

# HEAT EQUATIONS ASSOCIATED TO HARMONIC OSCILLATOR WITH EXPONENTIAL NONLINEARITY

DIVYANG G. BHIMANI, MOHAMED MAJDOUB, AND RAMESH MANNA

ABSTRACT. We investigate the Cauchy problem for a heat equation involving a fractional harmonic oscillator and an exponential nonlinearity. Our main contributions are as follows:

- We establish the local well-posedness in Orlicz spaces.
- By considering small initial data in suitable Lebesgue spaces, we derive the existence of global weak-mild solutions.
- We provide precise decay estimates for large time, revealing that the decay rate depends on the behavior of the nonlinearity near the origin.
- Furthermore, we demonstrate that when considering certain non-negative initial data within the appropriate Orlicz space, the existence of local non-negative classical solutions is no longer guaranteed.

In summary, our work addresses the local and global behavior of solutions, decay estimates, and the impact of nonlinearity on the existence of classical solutions, offering some insights into the dynamics of the considered heat equation with a fractional harmonic oscillator and exponential nonlinearity.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

This paper is concerned with the heat equation associated to fractional harmonic potential with exponential type non-linearity:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u + (-\Delta + \varrho|x|^2)^\beta u = f(u), & (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times (0, \infty), \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where  $\varrho \geq 0$ ,  $\beta > 0$  and  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  having an exponential growth at infinity with  $f(0) = 0$ . Note that the case  $\varrho = 0$  and  $\beta = 1$  in (1.1) corresponds to the standard nonlinear heat (NLH) equation. It is worth to mention that there has been a large amount of researches on the NLH equation, and the monographs [12, 13, 25, 26] cover a very extensive overview on the most established results on the subject. See also [3, 7, 8, 10, 22–24, 30] and the references therein.

Recently, Bhimani et al. established in [2, Theorem 1.2] a well-posedness result for (1.1) in Lebesgue spaces, specifically when the nonlinearity takes the form  $f(u) = u|u|^{\gamma-1}$  (polynomial type nonlinearity). Additionally, further investigations have been conducted in this area, as evidenced by [1, Theorems 1.2 and 1.4] and [6, Theorem 1.1]. In this paper, we extend the study by focusing on the Cauchy problem for (1.1) with exponential nonlinearities. Such nonlinearities play a crucial role in various physical models concerning self-trapped beams in plasma, as highlighted in [21]. It is worth mentioning that intriguing research avenues exploring the exponential nonlinearities

---

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35K05, 35K55, 35A01, 42B35.

*Key words and phrases.* Nonlinear parabolic equations, harmonic potential, exponential non-linearity, local existence, global existence, non-existence.

have been pursued for the 2D energy critical NLS equation [5, 15] and the 2D energy critical NLW equation [14, 16, 17].

As pointed out in [22–24], the well-posedness of (1.1) is heavily reliant on two key factors: the choice of initial data space and the behavior exhibited by the nonlinearity  $f$ .

To carry out our analysis, we make specific assumptions regarding the nonlinearity  $f$ . We assume that  $f(0) = 0$  and that  $f$  satisfies either condition (1.2) or condition (1.3) below

$$|f(u) - f(v)| \leq C|u - v| \left( e^{\lambda|u|^p} + e^{\lambda|v|^p} \right), \quad (1.2)$$

$$|f(u) - f(v)| \leq C|u - v| \left( |u|^{m-1} e^{\lambda|u|^p} + |v|^{m-1} e^{\lambda|v|^p} \right), \quad (1.3)$$

where  $C, \lambda > 0, p > 1$ , and  $m \geq 1 + \frac{2p\beta}{d}$ . The parameter  $m$  captures the behavior of the nonlinearity  $f(u)$  in the vicinity of the origin. It is worth mentioning that the assumptions (1.2), (1.3) regarding the nonlinearity encompasses various cases such as  $f(u) = \pm u e^{|u|^p}$  for (1.2), and  $f(u) = \pm u|u|^{m-1}, e^u - 1 - u, \pm u|u|^{m-1} e^{|u|^q} (q \leq p), e^{|u|^q} - 1 (q \leq p)$  for (1.3).

Unless otherwise specified, along the rest of this article, we will assume that  $\varrho = 1$ . The spectral decomposition of the Hermite operator  $H = H^1 = -\Delta + |x|^2$  on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  is given by

$$H = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (2k + d) P_k,$$

where  $P_k$  stands for the orthogonal projection of  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  onto the eigenspace corresponding to the eigenvalue  $(2k + d)$ . We define heat propagator associated to fractional harmonic oscillator  $H^\beta$  by

$$e^{-tH^\beta} u_0(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} e^{-t(2k+d)^\beta} P_k u_0(x).$$

Although Lebesgue spaces are suitable for analyzing heat equations with power nonlinearities, our motivation in this study is to explore the behavior of exponential nonlinearities. To accommodate such nonlinearities effectively, we are inclined to consider initial data in Orlicz spaces. This choice allows us to address the specific challenges posed by exponential terms and obtain meaningful results in our analysis. The authors of [20] provide a characterization of nonlinearities  $f$  that enable the standard NLH equation to possess a local bounded solution in  $L^q$ , where  $1 \leq q < \infty$ , for all non-negative initial data  $u_0 \in L^q$ . Notably, they establish that this condition is satisfied if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{s \rightarrow \infty} \left( \frac{f(s)}{s^{1+2q/d}} \right) < \infty & \quad \text{if} \quad 1 < q < \infty, \\ \int_1^\infty \sup_{1 \leq t \leq s} \left( \frac{f(t)}{t} \right) \frac{ds}{s^{1+2/d}} < \infty & \quad \text{if} \quad q = 1. \end{aligned}$$

The Orlicz space  $\exp L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ,  $1 \leq p < \infty$  is defined as follows

$$\exp L^p(\mathbb{R}^d) = \left\{ u \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d) : \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left( e^{\frac{|u(x)|^p}{\lambda^p}} - 1 \right) dx < \infty \text{ for some } \lambda > 0 \right\},$$

endowed with the Luxemburg norm

$$\|u\|_{\exp L^p} = \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left( e^{\frac{|u(x)|^p}{\lambda^p}} - 1 \right) dx \leq 1 \right\}.$$

To investigate the local well-posedness issue, we will utilize the following subspace of  $\exp L^p$ :

$$\exp L_0^p(\mathbb{R}^d) = \left\{ u \in L_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}^d) : \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left( e^{\alpha|u(x)|^p} - 1 \right) dx < \infty \text{ for every } \alpha > 0 \right\}.$$

We say that  $u$  is a **mild** solution for the Cauchy problem (1.1) with  $u_0 \in \exp L_0^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$  if  $u \in C([0, T], \exp L_0^p(\mathbb{R}^d))$  satisfies

$$u(t) = e^{-tH^\beta} u_0 + \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)H^\beta} f(u(s)) ds. \quad (1.4)$$

**Theorem 1.1** (Local well-posedness). *Let  $u_0 \in \exp L_0^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and  $0 < \beta \leq 1$ . Assume that  $f$  satisfies (1.2). Then there exists  $T = T(u_0) > 0$  and a unique mild solution  $u \in C([0, T], \exp L_0^p(\mathbb{R}^d))$  to (1.1).*

**Remark 1.1.**

- (i) The restriction on  $\beta$  in Theorem 1.1 is imposed as a consequence of Theorem 2.1, specifically condition (2). This restriction plays a crucial role in the proof of Lemma 3.1.
- (ii) We would like to emphasize the significant role played by the density  $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$  within  $\exp L_0^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$  in the proof of Theorem 1.1.
- (iii) Theorem 1.3 below asserts the non-existence of local solutions within the space  $\exp L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ .

We would like to highlight the following observation: the operator  $e^{-tH^\beta}$  exhibits continuity at  $t = 0$  in the space  $\exp L_0^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$  but not in  $\exp L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . This distinction is evident from Proposition 2.2 below. Consequently, to study the equation (1.1) in the Orlicz space  $\exp L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , we employ the concept of weak-mild solutions. Specifically, we define a weak-mild solution for the Cauchy problem (1.1) with  $u_0 \in \exp L_0^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$  as follows:  $u$  belongs to  $L^\infty((0, T), \exp L^p(\mathbb{R}^d))$  and satisfies the associated integral equation (1.4) in  $\exp L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$  for almost all  $t \in (0, T)$ , with  $u(t)$  converging to  $u_0$  in the weak\* topology as  $t \rightarrow 0$ .

**Theorem 1.2** (Global existence). *Let  $1 < p \leq \frac{d(m-1)}{2\beta}$  and  $0 < \beta \leq 1$ . Assume that  $f$  satisfies (1.3) for  $m \geq p$ . Then there exists  $\epsilon > 0$  such that for any initial data  $u_0 \in \exp L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$  with  $\|u_0\|_{\exp L^p} \leq \epsilon$ , there exists a global weak-mild solution*

$$u \in L^\infty \left( (0, \infty), \exp L^p(\mathbb{R}^d) \right)$$

to (1.1) satisfying

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \|u(t) - e^{-tH^\beta} u_0\|_{\exp L^p} = 0.$$

Moreover we have

$$\|u(t)\|_{L^a} \leq Ct^{-\left(\frac{1}{m-1} - \frac{d}{2\beta a}\right)}, \quad t > 0, \quad (1.5)$$

where  $a$  satisfies

- (1) If  $\frac{d}{2\beta} > \frac{p}{p-1}$ , then  $\frac{d}{2\beta}(m-1) < a < \frac{d}{2\beta}(m-1) \frac{1}{(2-m)_+}$ .
- (2) If  $\frac{d}{2\beta} = \frac{p}{p-1}$ , then  $\frac{d}{2\beta}(m-1) < a < \frac{d}{2\beta}(m-1) \frac{1}{(2-m)_+}$ .

(3) If  $\frac{d}{2\beta} < \frac{p}{p-1}$  and  $(2-m)_+ < \frac{d(p-1)}{2\beta p}$ , then  $\frac{p}{p-1}(m-1) < a < \frac{d}{2\beta}(m-1)\frac{1}{(2-m)_+}$ , with  $(z)_+$  stands for the positive part of a real number  $z$ .

