

Concerning the Direction of the Aharonov-Bohm Deflection

Timothy H. Boyer

*Department of Physics, City College of the City University
of New York, New York, New York 10031, USA*

Abstract

The interaction of a solenoid with a passing charged particle can be treated within classical or quantum physics. If charged particles pass around both sides of a solenoid, there is an experimentally-observed Aharonov-Bohm deflection of the double-slit particle interference pattern between charges passing on opposite sides. Such a deflection can be obtained by a classical force calculation. Although the magnitude of the angular deflection agrees between the classical force calculation and the quantum topological theory, the direction of the predicted deflection is opposite. Here we point out the simple basis for the direction of the deflection based upon classical electrodynamics and based upon quantum theory, and we mention analogues, both the electrostatic deflection of the particle interference pattern and the optical analogue of the classical calculation. The deflection direction involves an experimental question which is addressed rarely if ever.

I. INTRODUCTION

When particles pass through two slits in a barrier and are recorded on a distant screen, the intensity pattern of particle arrivals produces a double-slit particle interference pattern (depending on the separation between the slits) inside a single slit envelope (depending on the width of the slits). If a long solenoid is placed just behind the barrier and parallel to the slits, the magnetic field in the solenoid will produce a deflection of the double-slit interference pattern inside the undisplaced single-slit envelope arising for charged particles. Such behavior is seen in the beautiful experiments of Moellensted and Bayh[1][2] involving not slits in a barrier, but rather a biprism arrangement in an electron microscope, where the displaced double-slit interference pattern and undisplaced single-slit envelope are clear. A figure in the experimental report[2] shows the continuous deflection of the double-beam pattern with continuous change of the solenoid current. This magnetic Aharonov-Bohm phase shift due to the solenoid[3] is treated in all the recent textbooks on quantum mechanics[4][5] and is also discussed in some textbooks of classical electrodynamics.[6][7] However, when physicists are asked to give the direction of the deflection of the double-slit interference pattern, many seem to draw a blank. The magnitude of the Aharonov-Bohm phase shift is presented repeatedly.[4][5] However, the direction of the deflection, apparently, is another matter. Is the deflection in the same direction as that taken by a charged particle experiencing a Lorentz force when passing through the center of the solenoid, or is the deflection in the opposite direction? In this note, we wish to discuss the direction of the magnetic Aharonov-Bohm deflection from the viewpoint of classical forces and to compare it with the quantum result which claims no forces are present. The predictions of the two theories are in direct conflict.

II. ELECTROMAGNETIC INTERACTIONS

According to some interpretations, the Aharonov-Bohm effect is a purely quantum topological effect having no classical electromagnetic analogue. Despite this claim, we can attempt to discuss the situation from the familiar viewpoint of classical electromagnetic forces. Furthermore, the *magnitude* of the angle of deflection of the double-slit interference pattern when viewed on a distant screen is independent of Planck's constant and the

magnitude of the deflection angle agrees completely between classical force calculations and the quantum analysis which claims no forces are present. This independence from Planck's constant and agreement in magnitude between the classical and quantum theories also encourages the idea that classical physics may give us some useful insights. Furthermore, there is an electrostatic-force-based effect which leads to exactly the sort of particle interference pattern shift as observed experimentally.[8] The electrostatic-force-based deflection has been measured by Mattucci and Pozzi.[9]

In the *Feynman Lectures*,[10] it is suggested that the magnetic Aharonov-Bohm deflection is in the same direction as that of a particle passing through the center of the solenoid. Indeed, the *Lectures* propose a classical analogue involving a magnetic deflection of the entire interference pattern. This suggestion turns out to be completely wrong, as Feynman himself acknowledged.[10] The experiments[2] show clearly that only the double-slit interference pattern is displaced, not the single-slit envelope. In a continuing source of confusion, the *Feynman Lectures* are still published without any corrections, and so still contain the original errors in Vol. II, Section 15-5.

