CONFIGURATION SPACES AS COMMUTATIVE MONOIDS

OSCAR RANDAL-WILLIAMS, WITH AN APPENDIX WITH QUOC P. HO

ABSTRACT. After 1-point compactification, the collection of all unordered configuration spaces of a manifold admits a commutative multiplication by superposition of configurations. We explain a simple (derived) presentation for this commutative monoid object. Using this presentation, one can quickly deduce Knudsen's formula for the rational cohomology of configuration spaces, prove rational homological stability, and understand how automorphisms of the manifold act on the cohomology of configuration spaces. Similar considerations reproduce the work of Farb–Wolfson–Wood on homological densities.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let M be the interior of a connected compact manifold with boundary. The 1-point compactification of the space $C_n(M)$ of unordered configurations in M may be written as

$$
C_n(M)^{+} = \left[\frac{(M^{+})^{\wedge n}}{\text{locus where two points coincide}}\right]_{\mathfrak{S}_n},
$$

the quotient formed in pointed spaces. Not-necessarily-disjoint union of unordered configurations defines a superposition product

$$
C_n(M)^+ \wedge C_{n'}(M)^+ \longrightarrow C_{n+n'}(M)^+
$$

which is associative, commutative, and unital. This gives a unital commutative monoid object in the symmetric monoidal category $\text{Top}_*^{\mathbb{N}}$ of $\mathbb{N}\text{-graded pointed spaces:}$

$$
\mathbf{C}(M): n \longmapsto C_n(M)^+.
$$

The goal of this note is to explain and exploit this algebraic structure.

More generally, let $\pi: L \to M$ be a vector bundle, and let

$$
C_n(M;L)^{+} = \left[\frac{(L^{+})^{\wedge n}}{\text{locus where two points have the same projection in } M\right]_{\mathfrak{S}_n}
$$

.

These assemble in the same way to a unital commutative monoid object $\mathbf{C}(M;L)$. (Of course more general spaces of labels can be implemented too, but the above suffices for us.) In the following we write $X[n]$ for the N-graded pointed space which consists of X in grading n and the point in all other gradings, and $\text{Com}(X)$ for the free commutative monoid on $X \in \mathsf{Top}_{*}^{\mathbb{N}}$.

Theorem 1.1. There is a pushout square

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}\n\mathbf{Com}([(L\oplus L)^+]_{\mathfrak{S}_2}[2]) & \xrightarrow{\epsilon} & S^0[0] \\
\downarrow^{\Delta} & & \downarrow \\
\mathbf{Com}(L^+[1]) & \xrightarrow{\qquad} & \mathbf{C}(M;L)\n\end{array}
$$

of unital commutative monoids in $\mathsf{Top}^\mathbb{N}_*$, where ϵ is the augmentation and Δ is induced by the inclusion $[(L \oplus L)^+]_{\mathfrak{S}_2} \to [L^+ \wedge L^+]_{\mathfrak{S}_2} = \text{Com}(L^+ [1])(2)$. Furthermore, this square is a homotopy pushout, i.e. there is an induced equivalence

$$
\mathbf{Com}(L^+[1]) \otimes_{\mathbf{Com}([(L \oplus L)^+]_{\mathfrak{S}_2}[2])}^{\mathbb{L}} S^0[0] \stackrel{\sim}{\longrightarrow} \mathbf{C}(M;L).
$$

Recall that the derived relative tensor product may be computed by the two-sided bar construction, formed in $\mathsf{Top}^{\mathbb{N}}_{*}$, so the conclusion can equivalently be stated as an equivalence

$$
(1.1) \tB(\mathbf{Com}(L^+[1]), \mathbf{Com}([(L\oplus L)^+]_{\mathfrak{S}_2}[2]), S^0[0]) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{C}(M;L).
$$

As one application of this result we will show how to recover Knudsen's [\[Knu17\]](#page-9-0) formula for $H^*(C_n(M); \mathbb{Q})$ in terms of the compactly-supported \mathbb{Q} -cohomology of M and its cup-product map, which in particular quickly implies homological stability. As another application we will show that the action on $H^*(C_n(M);\mathbb{Q})$ of the group of proper homotopy self-equivalences of M factors over a surprisingly small group. Finally, in an appendix written with Quoc P. Ho, we show how similar considerations reproduces the work of Farb–Wolfson–Wood on homological densities.

This note was inspired by a speculative remark of Banerjee [\[Ban21,](#page-9-1) Remark 1.2], and is my attempt to make sense of it in a specific case. It also has much to do with the work of Ho [\[Ho21,](#page-9-2) [Ho20\]](#page-9-3), Petersen [\[Pet20\]](#page-10-0), Knudsen [\[Knu17\]](#page-9-0), Getzler [\[Get99a,](#page-9-4) [Get99b\]](#page-9-5), Bödigheimer–Cohen–Milgram [\[BCM93\]](#page-9-6), and Segal [\[Seg79\]](#page-10-1).

2. Applications

2.1. Homology of configuration spaces. The space $C_n(M;L)^+$ is the 1-point compactification of the $n \cdot (\dim(M) + \dim(L))$ -dimensional manifold

$$
C_n(M;L) := [L^n \setminus \{(l_1,\ldots,l_n) \mid \pi(l_i) = \pi(l_j) \text{ and } i \neq j\}]_{\mathfrak{S}_n}.
$$

This is a vector bundle over $C_n(M)$, but is a manifold itself and is orientable if and only if the manifold L is orientable and even-dimensional. To arrange this, we can take the vector bundle W given by the orientation line of M plus $(\dim(M) - 1)$ trivial line bundles. Thus if M is d-dimensional then by Poincaré duality we have

$$
H^*(C_n(M); \mathbb{k}) \cong H^*(C_n(M; W); \mathbb{k}) \cong \widetilde{H}_{2dn-*}(C_n(M; W)^+; \mathbb{k}).
$$

In view of this, the bar construction description (1.1) can be used, in combination with the homology of free commutative monoids (see [\[Mil69\]](#page-9-7)), to investigate $H^*(C_n(M); \mathbb{k})$. We do not pursue this in general here, but rather focus on the case $\mathbb{k} = \mathbb{Q}$, where a complete answer is possible, and reproduces a formula of Knudsen.

2.2. Revisiting Knudsen's formula. For an N-graded pointed space we write $H_{n,d}(X) = \widetilde{H}_d(X(n))$, and similarly for chains. Write $S^*(V)$ for the free gradedcommutative algebra on a graded vector space V . If V is equipped with additional N-grading, this is inherited by $S^*(V)$ (but there is no Koszul sign rule associated to the N-grading, only to the homological grading).

