

POST-LIE ALGEBRAS OF DERIVATIONS AND REGULARITY STRUCTURES

JEAN-DAVID JACQUES AND LORENZO ZAMBOTTI

ABSTRACT. Given a commutative algebra \mathcal{A} , we exhibit a canonical structure of post-Lie algebra on the space $\mathcal{A} \otimes \text{Der}(\mathcal{A})$ where $\text{Der}(\mathcal{A})$ is the space of derivations on \mathcal{A} , in order to use the machinery given in [OG08] and [EFLMK15] and to define a Hopf algebra structure on the associated enveloping algebra with a natural action on \mathcal{A} . We apply these results to the setting of [LOT23], giving a simpler and more efficient construction of their action and extending the recent work [BK22]. This approach gives an optimal setting to perform explicit computations in the associated structure group.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
2. Post-Lie algebras and universal Lie enveloping algebra	4
2.1. Lie algebras, post-Lie algebras	4
2.2. The Lie enveloping algebra	5
2.3. The coshuffle coproduct and its dual product.	6
2.4. Hopf algebra structure on the post-Lie enveloping algebra	7
2.5. The dual structure.	9
2.6. The character group	10
3. The post-Lie algebra of derivations	11
3.1. Derivations and post-Lie algebra structure	11
3.2. Associative product on the post-Lie enveloping algebra	14
3.3. Representation of the enveloping algebras	15
3.4. The dual coalgebra structure.	18
3.5. Extension of the representation map.	19
3.6. Module and co-module structures	20
4. Derivations on multi-indices	20
4.1. The LOT setting	21
4.2. Post-Lie algebra structure	22
4.3. A basis for the enveloping algebra	23
4.4. An explicit formula for the product	25
5. The structure group	27
5.1. Homogeneity	28
5.2. Two basis for the enveloping algebra	29
5.3. The space of formal series	30
5.4. Characters	31
5.5. Explicit formulae	33
5.6. Graded Hopf algebra and its graded dual	35

Date: March 18, 2024.

1. INTRODUCTION

This paper concerns an algebraic structure recently unveiled in a remarkable series of papers [OSSH21; LOT23; LO22; LOTT21] in the context of regularity structures [Hai14] and their applications to stochastic partial differential equations. In this paper we explore this new structure and we propose a different construction.

There is a long history of applications of algebraic structures to numerical and, more recently, stochastic analysis. In the context of Butcher series for the time-discretization of ordinary differential equations [But72] and in the context of branched rough paths [Gub10] and their applications to stochastic differential equations, the main algebraic structure of interest is the Connes-Kreimer Hopf algebra of rooted trees (or forests). In regularity structures, which are the natural evolution of branched rough paths in the context of stochastic partial differential equations, the main algebraic objects are several Hopf algebras and co-modules [BHZ19] and pre-Lie algebras [CL01] on families of decorated rooted trees (or forests) [BCCH21].

The starting point of [LOT23] is the observation that Butcher series in all these contexts can be expressed as sums over multi-indices rather than of trees: it is indeed possible to replace each (rooted) tree by its *fertility*, namely the function which, to each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, associates the number of vertices in the tree with exactly k children. Surprisingly, many of the tree-based algebraic structures have an analog in the multi-indices setting. The multi-indices algebraic structure is described by a representation in an algebra of endomorphisms on a linear space; more precisely, in an algebra of *derivations* on a space of formal power series.

The main aim of [LOT23] is then to give an abstract formulation of the composition product in their chosen space of derivations. The *parti pris* of [LOT23] is to construct such a product starting from a pre-Lie algebra [CL01] and using the Guin-Oudom procedure [OG08]. This approach works in the setting of the Grossman-Larsson product [Hof03], dual of the Butcher-Connes-Kreimer Hopf algebra, which is relevant for branched rough paths, and the pre-Lie operation given by [LOT23] is the translation in the multi-indices setting of the *grafting* operation. However the authors of [LOT23] recognise that the operation on the space of derivations they define fails to satisfy the pre-Lie property in the SPDE-regularity structures setting, and their construction becomes somewhat obscured by the technicalities needed to circumvent this problem. The recent paper [BK22, §5] showed that the correct point of view in this setting is rather that of *post-Lie* algebras, a notion which generalises that of pre-Lie (see Section 2 for all related definitions). Post-Lie algebras already play a role in so-called planarly branched rough paths [CEFMMK20].

In this paper we build on the intuition of [BK22, §5] and we show that [LOT23] can be seen as a particular case of a more general construction: we consider a general commutative algebra \mathcal{A} and we exhibit a canonical

post-Lie algebra structure on the space $\mathcal{A} \otimes \text{Der}(\mathcal{A})$ where $\text{Der}(\mathcal{A})$ is the space of derivations on \mathcal{A} ; the setting of [LOT23] can then be considered a sub-post-Lie algebra of $\mathcal{A} \otimes \text{Der}(\mathcal{A})$ for a certain choice of \mathcal{A} .

One of the main differences between our approach and that of [LOT23] is that we write a *different* (albeit isomorphic) Hopf algebra. The point of view of [LOT23] is to construct a pre-Lie structure which generates a Lie-algebra on a specific space $L \subseteq \text{Der}(\mathcal{A})$ of derivations on a commutative algebra \mathcal{A} , where the Lie bracket is generated by the composition product: $\llbracket A, B \rrbracket := A \circ B - B \circ A$. The Hopf algebra of [LOT23] is the universal enveloping algebra $\mathcal{U}_{\llbracket \cdot, \cdot \rrbracket}(L)$ of this Lie algebra.

In the post-Lie setting that we study, which extends the one introduced by [BK22, §5], there is a second and simpler Lie bracket denoted by $[\cdot, \cdot]$. We use this bracket to construct a universal enveloping algebra $\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L)$ that becomes our main Hopf algebra. This Hopf algebra comes with a natural action on \mathcal{A} which is the basis for the construction of the structure group of a regularity structure, see Section 5. In this way we have a simpler abstract formulation of a non-commutative associative product $\bar{\triangleright}$ on $\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L)$, which makes $\bar{\rho} : (\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L), \bar{\triangleright}) \rightarrow (\text{End}(\mathcal{A}), \circ)$ an algebra morphism. This framework seems to offer an optimal setting to perform computations related to this non-trivial product, see Sections 4.3 and 4.4.

Our construction uses some of the techniques developed by [LOT23] but rephrases them in a language closer to the original theory of regularity structures, which should be of interest for other readers; in several instances we borrow definitions and formulae from [LOT23], reproving them in our way. We also mention that a second pre-Lie operation related to *insertion* at the level of trees and in co-interaction with the previous one related to grafting [MS11; CEFM11] is currently being investigated in the rough-paths setting [Lin23], together with its extension to the SPDE-regularity structures case [BL23].

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we recall generalities about pre-Lie algebras, post-Lie algebras and their universal enveloping algebras. In Section 3 we define a natural post-Lie structure on derivations on a commutative algebra \mathcal{A} , thus generalising a result of [Bur06] in the case of commuting derivations; we give explicit expressions for the associated Guin-Oudom product, see Proposition 3.8, using the construction of [EFLMK15] and the important representation $\bar{\rho} : (\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L), \bar{\triangleright}) \rightarrow (\text{End}(\mathcal{A}), \circ)$ of the universal enveloping algebra on \mathcal{A} .

In Section 4 we move to particular case studied in [LOT23] and we follow their definitions of a family of derivations on a fixed space of power sums. In Section 5 we choose, similarly to [LOT23], a stochastic PDE (see equation (5.4) below) and we construct the so called *structure group* for this equation, which is the starting point of the regularity structures approach.

Acknowledgements. We thank Kurusch Ebrahim-Fard, Pablo Linares and Markus Tempelmayr for very useful discussions on the topic of this paper.

2. POST-LIE ALGEBRAS AND UNIVERSAL LIE ENVELOPING ALGEBRA

2.1. Lie algebras, post-Lie algebras. A linear space L endowed with a bilinear operation $L^{\otimes 2} \rightarrow L$, $a \otimes b \mapsto [a, b]$ is said to be a *Lie algebra* if the following relations are satisfied for all $a, b, c \in L$:

1. $[a, b] = -[b, a]$ (anticommutativity)
2. $[a, [b, c]] + [c, [a, b]] + [b, [c, a]] = 0$ (Jacobi relation).

Definition 2.1. A (left) *pre-Lie algebra* (L, \triangleright) is the data of a vector space L , endowed with a bilinear operation $\triangleright : L \otimes L \rightarrow L$ which verifies the following relation for all $a, b, c \in L$:

$$a \triangleright (b \triangleright c) - (a \triangleright b) \triangleright c = b \triangleright (a \triangleright c) - (b \triangleright a) \triangleright c. \quad (2.1)$$

Given a bilinear operation $\circ : L^{\otimes 2} \rightarrow L$ on a vector space L , its commutator bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]_{\circ} : L^{\otimes 2} \rightarrow L$ is defined as the commutator bracket

$$[a, b]_{\circ} := a \circ b - b \circ a,$$

while its associator is a trilinear map $\mathbf{a}_{\circ} : L^{\otimes 3} \rightarrow L$ defined as:

$$\mathbf{a}_{\circ}(a, b, c) := a \circ (b \circ c) - (a \circ b) \circ c.$$

The associator measures the default of associativity: $\mathbf{a}_{\circ}(a, b, c) = 0$ for all $a, b, c \in L$, if and only if \circ is associative on L . The pre-Lie relation (2.1) writes in terms of the associator as

$$\mathbf{a}_{\triangleright}(a, b, c) - \mathbf{a}_{\triangleright}(b, a, c) = 0.$$

Definition 2.2. A (left) *post-Lie algebra* $(L, \triangleright, [\cdot, \cdot])$ is a vector space L endowed with two binary operations $\triangleright, [\cdot, \cdot] : L \otimes L \rightarrow L$ which satisfy for all $a, b, c \in L$ the following conditions:

1. $[\cdot, \cdot]$ is a Lie bracket
2. $a \triangleright [b, c] = [a \triangleright b, c] + [b, a \triangleright c]$
3. $[a, b] \triangleright c = \mathbf{a}_{\triangleright}(a, b, c) - \mathbf{a}_{\triangleright}(b, a, c)$.

Remark 2.3. If $(L, \triangleright, [\cdot, \cdot])$ is a post-Lie algebra and $[\cdot, \cdot] \equiv 0$, then (L, \triangleright) is a pre-Lie algebra. Vice versa, given (L, \triangleright) a pre-Lie algebra, if we set $[\cdot, \cdot] \equiv 0$ then $(L, \triangleright, [\cdot, \cdot])$ is a post-Lie algebra.

In a pre-Lie algebra (L, \triangleright) , the commutator given by:

$$[a, b]_{\triangleright} := a \triangleright b - b \triangleright a$$

verifies the Jacobi identity and thus is a Lie bracket. On the other hand, in a post-Lie algebra $(L, \triangleright, [\cdot, \cdot])$ the commutator $[a, b]_{\triangleright}$ is not in general a Lie bracket; however, we have the following

Proposition 2.4 ([EFLMK15]). *Let $(L, \triangleright, [\cdot, \cdot])$ be a post-Lie algebra. The bilinear operation $\llbracket \cdot, \cdot \rrbracket : L \otimes L \rightarrow L$ defined for all $a, b \in L$ by:*

$$\llbracket a, b \rrbracket := a \triangleright b - b \triangleright a + [a, b] \quad (2.2)$$

*is a Lie bracket, that we will call here the **composition Lie bracket**.*

2.2. The Lie enveloping algebra. Given a Lie algebra $(L, [\cdot, \cdot])$, we denote by $T(L) = \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} L^{\otimes k}$ the tensor algebra over L (with the convention that $L^0 = \mathbb{R}\{\mathbf{1}\}$), whose elements are linear combinations of (non-commutative) monomials often called *words* $a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n$ (also noted simply $a_1 \cdots a_n$ if no confusion arises) for $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in L^n$.

The *Lie enveloping algebra* of a Lie algebra $(L, [\cdot, \cdot])$, denoted $\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L)$, is defined as the tensor algebra $T(L) = \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} L^{\otimes k}$ over L quotiented by the bilateral ideal \mathfrak{c} generated by $\{a \otimes b - b \otimes a - [a, b] : a, b \in L\}$:

$$\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L) := T(L)/\mathfrak{c}.$$

The vectors of $\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L)$ are by definition equivalence classes on $T(L)$. We will sometimes take the usual notation for equivalence classes $[a_1 \cdots a_n]$, otherwise when no confusion can occur we will write it simply $a_1 \cdots a_n$. We have a canonical injection $\mathbb{R} \ni t \mapsto t\mathbf{1} \in \mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L)$ and the counit map $\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L) \ni x \mapsto \varepsilon(x) \in \mathbb{R}$ where $x - \varepsilon(x)\mathbf{1} \in \bigoplus_{k \geq 1} L^{\otimes k}/\mathfrak{c}$.

A natural filtration can be given on the enveloping algebra: denoting $T^{(n)}(L) := \bigoplus_{k=0}^n L^{\otimes k}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\mathfrak{c}^{(n)}$ the ideal \mathfrak{c} restricted to $T^{(n)}(L)$, one has the following sequence of inclusions:

$$\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}^{(0)} \subset \mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}^{(1)}(L) \subset \mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}^{(2)}(L) \subset \cdots \subset \mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L)$$

where $\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}^{(0)} = \mathbb{R}\mathbf{1}$, $\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}^{(1)}(L) = \mathbb{R}\mathbf{1} \oplus L$ and $\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}^{(n)}(L) = T^{(n)}(L)/\mathfrak{c}^{(n)}$ for all $n \geq 2$. Then obviously:

$$\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}^{(n)}(L).$$

In the rest of the paper, we will consider L as a subspace of $\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L)$ by the composition of the canonical injection into the tensor algebra composed with the projection:

$$L \hookrightarrow T(L) \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L).$$

The space $\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L)$ inherits from $T(L)$ the associative algebra structure $(\text{conc}, \mathbf{1})$, where $\text{conc} : \mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L) \otimes \mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L)$ is the concatenation product:

$$\text{conc} : a_1 \cdots a_n \otimes b_1 \cdots b_m \mapsto a_1 \cdots a_n b_1 \cdots b_m.$$

Then $\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L)$ endowed with the concatenation product conc is an algebra with unit $\mathbf{1}$.

In the particular case of the trivial Lie algebra $(L, [\cdot, \cdot])$ with null Lie bracket $[\cdot, \cdot] \equiv 0$, the algebra $(\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L), \text{conc}, \mathbf{1})$ is the *symmetric tensor algebra*, denoted $(S(L), \text{conc}, \mathbf{1})$. It is a commutative algebra which is isomorphic to the polynomial algebra $\mathbb{R}[\mathcal{B}_L]$ once a basis \mathcal{B}_L of L has been fixed.

If $[\cdot, \cdot]$ is non-trivial, the order of the letters in the monomials of $\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L)$ matters and the following famous theorem permits to exhibit a basis for $\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L)$.

Theorem 2.5 (Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt). *Given a basis \mathcal{B}_L of L and a total order \leq on it, a basis $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L)} = \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}^{\leq}(L)}$ of $\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L)$ is given by*

$$\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L)} := \left\{ \frac{1}{m_1! \cdots m_k!} x_1^{m_1} \cdots x_k^{m_k} : \right. \\ \left. k \in \mathbb{N}, m_1, \dots, m_k \in \mathbb{N}, x_1 < \dots < x_k, x_i \in \mathcal{B}_L \right\}. \quad (2.3)$$

The enveloping algebra gives a functor $L \mapsto \mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L)$ from the category of Lie algebras to the category of associative algebras which satisfies the following universal property:

Theorem 2.6 (Universal property). *Given a Lie algebra $(L, [\cdot, \cdot])$, an associative algebra (A, \circ) and a Lie algebra morphism $\varphi : (L, [\cdot, \cdot]) \rightarrow (A, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\circ})$, namely such that $\varphi([a, b]) = [\varphi(a), \varphi(b)]_{\circ}$ for all $a, b \in L$, there exists a unique algebra morphism $\bar{\varphi} : (\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L), \text{conc}) \rightarrow (A, \circ)$ such that $\bar{\varphi}(a) = \varphi(a)$ for all $a \in L$.*

2.3. The coshuffle coproduct and its dual product. It is a well known fact that there exists a unique coproduct $\Delta_* : \mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L) \otimes \mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L)$, which turns $\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L)$ into a bialgebra $(\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L), \text{conc}, \Delta_*, \mathbb{1}, \varepsilon)$ for which the Lie algebra of primitive elements is L , in other terms:

$$\Delta_*(a) = a \otimes \mathbb{1} + \mathbb{1} \otimes a, \quad \text{for all } a \in L$$

and the counit map $\varepsilon : \mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the linear map given by $\varepsilon(\mathbb{1}) = 1$ and $\text{Ker}(\varepsilon) = \bigoplus_{k \geq 1} L^{\otimes k} / \mathfrak{c}$.

The existence and uniqueness of Δ_* is guaranteed by the universal property 2.6 owing to the fact that $[\Delta_*(a), \Delta_*(b)]_{\text{conc}} = \Delta_*[a, b]_{\text{conc}}$ for all $a, b \in L$, which indicates that $\Delta_* : L \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L) \otimes \mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L)$ is a Lie algebra morphism. Coassociativity and cocommutativity are easily proved on L and extended by multiplicativity on $\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L)$, as well as the counit property, see [Bou89, §II.1.4].

On (equivalence classes of) words we have

$$\Delta_*(a_1 \cdots a_n) = \Delta_*(a_1) \cdots \Delta_*(a_n) = \sum_{I \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}} a_I \otimes a_{\{1, \dots, n\} \setminus I} \quad (2.4)$$

where we denote:

$$a_{\emptyset} := \mathbb{1}, \quad a_I := a_{i_1} \cdots a_{i_p}, \quad I = \{i_1, \dots, i_p\}, \quad i_1 < \dots < i_p. \quad (2.5)$$

On the basis $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L)}$ in (2.3) the coshuffle coproduct has a very convenient form

$$\Delta_* \prod_{i=1}^k \frac{x_i^{m_i}}{m_i!} = \prod_{i=1}^k \Delta_* \frac{x_i^{m_i}}{m_i!} = \prod_{i=1}^k \sum_{\ell=0}^{m_i} \frac{x_i^{\ell}}{\ell!} \otimes \frac{x_i^{m_i-\ell}}{(m_i-\ell)!} \\ = \sum_{0 \leq \ell_i \leq m_i} \left(\prod_{i=1}^k \frac{x_i^{\ell_i}}{\ell_i!} \right) \otimes \left(\prod_{i=1}^k \frac{x_i^{m_i-\ell_i}}{(m_i-\ell_i)!} \right), \quad (2.6)$$

which is the reason for the normalisation chosen in (2.3). We often use Sweedler's notation

$$\Delta_* u = \sum_{(u)} u^{(1)} \otimes u^{(2)}. \quad (2.7)$$

2.4. Hopf algebra structure on the post-Lie enveloping algebra. In the case of a pre-Lie algebra (L, \triangleright) , Guin-Oudom [OG08] developed a procedure in order to extend the pre-Lie product to the symmetric tensor algebra $S(L)$, and defined a product $\bar{\triangleright}$ which turns $(S(L), \bar{\triangleright}, \Delta_*)$ into an associative and cocommutative Hopf algebra. The space L , considered as a subspace of $S(L)$, turns out to be the Lie algebra of primitive elements for the bracket $[[\cdot, \cdot]]$ in (2.4). The Cartier-Milnor-Moore theorem for filtered Hopf algebra (see [MM65] or [Bou89, Theorem 1, §II.6] for the filtered bialgebra version) applies and gives an isomorphism of Hopf algebras between $(S(L), \bar{\triangleright}, \Delta_*)$ and $(\mathcal{U}_{[[\cdot, \cdot]]}(L), \text{conc}, \Delta_*)$.