III. CLASSICAL ELECTROMAGNETIC FORCES BETWEEN THE CHARGE AND THE SOLENOID

As far as classical theory is concerned, a good place to start on the analysis of the deflection direction is a conventional Lorentz-force calculation. It turns out that the magnetic field of a charge passing outside an electrically-neutral solenoid places a *net Lorentz force on the solenoid*, even an infinite solenoid. This classical electromagnetic force seems rarely (if ever) mentioned in the literature of the Aharonov-Bohm interaction. If we consider an infinite circular solenoid of radius R , surface current $\mathbf{K} = \hat{\phi}K$, (with $K = nI$, n turns per unit length, current I in each turn) and central axis along the z -axis. and a passing charge q with velocity $\mathbf{v} = \hat{y}v$ at position $\mathbf{r} = \hat{x}x_0 + \hat{y}vt$ at time t with $R \ll x_0$, then the magnetic

Lorentz force on the solenoid for a charge q passing outside the solenoid is

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{F}_{onSolenoid}^{(qOutside)} &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi R \frac{K}{c} (-\hat{x} \sin \phi + \hat{y} \cos \phi) \times \mathbf{B}_q(R, \phi, z, t) \\
&= q \frac{v}{c} \frac{K}{c} R \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi \frac{[-\hat{x} \cos \phi (R \cos \phi - x_0) - \hat{y} \sin \phi (R \cos \phi - x_0)]}{[(R \cos \phi - x_0)^2 + (R \sin \phi - vt)^2 + z^2]^{3/2}} \\
&= \frac{2\pi q v K R^2}{c^2} \left\{ \hat{x} \frac{x_0^2 - (vt)^2}{[x_0^2 + (vt)^2]} + \hat{y} \frac{2x_0 vt}{[x_0^2 + (vt)^2]^2} \right\}
\end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where we have used the magnetic field of the moving charge q through order $1/c^2$,

$$\mathbf{B}_q(R, \phi, z, t) = q \hat{y} \frac{v}{c} \times \frac{(\hat{x} R \cos \phi + \hat{y} R \sin \phi + \hat{z} z - \hat{x} x_0 - \hat{y} vt)}{[(R \cos \phi - x_0)^2 + (R \sin \phi - vt)^2 + z^2]^{3/2}}, \tag{2}$$

have expanded the denominator for small $R \ll x_0$ as

$$\begin{aligned}
&[(R \cos \phi - x_0)^2 + (R \sin \phi - vt)^2 + z^2]^{-3/2} \\
&= [x_0^2 + (vt)^2 + z^2]^{-3/2} \left(1 + 3R \frac{(x_0 \cos \phi + vt \sin \phi)}{[x_0^2 + (vt)^2 + z^2]} + \dots \right),
\end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

and have noted the integral

$$\int dz \frac{1}{[z^2 + a^2]^{5/2}} = \frac{3a^2 z + 2z^3}{3a^4 [z^2 + a^2]^{3/2}}. \tag{4}$$

In general, the force on the solenoid has a component in the same \hat{y} direction as the velocity $\mathbf{v} = \hat{y}v$ of the charge q , and also an \hat{x} component perpendicular to the velocity. The force on the solenoid in the \hat{y} (longitudinal) direction reverses sign with x_0 , corresponding to reversing sign depending on which side the charge q passes the solenoid. If the (positive) charge q passes on the right-hand side of the solenoid (with magnetic field upwards), the solenoid is attracted towards the charge q at both negative and positive times.

On the other hand, if the moving charge q is inside the solenoid at its center, the direction of the magnetic Lorentz force on the solenoid is

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{F}_{onSolenoid}^{(qInside)} &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi R \frac{K}{c} (-\hat{x} \sin \phi + \hat{y} \cos \phi) \times \mathbf{B}_q(R, \phi, z, t) \\
&= q \frac{v}{c} \frac{K}{c} R \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi \frac{[-\hat{x} R \cos^2 \phi - \hat{y} R \sin \phi \cos \phi]}{[R^2 + z^2]^{3/2}} \\
&= -\hat{x} 2\pi q \frac{vK}{c^2},
\end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

which is only in the direction perpendicular to the particle velocity \mathbf{v} , and in the direction opposite from the perpendicular component of the Lorentz force acting on the solenoid due to the magnetic field of the charge q when closest to but outside the solenoid.