We consider $\mathbf{C}(M;W)$. There is a map $\widetilde{C}_*(W^+; \mathbb{Q})[1] \to \widetilde{C}_*(\text{Com}(W^+[1]); \mathbb{Q})$ and, using the Eilenberg–Zilber maps, it extends to a map of cdga's

$$
S^*(\widetilde{C}_*(W^+;\mathbb{Q})[1]) \longrightarrow C_{*,*}(\textbf{Com}(W^+[1]);\mathbb{Q}),
$$

which is an equivalence (since the maps $[(W^{\dagger})^{\wedge n}]_{h\mathfrak{S}_n} \to [(W^{\dagger})^{\wedge n}]_{\mathfrak{S}_n}$ are rational homology isomorphisms). Similarly, there is an equivalence of cdga's

$$
S^*(\widetilde{C}_*([W \oplus W)^+]_{\mathfrak{S}_2}; \mathbb{Q})[2]) \longrightarrow C_{*,*}(\mathbf{Com}([W \oplus W)^+]_{\mathfrak{S}_2}[2]); \mathbb{Q}).
$$

Furthermore, one may choose formality equivalences

$$
\widetilde{H}_*(W^+; \mathbb{Q}) \longrightarrow \widetilde{C}_*(W^+; \mathbb{Q})
$$
\n
$$
\widetilde{H}_*([W \oplus W)^+]_{\mathfrak{S}_2}; \mathbb{Q}) \longrightarrow \widetilde{C}_*([W \oplus W)^+]_{\mathfrak{S}_2}; \mathbb{Q}),
$$

i.e. chain maps inducing the identity on homology, and hence obtain equivalences

$$
S^*(\widetilde{H}_*(W^+;\mathbb{Q})[1]) \longrightarrow S^*(\widetilde{C}_*(W^+;\mathbb{Q})[1])
$$

 $S^*(\widetilde{H}_*([W \oplus W)^+]_{\mathfrak{S}_2};\mathbb{Q})[2]) \longrightarrow S^*(\widetilde{C}_*([W \oplus W)^+]_{\mathfrak{S}_2};\mathbb{Q})[2])$

of cdga's. With such choices the square

$$
S^*(\widetilde{H}_*([W \oplus W)^+]_{\mathfrak{S}_2};\mathbb{Q})[2]) \longrightarrow C_{*,*}(\mathbf{Com}([W \oplus W)^+]_{\mathfrak{S}_2}[2]);\mathbb{Q})
$$

\n
$$
\downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow
$$

\n
$$
S^*(\widetilde{H}_*(W^+;\mathbb{Q})[1]) \longrightarrow C_{*,*}(\mathbf{Com}(W^+[1]);\mathbb{Q})
$$

need not commute, but does commute up to homotopy in the category of cdga's because the two chain maps $\widetilde{H}_*([W \oplus W)^+]_{\mathfrak{S}_2}$; $\mathbb{Q}) \to \widetilde{C}_*([W^+)^{\wedge 2}]_{\mathfrak{S}_2}$; $\mathbb{Q})$ induce the same map on homology, so are chain homotopic. The bar construction description then gives an identification

Tor_{*}^{S^{*}}
$$
(\tilde{H}_*([W \oplus W)^+]_{\mathfrak{S}_2};\mathbb{Q})[2])
$$
 $(S^*(\tilde{H}_*(W^+;\mathbb{Q})[1]),\mathbb{Q}[0]) \cong H_{*,*}(\mathbf{C}(M;W);\mathbb{Q}).$

The Koszul complex for calculating these Tor groups takes the form

$$
\left(S^*\left(\widetilde{H}_*(W^+;\mathbb{Q})[1]\oplus \Sigma \widetilde{H}_*([W\oplus W)^+]_{\mathfrak{S}_2};\mathbb{Q})[2]\right),\partial\right)
$$

with differential given by $\partial(\Sigma x) = \Delta_*(x) \in S^2(\widetilde{H}_*(W^+[1];\mathbb{Q}))$ when $x \in \widetilde{H}_*([W \oplus W^+]) \times \mathbb{Z}$ $W)^{+}$ / $\mathfrak{S}_2[2]$; Q), and extended by the Leibniz rule. This can be simplified as follows. If M is d-dimensional then the Thom isomorphism gives $\widetilde{H}_*(W^+;\mathbb{Q})=$ $\Sigma^d \widetilde{H}_*(M^+;\mathbb{Q}^{w_1})$, where \mathbb{Q}^{w_1} is the orientation local system of M. It also gives $\widetilde{H}_*((W \oplus W)^+; \mathbb{Q}) = \Sigma^{2d} \widetilde{H}_*(M^+; \mathbb{Q})$. The involution swapping the two W factors acts as $(-1)^d$ on the Thom class, so as the map $[(W \oplus W)^+]_{h\mathfrak{S}_2} \to [(W \oplus W)^+]_{\mathfrak{S}_2}$ is a rational equivalence we find

$$
\widetilde{H}_*([W \oplus W)^+]_{\mathfrak{S}_2};\mathbb{Q}) = \begin{cases} \Sigma^{2d} \widetilde{H}_*(M^+;\mathbb{Q}) & d \text{ even} \\ 0 & d \text{ odd.} \end{cases}
$$

This lets us write the complex as

$$
(2.1) \qquad \left(S^*\left(\Sigma^d \widetilde{H}_*(M^+;\mathbb{Q}^{w_1})[1]\oplus \begin{cases} \Sigma^{2d+1} \widetilde{H}_*(M^+;\mathbb{Q}) & d \text{ even} \\ 0 & d \text{ odd} \end{cases}[2]), \partial\right),
$$

where the differential is dual to the map $S^2(H_c^*(M; \mathbb{Q}^{w_1})) \to H_c^*(M; \mathbb{Q})$ induced by cup product, so following Knudsen we can recognise this complex as the Chevelley– Eilenberg complex for the bigraded Lie algebra $H_c^*(M; \mathrm{Lie}(\Sigma^{d-1} \mathbb{Q}^{w_1}[1]))$. Thus

$$
H^{2nd-*}(C_n(M);\mathbb{Q})\cong \widetilde{H}_*(C_n(M;W)^+;\mathbb{Q})\cong H^*_{\mathrm{Lie}}(H^*_c(M;\mathrm{Lie}(\Sigma^{d-1}\mathbb{Q}^{w_1}[1])))(n).
$$

After appropriate dualisations and reindexings, this agrees with Knudsen's formula.

