

# Diagonal Lemma for Presheaves on Eilenberg–Zilber Categories

Daniel Carranza

Krzysztof Kapulkin

Liang Ze Wong

June 6, 2023

## Abstract

The diagonal lemma asserts that if a map of bisimplicial sets is a levelwise weak equivalence in the Kan–Quillen model structure, then it induces a weak equivalence of the diagonal simplicial sets. In this short note, we observe that the standard proof of this fact works for an arbitrary Eilenberg–Zilber category in place of the simplex category.

## Introduction

The diagonal lemma is a fundamental result of simplicial homotopy theory [GJ99, Ch. IV]. It states that a map of bisimplicial sets  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  that is a levelwise equivalence (i.e.,  $f_n: X_n \rightarrow Y_n$  is a weak homotopy equivalence for every non-negative integer  $n$ ) induces a weak homotopy equivalence on the diagonal simplicial sets  $\text{diag}f: \text{diag}X \rightarrow \text{diag}Y$  (where  $(\text{diag}X)_m = X_{m,m}$ ).

The result was independently discovered by Bousfield and Kan [BK72, Lems. XII.4.2–3], Segal [Seg74, Prop. A.1], and Tornehave (cf. [LTW79, Rem. 3.14]). Newer accounts include the seminal text of Goerss and Jardine [GJ99, Prop. IV.1.9] and a constructive proof of the Kan–Quillen model structure due to Gambino, Sattler, and Szumilo [GSS22, Prop. 2.3.5].

In particular, the proof presented in [GSS22] generalizes straightforwardly to other settings in several ways. First, one could consider a different notion of weak equivalence, for instance, weak categorical equivalences of the Joyal model structure on simplicial sets instead of weak homotopy equivalences. Second, one could consider different categories, e.g., cubical sets instead of simplicial sets. And finally, one could consider different ‘diagonal’ functors, for example, the functor from bicubical sets to cubical sets whose composite with the external product gives the geometric (not categorical!) product.

As we found ourselves needing two different variants of the lemma for two separate projects, we decided to record the common generalization which we were unable to find in the literature. The purpose of this note is therefore primarily expository, aimed at recording this fact in a citable format, as it is likely well known to experts.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 1, we collect the necessary background on Eilenberg–Zilber categories and the homotopical structure of presheaves thereon, which we use as a generalization of the simplex category  $\Delta$ . Then in Section 2, we prove the Generalized Diagonal Lemma (Theorem 2.5) before giving several examples of interest in Section 3.

**Acknowledgement.** This material is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. DMS-1928930 while the first two authors participated in a program hosted by the Mathematical Sciences Research Institute in Berkeley, California, during the 2022–23 academic year.

---

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 18N40, 55U35 (primary), 18N45, 18N50 (secondary).

# 1 Preliminaries

In this section, we collect the necessary background on Reedy theory and its extensions, for which an excellent survey is [Cam23]. We begin by recalling the definition of a Reedy category.

**Definition 1.1.** A *Reedy category* is a category  $R$  with a function  $\deg: \text{ob } R \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  and two wide subcategories  $R_-$  and  $R_+$  of  $R$  such that:

1. Any isomorphism is in both  $R_-$  and  $R_+$ ; if  $r$  and  $r'$  are isomorphic objects, then  $\deg r = \deg r'$ .
2. If a non-identity map  $r \rightarrow s$  is in  $R_-$ , then  $\deg r > \deg s$ ; if a non-identity map  $r \rightarrow s$  is in  $R_+$ , then  $\deg r < \deg s$ .
3. For any morphism  $\varphi \in R$ , there are unique morphisms  $\varphi_- \in R_-$  and  $\varphi_+ \in R_+$  such that  $\varphi = \varphi_+ \varphi_-$ .

**Example 1.2.** The simplex category  $\Delta$  and several variants of the box category  $\square$  (e.g., with or without connections) are Reedy categories.

**Example 1.3.** A category  $I$  is *direct* (respectively, *inverse*) if there exists a function  $\deg: \text{ob } I \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  such that for every non-identity morphism  $i \rightarrow j$  in  $I$ , we have  $\deg i < \deg j$  (respectively,  $\deg i > \deg j$ ). With these definitions, every direct or inverse category is a Reedy category. Moreover, for any Reedy category  $R$ , the subcategory  $R_-$  is inverse and the subcategory  $R_+$  is direct.

Eilenberg–Zilber categories, or EZ categories for short, are a strengthening of the notion of a Reedy category.