IV. FORCES IN THE INERTIAL FRAME WHERE THE CHARGE IS INITIALLY AT REST

In general, accounts of the Aharonov-Bohm interaction between a solenoid and a passing charge do not mention the magnetic Lorentz force of the charge on the solenoid. Indeed, the experimental measurements do not treat the solenoid, but rather measure the behavior of the passing charge. However, if a passing charge puts a force on the solenoid, then perhaps the solenoid puts a force on the passing charge. The usual claim is made that there is no force on the passing charge due to the solenoid because the magnetic fields of an *unperturbed* infinite solenoid are confined to the interior of the solenoid.[11] However, these accounts are inadequate because they do not discuss the power delivered to the currents of the solenoid by the emf associated with the moving charge q ,[12] and the acceleration of the charges in the solenoid producing Faraday electric fields back on the passing charge q . [13]

In this article, we will not attempt to treat the Faraday fields of the accelerating charges in the solenoid. Rather we will use Lorentz transformations to go to the primed inertial frame in which the charge q is initially at rest while the solenoid is moving. Assuming at most very low-velocity motion for the charge q in this new inertial frame and lowest order in $1/c^2$, we can deal merely with *electrostatic* forces and unperturbed currents in the solenoid. Since the charge q is initially at rest and experiences only a small force, it does not impose a significant emf on the currents of the solenoid. Since the forces between the solenoid and the charge q are already of order $1/c^2$ (as seen in Eq. (1)), the forces will be invariant between inertial frames in lowest order in powers of $1/c^2$.

In the primed inertial frame in which the solenoid is moving with a velocity $-\mathbf{v} = -\hat{y}v$, each turn of the solenoid acquires an electric dipole moment \mathbf{p}' derived from the magnetic moment $\mathbf{m} = \pi R^2 I/c$ of the turn of radius R carrying current I , [14][15][16]

$$\mathbf{p}' = (-\hat{y}v) \times \hat{z}I\pi R^2/c = -\hat{x}vI\pi R^2/c. \quad (6)$$

When the turns are stacked, the entire solenoid now has a line of electric dipoles in the primed frame. Just as an electric dipole can be pictured as two opposite charges separated by a small distance ϵ , the line of electric dipoles can be pictured as two line charges $\pm\lambda$ separated by a small distance ϵ in the x -direction so that the line of electric dipoles is $-\hat{x}\lambda\epsilon = -\hat{x}nvI\pi R^2/c = -\hat{x}vK\pi R^2/c$, where n is the number of turns per unit length of the

solenoid. If the solenoid has a magnetic field upwards, $\mathbf{B} = \hat{z}4\pi nI/c$, then the $+\lambda$ is toward the left and the $-\lambda$ is toward the right when looking in the $+\hat{y}$ -direction of the velocity \mathbf{v} . The direction of deflection can be understood from the electric forces between the charge q and the line of electric dipoles. In the primed inertial frame, a positive charge (positron) (passing on the right side of the solenoid in the unprimed frame) would be attracted to the closer negative line charge $-\lambda$ and repelled by the more distant positive line charge $+\lambda$.

In the primed inertial frame, it is easy to calculate the electrostatic forces between the line of electric dipoles in the solenoid and the charge q which, in the primed inertial frame, is initially at rest, by calculating the forces between the charge q and the line charges $\pm\lambda$, $\mathbf{F}_{\text{on}q} = q\mathbf{E}_\lambda + q\mathbf{E}_{-\lambda}$, and then expanding in powers of ϵ . The electric force on the electric dipoles due to the charge q is exactly that found above in Eq. (1), while the electric force on the charge q due to the line charges is just the negative. Thus the magnetic Lorentz force on the solenoid in one inertial frame becomes an electric force in the primed inertial frame. Also, electrostatic forces satisfy Newton's third law, so that we have obtained the force on the charge q without needing to go through the Faraday acceleration fields which appear in the unprimed inertial frame. In earlier work[8], the line of electric dipoles was suggested merely as an "electrostatic analogue" of the Aharonov-Bohm deflection. Here we claim that the electric forces between the solenoid and the charge are exactly the forces found in the primed coordinate frame. To order $1/c^2$, exactly the same forces are found in the primed and unprimed inertial frames.[17]

V. DISPLACEMENT OF THE CHARGE q

Since through order $1/c^2$ the forces are the same in the primed and unprimed inertial frames, we may apply the forces in the unprimed inertial frame where the charge q is moving with initial velocity $\mathbf{v} = \hat{y}v$ when it is far from the solenoid. The acceleration of the charge q due to the force from the solenoid is

$$m\ddot{\mathbf{r}} = -\frac{2\pi qvKR^2}{c^2} \left\{ \hat{x} \frac{x_0^2 - (vt)^2}{[x_0^2 + (vt)^2]^2} + \hat{y} \frac{2x_0vt}{[x_0^2 + (vt)^2]^2} \right\} \quad (7)$$