**Remark 1.2.** In view of hypothesis and conclusions stated in the above theorem, some comments arise, we enumerate them in what follows.

- (i) The restriction on  $\beta$  in Theorem 1.2 is a direct result of the condition (2) in Theorem 2.1 below.
- (ii) The assumption  $p > 1$  is essential in the subsequent Corollaries 2.1 and 2.2.
- (iii) It is natural to question whether the range of  $a$  required to obtain (1.5) is optimal. It is anticipated that there is potential for improvement in this range, and further investigation may lead to refinements in our understanding of the conditions under which (1.5) holds.
- (iv) Similar results have been obtained in previous studies such as [7, 23, 24] for the standard NLH equation, where  $\varrho = 0$  in (1.1).

**Definition 1.1** (exp  $L^p$ -classical solution). Let  $u_0 \in \exp L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and  $T > 0$ . A function  $u \in C((0, T]; \exp L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)) \cap L_{\text{loc}}^\infty(0, T; L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d))$  is said to be exp  $L^p$ -classical solution of (1.1) if  $u \in C^{1,2}((0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ , satisfies (1.1) in the classical sense and  $u(t) \rightarrow u_0$  in the weak\* topology as  $t \rightarrow 0$ .

**Theorem 1.3** (Non-existence). Assume that the nonlinear term  $f$  is continuous,  $f(x) \geq 0$  if  $x \geq 0$ , and

$$\liminf_{\eta \rightarrow \infty} \left( f(\eta) e^{-\lambda \eta^p} \right) > 0, \quad (1.6)$$

for some  $\lambda > 0$  and  $p > 1$ . Then, there exists  $0 \leq u_0 \in \exp L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$  such that for every  $T > 0$  the Cauchy problem (1.1) with  $\beta = 1$  has no nonnegative exp  $L^p$ -classical solution on  $[0, T)$ .

Theorem 1.3 reveals the absence of local solutions for specific data in  $\exp L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , despite the existence of a global existence result for small data within the same space  $\exp L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . Therefore, Theorem 1.3 serves as a complement to Theorems 1.1 and 1.2, further enhancing our understanding of the behavior and limitations of solutions in the context of the considered problem.

**Remark 1.3.** The assumption  $\beta = 1$  in Theorem 1.3 is a product of our chosen approach. Specifically, the proof relies on utilizing the convolution formula for the Hermite heat semigroup  $e^{-tH}$  as presented in (5.2). This particular choice and utilization of the convolution formula lead to the restriction  $\beta = 1$  in the above theorem.

**Remark 1.4.** In an upcoming study, we will provide a comprehensive characterization of the nonlinearities  $f$  that allow equation (1.1) to possess a local solution within both Lebesgue spaces  $L^q$  and Orlicz spaces  $\exp L^q$ , where  $1 \leq q < \infty$ . This investigation aims to elucidate the precise conditions under which local solutions exist in these function spaces, offering a deeper understanding of the problem at hand.

We conclude the introduction with an outline of the paper. In the next section, we recall some basic facts and useful tools about Orlicz spaces. In Section 3 we give the proof of Theorem 1.1. The fourth section is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.2. Finally, Section 5 contains the proof of the non-existence result given in Theorem 1.3. Along this paper,  $C$  will stand for a positive constant which may have different values at different places.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES AND KEY ESTIMATES

Let us begin by revisiting the definition of Orlicz spaces and summarizing some fundamental aspects. For a comprehensive understanding and further elaboration, we recommend referring to [11, 27, 28]. Additionally, we provide essential estimates that play a crucial role in our analysis.

**Definition 2.1** (Orlicz space). *Let  $\phi : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  be a convex increasing function such that*

$$\phi(0) = 0 = \lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} \phi(s), \quad \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \phi(s) = \infty.$$

The Orlicz space  $L^\phi(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is defined as follows

$$L^\phi(\mathbb{R}^d) = \left\{ u \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d) : \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \phi\left(\frac{|u(x)|}{\lambda}\right) dx < \infty \text{ for some } \lambda > 0 \right\}$$

endowed with the Luxemburg norm

$$\|u\|_{L^\phi} = \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \phi\left(\frac{|u(x)|}{\lambda}\right) dx \leq 1 \right\}. \quad (2.1)$$

We also consider the space

$$L_0^\phi(\mathbb{R}^d) = \left\{ u \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d) : \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \phi\left(\frac{|u(x)|}{\lambda}\right) dx < \infty \text{ for every } \lambda > 0 \right\}.$$

Ioku et al. in [19, Section 2] proved that

$$L_0^\phi(\mathbb{R}^d) = \overline{C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)}^{\|\cdot\|_{L^\phi}} = \text{the closure of } C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d) \text{ in } L^\phi(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

Note that

$$L^\phi(\mathbb{R}^d) = \begin{cases} L_0^\phi(\mathbb{R}^d) = L^p(\mathbb{R}^d) & \text{if } \phi(s) = s^p \ (1 \leq p < \infty) \\ \exp L^p(\mathbb{R}^d) & \text{if } \phi(s) = e^{s^p} - 1 \ (1 \leq p < \infty) \end{cases}$$

**Lemma 2.1** (Inclusion properties).

(1) [23, Lemma 2.3]  $L^q(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d) \hookrightarrow \exp L_0^p(\mathbb{R}^d) \hookrightarrow \exp L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$  for  $1 \leq q \leq p$ , with

$$\|u\|_{\exp L^p} \leq \frac{1}{(\log 2)^{\frac{1}{p}}} (\|u\|_{L^q} + \|u\|_{L^\infty}).$$

(2) [7, Lemma 2.3]  $L^q(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d) \hookrightarrow L_0^\phi(\mathbb{R}^d) \hookrightarrow L^\phi(\mathbb{R}^d)$  for  $q \leq 2p$ ,  $\phi(s) = e^{s^p} - 1 - s^p$  ( $p > 1$ ), with

$$\|u\|_{L^\phi(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C(p) (\|u\|_{L^q} + \|u\|_{L^\infty}).$$

(3) [23, Lemma 2.4]  $\exp L^p(\mathbb{R}^d) \hookrightarrow L^q(\mathbb{R}^d)$  for  $1 \leq p \leq q < \infty$ , with

$$\|u\|_{L^q} \leq \left( \Gamma\left(\frac{p}{q} + 1\right) \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \|u\|_{\exp L^p},$$

where the Gamma function is given by  $\Gamma(x) := \int_0^\infty s^{x-1} e^{-s} ds$ ,  $x > 0$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** [2, Theorem 1.1] For  $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$  and  $\beta > 0$ , set  $\sigma_\beta := \frac{d}{2\beta} \left| \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q} \right|$ .

(1) If  $p, q \in (1, \infty)$ , or  $(p, q) = (1, \infty)$ , or  $p = 1$  and  $q \in [2, \infty)$ , or  $p \in (1, \infty)$  and  $q = 1$ , then there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that

$$\|e^{-tH^\beta} g\|_{L^q} \leq \begin{cases} Ce^{-td^\beta} \|g\|_{L^p} & \text{if } t \geq 1 \\ Ct^{-\sigma\beta} \|g\|_{L^p} & \text{if } 0 < t \leq 1. \end{cases} \quad (2.2)$$

(2) If  $0 < \beta \leq 1$ , then the above estimate holds for all  $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$ .

**Remark 2.1.**

- From (2.2) we get

$$\|e^{-tH^\beta} g\|_{L^q} \leq C \|g\|_{L^q}, \quad 1 < q < \infty. \quad (2.3)$$

- Since  $t^{\sigma\beta} e^{-td^\beta} \leq C$  for all  $t \geq 1$ , (2.2) yields

$$\|e^{-tH^\beta} g\|_{L^q} \leq Ct^{-\sigma\beta} \|g\|_{L^p}, \quad 0 < t < \infty. \quad (2.4)$$

Fino-Kirane in [7, Proposition 1] obtained several  $L^q - \exp L^p$  estimates for the fractional heat propagator  $e^{-t(-\Delta)^\beta}$  with  $0 < \beta \leq 2$ . See also [23, Proposition 3.2] and [9, Lemma 3.1]. In the subsequent proposition, we extend this result to encompass the the fractional harmonic oscillator  $H^\beta$ , where  $\beta > 0$ . More precisely, we establish the following generalization:

**Proposition 2.1.** *Let  $1 < q \leq p < \infty, t > 0$  and  $1 \leq r \leq \infty$ . Then*

- (1)  $\|e^{-tH^\beta} g\|_{\exp L^p} \leq C \|g\|_{\exp L^p}$  for  $\beta > 0$ .
- (2)  $\|e^{-tH^\beta} g\|_{\exp L^p} \leq Ct^{-\frac{d}{2\beta q}} \left(\log(t^{-\frac{d}{2\beta}} + 1)\right)^{-\frac{1}{p}} \|g\|_{L^q}$  for  $\beta > 0$ .
- (3)  $\|e^{-tH^\beta} g\|_{\exp L^p} \leq \frac{C}{(\log 2)^{\frac{1}{p}}} \left[t^{-\frac{d}{2\beta r}} \|g\|_{L^r} + \|g\|_{L^q}\right]$  for  $0 < \beta \leq 1$ .