2.3. Homological stability. Knudsen has explained [\[Knu17,](#page-9-0) Section 5.3] how his formula implies (co)homological stability for the spaces $C_n(M)$. Let us briefly review this from the point of view taken here.

There is a canonical element $[M] \in \widetilde{H}_d(M^+; \mathbb{Q}^{w_1})$, a cycle representing which defines a map

$$
\Sigma^{2d}\mathbb{Q}[1] \stackrel{\sigma}{\longrightarrow} C_{*,*}(\mathbf{Com}(W^+[1]);\mathbb{Q}) \longrightarrow C_{*,*}(\mathbf{C}(M;W);\mathbb{Q}).
$$

Multiplication by this element defines a map

$$
(\sigma \cdot -)_{*} : \widetilde{H}_{n-1,2d(n-1)-i}(\mathbf{C}(M;W);\mathbb{Q}) \longrightarrow \widetilde{H}_{n,2dn-i}(\mathbf{C}(M;W);\mathbb{Q})
$$

which under Poincaré duality gives a map $H^i(C_{n-1}(M); \mathbb{Q}) \to H^i(C_n(M); \mathbb{Q})$; this can be checked to be the transfer map which sums over all ways of forgetting one of the n points, see [\[Knu17,](#page-9-0) Section 5.2] [\[Sta23b,](#page-10-2) Section 2.6].

Writing $C_{\ast\ast}(\mathbf{C}(M;W);\mathbb{Q})/\sigma$ for the mapping cone of left multiplication by σ . the discussion above shows that its homology is calculated by a complex

$$
\left(S^*\left(\Sigma^d\frac{\widetilde{H}_*(M^+;\mathbb{Q}^{w_1})}{\langle [M]\rangle}[1]\oplus\begin{cases} \Sigma^{2d+1}\widetilde{H}_*(M^+;\mathbb{Q}) & d \text{ even} \\ 0 & d \text{ odd} \end{cases}[2]\right),\partial\right).
$$

As M is connected, if we assume that $d \geq 3$ then the bigraded vector spaces $\Sigma^d \frac{\widetilde{H}_*(M^+;\mathbb{Q}^{w_1})}{\langle M \rangle}$ $\frac{M^+; \mathbb{Q}^{w_1}}{([M])}$ [1] and $\Sigma^{2d+1}\widetilde{H}_*(M^+; \mathbb{Q})$ [2] both vanish in bidegrees $(n, 2dn-i)$ with $2dn - i > (2d - 1)n$, and hence so does the free graded-commutative algebra on them. This translates to $H^{i}(C_{n-1}(M); \mathbb{Q}) \to H^{i}(C_n(M); \mathbb{Q})$ being surjective for $i < n$ and an isomorphism for $i < n - 1$. For $d = 2$ the same considerations give surjectivity for $i < \frac{1}{2}n$ and so on. These ranges can be improved by closer inspection of the structure of [\(2.1\)](#page-2-0).

2.4. The action of automorphisms on unordered configurations. Using Knudsen's formula it is possible to mislead yourself into thinking that homeomorphisms of M (or indeed pointed homotopy self-equivalences of M^+) act on $H_*(C_n(M);\mathbb{Q})$ via their action on $H_*(M;\mathbb{Q})$: in other words, that such maps which act trivially on the homology of M also act trivially on the homology of $C_n(M)$. This is not true: in the case of surfaces see Bianchi [\[Bia20,](#page-9-8) Section 7], Looijenga [\[Loo23\]](#page-9-9), and the complete analysis given by Stavrou [\[Sta23a\]](#page-10-3).

From the point of view taken here this phenomenon can be explained as follows. For simplicity suppose that M is orientable, and first suppose that it is odddimensional. Then $H^*(C_n(M); \mathbb{Q}) \cong \widetilde{H}_{2dn-*}(C_n(M; M \times \mathbb{R}^d)^+; \mathbb{Q})$ and the analysis of Section [2.2](#page-1-1) applied to $\mathbf{C}(M; M \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ shows that $\mathbf{Com}(S^d \wedge M^+[1]) \to \mathbf{C}(M; M \times$ \mathbb{R}^d) is a rational homology isomorphism. So we find:

Theorem 2.1. If M is orientable and odd-dimensional, then a pointed homotopy self-equivalence of M^+ which acts trivially on $\widetilde{H}_*(M^+; \mathbb{Q})$ also acts trivially on $H^*(C_*(M) \cdot \mathbb{Q})$ $H^*(C_n(M); \mathbb{Q})$.

The even-dimensional case is more interesting. As M is assumed orientable, in this case the twisting by W can be dispensed with. It is technically convenient here—for reasons of symmetric monoidality—to work in the category of simplicial Q-modules rather than chain complexes. For a space X let us abbreviate $\mathbb{Q}[X] := \mathbb{Q}[\text{Sing}_{\bullet}(X)],$ and if it is based then let $\mathbb{Q}[X] = \mathbb{Q}[X]/\mathbb{Q}[*]$. The discussion in the previous section, ignoring the formality step and translated to simplicial Q-modules, shows that given the simplicial module $\widetilde{\mathbb{Q}}[M^+]$ and the map $\delta : \widetilde{\mathbb{Q}}[M^+] \to [\widetilde{\mathbb{Q}}[M^+]^{\otimes 2}]_{\mathfrak{S}_2}$ induced by the diagonal $M^+ \to M^+ \wedge M^+$, we may for the two-sided bar construction

$$
B(S^*(\widetilde{\mathbb{Q}}[M^+][1]), S^*(\widetilde{\mathbb{Q}}[M^+][2]), \mathbb{Q}[0])
$$

whose homotopy groups are identified with the $\widetilde{H}_*(C_n(M)^+)$.