Assuming that the force on the charge q is small so that that the acceleration of the charge is very small, the speed of the charge may be approximated by \mathbf{v} on the right-hand side of Eq. (7), giving a small change in the velocity of the charge q due to the force from the

solenoid as

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta\dot{\mathbf{r}}_{x_0}(t) &= -\frac{2\pi qvKR^2}{mc^2} \left\{ -\hat{x} \int_{-\infty}^t dt' \left[\frac{x_0^2 - (vt')^2}{[x_0^2 + (vt')^2]^2} \right] + \hat{y} \int_{-\infty}^t dt' \frac{x_0 vt'}{[x_0^2 + (vt')^2]^2} \right\} \\ &= -\frac{2\pi qvKR^2}{mc^2} \left\{ \hat{x} \frac{vt}{v[x_0^2 + (vt)^2]} - \hat{y} \frac{x_0}{v[x_0^2 + (vt)^2]} \right\},\end{aligned}\quad (8)$$

which can be checked by direct differentiation. For the \hat{y} (longitudinal) direction parallel to \mathbf{v} , the velocity change of the charge in Eq. (8) is of only one sign depending on the sign of x_0 , and vanishes at early and late times, $\Delta\dot{\mathbf{r}} \rightarrow 0$ for $t \rightarrow \pm\infty$. However, in the \hat{x} direction perpendicular to \mathbf{v} , the charge q acquires a small velocity which is in opposite directions as the charge q approaches the solenoid at negative times or leaves the solenoid at positive times.

Finally, using the same approximations and integrating again with respect to time from $t \rightarrow -\infty$ to $t \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain the net relative displacement of the charge q due to the force from the solenoid, as a relative lag in the longitudinal direction parallel to \mathbf{v} ,

$$\Delta\mathbf{r}_{x_0} = \pm\hat{y} \frac{2\pi^2 qKR^2}{vmc^2}, \quad (9)$$

with the \pm sign depending upon the sign of x_0 corresponding to the side on which the passing took place. In the approximation which treats the change in the charge velocity as very small, the net relative displacement $\Delta\mathbf{r}$ for particles located on opposite sides of the solenoid is thus entirely in the longitudinal direction and is doubled compared to Eq. (9)

$$\Delta\mathbf{r} = \Delta\mathbf{r}_{x_0} - \Delta\mathbf{r}_{-x_0} = \pm\hat{y} \frac{4\pi^2 qKR^2}{vmc^2} = \pm \frac{q\Phi}{vmc}, \quad (10)$$

where $\Phi = \pi R^2 B = \pi R^2 (4\pi K/c)$ is the magnetic flux in the solenoid. Noting our use of gaussian units here, the magnitude of the relative displacement is exactly that suggested in earlier in references [12]) and [8] where SI units were used. In the unprimed inertial frame in which the solenoid is at rest, these changes will be superimposed upon the motion of the charge q with velocity \mathbf{v} .

For a positive charge q passing the solenoid on the right-hand side where x_0 is positive and with the solenoid magnetic field upwards, there is always an attractive force in the \hat{y} direction of motion on the charge q , accelerating the charge at negative times and then slowing the charge at positive times as t changes from negative to positive values. The reversal of the longitudinal force on opposite sides of the solenoid (as x_0 changes sign) leads

to a relative longitudinal displacement Δy between positive charges passing on opposite side of the solenoid where the displacement x_0 has opposite signs. This longitudinal displacement of the particles in the direction of motion leads to an angular deflection θ for a wavefront connecting the charges where, for small displacements Δy and slits separated by a distance d ,

$$\theta = \Delta y/d = \pm q\Phi/(pcd), \quad (11)$$

where Φ is the magnetic flux in the solenoid in gaussian units and $p = mv$ is the momentum of the passing charge q . The magnitude of this classical angular deflection is independent of Planck's constant \hbar , and is exactly that of the quantum treatment. The direction of deflection would be to the left in the direction of the particle's motion as described above.