*Proof.* (1) By Taylor expansion and Theorem 2.1, for  $\lambda > 0$ , we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left( \exp \left| \frac{e^{-tH^\beta} g}{\lambda} \right|^p - 1 \right) dx \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{C^{pk} \|g\|_{L^{pk}}^{pk}}{k! \lambda^{pk}} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left( \exp \left| \frac{Cg}{\lambda} \right|^p - 1 \right) dx.$$

Thus, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|e^{-tH^\beta} g\|_{\exp L^p} &= \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left( \exp \left| \frac{e^{-tH^\beta} g}{\lambda} \right|^p - 1 \right) dx \leq 1 \right\} \\ &\leq \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left( \exp \left| \frac{Cg}{\lambda} \right|^p - 1 \right) dx \leq 1 \right\} = C \|g\|_{\exp L^p}. \end{aligned}$$

(2) By Theorem 2.1 for  $q \leq p$ , we infer

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left( \exp \left| \frac{e^{-tH^\beta} g}{\lambda} \right|^p - 1 \right) dx &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{C^{pk} t^{-\frac{d}{2\beta} (\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{pk}) pk} \|g\|_{L^q}^{pk}}{k! \lambda^{pk}} \\ &= t^{\frac{d}{2\beta}} \left( \exp \left( \frac{C t^{-\frac{d}{2\beta q}} \|f\|_{L^q}}{\lambda} \right)^p - 1 \right). \end{aligned}$$

This leads to

$$\|e^{-tH^\beta} f\|_{\exp L^p} \leq C t^{-\frac{d}{2\beta q}} \left(\log(t^{-\frac{d}{2\beta}} + 1)\right)^{-\frac{1}{p}} \|f\|_{L^q}.$$

(3) By Lemma 2.1 (1), we have

$$\|e^{-tH^\beta} f\|_{\exp L^p} \leq \frac{1}{(\log 2)^{\frac{1}{p}}} \left( \|e^{-tH^\beta} f\|_{L^q} + \|e^{-tH^\beta} f\|_{L^\infty} \right).$$

Owing to Theorem 2.1, we obtain

$$\|e^{-tH^\beta} f\|_{\exp L^p} \leq \frac{C}{(\log 2)^{\frac{1}{p}}} \left( \|f\|_{L^q} + t^{-\frac{d}{2\beta r}} \|f\|_{L^r} \right).$$

□

To address the local well-posedness, we additionally require the following smoothing estimate.

**Proposition 2.2.** *Let  $1 \leq p < \infty$  and  $\beta > 0$ . If  $g \in \exp L_0^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , then*

$$e^{-tH^\beta} g \in C([0, \infty), \exp L_0^p(\mathbb{R}^d)).$$

*Proof.* The proof of this result uses similar idea as in [7, 22]. By density of  $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$  in  $\exp L_0^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , it suffices to show that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \|e^{-tH^\beta} g - g\|_{L^q} = 0 \quad (2.5)$$

for all  $g \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and  $1 \leq q \leq \infty$ . We note that

$$e^{-tH^\beta} g(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} e^{-t(2k+d)\beta} P_k g(x), \quad P_k g = \sum_{|\alpha|=k} \langle g, \Phi_\alpha \rangle \Phi_\alpha,$$

where  $\Phi_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d$ , are the normalised Hermite functions. Thanks to [29, Lemma 1.5.2], we have the estimate

$$\|\Phi_\alpha\|_{L^q} \leq C(1 + |\alpha|)^{\frac{d}{4}}, \quad \forall 1 \leq q \leq \infty, \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d. \quad (2.6)$$

We emphasise that (2.6) was obtained in [29] for dimension  $d = 1$ . The  $d$ -dimensional estimate (2.6) easily follows by observing that  $\Phi_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d$  are the tensor product of one dimensional Hermite functions. Now, since  $H\Phi_\alpha = (2|\alpha| + d)\Phi_\alpha$ , an integration by parts yields

$$\langle g, \Phi_\alpha \rangle = (d + 2|\alpha|)^{-N} \langle H^N g, \Phi_\alpha \rangle, \quad N \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Owing to  $H^N g \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and using Hölder's inequality, we obtain that

$$|\langle g, \Phi_\alpha \rangle| \leq C(d + 2|\alpha|)^{-N + \frac{d}{4}} \|H^N g\|_{L^{q'}}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \|P_k g\|_{L^q} &\leq C \sum_{|\alpha|=k} (d + 2|\alpha|)^{-N + \frac{d}{2}} \|H^N g\|_{L^{q'}} \\ &\leq C(d + k)^{-N + \frac{d}{2}} \sum_{|\alpha|=k} 1 \\ &\leq C(d + k)^{-N + \frac{3d}{2} - 1}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the fact that

$$\sum_{|\alpha|=k} 1 = \binom{k + d - 1}{k} \lesssim (d + k)^{d-1}.$$

Since  $g \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|e^{-tH^\beta} g - g\|_{L^q} &= \left\| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} [e^{-t(2k+d)^\beta} P_k g - P_k g] \right\|_{L^q} \\ &\leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(1 - e^{-t(2k+d)^\beta}\right) \|P_k g\|_{L^q} \\ &\leq C \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(1 - e^{-t(2k+d)^\beta}\right) (d+k)^{-N+\frac{3d}{2}-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

Therefore, by taking  $N$  large enough and the limit as  $t \rightarrow 0$  in (2.7), we infer

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \|e^{-tH^\beta} g - g\|_{L^q} = 0.$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

As a consequence, we have the following:

**Corollary 2.1.** *Let  $0 < \beta \leq 1$ ,  $p > 1$ ,  $d > \frac{2\beta p}{p-1}$ ,  $r > \frac{d}{2\beta}$ . Then, for every  $g \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap L^r(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , we have*

$$\|e^{-tH^\beta} g\|_{\exp L^p} \leq \kappa(t) [\|g\|_{L^1} + \|g\|_{L^r}], \quad \forall t > 0,$$

where  $\kappa \in L^1(0, \infty)$  is given by

$$\kappa(t) = \frac{C}{(\log 2)^{\frac{1}{p}}} \min \left\{ t^{-\frac{d}{2\beta r}} + 1, t^{-\frac{d}{2\beta}} (\log(t^{-\frac{d}{2\beta}} + 1))^{-\frac{1}{p}} \right\}.$$

*Proof.* By Proposition 2.1 (2) with  $q = 1$ , we have

$$\|e^{-tH^\beta} g\|_{\exp L^p} \leq C t^{-\frac{d}{2\beta}} \left( \log(t^{-\frac{d}{2\beta}} + 1) \right)^{-\frac{1}{p}} \|g\|_{L^1}. \quad (2.8)$$

On the other hand, by Proposition 2.1 (3) with  $q = 1$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|e^{-tH^\beta} g\|_{\exp L^p} &\leq \frac{C}{(\log 2)^{\frac{1}{p}}} \left[ t^{-\frac{d}{2\beta r}} \|g\|_{L^r} + \|g\|_{L^1} \right], \\ &\leq \frac{C}{(\log 2)^{\frac{1}{p}}} (t^{-\frac{d}{2\beta r}} + 1) [\|g\|_{L^r} + \|g\|_{L^1}]. \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

Combining (2.8) and (2.9), we obtain

$$\|e^{-tH^\beta} g\|_{\exp L^p} \leq \kappa(t) [\|g\|_{L^1} + \|g\|_{L^r}], \quad \forall t > 0.$$

Thanks to the assumptions  $d > \frac{2\beta p}{p-1}$  and  $r > \frac{d}{2\beta}$ , we see that  $\kappa \in L^1(0, \infty)$ .  $\square$

For  $d = \frac{2\beta p}{p-1}$ , we also have similar result in some suitable Orlicz space. Let  $\phi(s) := e^{s^p} - 1 - s^p$ ,  $s \geq 0$  and  $L^\phi$  be the associated Orlicz space endowed with the Luxemburg norm (2.1). From the definition, we have

$$C_1 \|g\|_{\exp L^p} \leq \|g\|_{L^p} + \|g\|_{L^\phi} \leq C_2 \|g\|_{\exp L^p}, \quad (2.10)$$

for some  $C_1, C_2 > 0$ .



**Corollary 2.2.** *Let  $0 < \beta \leq 1$ ,  $p > 1$ ,  $r > \frac{d}{2\beta} = \frac{p}{p-1}$ . For every  $g \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap L^{2p}(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap L^r(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , we have*

$$\|e^{-tH^\beta} g\|_{L^\phi} \leq \zeta(t) [\|g\|_{L^1} + \|g\|_{L^{2p}} + \|g\|_{L^r}], \quad \forall t > 0,$$

where  $\zeta \in L^1(0, \infty)$  is given by

$$\zeta(t) = \frac{C}{(\log 2)^{\frac{1}{p}}} \min \left\{ t^{-\frac{d}{2\beta r}} + 1, t^{-\frac{p}{p-1}} (\log(t^{-\frac{p}{p-1}} + 1))^{-\frac{1}{2p}} \right\}.$$

*Proof.* In view of Proposition 2.1, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \phi \left( \frac{|e^{-tH^\beta} g|}{\lambda} \right) dx &= \sum_{k \geq 2} \frac{\|e^{-tH^\beta} g\|_{L^{pk}}^{pk}}{\lambda^{pk} k!} \\ &\leq \sum_{k \geq 2} \frac{C^{pk} t^{-\frac{d}{2\beta}(1-\frac{1}{pk})pk} \|g\|_{L^1}^{pk}}{\lambda^{pk} k!} \\ &= \sum_{k \geq 2} \frac{C^{pk} t^{-\frac{p}{p-1}(1-\frac{1}{pk})pk} \|g\|_{L^1}^{pk}}{\lambda^{pk} k!} = t^{\frac{p}{p-1}} \phi \left( Ct^{-\frac{p}{p-1}} \frac{\|g\|_{L^1}}{\lambda} \right) \\ &\leq t^{\frac{p}{p-1}} \left( \exp \left\{ \left( Ct^{-\frac{p}{p-1}} \frac{\|g\|_{L^1}}{\lambda} \right)^{2p} \right\} - 1 \right). \end{aligned}$$

In the last step we have used the fact that  $e^s - 1 - s \leq e^{s^2} - 1$  for every  $s \geq 0$ . Thus we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \|e^{-tH^\beta} g\|_{L^\phi} &\leq \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : t^{\frac{p}{p-1}} \left( \exp \left\{ \left( Ct^{-\frac{p}{p-1}} \frac{\|g\|_{L^1}}{\lambda} \right)^{2p} \right\} - 1 \right) \leq 1 \right\} \\ &= Ct^{-\frac{p}{p-1}} \left( \log(t^{-\frac{p}{p-1}} + 1) \right)^{-\frac{1}{2p}} \|g\|_{L^1}. \end{aligned}$$

In view of the embedding  $L^{2p} \cap L^\infty \rightarrow L^\phi$ , we also have

$$\|e^{-tH^\beta} g\|_{L^\phi} \leq (\log 2)^{-\frac{1}{p}} \left[ \|e^{-tH^\beta} g\|_{L^\infty} + \|e^{-tH^\beta} g\|_{L^{2p}} \right].$$