Later in [EFLMK15], the authors showed that the machinery developed in [OG08] in the case of pre-Lie algebras can be applied to the more general case of post-Lie algebras, giving an extension of the post-Lie product \triangleright to $\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L)$ and an associative product $\bar{\triangleright}$ which turns $(\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L), \bar{\triangleright}, \Delta_*)$ into an associative Hopf algebra. The Milnor-Moore theorem applies again and gives an isomorphism of Hopf algebras between $(\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L), \bar{\triangleright}, \Delta_*)$ and $(\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L), \text{conc}, \Delta_*)$, as we will see below. If the bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]$ is null, the concatenation product of $\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L)$ is commutative, and the space is equal to $S(L)$, which gives back the case of pre-Lie algebras. We refer to the monograph [CP21] for the details of the theory of Hopf algebras.

First let us recall the extension of the product \triangleright to all $u, v \in \mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L)$, see Proposition 3.1 in [EFLMK15].

Proposition 2.7. *Let $(L, \triangleright, [\cdot, \cdot])$ be a (left) post-Lie algebra. There exists a unique extension of the product \triangleright to $\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L)$ which verifies for all $a \in L$ and $u, v, w \in \mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L)$:*

1. $\mathbf{1} \triangleright u = u, u \triangleright \mathbf{1} = \varepsilon(u)$
2. $av \triangleright w = a \triangleright (v \triangleright w) - (a \triangleright v) \triangleright w$
3. $u \triangleright (vw) = \sum_{(u)} (u^{(1)} \triangleright v)(u^{(2)} \triangleright w)$.

By definition, L is the space of primitive elements in $(\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L), \Delta_*)$, which means that for all $a \in L$: $\Delta_*(a) = a \otimes \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{1} \otimes a$. By property (3) in Proposition 2.7 and by (2.4), for all $a \in L$ and $b_1, \dots, b_n \in \mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L)$ we have

$$a \triangleright (b_1 \cdots b_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n b_1 \cdots (a \triangleright b_i) \cdots b_n. \quad (2.8)$$

More generally, for all $a_1, \dots, a_m \in L$ and $b_1, \dots, b_n \in \mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L)$ we have by (2.4)

$$a_1 \cdots a_m \triangleright b_1 \cdots b_n = \sum_{I_1 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup I_n = \{1, \dots, m\}} (a_{I_1} \triangleright b_1) \cdots (a_{I_n} \triangleright b_n) \quad (2.9)$$

where we use the notation (2.5).

Proposition 2.8. *Let $(L, \triangleright, [\cdot, \cdot])$ be a post-Lie algebra. The product $\bar{\triangleright} : \mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L) \otimes \mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L)$ defined for all $u, v \in \mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L)$ by:*

$$u \bar{\triangleright} v = \sum_{(u)} u^{(1)}(u^{(2)} \triangleright v) \quad (2.10)$$

is associative and $(\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L), \bar{\triangleright}, \Delta_)$ is a Hopf algebra.*

Proposition 2.8 has been proved in [EFLMK15, Proposition 3.3], which extends the Guin-Oudom approach [OG08], originally used in the case of a pre-Lie algebra, to the case of a post-Lie algebra.

Since L is the space of primitive elements in $(\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L), \Delta_*)$, by definition of $\bar{\triangleright}$, for all $a, b \in L$ one has:

$$a \bar{\triangleright} b = a \triangleright b + ab. \quad (2.11)$$

The space L , considered as a subspace of $\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L)$, is stable by the commutator

$$[a, b]_{\bar{\triangleright}} := a \bar{\triangleright} b - b \bar{\triangleright} a.$$

By associativity of $\bar{\triangleright}$, $[\cdot, \cdot]_{\bar{\triangleright}}$ is thus a Lie bracket on L , and for all $a, b \in L \subset \mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L)$

$$\begin{aligned} [a, b]_{\bar{\triangleright}} &= a \bar{\triangleright} b - b \bar{\triangleright} a \\ &= a \triangleright b - b \triangleright a + ab - ba \\ &= a \triangleright b - b \triangleright a + [a, b] = \llbracket a, b \rrbracket \end{aligned}$$

where $\llbracket a, b \rrbracket$ is defined in (2.2). We thus deduce the equality between brackets for all $a, b \in L \subset \mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L)$

$$[a, b]_{\bar{\triangleright}} = \llbracket a, b \rrbracket. \quad (2.12)$$

Remark that the bracket $\llbracket \cdot, \cdot \rrbracket$ is defined intrinsically on the space L , while $[\cdot, \cdot]_{\bar{\triangleright}}$ is defined extrinsically since $\bar{\triangleright}$ is a binary operation of $\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L)$.

The Cartier-Milnor-Moore theorem for filtered algebras (see [Bou89, Theorem 1, §II.6]) and the equality between brackets (2.12) imply the following result:

Theorem 2.9. *The linear map $\Phi : \mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L)$ defined by:*

$$\Phi(a_1 \cdots a_n) := a_1 \bar{\triangleright} \cdots \bar{\triangleright} a_n, \quad a_1, \dots, a_n \in L,$$

is an isomorphism of Hopf algebras $(\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L), \text{conc}, \Delta_) \rightarrow (\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L), \bar{\triangleright}, \Delta_*)$.*

Proof. This is [OG08, Theorem 3.14] in the pre-Lie case, which has been extended to the post-Lie case in [EFLMK15, Theorem 3.4], see also [Foi18a, Proposition 4] and [EFM18, Theorem 10]. \square

We note the following extension of (2.11): for $b_0, b_1, \dots, b_n \in L$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} b_0 \bar{\triangleright} (b_1 \cdots b_n) &= b_0 \triangleright (b_1 \cdots b_n) + b_0 b_1 \cdots b_n \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n b_1 \cdots (b_0 \triangleright b_i) \cdots b_n + b_0 b_1 \cdots b_n, \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

where we have used (2.8) in the last equality.

2.5. The dual structure. Recalling the basis $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L)}$ of $\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L)$ from (2.3) given by the PBW Theorem 2.5, we introduce now a second basis on $\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L)$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\mathcal{B}}_{\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L)} := \{ & x_1^{m_1} \cdots x_k^{m_k} : \\ & k \in \mathbb{N}, m_1, \dots, m_k \in \mathbb{N}, x_1 < \dots < x_k, x_i \in \mathcal{B}_L \}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

We have a map $T : \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L)} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{B}}_{\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L)}$ given by

$$T \left(\frac{1}{m_1! \cdots m_k!} x_1^{m_1} \cdots x_k^{m_k} \right) = x_1^{m_1} \cdots x_k^{m_k}, \quad (2.15)$$

which has a unique linear extension $T : \mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L)$. Then we introduce the pairing on $\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L) \otimes \mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L)$ given by the bilinear extension of

$$\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L)} \times \overline{\mathcal{B}}_{\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L)} \ni (u, v) \mapsto \langle u, v \rangle := \mathbf{1}_{(Tu=v)}. \quad (2.16)$$

Then we can define an associative and commutative product $*$ on $\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L)$:

$$\begin{aligned} u * v &:= \sum_{w \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L)}} \langle \Delta_* w, u \otimes v \rangle Tw \\ &= \sum_{w \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L)}} \sum_{(w)} \langle w^{(1)}, u \rangle \langle w^{(2)}, v \rangle Tw, \end{aligned} \quad (2.17)$$

which is dual to the coproduct Δ_* in the sense that for all $u, v, w \in \mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L)$:

$$\langle w, u * v \rangle = \sum_{(w)} \langle w^{(1)}, u \rangle \langle w^{(2)}, v \rangle = \langle \Delta_* w, u \otimes v \rangle,$$

where we use Sweedler's notation (2.7).

The multiplication table of $*$ on $\overline{\mathcal{B}}_{\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L)}$ is given as follows:

$$\prod_{i=1}^k x_i^{\alpha_i} * \prod_{i=1}^k x_i^{\beta_i} = \prod_{i=1}^k x_i^{\alpha_i + \beta_i} \quad (2.18)$$

for all $x_1 < \dots < x_k$ with $x_i \in \mathcal{B}_L$ and $\alpha_i, \beta_i \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore, we obtain from (2.6)-(2.18) the following relation between Δ_* and the product $*$ in (2.18)

$$\Delta_* u = \sum_{\substack{u_1, u_2 \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L)} \\ (Tu_1) * (Tu_2) = Tu}} u_1 \otimes u_2, \quad u \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L)}. \quad (2.19)$$

We stress that we use $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L)}$ in (2.3) as a basis for $(\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L), \overline{\Delta})$ and $\overline{\mathcal{B}}_{\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L)}$ in (2.14) as a basis for $(\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L), *)$.

Remark 2.10. *The choice of the basis $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L)}$ in (2.3) and of the duality (2.16) may look unnatural, with respect to the basis $\overline{\mathcal{B}}_{\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L)}$ in (2.14). One one hand, the basis $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L)}$ in (2.3) gives a particularly simple form to the coshuffle coproduct Δ_* , see (2.6)-(2.19). On the other hand, the basis $\overline{\mathcal{B}}_{\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L)}$ in (2.14) and the duality (2.16) give the multiplication table (2.18) for $*$, which corresponds to the polynomial product in the symmetric algebra over L in the pre-Lie case, for example in the Butcher-Connes-Kreimer Hopf algebra.*

A classical result in Hopf algebras theory shows that a filtered coalgebra structure like $(\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L), \Delta_*, \varepsilon)$ can always be dualised into an algebra structure $(\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L), *, \mathbb{1})$ using the pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ in (2.16), see for example [GR14] for general statements. However a filtered algebra structure like for example $(\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L), \overline{\Delta}, \mathbb{1})$ can not always be dualised into a coalgebra structure on the same space. Therefore in order to define $\Delta_{\overline{\Delta}} : \mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L) \otimes \mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L)$ such that

$$\langle u_1 \overline{\Delta} u_2, v \rangle = \langle u_1 \otimes u_2, \Delta_{\overline{\Delta}} v \rangle, \quad \forall v, u_1, u_2 \in \mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L),$$

we need to make the following assumption on L :

Assumption 2.11. *For all $v \in L$ the set $\{(u_1, u_2) \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}^2(L)} : \langle u_1 \triangleright u_2, v \rangle \neq 0\}$ is finite.*

Under Assumption 2.11 the coproduct $\Delta_{\overline{\Delta}}$ is given for all $v \in \mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L)$ by:

$$\Delta_{\overline{\Delta}} v = \sum_{u_1, u_2 \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L)}} \langle u_1 \overline{\Delta} u_2, v \rangle (Tu_1) \otimes (Tu_2). \quad (2.20)$$

Proposition 2.12. *If Assumption 2.11 is satisfied, then the Hopf algebra $(\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L), \overline{\Delta}, \Delta_*, \mathbb{1}, \varepsilon)$ can be dualized into the Hopf algebra $(\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L), *, \Delta_{\overline{\Delta}}, \mathbb{1}, \varepsilon)$ via the pairing (2.16).*

Proof. If $v \in L$, we have $\langle u_1 \overline{\Delta} u_2, v \rangle = \langle u_1 \triangleright u_2, v \rangle$, therefore $\Delta_{\overline{\Delta}}$ is well defined on L if and only if Assumption 2.11 is satisfied. Then, the well-posedness of $\Delta_{\overline{\Delta}}$ on $\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L)$ follows from the fact that $\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L)$ is generated from L by the product $*$, using an argument of duality. The defining properties for bialgebras and the existence of an antipode are given by duality with the Hopf algebra $(\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L), \overline{\Delta}, \Delta_*, \mathbb{1}, \varepsilon)$ by reversing the arrows in the defining commutative diagrams for Hopf algebras. \square

2.6. The character group. We note that for all $v \in \mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L)$ we have

$$v = \sum_{u \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L)}} \langle u, v \rangle Tu, \quad (2.21)$$

where $T : \mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L)$ is the linear operator defined in (2.15).

We define the (real) dual space $\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L)^*$ as the space of linear maps $f : \mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. As before, we consider a basis \mathcal{B}_L of L and a total order \leq on it and the PBW Theorem 2.5 induces the basis $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L)}$ in (2.3) and $\overline{\mathcal{B}}_{\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L)}$ in (2.14) of $\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L)$. Then for all $f \in \mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L)^*$ and all $v \in \mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L)$:

$$f(v) = \sum_{u \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L)}} \langle u, v \rangle f(Tu).$$

This allows to identify $\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L)^*$ with a space of formal series

$$\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L)^* \ni f \longleftrightarrow \sum_{u \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L)}} f(Tu) u \in \left\{ \sum_{u \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L)}} \alpha_u u : \alpha_u \in \mathbb{R} \right\}, \quad (2.22)$$

$$\left(\sum_{u \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L)}} \alpha_u u \right) (v) := \sum_{u \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L)}} \alpha_u \langle u, v \rangle.$$

Definition 2.13. *The set $G \subset \mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L)^*$ of (real-valued) **characters** on $(\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L), *)$ is defined as the set of $*$ -multiplicative linear forms $f \in \mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L)^*$ such that*

$$f(u_1 * u_2) = f(u_1) f(u_2), \quad u_1, u_2 \in \mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L).$$

We also define $H := \{f \in \mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L)^* : f(\mathbb{1}) = 1\}$.

If the Assumption 2.11 is satisfied, we have proved in Proposition 2.12 that $(\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L), *, \Delta_{\overline{\mathfrak{F}}}, \mathbb{1}, \varepsilon)$ is a Hopf algebra. This leads to the following well-known result:

Proposition 2.14. *If the Assumption 2.11 is satisfied, the set H in Definition 2.13 can be endowed with a group structure $(\overline{\mathfrak{F}}, \mathbb{1}^*)$, where the unit element is given by duality as $\mathbb{1}^*(\cdot) := \langle \mathbb{1}, \cdot \rangle$, and the product is given by:*

$$f_1 \overline{\mathfrak{F}} f_2 := (f_1 \otimes f_2) \Delta_{\overline{\mathfrak{F}}}. \quad (2.23)$$

Moreover the set G of characters is a subgroup of H .

Using the identification (2.22), we can also write

$$(f_1 \overline{\mathfrak{F}} f_2)(v) = \sum_{u_1, u_2 \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L)}} f_1(Tu_1) f_2(Tu_2) \langle u_1 \overline{\mathfrak{F}} u_2, v \rangle. \quad (2.24)$$

3. THE POST-LIE ALGEBRA OF DERIVATIONS

3.1. Derivations and post-Lie algebra structure. In this section, we use the notations of [EFLMK15]. We fix once and for all an associative and commutative \mathbb{K} -algebra (\mathcal{A}, \cdot) .

The space of derivations $\text{Der}(\mathcal{A})$ on \mathcal{A} is the subspace of all $D \in \text{End}(\mathcal{A})$ satisfying the following Leibniz rule that for all $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathcal{A}$

$$D(a_1 \cdots a_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_1 \cdots D(a_i) \cdots a_n.$$

One of the most common examples for the algebra \mathcal{A} is the space of smooth functions $C^\infty(\mathbb{K}^n)$, where $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C} endowed with the pointwise product. In particular polynomials in $\mathbb{K}[z_1, \dots, z_n, \dots]$ fulfill that condition and each derivation D on that algebra is given as formal series of partial derivations along each coordinate ∂_{z_i} :

$$D = \sum_i D(z_i) \partial_{z_i}.$$

Another relevant example in our setting is the following: given a post-Lie algebra $(L, \triangleright, [\cdot, \cdot])$ and the universal enveloping algebra $\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L)$, then every element $a \in L$ defines a derivation on $\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L)$ via the extension of \triangleright to $\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L)$, see (2.8).

In the following we will denote as usual by \circ the composition operation in $\text{End}(\mathcal{A})$. The *commutator* of \circ is the anti-commutative binary operation on $\text{End}(\mathcal{A})$ defined by:

$$[D_1, D_2]_\circ = D_1 \circ D_2 - D_2 \circ D_1.$$

This is a Lie bracket by associativity of \circ , which moreover stabilizes $\text{Der}(\mathcal{A})$, since for all $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathcal{A}$:

$$\begin{aligned} D_1 \circ D_2(a_1 \cdots a_n) &= \\ &= \sum_{\substack{i,j=1 \\ i \neq j}}^n a_1 \cdots D_1(a_i) \cdots D_2(a_j) \cdots a_n + \sum_{i=1}^n a_1 \cdots D_1 \circ D_2(a_i) \cdots a_n. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, after inverting the indices, one obtains:

$$[D_1, D_2]_{\circ}(a_1 \cdots a_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_1 \cdots [D_1, D_2]_{\circ}(a_i) \cdots a_n$$

which proves that $[D_1, D_2]_{\circ} \in \text{Der}(\mathcal{A})$.

For $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and $D \in \text{End}(\mathcal{A})$ we denote

$$a \cdot D : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}, \quad a \cdot D(b) := aD(b). \quad (3.1)$$

If $D \in \text{Der}(\mathcal{A})$ then $a \cdot D$ also belongs to $\text{Der}(\mathcal{A})$.

The main tool of the article is the following:

Theorem 3.1. *Let \mathcal{D} be a sub-Lie algebra of $\text{Der}(\mathcal{A})$ for the commutator bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]_{\circ}$. The vector space $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{D}$ admits a structure of (left) post-Lie algebra $(\triangleright, [\cdot, \cdot])$ given for all $a_1, a_2 \in \mathcal{A}$ and $D_1, D_2 \in \mathcal{D}$ by:*

$$a_1 \otimes D_1 \triangleright a_2 \otimes D_2 := a_1 D_1(a_2) \otimes D_2, \quad (3.2)$$

$$[a_1 \otimes D_1, a_2 \otimes D_2] := a_1 a_2 \otimes [D_1, D_2]_{\circ}. \quad (3.3)$$

Proof. Let us first compute the value of the associator of \triangleright . Take $a_1, a_2, a_3 \in \mathcal{A}$ and $D_1, D_2, D_3 \in \mathcal{D}$. On one hand by the Leibniz rule:

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 \otimes D_1 \triangleright (a_2 \otimes D_2 \triangleright a_3 \otimes D_3) &= a_1 \otimes D_1 \triangleright (a_2 D_2(a_3) \otimes D_3) \\ &= a_1 D_1(a_2) D_2(a_3) \otimes D_3 + a_1 a_2 D_1 \circ D_2(a_3) \otimes D_3. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand:

$$\begin{aligned} (a_1 \otimes D_1 \triangleright a_2 \otimes D_2) \triangleright a_3 \otimes D_3 &= (a_1 D_1(a_2) \otimes D_2) \triangleright a_3 \otimes D_3 \\ &= a_1 D_1(a_2) D_2(a_3) \otimes D_3. \end{aligned}$$

By subtracting the last two equalities one finally obtains that

$$\mathbf{a}_{\triangleright}(a_1 \otimes D_1, a_2 \otimes D_2, a_3 \otimes D_3) = a_1 a_2 D_1 \circ D_2(a_3) \otimes D_3.$$

Now let us verify the two post-Lie conditions. By commutativity of \mathcal{A} , one has:

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbf{a}_{\triangleright}(a_1 \otimes D_1, a_2 \otimes D_2, a_3 \otimes D_3) - \mathbf{a}_{\triangleright}(a_2 \otimes D_2, a_1 \otimes D_1, a_3 \otimes D_3) \\ &= a_1 a_2 D_1 \circ D_2(a_3) \otimes D_3 - a_1 a_2 D_2 \circ D_1(a_3) \otimes D_3 \\ &= (a_1 a_2 \otimes [D_1, D_2]_{\circ}) \triangleright (a_3 \otimes D_3) \\ &= [a_1 \otimes D_1, a_2 \otimes D_2] \triangleright a_3 \otimes D_3. \end{aligned}$$

by the definition (3.3) of $[\cdot, \cdot]$. Finally, by the definitions (3.2) and (3.3) of $[\cdot, \cdot]$ and \triangleright , one has:

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 \otimes D_1 \triangleright [a_2 \otimes D_2, a_3 \otimes D_3] &= \\ &= a_1 D_1(a_2) a_3 \otimes [D_2, D_3]_{\circ} + a_1 a_2 D_1(a_3) \otimes [D_2, D_3]_{\circ} \\ &= [a_1 D_1(a_2) \otimes D_2, a_3 \otimes D_3] + [a_2 \otimes D_2, a_1 D_1(a_3) \otimes D_3] \\ &= [a_1 \otimes D_1 \triangleright a_2 \otimes D_2, a_3 \otimes D_3] + [a_2 \otimes D_2, a_1 \otimes D_1 \triangleright a_3 \otimes D_3]. \end{aligned}$$

The proof is complete. \square

Corollary 3.2 (Burde). *If $\mathcal{D} \subset \text{Der}(\mathcal{A})$ is a linear space of derivations which commute with each other for the composition product, then $(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{D}, \triangleright)$ is a left pre-Lie algebra, where the pre-Lie product \triangleright is given by the formula (3.2).*

The latter result is Proposition 2.1 in [Bur06], where left pre-Lie algebras are called *left-symmetric algebras*.

