STABILITY OF RELLICH-SOBOLEV TYPE INEQUALITY INVOLVING HARDY TERM FOR BI-LAPLACIAN

SHENGBING DENG* AND XINGLIANG TIAN

ABSTRACT. For $N \ge 5$ and $0 < \mu < N - 4$, we first show a non-degenerate result of the extremal functions for the following Rellich-Sobolev type inequality

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\Delta u|^2 \mathrm{d}x - C_{\mu,1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{|x|^2} \mathrm{d}x + C_{\mu,2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{u^2}{|x|^4} \mathrm{d}x \ge \mathcal{S}_{\mu} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{\frac{2N}{N-4}} \mathrm{d}x \right)^{\frac{N-4}{N}}, \quad \forall u \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^N),$$

where $C_{\mu,1}$, $C_{\mu,1}$ and S_{μ} are constants depending on N and μ , which is a key ingredient in analyzing the blow-up phenomena of solutions to various elliptic equations on bounded or unbounded domain. Then by using spectral analysis combined with a compactness argument, we consider the stability of this inequality.

1. Introduction

1.1. Motivation. Let us recall the classical Sobolev inequality which states that for $N \geq 3$, there exists S = S(N) > 0 such that

(1.1)
$$\|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2 \ge \mathcal{S}\|u\|_{L^{2^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2, \quad \text{for all} \quad u \in \mathcal{D}_0^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N),$$

where $2^* = 2N/(N-2)$ and $\mathcal{D}_0^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ denotes the completion of $C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with respect to the norm $\|u\|_{\mathcal{D}_0^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)} = \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}$. By using rearrangement methods, Talenti [27] found the optimal constant and the extremals for inequality (1.1). Indeed, equality in (1.1) is achieved by the functions

$$V_{z,\lambda}(x) = A\left(\frac{\lambda}{1+\lambda^2|x-z|^2}\right)^{\frac{N-2}{2}},$$

with $A \in \mathbb{R}$, $z \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and $\lambda > 0$. It is well known that the Euler-Lagrange equation associated to (1.1) is

(1.2)
$$-\Delta u = |u|^{2^*-2}u \quad \text{in} \quad \mathbb{R}^N.$$

By Caffarelli et al. [9], it is known that all positive solutions are Talenti bubble $V_{z,\lambda}(x)$ with $A = [N(N-2)]^{\frac{N-2}{4}}$. In [4], Brezis and Lieb asked the question whether a remainder term - proportional to the quadratic distance of the function u to be the manifold $\mathcal{M} := \{cV_{\lambda,z} : c \in \mathbb{R}, \lambda > 0, z \in \mathbb{R}^N\}$ - can be added to the right hand side of (1.1). This question was answered affirmatively by Bianchi and Egnell [2], which reads that there exists constant $c_{\text{BE}} > 0$ such that for all $u \in \mathcal{D}_0^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$,

(1.3)
$$\|\nabla u\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{N})}^{2} - \mathcal{S}\|u\|_{L^{2^{*}}(\mathbb{R}^{N})}^{2} \ge c_{\mathrm{BE}} \inf_{v \in \mathcal{M}} \|\nabla u - \nabla v\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{N})}^{2}.$$

^{*} Corresponding author.

²⁰²⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 35P30, 35J30.

Key words and phrases. Rellich-Sobolev inequality; weighted bi-Laplacian problem; non-degeneracy; stability; remainder term.

S. DENG AND X. TIAN

Furthermore, for the weighted case, Rădulescu et. al [24] gave the remainder term of Hardy-Sobolev inequality for exponent two (see also our recent work [15] involving *p*-Laplace), and recently, Wei and Wu [31] established the stability of the profile decompositions to the Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg inequality and also gave the remainder term.

Then, let us recall the well-known second-order Sobolev inequality (see [16, 22, 29])

(1.4)
$$\|\Delta u\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{N})}^{2} \ge \mathcal{S}_{0}\|u\|_{L^{2^{**}}(\mathbb{R}^{N})}^{2}, \quad \forall u \in \mathcal{D}_{0}^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^{N}),$$

with the sharp constant

(1.5)
$$S_0 = \pi^2 N(N-4)(N^2-4) \left(\frac{\Gamma(N/2)}{\Gamma(N)}\right)^{4/N},$$

where $N \geq 5$, $2^{**} := \frac{2N}{N-4}$, and $\mathcal{D}_0^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ denotes the completion of $C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with respect to the norm $\|u\|_{\mathcal{D}_0^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)} = \|\Delta u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}$, and Γ denotes the Gamma function $\Gamma(\gamma) := \int_0^{+\infty} t^{\gamma-1} e^{-t} dt$ for $\gamma > 0$. Note that the related Euler-Lagrange equation is

(1.6)
$$\Delta^2 u = |u|^{2^{**}-2} u \quad \text{in} \quad \mathbb{R}^N.$$

Smooth positive solutions of (1.6) have been completely classified by Lin [21], that is, the author proved that they are given by $\lambda^{\frac{N-4}{2}}U_0(\lambda(x-z))$ for $\lambda > 0$ and $z \in \mathbb{R}^N$, where

$$U_0(x) = \left[(N-4)(N-2)N(N+2) \right]^{\frac{N-4}{8}} \left(1 + |x|^2 \right)^{-\frac{N-4}{2}}$$

and they are unique (up to scalar multiplications) optimizers for inequality (1.4). In [23], Lu and Wei considered the following linearized equation

$$\Delta^2 v = \nu U_0^{2^{**}-2} v \quad \text{in} \quad \mathbb{R}^N, \quad v \in \mathcal{D}_0^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^N),$$

and they proved the eigenvalues $\{\nu\}$ of the above problem are discrete:

$$\nu_1 = 1, \quad \nu_2 = \nu_3 = \dots = \nu_{N+2} = 2^{**} - 1 < \nu_{N+3} \le \dots$$

and the corresponding eigenfunction spaces are

$$V_1 = \operatorname{Span}\{U_0\}, \quad V_2 = \operatorname{Span}\left\{\frac{N-4}{2}U_0 + x \cdot \nabla U_0, \quad \frac{\partial U_0}{\partial x_i}, i = 1, \dots, N\right\}, \cdots$$

Moreover, Lu and Wei [23] proved the stability of inequality (1.4) which extends the work of Bianchi and Egnell [2] to second-order case, i.e., there is $c_{LW} > 0$ such that

(1.7)
$$\|\Delta u\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{N})}^{2} - \mathcal{S}_{0}\|u\|_{L^{2^{**}}(\mathbb{R}^{N})}^{2} \geq c_{\mathrm{LW}} \inf_{v \in \mathcal{M}_{0}} \|\Delta (u-v)\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{N})}^{2}, \quad \forall u \in \mathcal{D}_{0}^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^{N}),$$

where $\mathcal{M}_0 := \{c\lambda^{\frac{N-4}{2}}U_0(\lambda(x-z)) : c \in \mathbb{R}, \lambda > 0, z \in \mathbb{R}^N\}$ is the set of optimizers for inequality (1.4). We also refer to [1, 8, 17] for the general higher order cases about the stability of Sobolev inequality.

Let us recall the Rellich inequality (see [25]): for $N \ge 5$,

(1.8)
$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\Delta u|^2 \mathrm{d}x \ge \left(\frac{N(N-4)}{4}\right)^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{u^2}{|x|^4} \mathrm{d}x, \quad \forall u \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N),$$

the constant $\left(\frac{N(N-4)}{4}\right)^2$ is sharp, and the inequality is strict for any nontrivial functions. Then it is easy to deduce the Rellich-Sobolev inequality: for $N \ge 5$, $0 \le \alpha < \left(\frac{N(N-4)}{4}\right)^2$ and $0 \le \beta < 4$,

$$\mathcal{S}_{\alpha,\beta} := \inf_{u \in \mathcal{D}_0^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\Delta u|^2 \mathrm{d}x - \alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{u^2}{|x|^4} \mathrm{d}x}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u|^{2^{**}}}{|x|^\beta} \mathrm{d}x\right)^{\frac{2}{2^{**}_\beta}}} > 0,$$

where $2_{\beta}^{**} = \frac{2(N-\beta)}{N-4}$, and by [3] we know that $S_{\alpha,\beta}$ can be achieved. Furthermore, by the classical rearrangement methods, we know the minimizers are unique (up to scalings and multiplications) for any $\alpha + \beta > 0$, however, the explicit form of minimizers is not known yet only for its asymptotic behavior, we refer to [10, 19, 20] for details. Furthermore, Tertikas and Zographopoulos [28] established the following Hardy-Rellich inequality: for $N \geq 5$,

(1.9)
$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\Delta u|^2 \mathrm{d}x \ge \frac{N^2}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{|x|^2} \mathrm{d}x, \quad \forall u \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N),$$

and the constant $\frac{N^2}{4}$ is sharp, and the inequality is strict for any nontrivial functions, see also [7] for more general case. Then based on inequality (1.9), Caldiroli [5] considered the Hardy-Rellich-Sobolev inequality: for $0 < \lambda < \frac{N^2}{4}$ and $0 \leq \beta < 4$,

$$\mathcal{S}_{\lambda,\beta} := \inf_{u \in \mathcal{D}_0^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\Delta u|^2 \mathrm{d}x - \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{|x|^2} \mathrm{d}x}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u|^{2^{**}}}{|x|^{\beta}} \mathrm{d}x\right)^{\frac{2}{2^{**}}}} > 0,$$

and the constant $S_{\lambda,\beta}$ can be achieved, but the explicit form of minimizers is also not known yet, see also [6].