By utilizing Proposition 2.1 and selecting  $r > \frac{d}{2\beta} = \frac{p}{p-1}$ , we deduce that

$$\|e^{-tH^\beta} g\|_{L^\phi} \leq (\log 2)^{-\frac{1}{p}} \left[ t^{-\frac{d}{2\beta r}} \|g\|_{L^r} + \|g\|_{L^{2p}} \right].$$

Combining above inequalities, we get

$$\|e^{-tH^\beta} g\|_{L^\phi} \leq \zeta(t) [\|g\|_{L^1} + \|g\|_{L^{2p}} + \|g\|_{L^r}], \quad \forall t > 0.$$

Since  $\frac{d}{2\beta r} < 1$  and  $\frac{p}{p-1} - \frac{p}{p-1} \frac{1}{2p} = \frac{2p}{2(p-1)} > 1$ , we see that  $\zeta \in L^1(0, \infty)$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.2.** [4, Lemma 4.1.5]. *Let  $X$  be a Banach space and  $g \in L^1(0, T; X)$ . Then, for any  $\beta > 0$ , we have*

$$t \mapsto \int_0^t e^{-(t-\tau)H^\beta} g(\tau) d\tau \in C([0, T]; X).$$

**Proposition 2.3.** [23, Proposition 2.9]. *Let  $1 \leq p < \infty$  and  $u \in C([0, T]; \exp L_0^p(\mathbb{R}^d))$  for some  $T > 0$ . Then, for every  $\lambda > 0$ , we have*

$$(e^{\lambda|u|^p} - 1) \in C([0, T], L^r(\mathbb{R}^d)), \quad 1 \leq r < \infty.$$

**Corollary 2.3** ([23]). *Let  $1 \leq p < \infty$  and  $u \in C([0, T]; \exp L_0^p(\mathbb{R}^d))$  for some  $T > 0$ . Assume that  $f$  satisfies (1.2). Then, for every  $p \leq r < \infty$ , we have*

$$f(u) \in C([0, T]; L^r(\mathbb{R}^d)).$$

To prove the global existence results, the following estimate of the nonlinear term will be handy later.

**Lemma 2.3.** [23, Lemma 2.6, p. 2387]. *Let  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $1 \leq p, q < \infty$  and  $K > 0$  such that  $\lambda q K^p \leq 1$ . Assume that*

$$\|u\|_{\exp L^p} \leq K.$$

Then

$$\|e^{\lambda|u|^p} - 1\|_{L^q} \leq (\lambda q K^p)^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

**Lemma 2.4.** [23, Lemma 2.6], [7]. *Let  $m \geq p > 1$ ,  $a > \frac{p(m-1)}{p-1}$ . Define  $\sigma = \frac{1}{m-1} - \frac{d}{2\beta a}$ . Assume that  $d > \frac{2\beta p}{p-1}$ ,  $a < \frac{d(m-1)}{2\beta} \frac{1}{(2-m)_+}$ . Then there exist  $r, q, \{\theta_k\}_{k=0}^\infty, \{\rho_k\}_{k=0}^\infty$  such that  $1 < r \leq a$ ,  $q \geq 1$  and  $\frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{q}$ ,  $0 < \theta_k < 1$  and  $\frac{1}{q(pk+m-1)} = \frac{\theta_k}{a} + \frac{1-\theta_k}{\rho_k}$ ,  $p \leq \rho_k < \infty$ ,  $\frac{d}{2\beta}(\frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{a}) < 1$ ,*

$$\sigma[1 + \theta_k(pk + m - 1)] < 1.$$

$$1 - \frac{d}{2\beta} \left( \frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{a} \right) - \sigma \theta_k(pk + m - 1) = 0.$$

### 3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

We closely follow the method of proof outlined in [7, Theorem 1.3] and previous works such as [19, 22, 23]. Therefore, we will provide a brief sketch of the proof.

The main idea is to decompose the initial data  $u_0 \in \exp L_0^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , using the density of  $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , into a small part in  $\exp L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and a smooth one. Let  $u_0 \in \exp L_0^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . Then by density, for every  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists  $v_0 \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$  such that  $u_0 = v_0 + w_0$  with

$$\|w_0\|_{\exp L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq \epsilon.$$

In order to study the problem (1.1), we consider the following two problems:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t v + (-\Delta + |x|^2)^\beta v = f(v), \\ v(x, 0) = v_0 \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d), \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

and

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t w + (-\Delta + |x|^2)^\beta w = f(w + v) - f(v), \\ w(x, 0) = w_0, \|w_0\|_{\exp L^p} \leq \epsilon. \end{cases} \quad (3.2)$$

We observe that when  $v$  and  $w$  are mild solutions of (3.1) and (3.2) respectively, the function  $u = v + w$  satisfies (1.1) as a mild solution. We will now establish the local well-posedness for (3.1) and (3.2).

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $v_0 \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ,  $p > 1, \beta > 0$ . Assume that  $f$  satisfies (1.2). Then there exists  $T = T(v_0) > 0$  and a mild solution  $v \in C([0, T]; \exp L_0^p(\mathbb{R}^d)) \cap L^\infty(0, T; L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d))$  of (3.1).*

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $w_0 \in \exp L_0^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ,  $p > 1, \beta > 0$ . Assume that  $f$  satisfies (1.2). Let  $T > 0$  and  $v \in L^\infty(0, T; L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d))$  be given in Lemma 3.1. Then for  $\|w_0\|_{\exp L^p} \leq \epsilon$  with  $\epsilon \ll 1$  small enough, there exists  $\tilde{T} = \tilde{T}(w_0, \epsilon, v) > 0$  and a mild solution  $w \in C([0, \tilde{T}]; \exp L_0^p(\mathbb{R}^d))$  of (3.2).*

To prove the lemmas mentioned above, we require the following result.

**Lemma 3.3.** *[23, Lemma 4.4] Let  $v \in L^\infty(0, T; L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d))$  for some  $T > 0$ . Let  $1 < p \leq q < \infty$ , and  $w_1, w_2 \in \exp L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$  with  $\|w_1\|_{\exp L^p}, \|w_2\|_{\exp L^p} \leq M$  for sufficiently small  $M > 0$  (namely  $2^p \lambda q M^p \leq 1$ , where  $\lambda$  is given as in (1.2)). Then there exists a constant  $C_q > 0$  such that*

$$\|f(w_1 + v) - f(w_2 + v)\|_{L^q} \leq C_q e^{2^{p-1} \lambda \|v\|_\infty^p} \|w_1 - w_2\|_{\exp L^p}.$$

*Proof of Lemma 3.1.* We consider

$$Y_T := \left\{ v \in C([0, T], \exp L_0^p(\mathbb{R}^d)) \cap L^\infty(0, T; L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)) : \|v\|_{Y_T} \leq 2\|v_0\|_{L^p \cap L^\infty} \right\},$$

where  $\|v\|_{Y_T} := \|v\|_{L^\infty(0, T; L^p)} + \|v\|_{L^\infty(0, T; L^\infty)}$  and  $\|v_0\|_{L^p \cap L^\infty} := \|v_0\|_{L^p} + \|v_0\|_{L^\infty}$ . Put

$$\Phi(v) := e^{-tH^\beta} v_0 + \int_0^t e^{-(t-\tau)H^\beta} f(v(\tau)) d\tau.$$

Considering Lemma 2.1 (2), Proposition 2.2, Theorem 2.1 and Lemma 2.2, it is evident that  $\Phi$  is a mapping from  $Y_T$  to  $Y_T$ . By invoking Theorem 2.1 and following the reasoning presented in [7, Lemma 3.1], we can establish that  $\Phi$  exhibits contraction properties for small  $T > 0$ .

The application of the Banach fixed point theorem yields the desired result.  $\square$

*Proof of Lemma 3.2.* For  $\tilde{T} > 0$ , we consider

$$W_{\tilde{T}} = \left\{ w \in C([0, \tilde{T}], \exp L_0^p(\mathbb{R}^d)) : \|w\|_{L^\infty(0, \tilde{T}; \exp L_0^p)} \leq 2\epsilon \right\}.$$

Put

$$\tilde{\Phi}(w) := e^{-tH^\beta} w_0 + \int_0^t e^{-(t-\tau)H^\beta} [f(w(\tau) + v(\tau)) - f(v(\tau))] d\tau.$$

We shall prove that  $\tilde{\Phi} : W_{\tilde{T}} \rightarrow W_{\tilde{T}}$  is a contraction map for sufficiently small  $\epsilon$  and  $\tilde{T} > 0$ . To do that let  $w_1, w_2 \in W_{\tilde{T}}$ . By Lemma 2.1 (1), we get

$$\|\tilde{\Phi}(w_1) - \tilde{\Phi}(w_2)\|_{\exp L^p} \leq \frac{C}{(\ln 2)^{\frac{1}{p}}} \left( \|\tilde{\Phi}(w_1) - \tilde{\Phi}(w_2)\|_{L^p} + \|\tilde{\Phi}(w_1) - \tilde{\Phi}(w_2)\|_{L^\infty} \right).$$

In view of Theorem 2.1 and by invoking Lemma 3.3, we obtain

$$\|\tilde{\Phi}(w_1) - \tilde{\Phi}(w_2)\|_{L^\infty} \leq C e^{2^{p-1} \lambda \|v\|_\infty^p} \tilde{T}^{1-\frac{d}{2\beta r}} \|w_1 - w_2\|_{L^\infty(0, \tilde{T}; \exp L^p)},$$

where  $r > 0$  is an arbitrary constant such that  $r > \max\{p, \frac{d}{2\beta}\}$  and  $2^p \lambda r (2\epsilon)^p \leq 1$ . Similarly, we also obtain

$$\|\tilde{\Phi}(w_1) - \tilde{\Phi}(w_2)\|_{L^p} \leq C e^{2^{p-1} \lambda \|v\|_\infty^p} \tilde{T} \|w_1 - w_2\|_{L^\infty(0, \tilde{T}; \exp L^p)}.$$