**Definition 1.4.** An *Eilenberg–Zilber category*, or an *EZ category* for short, is a Reedy category  $(A, \deg, A_-, A_+)$  satisfying an additional axiom:

4. Every morphism in  $A_-$  admits a section; and two such morphisms are equal exactly when their sets of sections are equal.

We record three examples of EZ categories.

**Example 1.5.** The simplex category  $\Delta$  and several variants of the box category  $\square$  (e.g., with or without connections) are EZ categories.

Any direct category is an EZ category, while inverse categories are not in general EZ categories.

**Example 1.6.** The product  $A \times B$  of EZ categories is again an EZ category with  $(A \times B)_- = A_- \times B_-$ ,  $(A \times B)_+ = A_+ \times B_+$ , and  $\deg(a, b) = \deg a \cdot \deg b$ .

**Example 1.7.** Given an object  $a \in A$  of an EZ category, the slice category  $a \downarrow A$  is again an EZ category with the structure created by the forgetful functor  $(a \downarrow A) \rightarrow A$  sending  $\varphi$  to its codomain.

Fix an EZ category  $A$ . We shall use the small letters  $a, b, c, \dots$  to indicate objects of  $A$ . The objects of  $A \times A$  are denoted with bold letters, e.g.,  $\mathbf{a} = (a, a')$ .

The category of presheaves on  $A$ , i.e., contravariant functors  $A^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$  is denoted  $\mathbf{aSet}$ , while the category of presheaves on  $A \times A$  is denoted  $\mathbf{aaSet}$ .

We shall use capital letters  $K, L, \dots$  to denote presheaves on  $A$ , and letters  $X, Y, \dots$  to denote presheaves on  $A \times A$ . A representable presheaf  $A(-, a)$  represented by  $a$  is denoted  $\widehat{a}$ , and accordingly a representable presheaf  $A \times A(-, \mathbf{a})$  is denoted  $\widehat{\mathbf{a}}$ .

For  $K \in \mathbf{aSet}$  and  $a \in A$ , we write  $K_a$  for the set  $K(a)$ . For  $x \in K_a$  and  $\varphi: b \rightarrow a$  in  $A$ , we write  $x\varphi \in K_b$  for the application of the function  $K(\varphi): K_a \rightarrow K_b$  to the element  $x$ .

Just like CW-complexes, presheaves on an EZ category  $A$  can be built inductively by their *skeleta*. The key property allowing this is *elegance*, a generalization of the Eilenberg–Zilber Lemma, due to Bergner and Rezk [BR13]. To state it, we need a preliminary definition.

**Definition 1.8.** Let  $K$  be a presheaf on  $A$  and  $a$  an object of  $A$ . An element  $x \in K_a$  is *degenerate* if there is a non-identity  $\sigma: a \rightarrow b$  in  $A_-$  and  $y \in K_b$  such that  $x = y\sigma$ ; it is *non-degenerate* if it is not degenerate.

With that, we can now state the (generalized) Eilenberg–Zilber Lemma.

**Lemma 1.9** (Eilenberg–Zilber Lemma; [BR13, Prop. 4.2]). *For any presheaf  $K$  on  $A$  and any element  $x \in K_a$ , there is a unique map  $\sigma: a \rightarrow b$  and a unique non-degenerate element  $y \in K_b$  such that  $x = y\sigma$ .  $\square$*

We now proceed to the definition of a skeleton of a presheaf. For  $n \geq -1$ , let  $A_{\leq n}$  denote the full subcategory of the EZ category  $A$  spanned by objects  $a$  with  $\deg a \leq n$ . (In particular,  $A_{\leq -1}$  is the empty category.) The inclusion  $i_n: A_{\leq n} \hookrightarrow A$  induces adjoint triples

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Set}^{A_{\leq n}^{\text{op}}} & \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{(i_n)_!} \\ \xleftarrow{i_n^*} \\ \xrightarrow{(i_n)_*} \end{array} & \mathbf{aSet} \\ \mathbf{aSet}^{A_{\leq n}^{\text{op}}} & \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{(\text{id} \times i_n)_!} \\ \xleftarrow{\text{id} \times i_n^*} \\ \xrightarrow{(\text{id} \times i_n)_*} \end{array} & \mathbf{aaSet} \end{array}$$

**Definition 1.10.**

1. For  $n \geq -1$ , the  $n$ -skeleton of a presheaf  $K \in \mathbf{aSet}$  is the presheaf  $\text{Sk}^n K = (i_n)_! i_n^* K$ .
2. For  $n \geq -1$ , the  $n$ -skeleton of a presheaf  $X \in \mathbf{aaSet}$  is the presheaf  $\text{Sk}^n X = (\text{id} \times i_n)_! (\text{id} \times i_n)^* X$ .

