

Geometry of a weak para- f -structure

Vladimir Rovenski*

Abstract

We study the geometry of the weak almost para- f -structure and its satellites. This allows us to produce totally geodesic foliations and Killing vector fields and also to take a fresh look at the para- f -structure introduced by A. Bucki and A. Miernowski. We demonstrate this by generalizing several known results on almost para- f -manifolds. First, we express the covariant derivative of f using a new tensor on a metric weak para- f -structure, then we prove that on a weak para- \mathcal{K} -manifold the characteristic vector fields are Killing and $\ker f$ defines a totally geodesic foliation. Next, we show that a para- \mathcal{S} -structure is rigid (i.e., a weak para- \mathcal{S} -structure is a para- \mathcal{S} -structure), and that a metric weak para- f -structure with parallel tensor f reduces to a weak para- \mathcal{C} -structure. We obtain corollaries for $p = 1$, i.e., for a weak almost paracontact structure.

Keywords: para- f -structure; distribution; totally geodesic foliation; Killing vector field

Mathematics Subject Classifications (2010) 53C15, 53C25, 53D15

Introduction

A distribution (or a foliation, associated with integrable distribution) on a pseudo-Riemannian manifold is *totally geodesic* if any geodesic of a manifold that is tangent to the distribution at one point is tangent to it at all points. Such foliations have the simplest extrinsic geometry of the leaves and appear in Riemannian geometry, e.g., in the theory of \mathfrak{g} -foliations, as kernels of degenerate tensors, e.g., [1, 6]. We are motivated by the problem of finding structures on manifolds, which lead to totally geodesic foliations and Killing vector fields, see [5]. A well-known source of totally geodesic foliations is a para- f -structure on a smooth manifold M^{2n+p} , defined using (1,1)-tensor field f satisfying $f^3 = f$ and having constant rank $2n$, see [3, 9]. The paracontact geometry (a counterpart to the contact geometry) is a higher dimensional analog of almost product ($p = 0$) [7], and almost paracontact ($p = 1$) structures [4]. A para- f -structure with $p = 2$ arises in the study of hypersurfaces in almost contact manifolds, e.g., [2]. Interest in para-Sasakian manifolds is due to their connection with para-Kähler manifolds and their role in mathematical physics. If there exists a set of vector fields ξ_1, \dots, ξ_p with certain properties, then M^{2n+p} is said to have a para- f -structure with complemented frames. In this case, the tangent bundle TM splits into three complementary subbundles: ± 1 -eigen-distributions for f composing a $2n$ -dimensional distribution $f(TM)$ and a p -dimensional distribution $\ker f$ (the kernel of f).

In [11], we introduced the “weak” metric structures that generalize an f -structure and a para- f -structure, and allow us to take a fresh look at the classical theory. In [10], we studied geometry of a weak f -structure and its satellites that are analogs of \mathcal{K} - \mathcal{S} - and \mathcal{C} - manifolds. In this paper, using a similar approach, we study geometry of a weak para- f -structure and its important cases related to a pseudo-Riemannian manifold endowed with a totally geodesic foliation. A natural question arises: how rich are weak para- f -structures compared to the classical ones? We study this question for weak analogs of para- \mathcal{K} -, para- \mathcal{S} - and para- \mathcal{C} - structures. The proofs of main results use the properties of new tensors, as well as the constructions required in the classical case. The theory presented here can be used to deepen our knowledge of pseudo-Riemannian geometry of manifolds equipped with distributions.

*Department of Mathematics, University of Haifa, Israel
e-mail: vrovenski@univ.haifa.ac.il

This article consists of an introduction and five sections. In Section 1, we discuss the properties of “weak” metric structures generalizing some classes of para- f -manifolds. In Section 2 we express the covariant derivative of f of a weak para- f -structure using a new tensor and show that on a weak para- \mathcal{K} -manifold the characteristic vector fields are Killing and $\ker f$ defines a totally geodesic foliation. Also, for a weak almost para- \mathcal{C} -structure and a weak almost para- \mathcal{S} -structure, $\ker f$ defines a totally geodesic foliation. In Section 3, we apply to weak almost para- \mathcal{S} -manifolds the tensor h and prove stability of some known results. In Section 4 we complete the result in [11] and prove the rigidity theorem that a weak para- \mathcal{S} -structure is a para- \mathcal{S} -structure. In Section 5, we show that a weak para- f -structure with parallel tensor f reduces to a weak para- \mathcal{C} -structure, we also give an example of such a structure.

1 Preliminaries

Here, we describe “weak” metric structures generalizing certain classes of para- f -manifolds and discuss their properties. A *weak para- f -structure* on a smooth manifold M^{2n+p} is defined by a $(1, 1)$ -tensor field f of rank $2n$ and a nonsingular $(1, 1)$ -tensor field Q satisfying, see [11],

$$f^3 - fQ = 0, \quad Q\xi = \xi \quad (\xi \in \ker f). \quad (1)$$

If $\ker f = \{X \in TM : f(X) = 0\}$ is parallelizable, then we fix vector fields ξ_i ($1 \leq i \leq p$), which span $\ker f$, and their dual one-forms η^i . We get a *weak almost para- f -structure* (a weak almost paracontact structure for $p = 1$), see [11],

$$f^2 = Q - \sum_i \eta^i \otimes \xi_i, \quad \eta^i(\xi_j) = \delta_j^i. \quad (2)$$

Using (2) we get $f(TM) = \bigcap_i \ker \eta^i$ and that $f(TM)$ is f -invariant, i.e.,

$$fX \in f(TM), \quad X \in f(TM). \quad (3)$$

By (2)-(3), $f(TM)$ is invariant for Q . A weak almost f -structure is called *normal* if the following tensor (known for $Q = \text{id}_{TM}$, e.g., [6]) is identically zero:

$$N^{(1)}(X, Y) = [f, f](X, Y) - 2 \sum_i d\eta^i(X, Y) \xi_i. \quad (4)$$

The Nijenhuis torsion of f and the exterior derivative of η^i are given by

$$[f, f](X, Y) = f^2[X, Y] + [fX, fY] - f[fX, Y] - f[X, fY], \quad X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}_M, \quad (5)$$

$$d\eta^i(X, Y) = \frac{1}{2} \{X(\eta^i(Y)) - Y(\eta^i(X)) - \eta^i([X, Y])\}, \quad X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}_M. \quad (6)$$

Remark 1.1. A differential k -form on a smooth manifold M is a skew-symmetric tensor field ω of type $(0, k)$. According to the conventions of [8],

$$\begin{aligned} d\omega(X_1, \dots, X_{k+1}) &= \frac{1}{k+1} \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} (-1)^{i+1} X_i(\omega(X_1, \dots, \widehat{X}_i, \dots, X_{k+1})) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i < j} (-1)^{i+j} \omega([X_i, X_j], X_1, \dots, \widehat{X}_i, \dots, \widehat{X}_j, \dots, X_{k+1}), \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

where $X_1, \dots, X_{k+1} \in \mathfrak{X}_M$ and $\widehat{}$ denotes the operator of omission, defines a $(k+1)$ -form $d\omega$ – the *exterior differential* of ω . Thus, (7) with $k = 1$ gives (6).

