : \widetilde{S}_H \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  is horizontal, we can prove that for every irreducible positive dimensional closed subvariety  $Z$  of  $W$ ,  $f^*T_{\tau} + T$  is strictly positive at general smooth points of  $Z$ . Therefore,

$$\{f^*T_{\tau} + T\}^{\dim Z} \cdot Z = \int_Z (f^*T_{\tau} + T)^{\dim Z} > 0.$$

Recall that  $W$  is projective by the proof of Claim 3.40. We utilize Theorem 1.13 to conclude that  $\{f^*T_{\tau} + T\}$  is Kähler.

Given that  $\widetilde{S}_H \rightarrow W$  represents a topological Galois unramified cover, we can apply Proposition 1.14 in conjunction with (4.7) to deduce that  $\widetilde{S}_H$  is a Stein manifold. Furthermore, since  $\widetilde{X}_H \rightarrow \widetilde{S}_H$  is a proper surjective holomorphic fibration, the holomorphic convexity of  $\widetilde{X}_H$  follows from the Cartan-Remmert theorem. Ultimately, the theorem is established by noting that  $\widetilde{X}_H = \widetilde{X}_{\mathfrak{C}}$ .  $\square$

**4.7. Steiness of infinite Galois coverings.** — We shall use the notations in the proof of Theorem 4.31 without recalling their definitions.

**Theorem 4.32.** — *Let  $X$  be a smooth projective variety. Consider an absolutely constructible subset  $\mathfrak{C}$  of  $M_{\mathbb{B}}(X, \mathrm{GL}_N(\mathbb{C}))$  as defined in Definition 1.17. We further assume that  $\mathfrak{C}$  is defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . If  $\mathfrak{C}$  is considered to be large, meaning that for any closed subvariety  $Z$  of  $X$ , there exists a reductive representation  $\varrho : \pi_1(Z) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_N(\mathbb{C})$  such that  $[\varrho] \in \mathfrak{C}$  and  $\varrho(\mathrm{Im}[\pi_1(Z^{\mathrm{norm}}) \rightarrow \pi_1(X)])$  is infinite, then all intermediate coverings between  $\widetilde{X}$  and  $\widetilde{X}_{\mathfrak{C}}$  of  $X$  are Stein manifolds.*

*Proof.* — Note that  $\mathrm{sh}_H : \widetilde{X}_H \rightarrow \widetilde{S}_H$  is a proper holomorphic surjective fibration.

**Claim 4.33.** —  *$\mathrm{sh}_H$  is biholomorphic.*

*Proof.* — Assume that there exists a positive-dimensional compact subvariety  $Z$  of  $\widetilde{X}_H$  which is contained in some fiber of  $\mathrm{sh}_H$ . Consider  $W := \pi_H(Z)$  which is a compact positive-dimensional irreducible subvariety of  $X$ . Therefore,  $\mathrm{Im}[\pi_1(Z^{\mathrm{norm}}) \rightarrow \pi_1(W^{\mathrm{norm}})]$  is a finite index subgroup of  $\pi_1(W^{\mathrm{norm}})$ . By the definition of  $\widetilde{X}_H$ , for any reductive  $\varrho : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_N(\mathbb{C})$  with  $[\varrho] \in \mathfrak{C}(\mathbb{C})$ , we have  $\varrho(\mathrm{Im}[\pi_1(Z^{\mathrm{norm}}) \rightarrow \pi_1(X)]) = \{1\}$ . Therefore,  $\varrho(\mathrm{Im}[\pi_1(W^{\mathrm{norm}}) \rightarrow \pi_1(X)]) = \{1\}$  is finite. This contradicts with our assumption that  $\mathfrak{C}$  is large. Hence,  $\mathrm{sh}_H$  is a one-to-one proper holomorphic map of complex normal spaces. Consequently, it is biholomorphic.  $\square$