We give now an extension of Definition 2.1, namely the notion of multiple pre-Lie algebras, see [Foi18b].

Definition 3.3. *A (left) multiple pre-Lie algebra $(\mathcal{A}, \{\triangleright_i\}_{i \in I})$ is the data of a vector space \mathcal{A} , endowed with a family of bilinear operations $\triangleright_i : \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ indexed by a set I , which verifies the following relation for all $i, j \in I$ and $a, b, c \in \mathcal{A}$:*

$$a \triangleright_i (b \triangleright_j c) - (a \triangleright_i b) \triangleright_j c = b \triangleright_j (a \triangleright_i c) - (b \triangleright_j a) \triangleright_i c.$$

*If the index set I is a singleton, namely $\{\triangleright_i\}_{i \in I} = \{\triangleright\}$, then the data $(\mathcal{A}, \triangleright)$ is a (left) **pre-Lie algebra**, namely a particular case of Definition 2.1.*

Then we have the following

Corollary 3.4. *Let $\{D_i\}_{i \in I} \subset \text{Der}(\mathcal{A})$ a set of commuting derivations. The family of binary operations $\{\triangleright_i\}_{i \in I}$ defined for all $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ and $i \in I$ by:*

$$a \triangleright_i b := a D_i(b)$$

makes $(\mathcal{A}, \{\triangleright_i\}_{i \in I})$ a multiple left pre-Lie algebra.

Proof. It is a direct application of Corollary 3.2, where \mathcal{D} is the linear space of derivations generated by $\{D_i\}_{i \in I}$. \square

Corollary 3.5. *Every derivation $D \in \text{Der}(\mathcal{A})$ defines a pre-Lie product \triangleright on \mathcal{A} given for all $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ by:*

$$a \triangleright b = a D(b).$$

Remark 3.6. *Let \mathcal{A} be a space endowed with a set $\{\triangleright_i\}_{i \in I}$ of binary operations $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ indexed by a set I and denote $\mathbb{R}.I$ the free real vector space generated by it. Consider the tensor product of vector spaces $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathbb{R}.I$, endowed with the binary operation \triangleright defined by:*

$$(a \otimes i) \triangleright (b \otimes j) = a \triangleright_i b \otimes j$$

Then it is an easy exercise to show that $(\mathcal{A}, \{\triangleright_i\}_{i \in I})$ is a multiple pre-Lie algebra if and only if $(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathbb{R}.I, \triangleright)$ is a pre-Lie algebra.

3.2. Associative product on the post-Lie enveloping algebra. Note that Theorem 3.1 applies in particular to $\mathcal{A} \otimes \text{Der}(\mathcal{A})$, which is therefore endowed with a natural post-Lie structure. We fix a sub-post-Lie algebra $L \subseteq \mathcal{A} \otimes \text{Der}(\mathcal{A})$.

Following Proposition 2.7 we know that an extension of the post-Lie product \triangleright to $\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L)$ can be constructed. We make explicit the extension on the left:

Proposition 3.7. *The extension of the post-Lie product \triangleright to $\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L)$ as in Proposition 2.7, is given on the left by:*

$$(a_1 \otimes D_1) \cdots (a_n \otimes D_n) \triangleright a \otimes D = a_1 \cdots a_n \cdot D_1 \circ \dots \circ D_n(a) \otimes D. \quad (3.4)$$

Proof. The equality is trivially verified if $n = 1$ by the definition (3.2) of \triangleright on $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{D}$. Suppose that it is verified for all word lengths up to a fixed integer $n - 1$. On one side, using the inductive hypothesis, one has:

$$\begin{aligned} & (a_1 \otimes D_1) \triangleright \left((a_2 \otimes D_2) \cdots (a_n \otimes D_n) \triangleright a \otimes D \right) = \\ & = (a_1 \otimes D_1) \triangleright (a_2 \cdots a_n D_2 \circ \dots \circ D_n(a) \otimes D) \\ & = \left(\sum_{i=2}^n a_1 a_2 \cdots D_1(a_i) \cdots a_n D_2 \cdots D_n(a) + a_1 \cdots a_n D_1 \dots D_n(a) \right) \otimes D. \end{aligned}$$

On the other side, using the equality (3) of Proposition 2.7 and the inductive hypothesis, one has:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left((a_1 \otimes D_1) \triangleright (a_2 \otimes D_2) \cdots (a_n \otimes D_n) \right) \triangleright a \otimes D \\ & = \left(\sum_{i=2}^n (a_2 \otimes D_2) \cdots (a_1 D_1(a_i) \otimes D_i) \cdots (a_n \otimes D_n) \right) \triangleright a \otimes D \\ & = \left(\sum_{i=2}^n a_1 a_2 \cdots D_1(a_i) \cdots a_n D_2 \dots D_n(a) \right) \otimes D. \end{aligned}$$

The proof is concluded by applying the equality (2) of Proposition 2.7 \square

We shall use in the following the analog of the notation (2.5) for $I \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$ and $D_1, \dots, D_n \in \text{Der}(\mathcal{A})$

$$D_I := D_{i_1} \circ \dots \circ D_{i_p}, \quad I = \{i_1, \dots, i_p\}, \quad i_1 < \dots < i_p, \quad (3.5)$$

and $D_\emptyset := \text{Id}_{\mathcal{A}}$.

By Proposition 2.8 we can endow $\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L)$ with an associative product $\bar{\triangleright}$ defined by (2.10). In particular for all $a_1 \otimes D_1, a_2 \otimes D_2 \in L$:

$$a_1 \otimes D_2 \bar{\triangleright} a_2 \otimes D_2 = (a_1 \otimes D_1)(a_2 \otimes D_2) + a_1 D_1(a_2) \otimes D_2.$$

More generally we have

Proposition 3.8. *The relation (2.9) completes the extension (3.4) of \triangleright on the right, yielding*

$$\begin{aligned} & (a_1 \otimes D_1) \cdots (a_n \otimes D_n) \triangleright (\tilde{a}_1 \otimes \tilde{D}_1) \cdots (\tilde{a}_m \otimes \tilde{D}_m) = \\ & = \sum_{I_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup I_m = \{1, \dots, n\}} \prod_{j=1}^m \left(a_{I_j} D_{I_j}(\tilde{a}_j) \otimes \tilde{D}_j \right). \end{aligned}$$

Analogously we obtain the explicit expression for the extension of the associative product \triangleright on $\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L)$ as in (2.10):

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (a_1 \otimes D_1) \cdots (a_n \otimes D_n) \triangleright (\tilde{a}_1 \otimes \tilde{D}_1) \cdots (\tilde{a}_m \otimes \tilde{D}_m) = \\
 & = \sum_{I \sqcup J = \{1, \dots, n\}} \prod_{i \in I} (a_i \otimes D_i) \left[\left(\prod_{j \in J} (a_j \otimes D_j) \right) \triangleright (\tilde{a}_1 \otimes \tilde{D}_1) \cdots (\tilde{a}_m \otimes \tilde{D}_m) \right] \\
 & = \sum_{I \sqcup J_1 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup J_m = \{1, \dots, n\}} \prod_{i \in I} (a_i \otimes D_i) \prod_{j=1}^m (a_{J_j} D_{J_j} (\tilde{a}_j) \otimes \tilde{D}_j). \tag{3.6}
 \end{aligned}$$

3.3. Representation of the enveloping algebras. We still consider a sub-post-Lie algebra $L \subseteq \mathcal{A} \otimes \text{Der}(\mathcal{A})$, endowed with the post-Lie structure given in Theorem 3.1. In this section we aim at giving algebra representations of $(\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L), \triangleright)$ and $(\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L), \text{conc})$ on \mathcal{A} , that is to say algebra morphisms with values in the space of endomorphisms $\text{End}(\mathcal{A})$ endowed with the composition product \circ .

Consider the linear map $\rho : \mathcal{A} \otimes \text{Der}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \text{Der}(\mathcal{A})$ given by

$$\rho(a \otimes D) = a \cdot D, \tag{3.7}$$

where $a \cdot D$ denotes the element of $\text{End}(\mathcal{A})$ defined in (3.1). We have seen before Theorem 3.1 that $(\text{Der}(\mathcal{A}), [\cdot, \cdot]_{\circ})$ is a sub-Lie algebra of $(\text{End}(\mathcal{A}), [\cdot, \cdot]_{\circ})$, while by Proposition 2.4 $(L, \llbracket \cdot, \cdot \rrbracket)$ is a Lie-algebra since $L \subseteq \mathcal{A} \otimes \text{Der}(\mathcal{A})$ is post-Lie. The relation between these two Lie algebras is explained by the following:

Lemma 3.9. *The map $\rho : (L, \llbracket \cdot, \cdot \rrbracket) \rightarrow (\text{Der}(\mathcal{A}), [\cdot, \cdot]_{\circ})$ is a morphism of Lie algebras.*

Proof. The composition Lie bracket defined by equality (2.2) is equal on $\mathcal{A} \otimes \text{Der}(\mathcal{A})$ to:

$$\llbracket a_1 \otimes D_1, a_2 \otimes D_2 \rrbracket = a_1 D_1(a_2) \otimes D_2 - a_2 D_2(a_1) \otimes D_1 - a_1 a_2 \otimes [D_1, D_2]_{\circ}.$$

On the other hand for all $a_1, a_2 \in \mathcal{A}$ and $D_1, D_2 \in \text{Der}(\mathcal{A})$:

$$[a_1 \cdot D_1, a_2 \cdot D_2]_{\circ} = a_1 D_1(a_2) D_2 - a_2 D_2(a_1) D_1 - a_1 a_2 [D_1, D_2]_{\circ}.$$

The proof is complete. \square

Remark 3.10. *We can endow a sub-post-Lie algebra $L \subseteq \mathcal{A} \otimes \text{Der}(\mathcal{A})$ with a structure of \mathcal{A} -module with the action of \mathcal{A} on L being given for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and $b \otimes D \in L$ by:*

$$a \bullet (b \otimes D) := (ab) \otimes D$$

It is easy to show the following Leibniz rule for all $u, v \in L$ and $a \in \mathcal{A}$:

$$\llbracket u, a \bullet v \rrbracket = (\rho(u)[a]) \bullet v + a \bullet \llbracket u, v \rrbracket.$$

This turns $(L, \triangleright, [\cdot, \cdot], \rho)$ into a (\mathcal{A}, \bullet) -post-Lie–Rinehard algebra (it seems that this is actually the first example of a post-Lie–Rinehard algebra which is not pre-Lie). For more details on pre-Lie algebras in the context of aromatic B-series, the reader can refer to [FMMK21].

Note that ρ is a representation of $(L, \llbracket \cdot, \cdot \rrbracket)$ on \mathcal{A} . By the universal property of $\mathcal{U}_{\llbracket \cdot, \cdot \rrbracket}(L)$, it can be extended uniquely to a morphism $\hat{\rho}$ of associative algebras

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\rho} : \quad & \left(\mathcal{U}_{\llbracket \cdot, \cdot \rrbracket}(L), \text{conc} \right) \longrightarrow \left(\text{End}(\mathcal{A}), \circ \right) \\ & (a_1 \otimes D_1) \cdots (a_n \otimes D_n) \longmapsto (a_1 \cdot D_1) \circ \cdots \circ (a_n \cdot D_n) \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

Then $\hat{\rho}$ is a representation of $(\mathcal{U}_{\llbracket \cdot, \cdot \rrbracket}(L), \text{conc})$ on \mathcal{A} which extends $\rho : (L, \llbracket \cdot, \cdot \rrbracket) \rightarrow (\text{Der}(\mathcal{A}), [\cdot, \cdot]_{\circ})$ given by (3.7).

However we are interested rather in an extension of ρ to a morphism of algebras $\bar{\rho} : (\mathcal{U}_{\llbracket \cdot, \cdot \rrbracket}(L), \bar{\circ}) \rightarrow (\text{End}(\mathcal{A}), \circ)$. Theorem 2.9 states that the linear map $\Phi : \mathcal{U}_{\llbracket \cdot, \cdot \rrbracket}(L) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_{\llbracket \cdot, \cdot \rrbracket}(L)$ defined for $a_1, \dots, a_n \in L$ by:

$$\Phi(a_1 \cdots a_n) := a_1 \bar{\circ} \cdots \bar{\circ} a_n$$

is an algebra isomorphism between $(\mathcal{U}_{\llbracket \cdot, \cdot \rrbracket}(L), \text{conc})$ and $(\mathcal{U}_{\llbracket \cdot, \cdot \rrbracket}(L), \bar{\circ})$. This isomorphism allows to give an extension of the representation ρ to a representation:

$$\bar{\rho} : (\mathcal{U}_{\llbracket \cdot, \cdot \rrbracket}(L), \bar{\circ}) \rightarrow (\text{End}(\mathcal{A}), \circ), \quad \bar{\rho} = \hat{\rho} \circ \Phi^{-1}, \quad (3.9)$$

namely we have the following commutative diagram of associative algebras in which the dashed arrows represent morphisms of Lie algebras and plain arrows represent morphisms of associative algebras:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & (L, \llbracket \cdot, \cdot \rrbracket) & \\ \swarrow \text{dashed} & & \searrow \text{dashed} \\ (\mathcal{U}_{\llbracket \cdot, \cdot \rrbracket}(L), \text{conc}) & \xrightarrow{\Phi \sim} & (\mathcal{U}_{\llbracket \cdot, \cdot \rrbracket}(L), \bar{\circ}) \\ \searrow \hat{\rho} & & \swarrow \bar{\rho} \\ & (\text{End}(\mathcal{A}), \circ) & \end{array}$$

This representation can be made more explicit:

Theorem 3.11. *The linear map $\bar{\rho}$ defined in (3.9) admits the following explicit expression*

$$\bar{\rho}\left((a_1 \otimes D_1) \cdots (a_n \otimes D_n)\right) = a_1 \cdots a_n \cdot (D_1 \circ \cdots \circ D_n). \quad (3.10)$$

By the algebra morphism property for $\bar{\rho} : (\mathcal{U}_{\llbracket \cdot, \cdot \rrbracket}(L), \bar{\circ}) \rightarrow (\text{End}(\mathcal{A}), \circ)$, we also have

$$\bar{\rho}\left((a_1 \otimes D_1) \bar{\circ} \cdots \bar{\circ} (a_n \otimes D_n)\right) = (a_1 \cdot D_1) \circ \cdots \circ (a_n \cdot D_n).$$

Proof. Setting $\bar{\rho} = \hat{\rho} \circ \Phi^{-1}$ as in (3.9), we obtain automatically that $\bar{\rho}$ is a morphism of algebras and therefore that it is the unique extension of $\rho : L \rightarrow \text{Der}(\mathcal{A})$ to a morphism of algebras $(\mathcal{U}_{\llbracket \cdot, \cdot \rrbracket}(L), \bar{\circ}) \rightarrow (\text{End}(\mathcal{A}), \circ)$.

We want now to show that $\bar{\rho}$ satisfies (3.10). We proceed by induction on n ; for $n = 1$ the claim follows from the definition (3.7) of ρ . Let us

suppose now that (3.10) is proved for $n \geq 1$; let us set for ease of notation $u_i := a_i \otimes D_i$, $i = 0, \dots, n$; then by (2.13)

$$u_0 \bar{\triangleright} (u_1 \cdots u_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n u_1 \cdots (u_0 \triangleright u_i) \cdots u_n + u_0 \cdots u_n.$$

By the definition (3.2) of \triangleright we have $u_0 \triangleright u_i = a_0 D_0(a_i) \otimes D_i$. By applying $\bar{\rho}$ we obtain by the induction hypothesis

$$\bar{\rho}(u_0 \bar{\triangleright} (u_1 \cdots u_n)) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_0 a_1 \cdots D_0(a_i) \cdots a_n \cdot (D_1 \circ \dots \circ D_n) + \bar{\rho}(u_0 \cdots u_n).$$

On the other hand, by the morphism property and the induction hypothesis

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\rho}(u_0 \bar{\triangleright} (u_1 \cdots u_n)) &= \bar{\rho}(u_0) \circ \bar{\rho}(u_1 \cdots u_n) \\ &= (a_0 \cdot D_0) \circ (a_1 \cdots a_n \cdot (D_1 \circ \dots \circ D_n)) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n a_0 a_1 \cdots D_0(a_i) \cdots a_n \cdot (D_1 \circ \dots \circ D_n) + (a_0 \cdots a_n) \cdot (D_0 \circ \dots \circ D_n). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we obtain as required

$$\bar{\rho}(u_0 \cdots u_n) = \bar{\rho}\left((a_0 \otimes D_0) \cdots (a_n \otimes D_n)\right) = (a_0 \cdots a_n) \cdot (D_0 \circ \dots \circ D_n)$$

and the proof is complete. \square

Remark 3.12. *One should remark that the representations ρ , $\hat{\rho}$ and $\bar{\rho}$ are not faithful, since for example for $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$, $a \neq b$ and $D \in \text{Der}(\mathcal{A})$:*

$$\rho(a \otimes (b \cdot D)) = \rho(b \otimes (a \cdot D)).$$

Remark 3.13. *By (3.4) the left extension of \triangleright on $\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L)$ can be expressed in terms of the representation $\bar{\rho}$:*

$$u \triangleright (a \otimes D) = \bar{\rho}(u)(a) \otimes D, \quad u \in \mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L).$$

Moreover by (3.6) for $u \in \mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L)$

$$u \bar{\triangleright} (a \otimes D) = \sum_{(u)} u^{(1)} \left[\bar{\rho}\left(u^{(2)}\right)(a) \otimes D \right] \quad (3.11)$$

and for all $\tilde{u} = (\tilde{a}_1 \otimes \tilde{D}_1) \cdots (\tilde{a}_m \otimes \tilde{D}_m) \in \mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L)$

$$u \bar{\triangleright} \tilde{u} = \sum_{(u)} u^{(1)} \prod_{i=1}^m \left[\bar{\rho}\left(u^{(i+1)}\right)(\tilde{a}_i) \otimes \tilde{D}_i \right],$$

with the extension of Sweedler's notation (2.7)

$$\Delta_*^{(m)} u = \sum_{(u)} u^{(1)} \otimes \dots \otimes u^{(m+1)},$$

$$\text{where} \quad \Delta_*^{(1)} := \Delta_*, \quad \Delta_*^{(m+1)} := (\text{id} \otimes \Delta_*) \Delta_*^{(m)}.$$

Proposition 3.14. *Given $b_1, b_2 \in \mathcal{A}$ and $u = (a_1 \otimes D_1) \cdots (a_n \otimes D_n) \in \mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \text{Der}(\mathcal{A}))$, the Leibniz rule of D_1, \dots, D_n on \mathcal{A} implies*

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\rho}(u)(b_1 b_2) &= \sum_{I \sqcup J = \{1, \dots, n\}} a_I D_I(b_1) a_J D_J(b_2) \\ &= \sum_{I \sqcup J = \{1, \dots, n\}} \bar{\rho}\left(\prod_{i \in I} (a_i \otimes D_i)\right)(b_1) \bar{\rho}\left(\prod_{j \in J} (a_j \otimes D_j)\right)(b_2) \\ &= \sum_{(u)} \bar{\rho}(u^{(1)})(b_1) \bar{\rho}(u^{(2)})(b_2) \\ &= (\bar{\rho} \otimes \bar{\rho})(\Delta_* u)(b_1 \otimes b_2). \end{aligned}$$

3.4. The dual coalgebra structure. For the sake of generality, let us once again consider a commutative and associative algebra (\mathcal{A}, \cdot) equipped with a basis $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{A}}$. Let as before $(L, \triangleright, [\cdot, \cdot])$ be a sub-post-Lie algebra of $\mathcal{A} \otimes \text{Der}(\mathcal{A})$ for the canonical post-Lie structure defined by the Theorem 3.1.