If there exists a pseudo-Riemannian metric g such that

$$g(fX, fY) = -g(X, QY) + \sum_i \eta^i(X) \eta^i(Y), \quad X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}_M, \quad (8)$$

then (f, Q, ξ_i, η^i, g) is called a *metric weak para- f -structure*, $M(f, Q, \xi_i, \eta^i, g)$ is called a *metric weak para- f -manifold*, and g is called a *compatible metric*. Putting $Y = \xi_i$ in (8) and using (1), we get $g(X, \xi_i) = \eta^i(X)$, thus, $f(TM) \perp \ker f$ and $\{\xi_i\}$ is an orthonormal frame of $\ker f$.

Remark 1.2. According to [11], a weak almost para- f -structure admits a compatible pseudo-Riemannian metric if f admits a skew-symmetric representation, i.e., for any $x \in M$ there exist a neighborhood $U_x \subset M$ and a frame $\{e_k\}$ on U_x , for which f has a skew-symmetric matrix.

The following statement is well-known for the case of $Q = \text{id}_{TM}$.

Proposition 1.1. (a) For a weak almost para- f -structure the following hold:

$$f \xi_i = 0, \quad \eta^i \circ f = 0, \quad \eta^i \circ Q = \eta_i \quad (1 \leq i \leq p), \quad [Q, f] = 0.$$

(b) For a metric weak almost para- f -structure the tensor f is skew-symmetric and the tensor Q is self-adjoint, i.e.,

$$g(fX, Y) = -g(X, fY), \quad g(QX, Y) = g(X, QY). \quad (9)$$

Proof. (a) By (1) and (2), $f^2 \xi_i = 0$. Applying (1) to $f \xi_i$, we get $f \xi_i = 0$. To show $\eta^i \circ f = 0$, note that $\eta^i(f \xi_i) = \eta^i(0) = 0$, and, using (3), we get $\eta^i(fX) = 0$ for $X \in f(TM)$. Next, using (2) and $f(Q \xi_i) = f \xi_i = 0$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} f^3 X &= f(f^2 X) = f Q X - \sum_i \eta^i(X) f \xi_i = f Q X, \\ f^3 X &= f^2(fX) = Q f X - \sum_i \eta^i(fX) \xi_i = Q f X \end{aligned}$$

for any $X \in f(TM)$. This and $[Q, f] \xi_i = 0$ provide $[Q, f] = Qf - fQ = 0$.

(b) By (8), the restriction $Q|_{f(TM)}$ is self-adjoint. This and (1) provide (9b). For any $Y \in f(TM)$ there is $\tilde{Y} \in f(TM)$ such that $fY = \tilde{Y}$. From (2) and (8) with $X \in f(TM)$ and \tilde{Y} we get

$$g(fX, \tilde{Y}) = g(fX, fY) \stackrel{(8)}{=} -g(X, QY) \stackrel{(2)}{=} -g(X, f^2 Y) = -g(X, f\tilde{Y}),$$

and (9a) follows. \square

Remark 1.3. For a weak almost para- f -structure, the tangent bundle decomposes as $TM = f(TM) \oplus \ker f$, where $\ker f$ is a p -dimensional characteristic distribution; moreover, if we assume that the symmetric tensor Q is positive definite, then $f(TM)$ decomposes into the sum of two n -dimensional subbundles: $f(TM) = \mathcal{D}_+ \oplus \mathcal{D}_-$, corresponding to positive and negative eigenvalues of f , and in this case we get $TM = \mathcal{D}_+ \oplus \mathcal{D}_- \oplus \ker f$.

Define the difference tensor \tilde{Q} (vanishing on a para- f -structure) by

$$\tilde{Q} = Q - \text{id}_{TM}.$$

By the above, $\tilde{Q} \xi_i = 0$ and $[\tilde{Q}, f] = 0$.

We can rewrite (5) in terms of the Levi-Civita connection ∇ as

$$[f, f](X, Y) = (f \nabla_Y f - \nabla_{fY} f)X - (f \nabla_X f - \nabla_{fX} f)Y; \quad (10)$$

in particular, since $f \xi_i = 0$,

$$[f, f](X, \xi_i) = f(\nabla_{\xi_i} f)X + \nabla_{fX} \xi_i - f \nabla_X \xi_i, \quad X \in \mathfrak{X}_M. \quad (11)$$

The fundamental 2-form Φ on $M(f, Q, \xi_i, \eta^i, g)$ is defined by

$$\Phi(X, Y) = g(X, fY), \quad X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}_M.$$

Since $\eta^1 \wedge \dots \wedge \eta^p \wedge \Phi^n \neq 0$, a metric weak para- f -manifold is orientable.

Definition 1.1. A metric weak para- f -structure (f, Q, ξ_i, η^i, g) is called a *weak para- \mathcal{K} -structure* if it is normal and the form Φ is closed, i.e., $d\Phi = 0$. We define two subclasses of weak para- \mathcal{K} -manifolds as follows: *weak para- \mathcal{C} -manifolds* if $d\eta^i = 0$ for any i , and *weak para- \mathcal{S} -manifolds* if

$$d\eta^i = \Phi, \quad 1 \leq i \leq p. \quad (12)$$

Omitting the normality condition, we get the following: a metric weak para- f -structure is called (i) a *weak almost para- \mathcal{S} -structure* if (12) is valid; (ii) a *weak almost para- \mathcal{C} -structure* if Φ and η^i are closed forms.

For $p = 1$, weak para- \mathcal{C} - and weak para- \mathcal{S} - manifolds reduce to weak para-cosymplectic manifolds and weak para-Sasakian manifolds, respectively. Recall the formulas with the Lie derivative \mathcal{L}_Z in the Z -direction and $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}_M$:

$$(\mathcal{L}_Z f)X = [Z, fX] - f[Z, X], \quad (13)$$

$$(\mathcal{L}_Z \eta^j)X = Z(\eta^j(X)) - \eta^j([Z, X]), \quad (14)$$

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{L}_Z g)(X, Y) &= Z(g(X, Y)) - g([Z, X], Y) - g(X, [Z, Y]) \\ &= g(\nabla_X Z, Y) + g(\nabla_Y Z, X). \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

The following tensors are known in the theory of para- f -manifolds, e.g., [6]:

$$N_i^{(2)}(X, Y) = (\mathcal{L}_{fX} \eta^i)Y - (\mathcal{L}_{fY} \eta^i)X \stackrel{(6)}{=} 2d\eta^i(fX, Y) - 2d\eta^i(fY, X), \quad (16)$$

$$N_i^{(3)}(X) = (\mathcal{L}_{\xi_i} f)X \stackrel{(13)}{=} [\xi_i, fX] - f[\xi_i, X], \quad (17)$$

$$N_{ij}^{(4)}(X) = (\mathcal{L}_{\xi_i} \eta^j)X \stackrel{(14)}{=} \xi_i(\eta^j(X)) - \eta^j([\xi_i, X]) = 2d\eta^j(\xi_i, X). \quad (18)$$

For $p = 1$, the tensors (16)–(18) reduce to the following tensors on (weak) almost paracontact manifolds: $N^{(2)}(X, Y) = (\mathcal{L}_{\varphi X} \eta)Y - (\mathcal{L}_{\varphi Y} \eta)X$, $N^{(3)} = \mathcal{L}_\xi \varphi$, $N^{(4)} = \mathcal{L}_\xi \eta$.