The bar construction may be acted upon by the group-like simplicial monoid of homotopy automorphisms of the data

$$
\mathcal{Q} := (\widetilde{\mathbb{Q}}[M^+], \delta : \widetilde{\mathbb{Q}}[M^+] \to [\widetilde{\mathbb{Q}}[M^+]^{\otimes 2}]_{\mathfrak{S}_2}).
$$

This simplicial monoid has p-simplices given by—using the tensoring \odot of simplicial modules over simplicial sets—pairs of an equivalence $\phi : \Delta^p \odot \widetilde{\mathbb{Q}}[M^+] \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathbb{Q}}[M^+]$

and a homotopy

$$
\begin{CD} \Delta^p \odot \widetilde{\mathbb{Q}}[M^+] & \xrightarrow{\Delta^p \odot \delta} \Delta^p \odot \big[\widetilde{\mathbb{Q}}[M^+]^{\otimes 2}\big]_{\mathfrak{S}_2} & \xrightarrow{diag_{\Delta^p}} \big[(\Delta^p \odot \widetilde{\mathbb{Q}}[M^+])^{\otimes 2}\big]_{\mathfrak{S}_2} \\ & \downarrow^{\phi} & \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\mathbb{Q}}[M^+] \xrightarrow{\delta} & \big[\widetilde{\mathbb{Q}}[M^+]^{\otimes 2}\big]_{\mathfrak{S}_2}; \end{CD}
$$

the simplicial structure is given by the evident functoriality in Δ^p . This is neither the simplicial monoid of those automorphisms which preserve δ strictly, nor is it the simplicial monoid of those automorphisms which preserve δ up to homotopy: rather, a choice of homotopy is built in. This has the effect that the forgetful map

 $Aut(\mathcal{Q}) \longrightarrow Aut(\widetilde{\mathbb{Q}}[M^+])$

is easily seen to be a Kan fibration, with fibre over the identity given by the grouplike simplicial monoid of self-homotopies of the map δ . On homotopy groups this gives an exact sequence

$$
\pi_1(\text{Hom}(\widetilde{\mathbb{Q}}[M^+], \widetilde{\mathbb{Q}}[M^+]); id) \xrightarrow{\delta \circ -} \pi_1(\text{Hom}(\widetilde{\mathbb{Q}}[M^+], [\widetilde{\mathbb{Q}}[M^+] \otimes^2]_{\mathfrak{S}_2}); \delta) \longrightarrow
$$

$$
\longrightarrow \text{Aut}(\widetilde{H}_*(M^+), \Delta_*) \longrightarrow 1
$$

and so an extension

 $1 \to \text{Hom}(\Sigma \widetilde{H}_*(M^+), S^2(\widetilde{H}_*(M^+))/\Delta_*) \to \pi_0 \text{Aut}(\mathcal{Q}) \to \text{Aut}(\widetilde{H}_*(M^+), \Delta_*) \to 1.$ The simplicial monoid of proper homotopy self-equivalences of M acts via $Aut(\mathcal{Q})$, by letting ϕ be the induced map on (Q-linearised) singular simplices, and h be the trivial homotopy, because such ϕ literally commute with the diagonal map δ .

This implies the following. We continue to assume that M is even-dimensional and orientable. Let G denote the group of homotopy classes of pointed homotopy self-equivalences of M^+ which act as the identity on $H_*(M^+;\mathbb{Q})$.

Theorem 2.2. If M is orientable and even-dimensional, then G acts on $H^*(C_n(M);\mathbb{Q})$ via Hom($\Sigma \widetilde{H}_*(M^+; \mathbb{Q}), S^2(\widetilde{H}_*(M^+; \mathbb{Q}))/\Delta_*$).

Example 2.3. When M is a punctured surface one has $\widetilde{H}_*(M^+) = \Sigma H_1(M) \oplus \Sigma^2 \mathbb{Q}$ so the map $\Delta_* : \tilde{H}_*(M^+) \to S^2(\tilde{H}_*(M^+))$ has the form

$$
\Sigma H_1(M) \oplus \Sigma^2 \mathbb{Q} \longrightarrow \Sigma^2 \Lambda^2(H_1(M)) \oplus \Sigma^3 H_1(M) \oplus \Sigma^4 \mathbb{Q},
$$

which in grading 2 is the inclusion of the symplectic form $\omega \in \Lambda^2(H_1(M))$ and is zero otherwise. Thus the above is $\text{Hom}(H_1(M), \Lambda^2(H_1(M))/\langle \omega \rangle) \oplus H_1(M)$. Using Poincaré duality and $\Lambda^2(H_1(M)) \cong \mathbb{Q}\{\omega\} \oplus \Lambda^2(H_1(M))/\langle \omega \rangle$, this can be identified with $\text{Hom}(H_1(M), \Lambda^2(H_1(M)))$. This is the target of the Johnson homomorphism, cf. [\[Sta23a\]](#page-10-3).

Remark 2.4. The results of this section should also follow from [\[Sta23a,](#page-10-3) Theorem 1.2] and some rational homotopy theory.

3. Proofs

Recall that $X \in \text{Top}_*$ is *well-based* if the basepoint map $i : * \to X$ is a closed cofibration: under this condition $X \wedge -$ preserves weak equivalences between wellbased spaces, and preserves closed cofibrations. Let us say that an N-graded based space Y is well-based if $Y(n)$ is well-based for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let us write $\mathbf{R} := \text{Com}(L^+[1])$ and $\mathbf{S} := \text{Com}([(L \oplus L)^+]_{\mathfrak{S}_2}[2])$ to ease notation, so $\Delta : \mathbf{S} \to \mathbf{R}$ makes **R** into a **S**-module.

Lemma 3.1. S and **R** are well-based. The subspace of $[(L^+)^{\wedge p}]_{\mathfrak{S}_p}$ of those tuples which do not have distinct M coordinates is well-based, and this inclusion is a closed cofibration.

Proof. Recall that M is the interior of a compact manifold with boundary \overline{M} . This admits a collar, showing that $i : M \to \overline{M}$ admits a homotopy inverse, and so the vector bundle $L \to M$ extends to a vector bundle over \overline{M} , which we also call L. Furthermore, choosing an inner product on this bundle we can form the closed disc bundle $D(L) \to \overline{M}$, and consider L as lying inside it as the open disc bundle. Now $D(L)$ is a manifold with boundary $\partial D(L) = S(L) \cup D(L)|_{\partial \overline{M}}$, and $L^+ = D(L)/\partial D(L).$

Observe that $(\overline{M}, \partial \overline{M})$ is an compact manifold pair so (is an ENR pair and hence) can be expressed as a retract of a pair $(|X_{\bullet}|, |\partial X_{\bullet}|)$ of the geometric realisations of a simplicial set and a subset. We may pull L back to $|X_{\bullet}|$ using the retraction; let us call this L_X . Now $D(L_X)/S(L_X) \cup D(L_X)|_{|\partial X_\bullet|}$ can be given an evident cell-structure (by induction over the relative cells of $|\partial X_{\bullet}| \to |X_{\bullet}|$), and $L^{+} = D(L)/\partial D(L)$ is a retract of it, so is well-based. More generally, for the exterior direct sum $L_X^{\boxplus p} \to |X^p_{\bullet}|$ and writing $\partial |X^p_{\bullet}|$ for the subcomplex where some factor lies in ∂X_{\bullet} , there is a cell structure on $D(L_X^{\boxplus p})/S(L_X^{\boxplus p}) \cup D(L_X^{\boxplus p})|_{\partial |X^p_{\bullet}|}$ for which the group \mathfrak{S}_p acts cellularly, and so $[D(L_X^{\boxplus p})/S(L_X^{\boxplus p}) \cup D(L_X^{\boxplus p})|_{\partial |X^p_{\bullet}|}]_{\mathfrak{S}_p}$ is a cell complex of which $[(L^+)^{\wedge p}]_{\mathfrak{S}_p}$ is a retract, and so is well-based. This shows that \bf{R} is well-based, and similar reasoning shows S is.