By the proof of Theorem 4.31, there exist

- a topological Galois unramified covering  $q : \widetilde{X}_H = \widetilde{S}_H \rightarrow W$ , where  $W$  is a projective normal variety;
- a positive  $(1, 1)$ -current with continuous potential  $f^*T_{\tau} + T$  over  $W$  such that  $\{f^*T_{\tau} + T\}$  is Kähler;
- a continuous semi-positive plurisubharmonic function  $\sum_{i=0}^m \phi_i$  on  $\widetilde{S}_H$  such that we have

$$(4.8) \quad \mathrm{dd}^c \sum_{i=0}^m \phi_i \geq q^*(f^*T_{\tau} + T).$$

Let  $p : \widetilde{X}' \rightarrow \widetilde{X}_H$  be the intermediate Galois covering of  $X$  between  $\widetilde{X} \rightarrow \widetilde{X}_H$ . By (4.5) we have

$$(4.9) \quad \mathrm{dd}^c \sum_{i=0}^m p^* \phi_i \geq (q \circ p)^*(f^*T_{\tau} + T).$$

We apply Proposition 1.14 to conclude that  $\widetilde{X}'$  is Stein.  $\square$

## Appendix A. Shafarevich conjecture for projective normal varieties

Ya Deng, Ludmil Katzarkov <sup>(a)</sup> & Katsutoshi Yamanoi

a. E-mail: [lkatzarkov@miami.edu](mailto:lkatzarkov@miami.edu), University of Miami, Coral Gables, FL, USA; Institute of Mathematics and Informatics, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Sofia, Bulgaria; NRU HSE, Moscow, Russia

In this appendix, we aim to extend Theorems 4.31 and 4.32 to include singular normal varieties, and thus completing the proofs of Theorems C and D.

**A.1. Absolutely constructible subset (II).** — Let  $X$  be a projective normal variety. Following the recent work of Lerer [Ler22], we can also define absolutely constructible subsets in the character variety  $M_B(X, N) := M_B(\pi_1(X), \mathrm{GL}_N)$ .

**Definition A.1.** — Let  $X$  be a normal projective variety,  $\mu : Y \rightarrow X$  be a resolution of singularities, and  $\iota : M_B(X, N) \hookrightarrow M_B(Y, N)$  be the embedding. A subset  $\mathfrak{C} \subset M_B(X, N)(\mathbb{C})$  is called *absolutely constructible* if  $\iota(\mathfrak{C})$  is an absolutely constructible subset of  $M_B(Y, N)$  in the sense of Definition 1.17.

Note that the above definition does not depend on the choice of the resolution of singularities (cf. [Ler22, Lemma 2.7]). Moreover, we have the following result.

**Proposition A.2** ([Ler22, Proposition 2.8]). — *Let  $X$  be a normal projective variety. Then  $M_B(X, N)$  is absolutely constructible in the sense of Definition A.1.*

This result holds significant importance, as it provides a fundamental example of absolutely constructible subsets for projective normal varieties. It is worth noting that in [Ler22, Proposition 2.8], it is explicitly stated that  $\iota(M_B(X, N))$  is  $U(1)$ -invariant, with  $\iota$  defined in Definition A.1. However, it should be emphasized that the proof can be easily adapted to show  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -invariance, similar to the approach used in the proof of Proposition 3.44.

**A.2. Reductive Shafarevich conjecture for projective normal varieties.** —

**Theorem A.3.** — *Let  $Y$  be a projective normal variety. Let  $\mathfrak{C}$  be an absolutely constructible subset of  $M_B(Y, N)(\mathbb{C})$ , defined on  $\mathbb{Q}$  (e.g.  $\mathfrak{C} = M_B(Y, N)$ ). Consider the covering  $\pi : \tilde{Y}_{\mathfrak{C}} \rightarrow Y$  corresponding to the subgroup  $\cap_{\varrho} \ker \varrho$  of  $\pi_1(Y)$ , where  $\varrho : \pi_1(Y) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_N(\mathbb{C})$  ranges over all reductive representations such that  $[\varrho] \in \mathfrak{C}$ . Then the complex space  $\tilde{Y}_{\mathfrak{C}}$  is holomorphically convex. In particular,*