Remark 1.4. Let $M^{2n+p}(\varphi, Q, \xi_i, \eta^i)$ be a framed weak para- f -manifold. Consider the product manifold $\bar{M} = M^{2n+p} \times \mathbb{R}^p$, where \mathbb{R}^p is a Euclidean space with a basis $\partial_1, \dots, \partial_p$, and define tensor fields \bar{f} and \bar{Q} on \bar{M} putting

$$\bar{f}(X, \sum a^i \partial_i) = (fX - \sum a^i \xi_i, \sum \eta^j(X) \partial_j), \quad \bar{Q}(X, \sum a^i \partial_i) = (QX, \sum a^i \partial_i).$$

Hence, $\bar{f}(X, 0) = (fX, 0)$, $\bar{Q}(X, 0) = (QX, 0)$ for $X \in \ker f$, $\bar{f}(\xi_i, 0) = (0, \partial_i)$, $\bar{Q}(\xi_i, 0) = (\xi_i, 0)$ and $\bar{f}(0, \partial_i) = (-\xi_i, 0)$, $\bar{Q}(0, \partial_i) = (0, \partial_i)$. Then it is easy to verify that $\bar{f}^2 = -\bar{Q}$. The tensors $N^{(i)}$ ($i = 1, 2, 3, 4$) appear when we use the integrability condition $[\bar{f}, \bar{f}] = 0$ of \bar{f} to express the normality condition of a weak almost para- f -structure.

2 The geometry of a metric weak para- f -structure

Here, we study the geometry of the characteristic distribution $\ker f$, supplement the sequence of tensors (4) and (16)–(18) with a new tensor $N^{(5)}$ and calculate the covariant derivative of f on a metric weak para- f -structure.

A distribution $\mathcal{D} \subset TM$ is *totally geodesic* if and only if its second fundamental form vanishes, i.e., $\nabla_X Y + \nabla_Y X \in \mathcal{D}$ for any vector fields $X, Y \in \mathcal{D}$ – this is the case when any geodesic of M that is tangent to \mathcal{D} at one point is tangent to \mathcal{D} at all its points. Any integrable and totally geodesic distribution determines a totally geodesic foliation. A foliation, whose orthogonal distribution is totally geodesic, is said to be a Riemannian foliation. For example, a foliation is Riemannian if it is invariant under transformations (isometries) generated by Killing vector fields. Note that $X = X^\top + X^\perp$, where X^\top is the projection of the vector $X \in TM$ onto $f(TM)$, and $X^\perp = \sum_i \eta^i(X) \xi_i$. The next statement generalizes [6, Proposition 3], i.e., $Q = \text{id}_{TM}$.

Proposition 2.1. *Let a metric weak para- f -structure be normal. Then $N_i^{(3)}$ and $N_{ij}^{(4)}$ vanish and*

$$N_i^{(2)}(X, Y) = \eta^i([\tilde{Q}X, fY]); \quad (19)$$

moreover, the characteristic distribution $\ker f$ is totally geodesic.

Proof. Assume $N^{(1)}(X, Y) = 0$ for any $X, Y \in TM$. Taking ξ_i instead of Y and using the formula of Nijenhuis tensor (5), we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= [f, f](X, \xi_i) - 2 \sum_j d\eta^j(X, \xi_i) \xi_j \\ &= f^2[X, \xi_i] - f[fX, \xi_i] - 2 \sum_j d\eta^j(X, \xi_i) \xi_j. \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

For the scalar product of (20) with ξ_j , using $f\xi_i = 0$, we get

$$d\eta^j(\xi_i, \cdot) = 0; \quad (21)$$

hence, $N_{ij}^{(4)} = 0$, see (18). Next, combining (20) and (21), we get

$$0 = [f, f](X, \xi_i) = f^2[X, \xi_i] - f[fX, \xi_i] = f(\mathcal{L}_{\xi_i} f)X.$$

Applying f and using (2) and $\eta^i \circ f = 0$, we achieve

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= f^2(\mathcal{L}_{\xi_i} f)X = Q(\mathcal{L}_{\xi_i} f)X - \sum_j \eta^j((\mathcal{L}_{\xi_i} f)X) \xi_j \\ &= Q(\mathcal{L}_{\xi_i} f)X - \sum_j \eta^j([\xi_i, fX]) \xi_j. \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

Further, (21) and (6) yield

$$0 = 2d\eta^j(fX, \xi_i) = (fX)(\eta^j(\xi_i)) - \xi_i(\eta^j(fX)) - \eta^j([fX, \xi_i]) = \eta^j([\xi_i, fX]). \quad (23)$$

Since Q is non-singular, from (22)–(23) we get $\mathcal{L}_{\xi_i} f = 0$, i.e, $N_i^{(3)} = 0$, see (17). Replacing X by fX in our assumption $N^{(1)} = 0$ and using (5) and (6), we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= g([f, f](fX, Y) - 2 \sum_j d\eta^j(fX, Y) \xi_j, \xi_i) \\ &= g([f^2X, fY], \xi_i) - (fX)(\eta^i(Y)) + \eta^i([fX, Y]), \quad 1 \leq i \leq p. \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

Using (2) and $[fY, \eta^j(X)\xi_i] = (fY)(\eta^j(X))\xi_i + \eta^j(X)[fY, \xi_i]$, we rewrite (24) as

$$0 = \eta^i([fX, Y]) - \sum \eta^j(X) \eta^i([\xi_j, fY]) + fY(\eta^i(X)) - fX(\eta^i(Y)) + \eta^i([fX, Y]).$$

Since (23) gives $\eta^i([fY, \xi_j]) = 0$, the above equation becomes

$$\eta^i([fX, Y]) + (fY)(\eta^i(X)) - (fX)(\eta^i(Y)) + \eta^i([fX, Y]) = 0. \quad (25)$$

Finally, combining (25) with (16), we get (19). Using the identity

$$\mathcal{L}_{\xi_i} = \iota_{\xi_i} d + d \iota_{\xi_i}, \quad (26)$$

from (21) and $\eta^i(\xi_j) = \delta_j^i$ we obtain $\mathcal{L}_{\xi_i} \eta^j = d(\eta^j(\xi_i)) + \iota_{\xi_i} d\eta^j = 0$. On the other hand, by (14) we have

$$(\mathcal{L}_{\xi_i} \eta^j)X = g(X, \nabla_{\xi_i} \xi_j) + g(\nabla_X \xi_i, \xi_j), \quad X \in \mathfrak{X}_M.$$

Symmetrizing this and using $\mathcal{L}_{\xi_i} \eta^j = 0$ and $g(\xi_i, \xi_j) = \delta_{ij}$ yield

$$\nabla_{\xi_i} \xi_j + \nabla_{\xi_j} \xi_i = 0, \quad (27)$$

thus, the distribution $\ker f$ is totally geodesic. \square

Recall the co-boundary formula for exterior derivative d on a 2-form Φ ,

$$d\Phi(X, Y, Z) = \frac{1}{3} \{X\Phi(Y, Z) + Y\Phi(Z, X) + Z\Phi(X, Y) - \Phi([X, Y], Z) - \Phi([Z, X], Y) - \Phi([Y, Z], X)\}. \quad (28)$$

By direct calculation we get the following:

$$(\mathcal{L}_{\xi_i} \Phi)(X, Y) = (\mathcal{L}_{\xi_i} g)(X, fY) + g(X, (\mathcal{L}_{\xi_i} f)Y). \quad (29)$$

The following result generalizes [6, Proposition 4].