As mentioned above, it is nature to consider whether the explicit minimizers can be given for some weighted second-order inequalities. Fortunately, Dan, Ma and Yang [11] established the following Rellich-Sobolev type inequality with explicit form of minimizers:

Theorem A. [11] Let $N \geq 5$ and $0 < \mu < N - 4$. There holds, for all $u \in \mathcal{D}_0^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$,

(1.10)
$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\Delta u|^2 \mathrm{d}x - C_{\mu,1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{|x|^2} \mathrm{d}x + C_{\mu,2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{u^2}{|x|^4} \mathrm{d}x \ge \mathcal{S}_{\mu} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{2^{**}} \mathrm{d}x \right)^{\frac{2}{2^{**}}} \mathrm{d}x$$

where

(1.11)

$$C_{\mu,1} := \frac{N^2 - 4N + 8}{2(N - 4)^2} \mu [2(N - 4) - \mu];$$

$$C_{\mu,2} := \frac{N^2}{16(N - 4)^2} \mu^2 [2(N - 4) - \mu]^2 - \frac{N - 2}{2} \mu [2(N - 4) - \mu];$$

$$\mathcal{S}_{\mu} := \left(1 - \frac{\mu}{N - 4}\right)^{4 - \frac{4}{N}} \mathcal{S}_0,$$

and S_0 is the sharp constant of second-order Sobolev inequality given as in (1.5). Moreover, the constant S_{μ} in (1.10) is sharp and equality holds if and only if

$$u(x) = c|x|^{-\frac{\mu}{2}} \left(\lambda^2 + |x|^{2(1-\frac{\mu}{N-4})}\right)^{-\frac{N-4}{2}},$$

for some $c \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\lambda > 0$.

Note that when $\mu = 0$, Rellich-Sobolev type inequality (1.10) reduces to the classical second-order Sobolev inequality (1.4). We also refer to [3, 12-14, 18, 32] for other weighted second-order inequalities which are restricted in radial space, and in those references minimizers are classified.

1.2. Problem setup and main results. In present paper, we are mainly concerned about the stability of inequality (1.10), that is, we will give its remainder term in appropriate space which extends the work of Lu and Wei [23] to Hardy-Rellich case.

Define

$$\mathcal{E}_{\mu} := \left\{ u \in \mathcal{D}_{0}^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^{N}) | \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |\Delta u|^{2} \mathrm{d}x - C_{\mu,1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{|\nabla u|^{2}}{|x|^{2}} \mathrm{d}x + C_{\mu,2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{u^{2}}{|x|^{4}} \mathrm{d}x < \infty \right\}$$

with respect to the inner product

$$\langle u, u \rangle_{\mu} := \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Delta u \Delta v \mathrm{d}x - C_{\mu,1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{\nabla u \cdot \nabla v}{|x|^2} \mathrm{d}x + C_{\mu,2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{uv}{|x|^4} \mathrm{d}x,$$

and the norm $||u||_{\mu} := \langle u, u \rangle_{\mu}^{\frac{1}{2}}$. From Rellich inequality (1.8), Hardy-Rellich inequality (1.9) and (1.10), it is easy to verify that the space \mathcal{E}_{μ} is well defined and equivalent to $\mathcal{D}_{0}^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^{N})$.

We first concern the uniqueness of positive radial solutions of the Euler-Lagrange equation

(1.12)
$$\Delta^2 u + C_{\mu,1} \operatorname{div}\left(\frac{\nabla u}{|x|^2}\right) + C_{\mu,2} \frac{u}{|x|^4} = |u|^{2^{**}-2} u \quad \text{in} \quad \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}, \quad u \in \mathcal{E}_\mu,$$

where $N \ge 5$ and $0 < \mu < N - 4$, $C_{\mu,1}$ and $C_{\mu,2}$ are constants depending only on N and μ given as in (1.11).

Theorem 1.1. Suppose $N \ge 5$ and $0 < \mu < N - 4$. Then problem (1.12) admits a unique (up to scalings) positive radial solution of the form $U_{\mu,\lambda}(x) = \lambda^{\frac{N-4}{2}} U_{\mu}(\lambda x)$ for $\lambda > 0$, where

(1.13)
$$U_{\mu}(x) = K_{N,\mu}|x|^{-\frac{\mu}{2}} \left(1 + |x|^{2(1-\frac{\mu}{N-4})}\right)^{-\frac{N-4}{2}},$$

with

$$K_{N,\mu} = \left[\left(1 - \frac{\mu}{N-4} \right)^4 (N-4)(N-2)N(N+2) \right]^{\frac{N-4}{8}}$$

Then we concern the linearized problem related to Euler-Lagrange equation (1.12) at the function U_{μ} , which leads to consider the following problem:

(1.14)
$$\Delta^2 v + C_{\mu,1} \operatorname{div}\left(\frac{\nabla v}{|x|^2}\right) + C_{\mu,2} \frac{v}{|x|^4} = (2^{**} - 1)U_{\mu}^{2^{**} - 2} v, \quad \text{in} \quad \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}, \quad v \in \mathcal{E}_{\mu}.$$

It is easy to verify that $\frac{N-4}{2}U_{\mu} + x \cdot \nabla U_{\mu}$ (which equals $\frac{\partial U_{\mu,\lambda}}{\partial \lambda}|_{\lambda=1}$) solves the linear equation (1.14). We say U_{μ} is non-degenerate if all the solutions of (1.14) result from the invariance (up to scalings) of (1.12). The non-degeneracy of solutions for (1.12) is a key ingredient in analyzing the blow-up phenomena of solutions whose asymptotic behavior is encoded in (1.13). Therefore, it is quite natural to ask the following question:

is solution U_{μ} non-degenerate?

We give an affirmative answer.

Theorem 1.2. Suppose $N \ge 5$ and $0 < \mu < N - 4$. Then the space of solutions for (1.14) has dimension 1 and is spanned by $\left(\frac{N-4}{2}U_{\mu} + x \cdot \nabla U_{\mu}\right)$.

A direct application of Theorem 1.2 is studying the stability of Rellich-Sobolev type inequality (1.10). Now, let us state our main result.

Theorem 1.3. Suppose $N \ge 5$ and $0 < \mu < N - 4$. There is $c = c(N, \mu) > 0$ such that for all $u \in \mathcal{E}_{\mu}$,

$$\|u\|_{\mu}^{2} - \mathcal{S}_{\mu} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |u|^{2^{**}} \mathrm{d}x \right)^{\frac{2}{2^{**}}} \ge c \inf_{v \in \mathcal{M}_{\mu}} \|u - v\|_{\mu}^{2},$$

where $\mathcal{M}_{\mu} := \{ cU_{\mu,\lambda} : c \in \mathbb{R}, \lambda > 0 \}$ is the set of optimazers for Rellich-Sobolev type inequality (1.10).

Remark 1.4. The key step of the proofs for Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 is the change of variable $u(r) = r^a v(r^b)$ for radial function with

$$a = -\frac{\mu}{2}$$
 and $b = 1 - \frac{\mu}{N-4}$

which requires very careful calculation. The proof of Theorem 1.3 is standard, but surprisingly, a vary useful tool established by Dan et al. [11] is good for us, that is, under the variable $u(x) = |x|^a v(|x|^{b-1}x)$ for general function,

$$\|u\|_{\mu}^{2} \ge \left(1 - \frac{\mu}{N-4}\right)^{3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |\Delta v|^{2} \mathrm{d}x,$$

and the equality holds if and only if u is radial symmetry, furthermore,

$$||u||_{2^{**}}^{2^{**}} = \left(1 - \frac{\mu}{N-4}\right)^{-1} ||v||_{2^{**}}^{2^{**}},$$

thus

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |\Delta v|^{2} \mathrm{d}x - \mathcal{S}_{0} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |v|^{2^{**}} \mathrm{d}x \right)^{\frac{2}{2^{**}}} \leq \left(1 - \frac{\mu}{N-4} \right)^{-3} \left[\|u\|_{\mu}^{2} - \mathcal{S}_{\mu} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |u|^{2^{**}} \mathrm{d}x \right)^{\frac{2}{2^{**}}} \right],$$

then we can directly use Lions' concentration-compactness principle to complete the proof.

The paper is organized as follows: In Section 2 we show that equation (1.12) has a unique (up to scalings) positive radial solution of the form (1.13) and give the proof of Theorem 1.1. Section 3 is devoted to proving the non-degeneracy of U_{μ} . Finally, in Section 4 we study the stability of Rellich-Sobolev inequality (1.10) by using spectral analysis combined with a compactness argument, and give the proof of Theorem 1.3.

S. DENG AND X. TIAN

2. Uniqueness of radial solutions

In this section, we will show that problem (1.12) only admits a unique (up to scalings) positive radial solution of the form (1.13).