- *The covering corresponding to the intersection of the kernels of all reductive representations of  $\pi_1(Y)$  in  $\mathrm{GL}_N(\mathbb{C})$  is holomorphically convex;*
- *if  $\pi_1(Y)$  is a subgroup of  $\mathrm{GL}_N(\mathbb{C})$  whose Zariski closure is reductive, then the universal covering of  $Y$  is holomorphically convex.*

*Proof.* — Let  $\mu : X \rightarrow Y$  be any desingularization. Let  $j : M_B(Y, N) \hookrightarrow M_B(X, N)$  the closed immersion induced by  $\mu$ , which is a morphism of affine  $\mathbb{Q}$ -schemes of finite type. Then by Definition A.1,  $j(\mathfrak{C})$  is an absolutely constructible in the sense of Definition 1.17. Since  $\mathfrak{C}$  is defined on  $\mathbb{Q}$ , so is  $j(\mathfrak{C})$ . We shall use the notations in Theorem 3.29. Let  $\tilde{X}_H$  be the covering associated with the subgroup  $H := \cap_{\varrho} \ker \varrho$  of  $\pi_1(X)$  where  $\varrho : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_N(\mathbb{C})$  ranges over all reductive representations such that  $[\varrho] \in j(\mathfrak{C})(\mathbb{C})$ . In other words,  $H := \cap_{\tau} \ker \mu^* \tau$  where  $\tau : \pi_1(Y) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_N(\mathbb{C})$  ranges over all reductive representations such that  $[\tau] \in \mathfrak{C}(\mathbb{C})$ . Denote by  $H_0 := \cap_{\tau} \ker \tau$  where  $\tau : \pi_1(Y) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_N(\mathbb{C})$  ranges over all reductive representations such that  $[\tau] \in \mathfrak{C}(\mathbb{C})$ . Therefore,  $H = (\mu_*)^{-1}(H_0)$ , where  $\mu_* : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \pi_1(Y)$  is a surjective homeomorphism as  $Y$  is normal. Therefore, the natural homeomorphism  $\pi_1(X)/H \rightarrow \pi_1(Y)/H_0$  is an isomorphism. Then  $\tilde{X}_{\mathfrak{C}} = \tilde{X}/H$  and  $\tilde{Y}_H := \tilde{Y}/H_0$  where  $\tilde{X}$  (resp.  $\tilde{Y}$ ) is the universal covering of  $X$  (resp.  $Y$ ). It induces a lift  $p : \tilde{X}_H \rightarrow \tilde{Y}_{\mathfrak{C}}$  such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{X}_H & \xrightarrow{\pi_H} & X \\ \downarrow p & & \downarrow \mu \\ \tilde{Y}_{\mathfrak{C}} & \xrightarrow{\pi} & Y \end{array}$$

**Claim A.4.** —  *$p : \tilde{X}_H \rightarrow \tilde{Y}_{\mathfrak{C}}$  is a proper surjective holomorphic fibration with connected fibers.*

*Proof.* — Note that  $\text{Aut}(\tilde{X}_H/X) = \pi_1(X)/H \simeq \pi_1(Y)/H_0 = \text{Aut}(\tilde{Y}_{\mathfrak{C}}/Y)$ . Therefore,  $\tilde{X}_H$  is the base change  $\tilde{Y}_{\mathfrak{C}} \times_Y X$ . Note that each fiber of  $\mu$  is connected as  $Y$  is normal. It follows that each fiber of  $p$  is connected. The claim is proved.  $\square$

By Theorem 3.29, we know that there exist a proper surjective holomorphic fibration  $\text{sh}_H : \tilde{X}_H \rightarrow \tilde{S}_H$  such that  $\tilde{S}_H$  is a Stein space. Therefore, for each connected compact subvariety  $Z \subset \tilde{X}_H$ ,  $\text{sh}_H(Z)$  is a point. By Claim A.4, it follows that each fiber of  $p$  is compact and connected, and thus is contracted by  $\text{sh}_H$ . Therefore,  $\text{sh}_H$  factors through a proper surjective fibration  $f : \tilde{Y}_{\mathfrak{C}} \rightarrow \tilde{S}_H$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{X}_H & & \\ \downarrow p & \searrow \text{sh}_H & \\ \tilde{Y}_{\mathfrak{C}} & \xrightarrow{f} & \tilde{S}_H \end{array}$$

Therefore,  $f$  is a proper surjective holomorphic fibration over a Stein space. By the Cartan-Remmert theorem,  $\tilde{Y}_{\mathfrak{C}}$  is holomorphically convex.