Theorem 2.1. *On a weak para- \mathcal{K} -manifold the vector fields ξ_1, \dots, ξ_p are Killing and*

$$\nabla_{\xi_i} \xi_j = 0, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq p; \quad (30)$$

thus, $\ker f$ is integrable and defines a totally geodesic Riemannian foliation with flat leaves.

Proof. By Proposition 2.1, the distribution $\ker f$ is totally geodesic, see (27), and $N_i^{(3)} = \mathcal{L}_{\xi_i} f = 0$. Using $\iota_{\xi_i} \Phi = 0$ and condition $d\Phi = 0$ in the identity (26), we get $\mathcal{L}_{\xi_i} \Phi = 0$. Thus, from (29) we obtain $(\mathcal{L}_{\xi_i} g)(X, fY) = 0$. To show $\mathcal{L}_{\xi_i} g = 0$, we will examine $(\mathcal{L}_{\xi_i} g)(fX, \xi_j)$ and $(\mathcal{L}_{\xi_i} g)(\xi_k, \xi_j)$. Using $\mathcal{L}_{\xi_i} \eta^j = 0$, we get

$$(\mathcal{L}_{\xi_i} g)(fX, \xi_j) = (\mathcal{L}_{\xi_i} \eta^j) fX - g(fX, [\xi_i, \xi_j]) = -g(fX, [\xi_i, \xi_j]) = 0.$$

Using (27), we get $(\mathcal{L}_{\xi_i} g)(\xi_k, \xi_j) = -g(\xi_i, \nabla_{\xi_k} \xi_j + \nabla_{\xi_j} \xi_k) = 0$. Thus, ξ_i is a Killing vector field, i.e., $\mathcal{L}_{\xi_i} g = 0$. By $d\Phi(X, \xi_i, \xi_j) = 0$ and (28) we obtain $g([\xi_i, \xi_j], fX) = 0$, i.e., $\ker f$ is integrable. From this and (27) we get $\nabla_{\xi_k} \xi_j = 0$; thus, the sectional curvature is $K(\xi_i, \xi_j) = 0$. \square

Theorem 2.2. *For a weak almost para- \mathcal{S} -structure, we get $N_i^{(2)} = N_{ij}^{(4)} = 0$ and*

$$(N^{(1)}(X, Y))^\perp = 2g(X, f\tilde{Q}Y)\bar{\xi}; \quad (31)$$

moreover, $N_i^{(3)}$ vanishes if and only if ξ_i is a Killing vector field.

Proof. Applying (12) in (16) and using skew-symmetry of f we get $N_i^{(2)} = 0$. Equation (12) with $Y = \xi_i$ yields $d\eta^j(X, \xi_i) = g(X, f\xi_i) = 0$ for any $X \in \mathfrak{X}_M$; thus, we get (21), i.e., $N_{ij}^{(4)} = 0$. Using (12) and

$$g([f, f](X, Y), \xi_i) = g([fX, fY], \xi_i) = -2d\eta^i(fX, fY) = -2\Phi(fX, fY)$$

for all i , we also calculate

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}g(N^{(1)}(X, Y), \xi_i) &= -d\eta^i(fX, fY) - g(\sum_j d\eta^j(X, Y)\xi_j, \xi_i) \\ &= -\Phi(fX, fY) - \Phi(X, Y) = g(X, (f^3 - f)Y) = g(X, \tilde{Q}fY), \end{aligned}$$

that proves (31). Next, invoking (12) in the equality

$$(\mathcal{L}_{\xi_i} d\eta^j)(X, Y) = \xi_i(d\eta^j(X, Y)) - d\eta^j([\xi_i, X], Y) - d\eta^j(X, [\xi_i, Y]),$$

and using (15), we obtain for all i, j

$$(\mathcal{L}_{\xi_i} d\eta^j)(X, Y) = (\mathcal{L}_{\xi_i} g)(X, fY) + g(X, (\mathcal{L}_{\xi_i} f)Y). \quad (32)$$

Since $\mathcal{L}_V = \iota_V \circ d + d \circ \iota_V$, the exterior derivative d commutes with the Lie-derivative, i.e., $d \circ \mathcal{L}_V = \mathcal{L}_V \circ d$, and as in the proof of Theorem 2.1, we get that $d\eta^j$ is invariant under the action of ξ_i , i.e., $\mathcal{L}_{\xi_i} d\eta^j = 0$. Therefore, (32) implies that ξ_i is a Killing vector field if and only if $N_i^{(3)} = 0$. \square

Theorem 2.3. *For a weak almost para- \mathcal{C} -structure, we get $N_i^{(2)} = N_{ij}^{(4)} = 0$, $N^{(1)} = [f, f]$, and (30); thus, the distribution $\ker f$ is tangent to a totally geodesic foliation with the sectional curvature $K(\xi_i, \xi_j) = 0$. Moreover, $N_i^{(3)} = 0$ if and only if ξ_i is a Killing vector field.*

Proof. By (16) and (18) and since $d\eta^i = 0$, the tensors $N_i^{(2)}$ and $N_{ij}^{(4)}$ vanish on a weak almost para- \mathcal{C} -structure. Moreover, by (4) and (32), respectively, the tensor $N^{(1)}$ coincides with $[f, f]$, and $N_i^{(3)} = \mathcal{L}_{\xi_i} f$ ($1 \leq i \leq p$) vanish if and only if each ξ_i is a Killing vector. From the equalities

$$3 d\Phi(X, \xi_i, \xi_j) = g([\xi_i, \xi_j], fX), \quad 2 d\eta^k(\xi_j, \xi_i) = g([\xi_i, \xi_j], \xi_k)$$

and conditions $d\Phi = 0$ and $d\eta^i = 0$ we obtain

$$[\xi_i, \xi_j] = 0, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq p. \quad (33)$$

Next, from $d\eta^i = 0$ and the equality

$$2 d\eta^i(\xi_j, X) + 2 d\eta^j(\xi_i, X) = g(\nabla_{\xi_i} \xi_j + \nabla_{\xi_j} \xi_i, X)$$

we obtain (27): $\nabla_{\xi_i} \xi_j + \nabla_{\xi_j} \xi_i = 0$. From this and (33) we get (30). \square

We will express $\nabla_X f$ using a new tensor on a metric weak para- f -structure. The following assertion generalizes [6, Proposition 1].