VI. THE OPTICAL ANALOGY

We have already noted that the experimentally observed deflection of the double-beam *particle* interference pattern for the *magnetic* Aharonov-Bohm deflection takes the same form as the deflection based upon classical *electrostatic* forces.[8] The shift in the *particle* interference pattern is analogous to an the *optical* effect where a piece of glass is placed behind only one slit in the barrier.[8] Then the light which passes through the piece of glass will be slowed, and we will find an angular deflection θ in the double-slit interference pattern toward the side with the retarding glass, which deflection depends upon the relative lag Δy in the direction of propagation between the phases of the light waves passing through the two slits separated by distance d , giving an angular deflection for small angles $\theta = \Delta y/d$. On the other hand, the single-slit envelope is undisplaced since it depends upon wave interference across each single slit. The angle of the optical *deflection* depends upon the length of the optical path through the glass and is completely independent of the wavelength of the radiation, just as the angular Aharonov-Bohm *deflection* is independent of Planck's constant and of any associated de Broglie wavelength. In principle, the angular deflection could be converted into a relative lag between wave packets passing through the two slits if the optical beam were chopped into packets of finite length.

The classical electromagnetic force analysis suggests that the magnetic Aharonov-Bohm deflection is like both the electrostatic force-based deflection and the optical analogy. Thus we expect the interaction of the charged particle and the solenoid to give a deflection of

the interference pattern through an angle $\theta = \pm q\Phi/(cpd)$; the direction of the deflection corresponds to a classical lag arising in the longitudinal direction for the charge q , caused by a force opposite to the longitudinal component of the magnetic Lorentz force of the charged particle on the solenoid. The direction of deflection for the double-slit interference pattern in the classical force-based magnetic Aharonov-Bohm interaction for charges passing outside a solenoid is in the opposite direction from those passing through the center of the solenoid which experience an unambiguous Lorentz-force deflection.

VII. QUANTUM DEFLECTION DIRECTION

The quantum magnetic Aharonov-Bohm deflection is based upon the classical Hamiltonian for a particle in the presence of a time-invariant magnetic field $\mathbf{B} = \nabla \times \mathbf{A}$,

$$H = \frac{1}{2m} \left[\mathbf{p}_{\text{canonical}} - \frac{q}{c} \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}) \right]^2 = \frac{1}{2m} \mathbf{p}_{\text{mechanical}}^2, \quad (12)$$

where the canonical momentum is connected to the mechanical momentum by $\mathbf{p}_{\text{canonical}} = \mathbf{p}_{\text{mechanical}} + (q/c) \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r})$. The time dependent Schroedinger equation follows as

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{2m} \left[\frac{\hbar}{i} \nabla - \frac{q}{c} \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}) \right]^2 \psi. \quad (13)$$

The solution of the Schroedinger equation is

$$\psi(\mathbf{r}, t) = C \exp \left\{ \frac{i}{\hbar} \left[\mathbf{p} \cdot \int^{\mathbf{r}} d\mathbf{r}' + \frac{q}{c} \int^{\mathbf{r}} d\mathbf{r}' \cdot \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}) - \mathcal{E}t \right] \right\}, \quad (14)$$

where here the momentum \mathbf{p} is a constant *mechanical* momentum associated with a constant particle speed which is connected to the constant mechanical energy $\mathcal{E} = \mathbf{p}^2/(2m)$. The phase change associated with this wave function is given in the quantum texts[4][5] and leads to a magnitude of the angle of the double-slit particle interference pattern which is identical with the magnitude of the classical force-based deflection given in Eq. (11).

The direction of the quantum deflection depends upon the variation of the path length $\int^{\mathbf{r}} d\mathbf{r}'$ multiplying the constant mechanical momentum \mathbf{p} in Eq. (14). If the particle passes the solenoid on the side such that $(q/c) \int^{\mathbf{r}} d\mathbf{r}' \cdot \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r})$ is positive, then the path length must be smaller to compensate the phase. Thus for a positive charge q passing on the right-hand side of a solenoid with the magnetic field \mathbf{B} upwards, the quantum deflection would be to the right, in the same direction as the magnetic Lorentz force deflection of a particle passing through the center of the solenoid.

VIII. DIRECT CONFLICT BETWEEN CLASSICAL AND QUANTUM RESULTS

The quantum direction is exactly the opposite direction from that predicted by the classical force-based calculation given previously. The quantum calculation also involves no interaction between the passing charge and the solenoid. In sharp contrast, the classical electrodynamic force-based analysis depends upon an electromagnetic interaction between the passing charge and the solenoid, in agreement with the interaction for the classical electrostatic force-base deflection[8] measured by Matteucci and Pozzi,[9] and in agreement with the interaction between the light and the piece of glass in the optical analogy. However, the quantum result seems to claim that the charged particle passes the solenoid with no interaction, no change in particle velocity, and a purely topological effect is involved.