If we define  $\mathfrak{C}$  as  $M_{\mathbb{B}}(Y, N)$ , then according to Proposition A.2,  $\mathfrak{C}$  is also absolutely constructible. As a result, the last two claims can be deduced. Thus, the theorem is proven.  $\square$

**Theorem A.5.** — *Let  $Y$  be a projective normal variety. Let  $\mathfrak{C}$  be an absolutely constructible subset of  $M_{\mathbb{B}}(Y, N)(\mathbb{C})$ , defined on  $\mathbb{Q}$  (e.g.  $\mathfrak{C} = M_{\mathbb{B}}(Y, N)$ ). Let  $\mathfrak{C}(\mathbb{C})$  be large in the sense that for any closed positive dimensional subvariety  $Z$  of  $Y$ , there exists a reductive representation  $\varrho : \pi_1(Y) \rightarrow \text{GL}_N(\mathbb{C})$  such that  $[\varrho] \in \mathfrak{C}(\mathbb{C})$  and  $\varrho(\text{Im}[\pi_1(Z^{\text{norm}}) \rightarrow \pi_1(Y)])$  is infinite. Then all intermediate Galois coverings of  $Y$  between  $\tilde{Y}$  and  $\tilde{Y}_{\mathfrak{C}}$  are Stein spaces. Here  $\tilde{Y}$  denotes the universal covering of  $Y$ .*

*Proof.* — Let  $\mu : X \rightarrow Y$  be any desingularization. In the following, we will use the same notations as in the proof of Theorem A.3 without explicitly recalling their definitions. Recall that we have constructed three proper surjective holomorphic fibrations  $p$ ,  $f$ , and  $\text{sh}_H$  satisfying the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & \tilde{X}_H & \xrightarrow{\pi_H} & X \\ & \swarrow \text{sh}_H & \downarrow p & & \downarrow \mu \\ \tilde{S}_H & \xleftarrow{f} & \tilde{Y}_{\mathfrak{C}} & \xrightarrow{\pi} & Y \end{array}$$

**Claim A.6.** —  *$f : \tilde{Y}_{\mathfrak{C}} \rightarrow \tilde{S}_H$  is a biholomorphism.*

The proof follows a similar argument to that of Claim 4.33. For the sake of completeness, we will provide it here.

*Proof of Claim A.6.* — As each fibers of  $f$  is compact and connected, it suffices to prove that there are no compact positive dimensional subvarieties  $Z$  of  $\tilde{Y}_{\mathfrak{C}}$  such that  $f(Z)$  is a point. Let us assume, for the sake of contradiction, that such a  $Z$  exists. Consider  $W := \pi(Z)$  which is a compact positive-dimensional irreducible subvariety of  $Y$ . Therefore,  $\text{Im}[\pi_1(Z^{\text{norm}}) \rightarrow \pi_1(W^{\text{norm}})]$  is a finite index subgroup of  $\pi_1(W^{\text{norm}})$ . By the definition of  $\tilde{Y}_{\mathfrak{C}}$ , for any reductive  $\varrho : \pi_1(Y) \rightarrow \text{GL}_N(\mathbb{C})$  with  $[\varrho] \in \mathfrak{C}(\mathbb{C})$ , we have  $\varrho(\text{Im}[\pi_1(Z^{\text{norm}}) \rightarrow \pi_1(X)]) = \{1\}$ . Therefore,  $\varrho(\text{Im}[\pi_1(W^{\text{norm}}) \rightarrow \pi_1(Y)])$  is finite. This contradicts with our assumption that  $\mathfrak{C}$  is large. Hence,  $f$  is a one-to-one proper holomorphic map of complex normal spaces. Consequently, it is biholomorphic.  $\square$