Thus by choosing  $\epsilon \ll 1$  small, we infer that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tilde{\Phi}(w_1) - \tilde{\Phi}(w_2)\|_{\exp L^p} &\leq C e^{2^{p-1} \lambda \|v\|_\infty^p} (\tilde{T} + \tilde{T}^{1-\frac{d}{2\beta r}}) \|w_1 - w_2\|_{L^\infty(0, \tilde{T}; \exp L^p)} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \|w_1 - w_2\|_{L^\infty(0, \tilde{T}; \exp L^p)}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\tilde{T} \ll 1$  is chosen small enough such that  $Ce^{2^{p-1}\lambda\|v\|_\infty^p}(\tilde{T} + \tilde{T}^{1-\frac{d}{2\beta r}}) \leq \frac{1}{2}$ . By considering Propositions 2.1-2.2, and following the arguments presented in the proof of [7, Lemma 3.2], we obtain the desired result.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* To establish Theorem 1.1, we will utilize the results presented in Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.2. We choose  $T, \epsilon$ , and  $\tilde{T}$  in the following way. Let  $r > \max\{p, \frac{d}{2\beta}\}$  and fix  $\epsilon > 0$  such that  $2^p\lambda r(2\epsilon)^p \leq 1$ . In order to use Lemma 3.1, we first decompose  $u_0 = v_0 + w_0$  with  $v_0 \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and  $\|w_0\|_{\exp L^p} \leq \epsilon$  as before. Then by Lemma 3.1, there exist a time  $T > 0$  and a mild solution  $v \in C([0, T], \exp L_0^p(\mathbb{R}^d)) \cap L^\infty(0, T; L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d))$  of (3.1) such that

$$\|v\|_{L^\infty(0, T; L^p \cap L^\infty)} \leq 2\|v_0\|_{L^p \cap L^\infty}.$$

Next we choose  $\tilde{T} > 0$  such that  $\tilde{T} < T$  and

$$Ce^{2^{p-1}\lambda\|v\|_{L^p \cap L^\infty}^p}(\tilde{T} + \tilde{T}^{1-\frac{d}{2\beta r}}) \leq \frac{1}{2}.$$

Then by Lemma 3.2, there exists a mild solution  $w \in C([0, \tilde{T}], \exp L_0^p(\mathbb{R}^d))$  of (3.2). Hence  $u := v + w$  is a mild solution of (1.1) in  $C([0, \tilde{T}], \exp L_0^p(\mathbb{R}^d))$ . This proves the existence part. By incorporating Theorem 2.1 and Proposition 2.3, and closely following the proof methodology utilized in [7, Theorem 1.3], we can establish the uniqueness. Therefore, for brevity, we will omit the detailed explanation.  $\square$

#### 4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

*Proof of Theorem 1.2.* (1) : We closely follow the approach introduced in [7, Theorem 1.3] and draw inspiration from [19, 22, 23]. Specifically, we consider the associated integral equation

$$u(t) = e^{-tH^\beta} u_0 + \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)H^\beta} f(u(s)) ds \quad (4.1)$$

where  $\|u_0\|_{\exp L^p} \leq \epsilon$ , with small  $\epsilon > 0$  to be fixed later. The nonlinearity  $f$  satisfies  $f(0) = 0$  and

$$|f(u) - f(v)| \leq C|u - v| \left( |u|^{m-1} e^{\lambda|u|^p} + |v|^{m-1} e^{\lambda|v|^p} \right), \quad (4.2)$$

for some constants  $C > 0$  and  $\lambda > 0$ . Here  $p > 1$  and  $m$  is larger than  $1 + \frac{2p\beta}{d}$ . From (4.2), we see that

$$|f(u) - f(v)| \leq C|u - v| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} \left( |u|^{pk+m-1} + |v|^{pk+m-1} \right). \quad (4.3)$$

First, we focus on the proof of Theorem 1.2 (1).

Let  $M > 0$  and

$$Y_M = \left\{ u \in L^\infty \left( (0, \infty), \exp L^p(\mathbb{R}^d) \right) : \sup_{t>0} t^\sigma \|u(t)\|_{L^a} + \|u\|_{L^\infty((0, \infty), \exp L^p(\mathbb{R}^d))} \leq M \right\},$$

where  $a > \frac{d(m-1)}{2\beta} \geq p$  and  $\sigma = \frac{1}{(m-1)} - \frac{d}{2\beta a} = \frac{d}{2\beta} \left( \frac{2\beta}{d(m-1)} - \frac{1}{a} \right) > 0$ .

Let  $\rho(u, v) = \sup_{t>0} (t^\sigma \|u(t) - v(t)\|_{L^a})$ . It is easy to see that  $(Y_M, \rho)$  is a complete metric space.

Now, we define the function  $\Phi$  on  $Y_M$  as follows

$$\Phi[u](t) = e^{-tH^\beta} u_0 + \int_0^t e^{-(t-\tau)H^\beta} (f(u(\tau))) d\tau. \quad (4.4)$$

By Proposition 2.1 (1), Theorem 2.1 and Lemma 2.1 (3), we have

$$\|e^{-tH^\beta} u_0\|_{\exp L^p} \leq C \|u_0\|_{\exp L^p}, \quad (4.5)$$

and

$$t^\sigma \|e^{-tH^\beta} u_0\|_{L^a} \leq C t^\sigma t^{-\frac{d}{2\beta}(\frac{2\beta}{d(m-1)} - \frac{1}{a})} \|u_0\|_{L^{\frac{d(m-1)}{2\beta}}} = C \|u_0\|_{L^{\frac{d(m-1)}{2\beta}}} \leq C \|u_0\|_{\exp L^p}, \quad (4.6)$$

where we have used  $1 < p \leq \frac{d(m-1)}{2\beta} < a$ .

In the subsequent analysis, we will consider and address the cases where  $d > \frac{2\beta p}{p-1}$ ,  $d = \frac{2\beta p}{p-1}$ , and  $d < \frac{2\beta p}{p-1}$  separately.

**4.1. The case  $d > \frac{2\beta p}{p-1}$ .** Let  $u \in Y_M$ . Then by Proposition 2.1 and Corollary 2.1, we obtain for  $q > \frac{d}{2\beta}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Phi(u)(t)\|_{\exp L^p} &\leq \|e^{-tH^\beta} u_0\|_{\exp L^p} + \left\| \int_0^t e^{-(t-\tau)H^\beta} (f(u(\tau))) d\tau \right\|_{\exp L^p} \\ &\leq C \|u_0\|_{\exp L^p} + \int_0^t \|e^{-(t-\tau)H^\beta} (f(u(\tau)))\|_{\exp L^p} d\tau \\ &\leq C \|u_0\|_{\exp L^p} + \int_0^t \kappa(t-\tau) \|f(u(\tau))\|_{L^1 \cap L^q} d\tau \\ &\leq C \|u_0\|_{\exp L^p} + \|f(u(\tau))\|_{L^\infty(0,\infty;(L^1 \cap L^q))} \int_0^\infty \kappa(\tau) d\tau \\ &\leq C \|u_0\|_{\exp L^p} + C \|f(u(\tau))\|_{L^\infty(0,\infty;(L^1 \cap L^q))}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\kappa(\tau)$  is as in Corollary 2.1.

By (1.3), we have

$$|f(u)| \leq C |u|^m (e^{\lambda|u|^p} - 1) + C |u|^m, \quad m \geq p.$$

In view of Hölder's inequality and Lemma 2.1(3), for  $1 \leq r \leq q$ ,  $m \geq p$ , we get

$$\|f(u)\|_{L^r} \leq C \|u\|_{\exp L^p}^m (\|e^{\lambda|u|^p} - 1\|_{L^{2r}} + 1). \quad (4.7)$$

By applying Lemma 2.3 and considering the fact that  $u \in Y_M$ , we can deduce the following inequality when  $2q\lambda M^p \leq 1$

$$\|f(u)\|_{L^\infty(0,\infty;L^r)} \leq CM^m. \quad (4.8)$$

Finally, we obtain that

$$\|\Phi(u)\|_{L^\infty(0,\infty,\exp L^p)} \leq C \|u_0\|_{\exp L^p} + CM^m \leq C\epsilon + CM^m.$$

Let  $u, v$  be two elements of  $Y_M$ . By using (4.3) and Proposition 2.1, one gets

$$t^\sigma \|\Phi(u)(t) - \Phi(v)(t)\|_{L^a} \leq C\rho(u, v) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (C\lambda)^k M^{pk+m-1}. \quad (4.9)$$

Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} t^\sigma \|\Phi(u)(t) - \Phi(v)(t)\|_{L^a} &\leq t^\sigma \int_0^t \left\| e^{-(t-\tau)H^\beta} (f(u(\tau)) - f(v(\tau))) \right\|_{L^a} d\tau \\ &\leq C \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} t^\sigma \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{-\frac{d}{2\beta}(\frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{a})} \|(u-v)(|u|^{pk+m-1} + |v|^{pk+m-1})\|_{L^r} d\tau, \end{aligned}$$

$1 \leq r \leq a$ . Applying Hölder's inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &t^\sigma \|\Phi(u)(t) - \Phi(v)(t)\|_{L^a} \\ &\leq C \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} t^\sigma \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{-\frac{d}{2\beta}(\frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{a})} \|(u-v)\|_{L^a} \left( |u|^{pk+m-1} + |v|^{pk+m-1} \right)_{L^q} d\tau \\ &\leq C \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} t^\sigma \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{-\frac{d}{2\beta}(\frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{a})} \|(u-v)\|_{L^a} \left[ \|u\|_{L^q}^{pk+m-1} + \|v\|_{L^q}^{pk+m-1} \right] d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

Using interpolation inequality with  $\frac{1}{q(pk+m-1)} = \frac{\theta}{a} + \frac{1-\theta}{\rho}$ ,  $0 \leq \theta \leq 1$  and  $p \leq \rho < \infty$ , we find that

$$\begin{aligned} &t^\sigma \|\Phi(u)(t) - \Phi(v)(t)\|_{L^a} \\ &\leq C \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} t^\sigma \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{-\frac{d}{2\beta}(\frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{a})} \|(u-v)\|_{L^a} \\ &\quad \left[ \|u\|_{L^a}^{(pk+m-1)\theta} \|u\|_{L^\rho}^{(pk+m-1)(1-\theta)} + \|v\|_{L^a}^{(pk+m-1)\theta} \|v\|_{L^\rho}^{(pk+m-1)(1-\theta)} \right] d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