Proposition 2.2. *For a metric weak para- f -structure we get*

$$\begin{aligned} 2g((\nabla_X f)Y, Z) &= -3d\Phi(X, fY, fZ) - 3d\Phi(X, Y, Z) - g(N^{(1)}(Y, Z), fX) \\ &+ \sum_i (N_i^{(2)}(Y, Z) \eta^i(X) + 2d\eta^i(fY, X) \eta^i(Z) - 2d\eta^i(fZ, X) \eta^i(Y)) \\ &+ N^{(5)}(X, Y, Z), \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

where a skew-symmetric w.r.t. Y and Z tensor $N^{(5)}(X, Y, Z)$ is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} N^{(5)}(X, Y, Z) &= (fZ)(g(X, \tilde{Q}Y)) - (fY)(g(X, \tilde{Q}Z)) + g([X, fZ], \tilde{Q}Y) \\ &- g([X, fY], \tilde{Q}Z) + g([Y, fZ] - [Z, fY] - f[Y, Z], \tilde{Q}X). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Using the skew-symmetry of f , one can compute

$$\begin{aligned} 2g((\nabla_X f)Y, Z) &= 2g(\nabla_X(fY), Z) + 2g(\nabla_X Y, fZ) \\ &= Xg(fY, Z) + (fY)g(X, Z) - Zg(X, fY) \\ &+ g([X, fY], Z) + g([Z, X], fY) - g([fY, Z], X) \\ &+ Xg(Y, fZ) + Yg(X, fZ) - (fZ)g(X, Y) \\ &+ g([X, Y], fZ) + g([fZ, X], Y) - g([Y, fZ], X). \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

Using (8), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} g(X, Z) &= -\Phi(fX, Z) - g(X, \tilde{Q}Z) + \sum_i (\eta^i(X) \eta^i(Z) + \eta^i(X) \eta^i(\tilde{Q}Z)) \\ &= -\Phi(fX, Z) + \sum_i \eta^i(X) \eta^i(Z) - g(X, \tilde{Q}Z). \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

Thus, and in view of the skew-symmetry of f and applying (36) six times, (35) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} 2g((\nabla_X f)Y, Z) &= X\Phi(Y, Z) + (fY)(-\Phi(fX, Z) + \sum_i \eta^i(X) \eta^i(Z)) \\ &- (fY)g(X, \tilde{Q}Z) - Z\Phi(X, Y) \\ &+ \Phi([X, fY], fZ) + \sum_i \eta^i([X, fY]) \eta^i(Z) - g([X, fY], \tilde{Q}Z) + \Phi([Z, X], Y) \\ &- \Phi([fY, Z], fX) - \sum_i \eta^i([fY, Z]) \eta^i(X) + g([fY, Z], \tilde{Q}X) + X\Phi(Y, Z) \\ &+ Y\Phi(X, Z) - (fZ)(-\Phi(fX, Y) + \sum_i \eta^i(X) \eta^i(Y)) + (fZ)g(X, \tilde{Q}Y) \\ &+ \Phi([X, Y], Z) + g(f[-fZ, X], fY) + \sum_i \eta^i([fZ, X]) \eta^i(Y) - g([fZ, X], \tilde{Q}Y) \\ &+ g(f[Y, fZ], fX) - \sum_i \eta^i([Y, fZ]) \eta^i(X) + g([Y, fZ], \tilde{Q}X). \end{aligned}$$

We also have

$$\begin{aligned} g(N^{(1)}(Y, Z), fX) &= g(f^2[Y, Z] + [fY, fZ] - f[fY, Z] - f[Y, fZ], fX) \\ &= -g(f[Y, Z], \tilde{Q}X) + g([fY, fZ] - f[fY, Z] - f[Y, fZ] - [Y, Z], fX). \end{aligned}$$

From this and (28) we get the required result. \square

Remark 2.1. For particular values of the tensor $N^{(5)}$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} N^{(5)}(X, \xi_i, Z) &= -N^{(5)}(X, Z, \xi_i) = g(N_i^{(3)}(Z), \tilde{Q}X), \\ N^{(5)}(\xi_i, Y, Z) &= g([\xi_i, fZ], \tilde{Q}Y) - g([\xi_i, fY], \tilde{Q}Z), \\ N^{(5)}(\xi_i, Y, \xi_j) &= N^{(5)}(\xi_i, \xi_j, Y) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

We will discuss the meaning of $\nabla_X f$ for weak almost para- \mathcal{S} - and weak para- \mathcal{K} - structures. The following corollary of Proposition 2.2 and Theorem 2.2 generalizes well-known results with $Q = \text{id}_{TM}$.

Corollary 2.1. *For a weak almost para- \mathcal{S} -structure we get*

$$\begin{aligned} 2g((\nabla_X f)Y, Z) &= -g(N^{(1)}(Y, Z), fX) + 2g(fX, fY)\bar{\eta}(Z) \\ &\quad - 2g(fX, fZ)\bar{\eta}(Y) + N^{(5)}(X, Y, Z), \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

where $\bar{\eta} = \sum_i \eta^i$. In particular, taking $x = \xi_i$ and then $Y = \xi_j$ in (38), we get

$$2g((\nabla_{\xi_i} f)Y, Z) = N^{(5)}(\xi_i, Y, Z), \quad 1 \leq i \leq p, \quad (39)$$

and (30); thus, the characteristic distribution is tangent to a totally geodesic foliation with flat leaves.

Proof. According to Theorem 2.2, for a weak almost para- \mathcal{S} -structure we have $d\eta^i = \Phi$ and $N_i^{(2)} = N_{ij}^{(4)} = 0$. Thus, invoking (12) and using Theorem 2.2 in (34), we get (38). From (39) with $Y = \xi_j$ we get $g(f\nabla_{\xi_i} \xi_j, Z) = 0$, thus $\nabla_{\xi_i} \xi_j \in \ker f$. Also,

$$\eta^k([\xi_i, \xi_j]) = -2d\eta^k(\xi_i, \xi_j) = -2g(\xi_i, f\xi_j) = 0;$$

hence, $[\xi_i, \xi_j] = 0$, i.e., $\nabla_{\xi_i} \xi_j = \nabla_{\xi_j} \xi_i$. Finally, from $g(\xi_j, \xi_k) = \delta_{jk}$, using the covariant derivative with respect to ξ_i and the above equality, we get $\nabla_{\xi_i} \xi_j \in f(TM)$. This together with $\nabla_{\xi_i} \xi_j \in \ker f$ proves (30). \square

3 The tensor field h

Here, we apply for a weak almost para- \mathcal{S} -manifold the tensor field $h = (h_1, \dots, h_p)$, where $h_i = \frac{1}{2}N_i^{(3)} = \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{L}_{\xi_i}f$. By Theorem 2.2, $h_i = 0$ if and only if ξ_i is a Killing field. First, we calculate

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{L}_{\xi_i}f)X &\stackrel{(13)}{=} \nabla_{\xi_i}(fX) - \nabla_{fX}\xi_i - f(\nabla_{\xi_i}X - \nabla_X\xi_i) \\ &= (\nabla_{\xi_i}f)X - \nabla_{fX}\xi_i + f\nabla_X\xi_i. \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

For $X = \xi_i$ in (40), using $g((\nabla_{\xi_i}f)\xi_j, Z) = \frac{1}{2}N^{(5)}(\xi_i, \xi_j, Z) = 0$, see (39), and $\nabla_{\xi_i}\xi_j = 0$, see Corollary 2.1, we get

$$h_i\xi_j = 0. \quad (41)$$

The following result generalizes the fact that for an almost para- \mathcal{S} -structure, each tensor h_i is self-adjoint and commutes with f .