The rest of the proof is same as in Theorem 4.32. By the proof of Theorem 4.31, there exist

- a topological Galois unramified covering  $q : \tilde{S}_H \rightarrow W$ , where  $W$  is a projective normal variety;
- a positive closed  $(1, 1)$ -current with continuous potential  $T_0$  over  $W$  such that  $\{T_0\}$  is Kähler;
- a continuous semi-positive plurisubharmonic function  $\phi$  on  $\tilde{S}_H$  such that we have

$$(A.1) \quad \text{dd}^c \phi \geq q^* T_0.$$

By Claim A.6,  $\widetilde{Y}_{\mathbb{C}}$  can be identified with  $\widetilde{S}_H$ . Let  $p : \widetilde{Y}' \rightarrow \widetilde{Y}_{\mathbb{C}}$  be the intermediate Galois covering of  $Y$  between  $\widetilde{Y} \rightarrow \widetilde{Y}_{\mathbb{C}}$ . By (A.1) we have

$$(A.2) \quad \mathrm{dd}^c p^* \phi \geq (q \circ p)^* T_0.$$

We apply Proposition 1.14 to conclude that  $\widetilde{Y}'$  is Stein.  $\square$

### References

- [AC23] R. Aguilar Aguilar & F. Campana. “The nilpotent quotients of normal quasi-projective varieties with proper quasi-Albanese map”. *arXiv e-prints*, (2023):arXiv:2301.11232. 2301.11232, URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2301.11232>.  $\uparrow$  3
- [BBT23] B. Bakker, Y. Brunenbarbe & J. Tsimerman. “o-minimal GAGA and a conjecture of Griffiths”. *Invent. Math.*, **232(2023)(1)**:163–228. URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00222-022-01166-1>.  $\uparrow$  4
- [BDDM22] D. Brotbek, G. Daskalopoulos, Y. Deng & C. Mese. “Pluriharmonic maps into buildings and symmetric differentials”. *arXiv e-prints*, (2022):arXiv:2206.11835. 2206.11835, URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2206.11835>.  $\uparrow$  12, 18
- [Bru23] Y. Brunenbarbe. “Existence of the Shafarevich morphism for semisimple local systems on quasi-projective varieties”. *arXiv e-prints*, (2023):arXiv:2305.09741. 2305.09741, URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2305.09741>.  $\uparrow$  5, 35, 36
- [Cam94] F. Campana. “Remarks on the universal covering of compact Kähler manifolds”. *Bull. Soc. Math. Fr.*, **122(1994)(2)**:255–284. URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.24033/bsmf.2232>.  $\uparrow$  2
- [Car60] H. Cartan. “Quotients of complex analytic spaces”. *Contrib. Function Theory, Int. Colloqu. Bombay, Jan. 1960, 1-15 (1960)*. (1960).  $\uparrow$  13, 33, 38