For the second statement,

$$
inc: F :=
$$
fat diagonal of $|X_{\bullet}|^p =$ [fat diagonal of $X_{\bullet}^p \rightarrow |X_{\bullet}^p| = |X_{\bullet}|^p$

is the inclusion of a \mathfrak{S}_p -CW-subcomplex, and so has a \mathfrak{S}_p -equivariant open neighbourhood U which equivariantly deformation retracts to it. This may be chosen to preserve the subcomplexes where some factor lies in $|\partial X_{\bullet}|$. Thus it lifts to a \mathfrak{S}_p -equivariant deformation retraction of an open neighbourhood of $L_X^{\boxplus p}|_F \to L_X^{\boxplus p}$, and descends to the quotient by the subcomplexes where some factor lies in $|\partial X_{\bullet}|$. As it is equivariant, it descends further to the \mathfrak{S}_p -quotient. That is, it proves the claim for $(\overline{M}, \partial \overline{M}, L)$ replaced by $(|X_{\bullet}|, |\partial X_{\bullet}|, L_X)$; as the former data is a retract of the latter, the claim follows. \Box

Lemma 3.2. R is a flat S-module, in the sense that $R \otimes_S -$ preserves weak equivalences between left S-modules whose underlying objects are well-based.

Proof. Recall that $\mathbf{R}(n) = [(L^+)^{n}]_{\mathfrak{S}_n}$. Define a filtration of **R** by $F_0\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{S}$ and

$$
F_p \mathbf{R}(n) := F_{p-1} \mathbf{R}(n) \cup \mathrm{Im}((L^+)^{\wedge p} \wedge ((L \oplus L)^+)^{\wedge (n-p)/2} \to \mathbf{R}(n)),
$$

where the latter term is only taken when it makes sense: for $n - p$ even. This is a filtration by right S-modules. One checks that the diagram

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}F_{p-2}\mathbf{R}(p)[p]\otimes\mathbf{S}\longrightarrow F_{p-1}\mathbf{R}\\ & \downarrow & \downarrow\\ & \mathbf{R}(p)[p]\otimes\mathbf{S}\stackrel{\cdot}{\xrightarrow{\hspace*{1cm}}} & F_{p}\mathbf{R}\end{array}
$$

is a pushout (in $\mathsf{Top}^{\mathbb{N}}_*$ and so in right **S**-modules), where the horizontal maps are induced by the S-module structure and the adjoints of the map $inc: F_{p-2}R(p) \rightarrow$ F_{p-1} **R**(p), and the map id : **R**(p) \rightarrow F_p **R**(p).

We prove by induction on p that $F_p \mathbf{R}$ is a flat **S**-module in the indicated sense. As $F_0\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{S}$ these properties hold for $p = 0$. For **M** a left **S**-module whose underlying object is well-based, applying $-\otimes_{\mathbf{S}} \mathbf{M}$ to the square above gives a pushout square

(3.1)
\n
$$
F_{p-2}\mathbf{R}(p)[p] \otimes \mathbf{M} \longrightarrow F_{p-1}\mathbf{R} \otimes_{\mathbf{S}} \mathbf{M}
$$
\n
$$
\downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow
$$
\n
$$
\mathbf{R}(p)[p] \otimes \mathbf{M} \longrightarrow F_p \mathbf{R} \otimes_{\mathbf{S}} \mathbf{M}.
$$

The map F_{p-2} **R**(p) \rightarrow **R**(p) is the inclusion of the subspace of those p-tuples of points in M labelled by L which do not have distinct M coordinates, so is a closed cofibration from a well-based space by the second part of Lemma [3.1.](#page-5-0) As M is assumed well-based, the left-hand vertical map in [\(3.1\)](#page-6-0) is a closed cofibration in each grading, and so this square is also a homotopy pushout. A weak equivalence $f: \widetilde{M} \overset{\sim}{\rightarrow} \widetilde{M'}$ then induces a map of homotopy pushout squares which is a weak equivalence on all but the bottom right corner, by inductive assumption, so also induces a weak equivalence on this corner.

Thus each $F_p \mathbf{R}$ is flat in the indicated sense, so **R** is too because $F_p \mathbf{R} \to \mathbf{R}$ is an isomorphism when evaluated on $n < p$, so $F_p \mathbf{R} \otimes_{\mathbf{S}} \mathbf{M} \to \mathbf{R} \otimes_{\mathbf{S}} \mathbf{M}$ is too. \square

Lemma 3.3. The induced map $\mathbf{R} \otimes_{\mathbf{S}} S^0[0] \to \mathbf{C}(M;L)$ is an isomorphism.

Proof. By definition of the relative tensor product there is a coequaliser diagram

$$
\mathbf{R}\otimes\mathbf{S}\xrightarrow[\beta]{\alpha}\mathbf{R}\xrightarrow[\beta]{\alpha}\mathbf{R}\otimes_{\mathbf{S}}S^0[0]
$$

in $\text{Top}_{*}^{\mathbb{N}}$, where α is given by the **S**-module structure on **R**, and β is induced by the augmentation $\epsilon : \mathbf{S} \to S^0[0]$. The image of $\mathbf{R} \otimes \text{ker}(\epsilon)(n) \to \mathbf{R}(n) = [(L^+)^{n}]_{\mathfrak{S}_n}$ is precisely the image of $(L^+)^{\wedge n-2} \wedge (L \oplus L)^+ \to [(L^+)^{\wedge n}]_{\mathfrak{S}_n}$, whose cofibre is by definition $\mathbf{C}(M;L)$.