Owing to Lemma 2.1, we infer

$$\begin{aligned} &t^\sigma \|\Phi(u)(t) - \Phi(v)(t)\|_{L^a} \\ &\leq C \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} t^\sigma \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{-\frac{d}{2\beta}(\frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{a})} \|(u-v)\|_{L^a} \Gamma\left(\frac{\rho}{p} + 1\right)^{\frac{(pk+m-1)(1-\theta)}{\rho}} \\ &\quad \left[ \|u\|_{L^a}^{(pk+m-1)\theta} \|u\|_{\exp L^p}^{(pk+m-1)(1-\theta)} + \|v\|_{L^a}^{(pk+m-1)\theta} \|v\|_{\exp L^p}^{(pk+m-1)(1-\theta)} \right] d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $u, v \in Y_M$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& t^\sigma \|\Phi(u)(t) - \Phi(v)(t)\|_{L^a} \\
& \leq C \rho(u, v) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} \Gamma\left(\frac{\rho}{p} + 1\right) \frac{(pk+m-1)(1-\theta)}{\rho} M^{pk+m-1} \\
& \quad \times t^\sigma \left( \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{-\frac{d}{2\beta}(\frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{a})} \tau^{-\sigma(1+(pk+m-1)\theta)} d\tau \right) \\
& \leq C \rho(u, v) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} \Gamma\left(\frac{\rho}{p} + 1\right) \frac{(pk+m-1)(1-\theta)}{\rho} M^{pk+m-1} \\
& \quad \times \mathcal{B}\left(1 - \frac{d}{2\beta} \left(\frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{a}\right), 1 - \sigma(1 + (pk + m - 1)\theta)\right),
\end{aligned}$$

where the parameters  $a, q, r, \theta = \theta_k, \rho = \rho_k$  are given in Lemma 2.4. For these parameters one see that

$$\mathcal{B}\left(1 - \frac{d}{2\beta} \left(\frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{a}\right), 1 - \sigma(1 + (pk + m - 1)\theta)\right) \leq C$$

and

$$\Gamma\left(\frac{\rho_k}{p} + 1\right) \frac{(pk+m-1)(1-\theta_k)}{\rho_k} \leq C^k k!.$$

Combining the above estimates we obtain (4.9). Hence, we get for  $M$  small,

$$\rho(\Phi(u), \Phi(v)) \leq CM^{m-1} \rho(u, v) \leq \frac{1}{2} \rho(u, v).$$

The above estimates show that  $\Phi : Y_M \rightarrow Y_M$  is a contraction mapping for  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $M$  sufficiently small. By Banach's fixed point theorem, we thus obtain the existence of a unique  $u$  in  $Y_M$  with  $\Phi(u) = u$ . By (4.4),  $u$  solves the integral equation (4.1) with  $f$  satisfying (4.2). The estimate (1.5) follows from  $u \in Y_M$ . This completes the proof of the existence of a global solution to (4.1) for  $d > 2\beta p/(p-1)$ .

**4.2. The case  $d < \frac{2\beta p}{p-1}$ .** We shall first establish the following two inequalities:

$$\left\| \int_0^t e^{-(t-\tau)H^\beta} f(u(\tau)) d\tau \right\|_{L^\infty(0, \infty; \exp L^p)} \leq C_1(M). \quad (4.10)$$

and

$$\sup_{t>0} t^\sigma \left\| \int_0^t e^{-(t-\tau)H^\beta} (f(u) - f(v)) d\tau \right\|_{L^a} \leq C_2(M) \sup_{\tau>0} (\tau^\sigma \|u(\tau) - v(\tau)\|_{L^a}), \quad (4.11)$$

where  $u, v \in Y_M$  and  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  are small when  $M$  is small. To prove these estimates, we first note that

$$\left( \log((t-\tau)^{-\frac{d}{2\beta}} + 1) \right)^{-\frac{1}{p}} \leq 2^{\frac{1}{p}} (t-\tau)^{\frac{d}{2\beta p}} \text{ for } 0 \leq \tau < t - \eta^{-\frac{2\beta}{d}}, \quad (4.12)$$

where  $\eta = \inf \{z \geq 1 : z > 2 \log(1+z)\}$ . Thus, by Proposition 2.1 (3), we obtain for  $r > \frac{d}{2\beta}$  and  $0 < t \leq \eta^{-\frac{2\beta}{d}}$ ,

$$\left\| \int_0^t e^{-(t-\tau)H^\beta} f(u(\tau)) d\tau \right\|_{\exp L^p} \leq C \int_0^t ((t-\tau)^{-\frac{d}{2\beta r}} + 1) \|f(u(\tau))\|_{L^r \cap L^1} d\tau \leq C \sup_{t>0} \|f(u(\tau))\|_{L^r \cap L^1}.$$

For  $t > \eta^{-\frac{2\beta}{d}}$  and  $1 \leq q \leq p$ , we write

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \int_0^t e^{-(t-\tau)H^\beta} f(u(\tau)) d\tau \right\|_{\exp L^p} \\ & \leq \int_0^{t-\eta^{-\frac{2\beta}{d}}} \|e^{-(t-\tau)H^\beta} f(u(\tau))\|_{\exp L^p} d\tau + \int_{t-\eta^{-\frac{2\beta}{d}}}^t \|e^{-(t-\tau)H^\beta} f(u(\tau))\|_{\exp L^p} d\tau \\ & \leq \int_0^{t-\eta^{-\frac{2\beta}{d}}} (t-\tau)^{-\frac{d}{2\beta q}} (\log((t-s)^{-\frac{d}{2\beta}} + 1))^{-\frac{1}{p}} \|f(u(\tau))\|_{L^q} d\tau \\ & \quad + \int_{t-\eta^{-\frac{2\beta}{d}}}^t ((t-\tau)^{-\frac{d}{2\beta r}} + 1) \|f(u(\tau))\|_{L^r \cap L^1} d\tau \\ & \leq C \int_0^{t-\eta^{-\frac{2\beta}{d}}} (t-\tau)^{-\frac{d}{2\beta q} + \frac{d}{2\beta p}} \|f(u(\tau))\|_{L^q} d\tau + C \sup_{t>0} \|f(u(\tau))\|_{L^r \cap L^1} := \mathbf{I} + \mathbf{J}. \end{aligned}$$

Similar to the analysis of [7, 24], we obtain for small  $M$  and  $u \in Y_M$ ,  $\mathbf{I} \leq CM^m$  and  $\mathbf{J} \leq CM^m$ .

Finally, we get

$$\left\| \int_0^t e^{-(t-\tau)H^\beta} f(u(\tau)) d\tau \right\|_{\exp L^p} \leq CM^m. \quad (4.13)$$

To estimate (4.11), we again use Proposition 2.1. This leads to

$$\begin{aligned} & t^\sigma \left\| \int_0^t e^{-(t-\tau)H^\beta} (f(u) - f(v)) d\tau \right\|_{L^a} \\ & \leq C \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} t^\sigma \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{-\frac{d}{2\beta}(\frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{a})} \|(u-v)(|u|^{pk+m-1} + |v|^{pk+m-1})\|_{L^r} d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

Applying Hölder's inequality, we infer

$$\begin{aligned} & t^\sigma \left\| \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)H^\beta} (f(u(\tau)) - f(v(\tau))) d\tau \right\|_{L^a} \\ & \leq C \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} t^\sigma \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{-\frac{d}{2\beta}(\frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{a})} \|(u-v)\|_{L^a} (|u|^{pk+m-1} + |v|^{pk+m-1})\|_{L^q} d\tau \\ & \leq C \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} t^\sigma \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{-\frac{d}{2\beta}(\frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{a})} \|(u-v)\|_{L^a} [\|u\|_{L^q}^{pk+m-1} + \|v\|_{L^q}^{pk+m-1}] d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

Arguing as in [7, 24], we get for small  $M$ ,

$$t^\sigma \left\| \int_0^t e^{-(t-\tau)H^\beta} (f(u) - f(v)) d\tau \right\|_{L^a} \leq CM^{m-1} d(u, v).$$

This together with (4.13) and (4.6) concludes the proof of global existence for dimensions  $d < 2\beta p/(p-1)$ .



4.3. **The case**  $d = \frac{2\beta p}{p-1}$ . Let  $u, v \in Y_M$ . By using (4.3) and Proposition 2.1, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & t^\sigma \|\Phi(u)(t) - \Phi(v)(t)\|_{L^a} \\ & \leq t^\sigma \int_0^t \left\| e^{-(t-\tau)H^\beta} (f(u) - f(v)) \right\|_{L^a} d\tau \\ & \leq t^\sigma \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{-\frac{d}{2\beta}(\frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{a})} \|(f(u(\tau)) - f(v(\tau)))\|_{L^r} d\tau \\ & \leq C \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} t^\sigma \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{-\frac{d}{2\beta}(\frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{a})} \|(u-v)(|u|^{pk+m-1}) + |v|^{pk+m-1}\|_{L^r} d\tau, \end{aligned}$$

where  $1 \leq r \leq a$ . Applying Hölder's inequality, we infer

$$\begin{aligned} & t^\sigma \|\Phi(u)(t) - \Phi(v)(t)\|_{L^a} \\ & \leq C \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} t^\sigma \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{-\frac{d}{2\beta}(\frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{a})} \|(u-v)\|_{L^a} \|( |u|^{pk+m-1} + |v|^{pk+m-1} )\|_{L^q} d\tau \\ & \leq C \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} t^\sigma \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{-\frac{d}{2\beta}(\frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{a})} \|(u-v)\|_{L^a} \|u\|_{L^q(pk+m-1)}^{pk+m-1} + \|v\|_{L^q(pk+m-1)}^{pk+m-1} d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

Similar calculation yields,

$$t^\sigma \|\Phi(u)(t) - \Phi(v)(t)\|_{L^a} \leq CM^{m-1} \sup_{\tau>0} (\tau^\sigma \|(u-v)\|_{L^a}) = CM^{m-1} \rho(u, v). \quad (4.14)$$

Here, we rely on the crucial fact that  $a$  satisfies  $\frac{d}{2\beta}(m-1) < a < \frac{d}{2\beta}(m-1)\frac{1}{(2-m)_+}$ . Now we estimate  $\|\Phi(u)(t)\|_{L^\infty(0,\infty;\exp L^p)}$ . By (4.5) and (2.10),