- [CCE15] F. Campana, B. Claudon & P. Eyssidieux. “Linear representations of Kähler groups: factorizations and linear Shafarevich conjecture”. *Compos. Math.*, **151(2015)(2)**:351–376. URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1112/S0010437X14007751>.  $\uparrow$  3
- [CDY22] B. Cadorel, Y. Deng & K. Yamanoi. “Hyperbolicity and fundamental groups of complex quasi-projective varieties”. *arXiv e-prints*, (2022):arXiv:2212.12225. 2212.12225, URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2212.12225>.  $\uparrow$  5, 11, 12, 38, 42, 50, 54, 55
- [CMP17] J. Carlson, S. Müller-Stach & C. Peters. *Period mappings and period domains*, vol. 168. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press (2017). URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/9781316995846>.  $\uparrow$  30
- [CS08] K. Corlette & C. Simpson. “On the classification of rank-two representations of quasiprojective fundamental groups”. *Compos. Math.*, **144(2008)(5)**:1271–1331. URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1112/S0010437X08003618>.  $\uparrow$  54
- [Dem85] J.-P. Demailly. “Mésures de Monge-Ampère et caractérisation géométrique des variétés algébriques affines”. *Mém. Soc. Math. Fr., Nouv. Sér.*, **19(1985)**:124. URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.24033/msmf.320>.  $\uparrow$  7, 8
- [DHP22] O. Das, C. Hacon & M. Păun. “On the 4-dimensional minimal model program for Kähler varieties”. *arXiv e-prints*, (2022):arXiv:2205.12205. 2205.12205, URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2205.12205>.  $\uparrow$  9
- [DP04] J.-P. Demailly & M. Paun. “Numerical characterization of the Kähler cone of a compact Kähler manifold”. *Ann. Math. (2)*, **159(2004)(3)**:1247–1274. URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.4007/annals.2004.159.1247>.  $\uparrow$  9
- [DW24] Y. Deng & B. Wang. “Linear Singer-Hopf conjecture”. *arXiv e-prints*, (2024):arXiv:2405.12012. 2405.12012, URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2405.12012>.  $\uparrow$  5
- [DY24] Y. Deng & K. Yamanoi. “Linear Shafarevich Conjecture in positive characteristic, Hyperbolicity and Applications”. *arXiv e-prints*, (2024):arXiv:2403.16199. 2403.16199, URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2403.16199>.  $\uparrow$  5
- [EKPR12] P. Eyssidieux, L. Katzarkov, T. Pantev & M. Ramachandran. “Linear Shafarevich conjecture”. *Ann. Math. (2)*, **176(2012)(3)**:1545–1581. URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.4007/annals.2012.176.3.4>.  $\uparrow$  3, 4