We fix a basis \mathcal{B}_L of L such that $\mathcal{B}_L \subset \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{A}} \times \text{Der}(\mathcal{A})$, i.e. which is composed of elements of type $a \otimes D$ where $a \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $D \in \text{Der}(\mathcal{A})$. We know from subsection 2.2 that given a total order \leq on \mathcal{B}_L , the Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt Theorem 2.5 gives a vectorial basis $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L)}$ of $\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L)$ composed by monomials:

$$u = (a_1 \otimes D_1) \cdots (a_k \otimes D_k)$$

where the factors $a_i \otimes D_i$ belong to \mathcal{B}_L , and are organized in increasing order.

In order to prove that $\Delta_{\mathfrak{F}} : \mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L) \otimes \mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L)$ given by (2.20) is well defined, we need to make the following crucial assumption on (\mathcal{A}, L) .

Assumption 3.15. *The set $\{(u, b) \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L)} \times \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{A}} : \langle \bar{\rho}(u)(b), a \rangle \neq 0\}$ is finite for all $a \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{A}}$.*

Recalling Proposition 2.12, we note now the following

Lemma 3.16. *In this setting, L satisfies Assumption 2.11 if and only if (L, \mathcal{A}) satisfies Assumption 3.15.*

Proof. By Remark 3.13 we have $u \triangleright (b \otimes D) = \bar{\rho}(u)(b) \otimes D$ for all $u \in \mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L)$ and $a \otimes D \in L$, thus we can write for any non-zero $D \in \text{Der}(\mathcal{A})$

$$\langle \bar{\rho}(u)(b), a \rangle = \langle u \triangleright (b \otimes D), a \otimes D \rangle.$$

Therefore if L satisfies Assumption 2.11, then (\mathcal{A}, L) satisfies Assumption 3.15. Vice versa, if (\mathcal{A}, L) satisfies Assumption 3.15 then let $v \in L$ and $u_1, u_2 \in \mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L)$ such that $\langle u_1 \triangleright u_2, v \rangle \neq 0$. We can assume w.l.o.g. that $v = a \otimes D$. By (3.6) we have that u_2 is also in L and in particular $u_2 = b \otimes D$. Then

$$\langle u_1 \triangleright u_2, v \rangle = \langle \bar{\rho}(u_1)(b), a \rangle$$

and by Assumption 3.15 there are only finitely many (u_2, b) such that this is non-zero, so that 2.11 follows. \square

Thus we arrive to the following statement:

Proposition 3.17. *If Assumption 3.15 or (equivalently) Assumption 2.11 are satisfied, then on $\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L)$ the coalgebra structure $(\Delta_{\overline{\mathfrak{B}}}, \varepsilon)$ dual to the algebra structure $(\overline{\mathfrak{B}}, \mathbb{1})$ defined in Proposition 2.12 with respect to the pairing (2.16) is given by:*

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{\overline{\mathfrak{B}}}\mathbb{1} &= \mathbb{1} \otimes \mathbb{1}, \\ \Delta_{\overline{\mathfrak{B}}}(u * v) &= \Delta_{\overline{\mathfrak{B}}}(u) * \Delta_{\overline{\mathfrak{B}}}(v) \\ \Delta_{\overline{\mathfrak{B}}}(a \otimes D) &= (a \otimes D) \otimes \mathbb{1} + \sum_{u \in \mathcal{B}\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L)} Tu \otimes (\Theta(u \otimes a) \otimes D), \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

where we define the map $\Theta : \mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L) \otimes \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$,

$$\Theta(u \otimes a) := \sum_{b \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{A}}} \langle \overline{\rho}(u)(b), a \rangle b. \quad (3.13)$$

Proof. Proposition 2.12 applies and proves the well-definedness of the coalgebra structure. Now by the definition (2.10) of $\overline{\mathfrak{B}}$, for all $(a \otimes D) \in \mathcal{B}_L$ and $u, v \in \mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L) \setminus \{\mathbb{1}\}$ by Proposition 3.8

$$\begin{aligned} \langle u \otimes v, \Delta_{\overline{\mathfrak{B}}}(a \otimes D) \rangle &= \langle u \overline{\mathfrak{B}} v, a \otimes D \rangle \\ &= \begin{cases} \langle u \triangleright (\tilde{a} \otimes \tilde{D}), a \otimes D \rangle & \text{if } v = \tilde{a} \otimes \tilde{D} \in L, \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Thus, since $u \triangleright (\tilde{a} \otimes \tilde{D}) = \overline{\rho}(u)(\tilde{a}) \otimes \tilde{D}$, we obtain that:

$$\langle u \otimes v, \Delta_{\overline{\mathfrak{B}}}(a \otimes D) \rangle = \sum_{b \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{A}}} \langle \overline{\rho}(u)(b), a \rangle \langle v, b \otimes D \rangle$$

which concludes the proof by (3.13). \square

3.5. Extension of the representation map. We fix again a basis $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{A}}$ of \mathcal{A} and we denote by $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$ the space of formal series $\sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{A}}} a_{\gamma} \gamma$, $a_{\gamma} \in \mathbb{R}$. The canonical pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on $\overline{\mathcal{A}} \times \mathcal{A}$ given by (the bilinear extension of) $\langle \gamma, \beta \rangle = \mathbb{1}_{(\gamma=\beta)}$, $\gamma, \beta \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{A}}$, allows to identify $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$ with the dual \mathcal{A}^* by setting for all $\beta \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{A}}$:

$$\left(\sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{A}}} a_{\gamma} \gamma \right) (\beta) := \sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{A}}} a_{\gamma} \langle \gamma, \beta \rangle.$$

In the following Proposition, given $f \in \mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L)^*$ we define a map $\overline{\rho}(f) : \overline{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{A}}$ by making an abuse of notation for simplicity:

Proposition 3.18. *If the Assumption 3.15 is satisfied, then for all $f \in \mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L)^*$ the map $\overline{\rho}(f) : \overline{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{A}}$ given by:*

$$\overline{\rho}(f) \left(\sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{A}}} a_{\gamma} \gamma \right) := \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{A}}} \left[\sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{A}}, u \in \mathcal{B}\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L)} f(Tu) a_{\gamma} \langle \overline{\rho}(u)(\gamma), \beta \rangle \right] \beta$$

is well-defined.

Proof. This is a consequence of Assumption 3.15, since for β fixed, the set of $(\gamma, u) \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{A}} \times \mathcal{B}\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L)$ such that $\langle \overline{\rho}(u)(\gamma), \beta \rangle \neq 0$ is finite. \square

Recall the sets $G, H \subset \mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L)^*$ from Definition 2.13.

Proposition 3.19. *If the Assumption 3.15 is satisfied, then the map $f \mapsto \bar{\rho}(f)$ is a group morphism from $(H, \bar{\triangleright}, \mathbf{1}^*)$ to $(\text{End}(\bar{\mathcal{A}}), \circ, \text{id})$.*

Proof. Let $\varphi \in \bar{\mathcal{A}}$, $\varphi = \sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{A}}} a_{\gamma} \gamma$. By (2.20)-(2.23)

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\rho}(f_1 \bar{\triangleright} f_2)(\varphi) &= \sum_{\beta, \gamma \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{A}}} \sum_{u \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L)}} f_1 \bar{\triangleright} f_2(Tu) a_{\gamma} \langle \bar{\rho}(u)(\gamma), \beta \rangle \beta \\ &= \sum_{\beta, \gamma \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{A}}} \sum_{u_1, u_2, u \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L)}} f_1(Tu_1) f_2(Tu_2) \langle u_1 \bar{\triangleright} u_2, Tu \rangle a_{\gamma} \langle \bar{\rho}(u)(\gamma), \beta \rangle \beta \\ &= \sum_{\beta, \gamma \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{A}}} \sum_{u_1, u_2 \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L)}} f_1(Tu_1) f_2(Tu_2) a_{\gamma} \langle \bar{\rho}(u_1) \circ \bar{\rho}(u_2)(\gamma), \beta \rangle \beta \\ &= \sum_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{A}}} \sum_{u_1, u_2 \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L)}} f_1(Tu_1) f_2(Tu_2) a_{\gamma} \langle \bar{\rho}(u_1)(\alpha), \beta \rangle \langle \bar{\rho}(u_2)(\gamma), \alpha \rangle \beta \\ &= \bar{\rho}(f_1) \circ \bar{\rho}(f_2)(\varphi). \end{aligned}$$

The proof is complete. \square

In particular, the map $f \mapsto \bar{\rho}(f)$ is a group morphism from $(G, \bar{\triangleright}, \mathbf{1}^*)$ to $(\text{End}(\bar{\mathcal{A}}), \circ, \text{id})$, see Proposition 2.14.

3.6. Module and co-module structures. By definition, (\mathcal{A}, Δ) is a left $(\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L), \Delta_{\bar{\triangleright}})$ -comodule if $\Delta : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L) \otimes \mathcal{A}$ satisfies

$$(\text{id} \otimes \Delta)\Delta = (\Delta_{\bar{\triangleright}} \otimes \text{id})\Delta.$$

Proposition 3.20. *We suppose that Assumption 3.15 is satisfied. Let $\Delta : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L) \otimes \mathcal{A}$ be defined by*

$$\Delta a = \sum_{u \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L)}, b \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{A}}} \langle \bar{\rho}(u)(b), a \rangle Tu \otimes b$$

Then (\mathcal{A}, Δ) is a left $(\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L), \Delta_{\bar{\triangleright}})$ -comodule.

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{id} \otimes \Delta)\Delta &= \sum_{u \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L)}, b \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{A}}} \langle \bar{\rho}(u_1)(b_1), a \rangle \langle \bar{\rho}(u_2)(b_2), b_1 \rangle Tu_1 \otimes Tu_2 \otimes b_2 \\ &= \sum_{u_1, u_2 \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L)}, b \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{A}}} \langle \bar{\rho}(u)(b), a \rangle \langle u_1 \bar{\triangleright} u_2, Tu \rangle Tu_1 \otimes Tu_2 \otimes b \\ &= (\Delta_{\bar{\triangleright}} \otimes \text{id})\Delta. \end{aligned}$$

The proof is complete. \square

4. DERIVATIONS ON MULTI-INDICES

We want here to give an application of the results of the previous sections to an algebraic structure which has been unveiled recently in [LOT23], with applications to stochastic Taylor developments of solutions to SPDEs.

We note $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, \dots\}$ and given an integer $d \geq 1$, we use the following notations:

$$\mathbb{N}_*^d := \mathbb{N}^d \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}, \quad \mathbf{0} := (0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{N}^d.$$

Then we define \mathcal{M} as the set of compactly supported $\gamma : \mathbb{N} \sqcup \mathbb{N}_*^d \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$, namely $\gamma(i) \neq 0$ only for finitely many $i \in \mathbb{N} \sqcup \mathbb{N}_*^d$. Elements of \mathcal{M} are called *multi-indices*. Note that \mathcal{M} is stable under addition: if $\gamma^1, \gamma^2 \in \mathcal{M}$ then

$$\gamma(i) := \gamma^1(i) + \gamma^2(i), \quad i \in \mathbb{N} \sqcup \mathbb{N}_*^d, \quad (4.1)$$

defines a new element in \mathcal{M} . It is also possible to define the difference $\gamma^1 - \gamma^2 \in \mathcal{M}$ if $\gamma^1 \geq \gamma^2$.

4.1. The LOT setting. In [LOT23] the authors developed a new tree-free approach to regularity structures. In this subsection we start to introduce some of their main definitions. Let us consider the polynomial algebra

$$\mathcal{A} := \mathbb{R}[\mathbf{z}_k, \mathbf{z}_\mathbf{n}]_{k \in \mathbb{N}, \mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}_*^d}$$

where $\{\mathbf{z}_k, \mathbf{z}_\mathbf{n} : k \in \mathbb{N}, \mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}_*^d\}$ are commuting variables and $\mathbf{1} \in \mathcal{A}$ is the unit. A canonical basis for \mathcal{A} is given by the set $\{\mathbf{z}^\gamma : \gamma \in \mathcal{M}\}$, where

$$\mathbf{z}^\gamma := \prod_{i \in \mathbb{N} \sqcup \mathbb{N}_*^d} z_i^{\gamma(i)}, \quad \gamma \in \mathcal{M}, \quad \mathbf{z}^0 = \mathbf{1}.$$

Then the sum in \mathcal{M} defined in (4.1) allows to describe the product in \mathcal{A}

$$\mathbf{z}^\gamma \mathbf{z}^{\gamma'} = \mathbf{z}^{\gamma + \gamma'}, \quad \gamma, \gamma' \in \mathcal{M}.$$

Two sets of derivations on \mathcal{A} are of interest here (see [LOT23, (3.9) and (3.12)])

1. The *tilt* derivations $\{D^{(\mathbf{n})}\}_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}^d}$, defined by:

$$D^{(0)} := \sum_{k \geq 0} (k+1) z_{k+1} \partial_{z_k} \quad \text{and} \quad D^{(\mathbf{n})} := \partial_{z_\mathbf{n}}, \quad \text{for } \mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}_*^d. \quad (4.2)$$

2. The *shift* derivations ∂_i , defined for $i \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ by:

$$\partial_i := \sum_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}^d} (n_i + 1) z_{\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{e}_i} D^{(\mathbf{n})} \quad (4.3)$$

where $\mathbf{e}_1 = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$, $\mathbf{e}_2 = (0, 1, \dots, 0)$, etc.

For $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we denote by $e_k \in \mathcal{M}$ the multi-index $e_k(i) = \mathbb{1}_{(i=k)}$ for $i \in \mathbb{N} \sqcup \mathbb{N}_*^d$, and similarly $e_\mathbf{n} \in \mathcal{M}$ for $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}_*^d$. Explicit computations for all $\gamma \in \mathcal{M}$, $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}_*^d$ and $i \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ show that for the tilt derivations

$$D^{(0)} \mathbf{z}^\gamma = \sum_{k \geq 0} (k+1) \gamma(k) z^{\gamma + e_{k+1} - e_k}, \quad (4.4)$$

$$D^{(\mathbf{n})} \mathbf{z}^\gamma = \gamma(\mathbf{n}) z^{\gamma - e_\mathbf{n}} \quad \text{if } \mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}_*^d,$$

while for the shift derivations

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_i \mathbf{z}^\gamma &= \sum_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}^d} (n_i + 1) z_{\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{e}_i} D^{(\mathbf{n})} \mathbf{z}^\gamma \\ &= \sum_{k \geq 0} (k+1) \gamma(k) z^{\gamma + e_{k+1} - e_k + e_{e_i}} + \sum_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}_*^d} (n_i + 1) \gamma(\mathbf{n}) z^{\gamma - e_\mathbf{n} + e_{\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{e}_i}}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

While $D^{(0)}$ and ∂_i are defined by infinite series, for each $\gamma \in \mathcal{M}$ the sums in (4.4)-(4.5) are finite because γ has compact support.

The authors in [LOT23, §3.8] used a geometrical point of view to define a binary operation denoted \triangleright which corresponds to the covariant derivative

of vector fields on the infinite dimensional manifold $\mathbb{R}[\mathbf{z}_k, \mathbf{z}_n]_{k \in \mathbb{N}, \mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}_*^d}$ whose geometry is given by the canonical flat and torsion free connexion. However, this natural approach turns out to be difficult to handle because of the non-stability of the space $L_{\text{LOT}} := \mathbb{R}\{\partial_i\}_i \oplus \mathbb{R}\{\mathbf{z}^\gamma D^{(\mathbf{n})}\}_{\gamma, \mathbf{n}}$ under the binary operation \triangleright . For example the covariant derivatives $\partial_i \triangleright \partial_i$ cannot be expressed as a linear combination of the aforementioned derivations and thus does not belong to L_{LOT} .

4.2. Post-Lie algebra structure. In order to use the results of the preceding sections, we redefine the space L_{LOT} of [LOT23] in a different manner. Denoting again $\mathcal{A} := \mathbb{R}[\mathbf{z}_k, \mathbf{z}_n]_{k \in \mathbb{N}, \mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}_*^d}$, we define the space L_0 as the subspace of $\mathcal{A} \otimes \text{Der}(\mathcal{A})$ generated by the elements $\{\mathbf{z}^\gamma \otimes D^{(\mathbf{n})}\}_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}^d, \gamma \in \mathcal{M}}$ and $\{1 \otimes \partial_i\}_{i \in \{1, \dots, d\}}$, namely:

$$L_0 := \mathbb{R}\{1 \otimes \partial_i\}_{i \in \{1, \dots, d\}} \oplus \mathbb{R}\{\mathbf{z}^\gamma \otimes D^{(\mathbf{n})}\}_{\gamma \in \mathcal{M}, \mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}^d}, \quad (4.6)$$

where 1 is the unit in \mathcal{A} .