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let $u \in \mathcal{E}_{\mu}$ be a positive radial solution of (1.12), and set r = |x|, then (1.12) is equivalent to

$$u^{(4)} + \frac{2(N-1)}{r}u''' + \frac{(N-1)(N-3) + C_{\mu,1}}{r^2}u'' - \frac{(N-3)(N-1-C_{\mu,1})}{r^3}u' + \frac{C_{\mu,2}}{r^4}u = u^{\frac{N+4}{N-4}},$$

where $C_{\mu,1}$ and $C_{\mu,2}$ are constants depending only on N and μ given as in (1.11). Making the change

(2.2)
$$u(r) = r^a v(r^b),$$

with

$$a = -\frac{\mu}{2}$$
 and $b = 1 - \frac{\mu}{N-4}$,

then by direct calculation, we obtain

$$\begin{split} u'(r) &= ar^{a-1}v + br^{a+b-1}v', \\ u''(r) &= a(a-1)r^{a-2}v + b(2a+b-1)r^{a+b-2}v' + b^2r^{a+2b-2}v'', \\ u'''(r) &= a(a-1)(a-2)r^{a-3}v + b\left[a(a-1) + (2a+b-1)(a+b-2)\right]r^{a+b-3}v' \\ &+ b^2\left[(2a+b-1) + (a+2b-2)\right]r^{a+2b-3}v'' + b^3r^{a+3b-3}v''', \\ u^{(4)}(r) &= a(a-1)(a-2)(a-3)r^{a-4}v \\ &+ b\left\{\left[a(a-1) + (2a+b-1)(a+b-2)\right](a+b-3) + a(a-1)(a-2)\right\}r^{a+b-4}v' \\ &+ b^2\left\{\left[a(a-1) + (2a+b-1)(a+b-2)\right] + (3a+3b-3)(a+2b-3)\right\}r^{a+2b-4}v'' \\ &+ b^3\left[(3a+3b-3) + (a+3b-3)\right]r^{a+3b-4}v''' + b^4r^{a+4b-4}v^{(4)}. \end{split}$$

Then from (2.1), set $s = r^b$, we deduce that

(2.3)
$$v^{(4)}(s) + \frac{A}{s}v''(s) + \frac{B}{s^2}v''(s) - \frac{C}{s^3}v'(s) + \frac{D}{s^4}v(s) = b^{-4}v^{\frac{N+4}{N-4}},$$

where

$$\begin{split} A := b^{-1} \Big[\left[(3a+3b-3) + (a+3b-3) \right] + 2(N-1) \Big], \\ B := b^{-2} \Big[\left\{ \left[a(a-1) + (2a+b-1)(a+b-2) \right] + (3a+3b-3)(a+2b-3) \right\} \\ &+ 2(N-1)(3a+3b-3) + \left[(N-1)(N-3) + C_{\mu,1} \right] \Big], \\ C := b^{-3} \Big[\left\{ \left[a(a-1) + (2a+b-1)(a+b-2) \right] (a+b-3) + a(a-1)(a-2) \right\} \\ &+ 2(N-1) \left[a(a-1) + (2a+b-1)(a+b-2) \right] \\ &+ \left[(N-1)(N-3) + C_{\mu,1} \right] (2a+b-1) - (N-3)(N-1-C_{\mu,1}) \Big], \\ D := b^{-4} \Big[a(a-1)(a-2)(a-3) + 2(N-1)a(a-1)(a-2) \Big] \end{split}$$

STABILITY OF RELLICH-SOBOLEV INEQUALITY

+
$$[(N-1)(N-3) + C_{\mu,1}]a(a-1) - (N-3)(N-1 - C_{\mu,1})a + C_{\mu,2}$$

By a direct and careful calculation, it holds that

(2.4)
$$A = 2(N-1), \quad B = C = (N-1)(N-3), \quad D = 0,$$

then (2.3) is equivalent to

$$(2.5) \quad v^{(4)}(s) + \frac{2(N-1)}{s}v^{\prime\prime\prime}(s) + \frac{(N-1)(N-3)}{s^2}v^{\prime\prime}(s) - \frac{(N-1)(N-3)}{s^3}v^{\prime}(s) = b^{-4}v^{\frac{N+4}{N-4}},$$
and also

and also

$$\begin{cases} -v'' + \frac{N-1}{s}v' = w \text{ in } s \in (0,\infty), \\ -w'' + \frac{N-1}{s}w' = b^{-4}v^{\frac{N+4}{N-4}} \text{ in } s \in (0,\infty). \end{cases}$$

It is easy to verify that equation (2.5) admits a solution of the form

$$v(s) = \frac{K_{N,\mu}\lambda^{\frac{N-4}{2}}}{(1+\lambda^2 s^2)^{\frac{N-4}{2}}}, \quad \text{with} \quad K_{N,\mu} = \left[\left(1-\frac{\mu}{N-4}\right)^4 (N-4)(N-2)N(N+2)\right]^{\frac{N-4}{8}},$$

for any $\lambda > 0$. Therefore, (2.1) admits a solution of the form $u(|x|) = \lambda^{\frac{N-4}{2}} U_{\mu}(\lambda x)$, where

$$U_{\mu}(x) = K_{N,\mu} |x|^{-\frac{\mu}{2}} \left(1 + |x|^{2(1-\frac{\mu}{N-4})} \right)^{-\frac{N-4}{2}}$$

Next, we will show the uniqueness (up to scalings) of solutions for equation (2.5). Following the work of Huang and Wang [18], we use the classical Emden-Fowler transformation, i.e., let

(2.6)
$$v(s) = b^{\frac{N-4}{2}} s^{-\frac{N-4}{2}} \varphi(t), \quad w(s) = b^{\frac{N-4}{2}} s^{-\frac{N}{2}} \phi(t), \quad \text{with } t = -\ln s.$$

Direct computation shows that a positive radial function $u \in \mathcal{E}_{\mu}$ solves (2.1) if and only if the functions φ, ϕ satisfy

$$\begin{cases} -\varphi'' + 2\varphi' + \frac{N(N-4)}{4}\varphi = \phi \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}, \\ -\phi'' - 2\phi' + \frac{N(N-4)}{4}\phi = \varphi^{\frac{N+4}{N-4}} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R} \end{cases}$$

that is, $\varphi(t)$ satisfies the following fourth-order ordinary differential equation

(2.7)
$$\varphi^{(4)} - K_2 \varphi'' + K_0 \varphi = \varphi^{\frac{N+4}{N-4}}, \quad \varphi > 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}, \quad \varphi \in H^2(\mathbb{R}),$$

with the constants

$$K_2 = \frac{(N-2)^2 + 4}{2}, \quad K_0 = \frac{N^2(N-4)^2}{16}$$

Here $H^2(\mathbb{R})$ denotes the completion of $C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ with respect to the norm

$$\|\varphi\|_{H^{2}(\mathbb{R})} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} (|\varphi_{tt}|^{2} + K_{2}|\varphi_{t}|^{2} + K_{0}|\varphi|^{2}) \mathrm{d}t\right)^{1/2}$$

Clearly, $K_2^2 \ge 4K_0$, then applying [3, Theorem 2.2] directly, we could get the uniqueness (up to translations and inversion $t \mapsto -t$) of solutions to problem (2.7). Therefore, (2.1) admits

a unique (up to scalings) solution $\lambda^{\frac{N-4}{2}}U_{\mu}(\lambda x)$ for $\lambda > 0$. Now, the proof of Theorem 1.1 is completed.

3. Non-degenerate result

In this section, we will prove the non-degeneracy of U_{μ} , that is, we classify all solutions of linearized problem (1.14).

Firstly, let us consider the eigenvalue problem

(3.1)
$$\Delta^2 v + C_{\mu,1} \operatorname{div}\left(\frac{\nabla v}{|x|^2}\right) + C_{\mu,2} \frac{v}{|x|^4} = \nu U_{\mu}^{2^{**}-2} v, \quad \text{in} \quad \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}, \quad v \in \mathcal{E}_{\mu}.$$

In order to do this, we need to establish the compactness of embedding $\mathcal{E}_{\mu} \hookrightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^N, U^{2^{**}-2}_{\mu} dx)$. The following Poincaré type inequalities will be useful later.

Lemma 3.1. Suppose $N \geq 5$ and $0 < \mu < N - 4$. It holds that for all $u \in \mathcal{E}_{\mu}$,

(3.2)
$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} U_{\mu}^{2^{**}-2} u^2 \mathrm{d}x \le \|u\|_{\mu}^2,$$

and the equality holds if and only if $u = U_{\mu}$. Also, there exist C > 0 and $\vartheta = \vartheta(N, \mu) > 0$ such that, for any $\rho \in (0, 1)$,

(3.3)
$$\int_{B_{\rho}} U_{\mu}^{2^{**}-2} u^2 \mathrm{d}x \le C \rho^{\vartheta} \|u\|_{\mu}^2,$$

and

(3.4)
$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_{\frac{1}{\rho}}} U_{\mu}^{2^{**}-2} u^2 \mathrm{d}x \le C\rho^{\vartheta} \|u\|_{\mu}^2.$$

Proof. Define

$$\mathfrak{F}(u) := \|u\|_{\mu}^2 - \mathcal{S}_{\mu} \|u\|_{2^{**}}^2, \quad u \in \mathcal{E}_{\mu}.$$

We know U_{μ} is a global minimum of the functional \mathfrak{F} , then

$$\begin{split} 0 &\leq \frac{\mathrm{d}^2}{\mathrm{d}\epsilon^2} \Big|_{\epsilon=0} \mathfrak{F}(U_{\mu} + \epsilon u) \\ &= 2 \|u\|_{\mu}^2 - \mathcal{S}_{\mu} \left[2(2 - 2^{**}) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} U_{\mu}^{2^{**}} \mathrm{d}x \right)^{\frac{2}{2^{**}} - 2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} U_{\mu}^{2^{**} - 1} u \mathrm{d}x \right)^2 \right. \\ &+ 2(2^{**} - 1) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} U_{\mu}^{2^{**}} \mathrm{d}x \right)^{\frac{2}{2^{**}} - 1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} U_{\mu}^{2^{**} - 2} u^2 \mathrm{d}x \right]. \end{split}$$

Noting that

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} U_{\mu}^{2^{**}-1} u \mathrm{d}x\right)^2 \le \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} U_{\mu}^{2^{**}} \mathrm{d}x \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} U_{\mu}^{2^{**}-2} u^2 \mathrm{d}x,$$

and equality holds if and only if $u = U_{\mu}$, these implies that

$$||u||_{\mu}^{2} \ge \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} U_{\mu}^{2^{**}-2} u^{2} \mathrm{d}x, \quad \text{for all } u \in \mathcal{E}_{\mu},$$

due to

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} U_{\mu}^{2^{**}} \mathrm{d}x = \mathcal{S}_{\mu}^{\frac{2^{**}}{2^{**}-2}}$$

Thus (3.2) holds.