- [Eys04] P. Eyssidieux. “Sur la convexité holomorphe des revêtements linéaires réductifs d’une variété projective algébrique complexe”. *Invent. Math.*, **156(2004)(3)**:503–564. URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00222-003-0345-0>. ↑ 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 9, 10, 11, 12, 25, 35, 47, 51, 59
- [Fer70] A. Ferrari. “Cohomology and holomorphic differential forms on complex analytic spaces”. *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (3)*, **24(1970)**:65–77. ↑ 8
- [GGK22] M. Green, P. Griffiths & L. Katzarkov. “Shafarevich mappings and period mappings”. *arXiv e-prints*, (2022):arXiv:2209.14088. [2209.14088](https://arxiv.org/abs/2209.14088). ↑ 3
- [GR84] H. Grauert & R. Remmert. *Coherent analytic sheaves*, vol. 265 of *Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1984). URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-69582-7>. ↑ 43, 46
- [Gri70] P. A. Griffiths. “Periods of integrals on algebraic manifolds. III. Some global differential-geometric properties of the period mapping”. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, (1970)(38):125–180. URL [http://www.numdam.org/item?id=PMIHES\\_1970\\_\\_38\\_\\_125\\_0](http://www.numdam.org/item?id=PMIHES_1970__38__125_0). ↑ 34
- [GS85] R. V. Gurjar & A. R. Shastri. “Covering spaces of an elliptic surface”. *Compositio Math.*, **54(1985)(1)**:95–104. URL [http://www.numdam.org/item?id=CM\\_1985\\_\\_54\\_1\\_95\\_0](http://www.numdam.org/item?id=CM_1985__54_1_95_0). ↑ 2
- [GS92] M. Gromov & R. Schoen. “Harmonic maps into singular spaces and  $p$ -adic superrigidity for lattices in groups of rank one”. *Publ. Math., Inst. Hautes Étud. Sci.*, **76(1992)**:165–246. URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF02699433>. ↑ 2, 59
- [Hof09] K. R. Hofmann. “Triangulation of locally semi-algebraic spaces.” [https://deepblue.lib.umich.edu/bitstream/handle/2027.42/63851/krhofman\\_1.pdf?sequence=1](https://deepblue.lib.umich.edu/bitstream/handle/2027.42/63851/krhofman_1.pdf?sequence=1) (2009). ↑ 32
- [IS07] J. N. N. Iyer & C. T. Simpson. “A relation between the parabolic Chern characters of the de Rham bundles”. *Math. Ann.*, **338(2007)(2)**:347–383. URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00208-006-0078-7>. ↑ 13, 14
- [IS08] J. N. Iyer & C. T. Simpson. “The Chern character of a parabolic bundle, and a parabolic corollary of Reznikov’s theorem”. “Geometry and dynamics of groups and spaces. In memory of Alexander Reznikov. Partly based on the international conference on geometry and dynamics of groups and spaces in memory of Alexander Reznikov, Bonn, Germany, September 22–29, 2006”, 439–485. Basel: Birkhäuser (2008):. ↑ 13, 14
- [Kat97] L. Katzarkov. “On the Shafarevich maps”. “Algebraic geometry. Proceedings of the Summer Research Institute, Santa Cruz, CA, USA, July 9–29, 1995”, 173–216. Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society (1997):. ↑ 2, 11
- [Kaw81] Y. Kawamata. “Characterization of abelian varieties”. *Compos. Math.*, **43(1981)**:253–276. ↑ 38
- [Kem78] G. R. Kempf. “Instability in invariant theory”. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, **108(1978)(2)**:299–316. URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/1971168>. ↑ 23
- [Kol90] J. Kollár. “Projectivity of complete moduli”. *J. Differential Geom.*, **32(1990)(1)**:235–268. URL <http://projecteuclid.org/euclid.jdg/1214445046>. ↑ 35
- [Kol93] J. Kollár. “Shafarevich maps and plurigenera of algebraic varieties”. *Invent. Math.*, **113(1993)(1)**:177–215. URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF01244307>. ↑ 2, 41, 44
- [KP23] T. Kaletha & G. Prasad. *Bruhat-Tits theory. A new approach (to appear)*, vol. 44 of *New Math. Monogr.* Cambridge: Cambridge University Press (2023). ↑ 20
- [KR98] L. Katzarkov & M. Ramachandran. “On the universal coverings of algebraic surfaces”. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)*, **31(1998)(4)**:525–535. URL [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0012-9593\(98\)80105-5](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0012-9593(98)80105-5). ↑ 2
- [Ler22] L. A. Lerer. “Cohomology jump loci and absolute sets for singular varieties”. *Adv. Math.*, **399(2022)**:Paper No. 108269, 29. URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.aim.2022.108269>. ↑ 61
- [LM85] A. Lubotzky & A. R. Magid. “Varieties of representations of finitely generated groups”. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **58(1985)(336)**:xi+117. URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1090/memo/0336>. ↑ 21
- [Moc06] T. Mochizuki. “Kobayashi-Hitchin correspondence for tame harmonic bundles and an application”. *Astérisque*, (2006)(309):viii+117. ↑ 13, 14, 17, 18, 19, 35, 36