Although the author has looked for the observed deflection direction in the beautiful experimental measurements, he has been unable to find the needed information.

IX. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I wish to thank Professor V. Parameswaran Nair for helpful discussions related to the direction of the Aharonov-Bohm deflection.

-
- [1] G. Moellenstedt and W. Bayh, "Messung der kontinuierlichen phasenschiebung von Elektronenwellen in kraftfeldfreien Raum durch das magnetische Vektorpotential einer Luftspule," *Naturwissenschaften* **49**, 81-82 (1962).
 - [2] W. Bayh, "Messung der kontinuierlichen Phasenschiebung von Elektronenwellen im kraftfeldfreien Raum durch das magnetische Vektorpotential einer Wolfram-Wendel," *Zeitschrift für Physik* **169**, 492-510 (1962). Figures 15 and 17 show clearly the contrast in behavior when dealing with deflection by an extended magnetic region versus the deflection of the double-slit interference pattern when charges pass around the sides of a solenoid.
 - [3] Y. Aharonov and D. Bohm, "Significance of electromagnetic potentials in quantum theory," *Phys. Rev.* **115**, 485-491 (1959). A review article is provided by S. Olariu and I. Iovitzu Popescu, "The Quantum Effects of Electromagnetic Fluxes," *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **57**, 339-436

- (1985). A recent discussion is given by H. Batelaan and A. Tonomura, “The Aharonov-Bohm effect: Variations on a subtle theme,” *Physics Today* 38-43 (September 2009).
- [4] D. J. Griffiths, *Introduction to Quantum Mechanics* 2nd ed. (Pearson Prentice Hall, Upper Saddle River, NJ 2005), pp. 384-391.
- [5] L. E. Balentine, *Quantum Mechanics* (Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey 07632, 1990), pp. 220-223.
- [6] A. Shadowitz, *The Electromagnetic Field* (Dover, New York, 1988), pp. 197, 208-209, 517-522.
- [7] A. Garg, *Classical Electromagnetism in a Nutshell* (Princeton U. Press, Princeton, NJ 08450, 2012), pp. 107-108.
- [8] T. H. Boyer, “The Aharonov-Bohm effect as a classical electromagnetic lag effect: an electrostatic analogue and possible experimental test,” *Il Nuovo Cimento* **100**, 685-701 (1987).
- [9] G. Matteucci and G. Pozzi, “New diffraction experiment on the electrostatic Aharonov-Bohm effect,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **54**, 24698-2470 (1985).
- [10] R. P. Feynman, R. B. Leighton, and M. Sands, *The Feynman Lectures on Physics* (Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA., 1964), Vol. II, Sect. 15-5. For a correction, see T. H. Boyer, “Misinterpretation of the Aharonov-Bohm Effect,” *Am. J. Phys.* **40** 56-59, (1972). Feynman agreed that his description of the Aharonov-Bohm phase shift and his proposed classical analogue in the *Lectures* were in error. In the early 1972s, the direction of the double-slit-interference-pattern deflection was never addressed. However, Feynman rejected the classical lag ideas suggested again in the present article. T. H. Boyer, private correspondence.
- [11] T. H. Boyer, “Classical electromagnetic interaction of a charged particle with a constant-current solenoid,” *Phys. Rev. D* **8**, 1667-1679 (1973).
- [12] T. H. Boyer, “Classical electromagnetic deflections and lag effects associated with quantum interference pattern shifts: considerations related to the Aharonov-Bohm effect,” *Phys. Rev. D* **8**, 1679-1693 (1973).
- [13] T. H. Boyer, “The Classical Aharonov-Bohm Interaction as a Relativity Paradox,” *Eur. J. Phys.* **44**, 035202 (2023).
- [14] D. J. Griffiths, *Introduction to Electrodynamics* 4th edn (Pearson, New York 2013), pp.571-572, Problem 12.64.
- [15] J. D. Jackson, *Classical Electrodynamics* (John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1962), p. 389, Problem 11.9.

- [16] T. H. Boyer, “Classical Electromagnetic Interaction of a Charge with a Solenoid or Toroid,” submitted for publication, arXiv 2302.01936.
- [17] See the discussion of force transformations in reference 14, p. 544.

April 14, 2023 ABdeflection4.tex