**Notation 1.11.** For  $a \in A$  such that  $\deg(a) = n$ , we write  $\partial \hat{a}$  for the  $(n-1)$ -skeleton  $\text{Sk}^{n-1} \hat{a}$  of  $\hat{a}$ .

**Proposition 1.12** (Skeletal Induction). *For any presheaf  $K$  and any integer  $n \geq 0$ , the square*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \coprod_{\substack{x \in K_a^{\text{n.d.}} \\ \deg(a)=n}} \partial \hat{a} & \longrightarrow & \text{Sk}^{n-1} K \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\ \coprod_{\substack{x \in K_a^{\text{n.d.}} \\ \deg(a)=n}} \hat{a} & \longrightarrow & \text{Sk}^n K, \end{array}$$

where  $K_a^{\text{n.d.}} = \{x \in K_a \mid x \text{ is non-degenerate}\}$ , is a pushout.

*Proof.* This is a consequence of the Eilenberg–Zilber Lemma 1.9, cf. [Cis19, Thm. 1.3.8].  $\square$

**Corollary 1.13.**

1. Any presheaf  $K \in \mathbf{aSet}$  is the colimit of the sequence

$$\text{Sk}^{-1} K \hookrightarrow \text{Sk}^0 K \hookrightarrow \text{Sk}^1 K \hookrightarrow \dots$$

2. Any presheaf  $X \in \mathbf{aaSet}$  is the colimit of the sequence

$$\text{Sk}^{-1} X \hookrightarrow \text{Sk}^0 X \hookrightarrow \text{Sk}^1 X \hookrightarrow \dots$$

$\square$

We now extend our considerations from purely category-theoretic notions to include some ‘homotopical’ structure. As suggested by Example 1.2, Reedy categories are ‘nice shape categories’ for diagrams taking values in a category with such homotopical structure. A typical target category could be a model category, but for our purposes a cofibration category (cf. [Bro73, RB09, Szu16]) is sufficient.

**Definition 1.14.** A *cofibration category* consists of a category  $\mathbf{C}$  together with two wide subcategories: of *cofibrations*, denoted  $\twoheadrightarrow$ , and of *weak equivalences*, denoted  $\xrightarrow{\sim}$ , subject to the following conditions (where by an *acyclic cofibration* we mean a morphism that is both a cofibration and a weak equivalence):

1. Weak equivalences satisfy 2-out-of-3.
2. The category  $\mathbf{C}$  has an initial object  $\emptyset$  and for any object  $X \in \mathbf{C}$ , the unique map  $\emptyset \rightarrow X$  is a cofibration (i.e., all objects are *cofibrant*).
3. For any object  $X \in \mathbf{C}$ , the codiagonal map  $X \sqcup X \rightarrow X$  can be factored as a cofibration followed by a weak equivalence.
4. The category  $\mathbf{C}$  admits pushouts along cofibrations. Moreover, the pushout of an (acyclic) cofibration is an (acyclic) cofibration.

The following example shows in what way cofibration categories generalize model categories.

**Example 1.15.** Given a model category  $\mathbf{M}$ , its full subcategory of cofibrant objects forms a cofibration category.

**Definition 1.16.** Let  $R$  be a Reedy category,  $\mathbf{C}$  a cofibration category, and  $X: R^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  a diagram.

1. The *latching category*  $\partial(r \downarrow R_-)$  of  $r \in R$  is the full subcategory of the slice category  $r \downarrow R_-$  spanned by all non-identity morphisms  $r \rightarrow s$  in  $R_-$ .
2. The *latching object* of  $X$  at  $r$  is

$$L_r X = \text{colim} \left( \partial(r \downarrow R_-)^{\text{op}} \rightarrow R^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{X} \mathbf{C} \right)$$

3. The diagram  $X$  is *Reedy cofibrant* if for every  $r \in R$ , the induced map  $L_r X \rightarrow X_r$  is a cofibration in  $\mathbf{C}$ .

An advantage of Reedy cofibrant diagrams is that their colimits are homotopy colimits.