To prove (3.3)-(3.4), we apply the Sobolev inequality with radial weights. By using the change of variable $u(x) = |x|^a v(|x|^{b-1}x)$ as in Remark 1.4, then

$$\begin{split} \|u\|_{\mu}^{2} &\geq \left(1 - \frac{\mu}{N-4}\right)^{3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |\Delta v|^{2} \mathrm{d}x, \\ \int_{B_{\rho}} U_{\mu}^{2^{**}-2} u^{2} \mathrm{d}x &= \left(1 - \frac{\mu}{N-4}\right)^{3} \int_{B_{\rho b}} U_{0}^{2^{**}-2} v^{2} \mathrm{d}x, \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N} \setminus B_{\frac{1}{\rho}}} U_{\mu}^{2^{**}-2} u^{2} \mathrm{d}x &= \left(1 - \frac{\mu}{N-4}\right)^{3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N} \setminus B_{\frac{1}{\rho}}} U_{0}^{2^{**}-2} v^{2} \mathrm{d}x. \end{split}$$

Note that for $\rho \in (0, 1)$ and b > 0, the Rellich inequality indicates

$$\int_{B_{\rho^b}} U_0^{2^{**}-2} v^2 \mathrm{d}x \le C \rho^{4b} \int_{B_{\rho^b}} \frac{v^2}{|x|^4} \mathrm{d}x \le C \rho^{4b} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\Delta v|^2 \mathrm{d}x,$$

thus (3.3) holds. Moreover,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_{\frac{1}{\rho^b}}} U_0^{2^{**}-2} v^2 \mathrm{d}x \le C \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_{\frac{1}{\rho^b}}} \frac{v^2}{|x|^8} \mathrm{d}x \le C\rho^{4b} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_{\frac{1}{\rho^b}}} \frac{v^2}{|x|^4} \mathrm{d}x \le C\rho^{4b} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\Delta v|^2 \mathrm{d}x,$$

thus (3.4) holds. The proof is completed.

Theorem 3.2. Suppose $N \ge 5$ and $0 < \mu < N - 4$. The space \mathcal{E}_{μ} embeds compactly into $L^2(\mathbb{R}^N, U_{\mu}^{2^{**}-2} dx)$.

Proof. Since U_{μ} is singular at origin, we follow the arguments as those in the proof of Proposition 3.2 in [17]. Let $\{u_n\}$ be a sequence of functions in \mathcal{E}_{μ} with uniformly bounded norm. It follows from (3.2) that $\|u_n\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N, U_{\mu}^{2^{**}-2} dx)}$ are uniformly bounded as well.

Since $U^{2^{**}-2}_{\mu}$ is locally bounded away from zero and infinity in $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$, by Rellich-Kondrachov Theorem and a diagonal argument we deduce that, up to a subsequence, there exists $u \in \mathcal{E}_{\mu}$ such that $u_n \to u$ weakly in \mathcal{E}_{μ} and $u_n \to u$ strongly in $L^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}, U^{2^{**}-2}_{\mu} dx)$.

Also, it follows from (3.3) and (3.4) that, for any $\rho \in (0, 1)$,

$$\int_{B_{\rho}} U_{\mu}^{2^{**}-2} u_n^2 \mathrm{d}x \le C\rho^{\vartheta}, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_{\frac{1}{\rho}}} U_{\mu}^{2^{**}-2} u_n^2 \mathrm{d}x \le C\rho^{\vartheta},$$

for some $\vartheta > 0$. We conclude the proof by defining the compact set $K_{\rho} := \overline{B_{\frac{1}{\rho}}} \setminus B_{\rho}$ and applying the strong convergence of u_n in K_{ρ} , together with the arbitrariness of ρ (that can be chosen arbitrarily small).

Theorem 3.2 indicates the eigenvalues of problem (3.1) are discrete, then following the work of Servadei and Valdinoci [26] for nonlocal case, we can give the definitions of eigenvalues of problem (3.1) as the following.

Definition 3.3. The first eigenvalue of problem (3.1) can be defined as

(3.5)
$$\nu_1 := \inf_{v \in \mathcal{E}_{\mu} \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\|v\|_{\mu}^2}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} U_{\mu}^{2^{**}-2} v^2 \mathrm{d}x}$$

Moreover, for any $k \in \mathbb{N}^+$ the eigenvalues can be characterized as follows:

(3.6)
$$\nu_{k+1} := \inf_{v \in \mathbb{P}_{k+1} \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\|v\|_{\mu}^2}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} U_{\mu}^{2^{**}-2} v^2 \mathrm{d}x},$$

where

$$\mathbb{P}_{k+1} := \{ v \in \mathcal{E}_{\mu} : \langle v, e_{i,j} \rangle_{\mu} = 0, \text{ for all } i = 1, \dots, k, j = 1, \dots, h_i \}$$

and $e_{i,j}$ are the corresponding eigenfunctions to ν_i with h_i multiplicity.

Theorem 3.4. Suppose $N \ge 5$ and $0 < \mu < N - 4$. Let ν_i , i = 1, 2, ..., be the eigenvalues of (3.1) in increasing order as in Definition 3.3. Then $\nu_1 = 1$ is simple with eigenfunction U_{μ} and $\nu_2 = 2^{**} - 1$ with the corresponding one-dimensional eigenfunction space spanned by $(\frac{N-4}{2}U_{\mu} + x \cdot \nabla U_{\mu})$. Furthermore, $\nu_3 > \nu_2$.

Proof. We follow the arguments as those in [1]. Choosing a test function $v = U_{\mu}$ in (3.5), then since U_{μ} is the solution of equation (1.12) we have

$$\mu_1 \le \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\Delta U_{\mu}|^2 \mathrm{d}x - C_{\mu,1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|\nabla U_{\mu}|^2}{|x|^2} \mathrm{d}x + C_{\mu,2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{U_{\mu}^2}{|x|^4} \mathrm{d}x}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} U_{\mu}^{2^{**}} \mathrm{d}x} = 1$$

Moreover, by using Hölder inequality we deduce that for all $v \in \mathcal{E}_{\mu} \setminus \{0\}$,

(3.7)
$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} U_{\mu}^{2^{**}-2} v^2 \mathrm{d}x \le \|U_{\mu}\|_{L^{2^{**}}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{2^{**}-2} \|v\|_{L^{2^{**}}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2 = \mathcal{S}_{\mu} \|v\|_{L^{2^{**}}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2 \le \|v\|_{\mu}^2$$

which implies $\mu_1 \geq 1$. Then we have $\mu_1 = 1$, furthermore since equality in (3.7) holds if and only if $v = \zeta U_{\mu}$ with $\zeta \in \mathbb{R}$, corresponding eigenfunction of $\mu_1 = 1$ is ζU_{μ} with $\zeta \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$.

Note that U_{μ} minimizes the functional

(3.8)
$$v \mapsto \Phi(v) = \frac{1}{2} \|v\|_{\mu}^2 - \frac{1}{2^{**}} \|v\|_{L^{2^{**}}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{2^{**}},$$

on the Nehari manifold

$$\mathcal{N} := \left\{ v \in \mathcal{E}_{\mu} \setminus \{0\} : \|v\|_{\mu}^{2} = \|v\|_{L^{2^{**}}(\mathbb{R}^{N})}^{2^{**}} \right\}.$$

Indeed, for $v \in \mathcal{N}$ we have by Rellich-Sobolev type inequality (1.10) that

$$\Phi(v) = \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2^{**}}\right) \|v\|_{L^{2^{**}}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{2^{**}} = \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2^{**}}\right) \left(\frac{\|v\|_{\mu}}{\|v\|_{L^{2^{**}}(\mathbb{R}^N)}}\right)^{\frac{2^{*2^{**}}}{2^{**}-2}}$$
$$\geq \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2^{**}}\right) \mathcal{S}_{\mu}^{\frac{2^{**}}{2^{**}-2}} = \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2^{**}}\right) \left(\frac{\|U_{\mu}\|_{\mu}}{\|U_{\mu}\|_{L^{2^{**}}(\mathbb{R}^N)}}\right)^{\frac{2^{*2^{**}}}{2^{**}-2}} = \Phi(U_{\mu}).$$

As a consequence, the second derivative $\Phi''(U_{\mu})$ given by

$$(\phi,\varphi) \mapsto \langle \phi, \varphi \rangle_{\mu} - (2^{**} - 1) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} U_{\mu}^{2^{**} - 2} \phi \varphi \mathrm{d}x$$

is nonnegative quadratic form when restricted to the tangent space $T_{U_{\mu}}\mathcal{N}$, then we have

$$||u||_{\mu}^{2} \ge (2^{**} - 1) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} U_{\mu}^{2^{**} - 2} u^{2} \mathrm{d}x, \text{ for all } u \in T_{U_{\mu}} \mathcal{N}.$$

Since $T_{U_{\mu}}\mathcal{N}$ has codimension one, we infer that $\nu_2 \geq 2^{**} - 1$. Moreover, since $(\frac{N-4}{2}U_{\mu} + x \cdot \nabla U_{\mu})$ is a solution of (3.1) with $\nu = 2^{**} - 1$ which indicates $\nu_2 \leq 2^{**} - 1$, then we conclude that $\nu_2 = 2^{**} - 1$. Next, we will show that $\left(\frac{N-4}{2}U_{\mu} + x \cdot \nabla U_{\mu}\right)$ is the only (up to multiplications) eigenfunction of $\nu_2 = 2^{**} - 1$.