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Phi(u)\|_{L^\infty(0,\infty;\exp L^p)} & \leq \|e^{-tH^\beta} u_0\|_{L^\infty(0,\infty;\exp L^p)} + \left\| \int_0^t e^{-(t-\tau)H^\beta} (f(u(\tau))) d\tau \right\|_{L^\infty(0,\infty;\exp L^p)} \\ & \leq C \|u_0\|_{\exp L^p} + \left\| \int_0^t e^{-(t-\tau)H^\beta} (f(u(\tau))) d\tau \right\|_{L^\infty(0,\infty;L^\phi)} + \left\| \int_0^t e^{-(t-\tau)H^\beta} (f(u(\tau))) d\tau \right\|_{L^\infty(0,\infty;L^p)}. \end{aligned}$$

By using Corollary 2.2, we obtain

$$\left\| \int_0^t e^{-(t-\tau)H^\beta} (f(u(\tau))) d\tau \right\|_{L^\infty(0,\infty;L^\phi)} \leq CM^m. \quad (4.15)$$

Owing to (4.3) and Proposition 2.1, we get

$$\left\| \int_0^t e^{-(t-\tau)H^\beta} (f(u(\tau))) d\tau \right\|_{L^p} \leq C \int_0^t \|f(u(\tau))\|_{L^p} d\tau.$$

Likewise, we obtain

$$\left\| \int_0^t e^{-(t-\tau)H^\beta} (f(u(\tau))) d\tau \right\|_{L^\infty(0,\infty;L^p)} \leq CM^m. \quad (4.16)$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$\|\Phi(u)\|_{L^\infty(0,\infty;\exp L^p)} \leq C \|u_0\|_{\exp L^p} + 2CM^m.$$

By utilizing (4.6), we obtain the following estimate

$$t^\sigma \|\Phi(u)\|_{L^p} \leq \|u_0\|_{\exp L^p} + CM^m.$$

By selecting sufficiently small values for  $M$  and  $\epsilon$ , we ensure that  $\Phi$  maps  $Y_M$  to itself. Furthermore, leveraging the inequality (4.14), we can establish that  $\Phi$  is a contraction mapping on  $Y_M$ . Consequently, the conclusion follows directly from the Banach fixed point theorem.

**4.4. Proof of Theorem 1.2.** Let  $q \geq \max(\frac{d}{2\beta}, p)$ . By Proposition 2.1, we write

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(t) - e^{-tH^\beta} u_0\|_{\exp L^p} &\leq \int_0^t \left\| e^{-(t-\tau)H^\beta} (f(u(\tau))) \right\|_{\exp L^p} d\tau \\ &\leq C \int_0^t \left\| e^{-(t-\tau)H^\beta} (f(u(\tau))) \right\|_{L^p} d\tau + C \int_0^t \left\| e^{-(t-\tau)H^\beta} (f(u(\tau))) \right\|_{L^\infty} d\tau \\ &\leq C \int_0^t \|f(u(\tau))\|_{L^p} d\tau + C \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{-\frac{d}{2\beta q}} \|f(u(\tau))\|_{L^q} d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

It can be readily observed that for  $r = p, q$ , we have

$$\|f(u(\tau))\|_{L^r} \leq C \|u\|_{\exp L^p}^m.$$

Finally,

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(t) - e^{-tH^\beta} u_0\|_{\exp L^p} &\leq C \int_0^t \left( C \|u(\tau)\|_{\exp L^p}^m + (t-\tau)^{-\frac{d}{2\beta q}} C \|u(\tau)\|_{\exp L^p}^m \right) d\tau \\ &\leq C t \|u\|_{L^\infty(0, \infty; \exp L^p)}^m + C t^{1-\frac{d}{2\beta q}} \|u\|_{L^\infty(0, \infty; \exp L^p)}^m \\ &\leq C_1 t + C_2 t^{1-\frac{d}{2\beta q}}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $C_1, C_2 > 0$  are constants. Consequently, we can conclude that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \|u(t) - e^{-tH^\beta} u_0\|_{\exp L^p} = 0$ . Additionally, it is worth noting that the convergence  $u(t) \rightarrow u_0$  as  $t \rightarrow 0$  in the weak\* topology has been established in the analysis presented in [18]. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.2.  $\square$

## 5. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.3

To begin, we construct an initial data that exhibits diverging integrability.

**Lemma 5.1.** *Let  $\alpha > 0$ ,  $p > 1$ , and*

$$u_0(x) := \begin{cases} \alpha (-\log |x|)^{\frac{1}{p}} & \text{if } |x| < 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } |x| \geq 1. \end{cases} \quad (5.1)$$

*Then, for every  $\lambda > 0$ , there exists some  $\tilde{\alpha} > 0$  such that*

$$\int_0^\epsilon \int_{B_r(0)} \exp(\lambda(e^{-tH} u_0)^p) dx dt = \infty,$$

*for every  $\alpha > \tilde{\alpha}$ ,  $\epsilon > 0$ , and  $r > 0$ , where  $B_r(0) \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  is the ball centered at the origin with radius  $r > 0$ .*

*Proof.* Let us recall that the Weyl symbol of the Hermite semigroup  $e^{-tH}$  is given by

$$e^{-tH} f(x) = C_d (\sinh(2t))^{-\frac{d}{2}} e^{-\frac{\tanh t}{2} |x|^2} \left( e^{-\frac{1}{2 \sinh 2t} |\cdot|^2} * g \right) (x) \quad (5.2)$$

where  $g(x) = e^{-\frac{\tanh t}{2} |x|^2} f(x)$ , see [2, Eq. (3.3)].

Fix  $1 > \epsilon > 0, r > 0$ . Let  $\rho = \min\{r, \frac{1}{4}\}$ . Then  $B_{|x|}(3x) \subset B_1(0)$  for every  $|x| < \rho$ . Therefore, for any  $|x| < \rho$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-tH}u_0(x) &= C_d(\sinh(2t))^{-\frac{d}{2}} e^{-\frac{\tanh t}{2}|x|^2} \int_{|y|<1} \left( e^{-\frac{1}{2\sinh 2t}|x-y|^2} e^{-\frac{\tanh t}{2}|y|^2} u_0(y) \right) dy \\ &\geq C_d \alpha (\sinh(2t))^{-\frac{d}{2}} e^{-\frac{\tanh t}{2}|x|^2} \int_{B_{|x|}(3x)} \left( e^{-\frac{1}{2\sinh 2t}|x-y|^2} e^{-\frac{\tanh t}{2}|y|^2} (-\log |y|)^{\frac{1}{p}} \right) dy. \end{aligned}$$

For  $y \in B_{|x|}(3x)$ , we have  $2|x| \leq |y| \leq 4|x|$  and  $|x| \leq |x-y| \leq 3|x|$  and thus

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-tH}u_0(x) &\geq C_d \alpha (\sinh(2t))^{-\frac{d}{2}} e^{-\frac{\tanh t}{2}|x|^2} \int_{B_{|x|}(3x)} \left( e^{-\frac{1}{2\sinh 2t}|x-y|^2} e^{-\frac{\tanh t}{2}|y|^2} (-\log |y|)^{\frac{1}{p}} \right) dy \\ &\geq C C_d \alpha \left( \frac{|x|^2}{\sinh(2t)} \right)^{\frac{d}{2}} e^{-\frac{\tanh t}{2}|x|^2} e^{-\frac{9}{2\sinh 2t}|x|^2} e^{-(8 \tanh t)|x|^2} (-\log(4|x|))^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\geq C \alpha \left( \frac{|x|^2}{t} \right)^{\frac{d}{2}} e^{-\frac{9}{4t}|x|^2} (-\log(4|x|))^{\frac{1}{p}}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.3}$$

Let  $\tilde{\epsilon} = \min\{\epsilon, \rho^2\}$ . Then for any  $0 < t < \tilde{\epsilon}$ , we have  $B_{\sqrt{t}}(0) \subset B_\rho(0)$ . Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\epsilon \int_{|x|<r} \exp(\lambda(e^{-tH}u_0)^p) dx dt &\geq \int_0^{\tilde{\epsilon}} \int_{\frac{\sqrt{t}}{2}<|x|<\sqrt{t}} \exp(\lambda(e^{-tH}u_0)^p) dx dt \\ &\geq \int_0^{\tilde{\epsilon}} \int_{\frac{\sqrt{t}}{2}<|x|<\sqrt{t}} \exp(-\lambda C \alpha^p \log(4|x|)) dx dt \\ &\geq C_{\alpha,\lambda} \int_0^{\tilde{\epsilon}} t^{\frac{d}{2} - \frac{\lambda C \alpha^p}{2}} dt = \infty, \end{aligned} \tag{5.4}$$

for  $\alpha \geq \alpha_0 := \left(\frac{d+2}{C\lambda}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$ . This completes the proof of Lemma 5.1.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.3.* Let us begin by noting that the function  $u_0$  defined in (5.1) belongs to  $\exp L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$  for any  $\alpha > 0$ . To establish the desired result, we will proceed by assuming the contrary. Specifically, suppose that there exists  $T > 0$  and a nonnegative classical solution  $u \in C([0, T]; \exp L^p(\mathbb{R}^d))$  to the equation (1.1). For any  $t > 0, \tau > 0, t + \tau < T$ , we have

$$u(t + \tau) = e^{-(t+\tau)H}u_0 + \int_0^{t+\tau} e^{-(t+\tau-s)H} f(u(s)) ds \geq e^{-tH}u(\tau),$$

since  $u \in \exp L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is a nonnegative classical solution to (1.1).