**Proposition 1.17** ([RB09, Thm. 9.3.5.(1c)]). *A pointwise weak equivalence  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  between Reedy cofibrant diagrams  $X, Y: R^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  induces a weak equivalence*

$$\text{colim } f: \text{colim } X \rightarrow \text{colim } Y.$$

Recall that a *Cisinski model category* is a model structure on a topos in which cofibrations are the monomorphisms. The Kan–Quillen model structure on the category of simplicial sets, as well as the Grothendieck model structure on the category of cubical sets, are examples of Cisinski model categories.

We are however interested in working with a weaker structure, namely that of a cofibration category, which motivates the following definition.

**Definition 1.18.** A *Cisinski cofibration category* is a cofibration category structure on a topos in which cofibrations are the monomorphisms.

**Example 1.19.** Since all objects of a Cisinski model category are cofibrant, every Cisinski model structure has an underlying Cisinski cofibration category structure.

**Lemma 1.20** (cf. [BR13, Prop. 3.14]). *Let  $\mathbf{aSet}$  be equipped with a Cisinski cofibration category structure and  $B$  be an EZ Reedy category. Then any diagram  $B^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{aSet}$  is Reedy cofibrant.  $\square$*

## 2 Generalized Diagonal Lemma

The goal of this section is to state and prove the Generalized Diagonal Lemma, which we do in Theorem 2.5. We begin however by stating our global assumption.

**Assumption 2.1.** Throughout the remainder of the paper,  $A$  will be an EZ category and  $\mathbf{aSet}$  will always be considered with a Cisinski cofibration category structure.

As indicated in the introduction, the diagonal lemma can be generalized to other diagonal-like functors. In order to spell out the requisite properties of such a functor, let us first recall the notion of the *external product* of presheaves — it is a functor  $\underline{\times}: \mathbf{aSet} \times \mathbf{aSet} \rightarrow \mathbf{aaSet}$  given by  $(K \underline{\times} L)_{m,n} = K_m \times L_n$ .

**Definition 2.2.** A *diagonal functor* is a cocontinuous functor  $d_{\otimes}: \mathbf{aaSet} \rightarrow \mathbf{aSet}$  such that the composite

$$\mathbf{aSet} \times \mathbf{aSet} \xrightarrow{\underline{\times}} \mathbf{aaSet} \xrightarrow{d_{\otimes}} \mathbf{aSet}$$

preserves weak equivalences in both variables.

Given a diagonal functor  $d_{\otimes}: \mathbf{aaSet} \rightarrow \mathbf{aSet}$ , we write  $\otimes$  for the composite  $d_{\otimes} \circ \underline{\times}$ . The choice of notation here is meant to be suggestive, as we usually think of  $d_{\otimes}$  as arising from a monoidal structure  $\otimes$  on the category  $\mathbf{aSet}$  (cf. Section 3).

**Proposition 2.3.** *Let  $\mathbf{a} = (a, a')$  be an object in  $A \times A$ . For  $b, c \in A$ , we have an isomorphism*

$$(L_b \widehat{\mathbf{a}})_c \cong A(c, a) \times \{f: b \rightarrow a' \mid f_- \neq \text{id}\}$$

*natural in  $\mathbf{a}$ .*

*Proof.* This is a straightforward computation. We have

$$\begin{aligned} (L_b \widehat{\mathbf{a}})_c &= \text{colim}_{f \in \partial(b \downarrow A_-)} (\widehat{\mathbf{a}}_{\text{cod}(f)})_c \\ &= \text{colim}_{f \in \partial(b \downarrow A_-)} (A(c, a) \times A(\text{cod}(f), a')) \\ &\cong A(c, a) \times \text{colim}_{f \in \partial(b \downarrow A_-)} A(\text{cod}(f), a') \\ &\cong A(c, a) \times \{f: b \rightarrow a' \mid f_- \neq \text{id}\}, \end{aligned}$$

where the final line follows since the function

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{colim}_{f \in \partial(b \downarrow A_-)} A(\text{cod}(f), a') & \rightarrow & A(b, a') \\ (f, g) & \mapsto & gf \end{array}$$

is injective, as every map in  $A_-$  is an epimorphism. □

**Lemma 2.4.** *For  $X \in \mathbf{aaSet}$  and  $n \geq -1$ , the square*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \coprod_{\substack{a \in A \\ \text{deg}(a)=n}} L_a X \underline{\times} \widehat{a} \cup_{L_a X \underline{\times} \partial \widehat{a}} X_a \underline{\times} \partial \widehat{a} & \longrightarrow & \text{Sk}^{n-1} X \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \coprod_{\substack{a \in A \\ \text{deg}(a)=n}} X_a \underline{\times} \widehat{a} & \longrightarrow & \text{Sk}^n X \end{array}$$