Eigenvalue problem (3.1) with $\nu = 2^{**} - 1$ is equivalent to

(3.9)
$$\Delta^2 v + C_{\mu,1} \left[|x|^{-2} \Delta v - 2|x|^{-4} x \cdot \nabla v \right] + \frac{C_{\mu,2}}{|x|^4} v = \frac{(2^{**} - 1)K_{N,\mu}^{2^{**} - 2}|x|^{-\frac{4\mu}{N-4}}}{\left(1 + |x|^{2(1 - \frac{\mu}{N-4})}\right)^4} v,$$

in $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}, v \in \mathcal{E}_{\mu}$. Firstly, we write $v(x) = v(r, \theta)$ and make the standard spherical decomposition of v as follows:

(3.10)
$$v(r,\theta) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{m_k} \phi_{k,i}(r) \Psi_{k,i}(\theta),$$

where $r = |x|, \ \theta = \frac{x}{|x|} \in \mathbb{S}^{N-1}$, and

$$\phi_{k,i}(r) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}} v(r,\theta) \Psi_{k,i}(\theta) d\theta.$$

Here $\Psi_{k,i}(\theta)$ denotes the k-th spherical harmonic, i.e., it satisfies

....

 $-\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}}\Psi_{k,i} = \lambda_k \Psi_{k,i},$

where $\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}}$ is the Laplace-Beltrami operator on \mathbb{S}^{N-1} with the standard metric and λ_k is the k-th eigenvalue of $-\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}}$. It is well known that $\lambda_k = k(N-2+k), k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ whose multiplicity is

$$m_k := \frac{(N+2k-2)(N+k-3)!}{(N-2)!k!}$$

and that

$$\operatorname{Ker}(\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}} + \lambda_k) = \mathbb{Y}_k(\mathbb{R}^N)|_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}},$$

where $\mathbb{Y}_k(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is the space of all homogeneous harmonic polynomials of degree k in \mathbb{R}^N . It is known that

$$\Delta(\phi_{k,i}(r)\Psi_{k,i}(\theta)) = \Psi_{k,i}\left(\phi_{k,i}'' + \frac{N-1}{r}\phi_{k,i}'\right) + \frac{\phi_{k,i}}{r^2}\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}}\Psi_{k,i}$$
$$= \Psi_{k,i}\left(\phi_{k,i}'' + \frac{N-1}{r}\phi_{k,i}' - \frac{\lambda_k}{r^2}\phi_{k,i}\right),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} x \cdot \nabla(\phi_{k,i}(r)\Psi_{k,i}(\theta)) &= \sum_{i=1}^{N} x_i \frac{\partial(\phi_{k,i}(r)\Psi_{k,i}(\theta))}{\partial x_i} = \phi'_{k,i}r\Psi_{k,i} + \phi_{k,i}\frac{\partial\Psi_{k,i}}{\partial\theta_l}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\frac{\partial\theta_l}{\partial x_i}x_i \\ &= \phi'_{k,i}r\Psi_{k,i}, \end{aligned}$$

because it holds true that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\partial \theta_l}{\partial x_i} x_i = 0, \quad \text{for all} \quad l = 1, \dots, N-1.$$

Therefore, by standard regularity theory, the function v is a solution of (3.9) if and only if for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $i = 1, \ldots, m_k$, $\phi_{k,i} \in \mathcal{C}_k$ is a classical solution of

$$\phi_{k,i}^{(4)} + \frac{2(N-1)}{r}\phi_{k,i}^{\prime\prime\prime} + \frac{(N-1)(N-3) + C_{\mu,1}}{r^2}\phi_{k,i}^{\prime\prime} - \frac{(N-3)(N-1-C_{\mu,1})}{r^3}\phi_{k,i}^{\prime} + \frac{C_{\mu,2}}{r^4}\phi_{k,i}$$

$$(3.11) \quad -\frac{\lambda_k}{r^2} \left[2\phi_{k,i}'' + \frac{2(N-3)}{r} \phi_{k,i}' - \frac{2(N-4) + \lambda_k - C_{\mu,1}}{r^2} \phi_{k,i} \right] = \frac{(2^{**} - 1)K_{N,\mu}^{2^{**} - 2} r^{-\frac{4\mu}{N-4}}}{\left[1 + r^{2(1-\frac{\mu}{N-4})} \right]^4} \phi_{k,i},$$

in $r \in (0, \infty)$, where

$$\mathcal{C}_k := \left\{ \omega \in C_0^\infty((0,\infty)) | \int_0^\infty \left[\left(\Delta_r \omega - \frac{\lambda_k}{r^2} \omega \right)^2 - C_{\mu,1} \frac{|\omega'|^2}{r^2} + C_{\mu,2} \frac{|\omega|^2}{r^4} \right] r^{N-1} \mathrm{d}r < \infty \right\}.$$

Here $\Delta_r = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} + \frac{N-1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r}$. Same as in Section 2, we make the change $s = r^b$ where $b = 1 - \frac{\mu}{N-4}$ and let

(3.12)
$$\phi_{k,i}(r) = r^{-\frac{\mu}{2}} X_{k,i}(s),$$

which transforms (3.11) into the following

$$X_{k,i}^{(4)} + \frac{2(N-1)}{s} X_{k,i}^{\prime\prime\prime} + \frac{(N-1)(N-3)}{s^2} X_{k,i}^{\prime\prime} - \frac{(N-1)(N-3)}{s^3} X_{k,i}^{\prime}$$
$$- \frac{\lambda_k b^{-2}}{s^2} \left[2X_{k,i}^{\prime\prime} + \frac{2(N-3)}{s} X_{k,i}^{\prime} - \frac{2(N-4) + \lambda_k b^{-2}}{s^2} X_{k,i} \right]$$
$$(3.13) \qquad = \frac{(N+4)(N-2)N(N+2)}{(1+s^2)^4} X_{k,i} + \frac{4b^{-2}(b^{-2}-1)\lambda_k}{s^4} X_{k,i},$$

in $s \in (0, \infty)$, and

$$X_{k,i} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{C}}_k := \left\{ \omega \in C_0^\infty((0,\infty)) | \int_0^\infty \left(\Delta_s \omega - \frac{\lambda_k}{s^2} \omega \right)^2 s^{N-1} \mathrm{d}s < \infty \right\}.$$

Here we have used the fact

$$b^{-4}(2^{**}-1)K_{N,\mu}^{2^{**}-2} = \left[(N-4)(N-2)N(N+2)\right]\left(\frac{2N}{N-4}-1\right) = (N+4)(N-2)N(N+2).$$

It is easy to verify that (3.13) is equivalent to the system

(3.14)
$$\left(\Delta_{s} - \frac{\lambda_{k}}{s^{2}}\right)^{2} X_{k,i} = -\frac{(b^{-2} - 1)\lambda_{k}[2(N - 4) + (1 + b^{2})\lambda_{k} - 4b^{-2}]}{s^{4}} X_{k,i} + \frac{2(b^{-2} - 1)\lambda_{k}}{s^{2}} \left(X_{k,i}'' + \frac{N - 3}{s}X_{k,i}'\right) + (2^{**} - 1)\Gamma_{N}(1 + s^{2})^{-4}X_{k,i},$$

in $s \in (0, \infty)$, $X_{k,i} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{C}}_k$, where (3.15) $\Gamma_N := (N-4)(N-2)N(N+2).$

From [1, Lemma 2.4], we know that when k = 0, (3.14) only admits a unique solution $X_0 = (\frac{N-4}{2}U_0 + x \cdot \nabla U_0)$ (up to multiplications), that is, (3.11) with k = 0 only admits a unique solution $(\frac{N-4}{2}U_{\mu} + x \cdot \nabla U_{\mu})$ (up to multiplications). Let us explain the reason. In fact, the conclusion of [1, Lemma 2.4] states that if $X \in \widetilde{\mathcal{C}}_0$ is a solution of

$$\left[s^{1-N}\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\left(s^{N-1}\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\right)\right]^2 X = \nu(1+s^2)^{-4}X \quad \text{for } \nu > 0,$$

with X(0) = 0, then $X \equiv 0$. Note that $s^{1-N}\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\left(s^{N-1}\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\right) = \Delta_s$. Let $\tilde{X}_0 \not\equiv 0$ be another solution of (3.14) with k = 0. Then $X_0(0), \tilde{X}_0(0) \neq 0$, for otherwise X_0 resp. \tilde{X}_0 would vanish identically by [1, Lemma 2.4]. So we can find $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $X_0(0) = \tau \tilde{X}_0(0)$. But then $X_0 - \tau \tilde{X}_0$ also solves (3.14) with k = 0 and equals zero at origin. By [1, Lemma 2.4], one has $X_0 - \tau \tilde{X}_0 \equiv 0$, and so \tilde{X}_0 is a scalar multiple of X_0 .