Proposition 3.1. *For a weak almost para- \mathcal{S} -structure, the tensor h_i and its conjugate h_i^* satisfy*

$$g((h_i - h_i^*)X, Y) = \frac{1}{2} N^{(5)}(\xi_i, X, Y), \quad (42)$$

$$\nabla \xi_i = Q^{-1} f h_i^* - f, \quad (43)$$

$$h_i f + f h_i = -\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{L}_{\xi_i} \tilde{Q}. \quad (44)$$

Proof. (i) The scalar product of (40) with Y , using (39), gives

$$g((\mathcal{L}_{\xi_i} f)X, Y) = N^{(5)}(\xi_i, X, Y) + g(f \nabla_X \xi_i - \nabla_{fX} \xi_i, Y). \quad (45)$$

Similarly,

$$g((\mathcal{L}_{\xi_i} f)Y, X) = N^{(5)}(\xi_i, Y, X) + g(f \nabla_Y \xi_i - \nabla_{fY} \xi_i, X). \quad (46)$$

Using (16) and $(fX)(\eta^i(Y)) - (fY)(\eta^i(X)) \equiv 0$ (this vanishes if either X or Y equals ξ_j and also for X and Y in $f(TM)$), we get $N_i^{(2)}(X, Y) = \eta^i([fY, X] - [fX, Y])$. Thus, the difference of (45) and (46) gives

$$2g((h_i - h_i^*)X, Y) = N^{(5)}(\xi_i, X, Y) - N_i^{(2)}(X, Y).$$

From this and equality $N_i^{(2)} = 0$ (see Theorem 2.2) we get (42).

(ii) From Corollary 2.1 with $Y = \xi_i$, we find

$$g((\nabla_X f)\xi_i, Z) = -\frac{1}{2} g(N^{(1)}(\xi_i, Z), fX) - g(fX, fZ) + \frac{1}{2} N^{(5)}(X, \xi_i, Z). \quad (47)$$

Note that $\frac{1}{2} N^{(5)}(X, \xi_i, Z) = g(h_i Z, \tilde{Q}X)$, see (37). By (5) with $Y = \xi_i$, we get

$$[f, f](X, \xi_i) = f^2[X, \xi_i] - f[fX, \xi_i] = fN_i^{(3)}(X). \quad (48)$$

Using (8), (13) and (48), we calculate

$$\begin{aligned} g([f, f](\xi_i, Z), fX) &= g(f^2[\xi_i, Z] - f[\xi_i, fZ], fX) = -g(f(\mathcal{L}_{\xi_i} f)Z, fX) \\ &= g((\mathcal{L}_{\xi_i} f)Z, QX) - \sum_j \eta^j(X) \eta^j((\mathcal{L}_{\xi_i} f)Z). \end{aligned} \quad (49)$$

From (12) we have $g([X, \xi_i], \xi_k) = 2d\eta^k(\xi_i, X) = 2\Phi(\xi_i, X) = 0$. By (30), we get $g(\nabla_X \xi_i, \xi_k) = g(\nabla_{\xi_i} X, \xi_k) = -g(\nabla_{\xi_i} \xi_k, X) = 0$ for $X \in f(TM)$, thus

$$g(\nabla_X \xi_i, \xi_k) = 0, \quad X \in TM, \quad 1 \leq i, k \leq p. \quad (50)$$

Using (40), we get

$$2g((\nabla_{\xi_i} f)Y, \xi_j) \stackrel{(39)}{=} N^{(5)}(\xi_i, Y, \xi_j) \stackrel{(37)}{=} 0. \quad (51)$$

From (40), (50) and (51) we get

$$g((\mathcal{L}_{\xi_i} f)X, \xi_j) = -g(\nabla_{fX} \xi_i, \xi_j) = 0. \quad (52)$$

Since $f \xi_i = 0$, we find

$$(\nabla_X f) \xi_i = -f \nabla_X \xi_i. \quad (53)$$

Thus, combining (47), (49) and (52), we find

$$\begin{aligned} -g(f \nabla_X \xi_i, Z) &= g(X, QZ) - g(h_i Z, QX) - \sum_j \eta^j(X) \eta^j(Z) + g(h_i Z, \tilde{Q}X) \\ &= g(h_i Z, X) + g(X, QZ) - \sum_j \eta^j(X) \eta^j(Z) + g(h_i Z, \tilde{Q}X). \end{aligned} \quad (54)$$

Replacing Z by fZ in (54) and using (2), (50) and $f\xi_i = 0$, we achieve (43):

$$g(Q\nabla_X \xi_i, Z) = g((fQ - h_i f)Z, X) = g(f(h_i^* - Q)X, Z).$$

(iii) Using (2), we obtain

$$f\nabla_{\xi_i} f + (\nabla_{\xi_i} f)f = \nabla_{\xi_i} (f^2) = \nabla_{\xi_i} \tilde{Q} - \nabla_{\xi_i} (\sum_j \eta^j \otimes \xi_j),$$

where in view of (30), we get $\nabla_{\xi_i} (\sum_j \eta^j \otimes \xi_j) = 0$. From the above and (40), we get (44):

$$\begin{aligned} 2(h_i f + fh_i)X &= f(\mathcal{L}_{\xi_i} f)X + (\mathcal{L}_{\xi_i} f)fX \\ &= f(\nabla_{\xi_i} f)X + (\nabla_{\xi_i} f)fX + f^2 \nabla_X \xi_i - \nabla_{f^2 X} \xi_i \\ &= -(\nabla_{\xi_i} \tilde{Q})X - \tilde{Q} \nabla_X \xi_i + \nabla_{\tilde{Q} X} \xi_i + \sum_j (g(\nabla_X \xi_i, \xi_j) \xi_j - g(X, \xi_j) \nabla_{\xi_j} \xi_i) \\ &= [\tilde{Q}X, \xi_i] - \tilde{Q}[X, \xi_i] = -(\mathcal{L}_{\xi_i} \tilde{Q})X. \end{aligned}$$

We used (30) and (50) to show $\sum_j (g(\nabla_X \xi_i, \xi_j) \xi_j - g(X, \xi_j) \nabla_{\xi_j} \xi_i) = 0$. \square

Remark 3.1. For a weak almost para- \mathcal{S} -structure, using (51), we find

$$2g(h_i X, \xi_j) = -g(\nabla_{fX} \xi_i, \xi_j) \stackrel{(50)}{=} 0;$$

thus, the distribution $f(TM)$ is invariant under h_i ; moreover, $h_i^* \xi_j = 0$, see also (41).

The next statement follows from Propositions 2.1 and 2.2.