*is a pushout.*

*Proof.* As every presheaf is a colimit of representable presheaves and colimits commute with colimits, it suffices to assume  $X$  is a representable presheaf over some  $\mathbf{b} = (b, b') \in A \times A$ . Instantiating this diagram at  $(c, d) \in A \times A$ , it suffices to show the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \coprod_{\substack{a \in A \\ \deg(a)=n}} (L_a \widehat{\mathbf{b}} \times \widehat{a} \cup_{L_a \widehat{\mathbf{b}} \times \widehat{\partial a}} \widehat{\mathbf{b}}_a \times \widehat{\partial a})_{c,d} & \longrightarrow & (\mathrm{Sk}^{n-1} \widehat{\mathbf{b}})_{c,d} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \coprod_{\substack{a \in A \\ \deg(a)=n}} (\widehat{\mathbf{b}}_a \times \widehat{a})_{c,d} & \longrightarrow & (\mathrm{Sk}^n \widehat{\mathbf{b}})_{c,d} \end{array}$$

is a pushout of sets.

For  $a \in A$  such that  $\deg(a) = n$ , the top left set in the square may be explicitly described as

$$A(c, b) \times \{(g: a \rightarrow b', h: d \rightarrow a) \mid g_- \neq \mathrm{id} \text{ or } h_+ \neq \mathrm{id}\},$$

since

$$\begin{aligned} (L_a \widehat{\mathbf{b}} \times \widehat{a})_{c,d} &= (L_a \widehat{\mathbf{b}})_c \times \widehat{a}_d \\ &\cong A(c, b) \times \{g: a \rightarrow b' \mid g_- \neq \mathrm{id}\} \times A(d, a) \end{aligned}$$

by Proposition 2.3, and

$$\begin{aligned} (\widehat{\mathbf{b}}_a \times \widehat{\partial a})_{c,d} &= (\widehat{\mathbf{b}}_a)_c \times (\widehat{\partial a})_d \\ &= A(c, b) \times A(a, b') \times \{h: d \rightarrow a \mid h_+ \neq \mathrm{id}\}. \end{aligned}$$

by definition. The top map in the square sends a triple  $(f, g, h)$  to the pair  $(f, gh) \in \widehat{\mathbf{b}}_{c,d}$ , which is an element of  $(\mathrm{Sk}^{n-1} \widehat{\mathbf{b}})_{c,d}$  since  $gh$  factors through some  $a' \in A$  such that  $\deg(a') < n$ . The bottom left set may be written as

$$(\widehat{\mathbf{b}}_a \times \widehat{a})_{c,d} = A(c, b) \times A(a, b') \times A(d, a)$$

and the bottom map sends a tuple  $(f, g, h)$  to the pair  $(f, gh) \in (\mathrm{Sk}^n \widehat{\mathbf{b}})_{c,d}$ .

To see that this square is a pushout, it suffices to show that if a triple  $(f, g, h)$  in the bottom left set is not contained in the top left set, then  $(f, gh) \in \widehat{\mathbf{b}}_{c,d}$  is not contained in  $(\mathrm{Sk}^{n-1} \widehat{\mathbf{b}})_{c,d}$ . If  $(f, g, h)$  is not contained in the top left set, then  $h_+ = g_- = \mathrm{id}_a$ . That is,  $h \in A_-$  and  $g \in A_+$ . As  $\deg(a) = n$ , the element  $(f, g) \in \widehat{\mathbf{b}}_{c,a}$  is not contained in  $(\mathrm{Sk}^{n-1} \widehat{\mathbf{b}})_{c,a}$ . Thus, the element  $(f, gh) \in \widehat{\mathbf{b}}_{c,d}$  (which is a degeneracy of  $(f, g)$ ) is not contained in  $(\mathrm{Sk}^{n-1} \widehat{\mathbf{b}})_{c,d}$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.5** (Generalized Diagonal Lemma). *Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a morphism in  $\mathbf{aaSet}$  such that  $f_a: X_a \rightarrow Y_a$  is a weak equivalence in  $\mathbf{aSet}$  for all  $a \in A$ . Then,  $d_\otimes f: d_\otimes X \rightarrow d_\otimes Y$  is a weak equivalence.*

*Proof.* As  $d_\otimes$  preserves colimits, by Corollary 1.13, it suffices to show  $d_\otimes \mathrm{Sk}^n f: d_\otimes \mathrm{Sk}^n X \rightarrow d_\otimes \mathrm{Sk}^n Y$  is a weak equivalence for  $n \geq -1$  (since every diagram  $\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbf{aSet}$  taking values in monomorphisms is Reedy cofibrant). For  $n = -1$  this is immediate and for  $n = 0$ , this follows by assumption.