We claim that for all $k \ge 1$, (3.14) does not exist nontrivial solutions. Now, we begin to show this claim. By easy computation one easily checks the operator identity

$$\Delta_s - \frac{\lambda_k}{s^2} = s^k \left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial s^2} + \frac{N+2k-1}{s} \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \right] s^{-k}$$

Therefore equation (3.14) can be rewritten as

$$\left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial s^2} + \frac{N+2k-1}{s}\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\right)^2 Y_{k,i} = (b^{-2}-1)\lambda_k [2k(N-4+k) - 2(N-4) - (1+b^{-2})\lambda_k + 4b^{-2}]\frac{Y_{k,i}}{s^4} + 2(b^{-2}-1)\lambda_k \left[\frac{Y_{k,i}''}{s^2} + (N-3+2k)\frac{Y_{k,i}'}{s^3}\right] + (2^{**}-1)\Gamma_N(1+s^2)^{-4}Y_{k,i}.$$

$$(3.16)$$

Here we defined $Y_{k,i} \in C^{\infty}((0,\infty))$ by $Y_{k,i}(s) := s^{-k}X_{k,i}$. Now we consider the functions $Z_{k,i} : \mathbb{R}^{N+2k} \to \mathbb{R}$ defined by $Z_{k,i}(y) = Y_{k,i}(|y|)$. So following the work of Bartsch et al. [1], we deduce that

$$Z_{k,i} \in \mathcal{D}_0^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^{N+2k})$$

and $Z_{k,i}$ is a weak solution of the equation

$$\Delta^{2} Z_{k,i}(y) = (b^{-2} - 1)\lambda_{k} [2k(N - 4 + k) - 2(N - 4) - (1 + b^{-2})\lambda_{k} + 4b^{-2}] \frac{Z_{k,i}(y)}{|y|^{4}} + 2(b^{-2} - 1)\lambda_{k} \left[\frac{Z_{k,i}''}{|y|^{2}} + (N - 3 + 2k) \frac{Z_{k,i}'}{|y|^{3}} \right] (3.17) + (2^{**} - 1)\Gamma_{N}(1 + |y|^{2})^{-4} Z_{k,i}(y), \quad y \in \mathbb{R}^{N+2k}.$$

Multiplying (3.17) by $Z_{k,i}$ and integrating in \mathbb{R}^{N+2k} , we have

$$||Z_{k,i}||_{\mathcal{D}_0^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^{N+2k})}^2 = (2^{**}-1)\Gamma_N \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N+2k}} (1+|y|^2)^{-4} |Z_{k,i}|^2 \mathrm{d}y - 2(b^{-2}-1)\lambda_k \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N+2k}} \frac{|\nabla Z_{k,i}|^2}{|y|^2} \mathrm{d}y + (b^{-2}-1)\lambda_k [2k(N-4+k) - 2(N-4) - (1+b^{-2})\lambda_k + 4b^{-2}]$$

$$\times \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N+2k}} \frac{|Z_{k,i}|^2}{|y|^4} \mathrm{d}y.$$

By the Hardy inequality,

$$\left(\frac{N+2k-4}{2}\right)^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N+2k}} \frac{|u|^2}{|y|^4} \mathrm{d}y \le \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N+2k}} \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{|y|^2} \mathrm{d}y, \quad \text{for all} \quad u \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{N+2k}),$$

we deduce that

$$||Z_{k,i}||_{\mathcal{D}_{0}^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^{N+2k})}^{2} \leq (2^{**}-1)\Gamma_{N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N+2k}} (1+|y|^{2})^{-4} |Z_{k,i}|^{2} \mathrm{d}y$$

$$(3.18) \qquad -\frac{1}{2}(b^{-2}-1)\lambda_{k}[N(N-4)+(2\lambda_{k}-8)b^{-2}+2\lambda_{k}] \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N+2k}} \frac{|Z_{k,i}|^{2}}{|y|^{4}} \mathrm{d}y.$$

Note that

(3.19)
$$||u||^2_{\mathcal{D}^{2,2}_0(\mathbb{R}^{N+2k})} \ge \Gamma_{N+2k} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N+2k}} (1+|y|^2)^{-4} |u(y)|^2 \mathrm{d}y, \text{ for all } u \in \mathcal{D}^{2,2}_0(\mathbb{R}^{N+2k}),$$

see [1, (2.10)], then combining with (3.18) and (3.19) we deduce

$$[(2^{**}-1)\Gamma_N - \Gamma_{N+2k}] \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N+2k}} (1+|y|^2)^{-4} |Z_{k,i}|^2 \mathrm{d}y$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{2} (b^{-2}-1)\lambda_k [N(N-4) + (2\lambda_k - 8)b^{-2} + 2\lambda_k] \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N+2k}} \frac{|Z_{k,i}|^2}{|y|^4} \mathrm{d}y.$$

Since

 $(2^{**}-1)\Gamma_N \leq \Gamma_{N+2k}, \text{ for all } k \geq 1,$

where Γ_N is defined in (3.15), then it holds that

(3.20)
$$(b^{-2} - 1)\lambda_k [N(N-4) + (2\lambda_k - 8)b^{-2} + 2\lambda_k] \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N+2k}} \frac{|Z_{k,i}|^2}{|y|^4} \mathrm{d}y \le 0.$$

Note that

$$(b^{-2}-1)\lambda_k[N(N-4) + (2\lambda_k - 8)b^{-2} + 2\lambda_k] > 0$$
, for all $k \ge 1$,

due to 0 < b < 1, $\lambda_k = k(N - 2 + k)$ and $N \ge 5$, then we conclude from (3.20) that $Z_{k,i} \equiv 0$ for all $k \ge 1$, that is, (3.14) does not exist nontrivial solutions for all $k \ge 1$ which proves the claim. Note that this claim implies $(\frac{N-4}{2}U_{\mu} + x \cdot \nabla U_{\mu})$ is the only (up to multiplications) eigenfunction of $\nu_2 = 2^{**} - 1$.

From the definition of eigenvalues, we deduce that $\nu_3 > \nu_2$. Now, the proof of Theorem 3.4 is completed.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. The proof directly follows by Theorem 3.4. \Box

14

4. Stability of Rellich-Sobolev inequality

In this section, we will prove the stability of Rellich-Sobolev inequality (1.10) and give the proof of Theorem 1.3, inspired by Bianchi and Egnell [2].

Since $\mathcal{M}_{\mu} = \{ cU_{\mu,\lambda} : c \in \mathbb{R}, \lambda > 0 \}$ is two-dimensional manifold embedded in \mathcal{E}_{μ} , and the tangential space at (c, λ) is given by

$$T_{cU_{\mu,\lambda}}\mathcal{M}_{\mu} = \operatorname{Span}\left\{U_{\mu,\lambda}, \frac{\partial U_{\mu,\lambda}}{\partial \lambda}\right\},\,$$

then Theorem 3.4 indicates that for any u orthogonal to $T_{U_{\mu,\lambda}}\mathcal{M}_{\mu}$,

(4.1)
$$\nu_3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} U_{\mu,\lambda}^{2^{**}-2} u^2 \mathrm{d}x \le \|u\|_{\mu}^2,$$

where $\nu_3 > 2^{**} - 1$ is independent of λ given as in Theorem 3.4. The main ingredient in the proof of Theorem 1.3 is contained in the lemma below, where the behavior near extremals set \mathcal{M}_{μ} is studied.

Lemma 4.1. Suppose $N \ge 5$ and $0 < \mu < N - 4$. Then for any sequence $\{u_n\} \subset \mathcal{E}_{\mu} \setminus \mathcal{M}_{\mu}$ satisfying $\inf_n ||u_n||_{\mu} > 0$ and $\inf_{v \in \mathcal{M}_{\mu}} ||u_n - v||_{\mu} \to 0$, it holds that

(4.2)
$$\liminf_{n \to \infty} \frac{\|u_n\|_{\mu}^2 - \mathcal{S}_{\mu} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n|^{2^{**}} \mathrm{d}x\right)^{\frac{2}{2^{**}}}}{\inf_{v \in \mathcal{M}_{\mu}} \|u_n - v\|_{\mu}^2} \ge 1 - \frac{\nu_2}{\nu_3}$$

where $\nu_2 = 2^{**} - 1 < \nu_3$ are given as in Theorem 3.4.

Proof. Let $d_n := \inf_{v \in \mathcal{M}_{\mu}} \|u_n - v\|_{\mu} = \inf_{c \in \mathbb{R}, \lambda > 0} \|u_n - cU_{\mu,\lambda}\|_{\mu} \to 0$. We know that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ sufficiently large, there exist $c_n \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\lambda_n > 0$ such that $d_n = \|u_n - c_n U_{\mu,\lambda_n}\|_{\mu}$. In fact,

(4.3)
$$\begin{aligned} \|u_n - cU_{\mu,\lambda}\|_{\mu}^2 &= \|u_n\|_{\mu}^2 + c^2 \|U_{\mu,\lambda}\|_{\mu}^2 - 2c\langle u_n, U_{\mu,\lambda}\rangle_{\mu} \\ &\geq \|u_n\|_{\mu}^2 + c^2 \|U_{\mu}\|_{\mu}^2 - 2|c|\|u_n\|_{\mu} \|U_{\mu}\|_{\mu}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the minimizing sequence of d_n^2 , say $\{c_{n,m}, \lambda_{n,m}\}$, must satisfy $|c_{n,m}| \leq C$ for some $C \geq 1$ independent of m, which means $\{c_{n,m}\}$ is bounded. On the other hand,

$$\left| \int_{|\lambda x| \le \rho} \Delta u_n \Delta U_{\mu,\lambda} \mathrm{d}x \right| \le \int_{|y| \le \rho} |\Delta(u_n)_{\frac{1}{\lambda}}(y)| |\Delta U_{\mu}(y)| \mathrm{d}y$$
$$\le \|\Delta u_n\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)} \left(\int_{|y| \le \rho} |\Delta U_{\mu}|^2 \mathrm{d}y \right)^{1/2}$$
$$= o_{\rho}(1)$$

as $\rho \to 0$ which is uniform for $\lambda > 0$, where $(u_n)_{\frac{1}{\lambda}}(y) = \lambda^{-\frac{N-4}{2}} u_n(\lambda^{-1}y)$, and

$$\left| \int_{|\lambda x| \ge \rho} \Delta u_n \Delta U_{\mu,\lambda} \mathrm{d}x \right| \le \|\Delta U_\mu\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)} \left(\int_{|x| \ge \frac{\rho}{\lambda}} |\Delta u_n|^2 \mathrm{d}x \right)^{1/2} = o_\lambda(1)$$

as $\lambda \to 0$ for any fixed $\rho > 0$. By taking $\lambda \to 0$ and then $\rho \to 0$, we obtain