Corollary 3.1. *For a weak para- \mathcal{K} -structure, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} 2g((\nabla_X f)Y, Z) &= \sum_i (2d\eta^i(fY, X)\eta^i(Z) - 2d\eta^i(fZ, X)\eta^i(Y) \\ &+ \eta^i([\tilde{Q}Y, fZ])\eta^i(X)) + N^{(5)}(X, Y, Z). \end{aligned} \quad (55)$$

In particular, using (42) with $h_i = 0$, gives $2g((\nabla_{\xi_i} f)Y, Z) = \eta^i([\tilde{Q}Y, fZ])$ for $1 \leq i \leq p$.

4 The rigidity of a para- \mathcal{S} -structure

An important class of metric para- f -manifolds is given by para- \mathcal{S} -manifolds. Here, we study a wider class of weak para- \mathcal{S} -manifolds and prove the rigidity theorem for para- \mathcal{S} -manifolds.

Proposition 4.1. *For a weak para- \mathcal{S} -structure we get*

$$\begin{aligned} g((\nabla_X f)Y, Z) &= g(QX, Z)\bar{\eta}(Y) - g(QX, Y)\bar{\eta}(Z) + \frac{1}{2}N^{(5)}(X, Y, Z) \\ &- \sum_j \eta^j(X)(\bar{\eta}(Y)\eta^j(Z) - \eta^j(Y)\bar{\eta}(Z)). \end{aligned} \quad (56)$$

Proof. Since (f, Q, ξ_i, η^i, g) is a metric weak f -structure with $N^{(1)} = 0$, by Corollary 2.1, we get (56). \square

Remark 4.1. Using $Y = \xi_i$ in (56), we get $f\nabla_X \xi_i = -f^2 X - \frac{1}{2}(N^{(5)}(X, \xi_i, \cdot))^b$, which generalizes the equality $\nabla_X \xi_i = -fX$ for a para- \mathcal{S} -structure, e.g., [6].

It was shown in [11] that a weak almost para- \mathcal{S} -structure with positive partial Ricci curvature can be deformed to an almost para- \mathcal{S} -structure. The main result in this section is the following rigidity theorem.

Theorem 4.1. *A metric weak para- f -structure is a weak para- \mathcal{S} -structure if and only if it is a para- \mathcal{S} -structure.*

Proof. Let (f, Q, ξ_i, η^i, g) be a weak para- \mathcal{S} -structure. Since $N^{(1)} = 0$, by Proposition 2.1, we get $N_i^{(3)} = 0$. By (37), we then obtain $N^{(5)}(\cdot, \xi_i, \cdot) = 0$. Recall that $\tilde{Q}X = QX - X$ and $\eta^j(\tilde{Q}X) = 0$. Using the above and $Y = \xi_i$ in (56), we get

$$\begin{aligned} g((\nabla_X f) \xi_i, Z) &= g(QX, Z) - \eta^i(QX) \bar{\eta}(Z) + \sum_j \eta^j(X) (\eta^j(Z) - \delta_i^j \bar{\eta}(Z)) \\ &= g(QX^\top, Z) + \sum_j \eta^j(Z) (\eta^j(QX) - \eta^i(QX)) - \sum_j \eta^j(Z) (\eta^j(X) - \eta^i(X)) \\ &= g(QX^\top, Z) + \sum_j \eta^j(Z) (\eta^j(\tilde{Q}X) - \eta^i(\tilde{Q}X)) = g(QX^\top, Z). \end{aligned} \quad (57)$$

Using (53), we rewrite (57) as $g(\nabla_X \xi_i, fZ) = g(QX^\top, Z)$. By the above and (2), we find

$$g(\nabla_X \xi_i + fX^\top, fZ) = 0. \quad (58)$$

Since f is skew-symmetric, applying (56) with $Z = \xi_i$ in (10), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} g([f, f](X, Y), \xi_i) &= g([fX, fY], \xi_i) = g((\nabla_{fX} f)Y, \xi_i) - g((\nabla_{fY} f)X, \xi_i) \\ &= g(QfY, X) - g(QfY, \xi_i) \bar{\eta}(X) - g(QfX, Y) + g(QfX, \xi_i) \bar{\eta}(Y). \end{aligned} \quad (59)$$

Recall that $[Q, f] = 0$ and $f\xi_i = 0$. Thus, (59) yields for all i ,

$$g([f, f](X, Y), \xi_i) = 2g(QX, fY).$$

From this, using the definition of $N^{(1)}$, we get for all i ,

$$g(N^{(1)}(X, Y), \xi_i) = 2g(\tilde{Q}X, fY). \quad (60)$$

From $N^{(1)} = 0$ and (60) we get $g(\tilde{Q}X, fY) = 0$ for all $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}_M$; thus, $\tilde{Q} = 0$. \square

For a weak almost para- \mathcal{S} -structure all ξ_i are Killing if and only if $h = 0$, see Theorem 2.2. The equality $h = 0$ holds for a weak para- \mathcal{S} -structure since it is true for a para- \mathcal{S} -structure, see Theorem 4.1. We will prove this property of a weak para- \mathcal{S} -structure directly.

Corollary 4.1. *For a weak para- \mathcal{S} -structure, ξ_1, \dots, ξ_p are Killing vector fields; moreover, $\ker f$ is integrable and defines a Riemannian totally geodesic foliation.*

Proof. In view of (53) and $\bar{\eta}(\xi_i) = 1$, Eq. (56) with $Y = \xi_i$ becomes

$$g(\nabla_X \xi_i, fZ) = -\eta^i(X) \bar{\eta}(Z) + g(X, QZ) + \frac{1}{2} N^{(5)}(X, \xi_i, Z). \quad (61)$$

Combining (54) and (61), and using (50), we achieve for all i and X, Z ,

$$g(h_i Z, QX) = \sum_j \eta^j(X) \eta^j(Z) - \eta^i(X) \bar{\eta}(Z),$$

which implies $hZ = 0$ for $Z \in f(TM)$ (since Q is nonsingular). This and (41) yield $h = 0$. By Theorem 2.2, $\ker f$ defines a totally geodesic foliation. Since ξ_i is a Killing field, we get

$$0 = (\mathcal{L}_{\xi_i} g)(X, Y) = g(\nabla_X \xi_i, Y) + g(\nabla_Y \xi_i, X) = -g(\nabla_X Y + \nabla_Y X, \xi_i)$$

for all i and $X, Y \perp \ker f$. Thus, $f(TM)$ is totally geodesic, i.e., $\ker f$ defines a Riemannian foliation. \square

For $p = 1$, from Theorem 4.1 we have the following

Corollary 4.2. *A weak almost paracontact metric structure on M^{2n+1} is a weak para-Sasakian structure if and only if it is a para-Sasakian structure, i.e., a normal weak paracontact metric structure, on M^{2n+1} .*

5 The characteristic of a weak para- \mathcal{C} -structure

An important class of metric para- f -manifolds is given by para- \mathcal{C} -manifolds. Recall that $\nabla_X \xi_i = 0$ holds on para- \mathcal{C} -manifolds.