By induction, fix  $n > 0$  and suppose  $d_{\otimes} \text{Sk}^{n-1} f: d_{\otimes} \text{Sk}^{n-1} X \rightarrow d_{\otimes} \text{Sk}^{n-1} Y$  is a weak equivalence. By Lemma 2.4, the front and back squares in

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\coprod_{\substack{a \in A \\ \deg(a)=n}} L_a X \times \underline{\hat{a}} \cup_{L_a X \times \partial \hat{a}} X_a \times \underline{\partial \hat{a}} & \longrightarrow & \text{Sk}^{n-1} X & & \\
\downarrow & \searrow & \downarrow & \searrow & \\
\coprod_{\substack{a \in A \\ \deg(a)=n}} L_a Y \times \underline{\hat{a}} \cup_{L_a X \times \partial \hat{a}} Y_a \times \underline{\partial \hat{a}} & \longrightarrow & \text{Sk}^{n-1} Y & & \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
\coprod_{\substack{a \in A \\ \deg(a)=n}} X_a \times \underline{\hat{a}} & \longrightarrow & \text{Sk}^n X & & \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
\coprod_{\substack{a \in A \\ \deg(a)=n}} Y_a \times \underline{\hat{a}} & \longrightarrow & \text{Sk}^n Y & & 
\end{array}$$

are pushouts. Applying  $d_{\otimes}$ , the front and back squares in

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\coprod_{\substack{a \in A \\ \deg(a)=n}} L_a X \otimes \underline{\hat{a}} \cup_{L_a X \otimes \partial \hat{a}} X_a \otimes \underline{\partial \hat{a}} & \longrightarrow & d_{\otimes} \text{Sk}^{n-1} X & & \\
\downarrow & \searrow & \downarrow & \searrow & \\
\coprod_{\substack{a \in A \\ \deg(a)=n}} L_a Y \otimes \underline{\hat{a}} \cup_{L_a X \otimes \partial \hat{a}} Y_a \otimes \underline{\partial \hat{a}} & \longrightarrow & d_{\otimes} \text{Sk}^{n-1} Y & & \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
\coprod_{\substack{a \in A \\ \deg(a)=n}} X_a \otimes \underline{\hat{a}} & \longrightarrow & \text{Sk}^n X & & \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
\coprod_{\substack{a \in A \\ \deg(a)=n}} Y_a \otimes \underline{\hat{a}} & \longrightarrow & \text{Sk}^n Y & & 
\end{array}$$

are again pushouts as  $d_{\otimes}$  is cocontinuous. The map between the top right objects is a weak equivalence by the inductive hypothesis. The map between the bottom left objects is a weak equivalence by assumption. By the gluing lemma [RB09, Lem. 1.4.1.(1a)], it suffices to show the map between the top left objects is a weak equivalence. This map is a coproduct over  $\{a \in A \mid \deg(a) = n\}$  of maps between pushouts which appear in the bottom right of

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
L_a X \otimes \underline{\partial \hat{a}} & \longrightarrow & X_a \otimes \underline{\partial \hat{a}} & & \\
\downarrow & \searrow & \downarrow & \searrow & \\
L_a Y \otimes \underline{\partial \hat{a}} & \longrightarrow & Y_a \otimes \underline{\partial \hat{a}} & & \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
X_a \otimes \underline{\hat{a}} & \longrightarrow & L_a X \otimes \underline{\hat{a}} \cup_{L_a X \otimes \partial \hat{a}} X_a \otimes \underline{\partial \hat{a}} & & \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
Y_a \otimes \underline{\hat{a}} & \longrightarrow & L_a Y \otimes \underline{\hat{a}} \cup_{L_a X \otimes \partial \hat{a}} Y_a \otimes \underline{\partial \hat{a}} & & 
\end{array}$$

The maps between the top right and bottom left objects are weak equivalences by assumption. By the gluing lemma, it suffices to show the map between the top left objects is a weak equivalence. The map  $f$  induces a pointwise weak equivalence between composite diagrams

$$\partial(a \downarrow A_-)^{\text{op}} \longrightarrow A^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow[\text{Y}]{\text{X}} \mathbf{aSet}.$$