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Delta u_n \Delta U_{\mu,\lambda} \mathrm{d}x \right| \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad \lambda \to 0.$$

Similarly, we deduce that

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{\nabla u_n \cdot \nabla U_{\mu,\lambda}}{|x|^2} \mathrm{d}x \right| \to 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{u_n U_{\mu,\lambda}}{|x|^4} \mathrm{d}x \right| \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad \lambda \to 0.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle u_n, U_{\mu,\lambda} \rangle_{\mu}| &\leq \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Delta u_n \Delta U_{\mu,\lambda} \mathrm{d}x \right| + C_{\mu,1} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{\nabla u_n \cdot \nabla U_{\mu,\lambda}}{|x|^2} \mathrm{d}x \right| + |C_{\mu,2}| \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{u_n U_{\mu,\lambda}}{|x|^4} \mathrm{d}x \right| \end{aligned}$$

$$(4.4) \qquad \to 0,$$

as $\lambda \to 0$. Moreover, by the explicit from of $U_{\mu,\lambda}$ we have

$$\left| \int_{|\lambda x| \le R} \Delta u_n \Delta U_{\mu,\lambda} \mathrm{d}x \right| \le \|\Delta U_\mu\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)} \left(\int_{|x| \le \frac{R}{\lambda}} |\Delta u_n|^2 \mathrm{d}x \right)^{1/2} = o_\lambda(1)$$

as $\lambda \to +\infty$ for any fixed R > 0 and

$$\left| \int_{|\lambda x| \ge R} \Delta u_n \Delta U_{\mu,\lambda} \mathrm{d}x \right| \le \int_{|y| \ge R} |\Delta(u_n)_{\frac{1}{\lambda}}(y)| |\Delta U_{\mu}(y)| \mathrm{d}y$$
$$\le \|\Delta u_n\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)} \left(\int_{|y| \ge R} |\Delta U_{\mu}|^2 \mathrm{d}y \right)^{1/2} = o_R(1)$$

as $R \to +\infty$ which is uniform for $\lambda > 0$. Thus, by taking first $\lambda \to +\infty$ and then $R \to +\infty$, we also obtain

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Delta u_n \Delta U_{\mu,\lambda} \mathrm{d}x \right| \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad \lambda \to +\infty.$$

Similarly, we deduce that

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{\nabla u_n \cdot \nabla U_{\mu,\lambda}}{|x|^2} \mathrm{d}x \right| \to 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{u_n U_{\mu,\lambda}}{|x|^4} \mathrm{d}x \right| \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad \lambda \to +\infty.$$

Therefore,

(4.5)
$$|\langle u_n, U_{\mu,\lambda} \rangle_{\mu}| \to 0 \text{ as } \lambda \to +\infty$$

Combining with (4.4) and (4.5), it follows from (4.3) and $d_n \to 0$, $\inf_n ||u_n|| > 0$ that the minimizing sequence $\{c_{n,m}, \lambda_{n,m}\}$ must satisfying $1/C \leq |\lambda_{n,m}| \leq C$ for some $C \geq 1$ independent of m, which means $\{\lambda_{n,m}\}$ is also bounded. Thus for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ sufficiently large, d_n can be attained by some $c_n \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\lambda_n > 0$.

Then we must have that $(u_n - c_n U_{\mu,\lambda_n})$ is perpendicular to $T_{c_n U_{\mu,\lambda_n}} \mathcal{M}_{\mu}$, i.e.,

$$\langle U_{\mu,\lambda_n}, u_n - c_n U_{\mu,\lambda_n} \rangle_{\mu} = \left\langle \frac{\partial U_{\mu,\lambda_n}}{\partial \lambda_n}, u_n - c_n U_{\mu,\lambda_n} \right\rangle_{\mu} = 0.$$

Furthermore, same as in (4.1) we have

(4.6)
$$\nu_3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} U_{\mu,\lambda_n}^{2^{**}-2} (u_n - c_n U_{\mu,\lambda_n})^2 \mathrm{d}x \le ||u_n - c_n U_{\mu,\lambda_n}||_{\mu}^2.$$

Let $u_n = c_n U_{\mu,\lambda_n} + d_n w_n$, then w_n is perpendicular to $T_{c_n U_{\mu,\lambda_n}} \mathcal{M}_{\mu}$, $\|w_n\|_{\mu} = 1$ and $\|u_n\|_{\mu}^2 = d_n^2 + c_n^2 \|U_{\mu}\|_{\mu}^2$,

in particular,

$$\langle U_{\mu,\lambda_n}, w_n \rangle_{\mu} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} U_{\mu,\lambda_n}^{2^{**}-1} w_n \mathrm{d}x = 0.$$

Then we can rewrite (4.6) as follows:

(4.7)
$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} U_{\mu,\lambda_n}^{2^{**}-2} w_n^2 \mathrm{d}x \le \frac{1}{\nu_3}$$

By using Taylor's expansion, we deduce

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |u_{n}|^{2^{**}} dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |c_{n}U_{\mu,\lambda_{n}} + d_{n}w_{n}|^{2^{**}} dx$$

$$= |c_{n}|^{2^{**}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} U_{\mu,\lambda_{n}}^{2^{**}} dx + d_{n}2^{**}|c_{n}|^{2^{**}-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} U_{\mu,\lambda_{n}}^{2^{**}-1} w_{n} dx$$

$$+ \frac{2^{**}(2^{**}-1)d_{n}^{2}|c_{n}|^{2^{**}-2}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} U_{\mu,\lambda_{n}}^{2^{**}-2} w_{n}^{2} dx + o(d_{n}^{2})$$

$$= |c_{n}|^{2^{**}} ||U_{\mu}||_{\mu}^{2} + \frac{2^{**}(2^{**}-1)d_{n}^{2}|c_{n}|^{2^{**}-2}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} U_{\mu,\lambda_{n}}^{2^{**}-2} w_{n}^{2} dx + o(d_{n}^{2}).$$

$$(4.8)$$

Then combining with (4.7) and (4.8), by the concavity of $t \mapsto t^{\frac{2}{2^{**}}}$, we obtain

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |u_{n}|^{2^{**}} \mathrm{d}x \right)^{\frac{2}{2^{**}}} \leq c_{n}^{2} \left(\|U_{\mu}\|_{\mu}^{2} + \frac{2^{**}(2^{**}-1)d_{n}^{2}c_{n}^{-2}}{2\nu_{3}} + o(d_{n}^{2}) \right)^{\frac{2}{2^{**}}}$$

$$= c_{n}^{2} \left(\|U_{\mu}\|_{\mu}^{\frac{4}{2^{**}}} + \frac{2}{2^{**}}\frac{2^{**}(2^{**}-1)d_{n}^{2}c_{n}^{-2}}{2\nu_{3}} \|U_{\mu}\|_{\mu}^{\frac{4}{2^{**}}-2} + o(d_{n}^{2}) \right)$$

$$= c_{n}^{2} \|U_{\mu}\|_{\mu}^{\frac{4}{2^{**}}} + \frac{d_{n}^{2}(2^{**}-1)}{\nu_{3}} \|U_{\mu}\|_{\mu}^{\frac{4}{2^{**}}-2} + o(d_{n}^{2}).$$

$$(4.9)$$

Therefore, for n sufficiently large,

$$\begin{split} \|u_n\|_{\mu}^2 - \mathcal{S}_{\mu} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n|^{2^{**}} \mathrm{d}x \right)^{\frac{2}{2^{**}}} &\geq d_n^2 + c_n^2 \|U_{\mu}\|_{\mu}^2 \\ &- \mathcal{S}_{\mu} \left[c_n^2 \|U_{\mu}\|_{\mu}^{\frac{4}{2^{**}}} + \frac{d_n^2 (2^{**} - 1)}{\nu_3} \|U_{\mu}\|_{\mu}^{\frac{4}{2^{**}} - 2} + o(d_n^2) \right] \\ &= d_n^2 \left(1 - \frac{2^{**} - 1}{\nu_3} \mathcal{S}_{\mu} \|U_{\mu}\|_{\mu}^{\frac{4}{2^{**}} - 2} \right) \\ &+ c_n^2 \left(\|U_{\mu}\|_{\mu}^2 - \mathcal{S}_{\mu} \|U_{\mu}\|_{\mu}^{\frac{4}{2^{**}}} \right) + o(d_n^2) \\ &= d_n^2 \left(1 - \frac{\nu_2}{\nu_3} \right) + o(d_n^2), \end{split}$$

due to $||U_{\mu}||_{\mu}^{2} = S_{\mu} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |U_{\mu}|^{2^{**}} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{2^{**}}}$ and $||U_{\mu}||_{\mu}^{2} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |U_{\mu}|^{2^{**}} dx$ imply $S_{\mu} = ||U_{\mu}||_{\mu}^{2-\frac{4}{2^{**}}}$, then (4.2) follows immediately.