Theorem 4.1. *Setting $\mathcal{A} := \mathbb{R}[\mathbf{z}_k, \mathbf{z}_n]_{k \in \mathbb{N}, \mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}_*^d}$, the space L_0 is a sub-post-Lie algebra of $\mathcal{A} \otimes \text{Der}(\mathcal{A})$, for the canonical post-Lie algebra structure $(\triangleright, [\cdot, \cdot])$ given in Theorem 3.1.*

Proof. Let us verify that L_0 is stable under the action of the post-Lie structure $(\triangleright, [\cdot, \cdot])$ induced by $\mathcal{A} \otimes \text{Der}(\mathcal{A})$ given in Theorem 3.1, namely that for $a_1 \otimes D_1, a_2 \otimes D_2 \in L_0$

$$(a_1 \otimes D_1) \triangleright (a_2 \otimes D_2) = a_1 D_1(a_2) \otimes D_2 \in L_0,$$

$$[a_1 \otimes D_1, a_2 \otimes D_2] = a_1 a_2 \otimes [D_1, D_2]_o \in L_0.$$

First, since derivations vanish once evaluated at $1 \in \mathbb{R}[\mathbf{z}_k, \mathbf{z}_n]_{k \in \mathbb{N}, \mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}_*^d}$

$$(1 \otimes \partial_i) \triangleright (1 \otimes \partial_j) = \partial_i(1) \otimes \partial_j = 0, \quad (4.7)$$

$$(\mathbf{z}^\gamma \otimes D^{(\mathbf{n})}) \triangleright (1 \otimes \partial_i) = \mathbf{z}^\gamma D^{(\mathbf{n})}(1) \otimes \partial_i = 0. \quad (4.8)$$

Now

$$(\mathbf{z}^{\gamma'} \otimes D^{(\mathbf{n}')}) \triangleright (\mathbf{z}^\gamma \otimes D^{(\mathbf{n})}) = \mathbf{z}^{\gamma'} D^{(\mathbf{n}')} \mathbf{z}^\gamma \otimes D^{(\mathbf{n})} \in L_0.$$

Finally

$$(1 \otimes \partial_i) \triangleright (\mathbf{z}^\gamma \otimes D^{(\mathbf{n})}) = \partial_i \mathbf{z}^\gamma \otimes D^{(\mathbf{n})} \in L_0.$$

It remains to discuss the bracket. Let us first compute the Lie bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]_o$ on the family of derivations $\{D^{(\mathbf{n})}, \partial_i\}_{\mathbf{n}, i}$:

- By the definitions, for all $\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{n}' \in \mathbb{N}^d$ the derivations $D^{(\mathbf{n})}$ and $D^{(\mathbf{n}')}$ commute, i.e. $[D^{(\mathbf{n})}, D^{(\mathbf{n}')}]_o = 0$.
- For all $\{i, j\} \in \{1, \dots, d\}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_i \circ \partial_j &= \sum_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}^d} (n_i + 1)(n_j + 1) \mathbf{z}_{\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{e}_i + \mathbf{e}_j} D^{(\mathbf{n})} \\ &\quad + \sum_{\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{N}^d} (n_i + 1)(m_j + 1) \mathbf{z}_{\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{e}_i} \mathbf{z}_{\mathbf{m} + \mathbf{e}_j} D^{(\mathbf{n})} D^{(\mathbf{m})}. \end{aligned}$$

Since this is symmetric in (i, j) , we have $[\partial_i, \partial_j]_o = 0$.

- Since for all $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}^d$ the derivations $D^{(\mathbf{0})}$ and $D^{(\mathbf{n})}$ commute, one has:

$$D^{(\mathbf{0})} \circ \partial_i = \sum_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}^d} (n_i + 1) z_{\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{e}_i} D^{(\mathbf{0})} \circ D^{(\mathbf{n})} = \partial_i \circ D^{(\mathbf{0})}.$$

Moreover for all $\mathbf{n} = (n_1, \dots, n_d) \in \mathbb{N}_*^d$:

$$D^{(\mathbf{n})} \circ \partial_i = n_i D^{(\mathbf{n} - \mathbf{e}_i)} + \partial_i \circ D^{(\mathbf{n})}.$$

Thus for all $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}^d$:

$$[D^{(\mathbf{n})}, \partial_i]_{\circ} = n_i D^{(\mathbf{n} - \mathbf{e}_i)}.$$

In conclusion, we have for all $\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{n}' \in \mathbb{N}^d$ and $\gamma, \gamma' \in \mathcal{M}$:

$$[z^\gamma \otimes D^{(\mathbf{n})}, z^{\gamma'} \otimes D^{(\mathbf{n}')}] = 0 \quad (4.9)$$

$$[1 \otimes \partial_i, 1 \otimes \partial_j] = 0 \quad (4.10)$$

$$[z^\gamma \otimes D^{(\mathbf{n})}, 1 \otimes \partial_i] = n_i (z^\gamma \otimes D^{(\mathbf{n} - \mathbf{e}_i)}) \in L_0. \quad (4.11)$$

The proof is complete. \square

Let us now compute on the previously defined basis of L_0 , the Lie bracket $\llbracket \cdot, \cdot \rrbracket$ given by the relation (2.2):

$$\llbracket z^\gamma \otimes D^{(\mathbf{n})}, z^{\gamma'} \otimes D^{(\mathbf{n}')} \rrbracket = z^\gamma D^{(\mathbf{n})} z^{\gamma'} \otimes D^{(\mathbf{n}')} - z^{\gamma'} D^{(\mathbf{n}')} z^\gamma \otimes D^{(\mathbf{n})}, \quad (4.12)$$

$$\llbracket z^\gamma \otimes D^{(\mathbf{n})}, 1 \otimes \partial_i \rrbracket = n_i z^\gamma \otimes D^{(\mathbf{n} - \mathbf{e}_i)} - \partial_i z^\gamma \otimes D^{(\mathbf{n})}, \quad (4.13)$$

$$\llbracket 1 \otimes \partial_i, 1 \otimes \partial_j \rrbracket = 0. \quad (4.14)$$

Remark 4.2. In [LOT23, formula (3.36)] we find the formula

$$z^\gamma D^{(\mathbf{n})} \triangleright \partial_i = n_i z^\gamma D^{(\mathbf{n} - \mathbf{e}_i)}.$$

This differs from our (4.8). Moreover in [LOT23] the operator $\partial_1 \triangleleft \partial_2$ can not be written as a finite linear combination of $\{\partial_i\} \cup \{z^\gamma D^{(\mathbf{n})}\}_{\gamma, \mathbf{n}}$, while in our setting we have the simple expression (4.7). Therefore the post-Lie algebra we define is different from the (partial) pre-Lie algebra constructed on the space $L_{\text{LOT}} = \mathbb{R}\{\partial_i\}_i \oplus \mathbb{R}\{z^\gamma D^{(\mathbf{n})}\}_{\gamma, \mathbf{n}}$ in [LOT23].

However, the Lie algebra defined by $\llbracket \cdot, \cdot \rrbracket$ is compatible with the Lie algebra $[\cdot, \cdot]_{\circ}$ in [LOT23, §3.10]. Indeed, the relations (4.12)-(4.13)-(4.14) show that the Lie-algebra morphism $\hat{\rho} : (\mathcal{U}_{\llbracket \cdot, \cdot \rrbracket}(L_0), \llbracket \cdot, \cdot \rrbracket) \rightarrow (\text{Der}(\mathcal{A}), [\cdot, \cdot]_{\circ})$ of (3.8) allows to recover the structure described in [LOT23, §3.10], see in particular [LOT23, (3.46)-(3.47)].

On the other hand the post-Lie algebra we define is isomorphic via $\bar{\rho}$ to the one written in [BK22, Theorem 5.5]. Our construction has the merit of being more general and to distinguish the abstract enveloping algebra $\mathcal{U}_{\llbracket \cdot, \cdot \rrbracket}(L)$ from its realisation as an algebra of endomorphisms of \mathcal{A} .

4.3. A basis for the enveloping algebra. The isomorphism of Theorem 2.9 allows us to work with the space $\mathcal{U}_{\llbracket \cdot, \cdot \rrbracket}(L_0)$ for which the multiplication table of the associative product $\bar{\triangleright}$ can be written explicitly, once one fixes a basis. In this section we recover the basis [LOT23, (4.15)], see (4.19) below.

The Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt Theorem 2.5, permits us to exhibit a choice of basis for $\mathcal{U}_{\llbracket \cdot, \cdot \rrbracket}(L_0)$ which depends on an ordering of the basis of L_0 given by the derivations of type $z^\gamma \otimes D^{(\mathbf{n})}$ and $1 \otimes \partial_i$.

The commutation relations (4.9)-(4.10)-(4.11) indicate that in order to apply the PBW theorem, we only need to choose an order between the elements of type $\mathbf{1} \otimes \partial_i$ and of type $\mathbf{z}^\gamma \otimes D^{(\mathbf{n})}$. In particular if we choose that $\mathbf{1} \otimes \partial_i < \mathbf{z}^\gamma \otimes D^{(\mathbf{n})}$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, d\}$, $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}^d$, $\gamma \in \mathcal{M}$ one obtains the following basis for $\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L_0)$ given by the set of equivalence classes of monomials of the form

$$(\mathbf{1} \otimes \partial_1)^{m_1} \dots (\mathbf{1} \otimes \partial_d)^{m_d} (\mathbf{z}^{\gamma_1} \otimes D^{(\mathbf{n}_1)}) \dots (\mathbf{z}^{\gamma_k} \otimes D^{(\mathbf{n}_k)}) \quad (4.15)$$

where $(m_1, \dots, m_d) \in \mathbb{N}^d$ and $(\gamma_l, \mathbf{n}_l) \in \mathcal{M} \times \mathbb{N}^d$ for all $l \in \{1, \dots, k\}$.

From the commutation relation (4.11) we deduce that we can write any monomial in $\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L_0)$ in the form (4.15):

$$\begin{aligned} & (\mathbf{z}^{\gamma_1} \otimes D^{(\mathbf{n}_1)}) \dots (\mathbf{z}^{\gamma_k} \otimes D^{(\mathbf{n}_k)}) (\mathbf{1} \otimes \partial_1)^{m_1} \dots (\mathbf{1} \otimes \partial_d)^{m_d} \\ &= (\mathbf{1} \otimes \partial_1)^{m_1} \dots (\mathbf{1} \otimes \partial_d)^{m_d} (\mathbf{z}^{\gamma_1} \otimes D^{(\mathbf{n}_1)}) \dots (\mathbf{z}^{\gamma_k} \otimes D^{(\mathbf{n}_k)}) \\ &+ \mathbb{1}_{(|\mathbf{m}| > 0)} \sum_{\bar{\mathbf{n}}_1, \dots, \bar{\mathbf{n}}_k} \mathbb{1}_{(\sum_j |\mathbf{n}_j - \bar{\mathbf{n}}_j| = |\mathbf{m}|)} \prod_{l=1}^k \left[\mathbb{1}_{(\bar{\mathbf{n}}_l \leq \mathbf{n}_l)} \frac{\mathbf{n}_l!}{\bar{\mathbf{n}}_l!} (\mathbf{z}^{\gamma_l} \otimes D^{(\bar{\mathbf{n}}_l)}) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (4.16)$$

where $|\mathbf{m}| := m_1 + \dots + m_d$ and $\bar{\mathbf{n}} \leq \mathbf{n} \iff (\bar{n}_1 \leq n_1) \& \dots \& (\bar{n}_d \leq n_d)$, and we use standard notations for $\mathbf{n} = (n_1, \dots, n_d)$, $\mathbf{m} = (m_1, \dots, m_d) \in \mathbb{N}^d$:

$$\mathbf{n}! = n_1! \dots n_d!, \quad \binom{\mathbf{n}}{\mathbf{m}} = \binom{n_1}{m_1} \dots \binom{n_d}{m_d}.$$

Therefore we consider (4.15) as a *normal ordering* of monomials in $\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L_0)$.

We denote for $\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{N}^d$

$$(1 \otimes \partial)^{\mathbf{m}} := (1 \otimes \partial_1)^{m_1} \dots (1 \otimes \partial_d)^{m_d}.$$

In order to choose a normalisation for the basis element in (4.15), we note that the commutation relations (4.9)-(4.10)

$$[\mathbf{z}^\gamma \otimes D^{(\mathbf{n})}, \mathbf{z}^{\gamma'} \otimes D^{(\mathbf{n}')}] = [1 \otimes \partial_i, 1 \otimes \partial_j] = 0$$

imply that $\mathbb{R}\{\mathbf{z}^\gamma \otimes D^{(\mathbf{n})}\}_{\gamma \in \mathcal{M}, \mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}^d}$ and $\mathbb{R}\{1 \otimes \partial_i\}_{i \in \{1, \dots, d\}}$ are commutative subalgebras of $\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L_0)$. In particular the coshuffle coproduct Δ_* defined in (2.4) acts on these two algebras as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_*(1 \otimes \partial)^{\mathbf{m}} &= \sum_{\mathbf{m}' + \mathbf{m}'' = \mathbf{m}} \binom{\mathbf{m}}{\mathbf{m}'} (1 \otimes \partial)^{\mathbf{m}'} \otimes (1 \otimes \partial)^{\mathbf{m}''}, \\ \Delta_* a^\ell &= \sum_{k=0}^{\ell} \binom{\ell}{k} a^k \otimes a^{\ell-k}, \quad a = \mathbf{z}^\gamma \otimes D^{(\mathbf{n})}, \\ \Delta_* \prod_{i=1}^n a_i^{\ell_i} &= \prod_{i=1}^n \Delta_* a_i^{\ell_i}, \quad a_i = \mathbf{z}^{\gamma_i} \otimes D^{(\mathbf{n}_i)}, \quad a_i \neq a_j \text{ if } i \neq j. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we choose a normalisation which allows to minimise the combinatorial coefficients in these expressions. For $\mathbf{m} = (m_1, \dots, m_d) \in \mathbb{N}^d$ we set

$$E_{\mathbf{m}} := \frac{1}{\mathbf{m}!} (1 \otimes \partial)^{\mathbf{m}}, \quad \mathbf{m}! := m_1! \dots m_d!.$$

We define now multi-indices J on $\mathcal{M} \times \mathbb{N}^d$, namely functions $J : \mathcal{M} \times \mathbb{N}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ with finite support, and we define

$$F_J := \prod_{(\gamma, \mathbf{n}) \in \mathcal{M} \times \mathbb{N}^d} \frac{1}{J(\gamma, \mathbf{n})!} (z^\gamma \otimes D^{(\mathbf{n})})^{J(\gamma, \mathbf{n})}.$$

We use the convention $E_{\mathbf{0}} = F_{\emptyset} = \mathbf{1} \in \mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L_0)$. These definitions allow to express the co-algebra structure of $\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L_0)$ given by the coshuffle coproduct Δ_* defined in (2.4), given on such elements by

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_*(E_{\mathbf{m}}) &= \sum_{\mathbf{m}' + \mathbf{m}'' = \mathbf{m}} E_{\mathbf{m}'} \otimes E_{\mathbf{m}''}, & \Delta_*(F_J) &= \sum_{J' + J'' = J} F_{J'} \otimes F_{J''}, \\ \Delta_*(E_{\mathbf{m}} F_J) &= \Delta_*(E_{\mathbf{m}}) \Delta_*(F_J) = \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{m}' + \mathbf{m}'' = \mathbf{m} \\ J' + J'' = J}} E_{\mathbf{m}'} F_{J'} \otimes E_{\mathbf{m}''} F_{J''}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.17)$$

which is (2.19) in this setting. We also obtain for the concatenation product in $\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L_0)$

$$E_{\mathbf{m}} E_{\bar{\mathbf{m}}} = \binom{\mathbf{m} + \bar{\mathbf{m}}}{\mathbf{m}} E_{\mathbf{m} + \bar{\mathbf{m}}} \quad \text{and} \quad F_J F_{\bar{J}} = \binom{J + \bar{J}}{J} F_{J + \bar{J}}, \quad (4.18)$$

where the binomial coefficient is given by

$$\binom{J + \bar{J}}{J} := \frac{(J + \bar{J})!}{J! \bar{J}!}, \quad J! := \prod_{(\gamma, \mathbf{n})} J(\gamma, \mathbf{n})!.$$

We denote $\mathcal{E} = \{E_{\mathbf{m}}\}_{\mathbf{m}}$ and $\mathcal{F} = \{F_J\}_J$. Then the basis $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L_0)}$ for $\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L_0)$ defined in (2.3) can be described as set of all concatenation products of type $E_{\mathbf{m}} F_J$

$$\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L_0)} = \mathcal{E} \cdot \mathcal{F} = \{E_{\mathbf{m}} F_J\}_{\mathbf{m}, J}.$$

Note that this choice of a basis corresponds (via the representation $\bar{\rho}$) to the one that has been adopted in [LOT23, formula (4.15)]: following Theorem 3.11, the representation $\bar{\rho} : \mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L_0) \rightarrow \text{End}(\mathcal{A})$ is given on basis elements $E_{\mathbf{m}} F_J \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L_0)}$ by:

$$\bar{\rho}(E_{\mathbf{m}} F_J) = \left(\frac{1}{\mathbf{m}!} \prod_{\gamma, \mathbf{n}} \frac{(z^\gamma)^{J(\gamma, \mathbf{n})}}{J(\gamma, \mathbf{n})!} \right) \partial^{\mathbf{m}} \circ \prod_{\gamma, \mathbf{n}} (D^{(\mathbf{n})})^{\circ J(\gamma, \mathbf{n})} \quad (4.19)$$

where we denote for all $\mathbf{m} = (m_1, \dots, m_d) \in \mathbb{N}^d$

$$\partial^{\mathbf{m}} := (1 \otimes \partial_1)^{m_1} \dots (1 \otimes \partial_d)^{m_d}.$$

4.4. An explicit formula for the product. With the notation introduced in the previous subsection, the equality (4.16) can be written in a more compact form

$$F_J E_{\mathbf{m}} = E_{\mathbf{m}} F_J + \frac{\mathbb{1}(|\mathbf{m}| > 0)}{\mathbf{m}!} \sum_{J_0 \in J_{\mathbf{m}}} \frac{J_0!}{J!} \left(\prod_{\gamma, \mathbf{n}} (\mathbf{n}!)^{J(\gamma, \mathbf{n}) - J_0(\gamma, \mathbf{n})} \right) F_{J_0}, \quad (4.20)$$

where for

$$F_J = \frac{1}{J!} \prod_{i=1}^k (z^{\gamma_i} \otimes D^{(\mathbf{n}_i)}),$$

we define $J_{\mathbf{m}}$ as the set of $J_0 : \mathcal{M}^- \times \mathbb{N}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ with finite support and such that there exist $\bar{\mathbf{n}}_1, \dots, \bar{\mathbf{n}}_k \in \mathbb{N}^d$ with $\bar{\mathbf{n}}_i \leq \mathbf{n}_i$ and $\sum_{i=1}^k |\mathbf{n}_i - \bar{\mathbf{n}}_i| = |\mathbf{m}|$ such that

$$F_{J_0} = \frac{1}{J_0!} \prod_{i=1}^k (z^{\gamma_i} \otimes D^{(\bar{\mathbf{n}}_i)}).$$

We want now to exhibit the extension of the post-Lie product \triangleright on $\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L_0)$ and its related associative product $\bar{\triangleright}$ defined in Proposition 2.8, using the simplifications arising from our previous computations. Let us start by doing some simplifications for the extension of \triangleright on $\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L_0)$.