Proof of Theorem [1.1.](#page-0-0) Apply Lemma [3.2](#page-5-1) to the weak equivalence $B(\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{S}, S^0[0]) \stackrel{\sim}{\rightarrow}$ $S^0[0]$, giving an equivalence $B(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{S}, S^0[0]) \overset{\sim}{\to} \mathbf{R} \otimes_{\mathbf{S}} S^0[0]$, and the latter is isomorphic to $\mathbf{C}(M)$ by Lemma [3.3.](#page-6-1)

Remark 3.4. It is possible to fool oneself into thinking that the above argument can be adapted to the case of ordered configuration spaces. It cannot, because the statement (in the guise of the equivalence (1.1) , for example) is false in this case. One can verify this directly in the case $M = *$ with trivial 0-dimensional Euclidean bundle, in grading 3.

Appendix A. Homological densities by Quoc P. Ho and Oscar Randal-Williams

A.1. Spaces of 0-cycles. It is easy to generalise Theorem [1.1](#page-0-0) to the following variant of configuration spaces, called "spaces of 0-cycles" by Farb–Wolfson–Wood [\[FWW19\]](#page-9-10). Let $m, k \geq 1$, and for $n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_m \in \mathbb{N}$ let

$$
Z^k_{n_1,\ldots,n_m}(M)\subset {\operatorname{Sym}}_{n_1,\ldots,n_m}(M):=[M^{n_1}]_{\mathfrak{S}_{n_1}}\times [M^{n_2}]_{\mathfrak{S}_{n_2}}\times\cdots\times [M^{n_m}]_{\mathfrak{S}_{n_m}}
$$

be the open subspace of those $(\{x_1^1, \ldots, x_{n_1}^1\}, \{x_1^2, \ldots, x_{n_2}^2\}, \ldots, \{x_1^m, \ldots, x_{n_m}^m\})$ such that no x_j^i has multiplicity $\geq k$ in all of these m multisets. That is, $Z_{n_1,...,n_m}^k(M)$ is the configuration space of particles of m different colours, n_i having colour \ddot{i} , which may collide except that no point of M may carry $\geq k$ points of every colour. The 1-point compactifications $Z_{n_1,...,n_m}(M)^+$ again have a composition product

$$
Z_{n_1,...,n_m}^k(M)^+ \wedge Z_{n'_1,...,n'_m}^k(M)^+ \longrightarrow Z_{n_1+n'_1,...,n_m+n'_m}^k(M)^+,
$$

giving a commutative monoid $\mathbf{Z}^{m,k}(M)$ in \mathbb{N}^m -graded pointed spaces. Just as before, we can introduce labels in a vector bundle $L \to M$, giving $Z_{n_1,...,n_m}^k(M;L)$ and

 $\mathbf{Z}^{m,k}(M;L)$. Writing $1_i = (0,\ldots,0,1,0\ldots,0) \in \mathbb{N}^m$ with the 1 in the *i*th position, there is a pushout square

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}\n\textbf{Com}([L^{\oplus mk})^+]_{\mathfrak{S}_k^m}[k, k, \dots, k]) & \xrightarrow{\epsilon} S^0[0, \dots, 0] \\
\downarrow^{\Delta} & & \downarrow \\
\downarrow^m & & \downarrow \\
\textbf{Com}(\bigvee_{i=1}^m L^+[1_i]) & \xrightarrow{\hspace{2cm}} \mathbf{Z}^{m, k}(M; L)\n\end{array}
$$

of unital commutative monoids in $\mathsf{Top}^{\mathbb{N}^m}_*$, where Δ is now induced by the inclusion $[(L^{\oplus mk})^+]_{\mathfrak{S}_k^m} \to [(L^+)^{\wedge k}]_{\mathfrak{S}_k} \wedge \cdots \wedge [(L^+)^{\wedge k}]_{\mathfrak{S}_k} = \text{Com}(\bigvee_{i=1}^m L^+[1_i])(k, \ldots, k).$ The same argument as Theorem [1.1](#page-0-0) shows that there is an equivalence

$$
(A.2) \quad \mathbf{Com}(\vee_{i=1}^{m} L^{+}[1_{i}]) \otimes_{\mathbf{Com}([(L^{\oplus mk})^{+}]_{\mathfrak{S}_{k}^{m}}[k,\ldots,k])}^{m} S^{0}[0,\ldots,0] \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{Z}^{m,k}(M;L).
$$

A.2. Revisiting homological densities. This can be used to revisit the work of Farb–Wolfson–Wood [\[FWW19\]](#page-9-10) and Ho [\[Ho21\]](#page-9-2) on homological densities, and in particular to explain coincidences of homological densities at the level of topology rather than algebra, as proposed in [\[Ho21,](#page-9-2) 1.5.1].

The spaces $Z_{n_1,...,n_m}^k(M;L)$ are Q-homology manifolds, being open subspaces of a product of coarse moduli spaces $[L^n]_{\mathfrak{S}_n}$ of the orbifolds L^n/\mathfrak{S}_n . As before, we suppose M is d-dimensional and take $L = W$ to be given by the sum of the orientation line of M plus $(d-1)$ trivial lines: then the $Z_{n_1,...,n_m}^k(M;W)$ are orientable Q-homology manifolds, of dimension $2d \cdot \sum n_i$. Again they are vector bundles over $Z_{n_1,...,n_m}^k(M)$, so Poincaré duality gives

$$
H^*(Z^k_{n_1,\dots,n_m}(M)) \cong H^*(Z^k_{n_1,\dots,n_m}(M;W)) \cong \widetilde{H}_{2d\sum n_i-k}(Z^k_{n_1,\dots,n_m}(M;W)^+).
$$

On the other hand, the bar construction formula above together with the argument of Section [2.2](#page-1-1) identifies the multigraded vector space $H_{*,*}(\mathbf{Z}^{m,k}(M;W))$ with

Tor^{s*(\widetilde{H}_*([W^{\oplus mk})^+]_{\mathfrak{S}_k^m})[k,...,k])}
$$
(S^*(\bigoplus_{i=1}^m \widetilde{H}_*(W^+)[1_i]), \mathbb{Q}[0, \ldots, 0]).
$$

A.2.1. *Odd-dimensional manifolds*. As in Section [2.2](#page-1-1) we have $\widetilde{H}_*([W^{\oplus mk})^+]_{\mathfrak{S}_k^m}) \cong$ $[\Sigma^{dmk}\widetilde{H}_*(M^+)]_{\mathfrak{S}_k^m}$ by the Thom isomorphism. If d is odd then the permutation group \mathfrak{S}_k^m acts on the Thom class via $\mathfrak{S}_k^m \leq \mathfrak{S}_{mk} \stackrel{sign}{\to} \mathbb{Z}^\times$, so acts nontrivially if $k \geq 2$ and trivially if $k = 1$. If $k \geq 2$ this means that $\widetilde{H}_*([W^{\oplus mk})^+]_{\mathfrak{S}_k^m} = 0$, showing that

$$
H_{*,*}(\mathbf{Com}(\vee_{i=1}^{m} W^{+}[1_{i}]))\overset{\sim}{\longrightarrow} H_{*,*}(\mathbf{Z}^{m,k}(M;W))
$$

in this case. Using Poincaré duality on both sides gives $[FWW19, Theorem 1.4],$ $[FWW19, Theorem 1.4],$ except that that theorem is erroneously claimed for all $k \geq 1$. We will return to the case $k = 1$ below.