Proposition 5.1. *Let (f, Q, ξ_i, η^i, g) be a weak para- \mathcal{C} -structure. Then*

$$2g((\nabla_X f)Y, Z) = N^{(5)}(X, Y, Z), \quad (62)$$

$$0 = N^{(5)}(X, Y, Z) + N^{(5)}(Y, Z, X) + N^{(5)}(Z, X, Y), \quad (63)$$

$$0 = N^{(5)}(fX, Y, Z) + N^{(5)}(fY, Z, X) + N^{(5)}(fZ, X, Y). \quad (64)$$

Using (62) with $Y = \xi_i$ and (2), we get

$$g(\nabla_X \xi_i, QZ) = -\frac{1}{2}N^{(5)}(X, \xi_i, fZ).$$

Proof. For a weak almost para- \mathcal{C} -structure (f, Q, ξ_i, η^i, g) , using Theorem 2.3, from (34) we get

$$2g((\nabla_X f)Y, Z) = -g([f, f](Y, Z), fX) + N^{(5)}(X, Y, Z). \quad (65)$$

From (65), using condition $[f, f] = 0$ we get (62). Using (28) and (62), we write

$$0 = 3d\Phi(X, Y, Z) = g((\nabla_X f)Z, Y) + g((\nabla_Y f)X, Z) + g((\nabla_Z f)Y, X);$$

hence, (63) is true. Using (10), (62) and the skew-symmetry of f , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= 2g([f, f](X, Y), Z) \\ &= N^{(5)}(X, Y, fZ) + N^{(5)}(fX, Y, Z) - N^{(5)}(Y, X, fZ) - N^{(5)}(fY, X, Z). \end{aligned}$$

This and (63) with X replaced by fX provide (64). \square

Recall that $X^\perp = \sum_i \eta^i(X) \xi_i$. Consider a weaker condition than (33):

$$[\xi_i, \xi_j]^\perp = 0, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq p. \quad (66)$$

In the following theorem, we characterize weak para- \mathcal{C} -manifolds in a wider class of metric weak para- f -manifolds using the condition $\nabla f = 0$.

Theorem 5.1. *A metric weak para- f -structure with $\nabla f = 0$ and (66) is a weak para- \mathcal{C} -structure with $N^{(5)} = 0$.*

Proof. Using condition $\nabla f = 0$, from (10) we obtain $[f, f] = 0$. Hence, from (4) we get $N^{(1)}(X, Y) = -2 \sum_i d\eta^i(X, Y) \xi_i$, and from (11) we obtain

$$\nabla_{fX} \xi_i - f \nabla_X \xi_i = 0, \quad X \in \mathfrak{X}_M. \quad (67)$$

From (28), we calculate

$$3d\Phi(X, Y, Z) = g((\nabla_X f)Z, Y) + g((\nabla_Y f)X, Z) + g((\nabla_Z f)Y, X);$$

hence, using condition $\nabla f = 0$ again, we get $d\Phi = 0$. Next, $N_i^{(2)}(Y, \xi_j) = -\eta^i([fY, \xi_j]) = g(\xi_j, f\nabla_{\xi_i} Y) = 0$. Setting $Z = \xi_j$ in (34) and using the condition $\nabla f = 0$ and the properties $d\Phi = 0$, $N_i^{(2)}(Y, \xi_j) = 0$ and $N^{(1)}(X, Y) = -2 \sum_i d\eta^i(X, Y) \xi_i$, we find $0 = 2d\eta^j(fY, X) - N^{(5)}(X, \xi_j, Y)$. By (37) and (67),

$$N^{(5)}(X, \xi_j, Y) = g([\xi_j, fY] - f[\xi_j, Y], \tilde{Q}X) = g(\nabla_{fY} \xi_j - f \nabla_Y \xi_j, \tilde{Q}X) = 0;$$

hence, $d\eta^j(fY, X) = 0$. From this and $g([\xi_i, \xi_j], \xi_k) = 2d\eta^k(\xi_j, \xi_i) = 0$ we get $d\eta^j = 0$. By the above, $N^{(1)} = 0$. Thus, (f, Q, ξ_i, η^i, g) is a weak para- \mathcal{C} -structure. Finally, from (62) and condition $\nabla f = 0$ we get $N^{(5)} = 0$. \square

Corollary 5.1. *A normal metric weak para- f -structure with $\nabla f = 0$ is a weak para- \mathcal{C} -structure with $N^{(5)} = 0$.*

Proof. By $N^{(1)} = 0$, we get $d\eta^i = 0$ for all i . As in Theorem 5.1, we get $d\Phi = 0$. □

Example 5.1. Let M be a $2n$ -dimensional smooth manifold and $\tilde{f} : TM \rightarrow TM$ an endomorphism of rank $2n$ such that $\nabla \tilde{f} = 0$. To construct a weak para- \mathcal{C} -structure on $M \times \mathbb{R}^p$ (or $M \times \mathbb{T}^p$, where \mathbb{T}^p is a p -dimensional flat torus), take any point (x, t_1, \dots, t_p) and set $\xi_i = (0, d/dt_i)$, $\eta^i = (0, dt_i)$ and

$$f(X, Y) = (\tilde{f}X, 0), \quad Q(X, Y) = (\tilde{f}^2 X, Y).$$

where $X \in T_x M$ and $Y = \sum_i Y^i \xi_i \in \{\mathbb{R}_t^p, \mathbb{T}_t^p\}$. Then (2) holds and Theorem 5.1 can be used.

For $p = 1$, from Theorem 5.1 we have the following

Corollary 5.2. *Any weak almost paracontact structure $(\varphi, Q, \xi, \eta, g)$ with the property $\nabla \varphi = 0$ is a weak para-cosymplectic structure.*

References

- [1] *D. Alekseevsky and P. Michor*, Differential geometry of \mathfrak{g} -manifolds, *Differential Geom. Appl.* **5**(1995), 371-403.
- [2] *D. E. Blair and G. D. Ludden*, Hypersurfaces in almost contact manifolds. *Tohoku Math. J.* **21**(1969), 354-362.
- [3] *A. Bucki and A. Miernowski*, Almost r -paracontact structures. *Ann. Univ. Mariae Curie-Sklodowska* **39**(1985), No. 2, 13-26.
- [4] *V. Cruceanu, P. Fortuny and P. M. Gadea*, A survey on paracontact geometry. *Rocky Mt. J. Math.* **26**(1996), No. 1, 83-115.
- [5] *M. Falcitelli, S. Ianus and A. M. Pastore*, *Riemannian Submersions and Related Topics*, World Scientific, 2004.
- [6] *L. M. Fernández and A. Prieto-Martín*, On η -Einstein para- S -manifolds. *Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc.* **40**(2017), 1623-1637.
- [7] *A. Gray*, Pseudo-Riemannian almost product manifolds and submersions, *J. Math. Mech.*, **16**(1967), No. 7, 715-737.
- [8] *S. Kobayashi and K. Nomizu*, *Foundations of differential geometry*, Vols. I, II, USA, Interscience Publishers, New York–London–Sydney, 1963, 1969.
- [9] *K. Matsumoto*, On a structure defined by a tensor field f of type (1,1) satisfying $f^3 - f = 0$, *Bull. Yamagata Univ.* **1**(1976), 33-47.
- [10] *V. Rovenski*, On the geometry of a weakened f -structure, arXiv:2205.02158, 2022, 14 pp.
- [11] *V. Rovenski and R. Wolak*, New metric structures on \mathfrak{g} -foliations, *Indagationes Mathematicae*, **33**(2022), 518-532.