- [Moc07a] ———. “Asymptotic behaviour of tame harmonic bundles and an application to pure twistor  $D$ -modules. I”. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **185(2007)(869)**:xii+324. URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1090/memo/0869>. ↑ 6, 7, 13, 14, 15, 16
- [Moc07b] ———. “Asymptotic behaviour of tame harmonic bundles and an application to pure twistor  $D$ -modules. II”. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **185(2007)(870)**:xii+565. URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1090/memo/0870>. ↑ 7
- [Mok92] N. Mok. “Factorization of semisimple discrete representations of Kähler groups”. *Invent. Math.*, **110(1992)(3)**:557–614. URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF01231345>. ↑ 2
- [Muk03] S. Mukai. *An introduction to invariants and moduli*, vol. 81 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, japanese ed. (2003). ↑ 23, 28
- [Nap90] T. Napier. “Convexity properties of coverings of smooth projective varieties”. *Math. Ann.*, **286(1990)(1-3)**:433–479. URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF01453583>. ↑ 2
- [Sha77] I. R. Shafarevich. *Basic algebraic geometry*. Springer Study Edition. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York (1977). Translated from the Russian by K. A. Hirsch, Revised printing of Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, Vol. 213, 1974. ↑ 2
- [Sim90] C. T. Simpson. “Harmonic bundles on noncompact curves”. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **3(1990)(3)**:713–770. URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/1990935>. ↑ 14
- [Sim91] ———. “The ubiquity of variations of Hodge structure”. “Complex geometry and Lie theory (Sundance, UT, 1989)”, vol. 53 of *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.*, 329–348. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI (1991):URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1090/pspum/053/1141208>. ↑ 18
- [Sim92] ———. “Higgs bundles and local systems”. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, **(1992)(75)**:5–95. URL [http://www.numdam.org/item?id=PMIHES\\_1992\\_\\_75\\_\\_5\\_0](http://www.numdam.org/item?id=PMIHES_1992__75__5_0). ↑ 2, 13, 24, 51
- [Sim93] ———. “Subspaces of moduli spaces of rank one local systems”. *Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4)*, **26(1993)(3)**:361–401. URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.24033/asens.1675>. ↑ 4, 5, 9, 10
- [Sim94a] ———. “Moduli of representations of the fundamental group of a smooth projective variety. I”. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, **(1994)(79)**:47–129. URL [http://www.numdam.org/item?id=PMIHES\\_1994\\_\\_79\\_\\_47\\_0](http://www.numdam.org/item?id=PMIHES_1994__79__47_0). ↑ 4
- [Sim94b] ———. “Moduli of representations of the fundamental group of a smooth projective variety. II”. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, **(1994)(80)**:5–79 (1995). URL [http://www.numdam.org/item?id=PMIHES\\_1994\\_\\_80\\_\\_5\\_0](http://www.numdam.org/item?id=PMIHES_1994__80__5_0). ↑ 4
- [Som78] A. J. Sommese. “On the rationality of the period mapping”. *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4)*, **5(1978)(4)**:683–717. URL [http://www.numdam.org/item?id=ASNAP\\_1978\\_4\\_5\\_4\\_683\\_0](http://www.numdam.org/item?id=ASNAP_1978_4_5_4_683_0). ↑ 4, 44
- [uh] user74230 (<https://mathoverflow.net/users/61939/user74230>). “Is every connected reductive group over a local field already defined over a global field?” MathOverflow. URL:<https://mathoverflow.net/q/199084> (version: 2015-03-04), <https://mathoverflow.net/q/199084>, URL <https://mathoverflow.net/q/199084>. ↑ 57
- [WB20] B. Wang & N. Budur. “Absolute sets and the decomposition theorem”. *Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4)*, **53(2020)(2)**:469–536. URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.24033/asens.2426>. ↑ 10
- [Yam10] K. Yamanoi. “On fundamental groups of algebraic varieties and value distribution theory”. *Ann. Inst. Fourier*, **60(2010)(2)**:551–563. URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.5802/aif.2532>. ↑ 22
- [Zim84] R. J. Zimmer. *Ergodic theory and semisimple groups*, vol. 81 of *Monographs in Mathematics*. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel (1984). URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4684-9488-4>. ↑ 24
- [Zuo96] K. Zuo. “Kodaira dimension and Chern hyperbolicity of the Shafarevich maps for representations of  $\pi_1$  of compact Kähler manifolds”. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, **472(1996)**:139–156. URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1515/crll.1996.472.139>. ↑ 55

---

Thursday 30<sup>th</sup> May, 2024

YA DENG • *E-mail* : ya.deng@math.cnrs.fr, CNRS, Institut Élie Cartan de Lorraine, Université de Lorraine, F-54000 Nancy, France. • *Url* : <https://ydeng.perso.math.cnrs.fr>

KATSUTOSHI YAMANOI • *E-mail* : yamanoi@math.sci.osaka-u.ac.jp, Department of Mathematics, Graduate School of Science, Osaka University, Toyonaka, Osaka 560-0043, Japan  
*Url* : <https://sites.google.com/site/yamanoimath/>