A.2.2. Even-dimensional manifolds. If d is even then \mathfrak{S}_k^m acts trivially on $\Sigma^{dmk}\widetilde{H}_*(M^+),$ and using the Thom isomorphism to identify $\widetilde{H}_*(W^+) \cong \Sigma^d \widetilde{H}_*(M^+)$ too, the Koszul complex for computing the Tor-groups above is

$$
(S^*(\bigoplus_{i=1}^m \Sigma^d \widetilde{H}_*(M^+;\mathbb{Q}^{w_1})[1_i] \oplus \Sigma^{dmk+1} \widetilde{H}_*(M^+;(\mathbb{Q}^{w_1})^{\otimes mk})[k,\ldots,k]),\partial).
$$

The differential ∂ is induced by the map

$$
\Sigma^{dmk} \widetilde{H}_*(M^+; (\mathbb{Q}^{w_1})^{\otimes mk}) \to S^k(\Sigma^d \widetilde{H}_*(M^+; \mathbb{Q}^{w_1})) \otimes \cdots \otimes S^k(\Sigma^d \widetilde{H}_*(M^+; \mathbb{Q}^{w_1}))
$$

obtained by linearly dualising the cup product map

(A.3)
$$
H_c^*(M; \mathbb{Q}^{w_1})^{\otimes mk} \longrightarrow H_c^*(M; (\mathbb{Q}^{w_1})^{\otimes mk}),
$$

and so is trivial if (and only if) all mk-fold cup products of $(w_1$ -twisted) compactlysupported cohomology classes on M vanish.

When this cup product map is trivial, so ∂ is trivial, the above just gives a formula for $H_{*,*}(\mathbf{Z}^{m,k}(M;W))$. Using Poincaré duality, and reindexing, to express this in terms of $H^*(Z^k_{n_1,\ldots,n_m}(M))$ and $H^*(Sym_{n_1,\ldots,n_m}(M))$ we obtain an identity of multigraded vector spaces

$$
H^*(Z^k_{\bullet}(M)) \cong H^*(\text{Sym}_{\bullet}(M)) \otimes S^*(\Sigma^{d(mk-1)-1}H^*(M;(\mathbb{Q}^{w_1})^{\otimes mk-1})[k,\ldots,k]).
$$

There are stabilisation maps $\sigma_i: H^*(Z^k_{n_1,...,n_m}(M)) \to H^*(Z^k_{n_1,...,n_i+1,...,n_m}(M))$ analogous to those constructed in Section [2.3,](#page-2-1) similarly for $H^*(Sym_{n_1,...,n_m}(M))$, and both stabilise as $n_j \to \infty$, just as in Section [2.3:](#page-2-1) this recovers [\[FWW19,](#page-9-10) Theorem 1.7]. We may take the colimit of all these stabilisations to obtain

$$
H^*(Z^k_{\infty,\ldots,\infty}(M)) \cong H^*(\mathrm{Sym}_{\infty,\ldots,\infty}(M)) \otimes S^*(\Sigma^{d(mk-1)-1}H^*(M;(\mathbb{Q}^{w_1})^{\otimes mk-1})).
$$

Writing $P_{Z^{m,k}}(t)$ and $P_{Sym^m}(t)$ for the Poincaré series of $H^*(Z^k_{\infty,\dots,\infty}(M))$ and $H^*(Sym_{\infty,\ldots,\infty}(M))$ respectively, this discussion identifies the *homological density* $P_{Z^{m,k}}(t)/P_{Sym^m}(t)$ with the Poincaré series of $S^*(\Sigma^{d(mk-1)-1}H^*(M;(\mathbb{Q}^{w_1})^{\otimes mk-1})).$ This visibly only depends on the product mk , giving "coincidences between homological densities": this recovers [\[FWW19,](#page-9-10) Theorem 1.2]; in fact it also recovers the stronger Theorem 3.6 of that paper.

A.2.3. Odd-dimensional manifolds, $k = 1$. Just as in the even-dimensional case, if the cup product map [\(A.3\)](#page-8-0) is zero then one gets an explicit description of $H^*(Z_{\bullet}(M))$, and the homological density is given by the Poincaré series of the graded vector space $S^*(\Sigma^{d(m-1)-1}H^*(M;(\mathbb{Q}^{w_1})^{\otimes m-1}))$. It follows from Section [A.2.1](#page-7-0) that the homological density is 1 for $k > 1$, so for odd-dimensional manifolds it is not true that the homological density depends only on mk .

A.2.4. Euler characteristic. If the cup product map [\(A.3\)](#page-8-0) is not zero, and either d is even or d is odd and $k = 1$, then there is instead a nontrivial differential on the multigraded vector space

$$
H^*(\operatorname{Sym}_{\bullet}(M)) \otimes S^*(\Sigma^{d(mk-1)-1}H^*(M;(\mathbb{Q}^{w_1})^{\otimes mk-1})[k,\ldots,k]),
$$

of degree $(+1,0)$, whose homology is $H^*(Z_{*,...,*}(M))$. Then one would not expect $P_Z(t)$ $\frac{P_Z(t)}{P_{Sym}(t)}$ to agree with the Poincaré series of $S^*(\Sigma^{d(mk-1)-1}H^*(M;(\mathbb{Q}^{w_1})^{\otimes mk-1})),$ and indeed it does not [\[FWW19,](#page-9-10) Remark 1.6]. However, as Euler characteristic commutes with taking homology we have the identity

$$
\sum_{n_1,\dots,n_m\geq 0} \chi(Z_{n_1,\dots,n_m}(M))s_1^{n_1}\cdots s_m^{n_m} = \left(\prod_{i=1}^m (1-s_i)\right)^{-\chi(M)} \cdot (1-(s_1\cdots s_m)^k)^{\chi(M,(\mathbb{Q}^{w_1})^{\otimes mk-1})}
$$

 $\text{in } \mathbb{Z}[[s_1,\ldots,s_m]].$ The left-hand factor is $\sum_{n_1,\ldots,n_m\geq 0} \chi(\text{Sym}_{n_1,\ldots,n_m}(M))s_1^{n_1}\cdots s_m^{n_m}.$ This recovers [\[FWW19,](#page-9-10) Theorem 1.9 1.].