First of all, denoting as before by $\bar{\rho}$ the representation morphism of Theorem 3.11, Proposition 3.7 implies that for $E_{\mathbf{m}}F_J \neq \mathbf{1}$

$$E_{\mathbf{m}}F_J \triangleright (\mathbf{1} \otimes \partial_i) = \bar{\rho}(E_{\mathbf{m}}F_J)(\mathbf{1}) \otimes \partial_i = 0,$$

so that by point 1 in Proposition 2.7

$$E_{\mathbf{m}}F_J \triangleright E_{\bar{\mathbf{m}}} = \begin{cases} E_{\bar{\mathbf{m}}} & \text{if } E_{\mathbf{m}}F_J = \mathbf{1} \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

By (3.10)

$$E_{\mathbf{m}}F_J \triangleright (z^{\bar{\gamma}} \otimes D^{(\bar{\mathbf{n}})}) = \bar{\rho}(E_{\mathbf{m}}F_J)(z^{\bar{\gamma}}) \otimes D^{(\bar{\mathbf{n}})},$$

Now from (2.9) and (4.17)

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\mathbf{m}}F_J \triangleright F_{\bar{J}} &= E_{\mathbf{m}}F_J \triangleright \frac{1}{\bar{J}!} \prod_{l=1}^N z^{\bar{\gamma}_l} \otimes D^{(\bar{\mathbf{n}}_l)} \\ &= \frac{1}{\bar{J}!} \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{m}_1 + \dots + \mathbf{m}_N = \mathbf{m} \\ J_1 + \dots + J_N = J}} \prod_{l=1}^N \left(\bar{\rho}(E_{\mathbf{m}_l}F_{J_l})(z^{\bar{\gamma}_l}) \otimes D^{(\bar{\mathbf{n}}_l)} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.21)$$

Finally, using point 3 of Proposition 2.7 and (4.17), we obtain

$$E_{\mathbf{m}}F_J \triangleright E_{\bar{\mathbf{m}}}F_{\bar{J}} = \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{m}' + \mathbf{m}'' = \mathbf{m} \\ J' + J'' = J}} (E_{\mathbf{m}'}F_{J'} \triangleright E_{\bar{\mathbf{m}}})(E_{\mathbf{m}''}F_{J''} \triangleright F_{\bar{J}}).$$

The only non-zero term in the sum is given for $\mathbf{m}'' = \mathbf{m}$ and $J'' = J$ and in that case $E_{\mathbf{m}'}F_{J'} = \mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{1} \triangleright E_{\bar{\mathbf{m}}} = E_{\bar{\mathbf{m}}}$, then:

$$E_{\mathbf{m}}F_J \triangleright E_{\bar{\mathbf{m}}}F_{\bar{J}} = E_{\bar{\mathbf{m}}}(E_{\mathbf{m}}F_J \triangleright F_{\bar{J}}).$$

Thus, from the Definition of $\bar{\triangleright}$ given in 2.8, one gets:

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\mathbf{m}}F_J \bar{\triangleright} E_{\bar{\mathbf{m}}}F_{\bar{J}} &= \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{m}' + \mathbf{m}'' = \mathbf{m} \\ J' + J'' = J}} E_{\mathbf{m}'}F_{J'} \left(E_{\mathbf{m}''}F_{J''} \triangleright E_{\bar{\mathbf{m}}}F_{\bar{J}} \right) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{m}' + \mathbf{m}'' = \mathbf{m} \\ J' + J'' = J}} E_{\mathbf{m}'}F_{J'} E_{\bar{\mathbf{m}}} \left(E_{\mathbf{m}''}F_{J''} \triangleright F_{\bar{J}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Using (4.20), one deduces an expression for the product $\bar{\triangleright}$ on the basis $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L_0)}$

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\mathbf{m}} F_J \bar{\triangleright} E_{\bar{\mathbf{m}}} F_{\bar{J}} &= \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{m}' + \mathbf{m}'' = \mathbf{m} \\ J' + J'' = J}} \underbrace{E_{\mathbf{m}'} E_{\bar{\mathbf{m}}}}_{\in \mathcal{E}} \underbrace{F_{J'} (E_{\mathbf{m}''} F_{J''} \triangleright F_{\bar{J}})}_{\in \mathcal{F}} + \\ + \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{m}' + \mathbf{m}'' = \mathbf{m} \\ J' + J'' = J}} \frac{\mathbb{1}_{(|\mathbf{m}| > 0)}}{\mathbf{m}!} \sum_{J_0 \in J'_{\bar{\mathbf{m}}}} \frac{J_0!}{J'!} \left[\prod_{\gamma, \mathbf{n}} (\mathbf{n}!)^{(J' - J_0)(\gamma, \mathbf{n})} \right] \underbrace{E_{\mathbf{m}'} F_{J_0}}_{\in \mathcal{E}} \underbrace{(E_{\mathbf{m}''} F_{J''} \triangleright F_{\bar{J}})}_{\in \mathcal{F}} \end{aligned}$$

where the elements $E_{\mathbf{m}''} F_{J''} \triangleright F_{\bar{J}}$ are given by (4.21).

5. THE STRUCTURE GROUP

In this section, we start the construction which allows to apply the results of the previous section to a specific stochastic PDE. We first explain very briefly the main motivation of this construction, referring to [LOT23, §7] for more details. We choose an equation on \mathbb{R}^d of the form

$$\mathcal{L}u = a(u(x))\xi \quad (5.1)$$

where \mathcal{L} is a linear differential operator which admits a Green kernel K , $\xi : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ (the noise term) is a fixed continuous function, $a : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is smooth, and solutions are functions $u : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. The multi-index symmetry factor is given for all $\beta \in \mathcal{M}$ by:

$$\sigma(\beta) := \prod_{k \in \mathbb{N}} (k!)^{\beta(k)}. \quad (5.2)$$

The analytical theory of (5.4) is based on the following Ansatz: any solution u satisfies a local Taylor development at order $\delta > 0$ of the form:

$$u(y) = \sum_{|\beta| < \delta} \frac{1}{\sigma(\beta)} \Upsilon^{a, u} \mathbf{z}^\beta(x) \Pi_x \mathbf{z}^\beta(y) + R_x^\delta(y) \quad (5.3)$$

where

- R^δ is a remainder of order δ : $|R_x^\delta(y)| \lesssim |y - x|^\delta$
- $|\beta| \in \mathbb{R}^+$ is the *homogeneity* of $\beta \in \mathcal{M}$ that is defined in our case in (5.5) below
- $\{\Pi_x \mathbf{z}^\beta\}_{\beta \in \mathcal{M}}$ is a fixed family of functions which depend on the noise term ξ and also on the Green kernel K ,
- $\Upsilon^{a, u} : \mathbb{R}[\mathbf{z}_k, \mathbf{z}_\mathbf{n}]_{k \in \mathbb{N}, \mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}_*^d} \rightarrow C(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is an explicit function depending on a and u , which is defined in [LOT23, (7.22)].

The functions $\{\Pi_x \mathbf{z}^\beta\}_{\beta \in \mathcal{M}}$ come with a family of linear operators $\Gamma_{xy} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ such that

$$\Pi_x \Gamma_{xy} = \Pi_y, \quad \forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

These operators are constructed via the representation of a group $(G, \bar{\triangleright})$, called the *structure group* of the equation. In the rest of this paper, see in particular the final section 5.6, we show how to construct this group with such a representation, using the material of the previous sections.

5.1. **Homogeneity.** Now we consider in particular the equation on \mathbb{R}^d :

$$-\Delta u = a(u(x))\xi \quad (5.4)$$

where Δ denotes the d -dimensional Laplacian operator:

$$\Delta u = \frac{d^2}{dx_1^2}u + \dots + \frac{d^2}{dx_d^2}u.$$

We fix $\alpha \in]0, 1[$ and we note $|\mathbf{n}| = |(n_1, \dots, n_d)| = n_1 + \dots + n_d$ for $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}^d$. The value $\alpha \in]0, 1[$ indicates that one expects in the non-smooth setting that ξ is a distribution in some Besov space $C^{\alpha-2}$ and u is a Hölder function in C^α .

We define the *homogeneity* $|\cdot| : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ as follows:

$$|\beta| := \alpha \sum_{k \geq 0} \beta_k + \sum_{\mathbf{n} \neq \mathbf{0}} |\mathbf{n}| \beta_{\mathbf{n}}. \quad (5.5)$$

The homogeneity plays a crucial role since it is the expected "regularity" of the terms Π_x in (5.3). In particular $\Pi_x z^\beta$ is expected to satisfy

$$|\Pi_x z^\beta(y)| \lesssim |y - x|^{|\beta|}, \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

We define yet another function $\|\cdot\| : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$\|\gamma\| := \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} (k-1)\gamma(k) - \sum_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}_*^d} \gamma(\mathbf{n}), \quad \gamma \in \mathcal{M}.$$

This allows to define a set which plays an important role in the following:

$$\mathcal{M}^- := \{\beta \in \mathcal{M} : \|\beta\| = -1\} \setminus \{e_{\mathbf{n}}, \mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}_*^d\}. \quad (5.6)$$

We recall the definition (4.6) of L and we define the subspace $L \subset L_0$

$$L := \mathbb{R}\{1 \otimes \partial_i\}_{i \in \{1, \dots, d\}} \oplus \mathbb{R}\{z^\gamma \otimes D^{(\mathbf{n})}\}_{\gamma \in \mathcal{M}^-, \mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}^d, |\gamma| > |\mathbf{n}|}. \quad (5.7)$$

Now we have the analog of Theorem 4.1:

Theorem 5.1. *Setting $\mathcal{A} := \mathbb{R}[z_k, z_{\mathbf{n}}]_{k \in \mathbb{N}, \mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}_*^d}$, the space L is a sub post-Lie algebra of $\mathcal{A} \otimes \text{Der}(\mathcal{A})$, for the canonical post-Lie algebra structure $(\triangleright, [\cdot, \cdot])$ given in Theorem 3.1.*

Proof. Let us verify that L is stable under the action of the post-Lie structure $(\triangleright, [\cdot, \cdot])$ induced by $\mathcal{A} \otimes \text{Der}(\mathcal{A})$ given in Theorem 3.1. We fix $z^{\gamma'} \otimes D^{(\mathbf{n}')} \in L$, namely $\gamma, \gamma' \in \mathcal{M}$ and $|\mathbf{n}'| < |\gamma'|$, $|\mathbf{n}| < |\gamma|$. By (4.7)-(4.8), for \triangleright it remains to prove that

$$(z^{\gamma'} \otimes D^{(\mathbf{n}')} \triangleright (z^\gamma \otimes D^{(\mathbf{n})})) = z^{\gamma'} D^{(\mathbf{n}')} z^\gamma \otimes D^{(\mathbf{n})} \in L,$$

$$(1 \otimes \partial_i) \triangleright (z^\gamma \otimes D^{(\mathbf{n})}) = \partial_i z^\gamma \otimes D^{(\mathbf{n})} \in L.$$

This follows from the following easy computations

$$\|\gamma' + \gamma - e_{\mathbf{n}'}\| = -1 - 1 + 1 = -1,$$

$$\|\gamma' + \gamma + e_{k+1} - e_k\| = -1 - 1 + k - (k-1) = -1,$$

$$|\gamma' + \gamma - e_{\mathbf{n}'}| = |\gamma| + |\gamma'| - |\mathbf{n}'| > |\gamma| > |\mathbf{n}|,$$

$$|\gamma + e_{\mathbf{e}_i}| = |\gamma| + 1 > |\gamma| > |\mathbf{n}|.$$

For the bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]$, by (4.9)-(4.10)-(4.11) what is left is just to prove that $\mathbf{z}^\gamma \otimes D^{(\mathbf{n}-\mathbf{e}_i)} \in L$ for $\mathbf{n} \neq \mathbf{0}$. Again this is a simple verification based on $|\mathbf{n} - \mathbf{e}_i| = |\mathbf{n}| - 1 < |\mathbf{n}| < |\gamma|$. \square

We note now the following simple fact.

Lemma 5.2. *If $u \in L$, then for all $\mathbf{z}^\gamma \in \mathcal{A}$, $\rho(u)(\mathbf{z}^\gamma)$ is a finite linear combination of elements $\mathbf{z}^{\gamma'} \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $\|\gamma'\| = \|\gamma\|$.*

Proof. First, $\rho(\mathbf{z}^\beta \otimes D^{(\mathbf{n})})(\mathbf{z}^\gamma)$ is a linear combination of elements of the form $\mathbf{z}^{\gamma+\beta-\mathbf{e}_n}$ and $\mathbf{z}^{\gamma+\beta+e_{k+1}-e_k}$ and in both cases

$$\begin{aligned} \|\gamma + \beta - \mathbf{e}_n\| &= \|\gamma\| + \|\beta\| + 1 = \|\gamma\|, \\ \|\gamma + \beta + e_{k+1} - e_k\| &= \|\gamma\| + \|\beta\| + k - (k-1) = \|\gamma\|. \end{aligned}$$

Analogously $\rho(\mathbf{1} \otimes \partial_i)(\mathbf{z}^\gamma) = \partial_i \mathbf{z}^\gamma$ is by (4.5) a linear combination of elements of the form $\mathbf{z}^{\gamma+\beta-\mathbf{e}_n}$ and $\mathbf{z}^{\gamma+\beta+e_{k+1}-e_k}$ and the same argument applies. \square

5.2. Two basis for the enveloping algebra. We recall that in section 4.3 we constructed a basis for the enveloping algebra $\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L_0)$ which allows to describe explicitly the product $\bar{\circ}$ in a convenient way. It is simple to see that $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L)} := \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L_0)} \cap \mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L)$ gives an equally convenient basis for $\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L)$ (recall that $L \subset L_0$ and the two spaces are defined in (4.6) and (5.7) respectively).

In particular we obtain that $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L)} = \{E_{\mathbf{m}} F_J\}_{\mathbf{m}, J}$ with

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\mathbf{m}} &:= \frac{1}{\mathbf{m}!} (1 \otimes \partial)^{\mathbf{m}}, \quad \mathbf{m} = (m_1, \dots, m_d) \in \mathbb{N}^d, \\ F_J &:= \prod_{(\gamma, \mathbf{n}) \in \mathcal{M}^- \times \mathbb{N}^d} \frac{1}{J(\gamma, \mathbf{n})!} (\mathbf{z}^\gamma \otimes D^{(\mathbf{n})})^{J(\gamma, \mathbf{n})}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.8)$$

where $J : \mathcal{M}^- \times \mathbb{N}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ has compact support and satisfies $|\mathbf{n}| < |\gamma|$ for all (γ, \mathbf{n}) such that $J(\gamma, \mathbf{n}) > 0$. We use the convention $E_{\mathbf{0}} = F_{\emptyset} = \mathbf{1} \in \mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L)$. Recall also the value (4.19) of $\bar{\rho}(E_{\mathbf{m}} F_J)$.

The main technical result in this section is the following Proposition (see [LOT23, Lemma 4.9]), which shows that L satisfies Assumption 3.15 above.

Proposition 5.3. *For all $\beta \in \mathcal{M}$ there are only finitely many $u \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L)}$ and $\gamma \in \mathcal{M}$ such that $\langle \bar{\rho}(u)(\mathbf{z}^\gamma), \mathbf{z}^\beta \rangle \neq 0$.*

Proof. We note the following relation between the two functions $|\cdot|$ and $\|\cdot\|$ on \mathcal{M} :

$$|\gamma| = \alpha \left(\sum_{k \geq 0} k \gamma(k) - \|\gamma\| \right) + \sum_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}_*^d} (|\mathbf{n}| - \alpha) \gamma(\mathbf{n}). \quad (5.9)$$

Now by Lemma 5.2, for $u = (\mathbf{1} \otimes \partial)^{\mathbf{m}} \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} (\mathbf{z}^{\gamma_i} \otimes D^{(\mathbf{n}_i)})$ if $\langle \bar{\rho}(u)(\mathbf{z}^\gamma), \mathbf{z}^\beta \rangle \neq 0$ then we obtain

$$\|\beta\| = \|\gamma\|, \quad |\beta| = |\gamma| + |\mathbf{m}| + \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} (|\gamma_i| - |\mathbf{n}_i|) > |\gamma|.$$

Therefore

$$\alpha \sum_{k \geq 0} k\gamma(k) + \sum_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}_*^d} (|\mathbf{n}| - \alpha)\gamma(\mathbf{n}) + |\mathbf{m}| + \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} (|\gamma_i| - |\mathbf{n}_i|) = |\beta| + \alpha\|\beta\|.$$

Since $|\gamma|$ and $\sum_{k \geq 0} k\gamma(k)$ are bounded by a function of β , we find that there are finitely many possible γ 's. For u , we note first that \mathbf{m} is uniformly bounded. For each $\mathbf{n}_i \neq \mathbf{0}$, we have that $D^{(\mathbf{n}_i)}\mathbf{z}^\gamma = 0$ unless $\gamma(\mathbf{n}_i) > 0$; since we have already selected finitely many possible γ 's, each with compact support in \mathbb{N} , there are only finitely many such \mathbf{n}_i 's. Therefore we obtain a global bound for $\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} |\beta_i|$. By (5.9) we have $|\beta_i| \geq \alpha > 0$ for $\beta \in \mathcal{M}^-$, so that this implies also a global bound for ℓ . Finally there can be only finitely many $\{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_\ell\}$ satisfying the same bound, and the proof is complete. \square

We now introduce the basis $\overline{\mathcal{B}}_{\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L)} = \{\overline{E}_{\mathbf{m}}\overline{F}_J\}_{\mathbf{m}, J}$, corresponding to (2.16):

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{E}_{\mathbf{m}} &:= (1 \otimes \partial)^{\mathbf{m}}, & \mathbf{m} &= (m_1, \dots, m_d) \in \mathbb{N}^d, \\ \overline{F}_J &:= \prod_{(\gamma, \mathbf{n}) \in \mathcal{M}^- \times \mathbb{N}^d} (\mathbf{z}^\gamma \otimes D^{(\mathbf{n})})^{J(\gamma, \mathbf{n})}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.10)$$

where $J : \mathcal{M}^- \times \mathbb{N}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ has compact support and satisfies $|\mathbf{n}| < |\gamma|$ for all (γ, \mathbf{n}) such that $J(\gamma, \mathbf{n}) > 0$.

Note that $\overline{E}_{\mathbf{m}}\overline{F}_J = (\mathbf{m}!J!)E_{\mathbf{m}}F_J$, or in other words $T : \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L)} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{B}}_{\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L)}$ as in (2.15) is given by

$$T(E_{\mathbf{m}}F_J) = \overline{E}_{\mathbf{m}}\overline{F}_J. \quad (5.11)$$

The two basis $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L)}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{B}}_{\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L)}$ are in duality via (2.15), namely

$$\langle E_{\mathbf{m}}F_J, \overline{E}_{\overline{\mathbf{m}}}\overline{F}_{\overline{J}} \rangle = \mathbb{1}_{(\mathbf{m}=\overline{\mathbf{m}}, J=\overline{J})}. \quad (5.12)$$

The multiplication table of the $*$ -product (2.18) in $\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L)$, in duality with the coproduct (4.17) with respect to the pairing (5.12), is

$$(\overline{E}_{\mathbf{m}}\overline{F}_J) * (\overline{E}_{\overline{\mathbf{m}}}\overline{F}_{\overline{J}}) = \overline{E}_{\mathbf{m}+\overline{\mathbf{m}}}\overline{F}_{J+\overline{J}},$$

see [LOT23, (4.43)].

5.3. The space of formal series. Set now $\overline{\mathcal{A}} := \mathbb{R}[[\mathbf{z}_k, \mathbf{z}_{\mathbf{n}}]]_{k \in \mathbb{N}, \mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}_*^d}$, the space of formal series in the commuting variables $\{\mathbf{z}_k, \mathbf{z}_{\mathbf{n}}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}, \mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}_*^d}$. Then $a \in \overline{\mathcal{A}}$ can be written

$$a = \sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{M}} a_\gamma \mathbf{z}^\gamma,$$

and $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$ turns out to be a commutative algebra with product

$$ab = \sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{M}} \left[\sum_{\gamma_1 + \gamma_2 = \gamma} a_{\gamma_1} b_{\gamma_2} \right] \mathbf{z}^\gamma.$$

We have a canonical pairing between $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$ and \mathcal{A} , which is the bi-linear extension of

$$\left\langle \sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{M}} a_\gamma \mathbf{z}^\gamma, \mathbf{z}^\beta \right\rangle = a_\beta, \quad \beta \in \mathcal{M}. \quad (5.13)$$

In this way we have a canonical identification between $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$ and the dual \mathcal{A}^* of \mathcal{A} . Then Proposition 5.3 has the following important consequence.

Proposition 5.4. *For all $f : \mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be linear, the map $\overline{\rho}(f) : \overline{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{A}}$*

$$\overline{\rho}(f) \left(\sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{M}} a_\gamma z^\gamma \right) := \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{M}} \left[\sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{M}, u \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L)}} a_\gamma f(Tu) \langle \overline{\rho}(u)(z^\gamma), z^\beta \rangle \right] z^\beta$$

is well-defined and linear.