Next we shall show that  $u(t) \geq e^{-tH}u_0 \geq 0$ . To prove that we first see that as  $\tau \rightarrow 0$ , we have  $u(t + \tau) \rightarrow u(t)$ . Now, since

$$e^{-\frac{|x-y|^2}{2\sinh 2t}} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d) \subset L^1(\log L)^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^d),$$

for every  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $u(s)$  converges in weak\*-topology to  $u_0$ , we obtain that

$$e^{-tH}u(s, x) = C_d(\sinh(2t))^{-\frac{d}{2}} e^{-\frac{\tanh t}{2}|x|^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left( e^{-\frac{1}{2\sinh 2t}|x-y|^2} e^{-\frac{\tanh t}{2}|y|^2} u(s, y) \right) dy,$$

converges to

$$C_d(\sinh(2t))^{-\frac{d}{2}} e^{-\frac{\tanh t}{2}|x|^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left( e^{-\frac{1}{2\sinh 2t}|x-y|^2} e^{-\frac{\tanh t}{2}|y|^2} u_0(y) \right) dy,$$

as  $s \rightarrow 0$ . Since the initial data  $u_0$  is nonnegative, we obtain

$$u(t) \geq e^{-tH} u_0 \geq 0. \quad (5.5)$$

Let us choose  $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ,  $\phi \geq 0$  on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  and  $\phi \geq 1$  on  $B_r(0)$ . Since  $u$  is a nonnegative classical solution to (1.1), we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u \phi dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u(-H\phi) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(u) \phi dx \geq \int_{B_r(0)} f(u) dx.$$

Therefore integrating over  $\tau \in [\sigma, T']$ ,  $0 < \sigma < T' < T$ , we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u(T') \phi dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u(\sigma) \phi dx + \int_{\sigma}^{T'} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u(-H\phi) dx d\tau \geq \int_{\sigma}^{T'} \int_{B_r(0)} f(u(\tau)) dx d\tau.$$

Since  $u \in L^\infty(0, T'; \exp L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))$  and  $u(t) \rightarrow u_0$  in weak\* topology, by letting  $\sigma \rightarrow 0$ , we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u(T') \phi dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u_0 \phi dx + \int_0^{T'} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u(-H\phi) dx d\tau \geq \int_0^{T'} \int_{B_r(0)} f(u(\tau)) dx d\tau.$$

Hence

$$\int_0^{T'} \int_{B_r(0)} f(u(\tau)) dx d\tau < \infty. \quad (5.6)$$

Now, thanks to assumption (1.6), there are some positive constants  $C > 0$  and  $\eta_0 > 0$  such that

$$f(\eta) \geq C e^{\lambda \eta^p}, \quad \forall \eta > \eta_0. \quad (5.7)$$

Let us choose  $\rho < r$  and  $\tilde{\epsilon} < T'$  as in the proof of Lemma 5.1. Referring back to the proof of the previous Lemma and utilizing (5.3), we can deduce the following result

$$e^{-tH} u_0(x) \geq C \left( \log \frac{1}{4\sqrt{t}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \geq \eta_0 \quad (5.8)$$

if  $t > 0$  is small enough and  $x \in B_{\sqrt{t}}(0) \setminus B_{\sqrt{t}/2}(0) \subset B_\rho(0)$ .

Finally by (5.5), (5.7) and (5), we obtain that

$$\int_0^{T'} \int_{B_r(0)} f(u(\tau)) dx d\tau \geq C \int_0^{\tilde{\epsilon}} \int_{\sqrt{t}/2 \leq |x| \leq \sqrt{t}} \exp(\lambda(e^{-tH} u_0)^p) dx d\tau,$$

which contradicts (5.6) and (5.4) if  $\alpha > 0$  is sufficiently large. This finishes the proof of Theorem 1.3.  $\square$

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The third author is thankful for the research grants (DST/INSPIRE/04/2019/001914).

**Funding.** Funding information is not applicable / No funding was received.

**Declarations.** On behalf of all authors, the corresponding author states that there is no conflict of interest. No data-sets were generated or analyzed during the current study.

## REFERENCES

- [1] D. G. Bhimani, R. Manna, F. Nicola, S. Thangavelu and S. I. Trapasso, *Phase space analysis of the Hermite semigroup and applications to nonlinear global well-posedness*, Adv. Math., 392 (2021), Paper No. 107995, 18.
- [2] D. G. Bhimani, R. Manna, F. Nicola, S. Thangavelu and S. I. Trapasso, *On heat equations associated with fractional harmonic oscillators*, Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal., 26 (2023), 2470–2492.
- [3] H. Brezis and T. Cazenave, *A nonlinear heat equation with singular initial data*, Journal D’analyse Mathématique, 68 (1996), 277–304.
- [4] T. Cazenave and A. Haraux, *Introduction aux problèmes d’évolution semi-linéaires*, 1990, Ellipses.
- [5] J. Colliander, S. Ibrahim, M. Majdoub and N. Masmoudi, *Energy critical NLS in two space dimensions*, J. Hyperbolic Differ. Equ., 6 (2009), 549–575.
- [6] E. Cordero, *On the local well-posedness of the nonlinear heat equation associated to the fractional Hermite operator in modulation spaces*, J. Pseudo-Differ. Oper. Appl., 12 (2021), Paper No. 13, 13.
- [7] A. Z. Fino and M. Kirane, *The Cauchy problem for heat equation with fractional Laplacian and exponential nonlinearity*, Commun. Pure Appl. Anal., 19 (2020), 3625–3650.
- [8] Y. Fujishima and N. Ioku, *Existence and nonexistence of solutions for the heat equation with a superlinear source term*, J. Math. Pures Appl. (9), 118 (2018), 128–158.
- [9] G. Furioli, T. Kawakami, B. Ruf and E. Terraneo, *Asymptotic behavior and decay estimates of the solutions for a nonlinear parabolic equation with exponential nonlinearity*, J. Differential Equations, 262 (2017), 145–180.
- [10] A. Haraux and F. B. Weissler, *Non-uniqueness for a semilinear initial value problem*, Indiana University Mathematics Journal, 31 (1982), 167–189.
- [11] P. Harjulehto and P. Hästö, *Orlicz Spaces and Generalized Orlicz Spaces*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 2236, Springer, Cham, 2019.
- [12] D. Henry, *Geometric Theory of Semilinear Parabolic Equations*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, **840**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1981.
- [13] B. HU, *Blow Up Theories for Semilinear Parabolic Equations*, Springer, Berlin (2011).
- [14] S. Ibrahim, M. Majdoub and N. Masmoudi, *Global solutions for a semilinear 2D Klein-Gordon equation with exponential type nonlinearity*, Comm. Pure. App. Math., 59 (2006), 1639–1658.
- [15] S. Ibrahim, M. Majdoub, N. Masmoudi and K. Nakanishi, *Scattering for the two-dimensional NLS with exponential nonlinearity*, Nonlinearity, 25 (2012), 1843–1849.
- [16] S. Ibrahim, M. Majdoub and N. Masmoudi, *Well- and ill-posedness issues for energy supercritical waves*, Anal. PDE, 4 (2011), 341–367.
- [17] S. Ibrahim, M. Majdoub, N. Masmoudi and K. Nakanishi, *Scattering for the two-dimensional energy-critical wave equation*, Duke Math. J., 150 (2009), 287–329.
- [18] N. Ioku, *The Cauchy problem for heat equations with exponential nonlinearity*, J. Differential Equations, 251 (2011), 1172–1194.
- [19] N. Ioku, B. Ruf and E. Terraneo, *Existence, non-existence, and uniqueness for a heat equation with exponential nonlinearity in  $\mathbb{R}^2$* , Math. Phys. Anal. Geom., 18 (2015), Art. 29, 19.

- [20] R. Laister, J. C. Robinson, M. Sierżęga and A. Vidal-López, *A complete characterisation of local existence for semilinear heat equations in Lebesgue spaces*, Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré, Anal. Non Linéaire, 33 (2016), 1519–1538.
- [21] J. F. Lam, B. Lippmann and F. Tappert, *Self trapped laser beams in plasma*, Phys. Fluids, 20 (1977) 1176.
- [22] M. Majdoub, S. Otsmane and S. Tayachi, *Local well-posedness and global existence for the biharmonic heat equation with exponential nonlinearity*, Adv. Differential Equations, 23 (2018), 489–522.
- [23] M. Majdoub and S. Tayachi, *Well-posedness, global existence and decay estimates for the heat equation with general power-exponential nonlinearities*, Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians—Rio de Janeiro 2018. Vol. III. Invited lectures, 2413–2438, World Sci. Publ., Hackensack, NJ, 2018.
- [24] M. Majdoub and S. Tayachi, *Global existence and decay estimates for the heat equation with exponential nonlinearity*, Funkcial. Ekvac., 64 (2021), 237–259.
- [25] C. V. Pao, *Nonlinear parabolic and elliptic equations*, New York and London: Plenum Press; 1992.
- [26] P. Quittner and P. Souplet, *Superlinear parabolic problems. Blow-up, global existence and steady states*, 2nd revised and updated edition, Birkhäuser Adv. Texts, Basler Lehrbüch., 2019.
- [27] M. M. Rao and Z. D. Ren, *Applications of Orlicz spaces*, Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 2002.
- [28] M. M. Rao and Z. D. Ren, *Theory of Orlicz spaces*, Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics, New York : M. Dekker, (1991).
- [29] S. Thangavelu, *Lectures on Hermite and Laguerre expansions*, 42 (1993), Princeton University Press.
- [30] F. B. Weissler, *Local existence and nonexistence for semilinear parabolic equations in  $L^p$* , Indiana University Mathematics Journal, 29 (1980), 79–102.

(D. G. Bhimani) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE EDUCATION AND RESEARCH, DR. HOMI BHABHA ROAD, PUNE 411008, INDIA

*Email address:* [divyang.bhimani@iiserpune.ac.in](mailto:divyang.bhimani@iiserpune.ac.in)

(M. Majdoub) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, COLLEGE OF SCIENCE, IMAM ABDULRAHMAN BIN FAISAL UNIVERSITY, P. O. BOX 1982, DAMMAM, SAUDI ARABIA & BASIC AND APPLIED SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH CENTER, IMAM ABDULRAHMAN BIN FAISAL UNIVERSITY, P.O. BOX 1982, 31441, DAMMAM, SAUDI ARABIA.

*Email address:* [mmajdoub@iau.edu.sa](mailto:mmajdoub@iau.edu.sa)

*Email address:* [med.majdoub@gmail.com](mailto:med.majdoub@gmail.com)

(R. Manna) SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE EDUCATION AND RESEARCH, BHUBANESWAR, AN OCC OF HOMI BHABHA NATIONAL INSTITUTE, JATNI 752050, INDIA.

*Email address:* [rameshmanna@niser.ac.in](mailto:rameshmanna@niser.ac.in)