Recall that the latching category  $\partial(a \downarrow A_-)$  of  $a$  is an inverse category, hence a Reedy category (Example 1.3). Both  $X$  and  $Y$  are Reedy cofibrant by Lemma 1.20, thus the composite diagrams above are Reedy cofibrant as the latching category of an object  $\sigma: a \rightarrow b$  in  $\partial(a \downarrow A_-)$  is isomorphic to the latching category of  $b \in A$ . By Proposition 1.17, the induced map between colimits  $L_a X \rightarrow L_a Y$  is a weak equivalence.  $\square$

### 3 Examples

We now give several examples of applications of Theorem 2.5. Throughout this section, we still follow Assumption 2.1:  $A$  is an EZ category and  $\mathbf{aSet}$  is considered with a Cisinski cofibration category structure.

If the EZ category  $A$  carries a promonoidal structure  $\otimes: A \times A \rightarrow \mathbf{aSet}$ , then one might define a functor  $d_\otimes: \mathbf{aaSet} \rightarrow \mathbf{aSet}$  as a left Kan extension

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A \times A & \xrightarrow{\otimes} & \mathbf{aSet} \\ \downarrow & \nearrow d_\otimes & \\ \mathbf{aaSet} & & \end{array}$$

It is easy to see that the composite  $\otimes := d_\otimes \circ \underline{\otimes}$  defines a monoidal structure on  $\mathbf{aSet}$  that agrees with with the Day convolution product.

**Corollary 3.1.** *Suppose  $\mathbf{aSet}$  is equipped with a Day convolution product such that the product  $w \otimes w'$  of weak equivalences is again a weak equivalence.*

*If  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is a map in  $\mathbf{aaSet}$  such that  $f_a: X_a \rightarrow Y_a$  is a weak equivalence for every  $a \in A$ , then  $d_\otimes f: d_\otimes X \rightarrow d_\otimes Y$  is a weak equivalence.*

*Proof.* The functor  $d_\otimes$  is a diagonal functor in the sense of Definition 2.2, and hence we may apply Theorem 2.5.  $\square$

**Example 3.2.** If  $\mathbf{aSet}$  is a Cisinski monoidal model category (i.e., a monoidal model category whose cofibrations are the monomorphisms), then the monoidal product of weak equivalences is again a weak equivalence. Thus in any such case a levelwise weak equivalence  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  induces a weak equivalence  $d_\otimes f: d_\otimes X \rightarrow d_\otimes Y$ .

**Example 3.3** (Geometric product of cubical sets). The box category  $\square$  (with or without connections) carries a monoidal structure given by  $([1]^m, [1]^n) \mapsto [1]^{m+n}$ . This gives rise to the functor  $d_\otimes: \mathbf{ccSet} \rightarrow \mathbf{cSet}$  whose composite  $\otimes := d_\otimes \circ \underline{\otimes}$  is the *geometric product* on cubical sets. Since cubical sets form a monoidal model category (the Grothendieck model structure with the geometric product), the product of weak equivalences is again a weak equivalence. Hence any map  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  of bicubical sets that is a levelwise weak equivalence induces a weak equivalence  $d_\otimes f: d_\otimes X \rightarrow d_\otimes Y$ .

**Example 3.4** (Join of simplicial sets). Consider the promonoidal structure  $\Delta \times \Delta \rightarrow \mathbf{sSet}$  on the simplex category given by  $([m], [n]) \mapsto \Delta^{m+n+1}$ . The resulting diagonal functor  $d_*: \mathbf{ssSet} \rightarrow \mathbf{sSet}$  composed with the external product yields the *join* structure on simplicial sets, which preserves both weak homotopy equivalences and weak categorical equivalences. Thus any map  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  of bisimplicial sets that is a levelwise weak equivalence induces a weak equivalence  $d_* f: d_* X \rightarrow d_* Y$ .

Another class of promonoidal structures  $A \times A \rightarrow \mathbf{aSet}$  comes from the categorical product via the functor  $(a, b) \mapsto \widehat{a} \times \widehat{b}$ . Put differently, given an EZ category  $A$ , we have the canonical categorical diagonal inclusion  $(\text{id}, \text{id}): A \rightarrow A \times A$  sending  $a$  to  $(a, a)$ , which induces an adjoint triple

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \\ \mathbf{aaSet} & \xleftarrow{\text{diag}} & \mathbf{aSet} \\ & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \end{array}$$



where the middle functor  $\text{diag}: \mathbf{aaSet} \rightarrow \mathbf{aSet}$  is given by precomposition with the inclusion  $A \rightarrow A \times A$ .