Proof. This is a consequence of Proposition 5.3 since for z^β fixed the set of $(\gamma, u) \in \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L)}$ such that $\langle \overline{\rho}(u)(z^\gamma), z^\beta \rangle \neq 0$ is finite. \square

5.4. Characters. Now we add a crucial multiplicativity hypothesis on $f : \mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ for the commutative product $*$ defined in (2.17)-(2.18). We suppose that f is a character on $(\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L), *)$, namely

$$f \left((1 \otimes \partial)^{\mathbf{m}} \prod_{i=1}^k z^{\gamma_i} \otimes D^{(\mathbf{n}_i)} \right) = \prod_{i=1}^d (f(1 \otimes \partial_i))^{m_i} \prod_{i=1}^k f(z^{\gamma_i} \otimes D^{(\mathbf{n}_i)}).$$

This leads to the following key proposition (see [LOT23, Proposition 5.1-(ii)])

Proposition 5.5. *If f is a character of the commutative algebra $(\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L), *)$, then the map $\overline{\rho}(f) : \overline{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{A}}$ is an algebra morphism, namely it verifies the following multiplicativity property, for all $\phi, \psi \in \overline{\mathcal{A}}$:*

$$\overline{\rho}(f)(\phi \psi) = \overline{\rho}(f)(\phi) \overline{\rho}(f)(\psi). \quad (5.14)$$

Proof. By Proposition 5.4

$$\overline{\rho}(f)(ab) = \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{M}} \left[\sum_{u \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L)}} f(Tu) \sum_{\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \mathcal{M}} a_{\gamma_1} b_{\gamma_2} \langle \overline{\rho}(u)(z^{\gamma_1} z^{\gamma_2}), z^\beta \rangle \right] z^\beta.$$

By Proposition 3.14 and (2.19), for $a, b \in \overline{\mathcal{A}}$ we have

$$\overline{\rho}(u)(z^{\gamma_1} z^{\gamma_2}) = \sum_{u_1, u_2 \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L)}} \mathbb{1}_{(Tu=(Tu_1)*(Tu_2))} \overline{\rho}(u_1)(z^{\gamma_1}) \overline{\rho}(u_2)(z^{\gamma_2}).$$

Now $\langle ab, z^\beta \rangle = \sum_{\beta_1 + \beta_2 = \beta} \langle a, z^{\beta_1} \rangle \langle b, z^{\beta_2} \rangle$, so that

$$\langle \overline{\rho}(u_1)(z^{\gamma_1}) \overline{\rho}(u_2)(z^{\gamma_2}), z^\beta \rangle = \sum_{\beta_1 + \beta_2 = \beta} \langle \overline{\rho}(u_1)(z^{\gamma_1}), z^{\beta_1} \rangle \langle \overline{\rho}(u_2)(z^{\gamma_2}), z^{\beta_2} \rangle.$$

By the character property, $f(Tu) = f(Tu_1) f(Tu_2)$, and this allows to conclude. \square

In particular, if f is a character on $(\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L), *)$ then

$$\overline{\rho}(f)(z^\gamma) = \prod_{i \in \mathbb{N} \sqcup \mathbb{N}_*^d} (\overline{\rho}(f)(z_i))^{\gamma_i},$$

and for $a \in \overline{\mathcal{A}}$

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\rho}(f)(a) &= \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{M}} \left[\sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{M}} a_\gamma \left\langle \overline{\rho}(f)(\mathbf{z}^\gamma), \mathbf{z}^\beta \right\rangle \right] \mathbf{z}^\beta \\ &= \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{M}} \left[\sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{M}} a_\gamma \left\langle \prod_{i \in \mathbb{N} \cup \mathbb{N}_*^d} (\overline{\rho}(f)(\mathbf{z}_i))^{\gamma_i}, \mathbf{z}^\beta \right\rangle \right] \mathbf{z}^\beta. \end{aligned}$$

In other words we have proved the following

Lemma 5.6. *If f is a character on $(\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L), *)$ then for any $a \in \overline{\mathcal{A}}$ the value of $\overline{\rho}(f)(a)$ is uniquely determined by the values of $(\overline{\rho}(f)(\mathbf{z}_i))_{i \in \mathbb{N} \cup \mathbb{N}_*^d}$.*

By Lemma 5.6, it is very important to compute the value of the representation $\overline{\rho}$ on the elements $\{\mathbf{z}_k, \mathbf{z}_\mathbf{n}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}, \mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}_*^d}$. This will be done in section 5.5 below. We first give a preparatory lemma.

Lemma 5.7. *For all $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}_1, \dots, \mathbf{n}_\ell \in \mathbb{N}^d$:*

$$\begin{aligned} \partial^{\mathbf{m}} \circ D^{(\mathbf{n}_1)} \circ \dots \circ D^{(\mathbf{n}_\ell)}(\mathbf{z}_\mathbf{n}) &= \begin{cases} \partial^{\mathbf{m}} \mathbf{z}_\mathbf{n} & \text{if } \ell = 0, \\ 1 & \text{if } \ell = 1, \mathbf{n}_1 = \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{m} = \mathbf{0}, \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases} \\ \partial^{\mathbf{m}} \circ D^{(\mathbf{n}_1)} \circ \dots \circ D^{(\mathbf{n}_\ell)}(\mathbf{z}_k) &= \begin{cases} \partial^{\mathbf{m}} (D^{(\mathbf{0})})^{\circ \ell} \mathbf{z}_k, & \text{if } \ell = 0 \\ & \text{or } \mathbf{n}_1 = \dots = \mathbf{n}_\ell = \mathbf{0} \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

The following equalities are verified for all $\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{N}^d$, $\mathbf{n} \neq \mathbf{0}$ and $k, \ell \in \mathbb{N}$:

$$(D^{(\mathbf{0})})^{\circ \ell} \mathbf{z}_k = \frac{(k + \ell)!}{k!} \mathbf{z}_{k+\ell} \quad (5.15)$$

$$\frac{1}{\mathbf{m}!} \partial^{\mathbf{m}} \mathbf{z}_\mathbf{n} = \binom{\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{m}}{\mathbf{n}} \mathbf{z}_{\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{m}} \quad (5.16)$$

$$\frac{1}{\mathbf{m}!} \partial^{\mathbf{m}} \mathbf{z}_k = \sum_{\ell \geq 0} \binom{k + \ell}{k} \mathbf{z}_{k+\ell} \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{m}_1, \dots, \mathbf{m}_\ell \in \mathbb{N}_*^d \\ \mathbf{m}_1 + \dots + \mathbf{m}_\ell = \mathbf{m}}} \mathbf{z}_{\mathbf{m}_1} \cdots \mathbf{z}_{\mathbf{m}_\ell}. \quad (5.17)$$

Proof. The first equality is obtained since $D^{(\mathbf{n}')}(\mathbf{z}_\mathbf{n}) = \delta_{\mathbf{n}', \mathbf{n}}$, for all $\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{n}' \in \mathbb{N}^d$, $\mathbf{n} \neq \mathbf{0}$. The second one is obtained since $D^{(\mathbf{n})} \mathbf{z}_k = 0$ for all $\mathbf{n} \neq \mathbf{0}$.

Now, (5.15) follows easily from the definition of $D^{(\mathbf{0})}$. Let us recall that: $\partial_i = \sum_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}^d} (n_i + 1) \mathbf{z}_{\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{e}_i} D^{(\mathbf{n})}$. Thus for $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}_*^d$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$:

$$\partial_i \mathbf{z}_\mathbf{n} = (n_i + 1) \mathbf{z}_{\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{e}_i}, \quad \partial_i \mathbf{z}_k = \mathbf{z}_{\mathbf{e}_i} (k + 1) \mathbf{z}_{k+1}$$

so that in particular (5.16) follows easily by recurrence on $\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{N}^d$.

We prove now (5.17) by recurrence on $\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{N}^d$. The base case $\mathbf{m} = \mathbf{0}$ is trivial since the right-hand side reduces to the case $\ell = 0$; we suppose now that the formula is proved for $\mathbf{m} = (m_1, \dots, m_d) \in \mathbb{N}^d$ and we show it (for example) for $\mathbf{m} + \mathbf{e}_1 = (m_1 + 1, m_2, \dots, m_d)$. First we have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_1 (\mathbf{z}_{k+\ell} \mathbf{z}_{\mathbf{m}_1} \cdots \mathbf{z}_{\mathbf{m}_\ell}) &= \\ &= (k + \ell + 1) \mathbf{z}_{k+\ell+1} \mathbf{z}_{\mathbf{e}_1} \mathbf{z}_{\mathbf{m}_1} \cdots \mathbf{z}_{\mathbf{m}_\ell} + \mathbf{z}_{k+\ell} \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \mathbf{z}_{\mathbf{m}_1} \cdots (m_1^i + 1) \mathbf{z}_{\mathbf{m}_i + \mathbf{e}_1} \cdots \mathbf{z}_{\mathbf{m}_\ell} \end{aligned}$$

where we recall that $\mathbf{e}_1 = (1, 0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{N}^d$ and we note $\mathbf{m}_i = (m_1^i, \dots, m_d^i) \in \mathbb{N}^d$. Now

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\ell \geq 0} \binom{k+\ell}{k} (k+\ell+1) \mathbf{z}_{k+\ell+1} \mathbf{z}_{\mathbf{e}_1} \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{m}_1, \dots, \mathbf{m}_\ell \in \mathbb{N}_*^d \\ \mathbf{m}_1 + \dots + \mathbf{m}_\ell = \mathbf{m}}} \mathbf{z}_{\mathbf{m}_1} \cdots \mathbf{z}_{\mathbf{m}_\ell} \\ &= \sum_{\ell \geq 0} \binom{k+\ell}{k} \mathbf{z}_{k+\ell} \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{m}_1, \dots, \mathbf{m}_\ell \in \mathbb{N}_*^d \\ \mathbf{m}_1 + \dots + \mathbf{m}_\ell = \mathbf{m} + \mathbf{e}_1}} \mathbf{z}_{\mathbf{m}_1} \cdots \mathbf{z}_{\mathbf{m}_\ell} \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \mathbb{1}_{(\mathbf{m}_i = \mathbf{e}_1)}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\ell \geq 0} \binom{k+\ell}{k} \mathbf{z}_{k+\ell} \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{m}_1, \dots, \mathbf{m}_\ell \in \mathbb{N}_*^d \\ \mathbf{m}_1 + \dots + \mathbf{m}_\ell = \mathbf{m}}} \mathbf{z}_{\mathbf{m}_1} \cdots (m_1^i + 1) \mathbf{z}_{\mathbf{m}_i + \mathbf{e}_1} \cdots \mathbf{z}_{\mathbf{m}_\ell} \\ &= \sum_{\ell \geq 0} \binom{k+\ell}{k} \mathbf{z}_{k+\ell} \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{m}_1, \dots, \mathbf{m}_\ell \in \mathbb{N}_*^d \\ \mathbf{m}_1 + \dots + \mathbf{m}_\ell = \mathbf{m} + \mathbf{e}_1}} \mathbf{z}_{\mathbf{m}_1} \cdots \mathbf{z}_{\mathbf{m}_\ell} \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} m_1^i \mathbb{1}_{(\mathbf{m}_i \neq \mathbf{e}_1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_1 \frac{1}{\mathbf{m}!} \partial^{\mathbf{m}} \mathbf{z}_k &= \sum_{\ell \geq 0} \binom{k+\ell}{k} \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{m}_1, \dots, \mathbf{m}_\ell \in \mathbb{N}_*^d \\ \mathbf{m}_1 + \dots + \mathbf{m}_\ell = \mathbf{m}}} \partial_1 (\mathbf{z}_{k+\ell} \mathbf{z}_{\mathbf{m}_1} \cdots \mathbf{z}_{\mathbf{m}_\ell}) \\ &= \sum_{\ell \geq 0} \binom{k+\ell}{k} \mathbf{z}_{k+\ell} \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{m}_1, \dots, \mathbf{m}_\ell \in \mathbb{N}_*^d \\ \mathbf{m}_1 + \dots + \mathbf{m}_\ell = \mathbf{m} + \mathbf{e}_1}} \mathbf{z}_{\mathbf{m}_1} \cdots \mathbf{z}_{\mathbf{m}_\ell} \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} m_1^i \\ &= (m_1 + 1) \sum_{\ell \geq 0} \binom{k+\ell}{k} \mathbf{z}_{k+\ell} \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{m}_1, \dots, \mathbf{m}_\ell \in \mathbb{N}_*^d \\ \mathbf{m}_1 + \dots + \mathbf{m}_\ell = \mathbf{m} + \mathbf{e}_1}} \mathbf{z}_{\mathbf{m}_1} \cdots \mathbf{z}_{\mathbf{m}_\ell} \end{aligned}$$

and therefore (5.17) is proved. \square

Formula (5.17) is [LOT23, formula (A.5)], where it is proved as an application of the Faà di Bruno identity.

5.5. Explicit formulae. Let us consider the space L previously defined by (5.7) along with its basis $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L)}$ and a character f on $(\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L), *)$. Then f is entirely characterised by its values on the basis elements of L :

- $f(1 \otimes \partial_i)$, for all $i \in \{1, \dots, d\}$
- $f(\mathbf{z}^\gamma \otimes D^{(\mathbf{n})})$, for all $\gamma \in \mathcal{M}^-$, $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}^d$, $|\gamma| > |\mathbf{n}|$.

We use the notation

$$f(1 \otimes \partial)^{\mathbf{m}} := f(1 \otimes \partial_1)^{m_1} \cdots f(1 \otimes \partial_d)^{m_d}, \quad \mathbf{m} = (m_1, \dots, m_d).$$

Following Proposition 5.5, the map $\bar{\rho}(f) : \bar{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{A}}$ is entirely determined by its values on basis elements $\{\mathbf{z}^\gamma\}_{\gamma \in \mathcal{M}}$ of \mathcal{A} . Applying formula (5.8) to

our present setting, one has:

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\rho}(f)(z^\gamma) &= \sum_{\mathbf{m}, J} f(1 \otimes \partial)^{\mathbf{m}} \prod_{(\beta, \mathbf{n})} (f(z^\beta \otimes D^{(\mathbf{n})}))^{J(\beta, \mathbf{n})} \\ &\cdot \left[\prod_{(\beta, \mathbf{n})} \frac{1}{J(\beta, \mathbf{n})!} (z^\beta)^{J(\beta, \mathbf{n})} \right] \frac{1}{\mathbf{m}!} \partial^{\mathbf{m}} \circ \left[\prod_{(\beta, \mathbf{n})} (D^{(\mathbf{n})})^{\circ J(\beta, \mathbf{n})} \right] (z^\gamma), \end{aligned} \quad (5.18)$$

see (5.8).

Notations 5.8. We set $f^{(\mathbf{n})} \in \bar{\mathcal{A}}$ for all $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}^d$:

$$f^{(\mathbf{n})} := \sum_{\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{N}_*^d} \binom{\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{m}}{\mathbf{n}} f(1 \otimes \partial)^{\mathbf{m}} z_{\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{m}} + \sum_{\substack{\beta \in \mathcal{M}^- \\ |\beta| > |\mathbf{n}|}} f(z^\beta \otimes D^{(\mathbf{n})}) z^\beta. \quad (5.19)$$

In particular:

$$f^{(\mathbf{0})} := \sum_{\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{N}_*^d} f(1 \otimes \partial)^{\mathbf{m}} z_{\mathbf{m}} + \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{M}^-} f(z^\beta \otimes D^{(\mathbf{0})}) z^\beta. \quad (5.20)$$

Then we have (see [LOT23, (5.17)-(5.18)])

Proposition 5.9. The map $\bar{\rho}(f) : \bar{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{A}}$ satisfies for $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}_*^d$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\bar{\rho}(f) z_{\mathbf{n}} = z_{\mathbf{n}} + f^{(\mathbf{n})}, \quad (5.21)$$

$$\bar{\rho}(f) z_k = \sum_{\ell \geq 0} \binom{k + \ell}{k} (f^{(\mathbf{0})})^\ell z_{k + \ell}. \quad (5.22)$$

Proof. The two equalities are obtained with formula (5.18) and Lemma 5.7. The first equality (5.21) is straightforward. For the second equality (5.22), on one side for $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ fixed, we have

$$(f^{(\mathbf{0})})^\ell = \sum_{a+b=\ell} \frac{\ell!}{a!b!} \left[\sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{M}^-} f(z^\beta \otimes D^{(\mathbf{0})}) z^\beta \right]^a \left[\sum_{\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{N}_*^d} f(1 \otimes \partial)^{\mathbf{m}} z_{\mathbf{m}} \right]^b$$

and by the multinomial theorem

$$\begin{aligned} &\left[\sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{M}^-} f(z^\beta \otimes D^{(\mathbf{0})}) z^\beta \right]^a \\ &= \sum_{k: \mathcal{M}^- \rightarrow \mathbb{N}} \mathbf{1}_{\left(\sum_{\beta} k_{\beta} = a\right)} a! \prod_{\beta} \left[\frac{1}{k_{\beta}!} (f(z^\beta \otimes D^{(\mathbf{0})}) z^\beta)^{k_{\beta}} \right] \end{aligned}$$

while

$$\begin{aligned} &\left[\sum_{\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{N}_*^d} f(1 \otimes \partial)^{\mathbf{m}} z_{\mathbf{m}} \right]^b \\ &= \sum_{\mathbf{m}_1, \dots, \mathbf{m}_b \in \mathbb{N}_*^d} \prod_{i=1}^b [f(1 \otimes \partial)^{\mathbf{m}_i} z_{\mathbf{m}_i}] \\ &= \sum_{\mathbf{m}_1, \dots, \mathbf{m}_b \in \mathbb{N}_*^d} f(1 \otimes \partial)^{\mathbf{m}_1 + \dots + \mathbf{m}_b} z_{\mathbf{m}_1} \cdots z_{\mathbf{m}_b} \\ &= \sum_{\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{N}_*^d} f(1 \otimes \partial)^{\mathbf{m}} \sum_{\mathbf{m}_1 + \dots + \mathbf{m}_b = \mathbf{m}} z_{\mathbf{m}_1} \cdots z_{\mathbf{m}_b}. \end{aligned}$$

By (5.17) we obtain, denoting

$$V(a) := \sum_{k: \mathcal{M}^- \rightarrow \mathbb{N}} \mathbf{1}_{\left(\sum_{\beta} k_{\beta} = a\right)} \prod_{\beta} \left[\frac{1}{k_{\beta}!} \left(f(\mathbf{z}^{\beta} \otimes D^{(0)}) \mathbf{z}^{\beta} \right)^{k_{\beta}} \right],$$

that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\ell \geq 0} \binom{k + \ell}{k} \left(f^{(0)} \right)^{\ell} \mathbf{z}_{k + \ell} = \\ & = \sum_{a \geq 0} \sum_{b \geq 0} \frac{(k + a + b)!}{k! b!} \mathbf{z}_{k + a + b} V(a) \left[\sum_{\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{N}_*^d} f(1 \otimes \partial)^{\mathbf{m}} \mathbf{z}_{\mathbf{m}} \right]^b \\ & = \sum_{\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{N}_*^d} \frac{f(1 \otimes \partial)^{\mathbf{m}}}{\mathbf{m}!} \partial^{\mathbf{m}} \sum_{a \geq 0} \frac{(k + a)!}{k!} \mathbf{z}_{k + a} V(a). \end{aligned}$$

By (5.15)-(5.17) we obtain since $D^{(\mathbf{n})} \mathbf{z}_k = 0$ for any $\mathbf{n} \neq \mathbf{0}$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\ell \geq 0} \binom{k + \ell}{k} \left(f^{(0)} \right)^{\ell} \mathbf{z}_{k + \ell} & = \sum_{a \geq 0} \sum_{\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{N}_*^d} \frac{f(1 \otimes \partial)^{\mathbf{m}}}{\mathbf{m}!} \partial^{\mathbf{m}} (D^{(0)})^{\circ a} \mathbf{z}_k V(a) \\ & = \bar{\rho}(f) \mathbf{z}_k \end{aligned}$$

which is the desired equality. \square

5.6. Graded Hopf algebra and its graded dual. We define a homogeneity $|\cdot| : \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L)} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ by

$$|\mathbf{z}^{\beta} \otimes D^{(\mathbf{n})}| := |\beta| - |\mathbf{n}|, \quad |1 \otimes \partial_i| := 1, \quad |\mathbb{1}| := 0, \quad |u_1 u_2| := |u_1| + |u_2|.$$

We set $A := \alpha \mathbb{N} + \mathbb{N} = \{\alpha i + j : i, j \in \mathbb{N}\}$. By (5.5) the homogeneity $|\beta|$ of $\beta \in \mathcal{M}$ takes values in A . This allows to grade $\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L)$ setting

$$U_{\kappa} := \mathbb{R}\{u \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L)}\}_{|u|=\kappa}, \quad \kappa \in A,$$

so that $\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L) = \bigoplus_{\kappa \in A} U_{\kappa}$. It is easy to check from the definitions that this makes $(\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L), \bar{\triangleright}, \Delta_*)$ a graded Hopf algebra, which is moreover connected (namely $U_0 = \mathbb{R}\{1\}$).