Now, we are ready to prove our main result.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. We argue by contradiction. In fact, if the theorem is false then there exists a sequence $\{u_n\} \subset \mathcal{E}_{\mu} \setminus \mathcal{M}_{\mu}$ satisfying $\inf_n ||u_n||_{\mu} > 0$ and $\inf_{v \in \mathcal{M}_{\mu}} ||u_n - v||_{\mu}^2 \to 0$, such that

$$\frac{\|u_n\|_{\mu}^2 - \mathcal{S}_{\mu} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n|^{2^{**}} \mathrm{d}x \right)^{\frac{2}{2^{**}}}}{\inf_{v \in \mathcal{M}_{\mu}} \|u_n - v\|_{\mu}^2} \to 0, \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty.$$

By homogeneity, we can assume that $||u_n||_{\mu} = 1$, and after selecting a subsequence we can assume that $\inf_{v \in \mathcal{M}_{\mu}} ||u_n - v||_{\mu} \to \xi \in [0, 1]$ since $\inf_{v \in \mathcal{M}_{\mu}} ||u_n - v||_{\mu} \le ||u_n||_{\mu}$. If $\xi = 0$, then we dedcue a contradiction by Lemma 4.1.

The other possibility only is that $\xi > 0$, that is

$$\inf_{v \in \mathcal{M}_{\mu}} \|u_n - v\|_{\mu} \to \xi > 0 \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty,$$

then we must have

(4.10)
$$||u_n||^2_{\mu} - S_{\mu} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n|^{2^{**}} \mathrm{d}x \right)^{\frac{2}{2^{**}}} \to 0, \quad ||u_n||_{\mu} = 1.$$

Since $S_{\mu} < S_0$ for all $0 < \mu < N - 4$, by taking the same arguments as those in [30], we can deduce that there is a sequence $\{\lambda_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}^+$ such that $\{(u_n)_{\lambda_n}\}$ contains a convergent subsequence which will lead to a contradiction. In fact, as stated in Remark 1.4, we make the change

(4.11)
$$u_n(x) = |x|^{-\frac{\mu}{2}} v_n(|x|^{-\frac{\mu}{N-4}} x),$$

then,

$$0 \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |\Delta v_{n}|^{2} \mathrm{d}x - \mathcal{S}_{0} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |v_{n}|^{2^{**}} \mathrm{d}x \right)^{\frac{2}{2^{**}}} \leq \left(1 - \frac{\mu}{N-4} \right)^{-3} \left[\|u_{n}\|_{\mu}^{2} - \mathcal{S}_{\mu} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |u_{n}|^{2^{**}} \mathrm{d}x \right)^{\frac{2}{2^{**}}} \right] \to 0.$$

Note that (4.11) implies v_n does not invariant under translation, then by Lions' concentrationcompactness principle (see [22, Theorem II.4]), there is a sequence $\{\tau_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}^+$ such that

$$\tau_n^{\frac{N-4}{2}} v_n(\tau_n x) \to W \quad \text{in} \quad \mathcal{D}_0^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^N) \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty,$$

where $W(x) = c(d + |x|^2)^{-\frac{N-4}{2}}$ for some $c \neq 0$ and d > 0, thus,

$$\lambda_n^{\frac{N-4}{2}} u_n(\lambda_n x) \to U_* \quad \text{in} \quad \mathcal{E}_\mu \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty,$$

for some $U_* \in \mathcal{M}_{\mu}$, where $\lambda_n = \tau_n^{\frac{N-4}{N-4-\mu}}$, which implies

$$\inf_{v \in \mathcal{M}_{\mu}} \|u_n - v\|_{\mu} = \inf_{v \in \mathcal{M}_{\mu}} \|\lambda_n^{\frac{N-4}{2}} u_n(\lambda_n x) - v\|_{\mu} \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty,$$

this is a contradiction. Now, the proof of Theorem 1.3 is completed.

Acknowledgements

18

The research has been supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 12371121).

References

- Bartsch, T., Weth T., Willem, M.: A Sobolev inequality with remainder term and critical equations on domains with topology for the polyharmonic operator. Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 18, 253-268 (2003)
- [2] Bianchi, G., Egnell, H.: A note on the Sobolev inequality. J. Funct. Anal. 100(1), 18–24 (1991)
- Bhakta, M., Musina, R.: Entire solutions for a class of variational problems involving the biharmonic operator and Rellich potentials. Nonlinear Anal. 75(9), 3836–3848 (2012)
- [4] Brezis, H., Lieb, E.H.: Sobolev inequalities with remainder terms. J. Funct. Anal. 62, 73–86 (1985)
- [5] Caldiroli, P.: Radial and non radial ground states for a class of dilation invariant fourth order semilinear elliptic equations on \mathbb{R}^n . Commun. Pure Appl. Anal. **13**(2), 811–821 (2014)
- [6] Caldiroli, P., Cora, G.: Entire solutions for a class of fourth-order semilinear elliptic equations with weights. Mediterr. J. Math. 13(2), 657–675 (2016)
- [7] Cazacu, C.: A new proof of the Hardy-Rellich inequality in any dimension. Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A 150(6), 2894–2904 (2020)
- [8] Chen, S., Frank, R.L., Weth, T.: Remainder terms in the fractional Sobolev inequality. Indiana Univ. Math. J. 62(4), 1381–1397 (2013)
- [9] Caffarelli, L., Gidas, B., Spruck, J.: Asymptotic symmetry and local behavior of semilinear elliptic equations with critical Sobolev growth. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 42(3), 271–297 (1989)
- [10] D'Ambrosio, L., Jannelli, E.: Nonlinear critical problems for the biharmonic operator with Hardy potential. Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 54(1), 365–396 (2015)
- [11] Dan, S., Ma, X., Yang, Q.: Sharp Rellich-Sobolev inequalities and weighted Adams inequalities involving Hardy terms for bi-Laplacian. Nonlinear Anal. 200, 112068, 18 pp (2020)
- [12] Deng, S., Grossi, M., Tian, X.: On some weighted fourth-order equations. J. Differential Equations 364, 612–634 (2023)
- [13] Deng, S., Tian, X.: Some weighted fourth-order Hardy-Hénon equations. J. Funct. Anal. 284(1), Paper No. 109745, 28 pp (2023)
- [14] Deng, S., Tian, X.: Classification and non-degeneracy of positive radial solutions for a weighted fourthorder equation and its application. Nonlinear Anal. 240, Paper No. 113468 (2024)
- [15] Deng, S., Tian, X.: Stability of Hardy-Sobolev inequality involving p-Laplace. Preprint. https://arxiv.org/abs/2301.07442
- [16] Edmunds, D.E., Fortunato, D., Janelli, E.: Critical exponents, critical dimensions, and the biharmonic operator. Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 112, 269–289 (1990)
- [17] Figalli, A., Zhang, Y.: Sharp gradient stability for the Sobolev inequality. Duke Math. J. 171(12), 2407–2459 (2022)
- [18] Huang, X., Wang, L.: Classification to the positive radial solutions with weighted biharmonic equation. Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. 40(8), 4821–4837 (2020)
- [19] Jannelli, E., Loiudice, A.: Critical polyharmonic problems with singular nonlinearities. Nonlinear Anal. 110, 77–96 (2014)
- [20] Kang, D., Xu, L.: Asymptotic behavior and existence results for the biharmonic problems involving Rellich potentials. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 455(2), 1365–1382 (2017)
- [21] Lin, C.-S.: A classification of solutions of a conformally invariant fourth order equation in ℝ^N. Comment. Math. Helv. **73**(2), 206–231 (1998)
- [22] Lions, P.-L.: The concentration-compactness principle in the calculus of variations. The limit case. I. Rev. Mat. Iberam. 1(1), 145–201 (1985)
- [23] Lu, G., Wei, J.: On a Sobolev inequality with remainder terms. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 128(1), 75–84 (2000)
- [24] Rădulescu, V., Smets, D., Willem, M.: Hardy-Sobolev inequalities with remainder terms. Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal. 20(1), 145–149 (2002)

S. DENG AND X. TIAN

- [25] Rellich, F.: Perturbation Theory of Eigenvalue Problems. Gordon and Breach, New York (1969)
- [26] Servadei, R., Valdinoci, E.: Variational methods for non-local operators of elliptic type. Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. 33(5), 2105–2137 (2013)
- [27] Talenti, G.: Best constant in Sobolev inequality. Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. 110, 353–372 (1976)
- [28] Tertikas, A., Zographopoulos, N.B.: Best constants in the Hardy-Rellich inequalities and related improvements. Adv. Math. 209, 407–459 (2007)
- [29] Van der Vorst, R.: Best constant for the embedding of the space $H^2 \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$ into $L^{2N/(N-4)}(\Omega)$. Differ. Integral Equ. **6**(2), 259–276 (1993)
- [30] Wang, Z.-Q., Willem, M.: Singular minimization problems. J. Differential Equations 161(2), 307–320 (2000)
- [31] Wei, J., Wu, Y.: On the stability of the Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg inequality. Math. Ann. 384(3-4), 1509–1546 (2022)
- [32] Yan, Y.: Classification of positive radial solutions to a weighted biharmonic equation. Commun. Pure Appl. Anal. 20(12), 4139–4154 (2021)

Shengbing Deng

School of Mathematics and Statistics, Southwest University, Chongqing 400715, People's Republic of China

Email address: shbdeng@swu.edu.cn

XINGLIANG TIAN

School of Mathematics and Statistics, Southwest University, Chongqing 400715, People's Republic of China.

Email address: xltian@email.swu.edu.cn