A.3. Spectral densities. The construction of homological densities can be promoted to the level of spectra, addressing $[Ho21, 1.5.1]$ $[Ho21, 1.5.1]$, as follows. Let us *assume that* M is even-dimensional and orientable: then we can dispense with twisting by the vector bundle $W \to M$. We consider $\mathbf{Z}^{m,k}(M)$ with its \mathbb{N}^m -grading reduced to an

N-grading via sum : $\mathbb{N}^m \to \mathbb{N}$. Collapsing the complement of a small neighbourhood of a point in M gives a map $M^+ \to S^d$, inducing a map of commutative monoids

$$
\text{\bf Com}(\bigvee_{i=1}^m M^+[1])\longrightarrow \text{\bf Com}(S^d[1]).
$$

If X is a left $\text{Com}(S^d[1])$ -module, it is equipped with maps $S^d \wedge X(n) \to X(n+1)$ and so we can define the spectrum $\overline{X} := \text{hocolim}_{n \to \infty} S^{-nd} \wedge \Sigma^{\infty} X(n)$. Using these two constructions we may therefore form the spectrum

$$
\Delta^{m,k}:=\overline{\mathbf{Com}(S^d[1])\otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{\mathbf{Com}(\bigvee_{i=1}^m M^+[1])}\mathbf{Z}^{m,k}(M)}.
$$

By analogy with [\[Ho21,](#page-9-2) Section 7.5] we propose $\Delta^{m,k}$ as a spectral form of the stable density of $Z_{n_1,...,n_m}^k(M)$ in $\text{Sym}_{n_1,...,n_m}(M)$. At the level of Q-chains it recovers the construction from the proof of Theorem 7.5.1 of [\[Ho21\]](#page-9-2). We can prove the spectral form of that theorem analogously: as N-graded objects, there is an evident map from $(A.1)$ to the analogous square for $\mathbb{Z}^{1,mk}(M)$ which induces a map of spectra $\Delta^{m,k} \to \Delta^{1,mk}$, and this is an equivalence by $(A.2)$ as both are identified with $\overline{\mathrm{\mathbf{Com}}(S^d[1])\otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{\mathrm{\mathbf{Com}}(M^+[mk])}S^0[0]}.$

This may be simplified for $mk \geq 2$ as follows. The map $M^+ \to [(S^d)^{\wedge mk}]_{\mathfrak{S}_{mk}}$ with which the derived tensor product is formed factors over $(S^d)^{\wedge mk}$ so is nullhomotopic when $mk \geq 2$, and so $\text{Com}(S^d[1]) \otimes_{\text{Com}(M^+[mk])}^{\mathbb{L}} S^0[0]$ is equivalent to $\text{Com}(S^d[1])$ $(S^0[0] \otimes_{\mathbf{Com}(M^+[mk])}^{\mathbb{L}} S^0[0])$ as a left $\mathbf{Com}(S^d[1])$ -module. In this situation the $\overline{(-)}$ construction gives

$$
\Delta^{m,k} \simeq \bigvee_{n\geq 0} S^{-nd} \wedge \Sigma^{\infty}(S^{0}[0] \otimes_{\mathbf{Com}(M^{+}[mk])}^{\mathbb{L}} S^{0}[0])(n)
$$

$$
\simeq \bigvee_{n\geq 0} S^{-nd} \wedge \Sigma^{\infty}\mathbf{Com}(S^{1} \wedge M^{+}[mk])(n).
$$

Acknowledgements. ORW supported by the ERC under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (grant agreement No. 756444).

REFERENCES

- [Ban21] O. Banerjee, Filtration of cohomology via symmetric semisimplicial spaces, [https:](https://arxiv.org/abs/1909.00458) [//arxiv.org/abs/1909.00458](https://arxiv.org/abs/1909.00458), 2021.
- [BCM93] C.-F. Bödigheimer, F. R. Cohen, and R. J. Milgram, Truncated symmetric products and configuration spaces, Math. Z. 214 (1993), no. 2, 179–216.
- [Bia20] A. Bianchi, Splitting of the homology of the punctured mapping class group, J. Topol. 13 (2020), no. 3, 1230–1260.
- [FWW19] B. Farb, J. Wolfson, and M. M. Wood, Coincidences between homological densities, predicted by arithmetic, Adv. Math. 352 (2019), 670–716.
- [Get99a] E. Getzler, The homology groups of some two-step nilpotent Lie algebras associated to symplectic vector spaces, <https://arxiv.org/abs/math/9903147>, 1999.
- [Get99b] , Resolving mixed Hodge modules on configuration spaces, Duke Math. J. 96 (1999), no. 1, 175–203.
- [Ho20] Q. P. Ho, Higher representation stability for ordered configuration spaces and twisted commutative factorization algebras, <https://arxiv.org/abs/2004.00252>, 2020.
- [Ho21] , Homological stability and densities of generalized configuration spaces, Geom. Topol. 25 (2021), no. 2, 813–912.
- [Knu17] B. Knudsen, Betti numbers and stability for configuration spaces via factorization homology, Algebr. Geom. Topol. 17 (2017), no. 5, 3137–3187.
- [Loo23] E. Looijenga, Torelli group action on the configuration space of a surface, J. Topol. Anal. 15 (2023), no. 1, 215–222.
- [Mil69] R. J. Milgram, The homology of symmetric products, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 138 (1969), 251–265.

[Pet20] D. Petersen, Cohomology of generalized configuration spaces, Compos. Math. 156 (2020), no. 2, 251–298.

[Seg79] G. Segal, The topology of spaces of rational functions, Acta Math. 143 (1979), no. 1-2, 39–72.

- [Sta23a] A. Stavrou, Cohomology of configuration spaces of surfaces as mapping class group representations, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 376 (2023), no. 4, 2821–2852.
- [Sta23b] , Homology of configuration spaces of surfaces as mapping class group representations, Ph.D. thesis, University of Cambridge, 2023.

Email address: o.randal-williams@dpmms.cam.ac.uk

Centre for Mathematical Sciences, Wilberforce Road, Cambridge CB3 0WB, UK Email address: maqho@ust.hk

Department of Mathematics Hong Kong University of Science and Technology Clear Water Bay, Hong Kong