By Proposition 5.3, Assumptions 2.11 and 3.15 are satisfied in this setting. We can therefore define a dual Hopf algebra structure $(\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L), *, \Delta_{\bar{\triangleright}})$ as in (2.20) and in Proposition 3.17, where $\Delta_{\bar{\triangleright}} : \mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L) \otimes \mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L)$ is defined with respect to the pairing (5.12) by

$$\Delta_{\bar{\triangleright}} u := \sum_{u_1, u_2 \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L)}} \langle u_1 \bar{\triangleright} u_2, u \rangle T u_1 \otimes T u_2,$$

and $T : \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L)} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{B}}_{\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L)}$ is given by (5.11). Moreover $(\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L), *, \Delta_{\bar{\triangleright}})$ is graded by the homogeneity as well and it is also connected (which confirms that it is indeed a Hopf algebra).

Then the set $H := \{f \in \mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L)^* : f(\mathbb{1}) = 1\}$ forms a group for the product for $f_1, f_2 \in H$

$$f_1 \bar{\triangleright} f_2(u) = \langle f_1 \otimes f_2, \Delta_{\bar{\triangleright}} u \rangle, \quad u \in \mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L),$$

and the set G of real-valued characters on $(\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L), *)$, defined as $f : \mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$f(u_1 * u_2) = f(u_1) f(u_2), \quad u_1, u_2 \in \mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L),$$

is a subgroup of H , see Definition 2.13, Proposition 2.14 and Subsection 5.4. Then Proposition 5.4 tells us that we have a well-defined extension of $\bar{\rho} : G \rightarrow \text{End}(\bar{\mathcal{A}})$. Moreover by Proposition 3.19 the map $f \mapsto \bar{\rho}(f)$ is a group morphism from $(G, \bar{\rho}, \mathbf{1})$ to $(\text{End}(\bar{\mathcal{A}}), \circ, \text{id})$.

Finally, we note that in [LOT23] the relevant module (or co-module) is the one constructed in Section 3.6 above, while in the first constructions of regularity structures [Hai14; BHZ19] the definition is slightly different. We show now how to obtain the object used in [Hai14; BHZ19], based on the one use in [LOT23] and Section 3.6 above.

We define now the linear map $\Lambda : \mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L)^* \otimes \bar{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{A}}$

$$\Lambda(f \otimes a) := \bar{\rho}(f)(a) + \langle a, \mathbf{1} \rangle f^{(\mathbf{0})} \quad (5.23)$$

with

$$f^{(\mathbf{0})} := \sum_{\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{N}_*^d} f((\mathbf{1} \otimes \partial)^{\mathbf{m}}) \mathbf{z}_{\mathbf{m}} + \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{M}^-} f(\mathbf{z}^\beta \otimes D^{(\mathbf{0})}) \mathbf{z}^\beta \in \bar{\mathcal{A}}$$

in the notation (5.20) (which however was introduced only for f a character, while here f is a generic element of $\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L)^*$).

Proposition 5.10. $(\bar{\mathcal{A}}, \Lambda)$ is a left $(\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L)^*, \bar{\rho})$ -module, namely for all $f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L)^*$ and $a \in \bar{\mathcal{A}}$

$$\Lambda((f_1 \bar{\rho} f_2) \otimes a) = \Lambda(f_1 \otimes \Lambda(f_2 \otimes a)).$$

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda((f_1 \bar{\rho} f_2) \otimes a) &= \bar{\rho}(f_1)(\bar{\rho}(f_2)(a)) + \langle a, \mathbf{1} \rangle (f_1 \bar{\rho} f_2)^{(\mathbf{0})} \\ \Lambda(f_1 \otimes \Lambda(f_2 \otimes a)) &= \bar{\rho}(f_1) \left(\bar{\rho}(f_2)(a) + \langle a, \mathbf{1} \rangle f_2^{(\mathbf{0})} \right) + \langle \bar{\rho}(f_2)(a), \mathbf{1} \rangle f_1^{(\mathbf{0})} \end{aligned}$$

where in the second equality we have used that $\langle f_2^{(\mathbf{0})}, \mathbf{1} \rangle = 0$. We want now to prove that

$$\langle \cdot, \mathbf{1} \rangle (f_1 \bar{\rho} f_2)^{(\mathbf{0})} = \langle \bar{\rho}(f_2)(\cdot), \mathbf{1} \rangle f_1^{(\mathbf{0})} + \langle \cdot, \mathbf{1} \rangle \bar{\rho}(f_1)(f_2^{(\mathbf{0})}),$$

namely

$$(f_1 \bar{\rho} f_2)^{(\mathbf{0})} = f_2(\mathbf{1}) f_1^{(\mathbf{0})} + \bar{\rho}(f_1)(f_2^{(\mathbf{0})}),$$

since $\langle \bar{\rho}(f_2)(\mathbf{z}^\gamma), \mathbf{1} \rangle = 0$ for any $\gamma \neq 0$ while $\langle \bar{\rho}(f_2)(\mathbf{1}), \mathbf{1} \rangle = f_2(\mathbf{1})$. We set

$$\mathbf{z}(u) := \sum_{\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{N}_*^d} \langle u, (\mathbf{1} \otimes \partial)^{\mathbf{m}} \rangle \mathbf{z}_{\mathbf{m}} + \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{M}^-} \langle u, \mathbf{z}^\beta \otimes D^{(\mathbf{0})} \rangle \mathbf{z}^\beta \in \bar{\mathcal{A}}$$

for $u \in \mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L)$, so that

$$f^{(\mathbf{0})} = \sum_{u \in \mathcal{B}\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L)} f(Tu) \mathbf{z}(u).$$

Then

$$(f_1 \bar{\rho} f_2)^{(\mathbf{0})} = \sum_{u_1, u_2 \in \mathcal{B}\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L)} f_1(Tu_1) f_2(Tu_2) \mathbf{z}(u_1 \bar{\rho} u_2),$$

while

$$\begin{aligned} & f_2(\mathbf{1})f_1^{(\mathbf{0})} + \bar{\rho}(f_1)(f_2^{(\mathbf{0})}) \\ &= \sum_{u_1, u_2 \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L)}} f_1(Tu_1)f_2(Tu_2) \left[\mathbf{1}_{(u_2=\mathbf{1})}z(u_1) + \bar{\rho}(u_1)z(u_2) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore all we have to prove is the formula

$$z(u_1 \bar{\triangleright} u_2) = \mathbf{1}_{(u_2=\mathbf{1})}z(u_1) + \bar{\rho}(u_1)z(u_2), \quad \forall u_1, u_2 \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L)}.$$

If $u_2 = \mathbf{1}$ then this reduces to $z(u_1) = z(u_1)$, since $z(\mathbf{1}) = 0$. If $u_2 \neq \mathbf{1}$ then we have to show that

$$z(u_1 \bar{\triangleright} u_2) = \bar{\rho}(u_1)(z(u_2)), \quad \forall u_1 \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L)}.$$

For $u_1 = \mathbf{1}$ this formula reduces to $z(u_2) = z(u_2)$.

We consider therefore $u_1, u_2 \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L)} \setminus \{\mathbf{1}\}$. Using (3.11) or (3.12) we compute for $\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{N}_*^d$ and $\beta \in \mathcal{M}^-$:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle u_1 \bar{\triangleright} u_2, z^\beta \otimes D^{(\mathbf{0})} \rangle &= \sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{M}} \langle z^\beta, \bar{\rho}(u_1)(z^\gamma) \rangle \langle u_2, z^\gamma \otimes D^{(\mathbf{0})} \rangle, \\ \langle u_1 \bar{\triangleright} u_2, (1 \otimes \partial)^{\mathbf{m}} \rangle &= \sum_{\mathbf{0} \leq \mathbf{n} \leq \mathbf{m}} \binom{\mathbf{m}}{\mathbf{n}} \langle u_1, (1 \otimes \partial)^{\mathbf{m}-\mathbf{n}} \rangle \langle u_2, (1 \otimes \partial)^{\mathbf{n}} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\rho}(u_1)(z(u_2)) &= \sum_{\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{N}_*^d} \langle u_2, (1 \otimes \partial)^{\mathbf{m}} \rangle \bar{\rho}(u_1)z_{\mathbf{m}} \\ &\quad + \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{M}^-} \langle u_2, z^\beta \otimes D^{(\mathbf{0})} \rangle \bar{\rho}(u_1)z^\beta. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that $z(u_1 \bar{\triangleright} u_2) = 0$ and $\bar{\rho}(u_1)(z(u_2)) = 0$, unless $u_2 \in \{\frac{1}{\mathbf{q}!}(1 \otimes \partial)^{\mathbf{q}}, z^\gamma \otimes D^{(\mathbf{0})} : \mathbf{q} \in \mathbb{N}_*^d, \gamma \in \mathcal{M}^-\}$. If $u_2 = z^\gamma \otimes D^{(\mathbf{0})}$ then the desired formula follows from

$$z(u_1 \bar{\triangleright} u_2) = \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{M}^-} \langle z^\beta, \bar{\rho}(u_1)(z^\gamma) \rangle z^\beta = \bar{\rho}(u_1)(z^\gamma) = \bar{\rho}(u_1)(z(u_2)).$$

If $u_2 = \frac{1}{\mathbf{q}!}(1 \otimes \partial)^{\mathbf{q}}$ then

$$\begin{aligned} z(u_1 \bar{\triangleright} u_2) &= \sum_{\mathbf{m} \geq \mathbf{q}} \frac{\mathbf{m}!}{(\mathbf{m}-\mathbf{q})!} \langle u_1, (1 \otimes \partial)^{\mathbf{m}-\mathbf{q}} \rangle z_{\mathbf{m}} \\ &= \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } u_1 \notin \{\frac{1}{\mathbf{n}!}(1 \otimes \partial)^{\mathbf{n}} : \mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}_*^d\} \\ (\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{q})! z_{\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{q}} & \text{if } u_1 = \frac{1}{\mathbf{n}!}(1 \otimes \partial)^{\mathbf{n}}. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Now for $u_2 = \frac{1}{\mathbf{q}!}(1 \otimes \partial)^{\mathbf{q}}$ we have $z(u_2) = \mathbf{q}! z_{\mathbf{q}}$ and, by Corollary 5.7, $\bar{\rho}(u_1)(z(u_2)) = \mathbf{q}! \bar{\rho}(u_1)(z_{\mathbf{q}})$ is equal to zero if $u_1 \notin \{\frac{1}{\mathbf{n}!}(1 \otimes \partial)^{\mathbf{n}} : \mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}_*^d\}$, and equal to $(\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{q})! z_{\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{q}}$ if $u_1 = \frac{1}{\mathbf{n}!}(1 \otimes \partial)^{\mathbf{n}}$ by (5.17). \square

We finally define the linear map $\Gamma : \mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L)^* \otimes \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$

$$\Gamma(f \otimes a) := \sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{M}} \langle \bar{\rho}(f)z^\gamma, a \rangle z^\gamma + \langle f^{(\mathbf{0})}, a \rangle \mathbf{1}, \quad a \in \mathcal{A}, \quad (5.24)$$

with respect to the pairing (5.13) between $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$ and \mathcal{A} , where the sum is finite by Proposition 5.3. We also use the notation $\Gamma_f : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ for $f \in \mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L)^*$

$$\Gamma_f z^\beta := \Gamma(f \otimes z^\beta) = \sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{M}} \langle \overline{\rho}(f) z^\gamma, z^\beta \rangle z^\gamma + \langle f^{(0)}, z^\beta \rangle \mathbf{1}, \quad \beta \in \mathcal{M}.$$

In other words we have

$$\Gamma_f = (\Lambda(f \otimes \cdot))^*,$$

in the pairing (5.13). Then we have by Proposition 5.10 that (\mathcal{A}, Γ) is a *right* $(\mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L)^*, \overline{\rho})$ -module, namely for all $f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L)^*$ and $a \in \mathcal{A}$

$$\Gamma((f_1 \overline{\rho} f_2) \otimes a) = \Gamma(f_2 \otimes \Gamma(f_1 \otimes a)).$$

In particular we obtain that for all $f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{U}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(L)^*$

$$\Gamma_{f_1 \overline{\rho} f_2} = \Gamma_{f_2} \circ \Gamma_{f_1}.$$

REFERENCES

- [BCCH21] Y. Bruned, A. Chandra, I. Chevyrev, and M. Hairer. “Renormalising SPDEs in regularity structures”. In: *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)* 23.3 (2021), pp. 869–947. URL: <https://doi.org/10.4171/jems/1025>.
- [BHZ19] Y. Bruned, M. Hairer, and L. Zambotti. “Algebraic renormalisation of regularity structures”. In: *Invent. Math.* 215.3 (2019), pp. 1039–1156. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00222-018-0841-x>.
- [BK22] Y. Bruned and F. Katsetsiadis. “Post-Lie algebras in Regularity Structures”. In: *arXiv:2208.00514* (2022). URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2208.00514>.
- [BL23] Y. Bruned and P. Linares. “A top-down approach to algebraic renormalization in regularity structures based on multi-indices”. In: *arXiv:2307.03036* (2023). URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2307.03036>.
- [Bou89] N. Bourbaki. *Lie groups and Lie algebras. Chapters 1–3*. Elements of Mathematics (Berlin). Translated from the French, Reprint of the 1975 edition. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1989, pp. xviii+450.
- [Bur06] D. Burde. “Left-symmetric algebras, or pre-Lie algebras in geometry and physics”. In: *Cent. Eur. J. Math.* 4.3 (2006), pp. 323–357. URL: <https://doi.org/10.2478/s11533-006-0014-9>.
- [But72] J. C. Butcher. “An algebraic theory of integration methods”. In: *Math. Comp.* 26 (1972), pp. 79–106. URL: <https://doi.org/10.2307/2004720>.
- [CEFM11] D. Calaque, K. Ebrahimi-Fard, and D. Manchon. “Two interacting Hopf algebras of trees: a Hopf-algebraic approach to composition and substitution of B-series”. In: *Adv. in Appl. Math.* 47.2 (2011), pp. 282–308. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aam.2009.08.003>.
- [CEFMMK20] C. Curry, K. Ebrahimi-Fard, D. Manchon, and H. Z. Munthe-Kaas. “Planarly branched rough paths and rough differential equations on homogeneous spaces”. In: *J. Differential Equations* 269.11 (2020), pp. 9740–9782. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jde.2020.06.058>.
- [CL01] F. Chapoton and M. Livernet. “Pre-Lie algebras and the rooted trees operad”. In: *Internat. Math. Res. Notices* 8 (2001), pp. 395–408. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1155/S1073792801000198>.
- [CP21] P. Cartier and F. Patras. *Classical Hopf algebras and their applications*. Vol. 29. Algebra and Applications. Springer, Cham, [2021] ©2021, pp. xv+268. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-77845-3>.
- [EFLMK15] K. Ebrahimi-Fard, A. Lundervold, and H. Z. Munthe-Kaas. “On the Lie enveloping algebra of a post-Lie algebra”. In: *J. Lie Theory* 25.4 (2015), pp. 1139–1165. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/1410.6350>.

- [EFM18] K. Ebrahimi-Fard and I. Mencattini. “Post-Lie algebras, factorization theorems and isospectral flows”. In: *Discrete mechanics, geometric integration and Lie-Butcher series*. Vol. 267. Springer Proc. Math. Stat. Springer, Cham, 2018, pp. 231–285. URL: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-01397-4_7.
- [FMMK21] G. Fløystad, D. Manchon, and H. Z. Munthe-Kaas. “The Universal Pre-Lie–Rinehart Algebras of Aromatic Trees”. In: *Geometric and Harmonic Analysis on Homogeneous Spaces and Applications: TJC 2019, Djerba, Tunisia, December 15–19*. Springer, 2021, pp. 137–159.
- [Foi18a] L. Foissy. “Extension of the product of a post-Lie algebra and application to the SISO feedback transformation group”. In: *Computation and combinatorics in dynamics, stochastics and control*. Vol. 13. Abel Symp. Springer, Cham, 2018, pp. 369–399.
- [Foi18b] L. Foissy. “Algebraic structures on typed decorated rooted trees”. In: *arXiv:1811.07572* (2018). URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/1811.07572>.
- [GR14] D. Grinberg and V. Reiner. “Hopf algebras in combinatorics”. In: *preprint arXiv:1409.8356* (2014). URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/1409.8356>.
- [Gub10] M. Gubinelli. “Ramification of rough paths”. In: *J. Differential Equations* 248.4 (2010), pp. 693–721. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jde.2009.11.015>.
- [Hai14] M. Hairer. “A theory of regularity structures”. In: *Invent. Math.* 198.2 (2014), pp. 269–504. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00222-014-0505-4>.
- [Hof03] M. E. Hoffman. “Combinatorics of rooted trees and Hopf algebras”. In: *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 355.9 (2003), pp. 3795–3811. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9947-03-00002-9>.
- [Lin23] P. Linares. “Insertion pre-Lie products and translation of rough paths based on multi-indices”. In: *arXiv:2307.06769* (2023). URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2307.06769>.
- [LO22] P. Linares and F. Otto. “A tree-free approach to regularity structures: the regular case for quasi-linear equations”. In: *arXiv:2207.10627* (2022). URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2207.10627>.
- [LOT23] P. Linares, F. Otto, and M. Tempelmayr. “The structure group for quasi-linear equations via universal enveloping algebras”. In: *Comm. Amer. Math. Soc.* 3 (2023), pp. 1–64. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1090/cams/16>.
- [LOTT21] P. Linares, F. Otto, M. Tempelmayr, and P. Tsatsoulis. “A diagram-free approach to the stochastic estimates in regularity structures”. In: *arXiv:2112.10739* (2021). URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2112.10739>.
- [MM65] J. W. Milnor and J. C. Moore. “On the structure of Hopf algebras”. In: *Ann. of Math. (2)* 81 (1965), pp. 211–264. URL: <https://doi.org/10.2307/1970615>.
- [MS11] D. Manchon and A. Saïdi. “Lois pré-Lie en interaction”. In: *Comm. Algebra* 39.10 (2011), pp. 3662–3680. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1080/00927872.2010.510813>.
- [OG08] J.-M. Oudom and D. Guin. “On the Lie enveloping algebra of a pre-Lie algebra”. In: *J. K-Theory* 2.1 (2008), pp. 147–167. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1017/is008001011jkt037>.
- [OSSH21] F. Otto, J. Sauer, S. Smith, and W. Hendrik. “A priori bounds for quasi-linear SPDEs in the full sub-critical regime”. In: *arXiv:2103.11039* (2021). URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2103.11039>.