**Corollary 3.5.** *Suppose the product  $w \times w'$  of weak equivalences in  $\mathbf{aSet}$  is a weak equivalence.*

*If  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is a map in  $\mathbf{aaSet}$  such that  $f_a: X_a \rightarrow Y_a$  is a weak equivalence for every  $a \in A$ , then  $\text{diag}f: \text{diag}X \rightarrow \text{diag}Y$  is a weak equivalence.*  $\square$

**Example 3.6** (Joyal model structure on simplicial sets). The Joyal model structure on simplicial sets is monoidal with respect to the categorical product. Hence if  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is a bisimplicial map such that  $f_n: X_n \rightarrow Y_n$  is a weak categorical equivalence for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $\text{diag}f: \text{diag}X \rightarrow \text{diag}Y$  is a weak categorical equivalence.

For instance, if  $A$  is a strict test category [Mal05, Def. 1.6.7], then the weak equivalences of  $\mathbf{aSet}$  are closed under finite products. This gives:

**Corollary 3.7.** *Let  $A$  be a strict test category and let  $\mathbf{aSet}$  be equipped with the corresponding canonical model structure.*

*If  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is a map in  $\mathbf{aaSet}$  such that  $f_a: X_a \rightarrow Y_a$  is a weak equivalence for every  $a \in A$ , then  $\text{diag}f: \text{diag}X \rightarrow \text{diag}Y$  is a weak equivalence.*  $\square$

**Example 3.8** (Kan–Quillen model structure on simplicial sets). The simplex category  $\Delta$  is a strict test category [Mal05, Prop. 1.6.14] and the test category model structure coincides with the Kan–Quillen model structure thereon, which allows us to recover the usual Diagonal Lemma. (Of course, there are many simpler ways of showing that weak homotopy equivalences of simplicial sets are closed under products.)

**Example 3.9** (Cubical sets). The box category  $\square$  with connections is a strict test category [Mal09, Prop. 4.3], and hence any map of bicubical sets  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  that is a levelwise equivalence induces a weak equivalence  $\text{diag}f: \text{diag}X \rightarrow \text{diag}Y$ .

Note however that this would not be true in the minimal box category, i.e., without connections. Since the categorical product  $\square^1 \times \square^1$  has the homotopy type of  $S^2 \vee S^1$  (cf. [Mal09, §6]), the product of the weak equivalence  $\square^1 \rightarrow \square^0$  with itself is not a weak equivalence.

## References

- [BK72] A. K. Bousfield and D. M. Kan, *Homotopy limits, completions and localizations*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 304, Springer-Verlag, Berlin–New York, 1972.
- [BR13] J. E. Bergner and C. Rezk, *Reedy categories and the  $\Theta$ -construction*, *Math. Z.* **274** (2013), no. 1–2, 499–514.
- [Bro73] K. S. Brown, *Abstract homotopy theory and generalized sheaf cohomology*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **186** (1973), 419–458.
- [Cam23] T. Champion, *Cubical sites as eilenberg–zilber categories*, 2023.
- [Cis19] D.-C. Cisinski, *Higher categories and homotopical algebra*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 180, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2019.
- [GJ99] P. G. Goerss and J. F. Jardine, *Simplicial homotopy theory*, Progress in Mathematics, vol. 174, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1999.
- [GSS22] N. Gambino, C. Sattler, and K. Szumiło, *The constructive Kan–Quillen model structure: two new proofs*, *Q. J. Math.* **73** (2022), no. 4, 1307–1373.
- [LTW79] D. M. Latch, R. W. Thomason, and W. S. Wilson, *Simplicial sets from categories*, *Math. Z.* **164** (1979), no. 3, 195–214.
- [Mal05] G. Maltsiniotis, *La théorie de l’homotopie de Grothendieck*, *Astérisque* **301** (2005), vi+140.
- [Mal09] G. Maltsiniotis, *La catégorie cubique avec connexions est une catégorie test stricte*, *Homology Homotopy Appl.* **11** (2009), no. 2, 309–326.
- [RB09] A. Rădulescu-Banu, *Cofibrations in homotopy theory*, 2009. Ph.D. thesis, MIT.
- [Seg74] G. Segal, *Categories and cohomology theories*, *Topology* **13** (1974), 293–312.
- [Szu16] K. Szumiło, *Homotopy theory of cofibration categories*, *Homology Homotopy Appl.* **18** (2016), no. 2, 345–357.